1 **NO-stressed *Y. pseudotuberculosis* have decreased cell division rates in the mouse spleen**

3 Running title: Fluorescence dilution detects slowed bacterial growth

8 Bessie Liu[1], Robert K. Davidson[1], Kimberly M. Davis[1,*]

12 [1]W. Harry Feinstone Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology

13 Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA

16 *Corresponding author:

17 E-mail: kdavi140@jhu.edu

Abstract

Fluorescence dilution approaches can detect bacterial cell division events, and can

detect if there are differential rates of cell division across individual cells within a

population. This approach typically involves inducing expression of a fluorescent protein, and

then tracking partitioning of fluorescence into daughter cells. However, fluorescence can be

diluted very quickly within a rapidly replicating population, such as pathogenic bacterial

populations replicating within host tissues. To overcome this limitation, we have generated

a revTetR reporter construct, where mCherry is constitutively expressed, and repressed by

addition of tetracyclines, resulting in fluorescence dilution within defined timeframes. We show

that mCherry signal is diluted in replicating populations, and that mCherry signal accumulates in

growth-inhibited populations, including during exposure to inhibitory concentrations of

antibiotics and during nitric oxide exposure. Furthermore, we show that tetracyclines can be

delivered to the mouse spleen during *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* infection. We defined a drug

concentration that results in even exposure of cells to tetracyclines, and used this system

to visualize cell division within defined timeframes post-inoculation. revTetR mCherry signal

did not appear enriched in a particular spatial location within replicating centers of bacteria.

However, the addition of a NO-sensing reporter (P_{hmp}::*gfp*) showed that heightened NO exposure

correlated with heightened mCherry signal, suggesting decreased cell division within this

subpopulation. This revTetR reporter will provide a critical tool for future studies to identify and

isolate slowly replicating bacterial subpopulations from host tissues.

Introduction

Bacterial growth and metabolism have been the focus of decades of research, in part because we need to learn more about the pathways utilized by bacteria for growth to be able to target these essential processes with antibiotics or novel therapeutics. It is also well appreciated that bacteria with slowed metabolic rates are less susceptible to antibiotics (1-4). Earlier studies focused on growth of bacteria and antibiotic susceptibility under different laboratory conditions (1, 5-7); more recently, there has been a focus on studying bacterial growth and metabolism within host tissues, to more closely approximate bacterial growth conditions at the point where antibiotics or other therapeutics would be administered (8-10). Importantly, bacterial pathogens may utilize a range of different nutrients for growth within host tissues, and individual bacteria, or subsets of bacteria, may concurrently utilize different metabolites to promote their growth (10-14). Some of these substrates may support faster bacterial replication rates, and others, slower replication rates. Nutrient availability and the presence of host-derived antimicrobials, in addition to interactions with different immune cells subsets, can also generate complex microenvironments within host tissues, which can result in differences in growth rates across bacterial populations (12, 15-18).

When treating a bacterial infection, differences in bacterial growth rates can dramatically impact antibiotic efficacy. Slow-growing subsets of bacteria are inherently less susceptible to antibiotic treatment, due to decreased metabolic rates (1, 3, 4). This is termed antibiotic tolerance, a transient, phenotypic change in a subset of bacterial cells within a population, which alters antibiotic susceptibility (19, 20). Many of these experiments have been performed in bacteriological media, so it still remains largely unclear which pathways promote decreased antibiotic susceptibility and slowed growth within host tissues. To identify these pathways,

investigators will need to isolate antibiotic tolerant cells from the host environment for

downstream analyses. The specific bacterial pathways linked to tolerance may depend in part on

the antibiotic and the mode of action, or the environment around the bacteria during the

antibiotic exposure (18, 21, 22).

Molecular tools currently exist to identify and isolate slow-growing bacterial cells (8, 23-

26), but there are also limitations with some of these approaches that need to be addressed to

apply them within the host environment. One of the main approaches is utilizing fluorescence

dilution to identify individual cells that have divided within a certain timeframe. Typically,

fluorescence dilution approaches involve inducing expression of a fluorescent protein then

removing the inducer, and detecting changes in the amount of fluorescent protein within cells as

a readout for cell division events and protein partitioning into daughter cells (27, 28). Many

research groups have successfully utilized this approach to identify dividing and non-dividing

bacterial cells, by inducing fluorescent expression prior to introducing bacteria into tissue culture

or infection models (27-30). For some bacterial infection models, this approach can easily be

applied, because the size of the colonizing population of bacteria is relatively large, and the

number of cell division events within host tissues is relatively small (24, 25, 27). However, in

other infection models, only a few bacterial cells establish infection, and these cells go on to

replicate many times, which would quickly dilute out fluorescent signals (17, 31-33).

Furthermore, it is difficult to deliver inducer compounds into host tissues at sufficient levels to

modulate gene expression, and more challenging to remove these compounds to allow for

subsequent fluorescence dilution.

Yersinia pseudotuberculosis is an enteric pathogen that spreads systemically from

intestinal tissues to colonize deep tissue sites, such as the spleen (34-36). There is a strong

population bottleneck within intestinal tissues, and few bacterial cells spread systemically to

colonize deep tissue sites (37). Within deep tissues, individual bacteria found clonal clusters of

replicating extracellular bacteria, termed microcolonies or pyogranulomas (17, 38, 39).

Microcolonies can grow to contain hundreds to thousands of bacteria within a single replication

site, despite recruitment of circumscribing layers of neutrophils and monocytes (17, 39, 40).

Recent studies have shown that subpopulations of bacteria are present within microcolony

structures, and that subsets of bacteria stressed by the presence of nitric oxide (NO)

preferentially survive treatment with doxycycline, suggesting they may represent a slow-growing

subset of cells (17, 22). However, it has been difficult to determine growth rates in this model

system, since fluorescence dilution approaches cannot typically be used when the bacterial

population contracts and expands so dramatically.

To overcome these limitations, we have generated a revTetR reporter construct, where

exposure to tetracyclines will inhibit additional fluorescent gene expression, resulting in

fluorescence dilution. This construct will promote fluorescent signal accumulation in non-

dividing cells, and fluorescent protein will be diluted into dividing cells, thus allowing us to

differentiate between dividing and non-dividing subpopulations of bacteria. Tetracyclines can

also easily be delivered into our mouse model of *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* systemic infection

(22), which allows us to visualize fluorescence dilution as a measure of cell division at specific

stages of infection and within specific timeframes. Here, revTetR allows us to ask if responses to

host-derived stresses, specifically nitric oxide (NO), impacts *in vivo* cell division rates. This

reporter construct will be a useful tool for future studies to isolate and characterize bacterial

subpopulations with differential growth rates.

Results

The revTetR reporter can be used to visualize differential bacterial growth rates *in vitro*

with mCherry fluorescence dilution.

To detect differential cell division rates within host tissues, we generated a fluorescence

dilution reporter system by modifying our recently described TetON fluorescent reporter with

stable mCherry (*tetR::P$_{tetA}$::mCherry*) (22). The revTetR reporter (*revtetR::P$_{tetA}$::mCherry*) was

constructed by introducing two mutations (E15A and L17G) into the wild-type *tetR* gene. These

two mutations were predicted to cause a reversal of TetR activity resulting in repression of P_{tetA}

specifically in the presence of tetracycline and tetracycline derivatives (41, 42). Therefore,

revTetR is a tetracycline-responsive reporter that allows cells to constitutively express mCherry

in the absence of tetracycline derivatives, and subsequent addition of tetracyclines should inhibit

additional mCherry transcription **(Figure 1A)**. This reporter can be used to compare growth rates

of bacteria via a fluorescence dilution approach (27, 28). For example, in cultures treated with

tetracycline, fast-growing cells will continue to divide, and the existing mCherry signal will be

partitioned between daughter cells with every replication event **(Figure 1B)**. However, slow-

growing or nondividing cells will not go through the same number of replication events and

therefore will not undergo fluorescence dilution **(Figure 1B)**.

To confirm that the mCherry fluorescence from the revTetR reporter dilutes into actively

dividing cells, we generated a reporter strain containing the revTetR reporter alongside a plasmid

containing *dps::gfp-ssrA* (revTetR *dps*) (16). Dps, a ferritin-like iron-sequestering protein,

accumulates within bacteria in stationary phase in response to multiple different stresses and

protects DNA from oxidative damage (43-45). Therefore, revTetR *dps* should detect slow-

growing cells within the population with GFP signal (16), and allow us to compare this to

mCherry levels from revTetR. Exponential phase revTetR *dps* cells were treated with increasing

doses of anhydrotetracycline (ATc), a tetracycline derivative that binds TetR or revTetR but has

limited ribosomal targeting (46, 47). Aliquots of each sample were measured for optical density

or absorbance (A_{600nm}) and fluorescence using a microplate reader. The absorbance values

increased across all treatment groups, and ATc did not have a detrimental effect upon growth

rate with either 0.1µg/ml or 1µg/ml treatment **(Figure 1C)**. However, 2µg/ml ATc treatment did

slightly inhibit growth, resulting in significantly lower OD values at 3h and 4h post-treatment

(hours, h). mCherry fluorescence values were normalized to cell number by dividing the

background-subtracted fluorescence value from each well by the corresponding OD value. The

resulting value was further normalized to the untreated sample value for each time point (set at

100%). Following 2h of ATc treatment, all treated groups had significantly reduced mCherry

fluorescence relative to untreated cells **(Figure 1D)**. This was consistent with a predicted 1.5-2h

cell division rate during growth at 37°C based on absorbance **(Figure 1C)**. At 4h post-treatment,

the fluorescence signal for all treated groups decreased by approximately 40% relative to the

signal of the untreated group, showing that ATc successfully induces dilution of mCherry with

each replication event **(Figure 1D)**. We noted that 2µg/ml ATc treatment resulted in

significantly higher mCherry **(Figure 1D)** and *dps* reporter signal **(Figure 1E)** relative to

0.1µg/ml and 1µg/ml treatment, again suggesting the dose may slightly inhibit growth, and

providing evidence that slowed growth can be detected by an increase in mCherry signal.

Heterogeneity within each sample was further represented at the single-cell level. Cells

from the final time point (4h) were fixed and imaged using fluorescence microscopy, and

analyzed for single cell fluorescence measurements. The average signal of the untreated group

(0µg/ml) was significantly higher than the average signal of the group treated with the lowest

dose of ATc (0.1μg/ml), again showing that signal dilution was successful **(Figure 1F)**. 1μg/ml

ATc treated cells had mCherry fluorescence similar to the 0.1μg/ml treated group. However, at

the highest dose of ATc (2μg/ml), the average mCherry signal signficantly increased **(Figure**

1F), and we found there were also significant increases in *dps* reporter expression with

increasing doses of ATc **(Figure 1G)**, suggesting that high concentrations of ATc may have an

inhibitory effect on bacterial cells. Slower growing cells with heightened *dps* reporter expression

should also exhibit heightened revTetR mCherry signal, and we confirmed these signals were

correlated under all treatment conditions, based on significantly non-zero slopes of linear

regression best-fit lines **(Figure 1H)**. Collectively these data indicate that revTetR fluorescence

is accumulating in slow-growing cells, and that actively growing cells are partitioning mCherry

into daughter cells, resulting in significant decreases in mCherry signal.

Growth inhibition with doxycycline promotes mCherry fluorescence accumulation.

To further test whether growth-inhibited cells exhibit heightened revTetR mCherry

fluorescence, cells of the revTetR *dps* strain were sub-cultured to exponential phase and treated

with increasing doses of the antibiotic, doxycycline (Dox). Aliquots of each sample were

measured for optical density (OD) by absorbance (A_{600nm}) and fluorescence at hourly timepoints

using a microplate reader. Cells exposed to 1μg/mL Dox had significantly decreased growth rate,

however the doses less than 1μg/ml (0.01-0.1μg/ml) were subinhibitory, and did not impact

growth **(Figure 2A)**. mCherry fluorescence was initially heightened with 1μg/ml Dox treatment

(Figure 2B), and *dps* reporter signal was slightly, but not significantly, elevated **(Figure 2C)**,

consistent with growth inhibition at this treatment concentration. Three hours after treatment, the

mCherry signal in all treatment groups was significantly below untreated values, including the

1μg/ml treatment group **(Figure 2B)**, suggesting that growth may resume after initial growth

inhibition. Single cell analysis was performed by fluorescence microscopy, and single cell

fluorescence levels were graphed for each treatment group. Dilution of mCherry signal could be

seen with treatment of 0.01μg/mL Dox, but as the dosage increased, the mean mCherry signal

within cells increased, indicating signal accumulation and stalled growth **(Figure 2D)**. The

mCherry fluorescent signal was significantly higher in cells treated with 1μg/ml Dox compared

to untreated cells (0μg/ml), suggesting that growth inhibition resulted in significant mCherry

revTetR fluorescence accumulation. Again, we observed a correlation between *dps* reporter

signal and revTetR mCherry signal under all treatment conditions, confirming that slower

growing cells have heightened revTetR fluorescence **(Figure 2E)**.

Gentamicin treatment confirms mCherry fluorescence levels are heightened in growth

arrested cells.

Although subinhibitory treatments with tetracyclines result in fluorescence dilution in

actively dividing cells, we observed quite a bit of heterogeneity in revTetR mCherry

fluorescence at the single cell level. To address the high variability of data from the previous

fluorescence dilution experiments, we used a short gentamicin treatment to inhibit growth and

synchronize the cell cycle of sampled populations before removing the antibiotic to allow growth

to resume. Gentamicin was chosen for these experiments because it is an aminoglycoside that

targets the ribosome to inhibit bacterial growth, but does not interact with revTetR. We initially

tested three concentrations of gentamicin to identify a concentration that might synchronize the

bacterial population: 5μg/ml, 10μg/ml, and 40μg/ml; we added gentamicin at 0h, and then

monitored growth based on absorbance in the presence of gentamicin. 5μg/ml did not

significantly impact growth, while 40µg/ml resulted in growth inhibition, and 10µg/ml had an

intermediate effect on growth **(Figure 3A)**. Only the 40µg/ml dose resulted in an increase in

mCherry fluorescence, which was apparent after 1h treatment **(Figure 3B)**, and so we chose to

perform subsequent experiments with the inhibitory 40µg/ml dose for only an hour incubation,

anticipating that cells would resume growth after washing out gentamicin and adding cells to

fresh media. revTetR *dps* cells were treated with gentamicin for 1 hour, washed with fresh media

to allow their growth to resume, and then treated with ATc (1µg/ml). OD and fluorescence were

measured up to 4h post ATc treatment. The sum mCherry fluorescence (total fluorescence per

cell) was significantly higher in the gentamicin-treated sample immediately prior to ATc

treatment, however there was still high variability in mCherry expression, suggesting gentamicin

treatment did not promote uniform mCherry accumulation **(Figure 3C)**. Additionally, cells

treated with gentamicin did not resume growth following treatment based on OD measurements

(Figure 3D). Consistent with this, mCherry signal was only slightly lower in gentamicin-treated

cells treated with ATc, suggesting very little growth 4h following gentamicin treatment **(Figure**

3E). Cells that were not treated with gentamicin exhibited normal growth, and significant

fluorescence dilution with ATc treatment **(Figure 3E)**. These data suggest that growth arrest

does result in significant mCherry signal accumulation, but the addition of gentamicin prior to

ATc treatment did not reduce variability in mCherry signal at the single cell level.

Nitric oxide-stressed cells divide at a slower rate than unstressed cells.

It has been shown recently that exposure to nitric oxide (NO) results in cell division

arrest, through collapse of the FtsZ cell division machinery (48). Our results have also suggested

NO-stressed *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* preferentially survive doxycycline treatment during

growth in the mouse spleen (22), which is consistent with a hypothesis that NO causes cell

division arrest within host tissues, and subsequently promotes decreased antibiotic susceptibility.

Cells containing the revTetR reporter and $P_{hmp::}gfp$ (revTetR $P_{hmp::}gfp$) were treated with

the slow-releasing NO donor compound DETA-NONOate for 1h, then further treated with ATc

(1µg/ml). The $P_{hmp::}gfp$ reporter was used to detect NO exposure, and to mark the level of stress

experienced by individual cells, which can be variable across the population in response to

DETA-NONOate (16, 17). Aliquots were taken every 2h after ATc treatment, and fixed cells

were imaged using fluorescence microscopy at the indicated timepoints (**Figure 4A**). Images

were subsequently quantified for single-cell fluorescence measurements. Growth inhibition due

to treatment with the NO donor, DETA-NONOate, was confirmed **(Figure 4B)**; induction of

hmp above the baseline level in response to the NO donor was also confirmed across all

treatment groups **(Supplemental Figure 1)**. When comparing cells treated with NO and ATc, we

found the revTetR mCherry fluorescence remained high and actually increased between 2h and

4h ATc treatment, consistent with growth inhibition in the presence of NO **(Figure 4C)**. In

contrast, cells grown in the absence of NO treatment had a significant drop in mCherry

fluorescence between 2h and 4h, suggesting significant levels of cell division events within this

timeframe **(Figure 4C)**. Consistent with previous literature, these results indicate that NO

treatment results in growth arrest, and reduced levels of cell division events (48).

To determine if cell division occurred in cultures despite NO stress, and whether

heightened *hmp* reporter signal correlated with heightened revTetR mCherry signal, single cell

mCherry and GFP levels from NO-treated cells were compared in the presence and absence of

ATc treatment using correlation plots. At the time of ATc treatment (0h) the bacterial

populations were very similar in fluorescence, as expected **(Figure 4D)**. At 2h post-ATc

addition, there was a correlation between mCherry and GFP levels independent of ATc

treatment, and extensive overlap within the cell populations, suggesting few cell division events

within this timeframe **(Figure 4D)**. However, at 4h post-ATc treatment, there was a clear

decrease in mCherry fluorescence, suggesting cell division had occurred despite NO treatment,

and there was a positive correlation between mCherry and GFP levels in NO-stressed cells

treated with ATc, which was lost in -ATc samples **(Figure 4D)**. These data suggest that while

NO-treated cells can continue to replicate after treatment, cells experiencing higher levels of

stress, as evidenced by higher *hmp* reporter signal, completed fewer cell division events over the

timecourse than cells experiencing lower levels of stress.

Low doses of doxycycline and anhydrotetracycline diffuse evenly across microcolonies and

do not inhibit bacterial growth.

One of the major goals for generating the revTetR reporter was to set-up a system to

detect bacterial cell division events within host tissues over defined periods of time. To do this,

we would need to administer tetracyclines during infection, and ensure that the dosage used

would not impact bacterial growth, and also diffuse evenly across microcolonies to allow for

accurate detection of signal dilution and cell division. We have previously developed a model of

doxycycline treatment of *Y. pseudotuberculosis* infection, and found that a single 40mg/kg

injection of doxycycline significantly reduces bacterial CFUs and also accumulates at a higher

concentration at the periphery of microcolonies (22). We hypothesized that lowering the

tetracycline dose may allow us to administer sub-inhibitory levels of antibiotic that would still

modulate the revTetR reporter, while allowing for more even diffusion of the antibiotic across

microcolonies.

To identify a tetracycline concentration that results in equal diffusion across

microcolonies, a previously characterized reporter, $P_{tetA}::mCherry-ssrA$ (TetON), was used for

tetracycline detection (22). The TetON reporter contains the wild-type *tetR* gene; addition of

tetracyclines induce the production of mCherry, and therefore levels of mCherry can be used as a

readout for antibiotic exposure **(Figure 5A)**. C57BL/6 mice were inoculated intravenously with

the TetON gfp^+ strain, which has constitutive expression of GFP alongside the TetON reporter,

allowing for visualization of relative reporter expression. A single dose of 4mg/kg Dox or

4mg/kg ATc was administered intraperitoneally at 48 hours post-inoculation. This timepoint was

chosen for antibiotic administration since we can detect subsets of bacteria responding to host-

derived nitric oxide at this timepoint, thus allowing us to ask whether stressed subpopulations of

bacteria are dividing more slowly than unstressed bacteria.

At 4h or 24h post-treatment, spleens were harvested to quantify CFUs and quantify

reporter expression by fluorescence microscopy. Bacterial numbers continued to increase

between 4h and 24h post-treatment with 4mg/kg doses of either ATc or Dox, confirming this

dosage does not interfere with bacterial growth **(Figure 5B)**. Microcolonies were then visualized

by fluorescence microscopy to determine if ATc and Dox diffuse evenly across microcolonies at

this dosage. The centroid and periphery of each microcolony were quantified for mCherry and

GFP signal, and the ratio of mCherry/GFP was graphed. There were no significant differences in

mCherry/GFP ratios between the centroid and periphery of microcolonies treated with either

ATc or Dox, suggesting that each drug was diffusing evenly across microcolonies **(Figure 5C)**.

The mCherry/GFP ratios remained comparable at the centroid and peripheries even at 24 hours

after treatment, showing that throughout the infection time course, there was even diffusion of

the drugs **(Figure 5C, 5D)**. These data here suggest that lowering the tetracycline dosage 10-fold

results in even diffusion of a sub-inhibitory dose of tetracyclines, which will allow us to

modulate revTetR reporter expression in all cells across the microcolony without impacting

bacterial growth.

revTetR signal appears to increase in both the centroid and periphery of microcolonies.

296   To determine if there are slowly-dividing subpopulations of bacteria within

microcolonies, we infected mice with the revTetR *gfp*⁺ strain, and treated mice with ATc at 48h

post-inoculation or left mice untreated. Mice were sacrificed at either 4h or 24h post-treatment,

and spleens were harvested to quantify CFUs and visualize reporter expression by fluorescence

microscopy. Bacterial CFUs were comparable between ATc-treated and untreated mice at both

timepoints, suggesting that ATc treatment did not impair bacterial growth **(Figure 6A)**.

However, we did not see an increase in CFUs between 4h and 24h, which suggests there was

limited, or variable, bacterial growth within this selected timeframe for this bacterial strain. To

determine if there were differences in reporter expression, mCherry signal was quantified

relative to the constitutive GFP signal at the periphery and centroid of individual microcolonies.

Since we have previously shown that bacteria experience heightened levels of NO stress and

express higher levels of the T3SS at the periphery of microcolonies, we were expecting to see

heightened levels of mCherry signal relative to GFP at the periphery of microcolonies. At 4h

post-treatment, we did not observe any differences in mCherry signal at the periphery of

microcolonies compared to the centroid, in the absence or presence of ATc treatment **(Figure**

6B). This suggested there may not have been many cell division events within the first 4h of

treatment, which is why tissue was also harvested 24h post-treatment. At 24h post-treatment,

untreated mice had significantly lower levels of mCherry signal at the periphery of

microcolonies, and ATc treated mice also had significantly lower mCherry signal at the

periphery, indicating we were not seeing increased mCherry signal at the periphery of

microcolonies as expected **(Figure 6C)**. It did appear that ATc treated microcolonies had higher

mCherry signals at both the centroid and periphery relative to untreated microcolonies, although

this was not statistically significant. These results suggest that revTetR signal remained high

after ATc treatment, indicating there may be slowly dividing cells present within microcolonies,

but it was difficult to assess whether these cells were part of a particular subpopulation of

bacteria without an additional reporter alongside revTetR.

NO stress correlates with heightened mCherry signal accumulation and slowed cell division

rates.

Hmp$^+$ bacteria responding to NO stress preferentially survive doxycycline treatment,

suggesting they may represent a slow-growing subpopulation (22). Consistent with this, in

culture NO stressed cells accumulated heightened mCherry signal, suggesting they were dividing

more slowly than unstressed cells **(Figure 4)**. However, in our mouse model it was difficult to

determine if peripheral cells of the microcolony had heightened revTetR signal without marking

the peripheral subpopulation with the *hmp* reporter. To determine if NO-stressed bacteria are

dividing more slowly than unstressed cells within host tissues, we infected mice with the

revTetR *P$_{hmp}$::gfp* strain and treated mice with ATc at 48h post-inoculation, or left mice

untreated. Spleens were harvested at 4h and 24h post-treatment to quantify CFUs and visualize

reporter expression by fluorescence microscopy. CFUs within ATc-treated mice did not differ

significantly from CFUs within untreated mice; again, we noted that there was not significant

growth based on total CFUs between 4h and 24h, but anticipated that there would be sufficient

replication at the level of individual microcolonies to assess differences in revTetR signal

(Figure 7A).

Periphery and centroid measurements were taken from each microcolony image and

combined into a single periphery/centroid ratio for each microcolony to allow for comparison

across treatment groups. At 4h post-treatment, the average ratio for the *hmp* reporter signal was

above a value of 1, indicating higher expression in the peripheral cells, while the average ratio

for mCherry values was close to 1, suggesting equal expression in peripheral and centroid cells

(Figure 7B). At 24h post-treatment, GFP ratios remained above 1, indicating high peripheral

expression, and the +ATc mCherry ratio appeared to increase, although this was not significant

(Figure 7C). There were no significant differences between ATc-treated and untreated groups

for either timepoint, suggesting there wasn't a dramatic change in fluorescent signals following

ATc treatment in these experiments. However, the slight increase in mCherry expression at 24h

indicates that microcolonies may have higher mCherry signal along the periphery, indicating

slower growth in that region. Moreover, after the data was separated according to spatial location

within the microcolony, there was a clear difference in trends between centroid bacteria and

peripheral bacteria **(Figure 7D-G)**. Of note, centroid bacteria showed very similar fluorescent

patterns at 4h **(Figure 7D)** and 24h **(Figure 7F)** post-treatment, while peripheral bacteria at 24h

post-treatment have a statistically significant positive correlation **(Figure 7G)**, indicating that

Hmp^{+} cells expressing higher levels of GFP tended to also express higher levels of mCherry

(Figure 7H), supporting the conclusion that these cells are slower growing.

Discussion

In this study, we have developed a revTetR mCherry construct that can be utilized to

detect bacterial cell division events within host tissues using a fluorescence dilution approach.

We have shown this construct results in heightened mCherry signal in growth-arrested cells in

culture, and have also shown that nitric oxide (NO) stress is sufficient to promote increased

mCherry signal **(Figure 4)**. This was consistent with previous studies showing NO exposure

results in an arrest of cell division (48). However, our *in vivo* results in the mouse model of

infection were less clear; with a constitutive *gfp* alongside the revTetR reporter, it was difficult to

detect the expected heightened mCherry fluorescent signal within peripheral cells **(Figure 6)**,

which should have been responding to NO stress. Using the *hmp* reporter alongside revTetR, we

were able to show that there was in fact a correlation between *hmp* reporter signal and revTetR

mCherry signal within host tissues, suggesting that there were fewer cell division events within

the peripheral Hmp$^+$ population during the selected timeframe of ATc treatment **(Figure 7)**.

There are several reasons this association between cell divisions events and NO stress

may have been difficult to capture within host tissues. It is possible that we chose a timeframe

where there were limited amounts of cell division. In the future, we would like to sample an

extended timecourse with the revTetR *P_{hmp}::gfp* strain to better understand where there are larger

expansions in the bacterial population, and where we may detect more differential in terms of

cell division rates between stressed and unstressed cells. *hmp* expression levels will also need to

be assessed at each timepoint to determine if this stressed subpopulation is present. Although this

data has previously been generated with other *Y. pseudotuberculosis* strains (17, 22, 49), the low

levels of growth between 4h-24h treatment (52h-72h post-inoculation) with the revTetR strains

suggest these reporter strains may not be replicating as quickly, or with the same dynamics, as

other strains. We would typically expect a 5-10 fold increase in bacterial growth within this

timeframe, as seen with the TetON *gfp+* strain **(Figure 5B)**. Another useful approach may be to

modulate revTetR mCherry levels by decreasing initial mCherry expression, which could be

interfering with growth of the strain (50). This could be accomplished by integrating a single

copy into the chromosome to reduce copy number or by modifying the mCherry start codon to

reduce levels of translation initiation (51). One thing to note is that the revTetR reporter strains

did grow normally in culture, suggesting if they do have some growth delay, this is specifically

induced within the host environment.

In this study, we compared revTetR reporter signal during treatment with two tetracycline

derivatives, the active antibiotic, doxycycline (Dox), and the inactive derivative,

anhydrotetracycline (ATc). Both tetracycline derivatives bind to TetR and revTetR, however

ATc is predicted to bind these proteins with higher affinity, and has poor affinity to bacterial

ribosomes (46, 47). This allowed us to modulate revTetR with ATc without significantly

inhibiting translation, however we would expect to see translational inhibition during Dox

treatment, and did see evidence of this when detecting *dps* reporter signal alongside revTetR.

The amount of *dps* signal may not significantly increase with Dox treatment due to significant

translational inhibition, and the inability of cells to translate *gfp* transcripts, which was observed

when growth inhibition with 1μg/ml Dox did not significantly increase GFP signal **(Figure 2C)**.

However, we were able to conclude that slow-growing or growth-arrested cells had higher levels

of revTetR mCherry signal using ATc and NO treatments.

Another consideration for this study was the ability of tetracyclines to diffuse evenly

across microcolonies, as high levels of doxycycline were shown to accumulate at the periphery

of bacterial microcolonies (22). We were able to identify a tetracycline concentration that

resulted in even diffusion across microcolonies **(Figure 5)**, but also pursued a dual reporter

strategy to simultaneously detect tetracycline exposure and fluorescence dilution. We chose to

generate this construct using a TetON *yfp* construct (*tetR::P_{tetA}::yfp*) fused to a mutated

P_{tetA}::mCherry. Specifically, we mutated the two *tet* operator sites within *P_{tetA}* at the M3 or M6

positions to reverse TetR binding to these sites (52, 53). Although these mutations were

predicted to reverse TetR binding, unfortunately we found TetR could no longer bind the M3 or

M6 mutated *P_{tetA}*, which instead resulted in constitutive mCherry expression. As the low

concentrations of tetracyclines appeared to diffuse evenly in host tissues, we didn't pursue other

routes of generating a dual system.

It is also possible that NO stress is such a transient occurrence within host tissues, that

this doesn't have prolonged effects on cell division rates, thus making it very difficult to capture

growth-arrested cells. This was recently shown with a slow-folding fluorescent protein

derivative, DsRed$_{42}$, where NO stress was sufficient to promote fluorescence accumulation, but

there was limited overlap in slow-growing and NO-stressed populations within host tissues (16).

Destabilized and stable versions of fluorescent proteins were used here to show that NO stress

was transient within host tissues, and that few bacteria were actively responding and detoxifying

NO at any given timepoint (16). However, the fact that Hmp^{+} bacteria preferentially survive

doxycycline treatment suggests that, while NO exposure may be transient, there may also be a

long-term impact and sustained changes within cells exposed to high levels of NO (22).

Although *Yersinia* repairs itself in response to this stress (49), there may be long-term damage,

or even genetic changes that occur in response to NO. It would be very interesting to determine

if genetic changes occur within microcolonies over the course of infection, especially given these

bacterial populations are clonal, founded by single bacterium, and presumed to be genetically

homogenous (17).

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions. *Y. pseudotuberculosis* IP2666 was used as the wild-type strain throughout. For *in vitro* experiments, overnight cultures were grown in LB at 26°C with rotation for 16 hours. Exponential phase cultures were sub-cultured at 1:100 into LB, and grown at 37°C with rotation for 2 hours in preparation for experiments. For all mouse infections, bacterial inocula were grown overnight (16 hours) to post-exponential phase in 2xYT broth (LB, with 2x concentration of yeast extract and tryptone) at 26°C with rotation.

revTetR reporter construction. The revTetR reporter was generated by introducing specific point mutations into our previously described *tetR::P$_{tetA}$::mCherry* reporter construct in pMMB67EH (22). *tetR* was mutated by site-directed mutagenesis at two sites, E15A (GAG→GCU) and L17G (CUU→GGU), using 4 point mutations to generate the revTetR construct. An additional L25V mutation could not be successfully introduced. Primers were designed that contained the desired mutations, and were used to PCR amplify around the pMMB67EH plasmid using PfuUltra II high-fidelity polymerase (Agilent). PCR products were purified (QIAGEN) and transformed into electrocompetent DH5αλpir *E. coli.* Colonies were screened based on mCherry fluorescence in the absence of tetracyclines, plasmids were then isolated using the PureYield Plasmid Midiprep kit (Promega), and sequenced to confirm mutations. *revtetR::P$_{tetA}$::mCherry* plasmids were transformed into *Y. pseudotuberculosis* strains as previously described (54), to generate the following reporter strains: *revtetR::P$_{tetA}$::mCherry P$_{dps}$::gfp-ssrA*, *revtetR::P$_{tetA}$::mCherry gfp$^+$*, and *revtetR::P$_{tetA}$::mCherry P$_{hmp::}$gfp*. *P$_{dps}$::gfp-ssrA, gfp$^+$*, and *P$_{hmp::}$gfp* reporter constructs have been previously described (16), and are all inserted into the pACYC184 plasmid.

In vitro reporter characterization. Once subcultures reached exponential phase (2h growth), the

indicated doses of doxycycline (Dox; 0.01 µg/mL, 0.1 µg/mL, or 1 µg/mL) or

anhydrotetracycline (ATc; 0.1 µg/mL, 1 µg/mL, or 2 µg/mL) were added to samples to promote

mCherry dilution, with one sample left untreated as a control. Samples were incubated at 37°C

with rotation throughout the time course, and time points of 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, and 4h post-antibiotic

treatment were taken. For each time point, 600 µL from each sample was pelleted and

resuspended in 600 µL of PBS. 200 µL of each sample was then pipetted into 3 wells of a black

walled, clear bottom 96 well plate, and analyzed using a Synergy Microplate Reader (Biotek

Instruments) for OD_{600} and fluorescence signal measurements. Optical density (A_{600nm}) was used

to approximate cell number, and mCherry fluorescence was detected with 560nm

excitation/610nm emission. All experiments were repeated in triplicate to generate a total of

three independent data sets, or nine replicates. In strains of Yptb also containing a GFP reporter,

GFP fluorescence was detected with 480nm excitation/520nm emission.

Induction of hmp using nitric oxide donor compound. Diluted subcultures were prepared as

described above, and after 1h subculture, were either treated with the nitric oxide donor

compound DETA-NONOate (2.5 mM dose), or were left untreated. After another hour of

incubation, each culture was evenly split into two separate tubes. Samples were then further

treated with either ATc (1 µg/mL dose) or left untreated, for a total of four different treatment

groups: +NO/+ATc, +NO/-ATc, -NO/+ATc, -NO/-ATc. OD and fluorescence of each sample

were measured every 2h as described above. Cells were also prepared for fluorescence

microscopy at every time point, as described below.

Fluorescence microscopy: bacteria. To visualize individual bacterial cells, 500 µL from each sample was pelleted, resuspended in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA), and incubated overnight at 4° C for fixation. Agarose pads were prepared by placing two pieces of tape approximately 1 cm apart on slides, pipetting 25 µL of 1% agarose in PBS, and placing a glass coverslip over the area. After leaving the agarose to solidify for 20 min, the coverslips and tape were peeled off and the agarose was trimmed to 1 cm^2 squares. PFA-fixed bacteria were pelleted, resuspended in 50 µL PBS, and 5 µL of sample was pipetted onto each agarose pad. Bacteria were imaged with the 63x oil immersion objective, using a Zeiss Axio Observer.Z1 (Zeiss) fluorescent microscope with Colibri.2 LED light source and an ORCA-R^2 digital CCD camera (Hamamatsu). Five images were taken of each sample in distinct fields of view, using DIC, GFP, and mCherry channels. Volocity image analysis software was used to specifically select individual bacterial cells and quantify the fluorescent signal associated with each cell.

Murine model of systemic infection. The following strains were used for mouse infections: *Y. pseudotuberculosis tetR::P$_{tetA}$::mCherry-ssrA gfp$^+$* (22)*, revtetR::P$_{tetA}$::mCherry gfp$^+$,* and *revtetR::P$_{tetA}$::mCherry P$_{hmp::}$gfp*. Six to eight week old female C57BL/6 mice were obtained from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME). All animal studies were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Johns Hopkins University. Mice were injected intravenously with 10^3 bacteria for all experiments. After 48 hours, mice were treated with the indicated dose (4mg/kg, equates to approximately 72µg in 100µl sterile PBS) of Dox or ATc. At the indicated timepoints, either 4h or 24h post-treatment, mice were euthanized via both lethal dose of isoflurane and cervical dislocation, and spleens were removed, halved, and processed for histology, or homogenized to quantify CFUs.

Histology. Harvested spleen halves were fixed in 4% PFA overnight at 4°C, and were embedded

using Sub Xero OCT compound (Mercedes Medical), frozen on dry ice, and stored at -80°C.

Spleens were cross-sectioned into 10 um sections using a cryostat microtome; one representative

section was imaged per mouse. To visualize reporters, sections were thawed in PBS, stained with

Hoechst at a 1:10,000 dilution, washed in PBS, and coverslips were mounted using ProLong

Gold (Life Technologies). Images were taken of all microcolonies within each section with a 63x

oil immersion objective, using a Zeiss Axio Observer.Z1 (Zeiss) fluorescent microscope with

Colibri.2 LED light source, an Apotome.2 (Zeiss) for optical sectioning, and an ORCA-R^2 digital

CCD camera (Hamamatsu).

Image analysis. For *in vitro* experiments, Volocity image analysis software was used to identify

single bacterial cells in each image taken, and to determine the total fluorescence intensity level

in each bacterium for both mCherry and GFP. For *in vivo* experiments, Fiji image analysis

software was used to quantify the signal intensity of each channel at the centroid and periphery

of each microcolony, to generate relative signal intensities of fluorescent reporters. This was

done by selecting a small representative area (0.01pixels^2) at the centroid and periphery of each

microcolony. Centroid bacteria were defined as being surrounded only by other bacteria.

Peripheral bacteria were defined as being in contact with host cells (**Figure 5D**). All data was

analyzed and graphed, and all statistical analyses performed, using GraphPad Prism 9.

Author Contributions. Conceptualization: BL, RKD, KMD; Formal Analysis: BL, KMD;

Funding Acquisition and Supervision: KMD; Investigation: BL, RKD, KMD; Methodology: BL,

KMD; Writing – Original Draft Preparation: BL, KMD; Writing – Review & Editing: BL, RKD,

KMD.

Acknowledgments. We thank the members of the Davis lab, who provided feedback and

suggestions during the final steps of manuscript preparation. The authors of this manuscript

declare no conflicts of interest. This work was supported by a NIAID K22 Career Transition

Award (1K22AI123465-01).

Figure Legends

Figure 1. The revTetR reporter can be used to visualize differential bacterial growth rates *in vitro* **with mCherry fluorescence dilution. (A)** The revTetR reporter was created by introducing two mutations (E15A and L17G) in the wild-type tetR sequence, which results in constitutive mCherry expression in the absence of tetracyclines (-Tet). Tetracycline addition (+Tet) promotes revTetR binding and repression of mCherry transcription. **(B)** After tetracycline addition (+Dox/ATc), fast-growing/dividing bacteria (top row, red circles) will partition mCherry equally into daughter cells with each cell division event, resulting in dilution. Slow-growing/non-dividing cells will retain a high level of mCherry (bottom row). Exponential phase cultures of the revTetR *dps* strain were treated with the indicated ATc doses at 0h (hours, h), aliquots were taken at the indicated timepoints to detect **(C)** optical density by absorbance (A_{600nm}), **(D)** mCh fluorescence (normalized by dividing total fluorescence by OD values, untreated value set to 100%), and **(E)** GFP fluorescence normalized to OD. **(C-E)**: Data shown from 9 replicates. **(F)** Cells treated with the indicated doses of ATc for 4h were fixed for fluorescence microscopy. Sum single cell mCherry signal is shown. **(G)** Sum single cell GFP signal is shown. **(H)** Correlation plot depicting single cell sum mCherry and sum GFP signals. **(F-H):** Data shown from three biological replicates. Mean and standard deviation are shown. Statistics: **(C-E)** Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test, comparisons made between 2µg/ml and other groups; **(F-G)** Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA with Dunn's post-test; **(H)** linear regression with R^2 value and slope of best-fit line, significantly non-zero slope indicates values are correlated. ****p<.0001, **p<.01, *p<.05, n.s.: not-significant.

Figure 2. Growth inhibition with doxycycline promotes mCherry fluorescence

accumulation. Exponential phase cultures of the revTetR *dps* strain were treated with the

indicated doses of doxycycline (Dox) at 0h (hours, h), aliquots were taken at the indicated

timepoints to detect **(A)** optical density by absorbance (A_{600nm}), **(B)** mCh fluorescence

(normalized by dividing total fluorescence by OD values, untreated value set to 100%), and **(C)**

GFP fluorescence normalized to OD. **(A-C)**: Data shown from 9 replicates. **(D)** Cells treated

with the indicated doses of Dox for 4h were fixed for fluorescence microscopy. Sum single cell

mCherry signal is shown. **(E)** Correlation plot depicting single cell sum mCherry and sum GFP

signals. **(D-E):** Data shown from three biological replicates. Mean and standard deviation are

shown. Statistics: **(A-C)** Two-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparison test, comparisons

shown between untreated (0μg/ml) and 1μg/ml Dox; **(D)** Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA with

Dunn's post-test; **(E)** linear regression with R^2 value and slope of best-fit line, significantly non-

zero slope indicates values are correlated. ****p<.0001, ***p<.001, **p<.01, *p<.05, n.s.: not-

significant.

Figure 3. Gentamicin treatment confirms mCherry fluorescence levels are heightened in

growth arrested cells. Overnight cultures of the revTetR *dps* strain were diluted 1:100 and

treated with the indicated doses of gentamicin at 0h (hours, h), aliquots were taken at the

indicated timepoints to detect **(A)** optical density by absorbance (A_{600nm}) and **(B)** mCherry (mCh)

fluorescence, normalized by dividing total fluorescence by OD values. Mean and standard

deviation are shown. **(C)** Exponential phase cultures of the revTetR *dps* strain were treated with

40μg/ml gentamicin (gent) 1h, and an aliquot of cells were fixed for fluorescence microscopy.

Sum single cell mCherry signal is shown. Median value/group is highlighted. **(D)** After 1h

gentamicin treatment, cultures of the revTetR *dps* strain were treated with 1µg/ml ATc at 0h, and

aliquots were taken at the indicated timepoints to detect optical density by absorbance (A_{600nm}).

Mean and standard deviation are shown. **(E)** Cells incubated +/-ATc for 4h were fixed for

fluorescence microscopy. Sum single cell mCherry signal is shown. Median value/group is

highlighted. Data shown from three biological replicates. Statistics: **(B)** Two-way ANOVA,

Tukey's multiple comparison test, comparisons shown between untreated (0µg/ml) and 40µg/ml

gent; **(C)** Mann-Whitney; **(E)** Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA with Dunn's post-test;

****$p<.0001$, ***$p<.001$, **$p<.01$, *$p<.05$.

Figure 4. Nitric oxide-stressed cells divide at a slower rate than unstressed cells. (A)

Schematic of experimental design. Overnight cultures of the revTetR *P_{hmp}::gfp* strain were

diluted 1:100 and grown for 1h prior to NO donor addition, then cultured one addition hour in

the presence (+NO) or absence (-NO) of NO. Cultures were then split, and either treated with

1µg/ml ATc (+ATc) or left untreated (-ATc). **(B)** Aliquots were taken at the indicated timepoints

to detect optical density by absorbance (A_{600nm}). Mean and standard deviation are shown. **(C)**

Aliquots of cells were fixed at the indicated timepoints after ATc addition for fluorescence

microscopy. Sum single cell mCherry signal is shown. Median value/group is highlighted. **(D)**

Correlation plots depicting single cell sum mCherry and mean GFP signals. Comparisons were

made for NO-treated cells (+NO) in the presence (+ATc) and absence (-ATc) of ATc, cells were

imaged at the indicated timepoints after ATc addition. Values were normalized to the max signal

intensity detected in samples, which is represented as a value of 1.0. All data shown represents

three biological replicates. Statistics: **(B)** Two-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparison test,

comparisons shown between untreated (-NO) and NO donor treated (+NO) samples; **(C)**

Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA with Dunn's post-test; **(D)** linear regression with R^2 value and

slope of best-fit line, significantly non-zero slope indicates values are correlated. ****$p<.0001$,

*$p<.05$, n.s.: not-significant.

Figure 5. Low doses of doxycycline and anhydrotetracycline diffuse evenly across

microcolonies and do not inhibit bacterial growth. C57BL/6 mice were infected intravenously

with the TetON *gfp⁺* strain and a single dose of Dox (4mg/kg) or ATc (4 mg/kg) was

administered intraperitoneally at 48h post-inoculation. Spleens were harvested at the indicated

timepoints after treatment. **(A)** Schematic of the TetON reporter: addition of tetracycline relieves

TetR repression and allows for *mCherry* transcription. **(B)** CFU/spleen quantification at the

indicated timepoints post-treatment. Dotted line: limit of detection, dots: individual mice. **(C)**

Reporter signal was detected with fluorescence microscopy and is shown as the ratio of TetON

(mCherry)/GFP signal at the centroid and periphery of microcolonies. Timepoints indicate time

post-treatment with ATc (left panel) or Dox (right panel). Dotted line: equivalent levels of

mCherry and GFP signal. Each dot: individual microcolony. Mean and standard deviation are

shown. **(D)** Representative images; cells outside the dotted line are defined as peripheral. Dataset

represents 4-5 mice/group, collected from two independent experiments; 2-8 microcolonies were

analyzed/spleen from a single cross-section of tissue. Statistics: **(B)** Kruskal-Wallis one-way

ANOVA with Dunn's post-test; **(C)** Wilcoxon matched-pairs; *$p<.05$, n.s.: not-significant.

Figure 6. revTetR signal appears to increase in both the centroid and periphery of

microcolonies. C57BL/6 mice were infected intravenously with the revTetR *gfp⁺* strain and a

single dose of ATc (4 mg/kg) was administered intraperitoneally at 48h post-inoculation. Spleens

were harvested at the indicated timepoints after treatment to quantify CFUs and prepare tissue

for fluorescence microscopy. **(A)** CFU/spleen quantification at the indicated timepoints post-

treatment. Dotted line: limit of detection, dots: individual mice, horizontal lines: median values.

(B) Reporter signal at 4h quantified using the ratio of revTetR (mCherry)/GFP signal at the

centroid and periphery of microcolonies. Dotted line: equivalent levels of mCherry and GFP

signal. Each dot: individual microcolony. Mean and standard deviation are shown. **(C)** Reporter

signal at 24h quantified using ratio of revTetR (mCherry)/GFP signal at the centroid and

periphery of microcolonies. Mean and standard deviation are shown. **(D)** Representative images.

Dataset represents 4-6 mice/group, collected from three independent experiments; 2-13

microcolonies were analyzed/spleen from a single cross-section of tissue. Statistics: **(A)** Kruskal-

Wallis one-way ANOVA with Dunn's post-test; **(B-C)** Wilcoxon matched-pairs; **p<.01,

*p<.05, n.s.: not-significant.

Figure 7. NO stress correlates with heightened mCherry signal accumulation and slowed

cell division rates. Mice were infected with the revTetR $P_{hmp}::gfp$ strain and a single dose of

ATc (4 mg/kg) was administered intraperitoneally at 48h post-inoculation. Spleens were

harvested at the indicated timepoints after treatment and prepared for fluorescence microscopy.

(A) CFU/spleen quantification. Dotted line: limit of detection, dots: individual mice. **(B)**

Reporter signal at 4h quantified using ratio of periphery/centroid signal for either mCherry

(mCh) or GFP. Dotted line: equivalent levels of mCherry and GFP signal. Each dot: individual

microcolony. **(C)** Reporter signal at 24h quantified using ratio of periphery/centroid signal for

either mCh or GFP. **(D-G)** Correlation plots depicting single cell sum revTetR (mCherry) and

Hmp (GFP) signals at the indicated timepoints, comparing +/- ATc treatment. Graphs represent

either centroid or peripheral values, dots: individual microcolonies. **(H)** Representative images

with the green and red channels shown alongside merged images for 24h post-treatment images.

Dataset represents 5-6 mice/group, collected from three independent experiments; 3-8

microcolonies were analyzed/spleen from a single cross-section of tissue. Median values shown

with horizontal lines. Statistics: (A-C) Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA with Dunn's post-test

(D-G) linear regression with R^2 value and slope of best-fit line, significantly non-zero slope

indicates values are correlated. **$p<.01$, n.s.: not-significant.

Supplemental Figure 1. Hmp reporter signal is heightened in bacteria treated with the NO

donor compound. Cultures of the revTetR P_{hmp}::*gfp* strain were cultured one hour in the

presence of NO (+NO treatment), then split, and either treated with 1μg/ml ATc (+ATc) or left

untreated (-ATc). Aliquots of cells were fixed at the indicated timepoints after ATc addition for

fluorescence microscopy. Single cell fold change in GFP signal with NO treatment is shown,

compared to untreated cells. Dotted line at a value of 1 is the mean GFP signal from individual

untreated cells. Median value/group is highlighted.

<u>**References**</u>

1.   Balaban N, Merrin J, Chait R, Kowalik L, Leibler S. 2004. Bacterial persistence as a
659   phenotypic switch. Science 305:1622-5.

660   2.   Goerke C, Wolz C. 2010. Adaptation of *Staphylococcus aureus* to the cystic fibrosis
661   lung. Int J Med Microbiol 300:520-5.

662   3.   Harms A, Maisonneuve E, Gerdes K. 2016. Mechanisms of bacterial persistence during
663   stress and antibiotic exposure. Science 354(6318):aaf4268.

4.   Lopatkin A, Stokes J, Zheng E, Yang J, Takahashi M, You L, Collins J. 2019. Bacterial
metabolic state more accurately predicts antibiotic lethality than growth rate. Nat
Microbiol 4:2109-2117.

5.   Arnoldini M, Vizcarra I, Peña-Miller R, Stocker N, Diard M, Vogel V, Beardmore R,
Hardt W, Ackermann M. 2014. Bistable expression of virulence genes in *Salmonella*
leads to formation of an antibiotic-tolerant subpopulation. PLoS Biol 12:e1001928.

6.   Nguyen D, Joshi-Datar A, Lepine F, Bauerle E, Olakanmi O, Beer K, McKay G, Siehnel
R, Schafhauser J, Wang Y, Britigan B, Singh P. 2011. Active starvation responses
mediate antibiotic tolerance in biofilms and nutrient-limited bacteria. Science 334:982-
986.

7.   Sánchez-Romero M, Casadesús J. 2014. Contribution of phenotypic heterogeneity to
adaptive antibiotic resistance. Proc Natl Acad Sci 111:355-60.

8.   Claudi B, Spröte P, Chirkova A, Personnic N, Zankl J, Schürmann N, Schmidt A,
Bumann D. 2014. Phenotypic variation of *Salmonella* in host tissues delays eradication
by antimicrobial chemotherapy. Cell 158:722-33.

9.   Kaiser P, Regoes R, Dolowschiak T, Wotzka S, Lengefeld J, Slack E, Grant A,
Ackermann M, Hardt W. 2014. Cecum lymph node dendritic cells harbor slow-growing
bacteria phenotypically tolerant to antibiotic treatment. PLoS Biol 12:e1003532.

10.   Manina G, Dhar N, McKinney J. 2015. Stress and host immunity amplify *Mycobacterium
tuberculosis* phenotypic heterogeneity and induce nongrowing metabolically active
forms. Cell Host Microbe 17:32-46.

11.   Perry W, Spraggins J, Sheldon J, Grunenwald C, Heinrichs D, Cassat J, Skaar E, Caprioli
R. 2019. *Staphylococcus aureus* exhibits heterogeneous siderophore production within
the vertebrate host. Proc Natl Acad Sci 116:21980-21982.

12.   Cunrath O, Bumann D. 2019. Host resistance factor SLC11A1 restricts *Salmonella*
growth through magnesium deprivation. Science 366:995-999.

13.   Rosenthal A, Qi Y, Hormoz S, Park J, Li S, Elowitz M. 2018. Metabolic interactions
between dynamic bacterial subpopulations. Elife 7:e33099.

14.   Stewart P, White B, Boegli L, Hamerly T, Williamson K, Franklin M, Bothner B, James
G, Fisher S, Vital-Lopez F, Wallqvist A. 2019. Conceptual model of biofilm antibiotic
tolerance that integrates phenomena of diffusion, metabolism, gene expression, and
physiology. J Bacteriol 201:e00307-19.

15.   Burton N, Schürmann N, Casse O, Steeb A, Claudi B, Zankl J, Schmidt A, Bumann D.
2014. Disparate impact of oxidative host defenses determines the fate of *Salmonella*
during systemic infection of mice. Cell Host Microbe 15:72-83.

16.   Patel P, O'Hara B, Aunins E, Davis K. 2021. Modifying TIMER to generate a slow-
folding DsRed derivative for optimal use in quickly-dividing bacteria. PLoS Pathog
17:e1009284.

17.   Davis K, Mohammadi S, Isberg R. 2015. Community behavior and spatial regulation
within a bacterial microcolony in deep tissue sites serves to protect against host attack.
Cell Host Microbe 17:21-31.

18.   Rowe S, Wagner N, Li L, Beam J, Wilkinson A, Radlinski L, Zhang Q, Miao E, Conlon
B. 2020. Reactive oxygen species induce antibiotic tolerance during systemic
Staphylococcus aureus infection. Nat Microbiol 5(2):282-290.

19.   Brauner A, Fridman O, Gefen O, Balaban N. 2016. Distinguishing between resistance,
tolerance and persistence to antibiotic treatment. Nat Rev Microbiol 14:320-30.

20.   Fisher R, Gollan B, Helaine S. 2017. Persistent bacterial infections and persister cells.
Nat Rev Microbiol 15:453-64.

21.   Stapels D, Hill P, Westermann A, Fisher R, Thurston T, Saliba A, Blommestein I, Vogel
J, Helaine S. 2018. *Salmonella* persisters undermine host immune defenses during
antibiotic treatment. Science 362:1156-1160.

22.   Raneses J, Ellison A, Liu B, Davis K. 2020. Subpopulations of stressed *Y.*
pseudotuberculosis preferentially survive doxycycline treatment within host tissues.
mBio 11:e00901-20.

23.   Henry T, Brynildsen M. 2016. Development of persister-FACSeq: a method to massively
parallelize quantification of persister physiology and its heterogeneity. Sci Rep 6:25100.

24. Luk C, Valenzuela C, Gil M, Swistak L, Bomme P, Chang Y, Mallet A, Enninga J. 2021.
Salmonella enters a dormant state within human epithelial cells for persistent infection.
PLoS Pathog 17(4):e1009550.

25. Schulte M, Olschewski K, Hensel M. 2021. Fluorescent protein-based reporters reveal
stress response of intracellular *Salmonella enterica* at level of single bacterial cells. Cell
Microbiol 23(3):e13293.

26. Zalis E, Nuxoll A, Manuse S, Clair G, Radlinski L, Conlon B, Adkins J, Lewis K. 2019.
Stochastic variation in expression of the tricarboxylic acid cycle produces persister cells.
MBio 10:e01930-19.

27. Helaine S, Thompson J, Watson K, Liu M, Boyle C, Holden D. 2010. Dynamics of
intracellular bacterial replication at the single cell level. Proc Natl Acad Sci 107:3746-51.

28. Roostalu J, Jöers A, Luidalepp H, Kaldalu N, Tenson T. 2008. Cell division in
Escherichia coli cultures monitored at single cell resolution. BMC Microbiol 8:68.

29. Helaine S, Holden D. 2013. Heterogeneity of intracellular replication of bacterial
pathogens. Curr Opin Microbiol 16:184-91.

30. Saliba A, Li L, Westermann A, Appenzeller S, Stapels D, Schulte L, Helaine S, Vogel J.
2016. Single-cell RNA-seq ties macrophage polarization to growth rate of intracellular
Salmonella. Nat Microbiol 2:16206.

31. Gonzalez R, Lane M, Wagner N, Weening E, Miller V. 2015. Dissemination of a highly
virulent pathogen: tracking the early events that define infection. PLoS Pathog
11:e1004587.

32. McVicker G, Prajsnar T, Williams A, Wagner N, Boots M, Renshaw S, Foster S. 2014.
Clonal expansion during *Staphylococcus aureus* infection dynamics reveals the effect of
antibiotic intervention. PLoS Pathog 10:e1003959.

33. Pollitt E, Szkuta P, Burns N, Foster S. 2018. *Staphylococcus aureus* infection dynamics.
PLoS Pathog 14(6):e1007112.

34. Capron J, Delamarre J, Delcenserie R, Gineston J, Dupas J, Lorriaux A. 1981. Liver
absess complicating *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* ileitis. Gastroenterology 81:150-152.

35.   Hubbert W, Petenyi C, Glasgow L, Uyeda C, Creighton S. 1971. *Yersinia*
pseudotuberculosis infection in the United States. Septicemia, appendicitis, and
mesenteric lymphadenitis. Am J Trop Med Hyg 20:679-684.

36.   Paff J, Triplett D, Saari T. 1976. Clinical and laboratory aspects of *Yersinia*
pseudotuberculosis infections, with a report of two cases. Am J Clin Pathol 66:101-110.

37.   Barnes P, Bergman M, Mecsas J, Isberg R. 2006. *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*
disseminates directly from a replicating bacterial pool in the intestine. J Exp Med
203:1591-1601.

38.   Peterson L, Philip N, DeLaney A, Wynosky-Dolfi M, Asklof K, Gray F, Choa R, Bjanes
E, Buza E, Hu B, Dillon C, Green D, Berger S, Gough P, Bertin J, Brodsky I. 2017.
RIPK1-dependent apoptosis bypasses pathogen blockage of innate signaling to promote
immune defense. J Exp Med 214:3171-3182.

39.   Zhang Y, Khairallah C, Sheridan B, van der Velden A, Bliska J. 2018. CCR2+
inflammatory monocytes are recruited to *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* pyogranulomas and
dictate adaptive responses at the expense of innate immunity during oral infection. Infect
Immun 86:e00782-17.

40.   Green E, Clark S, Crimmins G, Mack M, Kumamoto C, Mecsas J. 2016. Fis is essential
for *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* virulence and protects against reactive oxygen species
produced by phagocytic cells during infection. PLoS Pathog 12:e1005898.

41.   Resch M, Striegl H, Henssler E, Sevvana M, Egerer-Sieber C, Schiltz E, Hillen W,
Muller Y. 2008. A protein functional leap: how a single mutation reverses the function of
the transcription regulator TetR. Nucleic Acids Res 36:4390-401.

42.   Scholz O, Henssler E, Bail J, Schubert P, Bogdanska-Urbaniak J, Sopp S, Reich M,
Wisshak S, Köstner M, Bertram R, Hillen W. 2004. Activity reversal of Tet repressor
caused by single amino acid exchanges. Mol Microbiol 53:777-789.

43.   Almiron M, Link A, Furlong D, Kolter R. 1992. A novel DNA-binding protein with
regulatory and protective roles in starved *Escherichia coli*. Genes Dev 6:2646-54.

44.   Nair S, Finkel S. 2004. Dps protects cells against multiple stresses during stationary
phase. J Bacteriol 186:4192-8.

45.   Karas V, Westerlaken I, Meyer A. 2015. The DNA-Binding Protein from Starved Cells
(Dps) Utilizes Dual Functions To Defend Cells against Multiple Stresses. J Bacteriol
197:3206-15.

46.   Degenkolb J, Takahashi M, Ellestad G, Hillen W. 1991. Structural requirements of
tetracycline-Tet repressor interaction: determination of equilibrium binding constants for
tetracycline analogs with the Tet repressor. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 35:1591-5.

47.   Rasmussen B, Noller H, Daubresse G, Oliva B, Misulovin Z, Rothstein D, Ellestad G,
Gluzman Y, Tally F, Chopra I. 1991. Molecular basis of tetracycline action: identification
of analogs whose primary target is not the bacterial ribosome. Antimicrob Agents
Chemother 35:2306-11.

48.   Jones-Carson J, Yahashiri A, Kim J, Liu L, Fitzsimmons L, Weiss D, Vázquez-Torres A.
2020. Nitric oxide disrupts bacterial cytokinesis by poisoning purine metabolism. Sci
Adv 6:eaaz0260.

49.   Davis K, Krupp J, Clark S, Isberg R. 2019. Iron-sulfur cluster repair contributes
to *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* survival within deep tissues. Infect Immun 87(10)e00533-
19.

50.   Rang C, Galen J, Kaper J, Chao L. 2003. Fitness cost of the green fluorescent protein in
gastrointestinal bacteria. Can J Microbiol 49:531-7.

51.   Hecht A, Glasgow J, Jaschke P, Bawazer L, Munson M, Cochran J, Endy D, Salit M.
2017. Measurements of translation initiation from all 64 codons in *E. coli*. Nucleic Acids
Res 45:3615-3626.

52.   Bolintineanu D, Volzing K, Vivcharuk V, Sayyed-Ahmad A, Srivastava P, Kaznessis Y.
2014. Investigation of Changes in Tetracycline Repressor Binding upon Mutations in the
Tetracycline Operator. J Chem Eng Data 59:3167-3176.

53.   Sizemore C, Wissmann A, Gülland U, Hillen W. 1990. Quantitative analysis of Tn10 Tet
repressor binding to a complete set of *tet* operator mutants. Nucleic Acids Res 18:2875-
80.

54.   Davidson R, Davis K. 2020. *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*: Cultivation, Storage, and
Methods for Introducing DNA. Curr Protoc Microbiol 59(1):e122.
806

Figure 1. The revTetR reporter can be used to visualize differential bacterial growth rates *in vitro* with mCherry fluorescence dilution. (A) The revTetR reporter was created by introducing two mutations (E15A and L17G) in the wild-type tetR sequence, which results in constitutive mCherry expression in the absence of tetracyclines (-Tet). Tetracycline addition (+Tet) promotes revTetR binding and repression of mCherry transcription. **(B)** After tetracycline addition (+Dox/ATc), fast-growing/dividing bacteria (top row, red circles) will partition mCherry equally into daughter cells with each cell division event, resulting in dilution. Slow-growing/non-dividing cells will retain a high level of mCherry (bottom row). Exponential phase cultures of the revTetR *dps* strain were treated with the indicated ATc doses at 0h (hours, h), aliquots were taken at the indicated timepoints to detect **(C)** optical density by absorbance (A_{600nm}), **(D)** mCh fluorescence (normalized by dividing total fluorescence by OD values, untreated value set to 100%), and **(E)** GFP fluorescence normalized to OD. **(C-E)**: Data shown from 9 replicates. **(F)** Cells treated with the indicated doses of ATc for 4h were fixed for fluorescence microscopy. Sum single cell mCherry signal is shown. **(G)** Sum single cell GFP signal is shown. **(H)** Correlation plot depicting single cell sum mCherry and sum GFP signals. **(F-H):** Data shown from three biological replicates. Mean and standard deviation are shown. Statistics: **(C-E)** Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test, comparisons made between 2µg/ml and other groups; **(F-G)** Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA with Dunn's post-test; **(H)** linear regression with R^2 value and slope of best-fit line, significantly non-zero slope indicates values are correlated. ****$p<.0001$, **$p<.01$, *$p<.05$, n.s.: not-significant.

Figure 2. Growth inhibition with doxycycline promotes mCherry fluorescence accumulation. Exponential phase cultures of the revTetR *dps* strain were treated with the indicated doses of doxycycline (Dox) at 0h (hours, h), aliquots were taken at the indicated timepoints to detect **(A)** optical density by absorbance (A_{600nm}), **(B)** mCh fluorescence (normalized by dividing total fluorescence by OD values, untreated value set to 100%), and **(C)** GFP fluorescence normalized to OD. **(A-C)**: Data shown from 9 replicates. **(D)** Cells treated with the indicated doses of Dox for 4h were fixed for fluorescence microscopy. Sum single cell mCherry signal is shown. **(E)** Correlation plot depicting single cell sum mCherry and sum GFP signals. **(D-E):** Data shown from three biological replicates. Mean and standard deviation are shown. Statistics: **(A-C)** Two-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparison test, comparisons shown between untreated (0µg/ml) and 1µg/ml Dox; **(D)** Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA with Dunn's post-test; **(E)** linear regression with R^2 value and slope of best-fit line, significantly non-zero slope indicates values are correlated. ****$p<.0001$, ***$p<.001$, **$p<.01$, *$p<.05$, n.s.: not-significant.

Figure 3. Gentamicin treatment confirms mCherry fluorescence levels are heightened in growth arrested cells. Overnight cultures of the revTetR *dps* strain were diluted 1:100 and treated with the indicated doses of gentamicin at 0h (hours, h), aliquots were taken at the indicated timepoints to detect **(A)** optical density by absorbance (A_{600nm}) and **(B)** mCherry (mCh) fluorescence, normalized by dividing total fluorescence by OD values. Mean and standard deviation are shown. **(C)** Exponential phase cultures of the revTetR *dps* strain were treated with 40µg/ml gentamicin (gent) 1h, and an aliquot of cells were fixed for fluorescence microscopy. Sum single cell mCherry signal is shown. Median value/group is highlighted. **(D)** After 1h gentamicin treatment, cultures of the revTetR *dps* strain were treated with 1µg/ml ATc at 0h, and aliquots were taken at the indicated timepoints to detect optical density by absorbance (A_{600nm}). Mean and standard deviation are shown. **(E)** Cells incubated +/-ATc for 4h were fixed for fluorescence microscopy. Sum single cell mCherry signal is shown. Median value/group is highlighted. Data shown from three biological replicates. Statistics: **(B)** Two-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparison test, comparisons shown between untreated (0µg/ml) and 40µg/ml gent; **(C)** Mann-Whitney; **(E)** Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA with Dunn's post-test; ****$p<.0001$, ***$p<.001$, **$p<.01$, *$p<.05$.

Figure 4. Nitric oxide-stressed cells divide at a slower rate than unstressed cells. (A) Schematic of experimental design. Overnight cultures of the revTetR *P_{hmp}::gfp* strain were diluted 1:100 and grown for 1h prior to NO donor addition, then cultured one addition hour in the presence (+NO) or absence (-NO) of NO. Cultures were then split, and either treated with 1μg/ml ATc (+ATc) or left untreated (-ATc). **(B)** Aliquots were taken at the indicated timepoints to detect optical density by absorbance (A_{600nm}). Mean and standard deviation are shown. **(C)** Aliquots of cells were fixed at the indicated timepoints after ATc addition for fluorescence microscopy. Sum single cell mCherry signal is shown. Median value/group is highlighted. **(D)** Correlation plots depicting single cell sum mCherry and mean GFP signals. Comparisons were made for NO-treated cells (+NO) in the presence (+ATc) and absence (-ATc) of ATc, cells were imaged at the indicated timepoints after ATc addition. Values were normalized to the max signal intensity detected in samples, which is represented as a value of 1.0. All data shown represents three biological replicates. Statistics: **(B)** Two-way ANOVA, Tukey's multiple comparison test, comparisons shown between untreated (-NO) and NO donor treated (+NO) samples; **(C)** Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA with Dunn's post-test; **(D)** linear regression with R^2 value and slope of best-fit line, significantly non-zero slope indicates values are correlated. ****$p<.0001$, *$p<.05$, n.s.: not-significant.

Figure 5. Low doses of doxycycline and anhydrotetracycline diffuse evenly across microcolonies and do not inhibit bacterial growth. C57BL/6 mice were infected intravenously with the TetON *gfp+* strain and a single dose of Dox (4mg/kg) or ATc (4 mg/kg) was administered intraperitoneally at 48h post-inoculation. Spleens were harvested at the indicated timepoints after treatment. **(A)** Schematic of the TetON reporter: addition of tetracycline relieves TetR repression and allows for *mCherry* transcription. **(B)** CFU/spleen quantification at the indicated timepoints post-treatment. Dotted line: limit of detection, dots: individual mice. **(C)** Reporter signal was detected with fluorescence microscopy and is shown as the ratio of TetON (mCherry)/GFP signal at the centroid and periphery of microcolonies. Timepoints indicate time post-treatment with ATc (left panel) or Dox (right panel). Dotted line: equivalent levels of mCherry and GFP signal. Each dot: individual microcolony. Mean and standard deviation are shown. **(D)** Representative images; cells outside the dotted line are defined as peripheral. Dataset represents 4-5 mice/group, collected from two independent experiments; 2-8 microcolonies were analyzed/spleen from a single cross-section of tissue. Statistics: **(B)** Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA with Dunn's post-test; **(C)** Wilcoxon matched-pairs; *p<.05, n.s.: not-significant.

Figure 6. revTetR signal appears to increase in both the centroid and periphery of microcolonies. C57BL/6 mice were infected intravenously with the revTetR *gfp⁺* strain and a single dose of ATc (4 mg/kg) was administered intraperitoneally at 48h post-inoculation. Spleens were harvested at the indicated timepoints after treatment to quantify CFUs and prepare tissue for fluorescence microscopy. **(A)** CFU/spleen quantification at the indicated timepoints post-treatment. Dotted line: limit of detection, dots: individual mice, horizontal lines: median values. **(B)** Reporter signal at 4h quantified using the ratio of revTetR (mCherry)/GFP signal at the centroid and periphery of microcolonies. Dotted line: equivalent levels of mCherry and GFP signal. Each dot: individual microcolony. Mean and standard deviation are shown. **(C)** Reporter signal at 24h quantified using ratio of revTetR (mCherry)/GFP signal at the centroid and periphery of microcolonies. Mean and standard deviation are shown. **(D)** Representative images. Dataset represents 4-6 mice/group, collected from three independent experiments; 2-13 microcolonies were analyzed/spleen from a single cross-section of tissue. Statistics: **(A)** Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA with Dunn's post-test; **(B-C)** Wilcoxon matched-pairs; **p<.01, *p<.05, n.s.: not-significant.

Figure 7. NO stress correlates with heightened mCherry signal accumulation and slowed cell division rates. Mice were infected with the revTetR P*hmp*::*gfp* strain and a single dose of ATc (4 mg/kg) was administered intraperitoneally at 48h post-inoculation. Spleens were harvested at the indicated timepoints after treatment and prepared for fluorescence microscopy. **(A)** CFU/spleen quantification. Dotted line: limit of detection, dots: individual mice. **(B)** Reporter signal at 4h quantified using ratio of periphery/centroid signal for either mCherry (mCh) or GFP. Dotted line: equivalent levels of mCherry and GFP signal. Each dot: individual microcolony. **(C)** Reporter signal at 24h quantified using ratio of periphery/centroid signal for either mCh or GFP. **(D-G)** Correlation plots depicting single cell sum revTetR (mCherry) and Hmp (GFP) signals at the indicated timepoints, comparing +/- ATc treatment. Graphs represent either centroid or peripheral values, dots: individual microcolonies. **(H)** Representative images with the green and red channels shown alongside merged images for 24h post-treatment images. Dataset represents 5-6 mice/group, collected from three independent experiments; 3-8 microcolonies were analyzed/spleen from a single cross-section of tissue. Median values shown with horizontal lines. Statistics: (A-C) Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA with Dunn's post-test (D-G) linear regression with R^2 value and slope of best-fit line, significantly non-zero slope indicates values are correlated. **$p<.01$, n.s.: not-significant.

+NO Treatment

Supplemental Figure 1. Hmp reporter signal is heightened in bacteria treated with the NO donor compound. Cultures of the revTetR P_{hmp}::*gfp* strain were cultured one hour in the presence of NO (+NO treatment), then split, and either treated with 1µg/ml ATc (+ATc) or left untreated (-ATc). Aliquots of cells were fixed at the indicated timepoints after ATc addition for fluorescence microscopy. Single cell fold change in GFP signal with NO treatment is shown, compared to untreated cells. Dotted line at a value of 1 is the mean GFP signal from individual untreated cells. Median value/group is highlighted.