1

σ^{28}-dependent small RNA regulation of flagella biosynthesis

Sahar Melamed[1,2,*], Aixia Zhang[1], Michal Jarnik[1], Joshua Mills[1,3], Aviezer Silverman[2],

Hongen Zhang[4] and Gisela Storz[1,5,*]

[1]Division of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child

Health and Human Development, Bethesda, MD 20892-5430, USA.

[2]Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, Institute for Medical Research Israel-

Canada, Faculty of Medicine, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel.

[3]Present address: Max Planck Institute for Marine Microbiology, Bremen, Germany.

[4]Bioinformatics and Scientific Computing Core, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of

Child Health and Human Development, Bethesda, MD 20892-5430, USA.

[5]Lead Contact.

[*]For correspondence: sahar.melamed@mail.huji.ac.il (SM); storzg@mail.nih.gov (GS)

Abstract

Flagella are important for bacterial motility as well as for pathogenesis. Synthesis of these structures is energy intensive and, while extensive transcriptional regulation has been described, little is known about the posttranscriptional regulation. Small RNAs (sRNAs) are widespread posttranscriptional regulators, most base pairing with mRNAs to affect their stability and/or translation. Here we describe four UTR-derived sRNAs (UhpU, MotR, FliX and FlgO) whose expression is controlled by the flagella sigma factor σ^{28} (*fliA*) in *Escherichia coli*. Interestingly, the four sRNAs have varied effects on flagellin protein levels, flagella number and cell motility. UhpU, corresponding to the 3´ UTR of a metabolic gene, likely has hundreds of targets including a transcriptional regulator at the top flagella regulatory cascade connecting metabolism and flagella synthesis. Unlike most sRNAs, MotR and FliX base pair within the coding sequences of target mRNAs and act on ribosomal protein mRNAs connecting ribosome production and flagella synthesis. The study shows how sRNA-mediated regulation can overlay a complex network enabling nuanced control of flagella synthesis.

Keywords Hfq, post-transcriptional regulation, S10, RIL-seq, flagella, *fliA*

1   Introduction

Most bacteria are motile and can swim through liquid and semiliquid environments in large part

driven by the flagellum. The highly complex bacterial flagellum consists of three major domains:

an ion-driven motor, which can provide torque in either direction; a universal joint called the

hook-basal body, which transmits motor torque; and a 20 nm thick hollow filament tube

composed of the flagellin subunit, which acts as a propeller (reviewed in (Altegoer & Bange,

2015, Nakamura & Minamino, 2019)). The complete flagellum is comprised of many proteins,

and the flagellar regulon encompasses more than 50 genes. Since it is estimated that one

flagellum constitutes ~2% of the total protein in the cell, synthesis is a costly process requiring

extensive use of ribosomes (reviewed in (Soutourina & Bertin, 2003, Guttenplan & Kearns,

2013)).

12   To ensure that flagellar components are made in the order in which they are needed,

transcription of the genes in the regulon is activated in a sequential manner in *Escherichia coli*

(Kalir *et al.*, 2001) and *Salmonella enterica* (reviewed in (Chevance & Hughes, 2008)). The

genes can be divided into three groups based on their time of activation: early genes, middle

genes, and late genes (*Figure 1A*). The FlhDC transcription regulators, encoded by the two early

genes, activate the transcription of the middle genes (Class 2), which are required for the hook-

basal body. FlhDC also activates transcription of *fliA*, encoding sigma factor σ^{28} (Fitzgerald *et*

al., 2014). σ^{28} in turn activates transcription of the late genes responsible for completing the

flagellum and the chemotaxis system (Class 3). σ^{28} additionally increases expression of several

of the middle genes (Class 2/3) (Fitzgerald *et al.*, 2014). σ^{28} activity itself is negatively regulated

by the anti-sigma factor, FlgM, which is transported out of the cell when the hook-basal body

complex is complete (reviewed in (Smith & Hoover, 2009, Osterman *et al.*, 2015)). Given the

numerous components required at different times and in different stoichiometries during flagellum assembly, various factors can be rate limiting under specific conditions (reviewed in (Chevance & Hughes, 2008)). The dependence of flagella synthesis on FlhDC and σ^{28} generates a coherent feed-forward loop. In this loop, the first regulator (FlhDC) activates the second regulator (σ^{28}), and they both additively activate their target genes. This results in prolonged flagellar expression, protecting the flagella synthesis from a transient loss of input signal (Kalir *et al.*, 2005).

Given flagella are so costly to produce, synthesis is tightly regulated such that flagellar components are only made when motility is beneficial. Thus, flagellar synthesis is strongly impacted by environmental signals. For instance, flagellar gene expression is decreased in the presence of D-glucose, in high temperatures, high salt, and extreme pH, as well as the presence of DNA gyrase inhibitors (Shi *et al.*, 1993, Adler & Templeton, 1967). The flagellar genes are activated under oxygen-limited conditions (Landini & Zehnder, 2002) and at various stages of infection (reviewed in (Erhardt, 2016)). Consequently, transcription of many genes in the flagellar regulon is regulated in response to a range of environmental signals. For example, transcription of *flhDC* is controlled by at least 13 transcription factors, each of them active under different conditions (reviewed in (Prüß, 2017)).

While the activation of flagella synthesis has been examined in some detail, there has been less investigation into the termination of synthesis, which we presume is equally important for the conservation of resources. Additionally, while transcriptional regulation of flagella genes has been studied for many years, the post-transcriptional control of the regulon has only received limited attention. Small RNAs (sRNAs) that can originate from many different genetic loci (reviewed in (Adams & Storz, 2020)) are key post-transcriptional regulators in bacteria. They

usually regulate their targets in trans via limited base-pairing, affecting translation and/or mRNA

stability (reviewed in (Hör *et al.*, 2020)). Many characterized sRNAs are stabilized and their base

pairing with targets increased by RNA chaperones, of which the hexameric, ring-shaped Hfq

protein has been studied most extensively (reviewed in (Updegrove *et al.*, 2016, Holmqvist &

Vogel, 2018)). The only post-transcriptional control by base pairing sRNAs described for the *E.*

coli flagellar regulon thus far is negative regulation of *flhDC* by ArcZ, OmrA, OmrB, OxyS (De

Lay & Gottesman, 2012), and AsflhD (encoded antisense to *flhD*)(Lejars *et al.*, 2022), positive

regulation of the same mRNA by McaS (Thomason *et al.*, 2012), and negative regulation of *flgM*

by OmrA and OmrB (Romilly *et al.*, 2020). These sRNAs and a few other sRNAs also were

shown to affect motility and biofilm formation (Bak *et al.*, 2015).

In this study, we characterized four σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs, which were detected with

their targets on Hfq through RIL-seq methodology that captures the sRNA-target interactome

(Melamed *et al.*, 2016, Melamed *et al.*, 2020). These sRNAs originate from the untranslated

regions (UTRs) of mRNAs, three of which belong to the flagellar regulon. We identified a wide

range of targets for the sRNAs, including genes related to flagella and ribosome synthesis and

observed that the sRNAs act on some of these targets by unique modes of action. We also found

that three of these sRNAs regulate flagella number and bacterial motility, possibly imposing

temporal control on flagella synthesis and integrating metabolic signals into this complex

regulatory network.

Results

σ[28]-dependent sRNAs are expressed sequentially in log phase cells

Analysis of several different RNA-seq data sets suggested the expression of four σ[28]-dependent

sRNAs in *E. coli*. σ[28]-dependent expression of the sRNAs was detected using ChIP-seq and

RNA-seq in a comprehensive analysis of the σ[28] regulon (Fitzgerald *et al.*, 2014), while the

position and nature of the 5´ ends were revealed by a 5´ end mapping study (Thomason *et al.*,

2015). Regulatory roles were indicated by binding to other RNAs in RIL-seq data (Melamed *et

al.*, 2016, Melamed *et al.*, 2020, Bar *et al.*, 2021). The four sRNAs originate from the UTRs of

protein coding genes (*Figure 1B* and *Figure 1—figure supplement 1A*). UhpU corresponds to the

3´ UTR of *uhpT*, which encodes a hexose phosphate transporter (Marger & Saier, 1993). UhpU

is transcribed from its own promoter inside the coding sequence (CDS) of *uhpT* (Thomason *et

al.*, 2015). The other three σ[28]-dependent sRNAs correspond to the UTRs of the late genes in the

flagellar regulon. MotR originates from the 5´ UTR of *motA*, which encodes part of the flagellar

motor complex. Based on previous transcription start site analysis, the promoter for *motR* is

within the *flhC* CDS and is also the promoter of the downstream *motAB-cheAW* operon

(Thomason *et al.*, 2015, Fitzgerald *et al.*, 2014). FliX originates from the 3´ UTR of *fliC,* which

encodes flagellin, the core component of the flagellar filament (reviewed in (Thomson *et al.*,

2018)). FlgO originates from the 3´ UTR of *flgL*, a gene that encodes a junction protein shown to

connect the flagella to the hook in *S. enterica* (Ikeda *et al.*, 1987). The observation that FliX and

FlgO levels decline substantially in RNA-seq libraries treated with 5´ phosphate-dependent

exonuclease to deplete processed RNAs (Thomason *et al.*, 2015), indicates that both of these

sRNAs are processed from their parental mRNAs.

Northern blot analysis confirmed σ^{28}-dependent synthesis of these sRNAs since

expression was significantly decreased in a mutant lacking σ^{28} ($\Delta fliA$) (*Figure 1C*). Given that

most σ^{28}-dependent mRNAs encode flagella components, the regulation suggests the sRNAs

impact flagella synthesis. The northern analysis also showed that the levels of the four σ^{28}-

dependent sRNAs are highest in the transition from mid-exponential to stationary phase growth,

though there are some differences with UhpU and MotR peaking before FliX and FlgO (*Figure*

1C and *Figure 1—figure supplement 2*). Since flagellar components are expressed at precise

times, the difference in the UhpU and MotR peak times compared to the FliX and FlgO peak

times hints at different roles for each of these sRNAs. For UhpU, two predominant bands were

observed, a long transcript and a shorter transcript processed from UhpU (denoted UhpU-S),

which corresponds to the higher peak in the sequencing data (*Figure 1B*). One prominent band

was detected for MotR and for FliX, while a doublet was observed for FlgO. Additional higher

bands detected by the MotR probe could be explained by RNA polymerase read through of the

MotR terminator into the downstream *motAB-cheAW* operon, while the additional bands seen for

FliX could be explained by alternative processing of the *fliC* mRNA.

We also examined the levels of the four sRNAs in minimal media (M63) supplemented

with different carbon sources (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1B*). Generally, the sRNAs levels in

minimal medium are comparable to or slightly higher to the levels in rich media (LB) except in

medium with glucose-6-phosphate (G6P), where the levels of UhpU-S are significantly elevated

while the levels of full-length UhpU transcript and the other σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs are

decreased. These observations suggest an alternative means for UhpU-S generation from the

uhpT mRNA known to be induced by G6P (Verhamme *et al.*, 2001). We also observe more FliX

products, particularly for cells grown in minimal medium with ribose or galactose.

The predicted structures for the four σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs (*Figure 1—figure supplement*

1C), with strong stem-loops at the 3´ ends, are consistent with the structures of known Hfq-

binding sRNAs and the association with Hfq observed in the RIL-seq data (Melamed *et al.*,

2016). To confirm Hfq binding, we probed RNA that co-immunoprecipitated with Hfq (*Figure*

1D). Strong enrichment and fewer background bands were observed for all of the sRNAs; ~260

nt and ~60 nt bands for UhpU and UhpU-S, respectively, a ~95 nt band for MotR, a ~200 nt

band for FliX and a doublet of ~75 nt bands for FlgO. For FliX, we also detected a second ~100

nt FliX band (denoted FliX-S; *Figure 1—figure supplement 1A*) that corresponds to the 3´ peak

in the sequencing data (*Figure 1B*) and includes one of the repetitive extragenic palindromic

(REP) sequences downstream of *fliC*.

σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs impact flagella number and bacterial motility

To begin to decipher the roles of the four σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs, we constructed plasmids for

overexpression of the sRNAs (*Figure 2—figure supplement 1A*). Given that it was challenging to

obtain constructs constitutively overexpressing UhpU because all clones had mutations, this

sRNA could only be expressed from a plasmid when controlled by an IPTG-inducible P_{lac}

promoter (Guo *et al.*, 2014), hinting at a critical UhpU role in *E. coli* vitality. The other sRNAs

were expressed from a plasmid with the constitutive $P_{LlacO-1}$ promoter (Urban & Vogel, 2007).

We also obtained a plasmid constitutively overexpressing MotR*, a more abundant derivative of

MotR identified by chance (TGC at positions 6-8 mutated to GAG; *Figure 1—figure supplement*

1A).

We tested the effects of overexpressing the sRNAs on flagellar synthesis by determining

the number of flagella by electron microscopy (EM) and on bacterial motility by assaying the

spread of cells on 0.3% agar plates. The WT *E. coli* strain used throughout the paper is highly

motile due to an IS1 insertion in the *crl* gene (*crl*⁻), thus eliminating expression of a protein that

promotes σ^S binding to the RNA polymerase core enzyme (Typas *et al.*, 2007), and resulting in

higher expression of the flagellar regulatory cascade (Pesavento *et al.*, 2008). However, we also

assayed a less motile strain with the restored *crl*⁺ gene for UhpU and MotR effects on motility,

given that no effects were observed with the highly motile *crl*⁻ strain.

Intriguingly, overexpression of the individual sRNAs had different consequences. UhpU

overexpression caused a slight increase in flagella number (*Figure 2A*) and a marked increase in

motility (*Figure 2B*). Overexpression of MotR, particularly MotR*, led to a dramatic increase in

the flagella number (*Figure 2C* and *Figure 2—figure supplement 2A*) and MotR but not MotR*

had a slight effect on motility (*Figure 2D* and *Figure 2—figure supplement 2B*). It has been

suggested that the run/tumble behavior of bacteria, which affect their swimming, is only weakly

dependent on number of flagella (Mears *et al.*, 2014), possibly explaining these somewhat

contradictory effects on flagella number and motility. In contrast to UhpU and MotR, FliX

overexpression led to a reduction in the number of flagella (*Figure 2E*), an effect that was even

more pronounced in a strain overexpressing FliX-S (*Figure 2—figure supplement 2C*).

Overexpression of FliX-S but not FliX also reduced bacterial motility (*Figure 2F* and *Figure 2—*

figure supplement 2D). While FliX-S overexpression seems to lead to aflagellated bacteria, we

hypothesize that the sRNA is delaying but not eliminating flagella gene expression, explaining

why the bacteria are still moderately motile. Some motility phenotypes can be explained by

differences in growth rate, but we do not think that this is the case for MotR and FliX as we

observed only slight effects on growth upon MotR, MotR*, FliX and FliX-S overexpression

(*Figure 2—figure supplement 1B*). FlgO overexpression did not result in detectable changes in

our assays (*Figure 2G* and *Figure 2H*). Together, these results show that the σ^{28}-dependent

sRNAs have a range of effects on flagella number and motility, with UhpU and MotR, which are

expressed first, increasing both phenotypes and FliX, which is expressed later, decreasing both.

Given that MotR* and FliX-S have stronger effects for some phenotypes and provide a bigger

dynamic range, these derivatives were included in subsequent assays.

σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs have wide range of potential targets based on RIL-seq analysis

To understand the phenotypes associated with overexpression of the σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs

expression, we took advantage of the sRNA-target interactome data obtained by RIL-seq

(Melamed *et al.*, 2020, Melamed *et al.*, 2016). We analyzed the data (*Supplementary file 1*)

generated from 18 samples representing six different growth conditions, which included different

stages of bacterial growth in rich medium as well as growth in minimal medium and iron limiting

conditions. We selected targets for further characterization if they were detected for at least four

different conditions. The sRNAs differ significantly in their target sets (*Figure 3—figure*

supplement 1A). In general, UhpU is a hub with hundreds of RIL-seq targets. Its target set

comprises a wide range of genes, including multiple genes that have roles in flagella synthesis

and carbon metabolism. MotR and FliX were associated with fewer targets, but intriguingly, both

sets were enriched for genes encoding ribosomal proteins. We also noted that the *fliC* gene

encoding flagellin was present in the target sets for UhpU, MotR, and FliX. Although FlgO is

one of the most strongly enriched sRNAs upon Hfq purification (ranked fourth in Melamed et

al., 2020), it had the smallest set of targets. Almost none of the targets were found in more than

two conditions and only *gatC* was detected in four conditions, hinting FlgO might not act as a

conventional Hfq-dependent base-pairing sRNA. Unlike for most characterized sRNA targets,

the RIL-seq signal for the sRNA interactions with *fliC* and the ribosomal protein genes is internal

to the CDSs (*Supplementary file 1* and *Figure 3—figure supplement 1B*). Before turning our

attention to these unique targets, we first examined the UhpU interaction with a canonical target.

UhpU represses expression of the LrhA transcriptional repressor of *flhDC*

We were intrigued to find that the mRNA encoding the transcription factor LrhA, which

represses *flhDC* transcription, was among the top RIL-seq interactors for UhpU (*Supplementary*

file 1). The signals that activate this LysR-type transcription factor (Lehnen *et al.*, 2002), are not

known, but the *lrhA* mRNA has an unusually long 371 nt 5´ UTR (*Figure 3A*), a feature that has

been found to correlate with post-transcriptional regulation (reviewed in (Adams & Storz,

2020)). The predicted base pairing between UhpU and the *lrhA* 5´-UTR (*Figure 3B*) corresponds

to the seed sequence suggested for UhpU (Melamed *et al.*, 2016).

To test the effects of UhpU on this target, we fused the 5´ UTR of *lrhA*, which includes

the region of the RIL-seq *lrhA*-UhpU chimeras and the predicted base-pairing region, to a *lacZ*

reporter (Mandin & Gottesman, 2009). UhpU overexpression reduced expression of the

chromosomally-encoded P_{BAD}-*lrhA*-*lacZ* reporter (*Figure 3C*). A single nucleotide mutation in

the base pairing region of *uhpU* (*uhpU-M1*) eliminated UhpU repression of *lrhA*-*lacZ*, while a

complementary mutation introduced into the chromosomal *lrhA*-*lacZ* fusion (*lrhA-M1*) restored

the repression providing direct evidence for UhpU base pairing to *lrhA* leading to repression.

Down-regulation of LrhA by UhpU, which is expected to lead to increased FlhDC levels, is in

accord with the positive impact of UhpU on motility (*Figure 2*). To test this model, we

monitored the effect of UhpU on bacterial motility in a *lrhA* deletion strain compared to a WT

strain (*Figure 3D*). With UhpU overexpression, motility was increased in the WT background as

expected. In contrast, while the Δ*lrhA* strain was more motile, likely due to *flhDC* de-repression,

motility was unaltered by high levels of UhpU indicating that significant UhpU effects on

motility are mediated by LrhA.

Interestingly, the RIL-seq data also suggested that *lrhA* directly interacts with other

sRNAs such as ArcZ, RprA and McaS (*Figure 3A*). Regions of predicted base pairing overlap

known seed regions for these sRNAs (*Figure 3E*). In translational reporter assays using the *lrhA-*

lacZ fusion, both RprA and ArcZ reduced expression, while McaS, despite having the most

chimeras, had no effect (*Figure 3F*). Possibly the McaS-*lrhA* interaction has other regulatory

consequences such as McaS inhibition. Intriguingly, ArcZ, RprA and LrhA form a complex

regulatory network with the general stress response sigma factor σ^S encoded by *rpoS* , as

previous studies showed that LrhA represses the expression of *rprA* and *rpoS* (Peterson *et al.*,

2006), while ArcZ and RprA increase *rpoS* expression (reviewed in (Mika & Hengge, 2014)).

UhpU, MotR and FliX modulate flagellin levels

The high number of chimeras between UhpU, MotR or FliX with the *fliC* mRNA encoding

flagellin were striking, particularly between the 3´ end of *fliC* corresponding to FliX (blue) and

the 5´ end of *fliC* (red) (*Figure 4A*). As mentioned above, it was also noteworthy that most of the

chimeras were internal to the *fliC* CDS. When we examined the consequences of overexpressing

UhpU, MotR, MotR*, FliX or FliX-S on the levels of the flagellin protein, we observed

somewhat increased levels of flagellin, both as cytosolic monomers (*Figure 4B*) and de-

polymerized flagella (*Figure 4—figure supplement 1A*) with UhpU and MotR* overexpression

and reduced levels with FliX or FliX-S overexpression. These differences are reflected in

increased levels of the *fliC* mRNA with overexpression of UhpU, particularly in a *crl*[+]

background, or MotR or MotR*, particularly at OD_{600} ~0.2 (*Figure 4C* and *Figure 4—figure supplement 1B*). In contrast, *fliC* mRNA levels decreased with FliX and FliX-S overexpression (*Figure 4C* and *Figure 4—figure supplement 1B*). In general, the impacts of the sRNAs on flagellin protein and *fliC* mRNA levels are consistent with the increased flagella number and/or motility upon UhpU or MotR overexpression and decreased flagella number upon FliX overexpression. Comparatively, the effects of MotR and MotR* on flagella number and *fliC* mRNA levels were stronger than the effects on the flagellin protein; possibly increases in flagellin levels are masked by the abundance of the protein.

We predicted base pairing between the three sRNAs and sequences overlapping the RIL-seq peaks internal to the *fliC* CDS (*Figure 4D*) and encompassing seed sequences suggested for the sRNAs (Melamed *et al.*, 2016). To test for UhpU, MotR and FliX base pairing with these predicted sequences, we carried out *in vitro* footprinting with labeled fragments of the *fliC* mRNA (*Figure 4—figure supplement 2*). Upon cleavage with RNase III and lead, we observed changes in the regions predicted to be involved in base pairing (red brackets) that were dependent on the WT RNAs but not with derivatives carrying mutations in the regions predicted to be involved in base pairing. We also observed Hfq dependent changes (black bracket) in the region from ~+40 to +66 from the *fliC* AUG, which is enriched for ARN motif sequences (AAA, AAT, AAC, AAG, AAC), known to be important for mRNA binding to the distal face of Hfq binding (reviewed in (Updegrove *et al.*, 2016)). Additionally, we noted that both MotR and the MotR-M1 mutant RNAs led to additional protection at another region (thin red bracket) and increased cleavage (red asterisks) at other positions and suggesting a second region of MotR base pairing with *fliC* as well as MotR-induced structure changes. In general, the differences in cleavage by RNase III (preference for double-stranded RNA) and lead (preference for single-

stranded RNA), indicate the *fliC* sequence from ~+40 to ~+170 is more structured than the

surrounding regions. These differences in secondary structure could be the reasons for positive

regulation by UhpU and MotR and negative regulation by FliX but also complicate analysis

using standard reporter fusions with compensatory mutations.

MotR and FliX modulate the S10 operon

Given that genes encoding ribosomal proteins were among the top MotR and FliX targets in the

RIL-seq data sets and were not detected for many other sRNAs (*Supplementary file 1* and *Figure*

3—figure supplement 1B), we investigated MotR and FliX regulation of these genes. Several of

the top interactions for MotR and FliX in the RIL-seq data mapped to the essential S10 operon,

again within the CDSs (*Figure 5*, *Supplementary file 1*, and *Figure 3—figure supplement 1B*).

The co-transcriptional regulation of the S10 operon has been studied extensively (Zengel &

Lindahl, 1996, Zengel *et al.*, 2002, Zengel & Lindahl, 1992). The leader sequence upstream of

the first gene *rpsJ* encoding S10 is bound by the ribosomal protein L4, encoded by the third gene

in the operon (*rplD*), causing transcription termination, thus modulating the levels of all the

ribosomal proteins in the operon in response to the levels of unincorporated L4. L4 binding also

has been shown to specifically inhibit translation of *rpsJ*, an effect that can be genetically

distinguished from the L4 effect on transcription termination (Freedman *et al.*, 1987).

19   To test for MotR regulation of *rpsJ* expression, we fused the S10 leader and part of the

rpsJ CDS, including the position of the *rpsJ*-MotR chimeras (*Figure 5A*) and the region of

predicted base-pairing (*Figure 5B*), to a GFP reporter (Corcoran *et al.*, 2012, Urban & Vogel,

2009). MotR overexpression elevated the expression of the *rpsJ-gfp* fusion, and MotR* enhanced

this effect (*Figure 5C*). Positive regulation of S10 expression by MotR and MotR* was similarly

observed by immunoblot analysis of an N-terminal FLAG-tagged S10 protein encoded along

with the S10 leader behind the heterologous promoter on a pBAD plasmid (*Figure 5D*). A

mutation in the MotR seed sequence (MotR-M1 and MotR*-M1, *Figure 1—figure supplement*

1A) eliminated the up-regulation of the FLAG-tagged S10 (*Figure 5D*) and the MotR effect on

flagella number (*Figure 5—figure supplement 1A*). To examine base pairing between MotR and

the sequences internal to the *rpsJ* CDS, we carried out *in vitro* structure probing in the presence

of Hfq (*Figure 5E* and *Figure 5—figure supplement 2A* and *2B*). The RNase T1, RNase III and

lead cleavage assays supported the position of the predicted base-pairing between MotR and *rpsJ*

mRNA, indicating MotR binds to *rpsJ* at ~+150 nt in its CDS. Again, we detected Hfq binding

(black bracket), here to the attenuator hairpin in the S10 leader sequence (*Figure 5—figure*

supplement 2B), which has three ARN sequences (AGG, AGU and AAC). The M1 mutation

eliminated binding in the predicted region of pairing but a complementary mutation in the

corresponding region of *rpsJ* mRNA did not restore MotR binding (*Figure 5E*). We suggest that,

as for the MotR target region of *fliC*, MotR binds to more than one site, the MotR target region

of *rpsJ* is highly structured, and MotR and Hfq binding might all lead to conformational changes

that compound the interpretation of the mutations.

Nevertheless, to further define the determinants needed for MotR-mediated up regulation,

we generated a series of *rpsJ-gfp* fusions to include only the first seven amino acids of S10

removing the MotR base pairing site, to remove the S10 leader sequence, to remove stem D

required for L4-mediated regulation, or to remove the attenuator hairpin stem E (*Figure 5—*

figure supplement 1B). MotR-dependent regulation was eliminated for each of these constructs

suggesting that S10 leader sequence is needed along with the MotR binding site internal to the

rpsJ CDS for MotR-dependent regulation (*Figure 5—figure supplement 1B*). To test if Hfq

binding to *rpsJ* is critical for the activation, we repeated the GFP reporter assay in an Hfq^{Y25D}

mutant defective for binding ARN sequences on the distal face of the protein (Zhang *et al.*,

2013a). Supporting a role for Hfq, MotR, which is present at the same levels in the Hfq WT and

Hfq^{Y25D} mutant strains, no longer upregulates *rpsJ-gfp* in the distal face mutant background

(*Figure 5—figure supplement 1C*). Collectively, our results are consistent with MotR base

pairing internal to *rpsJ* affecting Hfq binding to the S10 leader sequence, which in turn results in

increased *rpsJ* translation.

Based on the RIL-seq data, FliX interacts with multiple regions in the S10 operon

mRNA, all internal to CDSs (*Figure 5F*). The predicted base-pairing regions (*Figure 5G*) align

with the highest peaks of chimeras in the RIL-seq data and overlap with the seed sequence

suggested for FliX (Melamed *et al.*, 2016). We tested the effects of FliX on expression from this

operon by constructing *gfp* fusions to regions of *rplC*, *rpsQ*, and *rpsS-rplV*. In all cases,

overproduction of FliX or FliX-S led to a reduction in the expression of these fusions (*Figure*

5H). To test for a direct interaction between FliX-S and the *rpsS* mRNA, we again carried out

structure probing (*Figure 5I* and *Figure 5—figure supplement 2C and 2D*). The regions that were

changed in *rpsS* and FliX-S in the *in vitro* footprinting aligned with the predicted binding region

between the two RNAs. Introduction of the M1 mutation (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1A*)

eliminated FliX-S binding to the *rpsS* mRNA while introduction of a complementary mutation in

the *rpsS* mRNA restored FliX-S-M1 binding (*Figure 5I*). We hypothesize that FliX

downregulation of the *rplC*, *rpsQ*, and *rpsS-rplV* fusions as well as the *fliC* mRNA is due to

sRNA-directed mRNA degradation. Further experiments are needed to test this model, but *in*

vivo primer extension assays carried out for RNA isolated from in mid-log phase cells (OD$_{600}$

~0.6) showed an increase in 5´ ends in proximity to the binding site on the *rpsS* mRNA in FliX

or FliX-S overexpressing strains (*Figure 5—figure supplement 3*).

Increased S10 levels correlate with increased readthrough of the flagellar operons

We wondered how the positive regulation of *rpsJ* by MotR might impact flagella synthesis. The

S10 protein encoded by *rpsJ* has two roles in the cell. It is incorporated into the 30S ribosome

subunit but also forms a transcription anti-termination complex with NusB (Lüttgen *et al.*, 2002,

Luo *et al.*, 2008, Baniulyte *et al.*, 2017). We evaluated the importance of each of the two S10

roles to flagella number by EM. First, we overexpressed a S10 mutant (S10Δloop) that is missing

the ribosome binding loop but is still active in anti-termination (Luo *et al.*, 2008) from an

inducible plasmid and analyzed the number of flagella per cell. Cells carrying the S10Δloop

plasmid had higher number of flagella like cells overexpressing MotR* (*Figure 6A*). We noted

that overexpression of wild type S10 from the plasmid used for overexpression of S10Δloop did

not lead to an increase in flagella number (*Figure 6A*), though presumably MotR is normally

increasing flagella number by impacting the levels of the WT protein. Possibly, only a specific

concentration of S10 relative to other ribosome proteins increases the S10 role as an anti-

terminator. Since *rpsJ* is essential and cannot be deleted, we also examined the effect of MotR*

overexpression in a Δ*nusB* strain that cannot form the S10-NusB anti-termination complex. In

this background, the stimulatory effect of MotR* on flagella number was eliminated (*Figure 6B*)

as is also observed for S10Δloop overexpression in the Δ*nusB* background (*Figure 6—figure*

supplement 1A). Based on these observations, we hypothesize that increased S10 levels upon

MotR overexpression leads to increased anti-termination of some of the long flagella operons.

To directly test this anti-termination hypothesis, we carried out RT-qPCR analysis in WT

and Δ*nusB* backgrounds to examine the effects of MotR and MotR* overexpression on genes in

the *motAB-cheAW* and *tar-tap-cheRBYZ* operons. For both operons, the mRNA levels of the

tested genes were increased in WT upon MotR and MotR* overexpression (*Figure 6C* and

Figure 6—figure supplement 1B). This increase was not observed for the non-flagellar control

gene *cadB*. While the levels of the flagellar mRNAs in Δ*nusB* background were lower than in the

WT, MotR and MotR* no longer induced these genes. Together these observations are consistent

with the proposal that increased levels of non-ribosome associated S10 leads to increased levels

of the S10-NusB anti-termination complex associated with RNA polymerase-σ^{28} and increased

anti-termination of the long operons encoding flagellar proteins. It is also conceivable that even a

slight upregulation of the S10 operon, as well as the S6 operon, given a significant number of

MotR-*rpsF* chimeras (*Supplementary file 1*), along with anti-termination of *rrn* operons, could

lead to more active ribosomes, which are needed for flagellar protein synthesis. On the other

hand, a negative effect of FliX on ribosomal components, which could reduce the number of

active ribosomes, would be consistent with the repressive role of this sRNA.

MotR and FliX have opposing effects on the expression of middle and late flagella genes

In a parallel line of experimentation, we examined the impact of overexpressing MotR* and FliX

on the transcriptome by RNA-seq analysis (*Supplementary file 2*). The transcripts whose levels

increased most with MotR* overexpression compared to the vector control (*Figure 7A*)

corresponded predominantly to late genes and, to a lesser extent, middle genes, of the flagellar

regulon. Of the 332 genes whose expression increased significantly (FDR = 0.05) by MotR*

overexpression, 40 are reduced significantly (FDR = 0.05) in a strain lacking σ^{28} (Δ*fliA*)

(Fitzgerald *et al.*, 2014) (*Figure 7—figure supplement 1A*). Additionally, the sequence motif

found for the promoters of the transcription units for which expression increased the most (FDR

= 0.05 and ≥2 fold) upon MotR* overproduction (*Figure 7—figure supplement 1B*) is nearly

identical to a σ^{28} recognition motif (Fitzgerald *et al.*, 2014, Shi *et al.*, 2020). In contrast,

transcripts for flagellar genes were reduced by FliX overexpression (*Figure 7B*). Specifically, 28

of 149 genes for which the expression is reduced significantly (FDR = 0.05) are middle or late

genes of the flagellar regulon (Fitzgerald *et al.*, 2014). We note that we did not observe

differential levels of the S10 operon transcript in the RNA-seq analysis upon FliX

overexpression but did detect decreased levels of some transcripts encoding ribosomal proteins

upon MotR* overexpression (*Figure 7B* and *Supplementary file 2*). However, the total RNA for

the RNA-seq experiments was isolated from cells early in growth (OD_{600} ~0.2).

The effects of MotR, MotR*, FliX and FliX-S on flagella gene expression were further

examined by monitoring fluorescence from *gfp* fused to the promoters of *flgB*, a representative

Class 2 promoter, and *fliL*, a representative Class 2/3 promoter (Zaslaver *et al.*, 2006). MotR and

MotR* overexpression increased the activity of the two promoters, while FliX and FliX-S

overexpression led to a reduction of their activity (*Figure 7C* and *7D*, *Figure 7—figure*

supplement 2A and *2B*). The levels of C-terminally SPA-tagged FlgJ, also encoded by a Class 2

gene, similarly increased across growth upon MotR* overexpression, particularly early in

growth, and decreased upon FliX-S overexpression (*Figure 7—figure supplement 2C* and *2D*).

The data suggest that in addition to modulating anti-termination and/or ribosomal protein

synthesis (*Figure 6*), MotR and FliX more broadly effect transcription initiation at flagellar

genes though we do not know the mechanism. In general, these results are coherent with a

positive effect of MotR on flagella synthesis and a negative effect of FliX.

MotR increases and FliX decreases flagella synthesis

To examine the impact of chromosomally-encoded MotR and FliX on flagella synthesis and the

flagellar regulon, we introduced the three-nucleotide M1 substitutions in the seed sequences of

motR and *fliX* (MotR-M1 and FliX-M1, *Figure 1—figure supplement 1A*) at their endogenous

chromosomal positions, avoiding the disruption of the nearby genes. MotR-M1 RNA levels were

comparable to WT MotR levels (*Figure 8—figure supplement 1A*). The prominent ~200 nt FliX

band was reduced for FliX-M1, while other FliX processing products were affected less (*Figure

8—figure supplement 1B*).

 We first examined the flagella number and motility for these strains. The *motR-M1*

chromosomal mutation was associated with a moderate reduction in flagella number at two time

points (OD_{600} ~ 0.6 and 2.0) (*Figure 8A*), while slightly higher numbers of flagella were

observed for the *fliX-M1* strain at the later time point (OD_{600} ~ 2.0) (*Figure 8B*). In motility

assays carried out as in *Figure 2*, we found reduced motility of the *motR-M1* strain compared to

WT but no change was observed for the *fliX-M1* strain (*Figure 8—figure supplement 1C* and

1D)). We also compared the motility of the *motR-M1* and *fliX-M1* strains to WT strains by

mixing strains transformed with plasmids expressing either GFP or mCherry. WT strains

expressing GFP were mixed with *motR-M1* or *fliX-M1* cells expressing mCherry or vice versa,

and their motility was compared on 0.3% agar. For both combinations of WT and *motR-M1,* the

fluorescent signal produced by the WT strain was more extensive than the fluorescent signal

generated by *motR-M1* mutant outside of the site of inoculation (*Figure 8C*). Thus, in two

independent assays, the *motR-M1* mutant exhibits reduced motility compared to the WT strain,

while no significant difference was observed between WT and *fliX-M1* (*Figure 8D*).

We also assessed the effects of the chromosomal mutations on the *flgB-gfp* and *fliL-gfp*

fusions (Figure 8) as well as on FlgJ-SPA and *fliC* mRNA levels (*Figure 8—figure supplement*

1). The *motR-M1* mutant showed reduced activity of the two promoters (*Figure 8E*), in line with

the increased activity of the promoters that was observed upon MotR overexpression (*Figure*

7C). The *fliX-M1* mutant showed similar activity of the two promoters in comparison to WT

(*Figure 8F*). In western and northern analyses of the *motR-M1* strain compared to its parental

WT, a delayed initiation of FlgJ-SPA and *fliC* mRNA synthesis in the mutant was observed,

respectively (*Figure 8—figure supplement 1E* and *1G*). In contrast, FlgJ-SPA and *fliC* mRNA

levels in the *fliX-M1* strain increased compared to the parental WT strain (*Figure 8—figure*

supplement 1F and *1H*).

While negative effects of the *motR-M1* mutation on flagella number, motility, and

flagellar gene expression were observed in all assays, positive effects of the *fliX-M1* mutation

were only detected for flagella number, FlgJ-SPA protein, and *fliC* mRNA levels. However, for

both sRNAs the mutation phenotype is opposite that of the overexpression phenotype.

Collectively these observations indicate that MotR, expressed earlier in growth, increases

flagella synthesis by positively regulating the middle and the late genes, while FliX, whose levels

peak later, decreases flagella synthesis by downregulating the flagellar regulon. Thus, MotR and

FliX, along with UhpU, add another layer of regulation to the flagellar regulon (*Figure 8G*).

Discussion

In this study, we describe four *E. coli* sRNAs whose expression is dependent on σ^{28}. We found three of these sRNAs affect flagella number and bacterial motility. Although previous studies showed that base pairing sRNAs act on the *flhDC* mRNA (Thomason *et al.*, 2012, De Lay & Gottesman, 2012), our results revealed that the effect of sRNAs on flagellar synthesis is far more pervasive. Intriguingly, two of the σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs show opposite effects. MotR, expressed earlier in growth, increases expression of flagellar and ribosomal proteins along with flagella number, while FliX, expressed later in growth, decreases expression of the proteins and flagella number. Thus, the two sRNAs, respectively, might be considered an accelerator and a decelerator for flagellar synthesis.

Non-canonical mechanisms of sRNA action

Most commonly, sRNAs base pair with the 5´ UTRs of mRNA targets or at the very beginning of the CDS, primarily affecting ribosome binding or mRNA stability. However, MotR and FliX bind in the middle or even close to the ends of their target CDSs in the *fliC* gene and S10 operon. For both *fliC* and the S10 operon, the consequences of MotR and FliX overexpression are different. MotR leads to higher levels of *fliC* and the S10 protein, whereas FliX leads to lower levels of *fliC* and three genes in the S10 operon. We suggest that the positive and negative regulatory effects of MotR and FliX, respectively, occur by the same mechanisms on the *fliC* and S10 transcripts, with MotR changing the conformation of the RNAs and FliX leading to increased cleavage. However, these suggested mechanisms needed to be investigated further in future experiments. It is also noteworthy that, based on RIL-seq data, more examples of this type of interaction remain to be characterized.

Given that our study made extensive use of RIL-seq data, it provides an opportunity to

evaluate these data. While RIL-seq provides a comprehensive map of RNA-RNA interactions

that take place on Hfq under a specific condition, some caution about the interpretation is

warranted as the interactions represent multiple types of relationships between two RNAs. As

was found by a recent study (Faigenbaum-Romm *et al.*, 2020), we suggest that if an interaction

is highly abundant and discovered under multiple conditions, the sRNA is more likely to have a

regulatory impact on the target mRNA though the mechanisms may be unknown. We noticed

that the spread of the RIL-seq signal varies significantly between targets. One possible

explanation for multiple peaks and a broad distribution is more than one base pairing site for the

sRNA on the mRNA, but this hypothesis requires further testing. We predict additional studies of

sRNA-target pairs with different types of RIL-seq signals will give further insights into the

mechanisms and outcomes of base pairing.

The most studied and conserved sRNA-binding protein in gram-negative bacteria is Hfq.

However, there are other sRNA-binding proteins (reviewed in (Melamed, 2020)). Among these

is ProQ, which was shown to have overlapping, complementary, and competing roles with Hfq

in *E. coli* (Melamed *et al.*, 2020). Interestingly, ProQ was found to affect motility and

chemotaxis in *S. enterica* (Westermann *et al.*, 2019). In the absence of ProQ, the target sets for

the σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs on Hfq were changed significantly in *E. coli* (Table S5 in (Melamed *et*

al., 2020)) suggesting that competition between Hfq and ProQ for binding RNAs likely also

influences this regulatory circuit. In this context, it is worth noting that FlgO, the fourth σ^{28}-

dependent sRNA, which originates from the 3´UTR of the *flgL* and strongly binds Hfq (Melamed

et al., 2020), does not have many targets. Possibly, FlgO has a role in titrating Hfq from other

sRNAs or proteins, or in the recruitment of other proteins to a complex with Hfq. Interestingly,

while the overall sequence of *flgO* is conserved in other bacterial species (*Figure 8—figure*

supplement 2), the nucleotides in one of the single stranded loops (*Figure 1—figure supplement*

1) differ in *S. typhimurium*, possibly suggesting distinct regulatory mechanisms in different

bacteria.

Conservation of σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs

We were surprised to find so many σ^{28}-dependent Hfq-binding sRNAs and wondered about their

phylogenic distribution. A previous study assessing the conservation of the *motR* and *uhpU*

promoters showed that, while the *motR* promoter is well conserved across proteobacteria species,

the *uhpU* promoter was not, implying different evolutionary pressures (Fitzgerald *et al.*, 2018).

Interestingly, however, a sRNA named RsaG, which originates from the 3´ UTR of *uhpT* and

also is induced by glucose-6-phosphate, was found in the Gram-positive bacterium,

Staphylococcus aureus (Bronesky *et al.*, 2019). Although there is no sequence similarity between

UhpU and RsaG, and RsaG has not been reported to regulate flagella synthesis, the independent

evolution of regulatory sRNAs at the 3´ UTRs of *uhpT* in two disparate bacterial species is

intriguing. RsaG was found to regulate redox homeostasis and to adjust metabolism to changing

environmental conditions (Desgranges *et al.*, 2022). While we focused on the UhpU role in the

flagellar regulon and in controlling motility, the sRNA has many targets that are part of different

metabolic pathways and redox homeostasis (*Supplementary file 1*), hinting at parallels between

the two sRNAs.

The σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs themselves are conserved among some of the

Enterobacteriaceae (*Figure 8—figure supplement 2*) and thus may play a role in pathogenicity.

During the preparation of this manuscript, two studies describing the application of RIL-seq to *S.*

enterica and Enteropathogenic *E. coli* Hfq were published (Mizrahi *et al.*, 2021, Matera *et al.*,

2022). The RIL-seq analyses were carried out for cells grown under conditions that do not favor

flagellar gene expression, but UhpU, MotR, FliX and FlgO were detected, confirming their

synthesis and association with Hfq in pathogenic bacteria. It is also likely that still other sRNA

regulators of the flagellar regulon remain to be characterized. In *S. enterica*, a leader RNA

originating from the *mgtCBR* virulence operon was shown to affect the synthesis of one of the

two flagellin genes that exist in this bacterium, impacting virulence and motility (Choi *et al.*,

2017). In neonatal meningitis-causing *E. coli*, a sRNA missing from the *E. coli* MG1655 strain

used in our study, was shown to reduce *fliC* mRNA levels (Sun *et al.*, 2022).

Roles of σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs

The UhpU RIL-seq target set includes many flagellar regulon genes and some transcription

regulators of the flagellar regulon, such as LrhA (Lehnen *et al.*, 2002), hinting at a mechanism by

which UhpU can affect flagella number and bacterial motility. However, since UhpU can also be

derived from the *uhpT* mRNA (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1B*) and is predicted to have many

targets that participate in carbon and nutrient metabolism (*Supplementary file 1*), we suggest this

sRNA may play a broader role in linking carbon metabolism with flagella synthesis and motility.

MotR and FliX each have more limited target sets in the RIL-seq data but may comprise

a unique regulatory toggle. While the transcription of the two sRNAs is dependent on the same

sigma factor and they base pair in the CDS of targets in the same operons, base pairing results in

opposing regulation. MotR, which is transcribed from within *flhC* at the top of the flagellar

regulon, reaches its highest levels earlier than FliX and increases the flagella synthesis. In

contrast, FliX, which is cleaved from the mRNA required to make the last protein needed to

complete the flagellum, reaches its highest levels later in growth and appears to decrease flagella

synthesis.

It is not yet clear how MotR and FliX base pairing with only a few targets can have such

pervasive effects on flagellar gene expression and flagella number, but we suggest multiple

mechanisms may be involved. One possibility is that the levels of flagellin encoded by *fliC*, up

and down regulated by MotR and FliX, respectively, could be part of an autoregulatory loop that

impacts the transcription of *flhDC* or other middle or late flagellar gene promoters. The increased

and decreased levels of ribosomal proteins brought about by MotR and FliX regulation of the

S10 operon also could impact the levels of available ribosomes, where even slight changes could

have consequences given the high ribosome cost of flagella synthesis. Finally, we hypothesize

that elevated levels of the S10 protein, due to the regulation by MotR, could, in conjunction with

NusB, lead to increased anti-termination of long flagellar operons.

Based on our hypothesis that the MotR-mediated increase in S10 levels leads to increased

anti-termination, we speculate that MotR activation of S10 expression could serve an

autoregulatory role. Early in growth, transcription initiating from the σ^{28}-dependent promoter in

flhC terminates at the 5´ of *motA* generating MotR. As MotR levels increase, there is a

concomitant increase in S10 levels, which could promote readthrough of the *motR* terminator

leading to decreased MotR levels and increased full-length *motRAB-cheAW* mRNA.

In general, the σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs add a new layer of regulation to the flagellar

regulon and reinforce the conclusion that flagella synthesis is exquisitely regulated. The regulon

will continue to serve as a model of a temporal and environmentally-controlled regulatory

network with contributions from both transcription factors and regulatory RNAs.

1 Materials and methods

3 Key resources table

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins				
TRIzol Reagent	TRIzol	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#15596018	
212-300 µm Glass Beads	212-300 µm glass beads	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#G1277	
Protein A-Sepharose CL-4B	Protein A-Sepharose beads	GE Healthcare	Cat#17-0780-01	
Ureagel-8	Ureagel-8	National Diagnostics	Cat#EC-838	
Ureagel Complete	Ureagel Complete	National Diagnostics	Cat#EC-841	
NuSieve 3:1 Agarose	NuSieve 3:1 Agarose	Lonza	Cat#50090	
37% Formaldehyde	Formaldehyde	Fisher Scientific	Cat#BP531-500	
RiboRuler High Range RNA Ladder	RiboRuler High Range RNA Ladder	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#SM1821	
RiboRuler Low Range RNA Ladder	RiboRuler Low Range RNA Ladder	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#SM1831	
Zeta-Probe Blotting Membrane	Zeta-Probe GT membrane	Bio-Rad	Cat#1620159	
ULTRAhyb-Oligo Hybridization Buffer	-	New England Biolabs	Cat#AM8663	
γ-^{32}P ATP	[γ-^{32}P] ATP	PerkinElmer	Cat#NEG035C010MC	
T4 Polynucleotide Kinase	T4 kinase	New England Biolabs	Cat#M0201L	
Illustra MicroSpin G-50 Columns	-	GE Healthcare	Cat#27533001	
Mini-PROTEAN TGX Gels	polyacrylamide SDS gel	Bio-Rad	Cat#456-1086	
Nitrocellulose Membrane	Nitrocellulose Membrane	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# LC2000	
RNase III Thermo	RNase III	Fisher Scientific	Cat#AM2290	
Critical Commercial Assays				
QuikChange Lightning Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit	QuikChange Lightning Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit	Agilent	Cat#210519	
Amersham ECL Western Blotting Detection Kit	ECL	GE Healthcare	Cat#RPN2108	
MEGAshortscript T7 High Yield Transcription Kit	MEGAshortscript T7 High Yield Transcription Kit	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#AM1354	
Ambion RNase T1 Kit	Ambion RNase T1 Kit	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#AM2283	

iTaq Univer SYBR Green mix	iTaq Univer SYBR Green mix	Bio-Rad	Cat#1725124	
Antibodies				
ANTI-FLAG M2-Peroxidase	ANTI-FLAG M2-Peroxidase	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#A8592	1:1,000
Rabbit polyclonal anti-flagellin	Anti-flagellin	Abcam	Cat# ab93713	1:5,000
Oligonucleotides		Supplementary file 3		
Bacterial Strains				
NM400 (MG1655, *mini-λ, cmR, ts*)	NM400	A gift from Nadim Majdalani	NM400	
SMS001 (MG1655 (*crl*-))	MG1655 (crl-)	lab stock	GSO983	
SMS046 (MG1655 (*crl*+))	MG1655 (crl+)	lab stock	GSO982	
JW0406 (BW25113 Δ*nusB::kan*)	-	(Baba *et al.*, 2006)	JW0406	
JW2284 (BW25113 Δ*lrhA::kan*)	-	(Baba *et al.*, 2006)	JW2284	
SMS078 (MC4100)	MC4100; *hfq*+	(Zhang *et al.*, 2013a)	GSO614	
SMP284 (AMD061 (MG1655 Δ*thyA* + pKD46))	AMD061	(Stringer *et al.*, 2012)	SMP284	
PM1205 (MG1655 *mal::lacIq, ΔaraBAD, lacI'::PBAD-cat-sacB:lacZ, mini λ tetR*)	PM1205	(Mandin & Gottesman, 2009)	PM1205	
SMS079 (MC4100 *hfq-Y25D*)	*hfqY25D*	this study	GSO1110	
SMS007 (NM400 Δ*fliA::kan*)	-	this study	GSO1111	
SMS012 (MG1655 (*crl*-) Δ*fliA::kan*)	*fliA::kan*	this study	GSO1068	
SMS031 (NM400 Δ*fliCX::kan*)	-	this study	GSO1071	
SMS033 (MG1655 (*crl*-) Δ*fliCX::kan*)	-	this study	GSO1072	
SMS035 (MG1655 (*crl*-) Δ*fliCX*)	Δ*fliC*	this study	GSO1073	
SM215 (NM400 *fliX-M1:kan*)	-	this study	GSO1074	
SMS249 (MG1655 (*crl*-) *fliX-M1::kan*)	-	this study	GSO1075	
SMS251 (MG1655 (*crl*-) *fliX-M1*)	*fliX-M1*	this study	GSO1076	
SMS044 (MG1655 (*crl*-) Δ*nusB::kan*)	Δ*nusB*	this study	GSO1077	
SMS216 (NM400 *flgJ-SPA::kan*)	-	this study	GSO1078	
SMS221 (MG1655 (*crl*-) *flgJ-SPA::kan*)	FlgJ-SPA	this study	GSO1080	
SMS229 (MG1655 (*crl*-) Δ*thyA flgJ-SPA::kan*)	-	this study	GSO1081	
SMS224 (MG1655 (*crl*-) Δ*thyA motR-M1 flgJ-SPA::kan*)	-	this study	GSO1082	
SMS230 (MG1655 (*crl*-) *fliX-M1 flgJ-SPA::kan*)	-	this study	GSO1083	
SMS202 (MG1655 (*crl*-) Δ*thyA* + pKD46)	-	this study	GSO1085	
SMS209 (MG1655 (*crl*-) *motR::thyA* + pKD46)	-	this study	GSO1086	
SMS210 (MG1655 (*crl*-) Δ*thyA motR-M1*)	*motR-M1*	this study	GSO1087	
SMS213 (MG1655 (*crl*-) Δ*thyA*)	*motR-M1* corresponding WT	this study	GSO1088	
AS003 (MG1655 (*crl*+) Δ*lrhA::kan*)	-	this study	GSO1178	
AS004 (MG1655 (*crl*+) Δ*lrhA*)	Δ*lrhA*	this study	GSO1179	
SMS021 (PM1205 *lrhA:lacZ*)	*lrhA-lacZ fusion*	this study	GSO1180	
SMS050 (PM1205 *lrhA.m1:lacZ*)	*lrhA-M1-lacZ*	this study	GSO1181	
Plasmids				

SMP269 (NEB5α + pKD4)	pKD4	(Datsenko & Wanner, 2000)		
SMP046 (TOP10 + pCP20)	pCP20	(Cherepanov & Wackernagel, 1995)		
SMP284 (MG1655 (*crl*⁻) + pKD46)	pKD46	(Datsenko & Wanner, 2000)		
SMP045 (NEB5α + pJL148)	pJL148	(Zeghouf *et al.*, 2004)		
SMP043 (MG1655 (*crl*⁻) + pBR*)	pBR*	(Guo *et al.*, 2014)		
SMP006 (MG1655 (*crl*⁻) + pZE12-luc)	pZE12-luc	(Lutz & Bujard, 1997)		
SMP004 (MG1655 (*crl*⁻) + pZE (pJV300))	pZE	(Urban & Vogel, 2007)		
SMP001 (MG1655 (*crl*⁻) + pXG0)	pXG0	(Urban & Vogel, 2007)		
SMP002 (MG1655 (*crl*⁻) + pXG10-SF)	pXG10-SF	(Corcoran *et al.*, 2012)		
SMP002 (MG1655 (*crl*⁻) pXG30-SF)	pXG30-SF	(Corcoran *et al.*, 2012)		
SMP322 (NEB5α + pCON1.proC-GFP)	pCON1.proC-GFP	(Cooper *et al.*, 2017)		
SMP323 (NEB5α + pCON1.proC-mCherry)	pCON1.proC-mCherry	(Cooper *et al.*, 2017)		
SMP135 (MG1655 (*crl*⁻) + pBAD24)	pBAD24	(Guzman *et al.*, 1995)		
SMP164 (N9739 + pBAD-nusE / pBAD-S10)	pBAD-S10	(Luo *et al.*, 2008)		
SMP165 (N9739 + pBAD-nusEΔloop / pBAD-S10Δloop)	pBAD-S10Δloop	(Luo *et al.*, 2008)		
SMP252 (NEB5α + pBAD33)	pBAD33	(Guzman *et al.*, 1995)		
AZ321 (JM109 + pBR)	pBR	(Guillier & Gottesman, 2006)		
AZ338 (JM109 + pBR-ArcZ)	pBR-ArcZ	(Mandin & Gottesman, 2010)		
AZ329 (JM109 + pBR-RprA)	pBR-RprA	(Mandin & Gottesman, 2010)		
AZ417 (Top10 + pBR-McaS)	pBR-McaS	(Thomason *et al.*, 2012)		
SMP334 (MG1655 + P*flgB*-GFP)	P*flgB*-GFP	(Zaslaver *et al.*, 2006)		
SMP340 (MG1655 + P*fliL*-GFP)	P*fliL*-GFP	(Zaslaver *et al.*, 2006)		
SMP044 (MG1655 (crl⁻) + pBR*-UhpU)	pBR*-UhpU	this study	GSO1089	
SMP021 (TOP10 +pZE-MotR)	pZE-MotR	this study	GSO1090	
SMP076 (MG1655 (crl-) + pZE-MotR*)	pZE-MotR*	this study	GSO1091	
SMP272 (NEB5α + pZE-MotR-M1)	pZE-MotR-M1	this study	GSO1092	
SMP273 (NEB5α + pZE-MotR*-M1)	pZE-MotR*-M1	this study	GSO1093	
SMP025 (TOP10 + pZE-FliX)	pZE-FliX	this study	GSO1094	
SMP194 (MG1655 (crl⁻) + pZE-FliX-S)	pZE-FliX-S	this study	GSO1095	
SMP026 (TOP10 + pZE-FlgO)	pZE-FlgO	this study	GSO1096	
SMP017 (Top10 + pXG10-SF-*rpsJ-73aa*)	pXG10-SF-*rpsJ-73aa*	this study	GSO1101	
SMP178 (NEB5α + pXG30-SF-*rplC*)	pXG30-SF-*rplC*	this study	GSO1102	
SMP167 (NEB5α + pXG10-SF-*rpsS-rplV*)	pXG10-SF-*rpsS-rplV*	this study	GSO1103	
SMP124 (Top10 + pXG30-SF-*rpsQ*)	pXG30-SF-*rpsQ*	this study	GSO1104	
SMP137 (NEB5α + pXG10-SF-*rpsJ-7aa*)	pXG10-SF-*rpsJ-7aa*	this study	GSO1105	
SMP152 (NEB5α + pXG10-SF-*rpsJΔleader*)	pXG10-SF-*rpsJΔleader*	this study	GSO1106	
SMP313 (NEB5α + pXG10-SF-*rpsJΔstemD*)	pXG10-SF-*rpsJΔstemD*	this study	GSO1107	
SMP317 (NEB5α + pXG10-SF-*rpsJΔstemE*)	pXG10-SF-*rpsJΔstemE*	this study	GSO1108	

SMP293 (NEB5α + pBAD33-3XFLAG-rpsJ)	pBAD33-3XFLAG-rpsJ	this study	GSO1109	
Software and Algorithms				
ImageJ software	ImageJ software	ImageJ	http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij	
EcoCyc version 20.0	EcoCyc	(Keseler *et al.*, 2013)		
R RCircos Package	R RCircos Package	(Zhang *et al.*, 2013b)	https://cloud.r-project.org/web/packages/RCircos/index.html	
Kutools	Kutools	ExtendOffice	https://www.extendoffice.com/product/kutools-for-excel.html	
CFX maestro analysis	CFX maestro analysis	Bio-Rad	Cat#12013758	

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

E. coli MG1655 (GSO982 or GSO983) or MC4100 (GSO614) strains served as the WT strains in

this study. All other bacterial strains studied here are listed in the *Key Resources Table* along

with plasmids and oligonucleotides used. *E. coli* K-12 MG1655 genome was used as template to

amplify mRNAs and sRNAs to be cloned into their respective sites. Unless indicated otherwise,

all strains were grown with shaking at 250 rpm at 37°C in LB rich medium. Ampicillin (100

µg/ml), chloramphenicol (25 µg/ml), kanamycin (30 µg/ml), arabinose (0.2%) and IPTG (1 mM)

were added where appropriate. Unless indicated otherwise, overnight cultures were diluted to an

$OD_{600} = 0.05$ and grown for the indicated times or to the desired optical densities.

Strain construction

13 *fliA::kan*, *fliCX::kan*, and *fliX-M1:kan* strains were constructed by amplifying the *kan^R* sequence

14 from pKD4 (Datsenko & Wanner, 2000) using oligonucleotides listed in *Supplementary file 3*

15 and recombining (Datsenko & Wanner, 2000) the product into the chromosome of strain NM400

16 (kind gift of Nadim Majdalani). *flgJ* was SPA-tagged by amplifying the SPA sequence adjacent

to *kan^R* sequence from pJL148 (Zeghouf *et al.*, 2004) using oligonucleotides listed in

Supplementary file 3 and recombining (Datsenko & Wanner, 2000) the product into the

chromosome of strain NM400. *motR-M1* strain was constructed using the scar-free system,

FRUIT (Stringer *et al.*, 2012) as previously described. Briefly, *thyA* was deleted from MG1655

(*crl^-*) (GSO983) strain by PCR amplification of Δ*thyA* from AMD061 (Stringer *et al.*, 2012)

followed by recombination using pKD46 (Datsenko & Wanner, 2000). Next, *thyA* was inserted

back to the genome next to the site of mutation and selection was made by growth on minimal

media lacking thymine. The *motR-M1* mutation was introduced while simultaneously removing

thyA. The selection for colonies missing *thyA* was carried out using minimal medium M9 plates

supplied with 0.4% glucose, 0.2% casamino acids, 20 µg/ml trimethoprim, and 100 µg/ml

thymine. *lrhA::kan*, and *nusB::kan* deletion strains were obtained from other groups (Baba *et al.*,

2006) as referenced in *Key Resources Table*. All deletions and mutations were confirmed by

sequencing and then transferred to new backgrounds by P1 transduction. Where indicted, *kan^R*

was removed from the chromosome using plasmid pCP20 (Cherepanov & Wackernagel, 1995).

Construction of strains carrying chromosomal *lacZ* fusions was carried out using

PM1205 as previously described (Mandin & Gottesman, 2009). In brief, the *lrhA* fragment was

amplified using KAPA Hifi (Fisher Scientific) using oligonucleotides SM079 and SM080

(*Supplementary file 3*) and transformed into PM1205 with a series of selective screens on

minimal media plates supplemented with sucrose, LB, LB supplemented with chloramphenicol,

and LB supplemented with tetracycline. Mutagenesis of *lrhA-lacZ* fusion was achieved by

recombineering an *lrhA-M1* sequence instead of the WT *lrhA* sequence, using gBlock listed in

Supplementary file 3.

Plasmid construction

Descriptions of plasmids used in this study are in *Supplementary file 3*. Construction of the

constitutive overexpression plasmids was done according to (Urban and Vogel, 2009) using

pZE12-luc. The IPTG-inducible UhpU overexpression plasmid was constructed using a pBRplac

derivative harboring kan^R, pMSG14 (Guo *et al.*, 2014). The *uhpU* sequence, starting from its

second nt, was amplified by PCR using oligonucleotides TU558 and TU561 (*Supplementary file*

3), digested with *Aat*II and *Hin*dIII and cloned into pMSG14 digested with the same restriction

enzymes. 3XFLAG-*rpsJ* was expressed from pBAD33 (Guzman *et al.*, 1995). The S10 leader

and *rpsJ* sequence along with the 3XFLAG sequence was PCR amplified using oligonucleotides

SM533 and SM435, digested with *Kpn*I and *Hin*dIII and cloned into pBAD33 digested with the

same restriction enzymes. Construction of GFP-fusion plasmids was carried out principally as

described in (Urban and Vogel, 2009), using the pXG10-SF or pXG30-SF (Corcoran et al.,

2012). Briefly, regions of target genes, mainly regions captured in the chimeric fragments, were

PCR amplified, digested with *Mph*1103I and *Nhe*I and cloned into pXG10-SF or pXG30-SF

digested with the same restriction enzymes. The full list of oligonucleotides used in this study

can be found in *Supplementary file 3*. Mutagenesis of the different plasmids was achieved using

the QuikChange Lightning Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent). All plasmids were freshly

transformed into the appropriate strains before each of the experiments.

RNA isolation

Cells corresponding to the equivalent of 10-20 OD_{600} were collected, washed once with 1X PBS,

and frozen in liquid nitrogen. RNA was extracted according to the standard TRIzol protocol

(Thermo Fisher Scientific) as described previously (Melamed *et al.*, 2020). At the last step, RNA

was resuspended in 20-50 µl of DEPC water and quantified using a NanoDrop (Thermo Fisher

Scientific).

RNA coimmunoprecipitation (Co-IP) assay

RNAs that co-IP using polyclonal antibodies to Hfq were isolated as described (Zhang *et al.*,

2002) with the following modifications. MG1655 (GSO983) was grown to OD_{600} ~0.6 and ~1.0

in LB medium. Cells corresponding to the equivalent of 20 OD_{600} were collected, and cell lysates

were prepared by vortexing with 212-300 µm glass beads (Sigma-Aldrich) in a final volume of 1

ml of lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl/pH 8.0, 150 mM KCl, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 1 mM DTT). Co-IPs

were carried out using 100 ml of α-Hfq, 120 mg of protein A-Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare),

and 950 µl of cell lysate. Co-IP RNA was isolated from protein A-Sepharose beads by extraction

with phenol: chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1), followed by ethanol precipitation. Total

RNA was isolated from 50 ml of cell lysate by TRIzol (Thermo Fisher Scientific) extraction

followed by chloroform extraction and isopropanol precipitation. Total and co-IP RNA samples

were resuspended in 15 µl of DEPC H_2O, and 5 µg total RNA and 0.5 µg co-IP RNA were

subjected to northern analysis as described below.

Northern blot analysis

For smaller RNAs, total RNA (5 µg) was separated on a denaturing 8% polyacrylamide urea gel

containing 6 M urea (1:4 mix of Ureagel Complete to Ureagel-8 (National Diagnostics) with

0.08% ammonium persulfate) in 1X TBE buffer at 300V for 90 min. The RNA was transferred to

a Zeta-Probe GT membrane (Bio-Rad) at 20 V for 16 h in 0.5X TBE. For longer RNAs, total

RNA (10 µg) were fractionated on formaldehyde-MOPS agarose gels as previously described

(Adams *et al.*, 2017). Briefly, RNA was denatured in 3.7% formaldehyde (Fisher), 1X MOPS

(20 mM MOPS, 5 mM NaOAc, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.0) and 1X RNA loading dye (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) for 10 min at 70°C and incubated on ice. The RNA was loaded onto a 2% NuSieve

3:1 agarose (Lonza), 1X MOPS, 2% formaldehyde gel and separated at 125-150V at 4°C for 1-2

h and then transferred to a Zeta-Probe GT membrane (Bio-Rad) via capillary action overnight

(Streit *et al.*, 2009). For both types of blots, the RNA was crosslinked to the membranes by UV

irradiation. RiboRuler High Range and Low Range RNA ladders (Thermo Fisher Scientific)

were marked by UV-shadowing. Oligonucleotide probes (listed in *Supplementary file 3*) for the

different RNAs were labelled with 0.3 mCi of [γ-^{32}P] ATP (Perkin Elmer) by incubating with 10

U of T4 polynucleotide kinase (New England Biolabs) at 37°C for 1 h.

Primer extension assay

Primer extension analysis was performed using an oligonucleotide (listed in *Supplementary file*

3) specific to the *rpsS* as described (Zhang *et al.*, 1998). RNA samples (5 µg of total RNA) were

incubated with 2 pmol of 5-^{32}P-end-labeled oligonucleotide primer at 80°C and then slow-cooled

to 42°C. After the addition of dNTPs (1 mM each) and AMV reverse transcriptase (10 U, Life

Sciences Advanced Technologies Inc.), the reactions were incubated in a 10-µl reaction volume

at 42°C for 1 h. The reactions were terminated by adding 10 µl of Stop Loading Buffer. The

cDNA products then were fractionated on 8% polyacrylamide urea gels containing 8 M urea in

1X TBE buffer at 70 W for 70 min.

RT-qPCR

Total RNA was isolated from cultures grown to $OD_{600} \sim 0.2$ and RNA concentrations were determined using a NanoDrop. Samples were treated with DNase using TURBO DNA-free™ Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). DNA-free RNA was used for cDNA synthesis using iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad) and cDNA concentrations were measured by Qubit fluorimeter (Invitrogen). Equal amounts of cDNA were loaded into 96-well plate and cDNA was quantified by CFX Connect Real-Time system (Bio-Rad) using iTaq Univer SYBR Green mix (Bio-Rad) according to manufacturer instructions. Specific oligonucleotide primers were designed for each gene and the expression was normalized using *ssrA* levels. Serial dilutions of *E. coli* genomic DNA in known concentrations were used to generate a standard curve. Starting quantity of cDNA samples were determined based on the standard curve and normalization was done using the starting quantities of *ssrA*. Cq was measured in duplicate or triplicate for each biological sample. CFX maestro analysis software was also used for conducting the analysis.

RNA structure probing

GeneBlock fragments carrying the *motR*, *fliX*, *rpsJ* or *rpsS* CDS (IDT) were used as DNA templates for *in vitro* transcription with MEGAshortscript T7 High Yield Transcription Kit (Invitrogen). The transcripts were dephosphorylated with calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase (CIP, New England Biolabs) and then radioactively labeled at 5´ end with [γ-^{32}P] ATP (Perkin Elmer) and T4 kinase (Invitrogen), and purified on an 8% polyacrylamide/7M urea gel and eluted in buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl/pH 7.5, 0.5 M NaOAc, 10 mM EDTA and 1% SDS at 4°C for overnight, followed by ethanol precipitation. The RNA concentration was determined by measuring the OD_{260} on Nanodrop.

For all the structural probing assays, 0.2 pmole of the labeled transcript, 2 pmole of

unlabeled transcript and 1 µg of yeast RNA with or without 2 pmole (hexameric concentration)

of purified Hfq were mixed in 10 µl of 1x Structural Buffer in Ambion RNase T1 Kit

(Invitrogen). The reactions were incubated at 37°C for 10 min, followed by treatment at 37°C

with 0.02 U RNase T1 for 10 min, 1.3 U RNase III for 1.5 min, or 50 µmole lead acetate for 10

min, whereupon 20 µl Inactivation Buffer and 1 µl Glycoblue were added. The RNAs were

precipitated and resuspended in 10 µl Loading Buffer, and analyzed on a 8% polyacrylamide/7

M urea gel run in 1x TBE. RNase T1 and alkali digestion ladders of the end-labeled transcripts

were used as molecular size markers.

Translational reporter assay

The GFP reporter assays were carried out essentially as described (Melamed et al., 2016).

Overnight cultures were grown in 2 ml of LB media supplemented with the appropriate

antibiotics at 37°C with constant shaking at 250 rpm. Cells were then diluted to OD_{600}~0.05 in 1

ml of fresh LB medium supplemented with the appropriate antibiotics in 96-well plate and grown

at 37°C with constant shaking at 250 rpm for 3 h. Cells were pelleted and resuspended in filtered

1 X PBS. Fluorescence was measured using the BD LSRFortessa or Beckman Coulter Cytoflex

flow cytometer. The level of regulation was calculated by subtracting the auto-fluorescence and

then calculating the ratio between the fluorescence signal of a strain carrying the sRNA over-

expressing plasmid and the signal of a strain carrying the control plasmid. Three biological

repeats were prepared for every sample.

The β-galactosidase assays were carried out as described (Miller, 1992). Overnight

cultures grown as for the GFP reporter assays were diluted 1:100 into 5 ml of fresh LB with

antibiotic and 0.2% arabinose and grown at 37°C with constant shaking at 250 rpm until OD_{600} ~

0.7. IPTG (1 mM) was added to cells harboring inducible sRNAs plasmids. After β-galactosidase

activity was measured, the Miller units were calculated from the following formula:

$$Miller\ Unit = \frac{1000(OD_{420} - 1.75 \cdot OD_{550})}{t_{min} \cdot OD_{600}}$$

Transcriptional reporter assays

Overnight cultures harboring *flgB-gfp* and *fliL-gfp* fusions (Zaslaver *et al.*, 2006) were grown as

described for the translation reporter assays and then diluted to OD_{600}~0.05 in 150 µl of fresh LB

medium supplemented with the appropriate antibiotics in a transparent bottom 96-well plate.

Bacterial growth and promoter activity were monitored for 330 min at 37°C using OD_{600} and

GFP fluorescent measurements, respectively, using a Synergy H1 plate reader (Agilent).

Immunoblot analysis

Bacteria were grown to the desired OD_{600}, and the cells in 0.5 ml – 4 ml of culture were

collected. Cell lysates were prepared by resuspending cell pellets with SDS-loading buffer

normalized to the cell density, and samples were then heated for 10 min at 95°C. Protein samples

were subjected to a 4%-15% polyacrylamide SDS gel electrophoresis followed by electrotransfer

to a nitrocellulose membrane (Fisher Scientific). The membrane was blocked with 3% milk,

probed with anti-flagellin antibodies (1/10,000) (Abcam) and then with anti-rabbit secondary

antibody (1/10,000) or with ANTI-FLAG M2-Peroxidase (HRP) (1/1,000), (Sigma-Aldrich).

Signals were visualized by the ECL system (Biorad).

Flagellin measurements

WT (GSO983) cells harboring pBR*, pBR*-UhpU, pZE, pZE-MotR, pZE-MotR*, pZE-FliX or

pZE-FliX-S were grown with shaking at 180 rpm in 5 ml of LB at 37°C to OD_{600} ~ 1.0. Cell

pellets collected by centrifugation were suspended in 5 ml of PBS and then heated at 65°C for 5

min, followed by centrifugation to obtain the cell pellets and supernatants, which contained the

cytoplasmic flagellin molecules and depolymerized flagellin monomers, respectively. The cell

pellets were resuspended in the SDS-loading buffer, normalized to the cell density. Proteins in

the supernatants were precipitated by 10% trichloroacetic acid, resuspended in Tris/SDS loading

buffer and heated at 95°C for 10 min.

Electron microscopy

Overnight cultures were diluted in fresh medium and grown with shaking at 180 rpm, at 37°C to

mid-log phase (OD_{600}~0.6-0.8) unless indicated otherwise. Cells were collected by centrifugation

at 1,000 rpm for 20 min, and pellet was resuspended in 300 µl of saline. Next, 3 µl of bacterial

suspension were placed on a freshly glow-discharged carbon covered electron microscopic

support grid (EMS, Hatfield, PA) for 5 min. The grid was washed twice with distilled water and

stained for 1 min with 0.75% aqueous solution of uranyl formate, pH 4.5. The grids were imaged

in Thermo Fisher Scientific (Hillsboro, OR) FEI Tecnai 20 electron microscope operated at 120

kV. The images were recorded using AMT (Woburn, MA) XR81 CCD camera. Flagella were

counted for 20-40 cells in each sample as indicated in the Figure legends. Each analysis was

repeated a minimum of three times.

Motility assays

Overnight cultures (~1 µl) were spotted onto 0.3% soft agar plates by touching the agar softly

with the tip and ejecting the culture. Plates were incubated right-side up at 30°C above a beaker

filled with water for 9-24 h. Plates were made with the appropriate antibiotics and with 1 mM

IPTG. The plates were imaged using Bio-Rad imager (using Colorimetric settings) and the

diameter of the bacterial culture was calculated using the ImageJ software. Two technical repeats

and three biological repeats were carried out for each strain. For motility competition assays cells

were first transformed with pCON1.proC-GFP or pCON1.proC-mCherry plasmids (Cooper *et*

al., 2017), resulting in a GFP or an mCherry signal, respectively. In each case, equal numbers of

bacterial cells based on OD_{600} of each overnight culture for one strain expressing a green

fluorescence signal and a second strain expressing a red fluorescent signal were mixed before

spotting them onto 0.3% soft agar plate and the plates were incubated as described above.

Images were taken using Bio-Rad imager with the following settings: Colorimetric (1-2 sec) for

bright field, Cy2 for GFP (auto optimal exposure), Cy3 for mCherry (auto optimal exposure).

Images were merged using Image Lab (Bio-Rad).

RNA-seq

Overnight cultures were diluted in fresh LB medium and grown to early-log phase (OD_{600}~0.2).

RNA was extracted using the standard TRIzol protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as described

above. Total RNA libraries were constructed using the RNAtag-Seq protocol with a few

modifications to allow capture of short RNA fragments as previously described (Melamed *et al.*,

2018). The libraries were sequenced by paired-end sequencing using the HiSeq 2500 system

(Illumina) at Molecular Genomics Core, *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child

Health and Human Development. RNA-seq data processing follows the same procedures as RIL-

seq data analysis for QC analysis, adaptor removal, and alignment with the Python RILSeq

package (Melamed *et al.*, 2018). The raw fastq records were demultiplexed with python script

index_splitter.py (https://github.com/asafpr/RNAseq_scripts/blob/master/index_splitter.py)

followed by adapter removal with cutadpt software (version 3.4). The trimmed fastq reads were

mapped to the *E. coli* genome (ecoli-k12-MG1655-NC_000913-3) with Python RILSeq package

(version 0.74, https://github.com/asafpr/RILseq). Deeptools software (version 3.5.1) was used to

generate bigwig file for coverage visualization. Read counts were obtained with featureCounts

tool of Subread software (version 2.0.3) and a customized annotation file based on EcoCyc

version 20.0 (Keseler *et al.*, 2013) with manual addition of sRNAs and small proteins from (Hör

et al., 2020). Differential expression analyses were conducted with R DESeq2 package (Love *et*

al., 2014) and default normalization. Deferentially expressed genes were extracted with the

parameter of 'independentFiltering=FALSE'. The sequencing data reported in this paper have

been deposited in GEO under accession number GSE1774487.

14
Determination of sequence motifs and base-pairing predictions

Common binding motifs were searched with MEME software (Bailey *et al.*, 2009). Genes that

were induced the most by MotR* overexpression in RNA-seq (*Supplementary file 2*) (FDR =

0.05 and ≥2 fold) were extracted from the data and divided to transcription units based on

EcoCyc version 20.0 (Keseler et al., 2013). For each transcription unit, genomic sequence was

extracted using coordinates for the start codon of the first gene in the transcription unit and 250

nt upstream to the gene. For sRNAs, genomic sequence was extracted using coordinates for the

transcription start site and 250 nt upstream to the gene. For outputs, motif length was restricted to

28.

Base-pairing regions between two RNAs were predicted using IntaRNA (Mann *et al.*,

2017) or TargetRNA2 (Kery *et al.*, 2014).

Functional annotation analysis

Functional annotation analysis of sRNAs targets was carried out using the Database for

Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) (Huang da *et al.*, 2009). Gene

names served as the input list in each case. Targets that were present in at least three RIL-seq

conditions in Table S1 were included in the analysis.

Circos plots

Circos plots follow the procedures of R RCircos Package (Zhang *et al.*, 2013b). Link lines are

used to label the statistically significant chimeric fragments (S-chimeras as defined in (Melamed

et al., 2016)). RIL-seq from six different growth conditions was analyzed and S-chimeras present

in at least four of the six conditions are included in the plots.

Browser images

Data from RIL-seq experiment 1 from Melamed at al., 2020 extracted for unified S-chimeras

files for the different sRNAs were mapped based on the first nt of each read in the chimera. BED

files are generated with Python RILSeq package (Melamed *et al.*, 2018) and viewed using the

UCSC genome browser (Kent *et al.*, 2002). For previously annotated RNA in GTF file, BED

files are directly generated with command of generate_BED_file_of_endpoints.py and EcoCyc

ID. For genes annotated in the current study, significant chimeras which involve the relevant

gene are first extracted from significant interaction file, then chimeric reads involving the S-

chimeras are extracted from chimeric read file. To be a qualified chimeric read, RNA1 start

position of the read must overlap with the genomic range of RNA1 in S-chimera and RNA2 start

position of the read must overlap with the genomic range of RNA2 in S-chimera. Finally, the

read list for genes annotated in the current study is supplied to

generate_BED_file_of_endpoints.py command to generate BED file.

Acknowledgements

We thank M. Gottesman for plasmids expressing wild type and *rpsJ* mutants, O. Steele-Mortimer

for plasmids constitutively expressing GFP or mCherry, and D. Court for the S10 antibody. We

thank J. Wade for sharing the sequences used to generate the σ^{28} binding motif and J. Wade and

G. Baniulyte for advice on the FRUIT method. We thank the NICHD Molecular Genomics Core,

particularly Tianwei Li, for all the library sequencing. We also appreciate the help of A. Peer

with the sRNA conservation analysis. We are grateful to the Storz and S. Gottesman labs for all

the helpful discussions and thank the Storz lab, S. Gottesman, and J. Wade for their comments on

the manuscript. This work utilized the computational resources of the NIH HPC Beowulf cluster

(http://hpc.nih.gov).

Additional Information

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Funding

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
Israel Science Foundation	826/22	Sahar Melamed
Israel Science Foundation	2859/22	Sahar Melamed
National Institutes of Health	1ZIAHD001608-32	Gisela Storz

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

S.M. and G.S. conceived of the project. S.M., A.Z., J.M., and A.S. designed, performed, and analyzed the experiments. M.J. performed all EM. H.Z. performed all computational analyses under the supervision of S.M.. S.M., A.Z. and G.S. prepared the figures and wrote the manuscript. G.S. supervised the project.

Author ORCIDs

Sahar Melamed 0000-0003-1360-1297

Gisela Storz 0000-0001-6698-1241

References

Adams, P.P., Flores Avile, C., Popitsch, N., Bilusic, I., Schroeder, R., Lybecker, M., and Jewett, M.W. (2017) In vivo expression technology and 5' end mapping of the *Borrelia burgdorferi* transcriptome identify novel RNAs expressed during mammalian infection. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **45**: 775-792.

Adams, P.P., and Storz, G. (2020) Prevalence of small base-pairing RNAs derived from diverse genomic loci. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Gene Regul. Mech.* **1863**: 194524.

Adler, J., and Templeton, B. (1967) The effect of environmental conditions on the motility of *Escherichia coli*. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* **46**: 175-184.

Altegoer, F., and Bange, G. (2015) Undiscovered regions on the molecular landscape of flagellar assembly. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **28**: 98-105.

Altschul, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E.W., and Lipman, D.J. (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* **215**: 403-410.

Baba, T., Ara, T., Hasegawa, M., Takai, Y., Okumura, Y., Baba, M., Datsenko, K.A., Tomita, M., Wanner, B.L., and Mori, H. (2006) Construction of *Escherichia coli* K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants: the Keio collection. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **2**: 2006.0008.

Bailey, T.L., Boden, M., Buske, F.A., Frith, M., Grant, C.E., Clementi, L., Ren, J., Li, W.W., and Noble, W.S. (2009) MEME SUITE: tools for motif discovery and searching. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **37**: W202-W208.

Bak, G., Lee, J., Suk, S., Kim, D., Young Lee, J., Kim, K.S., Choi, B.S., and Lee, Y. (2015) Identification of novel sRNAs involved in biofilm formation, motility, and fimbriae formation in *Escherichia coli*. *Sci. Rep.* **5**: 15287.

Baniulyte, G., Singh, N., Benoit, C., Johnson, R., Ferguson, R., Paramo, M., Stringer, A.M., Scott, A., Lapierre, P., and Wade, J.T. (2017) Identification of regulatory targets for the bacterial Nus factor complex. *Nat. Commun.* **8**: 2027.

Bar, A., Argaman, L., Altuvia, Y., and Margalit, H. (2021) Prediction of novel bacterial small RNAs from RIL-Seq RNA-RNA interaction data. *Front. Microbiol.* **12**: 635070.

Bronesky, D., Desgranges, E., Corvaglia, A., François, P., Caballero, C.J., Prado, L., Toledo-Arana, A., Lasa, I., Moreau, K., Vandenesch, F., Marzi, S., Romby, P., and Caldelari, I. (2019) A multifaceted small RNA modulates gene expression upon glucose limitation in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *EMBO J.* **38**: e99363.

Cherepanov, P.P., and Wackernagel, W. (1995) Gene disruption in *Escherichia coli*: TcR and KmR cassettes with the option of Flp-catalyzed excision of the antibiotic-resistance determinant. *Gene* **158**: 9-14.

Chevance, F.F., and Hughes, K.T. (2008) Coordinating assembly of a bacterial macromolecular machine. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **6**: 455-465.

Choi, E., Han, Y., Cho, Y.-J., Nam, D., and Lee, E.-J. (2017) A trans-acting leader RNA from a *Salmonella* virulence gene. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **114**: 10232-11023.

Cooper, K.G., Chong, A., Starr, T., Finn, C.E., and Steele-Mortimer, O. (2017) Predictable, tunable protein production in *Salmonella* for studying host-pathogen interactions. *Front. Cell Infect. Microbiol.* **7**: 475.

Corcoran, C.P., Podkaminski, D., Papenfort, K., Urban, J.H., Hinton, J.C., and Vogel, J. (2012) Superfolder GFP reporters validate diverse new mRNA targets of the classic porin regulator, MicF RNA. *Mol. Microbiol.* **84**: 428-445.

Datsenko, K.A., and Wanner, B.L. (2000) One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in *Escherichia coli* K-12 using PCR products. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **97**: 6640-6645.

Davis, J.J., Wattam, A.R., Aziz, R.K., Brettin, T., Butler, R., Butler, R.M., Chlenski, P., Conrad, N., Dickerman, A., Dietrich, E.M., Gabbard, J.L., Gerdes, S., Guard, A., Kenyon, R.W., Machi, D., Mao, C., Murphy-Olson, D., Nguyen, M., Nordberg, E.K., Olsen, G.J., Olson, R.D., Overbeek, J.C., Overbeek, R., Parrello, B., Pusch, G.D., Shukla, M., Thomas, C., VanOeffelen, M., Vonstein, V., Warren, A.S., Xia, F., Xie, D., Yoo, H., and R., S. (2020) The PATRIC Bioinformatics Resource Center: expanding data and analysis capabilities. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **48**: D606-D612.

De Lay, N., and Gottesman, S. (2012) A complex network of small non-coding RNAs regulate motility in *Escherichia coli*. *Mol. Microbiol.* **86**: 524-538.

Desgranges, E., Barrientos, L., Herrgott, L., Marzi, S., Toledo-Arana, A., Moreau, K., Vandenesch, F., Romby, P., and Caldelari, I. (2022) The 3'UTR-derived sRNA RsaG coordinates redox homeostasis and metabolism adaptation in response to glucose-6-phosphate uptake in *Staphylococcus aureus.* *Mol. Microbiol.* **117**: 193-214.

Di Tommaso, P., Chatzou, M., Floden, E.W., Barja, P.P., Palumbo, E., and Notredame, C. (2017) Nextflow enables reproducible computational workflows. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **35**: 316-319.

Erhardt, M. (2016) Strategies to block bacterial pathogenesis by interference with motility and chemotaxis. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* **398**: 185-205.

Faigenbaum-Romm, R., Reich, A., Gatt, Y.E., Barsheshet, M., Argaman, L., and Margalit, H. (2020) Hierarchy in Hfq chaperon occupancy of small RNA targets plays a major role in their regulation. *Cell Rep.* **30**: 3127-3138.e3126.

Fitzgerald, D.M., Bonocora, R.P., and Wade, J.T. (2014) Comprehensive mapping of the *Escherichia coli* flagellar regulatory network. *PLoS Genet.* **10**: e1004649.

Fitzgerald, D.M., Smith, C., Lapierre, P., and Wade, J.T. (2018) The evolutionary impact of intragenic FliA promoters in proteobacteria. *Mol. Microbiol.* **108**: 361-378.

Freedman, L.P., Zengel, J.M., Archer, R.H., and Lindahl, L. (1987) Autogenous control of the S10 ribosomal protein operon of *Escherichia coli*: genetic dissection of transcriptional and posttranscriptional regulation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **84**: 6516-6520.

Guillier, M., and Gottesman, S. (2006) Remodelling of the *Escherichia coli* outer membrane by two small regulatory RNAs. *Mol. Microbiol.* **59**: 231-247.

Guo, M.S., Updegrove, T.B., Gogol, E.B., Shabalina, S.A., Gross, C.A., and Storz, G. (2014) MicL, a new σE-dependent sRNA, combats envelope stress by repressing synthesis of Lpp, the major outer membrane lipoprotein. *Genes Dev.* **28**: 1620-1634.

Guttenplan, S.B., and Kearns, D.B. (2013) Regulation of flagellar motility during biofilm formation. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **37**: 849-871.

Guzman, L.M., Belin, D., Carson, M.J., and Beckwith, J. (1995) Tight regulation, modulation, and high-level expression by vectors containing the arabinose P$_{BAD}$ promoter. *J. Bacteriol.* **177**: 4121-4130.

Holmqvist, E., and Vogel, J. (2018) RNA-binding proteins in bacteria. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **16**: 601-615.

Hör, J., Matera, G., Vogel, J., Gottesman, S., and Storz, G. (2020) Trans-acting small RNAs and their effects on gene expression in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica*. *EcoSal Plus* **9**: doi: 10.1128/ecosalplus.ESP-0030-2019.

Huang da, W., Sherman, B.T., and Lempicki, R.A. (2009) Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat. Protoc.* **4**: 44-57.

Ikeda, T., Homma, M., Iino, T., Asakura, S., and Kamiya, R. (1987) Localization and stoichiometry of hook-associated proteins within *Salmonella typhimurium* flagella. *J. Bacteriol.* **169**: 1168-1173.

Kalir, S., Mangan, S., and Alon, U. (2005) A coherent feed-forward loop with a SUM input function prolongs flagella expression in *Escherichia coli*. *Mol Syst Biol* **1**: 2005.0006.

Kalir, S., McClure, J., Pabbaraju, K., Southward, C., Ronen, M., Leibler, S., Surette, M.G., and Alon, U. (2001) Ordering genes in a flagella pathway by analysis of expression kinetics from living bacteria. *Science* **292**: 2080-2083.

Kent, W.J., Sugnet, C.W., Furey, T.S., Roskin, K.M., Pringle, T.H., Zahler, A.M., and Haussler, D. (2002) The human genome browser at UCSC. *Genome Res.* **12**: 996-1006.

Kery, M.B., Feldman, M., Livny, J., and Tjaden, B. (2014) TargetRNA2: identifying targets of small regulatory RNAs in bacteria. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42**: W124-W129.

Keseler, I.M., Mackie, A., Peralta-Gil, M., Santos-Zavaleta, A., Gama-Castro, S., Bonavides-Martínez, C., Fulcher, C., Huerta, A.M., Kothari, A., Krummenacker, M., Latendresse, M., Muñiz-Rascado, L., Ong, Q., Paley, S., Schröder, I., Shearer, A.G., Subhraveti, P., Travers, M.,

Weerasinghe, D., Weiss, V., Collado-Vides, J., Gunsalus, R.P., Paulsen, I., and Karp, P.D. (2013)
EcoCyc: fusing model organism databases with systems biology. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **41**: D605-
D612.

Landini, P., and Zehnder, A.J. (2002) The global regulatory hns gene negatively affects adhesion
to solid surfaces by anaerobically grown *Escherichia coli* by modulating expression of flagellar
genes and lipopolysaccharide production. *J. Bacteriol.* **184**: 1522-1529.

Lehnen, D., Blumer, C., Polen, T., Wackwitz, B., Wendisch, V.F., and Unden, G. (2002) LrhA as
a new transcriptional key regulator of flagella, motility and chemotaxis genes in *Escherichia coli*.
Mol. Microbiol. **45**: 521-532.

Lejars, M., Caillet, J., Solchaga-Flores, E., Guillier, M., Plumbridge, J., and Hajnsdorf, E. (2022)
Regulatory interplay between RNase III and sntisense RNAs in *E. coli*: the case of AsflhD and
FlhD, component of the master regulator of motility. *mBio* **13**: e0098122.

Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion
for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**: 550.

Luo, X., Hsiao, H.H., Bubunenko, M., Weber, G., Court, D.L., Gottesman, M.E., Urlaub, H., and
Wahl, M.C. (2008) Structural and functional analysis of the *E. coli* NusB-S10 transcription
antitermination complex. *Mol. Cell* **32**: 791-802.

Lüttgen, H., Robelek, R., Mühlberger, R., Diercks, T., Schuster, S.C., Köhler, P., Kessler, H.,
Bacher, A., and Richter, G. (2002) Transcriptional regulation by antitermination. Interaction of
RNA with NusB protein and NusB/NusE protein complex of *Escherichia coli*. *J. Mol. Biol.* **316**:
875-885.

Lutz, R., and Bujard, H. (1997) Independent and tight regulation of transcriptional units in
Escherichia coli via the LacR/O, the TetR/O and AraC/I_1-I_2 regulatory elements. *Nucleic Acids*
Res. **25**: 1203-1210.

Mandin, P., and Gottesman, S. (2009) A genetic approach for finding small RNAs regulators of
genes of interest identifies RybC as regulating the DpiA/DpiB two-component system. *Mol.*
Microbiol. **72**: 551-565.

Mandin, P., and Gottesman, S. (2010) Integrating anaerobic/aerobic sensing and the general stress
response through the ArcZ small RNA. *EMBO J.* **29**: 3094-3107.

Mann, M., Wright, P.R., and Backofen, R. (2017) IntaRNA 2.0: enhanced and customizable
prediction of RNA-RNA interactions. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **45**: W435-W439.

Marger, M.D., and Saier, M.H.J. (1993) A major superfamily of transmembrane facilitators that
catalyse uniport, symport and antiport. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **18**: 13-20.

Matera, G., Altuvia, Y., Gerovac, M., El Mouali, Y., Margalit, H., and Vogel, J. (2022) Global
RNA interactome of Salmonella discovers a 5' UTR sponge for the MicF small RNA that connects
membrane permeability to transport capacity. *Mol. Cell* **82**: 629-644.e624.

Mears, P.J., Koirala, S., Rao, C.V., Golding, I., and Chemla, Y.R. (2014) *Escherichia coli* swimming is robust against variations in flagellar number. *eLife* **3**: e01916.

Melamed, S. (2020) New sequencing methodologies reveal interplay between multiple RNA-binding proteins and their RNAs. *Curr. Genet.* **66**: 713-717.

Melamed, S., Adams, P.P., Zhang, A., Zhang, H., and Storz, G. (2020) RNA-RNA interactomes of ProQ and Hfq reveal overlapping and competing roles. *Mol. Cell* **77**: 411-425.

Melamed, S., Faigenbaum-Romm, R., Peer, A., Reiss, N., Shechter, O., Bar, A., Altuvia, Y., Argaman, L., and Margalit, H. (2018) Mapping the small RNA interactome in bacteria using RIL-seq. *Nat. Protoc.* **13**: 1-33.

Melamed, S., Peer, A., Faigenbaum-Romm, R., Gatt, Y.E., Reiss, N., Bar, A., Altuvia, Y., Argaman, L., and Margalit, H. (2016) Global mapping of small RNA-target interactions in bacteria. *Mol. Cell* **63**: 884-897.

Mika, F., and Hengge, R. (2014) Small RNAs in the control of RpoS, CsgD, and biofilm architecture of *Escherichia coli*. *RNA Biol.* **11**: 494-507.

Miller, J.H., (1992) *A short course in bacterial genetics : a laboratory manual and handbook for Escherichia coli and related bacteria*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Plainview, N.Y.

Mizrahi, S.P., Elbaz, N., Argaman, L., Altuvia, Y., Katsowich, N., Socol, Y., Bar, A., Rosenshine, I., and Margalit, H. (2021) The impact of Hfq-mediated sRNA-mRNA interactome on the virulence of enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli*. *Sci. Adv.* **7**: eabi8228.

Nakamura, S., and Minamino, T. (2019) Flagella-driven motility of bacteria. *Biomolecules* **9**: 279.

Osterman, I.A., Dikhtyar, Y.Y., Bogdanov, A.A., Dontsova, O.A., and Sergiev, P.V. (2015) Regulation of flagellar gene expression in bacteria. *Biochemistry (Mosc.)* **80**: 1447-1456.

Pesavento, C., Becker, G., Sommerfeldt, N., Possling, A., Tschowri, N., Mehlis, A., and Hengge, R. (2008) Inverse regulatory coordination of motility and curli-mediated adhesion in *Escherichia coli*. *Genes Dev.* **22**: 2434-2446.

Peterson, C.N., Carabetta, V.J., Chowdhury, T., and Silhavy, T.J. (2006) LrhA regulates *rpoS* translation in response to the Rcs phosphorelay system in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* **188**: 3175-3181.

Prüß, B.M. (2017) Involvement of two-component signaling on bacterial motility and biofilm development. *J. Bacteriol.* **199**: e00259-00217.

Romilly, C., Hoekzema, M., Holmqvist, E., and Wagner, E.G.H. (2020) Small RNAs OmrA and OmrB promote class III flagellar gene expression by inhibiting the synthesis of anti-Sigma factor FlgM. *RNA Biol.* **17**: 872-880.

Shi, W., Li, C., Louise, C.J., and Adler, J. (1993) Mechanism of adverse conditions causing lack of flagella in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* **175**: 2236-2240.

Shi, W., Zhou, W., Zhang, B., Huang, S., Jiang, Y., Schammel, A., Hu, Y., and Liu, B. (2020) Structural basis of bacterial σ^{28}-mediated transcription reveals roles of the RNA polymerase zinc-binding domain. *EMBO J.* **39**: e104389.

Smith, T.G., and Hoover, T.R. (2009) Deciphering bacterial flagellar gene regulatory networks in the genomic era. *Adv. Appl. Microbiol.* **67**: 257-295.

Soutourina, O.A., and Bertin, P.N. (2003) Regulation cascade of flagellar expression in Gram-negative bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **27**: 505-523.

Streit, S., Michalski, C.W., Erkan, M., Kleeff, J., and Friess, H. (2009) Northern blot analysis for detection and quantification of RNA in pancreatic cancer cells and tissues. *Nat. Protoc.* **4**: 37-43.

Stringer, A.M., Singh, N., Yermakova, A., Petrone, B.L., Amarasinghe, J.J., Reyes-Diaz, L., Mantis, N.J., and Wade, J.T. (2012) FRUIT, a scar-free system for targeted chromosomal mutagenesis, epitope tagging, and promoter replacement in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica*. *PLoS One* **7**: e44841.

Sun, H., Wan, X., Fan, Y., Liu, P., Song, Y., Zhu, N., Duan, Z., Wang, Q., Chen, F., Zhou, C., Zheng, Y., Ding, P., Liu, F., Feng, L., Kim, K.S., and Wang, L. (2022) Bacteria reduce flagellin synthesis to evade microglia-astrocyte-driven immunity in the brain. *Cell Rep.* **40**: 111033.

Thomason, M.K., Bischler, T., Eisenbart, S.K., Förstner, K.U., Zhang, A., Herbig, A., Nieselt, K., Sharma, C.M., and Storz, G. (2015) Global transcriptional start site mapping using differential RNA sequencing reveals novel antisense RNAs in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* **197**: 18-28.

Thomason, M.K., Fontaine, F., De Lay, N., and Storz, G. (2012) A small RNA that regulates motility and biofilm formation in response to changes in nutrient availability in *Escherichia coli*. *Mol. Microbiol.* **84**: 17-35.

Thomson, N.M., Rossmann, F.M., Ferreira, J.L., Matthews-Palmer, T.R., Beeby, M., and Pallen, M.J. (2018) Bacterial flagellins: does size matter? *Trends Microbiol.* **26**: 575-581.

Typas, A., Barembruch, C., Possling, A., and Hengge, R. (2007) Stationary phase reorganisation of the *Escherichia coli* transcription machinery by Crl protein, a fine-tuner of sigmas activity and levels. *EMBO J.* **26**: 1569-1578.

Updegrove, T.B., Zhang, A., and Storz, G. (2016) Hfq: the flexible RNA matchmaker. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **30**: 133-138.

Urban, J.H., and Vogel, J. (2007) Translational control and target recognition by *Escherichia* coli small RNAs *in vivo*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **35**: 1018-1037.

Urban, J.H., and Vogel, J. (2009) A green fluorescent protein (GFP)-based plasmid system to study post-transcriptional control of gene expression in vivo. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **540**: 301-319.

Verhamme, D.T., Arents, J.C., Postma, P.W., Crielaard, W., and Hellingwerf, K.J. (2001)
Glucose-6-phosphate-dependent phosphoryl flow through the Uhp two-component regulatory
system. *Microbiology* **147**: 3345-3352.

Westermann, A.J., Venturini, E., Sellin, M.E., Förstner, K.U., Hardt, W.-D., and Vogel, J. (2019)
The major RNA-binding protein ProQ impacts virulence gene expression in *Salmonella enterica*
serovar Typhimurium. *mBio* **10**: e02504-02518.

Zaslaver, A., Bren, A., Ronen, M., Itzkovitz, S., Kikoin, I., Shavit, S., Liebermeister, W., Surette,
M.G., and Alon, U. (2006) A comprehensive library of fluorescent transcriptional reporters for
Escherichia coli. *Nat. Methods* **3**: 623-628.

Zeghouf, M., Li, J., Butland, G., Borkowska, A., Canadien, V., Richards, D., Beattie, B., Emili,
A., and Greenblatt, J.F. (2004) Sequential Peptide Affinity (SPA) system for the identification of
mammalian and bacterial protein complexes. *J. Proteome Res.* **3**: 463-468.

Zengel, J.M., and Lindahl, L. (1992) Ribosomal protein L4 and transcription factor NusA have
separable roles in mediating terminating of transcription within the leader of the S10 operon of
Escherichia coli. *Genes Dev.* **6**: 2655-2662.

Zengel, J.M., and Lindahl, L. (1996) A hairpin structure upstream of the terminator hairpin
required for ribosomal protein L4-mediated attenuation control of the S10 operon of *Escherichia*
coli. *J. Bacteriol.* **178**: 2383-2387.

Zengel, J.M., Sha, Y., and Lindahl, L. (2002) Surprising flexibility of leader RNA determinants
for r-protein L4-mediated transcription termination in the *Escherichia coil* S10 operon. *RNA Biol.*
8: 572-578.

Zhang, A., Altuvia, S., Tiwari, A., Argaman, L., Hengge-Aronis, R., and Storz, G. (1998) The
OxyS regulatory RNA represses *rpoS* translation and binds the Hfq (HF-I) protein. *EMBO J.* **17**:
6061-6068.

Zhang, A., Schu, D.J., Tjaden, B.C., Storz, G., and Gottesman, S. (2013a) Mutations in interaction
surfaces differentially impact *E. coli* Hfq association with small RNAs and their mRNA targets. *J.*
Mol. Biol. **425**: 3678-3697.

Zhang, A., Wassarman, K.M., Ortega, J., Steven, A.C., and Storz, G. (2002) The Sm-like Hfq
protein increases OxyS RNA interaction with target mRNAs. *Mol. Cell* **9**: 11-22.

Zhang, H., Meltzer, P., and Davis, S. (2013b) RCircos: an R package for Circos 2D track plots.
BMC Bioinformatics **14**: 244.

Zuker, M. (2003) Mfold web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization prediction. *Nucleic*
Acids Res. **31**: 3406-3415.
34

Figure Legends

Figure 1. σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs are primarily expressed in log phase. (**A**) Overview of the flagellar regulon. The early genes initiate the transcription of the middle genes, including *fliA* which encodes σ^{28}. In turn, σ^{28} initiates the transcription of the late genes and enhances the transcription of some of the middle genes. For the middle and late genes, only selected operons are shown. The sRNAs analyzed in this study are colored in blue. This model was inspired by (Kalir *et al.*, 2005). (**B**) Browser images showing levels of UhpU, MotR, FliX and FlgO sRNAs in total RNA (black) and Hfq co-immunoprecipitation (gray) libraries. Normalized read count ranges are shown in the upper right of each frame. Data analyzed is from (RIL-seq experiment 1, (Melamed *et al.*, 2020). (**C**) Northern blot analysis of total RNA from WT (GSO983) or Δ*fliA* (GSO1068) cells grown to the indicated time points. The same membrane was probed for all four σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs. A full-length transcript (~260 nt) and several processed transcripts, of which one is predominant (UhpU-S, ~60 nt), are detected for UhpU, one prominent band (~95 nt) is detected for MotR, one prominent band (~200 nt) is detected for FliX, and two close bands close in size (~75 nt) are detected for FlgO. (**D**) WT (GSO983) cells were grown to OD_{600} ~0.6 and ~1.0. RNA was extracted from total lysates as well as samples from co-immunoprecipitation with Hfq, separated on an acrylamide gel, transferred to a membrane, and probed for σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs. A ~100 nt FliX band (FliX-S) was revealed immunoprecipitating with Hfq. In (**C**) and (**D**), RNAs were probed sequentially on the same membrane, and the 5S RNA served as a loading control.

Figure supplement 1. Sequences and predicted structures of UhpU, MotR, FliX and FlgO sRNAs and effect of carbon source on sRNA levels.

Figure supplement 2. UhpU, MotR, FliX and FlgO levels across growth.

Figure 2. Overexpression of the σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs leads to differences in flagella number

and motility. (**A**) Moderate increase in flagella number with UhpU overexpression based on

electron microscopy analysis for WT (*crl*$^-$) cells carrying an empty vector or overexpressing

UhpU.

(**B**) Increased motility with UhpU overexpression based on motility in 0.3% agar for WT (*crl*$^+$)

cells carrying an empty vector or overexpressing UhpU. (**C**) Increase in flagella number with

MotR overexpression based on electron microscopy analysis for WT (*crl*$^-$) cells carrying an

empty vector or overexpressing MotR. (**D**) Slight increase in motility with MotR overexpression

based on motility in 0.3% agar for WT (*crl*$^+$) cells carrying an empty vector or overexpressing

MotR. (**E**) Reduction in flagella number with FliX overexpression based on electron microscopy

analysis for WT (*crl*$^-$) cells carrying an empty vector or overexpressing FliX.

(**F**) Reduced motility with FliX overexpression based on motility in 0.3% agar for WT (*crl*$^-$) cells

carrying an empty vector or overexpressing FliX.

(**G**) No change in flagella number with FlgO overexpression based on electron microscopy

analysis for WT (*crl*$^-$) cells carrying an empty vector or overexpressing FlgO.

(**H**) No change in motility with FlgO overexpression based on motility in 0.3% agar for WT (*crl*$^-$

) cells carrying an empty vector or overexpressing FlgO. Cells in (**A**) and (**B**) were induced with

1 mM IPTG. Quantification for all the assays is shown on the right. For (**A**), (**C**), (**E**) and (**G**)

quantification of the number of flagella per cell was done by counting the flagella for 20 cells

(black dots), and a one-way ANOVA comparison was performed to calculate the significance of

the change in flagella number (ns = not significant, ** = P<0.01, **** = P<0.0001). Each

experiment was repeated three times and one representative experiment is shown. The bottom

and top of the box are the 25th and 75th percentiles, the line inside the box is the median, the

lower and the upper whiskers represent the minimum and the maximum values of the dataset,

respectively. While some differences in cells size and width were observed in the EM analysis,

they were not statistically significant. The experiments presented in (**C**) and (**E**) were carried out

on same day, and the same pZE sample is shown. Graphs for (**B**), (**D**), (**F**) and (**H**) show the

average of nine biological repeats. Error bars represent one SD, and a one-way ANOVA

comparison was performed to calculate the significance of the change in motility (ns = not

significant, * = P<0.05, **** = P<0.0001). The scales given in (**A**) and (**B**) are the same for all

EM images and all motility plates, respectively.

Figure supplement 1. Expression of σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs from plasmids and the effect of

MotR and FliX overexpression on growth.

Figure supplement 2. Effects of MotR* and FliX-S overexpression on flagella number and

motility.

Figure 3. Multiple sRNAs repress LrhA synthesis. (**A**) Browser image showing chimeras (in

red) for UhpU, ArcZ, RprA and McaS, at the 5′ UTR region of *lrhA*. Blue highlighting indicates

position of sRNA-*lrhA* base pairing. Data analyzed is from (Melamed *et al.*, 2020). (**B**) Base-

pairing between *lrhA* and UhpU with sequences of mutants assayed. Seed sequence predicted by

(Melamed *et al.*, 2016) is underlined. Numbering is from AUG of *lrhA* mRNA and +1 of UhpU

sRNA. (**C**) UhpU represses *lrhA-lacZ* fusion. β-galactosidase assay detecting the levels of *lrhA-*

lacZ and *lrhA-M1-lacZ* translational fusions in response to UhpU and UhpU-M1 overexpression.

(**D**) UhpU does not affect motility when LrhA is absent, based on motility in 0.3% agar for WT

(*crl⁺*) cells or Δ*lrhA* cells (GSO1179) carrying an empty vector or overexpressing UhpU. Graph

shows the average of three biological repeats, and error bars represent one SD. One-way

ANOVA comparison was performed to calculate the significance of the change in motility (ns =

not significant, **** = P<0.0001). (**E**) Predicted base-pairing between *lrhA* and ArcZ, RprA or

McaS. Numbering is from AUG of *lrhA* mRNA and +1 of indicated sRNAs. (**F**) Down

regulation of *lrhA* by ArcZ and RprA but not McaS. β-galactosidase assay detecting the levels of

lrhA-lacZ translational fusions in response to ArcZ, RprA and McaS overexpression. For (**C**) and

(**F**), graphs show the average of three biological repeats, and error bars represent one SD. One-

way ANOVA comparison was performed to calculate the significance of the change in β-

galactosidase activity (ns = not significant, **** = P<0.0001).

Figure supplement 1. Interactomes for σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs.

Figure 4. Multiple sRNAs regulate flagellin synthesis. (**A**) Browser image showing chimeras

(red and blue) for UhpU, MotR, and FliX at the *fliCX* region. Data analyzed is from (RIL-seq

experiment 1, (Melamed *et al.*, 2020). Red and blue lines indicate the RNA in the region is first

or second RNA in the chimera, respectively. Blue highlighting indicates position of sRNA-*fliC*

base pairing. (**B**) Immunoblot analysis showing UhpU and MotR overexpression leads to

increased flagellin levels and FliX overexpression leads to reduced flagellin levels in the cytosol.

Flagellin levels were determined by immunoblot analysis using α-FliC antibody. A sample from

a Δ*fliC* strain was included as a control given the detection of a cross-reacting band slightly

larger than flagellin. The Ponceau S-stained membrane serves as a loading control. Cells were

grown with shaking at 180 rpm to $OD_{600} \sim 1.0$, and cell fractions were separated by a series of

centrifugation steps as detailed in Materials and Methods. (**C**) Northern blot analysis showing

UhpU and MotR overexpression increases *fliC* mRNA levels and FliX overexpression reduces

fliC levels across growth. The 5S RNA served as a loading control. The variation in *fliC* levels in

the pBR* and pZE control samples is due to the different strain background (*crl*[+] versus *crl*[-]) and

the length of membrane exposure. (**D**) Predicted base-pairing between *fliC* and UhpU, MotR or

FliX. Seed sequences predicted by (Melamed *et al.*, 2016) or by this study are underlined.

Numbering is from AUG of *fliC* mRNA and +1 of indicated sRNAs.

Figure supplement 1. Effects of UhpU, MotR* and FliX-S overexpression on flagellin and *fliC*

mRNA levels.

Figure supplement 2. *In vitro* structural probing of interaction between UhpU, MotR and FliX

sRNAs with *fliC* mRNA.

Figure 5. MotR and FliX base pair with the S10 mRNA leading to upregulation and

downregulation, respectively. (A) Browser image showing MotR chimeras (in red) in S10 leader

and *rpsJ* region. Data analyzed is from (RIL-seq experiment 1, (Melamed *et al.*, 2020)).

Coverage of the region in total RNA-seq libraries is shown for empty vector (pZE) and for pZE-

MotR* overexpression (*Supplementary file 2*). The Hfq and MotR binding sites as detected in

Figure 5—figure supplement 2A and *2B* are highlighted in light blue. (**B**) Base-pairing between

rpsJ and MotR with sequences of mutants assayed. Predicted MotR seed sequence is underlined.

Numbering is from +1 of *rpsJ* mRNA and MotR sRNA. (**C**) MotR induces *rpsJ-gfp* reporter

fusion. Test of *rpsJ*-MotR interaction with reporter assays of *rpsJ-gfp* expressed from pXG10-SF

with MotR or MotR* expressed from pZE. (**D**) MotR increases FLAG-tagged S10 levels.

3XFLAG-S10 was expressed from pBAD33 and MotR or MotR* was expressed from pZE. A

mutation in MotR eliminates this regulation. 3XFLAG-S10 levels were determined by

immunoblot analysis using α-FLAG antibody. The Ponceau S-stained membrane serves as a

loading control. (**E**) RNase III-mediated cleavage of *rpsJ* directed by MotR in region of base pairing. ^{32}P-labeled *rpsJ* and *rpsJ*-M1 were treated with lead for 10 min with or without MotR and MotR-M1 and separated on a sequencing gel. Region protected by MotR binding, which overlaps the predicted base pairing sequence, is indicated by the red bracket. Numbering is from +1 of *rpsJ* mRNA. (**F**) Browser image showing FliX chimeras (in red) in the S10 operon. Highlighted in light blue are the base pairing regions between FliX and the S10 operon mRNA. Data analyzed is from (RIL-seq experiment 1, (Melamed *et al.*, 2020)). (**G**) Base pairing between *rplC, rpsS, rpsQ* and FliX with sequences of mutants assayed. FliX seed sequence predicted by (Melamed *et al.*, 2016) is underlined. Numbering is from AUG of indicated CDS and +1 of FliX sRNA. (**H**) Test of FliX interactions with reporter assays of *rplC-gfp*, *rpsS-rplV-gfp* and *rpsQ-gfp* expressed from pXG10-SF or pXG30-SF with FliX or FliX-S expressed from pZE. (**I**) RNase III-mediated cleavage of *rpsS* directed by FliX-S in region of base pairing. ^{32}P-labeled *rpsS* and *rpsS-M1* were treated with RNase III for 1.5 min with or without FliX-S and FliX-S -M1 and separated on a sequencing gel. Region protected by FliX binding, which overlaps the predicted base pairing sequence, is indicated by the red bracket. Numbering is from AUG of *rpsS* CDS. For (**C**) and (**H**), the average of three independent measurements is shown. Error bars represent one SD. One-way ANOVA comparison was performed to calculate the significance of the change in GFP signal (ns = not significant, * = P<0.05, ** = P<0.01, **** = P<0.0001).

Figure supplement 1. Effects of MotR mutants on flagella number and *rpsJ* expression.

Figure supplement 2. *In vitro* structural probing of interaction between MotR sRNA and *rpsJ* mRNA*,* and FliX sRNA and *rpsS* mRNA.

Figure supplement 3. *In vivo* effects of FliX and FliX-S overproduction on *rpsS* mRNA.

Figure 6. MotR overexpression leads to a *nusB*-dependent increase in expression from flagellar

operons. (**A**) MotR* and S10Δloop overexpression increase the number of flagella. The number

of flagella per cell detected by EM were counted for WT cells (GSO983) harboring the indicated

plasmids. (**B**) MotR effect is eliminated in Δ*nusB* background. The number of flagella per cell

detected by EM were counted for WT (GSO983) or Δ*nusB* cells (GSO1077) harboring the

indicated plasmids. (**C**) MotR induces mRNA levels throughout the flagellar operons in WT

background (GSO983) but not in Δ*nusB* background (GSO1077). MotR was expressed from pZE

plasmid and the levels of *motB*, *cheW*, *tar*, *cheZ*, *ssrA* and *cadB* were monitored in comparison to

their levels in the pZE control vector by RT-qPCR. *cadB* served as a non-flagellar gene control

and *ssrA* served as a reference gene; the same *cadB* data is shown in both plots. Experiments

were done in three biological replicates and one-way ANOVA comparison was performed to

calculate the significance of the change in mRNA levels (ns = not significant, **** = P<0.0001).

For (**A**) and (**B**), flagella were counted for 20 cells (black dots), and a one-way ANOVA

comparison was performed to calculate the significance of the change in flagella number (ns =

not significant, **** = P<0.0001). Box plot and error bars descriptions as in Figure 2. Each

experiment was repeated three times and one representative experiment is shown.

Figure supplement 1. Effects of MotR* and S10Δloop overexpression are lost in Δ*nusB*

background.

Figure 7. MotR and FliX overproduction leads to increased and decreased expression of flagellar

genes, respectively. (**A**) MotR* induces flagellar genes. Green symbols represent flagellar

regulon genes as indicated on the graph. (**B**) FliX reduces flagellar genes. Red symbols represent

flagellar regulon genes as indicated on the graph. In (A) and (B) Differential expression analysis

was conducted with DESeq2 and threshold for differentially expressed transcripts was set to

adjusted value of $p < 0.05$. (**C**) MotR overexpression increases the activity of GFP fusions to

P_{flgB} and P_{fliL}. The activity of the promoters was monitored for 330 min by measuring the GFP

signal and dividing it with the culture OD_{600nm} in the presence of MotR expressed from pZE

plasmid. (**D**) FliX overexpression decreases the activity of GFP fusions to P_{flgB} and P_{fliL}. The

activity of the promoters was monitored for 330 min by measuring the GFP signal and dividing it

with the culture OD_{600nm} in the presence of FliX expressed from pZE plasmid. For (**A**) and (**B**),

WT (GSO983) harboring the control vector pZE or the MotR* or the FliX expression plasmid

were grown to $OD_{600} \sim 0.2$; total RNA was extracted and used for the construction of cDNA

libraries, which were analyzed as described in Materials and Methods. For (**C**) and (**D**), three

biological repeats are shown in the graph. One-way ANOVA comparison was performed to

calculate the significance of the change in GFP signal (ns = not significant, * = P<0.05, ** =

P<0.01, *** = P<0.001, **** = P<0.0001). The experiments presented in 7C and *Figure 7—*

figure supplement 2B, and in 7D and *Figure 7—figure supplement 2A*, were carried out on same

day, respectively, and the same pZE samples are shown.

Figure supplement 1. Overlap in MotR* overexpression profile with σ^{28} regulon.

Figure supplement 2. Effects of MotR* and FliX-S overexpression on P_{flgB}-*gfp,* P_{fliL}-*gfp* and

FlgJ-SPA expression.

Figure 8. Complex regulatory network of sRNAs controlling flagella synthesis. (**A**) Reduction in

flagella number in *motR-M1* mutant. (**B**) Increase in flagella number in *fliX-M1* mutant. (**C**)

Reduced motility in *motR-M1* mutant (GSO1087) based on a competition assay with its

corresponding WT (GSO1088). (**D**) No difference in motility in *fliX-M1* mutant (GSO1076)

based on a competition assay with its corresponding WT (GSO983). (**E**) Reduction in P$_{flgB}$-*gfp*

and P$_{fliL}$-*gfp* expression in *motR-M1* mutant (GSO1087) background compared to WT

background (GSO1088). (**F**) No difference in P$_{flgB}$-*gfp* and P$_{fliL}$-*gfp* expression in *fliX-M1* mutant

(GSO1076) background compared to WT background (GSO983). (**G**) σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs

control flagella synthesis at different levels. UhpU activates the flagellar regulon by repressing a

regulator of *flhDC*. MotR and FliX, respectively, activate and repress middle and the late gene

expression (dotted line indicates exact mechanism is not known, though we document base

pairing with the *fliC* mRNA). MotR and FliX also connect ribosome and flagella synthesis by

regulating genes in the S10 operon (solid line indicates documented base pairing with this

mRNA).

In (**A**) and (**B**), the number of flagella per cell detected by EM were counted for 40 cells (black

dots) for the *motR-M1* (GSO1087) and its corresponding WT (GSO1088), and for *fliX-M1*

(GSO1076) and its corresponding WT (GSO983), strains at three points in growth (OD$_{600}$ ~ 0.2,

OD$_{600}$ ~ 0.6, and OD$_{600}$ ~ 2.0). A one-way ANOVA comparison was performed to calculate the

significance of the change in flagella number (ns = not significant, ** = $P<0.01$, *** = $P<0.001$,

**** = $P<0.0001$). Each experiment was repeated three times and one representative experiment

is shown. Box plot and error bars descriptions as in Figure 2. For (**C**) and (**D**), WT or the

corresponding mutant, expressed either green fluorescent signal or red fluorescent signal by

carrying pCON1.proC-GFP or pCON1.proC-mCherry plasmid, respectively. In the left images,

WT cells expressing GFP were mixed with mutant cells expressing mCherry; in the middle

images, WT cells expressing mCherry were mixed with mutant cells expressing GFP; in the right

images, WT cells expressing GFP were mixed with WT cells expressing mCherry. The indicated

mixed cultures were spotted on a soft agar (0.3%) plate, incubated at 30˚C, and imaged after 18

1 h. For (**E**) and (**F**), three biological repeats are shown in the graph (except for P$_{fliL}$-*gfp* in *fliX-M1*,

2 for which two repeats are shown). One-way ANOVA comparison was performed to calculate the

3 significance of the change in GFP signal (ns = not significant, ** = P<0.01, *** = P<0.001, ****

4 = P<0.0001). The scale given in (**C**) is the same for all motility plates, respectively.

5 **Figure supplement 1.** Effects of chromosomal *motR-M1* and *fliX-M1* mutations.

6 **Figure supplement 2.** Conservation of σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs.

Figure Supplement Legends

Figure 1—figure supplement 1. Sequences and predicted structures of UhpU, MotR, FliX and FlgO sRNAs and effect of carbon source on sRNA levels. (**A**) Genomic regions of σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs. sRNAs sequences are in bold. The two FlgO bands (*Figure 1C* and *1D*) likely result from differential processing and the bold sequence corresponds to the higher molecular weight band. Stop codons are indicated in red whereas start codons are indicated in green. The -10 and -35 regions of the *uhpU* and *motR* promoters are in italics and underlined. Regions highlighted in blue reflect the suggested seed sequence of *uhpU* (Melamed *et al.*, 2016) and the regions that base pair with the targets tested in this study for *motR* and *fliX*. The *uhpU-S* and *fliX-S* sequences are underlined. The *uhpU-M1*, *uhpU-M2*, *motR**, *motR-M1* and *fliX-M1* mutations are labeled in the *uhpU*, *motR* and *fliX* sequences, respectively. The regions highlighted in gray denote the REP sequences in *fliX*. (**B**) Expression of σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs in cells grown in different carbon sources. Total RNA was extracted from WT (GSO983) grown to exponential phase (OD$_{600}$~0.6) in LB medium or M63 minimal medium supplemented with 0.2% of glucose, glucose-6-phosphate (G6P), ribose, maltose, or galactose or 0.4% glycerol, separated on an acrylamide gel and sequentially probed for UhpU, MotR, FliX, FlgO and 5S RNAs. This is the same membrane probed for the RbsZ sRNA and 5S RNA (same panel) in Supp. Figure S8A of (Melamed *et al.*, 2020). (**C**) Structures of UhpU-S, MotR, FliX-S and FlgO predicted by mfold (Zuker, 2003). Numbering for full-length UhpU and FliX is indicated in parentheses. Base pairing regions are highlight in blue as in (**A**).

Figure 1—figure supplement 2. UhpU, MotR, FliX and FlgO levels across growth. Expression

of σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs in cells across growth. Total RNA was extracted from WT (GSO983)

cells grown to the indicated time points. RNA was separated on an acrylamide gel and

sequentially probed for all four σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs and the 5S RNA. A full-length transcript

(~260 nt) and several processed transcripts, of which one is predominant (UhpU-S, ~60 nt), are

detected for UhpU, one prominent band (~95 nt) is detected for MotR, one prominent band

(~200 nt) is detected for FliX, and two close bands close in size (~75 nt) are detected for FlgO.

Figure 2—figure supplement 1. Expression of σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs from plasmids and the

effect of MotR and FliX overexpression on growth. (**A**) Expression of σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs

from plasmids. Total RNA was extracted from WT (GSO983), harboring a plasmid as indicated

in the figure, grown to exponential phase (OD_{600}~0.2) with or without 1 mM IPTG. RNA was

separated on an acrylamide gel and sequentially probed for an sRNA (UhpU, MotR, FliX or

FlgO) and 5S RNAs. Higher bands were detected for MotR and FliX expressed from the pZE

plasmid, likely due to some terminator readthrough. (**B**) Growth curves of WT (GSO983),

harboring a plasmid as indicated in the figure, in LB medium. Overnight cultures were diluted to

$OD_{600} = 0.05$ and cell growth was monitored for 440 min by OD_{600} measurements.

Figure 2—figure supplement 2. Effects of MotR* and FliX-S overexpression on flagella

number and motility. (**A**) Increase in flagella number with MotR* overexpression based on EM

analysis for WT (*crl*⁻) cells carrying an empty vector or overexpressing MotR*. (**B**) No

significant change in motility with MotR* overexpression based on motility in 0.3% agar for WT

(*crl*⁺) cells carrying an empty vector or overexpressing MotR*. (**C**) Reduction in flagella number

with FliX-S overexpression based on EM analysis for WT (*crl⁻*) cells carrying an empty vector or

overexpressing FliX-S. (**D**) Reduced motility with FliX-S overexpression based on motility in

0.3% agar for WT (*crl⁻*) cells carrying an empty vector or overexpressing FliX-S. The scales

given in (**A**) and (**B**) are the same for all EM images and all motility plates, respectively.

Figure 3—figure supplement 1. Interactomes for σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs. (**A**) Circos plots

showing σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs targets that were detected in at least four of the six RIL-seq

conditions. Each sRNA has a unique pattern of partners. UhpU is hub that binds hundreds of

targets, MotR and FliX have smaller datasets and FlgO only has one target even though it is one

of the most abundant sRNAs on Hfq. Targets that were addressed in the paper are labeled on the

plots. Circos plots were drawn using R RCircos Package (Zhang *et al.*, 2013b). (**B**) Schematic

representation of the S10 operon with positions of sRNA binding. sRNAs that have more than

100 chimeras with genes from the S10 operon are shown. Numbers in the brackets represent the

number of chimeras. Data analyzed is from (RIL-seq experiment 1, (Melamed *et al.*, 2020)).

Figure 4—figure supplement 1. Effects of UhpU, MotR* and FliX-S overexpression on

flagellin and *fliC* mRNA levels. (**A**) An expanded view of the immunoblot analysis shown in

Figure 4B. UhpU and MotR overexpression leads to increased flagellin levels and FliX

overexpression leads to reduced flagellin levels. Bacterial cells were fractionated to separate the

flagellin cytosolic monomers from the polymerized flagella, and flagellin levels were determined

by immunoblot analysis using α-FliC antibody. Numbers represent the fold change relative to the

relevant pZE sample. (**B**) Northern blot analysis showing MotR* overexpression increases *fliC*

mRNA levels and FliX-S overexpression reduces *fliC* mRNA levels. The 5S RNA served as a

loading control. The differences in *fliC* levels in the pZE control samples is due differences in

the length of exposure. (C) The membranes used in *Figure 4C* and *Figure 4-figure supplement*

1B were re-probed for the corresponding sRNA overexpressed from plasmid.

Figure 4—figure supplement 2. *In vitro* structural probing of interaction between UhpU, MotR

and FliX sRNAs with *fliC* mRNA. *In vitro* transcribed and ^{32}P-labeled *fliC* fragments (-70 to 220

and 171 to 460 relative to the AUG of the *fliC* open reading frame) were treated with RNase III

for 1.5 min or lead for 10 min with or without Hfq and unlabeled UhpU, UhpU-M2, MotR,

MotR-M1, FliX-S and FliX-S-M1, and separated, alongside T1 ladder, on a sequencing gel.

Changes in cleavage patterns due to the presence of an sRNA, which overlap regions of

predicted base pairing, are indicated by the thick red brackets. Asterisks mark increased

cleavage, possibly suggesting a change in folding, in the presence of either WT or mutant MotR,

and the thin red brackets indicate a possible second site of MotR base pairing. Changes in the

cleavage patterns due to Hfq binding are indicated by black brackets. Based on more relative

cleavage with RNase III and less cleavage with lead, the *fliC*$_{-70 \text{ to } 220}$ is more double-stranded than

the *fliC*$_{171-460}$ fragment. Numbering is from AUG of *fliC* CDS.

Figure 5—figure supplement 1. Effects of MotR mutants on flagella number and *rpsJ*

expression. (**A**) MotR-M1 overexpression does not increase flagella number. Quantification of

the number of flagella per cell by electron microscopy analysis for WT (GSO983) cells

harboring the plasmids as indicated in the figure. One-way ANOVA comparison was performed

to calculate the significance of the change in flagella number (ns = not significant, **** =

P<0.0001). Box plot and error bars descriptions as in *Figure 2*. (**B**) MotR induces *rpsJ-gfp* levels

only when the S10 leader and MotR binding sites are present. S10 leader sequence and

secondary structure based on (Zengel *et al.*, 2002) (top). Hfq binding region is highlighted in

blue. *rpsJ* start codon is labeled in green. The first boxed letters represent S10 leader terminator,

and the second boxed letters represent the Shine-Dalgarno sequence. Lines (middle) represent

the parts of the sequences that were assayed in the GFP reporter assay in bottom panel. Reporter

assays of various *rpsJ-gfp* fusions expressed from pXG10-SF with MotR* expressed from pZE.

One-way ANOVA comparison was performed to calculate the significance of the change in GFP

signal (ns = not significant, ** = P<0.01, **** = P<0.0001). (**C**) Northern blot analysis of total

RNA from *hfq*[+] (GSO614), and *hfqY25D* (GSO1110) grown to OD_{600}~0.2. The *hfq+* and

hfqY25D strains carried pZE and pZE-MotR*. Similar levels of MotR* RNA were detected for

the *hfq+* and *hfqY25D* mutant backgrounds. Membranes were probed for MotR and then for 5S

RNA as a loading control.

Figure 5—figure supplement 2. *In vitro* structural probing of interaction between MotR sRNA

and *rpsJ* mRNA*,* and FliX sRNA and *rpsS* mRNA. (**A**) *In vitro* transcribed and ^{32}P-labeled MotR

was treated with RNase T1 for 10 min, lead for 10 min or RNase III for 1.5 min with or without

rpsJ, *rplC* and Hfq, and separated, alongside an OH and T1 ladder, on a sequencing gel. Changes

in cleavage pattern due to the presence of *rpsJ*, overlapping region of predicted base pairing, are

indicated by thick blue brackets. Thin blue brackets indicate a possible second site of base

pairing. Numbering is from +1 of MotR. (**B**) *In vitro* transcribed and ^{32}P-labeled S10 leader and

rpsJ was treated with RNase T1 for 10 min, lead for 10 min or RNase III for 1.5 min with or

without MotR, FliX-S and Hfq, and separated, alongside an OH and T1 ladder, on a sequencing

gel. Changes in cleavage pattern due to the presence of MotR, overlapping region of predicted

base pairing, are indicated by thick red brackets, and changes in the cleavage patterns due to Hfq

binding are indicated by black brackets. Numbering is from +1 of *rpsJ* mRNA. (**C**) *In vitro*

transcribed and ^{32}P-labeled FliX-S (with extra 3 nt on its 5´ end as specified in *Supplementary*

file 3) was treated with RNase T1 for 10 min, lead for 10 min or RNase III for 1.5 min with or

without *rpsS*, *rpsJ*, *rplC* and Hfq, and separated, alongside an OH and T1 ladder, on a

sequencing gel. Changes in cleavage pattern due to the presence of *rpsS*, overlapping region of

predicted base pairing, are indicated by thick blue brackets. Numbering is from +1 of FliX. (**D**)

In vitro transcribed and ^{32}P-labeled *rpsS* was treated with RNase T1 for 10 min, lead for 10 min

or RNase III for 1.5 min with or without MotR, FliX-S and Hfq, and separated, alongside an OH

and T1 ladder, on a sequencing gel. Changes in cleavage pattern due to the presence of FliX-S,

overlapping region of predicted base pairing, are indicated by thick red brackets. Numbering is

from AUG of *rpsS* CDS.

Figure 5—figure supplement 3. *In vivo* effects of FliX and FliX-S overproduction on *rpsS*

mRNA. Total RNA was extracted from a WT strain (GSO983) harboring the indicated plasmids

at OD_{600} ~ 0.6 and then subject to primer extension analysis using a primer hybridizing

downstream of FliX binding site on the *rpsS* mRNA.

Figure 6—figure supplement 1. Effects of MotR* and S10Δloop overexpression are lost in

Δ*nusB* background. (**A**) S10Δloop effect is eliminated in Δ*nusB* background. The number of

flagella per cell detected by electron microscopy were counted for WT (GSO983) or Δ*nusB*

(GSO1077) cells harboring the indicated plasmids. Flagella were counted for 20 cells (black

dots), and a one-way ANOVA comparison was performed to calculate the significance of the

change in flagella number (ns = not significant, *** = P<0.001). Box plot and error bars

descriptions as in Figure 2. (**B**) MotR* effect on flagellar operons is eliminated in Δ*nusB*

background (GSO1077). MotR* was expressed from pZE plasmid and the levels of *motB*, *cheW*,

tar, *cheZ*, *ssrA* and *cadB* were monitored in comparison to their levels in the pZE control vector

by RT-qPCR. *cadB* served as a non-flagellar gene control and *ssrA* served as a reference gene.

Experiments were done in 3 biological replicates and one-way ANOVA comparison was

performed to calculate the significance of the change in mRNA levels (ns = not significant, ***

= P<0.001, **** = P<0.0001).

Figure 7—figure supplement 1. Overlap in MotR* overexpression profile with σ^{28} regulon. (**A**)

Deletion of *fliA* reduces σ^{28}-dependent genes. RNA-seq data for Δ*fliA* from (Fitzgerald *et al.*,

2014) was reanalyzed. Differential expression analysis was conducted with DESeq2 and

threshold for differentially expressed transcripts was set to adjusted value of p < 0.05. Red

symbols represent flagella regulon genes as indicated on the graph. (**B**) On top is the motif found

for promoters of transcription units for genes whose expression increased the most (FDR = 0.05

and ≥2 fold) upon MotR* overexpression (E-value: $5.1e^{-14}$). Of the 70 total sequences analyzed,

25 contain the motif. The bottom motif corresponds to a σ^{28} recognition motif (Fitzgerald et al.

2014).

Figure 7—figure supplement 2. Effects of MotR* and FliX-S overexpression on P*flgB*-*gfp,* P*fliL*-

gfp and FlgJ-SPA expression. (**A**) MotR* overexpression increases the activity of GFP fusions to

P$_{flgB}$ and P$_{fliL}$. The activity of the promoters was monitored for 330 min by measuring the GFP

signal and dividing it with the culture OD$_{600nm}$ in the presence of MotR* expressed from pZE

plasmid. (**B**) FliX-S overexpression decreases the activity of GFP fusions to P_{flgB} and P_{fliL}. The

activity of the promoters was monitored for 330 min by measuring the GFP signal and dividing it

with the culture OD_{600nm} in the presence of FliX-S expressed from pZE plasmid. (**C**) MotR*

increases the levels of SPA-tagged FlgJ. FlgJ-SPA levels across growth of WT (GSO1080) cells

in the presence of MotR* expressed from pZE plasmid were determined by immunoblot analysis

using α-FLAG antibody. (**D**) FliX-S reduces the levels of SPA tagged-FlgJ. FlgJ-SPA levels

across growth of WT (GSO1080) cells in the presence of FliX-S expressed from pZE plasmid

were determined by immunoblot analysis using α-FLAG antibody. For (**A**) and (**B**), three

biological repeats are shown in the graph. One-way ANOVA comparison was performed to

calculate the significance of the change in GFP signal (ns = not significant, * = P<0.05, ** =

P<0.01, *** = P<0.001, **** = P<0.0001). The experiments presented in 7C and *Figure 7—*

figure supplement 2B, and in 7D and *Figure 7—figure supplement 2A*, were carried out on same

day, respectively, and the same pZE samples are shown. For (**C**) and (**D**), the Ponceau S-stained

membrane serves as a loading control.

Figure 8—figure supplement 1. Effects of chromosomal *motR-M1* and *fliX-M1* mutations. (**A**)

Northern blot analysis of total RNA from WT (GSO1088), and *motR-M1* (GSO1087) grown to

OD_{600}~1.0. The levels of MotR-M1 expressed from the chromosome are comparable to those of

WT MotR. Membranes were probed for MotR and then for 5S RNA as a loading control. (**B**)

Northern blot analysis of total RNA from WT (GSO983), and *fliX-M1* (GSO1076) grown to

OD_{600}~1.0. The levels of full length FliX-M1 expressed from the chromosome are lower than

WT FliX. Membranes were probed for FliX and then for 5S RNA as a loading control. (**C**)

Reduced motility in *motR-M1* mutant (GSO1087) compared to corresponding WT strain

(GSO1088) based on motility assays with 0.3% agar. (**D**) No change in motility of *fliX-M1*

mutant (GSO1076) compared to corresponding WT strain (GSO983) based on motility assays

with 0.3% agar. (**E**) Reduced FlgJ-SPA levels in a *motR-M1* mutant. SPA-tagged FlgJ levels

across growth in WT (GSO1081) and in *motR-M1* mutant (GSO1082) were monitored. (**F**)

Slightly increased in FlgJ-SPA levels in a *fliX-M1* mutant. SPA-tagged FlgJ levels across growth

in WT (GSO1080) and in *fliX-M1* mutant (GSO1083) were monitored. Northern blot analysis

showing (**G**) delayed expression of *fliC* mRNA in *motR-M1* background and (**H**) advanced

expression in *fliX-M1* background.(**H**). Graphs in (**C**) and (**D**) show the average of nine

biological replicates, and error bars represent one SD. One-way ANOVA comparison was

performed to calculate the significance of the change in motility (ns = not significant, *** =

$P<0.0001$). In (**E**) and (**F**), FlgJ-SPA levels were determined by immunoblot analysis using α-

FLAG antibody. The Ponceau S-stained membrane serves as a loading control. In (**G**) and (**H**),

fliC mRNA levels were determined by northern analysis as in Figure 4. RNA was separated on

an acrylamide gel and probed for *fliC* and then for the 5S RNA as a loading control.

Figure 8—figure supplement 2. Conservation of σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs. σ^{28}-dependent sRNAs

sequences were tested for their conservation across the Gammaproteobacteria, revealing that

their conservation in closely-related Enterobacteriaceael organisms. Sequences from

representative bacterial species are aligned as follows. Gammaproteobacteria genomes were

downloaded from PATRIC database (Davis *et al.*, 2020) and searched for the query sRNAs using

BLAST (Altschul *et al.*, 1990), filtering for matches with at least 80% identity over 80% of the

query sRNA sequence. Genomes containing each sRNA were marked as so on a text file. The

process was written as a Nextflow pipeline (Di Tommaso *et al.*, 2017) and is available on github:

https://github.com/asafpr/sRNA_finder. The analysis results were confirmed by aligning the

sRNAs sequences to representative Gamma proteobacteria species. The *uhpT* and *flhC* stop

codons are indicated in lower case. Regions highlighted in blue reflect the suggested seed

sequence of *uhpU* (Melamed *et al.*, 2016) and the regions that base pair with the targets tested in

this study for *motR* and *fliX*. The *uhpU-S* and *fliX-S* sequences are underlined. Nucleotides that

differ from the *E. coli* MG1655 sequence are indicated in red. The abbreviations in the figure

represent the following species: *Escherichia coli* MG1655 (*E. coli* MG1655), *Escherichia coli*

O127:H6 (EPEC), *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 (EHEC), *Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium*

(*S. typhimurium*), *Shigella flexneri* (*S. flexneri*), *Enterobacter hormaechei* (*E. hormaechei*), and

Citrobacter youngae (*C. youngae*). *S. typhimurium* and *C. youngae* are missing from the

alignment for FliX as the *fliX* region was missing in these species.

A

2,405,800   2,407,000

39 UhpU chimeras

456 ArcZ chimeras

382 RprA chimeras

4,843 McaS chimeras

lrhA

D

B

lrhA-M1

G

lrhA   −23   GAGCCGCCAGU   −13

|||| |:|||||

UhpU   235   UUCAGUGGUCA   225

C

UhpU-M1

E

lrhA   −93   AACUUGCCAGGGAGG   −80

||| ::|||||||::

ArcZ   76   UUG-GUGGUCCCUUU   66

lrhA   −50   UGUGCACAGCA   −40

|:::|||||||

RprA   72   AUGUGUGUCGU   62

lrhA   −51   GUGUGCACAGCA   −40

||:::|||||||

McaS   56   CAUGUGUGUCGU   45

C

F

A

B

<table>
</table>

A

2,002,000

2,003,600

1,152 UhpU chimeras

1,154 MotR chimeras

4,346 FliX chimeras

fliX   *fliC*

B

crl⁻ kDa	pBR*	pBR*-UhpU	kDa	pZE	pZE-MotR	pZE-MotR*	pZE-FliX	pZE-FliX-S	WT	Δ*fliC*	
95			95								
72			72								flagellin
55			55								
43			43								

Ponceau S

C

crl⁺	0.2	0.6	1	2	5	0.2	0.6	1	2	5	OD₆₀₀

pBR*   pBR*-UhpU

nt
6,000
4,000
3,000
2,000
1,500
1,000

fliC

5S

crl⁻   pZE   pZE-MotR

6,000
4,000
3,000
2,000
1,500
1,000

fliC

5S

crl⁻   pZE   pZE-FliX

6,000
4,000
3,000
2,000
1,500
1,000

fliC

5S

D

```
fliC    58 AAGA-ACCAGUC-------UG-CGCUGUCGA 79
           ||| ||||||        || |:|||||:|
UhpU   235 UUCAGUGGUCAAUGCAAAUACGGUGACAGUU 205
                                     GUC
                                   UhpU-M2

fliC   157 CGUUUCACC---UC 167
           ||::||||| ||
MotR    53 GCGGAGUGGCAUAG 40
                  CAC
                MotR-M1

fliC   407 ACG-UGCUGGCAAA 419
           ||| |||||:||||
FliX   167 UGCAACGACUGUUU 154
                 UGC
               FliX-M1
```

A

3,452,900   3,453,400

918 MotR chimeras

■ pZE
■ pZE-MotR*
■ overlap

MotR binding   Hfq binding

rplC   *rpsJ*   S10 leader

B

                    rpsJ-M1
                     GUG
rpsJ   313 GCGCUUCACUGU 324
              |:||| :|||||: ||
MotR   54   CGCGGAGUGGCA 43
                    CAC
                  MotR-M1

C

rpsJ-gfp

Fluorescence: 15,000 / 10,000 / 5,000 / 0

****
***

pZE / pZE-MotR / pZE-MotR*

D

3XFLAG-rpsJ

kDa
34
26
17   α-FLAG
10

34
26
17   Ponceau S
10

pZE / pZE-MotR / pZE-MotR* / pZE-MotR-M1 / pZE-MotR*-M1

E

Pb

rpsJ   *rpsJ-M1*
MotR – – WT M1   – – WT M1
Hfq   – + + +   – + + +   T1

F

3,448,300   3,448,900   3,452,200   3,453,000   3,449,800   3,450,400

380 FliX chimeras   1,161 FliX chimeras   2,244 FliX chimeras

rpsQ *rpmC* *rplP*   *rplD* *rplC* *rpsJ*   *rpsC* *rplV* *rpsS*

G

rpsQ 229 CGCGUUGUAGAGAAA 243
             |:|||||: || |||
FliX   168 GUGCAACGACUGUUU 154

rplC 302 UUGCUGACG 310
             ||||||||||
FliX   164 AACGACUGU 156

                              rpsS-M1
                               ACG
rpsS 244 GGCCACGCUGCUGAUAAA 261
             :: |||| |||||||:|||
FliX   171 UUCGUGCAACGACUGUUU 154
                    UGC
                  FliX-M1

H

rpsQ-gfp

14,000
12,000
10,000
8,000
6,000
4,000
2,000
0

****
****

pZE / pZE-FliX / pZE-FliX-S

rplC-gfp

14,000
12,000
10,000
8,000
6,000
4,000
2,000
0

**
****

pZE / pZE-FliX / pZE-FliX-S

rpsS-rplV-gfp

2,500
2,000
1,500
1,000
500
0

*
**

pZE / pZE-FliX / pZE-FliX-S

I

RNase III

rpsS   *rpsS-M1*
FliX-S – – WT M1   – – WT M1
Hfq   – + + +   – + + +   T1

A

WT compared to Δ*fliA*

- ● changed
- ● not changed
- ● Class 1
- ■ Class 2
- ▲ Class 2/3
- ▼ Class 3

B

motif for ≥2-fold increase

σ^{28} recognition motif

UhpU

E. coli MG1655	AGGCACCTTTGCTTACCTGATTGGTGACAGCTTTGCCAAGTTAGGTCTGGGAATGATTGCCGATGGGACGCCGGTATTCGGCCTTACCGGCTGGG
EPEC	AGGCACCTTCGCTTACCTGATTGGTGACAGCTTTGCCAAGTTAGGGCTGGGGATGATTGCCGATGGTACGCCGGTATTCGGCCTTACCGGCTGGG
EHEC	AGGCACCTTCGCTTACCTGATTGGTGACAGCTTTGCCAAGTTAGGTCTGGGAATGATTGCCGATGGGACGCCAGTATTCGGCCTTACCGGCTGGG
S. typhimurium	GGGCACCTTCGCTTATCTTATCGGCGATAGCTTTGCCAAGCTGGGTCTGGGCATGATTGCCGACGGCACCCCGTTTTTGGTCTGACCGGCTGGG
S. flexneri	AGGCACCTTTGCTTACCTGATTGGTGACAGCTTTGCCAAGTTAGGTCTGGGAATGATTGCCGATGGGACGCCGGTATTCGGCCTTACCGGCTGGG
E. hormaechei	AGGCACCTTCGCTTACCTGATTGGTGACAGCTTTGCCAAGTTAGGTCTGGGAATGATTGCCGATGGGACGCCGGTATTTGGCCTTACCGGCTGGG
C. youngae	AGGCACCTTTCGCTTATCTGATTGGTGACAGCTTCGCCAAGCTCGGTTTGGGGATGATTGCCGACGGCACGCCGATTTCGGGCTGACCGGCTGGG

E. coli MG1655	CAGGCACCTTCGCCGCGCTGGATATCGCCGCGATTGGTTGTATCTGCCTGATGGCGATAGTGGCGGTAATGGAAGAACGCAAAATCCGCCGCGAG
EPEC	CAGGCACCTTCGCCGCGCTGGATATCGCCGCGATTGGTTGTATCTGCCTGATGGCGATAGTGGCGGTGATGGAAGAACGCAAAATCCGTCGCGAG
EHEC	CAGGCACCTTCGCCGCGCTGGATATCGCCGCGATTGGTTGTATCTGCCTGATGGCGATAGTGGCGGTGATGGAAGAACGCAAAATCCGCCGCGAG
S. typhimurium	CGGGCACGTTCGCCGCGCTGGACGCCGCCGCGATCGGCTGTATCTGCCTGATGGCGATGGTCGCCGTGATGGAAGAGCGCAAAATCCGCCGTGAG
S. flexneri	CAGGCACCTTCGCCGCACTTGATATCGCCGCGATTGGTTGTATCTGCCTGATGGCGATAGTGGCGGTGATGGAAGAACGCAAAATCCGCCGCGAG
E. hormaechei	CAGGCACCTTCGCCGCGCTGGATATCGCCGCTATTGGTTGTATCTGCCTGATGGCGATAGTGGCGGTGATGGAAGAACGCAAAATCCGCCGCGAG
C. youngae	CGGGCACTTTCGCCGCGCTGGATGCTGCCGCTATCGGTTGTATCTGTCTGATGGCCATCGTGGCTGTGTTTGAAGAACGTAAAATTCGCCGCGAG

uhpT stop

E. coli MG1655	AAAAAAATTCAGCAGTTGACAGTGGCAtaa----ACGT----AACTGGTGAC-TTTTGCCCGGCATGACGCCGGGCTTTTTTT
EPEC	AAAAAAATTCAGCAGTTGACAGTGGCAtaa---ACGT----AACTGGTGAC-TTTTGCCCGGCATGACGCCGGGCTTTTTTT
EHEC	AAAAAAATTCAGCAGTTGACAGTGGCAtaa---ACGT----AACTGGTGAC-TTTTGCCCGGCATGACGCCGGGCTTTTTTT
S. typhimurium	AAAAAAATTCAGCAAGTCAATATCGCTtaa---ATGTGTGCATTTGGTAACGTTTTGCCCGGCTTAACGCCGGGCTTTTTAT
S. flexneri	AAAAAAATTCAGCAGTTGACAGTGGCAtaa---ACGT----AACTGGTGAC-TTTTGCCCGGCATGACGCCGGGCTTTTTGG
E. hormaechei	AAAAAAATTCAGCAGTTGACAGTGGCAtaa---ACGT----AACTGGTGAC-TTTTGCCCGGCATGACGCCGGGCTTTTTTT
C. youngae	AAAAAAATTCAACAATTGAAAGTAGCTtaaGTTAAGTGTGC-ATTGGTAAC-TTTTGCCCGCCTTAATGGCGGGCTTTTTA

MotR

flhC stop

E. coli MG1655	ACAACTGCTGGATGAACAGAGAGTACAGGCTGTTtaacCTGATACGGTGAGGCGCAACATTCCAGCAGCGGTAACGACGTACCGCTGCTTTTTTTT
EPEC	ACAACTGCTGGATGAACAGAGAGTACAGGCTGTTtaacCTGATACGGTGTGGCGAAACATTCCAGCAGCGGTAACGACGTACCGCTGCTTTTTTTT
EHEC	ACAACTGCTGGATGAACAGAGAGTACAGGCTGTTtaacCTGATACGGTGTGGCGCAACATTCCAGCAGCGGTAACGACGTACCGCTGCTTTTTTTT
S. typhimurium	ACAACTGCTGGATGAACAGATCGAACAGGCTGTTtaacCGAAACGGTGTGGACAAACACTCCAGCAGCGGTAAGTCATTACCGCTGCTTTTTTTT
S. flexneri	ACAACTGCTGGATGAACAGAGAGTACAGGCTGTTtaacCTGATACGGTGTGGCGCAACATGCCAGCAGCGGTAACGACGTACCGCTGCTTTTTTTT
E. hormaechei	ACAACTGCTGGATGAACAGAGAGTACAGGCTGTTtaacCTGATACGGTGTGGCGAAACATTCCAGCAGCGGTAACGATGTACCGCTGCTTTTTTTT
C. youngae	ACAACTGCTGGATGAACAGATCGAACAGGCTGTTtaacCTGATATGGTGTGGATGAACACTCCAGCAGCGGTAAGCGATTACCGCTGCTTTTTTTT

FliX

E. coli MG1655	TTGTAACCTGATTAACTGAGACTGACGGCAACGCCAAATTGCCTGATGCGCTGCGCTTATCAGGCCTACAAGTTGAATTGCAATTTATTGAATTTGCA
EPEC	TCGTAACCTGATTAACTGAGACTGACGGCAACGCCAAATTGCCTGATGCGCTGCGCTTATCAGGCCTACAAGGGGAATTGCAATTTATTGAATTTGCA
EHEC	TTGTAACCTGATTAACTGAGACTGACGGCAACGCCAAATTGCCTGATGCGCTGCGCTTATCAGGCCTACAAGTTGAATTGCAATTTATTGAATTTGCA
S. flexneri	TCGTAACCTGATTAACTGAGACTGACGGCAACGCCAAATTGCCTGATGCGCTGCACTTATCAGGCCTACAAGGTGAATTGCAATTTATTGAATTTGCA
E. hormaechei	TCGTAACCTGATTAACTGAGACTGGCGGCAACGCCAAATTGCCTGATGCGCTGCGCTTATCAGGCCTACAAGGTGAATTGCAATTTATTTAATTTGCA

E. coli MG1655	CATTTTTGTAGGCCGGATAAGGCGTTTACGCCGCATCCGGCAACATAAAGCGCAATTTGTCAGCAACGTGCTTCCCCGCCCACCGGCGGGGTTTTTTT
EPEC	CATTTTTGTAGGCCGGATAAGGCGTTTACGCCGCATCCGGCAACATGAATGCGTAATTTGTCAGCAACGTGCTTCCCCGCCACCGGCGGGGTTTTTTT
EHEC	CATTCCTTGTAGGCCGGATAAGGCGTTTACGCCGCATCCGGCAACATAAAGCGCAATTTGTTAGCAACGTGCTTCCCCGCCACCGGCGGGGTTTTTTC
S. flexneri	CATTTTTGTAGGCCGGATAAGGCGTTTACGCCGCATCCGGCAACATAAAGCGCAATTTGTCAGCAACGTGCTTCCCCGCCACCGGCGGGGTTTTTC
E. hormaechei	GATTTTTGTAGGCCGGATAAGGCGT-TACGCCGCATCCGGCAACATGAAGCGTAATTTGTCAGCAACGTGCTTCCCCGCCAACGCGGGGTTTTTTT

FlgO

E. coli MG1655	TTTCGCTTTAAAACATATCATGAAACTGGGTATGTTTTGTCTGCCTGCTC--TGGGATCGCTGGGGCGGGCATTTTTTT
EPEC	GCTCGCTTTAAAACATATCATGAAACTGGGTATGTTTTGTCTGCCCGCTC--TGGGATCGCCGGGGCGGGCATTTTTTT
EHEC	GCTCGCTTTAAAACATATCATGAAACTGGGTATGTTTTGTCTGCCTGCTC--TGGGATCGCCGGGGCGGGCATTTTTTT
S. typhimurium	CCTCTTTTTGAAACATATCACGAAACTGGATATGTTTTGTCTGCCCGCGC--CATCCACCCGGCGCGGGCATTTTTTT
S. flexneri	GCTCGCTTTAAAACATATCATGAAACTGGGTATGTTTTGTCTGCCCGCTC--AGGGATCGCCGGGGCGGGCATTTTTTT
E. hormaechei	GCTCGCTTTAAAACATATCATGAAACTGGGTATGTTTTGTCTGCCCGCTC--CGGGATCGCCGGGGCGGGCATTTTTTT
C. youngae	CCTCGTTTTGAAACATATCTTGAAACTGGGTATGTTT-GTCTGCCCGCTCCTTTAACACCCGGAGCGGGCATTTTTTT