1   **Nuclear Lamina binds the EBV genome during latency and regulates viral gene**

2   **expression**

Lisa Beatrice Caruso[1], Rui Guo[2-4], Sarah Boyle[1], Kelsey Keith[5], Jozef Madzo[5], Jason

Wasserman[6], Andrew Kossenkov[1], Benjamin E. Gewurz[2-4] and Italo Tempera[1#].

1 The Wistar Institute, Philadelphia, PA 19104

2 Division of Infectious Diseases, Brigham & Women's Hospital, Boston, MA 02115

3 Department of Microbiology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115

4 Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Cambridge, MA 02142

5 The Coriell Institute for Medical Research, Camden, NJ 08103

6 The Fels Cancer Institute for Personalized Medicine, School of Medicine Temple University,

Philadelphia, PA 19140

#Address correspondence to Italo Tempera, itempera@wistar.org

ABSTRACT

The Epstein Barr virus (EBV) establishes a persistent latent infection in almost 90% of the

population worldwide. EBV latent infection is associated with several malignancies of epithelial

and lymphoid origin. In latently infected cells, the EBV genome persists as a chromatinized

episome that expresses a limited set of viral genes. Latent genes are expressed in different

patterns that are referred to as latency types. Latency types coincide with varying stages of EBV

infection and various malignancies. Our previous work demonstrated that latency types correlate

with differences in the composition and structure of the EBV episome. Several cellular factors,

including nuclear lamina, regulate chromatin composition and chromatin architecture. The

interaction with nuclear lamina has already been studied in the context of EBV lytic reactivation.

Still, the role of nuclear lamina in controlling EBV latency has not been investigated. Here, we

reported that nuclear lamina is also another essential epigenetic regulator of the EBV episome.

First, we observed that in B cells, EBV infection affects the composition of nuclear lamina by

inducing the expression of Lamin A/C, and Lamin A/C is present only in EBV+ B cells expressing

the Type III latency program. By ChIP-seq, we determined that lamins, Lamin B1 and Lamin A/C,

bind the EBV genome, and their binding correlates with deposition of the histone repressive mark

H3K9me2. By RNA-seq, we observed that the knock-out of Lamin A/C alters EBV gene

expression in B cells and decreases H3K9me2 levels across the viral genome. Our data indicate

that the interaction between lamins and the EBV episome contributes to the epigenetic control of

viral genes expression during latency, suggesting a restrictive function of the nuclear lamina in

the host response against the intrusion of viral DNA into the nucleus.

AUTHOR SUMMARY

Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) is a common herpesvirus that establishes lifelong latent infection in a small fraction of the B cells of infected individuals. In most cases, EBV infection is asymptomatic; however, especially in the context of immune suppression, EBV latent infection is associated with several malignancies. In EBV+ cancer cells, latent viral gene expression plays an essential role in sustaining the cancer phenotype. In previous works, we and others established that epigenetic modifications of the viral genomes are critical to regulating EBV gene expression during latency. Understanding how the EBV genome is epigenetically regulated during latent infection can help us develop new specific therapeutic targets for treating EBV+ malignancies. Nuclear Lamina plays an essential role in regulating the composition and the structure of cellular chromatin. In the present study, we determined that Nuclear Lamina binds the EBV genome during latency, influencing viral gene expression in the present study. Depleting one component of the nuclear lamina, Lamin A/C, increases the expression of latent EBV genes that are usually associated with cellular proliferation, indicating that the binding of the nuclear lamina with the viral genome is essential to control viral gene expression in infected cells. Our data show for the first time that the nuclear lamina may be involved in the cellular response against EBV infection by restricting viral gene expression.

INTRODUCTION

Epstein Barr Virus (EBV) is a human gamma-herpesvirus highly present worldwide; it's estimate it can affect almost 95% of the population [1-4]. EBV is known as the cause of infectious mononucleosis, and it is also associated with various malignant diseases such as Burkitt lymphoma, Hodgkin's lymphoma, gastric cancer, and nasopharyngeal carcinoma [5-9] . EBV can infect both epithelial and B cells in either a latent or lytic state [10-13]. The latent infection is a strategy adopted by EBV to remain indefinitely and undisturbed in the host, evading the host

immune surveillance, in this state, the virus genome can persist as a multicopy nuclear episomes

[14, 15]. Moreover, EBV-induced B cell transformation is driven by a few viral proteins that induce

transformation and proliferation[16]. Transformation occurs while the virus is in a latent, not lytic,

state. During latent infection, EBV can express different pattern of viral genes – referred as latency

types – that induce different responses in the infected cells [1, 12, 17-20]

Type III latency is characterized by the expression of genes that are necessary to induce B-

cell activation and proliferation, all the EBV latent proteins are expressed, among these we have

the six nuclear antigens (EBNA1, EBNA2, EBNA3A, EBNA3B, EBNA3C and EBNA-LP), and

three membrane proteins (LMP1, LMP2A and LMP2B). Type I is characterized by the expression

of only the viral protein EBNA1, which is necessary for viral DNA replication and episome

maintenance by tethering the EBV genome to host chromosomes. Switching between different

latency types is an essential strategy for EBV to persist in the host and evade immune detection.

those epigenetic modifications and chromatin modifying enzymes play an important role in latency

switching during EBV latency [13].

The EBV-host interaction plays a crucial role in EBV latency switching and many elements

can be involved in this reciprocation. Indeed, We and other have previously reported the

importance of histone modifications [21-23] [24]and chromatin three-dimensional organization of

the EBV genome in the regulation of viral gene expression during latency [21, 25-28]. Several

studies have revealed that in eukaryotes, chromatin composition and chromatin structure can be

influenced by their interaction of genomic region with the nuclear lamina. These interactions occur

through regions of chromatin called lamina-associated domains (LADs)[29-31]. LADs are

considered to be regions that have the ability to interact with the lamina, but do not necessarily

do so at all times [32, 33]. When LADs are localized to the nuclear periphery, their genes are

often poorly expressed and their chromatin is strongly associated with repressive heterochromatin

marks such as H3K9me2 [34-36]. Thus, processes that associate LADs with the nuclear periphery

are expected to downregulate gene expression of LAD regions but also can also play a role in

other nuclear functions that require the rearrangement of the chromatin structure, for example,

DNA replication and repair [37].

The nuclear lamina (NL) is a filamentous protein network at the nuclear periphery formed by

proteins known as lamins. These proteins can be grouped into two different families: type A and

B lamins and this classification is based on their sequence homologies and molecular weights.

Lamins B (B1 and B2) are ubiquitously expressed in every mammalian cell at each stage of

differentiation by two different genes (LMNB1 and LMNB2). While lamins A (A and C) are encoded

by the same gene (LMNA) who is differently spliced, and they are expressed only in differentiated

cells. These protein families are also different with respect to their cellular localization, while B

lamins localize only at the nuclear periphery, A and C lamins are present also at the nuclear

interior [38-43].

Several groups have demonstrated that nuclear lamins play an antiviral role during replication of

herpesviruses, including EBV, HSV1 and HSV2, and HCMV, by functioning as a physical barrier

that prevents the egress of viral capsid. Herpesviruses code for viral proteins that target nuclear

lamina during lytic infection. For example, the EBV protein BGLF4 is a viral kinase that interacts

with the nuclear lamina and cause nuclear lamina degradation by phosphorylation of Lamin A [44-

50]. These results clearly underscore the anti-viral role of nuclear lamina during lytic infection.

However, whether the nuclear lamina plays an antiviral role also during EBV latency is still

unknown. Here, we investigated the role of nuclear lamins in the control of the EBV latency states

and its function as epigenetic regulator of the different EBV genes expression profiles observed.

We found that infection of B cells with EBV changes nuclear lamina composition by inducing

Lamin A/C expression. We observed also that expression of Lamin A/C is maintained during Type

III latency but is lost in Type I latency. We found as consequence of these changes that in Type I

Lamin B1 binds several regions of the EBV genome while in Type III cells Lamin A/C binds only

a few regulatory viral regions. We observed that regions of the EBV genome repressed by nuclear

lamina binding were enriched for the repressive histone mark H3K9me2. Finally, we found that in

Type III, knock-out of Lamina A/C or inhibition of H2K9me2 deposition increases EBV gene

expression.

Altogether, our data suggest that nuclear lamins contribute to the epigenetic control of EBV genes

expression during latency by changing the interaction of the host structural proteins with key

regulatory regions in the viral genome and important for the mechanisms that regulates the

different latency profile.

RESULTS

Lamin A/C is expressed only in EBV+ cells adopting the Type III latency program. The role

of the nuclear lamina during lytic infection of herpesviruses has been well documented, it has

been shown that herpesviruses code for lytic proteins that interact with and modify nuclear lamin

during reactivation to promote viral egress [50]. Instead, the role of the nuclear lamina during

latent infection of herpes viruses has been explored less. We assessed the expression of the two

major components of the nuclear lamina, Lamin B1 and Lamin A/C, in B cells from a healthy donor

and in a panel of EBV+ and EBV- B cell lines (**Figure 1**). Using western blot analysis, we observed

that Lamin B1 is expressed in all cell lines regardless of either EBV+ status or the type of latency

(**Figure 1A**). Instead, we noticed, a clear link between Lamin A/C expression and latency type in

the EBV-positive cell lines. The Type III latency cells (Mutu-LCL, B95.8-LCL, GM12878 and Mutu

III) expressed Lamin A/C (**Figure 1A**), whereas Type I latency cells (Mutu I) did not. These data

show that the expression of Lamin A/C is strongly associated to the Type III viral latency type.

Because the Mutu I (Type I) and Mutu III (Type III) cell lines are fully isogenic with respect to both

the host and EBV genomes, the difference in Lamin A/C expression in different EBV latency types

were not due to inherent genetic differences in the host cells or EBV strains. Lamin A/C expression

was absent also in primary B cells.

Next, we assessed by immunofluorescence microscopy, the specific localization of Lamin B1 and

Lamin A/C in the nucleus in EBV+ Mutu I and Mutu-LCL cells. We observed that, as expected,

Lamin B1 was localized at the nuclear periphery and, in particular, at the nuclear rim in both cell

lines (**Figure 1B**). We detected Lamin A/C in LCL but not in Mutu I cells, confirming that only Type

III EBV+ B cells express Lamin A/C (**Figure 1B**). In LCLs, Lamin A/C staining was distributed

around the nuclear rim and overlapped with Lamin B1 staining (**Figure 1C**). Moreover, in LCL

Lamin A/C staining was present also in the nucleoplasm, although the staining intensity was lower

that the staining intensity at the nuclear rim (**Figure 1C**). These results are consistent with a

previous report indicating that a fraction of Lamin A/C exists in the nucleoplasm[42]. Overall, these

results demonstrated that Lamin A/C expression is linked to EBV infection and in particular to the

Type III EBV latency.

EBV infection of primary B cells induces Lamin A/C expression. The previous results

indicated that Lamin A/C expression is linked to EBV latent infection of B cells. Thus, we next

asked whether Lamin A/C is induced upon EBV infection of primary B cells, or whether Lamin A/C

expression is induced as a later consequence of infection. To assess the kinetics of Lamin A/C

expression following EBV infection, we assessed by immunofluorescence microscopy Lamin A/C

expression in primary B cells from a healthy human donor, before and during the 48 hours after

infection with EBV. We observed that Lamin A/C is upregulated in B cells newly infected with

EBV, at both RNA and protein levels just after 7 days post infection (**Figure 2A**), and that Lamin

A/C stain localized at both the nuclear rim and the nucleoplasm (**Figure 2A**). The intensity of

Lamin A/C staining in the nucleoplasm was higher compared to that observed in latently infected

cells (**Figure 1C**). In infected B cells, Lamin B1 and Lamin A/C staining showed several

invaginations of the nuclear membrane compared to uninfected B cells, which resulted in a shape

of the nuclei being less spherical and more irregular (**Figure 2A**).

Once we established that EBV infection is sufficient to induce expression of Lamin A/C, we

asked how Lamin A/C expression changes during the progression of EBV infection. It has been

reported that both viral and cellular genes are expressed in different patterns during the time

necessary for EBV to transform infected primary B cells into LCLs. Therefore, we interrogated

RNAseq data collected from triplicate healthy donor primary B cell samples at rest and at 9

timepoints following EBV infection [51] (**Figure 2B**). Interestingly, Lamin A/C mRNA abundance

increased by day 7 post-EBV infection, a timepoint when latency III proteins are detectable by

immunoblot [52]. Several latency III proteins increase in abundance at later timepoints, in

particular LMP1 [52-54], and LMNA mRNA levels were further upregulated through day 28 days

post-infection, when EBV+ B cells exhibit LCL physiology (**Figure 2B**). Overall, Lamina A/C

expression levels increased ~10-fold between uninfected B cells and day 28-day post infection

(**Figure 2B**). To further confirm that the increase in Lamin A/C expression at the transcriptional

level corresponds to an increase in the Lamin A/C protein level at these timepoints, we utilized

proteomic data obtained from human primary B-cells at the same timepoints [52]. The increase in

Lamin A/C protein expression mirrored the increase in Lamin A/C mRNA expression, with a ~5-

fold increase in Lamin A/C protein expression in B cells (**Figure 2C**). Overall, these results

demonstrate that primary infection of EBV and the subsequent establishment of the Type III

latency program induce Lamin A/C expression in B cells.

Activation of B cells induces Lamin A/C expression. In B cells, EBV Type III latency mimics

key aspects of antigen-mediated activation, begging the question of whether physiological

activation of B cells also induces Lamin A/C expression. To address this question, we assessed

by immunofluorescence microscopy Lamin A/C and Lamin B1 expression in primary B cells before

and after a 48-hour activation with: Interleukin 4 (IL4 20 ng/mL), CD40 ligand (CD40L 5uM), and

CpG oligodeoxynucleotides (25 ng/mL) (**Figure 3A**).　We sorted activated B cells by flow

cytometry for CD69 and analyzed cells by microscopy. As expected, Lamin B1 staining remained

unaltered after B cell activation, whereas Lamin A/C could be detected in B cells only after

activation (**Figure 3A**). We observed that the Lamin A/C staining was present at the nuclear rim

and overlapped with Lamin B1 staining; only minimal Lamin A/C staining was observed in the

nucleoplasm (**Figure 3A**). In addition activated B cell nuclear morphology differed from that of

control cells. In control cells, the nuclear membrane had a smooth, circular shape without

significant invaginations (**Figure 3A**). Instead, in the activated cells, which tended to cluster

together, the nuclear membrane had an irregular and elongate shape, with the presence of some

invaginations along the nuclear rim (**Figure 3A**). To gain insights into how these B-cell stimuli

alter expression of Lamin A/C either alone or in combination, we used RNA-Seq and proteomic

analyses. Activation of B cells by CD40L alone, or in combinations with either CpG, or IgM, or

IL4, rapidly induced Lamin A/C expression (**Figure 3B**). Interestingly, the EBV oncoprotein LMP1

mimics signaling from activated CD40 receptors [55, 56] indicating that CD40 signaling pathway

is important for Lamin A/C induction. Next, we determined whether the observed changed in

Lamin A/C mRNA expression induced by CD40L activation resulted in increased levels of Lamin

A/C protein. We found that CD40, alone or in combination with other activators, was able to induce

the expression of Lamin A/C also at the protein levels (**Figure 3C**). These results indicated that

induction of Lamin A/C is part of the cascade of cellular changes that occur in B cells after

particular types of activation, in particular by T-cell CD40L stimulation.

The EBV genome interacts with the nuclear lamina during latency. Nuclear lamina and

lamins, in particular Lamin A/C, play an important role in transcription by regulating the chromatin

composition and the chromatin structure of genomic regions localized at the nuclear periphery.

Since Lamin A/C is expressed in B cells following EBV infection and in cell lines that adopt the

Type III latency program, we decided to investigate whether the EBV genome interacts with the

nuclear lamina and how Lamin A/C expression may affect any such interaction. To determine if

the EBV genome interacts with the nuclear lamina we analyzed Lamin B1 occupancy across the

viral episome by chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by next-generation sequencing, ChIP-

Seq (**Figure 4**). We performed Lamin B1 ChIP-Seq in Mutu I cells (as a model of Type I Latency)

and LCL (as a model of Type III latency) and then compared the Lamin B1 occupancy across the

EBV genome in these two cells lines. In Type I Mutu I cells, a large portion of the viral genome

was associated with Lamin B1 (**Figure 4A**). In particular, most of the binding sites for Lamin B1

were localized at different regions of the EBV genome that are fundamental for regulating the

expression of EBV latent genes. In particular, we observed Lamin B1 occupancy at the region

between ~35 kb-50kb downstream of the terminal repeat that encodes EBNA2 and also

encompasses one of the EBV origins of lytic replication, *oriLyt R*. Notably, we previously identified

a CTCF binding site in this region, just upstream of the viral Q promoter (Qp) [26, 57]. Qp is the

only latency gene promoter active in the Type I program. Lamin B1 occupies sites in the region

where the Z promoter (Zp) for the lytic cycle activator immediate-early gene *BZLF1*. Lamin B1

also highly occupied the viral genome at regions encoding viral microRNAs around position 150

kb as well as at the terminal repeat (TR) region (**Figure 4A**). In contrast to Mutu I cells with type

I latency, in LCL cells, very few regions of the EBV genome were occupied by Lamin B1 (**Figure**

4A). Interesting, the regions of EBV genome that were occupied by Lamin B1 in LCL cells were

were also occupied by Lamin B1 in Mutu I, including the region at ~35 kb (coding for EBNA2),

and the TR regions. Overall, these results indicate that the EBV genome interacts with Lamin B1

more in Type I latently infected cells that in Type III cells.

Lamin A/C interacts with the EBV genome in EBV+ cells adopting the Type III latency type.

The previous results indicated that Lamin B1 occupancy across the EBV genome differed

between latency types. Since Type III latently infected cells expressed Lamin A/C, we

hypothesized that in Type III cells the viral genome would associate with Lamin A/C, rather than

Lamin B1. We used ChIP-seq to assess Lamin A/C occupancy across the EBV genome in LCLs

(**Figure 4A**). Lamin A/C bound the EBV genome at only a few loci, including the TR regions and

the Zp promoter (**Figures 4A and 4B**). To determine if Lamin A/C and Lamin B1 co-occupy

genomic sites in LCLs, we overlaid the Lamin A/C and Lamin B1 ChIP-seq tracks. We found that

both Lamin A/C and Lamin B1 bind at the TR region and the region which codes for a portion of

EBNA2, located around ~35 kb of viral genome (**Figures 4A and 4B**). Next, we determined

whether the regions of the EBV genome that are associated with Lamin A/C in LCLs are bound

by Lamin B1 in Mutu I cells. When we aligned the Lamin A/C profile generated in LCLs to the

Lamin B1 profile generated in Mutu I cells, we found that viral regions that associated with Lamin

B1 in Mutu I cells also associated with Lamin A/C in LCLs. Among the viral regions was the ~35

kb region, containing part of the coding region for EBNA2, and the TR region (**Figures 4A and**

4B). These results indicate that during latency nuclear lamins bind the EBV genome, but the

extent of such interaction changes between latency types. In Type I latency, Lamin B1 binds a

large portion of the EBV genome, while only few regions of the viral genome are associated with

either Lamin B1 or Lamin A/C in Type III latently infected cells. Moreover, our data indicated that

although most of the Lamin A/C binding occurs at EBV regions associated with Lamin B1, few

regions exist where Lamin A/C binds alone.. Overall, these results show that the EBV genome

interacts with nuclear lamins during latency, and that this property may underlie differential EBV

genomic subnuclear localization in different latency states.

EBV regions associated with the nuclear lamina are enriched for the heterochromatin mark

H3K9me2. Lamin A/C exists also in a nucleoplasmic form. Since the type of lamins associated

with the EBV genome changes between Type I and Type III latency, and also in type III latency

the genomic regions that bind Lamin A/C and Lamin B1 overlap only minimally, we speculated

that in Type III only a few EBV regions are localized at the nuclear lamina. To determine the

position of regions of the EBV genome at the nuclear lamina we assessed the presence across

the viral episome of the histone mark H3K9me3. The histone modification H3K9me2 is found at

the nuclear periphery of mammalian cell nuclei and enriched at genomic regions that are

associated with nuclear lamina [42, 58-61]. Thus, regions of heterochromatin that are enriched

for H3K9me2 are considered to be located at the nuclear periphery and associated with nuclear

lamina. First, we assessed the localization of H3K9me2 mark within the nuclear space in Mutu I

and LCLs cells by immunofluorescence microscopy (**Figure 5A**). In both cell lines, H3K9me2

staining localized at the nuclear rim. Merged images of H3K9me2 staining and Lamin B1staining

show that the two proteins colocalized, confirming that the H3K9me2 mark was enriched at

chromatin regions positioned at the nuclear periphery (**Figure 5A**). Next, we assessed the

H3K9me2 deposition across the EBV genome in latently infected cells. We reasoned that, if a

region was interacting with Lamin B1 or Lamin A/C and was enriched for the H3K9me2 mark,

then that region should be located at the nuclear periphery. We used ChIP-seq to assess

H3K9me2 levels across the EBV genome in Mutu I and LCLs (**Figure 5B**). Based on the peak-

calling analysis of ChIP-seq track for H3K9me2, we found that the H3K9me2 mark decorated

several chromatin regions of the EBV genome in Mutu I cells but only very few viral regions in

LCL cells (**Figure 5B**). In particular, we observed that in Type I latently infected Mutu cells

H3K9me2 decorated a ~ 50 kb of EBV genome that span the TR regions and the latent promoter

Qp and encompasses the EBV latent replication origin Ori P, the region encoding for the EBERs

and the promoter Cp, which is essential to support the Type III latency gene expression program

(**Figure 5B**). In Type III latently infected LCLs, H3K9me3 deposition was observed at very few

viral loci that mostly codes for lytic genes, including the region upstream the transcription start

site for the lytic transactivator gene BZLF1 (**Figure 5B**). Upon comparison of the binding profiles

of H3K9me2 and Lamin B1 across the EBV genome in Mutu I cells, we noticed that several

regions showed an overlap between H3K9me2 occupancy and Lamin B1 binding (**Supplemental**

Figure 1). Interesting Lamin B1 binding and H3K9me2 deposition overlapped and the TR regions

and the regions upstream the Qp promoter, which represent the boundaries of the large regions

of the EBV genome that is decorated with H3K9me2 in Type I latency (**Supplemental Figure 1**).

These results indicate that in Type I cells, a large portion of the EBV genome is localized at the

nuclear periphery. In LCLs, we next determined the overlap between Lamin A/C and H3K9me2

binding enrichment (**Figure 5C**). Regions enriched for both H3K9me2 and Lamin A/C occupancy

were identified, highlighting that only a few regions associated with Lamin A/C were also enriched

for H3K9me2 (**Figure 5C**) and indicating that certain viral genomic regions can be positioned at

the nuclear periphery. In LCLs, among the regions where Lamin A/C binding and H3K9me2

enrichment overlapped was a region around ~35bk and 40 kb of the viral genome, which contains

an *oriLyt*, part of the coding region for EBNA2 and the TR regions of EBV. Interestingly, these

were the same regions where Lamin A/C and Lamin B1 co-occupy the EBV genome in LCLs.

Overall, these results indicated that through nuclear lamina interactions, different EBV genomic

regions are positioned at the nuclear periphery in Type I and Type III latency.

Lamin A/C is necessary to modulate the expression of EBV genes. Interaction with the

nuclear lamina regulates gene expression, and changes in Lamin B1 and Lamin A/C occupancy

are linked to changes in transcription [62-71]. Since we observed changes in Lamin A/C

expression upon EBV infection and between type I and type III latently infected EBV+ B cells we

hypothesized that changes in Lamin A/C expression and occupancy across the viral genome

could contribute to regulating EBV gene expression during latency. To test this, we used

CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing to knock out Lamin A/C expression in LCLs (**Figure 6**). We used two

different single-guide RNAs (sgRNAs) that target distinct regions of the Lamin A/C gene to

generate two distinct Lamin A/C knockout LCL pools. Western blot analysis confirmed depletion

of Lamin A/C protein (**Figure 6A**). We next used immunofluorescence microscopy to determine

whether Lamin A/C knockout altered the structure of the nuclear lamina in LCLs (**Figure 6B**).

Staining for Lamin B1 was similar in WT and KO cells and localized to the nuclear rim (**Figure**

6B). DAPI staining confirmed that Lamin KO had no effect on the overall shape of the nucleus or

the nuclear lamina. As expected, no Lamin A/C staining was seen in KO cells (**Figure 6B**). Next,

we tested whether the depletion of Lamin A/C affected EBV genome association with Lamin B1.

We assessed Lamin B1 occupancy at specific EBV genome regions in WT and KO cells by

quantitative ChIP (**Figure 6C**). Lamin B1 ChIP showed that, in the absence of Lamin A/C, Lamin

B1 occupancy was lost at the viral region around ~35 kb (EBNA2); however, at the TR region and

the Zp promoter Lamin B1 binding between the wildtype and the knockout was unchanged

(**Figure 6C**). Since we observed that in LCLs viral regions that associated with Lamin A/C were

also enriched for the heterochromatin mark H3K9me2 (**Figure 5B**), we used ChIP to assess the

effect of Lamin A/C absence on this mark (**Figure 6D**). The ChIP experiment showed that in Lamin

A/C KO cells, H3K9me2 enrichment was lost at the region ~35 kb of the EBV genome (EBNA2),

consistent with the loss of Lamin B1 in the same cells (**Figure 6D**). In contrast to Lamin B1

however, we observed that H3K9me2 enrichment was lost also at the EBV genomic TR region

and Z promoter (**Figure 6D**). These results demonstrated that Lamin A/C binding is important for

coordinating the binding of Lamin B1 at specific EBV genome regions and that Lamin A/C

occupancy is important for deposition of the H3K9me2 mark across the viral genome.

KO of Lamin A/C alters the expression of EBV genes. Interaction with the nuclear lamina is

linked to gene repression. In Lamin A/C KO cells, we observed changes in both Lamin B1

occupancy and H3K9me2 enrichment across the EBV genome. We asked whether the absence

of Lamin A/C could affect the expression of EBV genes. To address this question, we analyzed

the global gene expression of the viral genome in LCL KO vs WT cells (**Figure 7**). The changes

in viral gene expression observed in Lamin A/C KO cells are summarized by principal

component analysis (PCA) (**Figure 7A**). Principal component 1 separates samples by

presence of Lamin A/C, with the Wt samples and the KO samples diverging along the X-

axis. We next compared the changes in viral gene expression caused by Lamin A/C

knock-out. The RNA-seq data showed that in Lamin A/C KO cells, 27 viral transcripts were

333 differentially expressed (p<0.05) compared to WT cells (Figure 7B). This corresponds to a global

334 alteration in the expression of ~25% of all EBV genes in Lamin A/C KO LCLs. We found that 11

335 out the 27 viral genes were downregulated in KO cells, while the remaining 16 viral transcript

336 were up-regulated (Figure 7B). All the downregulated viral genes code for viral proteins that play

337 a role during EBV viral lytic replication including BFLF2. Interesting BFLF2 is a gene which codes

338 for a protein (the nuclear egress protein 1) that has been reported to interact with nuclear lamina

339 and to alter the nuclear membrane structure. Almost all of the up-regulated viral gene instead are

340 viral genes that are expressed during EBV type III latency, including the EBNA3s, the LMPs, the

341 EBERs, and EBNA2 (**Figure 7B**). The upregulation of EBNA2 is consistent with the observation

342 that in Lamin A/C KO cells the region encompassing the EBNA2 transcript lost Lamin B1 binding

343 and H3K9me2 deposition (**Figure 6B**). These results indicate that in Type III latency Lamin A/C

344 can control EBV gene expression and suggest a potential role of Lamin A/C in regulating the

345 switch between latent to lytic infection of EBV. Since that only at few loci of the EBV genome

346 Lamin A/C binding correlated with H3K9me2 deposition (Figure 5), we decided to assess whether,

347 perturbing H3K9me2 levels, could affect EBV gene expression in WT and Lamin A/C KO cells.

348 To do so we inhibited for 24 hours LCL WT and KO cells with a potent inhibitor of G9a, the histone

349 methyltransferases responsible for dimethylation of lysine 9 of the histone H3. We observed a

350 robust reduction of H3K9me2 levels in both WT and KO cells after 24 hours treatment with the

351 G9a inhibitors (**Figure 7C**). We next used RNA-seq to assess changes in EBV gene expression

352 after G9a inhibition in both WT and Lamin A/C KO LCL cells. The changes in viral gene

353 expression observed in Wt and KO cells after treatment with the G9a inhibitor are

354 summarized by principal component analysis (PCA) (**Figure 7A**). Principal component 2

355 separates well the LCL WT samples by treatment but not completely the Lamin A/C KO

356 samples (**Figure. 7A**). This is unsurprising considering that there are more EBV sites

357 associated with Lamin A/C than with H3K9me2 in Type III latency (Figure 5B). In fact,

when we assessed changes in the expression between treated and untreated cells in

Lamin KO cells we found only 4 viral genes (BTRF1, LMP1, BFRF3 and BNLF2b) with

significant changes in their expression. Instead, in LCL WT cells we found that inhibition

of G9a altered the expression of 12 viral genes (7 down-regulated and 5 up-regulated)

(**Figure 7D**). All the viral genes that were upregulated upon G9a inhibition are EBV genes

express in lytic reactivation, while all the repressed genes are latent genes, including

EBNA1, which is essential for the EBV maintenance in latently infected cells (**Figure 7B**).

These data suggest that in Type III latently infected cells H3K9me2 is an important histone

mark for the proper epigenetic silencing of the EBV lytic infection. Overall, our results

show that nuclear lamina is an important regulator of EBV viral gene expression during

latency.

DISCUSSION

EBV adopts alternative latency types in infected cells. We and others have previously

demonstrated that epigenetics plays an important role in regulating EBV gene expression during

latency [21, 22]. Our work demonstrated that both composition and structure of EBV chromatin

differ between latency types. An important epigenetic regulator of gene expression is the

interaction of the genome with nuclear lamina. Genomic regions that associated with nuclear

lamina and are positioned at the nuclear periphery are often poorly expressed and their chromatin

is strongly associated with repressive heterochromatin marks such as H3K9me2 [34-36]. To

further determine the contribution of epigenetic modifications on EBV latency we assessed the

role of nuclear lamina on EBV gene expression in latently infected cells. In our initially analysis,

we observed that EBV infection and viral latency can change the composition of nuclear lamina

(i.e. expression Lamin B1 and Lamin A/C) in B cells. We found that in addition to Lamin B1, EBV+

cell lines with type III latency and primary B cells infected with EBV express also Lamin A/C.

Recent work demonstrates that in immune cells, Lamin A/C is rapidly expressed upon antigen

activation[72]. In fact, we were able to phenocopy the EBV-induced Lamin A/C expression by

activating primary B cells with CD40 treatment. Our results with CD40L stimulation suggest that

the EBV CD40 mimic LMP1 may particularly be important for Lamin A/C upregulation.

The observed differences in nuclear lamina composition correlate with differential binding of

Lamin B1 and Lamin A/C across the viral genome between Type I and Type III latency. In Type I

EBV+ cells, we observed that Lamin B1 bind most of the viral genome. In Type III latency, Lamin

B1 is localized at nuclear periphery and transcriptionally repressed chromatin and heterochamatic

regions tend to localize at the nuclear periphery. Therefore, the increased Lamin B1 occupancy

we observed in Type I is in agreement with the increased transcriptionally silencing of viral gene

expression occurring in Type I gene expression program. We have previously reported that similar

differences exist in the DNA methylation levels and histone epigenetic marks across the EBV

gene between Type I and Type III latency [21, 73]. Thus, our results suggests that transcriptionally

silent EBV genomes tend to localize at the nuclear periphery.

In Type III latently infected B cells, Lamin A/C binding across the EBV genome did not necessarily

coincide with Lamin B1 occupancy. Instead, Lamin A/C and Lamin B1 co-occupy only a few EBV

genomic regions in LCLs. Differences exist between Lamin A and Lamin B1 with respect to their

function and sub-nuclear distribution. For example, while Lamin B1 is mostly positioned at the

nuclear membrane, Lamin A/C exists as a nucleoplasmic pool indicating that Lamin A/C is very

mobile inside the nuclear space. Based on this observation, we are tempted to speculate that in

Type III latency, regions of the EBV genome where Lamin B1 and Lamin A/C overlap are

positioned at the nuclear periphery, whereas regions bound by Lamin A/C alone are positioned

toward the nuclear center. These regions of EBV can be still repressed by Lamin A/C as

transcriptional silencing can occur without relocation of genomic regions to the nuclear envelope.

Our results suggest however that changes in nuclear lamina composition induced by EBV

infection contribute to the epigenetic regulation of the viral gene expression during latency.

Histone 3 lysine 9 dimethylation (H3K9me2) repressive marks were associated with Lamin B1

binding across the EBV genome and accumulated in the viral genome of Type I latent cells. This

is consistent with the work from the Van Steensel group which showed that, interaction of genomic

regions with the nuclear lamina depends on the H3K9me2 state within these regions [32]. Thus,

our data suggest that in Type I latency, viral regions localized at the nuclear periphery are

characterized by Lamin B1 binding and H2K9me2 deposition. Interesting, in Type III latency,

H3K9me2 deposition occurs only at viral genomic regions where Lamin B1 and Lamin A/C binding

colocalize, whereas regions bound by Lamin A/C alone had no H3K9me3 deposition. Our data

reveal that H2K9me2 is an important histone modification that participates in the epigenetic

regulation of EBV latency.

Based on our observations and the reported mobility of Lamin A/C within the nuclear space, we

are tempted to speculate that in Type I latently infected B cells, Lamin B1 positions the EBV

genome at the nuclear periphery, where silencing heterochromatin marks can then be deposited

across the viral genome. In Type III latently infected B cells, only few regions of the EBV episome

are positioned at the nuclear periphery, while other regions, through their association with Lamin

A/C, can shuttle between the nuclear periphery and the nuclear interior. In this model, Lamin A/C

binding alone is not sufficient to repress viral gene expression, but Lamin A/C is necessary for

the relocation of EBV genome at the nuclear periphery. Interestingly, recent studies from XXX

demonstrated that during adipocyte differentiation, Lamin A/C binds regions that relocate to the

nuclear periphery. Further studies are necessary to establish the exact mechanism of Lamin A/C

regulation of EBV episome, but our data suggest that a distinct role of lamin A and lamin B exists

in the regulation of viral gene expression in peripheral and the central regions of the nucleus in

the context of EBV latent infection.

Lamin A/C and H3K9me2 deposition are necessary to silence the transcription of EBV latent

genes. Our Lamin A/C KO cells show an increase in the expression of EBNA2 viral transcripts

and other latent EBV genes. Interestingly, in Type III cells Lamin A/C, Lamin B1, and H3K9me2

bind at the region of EBV coding for the EBNA2 specific exon. Binding of Lamin A/C may interfere

with the ability of Pol II to transcribe the EBNA2 transcript. Interestingly, pharmacological inhibition

of the histone methyltransferase G9a (responsible for H3K9me2 deposition) has a similar effect

as Lamin A/C depletion on EBV gene expression in Type III latency, suggesting that Lamin A/C

binding may repress EBV latent gene expression by tethering the EBV episome at the nuclear

periphery. This is consistent with a recent study showing that the inhibition of an H3K9

methyltransferase (G9a) lowered H3K9me2 levels at lamina associate domains and resulted in

lamina associated domain detachment from nuclear lamina[32]. These results indicate that

association with nuclear lamina represses EBV viral gene expression. Moreover, our results

suggest that G9a may be an important epigenetic regulator for EBV latency. Overall, our work

shows for the first time that interaction with nuclear lamina represents a novel epigenetic

mechanism that contributes to regulate EBV gene expression during latency.

Nuclear lamina plays a well-known anti-viral role in herpesvirus replication, including EBV, HSV1

and HSV2, and HCMV. During viral replication, the nuclear lamina represents a physical barrier

that prevents viral capsid egress. Elegant work from the Knipe group also reported the importance

of nuclear lamina in the formation of HSV-1 viral replication compartments [44, 45, 47, 49]. Our

data reveal an antiviral function during EBV latency, by contributing to the formation of an

epigenetic "barrier" across the viral genome that prevents viral gene expression. These findings

add a new branch to the existing model of how the host nuclear environment responds to viral

infection and may open a new direction in virus-host interaction research: the anti-viral role of

nuclear lamina in epigenetically repress viral gene expression.

Our work has some limitations. Although we observed binding of Lamin A/C in Type III latency to

the EBV genome, it is still possible that Lamin A/C may work as a repressor of latent gene

expression in cooperation with other cellular factors or transcription factors that can further help

to tether the EBV viral genome at the nuclear periphery. It is interesting to note, that a similar

model has been proposed for the regulation of HSV-1 lytic gene expression by Lamin A/C (PMID).

It will be of interest to identify the cellular factor(s) that synergize with nuclear lamina to position

EBV genome at the nuclear periphery. Our observed changes in nuclear lamina composition may

also affect the host gene expression, which we left unexplored in this current work. Although such

studies are beyond the scope of our work, the role of Lamin A/C on host gene expression may be

relevant as differentiating cells and cancer cells can change the composition of the nuclear lamina

(e.g., expression of Lamin A/C). In the next months, we are planning to explore this aspect of our

work.

In summary, our results, together with previous work from our group and others, reveal a novel

and unrecognized function of nuclear lamina in the host response against intrusion of viral DNA

into the nucleus by showing that Lamin B1 and Lamin A/C are important regulators of EBV gene

expression during latency. Our work adds an important branch to the existing model of how host

epigenetic factors regulate viral chromatin, and how the host and the viruses communicate to

regulate gene expression through modifying chromatin. Gaining a better insight into the nuclear

lamina/EBV interaction will reveal new important molecular steps that are necessary for cells to

control viral replication.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture and treatment. Cell lines were maintained in a humidified atmosphere containing

5% CO_2 at 37°C. EBV positive cell lines were cultured in suspension in RPMI 1640 supplemented

with fetal bovine serum at a concentration of either 10% for type I latency (Mutu and Kem I) or

15% for type III latency (LCL, Kem III, and GM12878). All cell media were supplemented with 1%

penicillin-streptomycin. UNC0631 G9a/GPL inhibitor (Selleck Chemical) was dissolved in

dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and diluted in the appropriate cell medium for treatment. Mutu I, LCL

and sg2 cells were treated with 1 µM UNC0631 for 24 h or as specified. The concentration of

UNC0631 used in experiments does not produce a cellular phenotype and does not induce DNA

damage.

CRISPR Cas9 Mutagenesis

B-cells were transduced with lentiviruses expressing sgRNAs, as previously described [74].

Briefly, lentiviruses were produced by transfection of 293T cells, which were plated in a 6-well

dish at a density of 600,000 cells per well in 2 mL DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum

24 hours before transfection. Transfection was done using the TransIT-LT1 Transfection Reagent

(Mirus). Two solutions were prepared for each well: a solution with 4 mL of LT1 diluted in 16 mL

of Opti-MEM (Corning) and incubated at room temperature for 5 min. The second solution

contained 150 ng pCMV-VSVG (Addgene 8454), 400 ng psPAX2 (Addgene 12260), and 500 ng

plentiguide puro expression vector (Addgene cat # 52963), in a final volume of 20 mL with Opti-

MEM. The two solutions were then mixed and incubated at room temperature for 30 min, added

dropwise to wells and gently mixed. Plates were returned to a 37C humidified chamber with 5%

CO2. The following day, media was exchanged to RPMI with 10% fetal calf serum. Virus

supernatant was harvested 48h post-transfection and filtered through a 0.4 uM sterile filter. Fresh

media was replenished on the 293T producer cell and a, second harvest, was done 72h post-

transfection and again sterile filtered. Virus supernatant was added to target cells at 48- and 72-

hours post-transfection. Target cell selection was begun 48 hours post-transduction by addition

of   puromycin   3g/ml.   sgRNA   sequences   used   were   as   follows.   Control:

ATTTCGCAGATCATCGACAT.   LMNA: GCGCCGTCATGAGACCCGAC   and

AGTTTAAGGAGCTGAAAGCG.

B cell activation and B cells infection.

Platelet-depleted venous blood, obtained from the Brigham and Women's Hospital bank, were

used for primary human B cell isolation, following institutional guidelines for discarded and de-

identified samples. CD19+ B-cells were isolated by negative selection as follows. RosetteSep

(Stem Cell Technologies, #15064) and EasySep negative isolation kits (Stem Cell Technologies,

#19054) were used to sequentially isolate B-cells, with the following modifications of the

manufacturer's protocols. For RosetteSep, 40 µL of antibody cocktail was added per mL of blood

and layered onto Lymphoprep density medium for centrifugation. For EasySep, 10 µL of antibody

cocktail was added per mL of B cells, followed by 15 µL of magnetic bead suspension per mL of

B cells. Following this negative selection, FACS for CD19 plasma membrane expression was

used to confirm B cell purity. The following reagents were used for B-cell stimulation: MEGA-

CD40L   (Enzo   Life   Sciences   Cat#ALX-522-110-C010,   50ng/mL),   CpG   (IDT,

TCGTCGTTTTGTCGTTTTGTCGTT, 1μM), αIgM (Sigma Cat#10759, 1mg/mL), IL-4 (R&D

Systems Cat#204-IL-050, 20ng/mL), αIgG (Agilent Cat#A042402-2). Cells were cultured in RPMI-

1640 (Invitrogen), supplemented with 10% standard FBS and penicillin-streptomycin. Cells were

cultured in a humidified incubator at 37$\circ$C and at 5% CO2.

Western blot analysis. Cell lysates were prepared in radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA)

lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.25% deoxycholic acid, 1% NP-40, 1 mM

EDTA; Millipore) supplemented with 1× protease inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Scientific). Protein

extracts were obtained by centrifugation at 3,000 × *g* for 10 min at 4°C. Protein concentration was

measured using a bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein assay (Pierce). Lysates were boiled with 2×

Laemmli sample buffer (Bio-Rad) containing 2.5% β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich). Proteins

were resolved by gel electrophoresis on a 4 to 20% polyacrylamide gradient Mini-Protean TGX

precast gel (Bio-Rad) and transferred to an Immobilon-P membrane (Millipore). Membranes were

blocked in 5% milk in PBS-T for 1 h at room temperature and incubated overnight at 4°C with

primary antibodies against Lamin AC (Active Motif 39287), Lamin B1 (Abcam ab16048), EBNA2

(Abcam ab90543), LMP1 (Abcam ab78113), and actin (Sigma-Aldrich A2066) as recommended

per the manufacturer. Membranes were washed, incubated for 1 h with the appropriate secondary

antibody, either goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (Jackson Immuno Research) or rabbit anti-mouse IgG-

HRP (Jackson Immuno Research). Membranes were then washed and detected by enhanced

chemiluminescence.

Proteomic analysis.

Samples were prepared for LC-MS/MS experiments are described previously[75]. Samples

were prepared for LC-MS/MS analysis as described above except samples were normalized to

total protein amount. A protein bicinchoninic acid assay was used on clarified lysate before

reduction and alkylation. Additionally, after labelling samples with TMT-11 reagents, samples

were normalized based on ratio check data to ensure a 1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1 total protein ratio was

observed as described previously[75]. For each BPRP fractionated sample, 12 of the 24 fractions

were selected for LC-MS/MS analysis to reduce redundant identifications. Each fraction was

analyzed on a Thermo Orbitrap Fusion Lumos with a Proxeon EASY-nLC 1200 system before

the source (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose). The mass spectrometer was operated in a data-

dependent centroid mode for all SPS-MS3 methods. On-line chromatography was performed on

a 100μm inner diameter microcapillary column packed with 35cm of Accucore C18 resin was

used. Approximately 2μg of labelled peptides were loaded onto the column. Spectra were

acquired across a 90-minute LC gradient ranging from 6-25% acetonitrile in 0.125% formic acid.

For the 10-condition experiment, a real-time search method was employed in the instrument such

that SPS-MS3 scans would not trigger unless a successful Comet search result was obtained

from a preceding MS2 scan[76]. Spectra from all mass spectrometry experiments were

processed and searched with an in-house Sequest-based software pipeline as described

previously[75, 77, 78]. Raw data acquired on mass spectrometer instruments were converted into

an mzXML format before a Sequest search was executed against a human proteome database

(Uniprot Database ID: 9606, downloaded February 4, 2014). This database was concatenated

with common laboratory contaminants and a database comprised of all protein sequences

reversed. Ion tolerances for precursor and product ions were set to 50ppm and 0.9 Da

respectively. A variable mass difference of +15.99491 Da was assigned to methionine residues

to account for potential oxidation. Additionally, fixed modifications on cysteine (+57.02146 Da)

and lysine and the peptide N-terminus (+229.16293 Da) were assigned to account for protective

alkylation and TMT-11 labelling, respectively.

RNA extraction and RNA-seq

Total RNA for Lamin A/C knockout and G9a inhibition treatment experiment was isolated from

1.5×10^6 cells using using a PureLink RNA Mini Kit (ThermoFisher) according to the

manufacturer's protocol. RNA samples were submitted to the Wistar Institute genomics core

facility for initial analysis of RNA quality, with each sample having a RIN value greater than 8.5

(TapeStation, Agilent Technologies). Sequencing library preparation was then completed using

the QuantSeq 3'-mRNA kit (Lexogen) to generate Illumina-compatible sequencing libraries

according to the manufacturer's instructions. Single reads of 75 bp were obtained using a

NextSeq 500 sequencer. RNA-seq data was aligned using bowtie2 [79] against hg19 version of

the human genome and all unaligned reads were then aligned against NC_007605.1 version of

EBV genome and RSEM v1.2.12 software [80] was used to estimate raw read counts and RPKM

for EBV genes. DESeq2 [81] was used to estimate significance of differential expression between

groups pairs. Genes that passed nominal p<0.05 (FDR<20%) threshold were reported. The data

was submitted to GEO under accession number GSE181012

Immunofluorescence assay. For cell seeding sterile cover slips or slides can be used and

coated with adhesive material, Poly-L-Lysine (PLL). ~500 ul of 0.5 *10^6/ml cells resuspended in

media can be seeded on the coverslip and incubate overnight in a humidified atmosphere

containing 5% CO_2 at 37°C. Cells were fixed with 4% PFA and incubate at room temperature for

10 minutes. Cover slips washed for three times with Ca2+/Mg2+ free PBS. Then permeabilize in

PBS + 0.1% Triton X for 10 minutes at room temperature and then washed for three times with

Ca2+/Mg2+ free PBS. Coverslip were blocked in chi block (5% serum, 1%BSA in Ca2+/Mg2+

free PBS) for 1 hour at room temperature. Incubation with primary antibody diluted in chi block

[28]at 1:100 at room temperature for an hour. Wash with PBS + 0.1% Triton X for three times,

each wash 5 minutes. Incubation with secondary antibody, diluted 1:1000 in chi block and

incubated at room temperature for an hour. (This and the rest of the steps need to be done in the

dark). Wash with PBS + 0.1% Triton X for three times, each wash 5 minutes last wash without

tween. Mounting by adding a drop of pro Long Diamond antifade mountant with DAPI, let it mount

overnight and image the next day.

ChIP-seq. Chromatin immunoprecipitation with next-generation sequencing (ChIP-seq) was

performed as previously described [28] with minor changes. A total of 2.5×10^7 cells were cross-

linked with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min, and chromatin was sonicated with a Qsonica sonicator.

One-tenth of the sonicated chromatin was collected and used as input material, while the rest of

the chromatin was immunoprecipitated using 5 μg of Lamin AC antibody (Active Motif), 5 μg of

Lamin B1 antibody (Abcam), H3K9me2 antibody (Abcam ab6002), or 5 μg of normal rabbit IgG

(Cell Signaling) in LCLs and Mutu I. DNA fragments of ∼150 to 300 bp were visualized by agarose

gel purification. Immunoprecipitated DNA was ligated to adapter primers using the TruSeq ChIP

library preparation kit (Illumina) and then sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform

according to the manufacturer's recommendations (Illumina) at the Fox Chase Cancer Center

Sequencing Facility. For both LCL and Mutu I, ~15 ng of Lamin A/C, B1 and H3k9me2-

immunoprecipitated or input DNA was recovered from each biological replicate. Sequenced reads

were trimmed for quality and sequencing adapters using trimmomatic [82] then aligned to the EBV

genome using bowtie2 [79]. Aligned reads were prepared for visualization in IGV browser using

samtools [83] and BigWig tools [84]. Peaks were called using macs2 broad peaks and differential

peaks identified using macs2 bdgdiff [85]. Heatmaps and traces were made using deepTools [86]

ChIP-qPCR. ChIP assays were performed according to the Upstate Biotechnology, Inc.,

protocol as described previously, with minor modifications [28]. Briefly, cells were fixed in 1%

formaldehyde for 15 min, and DNA was sonicated using a Qsonica sonicator to generate 200- to

500-bp fragments. Chromatin was immunoprecipitated with antibodies to LaminB1 (abcam),

Lamin A/C (Active Motif) and H3K9me2 (abcam). Real-time PCR was performed with a master

mix containing 1× Maxima SYBR green, 0.25 µM primers, and 1/50 of the ChIP DNA per well.

Quantitative PCRs were carried out in triplicate using the ABI StepOnePlus PCR system. Data

were analyzed by the $\Delta\Delta C_T$ method (where C_T is threshold cycle) relative to DNA input and

normalized to the IgG control.

qRT-PCR. For quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR), RNA was extracted from 2

$\times 10^6$ cells using TRIzol (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

SuperScript IV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) was used to generate randomly primed cDNA

from 1 µg of total RNA. A 50-ng cDNA sample was analyzed in triplicate by quantitative PCR

using the ABI StepOnePlus system. Data were analyzed by the $\Delta\Delta C_T$ method relative to

expression of 18S and normalized to controls.

Histone Extraction. Cell were harvest and wash twice using ice-cold PBS. Cell were

resuspended it in Triton Extraction Buffer (TEB: PBS containing 0.5% Triton X 100 (v/v), 2mM

phenylmethyl sulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 0.02% (w/v) NaN3 at a cell density of 107 cells per ml.

Cell were lysed on ice for 10 minutes with gentle stirring, centrifugated at 2000 rpm for 10 minutes

at 4 C. The supernatant was removed and discarded. Cells washed in half the volume of TEB and

centrifuge at before. Pellets resuspend in 0.2N HCl at a cell density of 4x107 cells per ml. Acid

extraction of histones over night at 4 C. Next day samples were centrifuge at 2000 rpm for 10

minutes at 4 C. The supernatant moved to a new clean tube and determinate the protein content

by Bradford assay.

Statistical analysis. All experiments presented were conducted at least in triplicate to ensure

reproducibility of results. The Prism statistical software package (GraphPad) was used to identify

statistically significant differences between experimental conditions and control samples, using

Student's *t* test as indicated in the figure legends.

AKNWOLEDGMENTS

Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute of Allergy

and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number

R01AI130209 to IT and R01AI164709 and CA228700 to BG, a Burroughs Wellcome

Career Award in Medical Sciences, and a Lymphoma Research Foundation Fellowship

to RG.

REFERENCES

1.   1.   G. de-The NED, A. Geser, et al. . Sero-epidemiology of the Epstein-Barr virus: preliminary analysis of an international study – a review. IARC Sci Publ 1975:pp. 3-16

2.   Ohga S NA, Takada H, et al Immunological aspects of Epstein-Barr virus infection. . Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2002. doi: 10.1016/S1040-8428(02)00112-9. PubMed Central PMCID: PMC12467961.

3.   Chang CM, Yu KJ, Mbulaiteye SM, Hildesheim A, Bhatia K. The extent of genetic diversity of Epstein-Barr virus and its geographic and disease patterns: a need for reappraisal. Virus Res. 2009;143(2):209-21. Epub 2009/07/15. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2009.07.005. PubMed PMID: 19596032; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2731007.

4.   Cohen JI, Fauci AS, Varmus H, Nabel GJ. Epstein-Barr virus: an important vaccine target for cancer prevention. Sci Transl Med. 2011;3(107):107fs7. Epub 2011/11/04. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3002878. PubMed PMID: 22049067; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3501269.

5.   Sarwari NM, Khoury JD, Hernandez CM. Chronic Epstein Barr virus infection leading to classical Hodgkin lymphoma. BMC Hematol. 2016;16:19. Epub 2016/07/21. doi: 10.1186/s12878-016-0059-3. PubMed PMID: 27437106; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4950766.

6.   Khan G, Hashim MJ. Global burden of deaths from Epstein-Barr virus attributable malignancies 1990-2010. Infect Agent Cancer. 2014;9(1):38. Epub 2014/12/05. doi: 10.1186/1750-9378-9-38. PubMed PMID: 25473414; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4253616.

7.   Hsu JL, Glaser SL. Epstein-barr virus-associated malignancies: epidemiologic patterns and etiologic implications. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2000;34(1):27-53. Epub 2000/04/27. doi: 10.1016/s1040-8428(00)00046-9. PubMed PMID: 10781747.

8.   Taylor GS, Long HM, Brooks JM, Rickinson AB, Hislop AD. The immunology of Epstein-

Barr virus-induced disease. Annu Rev Immunol. 2015;33:787-821. Epub 2015/02/24. doi:

10.1146/annurev-immunol-032414-112326. PubMed PMID: 25706097.

9.   Rickinson AB. Co-infections, inflammation and oncogenesis: future directions for EBV

research.   Semin   Cancer   Biol.   2014;26:99-115.   Epub   2014/04/23.   doi:

10.1016/j.semcancer.2014.04.004. PubMed PMID: 24751797.

10.   Hatton OL, Harris-Arnold A, Schaffert S, Krams SM, Martinez OM. The interplay between

Epstein-Barr virus and B lymphocytes: implications for infection, immunity, and disease. Immunol

Res. 2014;58(2-3):268-76. Epub 2014/03/13. doi: 10.1007/s12026-014-8496-1. PubMed PMID:

24619311; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4199828.

11.   Thorley-Lawson DA. EBV Persistence--Introducing the Virus. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol.

2015;390(Pt 1):151-209. Epub 2015/10/02. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-22822-8_8. PubMed PMID:

26424647; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5125397.

12.   Thorley-Lawson DA. Epstein-Barr virus: exploiting the immune system. Nat Rev Immunol.

2001;1(1):75-82. Epub 2002/03/22. doi: 10.1038/35095584. PubMed PMID: 11905817.

13.   Rowe M, Lear AL, Croom-Carter D, Davies AH, Rickinson AB. Three pathways of Epstein-

Barr virus gene activation from EBNA1-positive latency in B lymphocytes. J Virol. 1992;66(1):122-

31. Epub 1992/01/01. doi: 10.1128/JVI.66.1.122-131.1992. PubMed PMID: 1309242; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC238267.

14.   De Leo A, Calderon A, Lieberman PM. Control of Viral Latency by Episome Maintenance

Proteins. Trends Microbiol. 2020;28(2):150-62. Epub 2019/10/19. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2019.09.002.

PubMed PMID: 31624007; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6980450.

15.   Chiu YF, Sugden B. Plasmid Partitioning by Human Tumor Viruses. J Virol. 2018;92(9).

Epub 2018/02/23. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02170-17. PubMed PMID: 29467315; PubMed Central

PMCID: PMCPMC5899212.

16.   Lieberman PM. Virology. Epstein-Barr virus turns 50. Science. 2014;343(6177):1323-5.

Epub 2014/03/22. doi: 10.1126/science.1252786. PubMed PMID: 24653027; PubMed Central

PMCID: PMCPMC4581426.

17.   Sugden B. Epstein-Barr virus: the path from association to causality for a ubiquitous

human   pathogen.   PLoS   Biol.   2014;12(9):e1001939.   Epub   2014/09/03.   doi:

10.1371/journal.pbio.1001939.   PubMed   PMID: 25180782;   PubMed   Central   PMCID:

PMCPMC4151957.

18.   Thorley-Lawson DA, Allday MJ. The curious case of the tumour virus: 50 years of Burkitt's

lymphoma. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2008;6(12):913-24. Epub 2008/11/15. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2015.

PubMed PMID: 19008891.

19.   Takacs M, Banati F, Koroknai A, Segesdi J, Salamon D, Wolf H, et al. Epigenetic

regulation of latent Epstein-Barr virus promoters. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2010;1799(3-4):228-35.

Epub 2009/10/27. doi: 10.1016/j.bbagrm.2009.10.005. PubMed PMID: 19853674.

20.   Tempera I, Lieberman PM. Chromatin organization of gammaherpesvirus latent genomes.

Biochim   Biophys   Acta.   2010;1799(3-4):236-45.   Epub   2009/10/27.   doi:

10.1016/j.bbagrm.2009.10.004.   PubMed   PMID: 19853673;   PubMed   Central   PMCID:

PMCPMC2839031.

21.   Tempera I, Lieberman PM. Epigenetic regulation of EBV persistence and oncogenesis.

Semin Cancer Biol. 2014;26:22-9. Epub 2014/01/29. doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2014.01.003.

PubMed PMID: 24468737; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4048758.

22.   Arvey A, Tempera I, Lieberman PM. Interpreting the Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV) epigenome

using   high-throughput   data.   Viruses.   2013;5(4):1042-54.   Epub   2013/04/04.   doi:

10.3390/v5041042. PubMed PMID: 23549386; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3705264.

23.   Zhang Y, Jiang C, Trudeau SJ, Narita Y, Zhao B, Teng M, et al. Histone Loaders CAF1

and HIRA Restrict Epstein-Barr Virus B-Cell Lytic Reactivation. mBio. 2020;11(5). Epub

2020/10/29. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01063-20. PubMed PMID: 33109754; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC7593962.

24. Barski A, Cuddapah S, Cui K, Roh TY, Schones DE, Wang Z, et al. High-resolution

profiling of histone methylations in the human genome. Cell. 2007;129(4):823-37. Epub

2007/05/22. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2007.05.009. PubMed PMID: 17512414.

25. Dyson PJ, Farrell PJ. Chromatin structure of Epstein-Barr virus. J Gen Virol. 1985;66 (Pt

9):1931-40. Epub 1985/09/01. doi: 10.1099/0022-1317-66-9-1931. PubMed PMID: 2993484.

26. Tempera I, Klichinsky M, Lieberman PM. EBV latency types adopt alternative chromatin

conformations. PLoS Pathog. 2011;7(7):e1002180. Epub 2011/08/11. doi:

10.1371/journal.ppat.1002180. PubMed PMID: 21829357; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC3145795.

27. Arvey A, Tempera I, Tsai K, Chen HS, Tikhmyanova N, Klichinsky M, et al. An atlas of the

Epstein-Barr virus transcriptome and epigenome reveals host-virus regulatory interactions. Cell

Host Microbe. 2012;12(2):233-45. Epub 2012/08/21. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2012.06.008. PubMed

PMID: 22901543; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3424516.

28. Lupey-Green LN, Caruso LB, Madzo J, Martin KA, Tan Y, Hulse M, et al. PARP1 Stabilizes

CTCF Binding and Chromatin Structure To Maintain Epstein-Barr Virus Latency Type. J Virol.

2018;92(18). Epub 2018/07/07. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00755-18. PubMed PMID: 29976663; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC6146685.

29. Collas P, Lund EG, Oldenburg AR. Closing the (nuclear) envelope on the genome: How

nuclear lamins interact with promoters and modulate gene expression. 2013;36(1):75 -- 83. doi:

10.1002/bies.201300138. PubMed PMID: Collas:2013ip.

30. Pickersgill H, Kalverda B, de Wit E, Talhout W, Fornerod M, van Steensel B.

Characterization of the Drosophila melanogaster genome at the nuclear lamina. Nat Genet.

2006;38(9):1005-14. Epub 2006/08/01. doi: 10.1038/ng1852. PubMed PMID: 16878134.

31.   Burke B, Stewart CL. The nuclear lamins: flexibility in function. Nature Reviews Molecular

Cell Biology. 2012;14(1):13 -- 24. doi: 10.1038/nrm3488. PubMed PMID: Burke:2012kr.

32.   Kind J, Pagie L, Ortabozkoyun H, Boyle S, Vries SSd, Janssen H, et al. Single-cell

dynamics of genome-nuclear lamina interactions. Cell. 2013;153(1):178 -- 92. doi:

10.1016/j.cell.2013.02.028. PubMed PMID: Kind:2013gg.

33.   Ragoczy T, Telling A, Scalzo D, Kooperberg C, Groudine M. Functional redundancy in the

nuclear compartmentalization of the late-replicating genome. Nucleus. 2014;5(6):626-35. Epub

2014/12/11. doi: 10.4161/19491034.2014.990863. PubMed PMID: 25493640; PubMed Central

PMCID: PMCPMC4615584.

34.   Peric-Hupkes D, van Steensel B. Role of the nuclear lamina in genome organization and

gene expression. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol. 2010;75:517-24. Epub 2011/01/07. doi:

10.1101/sqb.2010.75.014. PubMed PMID: 21209388.

35.   Lund E, Oldenburg AR, Delbarre E, Freberg CT, Duband-Goulet I, Eskeland R, et al.

Lamin A/C-promoter interactions specify chromatin state-dependent transcription outcomes.

Genome Research. 2013;23(10):1580 -- 9. doi: 10.1101/gr.159400.113. PubMed PMID:

Lund:2013fr.

36.   Dechat T, Pfleghaar K, Sengupta K, Shimi T, Shumaker DK, Solimando L, et al. Nuclear

lamins: major factors in the structural organization and function of the nucleus and chromatin.

Genes \& Development. 2008;22(7):832 -- 53. doi: 10.1101/gad.1652708. PubMed PMID:

Dechat:2008ea.

37.   van Steensel B, Belmont AS. Lamina-Associated Domains: Links with Chromosome

Architecture, Heterochromatin, and Gene Repression. Cell. 2017;169(5):780-91. Epub

2017/05/20. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.04.022. PubMed PMID: 28525751; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC5532494.

38.   Verstraeten VL, Broers JL, Ramaekers FC, van Steensel MA. The nuclear envelope, a

key structure in cellular integrity and gene expression. Curr Med Chem. 2007;14(11):1231-48.

Epub 2007/05/17. doi: 10.2174/092986707780598032. PubMed PMID: 17504143.

39.   Osmanagic-Myers S, Dechat T, Foisner R. Lamins at the crossroads of mechanosignaling.

Genes Dev. 2015;29(3):225-37. Epub 2015/02/04. doi: 10.1101/gad.255968.114. PubMed PMID:

25644599; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4318140.

40.   Prokocimer M, Davidovich M, Nissim-Rafinia M, Wiesel-Motiuk N, Bar DZ, Barkan R, et

al. Nuclear lamins: key regulators of nuclear structure and activities. J Cell Mol Med.

2009;13(6):1059-85. Epub 2009/02/13. doi: 10.1111/j.1582-4934.2008.00676.x. PubMed PMID:

19210577; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4496104.

41.   Worman HJ, Lazaridis I, Georgatos SD. Nuclear lamina heterogeneity in mammalian cells.

Differential expression of the major lamins and variations in lamin B phosphorylation. J Biol Chem.

1988;263(24):12135-41. Epub 1988/08/25. PubMed PMID: 3403563.

42.   Hutchison CJ, Worman HJ. A-type lamins: guardians of the soma? Nat Cell Biol.

2004;6(11):1062-7. Epub 2004/11/02. doi: 10.1038/ncb1104-1062. PubMed PMID: 15517000.

43.   Hutchison CJ, Bridger JM, Cox LS, Kill IR. Weaving a pattern from disparate threads:

lamin function in nuclear assembly and DNA replication. J Cell Sci. 1994;107 (Pt 12):3259-69.

Epub 1994/12/01. PubMed PMID: 7706384.

44.   de Noronha CM, Sherman MP, Lin HW, Cavrois MV, Moir RD, Goldman RD, et al.

Dynamic disruptions in nuclear envelope architecture and integrity induced by HIV-1 Vpr. Science.

2001;294(5544):1105-8. Epub 2001/11/03. doi: 10.1126/science.1063957. PubMed PMID:

11691994.

45.   Gonnella R, Farina A, Santarelli R, Raffa S, Feederle R, Bei R, et al. Characterization and

intracellular localization of the Epstein-Barr virus protein BFLF2: interactions with BFRF1 and with

the nuclear lamina. J Virol. 2005;79(6):3713-27. Epub 2005/02/26. doi: 10.1128/JVI.79.6.3713-

3727.2005. PubMed PMID: 15731265; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC1075684.

46.   Farina A, Santarelli R, Bloise R, Gonnella R, Granato M, Bei R, et al. KSHV ORF67

encoded lytic protein localizes on the nuclear membrane and alters emerin distribution. Virus Res.

2013;175(2):143-50. Epub 2013/04/30. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2013.04.001. PubMed PMID:

23623980.

47.   Scott ES, O'Hare P. Fate of the inner nuclear membrane protein lamin B receptor and

nuclear lamins in herpes simplex virus type 1 infection. J Virol. 2001;75(18):8818-30. Epub

2001/08/17. doi: 10.1128/jvi.75.18.8818-8830.2001. PubMed PMID: 11507226; PubMed Central

PMCID: PMCPMC115126.

48.   Muranyi W, Haas J, Wagner M, Krohne G, Koszinowski UH. Cytomegalovirus recruitment

of cellular kinases to dissolve the nuclear lamina. Science. 2002;297(5582):854-7. Epub

2002/08/06. doi: 10.1126/science.1071506. PubMed PMID: 12161659.

49.   Mettenleiter TC, Klupp BG, Granzow H. Herpesvirus assembly: a tale of two membranes.

Curr Opin Microbiol. 2006;9(4):423-9. Epub 2006/07/04. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2006.06.013. PubMed

PMID: 16814597.

50.   Lee CP, Huang YH, Lin SF, Chang Y, Chang YH, Takada K, et al. Epstein-Barr virus

BGLF4 kinase induces disassembly of the nuclear lamina to facilitate virion production. J Virol.

2008;82(23):11913-26. Epub 2008/09/26. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01100-08. PubMed PMID: 18815303;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2583647.

51.   Wang C, Li D, Zhang L, Jiang S, Liang J, Narita Y, et al. RNA Sequencing Analyses of

Gene Expression during Epstein-Barr Virus Infection of Primary B Lymphocytes. J Virol.

2019;93(13). Epub 2019/04/26. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00226-19. PubMed PMID: 31019051; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC6580941.

52.   Wang LW, Shen H, Nobre L, Ersing I, Paulo JA, Trudeau S, et al. Epstein-Barr-Virus-

Induced One-Carbon Metabolism Drives B Cell Transformation. Cell Metab. 2019;30(3):539-55

e11. Epub 2019/07/02. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2019.06.003. PubMed PMID: 31257153; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC6720460.

53.   Price AM, Messinger JE, Luftig MA. c-Myc Represses Transcription of Epstein-Barr Virus

Latent Membrane Protein 1 Early after Primary B Cell Infection. J Virol. 2018;92(2). Epub

2017/11/10. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01178-17. PubMed PMID: 29118124; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC5752943.

54.   Price AM, Tourigny JP, Forte E, Salinas RE, Dave SS, Luftig MA. Analysis of Epstein-Barr

virus-regulated host gene expression changes through primary B-cell outgrowth reveals delayed

kinetics   of   latent   membrane   protein   1-mediated   NF-kappaB   activation.   J   Virol.

2012;86(20):11096-106.   Epub   2012/08/03.   doi: 10.1128/JVI.01069-12.   PubMed   PMID:

22855490; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3457162.

55.   Wang LW, Jiang S, Gewurz BE. Epstein-Barr Virus LMP1-Mediated Oncogenicity. J Virol.

2017;91(21). Epub 2017/08/25. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01718-16. PubMed PMID: 28835489; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC5640852.

56.   Kieser A, Sterz KR. The Latent Membrane Protein 1 (LMP1). Curr Top Microbiol Immunol.

2015;391:119-49.   Epub   2015/10/03.   doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-22834-1_4.   PubMed   PMID:

26428373.

57.   Tempera I, Wiedmer A, Dheekollu J, Lieberman PM. CTCF prevents the epigenetic drift

of EBV latency promoter Qp. PLoS Pathog. 2010;6(8):e1001048. Epub 2010/08/24. doi:

10.1371/journal.ppat.1001048.   PubMed   PMID: 20730088;   PubMed   Central   PMCID:

PMCPMC2921154.

58.   Poleshko A, Smith CL, Nguyen SC, Sivaramakrishnan P, Wong KG, Murray JI, et al.

H3K9me2 orchestrates inheritance of spatial positioning of peripheral heterochromatin through

mitosis. Elife. 2019;8. Epub 2019/10/02. doi: 10.7554/eLife.49278. PubMed PMID: 31573510;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6795522.

59.   Poleshko A, Mansfield KM, Burlingame CC, Andrake MD, Shah NR, Katz RA. The human

protein PRR14 tethers heterochromatin to the nuclear lamina during interphase and mitotic exit.

Cell Rep. 2013;5(2):292-301. Epub 2013/11/12. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2013.09.024. PubMed

PMID: 24209742; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3867587.

60.   Holla S, Dhakshnamoorthy J, Folco HD, Balachandran V, Xiao H, Sun LL, et al. Positioning

Heterochromatin at the Nuclear Periphery Suppresses Histone Turnover to Promote Epigenetic

Inheritance. Cell. 2020;180(1):150-64 e15. Epub 2019/12/31. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2019.12.004.

PubMed PMID: 31883795; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7102895.

61.   Ho CY, Lammerding J. Lamins at a glance. J Cell Sci. 2012;125(Pt 9):2087-93. Epub

2012/06/07. doi: 10.1242/jcs.087288. PubMed PMID: 22669459; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC3367936.

62.   Amendola M, van Steensel B. Mechanisms and dynamics of nuclear lamina-genome

interactions. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2014;28:61-8. Epub 2014/04/04. doi: 10.1016/j.ceb.2014.03.003.

PubMed PMID: 24694724.

63.   Malhas AN, Vaux DJ. Transcription factor sequestration by nuclear envelope components.

Cell Cycle. 2009;8(7):959-60. Epub 2009/03/17. doi: 10.4161/cc.8.7.8092. PubMed PMID:

19287201.

64.   Dechat T, Pfleghaar K, Sengupta K, Shimi T, Shumaker DK, Solimando L, et al. Nuclear

lamins: major factors in the structural organization and function of the nucleus and chromatin.

Genes Dev. 2008;22(7):832-53. Epub 2008/04/03. doi: 10.1101/gad.1652708. PubMed PMID:

18381888; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2732390.

65.   Collas P, Lund EG, Oldenburg AR. Closing the (nuclear) envelope on the genome: how

nuclear lamins interact with promoters and modulate gene expression. Bioessays. 2014;36(1):75-

83. Epub 2013/11/26. doi: 10.1002/bies.201300138. PubMed PMID: 24272858.

66.   Burke B, Stewart CL. The nuclear lamins: flexibility in function. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.

2013;14(1):13-24. Epub 2012/12/06. doi: 10.1038/nrm3488. PubMed PMID: 23212477.

67.   Shah PP, Donahue G, Otte GL, Capell BC, Nelson DM, Cao K, et al. Lamin B1 depletion

in senescent cells triggers large-scale changes in gene expression and the chromatin landscape.

Genes Dev. 2013;27(16):1787-99. Epub 2013/08/13. doi: 10.1101/gad.223834.113. PubMed

PMID: 23934658; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3759695.

68. Lund E, Oldenburg AR, Delbarre E, Freberg CT, Duband-Goulet I, Eskeland R, et al.

Lamin A/C-promoter interactions specify chromatin state-dependent transcription outcomes.

Genome Res. 2013;23(10):1580-9. Epub 2013/07/19. doi: 10.1101/gr.159400.113. PubMed

PMID: 23861385; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3787256.

69. Guelen L, Pagie L, Brasset E, Meuleman W, Faza MB, Talhout W, et al. Domain

organization of human chromosomes revealed by mapping of nuclear lamina interactions. Nature.

2008;453(7197):948-51. Epub 2008/05/09. doi: 10.1038/nature06947. PubMed PMID: 18463634.

70. Mattout-Drubezki A, Gruenbaum Y. Dynamic interactions of nuclear lamina proteins with

chromatin and transcriptional machinery. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2003;60(10):2053-63. Epub

2003/11/18. doi: 10.1007/s00018-003-3038-3. PubMed PMID: 14618255.

71. Kind J, Pagie L, Ortabozkoyun H, Boyle S, de Vries SS, Janssen H, et al. Single-cell

dynamics of genome-nuclear lamina interactions. Cell. 2013;153(1):178-92. Epub 2013/03/26.

doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.02.028. PubMed PMID: 23523135.

72. Contu F, Rangel-Pozzo A, Trokajlo P, Wark L, Klewes L, Johnson NA, et al. Distinct 3D

Structural Patterns of Lamin A/C Expression in Hodgkin and Reed-Sternberg Cells. Cancers

(Basel). 2018;10(9). Epub 2018/08/29. doi: 10.3390/cancers10090286. PubMed PMID:

30149530; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6162537.

73. Guo R, Zhang Y, Teng M, Jiang C, Schineller M, Zhao B, et al. DNA methylation enzymes

and PRC1 restrict B-cell Epstein-Barr virus oncoprotein expression. Nat Microbiol.

2020;5(8):1051-63. Epub 2020/05/20. doi: 10.1038/s41564-020-0724-y. PubMed PMID:

32424339; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7462085.

74. Ma Y, Walsh MJ, Bernhardt K, Ashbaugh CW, Trudeau SJ, Ashbaugh IY, et al.

CRISPR/Cas9 Screens Reveal Epstein-Barr Virus-Transformed B Cell Host Dependency

Factors. Cell Host Microbe. 2017;21(5):580-91 e7. Epub 2017/05/12. doi:

10.1016/j.chom.2017.04.005. PubMed PMID: 28494239.

75.   Navarrete-Perea J, Yu Q, Gygi SP, Paulo JA. Streamlined Tandem Mass Tag (SL-TMT)

Protocol: An Efficient Strategy for Quantitative (Phospho)proteome Profiling Using Tandem Mass

Tag-Synchronous Precursor Selection-MS3. J Proteome Res. 2018;17(6):2226-36. Epub

2018/05/08. doi: 10.1021/acs.jproteome.8b00217. PubMed PMID: 29734811; PubMed Central

PMCID: PMCPMC5994137.

76.   Erickson BK, Mintseris J, Schweppe DK, Navarrete-Perea J, Erickson AR, Nusinow DP,

et al. Active Instrument Engagement Combined with a Real-Time Database Search for Improved

Performance of Sample Multiplexing Workflows. J Proteome Res. 2019;18(3):1299-306. Epub

2019/01/20. doi: 10.1021/acs.jproteome.8b00899. PubMed PMID: 30658528; PubMed Central

PMCID: PMCPMC7081948.

77.   Paulo JA, O'Connell JD, Gygi SP. A Triple Knockout (TKO) Proteomics Standard for

Diagnosing Ion Interference in Isobaric Labeling Experiments. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom.

2016;27(10):1620-5. Epub 2016/07/13. doi: 10.1007/s13361-016-1434-9. PubMed PMID:

27400695; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5018445.

78.   Huttlin EL, Jedrychowski MP, Elias JE, Goswami T, Rad R, Beausoleil SA, et al. A tissue-

specific atlas of mouse protein phosphorylation and expression. Cell. 2010;143(7):1174-89. Epub

2010/12/25. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2010.12.001. PubMed PMID: 21183079; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC3035969.

79.   Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods.

2012;9(4):357-9. Epub 2012/03/06. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1923. PubMed PMID: 22388286;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3322381.

80.   Li B, Dewey CN. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or

without a reference genome. BMC Bioinformatics. 2011;12:323. Epub 2011/08/06. doi:

10.1186/1471-2105-12-323.   PubMed   PMID: 21816040;   PubMed   Central   PMCID:

PMCPMC3163565.

81.   Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for

RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15(12):550. Epub 2014/12/18. doi:

10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8.   PubMed   PMID: 25516281;   PubMed   Central   PMCID:

PMCPMC4302049.

82.   Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence

data.   Bioinformatics.   2014;30(15):2114-20.   Epub   2014/04/04.   doi:

10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170.   PubMed   PMID: 24695404;   PubMed   Central   PMCID:

PMCPMC4103590.

83.   Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The Sequence

Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics. 2009;25(16):2078-9. Epub 2009/06/10. doi:

10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.   PubMed   PMID: 19505943;   PubMed   Central   PMCID:

PMCPMC2723002.

84.   Kent WJ, Zweig AS, Barber G, Hinrichs AS, Karolchik D. BigWig and BigBed: enabling

browsing of large distributed datasets. Bioinformatics. 2010;26(17):2204-7. Epub 2010/07/20. doi:

10.1093/bioinformatics/btq351.   PubMed   PMID: 20639541;   PubMed   Central   PMCID:

PMCPMC2922891.

85.   Zhang Y, Liu T, Meyer CA, Eeckhoute J, Johnson DS, Bernstein BE, et al. Model-based

analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome Biol. 2008;9(9):R137. Epub 2008/09/19. doi: 10.1186/gb-

2008-9-9-r137. PubMed PMID: 18798982; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2592715.

86.   Ramirez F, Ryan DP, Gruning B, Bhardwaj V, Kilpert F, Richter AS, et al. deepTools2: a

next generation web server for deep-sequencing data analysis. Nucleic Acids Res.

2016;44(W1):W160-5. Epub 2016/04/16. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw257. PubMed PMID: 27079975;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC498787

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Type I and Type III EBV+ cells express different components of nuclear

lamina. **A)** Western blot for key EBV proteins (EBNA2, LMP1) and nuclear lamina components

(Lamin B1 and A/C) in EBV negative cell lines (B cells, Akata) and EBV+ positive cell lines that

express the type I (Mutu I) or the type III latency program (Mutu III, Mutu-LCL, B95.8-LCK,

GM12878). Actin was used as a loading control. Images are representative of at least three

independent experiments. **B)** Confocal microscopy images of EBV+ cells Mutu I and LCL stained

with DAPI or anti-Lamin B1 or anti-Lamin A/C antibodies. Both methods show that Lamin A/C is

differentially expressed, depending on the presence of EBV and its latency state. **C)** Higher

magnification of the boxed areas in B to better show the colocalization of the lamin proteins at the

nuclear periphery. **D)** Confocal microscopy images of single LCLs, demonstrating that the two

lamins do not completely overlap at the nuclear periphery and that Lamin B is located more

peripherally than Lamin A/C.

Figure 2: EBV infection induces Lamin A/C RNA and protein expression. A) Confocal

microscopy images of B cells, from healthy donors, before and after infection with EBV. After 35

days, when the EBV infection is established, cells start to express Lamin A/C. **B)** RNA-seq

analysis of Lamin A/C expression in primary B cells from human donors. The graph shows the

number of reads at different timepoints after EBV infection. **C)** Whole cell proteomic data showing

Lamin A/C induction at the indicated timepoints following infection with EBV in primary human B

cell. Data is from 3 biological replicates, representing 12 human donors.

Figure 3: Activation of B cells by CD40 induces Lamin A/C expression. A) Confocal

microscopy images of B cells from human donors in the resting and activated states with different

ligands (IL4, CD40 and CpG). Cells are stained with DAPI, or anti-Lamin B1 or anti-Lamin A/C

antibodies. The magnified images at the right show that Lamin A/C staining increased after

activation. **B)** RNA-Seq analysis showing expression levels of Lamin A/C, quantified by number

of reads, in B cells 24 hours after stimulation with the indicated ligands. The two bars for each

ligand indicate two different experiments done in parallel. C) Lamin A/C protein expression

samples are the same used in the RNA-Seq analysis in panel B.

Figure 4: The EBV genome interacts with the nuclear lamins. **A)** ChIP-seq data for Lamin

B1 and Lamin A/C in EBV+ B cells adopting type I (Mutu I) or type III (LCLs) latency, mapped to

the wild-type EBV genome. The image shows the ChIP-Seq profile for each antibody and each

cell used and the localization of the peak on the EBV genome indicated with small rectangles on

the bottom of the ChIP-Seq track. Note that the switch from type I to type III latency is

accompanied by a change in the lamin B1 binding profile. **B)** Zoom in of regions, to show that in

type I cells, Lamin B1 associates with the repressed Cp and LMP1 promoters on the EBV genome,

whereas in type III cells, Lamin A/C binding is enriched whereas Lamin B1 one is depleted at sites

of active transcription on the EBV genome.

Figure 5: Heterochromatin mark H3K9me2 and nuclear lamin association in EBV

regions. **A)** ChIP-seq analysis of H3K9me2 occupancy across the EBV genome, in EBV+ B cells

adopting type I (Mutu I) or type III (LCLs) latency, mapped to the wild-type EBV genome. The

rectangles below the ChIP-Seq tracks indicate where the bindings occur and, based on the

intensity of the color, if binding is strong or weak. H3K9me2 occupancy across the viral genome

differs between type I and type III EBV+ cells; H3K9me2 binds to fewer locations in type III cells

and the binding is stronger. **B)** Comparison of ChIP-Seq peaks locations for H3K9me2 and Lamin

A/C in LCLs. The red boxes indicate where the binding site for the two antibodies are the same.

These regions are localized at the EBNA2, BZLF2, BKRF4 and 3, RPMS1 and LMP2/1 promoters.

Note that nearly every H3K9me2 peak colocalizes with, or is near, a Lamin A/C peak. Data are

expressed as %input. N=3, Mean ± SD**. C)** Confocal microscopy images of Lamin B1 and

H3K9Me2 in type I (Mutu I) and type III (LCL) cells. Type III cell lines display the H3K9me2 mark

not only at the nuclear periphery but also in other parts of the nucleus.

Figure 6: Lamin A/C knockdown reduces H3K9me2 and Lamin B1 binding at the EBV

EBNA2 promoter. A) Confocal images of GM12878 cell lines in which two different guide RNAs,

Sg1 and Sg2, were used to knock down Lamin A/C. **B)**. Western blot for Lamin A/C or Lamin B1

in the same cell lines as in A. Actin was used as loading control. **C)** ChIP-qPCR results comparing

the binding, in control and Lamin A/C knockdown (Sg2) cells, of Lamin B1 or H3K9me2 at the

indicated EBV-relevant promoters. Data are calculated as %input. The promoter that experiences

the greatest change in Lamin B1 and H3K9m32 binding upon Lamin A/C knockdown is EBNA2.

Figure 7: The effect of Lamin A/C knockout and G9a inhibition on EBV gene expression

profiles. A) Principal Component Analysis of the RNA-Seq data performed on GM12878 control

(WT.unt.1,2,3) GM12878 Lamin A/C knockout (KO.Unt.1,2) cells, and GM12878 WT and KO

treated with G9a inhibitor (WTtrt.1,2,3 and KO.trt.1,2). The principal components 1 and 2 were

chosen to better represent the samples clustering. **B)** Heat map showing genes that change

significantly (P<0.05) between control and Lamin KO cell lines; differences were calculated using

p-values. Note the presence of EBNAs and LMP1 family members. **C)** Western blot for nuclear

lamina components (Lamin B1 and A/C) and histone mark H3K9me2 in both GM128787 control

and Lamin A/C knockout treated with or without G9a inhibitor (-, +). Actin and H3 were used as

loading control for respectively proteins and histone mark. Images are representative of at least

three independent experiments. After the treatment with G9a we noticed a reduction in H3K9me2

levels in both Gm12787 control and Lamin A/C knockout cell lines. **D)** Heat map of genes that

significantly change expression upon inhibition with G9a in LCL WT cells compared to controls.

Supplemental Figure 1 Heterochromatin mark H3K9me2 differences in binding between

Type I and Type III. ChIP-seq analysis of H3K9me2 occupancy and Lamin B1 binding across the

1015 EBV genome, in EBV+ B cells adopting type I latency, Mutu I, mapped to the wild-type EBV

1016 genome. The rectangles below the ChIP-Seq tracks indicate binding regions identified by peak

1017 calling analysis, where the bindings occur and, based on the intensity of the color, if binding is

1018 strong or weak.

1019 **Supplemental Figure 2. IgG ChIP-qPCR.** ChIP-qPCR results comparing the binding, in

1020 control and Lamin A/C knockdown (Sg2) cells, of IgG at the indicated EBV-relevant promoters.

1021 Data are calculated as %input. N=3, Mean ± SD

1022

A)

	DAPI	Lamin B1	Lamin A/C
B cells			
EBV+ B cells			

B)

EBV primary infection of B cells

Lamin A/C mRNA levels

RNAseq reads count vs Day post EBV infection

C)

EBV primary infection of B cells

Lamin A/C protein levels

Relative abundance vs Day post EBV infection

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

A)

C)

B) RNA-seq Analysis WT/KO LCL

D) RNA-seq Analysis G9ai/Ctr LCL

Figure 7

Figure 1