Upregulation of C$_4$ characteristics does not consistently improve photosynthetic

performance in intraspecific hybrids of a grass

Matheus E. Bianconi*, Graciela Sotelo, Emma V. Curran, Vanja Milenkovic, Emanuela Samaritani,

Luke T. Dunning, Colin P. Osborne, Pascal-Antoine Christin

Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, School of Biosciences, University of Sheffield, Western Bank,

Sheffield S10 2TN, UK

9 Abstract

C$_4$ photosynthesis is thought to have evolved via intermediate stages, with changes towards the C$_4$

phenotype gradually enhancing photosynthetic performance. This hypothesis is widely supported by

modelling studies, but experimental tests are missing. Mixing of C$_4$ components to generate

artificial intermediates can be achieved via crossing, and the grass *Alloteropsis semialata* represents

an outstanding system since it includes C$_4$ and non-C$_4$ populations. Here, we analyse F1 hybrids

between C$_3$ and C$_4$, and C$_3$+C$_4$ and C$_4$ genotypes to determine whether the acquisition of C$_4$

characteristics increases photosynthetic performance. The hybrids have leaf anatomical characters

and C$_4$ gene expression profiles that are largely intermediate between those of their parents. Carbon

isotope ratios are similarly intermediate, which suggests that a partial C$_4$ cycle coexists with C$_3$

carbon fixation in the hybrids. This partial C$_4$ phenotype is associated with C$_4$-like photosynthetic

efficiency in C$_3$+C$_4$ x C$_4$, but not in C$_3$ x C$_4$ hybrids, which are overall less efficient than both

parents. Our results support the hypothesis that the photosynthetic gains from the upregulation of C$_4$

characteristics depend on coordinated changes in anatomy and biochemistry. The order of

acquisition of C$_4$ components is thus constrained, with C$_3$+C$_4$ species providing an essential step for

C$_4$ evolution.

Keywords: C$_3$-C$_4$ intermediates, C$_4$ photosynthesis, complex traits, hybrids, leaf anatomy, Poaceae,

transcriptome.

29 Introduction

C$_4$ photosynthesis is a complex adaptation that allows plants to sustain high photosynthetic rates in

conditions that limit CO$_2$ availability in the leaf, such as hot, dry and saline environments (Sage

2004). The C$_4$ metabolism relies on a biochemical cycle operating within a specialized leaf

anatomy, which concentrates CO$_2$ around Rubisco, suppressing the energetically-costly

photorespiratory pathway (Hatch 1987). The C$_4$ trait is highly polyphyletic, but its multiple origins

are mostly clustered in a few groups (Sage et al. 2011). The increased evolutionary accessibility to a

C_4 physiology in these groups has been associated with a number of ecological, anatomical and

genetic features that acted as evolutionary enablers (Sage 2001; Christin et al. 2013; Moreno-

Villena et al. 2018; Edwards 2019). The classic model of C_4 evolution hypothesizes that, once these

enablers are in place, an intermediate metabolism that relies on a photorespiratory CO_2 pump is

established (in 'type I' C_3-C_4 intermediates or 'C_2' plants), and gradually complemented by a weak

C_4 cycle (in 'type II' C_3-C_4 *sensu* Edwards and Ku 1987, or 'C_3+C_4' plants *sensu* Dunning et al.

2017), which is subsequently optimized (Hylton et al. 1988; Monson and Moore 1989; Sage 2004).

The transition through the intermediate stages has been predicted by mechanistic modelling studies,

which support the idea that the sequential acquisition of components of the C_4 trait successively

increases fitness through increases in photosynthetic output (Heckmann et al. 2013, 2016;

Mallmann et al. 2014). This model however currently lacks experimental support. Efforts to

engineer the C_4 trait into C_3 crops have provided the first opportunities to study the effects of C_4

components in isolation (Ishimaru et al. 1997; Taniguchi et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2017; Ermakova et

al. 2021), but the recipient species do not necessarily possess the anatomical properties required for

an efficient C_4 metabolism. An alternative strategy is to segregate the components of the C_4 trait via

crosses between C_4 and non-C_4 plants.

Successful interspecific crosses between C_4 and non-C_4 plants have been reported particularly

for the eudicot genera *Atriplex* (e.g. Björkman et al. 1969; Oakley et al. 2014) and *Flaveria* (e.g.

Araus et al. 1990; Byrd et al. 1992; reviewed by Brown and Bouton 1993), with a recent interest in

exploring this strategy to dissect the C_4 trait (Oakley et al. 2014; Lin et al. 2021). Most of the

diversity of C_4 lineages however lies in monocots, and especially the grass family, with at least 22

independent origins of C_4 photosynthesis (GPWG II 2012). The only existing crosses between

photosynthetic types in monocots concerned C_3 and C_3-C_4 parents (Bouton et al. 1986), and the

grass *Alloteropsis semialata* offers a particularly suitable system to complement these studies, as it

includes not only C_3 and C_4 (Ellis 1974a), but also C_3+C_4 individuals (Lundgren et al. 2016). While

the different photosynthetic types of *A. semialata* can hybridize (Bianconi et al. 2020), the effects of

different crosses remain to be described.

Alloteropsis semialata has a paleotropical distribution, with C_3 and C_3+C_4 populations in

Southern and Central/Eastern regions of Africa, respectively, and C_4 individuals occurring across

Africa, South and Southeast Asia, and Oceania (Lundgren et al. 2015; Olofsson et al. 2016;

Bianconi et al. 2020; Olofsson et al. 2021). The photosynthetic types in *A. semialata* are associated

with distinct genetic lineages (Lundgren et al. 2015; Olofsson et al. 2016), which initially diverged

around 3 Mya (Bianconi et al. 2020). Although the ranges of C_4 and non-C_4 lineages geographically

overlap in some regions of Africa, with C_3+C_4 and C_4 in Central/Eastern Africa, and C_3 and C_4 in

Southern Africa, genetic analyses have shown that natural hybrids are rare (Olofsson et al. 2016;

Bianconi et al. 2020; Olofsson et al. 2021). The lack of a clear hybrid zone has been partially

explained by the differences in ploidy levels among photosynthetic types where they are found in

sympatry (Olofsson et al. 2021). However, the broad ranges of C_4 and C_3+C_4 diploids overlap, and

they have been coexisting for at least one million years (Lundgren et al. 2015; Bianconi et al. 2020).

Besides ploidy differences, the low frequency of natural hybridization might be associated with pre-

and post-zygotic barriers, such as possible hybrid depression associated with pleiotropic costs of

upregulating components of C_4 photosynthesis without a fully functional C_4 metabolism in place

(Olofsson et al. 2021). Experimental crosses between C_4 and non-C_4 individuals of *A. semialata*

provide an opportunity to test this hypothesis.

Here we generate hybrids between C_3 and C_4 (C_3 x C_4), and C_3+C_4 and C_4 (C_3+C_4 x C_4)

accessions of *A. semialata* and analyse their phenotype to test the hypotheses that components of

the C_4 trait are additive, and that hybrids rank between their non-C_4 and C_4 parents in terms of

photosynthetic performance. Our study confirms that crosses between photosynthetic types in *A.

semialata* are viable in experimental conditions, but shows that the C_4 metabolism is disrupted in

the hybrids despite the significant upregulation of anatomical and biochemical components of the

C_4 trait.

Material and Methods

Plant material and growth conditions

Crosses between accessions of *Alloteropsis semialata* (R. Br.) Hitchc. (Poaceae) from distinct

geographical origins were generated from parental plants collected in the wild as seeds or cuttings

(Table S1) and grown in greenhouse conditions at the Arthur Willis Environment Centre, University

of Sheffield (UK). Initial attempts of controlled cross-pollination using pollination bags to isolate

hand-pollinated inflorescences were unsuccessful. For this reason, we adopted a non-controlled

pollination strategy, in which plants were allowed to receive pollen from any other plant in the

greenhouse, with subsequent determination of the pollen parent via genotyping (see below). The

resulting seeds were collected while still attached to the mother plant, and subsequently germinated

on Petri dishes before being potted. Here, a total of 30 seedlings from seven mother plants (two C_3,

two C_3+C_4 and three C_4) were obtained. Subsequent genotyping of the seedlings identified multiple

F1 hybrids between parents with distinct photosynthetic types, including 14 C_3 x C_4 and six C_3+C_4 x

C_4 individuals (Table S1). The remaining seedlings consisted of 10 C_4 x C_4 crosses, and an

additional C_3+C_4 plant resulting from self-pollination (Table S2). Five C_3 x C_4 individuals grew to

maturity and were sampled for DNA analyses but died before being phenotyped, and another two

died before being sampled for RNA sequencing and leaf gas-exchange analyses (Table S1). For the

phenotypic analyses, we included the mother plants, the known and possible pollen parents (i.e.

cases in which the genotyping analyses could not distinguish between genetically similar C_4

accessions; see Table S1), and seven additional accessions of *A. semialata* (three C_3, two C_3+C_4 and

two C_4) that were growing alongside them to increase the phenotypic diversity within

photosynthetic types (Table S1). All plants were grown in 11-L, free-draining pots containing a 2:1

mix of M3 compost (Levington) and perlite (Sinclair) under well-watered conditions, and were

fertilized once every three months with a NK fertilizer 16-0-5 containing Iron (Evergreen Extreme

Green Lawn Food). Plants were grown on a 12-hour day/night cycle, with metal halide lamps

providing supplementary light (additional 200 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1} at bench level), 25/20°C day/night

temperature, ambient CO_2 concentration and relative humidity between 30% and 60%.

Genotyping

We used three genetic markers to determine the genetic lineage of the pollen parent of each plant.

These markers correspond to genomic regions (~500 bp long) with sufficient variation to

distinguish between different photosynthetic types and geographical groups, and were selected from

those previously assembled to determine the origin of allopolyploids in *A. semialata* (Bianconi et al.

2020). Custom primers were designed to PCR amplify the target genes (Table S3). Genomic DNA

(gDNA) of the putative crosses was isolated from fresh leaves using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit

(Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). PCR reactions contained ca. 10-40 ng of gDNA template, 5 μl of 5X

GoTaq Flexi reaction buffer (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), 2 mM of $MgCl_2$, 0.08 mM of dNTPs,

0.2 μM of each primer and 0.5 unit of GoTaq polymerase (Promega) in a total volume of 25 μl. The

PCR mixtures were initially incubated in a thermocycler for 2 min at 94°C followed by 35 cycles

consisting of 30 s at 94°C (denaturation), 1 min at 48°C (annealing) and 1 min at 72°C (elongation).

Amplicons were cleaned using Exo-SAP-IT (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA), and Sanger-

sequenced at the Core Genomic Facility at the University of Sheffield. Sequencing chromatograms

were individually inspected for heterozygous sites that are polymorphic among genetic groups

corresponding to distinct photosynthetic types, since the presence of such heterozygous sites

indicates a F1 hybrid between photosynthetic types. Because the maternal origin was known in

advance (the maternal plant was the one on which the seed was collected), the information from the

alternative bases was used to narrow down the pollen parent to a genetic lineage (Table S2). The

hybrid origin of the plants was subsequently confirmed through an additional genotype analysis

using RNAseq data (see below).

Stable carbon isotopes

Carbon isotope composition was determined from the central portion of fully expanded leaf blades.

Samples were dried in silica gel, and subsequently ground to a fine powder using a TissueLyzer II

(Qiagen). Carbon isotope analysis was conducted on 1-2 mg of leaf sample using an ANCA GSL

preparation module coupled to a Sercon 20-20 stable isotope ratio mass spectrometer (PDZ Europa,

Cheshire, UK). Carbon isotopic ratios ($\delta^{13}C$, in ‰) were reported relative to the standard Pee Dee

Belemnite (PDB). Values of $\delta^{13}C$ higher than -16‰ indicate that the plants grew using C_4

photosynthesis (O'Leary 1988; Stata et al. 2019). $\delta^{13}C$ values of additional accessions of *A.*

semialata that were previously phenotyped and identified as C_3, C_3+C_4 or C_4 (Lundgren et al. 2016,

2019; Dunning et al. 2017, 2019a) were retrieved from Lundgren et al. (2015, 2016).

Leaf anatomy

Leaf cross sections were obtained from the central portion of fully expanded leaf blades. Fresh

samples were initially dehydrated in an ethanol series from 70% to 100% EtOH, and resin-

infiltrated with Technovit 7100 (Heraeus Kulzer GmbH, Wehrheim, Germany) following the

manufacturer's instructions. Cross sections 7-10 μm thick were obtained using a microtome (Leica

RM 2245, Leica Biosystems Nussloch GmbH, Nussloch, Germany), and stained with Toluidine

Blue O (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). An Olympus BX51 microscope coupled to an

Olympus DP71 camera (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) was used to photograph the cross

sections. Leaf anatomical traits were measured using ImageJ v.1.51q (Schneider et al. 2012) in one

cross section per individual. Measurements were taken following Lundgren et al. (2019) within

segments, where the segment was defined as the area between two consecutive secondary veins (i.e.

veins with large metaxylem vessels; see Fig. S1). Segments that were adjacent to the mid vein or to

the lateral margins of the cross section were avoided to maintain consistency between samples. The

variables measured here included: leaf thickness (at the leftmost secondary vein in the segment), the

number of minor veins (4th and 5th order veins), vein density, distance between edges of consecutive

bundle sheaths (BSD), width of inner (IS) and outer sheath (OS), and the areas of epidermis

(including bulliform cells, Epd), mesophyll (M), extraxylary fibres (Fb), bundle sheath (IS and OS)

and vascular tissue (V; see Fig. S1 for an illustrated key for each variable). Areas were expressed

relative to the total area of the segment before analysis, except IS and OS, which were expressed

relative to the mesophyll area (IS/M and OS/M). A principal component analysis (PCA) was

performed on these 12 leaf anatomical variables using the function *prcomp* in R v. 3.6.3 (R Core

Team 2020).

Leaf transcriptome

Leaf mRNA was isolated and sequenced as previously described (Dunning et al. 2019a). In short,

the distal halves of fully expanded leaves were sampled in the middle of the light period, flash-

frozen in liquid N_2 and kept at -80°C. All samples were collected on the same day. Total RNA was

extracted using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany) with an on-column DNA digestion

step (RNase-Free DNase Set; Qiagen). A total of 20 RNA-Seq libraries (one per individual) were

prepared with the TruSeq RNA Library Preparation Kit v2 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) using

0.5 μg of starting RNA and aiming at a median insert size of ~ 155 bp (standard fragmentation

protocol). Libraries were paired-end sequenced (read length = 100 bp) on 1/24 of a single Illumina

HiSeq 2500 flow cell in rapid mode (with four additional samples from an unrelated project) at the

Sheffield Diagnostic Genetics Service. Raw sequence reads were filtered to remove adaptor

contamination and low quality reads (i.e. < 80% of bases with Phred score > 20) using

NGSQCToolkit v. 2.3.3 (Patel and Jain 2012). Reads were further trimmed from the 3' end to

remove bases with Phred score < 20. The quality of the filtered data was assessed using FastQC v.

0.11.9 (Andrews 2010).

Transcript abundance was quantified by mapping the filtered reads to a reference dataset

consisting of coding sequences from multiple transcriptomes of *A. semialata* retrieved from

Dunning et al. (2017) and modified by Bianconi et al. (2018). In short, this dataset consists of 5,540

groups of homologous genes that are common to the Panicoideae grasses (the subfamily that

includes *Alloteropsis*), each group containing all paralogs detected in the transcriptomes of *A.*

semialata (total of 12,234 groups of co-orthologs). We used this dataset as it includes manually

curated sets of co-orthologs of 23 gene families known to have a function in the C_4 biochemistry

(Bianconi et al. 2018). This curated gene set increases the read mapping accuracy when paralogs

exist with a high sequence similarity. Furthermore, this dataset includes the sequences of paralogs

of core C_4 genes that are absent from the reference genome of *A. semialata*, such as four different

genes for the enzyme phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC) known to be highly expressed in

other *A. semialata* accessions (Dunning et al. 2017, 2019a). Filtered reads were mapped to the

reference dataset using Bowtie2 v. 2.3.5 (Langmead and Salzberg 2012) with default parameters.

Read counts are reported here in reads per million mapped reads (RPM). To visualize sample-to-

sample distances, a PCA on the global patterns of gene expression was conducted using the function

prcomp in R after log2-transforming the RPM values. Only genes with transcript abundance > 10

RPM in at least five samples were used for the PCA. To test whether the global patterns of gene

expression were consistent when the whole gene set of *A. semialata* was considered, we repeated

the analysis using the chromosome-level genome assembly of this species (Dunning et al. 2019b) as

reference for read mapping. Finally, to verify whether the expression level differences between

photosynthetic types were consistent with previous reports for *A. semialata*, we quantified transcript

abundance (using the co-orthologous gene set as reference) for 20 additional accessions (four C_3,

six C_3+C_4 and 10 C_4) retrieved from two previous RNAseq studies (Dunning et al. 2017, 2019a).

To confirm the paternity of the putative hybrids, we genotyped the plants using the RNAseq
dataset mapped to the reference genome of *A. semialata*. Here we also included individuals of *A.*
semialata from the RNAseq studies of Dunning et al. (2017, 2019a) to increase the genetic diversity
of the dataset. Read alignment files were filtered to remove duplicates using Picard Tools v. 1.102
(https://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/), and variants were called on all individuals combined using
BCFtools v. 1.9 (Li et al. 2009). Variant sites were filtered using VCFtools v. 0.1.17 (Li et al. 2009)
to remove low quality genotype calls (i.e. quality score < 30 and read depth < 3), indels, and sites
with more than 10% missing data, which resulted in an initial set of 521,929 single nucleotide
polymorphisms (SNPs). BCFtools was then used to retain only multiallelic sites in which all
hybrids were heterozygous and all potential parents were homozygous, which resulted in 15,406
SNPs that were then used for the genotype tests. First, to determine the type of cross (i.e. whether
C_3 x C_4 or C_3+C_4 x C_4), we identified SNPs that were common to all individuals of the same
photosynthetic type and exclusive to them. Sites with more than 25% missing data within each
photosynthetic group were removed, and a total of 114 SNPs were retained (Table S4). We then
tested, for each SNP, whether hybrid individuals had one C_4 allele and one allele that was either C_3
or C_3+C_4, according to the type of cross (Table S4). With the confirmation of the type of cross, we
then narrowed down the genetic lineage of the pollen parent (as the mother is already known). Only
one individual representing each genetic lineage was retained for this analysis (Table S5). First, we
used the 15,406 SNP set to identify all singletons of each potential pollen parent, which in this case
were defined as homozygous genotypes that were unique to a single individual among all
individuals with the same photosynthetic type. A total of 7,779 singletons were identified (minimum
of 287 per potential pollen parent; Table S5). We then verified, for each singleton, which hybrid
individuals carried that same allele, and counted the number of positive matches (Table S5). All
genotyping analyses were conducted using R (scripts are provided as Supplementary Files).
234
Leaf gas-exchanges
The photosynthetic response to intercellular CO_2 concentration (*A*/*Ci* curve) was measured using
two portable gas-exchange systems Li-6400XT (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, USA). *A*/*Ci* curves were
measured within the first six hours of the photoperiod on the widest fully expanded leaf at a block
temperature (T_{block}) of 25 ºC, flow rate = 300 μmol s^{-1}, photosynthetic photon flux density (*PPFD*) =
1500 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}, and were started after both net CO_2 uptake (*A*) and stomatal conductance (g_S)
reached steady-state at reference CO_2 = 400 μmol mol^{-1}. Reference CO_2 was then changed in a
stepwise manner to 250, 150, 120, 100, 85, 70, 50, 35, 400, 600, 800, 1000 and 1200 μmol mol^{-1}.
Readings were automatically logged after 2 to 3 minutes of leaf acclimation to each CO_2 level. The
CO_2 compensation point (CCP) and maximum carboxylation efficiency (CE) were calculated

following Bellasio et al. (2016). In this approach, the CO_2-dependence of A is described by an

empirical non-rectangular hyperbola, and allows for the estimation of parameters irrespective of the

photosynthetic physiology, which is therefore a suitable approach for hybrids (Bellasio et al. 2016).

Water-use efficiency (WUE) was calculated as the ratio between A and g_S at steady-state at

reference $CO_2 = 400$ μmol mol^{-1}.

Results

Carbon isotopic ratios are intermediate between the parents

Carbon isotopic ratios ($\delta^{13}C$) of all hybrids between C_4 and non-C_4 plants were below the range of

C_4 values in *A. semialata* (Fig. 1; Table S1). However, $\delta^{13}C$ was consistently higher in the hybrids

than in their non-C_4 parents, with average increases of 2.8 ‰ in C_3 x C_4 and 5.4 ‰ in C_3+C_4 x C_4

hybrids relative to their C_3 and C_3+C_4 parents, respectively. The $\delta^{13}C$ ranged between -27.7 and -

25.3 ‰ in C_3 x C_4, and -21.4 and -18.5 ‰ in C_3+C_4 x C_4 hybrids.

Leaf anatomy is additive in the hybrids

C_4 and non-C_4 leaves in *A. semialata* are mainly distinguished by the presence of minor veins in C_4

accessions (Lundgren et al. 2019). Here, minor veins were observed in all hybrids, except two C_3 x

C_4 individuals (Table S6). The presence of chloroplasts in the inner sheath of all hybrids is

suggested by the strong and consistent staining patterns in these cells, which are similar to the

patterns observed in C_4 and C_3+C_4 *A. semialata*, but not in C_3 accessions, where the staining is very

weak (Fig. 2A). The first component of a PCA on 12 quantitative anatomical traits explains 57.1%

of the total variation and separates the accessions per photosynthetic types (Fig. 2B). C_4 individuals

are associated with negative value of the first component, which indicate increased vein density

(including minor veins) and increased abundance of bundle sheath tissue (IS and OS) relative to the

mesophyll (Fig. 2B). C_3+C_4 and C_3 accessions partially overlap, and are associated with positive

values of the first component. Hybrid individuals are intermediate between their respective parents

along this first component. The second principal component explains 13.9% of the variance, and is

correlated with leaf thickness (r = 0.88, $p < 0.001$), width of inner bundle sheath cells (ISW; r =

0.64, $p < 0.001$) and epidermis area (Epd; r = -0.54, $p < 0.001$), but these variables do not

differentiate photosynthetic or cross types. The proportion of inner bundle sheath relative to

mesophyll (IS/M) was on average doubled in C_3 x C_4 hybrids compared to C_3 accessions, and was

within the range of C_3+C_4, but the values were still 62% lower than the C_4 average (Fig. 2C). The

distance between consecutive bundle sheaths (BSD) in C_3 x C_4 and C_3+C_4 x C_4 hybrids was on

average 49% and 55% smaller than in C_3 and C_3+C_4 plants, respectively (Fig. 2D). The mean width

of IS cells was similar between C_3+C_4 and C_4 accessions, and values were on average 48% higher

than in C_3, but there were no significant differences among photosynthetic types or between hybrids

and the respective parental types (Fig. 2E). OS cells were however significantly smaller in C_4 than

in C_3 accessions, with hybrids having intermediate values that were not significantly different from

those of C_3+C_4 accessions (Fig. 2F). In the hybrids, the variation in BSD was largely explained by

vein density ($R^2 = 0.92$, $p < 0.001$), with no significant effects of OS or IS widths. The IS/M ratio,

on the other hand, was not significantly associated with vein density, but with both OS and IS width

($R^2 = 0.75$, $p < 0.001$). Overall, C_3 x C_4 hybrids were not significantly different from C_3+C_4 in

relation to the quantitative anatomical traits analysed here, except for BSD, which was significantly

reduced in C_3 x C_4 as a result of the presence of minor veins in most accessions. In C_3+C_4 x C_4

hybrids, a quantitatively distinct phenotype was generated, with trait values ranking between C_3+C_4

and C_4 plants. Overall, our results show that leaf anatomical traits in *A. semialata* are mostly

inherited in an additive manner.

Gene expression

Global gene expression patterns were assessed via a PCA on 7,482 genes (Fig. 3A). The first

principal component mostly separated three sister C_3+C_4 x C_4 plants (H06, H11, and H23) from the

other individuals, and accounted for 24.7% of the variation. Photosynthetic types were clearly

separated by the second principal component, which accounted for 16.8% of the variation and

placed the hybrids as intermediate to the photosynthetic types of their parents. Similar clustering

patterns were observed when transcript abundance was quantified for the complete gene set

extracted from the genome of *A. semialata* (Fig. S2).

Transcript abundance of five genes encoding key enzymes for the C_4 biochemistry, and which

are known to be upregulated in C_4 plants of *A. semialata* (Dunning et al. 2019a), were analysed in

detail (Fig. 3B,C; Table S7). The patterns of transcript abundance of C_3, C_3+C_4 and C_4 plants are

highly similar to those reported by Dunning et al. (2017, 2019a), where a larger sample size was

used (Fig. S3). Transcript abundance of the genes encoding the C_4-specific forms of the enzymes

aspartate aminotransferase (ASP-AT, gene *aspat-3P4*), NADP-malic enzyme (NADP-ME, gene

nadpme-1P4) and pyruvate phosphate dikinase (PPDK, gene *ppdk-1P2*) were four- to 17-fold

higher in C_4 than in C_3 plants, with the transcript abundances of the C_3+C_4 plant slightly above those

of C_3 plants (Fig. 3B). In each case, the transcript abundance of the hybrids was intermediate to the

abundance of the photosynthetic types of the parents, showing that these expression patterns are

mostly additive. C_3 accessions of *A. semialata* lack the gene copy encoding phosphoenolpyruvate

carboxykinase (PCK) that is highly expressed in C_4 and C_3+C_4 accessions (*pck-1P1_LGT*; Olofsson

et al. 2016); the transcript abundance of this gene reaches 20% of the mean C_4 values in C_3 x C_4

hybrids, and 40% in C_3+C_4 x C_4.

Out of the nine genes encoding the key C_4 enzyme phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC)

that have been identified in *A. semialata* accessions (Dunning et al. 2017), only five were reported

to be highly expressed in leaves of some C_4 accessions (Christin et al. 2012; Dunning et al. 2017,

2019a). One of these genes, *ppc-1P3_LGT:A* is only present in the C_4 lineage from Oceania, which

is represented here by an Australian accession (AUS1) that is the pollen parent of two C_3 x C_4

hybrids (H19 and H21; Table S5); both plants have expression levels of this gene that are similar to

the Australian parent (Fig. 3C). Only one of the other two laterally acquired PEPC copies is present

in the plants analysed here (*ppc-1P3_LGT:M*), and it is highly expressed (> 10,000 RPM) in four

C_3+C_4 x C_4 individuals that are offspring from the C_4 accessions from Burkina Faso or Madagascar

(H06, H11, H14 and H23; Table S5; Fig. 3C), which have been previously shown to be part of the

only C_4 lineage to carry this gene (Olofsson et al. 2016). The expression levels of *ppc-1P3* are

similar across C_3+C_4 and C_4 accessions, and are on average ten-fold higher than in C_3 accessions

(except the C_4 AUS1, which has a truncated copy of this gene; Olofsson et al. 2016); in the C_3+C_4 x

C_4 hybrids, however, the transcript abundance of this gene is on average 42% higher than the

median of C_3+C_4 and C_4 accessions (Fig. 3C). Finally, the gene *ppc-1P6* reaches high expression

levels in C_4 accessions (except in AUS1, where it is absent; Dunning et al. 2019b), but is

disproportionally upregulated in the C_4 Taiwanese lineage (TPE; Dunning et al. 2017), where it

reaches levels that are up to 100-fold higher than in C_3 plants, and at least twice higher than the

values in other C_4 and C_3+C_4 accessions. Here, the *ppc-1P6* copy reaches high levels in the C_3 x C_4

and C_3+C_4 x C_4 offspring of TPE, mostly ranging from 10,000 to 60,000 RPM, except for one

C_3+C_4 x C_4 which has a transcript abundance similar to TPE (~ 100,000 RPM).

Overall, the analyses of expression profiles show that the inheritance of C_4 gene expression is

largely additive, with some exceptions. In almost all cases, the transcript abundance of the hybrids

ranks between those of the parental lineages.

Evidence of reduced photosynthetic performance in the hybrids

Photosynthetic performance was assessed from CO_2 response curves (A/Ci) and steady-state

measurements at ambient CO_2 (Fig. S4; Tables S8 and S9). Photosynthetic rates in the hybrids

ranked between the parents at low Ci levels, but the differences between hybrids and parents were

progressively reduced as CO_2 levels increased, until they were equal to their non-C_4 parents at Ci of

100 in C_3 x C_4, and 250 μmol mol^{-1} in C_3+C_4 x C_4 hybrids (Fig. 4). The C_3 and C_3+C_4 accessions had

on average similar values of maximum carboxylation efficiency (CE), and these were 57% lower

than in C_4 accessions (Fig. 5A; Table S9). Despite the larger variation among individuals, C_3+C_4 x

C_4 hybrids had CE values that were on average 83% and 96% higher than in C_3 and C_3+C_4

accessions, respectively, and these were not significantly different from the average values of C_4

accessions. In C_3 x C_4 hybrids, however, CE was consistently lower than in C_3 accessions, and

reached the lowest mean values of all photosynthetic types/crosses.

The CO_2 compensation point (CCP) ranged between 43 and 48 μmol mol^{-1} in C_3 accessions, and

between 5 and 15 μmol mol^{-1} in C_3+C_4 individuals (Fig. 5B). All C_4 had CCP below 10 μmol mol^{-1}.

In the hybrids, CCP was below 13 μmol mol^{-1} in C_3+C_4 x C_4 individuals, and ranged between 6 and

40 μmol mol^{-1} in C_3 x C_4 hybrids. CCP estimates varied substantially among A/Ci curves in C_3 x C_4

individuals (Fig. 5B), but mean values were 52% lower than in C_3 plants. The steady-state net CO_2

assimilation rate at ambient CO_2 (400 μmol mol^{-1}; A_{400}) was highest in two C_4 accessions, but the

differences between C_3, C_3+C_4 and C_4 plants were not significant (Fig. 5C). C_3+C_4 x C_4 hybrids had

the lowest A_{400}, but mean values were not significantly different from C_3 x C_4, C_3 and C_3+C_4

accessions. The intrinsic water use efficiency (iWUE) at ambient CO_2 was on average 38% higher

in C_4 than in other accessions, although a few C_3, C_3+C_4 and C_3+C_4 x C_4 individuals also showed

C_4-like values (Fig. 5D). The lowest iWUE values were observed in C_3 and C_3 x C_4 individuals, but

the means were not significantly different from C_3+C_4 and C_3+C_4 x C_4 hybrids. To investigate

whether changes in leaf temperature (T_{leaf}) could explain the observed patterns, we inspected the

variation in T_{leaf} during the A/Ci curves. Although T_{leaf} values up to 3ºC above the median (26.3ºC)

were observed in a few accessions, 81% of all data points were collected within a 2ºC interval (Fig.

S5). There were no significant differences between groups when all points were considered, nor

when only above- or below-ambient CO_2 levels were analysed (Fig. S5). Similar patterns among

cross/photosynthetic types were observed in all photosynthetic parameters after removing

individuals for which the median T_{leaf} was 1ºC above the median of all curves (Fig. S6).

Overall, these results show that the physiological characters are not consistently additive in the

hybrids, with some individuals performing below their two parents for several traits.

Discussion

Partial contribution of the C_4 cycle to carbon fixation in hybrids

Here we show that hybrids between C_4 and non-C_4 individuals of the grass *A. semialata* do not

exhibit a full C_4 physiology, as indicated by their $\delta^{13}C$, which are clearly outside the range of C_4

values in the species (Fig. 1). This indicates that a significant fraction of CO_2 assimilation in these

hybrids occurs directly via Rubisco in the mesophyll, which implies that the genetic contribution of

the C_4 parent was insufficient to 1) completely suppress Rubisco expression and/or activity in the

mesophyll cells, and/or 2) create an effective C_4 cycle. Nonetheless, because $\delta^{13}C$ values are

significantly higher in the hybrids than in their non-C_4 parents (Fig. 1), and the CCP is substantially

reduced, reaching C_4-like values in C_3+C_4 x C_4 hybrids (Fig. 4B), we conclude that, in most hybrids,

a fraction of the CO_2 that enters the leaf is fixed by PEPC in the mesophyll and follows the C_4 route

to be refixed by Rubisco in the inner bundle sheath. Furthermore, given the C_4-like CCP and CE

values, and $\delta^{13}C$ above -20‰ (in most cases), the degree of C_4 activity is higher in C_3+C_4 x C_4 than

in C_3 x C_4 hybrids. Our findings therefore recapitulate what has been shown for interspecific

hybrids between photosynthetic types in several eudicot and monocot genera (Björkman et al. 1969;

Brown et al. 1985; Araus et al. 1990; Byrd et al. 1992; Brown and Bouton 1993; Oakley et al. 2014;

Kadereit et al. 2017). The causes for this partial contribution of the C_4 pathway to the overall carbon

assimilation in the hybrids are potentially the same in all these cases, and are probably related to the

insufficient expression of components of C_4 metabolism (Brown and Bouton 1993).

Two interrelated leaf anatomical traits were significantly different from the C_4 individuals in

both C_3 x C_4 and C_3+C_4 x C_4 hybrids, namely the proportion of inner sheath to mesophyll tissue (IS/

M) and the distance between consecutive bundle sheaths (BSD). These traits are mostly determined

by the presence of minor veins in C_4 leaves, which has been previously shown to be the major

anatomical difference between C_4 and non-C_4 *A. semialata* (Lundgren et al. 2019). Here, significant

changes towards the C_4 phenotype in IS/M and BSD were observed in the hybrids, but realized

values were still far from those observed in C_4 accessions (Fig. 2C,D). This is particularly clear for

IS/M, where values were below the mean of both parents in the two hybrid types. Since CO_2

refixation by Rubisco takes place in the IS cells in C_4 *A. semialata* (Ellis 1974b; Ueno and Sentoku

2006), the leaf anatomy of the hybrids might prevent an optimal coupling between carbon

assimilation and reduction reactions, therefore decreasing the efficiency of the C_4 pathway. The size

of the OS cells might also play a role in creating an effective C_4 cycle, as suggested by the large

differences between C_3 and C_4 accessions (Fig. 2E). Few other C_4 species have an extra layer of BS

cells outside of the BS cells containing chloroplasts, and the presence of an OS may represent an

increased resistance to the C_4 acid shuttle (Lundgren et al. 2014). Here, the OS cells were

significantly reduced in the hybrids relative to their non-C_4 parents, with most C_3+C_4 x C_4

individuals and a few C_3 x C_4 having OS cell sizes within the C_4 range (Fig. 2F), which suggests

that this character might have played a minor role, if any, in reducing the efficiency of the C_4 acid

shuttle in the hybrids.

C_4 activity could also have been limited by gene expression and protein activity. Despite

significant increases in the transcript abundance of core C_4 genes, particularly the genes encoding

PEPC (Fig 4), it is possible that the insufficient expression levels of some genes might have reduced

the efficiency of the C_4 cycle, for example by decreasing the rate of carboxylation and/or

decarboxylation reactions. Furthermore, the lack of proper compartmentation of the

expression/activity of these genes due to a non-tissue-specific expression of C_3 or C_3+C_4 alleles

could have impaired the formation of intermediate metabolite pools, therefore preventing the

operation of an efficient C_4 cycle (Brown and Bouton 1993; Ermakova et al. 2020). Finally, the lack

or insufficiency of post-transcriptional and post-translational modifications might also have affected

the realized enzyme activity. This might be particularly relevant in the case of hybrids, where the

cell environment may be substantially modified by pleiotropic effects emerging from the expression

of two divergent genomes. Detailed analyses of cellular localization and activity of C_4 enzymes

coupled to CO_2 labelling studies are necessary to identify the major determinants of the phenotype

observed in the hybrids reported here.

Photosynthetic performance of plants expressing partial C_4 traits and implications

for the model of C_4 evolution

The current model of C_4 evolution assumes that the sequential acquisition of certain features, such

as Rubisco activity in bundle sheath cells and upregulation of core C_4 enzymes, increases

photosynthetic output and consequently fitness in an environment that favours the C_4 physiology

(Monson and Moore 1989; Sage 2004; Heckmann et al. 2013; Malmmann et al. 2014). However,

this Mt. Fuji-like fitness landscape (Heckmann et al. 2013) is conditional on the presence of

anatomical enablers; when these are lacking, the C_4 phenotype is not accessible because

intermediate states have lower fitness than the C_3 state (Heckmann 2016). The two groups of

intraspecific hybrids generated in this study provide an opportunity to examine this prediction while

controlling for phylogenetic effects, with C_3 x C_4 and C_3+C_4 x C_4 plants being proxies for the

upregulation of C_4 traits at an early and intermediate stage, respectively, along C_4 evolution. Here,

we show that the carboxylation efficiency of C_3 x C_4 hybrids is lower than that of both parents (Figs

5, 6A), despite significant changes towards the C_4 phenotype in leaf anatomy and gene expression.

In C_3+C_4 x C_4 hybrids, however, carboxylation efficiency was increased in comparison to the C_3+C_4

parent, and was not significantly different from C_4 accessions. Such disparate effects of

upregulating C_4 components in a non-C_4 background are compatible with the initial prediction that

fitness gains are conditional on the presence of enabling factors, which here might have been

present in C_3+C_4 parents, but lacking in the C_3 plants. Such changes would have acted as a switch

that permitted a full C_4 pathway to operate, and therefore increase photosynthetic performance.

Note however that such gains in photosynthetic performance are restricted to conditions of low CO_2

concentration in the leaf (Fig. 4). In fact, at ambient CO_2 levels, several individuals of both hybrid

groups had lower photosynthetic rates than both parents, suggesting that the partial upregulation of

C_4 components, all at once, might have negative consequences, possibly due to pleiotropic effects

(e.g. C_4 enzymes competing for reducing power and ATP with other cell reactions). This in turn

supports the idea that the changes towards a full C_4 physiology must be built sequentially upon

preexisting characteristics that enable the next change to provide a fitness advantage (Heckmann

2016). Further characterization of the photosynthetic performance of F2 hybrids expressing C_4

components independently, particularly under conditions expected to favour C_4 plants, including
long-term exposure to low CO_2 levels, should provide further insights on whether C_4 evolution must
follow a particular sequence of events for it to be viable.

Conclusions

In this study, we characterize the phenotype of hybrids between different photosynthetic types in the
grass *Alloteropsis semialata* to investigate how the upregulation of components of the C_4 trait
affects photosynthetic performance. We show that the hybrids have in most cases anatomical traits
and gene expression patterns that are intermediate between those of the parents, and this leads to C_4
activity that is equally intermediate between the two parents. The physiological benefits of a partial
C_4 metabolism in the hybrids appear only at low CO_2 levels; at ambient CO_2, there is no evidence of
enhanced photosynthetic performance in the hybrids relative to their non-C_4 parents. Some hybrid
individuals in fact perform worse than both parents at ambient CO_2, and this possible hybrid
depression could explain the lack of a clear hybrid zone in regions where the distributions of C_4 and
non-C_4 *A. semialata* lineages overlap. Overall, our results support the hypothesis that photosynthetic
gains arising from the upregulation of C_4 features are conditional on coordinated changes in leaf
anatomy and biochemistry. Future studies with the F2 offspring, where the different C_4 components
can be segregated, will be able to pinpoint the key genetic and phenotypic changes that lead to
fitness gains, providing a unique opportunity to experimentally test long-standing hypotheses about
the evolution of C_4 photosynthesis.

Supplementary data

Fig. S1. Leaf anatomical variables measured in this study.

Fig. S2. Principal component analysis on 11,988 genes from the chromosome-level genome
assembly of *Alloteropsis semialata*.

Fig. S3. Transcript abundance in reads per million (RPM) of selected genes encoding core C_4
enzymes in *Alloteropsis semialata*: (A) aspartate aminotransferase (ASP-AT, gene *aspat-3P4*), (B)
NADP-dependent malic enzyme (NADP-ME, gene *nadpme-1P4*), (C) phosphoenolpyruvate
carboxykinase (PCK, gene *pck1P1_LGT*), (D) pyruvate phosphate dikinase (PPDK, gene *ppdk-1P2*), and (E-I) phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC) genes. Transcript abundance computed
for *A. semialata* samples extracted from Dunning et al. (2019a) are shown on the right for each
gene.

Fig. S4. Photosynthetic response to intercellular CO_2 (*A/Ci*) of F1 hybrids and the parental
photosynthetic types in *Alloteropsis semialata*. Panels contain all *A/Ci* curves collected for each
accession, with individual curves coloured with different shades of grey. Accession name and cross/

photosynthetic type are indicated on the top left. Vertical dashed lines indicate the CO_2

compensation point of each curve.

Fig. S5. Leaf temperature variation during A/Ci curves. Data points are individual A/Ci

measurements for each plant (left panels) or with individuals grouped into cross/photosynthetic

types (right panels). (A) All measurements, and measurements collected at reference CO_2 (B) < 100

μmol mol^{-1}, (C) between 100 and 400 μmol mol^{-1}, and (D) > 400 μmol mol^{-1}. Arrows indicate

outlier individuals that were removed for the analysis in Fig. S6.

Fig. S6. Photosynthetic performance of F1 hybrids and the parental photosynthetic types in

Alloteropsis semialata after removing outlier accessions (i.e. with T_{leaf} 1°C above the median). (A)

Maximum carboxylation efficiency (CE), (B) CO_2 compensation point (CCP), and steady-state (C)

net photosynthetic rate (A_{400}), and (D) intrinsic water use efficiency (iWUE, A/g_s) at reference CO_2

= 400 μmol mol^{-1}.

Author contributions

514   MEB, CPO and PAC designed the study. MEB phenotyped the plants and analysed the data. EVC,

515   GS and LTD PCR-genotyped the plants. GS and VM generated transcriptome data. ES produced the

516   hybrids. MEB and PAC wrote the manuscript with the help of all co-authors.

Acknowledgements

519   This work was funded by the European Research Council (grant ERC-2014-STG-638333) and the

520   Royal Society (grant RGF\EA\181050). M.E.B is funded by a Royal Society grant (RGF\EA\

521   181050), and P.A.C. by a Royal Society University Research Fellowship (grant URF\R\180022).

Data availability

524   Newly generated RNA sequencing datasets were deposited in the NCBI SRA database under

Bioproject PRJNA752516. Scripts used for the genotyping analysis are available as Supplementary Data.

References

Andrews S. 2010. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. See http://www. bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/

Araus JL, Brown RH, Bouton JH, Serret MD. 1990. Leaf anatomical characteristics in *Flaveria trinervia* (C_4), *Flaveria brownii* (C_4-like) and their F1 hybrid. Photosynthesis Research 26, 49–57.

Bellasio C, Beerling DJ, Griffiths H. 2016. An Excel tool for deriving key photosynthetic parameters from combined gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence: theory and practice. Plant, Cell & Environment 39, 1180–1197.

Bianconi ME, Dunning LT, Moreno-Villena JJ, Osborne CP, Christin P-A. 2018. Gene duplication and dosage effects during the early emergence of C_4 photosynthesis in the grass genus *Alloteropsis*. Journal of Experimental Botany 69, 1967–1980.

Bianconi ME, Dunning LT, Curran E V, et al. 2020. Contrasted histories of organelle and nuclear genomes underlying physiological diversification in a grass species. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 287.

Björkman O, Gauhl E, Nobs MA. 1969. Comparative studies of Atriplex species with and without ß-carboxylation photosynthesis. Carnegie Institution of Washington Yearbook 68, 620–633.

Bouton JH, Brown RH, Evans PT, Jernstedt JA. 1986. Photosynthesis, leaf anatomy, and morphology of progeny from hybrids between C_3 and C_3/C_4 *Panicum* species. Plant Physiology 80, 487–492.

Brown RH, Bouton JH, Evans PT, Malter HE, Rigsby LL. 1985. Photosynthesis, morphology, leaf anatomy, and cytogenetics of hybrids between C_3 and C_3/C_4 *Panicum* species. Plant Physiology 77, 653–658.

Brown HR, Bouton JH. 1993. Physiology and genetics of interspecific hybrids between photosynthetic types. Annual Review of Plant Biology 44, 435–456.

Byrd GT, Brown RH, Bouton JH, Bassett CL, Black CC. 1992. Degree of C_4 photosynthesis in C_4 and C_3-C_4 *Flaveria* Species and their hybrids: I. CO_2 assimilation and metabolism and activities of phosphoeno/pyruvate carboxylase and NADP-malic enzyme. Plant Physiology 100, 939–946.

von Caemmerer S, Furbank RT. 2003. The C_4 pathway: an efficient CO_2 pump. Photosynthesis Research 77, 191–207.

Christin P-A, Edwards EJ, Besnard G, Boxall SF, Gregory R, Kellogg EA, Hartwell J,

Osborne CP. 2012. Adaptive evolution of C_4 photosynthesis through recurrent lateral gene

transfer. Current Biology 22, 445–449.

Christin P-A, Osborne CP, Chatelet DS, Columbus JT, Besnard G, Hodkinson TR, Garrison

LM, Vorontsova MS, Edwards EJ. 2013. Anatomical enablers and the evolution of C_4

photosynthesis in grasses. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 110, 1381–1386.

Danila FR, Thakur V, Chatterjee J, Bala S, Coe RA, Acebron K, Furbank RT, von

Caemmerer S, Quick WP. 2021. Bundle sheath suberisation is required for C_4

photosynthesis in a *Setaria viridis* mutant. Communications Biology 4, 1–10.

Dunning LT, Lundgren MR, Moreno-Villena JJ, Namaganda M, Edwards EJ, Nosil P,

Osborne CP, Christin P-A. 2017. Introgression and repeated co-option facilitated the

recurrent emergence of C_4 photosynthesis among close relatives. Evolution 71, 1541–1555.

Dunning LT, Moreno-Villena JJ, Lundgren MR, et al. 2019a. Key changes in gene expression

identified for different stages of C_4 evolution in *Alloteropsis semialata*. Journal of

Experimental Botany 70, 3255–3268.

Dunning LT, Olofsson JK, Parisod C, et al. 2019b. Lateral transfers of large DNA fragments

spread functional genes among grasses. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of

the United States of America 116, 4416–4425.

Edwards G, Ku MB. 1987. Biochemistry of C_3–C_4 intermediates. In: Hatch MD, Boardman NK,

eds. The biochemistry of plants: a comprehensive treatise, Vol 14: photosynthesis. New York:

Academic Press, 275–325.

Edwards EJ. 2019. Evolutionary trajectories, accessibility and other metaphors: the case of C_4 and

CAM photosynthesis. New Phytologist 223, 1742–1755.

Ellis RP. 1974a. Significance of the occurrence of both Kranz and non-Kranz leaf anatomy in the

grass species *Alloteropsis semialata*. South African Journal of Science 70, 169-173.

Ellis RP. 1974b. Anomalous vascular bundle sheath structure in *Alloteropsis semialata* leaf blades.

Bothalia 11, 273–275.

Ermakova M, Arrivault S, Giuliani R, et al. 2021. Installation of C_4 photosynthetic pathway

enzymes in rice using a single construct. Plant Biotechnology Journal 19, 575–588.

Grass Phylogeny Working Group II (GPWG II). 2012. New grass phylogeny resolves deep

evolutionary relationships and discovers C_4 origins. New Phytologist 193, 304–312.

Hatch MD. 1987. C_4 photosynthesis: A unique blend of modified biochemistry, anatomy and

ultrastructure. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 895, 81–106.

Hattersley PW, Browning AJ. 1981. Occurrence of the suberized lamella in leaves of grasses of

different photosynthetic types. I. In parenchymatous bundle sheaths and PCR ('Kranz')

sheaths. Protoplasma 109, 371–401.

Heckmann D, Schulze S, Denton A, Gowik U, Westhoff P, Weber APM, Lercher MJ. 2013.
Predicting C_4 photosynthesis evolution: Modular, individually adaptive steps on a Mount Fuji
fitness landscape. Cell 153, 1579–1588.

Heckmann D. 2016. C_4 photosynthesis evolution: The conditional Mt. Fuji. Current Opinion in
Plant Biology 31, 149–154.

Hylton CM, Rawsthorne S, Smith AM, Jones DA, Woolhouse HW. 1988. Glycine decarboxylase
is confined to the bundle-sheath cells of leaves of C_3-C_4 intermediate species. Planta 175,
452–9.

Ishimaru K, Ichikawa H, Matsuoka M, Ohsugi R. 1997. Analysis of a C_4 maize pyruvate,
orthophosphate dikinase expressed in C_3 transgenic Arabidopsis plants. Plant Science 129,
57–64.

Kadereit G, Bohley K, Lauterbach M, Tefarikis DT, Kadereit JW. 2017. C_3–C_4 intermediates
may be of hybrid origin – a reminder. New Phytologist 215, 70–76.

Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nature Methods 9,
357–359.

**Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin
R; 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup.** 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map
format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25, 2078–2079.

Lin M, Schlüter U, Stich B, Weber APM. 2021. Cis-regulatory divergence underpins the
evolution of C_3-C_4 intermediate photosynthesis in *Moricandia*. bioRxiv [Preprint] doi:
10.1101/2021.05.10.443365

Lundgren MR, Osborne CP, Christin PA. 2014. Deconstructing Kranz anatomy to understand C_4
evolution. Journal of Experimental Botany 65, 3357–3369.

Lundgren MR, Besnard G, Ripley BS, et al. 2015. Photosynthetic innovation broadens the niche
within a single species. Ecology Letters 18, 1021–1029.

**Lundgren MR, Christin P-A, Escobar EG, Ripley BS, Besnard G, Long CM, Hattersley PW,
Ellis RP, Leegood RC, Osborne CP.** 2016. Evolutionary implications of C_3–C_4 intermediates
in the grass *Alloteropsis semialata*. Plant, Cell and Environment 39, 1874–1885.

Lundgren MR, Dunning LT, Olofsson JK, et al. 2019. C_4 anatomy can evolve via a single
developmental change. Ecology Letters 22, 302–312.

Mallmann J, Heckmann D, Bräutigam A, Lercher MJ, Weber APM, Westhoff P, Gowik U.
2014. The role of photorespiration during the evolution of C_4 photosynthesis in the genus
Flaveria. ELife 3.

Monson RK, Moore BD. 1989. On the significance of C_3-C_4 intermediate photosynthesis to the
evolution of C_4 photosynthesis. Plant, Cell & Environment 12, 689–699.

Moreno-Villena JJ, Dunning LT, Osborne CP, Christin PA. 2018. Highly expressed genes are
631   preferentially co-opted for C_4 Photosynthesis. Molecular Biology and Evolution 35, 94–106.

632 **O'Leary M.H.** 1988. Carbon isotopes in photosynthesis. BioScience 38, 328–336.

633 **Oakley JC, Sultmanis S, Stinson CR, Sage TL, Sage RF.** 2014. Comparative studies of C_3 and C_4
634   *Atriplex* hybrids in the genomics era: Physiological assessments. Journal of Experimental
635   Botany 65, 3637–3647.

636 **Olofsson JK, Bianconi M, Besnard G, et al.** 2016. Genome biogeography reveals the intraspecific
637   spread of adaptive mutations for a complex trait. Molecular Ecology 25, 6107–6123.

638 **Olofsson JK, Curran EV, Nyirenda F, et al.** 2021. Low dispersal and ploidy differences in a grass
639   maintain photosynthetic diversity despite gene flow and habitat overlap. Molecular Ecology,
640   1–15.

641 **Patel RK, Jain M.** 2012. NGS QC Toolkit: a toolkit for quality control of next generation
642   sequencing data. PLos One 7, e30619.

643 **R Development Core Team.** 2020. R: a language and environment for statistical computing.
644   Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

645 **Sage RF.** 2001. Environmental and evolutionary preconditions for the origin and diversification of
646   the C_4 photosynthetic syndrome. Plant Biology 3, 202–213.

647 **Sage RF.** 2004. The evolution of C_4 photosynthesis. New Phytologist 161, 341–370.

648 **Sage RF, Christin P-A, Edwards EJ.** 2011. The C_4 plant lineages of planet Earth. Journal of
649   Experimental Botany 62, 3155–3169.

650 **Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW.** 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image
651   analysis. Nature Methods 9, 671–675.

652 **Stata M, Sage TL, Sage RF.** 2019. Mind the gap: the evolutionary engagement of the C_4 metabolic
653   cycle in support of net carbon assimilation. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 49, 27–34.

654 **Taniguchi Y, Ohkawa H, Masumoto C, et al.** 2008. Overproduction of C_4 photosynthetic enzymes
655   in transgenic rice plants: An approach to introduce the C_4-like photosynthetic pathway into
656   rice. Journal of Experimental Botany 59, 1799–1809.

657 **Ueno O, Sentoku N.** 2006. Comparison of leaf structure and photosynthetic characteristics of C_3
658   and C_4 *Alloteropsis semialata* subspecies. Plant, Cell & Environment 29, 257–268.

659 **Wang P, Khoshravesh R, Karki S, Tapia R, Balahadia CP, Bandyopadhyay A, Quick WP,**
660   **Furbank R, Sage TL, Langdale JA.** 2017. Re-creation of a key step in the evolutionary
661   switch from C_3 to C_4 leaf anatomy. Current Biology 27, 3278-3287.e6.

Figures

Fig. 1. Distribution of carbon isotopic ratios ($\delta^{13}C$) in *Alloteropsis semialata*. $\delta^{13}C$ values of accessions of *A. semialata* that are not part of this study were retrieved from Bianconi et al. (2020). Blue, green and red arrows indicate $\delta^{13}C$ values of C_3, C_3+C_4 and C_4 known or potential parents of the hybrids analysed in this study.

Fig. 2. Leaf anatomy of F1 hybrids and the parental photosynthetic types in *Alloteropsis semialata* (A) Representative cross sections of C_3 (RSA9), C_3 x C_4 (H08), C_4 (TPE1-10), C_3+C_4 x C_4 (H11) and C_3+C_4 (TAN1602-03c) accessions. Arrows indicate minor veins (i.e. fourth and fifth order veins). Scale bar = 100 μm. (B) Principal component analysis of selected leaf anatomical variables (C) Proportion of inner sheath to mesophyll area (IS/M). (D) Distance between consecutive bundle sheaths (BSD). (E) Mean inner sheath cell width. (F) Mean outer sheath cell width. For (C-F), different lower case letters indicate statistical differences between groups (ANOVA, $p < 0.05$ post-hoc Tukey HSD; n ≥ 4). Bu = bulliform cells, BSD = distance between consecutive bundle sheaths, Epd = proportion of epidermis area, Fb = extraxylary fibres (= sclerenchyma girder), IS = inner bundle sheath (= mestome sheath), ISW = IS width, M = mesophyll, Mx = metaxylem, OS = outer bundle sheath, OSW = OS width, Ph = phloem, V = proportion of vascular tissue area.

Fig. 3. Leaf gene expression of F1 hybrids and the parental photosynthetic types in *Alloteropsis semialata*. (A) Principal component analysis on 7,482 genes. (B) Transcript abundance in reads per million (RPM) of selected genes encoding core C_4 enzymes: aspartate aminotransferase (ASP-AT, gene *aspat-3P4*), NADP-dependent malic enzyme (NADP-ME, gene *nadpme-1P4*), phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PCK, gene *pck1P1_LGT*), pyruvate phosphate dikinase (PPDK, gene *ppdk-1P2*). (C) Transcript abundance of selected phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC) genes.

Fig. 4. Response of net CO_2 assimilation rate (A) to intercellular CO_2 (Ci) of F1 hybrids and the parental photosynthetic types in *Alloteropsis semialata*. Data points are predicted values from an empirical non-rectangular hyperbola model (Bellasio et al. 2016) based on the mean parameter estimates per individual within each cross/photosynthetic type. Error bars show standard errors for the predicted values (n ≥ 3). Arrows indicate Ci values at reference CO_2 = 400 μmol mol^{-1} for each cross/photosynthetic type.

Fig. 5. Photosynthetic performance of F1 hybrids and the parental photosynthetic types in *Alloteropsis semialata*. (A) Maximum carboxylation efficiency (CE), (B) CO_2 compensation point (CCP), and steady-state (C) net photosynthetic rate (A_{400}), and (D) intrinsic water use efficiency (iWUE, A/g_s) at reference CO_2 = 400 μmol mol^{-1}. Different lower case letters indicate statistical differences between groups (ANOVA, $p < 0.05$ post-hoc Tukey HSD; n ≥ 4).

Fig. 1

Fig. 1. Distribution of carbon isotopic ratios ($\delta^{13}C$) in *Alloteropsis semialata*. $\delta^{13}C$ values of accessions of *A. semialata* that are not part of this study were retrieved from Bianconi et al. (2020). Blue, green and red arrows indicate $\delta^{13}C$ values of C_3, C_3+C_4 and C_4 known or potential parents of the hybrids analysed in this study.

Fig. 2

Fig. 2. Leaf anatomy of F1 hybrids and the parental photosynthetic types in *Alloteropsis semialata* (A) Representative cross sections of C_3 (RSA9), C_3 x C_4 (H08), C_4 (TPE1-10), C_3+C_4 x C_4 (H11) and C_3+C_4 (TAN1602-03c) accessions. Arrows indicate minor veins (i.e. fourth and fifth order veins). Scale bar = 100 μm. (B) Principal component analysis of selected leaf anatomical variables (C) Proportion of inner sheath to mesophyll area (IS/M). (D) Distance between consecutive bundle sheaths (BSD). (E) Mean inner sheath cell width. (F) Mean outer sheath cell width. For (C-F), different lower case letters indicate statistical differences between groups (ANOVA, $p < 0.05$ post-hoc Tukey HSD; n ≥ 4). Bu = bulliform cells, BSD = distance between consecutive bundle sheaths, Epd = proportion of epidermis area, Fb = extraxylary fibres (= sclerenchyma girder), IS = inner bundle sheath (= mestome sheath), ISW = IS width, M = mesophyll, Mx = metaxylem, OS = outer bundle sheath, OSW = OS width, Ph = phloem, V = proportion of vascular tissue area.

Fig. 3

Fig. 3. Leaf gene expression of F1 hybrids and the parental photosynthetic types in *Alloteropsis semialata*. (A) Principal component analysis on 7,482 genes. (B) Transcript abundance in reads per million (RPM) of selected genes encoding core C$_4$ enzymes: aspartate aminotransferase (ASP-AT, gene *aspat-3P4*), NADP-dependent malic enzyme (NADP-ME, gene *nadpme-1P4*), phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PCK, gene *pck1P1_LGT*), pyruvate phosphate dikinase (PPDK, gene *ppdk-1P2*). (C) Transcript abundance of selected phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC) genes.

Fig. 4

Fig. 4. Response of net CO_2 assimilation rate (A) to intercellular CO_2 (Ci) of F1 hybrids and the parental photosynthetic types in *Alloteropsis semialata*. Data points are predicted values from an empirical non-rectangular hyperbola model (Bellasio et al. 2016) based on the mean parameter estimates per individual within each cross/photosynthetic type. Error bars show standard errors for the predicted values ($n \geq 3$). Arrows indicate Ci values at reference $CO_2 = 400$ µmol mol^{-1} for each cross/photosynthetic type.

Fig. 5

Fig. 5. Photosynthetic performance of F1 hybrids and the parental photosynthetic types in *Alloteropsis semialata*. (A) Maximum carboxylation efficiency (CE), (B) CO_2 compensation point (CCP), and steady-state (C) net photosynthetic rate (A400), and (D) intrinsic water use efficiency (iWUE, A/gs) at reference $CO_2 = 400$ µmol mol^{-1}. Different lower case letters indicate statistical differences between groups (ANOVA, $p < 0.05$ post-hoc Tukey HSD; $n \geq 4$).