CsrA-controlled proteins important for *Acinetobacter baumannii* desiccation tolerance

Yasuhiro Oda[1], Madelyn M. Shapiro[1,2], Nathan M. Lewis[1,3], Xuefei Zhong[,4,5], Holly K. Huse[4,6], James E. Bruce[4], Colin Manoil[4], and Caroline S. Harwood[1*]

[1]Department of Microbiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

[2]Seattle Children's Hospital, Seattle, WA, USA

[3]Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of Minnesota, St Paul, MN, USA

[4]Department of Genome Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

[5]Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Tarrytown, NY, USA

[6]Huntington Hospital, Pasadena, CA, USA

*Address correspondence to Caroline S. Harwood, csh5@uw.edu

Running title: *Acinetobacter baumannii* desiccation tolerance

Key words: *Acinetobacter baumannii*, desiccation, Tn-seq, CsrA, intrinsically disordered proteins

ABSTRACT

Hospital environments serve as excellent reservoirs for the opportunistic pathogen

Acinetobacter baumannii in part because it is exceptionally tolerant to desiccation.   To

understand the functional basis this trait, we used transposon sequencing (Tn-seq) to identify

genes contributing to desiccation tolerance in *A. baumannii* strain AB5075. We identified 142

candidate desiccation tolerance genes, one of which encoded the global post-transcriptional

regulator CsrA. We characterized CsrA in more detail by using proteomics to identify proteins

that were differentially present in wild type and *csrA* mutant cells. Among these were a

predicted universal stress protein A, an iron-containing redox protein, a KGG-domain containing

protein, and catalase. Subsequent mutant analysis showed that each of these proteins was

required for *A. baumannii* desiccation tolerance. The amino acid sequence of the KGG-domain

containing protein predicts that it is an intrinsically disordered protein. Such proteins are critical

for desiccation tolerance of the small animals called tardigrades. This protein also has a repeat

nucleic acid binding amino acid motif, suggesting that it may protect *A. baumannii* DNA from

desiccation-induced damage.

INTRODUCTION

Hospital-acquired infections are an important healthcare concern and economic burden (1, 2)

and environmental persistence plays a critical role in the transmission of bacteria that cause

these infections (3–6). One such bacterium is *Acinetobacter baumannii,* an opportunistic

pathogen that infects very sick patients. It is responsible for about 2% of nosocomial infections

in the United States and Europe and the frequencies are higher in the rest of the world. *A.*

baumannii is especially problematic because on a global basis, about 45% of isolates are multi-

drug resistant (7). A factor that contributes to the prevalence of *A. baumannii* in hospital settings

is desiccation tolerance. *A. baumannii* can survive in a desiccated state on inanimate dry

surfaces for days to several months (8–10). These surfaces include materials that are often

encountered in the hospital, such as polyvinyl chloride, rubber, and stainless steel (11) .

When desiccated, bacteria must respond to diverse stresses that include accumulation of

reactive oxygen species, loss of cytoplasmic volume, and loss of cell membrane integrity (12,

13). Proteomics analysis of *A. baumannii* showed that desiccated cells had higher levels of

proteins involved in protein stabilization, antimicrobial resistance, and reactive oxygen species

detoxification (14). Attributes of *A. baumannii*, that have been shown to be associated with

desiccation tolerance include biofilm formation (15, 16) and protein aggregation (17). $LpxM_{AB}$-

dependent acetylation of lipid A is essential for survival of *A. baumannii* ATCC17978 at 40%

humidity (18), and a *recA* mutant of ATCC17978, defective in DNA repair, had pleiotropic

effects, including a defect in desiccation tolerance (19). *katE*, encoding catalase also

contributes to desiccation tolerance (20). To further probe the functional basis for desiccation

tolerance in *A. baumannii* we applied transposon sequencing (Tn-seq), an unbiased, high-

throughput genetic screening approach, to generate a comprehensive list of genes that may be

important for desiccation survival. From 142 candidate genes identified in the Tn-seq screen,

single mutant analysis of a small subset revealed six genes that likely contribute to desiccation

tolerance, one of which was *csrA* (ABUW_2750). *csrA* encodes a global post-transcriptional

regulator found in gamma-proteobacteria and was recently reported by another group as

important for desiccation tolerance of *A. baumannii* (21) . Here we expanded on this recent

report and identified CsrA-controlled proteins, several of which turned up in the Tn-seq screen,

that play a role desiccation survival.

RESULTS

Desiccation assay. Previous studies have shown that *A. baumannii* can survive in a desiccated

state for days to several months (8–11, 20). For these and other desiccation studies,

investigators worked with a variety of strains and usually incubated cells at either 30% relative

humidity (RH) or in room air, which varied between 25 and 61% RH in one study (20). These

differences can make it difficult to compare desiccation phenotypes between studies. Thus we

thought it important to establish a robust desiccation assay that reduces experimental variables

like choice of strains, drying times, and RH during desiccation.

Following from previous reports, saturated calcium chloride hexahydrate solution placed in a

sealed plastic Snapware container caused the RH inside the container to rapidly equilibrate to

30% (9). We found that use of DRIERITE instead of calcium chloride, resulted in an RH of 2%.

To test desiccation tolerance, we grew bacteria to a desired density in tryptone-yeast extract

(TY) broth, harvested them, washed them twice with phosphate buffer, and resuspended them

in buffer to a final OD_{600} of 1. Drops of cell suspension were placed on polycarbonate

membranes and filtered to allow for rapid drying. The membranes were placed in uncapped 15

ml conical centrifuge tubes and incubated in desiccation containers. After various periods of

incubation, buffer was added to each centrifuge tube followed by 5 min of shaking on a rotary

shaker. Viable cell numbers were then determined by plating on TY agar. To control for the

stress of filtration we did viable counts immediately following filtration and took this as our "day

0" time point.

Relative desiccation tolerance of *A. baumannii* strains. As shown in Fig 1A, *A. baumannii*

strain AB5075 and *Escherichia coli* strain W3100 each survived desiccation at 30% RH far

better than *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain PAO1. However at 2% RH, *A. baumannii* survived

far better than either *E. coli* or *P. aeruginosa*. As has been reported (20, 22), we found that *A.*

baumannii stationary phase cells were much more tolerant to desiccation than actively growing

cells (Fig. S1) and so we routinely used stationary phase cells in our desiccation assays.   We

also tested the desiccaton tolerance of two additional frequently used laboratory strains of *A.*

baumannii , ATCC17978 and ATCC19606, and found that they did not survive well when

desiccated at 2% RH for six days (Fig. 1B). However, these strains survived when the RH was

30%, which is similar to the RH of many hospital environments. Strain-to-strain variation in

desiccation tolerance has previously been reported (10, 20).

In our desiccation experiments we wanted to use a condition under which *A. baumannii* survived

better than *E. coli* and we also wanted to provide strong selection pressure in our screen for

genetic determinants of desiccation tolerance. To satisfy these requirements we used *A.*

baumannii strain AB5075 and 2% RH in subsequent experiments. This strain was isolated from

a surgical wound, is multidrug resistant and is highly virulent in an animal model (23). A

comprehensive ordered mutant library of AB5075 is available that has two to three seqenced Tn

insertions in each gene and is called the three-allelle library (24).

Unbiased screening to identify desiccation sensitive mutants by Tn-seq. To begin to

define genetic mechanisms underlying desiccation tolerance in *A. baumannii*, we created a

saturating high-density transposon mutant pool containing approximately 400,000 individual *A.*

baumannii mutants. We grew the transposon mutant pool to stationary-phase and plated cells

on TY medium at day 0 and day 6 of desiccation at 2%RH. After a brief period of growth, cells

were harvested, pooled and processed for mapping of Tn insertions. The transposon mutant

pool survived desiccation as well as wild-type strain AB5075, indicating that the transposon

itself did not affect desiccation tolerance (Fig. S2).

Excluding genes that were not well-represented (less than100 Tn reads/kb) in the day 0 sample,

we found that 142 genes showed a 2.5-fold or more decrease in abundance of Tn insertions

after 6 days of desiccation (Table S1). We picked 12 genes that had a 10-fold or more decrease

in transposon insertions after a 6-day period of desiccation to validate their desiccation

phenotypes. When possible, we tested two different transposon mutants (transposon insertions

in different positions of the gene) for each of these 12 genes from the three-allele library. *A.*

baumannii AB5075 produces opaque and translucent colony variants that interconvert at high

frequency and reflect changes in the thickness of capsular exopolysaccharide (25). AB5075

cells with decreased capsule production were as much as 100-fold more sensitive to desiccation

(26). Here, we used only opaque colonies of AB5075 and its mutant derivatives in our

desiccation assays.   We found that when mutated, six of the 12 genes we tested had 2-fold or

greater defects in desiccation tolerance (Table 1). We were interested to see that one of the

genes was *csrA*. CsrA, also called RsmA in some bacteria, is an important global regulator of

mRNA translation in gamma proteobacteria. It has diverse effects on many processes including

motility, biofilm formation, quorum sensing and secretion of virulence factors, depending on the

species (27–30).

CsrA is critical for desiccation tolerance   *csrA* mutants from the three-allele library were

only two-fold more sensitive to desiccation than the wild type. To try to confirm its importance for

desiccation tolerance we constructed a *csrA* deletion mutant (ΔcsrA). We found that the ΔcsrA

strain grew poorly on TY agar and had an elongated cell morphology when grown in TY broth

(Fig. 2A). On agar plates, large colonies frequently appeared on a backgound of poor growth,

likely due to occurance of second site suppressor mutations in the ΔcsrA strain.   The ΔcsrA

strain was also defective in growth on other nutrient-rich media, including Luria broth, nutrient

broth, and tryptone soy broth. A similar sensitivity to growth in complex media was reported by

Farrow et al for a several *A. baumannii* strains including strain AB5075 (21). In agreement with

Farrow et al., a Δ*csrA* mutant grew as the wild type in defined medium, in our case, M9 minimal

medium with 10 mM succinate as a sole carbon source (M9/succinate), and it had close to a

wild type cell morphology (Fig 2A). A *Yersinia enterocolitica csrA* mutant, has a growth defect in

LB due to the presence of 90 mM of NaCl (31). However, the *A. baumannii* Δ*csrA* mutant was

not sensitive to NaCl. In fact, the mutant grew in M9/succinate supplemented with up to 100 mM

of NaCl without a significant reduction of growth compared to the wild type.

When desiccated after growth in M9/succinate to stationary phase, the ΔcsrA mutant lost almost

all viability over 6 days (Fig. 2B and Table 1). The desiccation phenotype was complemented

by expressing *csrA in trans*. Δ*csrA* mutant cells incubated for 6 days after being filtered and

resuspended in PBS remained fully viable (Fig 2B). As we were preparing this paper for

publication, Farrow et al reported that *csrA* mutants of *A. baumannii* strains AB09-003 and

ATCC 17961 were sensitive to desiccation in room air over a period of 14d. CsrA in these

strains was also required for biofilm formation and virulence in a *Galleria melonella* infection

model (21).

CsrA affects mutiple cellular processes in *A. baumannii*. To identify genes whose

translation might be regulated by CsrA, we compared the proteomes of wild-type and Δ*csrA*

cells (Table S3A). There were 97 proteins present at higher levels in the Δ*csrA* mutant

compared to the wild type (ratio of ΔcsrA/WT ≥2.5, Table S3B). Among these were proteins for

type IV pilus assembly, synthesis of the siderophore ferric acinetobactin, and a

glutamate/aspartate transporter. The ΔcsrA mutant also had elevated levels of enzymes for for

the catabolism of hydroxcinnamates, phenylacetate and quinate. Levels of an alcohol

dehydrogenase (ABUW_1621) and an aldehyde dehydrogenase (ABUW_.1624) were also

elevated. The ΔcsrA mutant was defective in pilus-mediated twtiching motility as assessed by

movement aross a soft-agar plate (Fig. 3A). The mutant also had a severe growth defect when

grown on succinate in the presence of ethanol (Fig. 3B). One possible explanation for this is that

the *csrA* mutant metabolized ethanol to form toxic acetaldehyde to levels that slowed growth,

and insufficient aldehyde dehydrogenase activity was present in cells to relieve this toxicity.

There were 106 proteins present in lower amounts in the ΔcsrA mutant compared to the wild

type (ratio of WT/ΔcsrA ≥ 2.5, Table S3C). A large proportion of these (39%) are annotated as

hypothetical proteins. Several membrane proteins, and proteins annotated as involved in *β*-

lactam antibiotic resistance (ABUW_1194, 2619, and 3497), trehalose synthesis (ABUW_3123)

and possibly biofilm formation (ABUW_0916) were in lower abundance in the ΔcsrA mutant

compared to wild type. As reported previously, a ΔcsrA mutant did not form biofilms, (Farrow

2020) and this phenotype was complemented by expressing *csrA* gene *in trans* (Fig. 3C). The

ΔcsrA proteome profile also suggested that CsrA was invovled in promoting the expression of

proteins invovled in oxidative stress, including peroxidase (ABUW_0628) and catalase (*katE*,

ABUW_2436). When tested for catalase activity, we found that the ΔcsrA mutant lacked this trait

(Fig. 3D).

Genes important for desiccation tolerance in *A. baumannii* AB5075.   We took advantabge

of the three-allele transposon library to test how important some of the gene transcripts that

were likely to be controlled CsrA were for desiccation tolerance. All the genes that we found to

possibly be important for desiccation tolerance are listed in Table 1. The results of desiccation

assays for all the mutant strains that we tested in this study are listed in Table S2. *katE*, and

ABUW_2639 mutants were about 5-fold more sensitive to desiccation than the wild type,

whereas *ABUW_2433* and *ABUW_2437* mutants were greater than 100 fold more sensitive to

desiccation than the wild type (Table 1, Fig 4). The phentoytpes of *ABUW_2433* and

ABUW_2437 mutants could be complemented (Fig S3). The ABUW_2433 protein has 411

amino acids and is annotated as a KGG domain-containing protein. The KGG domain

comprises a small region in the N-terminus of the protein and the remainder of the protein is

annotated by InterPro as a disordered region that includes a series of AT_hook DNA binding

mofits (SMART SM00384). The full length ABUW_2433 sequence was predicted to be

intrinsically unstructured when queried with the IUPred3 tool (https://iupred.elte.hu) (32).

ABUW_2437 is annotated as an iron-containing redox enzyme or a heme-oxygenase -like

protein (Fig 4). The predicted *ABUW_2437* transcript has traits characterisitic of a target of

CsrA post-transcriptional regulation. The DNA sequence predicts a relatively long (316 bp) 5'

untranslated region (316 bp) and there is a predicted CsrA binding motif (GGA) in the ribosome

binding site of the transcript. ABUW_2639 is annoated as belonging to a universal stress

protein A family. It has been shown to protect *A. baumannii* ATCC17987 from oxidative stress of

hydrogen peroxide (33).

We wondered if ABUW_2433 and ABUW_2437 might play a role in promoting desiccation

tolerance of the two *A. baumannii* strains, ATCC17978 and ATCC19606, that do not survive

well at 2% RH (Fig 1B). ATCC19606 has the gene region shown in Fig 4 intact, but the gene

that is homologous to *ABUW_2433*, encoding the KGG domain-containing protein, is annotated

as a pseudogene. ATCC 17978 appears to be missing a gene in homologus to *ABUW_2433*.

However it has conitguous *katE* and iron-containing redox protien genes (*A1S_1386 and*

A1S_1385). Expression of the two AB5075 genes *in trans* improved the survival of the two

ATCC strains at 2% RH (Fig 5), providiing evidence that ABUW_2433 and ABUW_2437 are

generally important for desiccaton tolerance.

Other possible desiccation tolerance genes. As shown in Table 1, we identified an

additional five genes, some but not all of which are likely regulated by CsrA, that may have a

small role in desiccation tolerance. *otsA*, encoding tehalose-6-phosphate synthase, is the only

one of the five for which we can hypothesize some connection to desiccation. Trehalose has

been shown to play a significant role in desiccation tolerance of eukaryotes and bacteria (34,

35) and trehalose added extrinsically to cultures increased the desiccation tolerance *A.*

baumannii ATCC 19606 (22). However, a *ΔmtlD-otsB* mutant of ATCC19606, defective in

endogenous production of the compatible solutes, mannitol and trehalose, was not more

sensitive to desiccation than the wild type (22).

DISCUSSION

Depletion of water during desiccation leads to loss of membrane integrity and accompanying

disruption of aerobic respiration results in the generation of reactive oxygen species, including

hydrogen peroxide (36). That *katE*, encoding catalase, contributes to desiccation tolerance

makes sense in this context. Proteomics analyses of *A. baumannii* showed that proteins

involved in redox defense including catalase, alkyl peroxidase reductases and superoxide

dismutase were elevated in stationary-phase cells (37), which is consistent with the observation

made by many that cells stationary-phase cells survive desiccation much better than

exponentially growing cells.

Since the desiccation -tolerance genes *ABUW_2433* and *ABUW_2437* are near or adjacent to

katE, it seemed important to consider that they might somehow mediate oxidative stress

tolerance even though the amino acid sequences of the encoded proteins don't have motifs

typically associated with reactive oxygen species detoxification. However, we were unable to

demonstrate that *ABUW_2433*::Tn and *ABUW_2437*::Tn mutants were sensitive to hydrogen

peroxide, nitrous oxide or paraquat - all powerful oxidizing agents. In addition, a study that

looked at effects of hydrogen peroxide exposure on gene expression in *A. baumannii,* found that

katE but not *ABUW_2433* or *ABUW_2437*, was expressed at elevated levels and neither of

these genes is part of the OxyR regulon that controls the response to oxidative stress in *A.*

baumannii (38).

The physical properties and cellular function of ABUW_2433 will be fascinating to explore. It is

an intrinsically disordered protein that is highly hydrophilic, with 27% positively charged amino

acids residues and 31% negatively charged residues. It is also predicted to assume a collapsed

or extended conformation, likely depending on its context (ROBETTA PFRMAT TS prediction;

https://robetta.bakerlab.org). ABUW_2433 has 13 repeated AT-hook DNA binding motifs that

occupying about 70% of the protein. This motif preferentially binds to AT-rich sequences in the

minor groove of DNA. AT-hook DNA binding motifs are found primarily in eukaryotic proteins,

many of which have roles in transcriptional regulation (39, 40). Only 8.5% of annotated AT hook

DNA binding motifs are found in bacteria, but about half of these are found in gamma

proteobacteria, the group to which *A. baumannii* belongs. We hypothesize that ABUW_2433

binds to *A. baumannii* DNA and somehow protects it from desiccation-induced damage. IDPs

are critical for the microscopic animals called tardigrades to survive desiccation. When

desiccated, some of these proteins vitrify and probably trap desiccation sensitive molecules in a

noncrystalline amorphous matrix, thereby protecting them from denaturation or other forms of

destruction (41, 42).   IDPs or proteins with intrinsically disordered regions are less common in

prokaryotes than in eukaryotes, but drawing from work on eukaryotes, they have been proposed

to play a central role in cellular process in bacteria that may depend on the formation of

molecular condensates (43). It is possible that this is important for the viability of desiccated *A.*

baumannii.

Although not much work has been done on *Acinetobacte*r CsrA, based on what is known for

other gamma proteobacteria, we hypothesize that a set of ncRNAs that is induced by a GacSA

(ABUW_3306 and ABUW_3639) two -component regulatory system, controls the repressor

activity of CsrA by sequestering it (44). We can draw a link between the GasSA system and

CsrA because they both appear to control catabolism of the aromatic compound phenylacetate.

An *A. baumannii* Δ*gacA* mutant is unable to catabolize phenylacetate (45), and our proteomics

results suggest that CsrA acts to repress the synthesis of at least one enzyme required for

phenylacetate degradation. We hypothesize that a Δ*gacA* mutant does not synthesize ncRNAs

that would normally "sponge-up" CsrA, thus allowing CsrA to bind to the 13 -gene phenylacetate

mRNA transcript to repress its translation. At this point we do not have a clear understanding of

the inventory of *A. baumannii* ncRNAs that may bind to CsrA, but ncRNAs are abundant in

AB5075, with several of them expressed at extremely high levels (46). The desiccation

phenotype of CsrA appears to depend on its ability to activate translation and although it's

difficult to reconcile this activity with a model where CsrA is sequestered by ncRNAs, it is known

that ncRNA turnover can occur resulting in the release of free CsrA (29). Most of what is known

about mechanisms of CsrA action centers on its role as a repressor of translation (30, 47–49)

and it may be of interest to probe its capability as an activator in *A. baumannii*.

We found that *A. baumannii* AB5075 survived desiccation for six days at 2% RH much better

than two other *A. baumannii* strains that we tested, but it is important to note that most studies

of desiccation tolerance have been carried out at about 30% RH or in room air and the

emphasis has been on the number of days or months that a particular strain remains viable

when desiccated. When Farrow et al (20)tested the survival of several strains that were dried

and incubated at a relative humidity of 25–61% (mean 46%) they found AB5075 to have an

average survival time of 90 days, whereas strains ATCC19606 and ATCC17978 had average

survival times of 3 and 34 days respectively. Even though AB5075 is tolerant to desiccation

over months at a mean RH of 46%T and over days at 2% RH, we cannot necessarily conclude

that the same sets of genes are needed for desiccation tolerance under these two different

conditions. For example, Farrow et al. (20) found that the response-regulator protein BmfR was

important for desiccation tolerance of ATCC17978 in long term desiccation assays, whereas we

did not observe a role of *bmfR* in protecting AB5075 from desiccation in shorter term

incubations at 2%RH (Table S2).

The emergence of *A. baumannii* is great threat in healthcare facilities worldwide, and there is an

urgent need for development of new antibiotics and new strategies for infection control and

prevention. Since CsrA is involved in several clinically important traits, including desiccation

tolerance, biofilm formation, and pathogenesis, the development of modulators of CsrA-RNA

interactions may have potential for improving the efficacy of biocides or for treatment of *A.*

baumannii infections (50).

MATERIALS AND MESTHODS

Bacterial strains and growth conditions. Strains used in this study are listed in Table S4A.

Strain AB5075 (AB5075-UW) was used as a wild type (24) and individual transposon mutants

were obtained from the Manoil lab comprehensive ordered transposon mutant library at the

University of Washington (24). All strains except for the Δ*csrA* mutant were routinely grown and

maintained in TY (10 g Tryptone, 5 g Yeast extract, and 8 g NaCl in 1000 ml) medium or BBL

Trypticase Soy Broth (TSB) media at 37°C, unless otherwise stated. The Δ*csrA* mutant was

grown in M9/succinate.

Desiccation assay. Strains from a frozen stock (-80°C) were streaked onto TY plates and

incubated at 37°C. Colonies (three to five) were picked and inoculated into 2 ml of TSB, and

cultures were grown overnight at 37°C with a shaking speed of 200rpm. Overnight cultures were

diluted to yield an initial OD_{600} of 0.025 in 10 ml TSB in a 50 ml Erlenmeyer flask. Cultures were

grown at 37°C with a shaking speed of 200 rpm to mid-exponential-phase (OD_{600}=0.4 to 0.6) or

stationary-phase (24 hours after inoculation). Cells were harvested by centrifugation and

washed twice with Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS, Gibco), and cell density was

adjusted to OD_{600}=1 (about 5×10^8 cells/ml) with DPBS. Cell suspension (2 spots of 50 µl each

per membrane) was filtered onto a 0.4 µm Whatman nucleopore polycarbonate track-etched

membranes (25 mm diameter) that had been placed in Nalgene analytical filter unit, and the

membranes were then placed into 15 ml uncapped centrifuge tubes. To obtain the T0 (baseline)

viable cell number, 1 ml of DPBS was immediately added to one tube and incubated for 5 min at

room temperature (24 $\pm$ 2°C) on a rotary shaker. Viable cell numbers were determined by

plating on TSB agar. For desiccation, tubes with membranes were placed in a Snapware

containers (2.3 x 6.3 x 8.4 inches) that contained DRIERITE in the lids of 50 ml centrifuge tubes

(x4, 7.5 g of DRIERITE desiccant in each lid) or saturated calcium chloride hexahydrate solution

in 5 ml beaker (x8) to yield the RHs of 2% or 30% ($\pm$ 2), respectively. The Snapware containers

were incubated at room temperature. Digital hygrometers (VWR International Ltd) were placed

in each container to monitor the RH. At desired time points, tubes containing membranes were

removed from the containers, 1 ml of DPBS was added to each tube, and incubated for 5 min at

room temperature on a rotary shaker. Viable cell counts were determined on TSB agar. For

each strain, a minimum of three biological replicates of desiccation assays were performed

except for individual transposon mutants, which were assayed twice for each allele.

Screening of desiccation sensitive mutant by Tn-seq. We created a high-density transposon

mutant pool containing approximately 400,000 *A. baumannii* mutants by combining two

separately constructed transposon mutant pools. The first transposon mutant pool was

previously constructed and selected on LB agar (24) The second transposon mutant pool was

constructed on Neidhardt MOPS minimal medium (51) excluding NaCl, and sodium succinate

was used as a sole carbon source as follows: T26 mutagenesis was carried out as previously

described (24), except following electroporation, cells were incubated in 1 ml of minimal medium

with rolling at 37°C for 2 hours. Cells were flash frozen in 5% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and

stored at -80°C for later selection. For selection, frozen cell aliquots were thawed on ice,

pelleted, re-suspended in 1 ml fresh minimal medium, and plated onto a Q-tray (Genetix)

containing 250 ml minimal medium, 1.2% agarose, and 12.5 µg/ml tetracycline (Tc). After

incubating for 16 h at 37°C, cells were scraped, resuspended in 3.5 ml of minimal medium

supplemented with 10% glycerol, and aliquots were flash frozen and stored at -80°C. This

yielded a density of approximately 1,000 to 2,000 transposon mutants per Q-tray. We repeated

these procedures a total of 69 times, and all 3.5 ml aliquots were thawed and mixed to create

the final transposon mutant pool, which resulted in a total of approximately 120,000 individual

transposon mutants from minimal medium. Finally, 1 ml aliquots of the final transposon mutant

pool were flash frozen and stored at -80°C.

Aliquots of the transposon mutant pools derived from LB and minimal media were thawed

on ice. To account for differences in cell density, 100 µl of the LB transposon mutant pool and 1

ml of the minimal medium transposon mutant pool were pelleted and resuspended in 1 ml TSB,

and the cells densities for each transposon mutant pool were adjusted to OD_{600}=1.0. These

samples were diluted 1:200 in 12.5 ml of TSB in a 250 ml Erlenmeyer flask and incubated at

37°C for 24 hours. Cells from each culture were harvested by centrifugation, washed twice with

DPBS and cell density was adjusted to OD_{600}=1 with DPBS. The transposon mutant pools were

combined in a 2:1 ratio of LB to minimal medium transposon mutant pool. Cell suspension (2

spots of 50 μl) was filtered and membranes were treated as described above, except in addition

to viable counts, the remaining cells that were resuspended from the membrane (about 900 μl)

were plated onto TSB in a Q-tray and incubated for 4-5 hours, which resulted in a thin film of

growth. Cells were scraped, resuspended in 1 ml DPBS, centrifuged, and frozen at -80°C for

Tn-seq library preparation. Two biological replicates of Tn-seq were performed.

Tn-seq library preparation, Illumina sequencing, and data analysis. Genomic DNA from

samples desiccated for 0 or 6 days were extracted using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit

(Qiagen). Tn-seq libraries were prepared using the terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT)

method as previously described (24, 52), and sequencing was carried out on an Illumina Miseq

platform. Total reads were ranged from approximately 3.8 to 7.7 million. Oligonucleotides used

in this study are listed in Table S5B. Reads were normalized, mapped, and counted using

custom Python scripts available at Github (https://github.com/elijweiss/Tn-seq). Reads were

further normalized to gene length. To identify candidate genes important for desiccation

resistance, reads from both biological replicates were averaged for samples desiccated for 0 or

6 days.

Construction of the ΔcsrA mutant. In-frame deletion of the *csrA* (ABUW_2750) gene was

generated by overlap extension PCR as described (53). PCR primers are listed in Table S5B.

PCR product was cloned into mobilizable suicide vector pEX2-TetRA and transformed into *E.*

coli NEB 10-beta (New England Bio Labs). The sequence-verified deletion construct was

transformed into *E. coli* S17-1, and further mobilized into *A. baumannii* strain AB5075 by

conjugation on TY agar. Single recombinant conjugants were first selected on M9/succinate

plate containing 20 μg/ml Tc, and Tc resistant colonies were further plated onto M9/succinate

plate containing 5% sucrose. Sucrose resistant and Tc sensitive colonies were screened by

colony PCR and sequencing to validate the expected chromosomal in-frame deletion of the *csrA*

gene.

To complement the Δ*csrA* mutant, the full length *csrA* gene including the 15 bp upstream that

contains the putative ribosome binding site was PCR amplified and cloned into pMMB67EH-

TetRA. The construct was transformed into *E. coli* NEB 10-beta (New England Bio Labs). The

sequence-verified construct was transformed into *E. coli* S17-1, and further mobilized into the

Δ*csrA* mutant by conjugation on M9/succinate plate containing 20 µg/ml Tc. As a negative

control, empty vector pMMB67EH-TetRA was used. The same procedure used to clone

ABUW_2433 and ABUW_2437 for complementation experiments.

Label-free protein quantification. Since the Δ*csrA* mutant had severe growth defect on TY

media, both wild type and Δ*csrA* mutant were grown in M9/succinate. Two biological sample

replicates were prepared for each strain. Cells from each culture were harvested at OD600=0.5

by centrifugation, washed twice with DPBS, and cells were stored in -80°C before further

analysis. Cells were lysed in buffer containing 4% SDS, 100 mM Tris pH8.0, 10 mM DTT by

heating at 95°C for 5 min. After cooling to room temperature, the lysates were sonicated with

ultrasonication probe on ice to shear DNA. Total protein concentration was determined by the

BCA assay (Thermo Pierce, Rockford, IL). 500 µg of each protein lysate was reduced and

alkylated, diluted in 8 M urea solution, and the SDS was removed with a 3kD molecular weight

cutoff filter. After buffer exchange, the protein lysates were digested with trypsin (Promega,

Madison, WI) at 37°C overnight and the digested samples were desalted with 1cc C18 Sep-Pak

solid phase extraction cartridges (Milford, MA, Waters). The eluted samples were vacuum dried

and resuspended in 0.1% formic acid. Reverse phase nanoLC-MS analysis of the protein

digests was carried out with a Thermo Easy-nanoLC coupled to a Thermo Q-Exactive Plus

Orbitrap mass spectrometer. Triplicate top 20 data-dependent acquisition runs were acquired

for each sample, and 1 µg of protein digest was loaded for each run. The peptides were

separated by a 50 cm x 75 µm I.D C8 column (5 µm diameter, 100 Å pore size C8

MichromMagic beads) with a 90 min 10 to 30% B gradient (solvent A: 0.1% formic acid in water,

solvent B: 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile, flow rate 300 nl/min). The MS data acquisition

parameters were set as follows: full MS scan resolution 70k, maximum ion injection time 100

mS, AGC target 10^6, scan range of 400 to 2000 m/z; MS/MS scan resolution 17.5 k, maximum

ion injection time 100 mS, AGC target 5^4, isolation window 1.6 m/z, HCD NCE 35 scan range of

200 to 2000 m/z; loop count 20, intensity threshold 5^3, underfill ratio 1%, dynamic exclusion 10

sec. High resolution MS^2 spectra were searched against a target-decoy proteome database of

strain AB5075 (a total of 7678 sequences) downloaded from Uniprot (Oct17, 2017) using Comet

(version 2015.02 rev. 1) (54) with following parameters: precursor peptide mass tolerance 20

ppm, allowing for -1, 0, +1, +2, or +3 ^{13}C offsets; fragment ion mass tolerance 0.02 Da; static

modification, carbamidomethylation of cysteine (57.0215 Da); variable modification, methionine

oxidation (15.9949 Da). The search results were further processed by PeptideProphet (55) for

probability assignment to each peptide-spectrum match, and ProteinProphet (56) for protein

inference and protein probability modeling. The output pepXML files from three technical

replicates were grouped for subsequent spectral counting analysis using Abacus (57). The

pepXML and protXML files for each sample, combined ProteinProphet file from all samples

were parsed into Abacus for spectral counting of each protein. The following filters were applied

for extracting spectral counts from MS/MS datasets: (1) the minimum PeptideProphet score the

best peptide match of a protein must have maxIniProbTH=0.99; (2) The minimum

PeptideProphet score a peptide must have to be even considered by Abacus, iniProbTH=0.50;

(3) The minimum ProteinProphet score a protein group must have in the COMBINED file,

minCombinedFilePw=0.90. Spectral counts for 1616 proteins were reported across four sample

groups (two strains and two biological replicates) with estimated protein false discovery rate of

1.94%. The protein expression fold changes between wild type AB5075 and Δ*csrA* mutant were

computed from adjusted spectral counts output from Abacus.

Phenotypic characterization of the Δ*csrA* mutant. M9/succinate was used in all experiments.

For motility assays, an overnight culture (16 to 18 hours) was diluted to yield OD_{600}=0.5, and 2

μl of sample was spotted onto the freshly prepared M9/succinate plate and incubated at 37°C

for 24 h. For biofilm assays, an overnight culture was inoculated into 100 μl of M9/succinate in

Costar vinyl 96 well "U" bottom plates (initial OD_{600}=0.05), and the plates were sealed with

Breath-Easy sealing membranes. After incubation at room temperature for 48 hours, culture

was removed, the plate was rinsed with tap water twice, and 150 μl of 0.1% crystal violet

solution was added to each well. After incubating at room temperature for 15 min, crystal violet

solution was removed, the plate was rinsed with tap water 5 times, and the plate was dried at

room temperature. For catalase assays, cells were harvested at OD_{600}=0.5, supernatant was

removed, and cells were resuspended in DPBS to yield 10 mg wet cell/100 μl DPBS. 100 μl of

cell suspensions were placed in 13 x 100 mm borosilicate glass tubes. Then 100 μl of 1% Triton

X-100 and 100 μ1 of 30% hydrogen peroxide were added, mixed thoroughly, and incubated for

15 min at room temperature (58).

.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Hemantha Don Kulasekara and Dr. Samuel Miller (University of Washington) for

sharing the pEX2-TetRA and pMMB67EH-TetRA vectors. We thank Indranil Biswas for alerting

us to the possibility that intrinsically disordered proteins could be involved in desiccation

tolerance in *A. baumannii*.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Functional Genomics Program, National Institute of Allergy and

Infectious Diseases under Grant 1U19AI107775-01.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Desiccation tolerance of *A. baumannii*. (A) Comparison of AB5075, *E. coli* W3110,

and *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 at 30% RH or 2% RH. (B) Desiccation tolerance of *A. baumannii*

strains AB5075, ATCC17978, and ATCC19606 after 0 days (control) and 6 days of desiccation

at 30% RH or 2% RH. The cell numbers represent the total number of viable cells recovered

from each membrane. The data are the average of three or more biological replicates, and

standard deviations are shown as the error bars.

Figure 2. Growth and desiccation tolerance of the Δ*csrA* mutant (A) Comparison of wild type

(WT) and the Δ*csrA* mutant on TY and M9/succinate agar and in TY and M9/succinate broth. (B)

Desiccation tolerance of WT, Δ*csrA* mutant, Δ*csrA* mutant with pMMB (empty vector), and Δ*csrA*

mutant with *csrA* expressed in trans (pAB2750) at day 0 and at day 6 of desiccation at 2% RH

or at 6 days in PBS. The cell number represents the total number of viable cells recovered from

each membrane. The data are the average of three or more biological replicates, and standard

deviations are shown as the error bar.

Figure 3. Phenotypes of the Δ*csrA* mutant: (A) twitching motility; (B) growth of wild type (black

symbol) or the Δ*csrA* mutant (red symbol) in M9/succinate in the absence (closed symbol) or

presence (open symbol) of 0.5% ethanol. The data shown are the representative of each strain

and condition; (C) crystal violet staining of biofilms from wild type, Δ*csrA* mutant, Δ*csrA* mutant

with pMMB (empty vector), or Δ*csrA* mutant with pAB2750; and (D) catalase activity of wild type,

Δ*csrA* mutant, Δ*csrA* mutant with pMMB (empty vector), or Δ*csrA* mutant with pAB2750.

Figure 4. *A. baumannii* genes important for desiccation tolerance. (A) Genes (B) Desiccation

tolerance of mutants at 2% RH. The cell number represents the total number of viable cells

recovered from each membrane. The data are the average of three or more biological

replicates, and standard deviations are shown as the error bar.

Figure 5. Effect of expression of *ABUW_2433* (red bar) or *ABUW_2337* (blue bar) gene *in trans*

on desiccation tolerance *A. baumannii* (A) ATCC17978 and (B) ATCC19606 after 0 days

(control) and 6 days of desiccation at 2% RH. Empty vector (pMMB, black bar) was used as a

control. The data are the average of three or more biological replicates, and standard deviations

are shown as the error bar.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Figure S1. Desiccation tolerance of *A. baumannii* AB5075 cells from the log-phase and stationery-phase of growth. The cell number represents a total number of viable cells recovered from each membrane. The data are the averages of three or more biological replicates, and standard deviations are shown as error bars.

Figure S2. Desiccation tolerance of wild type (black symbol) and the transposon mutant pool (red symbol). The cell number represents a total number of viable cells recovered from each membrane. The data are the average of three (for wild type) or two (for transposon mutant pool) biological replicates, and standard deviations from wild-type data are shown as error bars.

Figure S3. Complementation of desiccation phenotypes of (A) *ABUW_2433*::Tn and (B) *ABUW_2437*::Tn after 0 days (control) and 6 days of desiccation at 2% RH. Empty vector (pMMB) was used as a control. The data are the average of three or more biological replicates, and standard deviations are shown as the error bars.

Table S1. Screening of desiccation sensitive mutant by Tn-seq.

Table S2. Mutants from the three-allele library tested for desiccation tolerance

Table S3. (A) Label-free protein quantification of wild type and the Δ*csrA* mutant. (B) List of proteins up-regulated (ratio of Δ*csrA*/WT ≥2.5 and Δ*csrA* read count ≥7.5) in the Δ*csrA* mutant compared to wild type. (C) List of proteins down-regulated (ratio of Δ*csrA*/WT ≤0.4 and WT read count ≥7.5) in the Δ*csrA* mutant compared to wild type.

Table S4. Validation of desiccation phenotype of transposon mutant derived from the Δ*csrA* mutant proteomics analysis.

Table S5. Bacterial strains used in this study (A). Plasmids and primers used in this study (B).

REFERENCES

1. Magill SS, Edwards JR, Bamberg W, Beldavs ZG, Dumyati G, Kainer MA, Lynfield R, Maloney M, McAllister-Hollod L, Nadle J, Ray SM, Thompson DL, Wilson LE, Fridkin SK, Team EIPH-AI and AUPS. 2014. Multistate Point-Prevalence Survey of Health Care–Associated Infections. New Engl J Medicine 370:1198–1208.

2. Marchetti A, Rossiter R. 2013. Economic burden of healthcare-associated infection in US acute care hospitals: societal perspective. J Med Econ 16:1399–1404.

3. Datta R, Platt R, Yokoe DS, Huang SS. 2011. Environmental Cleaning Intervention and Risk of Acquiring Multidrug-Resistant Organisms From Prior Room Occupants. Arch Intern Med 171:491–494.

4. Huang SS, Datta R, Platt R. 2006. Risk of Acquiring Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria From Prior Room Occupants. Arch Intern Med 166:1945–1951.

5. Munoz-Price LS, Namias N, Cleary T, Fajardo-Aquino Y, DePascale D, Arheart KL, Rivera JI, Doi Y. 2013. Acinetobacter baumannii: Association between Environmental Contamination of Patient Rooms and Occupant Status. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiology 34:517–520.

6. Thom KA, Johnson JK, Lee MS, Harris AD. 2011. Environmental contamination because of multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii surrounding colonized or infected patients. Am J Infect Control 39:711–715.

7. Harding CM, Hennon SW, Feldman MF. 2018. Uncovering the mechanisms of Acinetobacter baumannii virulence. Nat Rev Microbiol 16:91–102.

8. Giannouli M, Antunes LC, Marchetti V, Triassi M, Visca P, Zarrilli R. 2013. Virulence-related traits of epidemic Acinetobacter baumannii strains belonging to the international clonal lineages I-III and to the emerging genotypes ST25 and ST78. Bmc Infect Dis 13:282.

9. Jawad A, Seifert H, Snelling AM, Heritage J, Hawkey PM. 1998. Survival of Acinetobacter baumannii on Dry Surfaces: Comparison of Outbreak and Sporadic Isolates. J Clin Microbiol 36:1938–1941.

10. Antunes LCS, Imperi F, Carattoli A, Visca P. 2011. Deciphering the multifactorial nature of Acinetobacter baumannii pathogenicity. Plos One 6:e22674.

11. Wendt C, Dietze B, Dietz E, Rüden H. 1997. Survival of Acinetobacter baumannii on dry surfaces. J Clin Microbiol 35:1394–1397.

12. Lebre PH, Maayer PD, Cowan DA. 2017. Xerotolerant bacteria: surviving through a dry spell. Nat Rev Microbiol 15:285–296.

13. Potts M. 1994. Desiccation tolerance of prokaryotes. Microbiol Rev 58:755–805.

14. Gayoso CM, Mateos J, Méndez JA, Fernández-Puente P, Rumbo C, Tomás M, Ilarduya ÓM
de, Bou G. 2013. Molecular Mechanisms Involved in the Response to Desiccation Stress and
Persistence in Acinetobacter baumannii. J Proteome Res 13:460–476.

15. Espinal P, Marti S, Vila J. 2012. Effect of biofilm formation on the survival of Acinetobacter
baumannii on dry surfaces. J Hosp Infect 80:56 60.

16. Orsinger-Jacobsen SJ, Patel SS, Vellozzi EM, Gialanella P, Nimrichter L, Miranda K,
Martinez LR. 2013. Use of a stainless steel washer platform to study Acinetobacter baumannii
adhesion and biofilm formation on abiotic surfaces. Microbiology+ 159:2594–2604.

17. Wang X, Cole CG, DuPai CD, Davies BW. 2020. Protein Aggregation is Associated with
Acinetobacter baumannii Desiccation Tolerance. Microorg 8:343.

18. Boll JM, Tucker AT, Klein DR, Beltran AM, Brodbelt JS, Davies BW, Trent MS. 2015.
Reinforcing Lipid A Acylation on the Cell Surface of Acinetobacter baumannii Promotes Cationic
Antimicrobial Peptide Resistance and Desiccation Survival. Mbio 6:e00478 15.

19. Aranda J, Bardina C, Beceiro A, Rumbo S, Cabral MP, Barbé J, Bou G. 2011. Acinetobacter
baumannii RecA Protein in Repair of DNA Damage, Antimicrobial Resistance, General Stress
Response, and Virulence. J Bacteriol 193:3740–3747.

20. Farrow JM, Wells G, Pesci EC. 2018. Desiccation tolerance in Acinetobacter baumannii is
mediated by the two-component response regulator BfmR. Plos One 13:e0205638.

21. Farrow JM, Wells G, Palethorpe S, Adams MD, Pesci EC. 2020. CsrA supports both
environmental persistence and host-associated growth of Acinetobacter baumannii. Infect
Immun https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.00259-20.

22. Zeidler S, Müller V. 2019. The role of compatible solutes in desiccation resistance of
Acinetobacter baumannii. Microbiologyopen 8:e00740.

23. Jacobs AC, Thompson MG, Black CC, Kessler JL, Clark LP, McQueary CN, Gancz HY,
Corey BW, Moon JK, Si Y, Owen MT, Hallock JD, Kwak YI, Summers A, Li CZ, Rasko DA,
Penwell WF, Honnold CL, Wise MC, Waterman PE, Lesho EP, Stewart RL, Actis LA, Palys TJ,
Craft DW, Zurawski DV. 2014. AB5075, a Highly Virulent Isolate of Acinetobacter baumannii, as
a Model Strain for the Evaluation of Pathogenesis and Antimicrobial Treatments. Mbio 5:e01076
14.

24. Gallagher LA, Ramage E, Weiss EJ, Radey M, Hayden HS, Held KG, Huse HK, Zurawski
DV, Brittnacher MJ, Manoil C. 2015. Resources for Genetic and Genomic Analysis of Emerging
Pathogen Acinetobacter baumannii. J Bacteriol 197:2027–2035.

25. Chin CY, Tipton KA, Farokhyfar M, Burd EM, Weiss DS, Rather PN. 2018. A high-frequency
phenotypic switch links bacterial virulence and environmental survival in Acinetobacter
baumannii. Nat Microbiol 3:563–569.

26. Tipton KA, Chin C-Y, Farokhyfar M, Weiss DS, Rather PN. 2018. Role of capsule in
resistance to disinfectants, host antimicrobials and desiccation in Acinetobacter baumannii.
Antimicrob Agents Ch 62:AAC.01188-18.

27. Potts AH, Vakulskas CA, Pannuri A, Yakhnin H, Babitzke P, Romeo T. 2017. Global role of
the bacterial post-transcriptional regulator CsrA revealed by integrated transcriptomics. Nat
Commun 8:1596.

28. Vakulskas CA, Potts AH, Babitzke P, Ahmer BMM, Romeo T. 2015. Regulation of bacterial
virulence by Csr (Rsm) systems. Microbiol Mol Biol R 79:193 224.

29. Romeo T, Vakulskas CA, Babitzke P. 2013. Post-transcriptional regulation on a global scale:
form and function of Csr/Rsm systems. Environ Microbiol 15:313–324.

30. Sobrero PM, Valverde C. 2020. Comparative Genomics and Evolutionary Analysis of RNA-
Binding Proteins of the CsrA Family in the Genus Pseudomonas. Frontiers Mol Biosci 7:127.

31. LeGrand K, Petersen S, Zheng Y, Liu KK, Ozturk G, Chen J-Y, Young GM. 2015. CsrA
impacts survival of Yersinia enterocolitica by affecting a myriad of physiological activities. Bmc
Microbiol 15:31.

32. Erdős G, Pajkos M, Dosztányi Z. 2021. IUPred3: prediction of protein disorder enhanced
with unambiguous experimental annotation and visualization of evolutionary conservation.
Nucleic Acids Res 49:gkab408-.

33. Elhosseiny NM, Amin MA, Yassin AS, Attia AS. 2015. Acinetobacter baumannii universal
stress protein A plays a pivotal role in stress response and is essential for pneumonia and
sepsis pathogenesis. Int J Med Microbiol 305:114–123.

34. Crowe JH, Oliver AE, Tablin F. 2002. Is There a Single Biochemical Adaptation to
Anhydrobiosis? Integr Comp Biol 42:497–503.

35. Leslie SB, Israeli E, Lighthart B, Crowe JH, Crowe LM. 1995. Trehalose and sucrose protect
both membranes and proteins in intact bacteria during drying. Appl Environ Microb 61:3592–7.

36. França MB, Panek AD, Eleutherio ECA. 2007. Oxidative stress and its effects during
dehydration. Comp Biochem Physiology Part Mol Integr Physiology 146:621–631.

37. Soares NC, Cabral MP, Gayoso C, Mallo S, Rodriguez-Velo P, Fernández-Moreira E, Bou
G. 2010. Associating Growth-Phase-Related Changes in the Proteome of Acinetobacter
baumannii with Increased Resistance to Oxidative Stress. J Proteome Res 9:1951–1964.

38. Juttukonda LJ, Green ER, Lonergan ZR, Heffern MC, Chang CJ, Skaar EP. 2018.
Acinetobacter baumannii OxyR regulates the transcriptional response to hydrogen peroxide.
Infect Immun 87:IAI.00413-18.

39. Aravind L, Landsman D. 1998. AT-hook motifs identified in a wide variety of DNA-binding
proteins. Nucleic Acids Res 26:4413–4421.

40. Su L, Deng Z, Leng F. 2020. The Mammalian High Mobility Group Protein AT-Hook 2
(HMGA2): Biochemical and Biophysical Properties, and Its Association with Adipogenesis. Int J
Mol Sci 21:3710.

41. Boothby TC, Tapia H, Brozena AH, Piszkiewicz S, Smith AE, Giovannini I, Rebecchi L,
Pielak GJ, Koshland D, Goldstein B. 2017. Tardigrades Use Intrinsically Disordered Proteins to
Survive Desiccation. Mol Cell 65:975-984.e5.

42. Hesgrove C, Boothby TC. 2020. The biology of tardigrade disordered proteins in extreme
stress tolerance. Cell Commun Signal 18:178.

43. Cohan MC, Pappu RV. 2020. Making the Case for Disordered Proteins and Biomolecular
Condensates in Bacteria. Trends Biochem Sci 45:668–680.

44. Lapouge K, Schubert M, Allain FH-T, Haas D. 2008. Gac/Rsm signal transduction pathway
of gamma-proteobacteria: from RNA recognition to regulation of social behaviour. Mol Microbiol
67:241 253.

45. Cerqueira GM, Kostoulias X, Khoo C, Aibinu I, Qu Y, Traven A, Peleg AY. 2014. A global
virulence regulator in Acinetobacter baumannii and its control of the phenylacetic acid catabolic
pathway. J Infect Dis 210:46 55.

46. Weiss A, Broach WH, Lee MC, Shaw LN. 2016. Towards the complete small RNome of
Acinetobacter baumannii. Microb Genom 2:e000045.

47. Pourciau C, Lai Y-J, Gorelik M, Babitzke P, Romeo T. 2020. Diverse Mechanisms and
Circuitry for Global Regulation by the RNA-Binding Protein CsrA. Front Microbiol 11:601352.

48. Romeo T, Babitzke P. 2018. Global Regulation by CsrA and Its RNA Antagonists. Microbiol
Spectr 6.

49. Renda A, Poly S, Lai Y-J, Pannuri A, Yakhnin H, Potts AH, Bevilacqua PC, Romeo T,
Babitzke P. 2020. CsrA-Mediated Translational Activation of ymdA Expression in Escherichia
coli. Mbio 11.

50. Maurer CK, Fruth M, Empting M, Avrutina O, Homann J, Nadmid S, Gorges J, Herrmann J,
Kazmaier U, Dersch P, Mller R, Hartmann RW. 2016. Discovery of the first small-molecule
CsrARNA interaction inhibitors using biophysical screening technologies. Future Med Chem
8:931–947.

51. Neidhardt FC, Bloch PL, Smith DF. 1974. Culture Medium for Enterobacteria. J Bacteriol
119:736–747.

52. Klein BA, Tenorio EL, Lazinski DW, Camilli A, Duncan MJ, Hu LT. 2012. Identification of
essential genes of the periodontal pathogen Porphyromonas gingivalis. Bmc Genomics 13:578.

53. Horton RM, Ho SN, Pullen JK, Hunt HD, Cai Z, Pease LR. 1993. Gene splicing by overlap
extension. Methods in enzymology 217:270 279.

54. Eng JK, Jahan TA, Hoopmann MR. 2013. Comet: An open-source MS/MS sequence
database search tool. Proteomics 13:22–24.

55. Keller A, Nesvizhskii AI, Kolker E, Aebersold R. 2002. Empirical Statistical Model To
Estimate the Accuracy of Peptide Identifications Made by MS/MS and Database Search. Anal
Chem 74:5383–5392.

56. Nesvizhskii AI, Keller A, Kolker E, Aebersold R. 2003. A Statistical Model for Identifying
Proteins by Tandem Mass Spectrometry. Anal Chem 75:4646–4658.

57. Fermin D, Basrur V, Yocum AK, Nesvizhskii AI. 2011. Abacus: A computational tool for
extracting and pre-processing spectral count data for label-free quantitative proteomic analysis.
Proteomics 11:1340–1345.

58. Iwase T, Tajima A, Sugimoto S, Okuda K, Hironaka I, Kamata Y, Takada K, Mizunoe Y.
2013. A Simple Assay for Measuring Catalase Activity: A Visual Approach. Sci Rep-uk 3:3081.

Table 1. *Acinetobacter baumannii* strain AB5075 genes identified as important for desiccation tolerance

Gene	Survival ratio of mutant (day 0/day 6 desiccation)[a]	Gene name	Gene annotation	Ratio of Tn insertions from Tn-seq screen (day 0/day 6 desiccation)	Protein abundance (ratio WT/ΔcsrA)
AB5075 (WT)	17				
ABUW_2389	32	*cioA*	cytochrome bd ubiquinol oxidase, subunit I	12.1	ND[b]
ABUW_2433	2,102		KGG domain-containing protein	6.9	4.3
ABUW_2436	128	*katE*	catalase	1.3	3.2
ABUW_2437	2,540		Iron-containing redox protein	11.0	10.5
ABUW_2639	103		universal stress protein family	19.4	4.4
ABUW_2724	43		hypothetical protein	0.6	2.4
ABUW_2750	43	*csrA*[c]	carbon storage regulator	19.9	8.5
ABUW_2954	68		putative transcriptional regulator	13.6	ND
ABUW_3123	32	*otsA*	trehalose-6-phosphate synthase	1.3	10.5
ABUW_3837	44	*ampD*	N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase, family 2	12.7	0.5

[a] All strains were grown in TY broth to the stationary phase of growth prior to being desiccated

[b] ND, protein not detected

[c] The survival ratio (day 0/ day 6 desiccation) of a ΔcsrA mutant grown in M9/succinate was 133,750. The survival ratio of WT grown in M9/succinate was 276.

Figure 1. Desiccation tolerance of *A. baumannii*. (A) Comparison of AB5075, *E. coli* W3110, and *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 at 30% RH or 2% RH. (B) Desiccation tolerance of *A. baumannii* strains AB5075, ATCC17978, and ATCC19606 after 0 days (control) and 6 days of desiccation at 30% RH or 2% RH. The cell numbers represent the total number of viable cells recovered from each membrane. The data are the average of three or more biological replicates, and standard deviations are shown as the error bars.

Figure 2. Growth and desiccation tolerance of the ΔcsrA mutant (A) Comparison of wild type (WT) and the ΔcsrA mutant on TY and M9/succinate agar and in TY and M9/succinate broth. (B) Desiccation tolerance of WT, ΔcsrA mutant, ΔcsrA mutant with pMMB (empty vector), and ΔcsrA mutant with csrA expressed in trans (pAB2750) at day 0 and at day 6 of desiccation at 2% RH or at 6 days in PBS. The cell number represents the total number of viable cells recovered from each membrane. The data are the average of three or more biological replicates, and standard deviations are shown as the error bar.

Figure 3. Phenotypes of the Δ*csrA* mutant: (A) twitching motility; (B) growth of wild type (black symbol) or the Δ*csrA* mutant (red symbol) in M9/succinate in the absence (closed symbol) or presence (open symbol) of 0.5% ethanol. The data shown are the representative of each strain and condition; (C) crystal violet staining of biofilms from wild type, Δ*csrA* mutant, Δ*csrA* mutant with pMMB (empty vector), or Δ*csrA* mutant with pAB2750; and (D) catalase activity of wild type, Δ*csrA* mutant, Δ*csrA* mutant with pMMB (empty vector), or Δ*csrA* mutant with pAB2750.

Figure. 4. *A. baumannii* genes important for desiccation tolerance. (A) Genes (B) Desiccation tolerance of mutants at 2% RH. The cell number represents the total number of viable cells recovered from each membrane. The data are the average of three or more biological replicates, and standard deviations are shown as the error bar.

Figure 5. Effect of expression of ABUW_2433 (red bar) or ABUW_2337 (blue bar) gene *in trans* desiccation tolerance *A. baumannii* (A) ATCC17978 and (B) ATCC19606 after 0 days (control) and 6 days of desiccation at 2% RH. Empty vector (pMMB, black bar) was used as a control. The data are the average of three or more biological replicates, and standard deviations are shown as the error bar.

Supplementary Figure 1. Desiccation tolerance of *A. baumannii* AB5075 cells from the log-phase and stationery-phase of growth. The cell number represents a total number of viable cells recovered from each membrane. The data are the averages of three or more biological replicates, and standard deviations are shown as error bars.

Supplementary Figure 2. Desiccation tolerance of wild type (black symbol) and the transposon mutant pool (red symbol). The cell number represents a total number of viable cells recovered from each membrane. The data are the average of three (for wild type) or two (for transposon mutant pool) biological replicates, and standard deviations from wild-type data are shown as error bars.

Supplementary Figure 3. Complementation of desiccation phenotypes of (A) ABUW_2433::Tn and (B) ABUW_2437::Tn after 0 days (control) and 6 days of desiccation at 2% RH. Empty vector (pMMB) was used as a control. The data are the average of three or more biological replicates, and standard deviations are shown as the error bars.