**Refining Bulk Segregant Analyses: Ontology-Mediated Discovery of Flowering Time Genes in**

***Brassica oleracea***

Rutger A. Vos[1,2,*], Karin van Veen[2], M. Eric Schranz[3], Klaas Vrieling[2], Peter G. L. Klinkhamer[2], Frederic Lens[1,2]

1. Naturalis Biodiversity Center, PO Box 9517, 2300 RA Leiden, the Netherlands

2. Institute of Biology Leiden, Leiden University, Sylviusweg 72, 2333 BE Leiden, The Netherlands

3. Biosystematics Group, Wageningen University, PO Box 16, 6700AP Wageningen, The Netherlands

[*]denotes corresponding author

**ABSTRACT**

*Background*

Bulk segregant analysis (BSA) can help identify quantitative trait loci (QTLs), but this may

result in substantial bycatch of functionally irrelevant genes. Here we develop a Gene

Ontology-mediated approach to zoom in on specific markers implicated in flowering time

from among QTLs identified by BSA of the giant woody Jersey kale phenotyped in four bulks

of flowering onset.

*Results*

Our BSA yielded tens of thousands of candidate genes. We reduced this by two orders of

magnitude by focusing on genes annotated with terms contained within relevant subgraphs of

the Gene Ontology. A further enrichment test led to the pathway for circadian rhythm in

plants. The genes that enriched this pathway are attested from previous research as regulating

flowering time. Some of these genes were also identified as having functionally significant

variation compared to *Arabidopsis*

*Conclusions*

We validated and confirmed our ontology-mediated results through a more targeted,

homology-based approach. However, our ontology-mediated approach produced additional

genes of putative importance, showing that the approach aids in exploration and discovery.

We view our method as potentially applicable to the study of other complex traits and

therefore make our workflows available as open-source code and a reusable Docker container.

**Keywords**: Giant woody Jersey kale; Bulk segregant analysis; Quantitative trait locus;

Flowering time; *Brassica oleracea*; Gene Ontology; Pathway analysis; Singular enrichment

analysis; Graph topology; SNP effects

**BACKGROUND**

Identifying the genes that underlie quantitative trait variation is one of the main challenges in

genetics and, to the extent that this is attainable *in silico*, in bioinformatics. One appealingly

straightforward approach to discovering candidate loci involved in quantitative trait

differences is to sort individuals of a segregating, crossed population into 'bulks' defined by

extremes in trait values and then interrogating the genetic contrasts between these bulks, i.e.

bulk segregant analysis (BSA [1, 2]). High-throughput sequencing of pooled DNA of bulks

has made it possible to quickly generate haystacks of data at low cost, within which are the

genetic needles (genomic regions, specific genes, and finally SNPs) that caused the salient

differences between the bulks.

Several statistics have been developed to aid in the discovery of candidates of these needles.

For each SNP in a sequenced bulk, metrics exist that express its relative coverage compared

to other bulks (the $\Delta$(SNP-index) *sensu* Takagi et al. [3]) or whether its allele frequency

deviates from the expectation (the modified G' statistic of Magwene et al. [4]). Then, having

defined a threshold value for the metric and using a sliding window approach, regions of

(more or less) contiguous SNPs in whose metric values the bulks differ can be found,

resulting in putative quantitative trait loci (QTLs) in the form of genomic regions. If the

analysis is performed using a sufficiently annotated reference genome to map against, genes

and SNPs that regulate the trait and intersect with the intervals should be directly locatable.

However, this general approach is somewhat imprecise (and more so with low thresholds or

large window sizes), resulting in a lot of 'bycatch' of irrelevant genes. Here, we present an

approach to remove such bycatch and obtain more refined result sets by traversing and

pruning subgraphs of Gene Ontology [5] annotations and KEGG pathways [6] enriched by the

initial QTL finding. We apply and validate this approach using bulks determined by

contrasting flowering time in a *Brassica oleracea* cross.

*B. oleracea* (family Brassicaceae, tribe Brassiceae) is a diploid plant species that gave rise to

many vegetable crops rich in essential nutrients such as vitamin C and anti-carcinogens such

as sulforaphane [7]. The human-induced selection for diverse edible parts of *B. oleracea* has

resulted in a remarkable phenotypic diversity that exceeds the variation in most wild species.

Based on the specialized morphology of the edible structures, the species is often classified

into kales (leaves), cabbages (leaves), cauliflower (inflorescences), broccoli (inflorescences),

Brussels sprouts (axillary buds), and kohlrabi (stems and leaves). Furthermore, *B. oleracea* (n

= 9), together with its close relative *B. rapa* (n = 10), are the parental species that gave rise to

*B. napus* (n = 19), arguably the most economically valuable species of the tribe Brassicaceae

(canola or rapeseed).

Research in *Brassica* has been advanced by the release of genomes from various species (e.g.

[8−10]), including two reference genomes from *B. oleracea*: the rapid cycling line

TO1000DH3 [11] and *B. oleracea* var. *capitata* [12]. All the *Brassica* genomes show

evidence of a Brassicaceae lineage-specific whole-genome triplication since their divergence

from the *Arabidopsis* lineage [13], followed by diploidization that involved substantial

genome reshuffling and gene losses [8, 14, 15]. This makes *Brassica* an ideal model to study

polyploid genome evolution, duplicated gene loss mechanisms, neo- and subfunctionalization,

and its associated impact on the vast intraspecific morphological diversity [16].

A critical agronomic trait that shows remarkable variation in *B. oleracea* is flowering time.

For example, whereas broccoli is a short-lived annual that flowers in the year it was planted,

cabbage is biannual, needing a cold period to induce flowering (i.e. vernalization) [17]. The

genetics that underlies variation in flowering time within and among *Brassica* species is

reasonably well characterized [18]. The FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) locus, its

transcriptional repressor FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC), and its transcriptional activator

CONSTANS (CO) all play a central role both in *B. oleracea*, *B. rapa* and in *Arabidopsis*.

However, the way FT expression is modulated differs between *Brassica* and *Arabidopsis*. The

overall flowering time pathway is much more complex in all cases, involving over two dozen

other genes in multiple, divergent copies scattered across the genome [18]. As the exact

locations of these copies are mostly known, sufficient background information is available to

validate and interpret the results of the analysis we present here and assess its potential for

applicability in less well-characterized traits. To provide further context for our results, we

also resequenced the novel genome of the late-flowering, heterozygous, giant woody walking

stick kale native to Jersey Island (cultivar *B. oleracea* convar. *acephala* var. *viridis*), one of

the two parents of the BSA crosses (the other being the rapid cycling, homozygous line

TO1000DH3, which has been sequenced before [11]). For this Jersey kale genome, we assess

the impact of SNPs within known flowering time pathway genes and compare and contrast

these with the genes discovered through our BSA analysis.

**METHODS**

*Plant material, crosses, genotyping and phenotyping*

We crossed the homozygous doubled haploid *B. oleracea* kale-like alboglabra line

TO1000DH3 [11] with the giant woody walking stick kale (*B. oleracea* convar. *acephala* var.

*viridis*) native to Jersey (Channel Islands, UK [19, 20]), the latter grown from seeds ordered

from Mr and Mrs Johnson, who own a company making artisanal walking sticks (Homestill,

La Grande Route de St. Jean, St. Helier, Jersey, Channel Islands). We selected TO1000DH3

for its rapid flowering time and short generation time (approx. 65 days). In contrast, the

Jersey kale is extremely late flowering, has a much longer generation time (at least six

months), and requires a vernalization period. We crossed the two parents reciprocally,

resulting in F1 seeds from both parents, which we established in tissue culture and potted in

soil. We genotyped this F1 population with an allele-specific assay (KASP) on our in-house

high throughput SNP genotyping platform and phenotyped the plants on time till first

flowering based on two individuals per genotype, distinguishing early (EF), intermediate (IF),

late (LF) and non-flowering (NF, at time of DNA extraction). We set the boundaries between

these different cohorts such that we obtained bulks of roughly equal numbers of individuals

(around ten per bulk; Fig. 1).

*DNA extraction and sequencing data pre-processing*

We performed genomic DNA extractions on a KingFisher Flex magnetic particle processor

robot (Thermo Scientific) using a NucleoMag® 96 Plant kit (Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co.).

We used a volume of 150 μl for elution. We measured DNA concentrations on a Dropsense

(TRINEAN NV) using a DropPlate 96-S. Based on these measurements, we pooled the DNAs

of the same phenotype (EF, IF, LF and NF) equimolarly to create four DNA bulks for

sequencing. We prepared libraries according to the protocol of Macrogen, containing random

fragmentation of the DNA sample followed by 5' and 3' adapter ligation, amplification of the

adapter-ligated fragments using unique index primers and gel purification. From this, we sent

400ng DNA aliquot to Macrogen for paired-end sequencing on the Illumina HiSeq X platform

(read length 150bp) on a shared run. We used the BWA-MEM [21] and SAMtools [22]

toolchain to map each bulk's reads against the *B. oleracea* TO1000DH3 reference genome

v2.1 of EnsemblPlants release 39, which we filtered so that we mapped against chromosomes

only. We then used GATK HaplotypeCaller [23, 24] for variant (i.e. SNP and indel) calling,

yielding the results summarized in Table 1.

*Bulk genotyping and QTL region analysis*

Given that we phenotyped the F1s by flowering time binned in four bulks, there are six pairs

of contrasts (i.e. EF ↔ IF, EF ↔ LF, EF ↔ NF; IF ↔ LF, IF ↔ NF; and LF ↔ NF). We

performed joint genotyping for these contrasts using the GATK

CombineGVCFs/GenotypeGVCFs workflow. We then filtered these genotypes further,

excluding low coverage per sample (<40x), low coverage across the pair of merged samples

(<100x), unusually high coverage (>400x, e.g. repeats), low values for the GATK Genotype

Quality score (<99), and low values for the frequency of the reference allele (<0.2, a

conservative value as TO1000DH3 is homozygous). We calculated smoothed G statistics (G',

see [4]) over a sliding window 1Mb wide, filtering outliers by Δ(SNP-index) [3] and retaining

all SNPs with G'>2.5 for further analysis. We then performed a QTL-seq analysis [3] to

identify candidate QTL regions by simulation using 10k replicates and a two-sided 95%

confidence interval (see Fig. 2). For the G' and QTL-seq calculations and simulations, we

used the R package QTLseqr [25]. Based on our inferred QTL regions and smoothed G'

values, we scanned the mapped assembly of each bulk for genes that fall within QTL regions

and have non-synonymous SNPs with high G'. Gene coordinates were based on the

annotation of the TO1000DH3 (i.e. the *B. oleracea* GFF3 release v2.1.39 of EnsemblPlants;

[11]). To cross-reference the products of these genes with other information resources, we

then mapped the *B. oleracea* genes to the curated and machine-predicted proteomics

identifiers of UniProtKB/TrEMBL [26] using BioMart [27].

*Functional enrichment, refinement, and pathway analysis*

We performed singular enrichment analyses (SEA, [28]) separately for all six contrasts using

the agriGO web service [29], which uses the Blast2GO [30] results for *B. oleracea* compiled

by the Blast2GO Functional Annotation Repository (B2G-FAR, [31]) to establish a reference

list against which to assess term enrichment by way of a hypergeometric test corrected for

multiple comparisons using the Benjamini–Yekutieli method [32]. To determine the overlap

between our SEAs, we merged their results in a cross-comparison (SEACOMPARE, [29]),

which showed congruence in enriching numerous terms related to reproduction across all

contrasts. For each of the SEA result sets, we pruned the enriched (FDR<0.05) subgraph by

retaining only those terms that are reproductive developmental processes, i.e. that are

subtended by the upper-level term *developmental process involved in reproduction*

(GO:0003006) from the domain *biological process* of the Gene Ontology [5]. Within the

pruned subgraph (Fig. 3), three out of the top-level terms are related to flower development or

morphogenesis, one to seed maturation, and one (GO:0010228) is defined as:

*"The process involved in transforming a meristem that produces vegetative structures, such*

*as leaves, into a meristem that produces reproductive structures, such as a flower or an*

*inflorescence."*

As this developmental process precedes those defined by the other top-level terms in the

subgraph, we took the list of genes annotated to these terms. We used this as the input for a

pathway enrichment analysis as implemented in g:Profiler [33]. This yielded an alternative

view in the extent to which the genes enrich other GO terms, as well as any KEGG [6]

pathways.

*Genome analysis of the Jersey kale*

To gain more background insight into the genome of the giant woody Jersey kale as a

potential model in general, and with an eye on differences with TO1000DH3 in flowering

time loci in particular, we also sequenced the genome of a specimen of this cultivar. We

followed the same protocols for DNA extraction, sequencing, genome assembly, and variant

calling described for the bulks in the section *DNA extraction and sequencing data pre-*

*processing*. However, as there was no pooling of multiple individuals (i.e. no BSA), the

coverage for this single individual was commensurately higher (approx. 100x coverage). For

this Jersey kale genome, we then used SnpEff [34] to assess the impact of SNPs within the

loci previously identified as participating in the flowering time pathway of *Arabidopsis* [18].

**RESULTS**

The F1 seeds from our crosses resulted in 42 distinct genotypes that we successfully

established in tissue culture and transferred in soil. We found a 420-day time lag between the

earliest and the latest flowering F1 genotypes, presumably owing to the heterozygosity of the

Jersey kale parent: the first two F1 genotypes (genotype numbers 17135, 17136) started to

flower 113 days after potting, while more than a year later, at day 533, the last F1 flowered

(genotype number 17109). The first bulk, early flowering EF, comprised 11 F1 genotypes that

flowered between 113-135 days after potting. The second bulk, flowering at intermediate age

IF, included eight F1s that flowered from 154-164 days after potting. The third bulk, late-

flowering LF, represented 11 F1 genotypes that started to flower from 176-239 days after

potting. The fourth bulk, non-flowering (NF) at the time of DNA extraction (day 294),

included 9 F1s that only flowered after DNA extraction, up to 533 days after potting.

Phenotyping results are summarized in Fig. 1 and detailed in Supplementary Table 1.

Sequencing resulted in yields per bulk between $54.3 \times 10^9$ and $60.3 \times 10^9$ bases, observed in

$359.6 \times 10^6$ through $399.4 \times 10^6$ reads (which are 150bp on HiSeq X). Given the size of the

reference genome (approx. 488Mbp [11]), this corresponds with a depth in the range of 111x-

123x per bulk, or about 10x per individual. We retained most of the estimated raw coverage in

the assemblies, yielding an average mapped coverage of 99x-108x (more sequencing statistics

are listed in Supplementary Table 2). Following variant calling and joint genotyping of the six

pairwise comparisons of phenotype bulks, our G' sliding window analysis produced fairly

consistent results across all comparisons. We found regions with windows of G'>2.5 on all

chromosomes but C1. Still, regions that featured in the majority of pairwise comparisons were

restricted to the q arm of C3 (spanning, for example, the locus of one of the CO copies), C6

(spanning one of the FT loci), C7 (spanning a FUL copy) and C8 (spanning a PHYB copy). A

comparative view of these G' regions is shown in Fig. 2. At this stage of the analysis, the

QTL regions intersected with the genomic coordinates of 14,257 genes, out of which 10,469

had non-synonymous SNPs.

The term enrichment analyses (SEA, [28]) yielded between 812 and 1409 significantly

enriched terms for the six bulk contrasts. Interestingly, the number of terms appears to covary

somewhat with the magnitude of the trait differences, in that contrasts between the contiguous

cohorts EF ↔ IF and LF ↔ NF enriched the lowest numbers of terms (1086 and 812,

respectively) while those between the non-contiguous cohorts IF ↔ NF and EF ↔ NF

returned the most terms (1409 and 1280). Nevertheless, the different analyses' results

overlapped extensively, as the total number of distinct terms returned overall was 1544. This

was confirmed by SEACOMPARE ([29]), which also indicated extensive overlap across the

six comparisons (shown in Supplementary Table 3).

Each of the comparisons enriched a subgraph of the GO topology, which we pruned further to retain only those parts subtended by GO:0003006 (*developmental process involved in reproduction*). Across the comparisons, this resulted in a consensus subgraph that spanned 14 enriched terms, shown in Fig. 3. Among these 14 terms were five upper-level terms that have an implied ordering in time and specificity with respect to their contribution to the onset and further development of flowering:

1. GO:0010228 - *vegetative to reproductive phase transition of meristem*
2. GO:0048439 - *flower morphogenesis*
3. GO:0048437 - *floral organ development*
4. GO:0048438 - *floral whorl development*
5. GO:0010431 - *seed maturation*

Terms 2-5 can only start to play a role once the transition of meristem from vegetative to reproductive has commenced (and likewise, seed maturation can only happen in a fully developed flower). Hence, we then zoomed in on only those genes that are (transitively) annotated with GO:0010228 and used these as input for g:Profiler [33], whose results are shown in Fig. 4.

The g:Profiler results show enrichment both for terms above GO:0010228 and those below it. The terms shown with gray background in Fig. 4 are inevitably enriched because we restricted the input gene list to those whose annotations descend from GO:0010228 – and therefore also from all upper terms 'above' it. More interesting are the terms below it, some of which are more specific and shed light on what, according to these annotation sets, triggers the phase transition: light and photoperiodism. However, this analysis step's most salient result is the discovery of KEGG [6] pathway 04712 *Circadian rhythm – plant*, based on the presence of

Bo4G006930 (CIRCADIAN CLOCK ASSOCIATED 1, CCA1), Bo6G099320 (FLOWERING TIME, FT) and Bo6G120900 (TWIN SISTER OF FT, TSF).

Genome sequencing of the Jersey kale yielded 1,092,319,676 forward and reverse reads (150bp). Mapped against the TO1000DH3, this resulted in an assembly covering 87.6% of the reference genome with an average depth of 170.6x. Variant calling on this assembly produced a total of about $7.5×10^6$ raw variants of all types (i.e. including indels and polymorphisms longer than 1bp). The SnpEff analysis, which assessed the impact of variants in *Arabidopsis* flowering time pathway homologs, returned results of comparable magnitude as obtained in previous research in the *B. oleracea* cultivar 'Kashirka' [18]. For example, most gene copies were affected by, at least, non-synonymous SNPs, and much fewer of those by splicing variation or indels causing frameshifts. Similarly to 'Kashirka', one copy of FT and one of FLC had indels, while the CO copies had non-synonymous SNPs but no indels. The detailed results of the analysis are available in Supplementary Table 4.

**DISCUSSION**

Our analysis was somewhat complicated by the proliferation of flowering time cohorts (four bulks, where BSA studies typically consider only the two extremes of the trait value distribution) and the commensurate increase in bulk contrasts to consider ($n(n$-1$)/2$ working out to six contrasts for four bulks). Another complication was the right censoring in waiting time till flowering for bulk NF ("non-flowering"): as three plants in this bulk flowered after the point of DNA extraction while others never did, the bulk is a mixture of very late flowering and non-flowering genotypes. Nevertheless, our results showed consistency across all comparisons in the discovered QTL regions and the GO terms the genes in these regions enriched.

A modestly interesting result was that the extremes in the numbers of enriched GO terms loosely corresponded with the magnitude of the difference in trait values between bulks in a comparison: greater differences in flowering time enriched more terms, smaller differences fewer. For our present purposes, the increase in the number of enriched terms with greater trait differences constituted a loss in precision: as bulks are more different in flowering time, differences in the onset of contingent developmental processes (e.g. seed maturation) have a chance to manifest as well, clouding the picture and yielding more GO terms.

Our key finding was that the iterative pruning of the enriched GO subgraphs substantially reduced the number of candidate QTLs and genes in the result set. We first focused on the upper-level term GO:0003006 (*developmental process involved in reproduction*) and then zoomed in further on nodes subtended by its descendant GO:0010228 (*vegetative to reproductive phase transition of meristem*). This progression was discovered from the data and should therefore be transferrable to other systems without prior knowledge of the underlying genetics or annotations. As a result of this approach, we reduced the number of candidate genes from 10,469 to a final set of 29 genes resulting from the g:Profiler analysis. Considering that these genes include those previously established as key in regulating flowering time (both in their being homologous to those in *Arabidopsis* and in their variation between *Brassica* cultivars [18]), we view our approach as a powerful complement to existing workflows in processing BSA results.

A potential weakness is that the technique's usefulness hinges on the quality of genome annotations and KEGG pathways: without the combination of good functional characterization (often inferred from homology) and a known background against which to

perform the hypergeometric tests, gene set enrichment analyses cannot work. In practice, this

means that the technique will be most applicable to well-studied model organisms or

reasonably close relatives of *Arabidopsis*.

Previous research in flowering time in *B. oleracea* cultivars used the pathway in *Arabidopsis*

as the backbone on which to map participating gene copies and their variants [18], making

different cultivars and species more easily comparable. As a result, we established similar

patterns of variation in the Jersey kale genome as previously have been found in the

'Kashirka' cultivar. However, with this approach, the importance of additional genes outside

the homologous pathway is never discovered. In contrast, our approach also uncovered the

role of Bo6G120900 (TWIN SISTER OF FT, TSF). As such, the technique we present here is

at least complementary, and especially useful in exploring less well-characterized pathways

and discovering participating genes.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We performed a bulk segregant analysis (BSA) across four cohorts of a cross between the

Jersey kale and the *B. oleracea* model TO1000DH3 phenotyped on flowering time. The data

we collected consisted of high throughput sequencing reads, which we analyzed using

standard tools for identifying QTLs in pairwise BSA comparisons. This resulted in numerous

regions throughout the genome, though concentrated at loci known from previous research in

flowering time regulation and consistent across pairwise comparisons. To reduce the set of

candidate loci to more manageable dimensions, we developed an approach that limits the

result set by focusing on genes annotated with terms contained within relevant subgraphs of

the Gene Ontology. This reduced the resulting gene set from tens of thousands to dozens of

candidate genes. A further enrichment test led to the pathway for circadian rhythm in plants.

The genes that enriched this pathway are attested from previous research as being involved in

regulating flowering time, and some of these genes were also identified as having functionally

significant variation compared to *Arabidopsis*. As such, we validated and confirmed our

ontology-mediated results through a more targeted, homology-based approach. However, the

ontology-mediated approach produced additional genes of putative importance, showing that

the approach aids in exploration and discovery. We view our method as potentially applicable

to the study of other complex traits and therefore make our workflows available as open-

source code and a reusable Docker container.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**

The supplementary files referred to in the manuscript body are contained in an archive that

additionally holds an extended methods section with links to all other outputs of this project.

The archive is located at 10.5281/zenodo.5182315

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors are grateful for the institutional support and funding provided to this project by

Naturalis Biodiversity Center through internal project grant 077 LENS and the Institute of

Biology Leiden of Leiden University. Lab support for DNA sequencing was provided by Elza

Duijm of Naturalis. Some of the SnpEff analyses were performed by Esther Kockelmans, Rik

Frijmann, Nino Vrolijk and Daphne van Ginneken of the University of Applied Sciences

Leiden.

**REFERENCES**

1. Michelmore RW, Paran I, Kesseli R V. Identification of markers linked to disease-resistance genes by bulked segregant analysis: A rapid method to detect markers in specific genomic regions by using segregating populations. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1991;88:9828–32. doi:10.1073/pnas.88.21.9828.

2. Giovannoni JJ, Wing RA, Ganal MW, Tanksley SD. Isolation of molecular markers from specific chromosomal intervals using DNA pools from existing mapping populations. Nucleic Acids Res. 1991;19:6553–68. doi:10.1093/nar/19.23.6553.

3. Takagi H, Abe A, Yoshida K, Kosugi S, Natsume S, Mitsuoka C, et al. QTL-seq: rapid mapping of quantitative trait loci in rice by whole genome resequencing of DNA from two bulked populations. Plant J. 2013;74:174–83. doi:10.1111/tpj.12105.

4. Magwene PM, Willis JH, Kelly JK. The statistics of bulk segregant analysis using next generation sequencing. PLoS Comput Biol. 2011;7.

5. Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, et al. Gene ontology: Tool for the unification of biology. Nature Genetics. 2000;25:25–9.

6. Kanehisa M, Goto S, Kawashima S, Okuno Y, Hattori M. The KEGG resource for deciphering the genome. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004;32 DATABASE ISS.:D277–80. doi:10.1093/nar/gkh063.

7. Fisher ML, Ciavattone N, Grun D, Adhikary G, Eckert RL. Sulforaphane reduces YAP/ΔNp63α signaling to reduce cancer stem cell survival and tumor formation. Oncotarget. 2017;8:73407–18. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.20562.

8. Wang X, Wang H, Wang J, Sun R, Wu J, Liu S, et al. The genome of the mesopolyploid crop species Brassica rapa. Nat Genet. 2011;43:1035–40.

9. Chalhoub B, Denoeud F, Liu S, Parkin IAP, Tang H, Wang X, et al. Early allopolyploid evolution in the post-neolithic Brassica napus oilseed genome. Science (80- ). 2014;345:950–

3.

10. Yang J, Liu D, Wang X, Ji C, Cheng F, Liu B, et al. The genome sequence of allopolyploid Brassica juncea and analysis of differential homoeolog gene expression influencing selection. Nat Genet. 2016;48:1225–32. doi:10.1038/ng.3657.

11. Parkin IAP, Koh C, Tang H, Robinson SJ, Kagale S, Clarke WE, et al. Transcriptome and methylome profiling reveals relics of genome dominance in the mesopolyploid Brassica oleracea. Genome Biol. 2014;15.

12. Liu S, Liu Y, Yang X, Tong C, Edwards D, Parkin IAP, et al. The Brassica oleracea genome reveals the asymmetrical evolution of polyploid genomes. Nat Commun. 2014;5:3930. doi:10.1038/ncomms4930.

13. Lysak MA, Koch MA, Pecinka A, Schubert I. Chromosome triplication found across the tribe Brassiceae. Genome Res. 2005;15:516–25.

14. Mun JH, Kwon SJ, Yang TJ, Seol YJ, Jin M, Kim JA, et al. Genome-wide comparative analysis of the Brassica rapa gene space reveals genome shrinkage and differential loss of duplicated genes after whole genome triplication. Genome Biol. 2009;10.

15. Town CD, Cheung F, Maiti R, Crabtree J, Haas BJ, Wortman JR, et al. Comparative genomics of Brassica oleracea and Arabidopsis thaliana reveal gene loss, fragmentation, and dispersal after polyploidy. Plant Cell. 2006;18:1348–59. doi:10.1105/tpc.106.041665.

16. Schranz EM, Mohammadin S, Edger PP. Ancient whole genome duplications, novelty and diversification: The WGD Radiation Lag-Time Model. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2012;15:147–53. doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2012.03.011.

17. Osborn TC, Kole C, Parkin IAP, Sharpe AG, Kuiper M, Lydiate DJ, et al. Comparison of flowering time genes in Brassica rapa, B. napus and Arabidopsis thaliana. Genetics. 1997;146:1123–9.

18. Schiessl S V., Huettel B, Kuehn D, Reinhardt R, Snowdon RJ. Flowering time gene

variation in Brassica species shows evolutionary principles. Front Plant Sci. 2017;8:1742.

doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.01742.

19. Parker S, Cox GS. The giant cabbage of the Channel Islands. Guernsey hist Monogr.

1970;10.

20. Prendergast HD V., Rumball N. Walking sticks as seed savers - The case of the Jersey

kale [Brassica oleracea L. Convar. Acephala (DC.) Alef. Var. Viridis L.]. Econ Bot.

2000;54:141–3.

21. Li H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM.

2013. http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3997. Accessed 3 Sep 2019.

22. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The Sequence

Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics. 2009;25:2078–9.

23. McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, et al. The

genome analysis toolkit: A MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA

sequencing data. Genome Res. 2010;20:1297–303.

24. Depristo MA, Banks E, Poplin R, Garimella K V., Maguire JR, Hartl C, et al. A

framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing

data. Nat Genet. 2011;43:491–501.

25. Mansfeld BN, Grumet R. QTLseqr: An R Package for Bulk Segregant Analysis with

Next-Generation Sequencing. Plant Genome. 2018;11:180006.

doi:10.3835/plantgenome2018.01.0006.

26. Schneider M, Lane L, Boutet E, Lieberherr D, Tognolli M, Bougueleret L, et al. The

UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot knowledgebase and its Plant Proteome Annotation Program. J

Proteomics. 2009;72:567–73.

27. Smedley D, Haider S, Ballester B, Holland R, London D, Thorisson G, et al. BioMart –

biological queries made easy. BMC Genomics. 2009;10:22. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-10-22.

28. Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Bioinformatics enrichment tools: paths toward

the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009;37:1–13.

doi:10.1093/nar/gkn923.

29. Du Z, Zhou X, Ling Y, Zhang Z, Su Z. agriGO: A GO analysis toolkit for the agricultural

community. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010;38 SUPPL. 2.

30. Conesa A, Götz S. Blast2GO: A comprehensive suite for functional analysis in plant

genomics. Int J Plant Genomics. 2008;2008:1–12. doi:10.1155/2008/619832.

31. Götz S, Arnold R, Sebastián-León P, Martín-Rodríguez S, Tischler P, Jehl MA, et al.

B2G-FAR, a species-centered GO annotation repository. Bioinformatics. 2011;27:919–24.

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr059.

32. Benjamini Y, Yekutieli D. The control of the false discovery rate in multiple testing under

dependency. Ann Stat. 2001;29:1165–88.

33. Reimand J, Arak T, Adler P, Kolberg L, Reisberg S, Peterson H, et al. g:Profiler—a web

server for functional interpretation of gene lists (2016 update). Nucleic Acids Res.

2016;44:W83–9. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw199.

34. Cingolani P, Platts A, Wang LL, Coon M, Nguyen T, Wang L, et al. A program for

annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in

the genome of Drosophila melanogaster strain w1118; iso-2; iso-3. Fly (Austin). 2012;6:80–

92. doi:10.4161/fly.19695.

1 **TABLES**

| Phenotype | Bulk size | Total read bases (bp) | Total reads | GC (%) | Q20 (%) | Q30 (%) | Coverage a, b | Variants |
|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|-------------|--------|---------|---------|---------------|----------|
| *EF* | 11 | 60,303,831,724 | 399,363,124 | 36.93 | 95.02 | 89.25 | 123, 108 | 40,224,519 |
| *IF* | 8 | 56,804,209,216 | 376,186,816 | 36.92 | 94.84 | 88.94 | 116, 103 | 43,785,856 |
| *LF* | 11 | 54,587,863,530 | 361,509,030 | 37.14 | 96.00 | 91.34 | 112, 100 | 42,852,937 |
| *NF* | 9 | 54,296,890,456 | 359,582,056 | 37.13 | 96.84 | 92.81 | 111, 99 | 42,213,427 |

3 **Table 1**. Summary results of the sequencing of bulks of early (EF), intermediate (IF), late

4 (LF) and "non" flowering (NF, actually not flowering at time of DNA extraction) phenotypes.

5 Bulk size refers to the number of individuals pooled for that phenotype. Coverage is given as

6 a) total read bases divided by reference genome size; and b) average mapped coverage.

1	**FIGURES**

**Figure 1** – phenotyping results and assignment to bulks. F1 individuals were assigned to one

of four bulks: Early Flowering (EF), Intermediate Flowering (IF), Late Flowering (LF) or

Non-Flowering (NF). Of the latter, three individuals (late bloomers) flowered anyway, after

DNA extraction.

2 **Figure 2** – 'circos' plot of QTL regions. Six concentric heatmaps show QTLs identified for

3 the six possible contrasts among the four bulks. The QTLs are mapped on the annotated

4 TO1000DH3 reference, showing the locations of flowering time genes previously identified

5 for *B. oleracea* [18]. Centromeres indicated in orange.

**Figure 3** – genes annotated to GO subgraph terms. Each box represents a GO term subtended

by GO:0003006. Within each box, the six bulk contrasts for four bulks (i.e. EF ↔ IF, EF ↔

LF, EF ↔ NF; IF ↔ LF, IF ↔ NF; and LF ↔ NF) are shown as squares. Each square shows

the number of genes annotated with that term for that contrast. The color coding corresponds

with the significance of the enrichment as an inverse heatmap, i.e. the smaller $p$, the hotter the

tint.

**Figure 4** – g:Profiler results for the pruned subgraph. In this analysis, the genes (the columns

labeled with BO gene IDs) annotated by GO:0010228 are used as input. In consequence, the

GO terms above this term (both in this table and topologically in the GO graph, here shown

with gray background) are heavily enriched. Below it are descendant terms that are also

significantly enriched. Blue cells indicate which genes contribute to the enrichment. g:Profiler

also returns enriched pathways. In this case this is only – but importantly – "KEGG:04712,

Circadian rhythm – plant".