1

Rampant transposition following RNAi loss causes hypermutation and antifungal drug

resistance in clinical isolates of a human fungal pathogen

Shelby J. Priest[1], Vikas Yadav[1†], Cullen Roth[2,3†], Tim A. Dahlmann[4], Ulrich Kück[4], Paul M.

Magwene[2], and Joseph Heitman[1]*

[1] Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

[2] Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

[3] University Program in Genetics and Genomics, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

[4] Allgemeine und Molekulare Botanik, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Bochum, Germany

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

24 Short title: RNAi loss and transposition cause hypermutation in *Cryptococcus*

26 * Corresponding author

27 Email: heitm001@duke.edu (JH)

Abstract

Microorganisms survive and compete by stochastically acquiring mutations that enhance

fitness. Although increased mutation rates are often deleterious in multicellular organisms,

hypermutation can be beneficial for microbes experiencing strong selective pressures. Infections

caused by *Cryptococcus neoformans* are responsible for ~15% of AIDS-related deaths and

associated with high mortality rates, attributable to a dearth of antifungal drugs and drug

resistance. We identified two hypermutator *C. neoformans* clinical isolates in which Cnl1

transposon insertions were responsible for drug resistance. Whole-genome sequencing revealed

both hypermutator genomes harbor a nonsense mutation in the RNAi component *ZNF3* and

hundreds of Cnl1 elements organized into massive subtelomeric arrays on every

chromosome. QTL mapping identified a significant locus associated with hypermutation that

included *znf3*. CRISPR-mediated editing of the *znf3* nonsense mutation abolished hypermutation

and restored siRNA production. In sum, hypermutation and drug resistance in these isolates

results from RNAi loss and a significant burden of Cnl1 elements.

Introduction

Stochastic mutations and genomic rearrangements provide variation in populations for natural selection to act upon and enable evolution. However, genetic changes are a double-edged sword: too little variation can lead to evolutionary stagnation, while too much can lead to a lethal accumulation of deleterious mutations. Hypermutation, one extreme of this mutational spectrum, can lead to adaptation, disease, or eventual extinction if left unchecked.

Microbes are known to adopt highly mutable states that would normally be viewed as deleterious in multicellular organisms. Studies have found that microorganisms with defects in pathways associated with genomic integrity, such as those involved in chromosome stability, DNA mismatch repair, DNA damage repair, and cell cycle checkpoints associated with recognizing DNA damage, accelerate adaptation to environmental stressors[1–3]. These defects can be beneficial in the short term, yet deleterious in the long term as mutations continue to accumulate. Defects in DNA mismatch repair resulting in increased mutation rates have been reported in fungi, including the model yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, the human pathogen *Candida glabrata*, an outbreak strain of *Cryptococcus deuterogattii*, and several clinical isolates of the model basidiomycete human fungal pathogen *Cryptococcus neoformans*[4–11]. Genomic stability in pathogenic *Cryptococcus* species is also significantly affected by karyotypic changes and transposable elements, both of which can mediate antifungal drug resistance[12–16].

Cryptococcus is an environmentally ubiquitous haploid basidiomycete and facultative human pathogen[17]. Approximately 95% of cryptococcal infections are attributable to the serotype A group, *C. neoformans* var. *grubii*, now known as *C. neoformans*, which is divided into four lineages: VNI, VNII, VNBI, and VNBII[18–20]. This species primarily infects immunocompromised individuals and accounts for ~15% of HIV/AIDS-related deaths[21]. The

threat of cryptococcal infections is exacerbated because of the limited antifungal drug arsenal.

Amphotericin B, a fungicidal polyene, is often used in combination with 5-flucytosine (5-FC), an

antimetabolite, as a first-line treatment strategy for cryptococcal infections[22,23]. Unfortunately,

amphotericin B and 5-FC have undesirable side effects, and 5-FC monotherapy frequently leads

to resistance[24–27]. Fluconazole is used to treat asymptomatic patients with isolated cryptococcal

antigenemia, those with disease limited to lung nodules or central nervous system infections after

clearance of cerebrospinal fluid cultures, or for chronic maintenance therapy[22]. However, *C.*

neoformans frequently develops resistance to fluconazole via aneuploidy, particularly

Chromosome 1 disomy, or mutations in the sterol biosynthesis pathway, contributing to recurrent

infections[12,28–30]. The limited number of drugs available to treat cryptococcosis, prevalence of

resistance and recurrent infections, and difficulty in developing novel antifungal therapies

combine to make *C. neoformans* drug resistance an important clinical problem.

Transposons in the C. *neoformans* H99 reference strain and the sister species

Cryptococcus deneoformans JEC21 reference strain have been characterized[14,31,32]. Their

genomes encode many retrotransposons both with and without long-terminal repeats, known as

LTR retrotransposons and non-LTR retrotransposons, respectively. These retrotransposons move

via a copy-and-paste mechanism, allowing them to proliferate throughout the genome if

unchecked. The most well-characterized *Cryptococcus* LTR-retrotransposons are Tcn1 through

Tcn6, which primarily localize in centromeres[33]. The *C. deneoformans* JEC21 genome also

encodes three DNA transposons (T1, T2, and T3), as well as ~25 copies of the non-LTR

retrotransposon Cnl1 (*C. neoformans* LINE-1), which is thought to associate with telomeric

repeat sequences[14,15,34]. In the *C. neoformans* H99 genome, there are no full-length copies of

Cnl1 or DNA transposons[14].

Studies have illustrated that transposon silencing in *Cryptococcus* is governed by RNA

interference (RNAi) through three primary lines of evidence: 1) small interfering RNAs map

predominantly to transposons, 2) RNAi mutants show increased transposon expression, and 3)

spliceosomes stall on transposon transcripts at an unusually high rate, triggering RNAi[14,35–39].

Other mechanisms thought to regulate *Cryptococcus* transposons include 5-methylcytosine DNA

methylation[33,40,41] and heterochromatic marks[42]. Interestingly, the outbreak species *C.*

deuterogattii is RNAi deficient due to the truncation or loss of many genes encoding RNAi

components[37]. This loss of RNAi is associated with loss of all functional transposable elements,

consequently shorter centromeres, and higher rates of intron retention[33,43].

Here, we identified two clinical, hypermutator *C. neoformans* isolates with significantly

increased mutation rates on media containing the antifungals rapamycin and FK506. The

majority of drug resistance in these two strains was mediated by Cnl1 transposon insertions.

Genetic backcrossing, quantitative trait loci mapping, and CRISPR-mediated gene editing

confirmed that a nonsense mutation in the RNAi component *ZNF3*, resulting in RNAi loss, is the

cause of hypermutation in these strains. Small RNA sequencing confirmed the role of Znf3 in

silencing Cn1l, and whole-genome sequencing revealed both hypermutator genomes encode

>800 Cnl1 copies or fragments. This is the first time full-length copies of Cnl1 have been

identified in *C. neoformans*, and the massive Cnl1 burden in these hypermutators is substantially

higher than previously observed in any other *Cryptococcus* strain. Our results demonstrate the

hypermutator phenotype described here is attributable to loss of RNAi, allowing rampant

transposition of Cnl1. These transposition events lead to Cnl1 accumulation at subtelomeres and

movement to novel genomic locations, which can result in drug resistance.

Results

Identification of two clinical, hypermutator *C. neoformans* isolates

To identify natural isolates of *C. neoformans* with increased mutation rates, we utilized a collection of 387 *C. neoformans* strains from all lineages (VNI, VNII, VNBI, VNBII), including geographically diverse clinical and environmental isolates of both mating types. For each isolate in this collection, whole-genome sequencing and phylogenetic relationships are available[20]. Isolates were qualitatively screened for increased mutation rates in a relatively high-throughput manner on medium containing either 5-fluorocytosine (5-FC) or a combination of FK506 and rapamycin (immunosuppressants that bind FKBP12 to form complexes that inhibit activity of calcineurin and TOR, respectively) by evaluating their ability to generate resistant colonies (Figure 1A)[44–47]. For this initial screen, 5-FC was chosen due to its clinical relevance and because genes in which mutations can cause resistance are known[48,49]. Rapamycin and FK506 were utilized because 1) mutations in only a single gene can mediate resistance to both drugs, and 2) our extensive experience with these drugs, including studies on targets and mechanism of action[50]. Strains that produced more spontaneously resistant colonies on average than the *C. neoformans* H99 reference strain were categorized as hypermutator candidates. We screened 186 strains and identified 36 hypermutator candidates (Table S1). All but one of fourteen (93%) environmental isolates screened were hypermutator candidates (compared to only 14% (23/169) of clinical isolates, *p*-value = 1.1×10^{-9}, one-sided Fisher's exact test). Two previously identified hypermutator strains with mismatch repair defects, C23 and C45, were identified as hypermutator candidates[9].

We chose to focus on two clinical strains, Bt65 and Bt81, that produced the most rapamycin + FK506-resistant ($R+F^R$) colonies (Figure 1A). Bt65 and Bt81 are both VNBII

Figure 1. Hypermutation in Bt65 and Bt81 is driven primarily by the insertion of Cnl1 into *FRR1*.
(A) Generation of spontaneously resistant colonies on YPD + rapamycin + FK506 medium was utilized to identify hypermutator candidates; pictures of representative plates are shown. Strains include the phylogenetically closely related strains involved in fluctuation assay in B as well as positive (*msh2Δ*) and negative (H99) controls. **(B)** Mutation rates of closely related VNBII strains and controls on YPD + rapamycin + FK506. Bars represent the mutation rate and error bars represent 95% confidence intervals; mutation rates represent the number of mutations per cell per generation. Schematic depicts the phylogenetic relationships of all strains included in fluctuation analyses based on Desjardins et al. 2017[20]. Mutational spectra in *FRR1* in YPD + rapamycin + FK506-resistant colonies of H99, Bt65, and Bt81 as characterized by **(C)** gel electrophoresis and Sanger sequencing of *FRR1* PCR products. MicroINDELs are defined as insertions or deletions < 50 bp. All mutations are relative to the appropriate rapamycin + FK506-sensitive parental strain.

*MAT***a** strains from different HIV-positive individuals in Botswana[20,51]. To quantify the mutation

rates of Bt65 and Bt81, we performed fluctuation assays on YPD + rapamycin +FK506 (R+F),

YNB + 5-fluoroorotic acid (5-FOA), and YNB + 5-FC media. Both Bt65 and Bt81 produced

significantly higher mutation rates on R+F compared to H99 and eleven of the most closely

phylogenetically related strains (Figure 1B). On 5-FC, only NRHc5014.ENR and the KN99α

*msh2*Δ positive control[52,53] had significantly higher mutation rates than H99 (Figure S1A); on 5-

FOA (Figure S1B), only KN99α *msh2*Δ produced a significantly higher mutation rate.

A recent study illustrated how incubation at an elevated temperature of 37°C results in

increased mutation rates due to transposon mobilization in the closely related species *C.*

deneoformans[15]. To determine if elevated temperature contributed to hypermutation in Bt65 and

Bt81, we concurrently grew these strains as well as wild-type H99 and *msh2*Δ, *ago1*Δ, and

*rdp1*Δ deletion mutants overnight at 30°C and 37°C. Fluctuation analysis on R+F medium

revealed Bt81 had a significantly lower mutation rate when grown overnight at 37°C compared

to 30°C, and Bt65 showed no significant decrease in mutation rate after growth at 37°C; all other

strains did not show significant changes (Figure S2). These results suggest that unlike *C.*

deneoformans, growth at higher temperature does not contribute to or exacerbate hypermutation

in Bt65 and Bt81.

Characterization of mutation spectra in *C. neoformans* hypermutator strains

We next investigated the types of mutations conferring resistance to the combination of

rapamycin and FK506. PCR amplification of the *FRR1* gene (encodes FKBP12, the shared target

of rapamycin and FK506 and only gene in which mutations confer resistance to both drugs)

followed by gel electrophoresis revealed the expected wild-type product size for all but two

(35/37) H99 R+F^R colonies; the remaining two produced products smaller than expected,

indicative of deletions (Figure S3A). In contrast, large insertions of various sizes were observed

in the majority of Bt65 and Bt81 R+F^R colonies (62/77 and 36/37, respectively) (Figure 1C and

S3B). Only one resistant colony derived from a non-hypermutator strain, Bt84 (1/10 independent

colonies), had an insertion in *FRR1*. No insertions in *FRR1* were observed in any other closely

related or control strains.

We subsequently sequenced *FRR1* in H99, Bt65, Bt81, and Bt84 R+F^R colonies to

determine the genetic changes responsible for the varying PCR product sizes (Figure 1C). In 37

H99 R+F^R colonies, SNPs in *FRR1* were largely responsible for resistance (57%, 21/37

colonies), while resistance in the remaining colonies was attributable to small ($\leq$ 50 bp)

insertions/deletions (microINDELs; 38%, 14/37) or large deletions (5%, 2/37). Conversely, in

the hypermutator Bt65, insertions of the non-LTR retrotransposon Cnl1 were responsible for the

majority of rapamycin + FK506 resistance (77.4%, 24/31). Rapamycin + FK506 resistance in the

remaining Bt65 colonies was either due to SNPs (19.4%, 6/31) or microINDELs (3.2%, 1/31). In

all sequenced PCR products from Bt81 R+F^R colonies, Cnl1 insertions were responsible for

resistance (27/27 colonies). Cnl1 insertions in Bt65 and Bt81 ranged from 54 to ~3500 bp, and

this range in insertion sizes is a common characteristic of non-LTR retrotransposons. The single

FRR1 insertion observed in Bt84 had no homology with any annotated *Cryptococcus* transposons

but was identified as a repetitive element by RepeatMasker and shared minor homology with a

Copia-58 BG-I transposon.

5-FC- and 5-FOA-resistant colonies of Bt65, Bt81, and H99 were similarly characterized

to determine the sources of resistance to antifungal drugs with different mechanisms of action.

Resistance to 5-FOA is conferred by mutations in the *URA3* or *URA5* genes of the uracil

biosynthesis pathway[54,55]. Among the H99, Bt65, and Bt81 5-FOA^R colonies sequenced,

mutations were only identified in *URA5*. In almost all colonies, resistance was conferred by

SNPs or INDELs, and only one Cnl1 insertion was identified in a Bt81 5-FOA^R colony (Figure

S3C). We also PCR amplified genes in which mutations are known to confer resistance to 5-FC,

including *FUR1* and *UXS1*[48]. Of the 5-FC^R isolates analyzed from H99, Bt65, and Bt81, PCR

and sequencing revealed Cnl1 insertions in *FUR1* in two 5-FC^R Bt65 isolates and five 5-FC^R

Bt81 isolates (Figure S3D). Cnl1 insertions into UXS1 were also observed to confer 5-FC

resistance in one 5-FC^R isolate from both Bt65 and Bt81 (Figure S3E).

Analysis of the Cnl1 insertions observed to confer resistance to R+F, 5-FC, and 5-FOA

revealed Cnl1 preferentially inserts at guanine- and cytosine-rich regions of target genes, a

known property of this element[32]. Target-site duplication sequences flanking Cnl1 insertions

were not present in many instances, but when present, ranged from 1 to 12 bp in length. Cnl1

insertions ranged greatly in size, from 25-bp fragments to full-length Cnl1 copies (3,494 bp). The

smallest Cnl1 insertion (25 bp) was followed immediately by a 59-bp deletion in *FRR1*. Of the

51 characterized Cnl1 *FRR1* insertions, 27 were in the 5' UTR (26/27 were oriented 5' to 3', the

same orientation as *FRR1* transcription), 23 were in exons (7 oriented 5' to 3', 16 oriented 3' to

5'), and one insertion was in an intron of *FRR1* in the 3' to 5' orientation, potentially disrupting

splicing or transcription.

QTL mapping identifies loci that significantly contribute to the hypermutator phenotype

To determine the genetic cause of the hypermutator phenotype and rampant transposition

in Bt65 and Bt81 and to determine the genetic consequences of this phenotype, we conducted

quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping. For this purpose, a total of 165 basidiospores were

dissected from a genetic cross between Bt65 *MAT***a** and an H99 *crg1Δ MAT*α mutant with an

enhanced mating phenotype[56], and 47 F_1 progeny germinated (28%).

Twenty-eight Bt65**a** x H99α F_1 progeny were selected for fluctuation analysis and whole-

genome sequencing. Alignment of the paired-read Illumina sequencing data from the 28 F_1

progeny identified 215,411 bi-allelic SNPs that were utilized for QTL mapping. For 24 of the

segregants as well as for the Bt65 and H99 *crg1Δ* parental strains, the mutation rate on

rapamycin + FK506 medium served as the phenotype for association tests (Figure 2A). Across

the 14 chromosomes and bi-allelic SNP sites, two QTL with large effect (heritability = 64%)

were identified at approximately 919-1,120 kb on Chromosome 3 (Chr3) and 987-1,193 kb on

Chr11 (Figure 2B and S4). Analysis of these QTLs revealed that the SNPs in each QTL were co-

segregating and that they shared the same distributions of phenotype scores (Figure S4 and S5).

The borders of the QTL spanning Chr3 and Chr11 were determined by calculating 95%

confidence intervals and examining recombination break points along each chromosome.

Interestingly, these two QTLs span the chromosomal translocation between Chr3 and Chr11 that

is unique to H99 (Figure S4, S5, S6). We thus treated these QTLs as the same QTL for

subsequent analysis.

Within the QTL there are a total of 108 and 85 genes along Chr3 and Chr11, respectively,

and for 82 and 77 of these genes (respectively), the published annotation and SNP data was used

to characterize differences in predicted protein sequence and expected protein lengths between

the H99 and Bt65 parental strains (Figure S4 and Table S2). Among these, 71 and 60 genes

along Chr3 and Chr11, respectively, have at least one predicted nonsynonymous change in

protein sequence, seven of which harbor a predicted nonsense (i.e. stop-gain) or stop-loss

Figure 2. QTL analysis of hypermutator phenotype. (A) Quantification of mutation rates on YPD + rapamycin + FK506 medium – sorted smallest to largest, left to right – for F_1 progeny and parental strains, H99 *crg1Δ* and Bt65. Inheritance of the Bt65 *znf3* allele or H99 *crg1Δ ZNF3* allele in F_1 progeny is indicated above mutation rates. Colored bar plots and vertical black lines depict the mean mutation rate and associated 95% confidence intervals (CI) per segregant. Mutation rates represent the number of mutations per cell per generation. **(B)** Manhattan plot showing the strength in association (y-axis) between bi-allelic SNPs and hypermutator phenotype, across the 14 chromosomes (x-axis). Colors separate SNPs across chromosomes. The permutation-based significance threshold ($\alpha = 0.01$) is depicted with a horizontal dashed line. **(C)** Predicted *ZNF3* gene and Znf3 protein models in H99 and Bt65. A grey horizontal bar depicts the gene body in the upper panel, and larger grey rectangles represent exons; the gene is depicted 5' to 3' and is 5417 nt in length. The locations of SNPs differing

between Bt65 and H99 are shown by vertical black rungs along the gene model. Amino acids specified by mRNA codons in the indicated region of *ZNF3* exon 1 (nucleotides 364 to 411) are shown for H99 and Bt65 to illustrate the effect of the C to T mutation (nucleotide 409) predicted to cause a nonsense mutation in Bt65. The bottom panel depicts the predicted impact of the nonsense mutation on the Znf3 protein in Bt65. White rectangles along the protein schematic depict the three C2H2-type zinc finger domains of Znf3.

mutation. One of these genes is *ZNF3* (CNAG_02700), which encodes a C2H2 type zinc finger

protein with three zinc finger domains. Znf3 was previously identified as an RNAi silencing

component that localizes to P-bodies and whose mutation results in increased expression of

transposable elements[37,38]. *ZNF3* is located on Chr3 and has a SNP – C to T – within the first

exon in the Bt65 genetic background, which is predicted to cause a nonsense mutation, severely

truncating Znf3 from 1,561 amino acids to only 96 amino acids (Figure 2C). In addition, this

nonsense mutation may also result in nonsense-mediated mRNA decay of the mutant *znf3*

mRNA. Based on the publicly available whole-genome sequencing of all isolates in the SDC, the

znf3 nonsense mutation in exon 1 is unique to Bt65 and Bt81 and not present in any other strain.

Another gene of known function within the QTL encodes a long-chain acyl-CoA synthetase

(CNAG_01836, Chr11) and a SNP – G to A – within the last exon of this gene is predicted to

cause an early nonsense mutation in the Bt65 background (Figure S7). Given the dramatic

difference in the predicted protein length of *ZNF3* between the H99 and Bt65 parental alleles

(relative to other genes in this QTL with predicted stop-loss or nonsense mutations), and

previous studies demonstrating the role of Znf3 in RNAi and transposon silencing, we

hypothesized *ZNF3* could be the quantitative trait gene (QTG) and the SNP leading to the

predicted stop gain in the first exon could be the quantitative trait nucleotide (QTN) underlying

the hypermutation phenotype[37,38].

Few Bt81 F₁ progeny display a hypermutator phenotype

Forty-two F_1 progeny were also derived from a genetic cross between the other

hypermutator strain, Bt81**a,** and H99α *crg1Δ*. The *ZNF3* alleles of all Bt81 F_1 progeny were

sequenced to determine whether each progeny had inherited the non-functional Bt81 *znf3* allele

or the functional H99 *ZNF3* allele. Of the 42 F_1 progeny, only four inherited the mutant *znf3*

allele from Bt81, a significantly lower number than expected based on Mendelian inheritance

patterns (chi-square test, *p*-value < 0.01). The four progeny with non-functional Bt81 *znf3* alleles

had the highest mutation rates of 18 F_1 progeny that were analyzed (Figure S8). However, three

of the *znf3* progeny had mutation rates that were not significantly higher than those of the Bt81

ZNF3 progeny and were also not as high as would be expected based on results from the Bt65**a** x

H99α F_1 progeny (Figure 2A).

Cnl1 elements are organized into subtelomeric arrays in hypermutator genomes

For all strains in the SDC, including Bt65 and Bt81, only short-read whole-genome

sequencing data was available[20]. Because of the known difficulties in assembling repetitive

elements, such as Cnl1, with short-read sequencing data, we conducted long-read whole-genome

sequencing with the Oxford Nanopore Technologies MinION to generate more complete

assemblies for Bt65, Bt81, and two of the most closely phylogenetically related non-

hypermutator strains, Bt89 and Bt133. With long-read sequencing data, we assembled

chromosome-level genomes for all four strains. In the assemblies, we observed the known

chromosomal translocation between Chr3 and Chr11 unique to H99[57] and identified a

translocation between Chr1 and Chr13 unique to Bt65 and Bt81 (Figure S6). These two gross

chromosomal rearrangements explain the relatively low germination frequency (28%) of Bt65**a** x

H99α F_1 progeny because each translocation should decrease germination by ~50%.

         Analysis of the genomes of Bt65 and Bt81 revealed large arrays of the Cnl1 transposon at

     all but one end of each of the 14 linear chromosomes (27/28 subtelomeric regions in Bt65 and

     28/28 in Bt81) (Figure 3A, 3B). The assembled Cnl1 arrays (defined as ≥ 2 Cnl1 copies) in Bt65

Figure 3. Retrotransposon content in the genomes of H99, Bt65, and Bt81. Distributions of the Tcn1 through Tcn6 LTR-retrotransposons and the Cnl1 non-LTR retrotransposon in subtelomeric and centromeric regions of **(A)** Bt65, **(B)** Bt81, and **(C)** H99 genomes depicted in Figure S6. In Bt65 and Bt81, 80 kb of subtelomeric regions are displayed, and 50 kb subtelomeric regions are displayed for H99 to show the full distribution of subtelomeric Cnl1 elements. Subtelomeric arrays of Cnl1 are depicted at the end of each chromosome in Bt65 and Bt81, while only 7 Cnl1 elements are localized subtelomerically in H99. Shading corresponds to fragments of the Cnl1 elements, and gene arrowheads indicate the direction of transcription for all retrotransposons.

and Bt81 range from 5 kb to 80 kb in length. These highly repetitive arrays made it difficult and,

in some instances, impossible to confidently assemble telomeric repeat sequences at the ends of

each Bt65 and Bt81 chromosome. Using manual telomere extension via read mapping, we were

able to identify telomere repeats at only 20 chromosome ends in Bt65 and 13 in Bt81. In contrast

to Bt65 and Bt81, genome assemblies for Bt89 and Bt133 were assembled with telomere repeats

on all 28 chromosome ends without any manual extension (Figure S6). In these assemblies, some

telomeres had no copies of Cnl1 while others had Cnl1 arrays up to 30 kb in length (Figure S9).

Further analysis revealed the Bt65 genome harbors at least 414 fragments of Cnl1,

including 105 full-length copies, while the Bt81 genome appears to encode even more Cnl1

elements, with at least 449 fragments, including 147 full-length copies (Table 1). It is important

to note that due to incomplete ends for most chromosomes, Bt81 and Bt65 likely encode

additional, unassembled Cnl1 copies. The presence of long Cnl1 arrays in Bt65 and Bt81 was

surprising because the H99 reference strain encodes only 22 fragments of Cnl1 and no full-length

copies; *C. neoformans* was therefore not thought to harbor functional Cnl1 elements (Figure 3C)

(Table 1).

Table 1. Cnl1 burden in H99, hypermutator strains, related non-hypermutator strains, and
six Bt65 x H99 *crg1Δα* F$_1$ progeny based on Nanopore sequencing data.

Strain	Hypermutator Status	Total Cnl1 burden (>50 bp)	Full-length Cnl1 copies (>99% in length)
H99	Non-hypermutator	22	0
Bt65	Hypermutator	414	105
Bt81	Hypermutator	449	147
Bt89	Non-hypermutator	261	24
Bt133	Non-hypermutator	246	23
Progeny 2	Hypermutator	212	30
Progeny 8	Hypermutator	172	40
Progeny 14	Non-hypermutator	296	68
Progeny 18	Non-hypermutator	321	88
Progeny 20	Non-hypermutator	425	136
Progeny 34	Hypermutator	187	41

Apart from the subtelomeres, retrotransposons in *Cryptococcus* are also enriched at

centromeres, specifically the LTR retrotransposons Tcn1-Tcn6[31,33,57]. The changes in

Cnl1transposon content in Bt65 and Bt81 along with a previous study establishing a link between

RNAi loss and centromere length[33] motivated us to characterize the centromeres in Bt65, Bt81,

Bt89, and Bt133. Analysis revealed shorter centromeres on average in these isolates compared to

H99. However, this difference did not reach statistical significance (ANOVA, *p*-value = 0.153,

Figure S10 and Table S3). Many centromeres in the assessed isolates had undergone numerous

rearrangements and inversions relative to one another (Figure S11). Centromeric alterations have

also previously been observed in *C. neoformans* genetic deletion mutants lacking the canonical

RNAi components Ago1 and Rdp1[33]. Combined, these analyses suggest that while Cnl1 is more

abundant in Bt65, Bt81, Bt89, and Bt133, other retrotransposons are not substantially increased

in number compared to H99 (Figure 3 and S9).

Characterization of H99 *crg1Δ* x Bt65 F$_1$ progeny genomes reveals invasion of Cnl1

elements into naïve telomeres

Expression of transposable elements, including Cnl1, is upregulated during sexual

reproduction in *C. neoformans*[35,37,39]. To investigate how increased expression of Cnl1 during

mating impacts the genome, six progeny utilized for QTL mapping were also selected for long-

read whole-genome sequencing: three *znf3* hypermutator progeny (P2, P8, and P34) and three

ZNF3 non-hypermutator progeny (P14, P18, and P20). Long-read sequencing identified

recombination points across the progeny genomes, providing information on which regions were

inherited from either parent and confirming these were F$_1$ genetic recombinants (Figure 4).

Figure 4. Genetic recombination sites and Cnl1 distribution in Bt65 x H99 F₁ progeny.
Recombination sites along each of the 14 chromosomes for the six Bt65**a** x H99α F₁ progeny for which long-read whole-genome sequencing was conducted. Genomic loci depicted in blue were inherited from the H99 parent, and orange genomic loci were inherited from the Bt65 parent. Cnl1 elements throughout the F₁ progeny and parental genomes are indicated by black arrowheads in the upper panel. Centromeres are indicated by dark blue boxes in only the parental genomes. Hypermutator F₁ progeny are indicated with asterisks, and the *ZNF3* locus is indicated in each strain with a red arrowhead. Regions enlarged below illustrate Cnl1 subtelomeric arrays on several chromosomes and depict examples of Cnl1 array expansion (e.g. Chromosome 4, P18), contraction (e.g. Chromosome 1, P14), and invasion of naïve H99 subtelomeres (e.g. Chromosome 1, P8). Telomeric repeat sequences are indicated by black half circles only in the enlarged panels.

Surprisingly, the genome assemblies for the three *ZNF3* non-hypermutator progeny

appear to encode more full-length Cnl1 elements and fragments than the three *znf3* progeny.

However, of the three *znf3* progeny, telomeric repeat sequences were only identified at the end of

two chromosomes (2/84 telomeric ends across three progeny, 2%) (Figure S12). This is in

contrast to the 31 telomeres accurately assembled across the three *ZNF3* progeny (31/84, 37%)

(Figure S12). The smaller number of telomeres identified in *znf3* progeny suggests more Cnl1

elements may not have been accurately included, similar to the assemblies for Bt65 and Bt81.

Therefore, the Cnl1 content in Table 1 might not accurately capture the entire Cnl1 burden in

these strains.

A previous study found *ZNF3* to be a haploinsufficient gene because no progeny from a

ZNF3 x *znf3Δ* cross showed evidence of sex-induced RNAi-mediated silencing[37]. This

haploinsufficiency allowed us to analyze Cnl1 dynamics in both hypermutator and non-

hypermutator progeny. Nearly all Cnl1 arrays in the progeny showed signs of expansion and

contraction relative to Bt65, suggesting these elements are highly mobile during mating,

undergoing high levels of recombination, or both.Combined analysis of subtelomeric inheritance

patterns and Cnl1 arrays revealed Cnl1 is capable of invading naïve subtelomeres inherited from

H99, i.e. regions previously devoid of Cnl1 (Figure 4, Figure S12). In the three *znf3* progeny,

65% (28/43) of naïve subtelomeric regions inherited from H99 acquired Cnl1 copies, and arrays

in many cases. In the three *ZNF3* progeny, 81% (35/44) of naïve subtelomeres from H99 now

had Cnl1 elements. Overall, both *ZNF3* and *znf3* progeny inherited roughly equivalent numbers

of subtelomeric regions from either parent and Cnl1 invaded a majority of the naïve H99

telomeres.

Replacement of the *ZNF3* nonsense mutation significantly lowers the mutation rate and

restores siRNA production

338   The evidence presented thus far suggested the nonsense mutation in *ZNF3* unique

to Bt65 and Bt81 is responsible for the hypermutation phenotype, possibly due to compromised

RNAi silencing of Cnl1. To test this hypothesis, we used CRISPR-mediated gene editing to

restore the functional *ZNF3* allele in Bt65. Gene editing was achieved with the TRACE system[58]

and utilization of a functional *ZNF3* allele from the closely related strain Bt133, such that only

the SNP causing the nonsense mutation would be changed to the wild-type nucleotide (found in

H99 and all isolates except Bt65 and Bt81)[58]. Following transformation and selection, we

identified two independent Bt65 transformants that had successfully integrated a single copy of

the Bt133 *ZNF3* allele at the endogenous *znf3* locus, Bt65+*ZNF3-1* and Bt65+*ZNF3-2*. These

transformants were subjected to fluctuation analysis to determine if reverting the *znf3* nonsense

mutation restored the mutation rate to a wild-type level. On R+F medium, both transformants

had significantly lower mutation rates than Bt65, similar to H99 and Bt133 (Figure 5A).

Because *ZNF3* is required for sexual reproduction in *C. deneoformans*, although not

essential for sexual development in *C. neoformans*[37,38], we sought to confirm the phenotypes

related to *ZNF3* loss and restoration were due specifically to RNAi-mediated silencing. Two

canonical RNAi components, *AGO1* and *RDP1*, were therefore genetically deleted in Bt65 and

the two Bt65+*ZNF3* strains. The mutation rates of these strains were subsequently measured on

R+F medium (Figure 5B). Deletion of *AGO1* or *RDP1* in Bt65 did not affect the mutation rate

on R+F. Conversely, deletion of *AGO1* in Bt65+*ZNF3-1* and *-2* and deletion of *RDP1* in

Bt65+*ZNF3-2* led to significantly increased mutation rates, illustrating that it is indeed the loss of

the role of Znf3 in RNAi that results in the hypermutator phenotype in Bt65. This finding also

provides evidence that all other RNAi components have been largely maintained despite loss of

RNAi activity in Bt65, in accord with genome inspection that failed to reveal loss-of-function

muations in other RNAi components (*CPR2*, *DCR1*, *QIP1*, *RDP1*, *GWC1*, *FZC28*, *GWO1*,

AGO1, *DCR2*, *DBR1*, *RDE1*, *RDE3*, *RDE4*, *RDE5*, *RPA32*, *SRR1*, *RDE2*).

We next sequenced the sRNA repertoires of the Bt65+*ZNF3* transformants as well as

Bt65, Bt81, and an H99 *znf3*Δ mutant; H99 and an H99 *rdp1*Δ mutant served controls. Analysis

of the size distribution of sRNAs showed that Bt65, Bt81, and H99 *znf3*Δ displayed profiles

similar to that of the *rdp1*Δ mutant, lacking the characteristic 21-24 nt siRNA peak (Figure 5C).

Analysis of sRNAs also revealed that *ZNF3* complementation in Bt65 restored the 21-24 nt

Figure 5. *ZNF3* **complementation in Bt65 significantly reduces mutation rates and restores siRNA production.** Mutation rates of **(A)** the two independent *ZNF3* complementation mutants, Bt65+*ZNF3-1* and Bt65+*ZNF3-2*, as well as control strains, and **(B)** *ago1Δ* and *rdp1Δ* deletion mutants in the Bt65, Bt65+*ZNF3-1* and Bt65+*ZNF3-2* genetic backgrounds and controls on YPD+rapamycin+FK506 medium. Bars represent mutation rate (number of mutations per cell per generation) and error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. **(C)** Size distributions of sRNA reads from each indicated strain. Dashed vertical lines indicate the 21 to 24 nucleotide size range, the characteristic sizes of siRNAs produced by the RNAi pathway. **(D)** Proportion of sRNA reads (y-axis) with the indicated 5' nucleotide identity (color of stacked bar) at each sRNA read size (x-axis). siRNAs produced by the RNAi pathway characteristically have a 5' uracil nucleotide. **(E)** Quantification of sense and antisense sRNAs from Bt65, Bt65 + *ZNF3-1*, and Bt65 + *ZNF3-2* aligning to an array of subtelomeric Cnl1 elements on Chromosome 1 of Bt65. Transposable elements along the chromosome are indicated by dark grey boxes, while intergenic regions are light grey.

sRNA peak. We also characterized the 5' nucleotide identity of sRNAs and found only H99 and

the two Bt65+*ZNF3* transformants had a peak of 21-24 nt sRNAs with a predominance of 5' U

identity, another characteristic of siRNAs (Figure 5D).

To determine how restoration of *ZNF3* impacted silencing of Cnl1, we quantified sRNAs

aligning to Cnl1 elements across the Bt65 genome (Table S4). Relative to Bt65, normalized

expression of sRNAs corresponding to Cnl1 were increased 5.6-fold in H99, 10.6-fold in

Bt65+*ZNF3-1*, and 12.8-fold in Bt65+*ZNF3-2* (Table S4). To illustrate the marked difference in

Cnl1 sRNAs in the Bt65+*ZNF3* transformants compared to Bt65, sRNAs were plotted along a

Cnl1 array on Chr1 in Bt65 (Figure 5E). These results show that changing the single nucleotide

responsible for the nonsense mutation in *ZNF3* back to the wild-type nucleotide found in closely

related strains as well as H99 was able to successfully restore production of siRNAs in Bt65.

Discussion

Transposable element mobilization can alter gene expression, gene function, and even

genomic stability. In this study, we identified forty *C. neoformans* hypermutator candidates,

which were particularly enriched for environmental isolates. Environmental niches may possess

a more diverse array of stressors than the human host and thus favor hypermutation.

Additionally, our methods for identifying hypermutators with rapamycin + FK506 medium could

bias results in favor of strains more likely to modulate FKBP12 activity. FKBP12 modulation

may be more important in environmental isolates because rapamycin and FK506 are naturally

derived compounds produced by the soil-resident bacteria[59,60] and both TOR and calcineurin are

instrumental for a variety of stress responses[61,62].

Two of the strongest hypermutators, clinical isolates Bt65 and Bt81, were found to have a

massive accumulation of the non-LTR retrotransposon Cnl1 at subtelomeric loci. Cnl1 elements

were capable of inserting into non-subtelomeric regions of the genome, resulting in resistance to

diverse antifungal drugs, namely 5-FOA, the clinically relevant drug 5-FC, and the combination

of rapamycin and FK506. These findings were unprecedented as *C. neoformans* is thought to be

an RNAi-proficient species and to lack full length copies of Cnl1; only the sister species *C.*

deneoformans harbors full-length Cnl1 elements capable of mobilization[14,32,63]. These findings

highlight the importance of intraspecific diversity at both genotypic and phenotypic levels. It is

important to note that the fluctuation assays employed to quantify hypermutation only inform us

on the mutation rates at a limited number of loci and therefore cannot inform us on the global

mutation rate across the genome. However, we were able to demonstrate that Cnl1 could insert

into at least four different genes to confer resistance to each type of media assessed

(rapamycin+FK506, 5-FOA, and 5-FC). This observation, combined with the apparent dynamic

changes occurring in Cnl1 subtelomeric arrays suggest that these strains are undergoing

additional mutagenesis not observed in other strains. We posit that 1) the elevated mutation rate

observed at the *FRR1* locus, 2) the Cnl1 insertions at additional genomic loci when selected for

(*FUR1*, *UXS1*, *URA5*), and 3) the dynamic changes observed in Cnl1 subtelomeric arrays

combine to justify qualification of Bt65 and Bt81 as hypermutators.

Following isolation, phenotyping, and genotyping of the Bt81**a** x H99α F$_1$ progeny, a

significantly smaller number of progeny inherited the Bt81 *znf3* allele than expected, a surprising

result given the nearly 1:1 inheritance of *ZNF3* from either parent in Bt65**a** x H99α F$_1$ progeny.

Based on long-read whole-genome sequencing, Bt81 has a substantially higher burden of Cnl1

than Bt65. Previous studies have shown that expression of transposons, including Cnl1, is

significantly upregulated during sexual reproduction in RNAi mutants, like H99 *znf3*Δ[35,37–39].

The higher Cnl1 burden in Bt81 combined with RNAi-deficiency and transposon upregulation

during mating could lead to an increased frequency of deleterious Cnl1 insertions in progeny

lacking *znf3*, and thus biased inheritance of *ZNF3*. It is also possible that a higher Cnl1 burden

favored the selection of a suppressor mutation in the Bt81 F_1 progeny. The mutation rates of the

progeny inheriting the nonfunctional *znf3* allele also had mutation rates lower than expected,

lending further support to the idea that suppressor mutations limiting Cnl1 movement may be

segregating or arising during the cross. Additionally, *znf3*Δ mutants are the only *C. neoformans*

RNAi mutants in which progeny from unilateral genetic crosses (i.e. crosses in which only one

parent lacks *ZNF3*) exhibit complete loss of RNAi-mediated silencing[37], and thus loss of *ZNF3*

results in haploinsufficiency. This haploinsufficiency could also favor gene conversion events

resulting in a *ZNF3*^{+}/*ZNF3*^{+} homozygote in the diploid formed during mating prior to meiosis.

Overall, unequal *znf3* inheritance patterns in Bt81 F_1 progeny suggest a sufficiently high burden

of Cnl1 may be deleterious during sexual reproduction.

In Bt65, QTL mapping and genetic complementation demonstrated the hypermutator

phenotype was caused by a single SNP in *ZNF3*. Changing the *znf3* nonsense mutation to the

nucleotide found in the reference strain as well as phylogenetically closely related strains

lowered the mutation rate to a wild-type level and restored siRNA production, including siRNAs

corresponding to Cnl1. Furthermore, deletion of *AGO1* or *RDP1*, two genes encoding canonical

RNAi components, in the Bt65+*ZNF3* strains restored the hypermutator phenotype, confirming

that the *znf3* nonsense mutation leads to loss of RNAi and results in increased transposition and

hypermutation. Although *ZNF3* complementation restored the mutation rate and siRNA

production, the identified QTL spanning Chr3 and Chr11 accounted for only 64% of the

hypermutator phenotype. This may suggest the existence of additional contributing small-effect

loci, although the mapping population used here is underpowered to detect such loci. Variation in

Cnl1 subtelomeric arrays across the progeny may also account for the additional genetic loci

contributing to the hypermutator phenotype. However, these Cnl1 loci were likely difficult to

map in the F_1 progeny or failed to pass quality criteria and were filtered out during QTL analysis.

Despite having the wild-type nucleotide in the first exon of *ZNF3*, where Bt65 and Bt81

have a nonsense mutation, both Bt89 and Bt133 (two of the most closely related strains) have a

substantial accumulation of subtelomeric Cnl1 arrays. One parsimonious explanation for the

considerable Cnl1 burden in Bt89 and Bt133 could be that Cnl1 accumulation predated RNAi

loss, with subtelomeric arrays undergoing expansion and contraction via recombination as

opposed to transposition. Additional modifiers in these genetic backgrounds mitigating the

impacts of rampant Cnl1 transposition may have allowed the persistence of the RNAi-deficient

Bt65 and Bt81 strains. Alternatively, these isolates may have descended from an ancestral strain

that had lost (via mutation/suppression of *ZNF3* or another RNAi component) and subsequently

regained RNAi function, possibly through a genetic cross and inheritance of a functional allele.

The bias against *znf3* inheritance in Bt81 F_1 progeny and the lower but still impressive Cnl1

burden in Bt89 and Bt133 potentially illustrate a natural example of how *C. neoformans*

genomes have struck a balance in their mutational capability, switching between high mutational

capacities during times of RNAi loss, and genomic stability when RNAi is restored.

The expansion and contraction of Cnl1 arrays and ability of Cnl1 to invade naïve

subtelomeric regions inherited from H99 in the Bt65 F_1 progeny was also exceptional. The

combination of this observed Cnl1 invasion into naïve telomeres during mating and the fact that

Bt65 and Bt81 are both the rare mating type **a** and members of one of the most frequently

recombining *C. neoformans* lineages (VNBII)[20] suggest Cnl1 may spread and proliferate rapidly

in environmental strains. The observed Cnl1 subtelomeric dynamics mirror those observed for

MoTeR transposons of the fungal plant pathogen *Magnaporthe oryzae*, which were also shown

to localize to dynamic subtelomeric arrays[64]. The Cnl1 subtelomeric arrays identified here could

also potentially overcome the requirement for telomerase, as in *Drosophila* telomeres, in which

the functions of telomerase have been supplanted by a telomeric retrotransposon[65,66].

The finding that only a single SNP rendered the RNAi pathway non-functional in Bt65,

and that no additional obvious mutations had occurred in other RNAi genes, suggest Bt65 could

illustrate the natural consequences of relatively recent RNAi loss. Further characterization of

Bt65 (and potentially Bt81) through experimental evolution or gene regulation analyses could

shed light on the short-term consequences of RNAi loss at genomic and phenotypic levels. Bt65

could thus serve as an interesting intermediate evolutionary comparator between RNAi-

proficient *C. neoformans* isolates and the closely related RNAi-deficient species *C.*

deuterogattii[7,37]. Studying the dynamics of the Cnl1 subtelomeric arrays following passaging

would be particularly interesting, and the immediate impacts of RNAi loss on these arrays could

be investigated by introducing the *znf3* nonsense mutation into the closely related Bt89 or Bt133

strains that have more limited subtelomeric arrays, or through additional genetic crosses.

Instances of relatively recent loss of RNAi have also been observed in a natural *Caenorhabditis*

elegans isolate, which has a large deletion in a RIG-I homolog required for RNAi and was

shown to be infected with an RNA virus[67,68]. Unlike the identified *C. elegans* virus-infected

strain and several other RNAi-deficient fungal species, such as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*,

Ustilago maydis, and several *Malassezia* species, we were unable to identify a dsRNA virus in

either of the *C. neoformans* hypermutator strains identified here (see Materials and Methods)[63,69].

It is possible though, that the hypermutators harbor other types of mycoviruses (e.g. ssRNA) that

we were unable to detect or that the mycovirus was cured by common microbiological isolation

practices[69].

The identification of this hypermutator phenotype in natural *C. neoformans* clinical

isolates has important implications for antifungal drug resistance and potentially other adaptive

consequences. Here, we showed Cnl1 insertion could confer resistance to diverse classes of

antifungal drugs, namely 5-FC, 5-FOA, and the combination of rapamycin and FK506. Insertion

of Cnl1 into other genes, particularly those in the sterol biosynthesis pathway, could confer

resistance to amphotericin B and fluconazole, the only other drugs effective for *C. neoformans*

treatment[28,70]. This mechanism of drug resistance also has interesting implications for a novel

antifungal approach that utilizes dsRNA to initiate RNAi silencing in fungal plant pathogens[71].

The effects of Cnl1 insertion at non-coding loci, such as promoters and 3' untranslated regions,

could also impact overall genomic stability or alter gene expression to have important

phenotypic implications for virulence, similar to the effects of the *Ac/Ds* elements of maize, the

first transposable elements discovered[72]. Alterations in gene expression might also confer

resistance to drugs for which resistance cannot be gained through loss of function mutations.

Even if full resistance isn't acquired, altered gene expression could contribute to antifungal drug

tolerance, like the tolerance observed in *Candida albicans*, which contributes to persistent

infections in immunocompetent patients[73,74].

At this stage it is difficult to know how selection may act upon Cnl1 transposition and

accumulation over time. The subtelomeric arrays in Bt65 and Bt81 may undergo cycles of

amplification and recombination-mediated contraction allowing them to exploit Cnl1

mutagenesis when under stress, similar to retrotransposons replication cycles in some plants[75,76].

The sister species *C. deneoformans* seems capable of applying a similar strategy by mobilizing

transposons throughout the genome under heat stress[15]. The study by Gusa and colleagues

illustrates an example of an environmental change triggering transposition, while our findings

show how a genetic change can allow rampant transposition, demonstrating the diverse

mechanisms of mutagenesis and potentially adaptation in pathogenic *Cryptococcus* species.

Maintaining an RNAi-deficient background could also be adaptive in the context of viral

infection, as has been shown in yeast harboring the killer virus, which outcompete neighboring

uninfected strains, and in mice harboring latent herpesvirus, which are protected from the

bacterial pathogens *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Yersinia pestis*[77,78]. Conversely, the mutational

impact of Cnl1 mobilization and hypermutation could be highly deleterious over the long term

and therefore may not represent a massive contributor to the rise of drug resistance. Natural

selection could either favor reversion to a functional RNAi-pathway through mutation of *znf3* or

preserve RNAi loss and eliminate full-length transposable elements, as in *C. deuterogattii*[33].

Future research on the potential for Cnl1 insertion to mediate resistance to amphotericin B and

fluconazole, and the impact of hypermutation due to Cnl1 mobilization on *in vivo* drug

resistance, adaptive potential, and genomic stability over time will be of great interest from basic

science and translational perspecitves.

Materials and Methods

Strains and growth

The *C. neoformans* strains described in this study are listed in Table S5. Strains were stored at -80°C in liquid yeast extract peptone dextrose (YPD) supplemented with 15% glycerol. Strains were inoculated on YPD agar plates, grown for three days at 30°C, and maintained at 4°C. Due to the hypermutator phenotypes associated with several of the strains in this study, strains were not maintained on YPD agar plates for routine use for more than two weeks; fresh cells from frozen glycerol stocks were inoculated to YPD agar plates as needed.

Screening for hypermutator candidates

Assays for the emergence of resistance (papillation assays) were conducted as previously described[53]. In brief, ten independent cultures of each strain were grown overnight at standard laboratory conditions in 5 mL liquid YPD medium. Cultures were then spun down, washed, and concentrated in 2 mL dH$_2$O. Each culture was swabbed to a single quadrant of either YPD + 100 ng/mL rapamycin + 1 µg/mL FK506 agar medium or YNB + 100 µg/mL 5-fluorocytosine agar medium (no repeated measurements). YPD + rapamycin + FK506 plates and YNB + 5-fluorocytosine plates were incubated for up to seven days at 37°C and 30°C, respectively. Fisher's exact probability test was used to determine if the associations between environmental isolates and the hypermutator phenotype was statistically significant using the VassarStats online software (http://vassarstats.net).

Fluctuation assays

Fluctuation assays were conducted as previously described[53]. Briefly, ten independent

overnights of each strain were grown overnight in 5 mL liquid YPD medium at 30°C. Cultures

were washed three times and resuspended in dH$_2$O. Cells from each culture were then plated to a

single plate of the appropriate medium (100 μL 10^{-5} cells on YPD, 100 μL 10^{-2} cells on YNB +

5-FC, and 100 μL undiluted cells on YPD + rapamycin + FK506 and YNB + 5-FOA) (no

repeated measurements). Drug concentrations were determined such that no wild-type/sensitive

colonies will grow. For the increased temperature fluctuation analysis, strains were grown

overnight at either 30°C or 37°C before use in fluctuation assays, as indicated. YPD + rapamycin

+ FK506 plates were incubated and 37°C; all other media was incubated at 30°C. Following

incubation at the appropriate temperature for four days (YPD control medium) or 14 days (drug

media), the number of colonies on each plate was determined and subsequently utilized to

calculate mutation rates. Mutation rates and 95% confidence intervals were calculated using the

FluCalc program which utilizes the Ma-Sandri-Sarkar maximum likelihood estimation (MSS-

MLE) equations for calculations and also incorporates plating efficiency into calculations to

account for dilutions[79]; no data was excluded in calculations. In Figures 1 and S1, 20 strains were

used to calculate mutation rates ($n = 20$), with the exception of strains PMHc1051.ENR.STOR

and NRHc5014.ENR, for which $n = 10$. In Figure S8, $n = 10$ strains were used for all mutation

rate calculates, except for the control strains, Bt81 and H99 *crg1*Δα, for which $n = 20$. For all

other calculated mutation rates, $n = 10$ was used for each strain. Mutation rates and confidence

intervals for all fluctuation assays in this study are provided in Table S6. All raw data and

mutation frequencies are included in Table S7.

Mutation frequencies were calculated by determining the mean number of colonies on

YPD plates, adjusting the YPD mean value to the dilution and volume of cells plated to the

selective medium (Adjusted YPD mean = YPD mean × ((volume of cells plated to selective

media × dilution factor of cells plated to YPD) / (volume of cells plated to YPD × dilution factor

of cells plated to selective media)), and dividing the number of resistant colonies on each plate

by the adjusted YPD mean. Unlike mutation rates, mutation frequencies do not take into account

plating efficiencies or mutant fitness but enable the display of all data points and their

distributions (Figures S13, S14, S15, S16, S17). Figure S13 displays the raw data used to

calculated Figure 1B mutation rates. Figure S14 shows the raw data corresponding to the

mutation rates in Figure S1. Raw data in Figure S15 corresponds to the mutation rates in Figure

S2. Figure S16A displays raw data used to calculate mutation rates shown in Figure 2A; S16B

data corresponds to mutations rates in Figure S8. The raw data presented in Figure S17A and

S17B were used to calculate mutation rates in Figure 5A and 5B, respectively. Box-and-whisker

plots were generated using the ggboxplot command in the ggplot2 package (v3.3.5) in R (v4.1.0)

using default parameters.

Characterizing mutation spectra

Following selection on antifungal drug media, resistant colonies were streak purified to

YPD medium. Only one colony from each plate was streak purified for characterization,

ensuring each mutation event identified was independent. Genomic DNA was isolated from the

purified colonies, and genes in which mutations are known to cause resistance to the

corresponding antifungal drug were PCR amplified (*URA5* and *URA3* for 5-FOA-resistant

colonies[54,55], *FRR1* for rapamycin+FK506-resistant colonies[46,47], and *FUR1* and *UXS1* for 5-FC-

resistant colonies[48]). Oligonucleotides used for all PCR reactions in this study are listed in Table

S8. PCR products were subjected to gel electrophoresis, imaged by the Quantity One® Software,

592	and products of interest were extracted from agarose gels using a QIAgen gel extraction kit and
593	sequenced through classical Sanger sequencing conducted at Genewiz. *FRR1* was PCR amplified
594	in a total of 39 H99, 77 Bt65, and 37 Bt81 R+F[R] independent colonies; 37 H99, 31 Bt65, and 27
595	Bt81 *FRR1* PCR products were sequenced. *URA3* and *URA5* were PCR amplified in 5 H99, 11
596	KN99α *msh2Δ*, 5 Bt65, and 5 Bt81 5-FOA[R] independent colonies; *URA5* and *URA3* PCR
597	products were sequenced from 5 H99, 2 Bt65, and 5 Bt81 strains. *FUR1* and *UXS1* were PCR
598	amplified in 9 H99, 5 Bt65, and 10 Bt81 5-FC[R] independent colonies; 2 Bt81 *FUR1* PCR
599	products were sequenced. Sequenced mutations, including transposon insertions, were
600	characterized with both Sequencher software and the Clustal Omega Multiple Sequence
601	Alignment program[80]. Identified transposon insertion sequences in *FRR1*, *URA5*, and *FUR1* are
602	listed in Table S9.
603	
604	**Illumina sequencing**
605	Single colonies from strains for whole-genome Illumina sequencing were inoculated in
606	50 mL of liquid YPD medium and grown overnight at 30°C, shaking. Cells were collected and
607	lyophilized as previously described[53], and high molecular weight DNA was isolated following
608	the CTAB protocol as previously described[81]. Strains were barcoded and sequencing libraries
609	were generated with the Kapa HyperPlus library kit for 300bp inserts, pooled, and sequenced
610	using paired-end, 2 x 150bp reads on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform at the Duke University
611	Sequencing and Genomic Technologies Core facility.
612	
613	**Generation of F$_1$ progeny**

Bt65**a** x H99α *crg1*Δ and Bt81**a** x H99α *crg1*Δ F$_1$ progeny were generated by genetically

crossing either Bt65 or Bt81 with H99 *crg1*Δ on Murashige Skoog (MS) medium (Sigma)

following Basic Protocol 1 as described in Sun et al. 2019[82]. Basidiospores were randomly

isolated through microdissection after three weeks of incubation on MS following Basic Protocol

2 as described in Sun et al. 2019[82].

Nanopore sequencing and genome assemblies

The DNA samples for nanopore sequencing were isolated and purified using the CTAB

DNA preparation protocol described previously[83]. The size estimation of the obtained DNA was

done using PFGE electrophoresis and quality was determined using NanoDrop. Once the high-

quality DNA was obtained, sequencing was performed using the MinION device with the

MinKNOW interface. During sequencing, Bt65, Bt89, and Bt133 were multiplexed together

whereas six of the Bt65**a** x H99α progeny were multiplexed for a second sequencing run. For

multiplexing, samples were barcoded using EXP-NBD103/EXP-NBD104 kits and libraries were

made using SQK-LSK109 kit as per the manufacturer's instructions. The libraries generated

were sequenced on R9.4.1 flow cell and reads were obtained in .fast5 format. These reads were

then converted to fastq format using Guppy_basecaller (v 4.2.2_linux64). The reads were de-

multiplexed using qcat (https://github.com/nanoporetech/qcat) or Guppy_barcoder (part of

Guppy_basecaller) with barcode trimming option during processing. Bt81 nanopore sequencing

was done as a standalone sample using an R9 flow cell (FLO-MN106) and basecalling was

performed during the run itself.

The sequences obtained for each sample were then assembled via Canu (v2.0 or v2.1.1)

to obtain contig-level genome assemblies. For the assembly, only >2 kb long reads were used for

the Bt65**a** x H99α F$_1$ progeny and Bt81, whereas >5 kb were used for Bt65, Bt89, and Bt133

genomes. Contigs were then assigned chromosome numbers based on their synteny with the

reference genome, H99. The numbering of chromosomes involved in translocations was assigned

based on the respective syntenic centromere. Some of the chromosomes were not fully

assembled and were broken into multiple contigs (Chr 1, Chr 2 for Bt65, Chr 2, Chr11, Chr14 for

Bt89, and Chr 2, Chr 5 for Bt133). For such cases, the respective contigs were joined artificially

and then processed by read-mapping to obtain complete collinear chromosomes. Specifically, the

contigs were stitched together in orientation as determined based on their synteny. Corrected

reads obtained from Canu were then mapped to the respective genomes and duplicated or

missing regions from the junction were identified. The chromosome sequence was then corrected

accordingly by inserting/correcting/deleting sequences and a full-length chromosome sequence

was obtained. Complete resolution of junctions was obtained for Bt65, Bt89, and Bt133 genomes

by this approach. However, some of the Bt65 F$_1$ progeny chromosomes could not be resolved,

probably due to hybrid origin of sequencing reads, and were left with gaps as such.

Once chromosome level genome assemblies were obtained for the Bt65, Bt81, Bt89, and

Bt133 genomes, the genome sequences were further processed to improve telomeric and

subtelomeric regions. For this purpose, the corrected reads obtained from Canu were mapped

back to the respective chromosome-level genomes using minimap2 v2.14. The obtained bam

files were then analyzed manually by IGV and consensus or, in a few cases, individual reads (up

to 30 kb) representing extra sequence beyond an assembled chromosome were extracted as sam

files. These consensus extra sequences were then added onto the chromosome sequences to

obtain longer chromosomes. In some cases, read mapping also resulted in the identification of

incorrect sequence assembly at subtelomeric regions, and in those cases, the sequence was

trimmed until a consensus sequence was observed at the end of the chromosome. Once these

corrections were made, the genome assemblies were polished via one round of nanopolish and

five rounds of pilon, except for the Bt81 genome, for which only 5X pilon polishing was

performed. As a result of these corrections and polishing, final assemblies were obtained for each

of the four isolates and are described in the study. For the Bt65 F_1 progeny genome assemblies,

the subtelomeric extension/curation was not performed, but they were polished using both

nanopolish and 5X pilon.

Centromere, telomere, and Cnl1 mapping

Centromeres in Bt65, Bt81, Bt89, and Bt133 were defined based on their synteny with

the reference H99 genome (genome assembly ASM301198v1) The final polished genomes were

used and centromere locations were identified by BLASTn analysis using H99 centromere-

flanking genes as query sequences. Once the centromere locations were defined, Tcn1-Tcn6

locations within those regions were mapped by BLASTn analysis. For the representation, only

BLAST hits longer than 400 bp were mapped. For the overlapping BLAST hits with multiple

Tcn elements, the longest and best BLAST result was used, and the rest of the matches were

discarded from further analysis. All the hits were then visualized using Geneious Prime and

maps were exported as .svg files, which were then processed using Adobe Illustrator.

For the Cnl1 mapping at the subtelomeres, the longest *CNL1* insertion sequence from the

Bt65 genome was used as the query sequence and BLASTn was performed against each genome.

BLAST hits longer than 50 bp were mapped to the respective genomes and visualized using

Geneious Prime where the hits were color-coded based on their lengths. The zoomed views for

these maps were then exported as .svg files, processed using Adobe Illustrator, and combined

with centromere Tcn mapping analysis to generate final figures.

RepeatMasker was used to annotate all transposons in the *de novo* genome assembly of

Bt65. For this purpose, RepeatMasker (v4.0.7) with Dfam (v3.3) and

RepBaseRepeatMaskerEdition-20181026 libraries was used, supplemented with RepBase EMBL

database (v26.04)[84–86]. The "-species fungi" option was used to identify all repeats in the genome

and provided additional support for the manual Tcn and Cnl1 mapping.

Synteny maps

Synteny comparisons between the genomes were performed using SyMAP v4.2 with the

H99 genome as the reference (genome assembly ASM301198v1). The synteny comparison was

conducted using default parameters and synteny block maps were exported as .svg files. The

maps were processed using Adobe Illustrator for visualization. The phylogenetic relationship as

depicted in Figure S6 was drawn based on the earlier representation[20]. The telomere and

centromere locations were marked manually based on the presence of the telomere repeat

sequence and Tcn mapping, respectively.

For the centromere comparisons, all centromere sequences along with Tcn annotations

were converted into GenBank format. The files were then used for synteny comparison via

EasyFig v2.2.3. The maps were exported as .svg files which were processed in Adobe Illustrator.

Recombination maps for Bt65 x H99 F$_1$ progeny

Six of the Bt65**a** x H99α F$_1$ progeny were sequenced with on the nanopore MinION

sequencing platform and their genomes were assembled and polished using the methods

described above. Once their genomes were assembled, recombination maps were generated by

mapping the Illumina sequence data from the parental strains to each of the progeny genomes.

For this purpose, both H99 and Bt65 Illumina reads were used from published datasets

(SRR642222 and SRR647805 for H99; SRR836876, SRR836877, SRR836878, SRR836880,

SRR836884, and SRR836885 for Bt65). Reads from all runs were merged to obtain a single file

for both H99 and Bt65. The reads were then mapped to the progeny genomes using Geneious

Prime default mapper with three iterations. Variants with 90X coverage and at least 90% variant

frequency were called from these mapped files. These variants along with coverage analysis

were then used to identify recombination sites and generate recombination maps. Cnl1 mapping

for each of progeny genome was performed as described above. The location of *ZNF3* in each

genome was identified by BLASTn analysis using H99 *ZNF3* (CNAG_02700) as the query

sequence.

Genetic variant calling and segregant filtering

Whole-genome sequencing data of 28 F_1 progeny from the Bt65**a** x H99α *crg1*Δ cross

were aligned via BWA (v0.7.12-r1039)[87] to an H99 reference genome (downloaded from

FungiDB [http://fungidb.org/fungidb/] on April 15th, 2020; FungiDB-

46_CneoformansH99_Genome.fasta) and genetic variants between Bt65 and H99 were called

using SAMtools (v0.1.19-96b5f22941)[88] and FreeBayes (v1.2.0)[89]. Approximately 300,000 raw

genetic variants were identified across the segregants. The genotypic correlation between

progeny, the read coverage per genetic variant, and the ratio of reads suggesting the H99 vs.

Bt65 allele per variant were monitored across the genome to identify clones, progeny with

aneuploid genomes, and heterozygotic diploids (respectively). Two pairs of clones were

identified (Supplementary Table S10) and one segregant from each pair was retained for

analysis. F_1 progeny 25 was identified as a heterozygotic diploid (Supplementary Figure S18)

and removed from initial analysis. Instances of aneuploidy (and partial duplications) are

observed along Chromosomes 3, 4, 11, and 13 within six segregants from this cross and for

initial filtering and analysis, those with heterozygotic aneuploidy were removed from analysis

(Supplementary Table S10).

Genetic variant filtering

After removing clones and samples with aneuploidy or diploidy, raw genetic variants

were filtered by limiting sites to bi-allelic SNPs, called across all the progeny (100% call rate),

with greater than 10X read coverage (and a maximum of 200X), a minor allele frequency of 5%,

and a quality score greater than 4 (and less than 5.4). These filtering criteria were selected after

examining the bivariate relationships between allele frequency, read depth, and quality scores per

chromosome (Supplementary Figure S19A and 14B). Genetic variant sites were also removed if

within one kb of the centromere along a given chromosome[33]. After filtering, a total of 215,411

bi-allelic SNPs were retained for further analysis. The median distance between contiguous SNP

sites is 45 bp, and less than 0.01% of neighboring sites had a distance larger than two kb. The

allele frequencies across the genome ranged between 25 and 75% of segregant with the Bt65

allele, except for a large portion of Chromosome 13, between 0 to 500 kb, where over 88% of

segregants inherited the Bt65 allele (Figure S19C). With these data, a Poisson regression

(methods described in Roth *et al*. 2018[90]) was used to relate the average number of crossovers

across F_1 progeny as a function of chromosome size. Briefly, for the Poisson regression, the

following model was used: $\log(E(\# \text{ of crossover} \mid x)) = -b + m \times x$, where x is chromosome size.

In the Bt65 x H99 F_1 progeny, this model was estimated as: $\log(E(\text{\# of crossover} \mid x)) = -0.3955$

$+ 0.6415 \times x$. This model rejected the null hypotheses of a zero intercept (b) and chromosome

coefficient (m), with *p*-values of 0.0162 and 2.68×10^{-9}, respectively. The model predicts an

obligatory 0.673 crossovers per chromosome, which increases by a ratio of 1.899 per Mb

increase in chromosome size. With this model, the estimated genome-wide, physical-to-genetic

distance in this cross is 8.14 kb/cM.

QTL mapping

For use in association tests, across 24 F_1 progeny and the two parental strains, the

215,411 bi-allelic SNPs were collapsed into 1,237 unique haplogroups made up of genetic

variants sites that co-segregated within the segregant genomes, such that, between any two

haplogroups, at least one segregant contains a change in allele (i.e. a recombination event

between the Bt65**a** and H99α *crg1*Δ genomes). Collapsing genetic variants into haplogroups

reduces the number of repeated tests in association mapping and computational costs[91]. Across

the 1,237 haplogroups, the mutation rate on rapamycin + FK506 medium was used as the

phenotype. The phenotypic data was assumed to be non-normal based on visual inspection, and a

Shapiro-Wilk test for normality (two-sided) confirms this assumption (Shapiro-Wilk-statistic =

0.822, *p*-value < 0.000527). Because of this, we used a two-sided Kruskal-Wallis H-test for

genome wide QTL mapping.. The $-\log_{10}$ (*p*-value) from this test across haplogroups was

monitored to identify QTL. Significance thresholds were established via 10,000 permutations

with an α = 0.01 as described in Churchill and Doerge (1994)[92], and 95% confidence intervals

for the QTL locations were generated as described in Visscher *et al.* (1996)[93]. No adjustments for

multiple comparisons are needed in QTL mapping as a permutation based threshold was used to

establish genome-wide significance

775   The heritability at the peak of identified QTL was estimated using linear regression (with

1 degree of freedom) with the model: $M = \mu + \beta I + e$, where M is the mutation rate x 10^7, e is an

error term, μ is the mean mutation rate x 10^7, I is an indicator variable for the allele at the QTL

peak – coded as 0 if from H99α $crg1\Delta$ or 1 if from Bt65**a** – and β is the effect of having the

H99α $crg1\Delta$ vs. the Bt65**a** allele at the QTL. The variation explained (R^2) from this model was

used as an estimate of the heritability. The calculated R^2 value had an associated F-statistic of

43.57 and a p-value or 7.94×10^{-7}.

Gene annotation and SNP effect prediction

784   For genes within the identified QTL spanning Chromosomes 3 and 11, the alleles

785   between H99 and Bt65 were imputed using filtered SNP data (described above). The published

786   H99 reference strain annotation (downloaded from FungiDB [http://fungidb.org/fungidb/] on

787   April 15th, 2020; FungiDB-46_CneoformansH99.gff) was used to predict changes in protein

788   sequence between the H99 and Bt65 parental backgrounds.

790   **CRISPR-mediated genetic editing**

791   To change the single nucleotide responsible for the nonsense mutation in the first exon of

ZNF3, a thymine (base 976004 of H99 Chromosome 3 (CNA3 assembly, accession

GCA_000149245.3)), to the wild-type cytosine found in H99 and other phylogenetically closely

related strains, as well as to genetically delete *AGO1* and *RDP1*, the Transient CRISPR-Cas9

Coupled with Electroporation (TRACE) system was used[58]. Briefly, the gene encoding Cas9 was

PCR amplified from plasmid pXL1-CAS9-HYG. For the *ZNF3* replacement strains, *SH1-NEO*

construct encoding *NEO* (G418 resistance) targeted to a safe haven locus (SH1) was amplified

from plasmid pSDMA57[94]. The *SH1-NEO* construct was linearized with the AscI restriction

enzyme (NEB). A 2,171bp region was also amplified from Bt133, containing the wild-type C

nucleotide in *ZNF3* exon 1 and no other mutations relative to Bt65 for integration at the *ZNF3*

endogenous locus in Bt65 (1,197bp upstream of the *ZNF3* start codon to 971bp after the start

codon). To genetically delete *AGO1* and *RDP1*, ~1 kb regions upstream and downstream of the

ORFs of both genes were PCR amplified from Bt65, and the *NAT* dominant marker conferring

nourseothricin resistance was amplified from the pAI3 plasmid[95]. Overlap PCR was then used to

generate two deletion constructs with the 5' and 3' 1-kb regions of homology flanking *NAT* for

AGO1 and *RDP1*. For the sgRNA expression construct, the U6 promoter was amplified from

XL280α gDNA, and the sgRNA scaffold was amplified from plasmid pYF515[96]. Overlap PCR

was used to generate the sgRNA construct with the U6 promoter and sequences targeting either

SH1, the nonsense mutation in *ZNF3*, *AGO1*, or *RDP1*. 2μg of the Bt133 *ZNF3* recombination

template, 2μg of the *SH1-NEO* linearized construct, 250ng of the *ZNF3* gRNA, 250ng of the

SH1 gRNA, and 1.5μg of Cas9 DNA were transformed simultaneously into Bt65 via

electroporation using a BIO-RAD Gene Pulser.

ZNF3-replacement transformants were selected on YPD + G418 agar plates. Successful

transformants were identified through restriction enzyme digest with BtsI-v2 (NEB), which

cleaves the first exon of Bt65 *znf3* at the nonsense mutation but does not cleave the first exon of

ZNF3 in Bt133 (or H99) (Figure S20A). PCR was also used to ensure that no transformants had

integrated copies of the gene encoding Cas9 or the gRNA constructs and that only a single Bt133

ZNF3 allele had integrated correctly at the endogenous *znf3* locus (Figure S20B-F). Sanger

sequencing was used to further confirm correct replacement of the Bt65 *znf3* allele including the

nonsense mutation with the Bt133 *ZNF3* allele. No identified Bt65+*ZNF3* transformants also had

a stably integrated copy of the *NEO* gene at the safe haven locus. Transformants lacking *AGO1*

or *RDP1* were selected on YPD + nourseothricin agar medium, and successful genetic deletion

was confirmed by PCR (Figure 21A). PCR also confirmed integration of the genetic deletion

constructs at the endogenous loci as well as integration of only a single deletion construct

(Figure 21B-F).

sRNA isolation and sequencing

C. neoformans cells were grown overnight in 50 mL YPD medium at standard laboratory

conditions. Following culture, cells were spun down, supernatant was removed, and cells were

frozen at -80°C for one hour. Cells were then freeze dried with a Labconco Freezone 4.5

lyophilizer overnight. 70 mg of lyophilized material was used for sRNA isolation following the

mirVana miRNA Isolation Kit manufacturer's instructions. sRNA was quantified with a Qubit 3

Fluorometer and quality was verified with an Agilent Bioanalyzer using an Agilent Small RNA

Kit. One biological replicate of each strain was submitted for sRNA sequencing. sRNA libraries

were prepared with a QiaPrep miRNA Library Prep Kit and 1 x 75 bp reads were sequenced on

the Illumina NextSeq 500 System at the Duke University Sequencing and Genomic Technologies

Core facility.

sRNA data processing

Initial quality control of the small RNA libraries was performed with FastQC 0.11.9[97]

followed by the removal of QIAseq library adapters (5':

GTTCAGAGTTCTACAGTCCGACGATC; 3': AACTGTAGGCACCATCAAT) with cutadapt

2.8[98]. All untrimmed reads or reads smaller than 14 nt were discarded. The surviving trimmed

reads were mapped with bowtie v1.2.3[99] to the *C. neoformans* Bt65 genome, allowing multiple

alignments but no mismatches. The resulting SAM files were converted into BAM file format

with SAMtools 1.9[88] and feature read counts of transposable elements were calculated with

BEDTools[100] using the `intersect -wa´ option and the annotations of transposable elements,

which were identified with RepeatMasker using the repbase database for *C. neoformans*[84,85].

Normalization of the read counts to reads per million (RPM) was performed, allowing the

comparison of the libraries. Furthermore, the read depth on both DNA strands was analyzed with

SAMtools and custom made perl scripts were used to calculate the read size distribution and 5'-

nucleotide preference of the small RNA reads as previously described[101,102].

Double-stranded RNA enrichment

For dsRNA enrichment, *C. neoformans* cells were grown overnight in 5 mL liquid YPD

medium at 30°C. *Malassezia sympodialis* strains were grown overnight for two days in 5 mL

liquid mDixon medium (3.6% malt extract, 1% mycological peptone, 1% desiccated ox bile, 1%

Tween 60, 0.4% glycerol) at 30°C. RNA was extracted, and dsRNA was enriched as previously

described[69]. dsRNA enrichment in H99, Bt65, and Bt81 did not reveal the presence of any large

dsRNA segments (Figure S22). Two biological replicates of each strain were included.

Biological material availability

All strains and plasmids used and generated in this study are available to others upon

request.

Data availability

All sequencing data is available under BioProject PRJNA749953.

Code availability

Genetic variant filtering, QTL mapping, and SNP effect prediction was conducted in python (anaconda 3.7.3) via custom scripts available in GitHub (https://github.com/magwenelab/Hypermutator_QTL). All custom Perl scripts reported in the methods for sRNA analysis are also available in GitHub (https://github.com/timdahlmann/smallRNA).

Acknowledgments

We thank and acknowledge Blake Billmyre for initial project guidance, Shelly Clancey for instruction in conducting fluctuation assays and dsRNA enrichment protocols, Josh Granek for preliminary analyses of hypermutator genomes, Zanetta Chang for assistance in sRNA isolation, Kayla Sylvester for assistance with screening of SDC isolates, and the laboratory of Chris Holley at Duke University for the use of their Nanodrop and BioAnalyzer equipment for preliminary sRNA analysis. We thank Mark Farman and Mostafa Rahnama for stimulating discussion on the impacts of transposons on telomere dynamics. We thank Kevin Zhu for assistance with figure generation. We also thank Sheng Sun, Blake Billmyre, Andy Alspaugh, Sue Jinks-Robertson, Asiya Gusa, and Kayla Sylvester for critical reading and comments on the manuscript. This work was funded by NIH/NIAID F31 Fellowship 1F31AI143136-02A1 awarded to S.J.P. and NIH/NIAID R37 MERIT award AI39115-23, R01 grant AI50113-16, and

R01 grant AI33654-04 awarded to P.M.M. and J.H. These studies were supported by a Visiting

Professor travel grant awarded by Ruhr University, Bochum, Germany to J.H. J.H. is co-Director

and Fellow of the CIFAR program Fungal Kingdom: Threats & Opportunities. We also thank the

Madhani Laboratory and NIH grant R01 AI100272 for the KN99α *msh2*Δ deletion strain. T.A.D.

and U.K. are funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG) (Bonn Bad-Godesberg,

Germany) (KU 517/15-1).

Author Contributions

S.J.P, V.Y., C.R., T.A.D., U.K., P.M.M., and J.H. designed experiments, interpreted data,

and wrote the paper. S.J.P. performed experiments and analyzed fluctuation assay and Sanger

sequencing data. V.Y conducted nanopore sequencing and analyzed all resulting data. C.R. and

P.M.M. analyzed sequencing data from Bt65 x H99 F_1 progeny and conducted QTL mapping

and analysis. T.A.D. and U.K. analyzed sRNA sequencing data. S.J.P., U.K., P.M.M., and J.H.

provided resources.

Competing interests.

904   The authors declare no competing financial or non-financial interests.

References

1. Sniegowski, P. D., Gerrish, P. J. & Lenski, R. E. Evolution of high mutation rates in experimental populations of *E. coli*. *Nature* **387**, 703–705 (1997).

2. Barrick, J. *et al.* Genome evolution and adaptation in a long-term experiment with *Escherichia coli*. *Nature* **461**, 1243–1247 (2009).

3. Tenaillon, O. *et al.* Tempo and mode of genome evolution in a 50,000-generation experiment. *Nature* **536**, 165–170 (2016).

4. Harfe, B. D. & Jinks-Robertson, S. Mismatch repair proteins and mitotic genome stability. *Mutat. Res.* **451**, 151–167 (2000).

5. Fisher, K. J., Buskirk, S. W., Vignogna, R. C., Marad, D. A. & Lang, G. I. Adaptive genome duplication affects patterns of molecular evolution in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *PLoS Genet.* **14**, e1007396 (2018).

6. Billmyre, R. B., Croll, D. & Li, W. Highly recombinant VGII *Cryptococcus gattii* population develops clonal outbreak clusters through both sexual macroevolution and asexual microevolution. *mBio* **5**, e01494-14 (2014).

7. Billmyre, R. B., Clancey, S. A. & Heitman, J. Natural mismatch repair mutations mediate phenotypic diversity and drug resistance in *Cryptococcus deuterogattii*. *eLife* **6**, e28802 (2017).

8. Healey, K. R. *et al.* Prevalent mutator genotype identified in fungal pathogen *Candida glabrata* promotes multi-drug resistance. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 11128 (2016).

9. Boyce, K. J. *et al.* Mismatch repair of DNA replication errors contributes to microevolution in the pathogenic fungus *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *mBio* **8**, e00595-17 (2017).

928   10.   Steenwyk, J. L. *et al.* Extensive loss of cell-cycle and DNA repair genes in an ancient

929   lineage of bipolar budding yeasts. *PLoS Biol.* **17**, e3000255 (2019).

930   11.   Rhodes, J. *et al.* A population genomics approach to assessing the genetic basis of within-

931   host microevolution underlying recurrent cryptococcal meningitis infection. *G3* **7**, 1165–

932   1176 (2017).

933   12.   Sionov, E., Lee, H., Chang, Y. C. & Kwon-Chung, K. J. *Cryptococcus neoformans*

934   overcomes stress of azole drugs by formation of disomy in specific multiple

935   chromosomes. *PLoS Pathog.* **6**, e1000848 (2010).

936   13.   Kwon-Chung, K. J. & Chang, Y. C. Aneuploidy and drug resistance in pathogenic fungi.

937   *PLoS Pathog.* **8**, e1003022 (2012).

938   14.   Janbon, G. *et al.* Characterizing the role of RNA silencing components in *Cryptococcus*

939   *neoformans*. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* **47**, 1070–1080 (2010).

940   15.   Gusa, A. *et al.* Transposon mobilization in the human fungal pathogen *Cryptococcus* is

941   mutagenic during infection and promotes drug resistance in vitro. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*

942   *U. S. A.* **117**, 9973–9980 (2020).

943   16.   Stone, N. R. H. *et al.* Dynamic ploidy changes drive fluconazole resistance in human

944   cryptococcal meningitis. *J. Clin. Invest.* **129**, 999–1014 (2019).

945   17.   Idnurm, A. *et al.* Deciphering the model pathogenic fungus *Cryptococcus neoformans*.

946   *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **3**, 753–764 (2005).

947   18.   Chayakulkeeree, M. & Perfect, J. R. Cryptococcosis. *Infect. Dis. Clin. North Am.* **20**, 507–

948   544 (2006).

949   19.   Hagen, F. *et al.* Recognition of seven species in the *Cryptococcus gattii*/*Cryptococcus*

950   *neoformans* species complex. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* **78**, 16–48 (2015).

20.   Desjardins, C. A. *et al.* Population genomics and the evolution of virulence in the fungal

pathogen *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Genome Res.* **27**, 1207–1219 (2017).

21.   Rajasingham, R. *et al.* Global burden of disease of HIV-associated cryptococcal

meningitis: an updated analysis. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **17**, 873–881 (2017).

22.   Brouwer, A. *et al.* Combination antifungal therapies for HIV-associated cryptococcal

meningitis: a randomised trial. *Lancet* **363**, 1764–1767 (2004).

23.   Molloy, S. F. *et al.* Antifungal combinations for treatment of cryptococcal meningitis in

Africa. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **378**, 1004–1017 (2018).

24.   Laniado-Laborín, R. & Cabrales-Vargas, M. N. Amphotericin B: side effects and toxicity.

Rev. Iberoam. Micol. **26**, 223–227 (2009).

25.   Bicanic, T. *et al.* Toxicity of amphotericin B deoxycholate-based induction therapy in

patients with HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **59**,

7224–7231 (2015).

26.   Hospenthal, D. R. & Bennett, J. E. Flucytosine monotherapy for cryptococcosis. *Clin.*

Infect. Dis. **27**, 260–264 (1998).

27.   Loyse, A., Dromer, F., Day, J., Lortholary, O. & Harrison, T. S. Flucytosine and

cryptococcosis: time to urgently address the worldwide accessibility of a 50-year-old

antifungal. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **68**, 2435–2444 (2013).

28.   Perfect, J. R. & Cox, G. M. Drug resistance in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Drug Resist.*

Updat. **2**, 259–269 (1999).

29.   Nosanchuk, J. D., Cleare, W., Franzot, S. P. & Casadevall, A. Amphotericin B and

fluconazole affect cellular charge, macrophage phagocytosis, and cellular morphology of

Cryptococcus neoformans at subinhibitory concentrations. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*

43, 233–239 (1999).

30.	Posteraro, B. *et al.* Identification and characterization of a *Cryptococcus neoformans* ATP binding cassette (ABC) transporter-encoding gene, *CnAFR1*, involved in the resistance to fluconazole. *Mol. Microbiol.* **47**, 357–371 (2003).

31.	Loftus, B. J. *et al.* The genome of the basidiomycetous yeast and human pathogen *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Science* **307**, 1321–1324 (2005).

32.	Goodwin, T. J. D. & Poulter, R. T. M. The diversity of retrotransposons in the yeast *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Yeast* **18**, 865–880 (2001).

33.	Yadav, V. *et al.* RNAi is a critical determinant of centromere evolution in closely related fungi. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115**, 3108–3113 (2018).

34.	Cruz, M. C. *et al.* Rapamycin antifungal action is mediated via conserved complexes with FKBP12 and TOR kinase homologs in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **19**, 4101–4112 (1999).

35.	Wang, X. *et al.* Sex-induced silencing defends the genome of *Cryptococcus neoformans* via RNAi. *Genes Dev.* **24**, 2566–2582 (2010).

36.	Dumesic, P. A. *et al.* Stalled spliceosomes are a signal for RNAi-mediated genome defense. *Cell* **152**, 957–968 (2013).

37.	Feretzaki, M., Billmyre, R. B., Clancey, S. A., Wang, X. & Heitman, J. Gene network polymorphism illuminates loss and retention of novel RNAi silencing components in the *Cryptococcus pathogenic* species complex. *PLoS Genet.* **12**, e1005868 (2016).

38.	Feretzaki, M. & Heitman, J. Genetic circuits that govern bisexual and unisexual reproduction in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *PLoS Genet.* **9**, e1003688 (2013).

39.	Wang, X., Darwiche, S. & Heitman, J. Sex-induced silencing operates during opposite-sex

and unisexual reproduction in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Genetics* **193**, 1163–1174

(2013).

40.   Huff, J. T. & Zilberman, D. Dnmt1-independent CG methylation contributes to

nucleosome positioning in diverse eukaryotes. *Cell* **156**, 1286–1297 (2014).

41.   Catania, S. *et al.* Evolutionary persistence of DNA methylation for millions of years after

ancient loss of a de novo methyltransferase. *Cell* **180**, 263–277 (2020).

42.   Dumesic, P. A. *et al.* Product binding enforces the genomic specificity of a yeast

Polycomb repressive complex. *Cell* **160**, 204–218 (2015).

43.   Ferrareze, P. A. G. *et al.* Application of an optimized annotation pipeline to the

Cryptococcus deuterogattii genome reveals dynamic primary metabolic gene clusters and

genomic impact of RNAi loss. *G3* **11**, jkaa070 (2021).

44.   Breuder, T., Hemenway, C. S., Movva, N. R., Cardenas, M. E. & Heitman, J. Calcineurin

is essential in cyclosporin A- and FK506-sensitive yeast strains. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.*

S. A. **91**, 5372–5376 (1994).

45.   Parent, S. A. *et al.* Calcineurin-dependent growth of an FK506- and CsA-hypersensitive

mutant of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* **139**, 2973–2984 (1993).

46.   Heitman, J., Movva, N. R., Hiestand, P. C. & Hall, M. N. FK 506-binding protein proline

rotamase is a target for the immunosuppressive agent FK 506 in *Saccharomyces*

cerevisiae. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **88**, 1948–1952 (1991).

47.   Heitman, J., Movva, N. R. & Hall, M. N. Targets for cell cycle arrest by the

immunosuppressant rapamycin in yeast. *Science* **253**, 905–909 (1991).

48.   Billmyre, R. B., Clancey, S. A., Li, L. X., Doering, T. L. & Heitman, J. 5-fluorocytosine

resistance is associated with hypermutation and alterations in capsule biosynthesis in

Cryptococcus. Nat. Commun. **11**, 127 (2020).

49.   Song, M. H. *et al.* A flucytosine-responsive Mbp1/Swi4-like protein, Mbs1, plays

pleiotropic roles in antifungal drug resistance, stress response, and virulence of

Cryptococcus neoformans. Eukaryot. Cell **11**, 53–67 (2012).

50.   Calo, S. *et al.* Antifungal drug resistance evoked via RNAi-dependent epimutations.

Nature **513**, 555–558 (2014).

51.   Litvintseva, A. P., Thakur, R., Vilgalys, R. & Mitchell, T. G. Multilocus sequence typing

reveals three genetic subpopulations of *Cryptococcus neoformans* var. *grubii* (serotype A),

including a unique population in Botswana. *Genetics* **172**, 2223–2238 (2006).

52.   Liu, O. W. *et al.* Systematic genetic analysis of virulence in the human fungal pathogen

Cryptococcus neoformans. Cell **135**, 174–188 (2008).

53.   Priest, S. J. *et al.* Factors enforcing the species boundary between the human pathogens

Cryptococcus neoformans and *Cryptococcus deneoformans. PLoS Genet.* **17**, e1008871

(2021).

54.   Kwon-Chung, K. J., Varma, A., Edman, J. C. & Bennett, J. Selection of *ura5* and *ura3*

mutants from the two varieties of *Cryptococcus neoformans* on 5-fluoroorotic acid

medium. *J. Med. Vet. Mycol.* **30**, 61–69 (1992).

55.   Edman, J. C. & Kwon-Chung, K. J. Isolation of the *URA5* gene from *Cryptococcus*

neoformans var. *neoformans* and its use as a selective marker for transformation. *Mol.*

Cell. Biol. **10**, 4538–4544 (1990).

56.   Nielsen, K. *et al.* Sexual cycle of *Cryptococcus neoformans* var. *grubii* and virulence of

congenic **a** and α Isolates. *Infect. Immun.* **71**, 4831–4841 (2003).

57.   Janbon, G. *et al.* Analysis of the genome and transcriptome of *Cryptococcus neoformans*

var. *grubii* reveals complex RNA expression and microevolution leading to virulence

attenuation. *PLoS Genet.* **10**, e1004261 (2014).

58. Fan, Y. & Lin, X. Multiple applications of a Transient CRISPR-Cas9 Coupled with

Electroporation (TRACE) system in the *Cryptococcus neoformans* species complex.

Genetics **208**, 1357–1372 (2018).

59. Vézina, C., Kudelski, A. & Sehgal, S. N. Rapamycin (AY-22,989), a new antifungal

antibiotic. *J. Antibiot. (Tokyo).* **28**, 721–726 (1975).

60. Kino, T. *et al.* Structure of FK506: a novel immunosuppressant isolated from a

Streptomyces. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **40**, 1249–1255 (1987).

61. So, Y.-S., Lee, D.-G., Idnurm, A., Ianiri, G. & Bahn, Y.-S. The TOR pathway plays

pleiotropic roles in growth and stress responses of the fungal pathogen *Cryptococcus*

neoformans. *Genetics* **212**, 1241–1258 (2019).

62. Juvvadi, P. R., Lamoth, F. & Steinbach, W. J. Calcineurin as a multifunctional regulator:

unraveling novel functions in fungal stress responses, hyphal growth, drug resistance, and

pathogenesis. *Fungal Biol. Rev.* **28**, 56–59 (2014).

63. Drinnenberg, I. A. *et al.* RNAi in budding yeast. *Science* **326**, 544–550 (2009).

64. Rahnama, M. *et al.* Transposon-mediated telomere destabilization: a driver of genome

evolution in the blast fungus. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **48**, 7197–7217 (2020).

65. Mason, J. A. & Biessmann, H. The unusual telomeres of *Drosophila*. *Trends Genet.* **11**,

58–62 (1995).

66. Pardue, M. Lou & DeBaryshe, P. G. Retrotransposons provide an evolutionarily robust

non-telomerase mechanism to maintain telomeres. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **37**, 485–511 (2003).

67. Ashe, A. *et al.* A deletion polymorphism in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* RIG-I homolog

disables viral RNA dicing and antiviral immunity. *eLife* **2**, e00994 (2013).

68. Félix, M.-A. *et al.* Natural and experimental infection of *Caenorhabditis* nematodes by

novel viruses related to nodaviruses. *PLoS Biol.* **9**, e1000586 (2011).

69. Clancey, S. A., Ruchti, F., Leibundgut-Landmann, S., Heitman, J. & Ianiri, G. A novel

mycovirus evokes transcriptional rewiring in the fungus *Malassezia* and stimulates beta

interferon production in macrophages. *mBio* **11**, 1–18 (2020).

70. Kanafani, Z. A. & Perfect, J. R. Resistance to antifungal agents: mechanisms and clinical

impact. *Antimicrob. Resist.* **46**, 120–128 (2008).

71. Weiberg, A. *et al.* Fungal small RNAs suppress plant immunity by hijacking host RNA

interference pathways. *Science* **342**, 118–123 (2013).

72. McClintock, B. The origin and behavior of mutable loci in maize. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.

U. S. A.* **36**, 344–355 (1950).

73. Rosenberg, A. *et al.* Antifungal tolerance is a subpopulation effect distinct from resistance

and is associated with persistent candidemia. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 2470 (2018).

74. Berman, J. & Krysan, D. J. Drug resistance and tolerance in fungi. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*

18, 319–331 (2020).

75. Devos, K. M., Brown, J. K. M. & Bennetzen, J. L. Genome size reduction through

illegitimate recombination counteracts genome expansion in *Arabidopsis. Genome Res.*

12, 1075–1079 (2002).

76. Vitte, C. & Panaud, O. LTR retrotransposons and flowering plant genome size: emergence

of the increase/decrease model. *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* **110**, 91–107 (2005).

77. Drinnenberg, I. A., Fink, G. R. & Bartel, D. P. Compatibility with killer explains the rise

of RNAi-deficient fungi. *Science* **333**, 1592 (2011).

78.   Barton, E. S. *et al.* Herpesvirus latency confers symbiotic protection from bacterial

1090   infection. *Nature* **447**, 326–329 (2007).

1091   79.   Radchenko, E. A., McGinty, R. J., Aksenova, A. Y., Neil, A. J. & Mirkin, S. M.

1092   Quantitative analysis of the rates for repeat-mediated genome instability in a yeast

1093   experimental system. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **1672**, 421–438 (2018).

1094   80.   Madeira, F. *et al.* The EMBL-EBI search and sequence analysis tools APIs in 2019.

1095   *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, W636–W641 (2019).

1096   81.   Pitkin, J. W., Panaccione, D. G. & Walton, J. D. A putative cyclic peptide efflux pump

1097   encoded by the *TOXA* gene of the plant-pathogenic fungus *Cochliobolus carbonurn.*

1098   *Microbiology* **142**, 1557–1565 (1996).

1099   82.   Sun, S., Priest, S. J. & Heitman, J. *Cryptococcus neoformans* mating and genetic crosses.

1100   *Curr. Protoc. Microbiol.* **53**, e75 (2019).

1101   83.   Yadav, V., Sun, S., Coelho, M. A. & Heitman, J. Centromere scission drives chromosome

1102   shuffling and reproductive isolation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **117**, 7917–7928

1103   (2020).

1104   84.   Bao, W., Kojima, K. K. & Kohany, O. Repbase Update, a database of repetitive elements

1105   in eukaryotic genomes. *Mob. DNA* **6**, 11 (2015).

1106   85.   Smit, A., Hubley, R. & Green, P. RepeatMasker Open-4.0. *http://www.repeatmasker.org*

1107   (2013).

1108   86.   Storer, J., Hubley, R., Rosen, J., Wheeler, T. J. & Smit, A. F. The Dfam community

1109   resource of transposable element families, sequence models, and genome annotations.

1110   *Mob. DNA* **12**, 2 (2021).

87.   Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler

transform. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1754–1760 (2009).

88.   Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**,

2078–2079 (2009).

89.   Garrison, E. & Marth, G. Haplotype-based variant detection from short-read sequencing.

arXiv 1207.3907 (2012).

90.   Roth, C., Sun, S., Billmyre, R. B., Heitman, J. & Magwene, P. M. A high-resolution map

of meiotic recombination in *Cryptococcus deneoformans* demonstrates decreased

recombination in unisexual reproduction. *Genetics* **209**, 567–578 (2018).

91.   Xu, S. Genetic mapping and genomic selection using recombination breakpoint data.

Genetics **195**, 1103–1115 (2013).

92.   Churchill, G. A. & Doerge, R. W. Empirical threshold values for quantitative trait

mapping. *Genetics* **138**, 963–971 (1994).

93.   Visscher, P. M., Thompson, R. & Haley, C. S. Confidence intervals in QTL mapping by

bootstrapping. *Genetics* **143**, 1013–1020 (1996).

94.   Arras, S. D. M., Chitty, J. L., Blake, K. L., Schulz, B. L. & Fraser, J. A. A genomic safe

haven for mutant complementation in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *PLoS One* **10**, e0122916

(2015).

95.   Idnurm, A., Reedy, J. L., Nussbaum, J. C. & Heitman, J. *Cryptococcus neoformans*

virulence gene discovery through insertional mutagenesis. *Eukaryot. Cell* **3**, 420–429

(2004).

96.   Fang, Y., Cui, L., Gu, B., Arredondo, F. & Tyler, B. M. Efficient genome editing in the

oomycete *Phytophthora sojae* using CRISPR/Cas9. *Curr. Protoc. Microbiol.* **44**, 21A.1.1-

21A.1.26 (2017).

97.   Andrews, S. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.

1136   *https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/* (2010).

1137   98.   Martin, M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.

1138   *EMBnet.journal* **17**, 10–12 (2011).

1139   99.   Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M. & Salzberg, S. L. Ultrafast and memory-efficient

1140   alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol.* **10**, R25 (2009).

1141   100.   Quinlan, A. R. & Hall, I. M. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic

1142   features. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 841–842 (2010).

1143   101.   Dahlmann, T. A. & Kück, U. Dicer-dependent biogenesis of small RNAs and evidence for

1144   microRNA-like RNAs in the penicillin producing fungus *Penicillium chrysogenum*. *PLoS*

1145   *One* **10**, e0125989 (2015).

1146   102.   Ianiri, G. *et al.* Mating-type-specific ribosomal proteins control aspects of sexual

1147   reproduction in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Genetics* **214**, 635–649 (2020).

1148

 Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure S1. Bt65 and Bt81 do not display a hypermutator phenotype on 5-FC or 5-FOA. Mutation rates of closely related VNBII strains and controls on **(A)** YNB + 5-FC and **(B)** YNB + 5-FOA media. Bars represent the mutation rate and error bars represent 95% confidence intervals; mutation rates represent the number of mutations per cell per generation. Schematic depicts the phylogenetic relationships of all strains included in fluctuation analyses based on Desjardins et al. 2017[20].

1158

1159

1160

1161

1162

1163 **Supplementary Figure S2. Growth at elevated temperature does not result in increased**

1164 **mutation rates in *C. neoformans* strains.** Fluctuation assays were used to quantify the mutation

1165 rates of strains grown overnight at 30°C or 37°C and plated on YPD + rapamycin + FK506

1166 medium. Bars indicate mean mutation rate and error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.

1167 Mutation rates represent the number of mutations per cell per generation.

1168

1169

Supplementary Figure S3. Gel electrophoresis of *FRR1*, *URA5*, and *FUR1* PCR products

from resistant colonies. Gel electrophoresis of *FRR1* PCR products from **(A)** all H99 rapamycin

+ FK506-resistant colonies and a subset of **(B)** Bt65 and Bt81 rapamycin + FK506-resistant

colonies sequenced in Figure 1D. PCR amplification of wild-type *FRR1* in *C. neoformans*

produces a 1,165 bp electrophoretic species (primers ZC7/8). Gel electrophoresis of a subset of

(C) *URA5* PCR products from H99, Bt65, and Bt81 5-FOA-resistant colonies and **(D)** *FUR1* and

(E) *UXS1* PCR products from 5-FC-resistanct colonies of Bt65 and Bt81.

1177

1178

Supplementary Figure S4. Protein length differences of genes within QTL. In the upper

panels, points mark the strength of association (y-axis) between bi-allelic SNP sites and

hypermutation for Chromosome 3 and Chromosome 11 (top left and right, respectively). Grey

dashed lines depict the 95% confidence intervals (CI) of the two QTL. For the bi-allelic SNPs

within the two QTL 95% CIs, p-value = 1.46868×10^{-5} (Kruskal-Wallis H-test). Lower panels

show the predicted differences in lengths of proteins (y-axis) encoded by annotated genes in

Bt65 compared to H99 within each 95% CI of the QTL (x-axis) on Chromosome 3 and

Chromosome 11 (bottom left and right, respectively). The name of each gene with a predicted

nonsense mutation is annotated. Blue and red colors denote the gene orientation.

1188

1189

1190

1191

Supplementary Figure S5. **Haplotype maps of Bt65 x H99 F₁ progeny utilized for QTL**

mapping. For the QTLs on Chromosome 3 and Chromosome 11 (left and right, respectively) the

haplotypes (x-axis) are inferred by SNP data per segregant (y-axis) and colored blue or orange if

inherited from H99 *crg1Δ* or Bt65, respectively. Segregants are sorted along the y-axis by the

quantification of their mutation rate; largest to smallest, top to bottom. Vertical red lines display

the boundaries of the QTL(s). Vertical black lines depict the approximate location of the

translocation between H99 and Bt65. The boundaries of the QTG, *ZNF3*, are depicted by vertical

green lines. Vertical white spaces indicate the approximate locations of the centromeres.

1200

1201

1202

1203

1204

1205

Supplementary Figure S6. **Large-scale genomic rearrangements between the H99, Bt65,**

Bt81, Bt89, and Bt133 genomes. Nanopore whole-genome sequencing followed by synteny

analysis was used to identify all indicated genomic rearrangements with respect to the reference

strain H99. There is a chromosomal translocation between Chromosomes 3 and 11 that is unique

to H99, and a translocation between H99 Chromosomes 1 and 13 that is unique to Bt65 and

Bt81. The phylogenetic relationships of these strains are depicted in the top schematic, telomeric

repeat sequences accurately identified in the genomic assemblies are indicated by black half

circles, and centromeres are indicated by white circles.

1214

1215

1216

1217

Supplementary Figure S7. **CNAG_01836 gene model.** A grey horizontal bar depicts the entire

gene body in the upper panel, and larger grey rectangles show locations of exons. The gene is

depicted 5' to 3', left to right, and is 1896 nt in length. The locations of SNPs differing between

Bt65 and H99 are shown by vertical black rungs along the gene model. Amino acids specified by

mRNA codons in the indicated region of CNAG_01836 Exon 7 (nucleotide 1831 to 1848) are

shown to illustrate the G to A mutation (nucleotide 1842) predicted to cause an early nonsense

mutation in Bt65. The bottom panel depicts the predicted outcome of the nonsense mutation on

the protein encoded by CNAG_01836 in Bt65.

1226

1227

1228

1229

1230

1231

Supplementary Figure S8. Mutation rates of Bt81 x H99 F₁ progeny. Fluctuation analysis

was used to quantify the mutation rates of the indicated strains on YPD + rapamycin + FK506

medium (y-axis) – sorted smallest to largest, left to right – for F₁ progeny and the parental

strains, H99α *crg1Δ* and Bt81 (x-axis). Bars indicate the mean mutation rate and error bars

represent 95% confidence intervals. Mutation rates represent the number of mutations per cell

per generation. Inheritance of the Bt81 *znf3* allele or H99 *crg1Δ ZNF3* allele in the F₁ progeny is

indicated above mutation rates.

1239

1240

1241

1242

1243

Supplementary Figure S9. Subtelomeric and centromeric retrotransposons in Bt89 and

Bt133. Distributions of the Tcn1-Tcn6 LTR-retrotransposons and the Cnl1 non-LTR

retrotransposon in the genomes of **(A)** Bt89 and **(B)** Bt133. 50 kb of subtelomeric regions as well

as centromeric regions are displayed for both strains. Shading corresponds to the lengths of the

Cnl1 elements, and gene arrowheads indicate the direction of transcription for all

retrotransposons.

1250

1251

1252

1253

1254

Supplementary Figure S10. Centromere lengths do not significantly differ among H99,

Bt65, Bt81, Bt89, and Bt133. The length of each centromere (y-axis) is plotted for each strain

(x-axis). The thin horizontal black line indicates average centromere length and the thicker black

error bars indicate the standard error of the mean. No significant difference was found between

the average centromere length of each strain (ANOVA, p-value = 0.153).

1260

1261

1262

1263

1264 **Supplementary Figure S11**. **Centromeric rearrangements among hypermutators and two**

1265 **closely related strains.** Shared homology, genomic rearrangements, inversions, insertions, and

1266 deletions among the centromeres of each of the 14 chromosomes are depicted for Bt65, Bt81,

1267 Bt89, and Bt133. The organization of LTR-retrotransposons Tcn1 through Tcn6 are also

1268 indicated for each centromere of each strain.

1269

1270

Supplementary Figure S12. **Distribution of Cnl1 among Bt65 x H99 F$_1$ progeny and parental strains.** The Cnl1 non-LTR elements identified in the nanopore-based whole-genome assemblies are depicted for H99, Bt65, three hypermutator F$_1$ progeny (P02, P08, and P34, all on the left), and three non-hypermutator F$_1$ progeny (P14, P18, and P20, all on the right). Blue and orange bars under the subtelomeric region of each chromosome indicate which parental strain the region was inherited from (orange for Bt65, blue for H99). Red asterisks indicate invasion of Cnl1 into an H99 subtelomeric region that previously had zero Cnl1 copies/fragments. Accurate assembly of telomeric repeat sequences at the end of each chromosome is indicated by a black half circle. Cnl1 length is also indicated by the shade of black for each element.

1280
1281

1282

Supplementary Figure S13. **Mutation frequencies of Bt65, Bt81, and phylogenetically closely related strains on YPD + rapamycin + FK506 medium. (A)** Mutation frequencies of all strains included in Figure 1B **(B)** Mutation frequencies of all strains excluding the *msh2Δ* mutant positive control and Bt84. In box-and-whisker plots, thicker middle lines in boxes represent the median, the vertical height of boxes represent interquartile ranges (IQRs), whiskers represent 1.5 × IQRs; points above or below the ends of whiskers represent outliers.

1289

1290

1291

Supplementary Figure S14. **Mutation frequencies of Bt65, Bt81, and phylogenetically**

closely related strains on 5-FC and 5-FOA. **(A)** Mutation frequencies of all strains included in

Figure S1A on YNB + 5-FC medium. **(B)** Mutation frequencies of all strains included in Figure

S1B on YNB + 5-FOA medium. In box-and-whisker plots, thicker middle lines in boxes

represent the median, the vertical height of boxes represent interquartile ranges (IQRs), whiskers

represent 1.5 × IQRs; points above or below the ends of whiskers represent outliers.

1298

Supplementary Figure S15. **Mutation frequencies of strains grown overnight at 30° or 37°**

on YPD + rapamycin + FK506 medium. (A) Mutation frequencies of all strains included in

Figure S2 **(B)** Mutation frequencies of all strains included in Figure S2 excluding *msh2Δ*, Bt65,

and Bt81 strains. In box-and-whisker plots, thicker middle lines in boxes represent the median,

the vertical height of boxes represent interquartile ranges (IQRs), whiskers represent 1.5 × IQRs;

points above or below the ends of whiskers represent outliers.

1305
1306
1307
1308

1309
Supplementary Figure S16. **Mutation frequencies of F$_1$ progeny derived from**

hypermutator strains. Mutation frequencies of all **(A)** H99 *crg1*Δα x Bt65**a** F$_1$ progeny

included in Figure 2A and **(B)** H99 *crg1*Δα x Bt81**a** F$_1$ progeny included in Figure S8 on YPD +

rapamycin + FK506 medium. In box-and-whisker plots, thicker middle lines in boxes represent

the median, the vertical height of boxes represent interquartile ranges (IQRs), whiskers represent

1.5 × IQRs; points above or below the ends of whiskers represent outliers.

1316

1317

Supplementary Figure S17. **Mutation frequencies of Bt65+*ZNF3*, *ago1*Δ, and *rdp1*Δ genetic mutants on YPD+rapamycin+FK506 medium.** Mutation frequencies and raw data used to compute mutation rates shown in Figure 5A **(A)**, and Figure 5B **(B)**. In box-and-whisker plots, thicker middle lines in boxes represent the median, the vertical height of boxes represent interquartile ranges (IQRs), whiskers represent 1.5 × IQRs; points above or below the ends of whiskers represent outliers.

1324

1325

1326

1327

1328

1329

Supplementary Figure S18. **Genomic diagnostic plot of Bt65 x H99 F₁ progeny 25.** For the

14 chromosomes (columns), the $\log_2$ (read depth) (top row) and allelic read depth ratio (bottom

row) per genetic variant are shown for the progeny 25. Red and blue colors indicate the

prediction of the allele inherited (Bt65 vs. H99, respectively) at each genetic variant. Allelic read

depth ratios nearing 0.5 suggest both alleles are present for a given genetic variant. Marked

ranges on Chromosomes 3 and 11 $\log_2$ (read depth) plots indicate the significant hypermutator

QTL. Black vertical lines depict the boundaries of the centromeres. The boundaries of the *MAT*

locus on Chromosome 5 are shown by vertical green lines.

1338

1339

1340

1341

1342

1343

Supplementary Figure S19. **Visualization of genetic variant filtering criteria.** (**A**) For the 14

chromosomes (columns), the quality scores of the genetic variants (x-axis) vs. the minimum read

depth across the 28 Bt65 x H99 F_1 segregants (y-axis). (**B**) the quality scores of genetic variants

(x-axis) vs. the portion of progeny with the Bt65 allele per genetic variant (y-axis) per

chromosome (columns). (**C**) The portion of progeny with the Bt65 allele per genetic variant (y-

axis) across each chromosome (x-axis). The raw genetic variants are shown in black and the

filtered SNPs, used in analysis, are shown in red.

1351

1352

1353

1354

Supplementary Figure S20. Identification and confirmation of correct Bt65+*ZNF3*

transformants. (A) BtsI restriction enzyme digestion of *ZNF3* PCR products from

nourseothricin-resistant transformants and controls (primers SJP186/187). **(B)** PCR amplification

of the ZNF3 allele using primers outside of the Bt133 *ZNF3* allele used for homologous

recombination (primers SJP208/209). PCR amplification to ensure correct integration of the **(C)**

5' and **(D)** 3' ends of the Bt133 *ZNF3* allele at the endogenous *ZNF3* locus (primers SJP208/187,

and SJP186/209, respectively). PCR to ensure neither **(E)** *CAS9* nor **(F)** the gRNA constructs

were integrated into the transformants (primers JOHE41657/45812 and JOHE50451/50452,

respectively).

1364

Supplementary Figure S21. Confirmation of correct *rdp1Δ* and *ago1Δ* transformants. (A) PCR amplification of the *RDP1* and *AGO1* wild-type (WT) alleles using primers internal to the indicated ORF (primers SJP146/147 & SJP247/248, respectively). **(B)** Confirmation that only a single deletion construct was integrated at the *RDP1* (SJP144/145) and *AGO1* (SJP245/246) endogenous loci. PCR amplification to confirm absense of the **(C)** 5' (*RDP1*: SJP144/147; *AGO1*: SJP245/248) and **(D)** 3' ends (*RDP1*: SJP146/SJP145; *AGO1*: SJP247/246) of the indicated wild-type alleles. PCR amplification to confirm presence of the **(E)** 5' (*rdp1*: SJP144/141; *ago1*: SJP245/242) and **(F)** 3' ends (*rdp1*: SJP140/SJP145; *ago1*: SJP241/246) of the indicated deletion (Δ) constructs.

1375

1376

1377

1378

1379

Supplementary Figure S22. **Enrichment for dsRNA does not identify any fragments likely**

to be dsRNA mycoviruses. Pictured on the left are RNA samples following LiCl enrichment for

dsRNA run on a 1% agarose gel. Total RNA prior to dsRNA enrichment is pictured on the right

on a 1% agarose gel. *Ms+* is a *Malassezia sympodialis* strain that harbors a dsRNA virus, and

Ms- is a congenic virus-cleared strain[69]. Two biological replicates for all samples are shown and

labeled (1) and (2). The TriDye 1 kb DNA ladder (NEB) was used to estimate RNA fragment

sizes.

1387

1388 **Supplementary Table Legends**

1389 **Supplementary Table S1. Strains included in preliminary screen of SDC isolates for**

1390 **hypermutation phenotype.**

1391

1392 **Supplementary Table S2. Genetic variants and predicted changes in genes within QTL**

1393 **between H99 and Bt65.**

1394

1395 **Supplementary Table S3. (A) Centromere lengths in H99, Bt65, Bt81, Bt89, and Bt133, and**

1396 **(B) one-way ANOVA and Tukey's HSD post hoc statistical tests for differences in mean**

1397 **centromere length.**

1398

1399 **Supplementary Table S4. sRNA analysis**

1400

1401 **Supplementary Table S5. Strains used in this study.**

1402

1403 **Supplementary Table S6. Mutation rates and 95% confidence intervals for all fluctuation**

1404 **assays.**

1405

1406 **Supplementary Table S7. Fluctuation assay data and calculated mutation frequencies**

1407

1408 **Supplementary Table S8. Oligonucleotides used in this study.**

1409

1410 **Supplementary Table S9. Cnl1 insertion sequences in PCR products.**

1411

1412 **Supplementary Table S10. Aneuploid, diploid, and clonal Bt65 x H99 F$_1$ progeny.**