Tardigrade CAHS Proteins Act as Molecular Swiss Army Knives to Mediate Desiccation Tolerance Through Multiple Mechanisms.

Cherie S. Hesgrove[1], Kenny H. Nguyen[1], Sourav Biswas[1], Charles A. Childs[1], Shraddha KC[1], Bryan X. Medina[2], Vladimir Alvarado[2], Feng Yu[3], Shahar Sukenik[3,4], Marco Malferrari[5], Francesco Francia[6], Giovanni Venturoli[6,7], Erik W. Martin[8], Alex S. Holehouse[9,10], Thomas C. Boothby[1]

[1] Molecular Biology Department, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY, USA
[2] Chemical Engineering Department, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY USA
[3] Quantitative Systems Biology Program, University of California, Merced, CA, USA
[4] Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Merced, CA, USA
[5] Dipartimento di Chimica "Giacomo Ciamician", Università di Bologna, via Selmi 2, I-40126 Bologna, Italy
[6] Laboratorio di Biochimica e Biofisica Molecolare, Dipartimento di Farmacia e Biotecnologie, FaBiT, Università di Bologna, via Irnerio 42, I-40126 Bologna, Italy
[7] Consorzio Nazionale Interuniversitario per le Scienze Fisiche della Materia (CNISM), c/o Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia (DIFA), Università di Bologna, via Irnerio 46, I-40126 Bologna, Italy
[8] Department of Structural Biology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN, USA
[9] Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA
[10] Center for Science and Engineering of Living Systems (CSELS), Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO, USA

Abstract

Tardigrades, also known as water bears, make up a phylum of small but extremely hardy animals, renowned for their ability to survive extreme stresses, including desiccation. How tardigrades survive desiccation is one of the enduring mysteries of animal physiology. Here we show that CAHS D, an intrinsically disordered protein belonging to a unique family of proteins possessed only by tardigrades, undergoes a liquid-to-gel phase transition in a concentration dependent manner. Unlike other gelling proteins, such as gelatin, our data support a mechanism in which gel formation of CAHS D is driven by intermolecular β-β interactions. We find that gel formation corresponds with strong coordination of water and slowing of water diffusion. The degree of water coordination correlates with the ability of CAHS D to protect lactate dehydrogenase from unfolding when dried. This implies that the mechanism for unfolding protection can be attributed to a combination of hydration and slowed molecular motion. Conversely, rapid diffusion leading to efficient molecular shielding appears to be the predominant mechanism preventing protein aggregation. Our study demonstrates that distinct mechanisms are required for holistic protection during desiccation, and that protectants, such as CAHS D, can act as molecular 'Swiss Army Knives' capable of providing protection through several different mechanisms simultaneously.

Introduction

Anhydrobiosis, the ability to survive near-complete water loss, is an intriguing trait found in all kingdoms of life (Crowe and Clegg, 1973). Extreme drying can impart a number of stresses on biological systems (Boothby, 2019; Boothby and Pielak, 2017; Hesgrove and Boothby, 2020), with protein dysfunctions being a major set of common perturbations (Boothby, 2019; Boothby and Pielak, 2017; Hesgrove and Boothby, 2020). The two prevalent, and non-mutually exclusive,

forms of protein dysfunction during desiccation are protein unfolding and protein aggregation
(Hesgrove and Boothby, 2020).
Many desiccation-tolerant organisms protect their cells from drying induced damage by
accumulating non-reducing sugars, such as sucrose (Yathisha et al., 2020) or trehalose (Erkut
et al., 2011; Laskowska and Kuczyńska-Wiśnik, 2020; Mitsumasu et al., 2010; Tapia et al., 2015;
Tapia and Koshland, 2014). The enrichment of disaccharides was long thought to be a universal
feature of desiccation tolerance. However, several robustly anhydrobiotic organisms, such as
tardigrades and rotifers, do not accumulate high levels of sugars during drying (Boothby et al.,
2017; Hengherr et al., 2008; Lapinski and Tunnacliffe, 2003). Instead, these animals use a diverse
array of intrinsically disordered proteins (IDPs) to provide adaptive protection against desiccation
(Boothby et al., 2017; Boothby and Pielak, 2017; Denekamp et al., 2010; Hesgrove and Boothby,
2020; Piszkiewicz et al., 2019; Tripathi, 2012; Tripathi et al., 2012).
One example of stress tolerant IDPs are Cytoplasmic Abundant Heat Soluble (CAHS)
proteins, which are employed by tardigrades to survive desiccation (Boothby et al., 2017; Boothby
and Pielak, 2017; Hesgrove and Boothby, 2020; Piszkiewicz et al., 2019). A model CAHS protein,
CAHS D, is required for anhydrobiosis and provides desiccation protection when heterologously
expressed in yeast and bacteria (Boothby et al., 2017). *In vitro,* CAHS D can protect lactate
dehydrogenase (LDH) from denaturation when subjected to desiccation and rehydration (Boothby
et al., 2017; Boothby and Pielak, 2017). However, a holistic molecular understanding of how
CAHS proteins confer desiccation tolerance remains unknown.
A general mechanism proposed to explain desiccation tolerance is the vitrification
hypothesis (Crowe et al., 1998). This hypothesis hinges on slowed molecular motion reducing the
frequency and speed of damaging processes, such as protein unfolding. In the early stages of
drying, protection is proposed to occur through inducing high viscosity in the system, slowing
diffusion and molecular motion. Once in the vitrified solid state, molecular motion is slowed so
dramatically that biological processes are essentially stopped, preventing further degradation of
the system. While it has been shown that tardigrades and their CAHS proteins form vitrified solids,
vitrification is not mutually exclusive with other potential mechanisms of desiccation tolerance
(Boothby, 2021; Boothby et al., 2017; Boothby and Pielak, 2017; Hengherr et al., 2009; Hesgrove
and Boothby, 2020).
Here we set out to further understand the mechanism(s) that underlie desiccation
protection of client proteins by CAHS D. We present evidence that CAHS D can undergo a sol-
gel transition in a concentration- and temperature-reversible manner. To understand how gelation
impacts desiccation tolerance, we combined rational sequence design with a suite of
complementary structural and biophysical techniques. Unexpectedly, we found that the
mechanisms underlying protein stabilization do not correlate with the inhibition of protein
aggregation, revealing that protection against these two major forms of protein dysfunction are
mechanistically distinct. We also find that CAHS D's interactions with water, as measured through
T_2 relaxation, was a strong predictor of unfolding protection. Our findings shed light not only on
the fundamental biology underlying tardigrade anhydrobiosis and the function of IDPs during
desiccation, but also provides avenues for pursuing applications such as the engineering of stress
tolerant crops, and the stabilization of temperature sensitive therapeutics in a dry state.

Results

CAHS D undergoes a sol-gel transition

CAHS D (Uniprot: P0CU50) is a highly charged 227-residue protein that is predicted to be fully disordered (Boothby et al., 2017; Hesgrove and Boothby, 2020; Yamaguchi et al., 2012). During its expression and purification, it was observed that CAHS D undergoes a sol-gel phase transition, transitioning from a liquid into a solid gel state (Figure 1a). CAHS D gelation is concentration dependent: solutions below ~10 g/L (0.4 mM) remain diffuse, solutions 10 g/L − 15 g/L are increasingly viscous, and above ~15 g/L (0.6 mM), form robust gels (Figure 1a).

Gelation is reversible through heating (Figure 1a) and dilution (Figure 1b). Reversibility suggests that gelation is driven by non-covalent physical crosslinks, as opposed to chemical crosslinks which would yield an irreversible gel (Almdal et al., 1993). The thermal dependence of the sol-gel transition suggests that favorable enthalpy drives gelation, rather than the hydrophobic effect, since hydrophobic interactions are stabilized by increasing temperature (Dignon et al., 2019; Dill et al., 1989; van Dijk et al., 2015). This is reinforced by the reversibility seen through resolvation (Figure 1b), which would not lead to dissolution of hydrophobic interactions. Thus, gelation is driven by non-hydrophobic, non-covalent interactions such as hydrogen bonding, polar interactions, or charge interactions (Dignon et al., 2019).

High-resolution imaging reveals that CAHS D gels form reticular networks (Figure 1c). A fine meshwork of CAHS D fibers is interspersed with large pores (Figure 1c, Figure 1 – figure supplement 1a bottom left). This topology is similar to gels formed by gelatin, (Figure 1c) and morphologically distinct from crystalline solids formed by lysozyme (Figure 1c). The macromolecular architecture of CAHS D gels is reminiscent of that formed by synthetic polymers, in which relatively sparse physical crosslinks underlie the network connectivity (McComb et al., 2019). This implies that specific regions of CAHS D may drive intermolecular interactions.

Further investigation of the reticular mesh of the gel formed by CAHS D was pursued with small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) using a concentration gradient of the protein (Figure 1 - figure supplement 1a). We observed the concentration-dependent emergence of a scattering peak, indicative of a repeating structure approximately 9.5 nm in size (Figure 1 - figure supplement 1a top panels). This feature size corresponds well with the ~10 nm fibers observed in our SEM imaging (Figure 1 - figure supplement 1a top-left panel). SAXS also allowed us to determine the mesh-size within the fibers, which shrunk in a concentration-dependent manner from ~26 Å at low CAHS D concentration, to ~20 Å at higher concentrations, consistent with greater structural integrity at higher concentrations (Figure 1 - figure supplement 1a bottom-right panel).

Gelation Slows Diffusion, Vitrification Immobilizes Proteins

Slowed molecular motion through high viscosity and eventual vitrification is a cornerstone hypothesis in desiccation tolerance (Buitink and Leprince, 2004; Crowe et al., 1998). To determine how molecular motion is impacted in CAHS D gels, we assessed the diffusion of water in solutions of varying CAHS D concentration using low-field time-domain nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (TD-NMR). This method is commonly used to determine the diffusion of water and oils within liquid, gel, and even solid materials (Alacik Develioglu et al., 2020; Rondeau-Mouro et al., 2019).

CAHS D dramatically slowed the diffusion of water, both below and above its gelation

point (15 g/L, 0.6 mM) (Figure 1d). Gelatin, which forms gels through entwined triple helices,
slowed diffusion more than CAHS D. In contrast, lysozyme, a non-gelling protein, slowed diffusion
much less than both gelling proteins (Figure 1d).
To probe the degree to which hydration influences immobilization of the vitrified gel matrix,
we performed hydrogen deuterium exchange (HDX) on dried and rehydrated gels at low and high
relative humidities (RH=11% and RH=75%, respectively; Figure 1e). HDX experiments can
distinguish between a tightly packed, conformationally restricted matrix (slow exchange), and a
loosely packed pliable matrix (rapid exchange). At both relative humidities, the kinetics appear
biphasic (Figure 1e). The major phase occurs quickly for both samples, but much faster in
RH=75% (minutes) than RH=11% (tens of minutes) (Figure 1e bottom panel). The minor phase,
present in both samples, is much slower (days). The limited total exchange (37%) observed at
RH=11% is likely due to a substantial fraction of the CAHS amide groups in the matrix being
excluded from contact with the deuterated atmosphere. Such an exclusion indicates tight packing
of the CAHS protein within the matrix, and strong inhibition of conformational fluctuations
decreasing accessibility of peptide amide groups to the deuterated atmosphere. This is consistent
with the observation that, when incubated at RH=75%, the fraction of deuterated amide groups
(83%) is more than doubled. The higher hydration level of the matrix at RH=75% (see the
stretching D_2O band in Figure 3 – figure supplement 1c) plasticizes the protein, increasing
conformational fluctuations and amide accessibility to atmosphere. When both samples are kept
at RH=100%, they both achieve the same level of HDX as a solubilized protein (Figure 1e, dashed
line), indicating that the total amides competent for exchange in each protein sample is equivalent
when fully hydrated. Therefore we conclude that increased HDX rates of CAHS D amides (Figure
1e) were strongly correlated with humidity, demonstrating dehydration-dependent immobilization
of the CAHS D vitrified matrix.
In summary, biochemical, imaging, and biophysical assessment of CAHS D demonstrate
that this protective protein undergoes a sol-gel transition, likely driven by assembly through non-
covalent, non-hydrophobic interactions, and that the dynamics of the gel and embedded client
proteins is dramatically influenced by the level of residual moisture in the system. The strong
responsiveness of CAHS D to hydration and its ability to slow water diffusion has implications for
the molecular mechanisms of client protein protection.
Dumbbell-like Ensemble of CAHS D
CAHS proteins are highly disordered (Boothby et al., 2017; Hesgrove and Boothby, 2020), so
standard structural studies are not feasible (Boothby et al., 2017; Hesgrove and Boothby, 2020).
Instead, we performed all-atom Monte Carlo simulations to assess the predicted ensemble-state
adopted by monomeric CAHS D proteins. Simulations revealed a dumbbell-like ensemble, with
the N- and C-termini of the protein forming relatively collapsed regions that are held apart from
one another by an extended and highly charged linker region (LR) (Figure 2a, Movie 1). Moreover,
meta-stable transient helices are observed throughout the linker region (LR), while transient β
sheets are observed in the N- and C-terminal regions (Figure 2a, Figure 2 – figure supplement
1a&b).
171

Figure 1: Gel properties of CAHS D. a) Reversible temperature and concentration dependent gelation of CAHS D. CAHS D at 20°C (top panel) shows gelation beginning at 15 g/L (0.6 mM), while at 50°C gelation is not observed in any concentration. Once cooled, gel formation recurs at 15 g/L. b) Dilution of 20 g/L (0.8 mM) CAHS D gel in 20mM Tris buffer results in resolvation. c) SEM images of lysozyme (248X), gelatin (35,000X), and CAHS D (11,000X and 110,000X). Images show the reticular nature of CAHS D gel structure is similar to that of gelatin. All SEM imaging was performed with proteins at 50 g/L. d) Δ Diffusion coefficients for lysozyme (pink), CAHS D (blue), and gelatin (gold). Gelled proteins show more dramatic slowing of ΔDC than non-gelling lysozyme, in a concentration dependent manner. Linear regressions for the full concentration range are shown as dotted lines, with R^2 presented for each fit. A minimum of three measurements were used to calculate average diffusion values for all proteins at all concentrations. e) Kinetics of amide HDX in CAHS D glassy matrices. Left panel: CAHS vitrified gels at uniform initial hydration level were exposed to a D_2O atmosphere at RH=11% (black symbols) or RH=75% (blue symbols). Subsequently both were transitioned to pure D_2O atmosphere (RH=100%), which demonstrated that both dried gels showed similar HDX when fully saturated (supplemental text for more details). The dashed line represents the value of the amide II' band area normalized to the area of the amide I' band area. Right panel is an expansion of the left panel, showing the initial phase of the HDX kinetics. Source data for Figure 1 is available in files: Figure 1d - Source Data 1.xlsx and Figure 1e - Source Data 1.xlsx.

To validate simulations, we performed SAXS on monomeric CAHS D. The radius of gyration (R_g) – a measure of global protein dimensions – we obtained was 4.84 nm (simulation R_g = 5.1 nm), and the aligned scattering profiles obtained from simulation and experiment show

good agreement (Figure 2e, Figure 2 – figure supplement 1c). These dimensions are substantially
larger than those expected if a protein of this size was either folded (R_g = 1.5 - 2.5 nm) or behaved
as a Gaussian chain (R_g = 3.8 nm), yet smaller than a self-avoiding random coil (R_g = 6.5 nm)
(Figure 2f).
The expanded nature of CAHS D derives from the LR, which contains a high density of
well-mixed oppositely charged residues (Figure 2b, Figure 2 – figure supplement 1d), preventing
its conformational collapse (Das and Pappu, 2013; Holehouse et al., 2017). The transient helices
formed in the LR have an amphipathic nature, (Figure 2a-c, Figure 2 – figure supplement 1a) and
are predicted to have a hydrophobic and a charged face (Hesgrove and Boothby, 2020) (Figure
2c). We note that the CAHS D linker is among the most well-mixed, high-charge sequences in
the entire tardigrade proteome (Figure 2 – figure supplement 2c); thus the extended nature of this
sequence likely represents a functional, evolutionarily selected trait.
Circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy confirms the largely disordered nature of full-length
CAHS, with some propensity for α-helical and β-sheet formation (Figure 2d). CD spectroscopy
performed on truncation mutants containing only the LR or N-terminal region confirmed
substantial helical content in the LR (~50%), and β-sheet content in the N-terminal region (~20%)
(Figure 2d). We observed no residual structure by CD in the isolated C-terminal region, contrary
to the predicted β-sheet content. This could be caused by the loss of sequence context in the
truncated terminus, so we inserted three structurally disruptive prolines (Imai and Mitaku, 2005;
Williams et al., 2004) into the predicted C-terminal β-sheets of the full-length protein (Figure 3 –
figure supplement 1a). CD on FL-Proline showed a ~50% reduction in β-sheet content relative to
wildtype (Figure 2d; Figure 1 – figure supplement 1b), confirming the β-sheet nature of the C-
terminus.
Overall these data indicate that CAHS D exists in a dumbbell-like ensemble, which moves
through conformational states consisting largely of β-sheeted termini held apart by an extended
α-helical linker.
219
Gelation is Driven by Terminal Interactions
A dumbbell-like protein with beta-sheeted termini would be an ideal candidate for gelation via the
'stickers and spacers' model (Choi et al., 2020; Li et al., 2012). In this model, discrete sites along
a protein that contribute attractive intermolecular interactions are designated as stickers while
non-interactive regions are spacers. Here, the N- and C-terminal regions of CAHS D can be
considered stickers, while the LR is a spacer. When the spacer has a large effective solvation
volume, like the expanded linker of CAHS D, phase separation is suppressed in favor of a sol-
gel transition (Harmon et al., 2017). Moreover, we predict that intra-protein terminal interactions,
which would suppress assembly through valence capping, are reduced by the separation
enforced through the extended LR (Figure 2c) (Sanders et al., 2020). Based on our biophysical
characterization of the monomeric protein, we hypothesized that gel formation of CAHS D occurs
through inter-protein β-β interactions mediated between termini (Figure 2g).
232
233
234

Figure 2. CAHS D gelation is driven by the stickers and spacers model. a) Bioinformatic predictions of secondary structure characteristics (top) and representative conformational global ensemble model (bottom) of CAHS D showing the extended central linker and sticky termini. b) Top panels illustrate the relationship between sequence expansion, disorder, charge density, and net charge of CAHS D. Heat map (bottom) shows normalized distance between residues as predicted in simulations. c) Helical wheel plot (HeliQuest, plothelix) shows the distribution of charged faces in a predicted linker helix. Colors represent the amino acid type (yellow, hydrophobic; grey, non-polar; red, acidic; and blue, basic). The arrow indicates the direction of the hydrophobic moment. d) The ratio of α helix, disorder, and β sheet secondary structure propensity determined by CD spectroscopy for CAHS D, FL-Proline, C-terminus, Linker and N-terminus. e) Raw SAXS data for monomeric CAHS D protein (left) and the Kratky transformation (right). Experimental data (black circles) and the form factor calculated from simulations (green lines) were normalized to the zero-angle scattering and overlaid. f) CAHS D (black) radius of gyration determined from simulations, compared radii of a self-avoiding random coil (blue) and a gaussian chain (red), of equal linear size. g) Proposed mechanism of gelation for WT CAHS D. As water is removed from the system, monomers assemble through β-β interactions in the termini. These interactions are strengthened as drying progresses. Upon rehydration, CAHS D gel can easily disassemble as seen in Figure 1c. Source data for Figure 2 is available in files: Figure 2d - Source Data 1.xlsx, Figure 2e – Source Data 1.zip and on GitHub.

To test this, we generated a range of CAHS D variants (Figure 3a) which disrupt the
dumbbell-like ensemble, and thus should not gel. Consistent with our hypothesis that β-β
interactions drive gelation, all variants lacking at least one termini resulted in a loss of gelation (N,
LR, FL-Proline, NL1, CL1; Figure 3a, Figure 3 – figure supplements 3a&b). Unexpectedly, variants
that replaced one terminal region for another (NLN and CLC; Figure 3a) also did not form gels
under the conditions tested. These results show that heterotypic interactions between N- and C-
termini are crucial for strong gel formation, implicating molecular recognition and specificity
encoded by the termini. Interestingly, the 2X Linker variant, which maintained heterotypic termini
but doubled the length of the linker, gelled rapidly at 5 g/L (0.1 mM), well below the gelling point
of the wildtype protein at 15 g/L (0.6 mM) (Figure 3a). Thus, the length of the linker may tune the
gel point by determining the monomeric molecular volume, setting the overlap concentration,
which is a key determinant of the gel point (Colby, 2010; Sakumichi et al., 2021).
265

Optimal Hydration for β-β Stability
Using Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) we observed that β-sheet interactions are
maximal in CAHS glasses at 95% relative humidity (RH), and lowest in fully hydrated gels and
glasses at 11% RH (Figure 3b). This raises the possibility that there is an optimal hydration level
for stabilizing β-β contacts, which may relate to the need for higher stability while the matrix is
undergoing drying or rehydration.
To confirm the role of β-β interactions in gelation, we assayed CAHS D solutions at ranging
concentrations with thioflavin T (ThT). ThT is used as a fluorescent indicator of amyloid fibrils
(Biancalana et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2009), and can report on β-β interactions (Ge et al., 2018;
Namioka et al., 2020; Peccati et al., 2017). We observed increases in ThT fluorescence intensity
as a function of CAHS D concentration, with the most dramatic increase between 5 – 10 g/L (0.2
– 0.4 mM), suggesting nucleation & assembly of CAHS D monomers prior to gelation at 15 g/L
(0.6 mM) (Figure 3c). Gelatin did not show concentration dependent changes in ThT fluorescence
and was not significantly different from buffer controls (Figure 3c). ThT labeling of NLN and CLC
variants showed a concentration-dependent increase in fluorescence, with more interactions
shown in NLN than CLC (Figure 3c), consistent with the predicted degree of β-sheet content in
each (Figure 2a&d). FL-Proline β-β interactions were lesser than variants with two folded termini,
as expected. These data suggest that β-β interactions increase parallel to gelation and that
assembly events are occurring between monomers, prior to an observed system-wide sol-gel
transition.
Together, our SAXS data and bioinformatic analyses show that the extended nature of the protein
can be attributed to the LR, and that this extension helps to hold the termini of an individual protein
apart. CD, FTIR, and ThT labeling data show that CAHS D gelation is mediated by inter-protein
β-β contacts formed through the interaction of the termini, which are influenced by hydration.
290

Figure 3: Gelation of CAHS D relies on its dumbbell-like ensemble, and intermolecular β-β interactions. a)
Schematic of the variant structure, the gel propensity of each listed concentration, and photos of the proteins in solution
at indicated concentrations. Only CAHS D and the 2X Linker variant showed gelation. Future depictions of these
constructs include a green "G" next to the cartoon to indicate their ability to form a gel. b) Relative secondary structure
content of CAHS D as determined by FTIR analysis of the amide I' band in a glassy matrix at different hydration levels,
and in the hydrated gel state at a concentration of 16 g/L (0.6 mM). The Gaussian sub-bands centered in the
wavenumber interval (1644-1648 cm^{-1}) are attributed to unordered/helical regions, while the Gaussian components
peaking in the wavenumber intervals (1619-1628 cm^{-1}) and (1676-1688 cm^{-1}) are indicative of interprotein β-sheet
structures. c) Thioflavin T (ThT) fluorescence as a function of concentration for CAHS D, gelatin, NLN, CLC and FL-
Proline. Error bars represent standard deviation. Significance determined using a Welch's t-test. All experiments
presented used a minimum of 3 replicates. Asterisks represent significance relative to wild type CAHS D. *p<0.05,
**p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001, NS is not significant. Source data for Figure 3 is available in files: Figure 3b -
Source Data 1.xlsx and Figure 3c - Source Data 1.xlsx.

Mechanistic Determinants of Protection

Protein gelation is uncommon in a biologically relevant context, and in these instances is often
functional (Böni et al., 2017; Kesimer et al., 2010). This led us to wonder if gelation of CAHS D is
linked to protection. The enzyme lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) unfolds and becomes irreversibly
non-functional (Boothby et al., 2017; Piszkiewicz et al., 2019; Rani, 2019), but does not aggregate
(Chakrabortee et al., 2012; Popova et al., 2015), during desiccation and an LDH activity assay is
commonly employed to measure protection against unfolding (Boothby et al., 2017; Piszkiewicz
et al., 2019; Popova et al., 2015).

Figure 4: Gelation promotes protection against protein unfolding, but not aggregation, during desiccation.
Unfolding(a) and aggregation (b) PD50 values (shown left to right, in order from best to worst) of each variant.. Lower
PD50s correspond with better protective capability. Significance was determined for both (a) and (b) using a Welch's
t-test, of variant's protection relative to that of wildtype. c) Ranking of variants in both the unfolding and aggregation
assays, with the lowest PD50 (best protectant) at the top and the highest PD50 (worst protectant) at the bottom.
Refer to (a) and (b) for information on relative protective ranking of each variant. Green G indicates that a variant is
gel forming. d) ΔDiffusion Coefficients for all variants at 1 mM, calculated from linear fits for each variant's full
concentration range (1-20 mg/mL). Error bars represent the standard error for the full concentration range for each
variant. Significance was determined as a χ^2 analysis of the equality of linear regression coefficients for the linear fit
of each variant, compared to that of CAHS D. All experiments used a minimum of 3 replicates. Asterisks represent
significance relative to the wildtype.*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001, NS is not significant. Source data for
Figure 4 is available in files: Figure 4a - Source Data 1.xlsx, Figure 4b - Source Data 1.xlsx and Figure 4d - Source
Data 1.xlsx.

In contrast, citrate synthase (CS) forms non-functional aggregates after successive rounds
of dehydration and rehydration (Chakrabortee et al., 2012). We tested all gelling and non-gelling
variants (Figure 3a) using both assays, to determine how gelation influences CAHS D protective
capacity (Figure 4a&b, Figure 4 – figure supplement 1), and were surprised to see that while
gelling variants prevented unfolding best, they performed least well at preventing aggregation
(Figure 4a&b, Figure 4 – figure supplement 1), suggesting that different forms of protein
dysfunction are prevented through distinct mechanisms.

336
Determinants of Aggregation Protection
The mechanism most often attributed to the prevention of desiccation-induced protein aggregation is the molecular shielding hypothesis (Chakrabortee et al., 2012; Furuki et al., 2020; Hatanaka et al., 2013). This posits that protein aggregation can be prevented by protectants that act as disordered spacers, which impede interactions between aggregation prone molecules (Chakrabortee et al., 2012; Furuki et al., 2020; Goyal et al., 2005; Hatanaka et al., 2013). Shielding proteins generally have some means of interacting with client proteins, although the interactions are weak (Chakrabortee et al., 2012; Furuki et al., 2020; Hatanaka et al., 2013; Ikeda et al., 2020).

Results from our CS aggregation assay are consistent with this mechanism; the presence of extended LR with a single terminus emerged as the primary determinant of CS aggregation prevention (Figure 4b). Meanwhile, the presence of two folded termini seemed antagonistic to aggregation protection, suggesting that higher order assembly, made possible by two termini, is detrimental to preventing aggregation.

To determine the role of diffusion in protection, we measured diffusion of water and compared this with aggregation protection (Figure 4c,d). We found that neither aggregation protection nor diffusion were dependent on molecular weight or gelation. For example, FL-Proline (~25 kDa) is best at aggregation protection, does not form gels, and has much faster water diffusion than CAHS D (~25 kDa). On the other hand, NLN (~31 kDa) slowed diffusion nearly identically to CAHS D, yet did not form gels, and ranked 6th at protecting from aggregation (CAHS D was ranked 7th) (Figure 4c,d).

We found that diffusion at 1mM normalized to the variant's molecular weight loosely followed an inverse trend, where faster diffusion trended with lower aggregation PD50s (Figure 5d, Figure 5 – figure supplement 2d). In general, the higher linker content a variant contained, the better it performed at aggregation protection, so long as the variant also had a single folded terminus. Variants with a greater than 75% proportion of N-terminal content (NL1 and N-terminus), were outliers to this trend, both by having a high PD50 with fast diffusion (N-terminus), and by having a very low PD50 with slow diffusion (NL1). This is an interesting result that indicates that the N-terminus may in some way impact the relationship between diffusion and aggregation protection.

The negative relationship between diffusion and aggregation protection conflicts with key aspects of the vitrification hypothesis. However, if we consider aggregation protection to be primarily driven through molecular shielding, then this antagonism between anti-aggregation activity and diffusion can be understood more clearly. Slowed diffusion would dampen dynamic movement of protectants around clients, limiting client isolation, making the protectant a less effective aggregation shield. This suggests that rapid diffusion may be a key part of molecular shielding.

Determinants of Unfolding Protection
Gelation and diffusion also cannot fully explain protection against unfolding. For example, NLN did not gel, had diffusion identical to that of CAHS D, and was more protective against unfolding damage than CAHS D. Thus, neither diffusion nor gelation alone can predict unfolding protection.

A potential driver of unfolding protection is the amount of extended LR present in a variant. This is true both in dual-termini variants, and in single-termini variants. An example is found by

the higher protection afforded by CL1 (9.7 kDa Linker content) versus NL1 (2.9 kDa Linker
content). Monomer assembly, even if it does not ultimately lead to gelation, is critical for unfolding
protection. All variants with two folded termini were either better or equivalent to CAHS D unfolding
protection. This property, however, cannot predict protection displayed by variants with only one
folded terminus.
Taken together, our LDH and CS assays show that linker content is a driver of protection
in both forms of protein dysfunction. However, the context of the linker ultimately determines its
functionality. The properties that drive unfolding protection are distinct from those that dictate
aggregation protection (Figure 4c), again implying that the mechanisms underlying prevention of
these unique forms of protein dysfunction are distinct.
390
Water coordination predicts unfolding, but not aggregation, protection.
Since gelation, assembly, linker content, and diffusion do not fully account for the protective trends
observed in our unfolding assay, we looked to other mechanistic hypotheses regarding
desiccation protection to explain how this form of protection might be mediated.
The water entrapment hypothesis addresses how a protectant may compensate for the
loss of a stabilizing hydrogen bond network (HBN) experienced by a client protein during
desiccation stress. This hypothesis proposes that the protectant coordinates a layer of water
between itself and the client protein, to maintain the client's HBN (Belton and Gil, 1994).
To understand how CAHS D and its variants interact with water, TD-NMR was used to
measure T_2 relaxation (Figure 5a). T_2 relaxation yields information regarding the coordination of
water molecules in a system (Ghi et al., 2002; Käiväräinen et al., 1984; Qiao et al., 2019; Wang
et al., 2018; Zheng et al., 2006). Strongly coordinated water molecules are structurally organized,
have reduced motion overall and less freedom of motion, and slower exchange within hydration
layers (Adhikari et al., 2020; Ahmed et al., 2014; Laage et al., 2017; Lerbret et al., 2012; Pattni et
al., 2017; Raschke, 2006). Therefore, faster T_2 relaxation times correspond with coordinated or
structured water molecules, and slower relaxations indicate less coordinated water, such as is
found in bulk liquids (Figure 5 – figure supplement 1a).
Among our CAHS D variants, we observed a remarkable diversity of water coordinating
properties (Figure 5a, Figure 5 – figure supplement 1b). Concentration-dependent water
coordination was found, in order of strength, in 2X linker, CAHS D, CLC, NLN, and N-terminus
(Figure 5a). For a deeper understanding of the relationship between water coordination and
protection, we plotted the PD50 for our LDH and CS assays as a function of the major T_2 peak

Figure 5: Slowed diffusion and coordination of water underlie CAHS D's ability to promote protection against protein unfolding. a) T_2 distributions of variants WT CAHS D, 2X Linker, N-terminus, NLN, LR, and CLC. (All variants not shown here can be found in Figure 5 – figure supplement 1b). 2X linker, CAHS D, and NLN show the most shifted T_2 peak midpoints as a function of concentration. b) Legend for plots in (c-e). c) Unfolding PD50 as a function of water coordination per kilodalton for the 1 g/L. As shown by the linear trend of these plots, the water coordination per kilodalton is a strong indicator of unfolding protection. The relationship is shown in further detail in (e). d) Aggregation PD50 as a function of water diffusion at 1 mM per kilodalton. As shown, there is not a strong correlation between these properties. e) Single variable plots of Unfolding PD50, water coordination per kilodalton, Aggregation PD50, and ΔDC at 1mM. The top three variants that protect best against unfolding also show the slowest diffusion, however the relationship between ΔDC and unfolding protection breaks down after this point. Comparison of the Unfolding PD50 ranking and the T_2/kDa ranking shows a striking relationship. However, no such relationship is seen for aggregation protection (Figure 5 – figure supplement 2a&d). Source data for Figure 5 is available in files: Figure 5a - Source Data 1.xlsx, Figure 5c - Source Data 1.xlsx, Figure 5d - Source Data 1.xlsx, and Figure 5e - Source Data 1.xlsx.

midpoints normalized to the variant's MW (T_2/MW) at 1 g/L for all variants (Figure 5b,c). This
represents what is essentially water-coordinating ability per kDa of protein, and gives insight into
the degree to which a protein can coordinate water when the overall size of each protein is taken
into account. We were surprised to find a strong correlation between T_2/kDa and unfolding
protection (Figure 5c) which was not replicated in aggregation protection data (Figure 5 – figure
supplement 2a).
This further reinforces the notion that CAHS D uses disparate mechanisms to protect from
different forms of protein damage; molecular shielding for aggregation protection and vitrification
and water coordination for protein unfolding. The relationship between T_2 relaxation and unfolding
protection signals the relative importance of water coordinating capability in protecting a client
protein's native fold, which in turn provides evidence for the importance of accounting for
hydration when considering the proposed mechanisms for desiccation protection.
439

Discussion
In this work we set out to understand the drivers of CAHS D desiccation protection. We show that
CAHS D forms reversible physical gels, an observation explained by a model in which attractive
interactions encoded by the N- and C-terminal regions facilitate intermolecular self-assembly. In
contrast, the large central linker region acts as an extended, highly charged spacer, reducing
intramolecular interactions and setting the gel-point based on the overall protein dimensions.
From a functional perspective, neither gelation nor diffusion correlate directly with prevention of
protein aggregation or unfolding. Protection from unfolding is influenced by structural features but
can be directly related to a variant's ability to coordinate water. Aggregation protection, on the
other hand, seems to be favored in systems with more rapid diffusion that do not form higher
order assemblies.
Based on our results, we propose that water entrapment, wherein the protectant traps a
layer of hydrating water between itself and the client protein (Belton and Gil, 1994; Shimizu and
Smith, 2004), is the major mechanism driving CAHS D-mediated unfolding protection.
Conversely, molecular shielding provides the best explanation of the trends observed for
aggregation protection. We propose that CAHS D functions as a molecular Swiss Army Knife,
offering multiple protective capabilities though distinct mechanisms.
457

Dynamic Functionality for the Dynamic Process of Drying
Surviving desiccation is not about surviving a singular stress, but rather surviving a continuum of
inter-related stresses. During early drying, the need to prevent aggregation may outweigh the
need to maintain hydration of most cellular proteins. At this early stage, monomeric or low-
oligomeric CAHS D could be performing important shielding functionalities to mitigate a cascade
of aggregation. Such an aggregation cascade has been implicated in proteostatic dysregulation
(Klaips et al., 2018). Aggregation protection during early drying would help preserve functional
proteins during a critical window when the cell must adapt to water loss.
As drying progresses and further water is lost, CAHS D concentration increases(Boothby
et al., 2017) and its primary function may transition from aggregation prevention to protection

468
Figure 6: Proposed mechanism of protection against desiccation induced protein aggregation and unfolding.
Schematics depict the proposed mechanisms occurring within the meshwork of CAHS D fibers. The left panels show
what occurs in the case of protein unfolding, while the right panels illustrate protein aggregation. Top panels display
the system without protectants, while the lower panel of each shows the system with wildtype CAHS D. As water is
lost, self-assembly begins in samples with CAHS D. Water is coordinated along the linker and to some degree the N-
terminus of CAHS D. As samples enter a vitrified state, some water remains coordinated by the linker, but most water
has been lost. Upon rehydration, the matrix readily disassembles and releases molecules from the gel structure.
Inferred behaviors of CAHS variants can be found in Figure 6 – figure supplement 1 and Figure 6 – figure supplement
2 for protein unfolding and aggregation, respectively.
478
against unfolding. As small oligomers of CAHS D grow and combine, clients could become
trapped between assembled CAHS D. This would enable CAHS D to hold coordinated water to
the surface of the entrapped clients, hydrating them and maintaining their hydrogen bonding
networks. These smaller assemblies would slow diffusion in the system more and more as they
condense to form the gel fibers observed in SEM (Figure 1c).
This fibrous network of gelled CAHS D could provide a bridge from the drying phase to

the fully dried vitrified solid phase. Molecular motion in a CAHS D gel is slower than a liquid, but
faster than a vitrified solid, and residual water can be coordinated and held to the surface of client
proteins. This would mitigate the water loss experienced by client proteins, allowing them to retain
their native fold for as long as possible. By the time dehydration overwhelms CAHS D's capacity
to hold water, a vitrified solid will have formed. At this point, even though the client's native fold
may no longer be stabilized by a hydrogen bond network; full unfolding *still* would not occur
because of the degree to which molecular motion is reduced in the vitrified solid.

The final phase of surviving desiccation is to rehydrate and return to active biological
functions. CAHS D gels easily solubilize after gelation, indicating that they can rehydrate,
resolvate, and release entrapped, protected clients, likely an essential step in efficiently returning
the rehydrating organism to activity.

Our work provides empirical evidence that multiple mechanisms contribute to desiccation
tolerance, and that these mechanisms can be mediated by a single protectant molecule.
498

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by DARPA award W911NF-19-2-0019 and an Institutional Development
Award (IDeA) from NIH grant (P20GM103432) to T.C.B. NIH award R35GM137926 to S.S.;
Financial support from MIUR of Italy (RFO2019) is gratefully acknowledged by M.M., F.F. and
G.V. A fellowship to S.B. and this work were partially funded by Wyoming NASA EPSCoR, NASA
Grant #80NSSC19M0061. This research used resources of the Advanced Photon Source, a U.S.
Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science User Facility operated for the DOE Office of
Science by Argonne National Laboratory under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357 and
resources supported by grant 9 P41 GM103622 from the National Institute of General Medical
Sciences of the National Institutes of Health. Use of the Pilatus 3 1M detector was provided by
grant 1S10OD018090-01 from NIGMS. Drs. Gary J. Pielak and Samantha Piszkiewicz are
acknowledged and thanked for their early discussions and efforts, which were made possible with
support from NIH award R01GM127291 to G.J.P. Lorena Rebecchi (University of Modena and
Reggio Emilia, UNIMORE, Italy) is thanked for stimulating discussions and valuable advice.
513

Competing Interests

515 The authors declare no competing interests.

Materials and Methods

Cloning
519 All variants and wild type CAHS D were cloned into the pET28b expression vector using Gibson
520 assembly methods. Primers were designed using the NEBuilder tool (New England Biolabs,
521 Ipswitch, MA), inserts were synthesized as gBlocks and purchased from Integrated DNA
522 Technologies (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA).

Protein Expression
Expression constructs were transformed in BL21 (DE3) E. coli (New England Biolabs) and plated
on LB agar plates containing 50 µg/mL Kanamycin. At least 3 single colonies were chosen for
each construct and tested for expression.

Large-scale expression was performed in 1 L LB/Kanamycin cultures, shaken at 37ºC
(Innova S44i, Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) until an OD_{600} of 0.6, at which point expression
was induced using 1 mM IPTG. Protein expression continued for four hours, after which cells
were collected at 4000 g at 4°C for 30 minutes. Cell pellets were resuspended in 10 mL of
resuspension buffer (20 mM tris, pH 7.5, 30 µL protease inhibitor [Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO).
Pellets were stored at -80ºC.
534
Protein Purification
Purification largely follows the methods in Piszkiewicz *et al.,* 2019. Bacterial pellets were thawed
and heat lysis was performed. Pellets were boiled for five minutes and allowed to cool for 10
minutes. All insoluble components were removed via centrifugation at 5,000 g at 10°C for 30
minutes. The supernatant was sterile filtered with 0.45 µm and 0.22 µm syringe filters (Foxx Life
Sciences, Salem, NH). The filtered lysate was diluted 1:2 in purification buffer UA (8 M Urea, 50
mM sodium acetate [Acros Organics, Carlsbad, CA], pH 4). The protein was then purified using
a cation exchange HiPrep SP HP 16/10 (Cytiva, Marlborough, MA) on the AKTA Pure 25 L
(Cytiva), controlled using the UNICORN 7 Workstation pure-BP-exp (Cytiva). Variants were eluted
using a gradient of 0-50% UB (8 M Urea, 50 mM sodium acetate, and 1 M NaCl, pH 4), over 20
column volumes.
546
Fractions were assessed by SDS-PAGE and pooled for dialysis in 3.5 kDa MWCO dialysis
tubing (SpectraPor 3 Dialysis Membrane, Sigma Aldrich). For all variants except CLC, pooled
fractions were dialyzed at 25°C for four hours against 2 M urea, 20 mM sodium phosphate at pH
7.0, then transferred to 20 mM sodium phosphate at pH 7 overnight. This was followed by six
rounds of 4 hours each in Milli-Q water (18.2 MΩcm). Dialyzed samples were quantified
fluorometrically (Qubit4 Fluorometer, Invitrogen, Waltham, MA), aliquoted in the quantity needed
for each assay, lyophilized (FreeZone 6, Labconco, Kansas City, MO) for 48 hours, then stored
at -20°C until use. CLC was dialyzed in 2 M urea, 20 mM Tris at pH 7 for four hours, followed by
6 rounds of 4 hours each in 20 mM Tris pH 7. CLC samples were quantified using Qubit4
fluorometer as described, concentrated using amicon spin-concentrators (Sigma-Aldrich) to the
desired concentration and used immediately.
558
Visual gelation and heat/dilution gel resolubilization assay
Quantitated and lyophilized protein samples were transferred as powder into NMR tubes (Wilmad
Lab Glass, Vineland, NJ)and resuspended in 500 µL of water to a final concentration of 5 g/L, 10
g/L, 15 g/L, and 20 g/L. Samples were left at room temp for 5 minutes to solubilize. If solubilization
was not occurring (as determined visually), samples were moved to 55°C for intervals of 5 minutes
until solubilized. If solubilization was not progressing at 55°C after 10 minutes of heating (as
determined visually), then samples were transferred 95°C for 5-minute intervals until fully
solubilized.
Solubilized proteins were transferred from heat blocks to the bench and left at ambient
temperature for 1 hour. Tubes were then loaded horizontally into a clamp holder and
photographed. Gelation was visually assessed by the degree of solidification or flow of the sample
in the NMR tube.

After 1 hour at ambient temperature, proteins that had been found to form gels were
transferred to a 55°C heat block for 1 hour. After an hour, samples were returned to the
photographic clamp holder and imaged immediately to confirm that gelation had been disrupted.
Sample where placed upright on the bench at ambient temperature for 1 hour and allowed to
reform gels and then imaged again as above.
A duplicate sample of 20 g/L CAHS D was prepared as described and assayed for dilution
resolubility. Buffer (Tris, 20 mM pH 7) was added to the gel to bring the final concentration of
solvated CAHS D to 5 g/L, which is below the gelation point of the protein. Sample was
photographed immediately after addition of buffer, vortexed for 5 seconds, and left to resolubilize.
Sample completely dissolved within 30 minutes of buffer addition.
581
582   *Helical Wheel Generation*
583   Helical wheel plots were generated using the heliQuest sequence analysis module. CAHS D
584   linker sequence 126-144 was used, with the α-helix option chosen as the helix type to model.
585
Scanning electron microscopy and critical point drying
Protein samples were heated to 95°C for 5 minutes and 50 µl of each sample were transferred to
microscope coverslips. Samples were fixed in a 2.5% glutaraldehyde / 4% paraformaldehyde
solution for 10 minutes. Samples were then dehydrated in an ethanol series going from 25%,
50%, 75%, 3x 100% with each incubation time being 10 minutes. Dehydrated samples were
prepared for imaging using critical point drying (Tousimis Semidri PVT-3, SGC Equipment, Austin,
TX) and supporter coating (108 Auto, Cressington Scientific Instruments, Watford, United
Kingdom). Imaging was performed on a Hitachi S-47000 scanning electron microscope.
594
Amide hydrogen/deuterium exchange kinetics in a CAHS glassy matrix at different hydration
levels
Amide H/D exchange can be followed by using the amide II band of proteins, a mode which
is essentially the combination of the NH in-plane bending and the CN stretching vibration.
Following H/D exchange the N–D bending no longer couples with the CN stretching vibration
converting the mode to a largely CN stretching vibration around 1450 cm^{-1} (Barth, 2007). The
wavenumber of this mode (the so-called amide II' band) is approximately 100 cm^{-1} downshifted
compared to the amide II mode detectable in H_2O (around 1550 cm^{-1}). Thus, the two bands are
clearly separated in the spectrum.
In order to examine the extent and kinetics of the amide H/D exchange we prepared two
samples of lyophilized CAHS protein in H_2O, then extensively dried the gel by equilibration within
gas-tight sample holders at RH=6% in the presence of NaOH·H_2O. The attainment of a minimum,
steady hydration level was checked by monitoring (v_2+v_3) combination band of water around
5150 cm^{-1} (Malferrari et al., 2011) over 3 days, using the amide I band as an internal standard.
After three days of equilibration, H/D exchange at RH=11% was begun by placing saturated LiCl
in D_2O in one of the CAHS D sample holders to create an 11% D_2O atmosphere. A series of FTIR
spectra was then recorded in sequence, at selected time intervals following the start of H/D
exchange. For each spectrum, 100 interferograms were averaged to allow a sufficiently rapid
acquisition (3 minutes) particularly at the beginning of the H/D exchange. This process was
performed in parallel on the second sample, where H/D exchange at RH=75% was begun by
placing a saturated solution of NaCl in D_2O in the second sample holder.
Figure 3 – figure supplement 1e shows a series of spectra in the amide region (1350 – 1750
cm^{-1}) acquired in the latter experiment at selected time intervals from the time (t=0) when H/D

exchange started, following incubation in the presence of the saturated NaCl solution in D_2O. We
have previously shown (Malferrari et al., 2016; Malferrari et al., 2012) that such an isopiestic
approach for isotopic exchange is quite effective and rapid (hour time scale).

As expected for a progressive amide H/D exchange, an intense amide II' band centered
around 1450 cm^{-1} appears within minutes. The progressive appearance and increase of the amide
II' band is accompanied by a progressive absorbance decrease in the spectral region of the amide
II band at 1550 cm^{-1}. This partially masks the appearance of a band peaking around 1575 cm^{-1},
attributed to side chain vibrations, which is clearly detected in the fully deuterated glass (see
Figure 3 – figure supplement 1c). This band has been assigned to an overlap of $v_{as}(COO^-)$ from
Asp and Glu and of Arg $v_s(CN_3H_5^+)$ (Barth, 2007; Goormaghtigh et al., 2016). We evaluated the
extent of amide H/D exchange from the area of the amide II' band at 1450 cm^{-1} after subtraction
of a straight baseline drawn between the minima on either side of the band (Goormaghtigh et al.,
1994). The contribution of the small background band present at t=0 was also subtracted, and the
resulting area was normalized to the area of the amide I/I' band.

Small-angle X-ray scattering
All SAXS measurements were performed at the BioCAT (beamline 18ID at the Advanced Photon
Source, Chicago, IL). SAXS measurements on monomeric CAHS were collected with in-line size
exclusion chromatography (SEC-SAXS) coupled to the X-ray sample chamber to ensure the
protein was monomeric. Concentrated protein samples were injected into a Superdex 200
increase column (Cytiva) pre-equilibrated in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris pH 7, 2 mM DTT, and
50 mM NaCl. Scattering intensity was recorded using a Pilatus3 1 M (Dectris) detector placed 3.5
m from the sample, providing a q-range from 0.004-0.4 Å^{-1}. One-second exposures were acquired
every two seconds during the elution. Data were reduced at the beamline using the BioXTAS
RAW 1.4.0 software (Hopkins et al., 2017). The contribution of the buffer to the X-ray scattering
curve was determined by averaging frames from the SEC eluent, which contained baseline levels
of integrated X-ray scattering, UV absorbance, and conductance. Baseline frames were collected
immediately pre- and post-elution and averaged. Buffer subtraction, subsequent Guinier fits, and
Kratky transformations were done using custom MATLAB (Mathworks, Portola Valley, CA) scripts.

CAHS samples were prepared for SAXS measurements by dissolving 5 mg/mL lyophilized
CAHS protein into a buffer containing 20 mM Tris pH 7 and 50 mM NaCl. Samples were incubated
at 60°C for 20 minutes to ensure the sample was completely dissolved. Samples were syringe
filtered to remove any remaining undissolved protein before injecting 1 mL onto the Superdex 200
column.

SAXS data for CAHS gels were obtained by manually centering capillaries containing
premade gels in the X-ray beam. Data was recorded as a series of thirty 0.2 second exposures,
but only the first exposure was analyzed to minimize artifacts from X-ray damage. The final
analyzed data was corrected for scattering from the empty capillary and a buffer containing
capillary. CAHS gel containing samples were made by dissolving 100 mg/mL lyophilized protein
in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris pH 7 and 50 mM NaCl. The sample was incubated for 20 minutes
at 60°C to ensure the protein was completely dissolved. Double open-ended quartz capillaries
with an internal diameter of 1.5 mm (Charles Supper) were used to make the samples. Dissolved
protein was directly drawn into the capillary via a syringe. Concentration gradients were generated
by layering the protein with buffer. Both ends of the capillary were then sealed with epoxy.
Samples were allowed to cool for 5 hours prior to measurement. Data were collected along the
concentration gradient by collecting data in 2 mm increments vertically along the capillary.

All data analysis was done using custom MATLAB (Mathworks) scripts. First, an effective
concentration was calculated by assuming the maximum concentration was 100 mg/mL and

scaling the remaining samples by the integrated intensity of the form factor. It should be noted
that the actual concentration could be significantly less than 100 mg/mL in the maximum
concentration sample. Data was fit to an equation containing three elements to describe the
features apparent in the scattering data. The high-angle form factor was modeled using a
Lorentzian-like function to extract the correlation length and an effective fractal dimension.

671
$$I(q) = \frac{A_1}{1 + (q\xi)^d} \qquad (1)$$

672 The correlation length is given by ξ and is related to the mesh size inside the fiber bundles
673 seen in SEM images. The fractal dimension, d, is related to the density of the mesh. No clear
674 correlation length was observed in the smallest angle data, and thus a power law was used to
675 account for this component. The exponent d, is related to the nature of the interface inside and
676 outside of the bundles.

677
$$I(q) \sim A_2 * q^{-d} \qquad (2)$$

678 Finally, a Lorentzian peak was used to fit the diffraction peak that is apparent at higher
679 concentrations. The width of the peak, B, appeared constant and was thus fixed so that the
680 amplitude could be accurately extracted.

681
$$I(q) \sim \frac{A_3}{1 + \left|\frac{q - q_0}{B}\right|^2} \qquad (3)$$

In all fit components, A_x is a scale factor.

CD Spectroscopy
Lyophilized protein constructs were weighed and dissolved in a 20 mM Tris-HCl (Fisher
Bioreagents, Hampton, NH) buffer at pH 7.0. CD spectra were measured using a JASCO J-1500
CD spectrometer with 0.1 cm quartz cell (Starna Cells, Inc, Atascadero, CA) using a 0.1 nm step
size, a bandwidth of 1 nm, and a scan speed of 200 nm/min. Each spectrum was measured 7
times and averaged to increase signal to noise ratio. The buffer control spectrum was subtracted
from each protein spectrum. Each protein construct was measured at several concentrations to
ensure there is no concentration-dependent change in CD spectra (Figure 1 – figure supplement
1b, lower panels).

The resulting spectra were analyzed using the lsq_linear function from the SciPy library.
To do this, base spectra for α-helix, β-sheet, and random coil spectra (taken from [Perczel, Park,
and Fasman 1992] and available in Figure 1 – figure supplement 1b, upper right panel) were
linearly fit to match the experimental data set. The three fit coefficients were normalized to give
the relative contribution of each base spectrum to the experimental spectrum.

Thioflavin T Assay
Proteins were dissolved in phosphate buffered saline, pH 7.2 (Sigma-Aldrich) was prepared in
Dimethyl Sulfoxide (Sigma -Aldrich), and diluted to 20 μM in PBS for use in the assay. Thioflavin

T was prepared fresh for each assay at a concentration of 400 µM in DMSO, then diluted to 20 µM in PBS. Twenty five microliters of protein and ThT in PBS were combined in a 96-well plate (Costar, Fisher Scientific, Hampton, NH) and incubated for 15 minutes at room temperature in the dark. Fluorescence was measured using a plate reader (Spark 10M, Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland) with an excitation at 440 nm, emission was collected at 486 nm. CLC was suspended in 20 mM Tris buffer pH 7.5, the Thioflavin T was diluted in this buffer as well when using CLC. All proteins and controls were assayed in triplicate.

Lactate Dehydrogenase Protection Assay

LDH desiccation protection assays were performed in triplicate as described previously.(Boothby et al., 2017) Briefly, protectants were resuspended in a concentration range from 20 g/L to 0.1 g/L in 100 µL resuspension buffer (25 mM Tris, pH 7.0). Rabbit Muscle L-LDH (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to this at 0.1 g/L. Half each sample was stored at 4°C, and the other half was desiccated for 17 hours without heating (OFP400, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Following desiccation all samples were brought to a volume of 250 µL with water. The enzyme/protectant mixture was added 1:10 to assay buffer (100 mM Sodium Phosphate, 2 mM Sodium Pyruvate [Sigma-Aldrich], 1 mM NADH [Sigma-Aldrich], pH 6). Enzyme kinetics were measured by NAD+ absorbance at 340 nm, on the NanodropOne (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The protective capacity was calculated as a ratio of NAD+ absorbance in desiccated samples normalized to non-desiccated controls.

Citrate Synthase Protection Assay

The Citrate Synthase Kit (Sigma-Aldrich) was adapted for use in this assay (Chakrabortee et al., 2012; Goyal et al., 2005). All samples were prepared in triplicate, except desiccated negative control samples, which were prepared in quadruplicate, so that the extra sample could be used for assessment of desiccation efficiency. Concentration of gelatin (Sigma-Aldrich) was determined based on an average mass of 150 kDa. Lyophilized variants were resuspended in either purified water (samples to be desiccated) or 1X assay buffer (control samples) to a concentration of 20 g/L and diluted as necessary for lower concentrations. Citrate synthase (Sigma-Aldrich) was added at a ratio of 1:10 to resuspended protectants. Non-desiccated control samples were measured as described in the assay kit immediately following resuspension. Desiccated samples were subjected to 5-6 rounds of desiccation and rehydration (1-hour speedvac desiccation [Thermo Fisher Scientific] followed by resuspension in water). Following the 5th round of desiccation, a negative control sample was resuspended and assayed to determine if activity remained. If the negative control sample retained more than 10% activity, a 6th round of desiccation/rehydration was performed. After the final round of desiccation, samples were resuspended in 10 µL of cold 1X assay buffer. Samples were diluted 1:100 in the assay reaction mixture supplied, and all subsequent steps followed the kit instructions. The colorimetric reaction was measured for 90 seconds at 412 nm using the Spark 10M (Tecan).

TD-NMR sample preparation

Quantitated and lyophilized protein samples were transferred as powder into 10 mm TD-NMR tubes (Wilmad Lab Glass) and resuspended in 500 µL of water to a final concentration of 1 g/L, 5 g/L, 10 g/L, 15g/L, and 20 g/L. Samples were left at room temp for 5 minutes to solubilize. If

744 solubilization was not occurring (as determined visually), samples were moved to 55°C for
745 intervals of 5 minutes until solubilized. If solubilization was not progressing at 55°C after 10
746 minutes of heating (as determined visually), then samples were transferred 95°C for 5 minutes
747 intervals until fully solubilized. Samples were allowed to return to room temperature before being
748 stored at 4°C. Measurements were taken within 72 hours following solubilization.
749
Measurement of T_2 relaxation
Low field Time-Domain NMR (TD-NMR) measures frequencies in the 10^9 -10^{12} range, which is
the frequency of ^1H nuclei motion. Measurements in this frequency range allow for observation of
water dynamics. Spin-spin relaxation (T_2) is the measurement of ^1H spins in a plane transverse
to the magnetic field. T_2 relaxation measured at low field strength is particularly useful for
applications measuring slower water dynamics and water caging in porous structures (Valori et
al., 2013; Zipp et al., 1976; Ectors et al., 2016; Emsley and Feeney, 1999) (Figure 5 – figure
supplement 1a).
T_2 relaxation is dependent on the state of matter of the sample being measured, because
state of matter impacts the ability of molecules to interact with one another. Water molecules in
the bulk liquid state are free to interact with each other, and this interaction serves to hold the
spins aligned for a longer period of time than water that is coordinated or caged, where the motion
of water molecules is restricted (Figure 5 – figure supplement 1a). To better understand the impact
of state of matter on spin decay, consider the analogy of hydrogen nuclei as magnets; two
magnets that are free to interact will exert force on each other to maintain their magnetic
alignments, whereas two magnets that are not free to interact influence their respective
alignments less. Nuclei that are in the solid state or are heavily caged are essentially independent,
because of their conformational rigidity and distance between them, and therefore their T_2
relaxation is rapid. Nuclei in the bulk liquid state, on the other hand, can interact freely with other
molecules in solution, and therefore their spin decay is slower.
These principles can also be applied to the ability of a protein to interact with water. For a
theoretical protein to which water is blind, no interactions would occur and thus water molecules
in this protein solution would behave as if it were a pure liquid, with a similar T_2 relaxation profile.
A highly hydrophobic protein, on the other hand, would exclude water molecules from itself,
increasing the local density of water molecules and thus increasing their interactions, which would
further slow T_2 relaxation relative to bulk water. Hydrophilic proteins coordinate water molecules
through electrostatic interactions, causing an ordering of water molecules into hydration shell(s)
surrounding the protein. Being organized into hydration shells decreases the freedom of motion
and interactions between different molecules of water, which would speed up T_2 relaxation. Thus,
T_2 relaxation can inform us about the ability of a protein to coordinate or exclude water.
TD-NMR was performed using a Bruker mq20 minispec low-field nuclear magnetic
resonance spectrophotometer, with a resonance frequency of 19.65 MHz. Samples were kept at
25°C during measurements through the use of a chiller (F12-MA, Julabo USA Inc., Allentown, PA)
circulating a constant-temperature coolant. T_2 free induction decays were measured using a Carr-
Purcell-Meiboom-Grill (CPMG) pulse sequence with 8000 echoes, and an echo time of 1000 μs.
Pulse separation of 1.5 ms, recycle delay of 3 ms, and 32 scans were used for all samples. Gain
was determined for each sample individually and ranged from 53-56. Conversion of the free
induction decay to T_2 relaxation distribution was processed using the CONTIN ILT software

provided by Bruker. Each variant was measured for the full concentration range, along with a
buffer control (water or 20 mM Tris pH 7) in a single day.
790

Measurement of Diffusion Coefficients
The diffusion coefficient was determined by the pulsed field gradient spin echo (PFGSE) method
using a gradient pulse of 0.5 ms, gradient pulse separation 7.51416 ms, 90°–180° pulse
separation 7.51081 ms and 90° first gradient pulse of 1 ms. Each variant was measured for the
full concentration range, along with a buffer control (water or 20 mM Tris pH 7) on the same day
that T_2 relaxation data was collected.
In this work, diffusion coefficients of water molecules in protein solutions are represented
relative to the diffusion coefficient of the water molecules in bulk solution, to emphasize the
differences between proteins. This is calculated as: Buffer Diffusion minus Protein Diffusion, and
is referred to as Δ Diffusion Coefficient (ΔDC).
801

Analysis of Secondary Structures within CAHS D Glasses with Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR)
Spectroscopy
The kinetics of amide (peptide NH) proton exchange can in principle provide information on the
flexibility of proteins. The slowing or inhibition of hydrogen/deuterium exchange can be attributed
to either the involvement of the amide hydrogen in hydrogen bonding through secondary structure
interactions, or to limited accessibility of the amide group to the deuterated solvent. It has been
shown that a hydrogen bonded amide proton is protected from exchange even when it is exposed
to solvent, slowing down exchange rates by more than six orders of magnitude (Barth, 2007). On
the other hand, solvent exclusion can retard the exchange by a similar extent. Specific amide
groups can be accessible to the solvent only in conformational substates that are rarely populated
in the time average structure. Hydrogen exchange can therefore be extremely sensitive to the
protein conformational dynamics. We previously assessed the impact of solvent accessibility
(Figure 1e, Figure 3 - supplement 1c-e), and here we use an isopiestic approach to determine
how hydrogen-bonding impacts the extent and the kinetics of hydrogen/deuterium exchange in
CAHS glassy matrices at different hydration levels.
817

Analysis of the amide I' band in CAHS gels and glasses at increasing hydration
The amide I band centered around 1650 cm^{-1} is sensitive to the structure of the protein backbone;
as a result a particular secondary structure absorbs predominantly in a specific range of the amide
I region (Barth, 2007). However the various secondary-structure components overlap leading to
a rather broad and scarcely structured band and band-narrowing procedures are necessary to
resolve the component bands (Barth and Zscherp, 2002). The linewidth of the second and fourth
derivative of a band is smaller than that of the original band, therefore the minima and maxima of
these derivatives can be used to evaluate the number and positions of overlapping spectral
components (Butler, 1970). On this basis, information on the secondary structure of the protein
can be gleaned by fitting the amide I band to the sum of its component derivative bands. The
component bands are assigned to specific secondary structures, and the fractional area of each
component band is taken as the relative content of the corresponding secondary structures. We
have used this approach with the aim of assessing CAHS secondary structure and its possible
changes upon vitrification and dehydration.
832

FTIR Sample Preparation
All samples were prepared by dissolving the CAHS protein in D_2O to avoid the overlapping
of the spectral contribution due to the H_2O bending mode (around 1640 cm^{-1}) (Maréchal, 2011)

with amide I band of the CAHS protein centered at approximately 1650 cm^{-1}. Deuteration causes
a blue shift of the water bending mode by more than 400 cm^{-1}, while inducing only a small shift of
the amide I band (amide I' band). Gel samples were obtained by dissolving the lyophilized CAHS
protein at a concentration of 16 g/L in D_2O heated at about 50 °C and gently stirred for 5 minutes.
A volume of 10 µL of the CAHS solution was deposited between two CaF_2 windows, separated
by a 50 µm teflon spacer, and mounted into a Jasco MagCELL sample holder. When cooled at
room temperature (25°C) CAHS solution forms a gel.
Glassy samples were obtained by depositing a volume of 38 µL of the heated CAHS solution
(16 g/L) in D_2O on a 50 mm diameter CaF_2 window. Before gelling at room temperature, the drop
spreads out to form a layer of approximately 1 cm diameter. The sample was dried under N_2 flow
for 5 minutes and subsequently the optical window on which the glass had formed was inserted
into a specifically designed sample holder equipped with a second CaF_2 window to form a gas-
tight cylindrical cavity (Malferrari et al., 2011). Different hydration levels of the CAHS glass were
obtained by an isopiestic method, *i.e.,* by equilibrating the sample with saturated salt solutions
providing defined values of relative humidity, contained at the bottom of the gas-tight sample
holder cavity. The following saturated solutions in D_2O were employed to obtain the desired
relative humidity (Greenspan, 1977) at 297 K; KNO_3 (RH=95%), NaCl (RH=75%), K_2CO_3
(RH=43%), and LiCl (RH=11%).
FTIR absorption measurements were performed at room temperature with a Jasco Fourier
transform 6100 spectrometer equipped with a DLATGS detector. The spectra were acquired with
a resolution of 2 cm^{-1} in the whole mid-IR range (7,000 - 1,000 cm^{-1}) using a standard high-
intensity ceramic source and a Ge/KBr beam splitter. All spectra were obtained by averaging 10^3
interferograms.
859
Amide I' Band Analysis
Spectral analysis of the amide I bands recorded in gel samples was performed after
subtracting a normalized D_2O spectrum. Because of the low residual D_2O in glassy samples, this
procedure did not result in significant corrections in the amide band region.
When extracting the amide I' band from the spectrum, the background was approximated
by a straight baseline drawn between the minima on either side of the band. Second and fourth
derivative spectra in the amide I' region were calculated using the *i-signal* program (version 2.72)
included in the SPECTRUM suite (http://terpconnect.umd.edu/~toh/spectrum/iSignal.html) written
in MATLAB language. A Savitsky-Golay algorithm was employed to smooth the signals and
calculate the derivatives.
Smoothing width was manually determined for each sample by optimizing the signal to noise
ratio, by determining the impact of smoothing width on the calculated derivative spectra with the
requirement of highest S:N without loss of spectral information. For all the amide I' bands
analyzed, in gel and glassy samples, both the second and fourth derivative spectra (not shown)
were consistently dominated by three minima and maxima, respectively, suggesting the presence
of three spectral components. This is supported by the finding that the positions of each peak
detected in the second and fourth derivative spectra for each amide I' band analyzed were very
close (in most cases coincident within our spectral resolution).
The peak wavenumbers inferred from this analysis were found in the intervals 1619-1628
cm^{-1}, 1644-1648 cm^{-1}, and 1676-1688 cm^{-1}. On this basis, amide I' bands were decomposed into
three Gaussian components by using a locally developed least-squares minimization routine
(Malferrari et al., 2015) that applies a modified grid search algorithm (Bevington and Bevington,
1969). Confidence intervals for the best-fit parameters were evaluated numerically, as previously
detailed (Francia et al., 2009). In the fitting procedure, the peak wavenumbers of the three
Gaussian components were allowed to be varied over the intervals reported above, while the
areas and the widths were treated as free, unconstrained parameters.
886

Measurement of conformational dynamics of photosynthetic reaction centers embedded in CAHS glasses

The photosynthetic reaction center (RC) from the purple bacterium *Rhodobacter* (*Rb.*) *sphaeroides* represents an ideal model system to probe matrix effects on conformational protein dynamics. This membrane-spanning pigment-protein complex catalyzes the primary photochemical events of bacterial photosynthesis. Following absorption of a photon, the primary electron donor (P) of the reaction center, which is a bacteriochlorophyll dimer situated near the periplasmic side of the protein, delivers an electron to the primary quinone acceptor, Q_A, located 25 Å away from P and closer to the cytoplasmic side of the RC. This electron transfer process, occurring in about 200 ps, generates the primary charge separated state, $P^+Q_A^-$. In the absence of the secondary quinone acceptor bound at the Q_B site (or in the presence of inhibitors which block electron transfer from Q_A^- to Q_B), the electron on Q_A^- recombines with the hole on P^+ by direct electron tunneling (Feher et al., 1989).

The kinetics of $P^+Q_A^-$ recombination after a short (nanosecond) flash of light provides an endogenous probe of the RC conformational dynamics. In fact, in room temperature solutions, following light-induced $P^+Q_A^-$ charge separation, the RC protein undergoes a dielectric relaxation from a dark-adapted to a light-adapted conformation, which stabilizes thermodynamically the $P^+Q_A^-$ state (lifetime for charge recombination of about 100 ms). When this conformational relaxation is inhibited (by freezing the RC to cryogenic temperature in the dark (McMahon et al., 1998), or by dehydrating within trehalose glasses (Palazzo et al., 2002)) the recombination kinetics are accelerated (lifetime of about 20 ms) and become strongly distributed, mirroring the immobilization of the protein over a large ensemble of conformational substates. We describe the charge recombination kinetics of confined RCs by using a continuous distribution $p(k)$ of rate constants k: (Palazzo et al., 2002)

$$N(t) = \int_0^\infty p(k)\exp(-kt)\,dk = \left(1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{<k>}t\right)^{-<k>^2/\sigma^2} \tag{1}$$

where $N(t)$ is the survival probability of the $P^+Q_A^-$ state at time t after the photo-activating light pulse, $<k>$ is the average rate constant, and σ is the width of the rate distribution. The latter two parameters provide quantitative information on the conformational dynamics of the RC on the time scale of milliseconds. An increase in either or both parameters ($<k>$, σ) reflects a slowing of RC relaxation from the dark- to the light-adapted conformation ($<k>$), or of the fluctuation between conformational substates (σ) (Malferrari et al., 2015; Palazzo et al., 2002).

Sample Preparation

Seventy eight microliters of RCs purified from *Rb. sphaeroides* R26 at 76 µM concentration in assay buffer (10 mM Tris, 0.025% LDAO, pH 8.0) was mixed with 64 µL of 16 g/L CAHS protein in water, and 8 µL of 200 mM o-phenanthroline in ethanol. O-phenanthroline is an inhibitor that blocks Q_A^- to Q_B electron transfer, thus allowing the recombination kinetics of the $P^+Q_A^-$ state to be monitored. The lyophilized CAHS protein was dissolved in water and heated to 50°C for 5 minutes. The protein was allowed to cool to room temperature, and during this cooling it was rapidly mixed with the RC suspension prior to gel formation. This mixture was immediately layered on a 50 mm diameter CaF_2 optical window and dried under N_2 flow for 5 minutes. The sample was then inserted into the gas-tight holder and equilibrated at a RH=11% in the presence of LiCl. The glassy matrix is characterized by a (CAHS/RC) molar ratio of approximately 6.6, corresponding to a mass ratio of about 1.7. This ratio was chosen for comparison with previous results of strongly inhibited RC conformational dynamics when embedded in glassy trehalose

934   matrices (Malferrari et al., 2015).
935
Time resolved optical absorption spectroscopy
The kinetics of $P^+Q_A^-$ recombination was measured by time-resolved spectrophotometry, by
recording the absorbance change at 422 nm following a 200 mJ pulse at 532 nm (7 ns width)
provided by a frequency doubled Nd:YAG laser (Francia et al., 2008). In order to test the capability
of the CAHS glassy matrix to inhibit RC dynamics, we measured $P^+Q_A^-$ recombination kinetics in
CAHS-RC glasses equilibrated at increasing RH using the isopiestic method described in "*FTIR*
Sample Preparation". At each relative humidity the residual water content of the CAHS-RC
matrices was determined from the area of the (v_2+v_3) combination band of water at 5155 cm^{-1},
using the absorption band of the RC at 802 as an internal standard (Malferrari et al., 2011;
Malferrari et al., 2015). To this end, optical measurements were extended to the NIR region
(15000-2200 cm^{-1}) using a halogen lamp source, replacing the Ge/KBr with a Si/CaF2 beam
splitter and the KRS-5 with a CaF$_2$ exit interferometer window.
Since we do not know how the residual water is distributed between the different
components which form the glassy matrix, evaluation of the residual water content allows for a
physically meaningful comparison of the overall average hydration of the matrix between RCs
embedded in either CAHS D or trehalose glasses (Figure 1 – figure supplement 1d). The water
content, determined primarily as H$_2$O:RC molar ratio, has been expressed as the ratio of mass
water:mass dry matrix. To determine the mass of the dry matrix, we included the CAHS protein,
the RC, and the detergent belt of the RC formed by 289 LDAO molecules[77] plus 14 molecules of
free LDAO per RC.
The hydration isotherms obtained using this approach in CAHS-RC and trehalose-RC
glassy matrices indicate that the overall propensity for water adsorption is very similar in the
disaccharide-RC and in the CAHS-RC protein matrix when the relative humidity is varied over a
large range. Sorption data of the CAHS-RC matrix well fit the Hailwood and Horrobin equation
(Hailwood and Horrobin, 1946).

$$h = h_0 \left(\frac{K_1 r}{1+K_1 r} + \frac{K_2 r}{1-K_2 r} \right) \qquad (2)$$

961
962   where h represents the equilibrium water content of the matrix, h_0 and K_1 are constants
963   proportional to the number and activity of the hydration sites (respectively), and K_2 is related to
964   the water activity of the water condensing at the surface of the absorbing components. Best fitting
965   to eq.2 yields $h_0=5.59 \cdot 10^{-2}$ g water/g dry matrix, $K_1=3.44$ for the strong binding sites, and $K_2=1.04$
966   for the weak condensing sites.
967
Proteome-wide bioinformatics
The   tardigrade   proteome   (tg.default.maker.proteins.fasta),   taken   from
https://github.com/sujaikumar/tardigrade was used. The proteome file was pre-processed using
protfasta (https://protfasta.readthedocs.io/), IDRs predicted with metapredict(Emenecker et al.,
2021) and IDR kappa values calculated using localCIDER (Das and Pappu, 2013; Holehouse et
al., 2017). Metapredict identified 35,511 discrete IDRs distributed across 39,532 proteins in the
tardigrade proteome.
975
976   *Dimension estimates for LDH and CS*
977   Radii of gyration for LDH and CS were calculated from the tetramer structure in PDB:1I10 and for
978   the monomeric CS in PDB:3ENJ (Larson et al., 2009; Read et al., 2001).
979
All-atom simulations

All-atom simulations were run using the ABSINTH implicit solvent model and CAMPARI Monte
Carlo simulation engine (https://campari.sourceforge.net/). ABSINTH simulations were performed
with the ion parameters derived by Mao et al., 2012. The combination of ABSINTH and CAMPARI
has been used to generate experimentally-validated ensembles for a wide range of IDRs (Martin
et al., 2020, 2016; Metskas and Rhoades, 2015).
Simulations were performed for the full-length CAHS D protein starting from a randomly
generated non-overlapping starting state. Monte Carlo simulations update the conformational
state of the protein using moves that perturb backbone dihedrals, and sidechain dihedrals, and
rigid-body coordinates of all components (including explicit ions).
Ten independent simulations were run for 150,000,000 steps each in a simulation droplet
with a radius of 284 Å at 310 K. The combined ensemble generated consists of 69,500
conformations, with ensemble average properties computed across the entire ensemble where
reported.
Given the size of the protein, reliability with respect to residue-specific structural
propensities is likely to be limited, such that general trends should be taken as a qualitative
assessment, as opposed to a quantitative description. Simulations were analyzed using soursop
(https://github.com/holehouse-lab/soursop) and MDTraj (McGibbon et al., 2015).

Supplemental Files

1000

1001   File supplement 1: File containing the protein and nucleotide sequence for each protein variant
1002   used in this study.
1003   Movie 1: Supplemental movie of CAHS D ensemble.

1004

Figure supplement legends

1006

1007   **Movie 1: CAHS D is predicted to exist in a dumbbell-like ensemble.** Monte Carlo simulation
1008   of predicted ensemble states of CAHS D. Source data for simulations can be found on GitHub
1009   (see Source Data section).

1010

Figure 1 – figure supplement 1: Properties of hydrated and desiccated CAHS D gels. a)
Concentration gradient gel SAXS structure probing revealed an emergent structure of
approximately 9.5 nm. Areas indicated in the SAXS plot correspond with structures shown in SEM
images, with the 9.5nm feature corresponding to approximate fiber sizes, while larger features
correspond with the void spaces between gel fibers. Top right panel shows the derivation of sizes
for each region of the SAXS plot. Lower right panel shows a projected relationship of the size of
fibers with increasing protein concentration. b) CD spectra for C-terminus, CAHS D, Fl-Proline,
Linker Region, and N-terminus. Top panels show the spectra for each variant listed at 20 or 25
µM (in green), overlayed with the resulting fit of the base spectra (dashed black line). Rightmost
top panel shows the base spectra used to fit the experimental spectra (Perczel 1992). Lower
panels show the concentration dependence of the spectra for each variant, at a range of
concentrations. No structural changes are observed in the range tested. Premature rise at low
wavelengths occuring at high concentrations in the CAHS D, FL-Proline, and Linker Region
spectra are a result of reduced signal reaching the detector. Concentrations in µM are shown in
the legend. c) Hydration dependent immobilization of biological material with the CAHS D glassy

matrix. Charge recombination kinetics after a laser pulse (fired at t=0) are shown for RC incorporated into CAHS D glasses equilibrated at different relative humidity. Continuous curves represent best fit to eq.1. Reaction centers in solution (black line) and embedded into the CAHS D glass held 11% RH (purple line) have decay kinetics characterized by an average rate constant $<k> = 8.8$ s^{-1} and $<k> = 35.5$ s^{-1} respectively, and a rate constant distribution width of $\sigma = 3.6$ s^{-1} and $\sigma = 23.8$ s^{-1}, respectively. With increasing relative humidity (23%-53% RH) the RC becomes more mobile. d) (Top) Hydration isotherms at 298 K determined in CAHS-RC (red circles) and trehalose-RC (black circles) amorphous matrices. In the glasses the CAHS/RC and trehalose/RC mass ratios were equal to 1.7. Continuous curve representing a best fit to eq. 2 of the water sorption data obtained in the CAHS-RC matrix (see supplemental text for details). Middle & lower panels show dependence of recombination kinetics on the residual water content in CAHS-RC matrices (red circles), in trehalose-RC glasses characterized by trehalose/RC molar ratios of 5000 and 500 (black squares and circles, respectively), and in a dehydrated RC film in the absence of any excipient (blue circles). Middle panel shows the average rate constant, $<k>$, bottom panel shows the rate constant distribution width, σ. Vertical bars represent confidence intervals within two standard deviations. The dashed lines give the value of $<k>$ and σ obtained in solution. Dotted lines indicate the confidence intervals within two standard deviations. e) T_2 relaxation of lysozyme, gelatin, and CAHS D. Concentration-dependent increase in relaxation kinetics is observed for CAHS D indicating strong water coordination, while gelatin shows a shift in T_2 relaxation only after gelation occurs (20g/L). Lysozyme, a globular non-gelling protein, does not show strong water coordination. Source data for Figure 1 – figure supplement 1 is available in files: Figure 1 - figure supplement 1a - Source Data 1.zip, Figure 1 - figure supplement 1b - Source Data 1.zip, Figure 1 - figure supplement 1c - Source Data 1.xlsx, Figure 1 - figure supplement 1d - Source Data 1 (upper panel).xlsx, Figure 1 - figure supplement 1d - Source Data 2 (middle panel).xlsx, Figure 1 - figure supplement 1d - Source Data 3 (lower panel).xlsx and Figure 1 - figure supplement 1e - Source Data 1.xlsx.

Figure 2 – figure supplement 1: Transient structural properties of CAHS D. a) Model of interconversion of disordered linker helix, gaining and losing transient α helices. b) Calculated fractional α-helix (left) and β-sheet (right) content of the wildtype CAHS D as determined from simulations. c) Curve-fitting of radius of gyration determined from simulations (red points) overlaid with SAXS experimental data (black line). d) Calculated kappa value (amino acid charge distribution) of all proteins in the tardigrade proteome. Kappa provides a metric to quantify the degree of charge patterning, such that sequences with a lower kappa value have a more even distribution of charged residues. As shown, CAHS D has some of the most evenly distributed charges of all proteins in the proteome. Source data for Figure 2 – figure supplement 1 is available on GitHub.

Figure 3 – figure supplement 1: a) Bioinformatic predictions of the secondary structure of WT CAHS D, with arrows indicating where prolines were inserted into the sequence to disrupt secondary structures. b) SEM images of CAHS D and FL-Proline, showing that FL-Proline does not form the reticular meshwork gel structure found in CAHS D. c) Hydration-dependent FTIR spectra for CAHS D glasses stored at different relative humidities. Spectra for all hydration levels are overlaid. d) Deconvolution of FTIR spectra shown in (c) for the 1725-1575 cm^{-1} range. Top panel shows the deconvolution for 11% RH, and the bottom panel shows the same for 95% RH. Individual Gaussian components are shown in blue, orange and green; the red curve represents the corresponding best fit e) Evolution of the infrared spectrum between 1350 and 1750 cm^{-1} of an extensively dehydrated CAHS glass as a function of the deuteration time. The CAHS glassy matrix, previously equilibrated at RH=6% in the presence of NaOH·H_2O, was exposed at t=0 to the atmosphere of a saturated NaCl solution in D_2O, at RH=75%. Spectra are normalized to the amplitude of the amide I/I' band. Source data for Figure 3 – figure supplement 1 is available in

files: Figure 3 - figure supplement 1c - Source Data 1.xlsx, Figure 3 - figure supplement 1d - Source Data 1 (upper panel).xlsx, Figure 3 - figure supplement 1d - Source Data 2 (lower panel).xlsx, Figure 3 - figure supplement 1e - Source Data 1.xlsx.

Figure 4 – figure supplement 1: Sigmoidal curves representing the full range of protection for each variant using the LDH unfolding assay. b) Sigmoidal curves representing the full range of protection for each variant using the CS aggregation assay. Source data for Figure 4 – figure supplement 1 is available in files: Figure 4 - figure supplement 1a - Source Data 1.xlsx and Figure 4 - figure supplement 1b - Source Data 1.xlsx.

Figure 5 – figure supplement 1: CAHS variant water coordination. a) Example TD-NMR data and explanation. 1H nuclei transverse spin magnetization Free Induction Decays (FID) are subjected to an inverse Laplace transformation, which provides a distribution of spin-spin decay times referred to as T_2 relaxation. The leftward shift of T_2 peak midpoints indicates how water is ordered in the sample being measured. Bulk liquids (free water) have slower T_2 relaxation than samples which display water coordination. This figure shows example T_2 distributions, with representative illustrations of the water behavior in each sample. b) T_2 relaxation distributions for all variants. c) Delta-diffusion coefficient *versus* concentration for all variants. Source data for Figure 5 – figure supplement 1 is available in files: Figure 5 - figure supplement 1b - Source Data 1.xlsx and Figure 5 - figure supplement 1c - Source Data 1.xlsx.

Figure 5 – figure supplement 2: a) Aggregation PD50 plotted against T2/MW for all variants at 1 g/L (top), 5 g/L (middle) and 20 g/L (bottom). No correlations are observed. b) Unfolding PD50 plotted against T2 peak midpoint for all variants at 1 g/L (top), 5 g/L (middle) and 20 g/L (bottom). Only very weak correlations are seen between non-normalized T2 and unfolding PD50.. c) Diffusion at 1mM plotted against PD50 for unfolding (left) and aggregation (right). d) Diffusion at 1mM normalized to MW, plotted against PD50 for unfolding (left) and aggregation (right). No strong correlations are found for diffusion and PD50. For parts (a)-(d) error bars represent standard deviation for PD50. Bars smaller than the size of the points are shown as white bars within points. Source data for Figure 5 – figure supplement 2 is available in files: Figure 5 - figure supplement 2a - Source data 1.xlsx, Figure 5 - figure supplement 2b - Source data 1.xlsx, Figure 5 - figure supplement 2c - Source data 1.xlsx and Figure 5 - figure supplement 2d - Source data 1.xlsx.

Figure 6 – figure supplement 1: Working models for CAHS D variant behavior and prevention of protein unfolding in the (re)hydrated, drying, and dry state. Schematics representation interpreted modes and degree of protection and gelation for each of our variants is presented.

Figure 6 – figure supplement 2: Working models for CAHS D variant behavior and prevention of protein aggregation in the (re)hydrated, drying, and dry state. Schematics representation interpreted modes and degree of protection and gelation for each of our variants is presented.

Source Data

Figure 1d – Source Data 1.xlsx – Source data for CAHS, Gelatin, and Lysozyme delta diffusion coefficients.

Figure 1e – Source Data 1.xlsx – Source data for hydrogen deuterium exchange kinetics.

Figure 2d – Source Data 1.xlsx – Source data for circular dichroism spectroscopy secondary structure determinations.

Figure 2e – Source Data 1.zip – Source data for SAXS experiments.

Figure 3b - Source Data 1.xlsx – Source data for FTIR experiments on dry CAHS D protein

Figure 3c - Source Data 1.xlsx – Source data for thioflavin T labeling.

Figure 4a - Source Data 1.xlsx – Source data for PD50 data for LDH unfolding assay.

Figure 4b - Source Data 1.xlsx – Source data for PD50 data for CS aggregation assay.

Figure 4d - Source data 1.xlsx – Source data for delta diffusion coefficient data at 1mM for all variants.

Figure 5a - Source data 1.xlsx – Source data for TD-NMR for CASH D, 2x Linker, NLN, N-terminus, FL-Proline, and CLC variants.

Figure 5c - Source data 1.xlsx – Source data for unfolding assay PD50 vs T2/MW plot.

Figure 5d - Source data 1.xlsx – Source data for aggregation assay PD50 vs T2/MW plot.

Figure 5e - Source data 1.xlsx – Source data for single variable plots (LDH PD50, T2/MW, CS PD50, and delta-DC).

Source data for Movie 1 and simulations can be found on GitHub at: https://github.com/holehouse-lab/supportingdata/tree/master/2021/hesgrove_CAHS_2021

Figure 1 - figure supplement 1a - Source Data 1.zip

Figure 1 – figure supplement 1b – Source Data 1.zip: Source data for CD spectroscopy studies.

Figure 1 - figure supplement 1c - Source Data 1.xlsx: Source data for reaction center kinetics.

Figure 1 - figure supplement 1d - Source Data 1 (upper panel).xlsx: Source data for water content versus relative humidity.

Figure 1 - figure supplement 1d - Source Data 2 (middle panel).xlsx: Source data for RC kinetics versus water content.

Figure 1 - figure supplement 1d - Source Data 3 (lower panel).xlsx: Source data for RC kinetics
versus water content.

Figure 1 - figure supplement 1e - Source Data 1.xlsx: Source data for TD-NMR for CAHS D,
lysozyme, and gelatin.

Figure 3 - figure supplement 1c - Source Data 1.xlsx: Source data for FTIR on CAHS D at various
relative humidities.

Figure 3 - figure supplement 1d - Source Data 1 (upper panel).xlsx: Source data for CAHS D FTIR
at 11% relative humidity.

Figure 3 - figure supplement 1d - Source Data 2 (lower panel).xlsx: Source data for CAHS D FTIR
at 95% relative humidity.

Figure 3 - figure supplement 1e - Source Data 1.xlsx: Source data for time dependence on
absorbance of CAHS D.

Figure 4 - figure supplement 1a - Source Data 1.xlsx: Source data for sigmoidal protection curves
for LDH unfolding assay.

Figure 4 - figure supplement 1b - Source Data 1.xlsx: Source data for sigmoidal protection curves
for CS aggregation assay.

Figure 5 - figure supplement 1b - Source Data 1.xlsx: Source data for TD-NMR for all variants.

Figure 5 - figure supplement 1c - Source Data 1.xlsx: Source data for delta-diffusion coefficients
vs. concentration for all variants.

Figure 5 - figure supplement 2a - Source data 1.xlsx: Source data for aggregation vs T2 per kDA.

Figure 5 - figure supplement 2b - Source data 1.xlsx: Source data for unfolding vs T2 per kDA.

Figure 5 - figure supplement 2c - Source data 1.xlsx: Source data for unfolding and aggregation
PD50 vs diffusion at 1mM.

Figure 5 - figure supplement 2d - Source data 1.xlsx: Source data for Unfolding and aggregation
PD50 vs diffusion at 1mM/M

1204 References

Adhikari A, Park W-W, Kwon O-H. 2020. Hydrogen-Bond Dynamics and Energetics of Biological
Water. *Chempluschem* **85**:2657–2665.
Ahmed M, Namboodiri V, Singh AK, Mondal JA. 2014. On the intermolecular vibrational

coupling, hydrogen bonding, and librational freedom of water in the hydration shell of mono-
and bivalent anions. *J Chem Phys* **141**:164708.
Alacik Develioglu I, Ozel B, Sahin S, Oztop MH. 2020. NMR Relaxometry and magnetic
resonance imaging as tools to determine the emulsifying characteristics of quince seed
powder in emulsions and hydrogels. *Int J Biol Macromol* **164**:2051–2061.
Almdal K, Dyre J, Hvidt S, Kramer O. 1993. Towards a phenomenological definition of the term
"gel." *Polymer Gels and Networks*. doi:10.1016/0966-7822(93)90020-i
Antalek B. 2006. Accounting for spin relaxation in quantitative pulse gradient spin echo NMR
mixture analysis. *J Am Chem Soc* **128**:8402–8403.
Atta-ur-Rahman, Atta-ur-Rahman, Choudhary MI. 1996. Spin-Echo and Polarization
Transfer. *Solving Problems with NMR Spectroscopy*. doi:10.1016/b978-012066320-
0/50004-5
Barth A. 2007. Infrared spectroscopy of proteins. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1767**:1073–1101.
Barth A, Zscherp C. 2002. What vibrations tell us about proteins. *Q Rev Biophys* **35**:369–
430.
Belton PS, Gil AM. 1994. IR and Raman spectroscopic studies of the interaction of trehalose
with hen egg white lysozyme. *Biopolymers* **34**:957–961.
Bevington PR, Bevington RR. 1969. Data Reduction and Error Analysis for the Physical
Sciences. McGraw-Hill College.
Biancalana M, Makabe K, Koide A, Koide S. 2009. Molecular mechanism of thioflavin-T binding
to the surface of beta-rich peptide self-assemblies. *J Mol Biol* **385**:1052–1063.
Böni LJ, Zurflüh R, Widmer M, Fischer P, Windhab EJ, Rühs PA, Kuster S. 2017. Hagfish slime
exudate stabilization and its effect on slime formation and functionality. *Biol Open* **6**:1115–
1122.
Boothby TC. 2021. Water content influences the vitrified properties of CAHS proteins. *Mol Cell*.
Boothby TC. 2019. Mechanisms and evolution of resistance to environmental extremes in
animals. *Evodevo* **10**:30.
Boothby TC, Pielak GJ. 2017. Intrinsically Disordered Proteins and Desiccation Tolerance:
Elucidating Functional and Mechanistic Underpinnings of Anhydrobiosis. *Bioessays* **39**.
doi:10.1002/bies.201700119
Boothby TC, Tapia H, Brozena AH, Piszkiewicz S, Smith AE, Giovannini I, Rebecchi L, Pielak
GJ, Koshland D, Goldstein B. 2017. Tardigrades Use Intrinsically Disordered Proteins to
Survive Desiccation. *Mol Cell* **65**:975–984.e5.
Boothby TC, Tenlen JR, Smith FW, Wang JR, Patanella KA, Nishimura EO, Tintori SC, Li Q,
Jones CD, Yandell M, Messina DN, Glasscock J, Goldstein B. 2015. Evidence for extensive
horizontal gene transfer from the draft genome of a tardigrade. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
112:15976–15981.
Buitink J, Leprince O. 2004. Glass formation in plant anhydrobiotes: survival in the dry state.
Cryobiology **48**:215–228.
Butler WL. 1970. Higher derivative analysis of complex absorption spectra. *Photochem*
Photobiol **12**:439–450.
Chakrabortee S, Tripathi R, Watson M, Schierle GSK, Kurniawan DP, Kaminski CF, Wise MJ,
Tunnacliffe A. 2012. Intrinsically disordered proteins as molecular shields. *Mol Biosyst*
8:210–219.
Choi J-M, Holehouse AS, Pappu RV. 2020. Physical Principles Underlying the Complex Biology
of Intracellular Phase Transitions. *Annu Rev Biophys* **49**:107–133.
Colby RH. 2010. Structure and linear viscoelasticity of flexible polymer solutions: comparison of
polyelectrolyte and neutral polymer solutions. *Rheol Acta* **49**:425–442.
Crowe JH, Carpenter JF, Crowe LM. 1998. The role of vitrification in anhydrobiosis. *Annu Rev*
Physiol **60**:73–103.
Crowe JH, Clegg JS. 1973. Anhydrobiosis. Hutchinson Ross Publishing Company.

Das RK, Pappu RV. 2013. Conformations of intrinsically disordered proteins are influenced by linear sequence distributions of oppositely charged residues. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**:13392–13397.

Denekamp NY, Reinhardt R, Kube M, Lubzens E. 2010. Late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins in nondesiccated, encysted, and diapausing embryos of rotifers. *Biol Reprod* **82**:714–724.

Dignon GL, Zheng W, Kim YC, Mittal J. 2019. Temperature-Controlled Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation of Disordered Proteins. *ACS Cent Sci* **5**:821–830.

Dill KA, Alonso DOV, Hutchinson K. 1989. Thermal stabilities of globular proteins. *Biochemistry*. doi:10.1021/bi00439a019

Ectors D, Goetz-Neunhoeffer F, Hergeth W-D, Dietrich U, Neubauer J. 2016. In situ 1 H-TD-NMR: Quantification and microstructure development during the early hydration of alite and OPC. *Cement and Concrete Research*. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2015.10.011

Emenecker RJ, Griffith D, Holehouse AS. 2021. Metapredict: A fast, accurate, and easy-to-use predictor of consensus disorder and structure. *bioRxiv*. doi:10.1101/2021.05.30.446349

Emsley JW, Feeney J. 1999. Magnetic Resonance, Historical Perspective. *Encyclopedia of Spectroscopy and Spectrometry*. doi:10.1006/rwsp.2000.0165

Erkut C, Penkov S, Khesbak H, Vorkel D, Verbavatz J-M, Fahmy K, Kurzchalia TV. 2011. Trehalose renders the dauer larva of Caenorhabditis elegans resistant to extreme desiccation. *Curr Biol* **21**:1331–1336.

Feher G, Allen JP, Okamura MY, Rees DC. 1989. Structure and function of bacterial photosynthetic reaction centres. *Nature* **339**:111–116.

Francia F, Dezi M, Mallardi A, Palazzo G, Cordone L, Venturoli G. 2008. Protein-matrix coupling/uncoupling in "dry" systems of photosynthetic reaction center embedded in trehalose/sucrose: the origin of trehalose peculiarity. *J Am Chem Soc* **130**:10240–10246.

Francia F, Malferrari M, Sacquin-Mora S, Venturoli G. 2009. Charge recombination kinetics and protein dynamics in wild type and carotenoid-less bacterial reaction centers: studies in trehalose glasses. *J Phys Chem B* **113**:10389–10398.

Furuki T, Takahashi Y, Hatanaka R, Kikawada T, Furuta T, Sakurai M. 2020. Group 3 LEA Protein Model Peptides Suppress Heat-Induced Lysozyme Aggregation. Elucidation of the Underlying Mechanism Using Coarse-Grained Molecular Simulations. *J Phys Chem B* **124**:2747–2759.

Ge X, Yang Y, Sun Y, Cao W, Ding F. 2018. Islet Amyloid Polypeptide Promotes Amyloid-Beta Aggregation by Binding-Induced Helix-Unfolding of the Amyloidogenic Core. *ACS Chem Neurosci* **9**:967–975.

Ghi PY, Hill DJT, Whittaker AK. 2002. (1)H NMR study of the states of water in equilibrium poly(HEMA-co-THFMA) hydrogels. *Biomacromolecules* **3**:991–997.

Goormaghtigh E, Vigneron L, Scarborough GA, Ruysschaert JM. 1994. Tertiary conformational changes of the Neurospora crassa plasma membrane H(+)-ATPase monitored by hydrogen/deuterium exchange kinetics. A Fourier transformed infrared spectroscopy approach. *J Biol Chem* **269**:27409–27413.

Goormaghtigh, E, de Johgn HJH, Ruysschaert JM. 1996. Relevance of Protein Thin Films Prepared for Attenuated Total Reflection Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy: Significance of the pH. *Appl Spectrosc* **50**:1519–1527.

Goyal K, Walton LJ, Tunnacliffe A. 2005. LEA proteins prevent protein aggregation due to water stress. *Biochem J* **388**:151–157.

Gray KA, Farchaus JW, Wachtveitl J, Breton J, Oesterhelt D. 1990. Initial characterization of site-directed mutants of tyrosine M210 in the reaction centre of Rhodobacter sphaeroides. *EMBO J* **9**:2061–2070.

Greenspan L. 1977. Humidity Fixed Points of Binary Saturated Aqueous Solutions. *Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards—A Physics and Chemistry* **81A**:89–96.

Hailwood AJ, Horrobin S. 1946. Absorption of water by polymers: analysis in terms of a
simple model. *Trans Faraday Soc* **42**:B084.
Harmon TS, Holehouse AS, Rosen MK, Pappu RV. 2017. Intrinsically disordered linkers
determine the interplay between phase separation and gelation in multivalent proteins. *Elife*
6. doi:10.7554/eLife.30294
Hatanaka R, Hagiwara-Komoda Y, Furuki T, Kanamori Y, Fujita M, Cornette R, Sakurai M,
Okuda T, Kikawada T. 2013. An abundant LEA protein in the anhydrobiotic midge, PvLEA4,
acts as a molecular shield by limiting growth of aggregating protein particles. *Insect*
Biochem Mol Biol **43**:1055–1067.
Hengherr S, Heyer AG, Köhler H-R, Schill RO. 2008. Trehalose and anhydrobiosis in
tardigrades--evidence for divergence in responses to dehydration. *FEBS J* **275**:281–288.
Hengherr S, Worland MR, Reuner A, Brümmer F, Schill RO. 2009. High-temperature tolerance
in anhydrobiotic tardigrades is limited by glass transition. *Physiol Biochem Zool* **82**:749–
755.
Hesgrove C, Boothby TC. 2020. The biology of tardigrade disordered proteins in extreme stress
tolerance. *Cell Commun Signal* **18**:178.
Holehouse AS, Das RK, Ahad JN, Richardson MOG, Pappu RV. 2017. CIDER: Resources to
Analyze Sequence-Ensemble Relationships of Intrinsically Disordered Proteins. *Biophys J*
112:16–21.
Hopkins JB, Gillilan RE, Skou S. 2017. : improvements to a free open-source program for small-
angle X-ray scattering data reduction and analysis. *J Appl Crystallogr* **50**:1545–1553.
Ikeda K, Suzuki S, Shigemitsu Y, Tenno T, Goda N, Oshima A, Hiroaki H. 2020. Presence of
intrinsically disordered proteins can inhibit the nucleation phase of amyloid fibril formation of
Aβ(1–42) in amino acid sequence independent manner. *Scientific Reports*.
doi:10.1038/s41598-020-69129-1
Imai K, Mitaku S. 2005. Mechanisms of secondary structure breakers in soluble proteins.
Biophysics **1**:55–65.
Käiväräinen AI, Sukhanova G, Goryunov AS. 1984. Changes in water properties in serum
albumin solutions induced by alterations in protein flexibility. NMR studies. *Folia Biol*
30:84–92.
Kesimer M, Makhov AM, Griffith JD, Verdugo P, Sheehan JK. 2010. Unpacking a gel-forming
mucin: a view of MUC5B organization after granular release. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol*
Physiol **298**:L15–22.
Klaips CL, Jayaraj GG, Ulrich Hartl F. 2018. Pathways of cellular proteostasis in aging and
disease. *Journal of Cell Biology*. doi:10.1083/jcb.201709072
Laage D, Elsaesser T, Hynes JT. 2017. Water Dynamics in the Hydration Shells of
Biomolecules. *Chem Rev* **117**:10694–10725.
Lapinski J, Tunnacliffe A. 2003. Anhydrobiosis without trehalose in bdelloid rotifers. *FEBS Lett*
553:387–390.
Larson SB, Day JS, Nguyen C, Cudney R, McPherson A. 2009. Structure of pig heart citrate
synthase at 1.78 Å resolution. *Acta Crystallogr Sect F Struct Biol Cryst Commun* **65**:430–
434.
Laskowska E, Kuczyńska-Wiśnik D. 2020. New insight into the mechanisms protecting bacteria
during desiccation. *Curr Genet* **66**:313–318.
Lerbret A, Affouard F, Hédoux A, Krenzlin S, Siepmann J, Bellissent-Funel M-C, Descamps M.
2012. How strongly does trehalose interact with lysozyme in the solid state? Insights from
molecular dynamics simulation and inelastic neutron scattering. *J Phys Chem B*
116:11103–11116.
Li P, Banjade S, Cheng H-C, Kim S, Chen B, Guo L, Llaguno M, Hollingsworth JV, King DS,
Banani SF, Russo PS, Jiang Q-X, Nixon BT, Rosen MK. 2012. Phase transitions in the
assembly of multivalent signalling proteins. *Nature* **483**:336–340.

Malferrari M, Francia F, Venturoli G. 2011. Coupling between electron transfer and protein-solvent dynamics: FTIR and laser-flash spectroscopy studies in photosynthetic reaction center films at different hydration levels. *J Phys Chem B* **115**:14732–14750.

Malferrari M, Venturoli G, Francia F, Mezzetti A. 2012. A new method for D2O/H2O exchange in infrared spectroscopy of proteins. *Spectroscopy* **12**:337–342.

Malferrari M, Francia F, Venturoli G. 2015. Retardation of Protein Dynamics by Trehalose in Dehydrated Systems of Photosynthetic Reaction Centers. Insights from Electron Transfer and Thermal Denaturation Kinetics. *J Phys Chem B* **119**:13600–13618.

Malferrari M, Francia F, Mezzetti A, Venturoli G. 2016. Kinetic effects in dehydration, rehydration, and isotopic exchange of bacterial photosynthetic reaction centers. *Biomedical Spectroscopy and Imaging* **5**:185–196.

Mao AH, Pappu RV. 2012. Crystal lattice properties fully determine short-range interaction parameters for alkali and halide ions. *J Chem Phys* **137**:064104.

Maréchal Y. 2011. The molecular structure of liquid water delivered by absorption spectroscopy in the whole IR region completed with thermodynamics data. *J Mol Struct* **1004**:146–155.

Martin EW, Holehouse AS, Grace CR, Hughes A, Pappu RV, Mittag T. 2016. Sequence Determinants of the Conformational Properties of an Intrinsically Disordered Protein Prior to and upon Multisite Phosphorylation. *J Am Chem Soc* **138**:15323–15335.

Martin EW, Holehouse AS, Peran I, Farag M, Incicco JJ, Bremer A, Grace CR, Soranno A, Pappu RV, Mittag T. 2020. Valence and patterning of aromatic residues determine the phase behavior of prion-like domains. *Science* **367**:694–699.

McComb DW, Lengyel J, Barry Carter C. 2019. Cryogenic transmission electron microscopy for materials research. *MRS Bulletin*. doi:10.1557/mrs.2019.283

McGibbon RT, Beauchamp KA, Harrigan MP, Klein C, Swails JM, Hernández CX, Schwantes CR, Wang L-P, Lane TJ, Pande VS. 2015. MDTraj: a modern, open library for the analysis of molecular dynamics trajectories. *Biophys J* **109**:1528–1532.

McMahon BH, Müller JD, Wraight CA, Nienhaus GU. 1998. Electron transfer and protein dynamics in the photosynthetic reaction center. *Biophys J* **74**:2567–2587.

Metskas LA, Rhoades E. 2015. Conformation and Dynamics of the Troponin I C-Terminal Domain: Combining Single-Molecule and Computational Approaches for a Disordered Protein Region. *J Am Chem Soc* **137**:11962–11969.

Mitsumasu K, Kanamori Y, Fujita M, Iwata K-I, Tanaka D, Kikuta S, Watanabe M, Cornette R, Okuda T, Kikawada T. 2010. Enzymatic control of anhydrobiosis-related accumulation of trehalose in the sleeping chironomid, Polypedilum vanderplanki. *FEBS J* **277**:4215–4228.

Namioka S, Yoshida N, Konno H, Makabe K. 2020. Residue-Specific Binding Mechanisms of Thioflavin T to a Surface of Flat β-Sheets within a Peptide Self-Assembly Mimic. *Biochemistry* **59**:2782–2787.

Palazzo G, Mallardi A, Hochkoeppler A, Cordone L, Venturoli G. 2002. Electron transfer kinetics in photosynthetic reaction centers embedded in trehalose glasses: trapping of conformational substates at room temperature. *Biophys J* **82**:558–568.

Pattni V, Vasilevskaya T, Thiel W, Heyden M. 2017. Distinct Protein Hydration Water Species Defined by Spatially Resolved Spectra of Intermolecular Vibrations. *J Phys Chem B* **121**:7431–7442.

Peccati F, Pantaleone S, Riffet V, Solans-Monfort X, Contreras-García J, Guallar V, Sodupe M. 2017. Binding of Thioflavin T and Related Probes to Polymorphic Models of Amyloid-β Fibrils. *J Phys Chem B* **121**:8926–8934.

Piszkiewicz S, Gunn KH, Warmuth O, Propst A, Mehta A, Nguyen KH, Kuhlman E, Guseman AJ, Stadmiller SS, Boothby TC, Neher SB, Pielak GJ. 2019. Protecting activity of desiccated enzymes. *Protein Sci* **28**:941–951.

Popova AV, Rausch S, Hundertmark M, Gibon Y, Hincha DK. 2015. The intrinsically disordered

protein LEA7 from Arabidopsis thaliana protects the isolated enzyme lactate dehydrogenase and enzymes in a soluble leaf proteome during freezing and drying. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1854**:1517–1525.

Qiao S, Tian Y, Song P, He K, Song S. 2019. Analysis and detection of decayed blueberry by low field nuclear magnetic resonance and imaging. *Postharvest Biol Technol* **156**:110951.

Rani R. 2019. Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH): Biochemistry, Function and Clinical Significance.

Raschke TM. 2006. Water structure and interactions with protein surfaces. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* **16**:152–159.

Read JA, Winter VJ, Eszes CM, Sessions RB, Brady RL. 2001. Structural basis for altered activity of M- and H-isozyme forms of human lactate dehydrogenase. *Proteins* **43**:175–185.

Rondeau-Mouro C, Godfrin C, Cambert M, Rouillac J, Diascorn Y, Lucas T, Grenier D. 2019. Characterization of gluten-free bread crumb baked at atmospheric and reduced pressures using TD-NMR. *Magn Reson Chem* **57**:649–660.

Sakumichi N, Yoshikawa Y, Sakai T. 2021. Linear elasticity of polymer gels in terms of negative energy elasticity. *Polym J.* doi:10.1038/s41428-021-00529-4

Sanders DW, Kedersha N, Lee DSW, Strom AR, Drake V, Riback JA, Bracha D, Eeftens JM, Iwanicki A, Wang A, Wei M-T, Whitney G, Lyons SM, Anderson P, Jacobs WM, Ivanov P, Brangwynne CP. 2020. Competing Protein-RNA Interaction Networks Control Multiphase Intracellular Organization. *Cell* **181**:306–324.e28.

Shimizu S, Smith DJ. 2004. Preferential hydration and the exclusion of cosolvents from protein surfaces. *J Chem Phys* **121**:1148–1154.

Tapia H, Koshland DE. 2014. Trehalose is a versatile and long-lived chaperone for desiccation tolerance. *Curr Biol* **24**:2758–2766.

Tapia H, Young L, Fox D, Bertozzi CR, Koshland D. 2015. Increasing intracellular trehalose is sufficient to confer desiccation tolerance to Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**:6122–6127.

Tripathi R. 2012. Functional Characterisation of LEA Proteins from Bdelloid Rotifers.

Tripathi R, Boschetti C, McGee B, Tunnacliffe A. 2012. Trafficking of bdelloid rotifer late embryogenesis abundant proteins. *J Exp Biol* **215**:2786–2794.

Valori A, McDonald PJ, Scrivener KL. 2013. The morphology of C–S–H: Lessons from 1H nuclear magnetic resonance relaxometry. *Cement and Concrete Research*. doi:10.1016/j.cemconres.2013.03.011

van Dijk E, Hoogeveen A, Abeln S. 2015. The hydrophobic temperature dependence of amino acids directly calculated from protein structures. *PLoS Comput Biol* **11**:e1004277.

Wang H, Wang R, Song Y, Kamal T, Lv Y, Zhu B, Tao X, Tan M. 2018. A fast and non-destructive LF-NMR and MRI method to discriminate adulterated shrimp. *J Food Meas Charact* **12**:1340–1349.

Williams AD, Portelius E, Kheterpal I, Guo J-T, Cook KD, Xu Y, Wetzel R. 2004. Mapping abeta amyloid fibril secondary structure using scanning proline mutagenesis. *J Mol Biol* **335**:833–842.

Wu C, Biancalana M, Koide S, Shea J-E. 2009. Binding modes of thioflavin-T to the single-layer beta-sheet of the peptide self-assembly mimics. *J Mol Biol* **394**:627–633.

Yamaguchi A, Tanaka S, Yamaguchi S, Kuwahara H, Takamura C, Imajoh-Ohmi S, Horikawa DD, Toyoda A, Katayama T, Arakawa K, Fujiyama A, Kubo T, Kunieda T. 2012. Two novel heat-soluble protein families abundantly expressed in an anhydrobiotic tardigrade. *PLoS One* **7**:e44209.

Yathisha NS, Barbara P, Gügi B, Yogendra K, Jogaiah S, Azeddine D, Sharatchandra RG. 2020. Vegetative desiccation tolerance in : biochemical and physiological responses. *Heliyon* **6**:e04948.

Zheng J-M, Chin W-C, Khijniak E, Khijniak E Jr, Pollack GH. 2006. Surfaces and interfacial water: evidence that hydrophilic surfaces have long-range impact. *Adv Colloid Interface Sci*

1463   **127**:19–27.

1464   Zipp A, James TL, Kuntz ID, Shohet SB. 1976. Water proton magnetic resonance studies of
1465   normal and sickle erythrocytes Temperature and volume dependence. *Biochimica et*
1466   *Biophysica Acta (BBA) - General Subjects*. doi:10.1016/0304-4165(76)90037-4

1467