A novel ion conducting route besides the central pore in an

inherited mutant of G-protein-gated inwardly rectifying K$^+$ channel

I-Shan Chen[abc]*, Jodene Eldstrom[d], David Fedida[d], and Yoshihiro Kubo[ab]*

[a]Division of Biophysics and Neurobiology, Department of Molecular and Cellular

Physiology, National Institute for Physiological Sciences, National Institutes of

Natural Sciences, Okazaki 444-8585, Japan

[b]Department of Physiological Sciences, School of Life Science, SOKENDAI (The

Graduate University for Advanced Studies), Hayama 240-0193, Japan

[c]Department of Pharmacology, School of Medicine, Wakayama Medical University,

Wakayama 641-8509, Japan

[d]Department of Anesthesiology, Pharmacology and Therapeutics, University of

British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6T 1Z3, Canada

*Corresponding authors: I-Shan Chen, Yoshihiro Kubo

**Email:** chenis@wakayama-med.ac.jp; ykubo@nips.ac.jp

**ORCIDs:** I-S. C.: 0000-0003-3204-3921; J. E.: 0000-0002-7684-175X;

20            D. F.: 0000-0001-6797-5185; Y. K.: 0000-0001-6707-0837

**Abstract**

G-protein-gated inwardly rectifying $K^+$ (GIRK; Kir3.x) channels play important

physiological roles in various organs. Some of the disease-associated mutations of

GIRK channels are known to induce loss of $K^+$ selectivity but their structural changes

remain unclear. In this study, we investigated the mechanisms underlying the

abnormal ion selectivity of inherited GIRK mutants. By the two-electrode voltage-

clamp analysis of GIRK mutants heterologously expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes, we

observed that Kir3.2 G156S permeates $Li^+$ better than $Rb^+$, while T154del or L173R

of Kir3.2 and T158A of Kir3.4 permeate $Rb^+$ better than $Li^+$, suggesting a unique

conformational change in the G156S mutant. Applications of blockers of the

selectivity filter (SF) pathway, $Ba^{2+}$ or Tertiapin-Q (TPN-Q), remarkably increased the

$Li^+$-selectivity of Kir3.2 G156S but did not alter those of the other mutants. In single-

channel recordings of Kir3.2 G156S expressed in mouse fibroblasts, two types of

events were observed, one attributable to a TPN-Q sensitive $K^+$ current and the

second a TPN-Q resistant $Li^+$ current. The results show that a novel $Li^+$ permeable

and blocker-resistant pathway exists in G156S in addition to the SF pathway.

Mutations in the pore helix (PH), S148F and T151A, also induced high $Li^+$

permeation. Our results demonstrate that the mechanism underlying the loss of $K^+$

selectivity of Kir3.2 G156S involves formation of a novel ion permeation pathway

besides the SF pathway, which allows permeation of various species of cations.

**Introduction**

G-protein-gated inwardly rectifying K$^+$ (GIRK) channels are stimulated by the

activation of G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) to regulate heartbeat, neuronal

excitability and hormone secretion (Hibino et al., 2010). There are four types of GIRK

subunits (Kir3.1, Kir3.2, Kir3.3, and Kir3.4) which form homotetramers of Kir3.2 or

heterotetramers of multiple combinations in different tissues (Hibino et al., 2010;

Krapivinsky et al., 1995; Kubo, Reuveny, Slesinger, Jan, & Jan, 1993; Lesage et al.,

1994), and gene mutations encoded by GIRK channels have been found to cause

diseases in some patients (Zangerl-Plessl, Qile, Bloothooft, Stary-Weinzinger, & van

der Heyden, 2019). For example, deletion of Thr152, or mutations of Gly154 to Ser or

Leu171 to Arg in the human Kir3.2 channel (encoded by *KCNJ6*), cause Keppen-

Lubinsky syndrome (KPLBS) or KPLBS-like disorder (Horvath et al., 2018; Masotti et

al., 2015), and G151R, T158A or L168R mutations in the human Kir3.4 channel

(encoded by *KCNJ5)* cause aldosterone-producing adenomas (APA) (Boulkroun et

al., 2012; Choi et al., 2011). These amino acid residues are conserved among GIRK

subtypes. Some of the inherited mutations of these residues have been reported to

cause loss of K$^+$ selectivity, resulting in disorder of cell function and cell death (Choi

et al., 2011; Horvath et al., 2018; Navarro et al., 1996; Scholl et al., 2012; Slesinger

et al., 1996).

   K$^+$ selectivity is achieved by a highly conserved amino acid sequence TV(I)GYG

which forms the selectivity filter (SF) in K$^+$ channels (Zagotta, 2006). Mutations in the

SF sequence of K$^+$ channels alter ion selectivity (Heginbotham, Lu, Abramson, &

MacKinnon, 1994). An ion pair, conserved in the Kir channel family, a glutamic acid

located in the pore helix (PH) and an arginine located in the extracellular loop behind

the SF, is known to contribute to stabilization of the pore structure and maintaining

ion selectivity (Yang, Yu, Jan, & Jan, 1997). Mutations of other residues in the PH,

such as Ser148 or Glu152 of mouse Kir3.2, also impair the K$^+$ selectivity (Chen et al.,

2019; Yi, Lin, Jan, & Jan, 2001). Mutations located in transmembrane domains (TMs)

outside the SF also alter ion selectivity and residues located at the central cavity can

also influence the ion selectivity through interaction with the permeating ions (Bichet,

Grabe, Jan, & Jan, 2006; Bichet et al., 2004; Matamoros & Nichols, 2021; Yi et al.,

2001). Structures of various types of K$^+$ channel have been identified by Cryo-EM

and X-ray crystallography (Long, Campbell, & Mackinnon, 2005; Miller & Long, 2012;

W. Wang & MacKinnon, 2017; Whorton & MacKinnon, 2011). However, the structural

changes induced by mutations at different regions of the channel which alter the ion

selectivity still remain to be elucidated.

Previous studies using homology modeling have predicted structural changes to

GIRK channels induced by disease associated mutations as follows: (A) mutations in

the SF (human Kir3.2 T152del or Kir3.2 G154S) impair the stabilization of K$^+$

interaction with the backbone of the SF (Masotti et al., 2015); (B) the L171R mutation

in the TM2 of human Kir3.2 limits the SF movement by the formation of a hydrogen

bond with Glu148 in the PH (Horvath et al., 2018), while the L168R mutation at the

corresponding position of human Kir3.4 is relevant to the interaction with the side

chain of Tyr of the GYG motif in the SF (Choi et al., 2011); (C) the T158A mutation in

the extracellular loop of human Kir3.4 weakens the stability of the structure by

eliminating the hydrogen bonds between the extracellular loop, PH, and TM1 (Choi et

al., 2011). However, no further experimental data have been provided to support

these hypotheses.

In the present study, we investigated the mechanisms underlying the abnormal ion

selectivity of these inherited mutations in Kir3.2 and Kir3.4 channels by introducing

mutations at the corresponding positions in mouse Kir3.2 or rat Kir3.4. Using

electrophysiological recordings in *Xenopus* oocytes, we demonstrate that most of

these mutations induce a conformational change which allows increased permeation

of Rb$^+$ or Cs$^+$, while the G156S mutation of the Kir3.2 channel (corresponding to

human Kir3.2 G154S) induces a different conformational change which allows better

permeation of $Li^+$ or $Na^+$ over $Rb^+$ or $Cs^+$. Applications of pore blockers of GIRK

channels increase the $Li^+$-selectivity of Kir3.2 G156S but do not influence that of the

other mutants. Single-channel recordings of the G156S mutant in mouse fibroblasts

show that two types of ion conducting events, which respectively reflect $K^+$ current via

the SF pathway and $Li^+$ current via a novel ion permeation pathway, exist in the same

recording. Mutations of amino acid residues located in the PH behind the SF also

gave rise to the $Li^+$-permeable pathway outside of the SF route. Our results reveal a

novel mechanism underlying the loss of $K^+$-selectivity of Kir3.2 G156S that involves

formation of a novel ion permeation pathway in addition to the conventional SF route.

## Results

**Different disease associated mutations of GIRK channels show diverse ion selectivity**

To characterize the ion selectivity changes of GIRK channels induced by inherited mutations, we constructed these mutants using mouse Kir3.2 or rat Kir3.4 channels (Figure 1a). These mutants were heterologously expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes and the ionic currents were recorded by two-electrode voltage-clamp. We first recorded the $K^+$ current with an extracellular solution containing 96 mM $K^+$, and then replaced the $K^+$ with other cations. The order of their ionic size is $Li^+ < Na^+ < K^+ < Rb^+ < Cs^+ <$ methylammonium$^+$ ($MA^+$) < tetramethylammonium$^+$ ($TMA^+$) < tetraethylammonium$^+$ ($TEA^+$) < n-methyl-d-glucamine$^+$ ($NMDG^+$).

By using a ramp voltage pulse from -100 mV to +40 mV, we observed that wild-type (WT) Kir3.2 showed a large $K^+$ current and a minor $Rb^+$ current at -100 mV (Figure 1b). Only negligible currents were observed when $K^+$ was replaced with other cations. The current amplitude of each cation ($I_X$) at -100 mV relative to that of $K^+$ ($I_K$) is referred to as $I_X / I_K$ in Figure 1c. To analyze the ion selectivity quantitatively, the change of the reversal potentials by replacing the extracellular cations is usually used. In the case of GIRK channels which show strong rectification, however, the reversal potential is significantly affected by even a small leak current which hinders accurate analysis of $P_X / P_K$. Isolation of the GIRK current component by using blockers was also not possible, due to the decreased sensitivity to pore blockers as shown in Figures 2, 3, 6 and 7. Thus, we did not perform the measurement of the reversal potential in this study except for those in Figure 3 h-j. We instead compared the current amplitude in various extracellular solutions. The information we present in this study to compare the ion selectivity is the profile of sequence of current amplitudes which reflects the extent of the permeation of various ions.

Deletion of Kir3.2 Thr154 in the SF resulted in inward currents induced by various

extracellular cations in the order of $K^+ > Rb^+ > Na^+ > Li^+ \approx Cs^+ \approx MA^+$ (Figure 1d, e).

Mutation of Kir3.2 Gly156 in the SF to Ser also showed abnormal inward currents but

the sequence of current amplitudes produced by various cations was different from

that of T154del (Figure 1f, g). Kir3.2 G156S showed larger $Na^+$, $Li^+$, $MA^+$ currents

than $Rb^+$ or $Cs^+$ currents. Since the homotetramers of the Kir3.4 SF mutant G151R

showed very small currents from all extracellular cations, ion permeation could not be

compared because of contamination from endogenous currents (Figure 1-figure

supplement 1a). We, therefore introduced the mutation at the corresponding position

of Kir3.2 (G156R) and observed that its ion selectivity was different from that of

Kir3.2 G156S (Figure 1f-i). G156R showed a high selectivity to $Rb^+$, $Cs^+$ and $MA^+$,

and less inward rectification. The results show that mutation of Gly156 to different

amino acid residues induces distinct conformational changes to the ion permeation

pathway.

Kir3.4 T158A (T163A in Kir3.2; Figure 1a) in the extracellular loop after the SF also

showed an abnormal ion selectivity (Figure 1-figure supplement 1b, c) which was

similar to those of G156R and L173R in the TM2 of Kir3.2 (Figure 1a, h, i, l, m). A

previous study suggested that this may be relevant to the eliminated hydrogen bonds

of Thr158 with Pro128, Cys129 and Lys160, which are conserved among GIRK

subtypes (Choi et al., 2011).  However, when we introduced the corresponding

mutation into Kir3.2 in the extracellular loop after the SF (T163A), it showed an intact

ion selectivity and inward rectification like the WT (Figure 1b, c, j, k). This suggests

that the hydrogen bonds formed between the threonine and the three conserved

residues are not necessarily relevant to the ion selectivity change. Instead, the

extracellular loop may play some roles to maintain the ion selectivity.

Essentially, no significant currents were observed in the WT and all mutants when

replacing the extracellular cations with $TMA^+$, $TEA^+$ or $NMDG^+$ (Figure 1 and Figure

1-figure supplement 1b, c), showing that these mutants did not allow the permeation

of extra-large cations. These results demonstrate that most of the inherited mutations

of Kir3.2 or Kir3.4 channels induce a conformational change with higher $Rb^+$ and $Cs^+$

permeability than for $Na^+$ and $Li^+$. However, a unique conformational change is

induced by the G156S mutation of Kir3.2 with higher permeation of $Na^+$ and $Li^+$ than

$Rb^+$ and $Cs^+$.

**Effect of $Ba^{2+}$ on the ion selectivity of Kir3.2 G156S**

To further characterize the property of ion permeation for each mutant, we examined

the effect on ion selectivity of a well-established pore blocker of Kir channels, $Ba^{2+}$.

$Ba^{2+}$ is known to block the ion permeation pore of Kir channels by strong interaction

with the threonine residue located at the bottom of the SF (eg. Thr154 in Kir3.2)

(Alagem, Dvir, & Reuveny, 2001; Hsieh, Kuo, & Huang, 2015). We first investigated

the potency and efficacy of $Ba^{2+}$ in the inhibition of $K^+$ currents for WT and mutants of

Kir3.2. Application of $Ba^{2+}$ inhibited the $K^+$ current of WT, G156S and T163A well

($IC_{50}$: 105 ± 19 μM for WT; 95 ± 37 μM for G156S; 78 ± 11 μM for T163A, n = 5 for

each), and inhibited T154del with an intermediate potency (Figure 2a-g). The

sensitivity of G156R and L173R to the block by $Ba^{2+}$ was very low. Kir3.4 T158A also

showed a very low sensitivity to $Ba^{2+}$ (Figure 2-figure supplement 1a, b).

We next examined the ion selectivity of mutants before and after the application of

a high concentration of $Ba^{2+}$ (3 mM), except Kir3.2 WT and the WT-like mutant

T163A. For T154del, G156R, L173R of Kir3.2 or T158A of Kir3.4, application of $Ba^{2+}$

did not influence the sequence of $I_X/I_K$ of cations tested (Figure 2h, i, l-o and Figure 2-

figure supplement 1c, d). Unexpectedly, $Ba^{2+}$ did change the sequence of ion

selectivity of Kir3.2 G156S, which showed an apparent increase in the $I_{Li}/I_K$, $I_{Na}/I_K$ and

$I_{MA}/I_K$ (Figure 2j, k). The current amplitude of $Li^+$, $Na^+$ or $MA^+$ at -100 mV became

larger than the $K^+$ current in the presence of $Ba^{2+}$. These results show that Kir3.2

G156S possesses a different ion permeation mechanism from that of the other

mutants.

We examined the dose-inhibition relationship of $Ba^{2+}$ on the $K^+$ and the $Li^+$ currents

of Kir3.2 G156S (Figure 2p). The curve was shifted to the right when extracellular $K^+$

was replaced with $Li^+$, suggesting that $Ba^{2+}$ blocks the $Li^+$ permeation with a lower

affinity than that of the $K^+$ permeation. There are two possible explanations for the

altered permeation and block of the G156S mutant as follows. (1) On top of the SF

pathway blocked by $Ba^{2+}$ with a high affinity, a novel ion permeation pathway is

formed which allows permeation of $Li^+$, $Na^+$ and $MA^+$ with a lower sensitivity to the

block by $Ba^{2+}$. (2) $Ba^{2+}$ firmly binds to the threonine (Thr154) at the bottom of SF

when $K^+$ ions enter the SF, while the $Ba^{2+}$ binding becomes unstable when $Li^+$, $Na^+$ or

$MA^+$ enter the SF pathway.

**Effect of TPN-Q on the ion selectivity of Kir3.2 G156S**

To further clarify whether a novel ion permeation pathway besides the conventional

SF route does exist in Kir3.2 G156S, we examined the effect of another pore blocker

which binds to the GIRK channel at a different position than $Ba^{2+}$. Here we used

Tertiapin-Q (TPN-Q) known to specifically block Kir1.1 and GIRK channels by

capping the SF pore from the extracellular side (Figure 3a) (Doupnik, Parra, & Guida,

2015; Patel, Kuyucak, & Doupnik, 2020). Application of TPN-Q inhibited the $K^+$

current strongly and inhibited the $Li^+$ current weakly in Kir3.2 G156S (Figure 3b-d). In

the presence of TPN-Q, $Li^+$, $Na^+$ or $MA^+$ current at -100 mV became approximately 5-

10 times larger than the $K^+$ current (Figure 3e, f). The $I_{Li}/I_K$, $I_{Na}/I_K$ and $I_{MA}/I_K$ were

increased by the application of TPN-Q, and this is consistent with the effect of $Ba^{2+}$

application (Figure 3f and 2k). We calculated the percent inhibition of the current for

each cation and found that 1 μM of TPN-Q inhibited about 83~90% of the $K^+$, $Rb^+$, or

$Cs^+$ currents, $52.9 \pm 9.3$ % of the $Na^+$ current, $47.8 \pm 7.9$ % of the $MA^+$ current, and

30.1 ± 7.2 % of the $Li^+$ current (n = 5 for each, Figure 3g). One possible interpretation

of the results would be as follows: $K^+$, $Rb^+$, or $Cs^+$ predominantly permeate through

the channel via the SF pathway, with a very a limited permeation via the novel route;

in the case of $Na^+$ or $MA^+$, half and half permeation occurs through the two pathways;

$Li^+$ permeates predominantly through the novel route which is less sensitive to the

block by TPN-Q.

To clarify whether a novel permeation pathway with a high $Li^+$-permeability does

exist in Kir3.2 G156S in addition to the SF route with a high $K^+$-permeability, we

examined the permeability of $Li^+$ ($P_{Li}$) relative to the permeability of $K^+$ ($P_K$) by

measuring the shift of reversal potentials ($\Delta E_{rev}$) upon replacement of the

extracellular $Li^+$ with the same concentration of $K^+$ (Figure 3h-j). In the absence of

TPN-Q, $E_{rev}$ of $Li^+$ current was -2.7 ± 5.8 mV (n = 5) and was shifted to the right in K+

solution (8.1 ± 5.4 mV, n = 5), showing that $P_K$ is larger than $P_{Li.}$ $E_{rev}$ of the $K^+$ current

shifted to the left on application of 1 µM TPN-Q (-9.6 ± 11.1 mV, n = 5) and shifted to

the right upon replacement of $K^+$ with $Li^+$ (-1.2 ± 6.3 mV, n = 5), showing that $P_K$ was

decreased by TPN-Q and that $P_K$ is smaller than $P_{Li}$ in the presence of TPN-Q. Taken

together, when the SF pathway was blocked by TPN-Q, a high $P_{Li}/P_K$ was observed

presumably due to the novel ion permeation route. When the SF route is not blocked,

a lower $P_{Li}/P_K$ was observed as a result of the presence of two pathways.

**Activation effect of $G_{\beta\gamma}$ and Ivermectin on Kir3.2 G156S**

Previous studies showed that heteromeric channels comprised of Kir3.2 G156S and

Kir3.1 are insensitive to $G_{\beta\gamma}$-stimulation (Navarro et al., 1996). Here we examined

whether homomeric channels of Kir3.2 G156S are also insensitive to the $G_{\beta\gamma}$-

stimulation by coexpressing Kir3.2 G156S with the muscarinic M2 receptor (M2R).

We observed that an application of 1 µM ACh increases the $K^+$ current of Kir3.2 WT

but does not apparently increase that of G156S (Figure 4), showing that

homotetramers of Kir3.2 G156S are also insensitive to $G_{\beta\gamma}$-stimulation as compared

with the WT. We also examined the effect of another activator of Kir3.2 channels,

Ivermectin (IVM), which directly interacts with the channel as we reported previously

(Chen & Kubo, 2018; Chen, Tateyama, Fukata, Uesugi, & Kubo, 2017). Applications

of 10 μM IVM induced the current increase in both the Kir3.2 WT and G156S mutant.

This shows that the limited response of the G156S mutant to ACh stimulation is not

due to the high basal activity reaching a saturation level, since IVM can further

increase the current amplitude. Taken together, the G156S mutation may induce a

conformational change that occurs not only in the SF region but also in the structural

components which play roles in the $G_{\beta\gamma}$-coupling-channel activation linkage.

**Single-channel recordings of Kir3.2 G156S show two types of ion conducting**

**events**

We speculated that there should be two types of ion conducting events in G156S,

one generated by $K^+$ permeation through the SF route and the other generated by $Li^+$

permeation through the novel route. To verify this hypothesis, we performed cell-

attached single-channel recordings using mouse fibroblasts heterologously

expressing Kir3.2 G156S with a pipette solution of 140 mM $K^+$, 140 mM $Li^+$, or a

mixture of 70 mM $K^+$ and 70 mM $Li^+$, in the absence or presence of TPN-Q

respectively (Figure 5). In 140 mM $K^+$ at -100 mV, we observed inward currents with

amplitudes up to ~2 pA (Figure 5a). When 400 nM TPN-Q was added to the pipette

solution, these events with a relatively large current amplitude were largely absent

and replaced by infrequently observed events with a very small current amplitude (<

0.2 pA) (Figure 5b). Occasional amplifier resets were unavoidable during these long

recordings due to the need to reset the headstage output periodically as part of the

capacitive feedback system used to achieve low noise recordings (Figure 5b). The

results demonstrate that the major events of larger conductance by K$^+$ influx of Kir3.2

G156S were blocked by TPN-Q (Figure 5g).

In 140 mM Li$^+$, inward current events with a peak amplitude smaller than 1 pA were

recorded (Figure 5c), smaller than those observed in 140 K$^+$ in Figure. 5a. Inclusion

of 400 nM TPN-Q in the pipette did not apparently change the activity and the

amplitude of Li$^+$ current (Figure 5c, d), showing that the major events caused by Li$^+$

influx through Kir3.2 G156S were not blocked by TPN-Q (Figure 5g).

To clarify whether the K$^+$ conducting events and Li$^+$ conducting events are present

in the same recording, we performed recordings with 70 mM K$^+$ and 70 mM Li$^+$

solution in the pipette. In the current recordings with a slow ramp pulse from +30 mV

to -150 mV for 40 s, the conductance was reduced by the presence of 400 nM TPN-

Q in the pipette, at the tested membrane potentials (Figure 5-figure supplement 1). In

the current recordings held at -100 mV in the absence of TPN-Q, two types of inward

current events were detected; one type of event with a peak amplitude > 0.5 pA and

another type of event with a peak amplitude < 0.5 pA (Figure 5e). The events with a

larger amplitude between 4 s and 5 s in Figure. 5e were interpreted to be the sum of

simultaneous activities of two type of events. In the presence of 400 nM TPN-Q, only

the smaller events with < 0.5 pA remained (Figure 5f), and similar events were also

observed in Figure. 5e between 5 s and 6 s. These data together with those in Figure

5a-d suggest that the single channel events with a larger amplitude blocked by TPN-

Q involve K$^+$ influx, and the events with a smaller amplitude which remained in the

presence of TPN-Q involve Li$^+$ influx. These results demonstrate that two types of ion

conducting events are present in the same recording of Kir3.2 G156S. This supports

that a novel ion permeation pathway in addition to the SF route is formed by the

G156S mutation in the Kir3.2 channel.

**Mutations in the pore helix located behind the SF also form a Li$^+$-permeable pathway**

To reveal the location of the novel ion permeation route, we introduced a point mutation into the PH located behind the SF, since there is a pocket accessible from the extracellular side surrounded by the side chains of amino acid residues of the SF and PH in the WT. We previously reported that the S148F mutant located at the center of the PH in Kir3.2 is Na$^+$-permeable (Chen et al., 2019) (Figure 6a). Here we examined the ion permeation of various cations and observed that this mutant permeates Li$^+$, Na$^+$, Rb$^+$, Cs$^+$ and MA$^+$ and their $I_X/I_K$ are 0.92 ± 0.04 for MA$^+$ and about 0.43~0.60 for the other cations (n = 6 for each, Figure 6b, d). The dose-inhibition relationship for Kir inhibitors on Kir3.2 S148F showed that 3 mM Ba$^{2+}$ blocks 72.8 ± 3.9 % of the K$^+$ current and 1 µM TPN-Q blocks 48.0 ± 16.0 % (n = 5 for each, Figure 6c). In the presence of Ba$^{2+}$ or TPN-Q, the $I_{Li}/I_K$, $I_{Na}/I_{K,}$ and $I_{MA}/I_K$ became larger than those in the absence of these inhibitors (Figure 6d), demonstrating that a novel route, which is permeable to Li$^+$, Na$^+$ and MA$^+$ and insensitive to the block by TPN-Q, exists also in the S148F mutant.

Unexpectedly, mutation of Thr151, which is located at the bottom of the PH (Figure 7a), to Ala induced an extremely high rate of Li$^+$ permeation, even in the absence of SF route blockers (Figure 7b). The K$^+$ current of Kir3.2 T151A was very small and the Li$^+$, Na$^+$ and MA$^+$ currents were far larger than the K$^+$ current ($I_{Li}/I_K$: 19.1 ± 9.2; $I_{Na}/I_K$: 11.8 ± 6.1; $I_{MA}/I_K$: 17.7 ± 7.2, n = 10 for each) (Figure 7b, d). The T151C mutation also showed high $I_{Na}/I_K$ ($I_{Na} > I_K$). However, only a limited number of successful recordings were obtained, due to the very unhealthy condition of the oocytes expressing the T151C mutant (Figure 7-figure supplement 1). Mutation of Thr151 to Ser retained intact K$^+$ selectivity as WT, while mutation of Thr151 to Asp, Asn, Lys, Tyr, or Phe triggered permeation of Na$^+$, but $I_{Na}$ was still smaller than $I_K$ (Figure 7-figure supplement 1b). Oocytes expressing other T151 mutants showed very small currents

(Figure 7-figure supplement 1a). These results show that the Ala mutant at this

position increases the Na$^+$, Li$^+$ permeation. Since the K$^+$ current of the T151A mutant

was very tiny, hindering detailed dose-inhibition relationship analysis, we compared

the inhibiting effect of blockers on the Li$^+$ current (Figure 7c). Application of 3 mM

Ba$^{2+}$ blocked 59.5 ± 6.0 % (n = 5) of the Li$^+$ current and 1 μM TPN-Q only slightly

reduced the Li$^+$ current (10.1 ± 2.5 %, n = 5, Figure 7c). The sequence of I$_X$/I$_K$ did not

change apparently by applying blockers (Figure 7d), suggesting that T151A mutant

has only a novel ion permeation pathway. The results demonstrate that this ion

permeation route allows Li$^+$, Na$^+$ and MA$^+$ permeation better than K$^+$ or Rb$^+$, and is

insensitive to the block by TPN-Q. These are consistent with the features of the novel

pathway identified in Kir3.2 G156S. It appears that the T151A mutation induces a

conformational change which forms a Li$^+$-permeable pathway in the pocket

surrounded by the SF and PH residues, that the SF route is collapsed to inhibit K$^+$

permeation, and that Ba$^{2+}$ enters the novel ion permeation pathway and inhibits Li$^+$

current with a lower binding affinity than that for the SF route in WT (Figure 7a). This

is similar to the dose-inhibition curve for Ba$^{2+}$ on the Li$^+$ currents of Kir3.2 G156S, that

is shifted to the right compared to that of the K$^+$ current (Figure 2p).

During the recordings, we observed that the T151A mutant shows a very slow

current increase or decrease when exchanging extracellular K$^+$ to Na$^+$ or Na$^+$ to K$^+$ as

compared with the Kir3.2 WT (Figure 7-figure supplement 2). However, when we

exchanged the extracellular Na$^+$ to the impermeable NMDG$^+$ or NMDG$^+$ to Na$^+$, the

current change kinetics of T151A were as fast as those in WT. This suggests that the

cations, which occupy the novel pathway, might strongly interact with the pathway

lining residues.

**Discussion**

We have presented the following in this study: (1) Kir3.2 G156S permeates $Li^+$ and

$Na^+$ better than $Rb^+$ and $Cs^+$, while the other inherited mutants of GIRK channels

permeate $Rb^+$ and $Cs^+$ better than $Li^+$ and $Na^+$ (Figure 1); (2) applications of SF pore

blockers increase the $Li^+$ and $Na^+$-selectivity of Kir3.2 G156S but do not alter those of

the other mutants (Figures 2 and 3); (3) single-channel recordings of Kir3.2 G156S

show events of $K^+$ influx which are blocked by extracellular TPN-Q, and also those of

$Li^+$ influx which are not blocked by TPN-Q (Figure 5); (4) Kir3.2 S148F also shows an

increased $Li^+$-selectivity in the presence of pore blockers (Figure 6); (5) Kir3.2 T151A

shows an extremely high $Li^+$-selectivity which is not influenced by the application of

pore blockers (Figure 7).

Our results demonstrate that a novel ion permeation pathway allowing $Li^+$, $Na^+$, or

$MA^+$ influx is formed in Kir3.2 G156S, besides the conventional central pore route

formed by the SF which is blocked by $Ba^{2+}$ or TPN-Q (Figures 2k and 3f). This novel

ion permeation route is similar to a side entry pathway for $Na^+$ permeation through

the sodium potassium (NaK) channel (Roy et al., 2021; Shi et al., 2018). The SF of

the NaK channel is constructed by TVGDG, with D66 diverging from the conserved

SF sequence of $K^+$ channels. NaK channels permeate $K^+$ in a symmetric

conformation of the SF, while another asymmetric conformation of the lower part of

the SF, composed of different subunits, is necessary for $Na^+$ conduction (Shi et al.,

2018). When D66 of the SF flips, $Na^+$ associates with the side entry binding site

behind the SF pore (Roy et al., 2021). $K^+$ was also shown to associate with the side

entry binding site, in addition to the canonical $K^+$ binding sites within the SF (Roy et

al., 2021). In our single-channel recordings of G156S, $K^+$ conducting events with a

tiny current amplitude were infrequently observed in the presence of TPN-Q (Figure

5b), suggesting that $K^+$ may also slightly permeate through the novel ion permeation

route of Kir3.2 G156S. Mutants of the voltage-gated $K^+$ channel, Kv1.2 V370C or

Kv1.3 V388C, are also suggested to possess an unconventional cation permeation

pathway, named the σ-pore behind the SF pore, which permeates cations in a

sequence of efficacy of $Li^+ > Na^+ > NH_4^+ > Cs^+ > K^+ > Rb^+$ (Prutting & Grissmer, 2011;

Tyutyaev & Grissmer, 2017, 2018). The reported $Li^+$-preferable permeation of the σ-

pore is consistent with the feature of the novel ion permeation pathway which we

have identified in Kir3.2 G156S.

The G156S mutation of mouse Kir3.2 causes the loss of cerebellar granule cells in

*weaver* mice (Patil et al., 1995). Blocking of $Na^+$ flux through *weaver* Kir3.2 channels

by MK-801 and QX-314 at the early stage of development is known to rescue the

survival and differentiation of *weave*r granule neurons (Kofuji et al., 1996). The

inherited mutations of human Kir3.4, G151R and L168R which correspond to Gly156

in the SF and Leu173 in the TM2 of mouse Kir3.2, are reported to increase

aldosterone synthesis (Choi et al., 2011; Velarde-Miranda, Gomez-Sanchez, &

Gomez-Sanchez, 2013). Macrolides inhibits these mutants but not the WT channel,

and potentially serves as a diagnostic tool for APA patients harboring these

mutations (Maiolino et al., 2018; Scholl et al., 2017). We observed that the Kir3.2

G156R mutant shows a $Rb^+$, $Cs^+$-preferable permeation which is insensitive to block

by $Ba^{2+}$ (Figure 2). This is different from the features of Kir3.2 G156S, which is $Na^+$,

$Li^+$-preferable and $Ba^{2+}$-sensitive, suggesting that mutations of Gly156 to different

amino acid residues induced distinct conformational changes in the permeation

pathway. Kir3.2 L173R also showed $Rb^+$, $Cs^+$-preferable and $Ba^{2+}$-insensitive

features like that of Kir3.2 G156R. This may be due to the dilated SF in these

mutants that underlies the nonselective conformation of the SF with reduced

sensitivity to the $Ba^{2+}$ block, as suggested in a study of KirBac1.1 using single-

molecule FRET analysis (S. Wang et al., 2019).

The $Ba^{2+}$ blockade in a $Ca^{2+}$-activated $K^+$ channel, MthK, is known to be modulated

by extracellular cations (Guo, Zeng, Cui, Chen, & Ye, 2014). There are four hydrated

K$^+$-binding sites (S1~S4) in the SF of K$^+$ channels (Doyle et al., 1998; Zhou, Morais-

Cabral, Kaufman, & MacKinnon, 2001). When the MthK channel pore is filled with K$^+$,

Ba$^{2+}$ blocks ion permeation by staying at the S3 and S4 sites predominantly, while

Ba$^{2+}$ stays at the S2 site in a Na$^+$ environment, which weakens the block (Guo et al.,

2014). Therefore, it is possible that the Ba$^{2+}$ block of Kir3.2 G156S in different

extracellular cation environments could be different, resulting in the increase of I$_X$/I$_K$

in the presence of Ba$^{2+}$ (Figure 2). However, this possibility is unlikely, because

another inhibitor TPN-Q, which blocks the pore without entering the SF, but by

capping the pore instead, also shows similar increases of I$_X$/I$_K$ for the same type of

cations in Kir3.2 G156S (Figures 2k and 3f). These results suggest that the alteration

of the ion selectivity by application of blockers is unlikely to be due to the modulation

of blocker binding in the SF pore by extracellular cations. A similar phenomenon was

also observed in Kir3.2 S148F (Figure 6), further supporting that a Li$^+$-permeable

pathway which is not blocked by Ba$^{2+}$ or TPN-Q, exists in Kir3.2 G156S and S148F

mutants. We previously introduced various amino acid residues at the S148 position

in Kir3.2 and found that a nonpolar and bulky side chain at this position make the

channel permeable to Na$^+$ (Chen et al., 2019). We also demonstrated that T151A in

the PH contributes to the formation of the Na$^+$, Li$^+$-conducting route behind the SF

(Figure 7), suggesting important roles of PH residues in the modulation of ion

selectivity.

Based on the sequence of permeation for various ions and the presence or

absence of sequence change by application of blockers, it was shown that G156S

(Figures 2k and 3f) and S148F (Figure 6d) of Kir3.2 both have the modified SF route

which permeates Rb$^+$ and Cs$^+$ well and the novel pathway which permeates Li$^+$ and

Na$^+$ preferably. It was also shown that T151A has only the novel pathway (Figure 7d),

whereas T154del (Figure 2i), G156R (Figure 2m) and L173R (Figure 2o) of Kir3.2

have only the modified SF pathway. The sequence of ion preference of the G156R

and L173R mutants ($Rb^+$, $Cs^+$-preferable permeation) fits to I – IV of the Eisenman

sequence, suggesting that the modified SF pathway is a weak-field-strength site

type, where the site-interaction energy is less than the hydration energy (Eisenman,

1962; Hille, 2001). In the case of the G156S mutant, the sequence of ion preference

in the absence of blockers does not fit to any of the Eisenman sequences, further

supporting the current is a mixture via multiple pathways. However, G156S in the

presence of blockers or T151A in the absence/presence of blockers, show ion

preferences which fit to XI or X of the Eisenman sequences, suggesting that the

novel ion permeation pathway is a strong-field-strength site type, where the site-

interaction energy overwhelms the hydration energy (Eisenman, 1962; Hille, 2001).

The slow kinetics of current amplitude change of T151A upon the exchange of

extracellular cations also supports the strong interaction of ions with their binding

sites (Figure 7-figure supplement 2). It is likely that the novel pathway is located in

the pocket between the PH and side chain of the SF, behind the central SF, and

further studies are needed to elucidate the detail of the whole route.

We observed in the single channel recording analysis of Kir3.2 G156S in $K^+$ and $Li^+$

that there are two types of events, a larger one presumably carried by $K^+$, sensitive to

the block by TPN-Q and a smaller one, presumably carried by $Li^+$, resistant to the

TPN-Q block (Figure 5e, f). If permeation through both pathways is independent and

allow permeation simultaneously, it is naturally expected the sum height of two types

of single channel events would be observed. At 4 s - 5 s of the recording in Figure

5e, larger events were observed, which could be an indication of overlapping

activities of both types. Further detailed analysis was not performed due to the

difficulty of the precise estimation of the small current amplitudes of fast gating

events.  It may be natural to expect that there will be four novel side pathways behind

the SF in one tetrameric channel. If this is the case, multiple levels of single channel

current amplitudes might be observed. However, due to the relatively low open

probability, the multiple levels of overlapped activities could not be clearly observed.

Thus, a conclusion as to the number of novel pathways in one tetramer could not be

drawn.

In conclusion, our results reveal the presence of a novel ion permeation pathway

besides the SF route in Kir3.2 G156S. This provides us with novel insight into the

mechanisms underlying the loss of $K^+$ selectivity induced by inherited mutations in

GIRK channels. The design of drugs that selectively block the novel cation

permeation route alone to eliminate the abnormal cation fluxes may have the

potential to develop a novel treatment for Kir disorders such as for KPLBS patients

with the Kir3.2 G154S mutation (corresponding to mouse G156S) at an early stage of

life.

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethical approval of animal experiments**

All animal experiments in this study were approved by the Animal Care Committee of

the National Institutes of Natural Sciences (an umbrella institution of National Institute

for Physiological Sciences, Japan), and were performed in accordance with its

guidelines.

**Mutagenesis and cRNA preparations**

We constructed mutants of Kir3.2 and Kir3.4 and prepared their cRNA as described

previously (Chen et al., 2019). By PCR using PfuUltra II Fusion HS DNA Polymerase

kit (Agilent technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and the primers (Supplementary

Table 1), we introduced point mutations in mouse Kir3.2 (GenBank accession no.:

AF040051) or rat Kir3.4 (GenBank no.: L35771) which were confirmed by DNA

sequencing. Their cDNA were linearized by restriction enzymes, and the

complementary RNA were transcribed by the mMessage T3 or T7 mMachine Kit

(Ambion, Austin, TX, USA). The cRNA of Kir3.2 (25 ng oocyte$^{-1}$ for the wild-type,

T154del, G156R or T163A; 5 ng oocyte$^{-1}$ for L173R or T151A; 0.5 ng oocyte$^{-1}$ for

G156S or S148F), Kir3.4 (25 ng oocyte$^{-1}$ for the G151R or T158A), and porcine

muscarinic M2 receptor (M2R, GenBank no.: X04708, 5 ng oocyte$^{-1}$) were injected

into *Xenopus* oocytes.

**Oocyte preparations**

We purchased adult female *Xenopus laevis* from Hamamatsu Seibutsu Kyouzai

(Hamamatsu, Japan). Isolation of oocytes from the frogs were performed as

described previously (Chen et al., 2019). Frogs were anaesthetized with 0.15%

tricaine (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 30 min and then the oocytes were

dissociated by making an incision in the abdomen. Oocytes were treated with 2 mg

ml$^{-1}$ collagenase type I (Sigma-Aldrich) for 6 hours in frog Ringer's solution containing

(mM): 88 NaCl, 1 KCl, 2.4 NaHCO$_3$, 0.3 Ca (NO$_3$)$_2$, 0.41 CaCl$_2$, 0.82 MgSO$_4$, and 15

HEPES, pH 7.6 with NaOH. After removing the follicles, each oocyte was injected

with 50 nl of cRNA solution and then incubated in frog Ringer's solution with 0.1%

penicillin-streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich) at 17°C. The oocytes were used for two-

electrode voltage-clamp recordings 1-5 days after the injection of cRNA.

**Two-electrode voltage-clamp recordings**

Glass electrodes for two-electrode voltage-clamp recordings had a resistance of 0.2-

0.5 MΩ when filled with a pipette solution containing 3 M potassium acetate and 10

mM KCl. Membrane currents of oocytes were recorded at 22-25°C in a high K$^+$

solution containing (mM): 96 KCl, 3 MgCl$_2$, and 5 HEPES, pH 7.3 with n-methyl-d-

glucamine (NMDG). To evaluate the ion selectivity, the KCl was replaced by LiCl,

NaCl, RbCl, CsCl, methylammonium-Cl, tetramethylammonium-Cl,

tetraethylammonium-Cl, or NMDG-Cl, one at a time. Data were recorded by an

oocyte clamp amplifier OC-725C (Warner instruments, Holliston, MA, USA), a digital

converter Digidata 1440 (Molecular devices, San Jose, CA, USA), and pCLAMP 10

software (Molecular devices). Compounds were applied to the extracellular solution

and perfused the oocytes in a recording chamber. Sequential applications of blockers

to the extracellular solution were performed and the recording data were fitted to a

Hill equation to acquire the dose-inhibition relationship as follows:

$y = min + (max − min) / (1 + (x/IC_{50})$ ^ (Hillslope)), where x refers to the concentration

of blockers, y refers to the normalized current at a certain concentration, and IC$_{50}$ is

the concentration that generates half of the maximal inhibition.

**Single-channel recordings**

Cell-attached recordings were carried out using *ltk-* mouse fibroblast cells as previously described (Eldstrom, Wang, Werry, Wong, & Fedida, 2015; Murray et al., 2016; Westhoff, Eldstrom, Murray, Thompson, & Fedida, 2019).  Briefly, cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) as per the manufactures protocol, with DNA ratios of 1:0.8 of Kir3.2 G156S:GFP in micrograms. Transfected cells were grown in MEM with 10% FBS (GIBCO, USA), overnight in 5% $CO_2$ at 37°C.  All recordings were made at room temperature, ~24 hrs post transfection. The bath solution contained (mM): 135 KCl, 10 HEPES, 1 $MgCl_2$, 10 Dextrose, 0.05 $CaCl_2$ and was adjusted to pH 7.4 with KOH.  The patch pipette solution contained (mM): 10 HEPES, 1 $MgCl_2$, 10 Dextrose and either 135 KCl or 135 LiCl pH'ed to 7.4 with KOH or LiOH or a 50% mixture of each of these solutions.

Single channel recordings were made using an Axopatch 200B amplifier, Digidata 1330 and pClamp 9 software (Molecular Devices).  Patch electrodes were pulled from thick-walled borosilicate glass (Sutter Instruments, Novato, CA, USA). After fire polishing and Sylgard (Dow Corning, Midland, MI, USA) application, electrode resistances were between 40 and 60 M$\Omega$.  Records were sampled at 10 kHz and low-pass filtered at 2 kHz using a -3dB, four-pole Bessel filter.  For presentation and analysis, the data was additionally filtered digitally at 200 Hz.  Data was analyzed with pClamp 10 (Molecular Devices) and Figures prepared using Prism 9 GraphPad software (San Diego, CA, USA).

**Data and statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed with SigmaPlot 14 (Hulinks, Japan). Data shown in all Figures are means ± SD from n single cells specified in the legend of each Figure. Statistical differences were evaluated using Tukey's test following one−way ANOVA to compare the values between the absence or presence of pore

blockers with the extracellular solution containing different cations in Figure 2, 3, 6, 7

and SI appendix, Figure. S1. Unpaired Student's *t* test was used for Figures 3i, 3j

and 4e.

**Reagents**

Tertiapin-Q (TPN-Q) was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, UK) or Bio-Techne

Canada (Toronto, Canada). ACh, Ivermectin (IVM), and the other reagents were

purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA), unless otherwise specified. TPN-Q

and ACh were dissolved in distilled water, and IVM was dissolved in DMSO. These

reagents were diluted to a final concentration in the extracellular solution and the

solvent concentration was ≤ 0.3%.

**Acknowledgments and funding sources**

We thank Ms. Chizue Naito, Ms. Tomomi Yamamoto and Fariba Ataei for technical

assistance, members in Kubo Lab for discussion, and Dr. Eitan Reuveny and Dr.

Izhar Karbat (Weizmann Institute of Science) for information exchange and

discussion. I-S. C. thanks Dr. Tomoe Y. Nakamura (Wakayama Medical University)

for support. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers

JP20K07304 (to I-S.C.) and JP20H03424 (to Y.K.), The Uehara Memorial

Foundation (to I-S.C.), and grants PJT-156181 from the CIHR (to D.F.) and G-20-

0028720 from the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada (to D.F.).

**Author Contributions:** I-S.C. and Y.K. designed the study; I-S.C. performed all

experiments using *Xenopus* oocytes and data analyses. J.E. performed single

channel recordings and J.E. and D.F. analyzed and interpreted the data. All authors

wrote the paper and confirmed the final version.

**Competing Interest Statement:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

Alagem, N., Dvir, M., & Reuveny, E. (2001). Mechanism of Ba(2+) block of a mouse inwardly
rectifying K+ channel: differential contribution by two discrete residues. *J Physiol,*
*534*(Pt. 2), 381-393. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7793.2001.00381.x
Bichet, D., Grabe, M., Jan, Y. N., & Jan, L. Y. (2006). Electrostatic interactions in the channel
cavity as an important determinant of potassium channel selectivity. *Proc Natl Acad*
*Sci U S A, 103*(39), 14355-14360. doi:10.1073/pnas.0606660103
Bichet, D., Lin, Y. F., Ibarra, C. A., Huang, C. S., Yi, B. A., Jan, Y. N., & Jan, L. Y. (2004). Evolving
potassium channels by means of yeast selection reveals structural elements
important for selectivity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 101*(13), 4441-4446.
doi:10.1073/pnas.0401195101
Boulkroun, S., Beuschlein, F., Rossi, G. P., Golib-Dzib, J. F., Fischer, E., Amar, L., . . . Zennaro,
M. C. (2012). Prevalence, clinical, and molecular correlates of KCNJ5 mutations in
primary aldosteronism. *Hypertension, 59*(3), 592-598.
doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.111.186478
Chen, I. S., & Kubo, Y. (2018). Ivermectin and its target molecules: shared and unique
modulation mechanisms of ion channels and receptors by ivermectin. *J Physiol,*
*596*(10), 1833-1845. doi:10.1113/JP275236
Chen, I. S., Liu, C., Tateyama, M., Karbat, I., Uesugi, M., Reuveny, E., & Kubo, Y. (2019). Non-
sedating antihistamines block G-protein-gated inwardly rectifying K(+) channels. *Br J*
*Pharmacol, 176*(17), 3161-3179. doi:10.1111/bph.14717
Chen, I. S., Tateyama, M., Fukata, Y., Uesugi, M., & Kubo, Y. (2017). Ivermectin activates GIRK
channels in a PIP2 -dependent, Gbetagamma -independent manner and an amino
acid residue at the slide helix governs the activation. *J Physiol, 595*(17), 5895-5912.
doi:10.1113/JP274871
Choi, M., Scholl, U. I., Yue, P., Bjorklund, P., Zhao, B., Nelson-Williams, C., . . . Lifton, R. P.
(2011). K+ channel mutations in adrenal aldosterone-producing adenomas and
hereditary hypertension. *Science, 331*(6018), 768-772. doi:10.1126/science.1198785
Doupnik, C. A., Parra, K. C., & Guida, W. C. (2015). A computational design approach for
virtual screening of peptide interactions across K(+) channel families. *Comput Struct*
*Biotechnol J, 13*, 85-94. doi:10.1016/j.csbj.2014.11.004
Doyle, D. A., Morais Cabral, J., Pfuetzner, R. A., Kuo, A., Gulbis, J. M., Cohen, S. L., . . .
MacKinnon, R. (1998). The structure of the potassium channel: molecular basis of K+
conduction and selectivity. *Science, 280*(5360), 69-77.
doi:10.1126/science.280.5360.69
Eisenman, G. (1962). Cation selective glass electrodes and their mode of operation. *Biophys*
*J, 2*(2 Pt 2), 259-323. doi:10.1016/s0006-3495(62)86959-8
Eldstrom, J., Wang, Z., Werry, D., Wong, N., & Fedida, D. (2015). Microscopic mechanisms for
long QT syndrome type 1 revealed by single-channel analysis of I(Ks) with S3 domain
mutations in KCNQ1. *Heart Rhythm, 12*(2), 386-394.
doi:10.1016/j.hrthm.2014.10.029
Guo, R., Zeng, W., Cui, H., Chen, L., & Ye, S. (2014). Ionic interactions of Ba2+ blockades in
the MthK K+ channel. *J Gen Physiol, 144*(2), 193-200. doi:10.1085/jgp.201411192
Heginbotham, L., Lu, Z., Abramson, T., & MacKinnon, R. (1994). Mutations in the K+ channel
signature sequence. *Biophys J, 66*(4), 1061-1067. doi:10.1016/S0006-
3495(94)80887-2

Hibino, H., Inanobe, A., Furutani, K., Murakami, S., Findlay, I., & Kurachi, Y. (2010). Inwardly
rectifying potassium channels: their structure, function, and physiological roles.
*Physiol Rev, 90*(1), 291-366. doi:10.1152/physrev.00021.2009
Hille, B. (2001). Sinauer Associates Inc.
Horvath, G. A., Zhao, Y., Tarailo-Graovac, M., Boelman, C., Gill, H., Shyr, C., . . . van
Karnebeek, C. D. M. (2018). Gain-of-function KCNJ6 Mutation in a Severe
Hyperkinetic Movement Disorder Phenotype. *Neuroscience, 384*, 152-164.
doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2018.05.031
Hsieh, C. P., Kuo, C. C., & Huang, C. W. (2015). Driving force-dependent block by internal
Ba(2+) on the Kir2.1 channel: Mechanistic insight into inward rectification. *Biophys
Chem, 202*, 40-57. doi:10.1016/j.bpc.2015.04.003
Kofuji, P., Hofer, M., Millen, K. J., Millonig, J. H., Davidson, N., Lester, H. A., & Hatten, M. E.
(1996). Functional analysis of the weaver mutant GIRK2 K+ channel and rescue of
weaver granule cells. *Neuron, 16*(5), 941-952. doi:10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80117-8
Krapivinsky, G., Gordon, E. A., Wickman, K., Velimirovic, B., Krapivinsky, L., & Clapham, D. E.
(1995). The G-protein-gated atrial K+ channel IKACh is a heteromultimer of two
inwardly rectifying K(+)-channel proteins. *Nature, 374*(6518), 135-141.
doi:10.1038/374135a0
Kubo, Y., Reuveny, E., Slesinger, P. A., Jan, Y. N., & Jan, L. Y. (1993). Primary structure and
functional expression of a rat G-protein-coupled muscarinic potassium channel.
*Nature, 364*(6440), 802-806. doi:10.1038/364802a0
Lesage, F., Duprat, F., Fink, M., Guillemare, E., Coppola, T., Lazdunski, M., & Hugnot, J. P.
(1994). Cloning provides evidence for a family of inward rectifier and G-protein
coupled K+ channels in the brain. *FEBS Lett, 353*(1), 37-42. doi:10.1016/0014-
5793(94)01007-2
Long, S. B., Campbell, E. B., & Mackinnon, R. (2005). Crystal structure of a mammalian
voltage-dependent Shaker family K+ channel. *Science, 309*(5736), 897-903.
doi:10.1126/science.1116269
Maiolino, G., Ceolotto, G., Battistel, M., Barbiero, G., Cesari, M., Amar, L., . . . Rossi, G. P.
(2018). Macrolides for KCNJ5-mutated aldosterone-producing adenoma (MAPA):
design of a study for personalized diagnosis of primary aldosteronism. *Blood Press,
27*(4), 200-205. doi:10.1080/08037051.2018.1436961
Masotti, A., Uva, P., Davis-Keppen, L., Basel-Vanagaite, L., Cohen, L., Pisaneschi, E., . . .
Dallapiccola, B. (2015). Keppen-Lubinsky syndrome is caused by mutations in the
inwardly rectifying K+ channel encoded by KCNJ6. *Am J Hum Genet, 96*(2), 295-300.
doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2014.12.011
Matamoros, M., & Nichols, C. G. (2021). Pore-forming transmembrane domains control ion
selectivity and selectivity filter conformation in the KirBac1.1 potassium channel. *J
Gen Physiol, 153*(5). doi:10.1085/jgp.202012683
Miller, A. N., & Long, S. B. (2012). Crystal structure of the human two-pore domain
potassium channel K2P1. *Science, 335*(6067), 432-436. doi:10.1126/science.1213274
Murray, C. I., Westhoff, M., Eldstrom, J., Thompson, E., Emes, R., & Fedida, D. (2016).
Unnatural amino acid photo-crosslinking of the IKs channel complex demonstrates a
KCNE1:KCNQ1 stoichiometry of up to 4:4. *Elife, 5*. doi:10.7554/eLife.11815
Navarro, B., Kennedy, M. E., Velimirovic, B., Bhat, D., Peterson, A. S., & Clapham, D. E.
(1996). Nonselective and G betagamma-insensitive weaver K+ channels. *Science,
272*(5270), 1950-1953. doi:10.1126/science.272.5270.1950
Patel, D., Kuyucak, S., & Doupnik, C. A. (2020). Structural Determinants Mediating Tertiapin
Block of Neuronal Kir3.2 Channels. *Biochemistry, 59*(7), 836-850.
doi:10.1021/acs.biochem.9b01098

Patil, N., Cox, D. R., Bhat, D., Faham, M., Myers, R. M., & Peterson, A. S. (1995). A potassium
channel mutation in weaver mice implicates membrane excitability in granule cell
differentiation. *Nat Genet, 11*(2), 126-129. doi:10.1038/ng1095-126
Prutting, S., & Grissmer, S. (2011). A novel current pathway parallel to the central pore in a
mutant voltage-gated potassium channel. *J Biol Chem, 286*(22), 20031-20042.
doi:10.1074/jbc.M110.185405
Roy, R. N., Hendriks, K., Kopec, W., Abdolvand, S., Weiss, K. L., de Groot, B. L., . . . Coates, L.
(2021). Structural plasticity of the selectivity filter in a nonselective ion channel.
*IUCrJ, 8*(Pt 3), 421-430. doi:10.1107/S205225252100213X
Scholl, U. I., Abriola, L., Zhang, C., Reimer, E. N., Plummer, M., Kazmierczak, B. I., . . . Lifton, R.
P. (2017). Macrolides selectively inhibit mutant KCNJ5 potassium channels that
cause aldosterone-producing adenoma. *J Clin Invest, 127*(7), 2739-2750.
doi:10.1172/JCI91733
Scholl, U. I., Nelson-Williams, C., Yue, P., Grekin, R., Wyatt, R. J., Dillon, M. J., . . . Lifton, R. P.
(2012). Hypertension with or without adrenal hyperplasia due to different inherited
mutations in the potassium channel KCNJ5. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 109*(7), 2533-
2538. doi:10.1073/pnas.1121407109
Shi, C., He, Y., Hendriks, K., de Groot, B. L., Cai, X., Tian, C., . . . Sun, H. (2018). A single NaK
channel conformation is not enough for non-selective ion conduction. *Nat Commun,
9*(1), 717. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-03179-y
Slesinger, P. A., Patil, N., Liao, Y. J., Jan, Y. N., Jan, L. Y., & Cox, D. R. (1996). Functional effects
of the mouse weaver mutation on G protein-gated inwardly rectifying K+ channels.
*Neuron, 16*(2), 321-331. doi:10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80050-1
Tyutyaev, P., & Grissmer, S. (2017). Observation of sigma-pore currents in mutant
hKv1.2_V370C potassium channels. *PLoS One, 12*(4), e0176078.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0176078
Tyutyaev, P., & Grissmer, S. (2018). Characterization of the sigma-Pore in Mutant hKv1.3
Potassium Channels. *Cell Physiol Biochem, 46*(3), 1112-1121.
doi:10.1159/000488840
Velarde-Miranda, C., Gomez-Sanchez, E. P., & Gomez-Sanchez, C. E. (2013). Regulation of
aldosterone biosynthesis by the Kir3.4 (KCNJ5) potassium channel. *Clin Exp
Pharmacol Physiol, 40*(12), 895-901. doi:10.1111/1440-1681.12151
Wang, S., Lee, S. J., Maksaev, G., Fang, X., Zuo, C., & Nichols, C. G. (2019). Potassium channel
selectivity filter dynamics revealed by single-molecule FRET. *Nat Chem Biol, 15*(4),
377-383. doi:10.1038/s41589-019-0240-7
Wang, W., & MacKinnon, R. (2017). Cryo-EM Structure of the Open Human Ether-a-go-go-
Related K(+) Channel hERG. *Cell, 169*(3), 422-430 e410.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.03.048
Westhoff, M., Eldstrom, J., Murray, C. I., Thompson, E., & Fedida, D. (2019). I Ks ion-channel
pore conductance can result from individual voltage sensor movements. *Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A, 116*(16), 7879-7888. doi:10.1073/pnas.1811623116
Whorton, M. R., & MacKinnon, R. (2011). Crystal structure of the mammalian GIRK2 K+
channel and gating regulation by G proteins, PIP2, and sodium. *Cell, 147*(1), 199-208.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.07.046
Yang, J., Yu, M., Jan, Y. N., & Jan, L. Y. (1997). Stabilization of ion selectivity filter by pore loop
ion pairs in an inwardly rectifying potassium channel. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 94*(4),
1568-1572. doi:10.1073/pnas.94.4.1568
Yi, B. A., Lin, Y. F., Jan, Y. N., & Jan, L. Y. (2001). Yeast screen for constitutively active mutant
G protein-activated potassium channels. *Neuron, 29*(3), 657-667. doi:10.1016/s0896-
6273(01)00241-0

724 Zagotta, W. N. (2006). Membrane biology: permutations of permeability. *Nature, 440*(7083),
725    427-429. doi:10.1038/440427a
726 Zangerl-Plessl, E. M., Qile, M., Bloothooft, M., Stary-Weinzinger, A., & van der Heyden, M. A.
727    G. (2019). Disease Associated Mutations in KIR Proteins Linked to Aberrant Inward
728    Rectifier Channel Trafficking. *Biomolecules, 9*(11). doi:10.3390/biom9110650
729 Zhou, Y., Morais-Cabral, J. H., Kaufman, A., & MacKinnon, R. (2001). Chemistry of ion
730    coordination and hydration revealed by a K+ channel-Fab complex at 2.0 A
731    resolution. *Nature, 414*(6859), 43-48. doi:10.1038/35102009

**Figure 1. Permeation of various cations in inherited Kir3.2 mutants expressed**

**in *Xenopus* oocytes**

(**a**) The crystal structure of the pore-forming region of Kir3.2 WT (Protein Data Bank

ID: 3SYA)(Whorton & MacKinnon, 2011). The positions of inherited mutations are

shown and labelled in red in stick mode. The IV-relationship of Kir3.2 WT (**b**),

T154del (**d**), G156S (**f**), G156R (**h**), T163A (**j**), and L173R (**l**) were recorded by a

ramp pulse from -100 mV to +40 mV for 1 s in an extracellular solution containing 96

mM XCl, where the X is Li, Na, K, Rb, Cs, MA, TMA, TEA, or NMDG, respectively. (**c**,

**e**, **g**, **i**, **k**, **m**) The current amplitude of $X^+$ relative to $K^+$ ($I_X/I_K$) of each mutant at -100

mV was calculated by normalization of $I_K$ as 1. Data shown are individual values with

means ± SD, n = 6 for WT and G156S; n = 5 for the other mutants.

**Figure 1-figure supplement 1. Permeation of various cations in Kir3.4 G151R**

**and Kir3.4 T158A expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes**

(**a**) The current amplitude recorded from the oocytes without injection of cRNA or

injected with cRNA of Kir3.4 G151R at -100 mV in the extracellular solution

containing 96 mM LiCl, KCl, or RbCl, respectively. (**b**) The IV-relationship of Kir3.4

T158A with different cations in the extracellular solution. (**c**) The current amplitude of

$X^+$ relative to $K^+$ ($I_X/I_K$) of each cation was calculated by normalization of $I_K$ as 1. Data

shown are individual values with means ± SD, n = 5.

**Figure 2. Effect of Ba²⁺ on the current of various cations in inherited Kir3.2**

**mutants expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes**

(**a-f**) Representative time course of current change of Kir3.2 WT and mutants at -100

mV and +40 mV before and after applications of different concentrations of $BaCl_2$ in

the extracellular solution. (**g**) Dose-inhibition relationship at -100 mV. Data shown are

means ± SD, n = 5 for each. The IV-relationship of Kir3.2 T154del (**h**), G156S (**j**),

G156R (**l**), and L173R (**n**) were recorded by a ramp pulse from -100 mV to +40 mV

for 1 s in an extracellular solution containing 96 mM XCl, where the X refers to Li, Na,

K, Rb, Cs, or MA, respectively (**i**, **k**, **m**, **o**). The $I_X/I_K$ of each mutant at -100 mV before

(black symbols) and after the application of 3 mM $BaCl_2$ (red symbols). Data shown

are individual values with means ± SD, n = 6 for T154del; n = 9 for G156S; n = 5 for

the other mutants. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$ depict the significance of

statistical difference between the values in the absence and the presence of $Ba^{2+}$. (**p**)

Dose-inhibition relationship of $Ba^{2+}$ on the $K^+$ current (black symbols) or the $Li^+$

current (red symbols) of Kir3.2 G156S, means ± SD, n = 5 for each.

**Figure 2-figure supplement 1. Effect of Ba$^{2+}$ on the current of Kir3.4 T158A**

**expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes**

(**a**) Time course of current change of oocytes expressed Kir3.4 T158A at -100 mV

and +40 mV before and after applications of different concentrations of Ba$^{2+}$ in the 96

mM KCl extracellular solution. (**b**) Dose-inhibition relationship of Ba$^{2+}$ on K$^+$ current,

means ± SD, n = 5. (**c**) The IV-relationship of Kir3.4 T158A in the presence of 3 mM

Ba$^{2+}$. (**d**) The $I_X/I_K$ at -100 mV before (black symbols) and after the application of 3

mM Ba$^{2+}$ (red symbols), means ± SD, n = 5. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$

depict the statistical significance of the difference between the absence and the

presence of Ba$^{2+}$.

**Figure 3. Effect of TPN-Q on the current of various cations of Kir3.2 G156S**

**expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes**

(**a**) A schematic drawing of the pore-forming region and the location of G156S of

Kir3.2. $Ba^{2+}$ and TPN-Q block the ion permeation route formed by the SF are drawn

according to previous studies (Alagem et al., 2001; Doupnik et al., 2015; Hsieh et al.,

2015; Patel et al., 2020). Time course of current change at -100 mV and +40 mV

before and after applications of different concentrations of TPN-Q in the extracellular

solution containing 96 mM KCl (**b**) or LiCl (**c**). (**d**) Dose-inhibition relationship of $K^+$

current (black symbols) and $Li^+$ current (red symbols) at -100 mV, means ± SD, n = 5

for each. (**e**) The IV-relationship of each cation in the presence of 1 μM TPN-Q. (**f**)

The $I_X/I_K$ at -100 mV before (black symbols) and after the application of TPN-Q (blue

symbols). Data shown are individual values with means ± SD, n = 8. *** $P < 0.001$

depict the statistical significance of difference between the absence and the

presence of TPN-Q. (**g**) Inhibition percentage of cation currents at -100 mV by 1 μM

TPN-Q, means ± SD, n = 5 for each, *** $P < 0.001$ as compared the $K^+$ group. (**h**)

The IV-relationship of $K^+$ and $Li^+$ current in the absence or presence of 1 μM TPN-Q.

802    An expanded view of zero current range is shown in the right panel. (**i**) The shift of

803    reversal potential when the extracellular $Li^+$ was replaced with $K^+$, means ± SD, n = 5.

804    (**j**) The permeability of $Li^+$ relative to the permeability of $K^+$ ($P_{Li}/P_K$) were calculated

805    from (**i**), means ± SD, n = 5. * *P* < 0.05, ** *P* < 0.01 depict the statistical significance

806    of difference between the values in the absence and the presence of TPN-Q.

**Figure 4. Effects of activators of GIRK channels on the current of Kir3.2 G156S**

**expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes**

Current of oocytes expressing Kir3.2 WT with M2R (**a**, **b**) or Kir3.2 G156S with M2R

(**c**, **d**) were recorded in an extracellular solution containing 96 mM $K^+$ with a protocol

shown above. Current traces before or after the application of 1 μM ACh or 10 μM

Ivermectin (IVM) at the time indicated by arrows (**b**, **d**) are shown in (**a**, **c**). (**e**) The

amplitudes of ACh-induced current or IVM-induced current ($I_{Ligand-induced}$) relative to the

basal currents ($I_{Basal}$) were calculated by normalization of $I_{Basal}$ as 1. Data shown are

individual values with means ± SD, n = 5 for each. *** *P* < 0.001 indicates the

statistical significance of the difference between the WT and the mutant.

**Figure 5. Single-channel activity of Kir3.2 G156S mutant expressed in mouse**

**fibroblasts**

Representative single channel recordings of the Kir3.2 G156S mutant at -100 mV

with 140 mM K⁺ (**a**, **b**), 140 mM Li⁺ (**c**, **d**) or a mixture or 70 mM K⁺/70 mM Li⁺ (**e**, **f**) in

the recording pipette, with or without 400 nM TPN-Q as indicated.  Occasional

artifacts due to amplifier resets are unavoidable and an example is indicated in (**b**).

(**g**) Current amplitude histograms of net ion conduction events in the absence or

presence of TPN-Q with three types of solution compositions. Data are pooled from n

= 3 to 5 for each condition.

832 **Figure 5-figure supplement 1. The voltage-conductance relationship of Kir3.2**

833 **G156S expressed in mouse fibroblasts**

834 Single-channel recordings of Kir3.2 G156S were recorded with pipette solution

835 containing 70 mM K$^+$ and 70 mM Li$^+$ in the absence (**a**) or presence of 400 nM TPN-

836 Q (**b**) with a ramp pulse from +30 mV to -150 mV for 40 s.

**Figure 6. Permeation of various cations and the effect of blockers on the**

**currents of Kir3.2 S148F expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes**

(**a**) The position of S148 (labelled in red) at the pore helix (PH) of Kir3.2 WT. (**b**) The

IV-relationship of each cation. (**c**) Dose-inhibition relationship of $Ba^{2+}$ (red symbols)

and TPN-Q (blue symbols) on $K^+$ current at -100 mV, means ± SD, n = 5 for each. (**d**)

The $I_X/I_K$ at -100 mV in the absence of blocker (black symbols), and in the presence

of 3 mM $Ba^{2+}$ (red symbols) or 1 µM TPN-Q (blue symbols). Data shown are

individual values with means ± SD, n = 6 for control group; n = 5 for the other groups.

** *P* < 0.01, *** *P* < 0.001 depict the statistical significance of difference between the

absence and the presence of $Ba^{2+}$ (red *) or TPN-Q (blue *).

**Figure 7. Permeation of various cations and the effect of blockers on the**

**currents of Kir3.2 T151A expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes**

(**a**) The position of T151A (labelled in red) in the pore helix of Kir3.2 WT. (**b**) The IV-

relationship of each cation. (**c**) Dose-inhibition relationship of $Ba^{2+}$ on $K^+$ current

(black symbols) or $Li^+$ current (red symbols), and TPN-Q on $Li^+$ current (blue

symbols) at -100 mV, means ± SD, n = 5 for each. (**d**) The $I_X/I_K$ at -100 mV in the

absence of blocker (black symbols), and in the presence of 3 mM $Ba^{2+}$ (red symbols)

or 1 µM TPN-Q (blue symbols). Data shown are individual values with means ± SD, n

= 5 to 10 for control group; n = 7 for $Ba^{2+}$ group; n = 6 for TPN-Q group. Red * *P* <

0.05 depict the statistical significance of the difference between the absence and the

presence of $Ba^{2+}$. There were no significant differences between the absence and

presence of TPN-Q are shown.

**Figure 7-figure supplement 1. The current amplitude and the ion selectivity of various Kir3.2 mutants at T151 expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes.**

(**a**) The basal current amplitude of Kir3.2 T151 mutants at -100 mV in the 96 mM KCl extracellular solution. (**b**) The $I_{Na}/I_K$ of Kir3.2 T151 mutants at -100 mV. All data shown are means ± SD, n = 5 for T151G, V, L, F, Y; n = 6 for T151A, I; n = 7 for T151S; n = 8 for T151M; n = 2 for T151C; n = 3 for other mutants. The $I_{Na}/I_K$ of T151E, V, M, I, L, F mutants is not detectable (indicated as ND) because of their very small current amplitudes as shown in (**a**).

**Figure 7-figure supplement 2. The kinetics of current amplitude change of**

**Kir3.2 T151A upon exchange of extracellular cations.**

(**a, b**) Representative time course of current amplitude change of Kir3.2 WT (**a**) and

Kir3.2 T151A mutant (**b**) at -100 mV and +40 mV during the exchange of extracellular

solution with 96 mM $K^+$ or $Na^+$ or $NMDG^+$ as shown above. (**c**) The kinetics of current

amplitude change upon the exchange of extracellular cations calculated from the

current amplitude at -100 mV. The maximal difference of current amplitude before

and after replacement of extracellular solution was normalized to 1. Data shown are

means ± SD, n = 5 for each. (**d**) Tau of current amplitude change, means ± SD, n = 5

for each. * $P < 0.05$, *** $P < 0.001$ depict the statistical significance.