1
12 **Plasticity in AAA+ proteases reveals ATP-dependent substrate specificity**
13 **principles**
14

15 Samar A. Mahmoud[1,2], Berent Aldikacti[1,2], Peter Chien[1,2*]

20 **Affiliations:**

21 [1]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, [2]Molecular and Cellular Biology
22 Program, University of Massachusetts Amherst, Amherst, MA 01003, USA.

23
24 **Corresponding Author:**
25
26 Peter Chien
27 240 Thatcher Rd, Amherst, MA 01003
28 pchien@umass.edu
29 (413) 545-2310

30
31
32

Graphical Abstract

33
In a wildtype cell, AAA+ proteases Lon and ClpXP promote normal growth by degrading
distinct substrates. ClpX*P can compensate for the absence of the Lon protease by
tuning ClpXP substrate specificity to better degrade Lon-privileged substrates (such as
DnaA, SciP, and misfolded proteins) but this comes at the cost of native ClpXP
substrates (such as ssrA-tagged proteins and CtrA). We propose that ClpX alternates
between a closed and open conformation and promoting one state over the other leads
to alterations in substrate specificity. In the presence of ClpX* or in ATP-limited
conditions, the open state is favored, allowing capture and recognition of substrates
such as casein. The balance shifts to the closed state under high ATP conditions,
allowing degradation of substrates such as GFP-ssrA, which preferentially bind the
closed state.
45

Summary

In bacteria, AAA+ proteases such as Lon and ClpXP specifically degrade substrates to promote growth and stress responses. Here, we find that an ATP-binding mutant of ClpX suppresses physiological defects of a Lon-deficient strain by shifting ClpXP protease specificity toward normally Lon-restricted substrates and away from normal ClpXP targets. Reconstitution with purified proteins assigns these effects to changes in direct recognition and processing of substrates. We show that wildtype ClpXP specificity can be similarly altered when ATP hydrolysis is reduced, which unexpectedly accelerates degradation of some substrates. This activation corresponds with changes in ClpX conformation, leading to a model where ClpX cycles between 'capture' and 'processive' states depending on ATP loading. Limiting ATP binding alters dynamics between states affording better recognition of unorthodox substrates, but worse degradation of proteins specifically bound by the processive state. Thus, AAA+ protease specificities can be directly tuned by differences in ATP hydrolysis rates.

Highlights

- A mutation in the Walker B region of ClpX induces recognition of new substrates.

- Proteases are optimized for specific functions but barrier to recognize new substrates is easily overcome.

- Expanding substrate recognition by a protease comes at the cost of reducing native substrate degradation.

- Decreasing ATP enhances ClpXP mediated degradation of certain classes of substrates.

- ClpX adopts distinct conformational states to favor better recognition of some substrates over others.

Introduction

Energy-dependent protein degradation regulates normal growth and stress responses in all cells. In bacteria, regulated proteolysis is carried out by several energy-dependent AAA+ (ATPases associated with cellular activities) proteases which include Lon, ClpXP,

ClpAP, HslUV, FtsH (Gur et al., 2011; Mahmoud and Chien, 2018). These proteases

share a similar general architecture containing an unfoldase domain and a non-specific

peptidase (Sauer and Baker, 2011). The two domains can be encoded on a single

polypeptide, such as in the case of Lon and FtsH, or they can be encoded by separate

proteins, an ATPase, such as ClpX, and a peptidase, such as ClpP (Baker and Sauer,

2012; Langklotz et al., 2012; Lee and Suzuki, 2008). Using the power of ATP hydrolysis,

the unfoldase recognizes, unfolds, and translocates substrates into the sequestered

peptidase chamber to be degraded. Because they are critical for maintaining

proteostasis, loss of these proteases results in defects in growth, cell-cycle progression,

stress responses, and pathogenesis (Breidenstein et al., 2012; Howard-Flanders et al.,

1964; Jenal and Fuchs, 1998; Rogers et al., 2016).

Despite the similarities in both architecture and mechanism, these proteases generally

have distinct niches of substrate preference. For example, in *Caulobacter crescentus*,

Lon is the principal protease for degradation of the replication initiator DnaA (Jonas et

al., 2013), the methyltransferase CcrM (Wright et al., 1996), and the transcriptional

regulator SciP (Gora et al., 2013). By contrast, the ClpXP protease degrades cell-cycle

factors like CtrA and TacA during cell-cycle progression through an adaptor hierarchy

(Joshi et al., 2015). Lon is also responsible for misfolded protein degradation

(Goldberg, 1972; Gur and Sauer, 2008; Jonas et al., 2013). However, while a handful of

target substrates for these AAA+ have been identified, it remains unclear how proteases

are able to discriminate among protein targets and recognize distinct substrates for

irreversible degradation.

In this work, we find that a variant of ClpX (*clpX**) can compensate for the absence of

the Lon protease. Expression of *clpX** suppresses defects in motility, growth,

filamentation, and sensitivity to stress normally seen in a Δ*lon* strain. In addition to this

phenotypic rescue, degradation of normal Lon substrates is restored *in vivo* and *in vitro*

by ClpX*P. This increased ability to degrade noncanonical substrates comes at the cost

of reduced degradation of ClpXP-specific substrates, resulting in fitness defects in

otherwise wildtype strains expressing the *clpX** allele. Further mechanistic

characterization shows that ClpX* has reduced catalytic efficiency for ATP hydrolysis,

suggesting a connection between ATP utilization and substrate specificity. Consistent

with this, limiting ATP for wildtype ClpXP shifts substrate preferences to that of ClpX*P.

Limited proteolysis shows that the ClpX unfoldase adopts distinct conformations under

these ATP limiting or saturating conditions. Taken together, our work demonstrates that

ATP-dependent dynamics between conformational states are important for substrate

recognition by AAA+ unfoldases.

Results

A suppressor screen identifies a *clpX* mutant which rescues *Δlon*

We reasoned that a suppressor screen would allow us to identify novel Lon-related

interactions and used a transposon library to identify mutations that restore motility to a

Δlon strain. We isolated a high motility mutant with a transposon insertion in

CCNA_00264; however transduction experiments revealed that this transposon alone

was not able to rescue motility (Figure S1A). Sequencing the genome of the suppressor

strain showed a point mutation in *clpX*, which resulted in a single amino acid change

from glycine 178 to alanine (*clpX**). This glycine is highly conserved among ClpX

homologs and immediately adjacent to the Walker B motif (Figure S1C). By generating

the *clpX** allele in a *Δlon* background, we found that *clpX** on its own partially restores

motility (Figure 1A).

We were intrigued that a single mutation in *clpX* could restore motility to cells lacking

Lon and chose to further characterize this strain. Cells lacking Lon show growth defects

that exhibit as an extended lag phase and reduced cell mass accumulation in stationary

phase (Figure 1A). The *clpX** allele rescues the mass accumulation defect, but not the

extended lag (Figure 1A). *Δlon* strains also have significant morphological deficiencies

including elongated cell length and longer stalks, external polar organelles characteristic

of *Caulobacter*. We found that the *clpX** mutant restored the cell length of Δ*lon* strains to

near wildtype levels (Figure 1B, 1C). However, stalks remained elongated in the *Δlon*

*clpX** strain (Figure 1B, 1C).

We next wondered if, in addition to suppression of *Δlon* morphological and growth

defects, sensitivity to certain stressors would be suppressed by this *clpX** allele. One of

the originally described phenotypes for *E. coli lon* mutants is DNA damage sensitivity

(Mizusawa and Gottesman, 1983; Witkin, 1946) and *Caulobacter Δlon* strains are also

highly sensitive to various DNA damaging agents (Zeinert et al., 2018), including

mitomycin C (Figure 1C). We found that Δ*lon clpX** was 100-fold more resistant to MMC

than Δ*lon* alone (Figure 1C).

As mentioned previously, Lon is the major protease responsible for the degradation of

the replication initiator DnaA and Δ*lon* strains accumulate excess chromosome content

(Jonas et al., 2013; Wright et al., 1996). Like the morphological abnormalities,

overreplication is suppressed in the Δ*lon clpX** strain which shows similar chromosome

content as wildtype cells (Figure 1D, S1D). To determine if this rescue extended to a

systems-level correction, we compared RNA-seq profiles of wildtype, Δ*lon* and Δ*lon*

clpX* strains. As expected, many genes (435) are differentially expressed upon loss of

Lon (Figure 1E), while the Δ*lon clpX** strain shows fewer differences (119) with only 85

genes overlapping between these sets. Our interpretation is that expression of *clpX** in

a Δ*lon* background largely shifts the phenotypes and transcriptional landscape to more

wildtype profiles.

Figure 1

Figure 1: *clpX** mutant suppresses *Δlon* phenotypes

A. Growth curves of *wild type* (*wt*), *Δlon, and Δlon clpX** cells grown in PYE. Biological triplicate experiments are shown. Error bars represent 95% confidence interval. Inset shows motility assays as measured by growth in 0.3% PYE agar.

B. Representative phase-contrast microscopy images of *wt, Δlon, and Δlon clpX** cells grown in PYE during exponential phase. Quantification of cell length and stalk length for three biological replicates of n=100 cells.

C. Serial dilution assays comparing colony formation of strains in PYE and PYE supplemented with mitomycin C. Spots are plated 10-fold dilutions of exponentially growing cells from left to right.

D. Flow cytometry profiles showing chromosome content of indicated strains after three-
hour treatment with rifampicin. Cells were stained with SYTOX Green to measure DNA
content. The fluorescent intensities corresponding to one chromosome (1) and two
chromosomes (2) are indicated. Experiment was performed two times. Representative
data from one of the biological replicates is shown here.
E. Venn diagram summarizing the number of differentially expressed genes with an
FDR cutoff < 0.01 from RNA seq performed with stationary phase cells. Venn diagram
created by BioVenn (Hulsen et al., 2008).
184
185 See also Figure S1.
186

ClpX* restores degradation of Lon substrates *in vivo*

Because cells lacking Lon would accumulate normally degraded substrates, we

hypothesized that the rescue we observed in the *Δlon clpX** strain was likely due to

lower levels of Lon substrates, such as DnaA, CcrM, and SciP (Figure 2A) (Gora et al.,

2013; Jonas et al., 2013; Wright et al., 1996). As expected, in unsynchronized cells,

DnaA and CcrM levels are higher in the Δ*lon* strain than in wildtype (Figure 2B).

Similarly, SciP levels are higher in Δ*lon* swarmer cells in comparison to wildtype (Figure

2D). Interestingly, levels of DnaA and SciP are restored to wildtype levels in the Δ*lon*

*clpX** strain; however, CcrM remained at higher levels (Figure 2B). The reduced levels

of DnaA likely explain the rescue of replication defects and enrichment analysis of the

RNA-seq results shows that the SciP-controlled regulon and cell-cycle gene expression

are largely restored in the Δ*lon clpX** strain (Figure S2). We reasoned that expression

of *clpX** rescues normal growth and critical stress responses in a Δ*lon* strain through

restoring wildtype levels of key proteins.

Next, we explored the mechanism by which a mutation in ClpX is able to restore steady

state levels of DnaA and SciP. Because DnaA and SciP are controlled by proteolysis,

we suspected that protein turnover may be affected. As expected, DnaA is largely

stabilized in Δ*lon* strains (Figure 2C; Jonas, et al. 2013); however, we found that DnaA

degradation was restored in the Δ*lon clpX* strain*, with a half-life similar to that of

wildtype (Figure 2D). SciP protein dynamics and cell-cycle phase specific levels were

also restored in Δ*lon clpX** cells (Figure 2D). By contrast, CcrM degradation was still

solely dependent on Lon, even when *clpX** was present, in both unsynchronized cells

(Figure 2C) and during cell-cycle progression (Figure S3A).

We considered that changes in protein turnover could be either due to a gain-of-function

in the *clpX** mutant or by indirect cellular effects associated with a loss-of-function in

clpX. To test this, we created a merodiploid *Δlon* strain expressing a second copy of

clpX or *clpX** under control of the native promoter along with a normal chromosomal

copy of *clpX*. We reasoned that if the effect was direct, then expression of *clpX** would

be sufficient to restore DnaA degradation. If the effect was indirect, then DnaA would

remain stable. Consistent with a gain-of-function phenotype for the *clpX** allele, we

found that DnaA turnover increased upon expression of *clpX** but not wildtype *clpX*

(Figure 2E). Similarly, the phenotypic rescue we observed in the *Δlon clpX** strain was

also due to a gain-of-function activity as the merodiploid strain expressing *clpX**

suppressed *Δlon* defects, such as growth, motility, and genotoxic stress tolerance

(Figure S3B-D)*.* Because ClpXP is a AAA+ protease, we hypothesized that ClpX*P (the

protease complex of the variant ClpX* with wildtype ClpP) was now able to recognize

and degrade substrates normally degraded by Lon.

Figure 2

Figure 2: *clpX* mutant restores levels of Lon substrates through degradation
A. Lon degrades DnaA, SciP, and CcrM in *C. crescentus* (Wright *et al.*, 1996; Gora *et al.*, 2013; Jonas *et al.*, 2013).
B. Western blot showing DnaA and CcrM levels in *wt*, *Δlon, and Δlon clpX** cells. Lysates from an equal number of exponential phase cells were probed with anti-DnaA or anti-CcrM antibody. ClpP was used as a loading controls. A representative image and quantifications of triplicate experiments are shown.
C. Antibiotic shutoff assays to monitor DnaA and CcrM stabilities in wt*, Δlon, and Δlon clpX** cells. Chloramphenicol was added to stop synthesis and lysates from samples at the indicated time points were used for western blot analysis. Quantifications of triplicate experiments with substrate shown relative to ClpP control levels are shown to the right. Error bars represent SD.
D. Western blot showing SciP levels in synchronized populations of wt, *Δlon, and Δlon clpX** cells. Swarmer cells were isolated using a density gradient and an equal number of cells were released into fresh PYE medium. Samples were withdrawn at the indicated time points and probed with anti-SciP.
E. DnaA stability is measured in *Δlon* strains expressing an extra copy of wildtype *clpX* or *clpX**. Experiment was performed four times. Representative data from one of the biological replicates is shown here. Quantifications of experiments shown to the right. Error bars represent SD.

247
248   See also Figure S2 and S3.
249

ClpX*P directly degrades Lon substrates *in vitro*

As a direct test of our hypothesis, we purified the ClpX* variant and reconstituted

degradation *in vitro*. Upon initial characterization of purified ClpX*, we found that it

formed an active ATPase, but hydrolyzed ATP three times faster than wildtype (Figure

S4A). Consistent with the fact that Lon is the principal protease for DnaA degradation

(Jonas et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2016), we found that wildtype ClpXP poorly degraded

DnaA *in vitro* (Figure 3A). However, purified ClpX*P could degrade DnaA four-fold

faster than ClpXP (Figure 3A). Similarly, SciP was degraded three-fold faster by ClpX*P

in comparison to ClpXP (Figure 3A). Finally, as predicted from our *in vivo* results, CcrM

was not degraded by either ClpX*P or ClpXP (Figure 3A, Figure S4B), but was well

degraded by Lon (Figure S4B). Thus, ClpX* appears to have an expanded substrate

profile which includes some Lon substrates, but others, such as CcrM, remain exclusive

to Lon.

Lon recognizes exposed hydrophobic amino acids (Gur and Sauer, 2008) and is best

known as a quality control protease that eliminates mis/unfolded proteins (Goff et al.,

1984). Given its altered specificity, we considered if ClpX* could now recognize these

substrates. Casein is commonly used as an *in vitro* model substrate for mis/unfolded

proteins. Lon robustly degrades fluorescently labeled casein, whereas wildtype ClpXP

poorly degrades this substrate (Figure 3B). Intriguingly, ClpX*P degrades FITC-casein

more than twice as fast as ClpXP (Figure 3B, Figure S4C). Similar to casein, ClpX*P

degrades another unfolded Lon substrate, a chemically denatured domain of titin tagged

with the hydrophobic sequence β20, better than wildtype ClpXP (Figure S4D).

Because cells lacking Lon are sensitive to proteotoxic stresses that create misfolded

proteins and because ClpX*P is better at degrading mis/unfolded substrates than

wildtype ClpXP, we wondered if the Δ*lon clpX** strain would be more resistant to

stressors that induce cellular protein misfolding. Indeed, the presence of the *clpX** allele

protected Δ*lon* cells from hypersensitivity to the proteotoxic amino acid L-canavanine

(Figure 3B). We conclude that ClpX* has an altered substrate preference, that includes

DnaA, SciP, and unfolded proteins, whose degradation are normally governed by the

Lon protease.

Figure 3

Figure 3: ClpX*P degrades some Lon substrates faster than ClpXP in vitro.
A. *In vitro* degradation of DnaA, SciP, or CcrM. Assays were performed with 0.1 μM
$ClpX_6$ or $ClpX_6^*$, and 0.2 μM $ClpP_{14}$. Substrate concentrations were 1 μM DnaA, 5 μM
SciP, 0.5 μM CcrM. Quantification of triplicate experiments shown. Error bars represent
SD.
B. *In vitro* fluorescence degradation assay of FITC-casein in the presence of ClpXP or
ClpX*P or Lon. Degradation assays were performed with 10μg/mL FITC-Casein, 0.1 μM
$ClpX_6$ or $ClpX_6^*$ and 0.2 μM ClpP or 0.1 μM Lon_6. Serial dilution assays comparing
colony formation of strains in PYE and PYE supplemented with L-canavanine. Spots are
plated 10-fold dilutions of exponentially growing cells from left to right.

See also Figure S4.

ClpX*P is deficient in degradation of native ClpXP substrates

Mutations in ClpX that improve recognition of some substrates can have negative

consequences on others (Farrell et al., 2007). GFP-ssrA is a well-characterized ClpXP

substrate that is directly bound by the pore loops of ClpX (Fei et al., 2020; Gottesman et

al., 1998). We purified GFP fused to the *Caulobacter* ssrA tag (Chien, et al. 2007),

determined degradation rates by ClpX*P and ClpXP, and fit these rates to the

Michaelis-Menten equation. We found that ClpX*P degrades GFP-ssrA more poorly

than wildtype ClpXP, principally lowering the turnover rate (Figure 4A, Figure S5A),

suggesting that either ClpX* fails to bind the ssrA tag as readily or is unable to

translocate the reporter protein as well as ClpX. This effect was not due to the GFP as

an ssrA-tagged unfolded titin domain was also degraded more slowly by ClpX*P (Figure

S5B). Given that the β20-tagged version of this same construct was degraded more

rapidly, we conclude that the altered specificity of ClpX* must rely on the recognition tag

identity, rather than on changes in substrate translocation

CtrA is a master regulator in *Caulobacter* that inhibits replication initiation and regulates

the transcription of many cell-cycle genes (Laub et al., 2002; Wortinger et al., 2000). *In*

vivo, CtrA is degraded during the G1-S transition by ClpXP through the use of an

adaptor hierarchy (Domian et al., 1999; Jenal and Fuchs, 1998; Joshi et al., 2015; Quon

et al., 1996); however, *in vitro* CtrA can also be directly recognized by ClpXP as its C-

terminus resembles the ssrA tag (Chien et al., 2007). Consistent with a reduced ability

to recognize ClpXP substrates, ClpX*P degrades isolated CtrA more poorly than

wildtype (Figure 4B) and also shows reduced degradation of a CtrA-derived GFP

reporter (Smith et al., 2014) in the presence of the full adaptor hierarchy (Figure S5C).

We conclude that ClpX*P overall has a diminished ability to recognize and degrade

native substrates normally degraded by ClpXP.

Deficiencies of ClpX* revealed when Lon is present

The prior *in vitro* data suggests that rather than just expanding specificity, this mutation

has allowed ClpX*P to degrade Lon-dependent substrates better but at the cost of

degrading ClpXP-dependent ones. If this is true, while *clpX** is beneficial in a *Δlon*

background, we reasoned that it may be detrimental to *lon*+ cells.

To test this hypothesis, we generated a strain with *clpX** as its sole copy. We first

examined ssrA tag turnover in this strain by using an ssrA derivative that relies on the

SspB adaptor for degradation (eGFP-ssrA(DAS)) (Chowdhury et al., 2010) so that

degradation would be sufficiently slowed to be visualized by western blotting.

Consistent with our *in vitro* experiments, we observed stabilization and dramatically

higher levels of eGFP-ssrA (DAS) in the *clpX** strain in comparison to wildtype (Figure

4C). We observed reduced CtrA degradation in the *clpX** strain, consistent with our *in*

vitro observations (Figure 4C). Finally, DnaA degradation is accelerated in the *clpX**

strains compared to wildtype – consistent with our findings that both Lon and ClpX*P

are able to degrade DnaA (Figures 4C).

We assayed the overall physiological consequence of ClpX* using competitive fitness in

mixed cultures. We grew cultures of wildtype *clpX* or the *clpX** strain together with

wildtype strains constitutively expressing the Venus fluorescent protein (Persat et al.,

2014). We found that while nonfluorescent wildtype cells could slightly outcompete the

constitutive Venus expressing cells, the *clpX** strain showed a substantial competitive

disadvantage (Figure 4D). We also tested for stress tolerances and found that *clpX**

strains fail to survive genotoxic stress as robustly as wildtype (Figure 4E). Our

conclusion is that reduced fitness of the wildtype *clpX** strain is likely due to reduced

degradation of normal ClpXP substrates (such as CtrA) and prolific degradation of

normal Lon substrates (such as DnaA) that together reduce overall fitness. Collectively,

this supports our understanding that AAA+ proteases have been optimized for specific

priorities in a cell – expansion of their substrate profile, as seen with *clpX*,* can

compensate for loss of other proteases, but at the cost of their ability to recognize their

normal substrates.

Figure 4

Figure 4: ClpX* mutant is deficient in degradation of native ClpXP substrates
A. Michaelis-Menten plot showing the rate of degradation as a function of GFP-ssrA
concentration by ClpXP and ClpX*P. Inset displays kinetic parameters. Assays were
performed with 0.1 μM ClpX or ClpX* hexamer, 0.2 μM ClpP, ATP regeneration system,
and the indicated concentrations of GFP-ssrA. Data was fitted to Michaelis-Menten
equation. Triplicate experiments are shown.

B. *In vitro* degradation assay of His-CtrA in the presence of ClpXP or ClpX*P.
Degradation assays were performed with 3 µM His-CtrA, 0.1 µM ClpX or ClpX*
hexamer, 0.2 µM ClpP, and ATP regeneration system. Quantification of duplicate
experiments is shown below. Error bars represent SD.
C. *In vivo* degradation assay showing eGFP-ssrA (DAS), DnaA, and CtrA stability in *wt*
and *clpX** cells. The plasmid encoded M2FLAG-eGFP-ssrA (DAS) construct was
induced with the addition of 0.2% xylose. Chloramphenicol was used to inhibit protein
synthesis. Samples were withdrawn at the indicated time points and quenched in SDS
lysis buffer. Lysate from an equal number of cells was used for western blot analysis
and probed with anti-M2, or anti-CtrA, and anti-DnaA antibody. Quantification of
triplicate experiments is shown below. Error bars represent SD.
D. Competition assay with wildtype cells harboring xylX::Plac-venus (constitutive venus
expression) and nonfluorescent wt or *clpX** strains. exponential phase cells were mixed
1:1, diluted, and allowed to outgrow for 12 doublings. . Quantification of triplicate
experiments is shown below. Error bars represent SD.
E. Serial dilution assays comparing colony formation of *wt* and *clpX** cells in PYE and
PYE supplemented with MMC. Spots are plated 10-fold dilutions of exponentially
growing cells from left to right.
379
380 See also Figure S5.
381

Limiting ATP alters wildtype ClpXP substrate specificity

To understand how this mutation in ClpX leads to enhanced degradation of certain

substrates, we further characterized the ATPase activity of ClpX*. While ClpX*

hydrolyzes ATP three-fold faster than wildtype ClpX at saturating ATP concentrations

(Figure S4A), Michaelis-Menten experiments showed that the K_M increases by 5-6 fold

and the catalytic efficiency for ATP hydrolysis decreases by approximately 2 fold,

suggesting that ClpX* has a different relationship with ATP compared to wildtype ClpX

(Figure 5A). As seen previously, degradation of GFP-ssrA was precipitously lost below

a minimum threshold of ATP (Martin et al., 2008), with the ClpX*P threshold being

higher than that of wildtype, consistent with the higher K_M for ATP described above

(Figure S6A). For ClpX*P, casein degradation reduced monotonically as ATP

concentration was lowered (Figure 5B). In striking contrast, we found that for wildtype

ClpXP, casein degradation was increased at intermediate nucleotide concentrations

compared to saturating ATP (Figure 5B). Kinetic analysis shows that at these lower

ATP concentrations ClpXP degrades casein with a lower K_M and a similar V_{max}

compared to saturating ATP conditions (Figure 5C). Since $K_M = K_D + k_{cat}/k_{on}$, the lower

K_M rate suggests that, at a minimum, casein must bind better to ClpX at these

concentrations.

These results suggest that ClpX specificity depends on ATP concentration in an

unexpected fashion, with lower levels of ATP facilitating ClpX recognition of some

substrates. We reasoned that this could explain the altered specificity of the ClpX*

mutant, as its increased K_M for ATP may allow ClpX* to resemble this low ATP state

even under saturating ATP conditions (Figure 5A). Remarkably, this enhanced

degradation at low ATP extended to other ClpX* substrates, such as DnaA and SciP

(Figure 5D and S6B). We conclude that ClpX preference depends on ATP state, with its

specificity expanded when not fully loaded with ATP.

This *in vitro* data suggests that ClpXP may play a role in better degrading some

substrates such as DnaA *in vivo* under ATP limiting conditions. To test this, we

monitored degradation of DnaA during starvation using a Δ*lon* Δ*clpA* strain which should

eliminate any non-ClpX dependent degradation (Liu et al., 2016). Glucose starvation

has been shown to accelerate DnaA degradation (Gorbatyuk and Marczynski, 2005)

which we found true for wildtype cells as well (Figure 5E). Importantly, starving Δ*lon*

Δ*clpA* also markedly increased DnaA degradation (Figure 5E) concurrent with a

reduction in ATP levels (Figure S6C).

We considered conformational changes in ClpX that might explain the altered specificity

at low ATP. Using limited proteolysis to probe conformational differences we found that

ClpX at low ATP was more protease accessible than at high ATP (Figure 5F).

Consistent with the shared altered specificity, protease accessibility of ClpX* at high

ATP was more similar to wildtype ClpX at low ATP than high (Figure 5F). This suggests

that a more open structure of ClpX is present at low ATP and with ClpX* that allows it to

recognize some substrates more readily. We hypothesize that this low ATP-induced

conformational difference may reflect the observed changes in AAA+ structures seen in

recent cryo-EM studies and discuss their ramifications below.

Figure 5

Figure 5: Limiting ATP alters wildtype ClpXP substrate specificity

A. Michaelis-Menten plot showing the rate of ClpX/ClpX* catalyzed ATP hydrolysis as a
function of ATP concentration. Inset displays kinetic parameters. Assays were

performed with 0.1 μM ClpX or ClpX* hexamer, in the presence and absence of 0.2 μM
ClpP. Data was fitted to Michaelis-Menten equation. Quantification of duplicate
experiments.
B. FITC-Casein degradation by ClpXP or ClpX*P as a function of ATP concentration.
Assays were performed with 0.1 μM ClpX or ClpX* hexamer, 0.2 μM ClpP, ATP
regeneration system, and 50 μg/mL FITC-Casein. Quantification of duplicate
experiments.
C. Michaelis-Menten plot showing the rate of degradation as a function of FITC-Casein
concentration by ClpXP and ClpX*P under low and saturating ATP conditions. Inset
displays kinetic parameters. Assays were performed with 0.1 μM ClpX or ClpX*
hexamer, 0.2 μM ClpP, ATP regeneration system. Data was fitted to Michaelis-Menten
equation. Quantification of triplicate experiments
D. *In vitro* degradation of DnaA by ClpXP under low and saturating ATP conditions.
Assays were performed with 0.1 μM $ClpX_6$ or $ClpX_6^*$, and 0.2 μM $ClpP_{14}$ and 0.5 μM
DnaA. Quantification of triplicate experiments.
E. Antibiotic shutoff assays to monitor DnaA under ATP limiting conditions in *wt* and
Δlon ΔclpA strains. Chloramphenicol was added to stop synthesis and lysates from
samples at the indicated time points were used for western blot analysis. Quantifications
of triplicate experiments shown to the right. Error bars represent SD.
F. Limited proteolysis assay to probe conformational states. ClpX was incubated with
Chymotrypsin in Hepes buffer (20 mM Hepes pH 7.5, 100 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 10%
glycerol) at 12 μM ATP and 4 mM ATP in the presence of ClpP and ATP regeneration
system at 25 °C for the indicated time points. ClpX* was incubated with Chymotrypsin in
Hepes buffer (20 mM Hepes pH 7.5, 100 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 10% glycerol) at 4
mM ATP in the presence of ClpP and ATP regeneration system at 25 °C for the
indicated time points. ClpX was detected using anti-ClpX antibodies.
458
459 See also Figure S6.
460

Discussion

Because proteolysis is irreversible, degradation of substrates must be carefully

monitored to avoid toxic consequences. In bacteria, different energy dependent

proteases have distinct substrate preferences that allows them to collectively regulate

proteome dynamics. However, how these energy-dependent proteases choose their

target substrates from a pool of cellular proteins remains poorly understood. Certain

determinants that dictate specificity include degrons, or degradation tags, such as the

ssrA tag which marks proteins for degradation by ClpXP or ClpAP (Keiler, 2015; Keiler

et al., 1996). Specificity can be augmented through adaptor proteins that help deliver

substrates to proteases. For example, degradation of CtrA in *Caulobacter* is restricted to

the ClpXP protease via a highly regulated series of adaptors (Joshi et al., 2015).

In this work, we identified a mutant allele of *clpX* (*clpX**) that compensates for the loss

of Lon through expanding ClpXP substrate specificity. The variant ClpX*P protease

complements the growth, motility, replication status, and morphology defects of a Δ*lon*

strain (Figure 1A-1C) by restoring levels and degradation of the Lon substrates DnaA

and SciP (Figure 2), which we confirm with biochemical experiments (Figure 3).

Interestingly, ClpX*P has an improved ability to degrade unfolded protein substrates, a

feature that likely explains the increased proteotoxic tolerance of Δ*lon clpX** strains

(Figure 3). Finally, this *clpX* variant is deficient in proteolysis of normal ClpXP

substrates, such as CtrA and ssrA-tagged proteins, suggesting a shift in substrate

preference rather than just an expansion (Figure 4). Mechanistic enzymology revealed

that ClpX* requires ~5-fold more ATP for saturation (Figure 5A), leading us to explore

the role of ATP levels in controlling specificity. Surprisingly, our *in vitro* studies found

that wildtype ClpXP is better at degrading unfolded proteins, DnaA, and SciP in ATP

limiting conditions, but worse at degrading ssrA-tagged proteins, similar to that seen

with ClpX*P in saturating ATP (Figure 5B, 5D, S6B). We recapitulate this effect *in vivo*,

showing that in starvation conditions that deplete ATP, DnaA degradation by wildtype

ClpXP is accelerated (Figure 5E). Our interpretation is that the ClpX oligomer adopts

distinct conformations in the ATP-saturated and ATP-limited conditions, as suggested

by limited proteolysis (Figure 5F).

How does altered ATP loading alter substrate recognition? Our working model is that

ClpX samples a 'open' state and a 'closed' state (Figure 6). Substrates can be captured

during the open-closed transition (as we propose for casein) or directly by the closed

state (as proposed for the ssrA tag and ClpX (Hersch *et al.*, 2005; Fei *et al.*, 2020).

Once a closed state engages a substrate, the unfoldase can processively translocate

the substrate to ClpP in the case of ClpXP (Figure 6). Recent cryo-EM structures reveal

shared features of substrate-bound AAA+ complexes, namely a shallow right-handed

ring with pore loops gripping the substrate and at least 4 ATP-bound protomers (Fei et

al., 2020; Gates et al., 2017; Lopez et al., 2020; Ripstein et al., 2020; Shin et al., 2020).

Consistent with our sampling model, substrate-free forms of these machines exhibit

more open, often left-handed, spiral structures (Shin et al., 2020; Yokom et al., 2016).

Interestingly, the original crystallization of ClpX shows a left-handed open spiral crystal

packing (Kim and Kim, 2003), similar to these substrate-free cryo-EM structures

described for other AAA+ machines. We propose that mutations or limiting ATP can

shift the open/closed balance to the more open state, which allows ClpX to better

capture certain substrates, such as unfolded proteins, which may not have as strict

sequence requirements for recognition. However, degrons such as the ssrA tag which

bind selectively to the closed conformation (Fei et al., 2020; Hersch et al., 2005) would

be poorly recognized in these conditions.

Prior work has shown that limiting nucleotide leads to loss of substrate degradation by

ClpXP (Martin et al., 2008), as would make sense for an ATP-fueled unfoldase.

However, we note that these studies exclusively used ssrA-tagged substrates, which

requires an ATP-bound (and presumably closed) form of ClpX for recognition. Thus,

the equivalent effects we are seeing here for casein, DnaA, and SciP would not have

been previously observed and also highlights the importance of using a range of

substrates in understanding activity. The open-capture / closed-processive model

described here also has precedence in the conformational states and activity of Hsp104

(Gates et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2019; Ye et al., 2020; Yokom et al., 2016), where doping

in nonhydrolyzable ATP analogs results in enhanced unfolding activity (Doyle et al.,

2007). In our current work, we have directly shown how different states of ClpX, favored

by either limiting ATP or mutation, can result in meaningful biological consequences, for

example by compensating for the loss of the Lon protease. Overall, we favor the

interpretation that exclusive substrate profiles for each AAA+ system are not hard-wired

but can be altered not only by mutations but also through modulation of ATP hydrolysis,

demonstrating a plasticity in these machines that yield flexibility in maintaining cellular

proteostasis.

Figure 6

Figure 6: Model of ATP-dependent changes in ClpXP substrate specificity
ClpX exists in an equilibrium between an open and closed conformation. Under high
ATP conditions, the closed conformation is favored, allowing ClpX to recognize and
processively degrade certain substrates, such as GFP-ssrA, which makes sequence-
specific contacts with pore loops of ClpX in a specific conformation (show in yellow).
GFP-ssrA can then be unfolded and translocated to ClpP for irreversible degradation.
Under low ATP conditions or in the presence of ClpX*, the balance shifts towards the
open state, allowing ClpX to capture non-traditional classes of substrates, such as
casein. ClpX can then switch to the closed state allowing processive degradation,
unfolding, and translocation of captured substrate.

Materials and Methods

<u>Bacterial strains and growth conditions</u>

Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in supplementary file 2.

Caulobacter crescentus strains were grown in PYE medium (2g/L peptone, 1g/L yeast

extract, 1 mM $MgSO_4$, and 0.5 mM $CaCl_2$) at 30°C. To generate strains, antibiotics were

added to plates at the following concentrations: kanamycin (25 µg/ml), spectinomycin

(100 µg/ml), and oxytetracycline (2 µg/ml). After initial selection steps for strain

construction (excluding plasmids), antibiotics were excluded for all assays. For *C.*

crescentus motility assays, PYE with 0.3% agar was used and a single colony was

stabbed into the agar using a sterile tip and left to incubate at 30°C for 2 to 3 days.

Escherichia coli strains were grown in LB (10g/L NaCl, 10g/L tryptone, 5g/L yeast

extract) and supplemented with antibiotics at the following concentrations: ampicillin

(100 ug/ml), oxytetracycline (15 µg/ml), spectinomycin (50 µg/ml), kanamycin (50

µg/ml), gentamycin (20 µg/ml). For solid medium, 1.5% agar was used regardless of

bacterial species. For all strains, optical density was measured at 600 nm.

For induction purposes, the 477 plasmids were induced with xylose (0.2%) and

repressed with glucose (0.2%). For protein expression, 0.4 M IPTG was used for

induction.

Cloning and strain constructions

All *Caulobacter* strains were derived from CPC176, an isolate of NA1000. To generate

the *Δlon clpX** strain, a two-step recombination protocol with a sucrose counter

selection was utilized (Skerker et al., 2005). The vector pNPTS138 was digested with

HindIII and EcoRI. A PCR product of the *clpX** sequence was amplified from the original

motility suppressor and Gibson assembly was then used to generate pNPTS138_clpX*.

Following transformation with pNPTS138_clpX* into NA1000 or *Δlon*, primary selection

was on PYE supplemented with kanamycin. Primary colonies were grown overnight

without selection and overnight cultures were played on PYE agar supplemented with

3% w/v sucrose. To validate allelic swap, strains were tested for sensitivity to

kanamycin. *Δlon clpX** was also screened by motility and sequencing of the *clpX* locus

validated candidate clones.

ClpX or *clpX** merodiploid strains were generated by Gibson assembly of PCR product

and double digested plasmids of pMCS-2. The plasmids were then electroporated into

Δlon and selected onto kanamycin plates. Strains were validated by anti-ClpX westerns.

eGFP-ssrA(DAS) induction strains were generated by electroporating eGFP-ssrA(DAS)

477 plasmid into wildtype and wildtype *clpX** and selecting on spectinomycin. Strains

were validated by anti-M2 westerns.

Motility Suppressor screen

Transposon libraries were generated for Δ*lon*. Two-liter PYE cultures were grown to mid

exponential phase, pelleted, and washed with 10% glycerol. Competent cells were

electroporated with Ez-Tn5 <Kan-2> transposome (Lucigen, Madison, WI). Cells

recovered for 90 minutes at 30 °C and then played on PYE plates supplemented with

kanamycin. Libraries were grown for 7 days. Colonies were then scraped from the

surface, combined, and resuspended to form a homogenous solution of PYE + 20%

glycerol.

The Tn library was thawed out and diluted into a flask containing two-liter 0.3% agar.

The cell agar mixture was plated and grown at 30 °C for 3 to 5 days. Candidates that

appeared motile were validated by innoculating single colonies into motility agar on the

same plate as NA1000 as a positive control and Δ*lon* as a negative control and

incubating plates for 2-3 days at 30 °C.

Whole genome sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted using the MasterPure Complete DNA and RNA

purification kit (Epicenter Biotechnologies, Madison, WI). A Qubit Fluorometer

(ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) was utilized to assess DNA concentration.

Illumina libraries were generated from the extracted genomic DNA using the NexteraXT

(Illumina, San Diego, CA) protocol. Libraries were multiplexed and sequenced at the

University of Massachusetts Amherst Genomics Core Facility on the NextSeq 500

(Illumina). Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were detected using breseq

(Deatherage and Barrick, 2014).

RNA sequencing

RNA was extracted from stationary phase cells. Libraries were generated from the extracted RNA using the NEB Next RNA Library Prep kits (NEB, Ipswich, MA). Libraries were sequenced at the University of Massachusetts Genomics Core Facility on the NextSeq 500 with single end 75 base reads. Reads were mapped to the *Caulobacter* genome using BWA (Li and Durbin, 2009) and sorted with Samtools (Li et al., 2009).To obtain the number of reads per gene, *bedtools map* (Quinlan and Hall, 2010) was used. Rstudio and EdgeR was used to identify differentially expressed genes (R Core Team, 2019; Robinson et al., 2009).

Raw counts were normalized using the counts-per-million (CPM) method, and the *edgeR* package in R was used to perform differential gene expression analysis, KEGG pathway analysis, and FRY (Wu et al., 2010) gene set analysis. We filtered out any gene that had less than 30 normalized counts across 3 or more samples. To test for gene expression differences and identify differentially expressed genes across experimental groups, we used the quasi-likelihood F-test. The over-representation analysis for KEGG pathways done using the *kegga* function. FRY gene set analysis done using the *fry* function. The CcrM, DnaA, and SciP regulons were obtained from (Gonzalez et al., 2014; Hottes et al., 2005; Tan et al., 2010).

Plating viability and drug sensitivity

All Caulobacter strains were grown overnight in liquid PYE media. After overnight growth, cells were back diluted to OD600 0.1 and outgrown to mid-exponential phase before being normalized to OD_{600} 0.1 and 10-fold serially diluted on to media. For experiments using mitomycin C (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and L-canavanine (Sigma), drugs were prepared at a stock concentration of 0.4 µg/ml mitomycin C, and 100 mg/ml L-canavanine and filter sterilized. PYE agar was cooled before the drugs were added and plates were left to air dry prior to serial dilution plating. All plates were incubated at 30℃ for 2-3 days and imaged with a gel doc.

***In vivo* assays**

The stability of proteins *in vivo* was determined by inhibiting protein synthesis upon

addition of 30 µg/ml chloramphenicol to cells in exponential phase. At each time point,

1ml of culture was removed and centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 2 minutes. The

supernatant was removed and pellets were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Pellets were

thawed, resuspended in 2x SDS dye, and normalized to the OD_{600} of the lowest sample.

Samples were boiled for 10 minutes and centrifuged for 10 minutes at 15,000 rpm.

Extracts were run on 10% Bis-Tris gels for 1 hour at room temperature at 150 V. Gels

were then transferred to nitrocellulose membranes for 1 hour at room temperature at

20V. Membranes were blocked with 3% milk in Tris-based saline with 0.05% Tween-20

(TBST) for 1 hour. Membranes were probed with primary antibody in 3% milk in TBST

at 4°C overnight with following dilution factors: 1:5000 dilution of DnaA, 1:5000 SciP,

1:5000 CcrM, 1:5000 ClpP, 1:2500 Lon, and 1:2000 M2. Membranes were washed with

1x TBST for 5 minutes three times and then probed with Licor secondary antibody with

1:10,000 dilution in 1x TBST at room temperature for 1 hour. The protein was visualized

using Licor Odyssey CLx. Bands were quantified using imageJ and degradation rates

were plotted using Prism.

To synchronize *Caulobacter* strains, cells were grown to an OD600 of 0.3-0.5 in PYE

and swarmer cells were isolated using Percoll density centrifugation. Cells were

released into PYE.

Flow cytometry was used to measure DNA content in rifampicin-treated cells as

previously described (Chen et al., 2009). Cells were rifampicin treated for three hours

prior to fixing in 70% ethanol.

Carbon Starvation

Cells were grown in M2G media (6.1 mM Na_2HPO_4, 3.9 mM KH_2PO_4, 9.3 mM NH_4Cl,

0.5 mM $MgSO_4$, 0.5 mM CaCl2, 10 µM $FeSO_4$ (EDTA chelate), 0.2% glucose). Cells

were then washed twice in cold M2G media (+glucose samples) or in M2 media (-

glucose samples) and resuspended in pre-warmed M2G or M2 media.

For bulk measurements of intracellular ATP, the Bactiter-Glo microbial cell viability

assay kit (Promega) was used. Cells were mixed with an equal volume of BacTiter-Glo

reagent in 384-well microtiter plates and incubated for five minutes before luminescence

was measured.

Growth competition assay

676 Overnight cultures of CPC798 were mixed with either *NA1000* or *clpX** at a 1:1 ratio.

677 Mixed strains were diluted into fresh PYE and allowed to outgrow for 12 doublings

678 overnight. The initial populations were verified by phase contrast and fluorescent

679 microscopy. Final ratios were normalized to their starting ratios. Data was plotted using

680 GraphPad Prism.

Microscopy

Phase contrast images of logarithmically growing cells were taken by Zeiss AXIO Scope

A1. Cells were mounted on 1% PYE agar pads and imaged using a 100X objective.

MicrobeJ (Ducret et al., 2016) for ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012) was utilized to

quantify cell lengths. Stalk lengths were quantified using ImageJ. Prism was utilized for

representations of cell and stalk length measurements. Representative images of the

same scale were cropped to display morphological defects.

Protein Purification and Modification

Untagged Lon, untagged ClpX and ClpX*, and his-tagged ClpP were purified as

previously described (Chien et al., 2007; Goldberg et al., 1994; Gur and Sauer, 2008;

Levchenko et al., 2000). Titin-I27-β20 and Titin-I27-ssrA were purified as previously

described (Gur and Sauer, 2008; Kenniston et al., 2003) and labeled with Fluorescein-

5-maleimide (Thermo Scientific™) under guanidine hydrochloride denaturation. The

modified protein was buffer exchanged into H-buffer (20 mM Hepes pH 7.5, 100 mM

KCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 10% glycerol) and stored at 4°C. His$_6$SciP and his$_6$CtrA were

purified as described in (Gora et al., 2013). DnaA and CcrM were purified as his$_6$SUMO

tagged proteins, followed by tag cleavage as described (Jonas et al., 2013; Liu et al.,

2016; Wang et al., 2007). GFP-ssrA was purified as previously described (Yakhnin et

al., 1998). CtrA-RD+15 was purified as described (Smith et al., 2014). Detailed

purification protocols are available upon request.

***In vitro* assays**

Degradation assays were performed at 30°C and monitored using SDS-PAGE gels as

described previously (Bhat et al., 2013). The final concentrations used can be found in

the figure legends. Densitometry was performed with ImageJ and degradation rates

were plotted using Prism.

Degradation of FITC-Casein, FT-Titin- β20, and FT-Titin-ssrA was monitored as an

increase in fluorescence over time. GFP-CtrARD+15 degradation was observed as a

loss of fluorescence over time as described previously (Smith et al., 2014).

ATP hydrolysis for ClpXP and ClpX*P was measured using a coupled kinase assay as

previously described (Burton et al., 2003)

Limited Proteolysis

To perform limited chymotrypsin digestion, 0.004 mg/mL chymotyrpsin was added to

0.01 mg/mL ClpX or ClpX* in the presence of ClpP and ATP regeneration system and

incubated at 25°C for indicated time points. To quench the reactions, 5X SDS dye

supplemented with 5 mM Protease inhibitor phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) was

added to quench the reactions. The samples were run on a 10% Bis-Tris gel and

transferred to nitrocellulose as described above. Membranes were probed with primary

antibody in 3% milk in TBST at 4°C overnight with following dilution factors: 1:5000

dilution of ClpX. Membranes were washed with 1x TBST for 5 minutes three times and

then probed with Licor secondary antibody with 1:10,000 dilution in 1x TBST at room

temperature for 1 hour. The protein was visualized using Licor Odyssey CLx.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Chien, Strieter, Stratton, and Serio lab members for helpful comments and discussion on the manuscript. S.A.M. and B.A. were supported in part through the UMass NIH Chemistry Biology Interface Training Program (NIH T32GM008515) and S.A.M. in part by the HHMI Gilliam Fellowship program. Work in the Chien lab is funded by the NIH (R35GM130320). We thank the Genomics Resource Laboratory (Institute for Applied Life Sciences; University of Massachusetts Amherst) and the Mass Spectrometry Core Facilities for services and equipment support.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: S.A.M. and P.C.; Investigation, S.A.M. and B.A.; Writing, S.A.M and P.C.; Funding Acquisition, P.C. Supervision, P.C.

Declaration of Interests: The authors declare no competing interests

References

Baker, T.A., and Sauer, R.T. (2012). ClpXP, an ATP-powered unfolding and protein-degradation machine. Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Mol. Cell Res. *1823*, 15–28.

Bhat, N.H., Vass, R.H., Stoddard, P.R., Shin, D.K., and Chien, P. (2013). Identification of ClpP substrates in Caulobacter crescentus reveals a role for regulated proteolysis in bacterial development. *88*, 1083–1092.

Breidenstein, E.B.M., Janot, L., Strehmel, J., Fernandez, L., Taylor, P.K., Kukavica-Ibrulj, I., Gellatly, S.L., Levesque, R.C., Overhage, J., and Hancock, R.E.W. (2012). The Lon Protease Is Essential for Full Virulence in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. PLoS One *7*.

Chen, Y.E., Tsokos, C.G., Biondi, E.G., Perchuk, B.S., and Laub, M.T. (2009). Dynamics of two phosphorelays controlling cell cycle progression in Caulobacter crescentus. J. Bacteriol. *191*, 7417–7429.

Chien, P., Perchuk, B.S., Laub, M.T., Sauer, R.T., and Baker, T. a (2007). Direct and adaptor-mediated substrate recognition by an essential AAA+ protease. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *104*, 6590–6595.

Chowdhury, T., Chien, P., Ebrahim, S., Sauer, R.T., and Baker, T.A. (2010). Versatile modes of peptide recognition by the ClpX N domain mediate alternative adaptor-binding specificities in different bacterial species. Protein Sci. *19*, 242–254.

Deatherage, D.E., and Barrick, J.E. (2014). Identification of mutations in laboratory

evolved microbes from next-generation sequencing data using breseq. Methods Mol
Biol. 165–188.

Domian, I.J., Reisenauer, a, and Shapiro, L. (1999). Feedback control of a master
bacterial cell-cycle regulator. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *96*, 6648–6653.

Doyle, S.M., Shorter, J., Zolkiewski, M., Hoskins, J.R., Lindquist, S., and Wickner, S.
(2007). Asymmetric deceleration of ClpB or Hsp104 ATPase activity unleashes protein-
remodeling activity. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *14*, 114–122.

Ducret, A., Quardokus, E.M., and Brun, Y. V. (2016). MicrobeJ, a tool for high
throughput bacterial cell detection and quantitative analysis. Nat. Microbiol. *1*, 1–7.

Farrell, C.M., Baker, T.A., and Sauer, R.T. (2007). Altered Specificity of a AAA+
Protease. Mol. Cell *25*, 161–166.

Fei, X., Bell, T.A., Barkow, S.R., Baker, T.A., and Sauer, R.T. (2020). Structural basis of
clpxp recognition and unfolding of ssra-tagged substrates. Elife *9*, 1–39.

Gates, S.N., Yokom, A.L., Lin, J., Jackrel, M.E., Rizo, A.N., Kendsersky, N.M., Buell,
C.E., Sweeny, E.A., Mack, K.L., Chuang, E., et al. (2017). Ratchet-like polypeptide
translocation mechanism of the AAA+ disaggregase Hsp104. Science (80-.). *357*, 273–
279.

Goff, S.A., Casson, L.P., and Goldberg, A.L. (1984). Heat shock regulatory gene htpR
influences rates of protein degradation and expression of the lon gene in Escherichia
coli. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *81*, 6647–6651.

Goldberg, A.L. (1972). Degradation of Abnormal Proteins in Escherichia coli. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A *69*, 422–426.

Goldberg, A.L., Moerschell, R.P., Hachung, C., and Maurizi, M.R. (1994). ATP-
dependent protease La (Lon) from Escherichia coli. Methods Enzymol. *244*, 350–375.

Gonzalez, D., Kozdon, J.B., McAdams, H.H., Shapiro, L., and Collier, J. (2014). The
functions of DNA methylation by CcrM in Caulobacter crescentus: A global approach.
Nucleic Acids Res. *42*, 3720–3735.

Gora, K.G., Cantin, A., Wohlever, M., Joshi, K.K., Perchuk, B.S., Chien, P., and Laub,
M.T. (2013). Regulated proteolysis of a transcription factor complex is critical to cell
cycle progression in Caulobacter crescentus. Mol. Microbiol. *87*, 1277–1289.

Gorbatyuk, B., and Marczynski, G.T. (2005). Regulated degradation of chromosome
replication proteins DnaA and CtrA in Caulobacter crescentus. Mol. Microbiol. *55*, 1233–
1245.

Gottesman, S., Roche, E., Zhou, Y.N., and Sauer, R.T. (1998). The ClpXP and ClpAP
proteases degrade proteins with carboxy-terminal peptide tails added by the SsrA-
tagging system. Genes Dev. *12*, 1338–1347.
Gur, E., and Sauer, R.T. (2008). Recognition of misfolded proteins by Lon, a AAA+
protease. Genes Dev. *22*, 2267–2277.
820
821 Gur, E., Biran, D., and Ron, E.Z. (2011). Regulated proteolysis in Gram-negative
822 bacteria — how and when? Nat Rev Microbiol *9*, 839–848.
823
824 Hersch, G.L., Burton, R.E., Bolon, D.N., Baker, T.A., and Sauer, R.T. (2005).
825 Asymmetric interactions of ATP with the AAA+ ClpX6 unfoldase: Allosteric control of a
826 protein machine. Cell *121*, 1017–1027.
827
828 Hottes, A.K., Shapiro, L., and McAdams, H.H. (2005). DnaA coordinates replication
829 initiation and cell cycle transcription in Caulobacter crescentus. Mol. Microbiol. *58*,
830 1340–1353.
831
832 Howard-Flanders, P., Simson, E., and Theriot, L. (1964). A locus that controls filament
833 formation and sensitiviry to radiation in Escherichia coli K-12. Genetics *49*, 237–246.
834
835 Hulsen, T., de Vlieg, J., and Alkema, W. (2008). BioVenn - A web application for the
836 comparison and visualization of biological lists using area-proportional Venn diagrams.
837 BMC Genomics *9*, 1–6.
838
839 Jenal, U., and Fuchs, T. (1998). An essential protease involved in bacterial cell-cycle
840 control. EMBO J. *17*, 5658–5669.
841
842 Jonas, K., Liu, J., Chien, P., and Laub, M.T. (2013). Proteotoxic stress induces a cell-
843 cycle arrest by stimulating lon to degrade the replication initiator DnaA. Cell *154*, 623–
844 636.
845
846 Joshi, K.K., Bergé, M., Radhakrishnan, S.K., Viollier, P.H., and Chien, P. (2015). An
847 Adaptor Hierarchy Regulates Proteolysis during a Bacterial Cell Cycle. Cell *163*, 419–
848 431.
849
850 Keiler, K.C. (2015). Mechanisms of ribosome rescue in bacteria. Nat. Rev. Microbiol.
851 *13*, 285–297.
852
853 Keiler, K.C., Waller, P.R.H., and Sauer, R.T. (1996). Role of a Peptide Tagging System
854 in Degradation of Proteins Synthesized from Damaged Messenger RNA. Sci. *271*,
855 990–993.
856
857 Kenniston, J.A., Baker, T.A., Fernandez, J.M., and Sauer, R.T. (2003). Linkage between
858 ATP consumption and mechanical unfolding during the protein processing reactions of
859 an AAA+ degradation machine. Cell *114*, 511–520.
860

Kim, D.Y., and Kim, K.K. (2003). Crystal Structure of ClpX Molecular Chaperone from
Helicobacter pylori. J. Biol. Chem. *278*, 50664–50670.

Langklotz, S., Baumann, U., and Narberhaus, F. (2012). Structure and function of the
bacterial AAA protease FtsH. Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Mol. Cell Res. *1823*, 40–48.

Laub, M.T., Chen, S.L., Shapiro, L., and McAdams, H.H. (2002). Genes directly
controlled by CtrA, a master regulator of the Caulobacter cell cycle. Proc. Natl. Acad.
Sci. U. S. A. *99*, 4632–4637.

Lee, I., and Suzuki, C.K. (2008). Functional mechanics of the ATP-dependent Lon
protease- lessons from endogenous protein and synthetic peptide substrates. Biochim.
Biophys. Acta - Proteins Proteomics *1784*, 727–735.

Lee, S., Roh, S.H., Lee, J., Sung, N., Liu, J., and Tsai, F.T.F. (2019). Cryo-EM
Structures of the Hsp104 Protein Disaggregase Captured in the ATP Conformation. Cell
Rep. *26*, 29-36.e3.

Levchenko, I., Seidel, M., Sauer, R.T., and Baker, T.A. (2000). A Specificity-Enhancing
Factor for the ClpXP Degradation Machine. Science (80-.). *289*, 2354–2356.

Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-
Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics *25*, 1754–1760.

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G.,
Abecasis, G., and Durbin, R. (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and
SAMtools. Bioinformatics *25*, 2078–2079.

Liu, J., Francis, L.I., Jonas, K., Laub, M.T., and Chien, P. (2016). ClpAP is an auxiliary
protease for DnaA degradation in Caulobacter crescentus. Mol. Microbiol. *102*, 1075–
1085.

Lopez, K.E., Rizo, A.N., Tse, E., Lin, J.B., Scull, N.W., Thwin, A.C., Lucius, A.L.,
Shorter, J., and Southworth, D.R. (2020). Conformational plasticity of the ClpAP AAA+
protease couples protein unfolding and proteolysis. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *27*, 406–416.

Mahmoud, S.A., and Chien, P. (2018). Regulated Proteolysis in Bacteria. Annu. Rev.
Biochem. *87*, 677–696.

Martin, A., Baker, T.A., and Sauer, R.T. (2008). Protein unfolding by a AAA+ protease is
dependent on ATP-hydrolysis rates and substrate energy landscapes. Nat. Struct. Mol.
Biol. *15*, 139–145.

Mizusawa, S., and Gottesman, S. (1983). Protein degradation in Escherichia coli: the
lon gene controls the stability of sulA protein. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *80*, 358–
362.

Persat, A., Stone, H.A., and Gitai, Z. (2014). The curved shape of caulobacter
crescentus enhances surface colonization in flow. Nat. Commun. *5*, 1–9.
Quinlan, A.R., and Hall, I.M. (2010). BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing
genomic features. Bioinformatics *26*, 841–842.
912

913 Quon, K.C., Marczynski, G.T., and Shapiro, L. (1996). Cell cycle control by an essential
914 bacterial two-component signal transduction protein. Cell *84*, 83–93.
915

916 R Core Team (2019). R: A language and Environment for Statistical Computing (R
917 Foundation for Statistical Computing).
918

919 Ripstein, Z.A., Vahidi, S., Houry, W.A., Rubinstein, J.L., and Kay, L.E. (2020). A
920 processive rotary mechanism couples substrate unfolding and proteolysis in the ClpXP
921 degradation machinery. Elife *9*.
922

923 Robinson, M.D., McCarthy, D.J., and Smyth, G.K. (2009). edgeR: A Bioconductor
924 package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data.
925 Bioinformatics *26*, 139–140.
926

927 Rogers, A., Townsley, L., Gallego-hernandez, A.L., Beyhan, S., Kwuan, L., and Yildiz,
928 F.H. (2016). and the Type VI Secretion System in Vibrio cholerae. *198*, 973–985.
929

930 Sauer, R.T., and Baker, T.A. (2011). AAA+ Proteases: ATP-Fueled Machines of Protein
931 Destruction. Annu. Rev. Biochem *80*, 587–612.
932

933 Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S., and Eliceiri, K.W. (2012). NIH Image to ImageJ: 25
934 years of image analysis. Nat. Methods *9*, 671–675.
935

936 Shin, M., Puchades, C., Asmita, A., Puri, N., Adjei, E., Luke Wiseman, R., Wali Karzai,
937 A., and Lander, G.C. (2020). Structural basis for distinct operational modes and
938 protease activation in AAA+ protease Lon.
939

940 Skerker, J.M., Prasol, M.S., Perchuk, B.S., Biondi, E.G., and Laub, M.T. (2005). Two-
941 component signal transduction pathways regulating growth and cell cycle progression in
942 a bacterium: A system-level analysis. PLoS Biol. *3*.
943

944 Smith, S.C., Joshi, K.K., Zik, J.J., Trinh, K., Kamajaya, A., Chien, P., and Ryan, K.R.
945 (2014). Cell cycle-dependent adaptor complex for ClpXP-mediated proteolysis directly
946 integrates phosphorylation and second messenger signals. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.
947 A. *111*, 14229–14234.
948

949 Tan, H.M., Kozdon, J.B., Shen, X., Shapiro, L., and McAdams, H.H. (2010). An
950 essential transcription factor, SciP, enhances robustness of Caulobacter cell cycle
951 regulation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *107*, 18985–18990.
952

953 Wang, K.H., Sauer, R.T., and Baker, T.A. (2007). ClpS modulates but is not essential
954 for bacterial N-end rule degradation. Genes Dev. *21*, 403–408.
955
956 Witkin, E.M. (1946). Inherited Differences in Sensitivity to Radiation in Escherichia Coli.
957 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *32*, 59–68.
958
959 Wortinger, M., Sackett, M.J., and Brun, Y. V. (2000). CtrA mediates a DNA replication
960 checkpoint that prevents cell division in Caulobacter crescentus. EMBO J. *19*, 4503–
961 4512.
962
963 Wright, R., Stephens, C., Zweiger, G., Shapiro, L., and Alley, M.R.K. (1996).
964 Caulobacter Lon protease has a critical role in cell-cycle control of DNA methylation.
965 Genes Dev. *10*, 1532–1542.
966
967 Wu, D., Lim, E., Vaillant, F., Asselin-Labat, M.L., Visvader, J.E., and Smyth, G.K.
968 (2010). ROAST: Rotation gene set tests for complex microarray experiments.
969 Bioinformatics *26*, 2176–2182.
970
971 Yakhnin, A. V., Vinokurov, L.M., Surin, A.K., and Alakhov, Y.B. (1998). Green
972 fluorescent protein purification by organic extraction. Protein Expr. Purif. *14*, 382–386.
973
974 Ye, X., Lin, J., Mayne, L., Shorter, J., and Walter Englander, S. (2020). Structural and
975 kinetic basis for the regulation and potentiation of Hsp104 function. Proceeding Natl.
976 Acad. Sci. .
977
978 Yokom, A.L., Gates, S.N., Jackrel, M.E., Mack, K.L., Su, M., Shorter, J., and
979 Southworth, D.R. (2016). Spiral architecture of the Hsp104 disaggregase reveals the
980 basis for polypeptide translocation. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *23*, 830–837.
981
982 Zeinert, R.D., Liu, J., Yang, Q., Du, Y., Haynes, C.M., and Chien, P. (2018). A legacy
983 role for DNA binding of Lon protects against genotoxic stress. BioRxiv.

999   **Supplemental Figure Legends**
1000
Figure S1: Validation of motility screen suppressor
(A-B) Motility assay on 0.3% PYE agar of indicated strains.
C. Sequence alignment of ClpX homologs. Conserved glycine residue and walker B
motif are marked.
D. Quantification of the percent of cells with DNA content of 1N, 2N, and >2N in *wt*,
Δlon, and *Δlon clpX** strains.
1007
Figure S2: RNA-seq gene set analysis
(A-B) Volcano plot showing the transcriptional differences of Δ*lon* compared to *wt* (left)
and Δ*lon clpX** compared to *wt* (right), as measured by RNA-seq. The negative $\log_{10}$ of
the p value is plotted against $\log_2$ of the fold change mRNA counts. Genes that are
marked in red have an FDR <0.05. Transcripts that are associated with either the SciP
regulon (Tan et al., 2010) or cell cycle (KEGG pathways) are highlighted in blue.
C. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis
and FRY gene set analysis. FRY gene set analysis performed using *fry* function from
edgeR package in R (Robinson et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2010). The CcrM, DnaA, and
SciP regulons were assigned according to (Gonzalez et al., 2014; Hottes et al., 2005;
Tan et al., 2010). Over-represented pathways in KEGG pathway database identified
using the *kegga* function from *edgeR* package in R.
1020
Figure S3: *clpX mutant is dominant**
A. Western blot showing CcrM levels in synchronized populations of wt, *Δlon, and Δlon*
*clpX** cells. Swarmer cells were isolated using a density gradient and an equal number
of cells were released into fresh PYE medium. Samples were withdrawn at the indicated
time points and probed with anti-CcrM.
B**.** Growth curves of *wild type* (wt), *Δlon, and Δlon* merodiploid with second copy of *clpX**
at native locus. Cells grown in PYE.
C. Spot assays comparing colony formation of strains in PYE and PYE supplemented
with mitomycin C.
D. Motility assay on 0.3% PYE agar of indicated strains
1031
1032
Figure S4: *In vitro* characterization of ClpX*
A. ATPase activity of 0.1 µM ClpX/ClpX* plus 0.2 µM ClpP is displayed. Triplicate
experiments are shown.
B. *In vitro* degradation of CcrM by 0.2 µM Lon is shown as a control. The bottom gel
shows CcrM degradation assay in the presence of ATP, with no regeneration mix.
C. FITC-Casein titration in the presence of either ClpXP or ClpX*P. Data was fit to the
Michaelis-Menten equation. ClpX*P degrades FITC-Casein 2-3 fold better than ClpXP.
Inset shows residual plot for Michaelis-Menten fit
F. Fluorescin-*Titin*-I27-β20 titration in the presence of either ClpXP or ClpX*P. Data was
fit to the Michaelis-Menten equation. V_{max}/K_M was 30% higher for ClpX*P in comparison
to ClpXP. Inset shows residual plot for Michaelis-Menten fit.
1044

Figure S5 ClpX*P is deficient in native substrate degradation
A. *In vitro* degradation of GFP-ssrA.
B. Fluorescein-*Titin*-I27-ssrA titration in the presence of either ClpXP or ClpX*P. Data was fit to the Michaelis-Menten equation. V_{max}/K_M was 30% lower for ClpX*P in comparison to ClpXP. Inset shows residual plot for Michaelis-Menten fit.
C. *In vitro* fluorescence degradation assay of eGFP-CtrA-RD+15 in the presence of adaptors. Degradation assays were performed with 1 μM eGFP-CtrA-RD+15, 0.1 μM ClpX or ClpX* hexamer, 0.2 μM ClpP, 2 μM CpdR, 1 μM RcdA, 1 μM PopA, and 20 μM cyclic di-GMP, and ATP regeneration system.

Figure S6: Modulating ATP changes substrate preferences
A. GFP-ssrA degradation by ClpXP or ClpX*P as a function of ATP concentration. Assays were performed with 0.1 μM ClpX or ClpX* hexamer, 0.2 μM ClpP, ATP regeneration system, and 10 μM GFP-ssrA.
B. *In vitro* degradation of SciP by ClpXP under low and saturating ATP conditions. Assays were performed with 0.1 μM $ClpX_6$ or $ClpX_6^*$, and 0.2 μM $ClpP_{14}$ and 5 μM SciP. Quantification of triplicate experiments shown.
C. Relative intracellular ATP concentrations measured using a luciferase-based assay during the course of antibiotic shutoff assay.

Figure S1 - related to figure 1

Figure S2- Related to Figure 2

A.

SciP Regulon

[Volcano plots for panel A: left plot x-axis "log₂(*Δlon/wt* RNA seq)", y-axis "-log₁₀(p-value)"; right plot x-axis "log₂(*Δlon clpX*/wt* RNA seq)"]

B.

Cell Cycle

[Volcano plots for panel B: left plot x-axis "log₂(*Δlon/wt* RNA seq)"; right plot x-axis "log₂(*Δlon clpX*/wt* RNA seq)"]

C.

FRY Gene Set Analysis

	Δlon vs *wt*				Δlon clpX* vs wt			
	Number of Genes	Direction	p-value	FDR	Number of Genes	Direction	p-value	FDR
SciP	61	Down	0.0029	0.0044	61	Down	0.3391	0.5042
CcrM	504	Up	0.0014	0.0014	504	Up	0.7391	0.7391
DnaA	32	Down	0.0989	0.0980	32	Down	0.0919	0.1275

KEGG Enrichment Analysis

	Cell Cycle		
	Number of Genes	Direction	p-value
Δlon vs wt	31	10, Down	**0.0086**
Δlon clpX vs wt*	31	3, Down	0.1725

1077

Figure S3- Related to Figure 2

A.

CcrM
0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80

wt

Δlon

Δlon clpX*

min. after release

B.

wt
Δlon
Δlon + PClpX-clpX*

C.

PYE

Δlon
Δlon PclpX-clpX*

Δlon
Δlon PclpX-clpX

0.5 µg/ml MMC

Δlon
Δlon PclpX-clpX*

Δlon
Δlon PclpX-clpX

D.

Δlon   *Δlon PclpX-clpX**

Δlon   *Δlon PclpX-clpX*

1078

Figure S4- Related to Figure 3

1080

Figure S6- Related to Figure 5