Diversification of small RNA pathways underlies germline RNAi incompetence in wild *C.*

elegans strains

Han Ting Chou[1], Francisco Valencia[1], Jacqueline C. Alexander[1], Avery Davis Bell[1], Diptodip

Deb[1], Daniel A. Pollard[2], Annalise B. Paaby[1,3]

1. School of Biological Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA

2. Biology Department, Western Washington University, Bellingham, WA, USA

3. Correspondence: paaby@gatech.edu

ABSTRACT

The discovery that experimental delivery of dsRNA can induce gene silencing at target genes

revolutionized genetics research, by both uncovering essential biological processes and creating

new tools for developmental geneticists. However, the efficacy of exogenous RNAi varies

dramatically within the *C. elegans* population, raising questions about our understanding of

RNAi in the lab relative to its activity and significance in nature. Here, we investigate why some

wild-type strains fail to mount a robust RNAi response to germline targets. We observe diversity

in mechanism: in some strains, the response is stochastic, either on or off among individuals,

while in others the response is consistent but delayed. Increased activity of the Argonaute PPW-

1, which is required for germline RNAi in the laboratory strain N2, rescues the response in some

strains, but dampens it further in others. Among wild-type strains, genes known to mediate RNAi

exhibited very high expression variation relative to other genes in the genome as well as allelic

divergence and strain-specific instances of pseudogenization at the sequence level. Our results

demonstrate functional diversification in the small RNA pathways in *C. elegans*, and suggest that

RNAi processes are evolving rapidly and dynamically in nature.

INTRODUCTION

In *C. elegans*, the landscape of molecular and developmental genetics was transformed by the ability to silence genes by feeding worms *E. coli* bacteria engineered to express RNA matching worm gene targets (*Kamath et al., 2003; Rual et al., 2004; Timmons & Fire, 1998*). This tool emerged from the seminal discovery that double stranded RNA induces gene suppression (*Fire et al., 1998*). Awarded the Nobel prize in 2006, the discovery launched research into new mechanisms of gene regulation and led to characterization of the microRNA (miRNA), short interfering RNA (siRNA), and PIWI-interacting (piRNA) pathways. These pathways overlap in gene set and molecular mechanisms, but mediate processes as diverse as cell growth and tissue differentiation, adaptive immunity against pathogens, transgenerational epigenetic inheritance, and germline defense against transposons (*Grishok, 2013; Wilson & Doudna, 2013*). Decades of investigation have illuminated a complex meta-phenomenon, with distinct sub-processes including the regulation of transcriptional silencing in the nucleus versus post-transcriptional silencing in the cytoplasm, of endogenous biogenesis of the small RNA trigger versus exogenous or environmental delivery, of local versus systemic responses, and of silencing genes in the soma versus targets in the germline. These processes are all captured under the umbrella term "RNA interference" (RNAi), the general mechanism of gene silencing via dsRNA (*Yigit et al., 2006*). Many of the genes that encode RNAi machinery are shared across plants, animals, and fungi, and appear deeply conserved within the eukaryotic lineage (*Shabalina & Koonin, 2008; Wynant et al., 2017*).

However, even as *C. elegans* sits at the epicenter of expansive research programs into gene silencing by small RNAs, wild-type strains vary significantly in capacity for RNAi. The universal laboratory strain N2 is robustly sensitive to RNAi, but its competence is not representative (*Félix, 2008*). For example, RNAi against germline targets in 55 wild isolates revealed a quantitative range of responses, from negligible to more sensitive than N2 (*Paaby et al., 2015*). Wild-type strains also vary in competence for targets in the soma, and some strains show incompetence for RNAi by both feeding and injection (*Félix et al., 2011; Paaby et al., 2015; Tijsterman et al., 2002*). To date, the only causal variant identified for natural differences in RNAi is a frameshift lesion in the Argonaute *ppw-1*, which partially explains germline RNAi

insensitivity in the Hawaiian isolate CB4856 (*Tijsterman et al., 2002*). The genetics underlying differences in RNAi efficacy in *C. elegans* are otherwise unknown.

RNAi silences complementary mRNA targets via the association of small RNAs with Argonaute effector proteins (*Wilson & Doudna, 2013*). The Argonaute superfamily includes the ancient AGO proteins; the PIWI Argonautes, which are conserved in animals; and in *C. elegans*, the WAGO proteins, an expanded clade of Argonautes specific to nematodes (*Youngman & Claycomb, 2014*). The expansion of Argonautes signifies a critical role for RNAi in nematodes, and has been hypothesized to underlie the extraordinary diversification of worms across environments, perhaps having enabled adaptations associated with environmental sensing, parasitism, and immunity (*Buck & Blaxter, 2013*). Likewise, the momentum of discovery of RNAi-associated phenomena at the bench increasingly indicates that gene regulation by small RNAs dominates *C. elegans* biology (*Houri-Zeevi et al., 2020; Youngman & Claycomb, 2014*).

Given the evident importance of RNAi in *C. elegans*, why is its efficacy so variable? Here, we investigate the genetic basis of germline RNAi deficiency in wild *C. elegans* strains. We aim to elucidate how the response fails in incompetent strains, the role of *ppw-1*, and whether the genetic architecture of incompetence is simple or complex. We also evaluate expression and allelic diversity at genes known to mediate RNAi, to compare RNAi responses at the organismal level to proximate causes of failure. These analyses uncover evidence of extensive diversification of RNAi activity within *C. elegans*, consistent with rapid and recent evolution of a genetically complex trait. This level of functional variability in RNAi pathways offers a useful access point into connecting the vast body of *C. elegans* RNAi research to the biological relevance of these processes in nature.

RESULTS

Germline RNAi varies in expressivity and penetrance over reproductive age and among genotypes

Prior work examining embryonic gene knockdown in wild *C. elegans* demonstrated that strains vary quantitatively in the strength of their germline RNAi response, and that strains CB4856 and

QX1211 appear largely incompetent for germline RNAi (*Paaby et al., 2015*). In contrast, the common wild-type laboratory strain N2 is highly sensitive to RNAi, though germline RNAi can be eliminated in N2 with a deletion at the WAGO Argonaute *ppw-1* (*Tijsterman et al., 2002; Yigit et al., 2006*). To directly compare RNAi incompetence in the N2 mutant (N2$^{ppw-1(del)}$), CB4856, and QX1211, we targeted the maternal-effect, embryonic-required genes *par-1* and *pos-1*, which have commonly been used to measure germline RNAi (*Elvin et al., 2011; Pollard & Rockman, 2013; Tijsterman et al., 2002*). We fed worms *E. coli* expressing target dsRNA, then counted dead embryos in the next generation. Under a conventional approach of pooling worms on plates and scoring offspring in a window of relatively early egg-laying (*Kamath et al., 2001; Pollard & Rockman, 2013*), our observations were consistent with prior reports: wild-type N2 exhibited high lethality, and the three incompetent strains exhibited very low or negligible lethality (Figure 1A).

However, following an initial trigger, amplification within the RNAi response can induce strong effects later (*Billi et al., 2014*), and continual exposure to RNAi by feeding also means that individuals ingest increasing numbers of trigger molecules as they age. To evaluate whether the response changes over time within individual animals, we scored the penetrance of embryonic lethality over the complete reproductive lifespan of egg-laying individuals. In this assay we targeted *par-1*, which provides the more sensitive readout since it is not as lethal. Here, each of the three incompetent strains exhibited a distinct response, indicating differences in genetic mechanism (Figure 1B).

N2 showed complete lethality in all but the earliest offspring, suggesting that in this sensitive strain, early amplification of the initial trigger rapidly induces total gene knockdown. In the mutant N2$^{ppw-1(del)}$, however, nearly all embryos hatched, including late-age embryos (Figure 1B), indicating that the loss of *ppw-1* is not compensated by other genes in the N2 background.

In CB4856, hermaphrodite mothers exhibited no evidence of an RNAi response in the first half of their reproductive lifespan, but embryonic lethality emerged in the second half and increased with parental age (Figure 1B). This suggests that the mutation in *ppw-1*—which encodes a frameshift and early stop upstream of the critical PAZ and PIWI domains and is putatively responsible for insensitivity in CB4856 (*Elvin et al., 2011; Pollard & Rockman, 2013; Tijsterman et al., 2002*)—is either not a null allele and permits some PPW-1 activity, or that other genes in the

122
123
Figure 1. Embryonic lethality following RNAi against germline-expressed targets. (A) Hatched larvae and dead embryos laid in a 4-6hr window within the first 8hrs of egg-laying were scored for pooled hermaphrodites across replicate plates. (B) To assess the germline RNAi response over reproductive lifespan, embryonic lethality was scored for individuals. Each point represents the proportion of dead embryos, out of total laid on a plate by a single hermaphrodite, in the given time interval. The data include all offspring of all hermaphrodite mothers; time intervals were chosen to space out the number of offspring per plate (~30-100); x-axis labels indicate the approximate midpoint of the time intervals. Connecting lines indicate the sequence of offspring plates for each individual. Embryonic lethality for all strains on the negative control empty vector was negligible (data not shown).

CB4856 background partially compensate for the loss of PPW-1, promoting a delayed RNAi
response.

QX1211 exhibited a third unique non-competent response. After a short delay, embryonic
lethality was either negligible or complete, suggesting that RNAi in QX1211 is either "on" or
"off" in individual animals (Figure 1B). Thus, unlike N2$^{ppw-1(del)}$, in which the RNAi response
appears abolished, CB4856 and QX1211 do exhibit limited responses, but with distinct patterns
of activity: in CB4856, the response is delayed and incomplete; in QX1211, it is partially
delayed, with higher expressivity and variable penetrance.

These results point to distinct differences in the execution of germline RNAi within *C. elegans*.
However, the use of an end-point phenotype to read out the RNAi response, i.e. embryonic
lethality, does not capture activity at the molecular or cellular level. Moreover, variation in the
par-1 pathway between strains might influence phenotypic expression, confounding
interpretation of the RNAi response (*Paaby et al., 2015*). Therefore, we developed an assay to
measure the expression and knockdown of the target gene directly.

Target transcript knockdown confirms distinct RNAi responses across strains

To assess the RNAi response with high spatial and temporal resolution within embryos, we used
single-molecule fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (smFISH) to visualize transcripts of germline
RNAi targets. Since smFISH visualizes individual molecules via hybridization of dozens of
oligonucleotide probes, which in aggregate produce a detectable fluorescent spot (*Raj et al.,*
2008), it captures signals from intact RNAs, not those degraded by RNAi. These experiments
confirmed that the distinct responses of CB4856 and QX1211 are driven by variation in RNAi
mechanism, not in developmental variation related to the RNAi target. They also illustrated
consistent transcript degradation across the early stages of embryogenesis.

We examined *par-1* transcript levels in *par-1* RNAi-treated and -untreated embryos of N2,
CB4856 and QX1211. We collected embryos from gravid worms in early reproductive maturity,
in a narrow two-hour window, to maximize precision in estimating the RNAi response. At this
timepoint, many *par-1* transcripts are degraded in RNAi-treated N2 embryos (Figure 2A-B).

Figure 2. Transcript abundance in individual RNAi-treated and untreated embryos, visualized via single-molecule fluorescence in situ hybridization (smFISH). (A) - (B) Representative embryos are shown from strain N2, from a mother reared in the control condition or with RNAi against *par-1*; red spots indicate *par-1* transcripts and blue DAPI staining shows nuclei, which were used to identify embryo stage. (C) Embryonic lethality was simultaneously measured in matched samples. To limit variation due to reproductive age of the mothers, we collected embryos from a tightly controlled time window in early reproduction. (D) Transcript abundance for early stage embryos (up to four cells). (E) Transcript abundance for *par-1* for the same experiment, but now including later stage embryos with up to 30 nuclei. Green (negative control) and blue (RNAi treatment) lines indicate the linear regression of transcript counts onto embryonic stage; gray shading indicates the 90% confidence interval. For (D) and (E), each point represents one embryo. Significance levels (*t*-tests): p<0.001 (***), p<0.01 (**), p<0.05 (*).

Treated N2 embryos of this timepoint go on to show complete lethality, but in CB4856 and

QX1211, lethality is not yet penetrant (Figure 2C).

All three strains displayed robust expression of the target gene in untreated embryos (Figure 2D),

indicating that levels of native gene expression are unlikely to be a major influence on lethality

penetrance. However, in RNAi-treated embryos, N2 showed a steep drop in transcript

abundance, CB4856 showed no change, and QX1211 showed an on/off pattern with N2-like

levels for some, but not most, embryos. This pattern in QX1211 was replicated for a second

target, *par-4* (Figure 2D); see File S1 for statistical details. Thus, the patterns of transcript

knockdown following RNAi are highly consistent with our prior observations of strain-specific

responses.

To examine how transcript abundance, with and without degradation by RNAi, changes with

embryonic development, we evaluated embryos with up to 30 nuclei. In the control condition,

par-1 transcripts decreased with embryonic stage (Figure 2E) (*Charles et al., 2021*) at a consistent

rate across strains (ANCOVA model comparison, p=0.299), indicating no apparent differences in

par-1 developmental activity. In the treatment condition, the strain-specific patterns of transcript

degradation persisted without any apparent effect of development on the RNAi response (Figure

2E). That is, the treated N2 embryos, following a significant knockdown in transcript number

(p<0.001), exhibited a flat slope that implies no change in RNAi response with embryo stage.

The treated CB4856 and QX1211 embryos exhibited negligible change by embryo stage relative

to the control condition, with marginal (ω^2=0.017, p=0.012) and non-significant changes in

slope, respectively. (The complete statistical report for this analysis, including estimates of the

variance explained and significance levels for ANCOVA model comparisons, is in Table S1.)

Thus, in this narrow window of embryogenesis and among embryos retrieved from a fixed-age

parent, we find no evidence of changing rate of degradation by embryo stage.

Reduced PPW-1 function does not universally explain loss of germline RNAi

Given the distinct patterns of germline RNAi incompetence in CB4856 and QX1211, we next

sought to evaluate the genetic basis for RNAi failure in these and other low-response strains.

First, we first considered the role of *ppw-1*. The naturally-occurring frameshift mutation in *ppw-*

1 (*Tijsterman et al., 2002*) is unique to CB4856 in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* Natural Diversity

Resource (CeNDR) database (*Cook et al., 2017*), but we hypothesized that variation in PPW-1

activity arising from other sources might contribute to variation in germline RNAi among wild

strains. To test whether reduction of PPW-1 function is a universal aspect of reduced germline

RNAi, we performed complementation tests by crossing N2 wild-type and null alleles of *ppw-1*

to seven wild isolates. We evaluated CB4856, QX1211, and five additional strains, selected

based on prior observations of weak germline RNAi (data not shown) (*Paaby et al., 2015*) and

representation of nucleotide diversity and divergence across the global population (*Cook et al.,*

2017).

We crossed each wild isolate to N2 with its native, wild-type copy of *ppw-1*, and also to N2

carrying the *ppw-1* deletion allele (*pk1425*). Two genetic incompatibilities segregating within *C.*

elegans (*Ben-David et al., 2017; Seidel et al., 2008, 2011*) complicated our crosses, one of which we

controlled with a knockout allele at *peel-1*; details are provided in File S2. For each cross, we

compared the response of the individual wild isolate to the two heterozygote genotypes in the F1

generation, with and without the deletion at *ppw-1* inherited from the N2 chromosome. We

reasoned: though N2 homozygous for the *ppw-1* deletion fails to exhibit an RNAi response, one

copy of wild-type *ppw-1* fully rescues it, indicating that *ppw-1* is haplo-sufficient, at least in the

N2 background (Figure 3A). Therefore, if weak RNAi in the wild strains is a consequence of

reduced PPW-1 activity, any restoration of response in the F1 genotypes should be greater in the

genotype with the functional N2 *ppw-1* allele. As previously, we induced *par-1* RNAi in the (F1)

parent germline and measured embryonic lethality in the following generation. To avoid

confounding differences in developmental timing with variation in RNAi response, we scored all

progeny from only the first 15hrs of egg-laying from a small pool of hermaphrodite parents

(~100-200 embryos) on each replicate plate.

The seven strains exhibited four distinct response patterns: (i) no rescue, (ii) *ppw-1*-dependent

rescue, (iii) *ppw-1*-dependent suppression, and (iv) *ppw-1*-independent rescue, described in detail

below. These results indicate that within *C. elegans*, PPW-1 activity varies, PPW-1 activity

differentially affects germline RNAi due to interaction with other varying factors, or both. The

results further suggest that weak germline RNAi is multigenic within each strain, since rescued

responses were all lower than N2 levels, indicating the presence of factors other than *ppw-1*.

Figure 3. Complementation tests between seven wild isolates with low RNAi response and the RNAi-sensitive laboratory strain N2, with and without the *ppw-1* deletion allele. Response was measured by embryonic lethality following RNAi by feeding against the embryonic target *par-1*. Points represent the average across pooled hermaphrodites, with one exception (see below). (A) To circumvent embryonic lethality arising from the *zeel-1;peel-1* genetic incompatibility (*Seidel et al., 2008, 2011*), we used a null allele of the sperm-delivered toxin *peel-1* in N2, which has no effect on RNAi in either the responsive (N2) or the resistant (N2$^{ppw-1(del)}$) backgrounds. A single copy of *ppw-1* is sufficient to fully restore the germline RNAi response in N2. (B) - (E) Complementation tests for seven wild isolates with weak germline RNAi, representing a diversity of genetic backgrounds. Embryonic lethality in the control condition is shown when it was observed to be greater than zero. For DL238 (C), the open circles represent the proportion of dead embryos per individual; the overall pattern qualitatively replicates that which we observed in pooled hermaphrodites (Figure S2; individuals shown here to highlight variability). For QX1211 (D), the *sup-35;pha-1* incompatibility (*Ben-David et al., 2017*) induced embryonic lethality, visible in the control condition and the genotype without the *ppw-1* deletion in the *par-1* treatment (see File S1 for details). Significance levels (Tukey's contrasts): $p < 0.001$ (***), $p < 0.01$ (**), $p < 0.05$ (*).

(i) Strain JU1522 showed no rescue, i.e., no improved RNAi response in either F1 genotype

(Figure 3B). This suggests that weak RNAi in JU1522 is independent of *ppw-1*, or at least that

alleles that promote RNAi in N2, including *ppw-1*, are not haplo-sufficient to increase the

response in the JU1522 background.

(ii) Strains CB4856 and DL238 exhibited *ppw-1*-dependent rescue: an increased RNAi response

when crossed to N2, but only in the background with the wild-type *ppw-1* allele (Figure 3C,

Figure S2). This outcome in CB4856 is consistent with prior reports (*Pollard & Rockman, 2013;*

Tijsterman et al., 2002). In DL238, replicate to replicate variation in embryonic lethality was high,

so we investigated whether this could be explained by potentially stochastic induction of the

RNAi response between individual worms. This appears to be the case: tested individually, some

hermaphrodites produced no dead embryos and others over 30% (Figure 3C).

(iii) Unexpectedly, QX1211 showed *ppw-1*-dependent suppression: the heterozygote with the

ppw-1 deletion allele exhibited a significant increase in embryonic lethality, implying that

reduction of *ppw-1* in this strain promotes germline RNAi (Figure 3D). As expected in this cross,

we also observed lethality arising from the genetic incompatibility at the *sup-35;pha-1* locus

(*Ben-David et al., 2017*) (details in File S2).

(iv) Strains CB4852, KR314, and ECA369 exhibited *ppw-1*-independent rescue, in which the

two heterozygote genotypes exhibited levels of embryonic lethality that were equivalent to each

other and significantly higher than the wild isolate on its own. This suggests that N2 alleles other

than *ppw-1* promote the RNAi response in these genetic backgrounds (Figure 3E).

To ensure that differences in lethality came from variation in RNAi genes and not from

developmental variation specific to *par-1* (*Paaby et al., 2015*), we introgressed a germline-

expressed GFP construct into four strains representing the four observed response patterns and

quantified fluorescence following RNAi against GFP. With the exception of ECA369, which

showed higher than expected RNAi sensitivity, the responses confirmed RNAi incompetency

(Figure S3).

The results of these complementation tests demonstrate diversity in the function or effect of PPW-1 activity within *C. elegans*. Further, the *ppw-1*-independent rescue and the incompleteness of the *ppw-1*-dependent rescue implicate functional variation at genes other than (or in addition to) *ppw-1*, indicating that wild-type strains are likely to carry mutations affecting RNAi at multiple genes. However, with the exception of the unique *ppw-1* frameshift in CB4856, the extent to which RNAi alleles are likely to be strain-specific, versus shared across the population, is unclear.

Genetic complementation between wild isolates implicates diverse and polygenic basis for germline RNAi incompetence

To examine whether alleles limiting germline RNAi are shared across strains, we crossed low-response strains to each other and looked for complementation. As above, we measured embryonic lethality following *par-1* RNAi exposure in the F1 generation. We performed a total of six tests, with each pairwise cross for strains CB4856, DL238, KR314 and QX1211 (Figure 4), chosen based on their diversity in PPW-1 function (Figure 3) and compatibility at the *zeel-1;peel-1* locus (*Andersen et al., 2012*) (see File S2 for more details).

We observed multiple instances of complementation, indicating that variation in RNAi is polygenic and that low-response strains carry alleles with distinct functional effects. For example, in the CB4856 × QX1211 cross, the heterozygote produced significantly more dead embryos than either strain on its own (Figure 4A), indicating that alleles that dampen the RNAi response are not shared since their function is at least partially rescued by the alternate genetic background. Given that CB4856 and QX1211 exhibit responses that are dependent on *ppw-1* but opposite to each other (Figure 3), their complementation may be occurring at *ppw-1* itself. However, despite similar responses under *ppw-1* manipulation (Figure 3), DL238 and CB4856 also complement (Figure 4B), suggesting distinct mechanisms. DL238 failed to complement QX1211 (Figure 4C), but did complement KR314 (Figure 4D), indicating shared and distinct mechanisms, respectively; these outcomes are the opposite of those observed for CB4856 crossed to the same strains (Figure 4A, 4E), reinforcing the conclusion that CB4856 and DL238 harbor distinct genetic mechanisms. We also saw evidence for distinct mechanisms in the complementation of KR314 × QX1211 (Figure 4F).

Figure 4. Pairwise complementation tests between four wild isolates with low RNAi response. (A) - (F) Response was measured by embryonic lethality following RNAi by feeding against the embryonic target *par-1*. The heterozygote genotypes were generated by crossing males and hermaphrodites in both directions (A) - (C), except for crosses with strain KR314, which does not produce fertile males (D) - (F). With the exception of CB4856 × DL238 (B), in which hermaphrodites sired by DL238 exhibited a significantly stronger response than those sired by CB4856, cross direction had no effect on embryonic lethality in the next generation and plots show pooled data. Significance levels (Tukey's contrasts): $p < 0.001$ (***), $p < 0.01$ (**), $p < 0.05$ (*).

The rescued responses of the crossed strains point to pervasive diversity in the genetic
mechanisms that underlie germline RNAi response in *C. elegans*. Together, the two sets of
complementation assays (Figure 3, Figure 4) demonstrate that RNAi incompetence is multigenic
within individual strains and caused by diverse alleles with distinct functional effects. This in
turn indicates that variation in RNAi is a polygenic phenomenon within *C. elegans*, and suggests
that it may be mediated by rare variants. In addition to *ppw-1*, causal mutations may reside in
other Argonautes: though *ppw-1* is essential for germline RNAi in N2, overexpression of other
WAGOs can rescue the response, implicating interchangeability (*Yigit et al., 2006*). We
hypothesize that natural variation in the expression or function of RNAi genes, specifically
WAGOs, produces a phenomenon of gene regulation by small RNAs that is highly diversified
within the species. Variability in multiple factors is consistent with the dramatic range in
sensitivity to germline RNAi overall (*Paaby et al., 2015*) as well as the diversity in genetic
mechanisms underlying incompetence observed here.
344
345 *C. elegans* **RNAi genes show unusually high variation in expression**
346
To assess whether and how RNAi genes might vary in expression across strains, we performed
RNA-seq on low-response strains CB4856 and QX1211, on responsive strains N2 and JU1088,
and on strain EG4348, which shows an intermediate response (*Paaby et al., 2015*). RNA samples
were prepared from young, reproductively mature hermaphrodites without RNAi induction.
Relative to other genes in the genome, RNAi genes, in particular Argonautes, showed highly
elevated expression variation across strains, including *ppw-1* and the related WAGO *sago-2*.
353
First, we examined expression at 62 genes known to directly mediate RNAi (listed in Table 1),
including Argonautes currently classified as pseudogenes on Wormbase (*Harris et al., 2020*). Of
these, all but two were expressed in every strain: *wago-5* was not expressed at all, and the
putative pseudogene *ZK218.8* was not expressed in the responsive stains but was expressed in
CB4856 and QX1211. Of the genes with detectable transcripts, approximately half (29/61)
exhibited differential expression (FDR<0.1) between N2 and at least one other wild strain
(Figure 5A).

Figure 5. Variation in gene expression for RNAi factors. (A) Via RNA-seq, we examined 62 genes for differential expression between N2 and low-response strains CB4856 and QX1211, high-response strain JU1088, and moderately responsive strain EG4348. Only genes with significant results (FDR<0.1) are displayed; filled arrows indicate fold change >1.5. The (*) at *ZK218.8* indicates differential expression beyond the y-axis scale; this gene is not expressed in the N2 reference strain and has been classified as a pseudogene. (B) - (C) Expression differences via droplet digital PCR for *ppw-1* and *sago-2*. Ten strains were evaluated (Figure S4); a subset are shown here. Across strains, significant differences (Tukey's contrasts, p<0.05) are indicated by letter groupings; for example, QX1211and N2 have equivalent concentrations of *ppw-1*, while CB4856 and JU1522 concentrations are significantly different from all others. Within each strain, significant differences between developmental stages (pairwise contrasts with Bonferroni correction) are indicated by: p<0.001 (***), p<0.01 (**), p<0.05 (*). (D) Histogram of median strain-wise variance for 10,000 gene sets, expression-matched to the RNAi genes, randomly sampled across the genome following variance-stabilizing transformation. (E) Strain-wise variance of the 61 expressed RNAi genes, plotted by quantile of genome-wide variances (gene expression data as in D). Argonautes, including those currently classified as pseudogenes, are indicated by filled circles.

The strains with greatest differential expression were those with weakest germline RNAi (Figure

5A). That is: QX1211, then CB4856, showed the most differences across the gene set (26/61 and

10/61); the moderately responsive strain EG4348 showed a handful of differences (7/61); and the

highly responsive strain JU1088 showed a difference at only one gene (*rsd-6*) (Figure 5A). Of

genes differentially expressed by both CB4856 and QX1211, the direction of expression was

concordant with one exception (*rde-11*), including reduced expression of *ppw-1* and elevated

expression of *sago-2*. It is critical to note that though QX1211 and CB4856 show the greatest

degree of differential expression relative to N2, they are also the most genetically diverged (*Cook*

et al., 2017). That said, RNAi incompetence does not appear to be a function of genetic distance

from the reference strain, as highly diverged isolates ECA701, JU561, and XZ1516 (*Crombie et*

al., 2019) were responsive to *par-1* RNAi (data not shown), and RNAi sensitivity for 55 wild

isolates in (*Paaby et al., 2015*) showed no relationship with divergence from N2 (Figure S4).

The WAGO *sago-2* shares high sequence identity with *ppw-1* and resides ~17cM away on

chromosome I. These two genes share overlapping function in the N2 background (*Yigit et al.,*

2006), so the underexpression of *ppw-1* and overexpression of *sago-2* in CB4856 and QX1211

(Figure 5A) caught our attention. However, in some strains, including QX1211, poor mapping of

short reads to the reference genome (*Cook et al., 2017*) at these loci suggests gene divergence or

duplication. We resolved sequence ambiguities via de novo assembly of paired-end reads and

long read sequencing, and observed that QX1211 carries *ppw-1*-like alleles at both loci (File S3).

Therefore, to confirm our RNA-seq observations of *ppw-1* and *sago-2* expression and also to

evaluate additional strains, we designed a droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) experiment to measure

both transcripts simultaneously and discriminate between them using transcript-specific labels.

We tested all strains thus far discussed: the seven low-response strains for which we tested *ppw-*

1 function (Figure 3), as well as responsive strains N2, JU1088, and EG4348. To evaluate

whether *ppw-1* or *sago-2* expression changed as worms aged, we also assayed two

developmental timepoints, young adult and mid adult.

The ddPCR results were consistent with our RNA-seq observations, and in sum confirm high

variability in *ppw-1* and *sago-2* expression (Figure 5B-C, Figure S5). Overall, *ppw-1* expression

was about an order of magnitude greater than that of *sago-2*, and both expression levels and

changes in expression between developmental timepoints differed significantly across strains for

both genes (Table S2). Taken individually, neither *ppw-1* nor *sago-2* expression correlated with

RNAi responsiveness, and across all ten strains, the combined expression was both highest and

lowest in two strongly resistant strains: QX1211 and JU1522, respectively (Figure 5B-C, Figure

S5). JU1522 has consistently exhibited negligible germline RNAi, including no rescue when

crossed with N2 (Figure 3) and no response even at later age (Figure S3); this strain shows the

weakest response we have observed. One possibility is that both high and low expression of *ppw-*

1 and *sago-2* limit germline RNAi. This hypothesis fits with our observation that a haploid dose

of *ppw-1* increases the RNAi response in QX1211 (Figure 3D), and with the prior finding that

ppw-1 and *sago-2* encode functionally interchangeable proteins that can compensate each other

(*Yigit et al., 2006*). However, it is inconsistent with the observation that overexpression of these

factors increases RNAi sensitivity in N2 (*Yigit et al., 2006*). N2 and JU1088, the two strains with

the most robust germline RNAi response in our analysis, exhibited intermediate levels of *ppw-1*

and *sago-2* combined (Figure S5).

Having observed significant expression variation for many of the RNAi genes, we next asked

whether this gene set is more variable than other genes in the genome. The answer is yes: for the

61 actively transcribed RNAi genes, the median strain-wise variance (after variance-stabilizing

transformation, see Methods) was higher than that of expression-matched sets randomly sampled

from the genome 99% of the time (9936/10,000 comparisons, Figure 5D); 74% of these

(7351/10,000) were statistically significant (one-tailed Mann-Whitney test, alpha=0.05), far

exceeding that expected by chance. This effect is driven by elevated variance across the gene set,

not by a few outliers of high variance, as the majority (57%) (35/61) exhibit significant

differences by strain via liklihood ratio test (FDR<0.1) compared to 29% of all genes in the

genome. Thus, RNAi genes are highly enriched for strain-wise variation (p=4.8x10^{-6},

hypergeometric test).

Several of the RNAi genes are exceptionally variable. The putatively pseudogenized Argonaute

prg-2 exhibits the 10th highest strain-wise variance in the genome, and six genes, including *ppw-*

1 and *sago-2*, are in the top 2%. Given the relatively recent evolution of WAGOs (*Buck &*

Blaxter, 2013) and their potential redundancy in function (*Yigit et al., 2006*), we expected to see

especially high expression variation for this gene class. This hypothesis is well supported: all but

one (*sago-1*) of the 12 WAGOs are in the top half of genome-wide variance, though Argonautes

of all classes showed a similar trend, including those presumed to be pseudogenes (Figure 5E).

The distribution of strain-wise variances was more evenly distributed for other RNAi factors and

included both highly variable and highly invariant expression patterns (Figure 5E).

The elevated expression variation in RNAi genes represents heritable variation in small RNA

processes in *C. elegans*, consistent with the other evidence for diversification of germline RNAi

function within the species and a possible explanation for that diversity. Variable expression of

putative pseudogenes, including the active transcription of *ZK218.8* in some non-reference

strains, also prompts the question of whether wild-type strains vary in their complement of

functional Argonautes. *ZK218.8* was previously identified as an Argonaute (*Yigit, 2007*) but

remains unexplored in the literature; its expression signature suggests that pseudogenization may

have occurred in some strain lineages but not in others. While these observations all point to

diversification in genetic mechanisms of RNAi, the historical forces driving these outcomes

remain obscured. To evaluate this, and to look for evidence of strain-specific mutations affecting

RNAi, we next turned to population-level sequence data.

C. elegans **RNAi genes show lineage-specific diversification and pseudogenization**

We examined allelic diversity at the 62 RNAi genes, both to identify candidate mutations for

RNAi incompetence and to assess selection history via patterns of molecular variation. We

examined genotypes in CeNDR, which includes hundreds of strains representing the global *C.*

elegans population (*Cook et al., 2017*). For the seven low-response strains tested in the lab, we

identified putatively deleterious variants that may contribute to individualized loss of function, as

many were strain-specific (Table S3). Across the population, we observed substantial sequence

variation among all gene classes, including strain-specific instances of pseudogenization and

allelic divergence (Table 1). These results indicate that many RNAi genes have recently

undergone rapid and dynamic evolution, by what appears to be both relaxed and intense selection

pressure. The occurrence of rare alleles and lineage-specific patterns support the functional

diversification we observe in the lab and support a model of dynamic contemporaneous

evolution of small RNA pathways in *C. elegans*.

Table 1. Molecular diversity at 62 RNAi genes, from 403 strain isotypes. Genes were manually curated
from the literature and include 20 putatively functional Argonautes and seven currently classified as
pseudogenes on Wormbase (*Harris et al., 2020*), though pseudogene status varies in the literature (and
likely across strains). "Functional diverged alleles" are those with at least 1% nucleotide divergence from
the reference genome, including at least five moderate mutations, such as amino acid substitutions, and no
disruptive high impact mutations, such as frameshifts or stop-gains. "Pseudogenized alleles" are those
with at least one high impact mutation called with high confidence and at which 1% or more of the sites
are diverged or missing, or those with at least 50% of the sites with missing calls. Potential
pseudogenization was assessed with respect to the reference genome and considered even for those
Argonautes already classified as putative pseudogenes.

Class	Gene	Nucleotide diversity (π)	No. strains with functional diverged alleles	No. strains with pseudo-genized alleles
Argonaute (AGO)	*alg-1*	7.20E-04	0	0
	alg-2	8.53E-04	0	19
	alg-3	6.86E-04	0	1
	alg-4	6.08E-04	10	5
	alg-5	3.75E-04	0	0
	rde-1	4.98E-04	2	0
Argonaute (PIWI)	*ergo-1*	1.18E-03	0	8
	prg-1	1.77E-03	0	0
Argonaute (WAGO)	*C04F12.1*	2.50E-04	0	34
	csr-1	6.59E-04	0	0
	hrde-1	1.84E-04	4	3
	nrde-3	3.39E-04	22	0
	ppw-1	2.77E-04	0	2
	ppw-2	5.35E-04	0	0
	sago-1	1.23E-03	0	4
	sago-2	1.26E-03	1	25
	wago-1	9.37E-04	0	0
	wago-10	9.64E-06	58	2
	wago-4	7.70E-06	0	0
	wago-5	9.17E-06	0	48
Argonaute (pseudo)	*C06A1.4*	1.07E-03	0	0
	C14B1.7	6.47E-04	0	0
	H10D12.2	3.00E-04	0	25
	prg-2	6.59E-04	0	3
	wago-11	7.58E-05	0	64
	wago-2	7.78E-04	0	0
	ZK218.8	4.08E-04	0	11
Other RNAi factor	*dcr-1*	6.37E-04	49	0
	drh-1	2.35E-04	40	0
	drsh-1	1.11E-03	0	0
	ego-1	1.07E-04	0	0
Other RNAi factor	*eri-1*	2.37E-04	23	0
	eri-12	5.00E-04	23	0
	eri-3	4.37E-04	9	0
	eri-5	7.15E-04	0	1
	eri-6	6.04E-04	36	20
	eri-7	1.74E-04	0	12
	eri-9	7.00E-04	26	0
	hrde-2	9.92E-04	0	0
	hsf-1	5.54E-03	119	0
	lin-15b	2.61E-04	9	53
	mut-7	8.90E-04	0	0
	nck-1	5.01E-04	0	0
	nhl-2	2.22E-04	0	0
	nyn-2	4.47E-04	2	1
	rde-10	1.00E-03	21	1
	rde-11	5.45E-05	0	1
	rde-12	2.46E-03	54	0
	rde-2	1.48E-04	0	0
	rde-4	7.21E-04	0	0
	rde-8	5.03E-06	0	0
	rme-2	2.09E-04	0	0
	rrf-1	1.09E-03	9	0
	rrf-3	1.19E-03	14	0
	rsd-2	2.67E-03	151	4
	rsd-3	2.00E-04	35	0
	rsd-6	2.68E-04	28	0
	sid-1	9.03E-04	0	1
	sid-2	1.44E-03	54	3
	sid-3	2.09E-04	56	1
	sid-5	1.23E-03	0	0
	tofu-5	6.59E-04	0	0

Relative to genome-wide averages (*Lee et al., 2021*), estimates of nucleotide diversity (π) per gene
were generally high (Table 1), with $\pi > 0.001$ for 14/62 genes (13/55 genes not characterized as
pseudogenes). Elevated variation was often, but not always, associated with high-impact
mutations in one or more strains (Figure S6). Therefore, to distinguish between instances of
pseudogenization and gene loss versus functional allelic diversification arising from directional

or balancing selection, we classified highly diverged alleles two ways. We considered an allele to be pseudogenized if it harbored at least one high impact mutation called with high confidence and if at least 1% of the sites were diverged or missing relative to the reference genome, or if over 50% of sites were missed calls; we classified "functional diverged alleles" as those with at least five amino acid substitutions, at least 1% divergence across sites, and no high impact mutations called at the locus.

We observed extensive pseudogenization across the gene set. Given the expansion and diversification of Argonautes in nematodes (*Buck & Blaxter, 2013*), we hypothesized that the WAGOs might be relatively unconstrained and therefore especially susceptible to gene loss. Indeed, many WAGOs (7/13) showed evidence of pseudogenization, but putative loss occurred in all gene classes, including the PIWIs, AGOs, and other RNAi factors (Table 1). For example, the PIWI Argonaute *ergo-1* exhibits extensive variation and lineage-specific pseudogenization, indicative of relaxed selection (Figure 6A). Excluding the seven Argonautes classified as pseudogenes on Wormbase (*Harris et al., 2020*), 40% (22/55) of genes indicated pseudogenization in one or more strains (Table 1). In contrast, a few genes exhibited very low polymorphism (Figure 6B, Figure S6), likely reflecting evolutionary constraint and purifying selection. These genes were mostly non-Argonautes, with the exception of *csr-1* and *prg-1*, the only Argonautes essential for development (*Yigit et al., 2006*).

We also observed pervasive functional divergence, with functionally diverged alleles in 25/62 genes (Table 1). Unlike the pseudogenized alleles, which often occurred singly, the functional diverged alleles were most often shared across strains, consistent with positive selection driving or maintaining divergent gene function. For example, *hsf-1* exhibited very high polymorphism, including amino acid changes at intermediate frequencies, but no instances of high impact mutations likely to knock out function (Figure 6C). (We also observed poor read mapping across the locus, which indicates further divergence but may also obscure deleterious mutations.) This transcription factor is a master regulator of other RNAi genes, and HSF-1 activity is associated with transgenerational inheritance of an on/off RNAi response (*Houri-Zeevi et al., 2020*). As *hsf-1* is a potential keystone regulator of small RNA pathways, its diversification may underlie significant functional variation in RNAi.

519
Figure 6. Population-level allelic diversity in RNAi genes across 403 strains. (A) The Argonaute *ergo-1*
exhibits elevated polymorphism. Accumulation of high impact mutations in some alleles indicates gene
loss by pseudogenization and implies relaxed selection. In this haplotype network, each circle represents a
unique haplotype (of one or more strains) and hatch marks indicate mutations. (B) In contrast, *rde-2*
exhibits conservation. Most strains belong to the common haplotype; haplotype circles are scaled by
frequency. (C) The transcription factor *hsf-1* also shows elevated polymorphism. Multiple changes to the
amino acid sequence, mutations segregating at intermediate frequency, and no observed high impact
mutations that disrupt the protein suggest functional divergence. Each observed mutation is represented as
a single point; the up- and down-triangles representing indels are scaled by indel length (range = 1-99,
mean = 6.8).

The patterns of elevated polymorphism indicate that RNAi genes are evolving dynamically
within *C. elegans*, with lineage-specific trajectories of relaxed selection and gene loss, as well as
possible directional selection and functional divergence. These findings are consistent with our
experimental observations that the genetic basis of RNAi failure is strain-specific. Moreover,
they suggest that functional characterizations of these genes, which have been universally
achieved in N2, may be strain-specific as well.
536
537 **DISCUSSION**
538
In this study, we demonstrate that a diversity of genetic mechanisms underpins the failure of
some wild-type *C. elegans* strains to mount a robust germline RNAi response. Rather than
identifying one or more common, shared factors that explain RNAi incompetence, our results
indicate that RNAi fails for different reasons in different strains and that the same genes can
produce opposite responses. Coupled with high levels of divergence and lineage-specific
pseudogenization at known RNAi genes, these findings indicate that the small RNA pathways in
C. elegans are evolving rapidly and dynamically, leading to functional diversification of RNAi
activity.
547
We propose that such diversification evolved as a consequence of (a) redundancy and
interchangeability among Argonautes (*Billi et al., 2014; Yigit et al., 2006*), (b) competition between
overlapping pathways (*Yigit et al., 2006; Youngman & Claycomb, 2014*), and (c) a population
structure with reduced gene flow (*Dolgin et al., 2007*). Small RNA processes dominate the
biology of *C. elegans* (*Houri-Zeevi et al., 2020; Youngman & Claycomb, 2014*), and defenses against
pathogens and transposable elements may be especially susceptible to strong selection (*Nuez &*
Félix, 2012). However, because the species is globally dispersed and reproduces primarily by
selfing, *C. elegans* lineages evolve semi-independently, may be exposed to distinct selection
pressures, and may accumulate co-adapted allelic combinations (*Campbell et al., 2018; Dolgin et*
al., 2007). Argonautes and other factors are shared among pathways, and competition between
exogenous and endogenous RNAi can force induction of one pathway over another (*Yigit et al.,*
2006; Youngman & Claycomb, 2014). In this vein, we might imagine, for example, how selection
on germline maintenance in one genetic background could compromise a response to

environmental triggers, as well as how Argonaute redundancy could facilitate evolutionary

lability and gene-specific, lineage-specific responses even under similar selection pressures.

An example of competition between overlapping pathways may be reflected in some of our

results, as simultaneous exogenous and endogenous demands on shared factors may explain the

behavior of QX1211. In addition to the on/off responses among individuals (Figure 1, Figure 2),

QX1211 exhibits increased sensitivity to germline RNAi after consecutive generations at ≥18°C

(unpublished data)—but this co-occurs with onset of the mortal germline phenotype and

reproductive extinction, which is associated with shifts in piRNA-like pools of small RNAs

(*Frézal et al., 2018*). Thus, changes in RNAi activity appear to be either a cause or a consequence

of germline mortality in QX1211 within individual animals, which in turn may explain the

differences in sensitivity to exogenous RNAi. Competition between pathways may also explain

the *ppw-1*-dependent suppression of RNAi in QX1211 (Figure 3). If *ppw-1* is a limiting factor in

the defense against germline mortality in QX1211, then decreasing its availability might

downregulate germline protection while simultaneously releasing resources for the competing

exogenous pathway. QX1211 exhibited highest expression of *ppw-1* and *sago-2* (Figure 5) and

carries a *ppw-1*-like allele of *sago-2* (File S3)—in the most speculative case, this might reflect a

history of selection for *increased* germline-associated RNAi response in QX1211, even as

laboratory assays for exogenous RNAi reveal apparent incompetence.

Consequently, although incompetence for laboratory-induced RNAi is the explicit focus of this

study, we emphasize that the synthetic phenomenon of RNAi by feeding does not necessarily

represent processes most relevant in nature. The role of RNAi in the wild remains largely

obscured, though some observations offer clues. Exogenous RNAi likely induces responses that

evolved for antiviral immunity, as viruses that infect *C. elegans* and other *Caenorhabditis*

species have been discovered, notably in isolates with defective RNAi, and antiviral immunity

shows a clear association with an active RNAi response (*Félix et al., 2011; Sarkies et al., 2013;*

Schott et al., 2005; Wilkins et al., 2005; Yigit et al., 2006). The overlap between experimental RNAi

and antiviral response is incomplete, however, as variation in RNAi sensitivity does not

completely correlate with immunity and the systemic and transgenerational properties of RNAi

are not observed in viral infection (*Ashe et al., 2013, 2015; Félix et al., 2011*). Orsay virus, the only

naturally-occurring virus known to infect *C. elegans*, invades intestinal cells and is horizontally,

but not vertically, transmitted (*Félix et al., 2011; Franz et al., 2014*), though vertically transmissible

viral-like RNAs have been detected in the germlines of wild-caught *Caenorhabditis* isolates

(*Richaud et al., 2019*), suggesting undiscovered host-pathogen dynamics. Endogenous RNAi is

likely required for germline maintenance in the wild, as suggested by the observations in

QX1211. Hence the piRNA pathway, which is active in the germline and presumed critical for

maintaining genome integrity (*Wilson & Doudna, 2013; Youngman & Claycomb, 2014*), may

dominate the biology of, or be upregulated more often in, some strains relative to others (*Frézal*

et al., 2018). One possibility is that *ergo-1* gatekeeps RNAi pathway activity differently in

different isolates, as *ergo-1* N2 mutants show enhanced exo-RNAi but reduced endo-RNAi (*Yigit*

et al., 2006) and the *ergo-1* locus exhibits extreme allelic diversification in nature (Table 1,

Figure 6). Another possibility is that in the wild, RNAi in the germline matters most to future

generations. Strains resistant to RNAi upon exposure can show transgenerational sensitivity

(*Tijsterman et al., 2002*), and a growing body of research emphasizes the outsized role of RNAi in

transgenerational inheritance (*Houri-Zeevi et al., 2020, 2021*). Thus, RNAi as we have studied it in

the lab provides an oblique view into its role in nature.

Given the essentiality of RNAi to numerous biological processes—and the centrality of *C.*

elegans in RNAi research—is the variation in this system surprising? Perhaps not: even as RNAi

genes are shared deeply within the eukaryotic lineage (*Shabalina & Koonin, 2008; Wynant et al.,*

2017), the variation we describe here mirrors patterns of RNAi incompetence and molecular

evolution over longer timescales. The ability to silence genes by dsRNA appears intermittently,

and shows evidence of rapid evolution within the *Caenorhabditis* genus (*Nuez & Félix, 2012;*

Winston et al., 2007), across nematodes generally (*Buck & Blaxter, 2013; Dalzell et al., 2011*), and in

other systems (*Obbard et al., 2009*). Argonautes and associated RNAi factors also exhibit taxon-

specific patterns of gene duplication, loss, and diversification, likely representative of

diversification of biological functions (*Buck & Blaxter, 2013; Dalzell et al., 2011; Obbard et al.,*

2009). In other words, the contemporaneous variation in RNAi observed within extant *C. elegans*

mirrors the great lability in RNAi observed over long timescales (*Nuez & Félix, 2012*).

C. elegans increasingly appears to be dominated by transgenerationally inherited small RNA

programs (*Houri-Zeevi et al., 2020, 2021*) that vary significantly in nature (*Frézal et al., 2018*). This

variation offers leverage: characterization of wild-type variation can elucidate condition-

dependent mechanisms (*Chandler et al., 2013*), which are rampant in RNAi, a complex and

intricate collection of interactions susceptible to unexpected outcomes (*De-Souza et al., 2019*) and

sensitive to environmental conditions (*Houri-Zeevi et al., 2021*). Identifying mechanisms of

variation will help to bridge the gulf between our understanding of the genetics of RNAi and the

role of RNAi in nature, and future work may benefit from evaluating wild-type isolates in the

context of carefully chosen environmental perturbations (*Rockman, 2008*). For example,

temperature likely matters for RNAi, given the exquisite sensitivity of *C. elegans* to temperature

(*Testa et al., 2020*) and the intimate relationship between temperature and other stresses and RNAi

(*Frézal et al., 2018; Houri-Zeevi et al., 2021; Pagliuso et al., 2021*); our observations of QX1211

would have been obscured without rigorous temperature control. Sydney Brenner's selection of

C. elegans as a model species, and N2 as the strain of study, was fortuitous for the future

discovery of RNAi (*Félix, 2008*), and it remains the most fertile area for elucidating gene

regulation by small RNAs (*Youngman & Claycomb, 2014*). Now, characterizations of significant

natural genetic and functional variation in RNAi provide a new access point for expanding our

understanding in a system already so well established.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains used in this study

Table S4 contains a complete list of strains used in this study. To introduce germline-expressed

GFP into wild isolates, we introgressed *zuIs178 [his-72(1kb 5' UTR)::his-*

72::SRPVAT::GFP::his-72 (1KB 3' UTR) + 5.7 kb XbaI - HindIII unc-119(+)]; stIs10024 [pie-

1::H2B::GFP::pie-1 3' UTR + unc-119(+)] into strains CB4856, ECA369, JU1522 and QX1211

by crossing to RW10029 and backcrossing to the wild strain for 10-18 generations.

Worm husbandry

Worms were cultured following standard protocol (*Stiernagle, 2006*), though we added 1.25%

agarose to plates used to maintain non-N2 wild isolates, to avoid burrowing. Worms were

maintained at 20°C without starving for at least three generations before initiating an experiment,

with the exception of QX1211, which was maintained at 18°C to avoid induction of the mortal

germline phenotype (*Frézal et al., 2018*).

RNA interference

General culture conditions

RNAi was induced by feeding and experiments were carried out on plates, at 20°C, based on

methods previously described (*Ahringer, 2006; Kamath et al., 2001*). In brief: to target endogenous

germline-expressed genes, we fed worms HT115 *E. coli* bacteria that had been transformed with

the pL4440-derived *par-1* (H39E23.1), *par-4* (Y59A8B.14), *pos-1* (F52E1.1), or GFP feeding

vector (*Timmons et al., 2001*). The *par-1* and *pos-1* vectors were obtained from the Ahringer

feeding library (*Kamath & Ahringer, 2003*); *par-4* was a gift from M. Mana. To target GFP, we

transformed HT115 with pL4417, which carries 0.7 kb of GFP coding sequence (*Timmons et al.,*

2001). We used *E. coli* carrying the empty pL4440 vector as a negative control. Bacteria were

streaked from frozen stocks onto LB agar plates with carbenicillin (25 ug/mL) and tetracycline

(12.5 mg/mL); liquid cultures were inoculated with 5-10 colonies from <1 week old plates, into

LB broth with carbenicillin (50 ug/mL) and tetracycline (12.5 mg/mL) and incubated for 16-

18hrs shaking at 37°C, then amplified in a 1:200 dilution with carbenicillin (50 ug/mL) for 6hrs.

Seeded plates were incubated in the dark at room temperature and used no earlier than 44hrs and

no later than 78hrs. Experimental worms were exposed to RNAi bacteria as L1s by hatching on

RNAi plates, synchronized either by bleaching (*Stiernagle, 2006*) or by timed egg-laying by the

hermaphrodite mothers.

Embryonic lethality assays

To measure RNAi response by phenotypic penetrance, we targeted *par-1* or *pos-1* transcripts in

the hermaphrodite germline and measured embryonic lethality in the next generation.

Experimental worms were reared on RNAi plates and transferred as L4s to fresh RNAi plates for

the egg-laying assay, remaining continuously exposed to RNAi bacteria since their hatching. For

all experiments except those explicitly testing variation in penetrance between individual worms

(Figure 1B, Figure 3C), the L4 hermaphrodites were pooled in small groups of 4-6 on 6-10

replicate assay plates. For the complementation tests (Figure 3, Figure 4, Figure S2), all embryos

within the first ~15hrs of egg-laying were scored for hatching, typically 100-200 embryos per

plate. For assays testing RNAi within a defined window of reproductive maturity, we scored the

embryos laid in a 4-6hr window within the first 8hrs of egg-laying (Figure 1A), or a 2hr window

4hrs after egg-laying began (Figure 2C). For the experiment measuring RNAi in individual

worms over their reproductive lifespan (Figure 1B, Figure S1), the L4 hermaphrodites were

singled to RNAi plates, permitted to lay embryos, and continually transferred to fresh plates until

they ceased to lay, or laid only unfertilized eggs. To score embryos as dead or alive, we removed

the egg-laying adult(s), incubated the plates at 20°C for 24hrs, and counted (dead) embryos and

hatched larvae using a stereoscope. Experiments included 6-10 (RNAi treatment) or 4-6

(negative control) replicate plates.

To test the effect of genotype on embryonic lethality following exposure to RNAi, the counts of

dead embryos and hatched larvae from each replicate plate were bound together as a single

response variable and modeled with a generalized linear model with a quasibinomial error

structure, implemented by the *glm* function in R. The model included a single linear predictor for

genotype and took the form $E(Y)=g^{-1}(\beta_0+\beta_{genotype})$. Within each experiment, differences between

specific genotypes were assessed by pairwise contrasts using the "Tukey" specification in the

function *glht* in the R package *multcomp* (*Hothorn et al., 2008*).

RNAi against GFP

To measure germline RNAi by GFP knockdown, worms carrying a histone-linked GFP driven by

a *pie-1* promoter were fed RNAi bacteria targeting GFP. Synchronized animals were grown on

RNAi plates, then individually selected for imaging at the following stages: young adults (6±2hrs

after exiting L4 stage), day one adults (24±2hrs), and day 2 adults (48±2hrs). For whole worm

fluorescence imaging, animals were anesthetized with 10mM NaN_3 and mounted on 2% agarose

pads, then imaged using a 10× objective with the PerkinElmer UltraVIEW VoX spinning disk

confocal microscope equipped with an EM-CCD camera. Raw images were exported as

OME.TIFF files. We used Fiji (*Schindelin et al., 2012*) to acquire the sums of intensity in the Z

projection, then quantitated the GFP fluorescence by subtracting the integrated intensity of the

background, over the area of the worm, from the integrated intensity of the whole animal. To test

whether RNAi-treated worms exhibited reduced fluorescence relative to control worms, we analyzed the six samples (three treatment timepoints, three control timepoints) for each strain using a one-way ANOVA, then performed treatment-control contrasts within each timepoint using the R function *TukeyHSD()*.

Single-molecule fluorescence *in situ* hybridization

Sample preparation and imaging

Custom Stellaris FISH probes were designed with the Stellaris Probe Designer (LGC Biosearch Technologies). We excluded polymorphic sites during probe design. Worms were synchronized on tryptone-free NGM agar plates at the L1 stage and reared on RNAi bacteria as described above. Embryos were extracted by standard bleaching/washing, fixed using 3.7% formaldehyde in RNase-free phosphate buffered saline, and hybridized (100nM at 37°C for 4hrs) with a Quasar 570 labeled probe set targeting either *par-1* or *par-4*, following the manufacturer's instructions. Samples were mounted using VECTASHIELD antifade mounting medium with DAPI (Vector Labs #H-1200) on no. 1 cover slides. Images were captured with a 100X oil immersion objective on a PerkinElmer UltraVIEW VoX spinning disk confocal microscope equipped with an EM-CCD camera and piezoelectric motorized stage. Three-dimensional image stacks were collected using Volocity 3D visualization software (PerkinElmer) and exported as TIFF files.

Quantitative Analysis

Image segmentation masks were applied and chromosome clusters were counted using ImageJ (*Schneider et al., 2012*). Quantification of single molecule FISH spots was performed using Aro, a MATLAB-based, machine learning pipeline designed for single-molecule visualization in worm embryos (*Wu & Rifkin, 2015*). The training sets for the random forest classifier were generated from multiple samples of each genetic background and treatment. To test whether means or variances in transcript counts differed for RNAi-treated versus untreated samples within a strain, we applied two-sample *t*-tests and *F*-tests, respectively. For these tests, we only considered early stage embryos (up to four cells). To evaluate changes in transcript abundance over a wider range of embryonic development, we considered embryos with up to 30 nuclei and used ANCOVA to

ask whether, adjusted for embryo stage, transcript levels varied across strains within the negative

control condition; and whether, adjusted for embryo stage, transcript levels varied between

control and treatment conditions within a strain. We used minimal model selection to test for

changes in the way transcript level depended upon embryo stage (i.e. changes in slope). We

estimated ANCOVA effect sizes as ω^2 using the R package *sjstats* (*Lüdecke, 2018*).

RNA-seq

Library preparation and sequencing

Healthy cultures of strains N2, CB4856, QX1211, JU1088 and EG4348, reared for several

generations without starving or bleaching, were bleached to retrieve large numbers of embryos.

Synchronized L1 larvae were reared on plates with the empty RNAi feeding vector, details as

described above. Young, reproductively mature hermaphrodites were washed off plates and

rinsed twice with M9, then RNA was extracted with TRIzol (Invitrogen #15596026) and RNeasy

columns (Qiagen #74104), following (*He, 2011*). All samples were collected and processed

simultaneously and in triplicate, starting with replicate plates of worms. Libraries were prepared

with the NEBNext Ultra II Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB #7760), with

cDNA generated from fresh RNA samples using 500ng of RNA and 10 cycles of PCR. Libraries

were quality checked using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer and fragments were size-selected via

BluePippon (Sage Science). Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq for single-end

75bp reads at the Molecular Evolution Core facility at the Georgia Institute of Technology.

Alignment and gene expression quantification

We generated strain-specific transcriptomes for RNA-seq read quantification by patching SNPs

and INDELs from CeNDR (release 20210121) (*Cook et al., 2017*) onto the N2 reference genome

(release ws276) (*Harris et al., 2020*) using *g2gtools* (v0.1.31 via conda v4.7.12, Python v2.7.16)

(https://github.com/churchill-lab/g2gtools), followed by transcriptome extraction. Specifically,

for each non-reference strain, INDELs were first chained onto the reference genome using

g2gtools vcf2chain and SNPs were patched onto the reference genome FASTA using *g2gtools*

patch. Next, INDELs were chained onto the SNP-patched genome using *g2gtools transform* and

strain-specific GTFs were created from this updated genome FASTA via *g2gtools convert*.

Strain-specific transcriptomes were generated from these strain-specific genome FASTAs and

GTFs using *gffread* (v0.12.7) (*Pertea & Pertea, 2020*).

Transcript-level quantification was performed using Salmon (v1.4.0) (*Patro et al., 2017*). Before

Salmon quantification, Illumina TruSeq adapters were trimmed from RNA-seq reads using

Trimmomatic (v0.3.9) (*Bolger et al., 2014*) with parameters *ILLUMINACLIP:TruSeq3-*

SE.fa:1:30:12. Salmon index files were built from the strain-specific transcriptomes using

command *salmon index* with options *-k 31 --keepDuplicates* (all others default; no decoy was

used). Transcript quantification was performed with *salmon quant* with options *-l SR --dumpEq,*

--rangeFactorizationBins 4, --seqBias, and --gcBias, and the library-specific fragment length

arguments *--fldMean* and *--fldSD*.

Analysis of gene expression

We performed all expression analyses in R (v4.0.3) (*R Core Team, 2020*) using data processed

with the DESeq2 package (v1.32.0) (*Love et al., 2014*). We used the *tximport* package (v1.20.0)

(*Soneson et al., 2015*) to import Salmon transcript quantification data into DESeq2 and to compute

gene-level expression quantification estimates. Genes with 10 or fewer counts total across all

samples after *tximport* were excluded from downstream analysis (18,589 genes retained).

To test for differential expression across strains, gene counts were modeled using the negative

binomial generalized linear model in DESeq2:

$$\log2(q_{ij}) = \beta_i\, x_j,$$

where for gene i, sample j, q is proportional to the true concentration of RNA fragments for the

gene. β_i gives the log2 fold changes for gene i and x represents the strain; batch was not included

in the model because all samples (three biological replicates per strain, five strains) were

processed simultaneously. Significance testing for differential expression was performed by

likelihood ratio test (LRT) in DESeq2, which captured strain-wise significance by comparing the

above model to a reduced model containing only the intercept (*Love et al., 2014*). Genes were

considered differentially expressed by strain if the genome-wide adjusted p-value (FDR) from

the LRT was <0.1 (5,464 of the 18,589 genes passed this threshold overall). Estimates of

differential expression between N2 and each other strain were extracted via pairwise contrasts;

effect sizes and p-values were corrected using the '*ashr*' method from the *ashr* package (v2.2-

47) *(Stephens, 2017)*.

We assessed strain-wise variance per gene by first obtaining normalized gene expression data

from the variance-stabilizing transformation (*vst* function) in DESeq2. This transformation puts

the data in log2 scale, incorporates library size and gene length normalizations, and makes the

variance independent of the mean *(Love et al., 2014)*. Strain-wise variance for each gene was then

estimated by one-way ANOVA, i.e. *counts ~ strain*; the sums of squares for the strain term was

extracted using the *aov* function.

Expression-matched gene sets for the RNAi genes were constructed by first identifying, for each

RNAi gene, all genes with *vst*-normalized mean expression (across all samples) within one

percentile of the RNAi gene's mean expression (+/- 0.005 in expression quartile). One of these

genes was chosen at random for each RNAi gene, and this procedure was repeated 10,000 times

to obtain the 10,000 random expression-matched gene sets. The median strain-wise variance (of

vst-normalized gene counts) for each random set of genes was computed and compared to the

median strain-wise variance of the RNAi genes.

Droplet digital PCR

To design an unbiased primer set, we identified regions of identical sequence between *ppw-1* and

sago-2 and across the ten strains of interest. Following *(Kamitaki et al., 2018)*, we chose primers

to target both genes, and probes to discriminate between *ppw-1* (FAM) and *sago-2* (HEX).

Sequences are as follows: forward (CTTGGTACCGCTCCGCTC), reverse

(GCTGATTCGGTTTGATCGTC), *ppw-1* probe (AGACGAGAAATGTGGAGAGGGGAA),

sago-2 probe (AGACGAGAAATGAGGAGTGGGGAA). Both probes anneal in the same

location, ensuring competition between them.

Worms from strains N2, CB4856, CB4852, DL238, ECA369, EG4348, JU1088, JU1581,

KR314, and QX1211 were reared under standard conditions (as above), bleached to isolate

embryos, and grown to reproductive maturity. RNA was extracted with TRIzol (Invitrogen

#15596026) and RNeasy columns (Qiagen #74104), following (*He, 2011*). RNA was collected at

two timepoints, early and middle reproductive maturity (68±2hrs and 90±2hrs after bleaching,

respectively). RNA sample concentrations were quantified and standardized using a Nanodrop

(Thermo Scientific), and cDNA was synthesized using the ProtoScript II First Stand cDNA

Synthesis Kit (NEB #E6560S). The experiment was replicated as follows: from each

experimental condition, we collected two RNA samples, for two biological replicates; within the

plate, each reaction was duplicated, for two technical replicates; and we conducted the entire

experiment twice.

Droplet digital PCR was carried out with the Bio-Rad QX200 system following the

manufacturer's protocol, and results were obtained using the QuantaSoft software (Bio-Rad), via

automatic thresholding followed by manual confirmation of droplet selection. All samples

produced >8000 droplets and results from all samples were retained. Concentration, given by

number of copies per µL, was modeled with a quasipoisson error structure using the *glm()*

function in R. As *ppw-1* was detected at an order of magnitude higher than *sago-2*, we analyzed

the two genes separately. By model selection, we identified the minimal model that best

described the observed differences in concentration For the *ppw-1* analysis, we dropped run date

from the model, as it was not significant; for *sago-2*, run date contributed <1% to the total

observed deviance (Table S2), but was nevertheless significant, so it was retained. The final

models were: Concentration ~ Strain*DevStage/BiolRep for *ppw-1*, and Concentration ~

RunDate + Strain*DevStage/BiolRep for *sago-2*. To determine which strains differed in *ppw-1*

or *sago-2* levels, we performed pairwise contrasts among strains using the *TukeyHSD()* function

and a family-wise confidence level of 95% (only a subset of comparisons are reported in the

text). To determine which strains showed differences in concentration according to

developmental stage, we performed pairwise contrasts using the *lsmeans()* function in the

package *lsmeans*, using a confidence level of 95% following a Bonferroni correction for multiple

tests.

Genotype and sequence analysis

To evaluate population-level allelic variation at known RNAi genes, we queried the *C. elegans*

Natural Diversity Resource (https://elegansvariation.org), which provides genotype data for 403

wild isotypes from short read sequence data mapped to the N2 reference genome (*Cook et al.,* *2017*). Specifically, we downloaded the VCF (WI.20200815.hard-filter.isotype.vcf) and used the R package *VariantAnnotation* (*Obenchain et al., 2014*) to extract information about mutations and mapping coverage, and the package *PopGenome* (*Pfeifer et al., 2014*) to estimate nucleotide diversity, at each gene. Haplotype networks for individual genes were determined using the R package *pegas* (*Paradis, 2010*).

For a subset of strains, we verified and/or supplemented the genotype data with de novo-assembled genome data and long read data. Genomic DNA of strains AB2, EG4347, EG4348, JU1088, JU1171, PB306, PX174, QX1211 and QX1216 was prepared using standard phenol/chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. Samples were cleaned with DNA Clean & Concentrator columns (Zymo Research #D4004) and libraries were prepared using NEBNext Ultra II FS DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs #E7805) and Multiplex Oligos for Illumina (NEB #E7500), with customized fragmentation and purification steps to enrich for desired sizes. A final DNA size selection targeting 650bp±50bp was performed using BluePippin (Sage Science). The libraries were sequenced on a HiSeq 2500 (Illumina) on Rapid Run Mode (paired-end 2x250bp) in the Molecular Evolution Core at the Georgia Institute of Technology. Raw data were trimmed using Cutadapt (v1.18) (*Martin, 2011*) and quality control was performed with FastQC (*Andrews, 2021*). Reads were then assembled into contigs with DISCOVAR *de novo* (v52488) (Broad Institute) using default parameters. Separately, QX1211 and JU1088 genomic DNA samples were snap frozen with liquid nitrogen and sent to the Georgia Genomics and Bioinformatics Core (GGBC) at the University of Georgia. Quality was assessed by Qubit (Invitrogen) and NanoDrop (Thermo Scientific), molecular weight distribution was assessed by fragment analysis, and sizes >15kb were selected by BluePippin (Sage Science). Each sample was sequenced on a single SMRT Cell on the PacBio Sequel I platform (Pacific Biosciences). Genome assembly was performed by the GGBC using Canu (v1.7) (*Koren et al.,* *2017*).

Computing

Unless otherwise specified, all analyses were performed in R (*R Core Team, 2021*) and figures were generated with the packages *ggplot2* (*Wickham, 2016*) and *ggpubr* (*Kassambara, 2020*).

Computationally intensive jobs, including read mapping and genome assembly, were performed

on PACE, the high performance computing platform at the Georgia Institute of Technology.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to acknowledge the core facilities at the Parker H. Petit Institute for Bioengineering and

Bioscience at the Georgia Institute of Technology for the use of their shared equipment, services

and expertise. Specifically, we are grateful to Aaron Lifland at the Optimal Microscopy Core for

training in confocal imaging, and Anton Bryksin, Naima Djeddar, and Shweta Biliya at the

Molecular Evolution Core for collaboration on the sequencing projects. We also thank the

Georgia Genomics and Bioinformatics Core at the University of Georgia for PacBio sequencing

and genome assembly. We thank Miyeko Mana for the gift of the *par-4* RNAi clone, and Lijiang

Long and Patrick McGrath for strain PTM377. Some strains were provided by the CGC, which is

funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440). This research was

supported in part through research cyberinfrastructure resources and services provided by the

Partnership for an Advanced Computing Environment (PACE) at the Georgia Institute of

Technology. This research was funded by NIH grant R35 GM119744 to A.B.P, NSF grant

MCB1518314 to D.A.P., and NSF fellowship 2109666 to A.D.B.

LITERATURE CITED

Ahringer, J. (2006). Reverse genetics. In *WormBook: The Online Review of C. elegans Biology*
[Internet]. WormBook. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK19711/
Andersen, E. C., Gerke, J. P., Shapiro, J. A., Crissman, J. R., Ghosh, R., Bloom, J. S., Félix, M.-
A., & Kruglyak, L. (2012). Chromosome-scale selective sweeps shape Caenorhabditis
elegans genomic diversity. *Nature Genetics*, *44*(3), 285–290.
https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.1050
Andrews, S. (2021). *FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data*.
https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/
Ashe, A., Bélicard, T., Le Pen, J., Sarkies, P., Frézal, L., Lehrbach, N. J., Félix, M.-A., & Miska,
E. A. (2013). A deletion polymorphism in the Caenorhabditis elegans RIG-I homolog
disables viral RNA dicing and antiviral immunity. *ELife*, *2*, e00994.
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.00994
Ashe, A., Sarkies, P., Le Pen, J., Tanguy, M., & Miska, E. A. (2015). Antiviral RNA Interference
against Orsay Virus Is neither Systemic nor Transgenerational in Caenorhabditis elegans.
Journal of Virology, *89*(23), 12035–12046. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.03664-14

Ben-David, E., Burga, A., & Kruglyak, L. (2017). A maternal-effect selfish genetic element in
Caenorhabditis elegans. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, *356*(6342), 1051–1055.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan0621
Billi, A. C., Fischer, S. E. J., & Kim, J. K. (2014). Endogenous RNAi pathways in C. elegans.
WormBook: The Online Review of C. Elegans Biology, 1–49.
https://doi.org/10.1895/wormbook.1.170.1
Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., & Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, *30*(15), 2114–2120.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170
Buck, A. H., & Blaxter, M. (2013). Functional diversification of Argonautes in nematodes: An
expanding universe. *Biochemical Society Transactions*, *41*(4), 881–886.
https://doi.org/10.1042/BST20130086
Campbell, R. F., McGrath, P. T., & Paaby, A. B. (2018). Analysis of Epistasis in Natural Traits
Using Model Organisms. *Trends in Genetics: TIG*, *34*(11), 883–898.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2018.08.002
Chandler, C. H., Chari, S., & Dworkin, I. (2013). Does your gene need a background check?
How genetic background impacts the analysis of mutations, genes, and evolution. *Trends in
Genetics: TIG*, *29*(6), 358–366. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2013.01.009
Charles, S., Aubry, G., Chou, H.-T., Paaby, A. B., & Lu, H. (2021). High-Temporal-Resolution
smFISH Method for Gene Expression Studies in Caenorhabditis elegans Embryos.
Analytical Chemistry, *93*(3), 1369–1376. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c02966
Cook, D. E., Zdraljevic, S., Roberts, J. P., & Andersen, E. C. (2017). CeNDR, the
Caenorhabditis elegans natural diversity resource. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *45*(D1), D650–
D657. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw893
Crombie, T. A., Zdraljevic, S., Cook, D. E., Tanny, R. E., Brady, S. C., Wang, Y., Evans, K. S.,
Hahnel, S., Lee, D., Rodriguez, B. C., Zhang, G., van der Zwagg, J., Kiontke, K., &
Andersen, E. C. (2019). Deep sampling of Hawaiian Caenorhabditis elegans reveals high
genetic diversity and admixture with global populations. *ELife*, *8*, e50465.
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.50465
Dalzell, J. J., McVeigh, P., Warnock, N. D., Mitreva, M., Bird, D. M., Abad, P., Fleming, C. C.,
Day, T. A., Mousley, A., Marks, N. J., & Maule, A. G. (2011). RNAi effector diversity in
nematodes. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *5*(6), e1176.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0001176
De-Souza, E. A., Camara, H., Salgueiro, W. G., Moro, R. P., Knittel, T. L., Tonon, G., Pinto, S.,
Pinca, A. P. F., Antebi, A., Pasquinelli, A. E., Massirer, K. B., & Mori, M. A. (2019). RNA
interference may result in unexpected phenotypes in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nucleic Acids
Research*, *47*(8), 3957–3969. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz154
Dolgin, E. S., Charlesworth, B., Baird, S. E., & Cutter, A. D. (2007). Inbreeding and
Outbreeding Depression in Caenorhabditis Nematodes. *Evolution*, *61*(6), 1339–1352.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2007.00118.x
Elvin, M., Snoek, L. B., Frejno, M., Klemstein, U., Kammenga, J. E., & Poulin, G. B. (2011). A
fitness assay for comparing RNAi effects across multiple C. elegans genotypes. *BMC
Genomics*, *12*, 510. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-12-510
Félix, M.-A. (2008). RNA interference in nematodes and the chance that favored Sydney
Brenner. *Journal of Biology*, *7*(9), 34. https://doi.org/10.1186/jbiol97
Félix, M.-A., Ashe, A., Piffaretti, J., Wu, G., Nuez, I., Bélicard, T., Jiang, Y., Zhao, G., Franz, C.
J., Goldstein, L. D., Sanroman, M., Miska, E. A., & Wang, D. (2011). Natural and

experimental infection of Caenorhabditis nematodes by novel viruses related to
nodaviruses. *PLoS Biology*, *9*(1), e1000586. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000586
Fire, A., Xu, S., Montgomery, M. K., Kostas, S. A., Driver, S. E., & Mello, C. C. (1998). Potent
and specific genetic interference by double-stranded RNA in Caenorhabditis elegans.
Nature, *391*(6669), 806–811. https://doi.org/10.1038/35888
Franz, C. J., Renshaw, H., Frezal, L., Jiang, Y., Félix, M.-A., & Wang, D. (2014). Orsay,
Santeuil and Le Blanc viruses primarily infect intestinal cells in Caenorhabditis nematodes.
Virology, *448*, 255–264. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2013.09.024
Frézal, L., Demoinet, E., Braendle, C., Miska, E., & Félix, M.-A. (2018). Natural genetic
variation in a multigenerational phenotype in C. elegans. *Current Biology : CB*, *28*(16),
2588-2596.e8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.05.091
Grishok, A. (2013). Biology and Mechanisms of Short RNAs in Caenorhabditis elegans.
Advances in Genetics, *83*, 1–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-407675-4.00001-8
Harris, T. W., Arnaboldi, V., Cain, S., Chan, J., Chen, W. J., Cho, J., Davis, P., Gao, S., Grove,
C. A., Kishore, R., Lee, R. Y. N., Muller, H.-M., Nakamura, C., Nuin, P., Paulini, M.,
Raciti, D., Rodgers, F. H., Russell, M., Schindelman, G., … Sternberg, P. W. (2020).
WormBase: A modern Model Organism Information Resource. *Nucleic Acids Research*,
48(D1), D762–D767. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz920
He, F. (2011). Total RNA Extraction from C. elegans. *Bio-Protocol*, e47–e47.
Hothorn, T., Bretz, F., & Westfall, P. (2008). Simultaneous inference in general parametric
models. *Biometrical Journal. Biometrische Zeitschrift*, *50*(3), 346–363.
https://doi.org/10.1002/bimj.200810425
Houri-Zeevi, L., Korem Kohanim, Y., Antonova, O., & Rechavi, O. (2020). Three Rules Explain
Transgenerational Small RNA Inheritance in C. elegans. *Cell*, *182*(5), 1186-1197.e12.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.07.022
Houri-Zeevi, L., Teichman, G., Gingold, H., & Rechavi, O. (2021). Stress resets ancestral
heritable small RNA responses. *ELife*, *10*, e65797. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.65797
Kamath, R. S., & Ahringer, J. (2003). Genome-wide RNAi screening in Caenorhabditis elegans.
Methods (San Diego, Calif.), *30*(4), 313–321. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1046-
2023(03)00050-1
Kamath, R. S., Fraser, A. G., Dong, Y., Poulin, G., Durbin, R., Gotta, M., Kanapin, A., Le Bot,
N., Moreno, S., Sohrmann, M., Welchman, D. P., Zipperlen, P., & Ahringer, J. (2003).
Systematic functional analysis of the Caenorhabditis elegans genome using RNAi. *Nature*,
421(6920), 231–237. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01278
Kamath, R. S., Martinez-Campos, M., Zipperlen, P., Fraser, A. G., & Ahringer, J. (2001).
Effectiveness of specific RNA-mediated interference through ingested double-stranded
RNA in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genome Biology*, *2*(1), RESEARCH0002.
https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2000-2-1-research0002
Kamitaki, N., Usher, C. L., & McCarroll, S. A. (2018). Using Droplet Digital PCR to Analyze
Allele-Specific RNA Expression. *Methods in Molecular Biology (Clifton, N.J.)*, *1768*, 401–
422. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-7778-9_23
Kassambara, A. (2020). *ggpubr: "ggplot2" Based Publication Ready Plots*.
https://rpkgs.datanovia.com/ggpubr/
Koren, S., Walenz, B. P., Berlin, K., Miller, J. R., Bergman, N. H., & Phillippy, A. M. (2017).
Canu: Scalable and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive k-mer weighting and repeat
separation. *Genome Research*, *27*(5), 722–736. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.215087.116
Lee, D., Zdraljevic, S., Stevens, L., Wang, Y., Tanny, R. E., Crombie, T. A., Cook, D. E.,
Webster, A. K., Chirakar, R., Baugh, L. R., Sterken, M. G., Braendle, C., Félix, M.-A.,

Rockman, M. V., & Andersen, E. C. (2021). Balancing selection maintains hyper-divergent haplotypes in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, *5*(6), 794–807. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-021-01435-x

Love, M. I., Huber, W., & Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology*, *15*(12), 550. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

Lüdecke, D. (2018). *sjstats: Statistical Functions for Regression Models*. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1489175

Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet.Journal*, *17*(1), 10–12. https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200

Nuez, I., & Félix, M.-A. (2012). Evolution of susceptibility to ingested double-stranded RNAs in Caenorhabditis nematodes. *PloS One*, *7*(1), e29811. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0029811

Obbard, D. J., Gordon, K. H. J., Buck, A. H., & Jiggins, F. M. (2009). The evolution of RNAi as a defence against viruses and transposable elements. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *364*(1513), 99–115. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0168

Obenchain, V., Lawrence, M., Carey, V., Gogarten, S., Shannon, P., & Morgan, M. (2014). VariantAnnotation: A Bioconductor package for exploration and annotation of genetic variants. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, *30*(14), 2076–2078. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu168

Paaby, A. B., White, A. G., Riccardi, D. D., Gunsalus, K. C., Piano, F., & Rockman, M. V. (2015). Wild worm embryogenesis harbors ubiquitous polygenic modifier variation. *ELife*, *4*. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.09178

Pagliuso, D. C., Bodas, D. M., & Pasquinelli, A. E. (2021). Recovery from heat shock requires the microRNA pathway in Caenorhabditis elegans. *PLOS Genetics*, *17*(8), e1009734. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009734

Paradis, E. (2010). pegas: An R package for population genetics with an integrated-modular approach. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, *26*(3), 419–420. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp696

Patro, R., Duggal, G., Love, M. I., Irizarry, R. A., & Kingsford, C. (2017). Salmon provides fast and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nature Methods*, *14*(4), 417–419. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4197

Pertea, G., & Pertea, M. (2020). GFF Utilities: GffRead and GffCompare. *F1000Research*, *9*, ISCB Comm J-304. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.23297.2

Pfeifer, B., Wittelsbürger, U., Ramos-Onsins, S. E., & Lercher, M. J. (2014). PopGenome: An efficient Swiss army knife for population genomic analyses in R. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *31*(7), 1929–1936. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu136

Pollard, D. A., & Rockman, M. V. (2013). Resistance to germline RNA interference in a Caenorhabditis elegans wild isolate exhibits complexity and nonadditivity. *G3 (Bethesda, Md.)*, *3*(6), 941–947. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.113.005785

R Core Team. (2020). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/

Raj, A., van den Bogaard, P., Rifkin, S. A., van Oudenaarden, A., & Tyagi, S. (2008). Imaging individual mRNA molecules using multiple singly labeled probes. *Nature Methods*, *5*(10), 877–879. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1253

Richaud, A., Frézal, L., Tahan, S., Jiang, H., Blatter, J. A., Zhao, G., Kaur, T., Wang, D., & Félix, M.-A. (2019). Vertical transmission in Caenorhabditis nematodes of RNA molecules

encoding a viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *116*(49), 24738–24747. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1903903116

Rockman, M. V. (2008). Reverse engineering the genotype–phenotype map with natural genetic variation. *Nature*, *456*(7223), 738–744. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07633

Rual, J.-F., Ceron, J., Koreth, J., Hao, T., Nicot, A.-S., Hirozane-Kishikawa, T., Vandenhaute, J., Orkin, S. H., Hill, D. E., van den Heuvel, S., & Vidal, M. (2004). Toward improving Caenorhabditis elegans phenome mapping with an ORFeome-based RNAi library. *Genome Research*, *14*(10B), 2162–2168. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.2505604

Sarkies, P., Ashe, A., Le Pen, J., McKie, M. A., & Miska, E. A. (2013). Competition between virus-derived and endogenous small RNAs regulates gene expression in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genome Research*, *23*(8), 1258–1270. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.153296.112

Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., Tinevez, J.-Y., White, D. J., Hartenstein, V., Eliceiri, K., Tomancak, P., & Cardona, A. (2012). Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature Methods*, *9*(7), 676–682. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019

Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S., & Eliceiri, K. W. (2012). NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nature Methods*, *9*(7), 671–675. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089

Schott, D. H., Cureton, D. K., Whelan, S. P., & Hunter, C. P. (2005). An antiviral role for the RNA interference machinery in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *102*(51), 18420–18424. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0507123102

Seidel, H. S., Ailion, M., Li, J., van Oudenaarden, A., Rockman, M. V., & Kruglyak, L. (2011). A novel sperm-delivered toxin causes late-stage embryo lethality and transmission ratio distortion in C. elegans. *PLoS Biology*, *9*(7), e1001115. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001115

Seidel, H. S., Rockman, M. V., & Kruglyak, L. (2008). Widespread genetic incompatibility in C. elegans maintained by balancing selection. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, *319*(5863), 589–594. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1151107

Shabalina, S. A., & Koonin, E. V. (2008). Origins and evolution of eukaryotic RNA interference. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *23*(10), 578–587. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2008.06.005

Soneson, C., Love, M. I., & Robinson, M. D. (2015). Differential analyses for RNA-seq: Transcript-level estimates improve gene-level inferences. *F1000Research*, *4*, 1521. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7563.2

Stephens, M. (2017). False discovery rates: A new deal. *Biostatistics (Oxford, England)*, *18*(2), 275–294. https://doi.org/10.1093/biostatistics/kxw041

Stiernagle, T. (2006). Maintenance of C. elegans. *WormBook: The Online Review of C. Elegans Biology*, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1895/wormbook.1.101.1

Testa, N. D., Kaul, S., Le, K. N., Zhan, M., Lu, H., & Paaby, A. B. (2020). A portable, low-cost device for precise control of specimen temperature under stereomicroscopes. *PloS One*, *15*(3), e0230241. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230241

Tijsterman, M., Okihara, K. L., Thijssen, K., & Plasterk, R. H. A. (2002). PPW-1, a PAZ/PIWI protein required for efficient germline RNAi, is defective in a natural isolate of C. elegans. *Current Biology: CB*, *12*(17), 1535–1540. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0960-9822(02)01110-7

Timmons, L., Court, D. L., & Fire, A. (2001). Ingestion of bacterially expressed dsRNAs can produce specific and potent genetic interference in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Gene*, *263*(1–2), 103–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-1119(00)00579-5

Timmons, L., & Fire, A. (1998). Specific interference by ingested dsRNA. *Nature*, *395*(6705), 854. https://doi.org/10.1038/27579

Wickham, H. (2016). *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer-Verlag. http://ggplot2.org

Wilkins, C., Dishongh, R., Moore, S. C., Whitt, M. A., Chow, M., & Machaca, K. (2005). RNA interference is an antiviral defence mechanism in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nature*, *436*(7053), 1044–1047. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03957

Wilson, R. C., & Doudna, J. A. (2013). Molecular Mechanisms of RNA Interference. *Annual Review of Biophysics*, *42*(1), 217–239. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biophys-083012-130404

Winston, W. M., Sutherlin, M., Wright, A. J., Feinberg, E. H., & Hunter, C. P. (2007). Caenorhabditis elegans SID-2 is required for environmental RNA interference. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *104*(25), 10565–10570. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0611282104

Wu, A. C.-Y., & Rifkin, S. A. (2015). Aro: A machine learning approach to identifying single molecules and estimating classification error in fluorescence microscopy images. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *16*, 102. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-015-0534-z

Wynant, N., Santos, D., & Vanden Broeck, J. (2017). The evolution of animal Argonautes: Evidence for the absence of antiviral AGO Argonautes in vertebrates. *Scientific Reports*, *7*(1), 9230. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-08043-5

Yigit, E. (2007). *The Argonaute Family of Genes in Caenorhabditis Elegans: A Dissertation*. University of Massachusetts Medical School.

Yigit, E., Batista, P. J., Bei, Y., Pang, K. M., Chen, C.-C. G., Tolia, N. H., Joshua-Tor, L., Mitani, S., Simard, M. J., & Mello, C. C. (2006). Analysis of the C. elegans Argonaute Family Reveals that Distinct Argonautes Act Sequentially during RNAi. *Cell*, *127*(4), 747–757. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.09.033

Youngman, E. M., & Claycomb, J. M. (2014). From early lessons to new frontiers: The worm as a treasure trove of small RNA biology. *Frontiers in Genetics*, *5*, 416. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2014.00416

SUPPLEMENT

Contents

Figure S1. Embryonic lethality following RNAi against *par-1* in the *ppw-1;peel-2* mutant.

Figure S2. Complementation test for DL238 with pooled hermaphrodites. DL238 was crossed to the RNAi-sensitive laboratory strain N2, with and without a deletion allele at *ppw-1*. The embryos scored here were laid by pooled hermaphrodites on replicate plates. Significance level (Tukey's contrasts): p<0.05 (*).

Figure S3. RNAi against germline-expressed GFP in wild-type strains. (A) - (F) Representative images of control and treated worms, all at 24hrs post L4 stage, are shown for N2, CB4856 and QX121. Green indicates GFP fluorescence; the red channel is overlaid on these images to show autofluorescence. (G) Whole-worm fluorescence intensity for worms imaged at 6, 24, and 48hrs post L4 stage; each point represents fluorescence measured for a single individual. (H) The difference in fluorescence intensity between treated and untreated samples; error bars represent standard error. Significance levels (Tukey's contrasts): p<0.001 (***), p<0.01 (**), p<0.05 (*).

Figure S4. RNAi sensitivity for 55 wild isolates versus genetic distance from reference strain N2. RNAi data is from (*Paaby et al., 2015*), which measured embryonic lethality following RNAi by feeding against 29 individual maternal-effect targets. The y-axis plots the coefficients associated with the strain term in the full statistical model, and represents the strain-specific variation in embryonic lethality associated with RNAi responsiveness; increasingly positive values indicate weaker germline RNAi (*Paaby et al., 2015*). The x-axis gives the proportion of alternate genotype calls, out of the total number of calls, for each strain in the CeNDR database (*Cook et al., 2017*).

Figure S5. Droplet digital PCR results for *ppw-1* and *sago-2* for all ten tested strains.

Figure S6. Population-level sequence variation for 62 RNAi genes. (A) mapping coverage and (B) mutational variation is shown for the 403 strain isotypes from CeNDR. Gene names are color coded by classification: AGO Argonaute (dark blue), PIWI Argonaute (blue), WAGO Argonaute (light blue), Argonaute pseudogene (grey), and other RNAi factor (black). Each point corresponds to an individual strain; zeros (no observation of poor coverage or mutation relative to the reference) are not plotted.

Table S1. Statistical estimates for changes in smFISH transcript abundance. The interaction term represents the changes in slope (Figure 2) between treated and untreated samples.

		Variance explained (ω^2)	p-value (ANCOVA model comparison)
N2	Treatment	0.586	<0.001
	Embryo stage	0.248	<0.001
	Interaction	0.059	<0.001
CB4856	Treatment	0.010	0.043
	Embryo stage	0.581	<0.001
	Interaction	0.017	0.012
QX1211	Treatment	0.014	0.037
	Embryo stage	0.208	<0.001
	Interaction	NA	NS

Table S2. Statistical results for the ddPCR analysis. The model used for each gene is described in the Methods.

ppw-1

	Df	Deviance	Resid. Df	Resid. Dev
NULL			158	37942
Strain	9	24433.1	149	13509
DevStage	1	910.3	148	12599
Strain:DevStage	9	4271.9	139	8327
Strain:DevStage:BiolRepl	20	2327.6	119	5999

sago-2

	Df	Deviance	Resid. DF	Resid. Dev
NULL			158	4722.0
RunDate	1	29.38	157	4692.6
Strain	9	2932.73	148	1759.9
DevStage	1	5.87	147	1754.0
Strain:DevStage	9	825.20	138	928.8
Strain:DevStage:BiolRepl	20	436.26	118	492.6

Table S3. Candidate genes for weak germline RNAi in the seven strains tested. Only genes with relevant genotypes in at least one strain are displayed, out of 62 RNAi genes queried. "High impact" indicates disruptive mutations like frameshifts or stop-gains. "Poor mapping coverage" indicates a read depth of 3 or less. "Functional diverged allele" indicates at least 1% nucleotide divergence from the reference genome, including at least five moderate mutations, such as amino acid substitutions, and no high impact mutations.

Class	Gene	One or more high impact mutation	Poor mapping coverage at 10% or more of locus	Functional diverged allele
Argonaute (AGO)	alg-4			QX1211
Argonaute (PIWI)	ergo-1	ECA369	ECA369	
Argonaute (WAGO)	hrde-1			QX1211
	nrde-3			QX1211
	ppw-1	CB4856		
	sago-2	DL238	DL238, ECA369, JU1522, KR314, QX1211	
	wago-10			QX1211
Argonaute (pseudogene)	wago-11	CB4852, KR314, QX1211	CB4856	
	wago-2		QX1211	
	ZK218.8		DL238	
Other RNAi factor	dcr-1			QX1211
	drh-1			QX1211
	eri-1			QX1211
	eri-5		JU1522	
	eri-6			QX1211
	eri-7	CB4856, DL238, ECA369	DL238, JU1522, KR314	
	eri-9			QX1211
	lin-15b	QX1211		ECA369
	nyn-2		DL238	QX1211
	rde-10		DL238, ECA369, JU1522, KR314, QX1211	
	rde-12			QX1211
	rsd-2		JU1522, QX1211	CB4856, DL238, ECA369, QX1211
	sid-1		DL238, ECA369, JU1522, QX1211	
	sid-2			QX1211
	sid-3			QX1211
	hsf-1		DL238, ECA369, JU1522, KR314	ECA369, KR314

Table S4. Strains used in this study.

Ref in text	Name	Genotype	Provenance
CB4852	CB4852	wild-type	Gift from Matthew Rockman
CB4856	CB4856	wild-type	Gift from Matthew Rockman
DL238	DL238	wild-type	Gift from Matthew Rockman
ECA369	ECA369	wild-type	Purchased from CeNDR
ECA701	ECA701	wild-type	Purchased from CeNDR
KR314	KR314	wild-type	Gift from Matthew Rockman
EG4348	EG4348	wild-type	Gift from Matthew Rockman
JU561	JU561	wild-type	Purchased from CeNDR
JU1088	JU1088	wild-type	Gift from Matthew Rockman
JU1522	JU1522	wild-type	Gift from Matthew Rockman
N2	N2	wild-type	Gift from Matthew Rockman
N2^{peel-1}	PTM377	*peel-1*(*kah126*) I	Gift from Patrick McGrath
N2^{ppw-1}	QF201	*ppw-1*(*pk1425*) I	Derived from backcrossing strain NL3511 5x to N2
N2$^{ppw-1;peel-1}$	QF204	*ppw-1*(*pk1425*) *peel-1*(*kah126*) I	Derived from PTM377 and QF201
N2$^{ppw-1\ CB4856}$	NL2550	*ppw-1*(*pk2505*) I	Purchased from CGC
NL3511	NL3511	*ppw-1*(*pk1425*) I	Purchased from CGC
QF14	QF14	*zuIs178; stIs10024 [RW10029>CB4856]*	Derived from backcrossing strain RW10029 18x to CB4856
QF15	QF15	*zuIs178; stIs10024 [RW10029>JU1522]*	Derived from backcrossing strain RW10029 16x to JU1522
QF16	QF16	*zuIs178; stIs10024 [RW10029>ECA369]*	Derived from backcrossing strain RW10029 17x to ECA369
QF90	QF90	*zuIs178; stIs10024 [RW10029>QX1211]*	Derived from backcrossing strain RW10029 10x to QX1211
QX1211	QX1211	wild-type	Gift from Matthew Rockman
RW10029	RW10029	*zuIs178; stIs10024*	Purchased from CGC
XZ1516	XZ1516	wild-type	Purchased from CeNDR

File S1. Statistical details of smFISH in early stage embryos

In early stage embryos (up to four cells), N2 showed a significant reduction in *par-1* transcript abundance after *par-1* RNAi (t=-16.34, df=32, p<0.001), while CB4856 and QX1211 did not (t=0.41, df=56.31, p=0.684 and t=1.15, df=95.03, p=0.255, respectively) (Figure 2D). However, for CB4856, the variance in abundance was slightly higher for treated embryos than for control embryos (F=1.94, df=32,40, p=0.048), which may represent the onset of an RNAi response. In QX1211, the variance of *par-1* treated embryos was substantially higher (F=2.94, df=100,32, p<0.001) and abundance was bimodally distributed, with low-abundance embryos at levels similar to N2; *par-4* treated embryos showed a slight reduction in average abundance and substantial increase in variance (t=-2.64, df=24.20, p=0.014 and F=5.50, df=20,36, p<0.001, respectively) (Figure 2D).

File S2. Details of genetic incompatibilities

C. elegans carries two known genetic incompatibilities: the paternally-delivered toxin *peel-1*, which is rescued by the zygotically-expressed antidote *zeel-1* (*Seidel et al., 2008, 2011*), and the maternally-delivered toxin *sup-35*, which is rescued by the zygotically-expressed antidote *pha-1* (*Ben-David et al., 2017*). In both instances, embryos that cytologically inherit the toxin but do not inherit the genotype to express the antidote will die. N2 carries both toxin-antidote complexes but several of our other wild isolates do not. Since our goal was to use embryonic lethality to measure the RNAi response, lethality arising from these genetic incompatibilities had the potential to confound our results.

To control for the *zeel-1;peel-1* incompatibility, we generated a strain derived from N2 with both the *ppw-1* deletion and an allele of *peel-1* (*kah126*) that disables the toxin by a frameshift insertion in the second exon (N2$^{ppw-1(del);peel-1}$). This allele eliminates embryonic lethality that would otherwise arise in the F2 generation, from F1 heterozygotes derived from incompatible strains. The presence of this *peel-1* allele had no effect on our measured RNAi response in either sensitive or resistant backgrounds (Figure 3A, Figure S1), so we used it in all comparisons requiring the N2 background.

We did not control for the *sup-35;pha-1* incompatibility. We anticipated toxin-associated embryonic lethality to occur from crosses initiated between N2 and two wild isolates without the active *sup-35;pha-1* complex: DL238 and QX1211. For DL238, we observed only very weak penetrance of this effect (Figure 3C). For QX1211, we observed clear toxin-associated lethality, as embryos derived from the N2 × QX1211 cross showed lethality on the control condition (Figure 3D). However, we were still able to infer a distinct *ppw-1*-associated response in this assay, as lethality from the QX1211/N2^{peel-1} genotype matched that of the control and lethality from QX1211/N2$^{ppw-1(del);peel-1}$ was significantly higher (Figure 3D).