Diversification of small RNA pathways underlies germline RNAi incompetence in wild *C.*
elegans strains

Han Ting Chou[1], Francisco Valencia[1], Jacqueline C. Alexander[1], Avery Davis Bell[1], Diptodip
Deb[1], Daniel A. Pollard[2], Annalise B. Paaby[1,3]

1. School of Biological Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA
2. Biology Department, Western Washington University, Bellingham, WA, USA
3. Correspondence: paaby@gatech.edu

ABSTRACT

The discovery that experimental delivery of dsRNA can induce gene silencing at target genes
revolutionized genetics research, by both uncovering essential biological processes and creating
new tools for developmental geneticists. However, wild-type *C. elegans* strains vary dramatically
in their response to exogenous RNAi, challenging our characterization of RNAi in the lab
relative to its activity and significance in nature. Here, we investigate why some strains fail to
mount a robust RNAi response to germline targets. We observe diversity in mechanism: in some
strains, the response is stochastic, either on or off among individuals, while in others the
response is consistent but delayed. Increased activity of the Argonaute PPW-1, which is required
for germline RNAi in the laboratory strain N2, rescues the response in some strains, but dampens
it further in others. Across strains, we observe variability in expression of known RNAi genes
and strain-specific instances of pseudogenization and allelic divergence. Our results support the
conclusions that Argonautes share overlapping functions, that germline RNAi incompetence is
strain-specific but likely caused by genetic variants at common genes, and that RNAi pathways
are evolving rapidly and dynamically. This work expands our understanding of RNAi by
identifying conserved and variable pathway components, and it offers new access into
characterizing gene function, identifying pathway interactions, and elucidating the biological
significance of RNAi.

INTRODUCTION

The landscape of molecular and developmental genetics in *C. elegans* has been transformed by the ability to silence genes by feeding worms *E. coli* bacteria engineered to express RNA matching worm gene targets (*Kamath et al., 2003; Rual et al., 2004; Timmons & Fire, 1998*). This tool emerged from the seminal discovery that double stranded RNA induces gene suppression (*Fire et al., 1998*). The discovery, awarded the Nobel prize in 2006, launched research into new mechanisms of gene regulation and led to the eventual characterization of the microRNA (miRNA), short interfering RNA (siRNA), and PIWI-interacting (piRNA) pathways. These pathways overlap in gene set and molecular mechanisms, but differ in origination of the RNA trigger molecules and mediate processes as diverse as gene regulation in cell growth and tissue differentiation, adaptive immunity against pathogens, transgenerational epigenetic inheritance, and germline defense against transposons (*Grishok, 2013; Wilson & Doudna, 2013*). Many of the genes that encode this machinery are shared across plants, animals, and fungi, and appear deeply conserved within the eukaryotic lineage (*Shabalina & Koonin, 2008; Wynant et al., 2017*).

The umbrella term "RNA interference" (RNAi) refers to the general mechanism of gene silencing via dsRNA, and those shared pathways that mediate it across diverse processes (*Yigit et al., 2006*). The complexity of RNAi is reflected in the many overlapping ways its activity can be classified: by endogenous biogenesis of the small RNA trigger versus exogenous or environmental delivery; as nuclear RNAi, which induces transcriptional gene silencing, versus post-transcriptional cytoplasmic RNAi; as a phenomenon localized to the cell in which the dsRNA trigger occurs, versus systemic RNAi, which involves transport mechanisms; by silencing genes expressed in the soma versus targets in the germline. These classifications emerged as experiments revealed distinct genetic mechanisms among them. However, all RNAi processes silence complementary mRNA targets via the association of small RNAs with Argonaute effector proteins (*Wilson & Doudna, 2013*).

The Argonaute superfamily includes the ancient AGO proteins; the PIWI Argonautes, which are conserved in animals; and in *C. elegans*, the WAGO proteins, an expanded clade of Argonautes specific to nematodes (*Youngman & Claycomb, 2014*). The expansion of Argonautes in nematodes may underlie the extraordinary diversification of worms across environments, perhaps having

enabled adaptations associated with environmental sensing, parasitism, and immunity (*Buck &*
Blaxter, 2013). Several viruses that infect *C. elegans* and other *Caenorhabditis* species have been
discovered, notably in isolates with defective RNAi, and antiviral immunity shows a clear
association with an active RNAi response (*Félix et al., 2011; Sarkies et al., 2013; Schott et al., 2005;*
Wilkins et al., 2005; Yigit et al., 2006). Yet, experimental RNAi appears partially distinct from the
antiviral response, as variation in RNAi sensitivity does not completely correlate with immunity,
and the systemic and transgenerational properties of RNAi are not observed in viral infection
(*Ashe et al., 2013, 2015; Félix et al., 2011*). The adaptive functionalization of RNAi genes and
pathways across processes emphasizes the essentiality of RNAi, but our understanding of its
biological significance remains incomplete.

Although *C. elegans* sits at the epicenter of expansive research programs into gene silencing by
small RNAs, worms vary significantly in capacity for RNAi. Across the *Caenorhabditis* genus,
some species, like *C. elegans*, will mount an RNAi response upon environmental exposure to
dsRNA, but some require injection of the trigger, indicating a deficiency in spreading the
response systemically, and some show no response (*Nuez & Félix, 2012; Winston et al., 2007*).
Within *C. elegans*, wild-type strains also vary. The universal laboratory strain N2 is robustly
sensitive to RNAi, but RNAi response varies quantitatively among other isolates, for targets in
both the germline and soma, and some strains show incompetence for RNAi by feeding or
injection (*Félix et al., 2011; Paaby et al., 2015; Tijsterman et al., 2002*). For example, the Hawaiian
isolate CB4856 appears largely insensitive to RNAi in the germline. Its insensitivity has been
mapped to the *ppw-1* locus, which encodes a WAGO Argonaute, and is presumably explained by
a single nucleotide deletion producing a frameshift and early stop in the first half of the transcript
(*Tijsterman et al., 2002*). To date, this is the only causal variant identified for natural differences in
RNAi, though genetic mapping crosses indicate that the weak germline RNAi in CB4856 is
genetically complex and likely involves additional factors (*Elvin et al., 2011; Pollard & Rockman,*
2013; Tijsterman et al., 2002).

In this study, we investigate the genetic basis of germline RNAi deficiency in wild *C. elegans*
strains. Earlier work employing a high throughput RNAi assay targeting maternal-effect
embryonic genes in wild isolates revealed a spectrum of germline RNAi competence, from
strains with negligible response on most targets to several that were more sensitive than the

laboratory strain N2 (*Paaby et al., 2015*). Here, we evaluate strains with negligible germline

RNAi, with the goals of, firstly, accurately measuring RNAi activity, and secondly,

characterizing mechanisms of failure. We evaluate the response at the organismal, cellular, and

gene expression levels, and observe distinct variations in how and why germline RNAi fails. We

also observe meaningful allelic variation at known RNAi genes in these strains and in the *C.*

elegans population at large, suggesting that selection has diversified RNAi activity at both the

sequence and functional levels.

RESULTS

Germline RNAi varies in expressivity and penetrance over reproductive age and among

genotypes

Previous work has shown that the WAGO Argonaute PPW-1 is essential for germline RNAi. In

the RNAi-responsive laboratory strain N2, a 1.5kb intragenic deletion spanning the PAZ and

PIWI domains in *ppw-1* (*pk1425*) eliminates germline RNAi by feeding (*Tijsterman et al., 2002;*

Yigit et al., 2006). Germline RNAi deficiency in the Hawaiian isolate CB4856, which is one of the

most insensitive wild-type strains so far observed (*Paaby et al., 2015*), has been mapped to the

ppw-1 locus, which in CB4856 includes a frameshift and early stop upstream of the critical PAZ

and PIWI domains (*Elvin et al., 2011; Pollard & Rockman, 2013; Tijsterman et al., 2002*).

We measured the germline RNAi responses of N2, N2 carrying the 1.5kb deletion allele (N2[ppw-

1(del)]), CB4856, and QX1211, a wild isolate that exhibits germline RNAi incompetence similar to

CB4856 (*Paaby et al., 2015*). We targeted the maternal-effect, embryonic-required genes *par-1*

and *pos-1*, which have commonly been used to measure germline RNAi (*Elvin et al., 2011;*

Pollard & Rockman, 2013; Tijsterman et al., 2002), by feeding hermaphrodites *E. coli* expressing

target dsRNA; we then counted dead embryos in the next generation. Using a conventional

approach, in which hermaphrodites are pooled on plates and offspring are scored in a window of

relatively early egg-laying (*Kamath et al., 2001; Pollard & Rockman, 2013*), we observed high

lethality for N2 and very low or negligible lethality in the three low-response strains (Figure 1A).

However, following an initial trigger, amplification within the RNAi response can induce strong

effects later (*Billi et al., 2014*), and continual exposure to RNAi by feeding also means that

individuals ingest increasing numbers of trigger molecules as they age. To evaluate whether and

how the RNAi response changes over time within individual animals, we scored the penetrance

of embryonic lethality over the entire reproductive lifespan of egg-laying hermaphrodites. In this

assay we used *par-1*, which provides the more sensitive readout since it is not as lethal. Here, N2

exhibited complete lethality in all but the earliest offspring, while the low-response strains

showed unique patterns of a slow or incomplete response (Figure 1B). Nearly all N2$^{ppw-1(del)}$

embryos hatched, including late-age embryos, indicating that the loss of *ppw-1* is not

compensated by any other genes in the N2 background. However, embryonic lethality increased

over time in CB4856. This suggests that the frameshift lesion in CB4856 may not be a null

allele, permitting some PPW-1 activity; or that other genes in the CB4856 background may

partially compensate for the loss of PPW-1, promoting a delayed RNAi response.

To distinguish between these alternatives, we tested a strain carrying the CB4856-derived allele

of *ppw-1* (*pk2505*) introgressed into the N2 background (N2$^{ppw-1(CB4856)}$). This strain produced an

intermediate response: more responsive than CB4856, especially in the earliest time interval, but

never as responsive as N2, even in late age (Figure 1B). This suggests that both explanations

matter. N2$^{ppw-1(CB4856)}$ is likely more responsive than CB4856 due to its N2 background,

consistent with previous reports that the *ppw-1* allele does not fully explain insensitivity in

CB4856 (*Pollard & Rockman, 2013; Tijsterman et al., 2002*). However, the stronger response of

N2$^{ppw-1(CB4856)}$ relative to N2$^{ppw-1(del)}$ suggests that the naturally-occurring frameshift mutation in

CB4856 is not as deficient as the 1.5kb deletion, or that additional polymorphisms within the

introgressed CB4856 interval modify the frameshift allele. This outcome would be consistent

with an earlier investigation of germline RNAi in CB4856, which implicates genetic complexity

in the *ppw-1* genome region (*Pollard & Rockman, 2013*).

Figure 1. Embryonic lethality following RNAi against germline-expressed targets. (A) Hatched larvae and dead embryos laid in a 4-6hr window within the first 8hrs of egg-laying were scored for pooled hermaphrodites across replicate plates. (B) To assess the germline RNAi response over reproductive lifespan, embryonic lethality was scored for individuals. Each point represents the proportion of embryos laid on a plate by a single hermaphrodite that died in the given time interval. The data include all offspring of all hermaphrodite mothers; time intervals were chosen to space out the number of offspring per plate (~30-100); x-axis labels indicate the approximate midpoint of the time intervals. Connecting lines indicate the sequence of offspring plates for each individual. Embryonic lethality for all strains on the negative control empty vector was negligible (data not shown).

QX1211 exhibited low lethality in the earliest laid embryos, but subsequently produced a

bimodal response, suggesting that RNAi in this strain is either "on" or "off" in individual

animals. Notably, the response does not appear to increase in the "off" individuals over time;

instead, they exhibit negligible embryonic lethality over the entire reproductive period. These

findings show that wild isolates CB4856 and QX1211 are capable of germline RNAi, though

their responses are limited. Further, they show distinct patterns of activity: in CB4856, the

response is delayed and incomplete; in QX1211, it is partially delayed, with higher expressivity

and variable penetrance.

Target transcript knockdown confirms distinct RNAi responses across strains

The use of an end-point phenotype to read out the RNAi response, in this case embryonic

lethality, cannot capture activity at the molecular or cellular level. So, to assess the RNAi

response with high spatial and temporal resolution within embryos, we used single-molecule

fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (smFISH) to visualize transcripts of germline RNAi targets.

Since smFISH visualizes individual molecules via hybridization of dozens of oligonucleotide

probes, which in aggregate produce a detectable fluorescent spot (*Raj et al., 2008*), it captures

signals from intact RNAs, not those degraded by RNAi.

We examined *par-1* transcript levels in *par-1* RNAi-treated and -untreated embryos of N2,

CB4856 and QX1211. We collected embryos from gravid hermaphrodites in early reproductive

maturity, in a narrow two-hour window, to maximize precision in estimating the RNAi response.

At this timepoint, many *par-1* transcripts are degraded in RNAi-treated N2 embryos (Figure 2A-

B). Treated N2 embryos of this timepoint go on to show complete lethality, but in CB4856 and

QX1211, lethality is not yet penetrant (Figure 2C).

For all three strains, we observed robust expression of the target gene in untreated embryos. This

outcome diminishes the likelihood that the variable embryonic lethality we had observed was

driven by differences in native expression of the target gene, rather than differences in RNAi. In

RNAi-treated embryos, the transcript levels further confirm the distinct RNAi responses we

previously observed: N2 showed a steep drop in abundance, CB4856 showed no change, and

Figure 2. Transcript abundance in individual RNAi-treated and untreated embryos, visualized via single-molecule fluorescence in situ hybridization (smFISH). (A) - (B) Representative embryos are shown from strain N2, from a mother reared in the control condition or with RNAi against *par-1*; red spots indicate *par-1* transcripts and blue DAPI staining shows nuclei, which were used to identify embryo stage. (C) Embryonic lethality was simultaneously measured in matched samples. To limit variation due to reproductive age of the mothers, we collected embryos from a tightly controlled time window in early reproduction. (D) Transcript abundance for early stage embryos (up to four cells). (E) Transcript abundance for *par-1* for the same experiment, but now including later stage embryos with up to 30 nuclei. Green (negative control) and blue (RNAi treatment) lines indicate the linear regression of transcript counts onto embryonic stage; gray shading indicates the 90% confidence interval. For (D) and (E), each point represents one embryo. Significance levels (*t*-tests): p<0.001 (***), p<0.01 (**), p<0.05 (*).

QX1211 showed N2-like levels for some, but not most, embryos (Figure 2D). Specifically, in

early stage embryos (up to four cells), N2 shows a significant reduction in *par-1* transcript

abundance after *par-1* RNAi (t=-16.34, df=32, p<0.001), while CB4856 and QX1211 do not

(t=0.41, df=56.31, p=0.684 and t=1.15, df=95.03, p=0.255, respectively) (Figure 2D). However,

for CB4856, the variance in abundance is slightly higher for treated embryos than for control

embryos (F=1.94, df=32,40, p=0.048), which may represent the onset of an RNAi response. In

QX1211, the variance of treated embryos is substantially higher (F=2.94, df=100,32, p<0.001)

and is bimodally distributed, with low-abundance embryos at levels similar to N2. We observed

a similar pattern in QX1211 for a second germline target, *par-4*, in which treated embryos

showed a slight reduction in average abundance but substantial increase in variance (t=-2.64,

df=24.20, p=0.014 and F=5.50, df=20,36, p<0.001, respectively) (Figure 2D). These results,

which mirror the observation of on/off embryonic lethality in QX1211 (Figure 1B), are further

evidence that induction of RNAi can occur early but is stochastic within this strain.

To examine how transcript abundance changes with embryonic development across strains, we

evaluated embryos with up to 30 nuclei. In the control condition, we observed that *par-1*

transcripts decrease with embryonic stage (Figure 2E) (*Charles et al., 2021*), and that the rate of

decrease was consistent across strains (ANCOVA model comparison, p=0.299). However,

overall N2 exhibited significantly fewer *par-1* transcripts than either CB4856 or QX1211

(p<0.001). Thus, while we conclude that changes in transcript abundance between control and

treatment conditions (or lack thereof) are good indicators of an RNAi response, we cannot rule

out the possibility that differences in native gene expression between strains might also mediate

differences in apparent response for specific targets.

The strain-specific patterns of RNAi we observed in early-stage embryos (Figure 2D) persisted

across development (Figure 2E). We considered how transcript abundance changed between

treated and untreated embryos within each strain, after adjusting for embryo stage. For N2, most

of the variance in transcript abundance can be explained by treatment (ω^2=0.586), then embryo

stage (ω^2=0.248), then by the interaction between them (ω^2=0.059) (ANCOVA model

comparison, p<0.001 for all). This makes sense, as transcripts are dramatically depleted in

RNAi-treated N2 embryos. For CB4856 and QX1211, however, the treatment condition explains

very little of the variance in transcript abundance (ω^2=0.010, p=0.043 and ω^2=0.014, p=0.037,

respectively). Instead, the variance observed for CB4856 is well explained by embryo stage

(ω^2=0.581, p<0.001) and minimally by the interaction (ω^2=0.017, p=0.012), consistent with the

conclusion that the RNAi response is negligible in CB4856 at this stage of germline

development. In QX1211, variance in transcript abundance is less well explained overall, since

incompleteness of the RNAi response adds noise to the transcript counts in treated QX1211

embryos (ω^2=0.208, p<0.001 for embryo stage and p=NS for the interaction).

Reduced PPW-1 function explains weak germline RNAi response for some, but not all, low-

response strains

We surveyed the several hundred wild isolates in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* Natural Diversity

Resource (CeNDR) database (*Cook et al., 2017*) and found that the naturally-occurring stop-

gain/frameshift mutation in *ppw-1* (*Tijsterman et al., 2002*) is unique to CB4856. Nevertheless, we

hypothesized that variation in PPW-1 activity might account for the observed differences in

germline RNAi among wild strains. To test whether reduction of PPW-1 function might be a

universal aspect of reduced germline RNAi, we performed complementation tests by crossing N2

wild-type and null alleles of *ppw-1* to seven wild isolates. We evaluated CB4856, QX1211, and

five additional strains, selected based on prior observations of weak germline RNAi (data not

shown) (*Paaby et al., 2015*) and representation of nucleotide diversity and divergence across the

global population (*Cook et al., 2017*).

We crossed each wild isolate to N2, which carries its native, wild-type copy of *ppw-1*, and also

to N2 carrying the *ppw-1* deletion allele (*pk1425*). But because N2 carries a sperm-delivered

toxin allele at *peel-1*, which causes genetic incompatibility with strains that do not carry the

linked zygotic antidote at *zeel-1* (*Seidel et al., 2008, 2011*), we generated a strain derived from N2

with both the *ppw-1* deletion and an allele of *peel-1* (*kah126*) that disables the toxin by a

frameshift insertion in the second exon (N2$^{ppw-1(del);peel-1}$). Use of this allele eliminates embryonic

lethality that would otherwise arise in the F2 generation, from F1 heterozygotes derived from

incompatible strains. The presence of this *peel-1* allele had no effect on our measured RNAi

response in either sensitive or resistant backgrounds (Figure 3A, Figure S1), so we used it in all

comparisons requiring the N2 background.

Figure 3. Complementation tests between seven wild isolates with low RNAi response and the RNAi-sensitive laboratory strain N2, with and without the *ppw-1* deletion allele. Response was measured by embryonic lethality following RNAi by feeding against the embryonic target *par-1*. (A) To circumvent embryonic lethality arising from the *peel-1*/*zeel-1* genetic incompatibility, we used a null allele of the sperm-delivered toxin *peel-1* in N2, which has no effect on RNAi in either the responsive (N2) or the resistant (N2$^{ppw-1(del)}$) backgrounds. A single copy of *ppw-1* is sufficient to fully restore the germline RNAi response in N2. (B) - (E) Complementation tests for seven wild isolates with weak germline RNAi, representing a diversity of genetic backgrounds. For DL238 (C), rather than the average across pooled hermaphrodites, each point represents the proportion of dead embryos from one individual; the overall pattern qualitatively replicates that which we observed in pooled hermaphrodites (Figure S2). For (B) - (E), embryonic lethality in the negative control condition is shown only when it was observed to be greater than zero. Significance levels (Tukey's contrasts): p<0.001 (***), p<0.01 (**), p<0.05 (*).

For each cross, we compared the response of the individual wild isolate to the two heterozygote

genotypes in the F1 generation, with and without the deletion at *ppw-1* inherited from the N2

chromosome. As previously, we induced *par-1* RNAi in the (F1) hermaphrodite germline and

measured embryonic lethality in the following generation. To avoid confounding differences in

developmental timing with variation in RNAi response, we scored all progeny from the first

15hrs of egg-laying from a small pool of hermaphrodites (~100-200 embryos) on each replicate

plate. Though N2 homozygous for the *ppw-1* deletion fails to exhibit an RNAi response, one

copy of wild-type *ppw-1* fully rescues it, indicating that *ppw-1* is haplo-sufficient, at least in the

N2 background (Figure 3A). Therefore, we reasoned that if weak RNAi response in the wild

isolates was a consequence of reduced PPW-1 activity, any restoration of RNAi response in the

F1 genotypes would be greater in the genotype with the functional N2 *ppw-1* allele.

Across the seven *C. elegans* isolates, we observed four distinct response patterns: (i) no rescue,

(ii) *ppw-1*-dependent rescue, (iii) *ppw-1*-dependent suppression, and (iv) *ppw-1*-independent

rescue. These results indicate that among wild-type strains, PPW-1 activity varies, PPW-1

activity differentially affects germline RNAi due to interaction with other varying factors, or

both. The results suggest that weak germline RNAi is multigenic within each strain, since in each

instance of rescue, the response was still lower than N2 levels, indicating the presence of factors

other than *ppw-1*.

(i) Strain JU1522 showed no rescue, i.e., no improved RNAi response in either F1 genotype

(Figure 3B). This outcome does not necessarily mean that weak RNAi in JU1522 is independent

of *ppw-1*, but it does indicate that none of the alleles that promote RNAi in N2, including *ppw-1*,

are haplo-sufficient to increase the response in the JU1522 background.

(ii) As expected, CB4856 exhibited *ppw-1*-dependent rescue: we observed a significantly

increased RNAi response when crossed to N2, but only in the background with the wild-type

ppw-1 allele (Figure 3C). This is consistent with prior reports implicating *ppw-1* insufficiency as

a major cause of weak germline RNAi in this strain (*Pollard & Rockman, 2013; Tijsterman et al.,*

2002). Strain DL238 exhibited a similar pattern. However, replicate to replicate variation in

embryonic lethality was high in DL238 F1s, so we investigated whether this could be explained

by potentially stochastic induction of the RNAi response between individual worms. This

appears to be the case: tested individually, we observed that some hermaphrodites produced no

dead embryos and others over 30% (Figure 3C). Although DL238 does not carry the *pha-1*

zygotic antidote to a second genetic incompatibility, arising from the *sup-35* toxin carried by N2

(*Ben-David et al., 2017*) and for which we did not control, we saw only very weak penetrance of

this effect (Figure 3C).

(iii) Unexpectedly, QX1211 demonstrated *ppw-1*-dependent suppression: the heterozygote with

the *ppw-1* deletion allele exhibited a significant increase in embryonic lethality, implying that

reduction of *ppw-1* in this strain promotes germline RNAi (Figure 3D). Like DL238, QX1211

lacks the *pha-1* antidote (*Ben-David et al., 2017*). Here, the heterozygote genotypes on the

negative control and the wild-type heterozygote on *par-1* showed embryonic lethality arising

from the incompatibility.

(iv) Strains CB4852, KR314, and ECA369 exhibited *ppw-1*-independent rescue, in which the

two heterozygote genotypes exhibited levels of embryonic lethality that were equivalent to each

other and significantly higher than the wild isolate on its own. This suggests that N2 alleles other

than *ppw-1* promote the RNAi response in these genetic backgrounds (Figure 3E).

RNAi against GFP confirms strain-specific variation

Wild isolates exhibit heritable variation in embryonic pathways, as evidenced by differences in

lethality following single-gene perturbations; these mutations may confound our ability to

measure RNAi using those targets (*Paaby et al., 2015*). To verify that the responses we measured

here were due to deficiencies in germline RNAi, and not to differences in developmental

pathway function (e.g. for *par-1*), we introgressed a germline-expressed GFP construct

(*stIs10024*, in which GFP fused to histone H2B is driven by *pie-1*) into four of our low-response

strains and measured fluorescence following RNAi against GFP. The strains represented the four

distinct RNAi response patterns observed in the *ppw-1* complementation tests (Figure 3).

As expected, strains CB4856, QX1211 and JU1522 showed negligible RNAi response in young

hermaphrodite germlines, with no initial difference in GFP fluorescence intensity between

348
349
Figure 4. RNAi against germline-expressed GFP in wild-type strains. (A) - (F) Representative images of
control and treated worms, all at 24hrs post L4 stage, are shown for N2, CB4856 and QX121. Green
indicates GFP fluorescence; the red channel is overlaid on these images to show autofluorescence. (G)
Whole-worm fluorescence intensity for worms imaged at 6, 24, and 48hrs post L4 stage; each point
represents fluorescence measured for a single individual. (H) The difference in fluorescence intensity
between treated and untreated samples; error bars represent standard error. Significance levels (Tukey's
contrasts): $p < 0.001$ (***), $p < 0.01$ (**), $p < 0.05$ (*).

treated and untreated worms (Figure 4). Also consistent with measurements of RNAi using *par-*

1, CB4856 showed diminished fluorescence in older hermaphrodites, confirming a delayed

response, and JU1522 showed no evidence of RNAi. Overall, QX1211 exhibited a very weak

RNAi response, though we did not observe any on/off variation in fluorescence across individual

worms. Strain ECA369, however, showed a significant reduction in GFP fluorescence even at

the earliest timepoint, suggesting that apparent resistance to *par-1* RNAi may be mediated by

alleles modifying PAR-1 function rather than germline RNAi.

Genetic complementation between wild isolates implicates diverse and polygenic basis for

germline RNAi incompetence

To examine whether the alleles that limit germline RNAi are unique or shared across wild

isolates, we performed complementation tests by crossing low-response strains to each other. As

above, we measured embryonic lethality following *par-1* RNAi exposure in the F1 generation.

We performed a total of six tests, with each pairwise cross for strains CB4856, DL238, KR314

and QX1211 (Figure 5). We chose these strains based on their apparent diversity in PPW-1

function (Figure 3) and compatibility at the *zeel-1;peel-1* locus (*Andersen et al., 2012*).

The CB4856 × QX1211 heterozygote produced significantly more dead embryos, indicating an

increased RNAi response, than either strain on its own (Figure 4A). This implies that alleles that

dampen the RNAi response are not shared between these two strains, as their function is at least

partially rescued by the alternate genetic background. Given that CB4856 and QX1211 exhibit

responses that are dependent on *ppw-1* but opposite to each other (Figure 3), their

complementation may be occurring at *ppw-1* itself.

DL238 had exhibited a *ppw-1*-dependent response (Figure 3), suggesting that, like CB4856, it

may be deficient in PPW-1 activity. However, CB4856 × DL238 hermaphrodites also showed a

rescued RNAi response (Figure 5B), again implicating distinct mechanisms in these strains.

Interestingly, we observed that the direction of the CB4856 × DL238 cross affected the

magnitude of the RNAi rescue. For all pairwise crosses, we performed matings in both directions

except those with KR314, as males in this strain are infertile (*Hodgkin & Doniach, 1997*). The

direction of the cross had no effect, except for CB4856 × DL238, in which heterozygotes sired

389
390
Figure 5. Pairwise complementation tests between four wild isolates with low RNAi response. (A) - (F)
Response was measured by embryonic lethality following RNAi by feeding against the embryonic target
par-1. The heterozygote genotypes were generated by crossing males and hermaphrodites in both
directions (A) - (C), except for crosses with strain KR314, which does not produce fertile males (D) - (F).
With the exception of CB4856 × DL238 (B), in which hermaphrodites sired by DL238 exhibited a
significantly stronger response than those sired by CB4856, cross direction had no effect on embryonic
lethality in the next generation and plots show pooled data. Significance levels (Tukey's contrasts):
p<0.001 (***), p<0.01 (**), p<0.05 (*).

by DL238 exhibited a stronger response than those sired by CB4856 (Figure 5B). This bias is not

observed in the negative control and is not explained by a *pha-1;sup-35* incompatibility between

CB4856 and DL238, which is not expected to drive F2 lethality (*Ben-David et al., 2017*).

DL238 failed to complement QX1211 (Figure 5C), but did complement KR314 (Figure 5D),

indicating shared and distinct mechanisms, respectively. These outcomes for DL238 are the

opposite of those observed for CB4856 crossed to the same strains (Figure 5A, 5E), reinforcing

the conclusion that CB4856 and DL238 harbor distinct genetic mechanisms for weak germline

RNAi, despite similar responses under *ppw-1* manipulation (Figure 3). Further evidence for

distinct genetic mechanisms is seen in the complementation of KR314 × QX1211 (Figure 5F).

These observations of complementation between wild strains indicate that natural populations

harbor unique alleles mediating germline RNAi, and that variation in RNAi response is

polygenic. Coupled with the results of the *ppw-1* complementation tests (Figure 3), which

suggest that weak RNAi is multigenic within strains, we conclude that variation in germline

RNAi is a highly complex trait.

Patterns of gene expression implicate differential regulation of RNAi factors

To evaluate whether additional causal factors for weak germline RNAi include known RNAi

genes, including other Argonautes, we examined expression variation at 62 loci (listed in Table

1). We performed RNA-seq on low-response strains CB4856 and QX1211, on responsive strains

N2 and JU1088, and on strain EG4348, which shows an intermediate response (*Paaby et al.,*

2015). RNA samples were prepared from young, reproductively mature hermaphrodites reared on

par-1 RNAi, *pos-1* RNAi, and the empty feeding vector as a negative control.

For each gene, we looked for evidence of differential expression between each wild isolate and

N2 without inducing RNAi (the control condition), or between *par-1* or *pos-1* RNAi and the

control within each strain. In both analyses, we observed significant differences in relative

expression at multiple genes, in both directions. In other words, the directionality of expression

was not associated with a putatively active RNAi response (Figure 6A-B).

431
432
Figure 6. Differential gene expression for RNAi factors across strain and RNAi conditions. (A) - (B) We
examined 62 genes for differential expression via RNA-seq; only those with significant results (FDR<0.1)
are displayed. (A) Up or down arrows indicate differential expression between N2 and low-response
strains CB4856 and QX1211, moderately responsive strain EG4348, and high-response strain JU1088,
tested without induction of RNAi. The (*) at *ZK218.8* indicates differential expression beyond the y-axis
scale; this gene is not expressed in the N2 reference strain and has been classified as a pseudogene. (B)
Arrows indicate differential expression within each strain, between the control condition (no RNAi) and
RNAi against *par-1* and *pos-1*. (C) - (D) Expression differences via droplet digital PCR for *ppw-1* and
sago-2. Ten strains were evaluated (Figure S4); a subset are shown here. Across strains, significant
differences (Tukey's contrasts, p<0.05) are indicated by letter groupings; for example, QX1211, JU1088,
and N2 have equivalent concentrations of *ppw-1*, while CB4856 and JU1522 concentrations are
significantly different from all others. Within each strain, significant differences between developmental
stages (pairwise contrasts with Bonferroni correction) are indicated by: p<0.001 (***), p<0.01 (**),
p<0.05 (*).

Relative to N2, strains with weakest germline RNAi exhibited the greatest degree of differential

expression. That is: QX1211, then CB4856 showed the most differences across the gene set; the

moderately responsive strain EG4348 showed a handful of modest differences; and the strongly

responsive strain JU1088 showed a modest difference in expression for only one gene (Figure

6A). Of the genes differentially expressed (FDR<0.1) by both CB4856 and QX1211, the

direction of expression was concordant but for one exception (*rde-11*). Notable include a strong

reduction in expression by QX1211 at *prg-2*; reduction by both QX1211 and CB4856 at *eri-5*,

ppw-1, *sid-1*, and *wago-2*; and increased expression by both strains at *sago-2* and *ZK218.8*. The

unnamed gene *ZK218.8*, previously identified as a potential Argonaute (*Yigit, 2007*), is classified

as a pseudogene and remains unexplored in the literature. We observed no expression of

ZK218.8 in N2, JU1088 or EG4348, but it is actively transcribed in CB4856 and QX1211,

suggesting pseudogenization may have occurred in some strain lineages but not in others.

Relative to N2, strains with the greatest degree of differential expression were also the most

genetically diverged. However, RNAi incompetence does not appear to be a function of genetic

distance from the reference strain. Highly diverged isolates ECA701, JU561, and XZ1516

(*Crombie et al., 2019*) were responsive to *par-1* RNAi (data not shown), and RNAi sensitivity for

55 wild isolates in (*Paaby et al., 2015*) showed no relationship with divergence from N2 (Figure

S3).

Within each strain, we observed more instances of differential expression in the *par-1* vs control

comparison than in the *pos-1* comparison. Only JU1088, which exhibits the strongest germline

RNAi response of these five strains (*Paaby et al., 2015*), showed significant differences on *pos-1*,

all of which were in the same direction as the *par-1* responses (Figure 6B). All of the genes that

were differentially expressed (FDR<0.1) for multiple strains showed consistent directionality as

well. None of the strains exhibited differential expression at *ppw-1*, but CB4856, N2, and

JU1088 showed strong up-regulation of *sago-2* on *par-1* RNAi.

The WAGO Argonautes *ppw-1* and *sago-2*, which reside ~17cM apart on chromosome I, share

high sequence identity. In some strains, including QX1211, poor mapping of short reads to the

reference genome (*Cook et al., 2017*) suggests instances of gene divergence or duplication. We

resolved sequence ambiguities via de novo assembly of paired-end reads and long read

sequencing, and observed that QX1211 carries *ppw-1*-like alleles at both loci (File S1). To

validate our RNA-seq observations of *ppw-1* and *sago-2* expression, and to evaluate additional

strains, we designed a droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) experiment to measure both transcripts

simultaneously and discriminate between them using transcript-specific labels. We tested all

strains thus far discussed: the seven low-response strains for which we tested *ppw-1* function

(Figure 3), as well as responsive strains N2 and JU1088 and moderately responsive strain

EG4348. We measured native expression; worms were not treated with RNAi. To evaluate

whether *ppw-1* or *sago-2* expression changed as worms aged, we also collected RNA from two

developmental timepoints, young adult and mid adult.

Consistent with the RNA-seq results, CB4586 exhibited very low *ppw-1* expression (Figure 6C,

Figure S4), and CB4856 and QX1211 exhibited high *sago-2* expression (Figure 6D, Figure S4).

Overall, *ppw-1* expression was about an order of magnitude higher than that of *sago-2*, and the

expression levels of both genes differed significantly across strains (Table S1). Changes in

expression between developmental timepoints also differed significantly across strains, for both

genes (Figure 6C-D).

Expression levels of *ppw-1* and *sago-2* showed no clear correlation with RNAi responsiveness.

Across all ten strains, the combined expression of *ppw-1* and *sago-2* was both highest and lowest

in two strongly resistant strains: QX1211 and JU1522, respectively (Figure 6C-D). We have

consistently observed negligible germline RNAi in JU1522, including no rescue when crossed

with N2 (Figure 3), and no response even at later age (Figure 4); this strain exhibits the weakest

response we have observed. One possibility is that both high and low expression of *ppw-1* and

sago-2 can limit germline RNAi. This hypothesis is consistent with our observation that a

haploid dose of *ppw-1* increases the RNAi response in QX1211 (Figure 3D), and with the prior

finding that *ppw-1* and *sago-2* encode functionally interchangeable proteins that can compensate

each other (*Yigit et al., 2006*), but not with the observation that overexpression these factors

increases RNAi sensitivity in N2 (*Yigit et al., 2006*). N2 and JU1088, the two strains with the most

robust germline RNAi response in our analysis, exhibit intermediate baseline levels of *ppw-1* and

sago-2 combined (Figure S4), and both exhibit significant up-regulation of *sago-2* on *par-1*

RNAi (Figure 6B). Notably, so does CB4856; it shows the highest baseline level of *sago-2*

(Figure S4), and over time increases *sago-2* expression at a higher rate than N2 (Figure 6B). This

may explain why CB4856, which carries a putatively deficient *ppw-1* allele (*Tijsterman et al.,*

2002), is able to mount a delayed germline RNAi response while the N2 *ppw-1* null mutant is not

(Figure 1B).

C. elegans RNAi genes show lineage-specific diversification and pseudogenization

To identify candidate genes for the weak RNAi responses we observed, we examined genotypes

at known RNAi genes (listed in Table 1) among the seven low-response strains. Of 62 genes,

five harbored at least one instance of a high impact mutation predicted to disrupt the encoded

protein. Occurrences were mostly strain-specific: in addition to the frameshift mutation at *ppw-1*

in CB4856 (*Tijsterman et al., 2002*), we observed high impact variants at *ergo-1* in ECA369, at

sago-2 in DL238, at *lin-15b* in QX1211, and at *eri-7* in CB4856, DL238 and ECA369 (Table

S2). Given that the mutation at *ppw-1* does not fully explain weak germline RNAi in CB4856

(*Tijsterman et al., 2002*) and that additional causal factors appear to be linked nearby (Figure 1B,

(*Pollard & Rockman, 2013*), we propose *eri-7*, which is 0.34cM from *ppw-1*, as a candidate; in

addition to the high impact mutation, which putatively affects splicing, CB4856 exhibits reduced

eri-7 expression (Figure 6).

We also observed alleles that were diverged from the N2 reference genotype but did not carry

disruptive high impact variants, suggesting that selection has driven potentially divergent gene

function. We classified such "functional diverged alleles" as those with at least five amino acid

substitutions, at least 1% divergence across sites, and no high impact mutations. QX1211

harbored 14 functional diverged alleles (Table S2), a subset of which are also differentially

expressed: *eri-1*, *eri-6*, *eri-9*, *nyn-2* and *rde-12* (Figure 6). Notably, we observed elevated

variation at *hsf-1*. This transcription factor is a master regulator of many RNAi genes, and HSF-1

activity explains stochastic differences in heritable RNAi responses among individuals with an

isogenic N2 background (*Houri-Zeevi et al., 2020*). Two of the seven strains carry functional

diverged alleles at *hsf-1*, and another four show poor read mapping, suggesting further allelic

divergence (Table S2). Relative to N2, *hsf-1* expression is reduced in QX1211 (Figure 6).

To better characterize patterns of molecular diversity at RNAi genes, we expanded our 62-gene

analysis to the population level by querying 403 strain isotypes in CeNDR (*Cook et al., 2017*).

Table 1. Molecular diversity at 62 RNAi genes, from 403 strain isotypes. "Functional diverged alleles" are those with at least 1% nucleotide divergence from the reference genome, including at least five moderate mutations, such as amino acid substitutions, and no disruptive high impact mutations, such as frameshifts or stop-gains. "Pseudogenized alleles" are those with at least one high impact mutation called with high confidence and at which 1% or more of the sites are diverged or missing, or those with at least 50% of the sites with missing calls. Potential pseudogenization was assessed with respect to the reference genome and considered even for those Argonautes already classified as putative pseudogenes on Wormbase.

Class	Gene	Nucleotide diversity (π)	No. strains with functional diverged alleles	No. strains with pseudo-genized alleles
Argonaute (AGO)	alg-1	7.20E-04	0	0
	alg-2	8.53E-04	0	19
	alg-3	6.86E-04	0	1
	alg-4	6.08E-04	10	5
	alg-5	3.75E-04	0	0
	rde-1	4.98E-04	2	0
Argonaute (PIWI)	ergo-1	1.18E-03	0	8
	prg-1	1.77E-03	0	0
Argonaute (WAGO)	C04F12.1	2.50E-04	0	34
	csr-1	6.59E-04	0	0
	hrde-1	1.84E-04	4	3
	nrde-3	3.39E-04	22	0
	ppw-1	2.77E-04	0	2
	ppw-2	5.35E-04	0	0
	sago-1	1.23E-03	0	4
	sago-2	1.26E-03	1	25
	wago-1	9.37E-04	0	0
	wago-10	9.64E-06	58	2
	wago-4	7.70E-06	0	0
	wago-5	9.17E-06	0	48
	C06A1.4	1.07E-03	0	0
Argonaute (pseudo)	C14B1.7	6.47E-04	0	0
	H10D12.2	3.00E-04	0	25
	prg-2	6.59E-04	0	3
	wago-11	7.58E-05	0	64
	wago-2	7.78E-04	0	0
	ZK218.8	4.08E-04	0	11
Other RNAi factor	dcr-1	6.37E-04	49	0
	drh-1	2.35E-04	40	0
	drsh-1	1.11E-03	0	0
	ego-1	1.07E-04	0	0

Class	Gene	Nucleotide diversity (π)	No. strains with functional diverged alleles	No. strains with pseudo-genized alleles
Other RNAi factor	eri-1	2.37E-04	23	0
	eri-12	5.00E-04	23	0
	eri-3	4.37E-04	9	0
	eri-5	7.15E-04	0	1
	eri-6	6.04E-04	36	20
	eri-7	1.74E-04	0	12
	eri-9	7.00E-04	26	0
	hrde-2	9.92E-04	0	0
	hsf-1	5.54E-03	119	0
	lin-15b	2.61E-04	9	53
	mut-7	8.90E-04	0	0
	nck-1	5.01E-04	0	0
	nhl-2	2.22E-04	0	0
	nyn-2	4.47E-04	2	1
	rde-10	1.00E-03	21	1
	rde-11	5.45E-05	0	1
	rde-12	2.46E-03	54	0
	rde-2	1.48E-04	0	0
	rde-4	7.21E-04	0	0
	rde-8	5.03E-06	0	0
	rme-2	2.09E-04	0	0
	rrf-1	1.09E-03	9	0
	rrf-3	1.19E-03	14	0
	rsd-2	2.67E-03	151	4
	rsd-3	2.00E-04	35	0
	rsd-6	2.68E-04	28	0
	sid-1	9.03E-04	0	1
	sid-2	1.44E-03	54	3
	sid-3	2.09E-04	56	1
	sid-5	1.23E-03	0	0
	tofu-5	6.59E-04	0	0

Estimates of nucleotide diversity (π) per gene were generally high (Table 1) relative to genome-wide averages (*Lee et al., 2021*), with $\pi>0.001$ for 14/62 genes (13/55 genes not characterized as pseudogenes). Elevated variation was often, but not always, associated with high-impact mutations in one or more strains (Figure S5). Therefore, to distinguish between instances of pseudogenization and gene loss versus allelic diversification arising from directional or balancing selection acting on functional sequences, we classified alleles as possible pseudogenes

if they harbored at least one high impact mutation called with high confidence and if at least 1%

of the sites were diverged or missing relative to the reference genome, or if over 50% of sites

were missed calls; we classified alleles as functionally diverged as defined above.

Given the expansion and diversification of Argonautes in nematodes (*Buck & Blaxter, 2013*), we

hypothesized that the WAGO genes might be relatively unconstrained and therefore especially

susceptible to pseudogenization. Indeed, we saw multiple instances of putative gene loss in one

or more strains at WAGOs, but we also saw putative loss across all classifications, including the

PIWIs, AGOs, and other RNAi factors (Table 1). Excluding seven genes classified as

pseudogenes on Wormbase (*Harris et al., 2020*), 40% (22/55) of the RNAi genes indicated

pseudogenization in one or more strains (Table 1). Consistent with active transcription of

putative pseudogene *ZK218.8* in some non-reference strains (Figure 6A), we conclude that RNAi

genes exhibit an unusually high level of lineage-specific gene loss in *C. elegans*.

Across the population, we found that 25 genes harbor functional diverged alleles (Table 1).

These alleles most often occurred in multiple strains, unlike the pseudogenized alleles, which

often occurred singly. A comprehensive analysis is beyond the scope of this paper, but these

results are consistent with positive selection driving or maintaining divergent gene function. For

example, at *hsf-1* we observed very high polymorphism, including amino acid changes at

intermediate frequencies, but no instances of disruptive high-impact mutations (Figure 7A). (We

also observed poor read mapping across the locus, which indicates further divergence but may

also obscure deleterious mutations.) The apparent absence of loss-of-function alleles at *hsf-1*

suggests purifying selection, and its diversification suggests evolutionary lability, potentially as a

keystone regulator of small RNA pathways (*Houri-Zeevi et al., 2020*). At other genes, high

polymorphism may have accumulated under relaxed selection. The PIWI Argonaute *ergo-1*, for

example, exhibits lineage-specific pseudogenization (Figure 7B). Although some of the RNAi

genes are near invariant (Figure 7C, Figure S5), the overall level of very high allelic divergence

at Argonautes and other known RNAi factors indicates that RNAi pathways are likely evolving

dynamically within the *C. elegans* population. These findings suggest that variation at known

RNAi genes likely contributes to the observed variability in RNAi response, and that functional

characterizations of these genes, which have been universally achieved in N2, may be strain

specific.

591
Figure 7. Population-level allelic diversity in RNAi genes across 403 strains. (A) The transcription factor
hsf-1 shows elevated polymorphism indicative of functional divergence, including: multiple changes to
the amino acid sequence, mutations segregating at intermediate frequency, and no observed high impact
mutations that disrupt the protein. Each observed mutation is represented as a single point; the up- and
down-triangles representing indels are scaled by indel length (range = 1-99, mean = 6.8). (B) The
Argonaute *ergo-1* also exhibits elevated polymorphism, but accumulation of high impact mutations in
some alleles suggests gene loss by pseudogenization. In this haplotype network, each circle represents a
unique haplotype (of one or more strains) and hatch marks indicate mutations. (C) In contrast, *rde-2*
exhibits conservation. Most strains belong to the common haplotype; haplotype circles are scaled by
frequency.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we demonstrate that the failure of some wild-type *C. elegans* strains to mount a robust germline RNAi response occurs by a diversity of mechanisms. Rather than identifying one or more common, shared factors that explain RNAi incompetence, our results indicate that RNAi fails for different reasons in different strains and that the same genes can produce opposite responses. Coupled with high levels of divergence and lineage-specific pseudogenization at known RNAi genes, these findings reposition our understanding of RNAi pathways and processes.

Sydney Brenner's selection of *C. elegans* as a model species, and N2 as the strain of study, was fortuitous for the future discovery of RNAi (*Félix, 2008*). This system remains the most fertile area for elucidating gene regulation by small RNAs (*Youngman & Claycomb, 2014*), but our understanding is incomplete without incorporating how RNAi phenomena vary at the population level. The N2 reference genome is both independent of (for purposes of experimental control) and inextricably linked to (via evolution) the genomes of other *C. elegans* strains. Thus, RNAi genes and the interactions between them reside in an expanded context, shaped by the evolutionary history of the population. Our finding that germline RNAi incompetence is highly strain-specific offers direct access into identifying conserved and labile components of RNAi pathways. For example, the apparent dispensability of some Argonautes for target cleavage, or gene silencing generally (*Billi et al., 2014*), is probably best understood in the context of a pool of interchangeable factors (*Yigit et al., 2006*), which our data on *ppw-1* and *sago-2* support.

We show that many RNAi genes have been pseudogenized along specific lineages within the population (Table 1). However, evidence of directional or diversifying selection along other lineages, and at other genes (Table 1), suggests that intraspecific differences in germline RNAi incompetence have not evolved by a straightforward accumulation of loss-of-function variants. Instead, we conclude that RNAi pathways are evolving dynamically in the contemporaneous population. A more complete sequence analysis, on wild-type genomes without ambiguities at unmapped loci, will be valuable in refining microevolutionary dynamics in RNAi pathways and identifying testable hypotheses with respect to allele function and interaction. For example, the

non-additivity we observed for *ppw-1* (Figure 3) indicates strain-specific differences that may

well occur for other Argonautes, and which will be best interpreted in aggregate.

The variation in *ppw-1* phenotypes and diversification of other RNAi factors (Figure 3, Table 1)

indicate that the activity of RNAi genes is highly context-dependent. Background effects have

always plagued genetic analysis (*Chandler et al., 2013*), but the retention of hyperdiverse regions

across the *C. elegans* genome (*Lee et al., 2021*), the potential for strong epistasis in the species

(*Campbell et al., 2018*), and high evolvability of RNAi (*Nuez & Félix, 2012*) suggest that this

system is especially susceptible to functional variability. As such, genetic analysis of RNAi

phenotypes in non-reference strains has the potential to yield orthogonal insights into RNAi

biology and complement our existing body of knowledge derived from experiments in the lab

strain N2 (*Chandler et al., 2013*).

Given the essentiality of RNAi to numerous biological processes—and the centrality of *C.*

elegans in RNAi research—is the variation in this system surprising? Perhaps not: the variation

we describe here mirrors patterns of RNAi incompetence observed over longer evolutionary

timescales. The ability to silence genes by dsRNA appears intermittently, and shows evidence of

rapid evolution within the *Caenorhabditis* genus (*Nuez & Félix, 2012; Winston et al., 2007*), across

nematodes generally (*Buck & Blaxter, 2013; Dalzell et al., 2011*), and in other systems (*Obbard et*

al., 2009). Argonautes and associated RNAi factors also exhibit taxon-specific patterns of gene

duplication, loss, and diversification, likely representative of diversification of biological

functions (*Buck & Blaxter, 2013; Dalzell et al., 2011; Obbard et al., 2009*). RNAi exhibits great

lability over long timescales (*Nuez & Félix, 2012*), which we now observe over very short

timescales as well.

The variability we observe suggests that RNAi experiments in the lab do not necessarily target

the phenomena most relevant to the animal itself. Exogenous RNAi likely induces responses that

evolved for antiviral immunity, but our understanding of nematode immunity is still young (*Ashe*

et al., 2013, 2015; Félix et al., 2011; Sarkies et al., 2013; Wilkins et al., 2005; Yigit et al., 2006). Orsay

virus, the only natural-occurring virus known to infect *C. elegans*, invades intestinal cells and is

horizontally, but not vertically, transmitted (*Félix et al., 2011; Franz et al., 2014*). However,

vertically transmissible viral-like RNAs have been detected in the germlines of wild-caught

Caenorhabditis isolates (*Richaud et al., 2019*), suggesting undiscovered host-pathogen dynamics.

The piRNA pathway is active in the germline and presumed critical for maintaining genome

integrity (*Wilson & Doudna, 2013; Youngman & Claycomb, 2014*). We found that germline RNAi

increases in strain QX1211 after consecutive generations at ≥18°C (unpublished data), which we

hypothesize may be associated with changes in piRNA-like pools of small RNAs that co-occur

with onset of the mortal germline phenotype (*Frézal et al., 2018*). One possibility is that

competition between exogenous and endogenous RNAi forces induction of one pathway over the

other (*Yigit et al., 2006; Youngman & Claycomb, 2014*), which may explain the stochasticity in

QX1211 phenotypes. Since *ergo-1* laboratory mutants show enhanced exo-RNAi but reduced

endo-RNAi (*Yigit et al., 2006*), this gene, which exhibits extreme allelic diversification in nature

(Table 1, Figure 6), may gatekeep RNAi pathway activity differently in different isolates.

Another possibility is that in the wild, RNAi in the germline matters most to future generations.

Strains resistant to RNAi upon exposure can show transgenerational sensitivity (*Tijsterman et al.,*

2002), and a growing body of research emphasizes the outsized role of RNAi in transgenerational

inheritance (*Houri-Zeevi et al., 2020, 2021*). Thus, germline RNAi incompetence as we observe it

in the lab may only be part of the story in nature.

RNAi is a complex and intricate collection of phenomena. RNAi responses are sensitive to

environmental conditions (*Houri-Zeevi et al., 2021*) and susceptible to unexpected outcomes (*De-*

Souza et al., 2019), which in turn could be mediated differently in different genetic backgrounds.

Much of our effort here went to developing protocols that could discriminate between distinct

RNAi responses in wild-type strains while avoiding confounding effects. For example, to prevent

native differences in developmental timing or gene expression from confounding RNAi

phenotypes (*Shiu & Hunter, 2017*), we exposed the parental generation to dsRNA as unfed L1

larvae instead of as L4s (*Kamath et al., 2001*). However, the extent of condition-dependent

interactions in RNAi may preclude true experimental control. For example, temperature likely

plays an unseen hand in RNAi experimentation, given the exquisite sensitivity of *C. elegans* to

temperature (*Testa et al., 2020*) and the intimate relationship between temperature and other

stresses and RNAi (*Frézal et al., 2018; Houri-Zeevi et al., 2021; Pagliuso et al., 2021*). We controlled

environmental temperature by conducting all RNAi assays at 20°C, but RNAi competence may

be maximized at different temperatures in different strains. On the other hand, temperature may

be used to uncover plastic and adaptive responses (*Paaby & Testa, 2018*). In this system, which

increasingly appears to be dominated by transgenerationally inherited small RNA programs

(*Houri-Zeevi et al., 2021*), temperature may prove a valuable tool in exposing the variability and

function of *C. elegan*s inheritance systems in the wild (*Frézal et al., 2018*).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains used in this study

Table S3 contains a complete list of strains used in this study. To introduce germline-expressed

GFP into wild isolates, we introgressed *zuIs178 [his-72(1kb 5' UTR)::his-*

72::SRPVAT::GFP::his-72 (1KB 3' UTR) + 5.7 kb XbaI - HindIII unc-119(+)]; stIs10024 [pie-

1::H2B::GFP::pie-1 3' UTR + unc-119(+)] into strains CB4856, ECA369, JU1522 and QX1211

by crossing to RW10029 and backcrossing to the wild strain for 10-18 generations.

Worm husbandry

Worms were cultured following standard protocol (*Stiernagle, 2006*), though we added 1.25%

agarose to plates used to maintain non-N2 wild isolates, to avoid burrowing. Worms were

maintained at 20°C without starving for at least three generations before initiating an experiment,

with the exception of QX1211, which was maintained at 18°C to avoid induction of the mortal

germline phenotype (*Frézal et al., 2018*).

RNA interference

General culture conditions

RNAi was induced by feeding and experiments were carried out on plates, at 20°C, based on

methods previously described (*Ahringer, 2006; Kamath et al., 2001*). In brief: to target endogenous

germline-expressed genes, we fed worms HT115 *E. coli* bacteria that had been transformed with

the pL4440-derived *par-1* (H39E23.1), *par-4* (Y59A8B.14), *pos-1* (F52E1.1), or GFP feeding

vector (*Timmons et al., 2001*). The *par-1* and *pos-1* vectors were obtained from the Ahringer

feeding library (*Kamath & Ahringer, 2003*); *par-4* was a gift from M. Mana. To target GFP, we

transformed HT115 with pL4417, which carries 0.7 kb of GFP coding sequence (*Timmons et al.,*

2001). We used *E. coli* carrying the empty pL4440 vector as a negative control. Bacteria were

streaked from frozen stocks onto LB agar plates with carbenicillin (25 ug/mL) and tetracycline

(12.5 mg/mL); liquid cultures were inoculated with 5-10 colonies from <1 week old plates, into

LB broth with carbenicillin (50 ug/mL) and tetracycline (12.5 mg/mL) and incubated for 16-

18hrs shaking at 37°C, then amplified in a 1:200 dilution with carbenicillin (50 ug/mL) for 6hrs.

Seeded plates were incubated in the dark at room temperature and used no earlier than 44hrs and

no later than 78hrs. Experimental worms were exposed to RNAi bacteria as L1s by hatching on

RNAi plates, synchronized either by bleaching (*Stiernagle, 2006*) or by timed egg-laying by the

hermaphrodite mothers.

Embryonic lethality assays

To measure RNAi response by phenotypic penetrance, we targeted *par-1* or *pos-1* transcripts in

the hermaphrodite germline and measured embryonic lethality in the next generation.

Experimental worms were reared on RNAi plates and transferred as L4s to fresh RNAi plates for

the egg-laying assay, remaining continuously exposed to RNAi bacteria since their hatching. For

all experiments except those explicitly testing variation in penetrance between individual worms

(Figure 1B, Figure 3C), the L4 hermaphrodites were pooled in small groups of 4-6 on 6-10

replicate assay plates. For the complementation tests (Figure 3, Figure 4, Figure S2), all embryos

within the first ~15hrs of egg-laying were scored for hatching, typically 100-200 embryos per

plate. For assays testing RNAi within a defined window of reproductive maturity, we scored the

embryos laid in a 4-6hr window within the first 8hrs of egg-laying (Figure 1A), or a 2hr window

4hrs after egg-laying began (Figure 2C). For the experiment measuring RNAi in individual

worms over their reproductive lifespan (Figure 1B, Figure S1), the L4 hermaphrodites were

singled to RNAi plates, permitted to lay embryos, and continually transferred to fresh plates until

they ceased to lay, or laid only unfertilized eggs. To score embryos as dead or alive, we removed

the egg-laying adult(s), incubated the plates at 20°C for 24hrs, and counted (dead) embryos and

hatched larvae using a stereoscope. Experiments included 6-10 (RNAi treatment) or 4-6

(negative control) replicate plates.

To test the effect of genotype on embryonic lethality following exposure to RNAi, the counts of

dead embryos and hatched larvae from each replicate plate were bound together as a single

response variable and modeled with a generalized linear model with a quasibinomial error

structure, implemented by the *glm* function in R. The model included a single linear predictor for

genotype and took the form $E(Y)=g^{-1}(\beta_0+\beta_{genotype})$. Within each experiment, differences between

specific genotypes were assessed by pairwise contrasts using the "Tukey" specification in the

function *glht* in the R package *multcomp* (Hothorn et al., 2008).

RNAi against GFP

To measure germline RNAi by GFP knockdown, worms carrying a histone-linked GFP driven by

a *pie-1* promoter were fed RNAi bacteria targeting GFP. Synchronized animals were grown on

RNAi plates, then individually selected for imaging at the following stages: young adults (6±2hrs

after exiting L4 stage), day one adults (24±2hrs), and day 2 adults (48±2hrs). For whole worm

fluorescence imaging, animals were anesthetized with 10mM NaN_3 and mounted on 2% agarose

pads, then imaged using a 10× objective with the PerkinElmer UltraVIEW VoX spinning disk

confocal microscope equipped with an EM-CCD camera. Raw images were exported as

OME.TIFF files. We used Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012) to acquire the sums of intensity in the Z

projection, then quantitated the GFP fluorescence by subtracting the integrated intensity of the

background, over the area of the worm, from the integrated intensity of the whole animal. To test

whether RNAi-treated worms exhibited reduced fluorescence relative to control worms, we

analyzed the six samples (three treatment timepoints, three control timepoints) for each strain

using a one-way ANOVA, then performed treatment-control contrasts within each timepoint

using the R function *TukeyHSD()*.

Single-molecule fluorescence *in situ* hybridization

Sample preparation and imaging

Custom Stellaris FISH probes were designed with the Stellaris Probe Designer (LGC Biosearch

Technologies). We excluded polymorphic sites during probe design. Worms were synchronized

on tryptone-free NGM agar plates at the L1 stage and reared on RNAi bacteria as described

above. Embryos were extracted by standard bleaching/washing, fixed using 3.7% formaldehyde

in RNase-free phosphate buffered saline, and hybridized (100nM at 37°C for 4hrs) with a Quasar

570 labeled probe set targeting either *par-1* or *par-4*, following the manufacturer's instructions.

Samples were mounted using VECTASHIELD antifade mounting medium with DAPI (Vector

Labs #H-1200) on no. 1 cover slides. Images were captured with a 100X oil immersion objective

on a PerkinElmer UltraVIEW VoX spinning disk confocal microscope equipped with an EM-

CCD camera and piezoelectric motorized stage. Three-dimensional image stacks were collected

using Volocity 3D visualization software (PerkinElmer) and exported as TIFF files.

Quantitative Analysis

We created image segmentation masks and counted chromosome clusters manually using ImageJ

(*Schneider et al., 2012*). Single molecule FISH spot quantification was performed using Aro, a

MATLAB-based, machine learning pipeline designed for single-molecule visualization in worm

embryos (*Wu & Rifkin, 2015*). We used multiple samples from each of the genetic backgrounds

and treatments to create the training sets for the random forest classifier. To test whether means

or variances in transcript counts differed for RNAi-treated versus untreated samples within a

strain, we applied two-sample *t*-tests and *F*-tests, respectively. For these tests, we only

considered early stage embryos (up to four cells). To evaluate changes in transcript abundance

over a wider range of embryonic development, we considered embryos with up to 30 nuclei and

used ANCOVA to ask whether, adjusted for embryo stage, transcript levels varied across strains

within the negative control condition; and whether, adjusted for embryo stage, transcript levels

varied between control and treatment conditions within a strain. We used minimal model

selection to test for changes in the way transcript level depended upon embryo stage (i.e. changes

in slope). We estimated ANCOVA effect sizes as ω^2 using the R package *sjstats* (*Lüdecke, 2018*).

RNA-seq

Library preparation and sequencing

Healthy cultures of strains N2, CB4856, QX1211, JU1088 and EG4348, reared for several

generations without starving or bleaching, were bleached to retrieve large numbers of embryos.

Synchronized L1 larvae were reared on plates with *par-1*, *pos-1*, or empty RNAi feeding vector,

details as described above. Young, reproductively mature hermaphrodites were washed off plates

and rinsed twice with M9, then RNA was extracted with TRIzol (Invitrogen #15596026) and

RNeasy columns (Qiagen #74104), following (*He, 2011*). All samples were collected and

processed simultaneously and in triplicate, starting with replicate plates of worms. cDNA was

generated from fresh RNA samples, using 500ng of RNA and 10 cycles of PCR. Libraries were

prepared with the NEBNext Ultra II Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB

#7760) and quality checked using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. BluePippon (Sage Science) was

used to size-select fragments in the pooled library, and sequencing was performed using Illumina

NextSeq for single-end 75bp reads. Sequencing was performed by the Molecular Evolution Core

facility at the Georgia Institute of Technology.

Alignment and gene expression quantification

Before alignment, Illumina TruSeq adapters were trimmed from RNA-seq reads using

Trimmomatic (v0.3.9) (*Bolger et al., 2014*) with the following parameters:

ILLUMINACLIP:TruSeq3-SE.fa:1:30:12. Trimmed reads were aligned to the *C. elegans* genome

(ce11 release ws263) using STAR (v2.6.1a) (*Dobin et al., 2013*) with the following parameters (all

others default): *--outSAMunmapped Within --outMultimapperOrder Random --*

outFilterMismatchNmax 33 --seedSearchStartLmax 33 --alignSJoverhangMin 8 --

outFilterScoreMinOverLread 0.3 --alignIntronMin 40 --alignIntronMax 2200 --varVCFfile --

outSAMattributes NH HI AS nM vG vA vW --waspOutputMode SAMtag. The *--varVCFfile* and *--*

waspOutputMode parameters (as well as output attributes *vG*, *vA*, and *vW*) run the WASP (*van de*

Geijn et al., 2015) algorithm during alignment, identifying reads that cover single nucleotide

variants occurring in any of the four non-reference strains and determining whether the read

would map uniquely when containing both the reference and alternate alleles. This process

enables exclusion of reads that would be discarded when containing non-reference alleles but

included when containing reference alleles, allowing better comparison across strains. Single

nucleotide variants in any of the four non-N2 strains in the CeNDR (*Cook et al. 2017*) VCF

(WI.20180527.hard-filter.vcf) were masked by WASP by including the input *--varVCFfile* in

STAR.

Reads were assigned to genes and counted using an extension of the 'HTSeq-count' method

(*Anders et al., 2015*), wherein totals of all reads, all unique reads, and all reads passing WASP's

filter (aligning uniquely when containing either the reference allele or the alternate allele,

whichever was actually observed) mapping to each gene were returned. This was implemented

with a custom Python script using the *htseq* module (v0.11.3).

Differential expression analysis

Differential gene expression was analyzed in R (v4.0.3) (*R Core Team, 2020*) using the *DESeq2*

package (v1.28.1) (*Love et al., 2014*); effect sizes and p-values were corrected using the 'ashr'

method from the *ashr* package (v2.2-47) (*Stephens, 2017*). The counts for each gene were

modeled using the *DESeq2* negative binomial generalized linear model as follows:

$$\log2(q_{ij}) = \beta_i\, x_{j.} + \beta_i\, y_{j.},$$

where for gene *i,* sample *j, q* is proportional to the true concentration of RNA fragments for a

gene, with *q* derived from observed WASP-passing read counts per gene via negative binomial

gene-specific dispersion modeling including sample-specific size factors (*Love et al., 2014*). β_i

gives the log2 fold changes for gene *i*. *x* represents the biological replicate and *y* represents the

strain-treatment combination for the sample, with a total of 15 combinations: five strains by three

RNAi treatments, including the control.

Results were extracted as contrasts between the strain-treatment combinations of interest, e.g.

strain vs strain on the control condition, or treatment vs control for a single strain. Genes were

considered differentially expressed if their ashr-adjusted p-values were < 0.1. These adjusted p-

values represent FDRs that account for variance, initial effect size and the number of tests

performed. The effect sizes reported are ashr-adjusted log2 fold changes.

Droplet digital PCR

To design an unbiased primer set, we identified regions of identical sequence between *ppw-1* and

sago-2 and across the ten strains of interest. Following (*Kamitaki et al., 2018*), we chose primers

to target both genes, and probes to discriminate between *ppw-1* (FAM) and *sago-2* (HEX).

Sequences are as follows: forward (CTTGGTACCGCTCCGCTC), reverse

(GCTGATTCGGTTTGATCGTC), *ppw-1* probe (AGACGAGAAATGTGGAGAGGGGAA),

sago-2 probe (AGACGAGAAATGAGGAGTGGGGAA). Both probes anneal in the same

location, ensuring competition between them.

Worms from strains N2, CB4856, CB4852, DL238, ECA369, EG4348, JU1088, JU1581,

KR314, and QX1211 were reared under standard conditions (as above), bleached to isolate

embryos, and grown to reproductive maturity. RNA was extracted with TRIzol (Invitrogen

#15596026) and RNeasy columns (Qiagen #74104), following (*He, 2011*). RNA was collected at

two timepoints, early and middle reproductive maturity (68±2hrs and 90±2hrs after bleaching,

respectively). RNA sample concentrations were quantified and standardized using a Nanodrop

(Thermo Scientific), and cDNA was synthesized using the ProtoScript II First Stand cDNA

Synthesis Kit (NEB #E6560S). The experiment was replicated as follows: from each

experimental condition, we collected two RNA samples, for two biological replicates; within the

plate, each reaction was duplicated, for two technical replicates; and we conducted the entire

experiment twice.

Droplet digital PCR was carried out with the Bio-Rad QX200 system following the

manufacturer's protocol, and results were obtained using the QuantaSoft software (Bio-Rad), via

both automatic thresholding and manual droplet selection. All samples produced >8000 droplets

and results from all samples were retained. Concentration, given by number of copies per μL,

was modeled with a quasipoisson error structure using the *glm()* function in R. As *ppw-1* was

detected at an order of magnitude higher than *sago-2*, we analyzed the two genes separately. By

model selection, we identified the minimal model that best described the observed differences in

concentration For the *ppw-1* analysis, we dropped run date from the model, as it was not

significant; for *sago-2*, run date contributed <1% to the total observed deviance (Table S1), but

was nevertheless significant, so it was retained. The final models were: Concentration ~

Strain*DevStage/BiolRep for *ppw-1*, and Concentration ~ RunDate + Strain*DevStage/BiolRep

for *sago-2*. To determine which strains differed in *ppw-1* or *sago-2* levels, we performed

pairwise contrasts among strains using the *TukeyHSD()* function and a family-wise confidence

level of 95% (only a subset of comparisons are reported in the text). To determine which strains

showed differences in concentration according to developmental stage, we performed pairwise

contrasts using the *lsmeans()* function in the package *lsmeans*, using a confidence level of 95%

following a Bonferroni correction for multiple tests.

Genotype and sequence analysis

To evaluate population-level allelic variation at known RNAi genes, we queried the *C. elegans*

Natural Diversity Resource (https://elegansvariation.org), which provides genotype data for 403

wild isotypes from short read sequence data mapped to the N2 reference genome (*Cook et al.,*

2017). Specifically, we downloaded the VCF (WI.20200815.hard-filter.isotype.vcf) and used the

R package *VariantAnnotation* (*Obenchain et al., 2014*) to extract information about mutations and

mapping coverage, and the package *PopGenome* (*Pfeifer et al., 2014*) to estimate nucleotide

diversity, at each gene. Haplotype networks for individual genes were determined using the R

package *pegas* (*Paradis, 2010*).

For a subset of strains, we verified and/or supplemented the genotype data with de novo-

assembled genome data and long read data. Genomic DNA of strains AB2, EG4347, EG4348,

JU1088, JU1171, PB306, PX174, QX1211 and QX1216 was prepared using standard

phenol/chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. Samples were cleaned with DNA Clean

& Concentrator columns (Zymo Research #D4004) and libraries were prepared using NEBNext

Ultra II FS DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs #E7805) and Multiplex

Oligos for Illumina (NEB #E7500), with customized fragmentation and purification steps to

enrich for desired sizes. A final DNA size selection targeting 650bp±50bp was performed using

BluePippin (Sage Science). The libraries were sequenced on a HiSeq 2500 (Illumina) on Rapid

Run Mode (paired-end 2x250bp) in the Molecular Evolution Core at the Georgia Institute of

Technology. Raw data were trimmed using Cutadapt (v1.18) (*Martin, 2011*) and quality control

was performed with FastQC (*Andrews, 2021*). Reads were then assembled into contigs with

DISCOVAR *de novo* (v52488) (Broad Institute) using default parameters. Separately, QX1211

and JU1088 genomic DNA samples were snap frozen with liquid nitrogen and sent to the

Georgia Genomics and Bioinformatics Core (GGBC) at the University of Georgia. Quality was

assessed by Qubit (Invitrogen) and NanoDrop (Thermo Scientific), molecular weight distribution

was assessed by fragment analysis, and sizes >15kb were selected by BluePippin (Sage Science).

Each sample was sequenced on a single SMRT Cell on the PacBio Sequel I platform (Pacific

Biosciences). Genome assembly was performed by the GGBC using Canu (v1.7) (*Koren et al.,*
2017).

Computing

Unless otherwise specified, all analyses were performed in R (*R Core Team, 2020*) and figures
were generated with the packages *ggplot2* (*Wickham, 2016*) and *ggpubr* (*Kassambara, 2020*).
Computationally intensive jobs, including read mapping and genome assembly, were performed
on PACE, the high performance computing platform at the Georgia Institute of Technology.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to acknowledge the core facilities at the Parker H. Petit Institute for Bioengineering and
Bioscience at the Georgia Institute of Technology for the use of their shared equipment, services
and expertise. Specifically, we are grateful to Aaron Lifland at the Optimal Microscopy Core for
training in confocal imaging, and Anton Bryksin, Naima Djeddar, and Shweta Biliya at the
Molecular Evolution Core for collaboration on the sequencing projects. We also thank the
Georgia Genomics and Bioinformatics Core at the University of Georgia for PacBio sequencing
and genome assembly. We thank Miyeko Mana for the gift of the *par-4* RNAi clone, and Lijiang
Long and Patrick McGrath for strain PTM377. Some strains were provided by the CGC, which is
funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440). This research was
supported in part through research cyberinfrastructure resources and services provided by the
Partnership for an Advanced Computing Environment (PACE) at the Georgia Institute of
Technology. This research was funded by NIH grant R35 GM119744 to A.B.P. and NSF grant
MCB1518314 to D.A.P.

LITERATURE CITED

Ahringer, J. (2006). Reverse genetics. In *WormBook: The Online Review of C. elegans Biology [Internet]*.
WormBook. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK19711/

Anders, S., Pyl, P. T., & Huber, W. (2015). HTSeq—A Python framework to work with high-throughput
sequencing data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, *31*(2), 166–169.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638

Andersen, E. C., Gerke, J. P., Shapiro, J. A., Crissman, J. R., Ghosh, R., Bloom, J. S., Félix, M.-A., &
Kruglyak, L. (2012). Chromosome-scale selective sweeps shape Caenorhabditis elegans genomic
diversity. *Nature Genetics*, *44*(3), 285–290. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.1050

Andrews, S. (2021). *FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.*
https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/

Ashe, A., Bélicard, T., Le Pen, J., Sarkies, P., Frézal, L., Lehrbach, N. J., Félix, M.-A., & Miska, E. A.
(2013). A deletion polymorphism in the Caenorhabditis elegans RIG-I homolog disables viral RNA
dicing and antiviral immunity. *ELife*, *2*, e00994. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.00994

Ashe, A., Sarkies, P., Le Pen, J., Tanguy, M., & Miska, E. A. (2015). Antiviral RNA Interference against
Orsay Virus Is neither Systemic nor Transgenerational in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Journal of*
Virology, *89*(23), 12035–12046. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.03664-14

Ben-David, E., Burga, A., & Kruglyak, L. (2017). A maternal-effect selfish genetic element in
Caenorhabditis elegans. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, *356*(6342), 1051–1055.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan0621

Billi, A. C., Fischer, S. E. J., & Kim, J. K. (2014). Endogenous RNAi pathways in C. elegans.
WormBook: The Online Review of C. Elegans Biology, 1–49.
https://doi.org/10.1895/wormbook.1.170.1

Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., & Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, *30*(15), 2114–2120.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170

Buck, A. H., & Blaxter, M. (2013). Functional diversification of Argonautes in nematodes: An expanding
universe. *Biochemical Society Transactions*, *41*(4), 881–886. https://doi.org/10.1042/BST20130086

Campbell, R. F., McGrath, P. T., & Paaby, A. B. (2018). Analysis of Epistasis in Natural Traits Using
Model Organisms. *Trends in Genetics: TIG*, *34*(11), 883–898.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2018.08.002

Chandler, C. H., Chari, S., & Dworkin, I. (2013). Does your gene need a background check? How genetic
background impacts the analysis of mutations, genes, and evolution. *Trends in Genetics: TIG*, *29*(6),
358–366. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2013.01.009

Charles, S., Aubry, G., Chou, H.-T., Paaby, A. B., & Lu, H. (2021). High-Temporal-Resolution smFISH
Method for Gene Expression Studies in Caenorhabditis elegans Embryos. *Analytical Chemistry*,
93(3), 1369–1376. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c02966

Cook, D. E., Zdraljevic, S., Roberts, J. P., & Andersen, E. C. (2017). CeNDR, the Caenorhabditis elegans
natural diversity resource. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *45*(D1), D650–D657.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw893

Crombie, T. A., Zdraljevic, S., Cook, D. E., Tanny, R. E., Brady, S. C., Wang, Y., Evans, K. S., Hahnel,
S., Lee, D., Rodriguez, B. C., Zhang, G., van der Zwagg, J., Kiontke, K., & Andersen, E. C. (2019).
Deep sampling of Hawaiian Caenorhabditis elegans reveals high genetic diversity and admixture
with global populations. *ELife*, *8*, e50465. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.50465

Dalzell, J. J., McVeigh, P., Warnock, N. D., Mitreva, M., Bird, D. M., Abad, P., Fleming, C. C., Day, T.
A., Mousley, A., Marks, N. J., & Maule, A. G. (2011). RNAi effector diversity in nematodes. *PLoS*
Neglected Tropical Diseases, *5*(6), e1176. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0001176

De-Souza, E. A., Camara, H., Salgueiro, W. G., Moro, R. P., Knittel, T. L., Tonon, G., Pinto, S., Pinca, A.
P. F., Antebi, A., Pasquinelli, A. E., Massirer, K. B., & Mori, M. A. (2019). RNA interference may
result in unexpected phenotypes in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *47*(8), 3957–
3969. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz154

Dobin, A., Davis, C. A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, M., &
Gingeras, T. R. (2013). STAR: Ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics*, *29*(1), 15–21.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635

Elvin, M., Snoek, L. B., Frejno, M., Klemstein, U., Kammenga, J. E., & Poulin, G. B. (2011). A fitness
assay for comparing RNAi effects across multiple C. elegans genotypes. *BMC Genomics*, *12*, 510.
https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-12-510

Félix, M.-A. (2008). RNA interference in nematodes and the chance that favored Sydney Brenner.
Journal of Biology, *7*(9), 34. https://doi.org/10.1186/jbiol97

Félix, M.-A., Ashe, A., Piffaretti, J., Wu, G., Nuez, I., Bélicard, T., Jiang, Y., Zhao, G., Franz, C. J.,
Goldstein, L. D., Sanroman, M., Miska, E. A., & Wang, D. (2011). Natural and experimental
infection of Caenorhabditis nematodes by novel viruses related to nodaviruses. *PLoS Biology*, *9*(1),
e1000586. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000586

Fire, A., Xu, S., Montgomery, M. K., Kostas, S. A., Driver, S. E., & Mello, C. C. (1998). Potent and
specific genetic interference by double-stranded RNA in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nature*,
391(6669), 806–811. https://doi.org/10.1038/35888

Franz, C. J., Renshaw, H., Frezal, L., Jiang, Y., Félix, M.-A., & Wang, D. (2014). Orsay, Santeuil and Le
Blanc viruses primarily infect intestinal cells in Caenorhabditis nematodes. *Virology*, *448*, 255–264.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2013.09.024

Frézal, L., Demoinet, E., Braendle, C., Miska, E., & Félix, M.-A. (2018). Natural genetic variation in a
multigenerational phenotype in C. elegans. *Current Biology : CB*, *28*(16), 2588-2596.e8.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.05.091

Grishok, A. (2013). Biology and Mechanisms of Short RNAs in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Advances in*
Genetics, *83*, 1–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-407675-4.00001-8

Harris, T. W., Arnaboldi, V., Cain, S., Chan, J., Chen, W. J., Cho, J., Davis, P., Gao, S., Grove, C. A.,
Kishore, R., Lee, R. Y. N., Muller, H.-M., Nakamura, C., Nuin, P., Paulini, M., Raciti, D., Rodgers,
F. H., Russell, M., Schindelman, G., … Sternberg, P. W. (2020). WormBase: A modern Model
Organism Information Resource. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *48*(D1), D762–D767.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz920

He, F. (2011). Total RNA Extraction from C. elegans. *Bio-Protocol*, e47–e47.

Hodgkin, J., & Doniach, T. (1997). Natural variation and copulatory plug formation in Caenorhabditis
elegans. *Genetics*, *146*(1), 149–164.

Hothorn, T., Bretz, F., & Westfall, P. (2008). Simultaneous inference in general parametric models.
Biometrical Journal. Biometrische Zeitschrift, *50*(3), 346–363.
https://doi.org/10.1002/bimj.200810425

Houri-Zeevi, L., Korem Kohanim, Y., Antonova, O., & Rechavi, O. (2020). Three Rules Explain
Transgenerational Small RNA Inheritance in C. elegans. *Cell*, *182*(5), 1186-1197.e12.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.07.022

Houri-Zeevi, L., Teichman, G., Gingold, H., & Rechavi, O. (2021). Stress resets ancestral heritable small
RNA responses. *ELife*, *10*, e65797. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.65797

Kamath, R. S., & Ahringer, J. (2003). Genome-wide RNAi screening in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Methods*
(San Diego, Calif.), *30*(4), 313–321. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1046-2023(03)00050-1

Kamath, R. S., Fraser, A. G., Dong, Y., Poulin, G., Durbin, R., Gotta, M., Kanapin, A., Le Bot, N.,
Moreno, S., Sohrmann, M., Welchman, D. P., Zipperlen, P., & Ahringer, J. (2003). Systematic
functional analysis of the Caenorhabditis elegans genome using RNAi. *Nature*, *421*(6920), 231–
237. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01278

Kamath, R. S., Martinez-Campos, M., Zipperlen, P., Fraser, A. G., & Ahringer, J. (2001). Effectiveness
of specific RNA-mediated interference through ingested double-stranded RNA in Caenorhabditis
elegans. *Genome Biology*, *2*(1), RESEARCH0002. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2000-2-1-
research0002

Kamitaki, N., Usher, C. L., & McCarroll, S. A. (2018). Using Droplet Digital PCR to Analyze Allele-
Specific RNA Expression. *Methods in Molecular Biology (Clifton, N.J.)*, *1768*, 401–422.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-7778-9_23

Kassambara, A. (2020). *ggpubr: "ggplot2" Based Publication Ready Plots*.
https://rpkgs.datanovia.com/ggpubr/

Koren, S., Walenz, B. P., Berlin, K., Miller, J. R., Bergman, N. H., & Phillippy, A. M. (2017). Canu:
Scalable and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive k-mer weighting and repeat separation.
Genome Research, *27*(5), 722–736. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.215087.116

Lee, D., Zdraljevic, S., Stevens, L., Wang, Y., Tanny, R. E., Crombie, T. A., Cook, D. E., Webster, A. K.,
Chirakar, R., Baugh, L. R., Sterken, M. G., Braendle, C., Félix, M.-A., Rockman, M. V., &
Andersen, E. C. (2021). Balancing selection maintains hyper-divergent haplotypes in
Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, *5*(6), 794–807.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-021-01435-x

Love, M. I., Huber, W., & Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology*, *15*(12), 550. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-
0550-8

Lüdecke, D. (2018). *sjstats: Statistical Functions for Regression Models.* Zenodo.
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1489175

Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
EMBnet.Journal, *17*(1), 10–12. https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200

Nuez, I., & Félix, M.-A. (2012). Evolution of susceptibility to ingested double-stranded RNAs in
Caenorhabditis nematodes. *PloS One*, *7*(1), e29811. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0029811

Obbard, D. J., Gordon, K. H. J., Buck, A. H., & Jiggins, F. M. (2009). The evolution of RNAi as a
defence against viruses and transposable elements. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society*
B: Biological Sciences, *364*(1513), 99–115. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0168

Obenchain, V., Lawrence, M., Carey, V., Gogarten, S., Shannon, P., & Morgan, M. (2014).
VariantAnnotation: A Bioconductor package for exploration and annotation of genetic variants.
Bioinformatics (Oxford, England), *30*(14), 2076–2078.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu168

Paaby, A. B., & Testa, N. D. (2018). Developmental Plasticity and Evolution. In L. Nuno de la Rosa & G. Müller (Eds.), *Evolutionary Developmental Biology: A Reference Guide* (pp. 1–14). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-33038-9_110-1

Paaby, A. B., White, A. G., Riccardi, D. D., Gunsalus, K. C., Piano, F., & Rockman, M. V. (2015). Wild worm embryogenesis harbors ubiquitous polygenic modifier variation. *ELife*, *4*. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.09178

Pagliuso, D. C., Bodas, D. M., & Pasquinelli, A. E. (2021). Recovery from heat shock requires the microRNA pathway in Caenorhabditis elegans. *PLOS Genetics*, *17*(8), e1009734. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009734

Paradis, E. (2010). pegas: An R package for population genetics with an integrated-modular approach. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, *26*(3), 419–420. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp696

Pfeifer, B., Wittelsbürger, U., Ramos-Onsins, S. E., & Lercher, M. J. (2014). PopGenome: An efficient Swiss army knife for population genomic analyses in R. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *31*(7), 1929–1936. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu136

Pollard, D. A., & Rockman, M. V. (2013). Resistance to germline RNA interference in a Caenorhabditis elegans wild isolate exhibits complexity and nonadditivity. *G3 (Bethesda, Md.)*, *3*(6), 941–947. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.113.005785

R Core Team. (2020). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/

Raj, A., van den Bogaard, P., Rifkin, S. A., van Oudenaarden, A., & Tyagi, S. (2008). Imaging individual mRNA molecules using multiple singly labeled probes. *Nature Methods*, *5*(10), 877–879. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1253

Richaud, A., Frézal, L., Tahan, S., Jiang, H., Blatter, J. A., Zhao, G., Kaur, T., Wang, D., & Félix, M.-A. (2019). Vertical transmission in Caenorhabditis nematodes of RNA molecules encoding a viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *116*(49), 24738–24747. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1903903116

Rual, J.-F., Ceron, J., Koreth, J., Hao, T., Nicot, A.-S., Hirozane-Kishikawa, T., Vandenhaute, J., Orkin, S. H., Hill, D. E., van den Heuvel, S., & Vidal, M. (2004). Toward improving Caenorhabditis elegans phenome mapping with an ORFeome-based RNAi library. *Genome Research*, *14*(10B), 2162–2168. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.2505604

Sarkies, P., Ashe, A., Le Pen, J., McKie, M. A., & Miska, E. A. (2013). Competition between virus-derived and endogenous small RNAs regulates gene expression in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genome Research*, *23*(8), 1258–1270. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.153296.112

Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., Tinevez, J.-Y., White, D. J., Hartenstein, V., Eliceiri, K., Tomancak, P., & Cardona, A. (2012). Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature Methods*, *9*(7), 676–682. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019

Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S., & Eliceiri, K. W. (2012). NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nature Methods*, *9*(7), 671–675. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089

Schott, D. H., Cureton, D. K., Whelan, S. P., & Hunter, C. P. (2005). An antiviral role for the RNA interference machinery in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *102*(51), 18420–18424. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0507123102

Seidel, H. S., Ailion, M., Li, J., van Oudenaarden, A., Rockman, M. V., & Kruglyak, L. (2011). A novel sperm-delivered toxin causes late-stage embryo lethality and transmission ratio distortion in C. elegans. *PLoS Biology*, *9*(7), e1001115. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001115

Seidel, H. S., Rockman, M. V., & Kruglyak, L. (2008). Widespread genetic incompatibility in C. elegans maintained by balancing selection. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, *319*(5863), 589–594. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1151107

Shabalina, S. A., & Koonin, E. V. (2008). Origins and evolution of eukaryotic RNA interference. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *23*(10), 578–587. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2008.06.005

Shiu, P. K., & Hunter, C. P. (2017). Early Developmental Exposure to dsRNA Is Critical for Initiating Efficient Nuclear RNAi in C. elegans. *Cell Reports*, *18*(12), 2969–2978. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.03.002

Stephens, M. (2017). False discovery rates: A new deal. *Biostatistics (Oxford, England)*, *18*(2), 275–294. https://doi.org/10.1093/biostatistics/kxw041

Stiernagle, T. (2006). Maintenance of C. elegans. *WormBook: The Online Review of C. Elegans Biology*, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1895/wormbook.1.101.1

Testa, N. D., Kaul, S., Le, K. N., Zhan, M., Lu, H., & Paaby, A. B. (2020). A portable, low-cost device for precise control of specimen temperature under stereomicroscopes. *PloS One*, *15*(3), e0230241. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230241

Tijsterman, M., Okihara, K. L., Thijssen, K., & Plasterk, R. H. A. (2002). PPW-1, a PAZ/PIWI protein required for efficient germline RNAi, is defective in a natural isolate of C. elegans. *Current Biology: CB*, *12*(17), 1535–1540. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0960-9822(02)01110-7

Timmons, L., Court, D. L., & Fire, A. (2001). Ingestion of bacterially expressed dsRNAs can produce specific and potent genetic interference in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Gene*, *263*(1–2), 103–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-1119(00)00579-5

Timmons, L., & Fire, A. (1998). Specific interference by ingested dsRNA. *Nature*, *395*(6705), 854. https://doi.org/10.1038/27579

van de Geijn, B., McVicker, G., Gilad, Y., & Pritchard, J. K. (2015). WASP: Allele-specific software for robust molecular quantitative trait locus discovery. *Nature Methods*, *12*(11), 1061–1063. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3582

Wickham, H. (2016). *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer-Verlag. http://ggplot2.org

Wilkins, C., Dishongh, R., Moore, S. C., Whitt, M. A., Chow, M., & Machaca, K. (2005). RNA interference is an antiviral defence mechanism in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nature*, *436*(7053), 1044–1047. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03957

Wilson, R. C., & Doudna, J. A. (2013). Molecular Mechanisms of RNA Interference. *Annual Review of Biophysics*, *42*(1), 217–239. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biophys-083012-130404

Winston, W. M., Sutherlin, M., Wright, A. J., Feinberg, E. H., & Hunter, C. P. (2007). Caenorhabditis elegans SID-2 is required for environmental RNA interference. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *104*(25), 10565–10570. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0611282104

Wu, A. C.-Y., & Rifkin, S. A. (2015). Aro: A machine learning approach to identifying single molecules
and estimating classification error in fluorescence microscopy images. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *16*,
102. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-015-0534-z

Wynant, N., Santos, D., & Vanden Broeck, J. (2017). The evolution of animal Argonautes: Evidence for
the absence of antiviral AGO Argonautes in vertebrates. *Scientific Reports*, *7*(1), 9230.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-08043-5

Yigit, E. (2007). *The Argonaute Family of Genes in Caenorhabditis Elegans: A Dissertation*. University
of Massachusetts Medical School.

Yigit, E., Batista, P. J., Bei, Y., Pang, K. M., Chen, C.-C. G., Tolia, N. H., Joshua-Tor, L., Mitani, S.,
Simard, M. J., & Mello, C. C. (2006). Analysis of the C. elegans Argonaute Family Reveals that
Distinct Argonautes Act Sequentially during RNAi. *Cell*, *127*(4), 747–757.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.09.033

Youngman, E. M., & Claycomb, J. M. (2014). From early lessons to new frontiers: The worm as a
treasure trove of small RNA biology. *Frontiers in Genetics*, *5*, 416.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2014.00416

1203

Figure S1. Embryonic lethality following RNAi against *par-1*.

Figure S2. Complementation test for DL238 and the RNAi-sensitive laboratory strain N2, with and without a deletion allele at *ppw-1*. The embryos scored here were laid by pooled hermaphrodites on replicate plates. Significance level (Tukey's contrasts): $p<0.05$ (*).

Figure S3. RNAi sensitivity for 55 wild isolates, versus genetic distance from the reference strain N2. RNAi data is from (*Paaby et al., 2015*), which measured embryonic lethality following RNAi by feeding against 29 individual maternal-effect targets. The y-axis plots the coefficients associated with the strain term in the full statistical model, and represents the strain-specific variation in embryonic lethality associated with RNAi responsiveness; increasingly positive values indicate weaker germline RNAi (*Paaby et al., 2015*). The x-axis gives the proportion of alternate genotype calls, out of the total number of calls, for each strain in the CeNDR database (*Cook et al., 2017*).

Figure S4. Droplet digital PCR results for *ppw-1* and *sago-2* for all ten tested strains. Colors correspond to strains also evaluated by RNA-seq (Figure 6).

Figure S5. For 62 RNAi genes, mapping coverage (A) and mutational variation (B) in the 403 strain isotypes from CeNDR. Gene names are color coded by classification: AGO Argonaute (dark blue), PIWI Argonaute (blue), WAGO Argonaute (light blue), Argonaute pseudogenes (grey), and other RNAi factor (black or red). Each point corresponds to an individual strain; zeros (no observation of poor coverage or mutation relative to the reference) are not plotted.

Table S1. Statistical results for the ddPCR analysis. The model used for each gene is described in the Methods.

ppw-1

	Df	Deviance	Resid. Df	Resid. Dev
NULL			158	37942
Strain	9	24433.1	149	13509
DevStage	1	910.3	148	12599
Strain:DevStage	9	4271.9	139	8327
Strain:DevStage:BiolRepl	20	2327.6	119	5999

sago-2

	Df	Deviance	Resid. DF	Resid. Dev
NULL			158	4722.0
RunDate	1	29.38	157	4692.6
Strain	9	2932.73	148	1759.9
DevStage	1	5.87	147	1754.0
Strain:DevStage	9	825.20	138	928.8
Strain:DevStage:BiolRepl	20	436.26	118	492.6

Table S2. Candidate genes for weak germline RNAi in the seven strains tested. Only genes with relevant genotypes in at least one strain are displayed, out of 62 RNAi genes queried. "High impact" indicates disruptive mutations like frameshifts or stop-gains. "Poor mapping coverage" indicates a read depth of 3 or less. "Functional diverged allele" indicates at least 1% nucleotide divergence from the reference genome, including at least five moderate mutations, such as amino acid substitutions, and no high impact mutations.

Class	Gene	One or more high impact mutation	Poor mapping coverage at 10% or more of locus	Functional diverged allele
Argonaute (AGO)	*alg-4*			QX1211
Argonaute (PIWI)	*ergo-1*	ECA369	ECA369	
Argonaute (WAGO)	*hrde-1*			QX1211
	nrde-3			QX1211
	ppw-1	CB4856		
	sago-2	DL238	DL238, ECA369, JU1522, KR314, QX1211	
	wago-10			QX1211
Argonaute (pseudogene)	*wago-11*	CB4852, KR314, QX1211	CB4856	
	wago-2		QX1211	
	ZK218.8		DL238	
Other RNAi factor	*dcr-1*			QX1211
	drh-1			QX1211
	eri-1			QX1211
	eri-5		JU1522	
	eri-6			QX1211
	eri-7	CB4856, DL238, ECA369	DL238, JU1522, KR314	
	eri-9			QX1211
	lin-15b	QX1211		ECA369
	nyn-2		DL238	QX1211
	rde-10		DL238, ECA369, JU1522, KR314, QX1211	
	rde-12			QX1211
	rsd-2		JU1522, QX1211	CB4856, DL238, ECA369, QX1211
	sid-1		DL238, ECA369, JU1522, QX1211	
	sid-2			QX1211
	sid-3			QX1211
	hsf-1		DL238, ECA369, JU1522, KR314	ECA369, KR314

Table S3. Strains used in this study.

Ref in text	Name	Genotype	Provenance
CB4852	CB4852	wild-type	Gift from Matthew Rockman
CB4856	CB4856	wild-type	Gift from Matthew Rockman
DL238	DL238	wild-type	Gift from Matthew Rockman
ECA369	ECA369	wild-type	Purchased from CeNDR
ECA701	ECA701	wild-type	Purchased from CeNDR
KR314	KR314	wild-type	Gift from Matthew Rockman
EG4348	EG4348	wild-type	Gift from Matthew Rockman
JU561	JU561	wild-type	Purchased from CeNDR
JU1088	JU1088	wild-type	Gift from Matthew Rockman
JU1522	JU1522	wild-type	Gift from Matthew Rockman
N2	N2	wild-type	Gift from Matthew Rockman
N2^{peel-1}	PTM377	*peel-1*(*kah126*) I	Gift from Patrick McGrath
N2^{ppw-1}	QF201	*ppw-1*(*pk1425*) I	Derived from backcrossing strain NL3511 5x to N2
N2$^{ppw-1;peel-1}$	QF204	*ppw-1*(*pk1425*) *peel-1*(*kah126*) I	Derived from PTM377 and QF201
N2$^{ppw-1\ CB4856}$	NL2550	*ppw-1*(*pk2505*) I	Purchased from CGC
NL3511	NL3511	*ppw-1*(*pk1425*) I	Purchased from CGC
QF14	QF14	*zuIs178*; *stIs10024* [RW10029>CB4856]	Derived from backcrossing strain RW10029 18x to CB4856
QF15	QF15	*zuIs178*; *stIs10024* [RW10029>JU1522]	Derived from backcrossing strain RW10029 16x to JU1522
QF16	QF16	*zuIs178*; *stIs10024* [RW10029>ECA369]	Derived from backcrossing strain RW10029 17x to ECA369
QF90	QF90	*zuIs178*; *stIs10024* [RW10029>QX1211]	Derived from backcrossing strain RW10029 10x to QX1211
QX1211	QX1211	wild-type	Gift from Matthew Rockman
RW10029	RW10029	*zuIs178*; *stIs10024*	Purchased from CGC
XZ1516	XZ1516	wild-type	Purchased from CeNDR