Genetic coupling of life-history and aerobic performance in Atlantic salmon indicates potential constrains on life-history evolution

Short title: Genetic coupling of life-history and metabolism

Jenni M. Prokkola[1]*, Eirik R. Åsheim[1], Sergey Morozov[1], Paul Bangura[1], Jaakko Erkinaro[2], Annukka Ruokolainen[1], Craig R. Primmer[1,3], Tutku Aykanat[1]

[1]Organismal and Evolutionary Biology Research Programme, University of Helsinki, Finland
[2]Natural Resources Institute Finland
[3]Institute of Biotechnology, Helsinki Institute of Life Science (HiLIFE), University of Helsinki, Finland
*Corresponding author, PO Box 65, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland, jenni.prokkola@helsinki.fi

Acknowledgements
We thank Nikolai Piavchenko, Katja Maamela, Markus Lauha, Petra Liljeström, Suvi Ikonen, Mikko Immonen, and Anna Toikkanen for fish care and technical help during the experiment, and Lammi Biological Station for a high-quality research environment. Dr. Markus Haapala and the Nordic University Hub NordicPOP (Nordforsk, project no. 85352) are acknowledged for the 3D printing service, and Heidrikur Bergsson for sharing the chamber cap model. The study was funded by Academy of Finland (T. Aykanat: 325964, 1328860, C. R. Primmer: 284941, 286334, 314254 and 314255), the European Research Council under the European Articles Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant no. 742312), and the University of Helsinki.

Keywords: age-at-maturity, energetics, metabolic rate, life history evolution

Author contributions

JMP, ERÅ, SM, CRP and TA conceived the ideas and designed methodology; JMP, ERÅ,

SM, PB, JE, AR and TA collected the data; JMP, SM and TA analysed the data; JMP and TA

led the writing of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the drafts and gave final approval

for publication.

Abstract

A better understanding of the genetic and phenotypic architecture underlying life-history

variation is a longstanding aim in biology. Theories suggest energy metabolism determines

life-history variation by modulating resource acquisition and allocation trade-offs, but the

genetic underpinnings of the relationship and its dependence on ecological conditions have

rarely been demonstrated. The strong genetic determination of age-at-maturity by two

unlinked genomic regions (*vgll3* and *six6*) makes Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) an ideal

model to address these questions. Using more than 250 juveniles in common garden

conditions, we quantified the covariation between metabolic phenotypes –standard and

maximum metabolic rates (SMR and MMR), and aerobic scope (AS) – and the life-history

genomic regions and tested if food availability modulates the relationships. We found that the

metabolic phenotype was significantly affected by *vgll3*, whereby the early maturation

genotype was associated with higher MMR and AS. Additionally, MMR exhibited

physiological epistasis; it was decreased when late maturation genotypes co-occurred in both

genomic regions. Contrary to the expectation, the life-history genotypes had no effects on

SMR, and food availability had no effect on the genetic covariation, suggesting a lack of

genotype-by-environment interactions. Our results provide insights on the key organismal

processes that link energy use to life-history variation, and the potential metabolic constrains

on life-history evolution.

Introduction

Physiological processes control how life-history diversity emerges from resource allocation and acquisition trade-offs (Ricklefs & Wikelski 2002). The rate of aerobic energy metabolism is a pivotal mechanism contributing to life-history variation – it modulates resource acquisition, provides cells with ATP, and constrains energy allocation to different body components and functions. Theories, such as the metabolic theory of ecology and the pace-of-life syndrome theory (Brown *et al.* 2004; Dammhahn *et al.* 2018), suggest metabolic rate covaries with life-history variation within and among species. This covariation may have a genetic basis, consequently constraining trait evolution (Roff 1997), yet only a few studies have demonstrated intraspecific genetic covariation or co-evolution between metabolic rate and life-history traits (Boratynski *et al.* 2013; Krams *et al.* 2017; Auer *et al.* 2018b) (see also Careau *et al.* (2011) and Maiti *et al.* (2019) for behaviour and metabolism). Determining whether this relation is modified by different ecological contexts (e.g., food availability) is crucial to better understand the mechanisms shaping life history variation and demographic shifts in populations in response to environmental changes (Hughes *et al.* 2005; Lailvaux & Husak 2014).

The quintessential components of energy metabolism at the organismal level (i.e., the metabolic phenotypes) are standard metabolic rate (SMR), maximum metabolic rate (MMR), and the absolute aerobic scope (AS) that is the difference between SMR and MMR (Fry 1947; Chabot *et al.* 2016; Metcalfe *et al.* 2016). Together, these components of metabolism modulate resource allocation and acquisition by defining minimal energy requirements and maximal aerobic performance. SMR is the minimum metabolic rate of an ectothermic animal associated with self-maintenance, and therefore defines the minimal cost of living (excluding growth, digestion and locomotion). MMR defines the upper limit of aerobic performance and of AS, which reflects the energy budget that can be allocated into non-maintenance functions, such as locomotion and digestion (Arnott *et al.* 2006; Farrell 2009). Higher MMR and by extension, AS, are predicted to increase fitness via facilitating energetically demanding behaviours (such as migration, aggression, predator avoidance, and prey capture) and tolerance to environmental stress (Clark *et al.* 2011; Eliason *et al.* 2013; Metcalfe *et al.* 2016; Sandblom *et al.* 2016). However, high aerobic performance comes with costs, including maintaining a larger heart and gill surface area (associated with increased demand for osmoregulation) (Priede 1985; Farrell 2009; Killen *et al.* 2016).

Allocation of energy to growth or improved condition can link metabolic phenotypes to life-

history traits (Thorpe 2007). Life-history traits, such as the timing of maturation and

migration, are determined by adaptive body-size thresholds (Roff 1994; Salminen 1997;

Thorpe *et al.* 1998; Theriault *et al.* 2007), and metabolic phenotypes are often correlated with

growth rate, albeit in a context-dependent manner (Burton *et al.* 2011; Metcalfe *et al.* 2016).

Under high food availability, a high SMR in combination with high AS can increase growth

rate (Auer *et al.* 2015c), as it often correlates with traits that improve resource acquisition,

such as dominance and digestive capacity (Niemelä & Dingemanse 2018; Mathot *et al.* 2019;

Rosenfeld *et al.* 2020). Under low food availability, the growth benefit of high SMR or AS

can be minimized (or even reversed for SMR) due to high self-maintenance costs (Auer *et al.*

2015c; Zeng *et al.* 2017; Auer *et al.* 2020b). In addition, individuals can be forced to seek

new habitats or take more risks to acquire resources exerting further fitness costs (Killen *et*

al. 2011; Auer *et al.* 2020a). Hence, covariation between life-history and metabolism,

whether it is genetically or environmentally driven, could be modulated by resource

availability. A resource dependent change in genetic covariation, i.e., genotype-by-

environment interaction) could maintain genetic variation in these traits (Gillespie & Turelli

1989; Hughes *et al.* 2005; Gutteling *et al.* 2007; Niitepõld 2010) but this has not been

demonstrated.

In anadromous (sea-migrating) salmonids, the number of years the fish spends at sea before

the first spawning, i.e., sea age-at-maturity, has a dramatic effect on its size-at-maturity

(Fleming & Einum 2011): individuals spending one year at sea typically weigh 1–3 kg

compared with 10–20 kg after 3 or more years. Increased size in late maturing individuals

also translates to marked gains in reproductive investment in both sexes (Fleming & Einum

2011). Age-at-maturity variation is maintained by fitness trade-offs; earlier maturation, i.e.,

less time spent at sea, provides a fitness advantage through a higher probability of survival

prior to reproduction and a shorter generation time, but that comes at the expense of fecundity

and mating success (due to smaller size at reproduction (Mobley *et al.* 2021*; Hendry et al.*

1999; Stearns 2000). Early maturation depends on faster growth and fat deposition compared

to late maturation in the freshwater (juvenile) and early marine phase (Skilbrei 1989;

Salminen 1997; Hutchings & Jones 1998; Tréhin *et al.* 2021). This suggests that early

maturation in salmonids may be associated with higher SMR or aerobic scope via resource

utilization (Mathot *et al.* 2019; Rosenfeld *et al.* 2020).

Across Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L. 1758) populations, a large proportion of variation in age-at-maturity in both sexes is explained by a single genomic region that encompasses the *vgll3* (*vestigial-like 3)* gene on chromosome 25 (Ayllon *et al.* 2015; Barson *et al.* 2015). In addition, variation in another locus on chromosome 9, *six6*, (after *six homeobox 6 gene* in this region), is a strong predictor of mean age-at-maturity among populations (Barson *et al.* 2015), and associated with early maturation in an aquaculture strain of salmon (Sinclair-Waters *et al.* 2020). *Vgll3* and *six6* are also associated with size-at-maturity, with the alleles conferring late maturation being associated with larger age-specific body size especially after multiple years at sea (Barson *et al.* 2015). In the last few decades, many Atlantic salmon populations have been maturing, on average, at younger ages (Chaput 2012), which is associated with an increase in the frequency of the early maturation allele in *vgll3,* in some cases (Czorlich *et al.* 2018). Recently, Czorlich *et al.* (2021) identified a link between the decrease in salmon age-at-maturity and a change in prey species composition (see also Aykanat *et al.* (2020) for diet composition in relation to *six6*). These observations further highlight that genotype dependent differences in performance traits related to metabolism, such as foraging success or food assimilation (Metcalfe *et al.* 1995; Auer *et al.* 2015a), may be related to contemporary life-history evolution in Atlantic salmon.

The strong effects of the *six6* and *vgll3* genomic regions on life-history variation provide an opportunity for the genetic covariation between age-at-maturity and energy metabolism to be studied prior to maturation, i.e., at the juvenile stage, by genetic prediction. This approach makes controlled, empirical settings more feasible, as salmon require several years to reach maturation. In this study, we use genetic prediction of the age at maturity of Atlantic salmon and test if (i) genetic covariation exists between life-history and metabolic phenotypes in juveniles, and (ii) resource limitation can induce genotype-by-environment interactions in metabolic phenotypes. Specifically, we test the hypothesis that resource availability and age-at-maturity genotypes interact in their effects on metabolism; under high food availability, salmon with early maturation genotypes are predicted to show a higher SMR and AS than fish with late maturation genotypes, but under low food availability, the effects of genotypes are predicted to be weaker.

Material and Methods

The experiments were conducted under an animal experiment permit granted by the Finnish

Project Authorisation Board (permit nr. ESAVI/4511/2020).

Fish crossing, rearing and genotyping

The parental individuals of Atlantic salmon used in this study were obtained from a first-

generation hatchery brood stock (the first generation bred in captivity) originating from the

river Kymijoki in Finland, managed by the Natural Resources Institute Finland (LUKE),

Laukaa hatchery. In October 2019, families were crossed from eggs and milt collected in the

Laukaa hatchery and transferred to the University of Helsinki for fertilisation (parental fish

details in Table S1). Full-sib families were created by crossing individuals with heterozygous

vgll3 and *six6* genotypes, i.e., *vgll3**E/L and *six6**E/L, where E and L refer to the alleles

associated with early and late maturation, respectively. This provided offspring with all

genotype combinations within each full-sib family. Fertilized eggs were incubated in vertical

incubators in replicated, family-specific compartments at 7°C in the dark. Astroturf material

was used in the bottom of the incubators.

On 6 March 2020, several weeks before the fry commenced independent feeding, the hatched

alevins were transferred to Lammi Biological Station (61°04′45″N, 025°00′40″E, Lammi,

Finland, transfer time approx. 2h), where they were released into circular tanks, diameter

90cm. Tank water volume was initially 189L and increased to 306L in July. Each family was

reared in a separate tank, supplied with a continuous flow of UV-filtered water from the

nearby lake Pääjärvi, creating a slow current in each tank. The water was warmed by 1°C

with a heat-exchange system before being pumped into the tanks. Photoperiod was set to

match the natural light cycle at the same latitude.

Feeding of alevins was started when most of the egg yolk had been consumed in March 2020.

Feed rations were calculated assuming feed conversion efficiency of 0.8, using growth

predictions from Elliott & Hurley (1997). Fish were initially fed with 0.2mm commercial

feed (Vita, Veronesi), 4 times d^{-1}, and transferred to 0.5mm feed and more frequent feeding,

16 times d^{-1}, gradually until the required feed weight exceeded 8g d^{-1}, after which Profi-

Automatic feeders (LINN Gerätebau GmbH, Lennestad, Germany), were deployed. Feeding

was continued 'ad libitum', i.e., maximizing the number of feeds to up to 16 times d^{-1}

(allowing food to be available in the water column for a maximum amount of time while
minimizing the amount of leftover feed). Tanks were cleaned by scrubbing surfaces and
siphoning excess food once week^{-1} until June 1st, then approximately twice week^{-1} until
August 14th, then once week^{-1} until the end of the experiment. Mortality from first feeding in
March until PIT-tagging in July was approximately 8%. Water temperature during this time
increased from *ca.* 4.5 °C to 11°C (Fig. S1).
194
Between 14 and 21 July 2020, fish were captured by netting, anaesthetised with sodium
bicarbonate-buffered methanesulfonate (100 mg/L) and individually tagged with passive
integrated transponder (PIT) tags (length 8mm, width 1.2mm, Manruta, Quangdong, China)
inserted into abdominal cavity with a syringe and needle. Only fish that were >45mm were
tagged (Bangs *et al.* 2013). Afterwards, the fish were weighed, photographed (for a separate
study), and a small fin clip was collected from their caudal fin using a scalpel. Fish were
allowed to recover in aerated buckets briefly after anaesthesia, after which they were returned
to the rearing tanks. Total mortality due to tagging/anaesthesia was approx. 5%. After
tagging, environmental enrichment was provided to the tanks in the form of stones (diameter
approx. 8cm) placed in square, stainless-steel baskets (mesh size 2cm, width and length
20cm). Three baskets with three stones in each were provided to each tank.
206
The fin clip of each individual was placed in 20 µL of Lucigen QuickExtract DNA Extraction
Solution 1.0 and kept on ice or at -20°C until extractions were completed on the day of
sample collection according to manufacturer's instructions. DNA was stored at -20°C. DNA
was diluted 10-fold in water, after which genotyping was performed using a Kompetitive
Allele-Specific PCR (KASP, LGC genomics, UK) assay (He *et al.* 2014) for *vgll3* (Sinclair-
Waters *et al.* 2020) and *six6*, as well as for *sdY* to determine sex (details in online
supplemental material).
214

Experimental design
At minimum two weeks after PIT-tagging, fish from each family were divided into two tanks
at roughly even densities (mean 1.29 g L^{-1}, N = 145–152 tank^{-1}). The experiment (see Fig. 1,
created with BioRender.com) started in August 2020, at least three weeks after PIT-tagging
for each family. In the beginning of August, the relative age of the fish was approximately
2050 degree days, or 1313 Tau as calculated with the formula of Gorodilov (1996).

Fig. 1. Timeline of the experiment, starting 03 August 2020. The duration of low food and high food treatments was four weeks for each family. The "W" indicates when fish density of high food tanks was reduced to the level of low food tanks based on mean biomass. Each horizontal line represents a separate tank. Dark blue represents timing of procedures for each tank (W. & L. = weight and length, and/or SMR & MMR measurements).

Low food and high food treatments

One tank from each family was assigned to a feed restriction (hereafter low food) treatment. Immediately before the treatment, these fish had been measured once for SMR (as described below, for a separate study), with in total 3 days fasting, weighed to nearest 0.01g, and measured to nearest mm. During the low food treatment, fish were fed twice week^{-1} using automatic feeders, which distributed the estimated whole daily ration of food to the tank within 2h in 10 doses. Intermittent feeding to satiation was preferred over constant low ration to minimize the formation of strong dominance hierarchies in tanks (Ward *et al*. 2006), and because individual feeding as in, e.g., Auer *et al.* (2015b) was not feasible. Parallel to the low-food treatment, the other tank for each family was assigned to a high food treatment. These fish were weighed and measured before the treatment begun, including 2d fasting and anaesthesia. The high food treatment consisted of the total estimated daily ration, delivered daily in eight doses distributed equally during an 8h period (9:00-17:00) using the automatic feeders. Further details provided in online supplemental material. 28 days after the feeding treatments commenced (range 28–31d because measurements took 2–3 days for each tank), 48 fish from each family (192 in total) in the low food treatment, and 32 fish from the same four families in the high food treatment (128 in total), were measured for their SMR and MMR (Fig. 1), though only 290 homozygous individuals were used in the data analysis

(Table S2). Densities of fish biomass in the tanks at the end of the treatments were on average

1.8 and 2.8 g L-1 in the low food and high food treatments, respectively.

SMR and MMR measurements

Two days before their SMR measurement started, fish for each batch were caught by netting

and their genotype was identified using PIT-tags, after which they were moved into an

acclimation tank (Fig. S3). Each batch contained 16 individuals from the same family and

tank, and was balanced for all homozygous genotype-sex-combinations (in most cases N = 2

group^{-1} batch^{-1}, with fish heterozygous for either locus used when homozygous fish were not

available). Fish of desired genotypes were randomly picked from the rearing tanks by netting,

apart from the high food treatment from the 4th family where some fish had grown too large

for the respirometers. All fish from this tank were anaesthetised, weighed, and measured four

days before their respirometry trials, and appropriate size fish (max. length 82mm) were

selected for the trials from each genotype (*vgll3* and *six6* genotype frequencies were not

different between these two size groups, Chi^2= 5.5, df = 7, p = 0.6).

During acclimation, the fish were held individually, without feeding, in 20×20×10cm cages

made from stainless steel frame, black phthalate-free mosquito net, and hot glue, with a net

cover. The aim of the acclimation period was to minimize the effects of potential daily

temperature fluctuations in rearing tanks (which obtained water from the nearby lake, hence

daily temperature fluctuations occurred, Fig. S1), digestion, growth and social interactions on

SMR (Rosenfeld *et al.* 2015). The temperature of acclimation tanks was set to 11°C ± 0.1°C

(details in online supplemental material).

We measured SMR using intermittent flow respirometry (Forstner 1983; Svendsen *et al.*

2016; Killen *et al.* 2021). The SMR measurements were started after the fish had spent 42–

47h in acclimation, between 11:30 and 14:30. SMR was measured until 8:00 the following

day, after which we measured the MMR of the fish as post-exercise oxygen consumption

using a chase method, similarly to, e.g., Raby *et al.* (2020), where MMR reflects increased

aerobic respiration related to exercise and the oxygen debt incurred by anaerobic respiration

(Brett 1964; Norin & Clark 2016). Although this method may underestimate MMR compared

to swimming respirometry (Raby *et al.* 2020), it was the preferred method for small juvenile

salmon, which do not actively swim against a current in a swimming respirometer (personal

observation). After the MMR measurements, fish were euthanized with an overdose of

methanesulfonate and then measured, weighed and dissected. All fish were in an immature

state based on appearance of gonads. After each family was measured (5d of measurements),

the respirometer was cleaned with 10% bleach and all chamber parts scrubbed to limit

bacterial growth, followed by thorough rinsing with water. For details on the SMR and MMR

measurements, see online supplementary material.

Growth rate was calculated as specific (a.k.a. instantaneous) growth rate as follows: [(ln mass

at t_2 - ln body mass at t_1) / days between t_1 and t_2)] × 100, where t is date. Fulton's condition

factor was calculated as (body mass / length^3) × 100.

Analysis of respirometry data

Based on blank tests conducted before and after the SMR measurement, background

respiration was accounted for using the R package *FishResp* (Morozov *et al.* 2019), assuming

linear growth of microorganisms inside a respirometry chamber over time (only weak

background respiration was observed). Oxygen consumption rate (MO_2, mg O$_2$ h^{-1}) for each

linear measurement phase, represented as a slope, was derived from best-fit linear regression

of dissolved oxygen concentration over time (details in online supplementary material). The

mean of the lowest normal distribution (MLND) was used to estimate SMR from the

extracted MO_2 slopes (Chabot *et al.* 2016).

MMR was calculated from the O$_2$ concentrations (mg O$_2$ L^{-1}) after background correction

was performed in *FishResp* (Morozov *et al.* 2019). We used two methods to identify the

slope of the steepest decrease in O$_2$ saturation. First, we used package *respR* (Harianto *et al.*

2019) with the function *auto_rate*, fitting one and two-minute windows (Little *et al.* 2020)

(example slope in Fig. S5A). Second, slopes for MMR were extracted using a derivative of a

polynomial curve fitted on each measurement (function *smooth.spline,* df=10). This is the

'*spline-MMR*' method (Fig. S5B). The slopes were then used to calculate MMR in mg O$_2$ h^{-1}

using *FishResp*-package function *calculate.MR*. MMR values calculated by the 1-min *respR*

and *spline-MMR* approaches were highly correlated (Pearson-r = 0.98, 95% confidence

interval 0.98 – 0.99). We selected the spline-MMR data for further analysis. Absolute aerobic

scope (AS, the difference of MMR and SMR) was calculated using the MLND SMR data and

the spline-MMR data. For further details on SMR and MMR analyses, see online

supplementary information and data availability.

Statistical analyses

To test for the effects of treatment and genotype on metabolic variables, we ran separate

linear mixed models using SMR, MMR, and AS as response variables. This mixed model

framework allowed us to account for variation arising from i) fish body size, ii) family effects

(background genetic variation between families and tank effects), iii) timing of experiments

in relation to photoperiod and temperature during rearing, i.e., batch effects, iv) and technical

variation related to MMR measurements. Only individuals homozygous for both *vgll3* and

six6 were included in the analysis because individuals heterozygous for either locus were not

measured from all families.

The response variables and body mass as a covariate were $\log_{10}$-transformed to account for

allometric scaling of metabolic rate. We included treatment, *vgll3* and *six6* genotypes, and

sex as fixed effects in all models. Random effects for SMR included family and measurement

batch. For MMR and AS they included family and the chamber where MMR was measured.

The chamber effect accounted for random variation among persons performing the chase

(half of the trials were consistently performed by the same person, J.P., the rest were divided

among three people), and variation due to the timing of the chase test (chamber order was

always the same when placing fish into chambers with only a few exceptions). Inclusion of

the name of person performing the chase as a random effect did not change the results of the

analysis or decrease the residual variance. Batch was not included as a random effect in

MMR and AS models due to model singularity. To test if genotype-specific metabolic rates

were affected by sex –because females mature later than males in both early and late age-at-

maturity genotypes– and by food availability, i.e., to test for genotype-by-environment

interaction, we fitted additional interactions into the models, including pairwise interactions

between *vgll3* and *six6* genotypes, between genotypes and treatment, and between genotypes

and sex. Further, the interaction of $\log_{10}$ body mass with treatment was included to test for

potential treatment-specific allometric scaling of metabolic rate, which may be related to

body composition differences between treatments. The full models were reduced by omitting

non-significant interactions in a stepwise process based on Type III test p-values to obtain

estimates for significant interaction effects. When interactions between fixed genotype effects

were significant, p-values for the pairwise differences between genotypes were obtained by

post hoc analysis using package *emmeans* (Lenth 2020). A summary of model parameters is

shown in Table S3.

All models were fitted with package *lme4* v. 1.1-26 (Bates *et al.* 2015) in R v. 3.6.2 (R Core

Team 2019) with an alpha-value 0.05. P- and F-test values for fixed effects were computed

using type III tests with Satterthwaite's method. Residuals of models were confirmed to be

homoscedastic and normally distributed; only the residuals of SMR between the high food

and low food treatments were slightly heteroscedastic, which may have made the test more

conservative, but the residuals between sexes and genotypes were homoscedastic. Outliers

were identified with function *outlierTest* (Bonferroni-corrected $p < 0.05$, package *car*). One

outlier was identified and removed from each of SMR and MMR, after which models were

rerun. Predicted means were obtained with function *ggpredict* in package *ggeffects* (Lüdecke

2018). The data were visualized using *ggplot2* v.3.3.3 (Wickham 2016) and *interactions*

(Long 2019). Pearson's correlation coefficient among mass- and family-corrected SMR,

MMR and AS were calculated using residuals from a mixed model with each response

variable $\log_{10}$ transformed, with $\log_{10}$-transformed body mass as covariate and with family as

random effect.

Results

Low food treatment decreased both the specific growth rate and condition factor of the fish

compared to high food treatment (Fig. S6). The mean body length of fish was 70.6 ± 4.5 and

66.2 ± 4.9mm (SD), and the mean body mass was 4.2 ± 0.8 and 3.3 ± 0.8g after the high and

low food treatment, respectively.

Standard metabolic rate

There was no significant genotype, food availability or sex effect on SMR (Table 1, Fig. 2).

There was a marginally significant interaction effect of *six6* and food availability on SMR (p

$= 0.045$ in the full model, $p = 0.055$ in the simplified model, Table S4), but none of the

pairwise contrasts were significant (the largest effect being: *six6* EE-genotype, high food vs.

low food, $t_{25.6} = -2.37$, $p = 0.11$). The metabolic scaling exponent, *b*, i.e., the slope of log

SMR with log body mass, was significantly higher in the high food treatment (0.94, $R^2 =$

0.73) than in the low food treatment (0.87, $R^2 = 0.69$) (Fig. S7a, $p = 0.025$, Table 1).

Maximum metabolic rate

Fish with the *vgll3* early maturation genotype had a higher MMR than fish with the late maturation genotype (Fig. 2, Table 1). *Vgll3* genotype also interacted with *six6*, such that MMR was decreased when late maturation genotypes of the two loci cooccurred compared to other genotype combinations (Fig. 2, Table 1). None of the treatment-genotype or sex-genotype interactions or the main effects of sex or food availability had a significant effect on MMR (Table S5). Unlike in SMR, the metabolic scaling of MMR was not significantly affected by food treatment ($b = 0.86$, $R^2 = 0.76$).

Aerobic scope

Fish with the *vgll3* early maturation genotype had an approximately 4.5% higher AS compared to fish with the late-maturation genotype (Fig. 2, Table 1, predicted means 458.9 and 439.2 mg O_2 kg^{-1} h^{-1} for early and late maturation genotypes, respectively). AS was increased by the low food availability compared to high food availability, but only in smaller fish (interaction $p = 0.049$, Table 1); scaling exponent $b = 0.94$ ($R^2 = 0.57$) in the high food and 0.90 ($R^2 = 0.68$) in the low food treatment (Fig. S7b). The *vgll3* and food treatment effects were also significant when mass adjusted SMR was included as a covariate in the model (Table S6), indicating that the genotype effect was independent of SMR. The *six6* or sex effects were not significant, and there were no significant interaction effects between genotypes and treatment or sex on AS (full model in Table S7).

Fig 2. Predicted means for standard metabolic rate (SMR), maximum metabolic rate (MMR),

and aerobic scope (AS) in *vgll3* and *six6* early- and late-maturation genotypes with 90%

confidence intervals. The means are predicted for a female, 3.7g individual in the high food

treatment. Values were back transformed to linear scale. P-values show significant pairwise

differences between genotypes for MMR on top of the points, and for the *vgll3* main effects

in MMR and AS between the points. N = 60–71 in each genotype combination (same

individuals used for all traits).

Table 1. Simplified linear mixed models for $\log_{10}$-transformed metabolic phenotypes. Full models are shown in the supplemental material. P -values obtained by Type III test (Satterthwaite's method).

Response variable	Coefficient	Estimate	SE	SSq	Den DF	F (type III)	p
SMR	Intercept	-0.797	0.036				
	Treatment (low food)	-0.055	0.036	0.003	241.85	2.34	0.127
	Sex (male)	0.002	0.005	0.0002	248.04	0.14	0.706
	Vgll3 (LL)	-0.007	0.005	0.003	252.11	1.82	0.179
	Six6 (LL)	-0.005	0.005	0.001	248.00	0.98	0.324
	Log$_{10}$ body mass	**0.897**	**0.049**	**1.498**	**258.76**	**1026.08**	**<0.0001**
	Treatment × log$_{10}$ body mass	**0.135**	**0.06**	**0.007**	**258.83**	**5.09**	**0.025**
	Random effect	**Var**	**2.5% C.I.**	**97.5% C.I.**			
	Batch	0.0005	0.0002	0.0012			
	Family	0.0013	0.0003	0.0081			
	Residual	0.0015					
MMR	Intercept	-0.133	0.021				
	Treatment (low food)	0.007	0.006	0.002	248.56	1.27	0.262
	Sex (male)	-0.004	0.005	0.001	253.69	0.57	0.451
	***Vgll3* (LL)**	**-0.006**	**0.008**	**0.021**	**252.73**	**12.05**	**0.001**
	Six6 (LL)	0.004	0.007	0.004	253.82	2.05	0.154
	Log$_{10}$ body mass	**0.847**	**0.029**	**1.429**	**255.78**	**827.34**	**<0.0001**
	Vgll3 (LL) × Six6 (LL)	**-0.024**	**0.010**	**0.009**	**252.37**	**5.11**	**0.025**
	Random effect	**Var**	**2.5% C.I.**	**97.5% C.I.**			
	Chamber	0.0003	0.0001	0.0007			
	Family	0.0003	0.0001	0.0020			
	Residual	0.0017					
AS	Intercept	-0.308	0.044				
	Treatment (low food)	**0.104**	**0.048**	**0.013**	**245.78**	**4.64**	**0.032**
	Sex (male)	-0.006	0.007	0.002	241.96	0.68	0.412
	***Vgll3* (LL)**	**-0.019**	**0.007**	**0.022**	**241.36**	**7.79**	**0.006**
	Six6 (LL)	-0.008	0.007	0.004	243.55	1.38	0.241
	Log$_{10}$ body mass	**0.947**	**0.066**	**1.266**	**244.34**	**452.65**	**<0.0001**
	Treatment × log$_{10}$ body mass	**-0.163**	**0.082**	**0.011**	**245.94**	**3.92**	**0.049**
	Random effect	**Var**	**2.5% C.I.**	**97.5% C.I.**			
	Chamber	0.0004	0.0001	0.0012			
	Family	0.0008	0.0002	0.0053			
	Residual	0.0028					

Correlations among metabolic phenotypes

There was a positive correlation between mass- and family- corrected SMR and MMR in the
high food, but not the low food treatment (Fig. 3), and a very strong correlation between
MMR and AS in both treatments (Table 2).

Fig 3. Scatter plots of family- and mass-corrected SMR and MMR in high and low food
treatments. Dots represent individuals. Correlation coefficients are shown in Table 2. N = 100
(high food) and 153 (low food).

Table 2. Pearson's correlation coefficients between metabolic phenotypes in high food (above diagonal) and low food (below diagonal) treatments. P-values given in parentheses.

	rSMR	rMMR	rAbsAS
rSMR		**0.28 (0.004)**	0.13 (0.09)
rMMR	0.11 (0.16)		**0.99 (<0.001)**
rAbsAS	**-0.17 (0.04)**	**0.96 (<0.001)**	

Discussion

High growth and accumulation of energy reserves in the juvenile stage leads to faster
maturation in salmonids (Skilbrei 1989; Hutchings & Jones 1998) and in several other taxa
(Frisch 1985; Weimerskirch 1992; Cheung *et al.* 1997). Here, we found that the *vgll3* early
maturation genotype increased the aerobic scope (AS) of juvenile Atlantic salmon compared
to the late maturation genotype. A previous study showed that higher condition factor,
mediated by the *vgll3* early maturation genotype, positively affected the initiation of male
parr maturation (Debes *et al.* 2021). The results presented here suggest superior resource
acquisition or assimilation via higher AS as a potential mechanism by which an increased
condition factor in *vgll3* early maturation -genotype males could be achieved compared to

late maturation -genotype conspecifics. The two-locus system system in our study was found to exhibit physiological epistasis (Cheverud & Routman 1995), as the cooccurrence of the late maturing genotypes in both loci resulted in lower maximum metabolic rate (MMR) than their additive effects. The epistasis may help to maintain genetic variation under rapid adaptive responses (Cheverud & Routman 1996; Merilä & Sheldon 1999). The functional effects of the different genotypes that may explain the epistasis are not well known, but both *six6* and *vgll3* are expressed during development and have been implicated in the control of cell fate commitment and the hypothalamus-pituitary-gonad axis in salmon (Kurko *et al.* 2020; Moustakas-Verho *et al.* 2020). Furthermore, although genetic variation in both genomic regions is a strong predictor of the age structure of populations at maturity, the effect of *six6* is diminished after correcting for population stratification (Barson *et al.* 2015), suggesting a functional difference in their effects on age-at-maturity. Hence, the epistatic interaction between two genomic regions may stem from, e.g., developmental canalisation (Debat & David 2001) that leads to functional alterations in morphology and physiology in different genotype combinations. The *vgll3* genomic region is the major genetic axis explaining variation in age-at-maturity in salmon, and *vgll3* variation is also spatially divergent among populations and under rapid adaptative evolution (Barson *et al.* 2015; Czorlich *et al.* 2018; Pritchard *et al.* 2018; Zueva *et al.* 2021). Thus, addressing the causal physiological and morphological mechanisms of the link between the genomic regions and aerobic performance will shed light into the mechanisms of life history evolution in salmon.

Unlike AS and MMR, SMR did not exhibit *vgll3*-linked covariation with age-at-maturity. Likewise, a decoupling of SMR and AS in relation to life-history variation was found by Archer *et al.* (2020) in resident and migratory brown trout (*Salmo trutta*), and MMR (a strong predictor of AS in our study), but not SMR, was positively selected for in Atlantic salmon under high food competition (Auer *et al.* 2018a). A lack of differences in SMR across the *vgll3* genotypes was also found in our parallel study, in which the fish were smaller (mean ~1g) compared to this study (mean ~4g) (Åsheim *et al.* 2021). Nevertheless, the result is unexpected, as SMR, or basal metabolic rate in endotherms, has been proposed to explain life-history variation along the fast-slow axis (Boratynski *et al.* 2013; Auer *et al.* 2018b), but see (Polverino *et al.* 2018). Even though we do not rule out the possibility of SMR affecting variation in age-at-maturity phenotypically or via small-effect loci (Johnston *et al.* 2014; Sinclair-Waters *et al.* 2020), our results suggest that the genetic control of maturation by the *vgll3* genomic region via AS mostly involves physiological pathways that do not alter SMR

simultaneously, at least at this developmental stage. Such pathways may be related to oxygen

demand by tissues or its supply (uptake, transport, or unloading) during stress and/or

exhaustive exercise. For example, structural and functional variation in the heart (i.e., cardiac

output) or muscle (Gamperl & Farrell 2004; Anttila & Mänttäri 2009), and mechanisms that

modulate oxygen carrying capacity of the cardiovascular system might invoke changes in AS

without altering SMR (Harter *et al.* 2019; Nikinmaa *et al.* 2019; McArley *et al.* 2021). The

observed low and lacking correlation between SMR and MMR in the high and low food

treatments, respectively, also emphasises that SMR and MMR are mostly related to different

underlying traits.

The timing of maturation, just as many life-history traits, depends on reaching a certain body

size threshold, i.e., the acquisition of sufficient energy that can be allocated for maturation

processes (Moghadam *et al.* 2007; Taranger *et al.* 2010; Verta *et al.* 2020). Because of

context-dependent covariation between metabolism and growth rate (see meta-analysis by

Auer *et al.* (2020b)), we tested if resource availability modified the genetic covariation

between metabolic phenotypes and age-at-maturity. Against our predictions, our experiments

did not reveal a change in SMR or MMR, or genotype-by-environment interactions, due to

feed restriction, despite a strong decrease in growth rate. The average increase in AS

observed in the low food compared to high food availability was specific to small size

classes, and possibly related to lower relative amount of adipose tissue that may affect the

body mass -adjusted AS. The lack of genotype-by-environment interactions indicates that

salmon age-at-maturity genotypes coped equally well with the resource variation we applied.

The lack of SMR response likely indicates that the metabolic activity of tissues did not

respond to the feeding regime we had implemented (Norin & Malte 2012). However, a

stronger feed deprivation could have resulted in a more pronounced effect on SMR, as found

in other studies (O'Connor *et al.* 2000; Auer *et al.* 2015b). Our low food treatment included

approximately 3 days of fasting in between feeding to satiation, similar to a "feast and

famine" feeding strategy (Armstrong & Schindler 2011). A lack of metabolic response to

reduced food availability may be beneficial if it allows the individual to maximize acquisition

via food assimilation when this strategy is used.

Salmon in the wild are increasingly experiencing higher than optimal temperatures due to

climate change (Friedland *et al.* 2009), and MMR is typically less plastic than SMR in

response to environmental temperature (Sandblom *et al.* 2016). Thus, the differences in

aerobic scope between *vgll3* early and late maturation genotypes may be reflected in the resource acquisition and growth of juvenile salmon at elevated temperatures. Under these conditions, higher aerobic scope may enable higher feeding capacity (Auer *et al.* 2015a), because a temperature-related reduction in aerobic scope due to increased SMR and specific dynamic action (the post-feeding increase in metabolic rate) could reduce appetite in fishes, as is hypothesized in Jutfelt *et al.* (2021). Thus, our results indicate a potentially important advantage for individuals carrying the early maturation genotype under global warming. This advantage may also extend to higher survival of early maturing salmon relative to late maturing salmon in their spawning migration if the genotype effect on AS persists across life-stages (Clark *et al.* 2011; Eliason *et al.* 2011; Mottola *et al.* 2020). This may link aerobic performance to demographic changes in salmon populations. It can potentially also be relevant to survival of salmon after spawning, and thereby repeated spawning (iteroparity), because iteroparity tendency is co-inherited with the same *vgll3* genotype as early maturation (Aykanat *et al.* 2019).

Salmon males mature on average earlier than females (Fleming & Einum 2011) and the *vgll3* locus is associated with freshwater maturation in male parr (Debes *et al.* 2021; Verta *et al.* 2020). Other studies in salmonids have found sex differences in the performance of 2+ year-old or mature individuals, including a higher aerobic scope, but not SMR, and a higher cost of swimming in males than in females (Clark *et al.* 2011; Makiguchi *et al.* 2017; Archer *et al.* 2020). The lack of sex differences in metabolic phenotypes in our study both across and within age-at-maturity genotypes, therefore, supports the conclusion that sex-dependent life-history variation is not reflected in metabolic rates during the juvenile stage, as suggested by a few other studies (Regnier *et al.* 2015; Prokkola *et al.* 2021; Åsheim *et al.* 2021). Recently, it was also shown that salmon females have a higher size threshold for maturation after one year at sea compared to males (Tréhin *et al.* 2021), and further studies are required to link the performance differences and sex-specific maturation schedules of salmon at sea.

Our experiment focussed on the genetic component determining age-at-maturity – rearing fish until maturation was out of our scope, excluding the possibility to evaluate the environmental component. The presence of genetic covariation between aerobic scope and age-at-maturity constrains evolution because selection acting on either trait would alter the phenotypic variation of the other (Lande 1979; Roff 1997). For example, natural selection favouring later age-at-maturity would indirectly constrain the aerobic scope of juveniles to a

lower level, even if that may be a suboptimal phenotype. On the other hand, the genetic

covariation between aerobic scope at the juvenile stage and age-at-maturity may help

maintain optimal trait variation in age-at-maturity, e.g., by constraining the potentially

maladaptive environmentally induced variation in age-at-maturity (e.g., De Jong 1999; Tufto

2000). River geophysical properties are important determinants of the optimal age structure

of populations at maturity, whereby populations in smaller tributaries have a younger and

populations in large, fast-flowing rivers have an older age structure (Fleming & Einum 2011).

Therefore, forecasting the optimal age-at-maturity from juvenile phenotypic performance

(i.e., growth) would be maladaptive for salmon individuals if covariation between

performance traits and age at maturity was explained entirely by environmental effects. It is

possible that genetic covariation between juvenile performance and life-history variation also

occurs in other taxa in which environmental characteristics are associated with optimal life-

history strategies.

Understanding the physiological basis of life-history variation in different life-stages and

environmental conditions can provide insights into the factors driving life-history evolution,

and hence, better predictions of the responses of populations to environmental changes. Wild

salmon populations have declined in recent decades, with a concomitant decrease in the

frequency of late maturing individuals in Arctic populations (Friedland *et al.* 2009; Czorlich

et al. 2018). Our study provides evidence that salmon that have a genetic tendency for late

maturation also have a genetic tendency for reduced aerobic scope in the juvenile stage

compared to salmon with a genetic tendency for early maturation, indicating that evolution

towards an earlier age-at-maturity can cause correlated selection towards increased aerobic

scope. In conclusion, our study demonstrates an eco-physiological approach to functionally

validate adaptive genes identified from genomic scans and emphasizes the value of better

integration of aerobic scope into the theoretical predictions on life-history variation.

Data availability

The data from metabolic rate measurements are available in Zenodo

(10.5281/zenodo.5153281). The R codes for SMR, MMR and statistical analysis are available

in an archived repository (v.1.0.0, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.5168998).

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Supplemental Material

Supplemental material: Pdf-file with Material and Methods, Figures, and Tables.

References

Anttila, K. & Mänttäri, S. (2009) Ultrastructural differences and histochemical characteristics in swimming muscles between wild and reared Atlantic salmon. *Acta Physiologica,* **196,** 249-257.

Archer, L.C., Hutton, S.A., Harman, L., Poole, W.R., Gargan, P., McGinnity, P. & Reed, T.E. (2020) Metabolic traits in brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) vary in response to food restriction and intrinsic factors. *Conservation Physiology,* **8**, coaa096.

Armstrong, J.B. & Schindler, D.E. (2011) Excess digestive capacity in predators reflects a life of feast and famine. *Nature,* **476,** 84-87.

Arnott, S.A., Chiba, S. & Conover, D.O. (2006) Evolution of intrinsic growth rate: Metabolic costs drive trade-offs between growth and swimming performance in *Menidia menidia. Evolution,* **60,** 1269-1278.

Auer, S.K., Anderson, G.J., McKelvey, S., Bassar, R.D., McLennan, D., Armstrong, J.D., Nislow, K.H., Downie, H.K., McKelvey, L., Morgan, T.A.J., Salin, K., Orrell, D.L., Gauthey, A., Reid, T.C. & Metcalfe, N.B. (2018a) Nutrients from salmon parents alter selection pressures on their offspring. *Ecology Letters,* **21,** 287-295.

Auer, S.K., Bassar, R.D., Turek, D., Anderson, G.J., McKelvey, S., Armstrong, J.D., Nislow, K.H., Downie, H.K., Morgan, T.A.J., McLennan, D. & Metcalfe, N.B. (2020a) Metabolic rate interacts with resource availability to determine individual variation in microhabitat use in the wild. *American Naturalist,* **196,** 132-144.

Auer, S.K., Dick, C.A., Metcalfe, N.B. & Reznick, D.N. (2018b) Metabolic rate evolves rapidly and in parallel with the pace of life history. *Nature Communications,* **9**.

Auer, S.K., Salin, K., Anderson, G.J. & Metcalfe, N.B. (2015a) Aerobic scope explains individual variation in feeding capacity. *Biology Letters,* **11**.

Auer, S.K., Salin, K., Rudolf, A.M., Anderson, G.J. & Metcalfe, N.B. (2015b) Flexibility in metabolic rate confers a growth advantage under changing food availability. *Journal of Animal Ecology,* **84,** 1405-1411.

Auer, S.K., Salin, K., Rudolf, A.M., Anderson, G.J. & Metcalfe, N.B. (2015c) The optimal
combination of standard metabolic rate and aerobic scope for somatic growth depends
on food availability. *Functional Ecology,* **29,** 479-486.

Auer, S.K., Solowey, J.R., Rajesh, S. & Rezende, E.L. (2020b) Energetic mechanisms for
coping with changes in resource availability. *Biology Letters,* **16**.

Aykanat, T., Ozerov, M., Vähä, J.P., Orell, P., Niemelä, E., Erkinaro, J. & Primmer, C.R.
(2019) Co-inheritance of sea age at maturity and iteroparity in the Atlantic salmon
vgll3 genomic region. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology,* **32,** 343-355.

Aykanat, T., Rasmussen, M., Ozerov, M., Niemelä, E., Paulin, L., Vähä, J.P., Hindar, K.,
Wennevik, V., Pedersen, T., Svenning, M.A. & Primmer, C.R. (2020) Life-history
genomic regions explain differences in Atlantic salmon marine diet specialization.
Journal of Animal Ecology, **89,** 2677-2691.

Ayllon, F., Kjaerner-Semb, E., Furmanek, T., Wennevik, V., Solberg, M.F., Dahle, G.,
Taranger, G.L., Glover, K.A., Almen, M.S., Rubin, C.J., Edvardsen, R.B. &
Wargelius, A. (2015) The *vgll3* locus controls age at maturity in wild and
domesticated Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) males. *PLoS Genetics,* **11**.

Barson, N.J., Aykanat, T., Hindar, K., Baranski, M., Bolstad, G.H., Fiske, P., Jacq, C.,
Jensen, A.J., Johnston, S.E., Karlsson, S., Kent, M., Oen, T.M., Niemelä, E., Nome,
T., Naesje, T.F., Orell, P., Romakkaniemi, A., Saegrov, H., Urdal, K., Erkinaro, J.,
Lien, S. & Primmer, C.R. (2015) Sex-dependent dominance at a single locus
maintains variation in age at maturity in salmon. *Nature,* **528,** 405-408.

Bates, D., Machler, M., Bolker, B.M. & Walker, S.C. (2015) Fitting linear mixed-effects
models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software,* **67,** 1-48.

Boratynski, Z., Koskela, E., Mappes, T. & Schroderus, E. (2013) Quantitative genetics and
fitness effects of basal metabolism. *Evolutionary Ecology,* **27,** 301-314.

Brett, J.R. (1964) The respiratory metabolism and swimming performance of young sockeye
salmon. *Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada,* **21,** 1183-1226.

Brown, J.H., Gillooly, J.F., Allen, A.P., Savage, V.M. & West, G.B. (2004) Toward a
metabolic theory of ecology. *Ecology,* **85,** 1771-1789.

Burton, T., Killen, S.S., Armstrong, J.D. & Metcalfe, N.B. (2011) What causes intraspecific
variation in resting metabolic rate and what are its ecological consequences?
Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences, **278,** 3465-3473.

Careau, V., Thomas, D., Pelletier, F., Turki, L., Landry, F., Garant, D. & Réale, D. (2011)
Genetic correlation between resting metabolic rate and exploratory behaviour in deer
mice (*Peromyscus maniculatus*). *Journal of Evolutionary Biology,* **24,** 2153-2163.

Chabot, D., Steffensen, J.F. & Farrell, A.P. (2016) The determination of standard metabolic
rate in fishes. *Journal of Fish Biology,* **88,** 81-121.

Chaput, G. (2012) Overview of the status of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) in the North
Atlantic and trends in marine mortality. *ICES Journal of Marine Science,* **69,** 1538-
1548.

Cheung, C.C., Thornton, J.E., Kuijper, J.L., Weigle, D.S., Clifton, D.K. & Steiner, R.A.
(1997) Leptin is a metabolic gate for the onset of puberty in the female rat.
Endocrinology, **138,** 855-858.

Cheverud, J.M. & Routman, E.J. (1995) Epistasis and its contribution to genetic variance
components. *Genetics,* **139,** 1455-1461.

Cheverud, J.M. & Routman, E.J. (1996) Epistasis as a source of increased additive genetic
variance at population bottlenecks. *Evolution,* **50,** 1042-1051.

Clark, T.D., Jeffries, K.M., Hinch, S.G. & Farrell, A.P. (2011) Exceptional aerobic scope and
cardiovascular performance of pink salmon (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*) may underlie
resilience in a warming climate. *Journal of Experimental Biology,* **214,** 3074-3081.

Czorlich, Y., Aykanat, T., Erkinaro, J., Orell, P. & Primmer, C.R. (2018) Rapid sex-specific
evolution of age at maturity is shaped by genetic architecture in Atlantic salmon.
Nature Ecology & Evolution, **2,** 1800-1807.

Czorlich, Y., Aykanat, T., Erkinaro, J., Orell, P. & Primmer, C.R. (2021) Evolution in salmon
life-history induced by direct and indirect effects of fishing. *bioRxiv*
2021.01.08.425869.

Dammhahn, M., Dingemanse, N.J., Niemelä, P.T. & Réale, D. (2018) Pace-of-life
syndromes: a framework for the adaptive integration of behaviour, physiology and life
history. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology,* **72**, 62.

De Jong, G. (1999) Unpredictable selection in a structured population leads to local genetic
differentiation in evolved reaction norms. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology,* **12,** 839-
851.

Debat, V. & David, P. (2001) Mapping phenotypes: canalization, plasticity and
developmental stability. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution,* **16,** 555-561.

Debes, P.V., Piavchenko, N., Ruokolainen, A., Ovaskainen, O., Moustakas-Verho, J.E.,
Parre, N., Aykanat, T., Erkinaro, J. & Primmer, C.R. (2021) Polygenic and major-

661    locus contributions to sexual maturation timing in Atlantic salmon. *Molecular*

662    *Ecology*. Early online.

663 Eliason, E.J., Clark, T.D., Hague, M.J., Hanson, L.M., Gallagher, Z.S., Jeffries, K.M., Gale,

664    M.K., Patterson, D.A., Hinch, S.G. & Farrell, A.P. (2011) Differences in thermal

665    tolerance among sockeye salmon populations. *Science,* **332,** 109-112.

666 Eliason, E.J., Wilson, S.M., Farrell, A.P., Cooke, S.J. & Hinch, S.G. (2013) Low cardiac and

667    aerobic scope in a coastal population of sockeye salmon *Oncorhynchus nerka* with a

668    short upriver migration. *Journal of Fish Biology,* **82,** 2104-2112.

669 Elliott, J.M. & Hurley, M.A. (1997) A functional model for maximum growth of Atlantic

670    Salmon parr, *Salmo salar*, from two populations in northwest England. *Functional*

671    *Ecology,* **11,** 592-603.

672 Farrell, A.P. (2009) Environment, antecedents and climate change: lessons from the study of

673    temperature physiology and river migration of salmonids. *Journal of Experimental*

674    *Biology,* **212,** 3771-3780.

675 Fleming, I.A. & Einum, S. (2011) Reproductive ecology: A tale of two sexes. *In:* Aas et al.

676    *Atlantic Salmon Ecology*, pp. 33–65. Wiley-Blackwell.

677 Forstner, H. (1983) An automated multiple-chamber intermittent-flow respirometer. pp. 111-

678    126. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg.

679 Friedland, K.D., MacLean, J.C., Hansen, L.P., Peyronnet, A.J., Karlsson, L., Reddin, D.G.,

680    Maoileidigh, N.O. & McCarthy, J.L. (2009) The recruitment of Atlantic salmon in

681    Europe. *ICES Journal of Marine Science,* **66,** 289-304.

682 Frisch, R.E. (1985) Fatness, menarche, and female fertility. *Perspectives in Biology and*

683    *Medicine,* **28,** 611-633.

684 Fry, F. E. J. (1947) Effects of the environment on animal activity. Ontario Fisheries Research

685    Laboratory Publication, Biol. Ser. 1-62.

686 Gamperl, A.K. & Farrell, A.P. (2004) Cardiac plasticity in fishes: environmental influences

687    and intraspecific differences. *Journal of Experimental Biology,* **207,** 2539-2550.

688 Gillespie, J.H. & Turelli, M. (1989) Genotype-environment interactions and the maintenance

689    of polygenic variation. *Genetics,* **121,** 129-138.

690 Gorodilov, Y.N. (1996) Description of the early ontogeny of the Atlantic salmon, *Salmo*

691    *salar*, with a novel system of interval (state) identification. *Environmental Biology of*

692    *Fishes* **47**, 109-127.

Gutteling, E.W., Doroszuk, A., Riksen, J.A.G., Prokop, Z., Reszka, J. & Kammenga, J.E.
(2007) Environmental influence on the genetic correlations between life-history traits
in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Heredity,* **98,** 206-213.

Harianto, J., Carey, N. & Byrne, M. (2019) respR-An R package for the manipulation and
analysis of respirometry data. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution,* **10,** 912-920.

Harter, T.S., Zanuzzo, F.S., Supuran, C.T., Gamperl, A.K. & Brauner, C.J. (2019) Functional
support for a novel mechanism that enhances tissue oxygen extraction in a teleost fish.
Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences, **286**, 20190339.

He, C.L., Holme, J. & Anthony, J. (2014) SNP Genotyping: The KASP Assay. *Crop*
Breeding: Methods and Protocols, **1145,** 75-86.

Hendry, A.P., Berg, O.K. & Quinn, T.P. (1999) Condition dependence and adaptation-by-
time: breeding date, life history, and energy allocation within a population of salmon.
Oikos, **85,** 499-514.

Hughes, K.A., Rodd, F.H. & Reznick, D.N. (2005) Genetic and environmental effects on
secondary sex traits in guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*). *Journal of Evolutionary Biology,*
18, 35-45.

Hutchings, J.A. & Jones, M.E.B. (1998) Life history variation and growth rate thresholds for
maturity in Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic*
Sciences, **55,** 22-47.

Johnston, S.E., Orell, P., Pritchard, V.L., Kent, M.P., Lien, S., Niemelä, E., Erkinaro, J. &
Primmer, C.R. (2014) Genome-wide SNP analysis reveals a genetic basis for sea-age
variation in a wild population of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Molecular Ecology,*
23, 3452-3468.

Jutfelt, F., Norin, T., Åsheim, E.R., Rowsey, L.E., Andreassen, A.H., Morgan, R., Clark, T.D.
& Speers-Roesch, B. (2021) 'Aerobic scope protection' reduces ectotherm growth
under warming. *Functional Ecology. Early online.*

Killen, S., Christensen, E., Cortese, D., Zavorka, L., Norin, T., Cotgrove, L., Crespel, A.,
Munson, A., Nati, J., Papatheodoulou, M. & McKenzie, D. (2021) Guidelines for
reporting methods to estimate metabolic rates by aquatic intermittent-flow
respirometry. *EcoEvoRxiv*. ecoevorxiv.org/gnzh7

Killen, S.S., Glazier, D.S., Rezende, E.L., Clark, T.D., Atkinson, D., Willener, A.S.T. &
Halsey, L.G. (2016) Ecological influences and morphological correlates of resting and
maximal metabolic rates across teleost fish species. *American Naturalist,* **187,** 592-
606.

Killen, S.S., Marras, S. & McKenzie, D.J. (2011) Fuel, fasting, fear: routine metabolic rate
and food deprivation exert synergistic effects on risk-taking in individual juvenile
European sea bass. *Journal of Animal Ecology,* **80,** 1024-1033.

Krams, I.A., Niemelä, P.T., Trakimas, G., Krams, R., Burghardt, G.M., Krama, T., Kuusik,
A., Mand, M., Rantala, M.J., Mand, R., Kekälainen, J., Sirkka, I., Luoto, S. & Kortet,
R. (2017) Metabolic rate associates with, but does not generate covariation between,
behaviours in western stutter-trilling crickets, *Gryllus integer. Proceedings of the*
Royal Society B-Biological Sciences, **284**, 20162481.

Kurko, J., Debes, P.V., House, A.H., Aykanat, T., Erkinaro, J. & Primmer, C.R. (2020)
Transcription profiles of age-at-maturity-associated genes suggest cell fate
commitment regulation as a key factor in the Atlantic salmon maturation process. *G3-*
Genes Genomes Genetics, **10,** 235-246.

Lailvaux, S.P. & Husak, J.F. (2014) The life-history of whole-organism performance.
Quarterly Review of Biology, **89,** 285-318.

Lande, R. (1979) Quantitative genetic analysis of multivariate evolution, applied to brain-
body size allometry. *Evolution,* **33,** 402-416.

Lenth, R. V. (2020) emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means. R
package version 1.5.3.

Little, A.G., Dressler, T., Kraskura, K., Hardison, E., Hendriks, B., Prystay, T., Farrell, A.P.,
Cooke, S.J., Patterson, D.A., Hinch, S.G. & Eliason, E.J. (2020) Maxed out:
optimizing accuracy, precision, and power for field measures of maximum metabolic
rate in fishes. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology,* **93,** 243-254.

Long, J.A. (2019) interactions: Comprehensive, User-Friendly Toolkit for Probing
Interactions. R package version 1.1.0, https://cran.r-project.org/package=interactions.

Lüdecke, D. (2018). ggeffects: Tidy data frames of marginal effects from regression models.
Journal of Open Source Software 3, 772.

Maiti, U., Sadowska, E.T., Chrzascik, K.M. & Koteja, P. (2019) Experimental evolution of
personality traits: open-field exploration in bank voles from a multidirectional
selection experiment. *Current Zoology,* **65,** 375-384.

Makiguchi, Y., Nii, H., Nakao, K. & Ueda, H. (2017) Sex differences in metabolic rate and
swimming performance in pink salmon (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*): the effect of male
secondary sexual traits. *Ecology of Freshwater Fish,* **26,** 322-332.

Mathot, K.J., Dingemanse, N.J. & Nakagawa, S. (2019) The covariance between metabolic
rate and behaviour varies across behaviours and thermal types: meta-analytic insights.
Biological Reviews, **94,** 1056-1074.

McArley, T.J., Morgenroth, D., Zena, L.A., Ekström, A.E., Sandblom, E. (2021) Normoxic
limitation of maximal oxygen consumption rate, aerobic scope and cardiac
performance in exhaustively exercised rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Journal*
of Experimental Biology, jeb.242614.

Merilä, J. & Sheldon, B.C. (1999) Genetic architecture of fitness and nonfitness traits:
empirical patterns and development of ideas. *Heredity,* **83,** 103-109.

Metcalfe, N.B., Taylor, A.C. & Thorpe, J.E. (1995) Metabolic rate, social status and life-
history strategies in Atlantic salmon. *Animal Behaviour,* **49,** 431-436.

Metcalfe, N.B., Van Leeuwen, T.E. & Killen, S.S. (2016) Does individual variation in
metabolic phenotype predict fish behaviour and performance? *Journal of Fish*
Biology, **88,** 298-321.

Mobley, K.B., Aykanat, T., Czorlich, Y., House, A., Kurko, J., Miettinen, A., Moustakas-
Verho, J., Salgado, A., Sinclair-Waters, M., Verta, J.P. & Primmer, C.R. Maturation
in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*, Salmonidae): a synthesis of ecological, genetic, and
molecular processes. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* **31**, 523-571.

Moghadam, H.K., Poissant, J., Fotherby, H., Haidle, L., Ferguson, M.M. & Danzmann, R.G.
(2007) Quantitative trait loci for body weight, condition factor and age at sexual
maturation in Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*): comparative analysis with rainbow
trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Molecular Genetics*
and Genomics, **277,** 647-661.

Morozov, S., McCairns, R.J.S. & Merilä, J. (2019) FishResp: R package and GUI application
for analysis of aquatic respirometry data. *Conservation Physiology,* **7**, coz003.

Mottola, G., Kristensen, T. & Anttila, K. (2020) Compromised thermal tolerance of
cardiovascular capacity in upstream migrating Arctic char and brown trout-are hot
summers threatening migrating salmonids? *Conservation Physiology,* **8**, coaa101.

Moustakas-Verho, J.E., Kurko, J., House, A.H., Erkinaro, J., Debes, P. & Primmer, C.R.
(2020) Developmental expression patterns of six6: A gene linked with spawning
ecotypes in Atlantic salmon. *Gene Expression Patterns,* **38**, 119149.

Niemelä, P.T. & Dingemanse, N.J. (2018) Meta-analysis reveals weak associations between
intrinsic state and personality. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological*
Sciences, **285**, 20172823.

Niitepõld, K. (2010) Genotype by temperature interactions in the metabolic rate of the

794   Glanville fritillary butterfly. *Journal of Experimental Biology,* **213,** 1042-1048.

795   Nikinmaa, M., Berenbrink, M. & Brauner, C.J. (2019) Regulation of erythrocyte function:

796   Multiple evolutionary solutions for respiratory gas transport and its regulation in fish.

797   *Acta Physiologica,* **227**, e13299.

798   Norin, T. & Clark, T.D. (2016) Measurement and relevance of maximum metabolic rate in

799   fishes. *Journal of Fish Biology,* **88,** 122-151.

800   Norin, T. & Malte, H. (2012) Intraspecific variation in aerobic metabolic rate of fish:

801   relations with organ size and enzyme activity in brown trout. *Physiological and*

802   *Biochemical Zoology,* **85,** 645-656.

803   O'Connor, K.I., Taylor, A.C. & Metcalfe, N.B. (2000) The stability of standard metabolic rate

804   during a period of food deprivation in juvenile Atlantic salmon. *Journal of Fish*

805   *Biology,* **57,** 41-51.

806   Polverino, G., Santostefano, F., Diaz-Gil, C. & Mehner, T. (2018) Ecological conditions drive

807   pace-of-life syndromes by shaping relationships between life history, physiology and

808   behaviour in two populations of Eastern mosquitofish. *Scientific Reports,* **8**, 14673.

809   Priede, I.G. (1985) Metabolic scope in fishes. *In*: Tytler P., Calow P. (eds) Fish energetics.

810   Springer, Dordrecht.

811   Pritchard, V.L., Mäkinen, H., Vähä, J.P., Erkinaro, J., Orell, P. & Primmer, C.R. (2018)

812   Genomic signatures of fine-scale local selection in Atlantic salmon suggest

813   involvement of sexual maturation, energy homeostasis and immune defence-related

814   genes. *Molecular Ecology,* **27,** 2560-2575.

815   Prokkola, J.M., Alioravainen, N., Mehtätalo, L., Hyvärinen, P., Lemopoulos, A., Metso, S. &

816   Vainikka, A. (2021) Does parental angling selection affect the behavior or

817   metabolism of brown trout parr? *Ecology and Evolution,* **11,** 2630-2644.

818   R Core Team (2019). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation

819   for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

820   Raby, G.D., Doherty, C.L.J., Mokdad, A., Pitcher, T.E. & Fisk, A.T. (2020) Post-exercise

821   respirometry underestimates maximum metabolic rate in juvenile salmon.

822   *Conservation Physiology,* **8**, coaa063.

823   Regnier, T., Labonne, J., Chat, J., Yano, A., Guiguen, Y. & Bolliet, V. (2015) No early

824   gender effects on energetic status and life history in a salmonid. *Royal Society Open*

825   *Science,* **2**, 150441.

Ricklefs, R.E. & Wikelski, M. (2002) The physiology/life-history nexus. *Trends in Ecology*
& Evolution, **17,** 462-468.

Roff, D. A. (1997) Evolutionary quantitative genetics. Chapman and Hall, New York.

Roff, D.A. (1994) The evolution of dimorphic traits – Predicting the genetic correlation
between environments. *Genetics,* **136,** 395-401.

Rosenfeld, J., Richards, J., Allen, D., Van Leeuwen, T. & Monnet, G. (2020) Adaptive trade-
offs in fish energetics and physiology: insights from adaptive differentiation among
juvenile salmonids. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences,* **77,** 1243-
1255.

Rosenfeld, J., Van Leeuwen, T., Richards, J. & Allen, D. (2015) Relationship between
growth and standard metabolic rate: measurement artefacts and implications for
habitat use and life-history adaptation in salmonids. *Journal of Animal Ecology,* **84,** 4-
20.

Salminen, M. (1997) Relationships between smolt size, postsmolt growth and sea age at
maturity in Atlantic salmon ranched in the Baltic Sea. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology-*
Zeitschrift Fur Angewandte Ichthyologie, **13,** 121-130.

Sandblom, E., Clark, T.D., Gräns, A., Ekström, A., Brijs, J., Sundström, L.F., Odelström, A.,
Adill, A., Aho, T. & Jutfelt, F. (2016) Physiological constraints to climate warming in
fish follow principles of plastic floors and concrete ceilings. *Nature Communications,*
7, 11447.

Sinclair-Waters, M., Odegard, J., Korsvoll, S.A., Moen, T., Lien, S., Primmer, C.R. &
Barson, N.J. (2020) Beyond large-effect loci: large-scale GWAS reveals a mixed
large-effect and polygenic architecture for age at maturity of Atlantic salmon.
Genetics Selection Evolution, **52**, 9.

Skilbrei, O.T. (1989) Relationship between smolt length and growth and maturation in the sea
of individually tagged Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Aquaculture,* **83,** 95-108.

Stearns, S.C. (2000) Life history evolution: successes, limitations, and prospects.
Naturwissenschaften, **87,** 476-486.

Svendsen, M.B.S., Bushnell, P.G. & Steffensen, J.F. (2016) Design and setup of intermittent-
flow respirometry system for aquatic organisms. *Journal of Fish Biology,* **88,** 26-50.

Taranger, G.L., Carrillo, M., Schulz, R.W., Fontaine, P., Zanuy, S., Felip, A., Weltzien, F.A.,
Dufour, S., Karlsen, O., Norberg, B., Andersson, E. & Hausen, T. (2010) Control of
puberty in farmed fish. *General and Comparative Endocrinology,* **165,** 483-515.

Theriault, V., Garant, D., Bernatchez, L. & Dodson, J.J. (2007) Heritability of life-history
tactics and genetic correlation with body size in a natural population of brook charr
(*Salvelinus fontinalis*). *Journal of Evolutionary Biology,* **20,** 2266-2277.

Thorpe, J.E. (2007) Maturation responses of salmonids to changing developmental
opportunities. *Marine Ecology Progress Series,* **335,** 285-288.

Thorpe, J.E., Mangel, M., Metcalfe, N.B. & Huntingford, F.A. (1998) Modelling the
proximate basis of salmonid life-history variation, with application to Atlantic
salmon, *Salmo salar* L. *Evolutionary Ecology,* **12,** 581-599.

Tréhin, C., Rivot, E., Lamireau, L., Meslier, L., Besnard, A.-L., Gregory, S.D. & Nevoux, M.
(2021) Growth during the first summer at sea modulates sex-specific maturation
schedule in Atlantic salmon. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences,* **78,**
659-669.

Tufto, J. (2000) The evolution of plasticity and nonplastic spatial and temporal adaptations in
the presence of imperfect environmental cues. *American Naturalist,* **156,** 121-130.

Verta, J.P., Debes, P.V., Piavchenko, N., Ruokolainen, A., Ovaskainen, O., Moustakas-
Verho, J.E., Tillanen, S., Parre, N., Aykanat, T., Erkinaro, J. & Primmer, C.R. (2020)
Cis-regulatory differences in isoform expression associate with life history strategy
variation in Atlantic salmon. *PLoS Genetics,* **16**, e1009055.

Ward, A.J.W., Webster, M.M. & Hart, P.J.B. (2006) Intraspecific food competition in fishes.
Fish and Fisheries, **7,** 231-261.

Weimerskirch, H. (1992) Reproductive effort in long-lived birds – Age-specific patterns of
condition, reproduction and survival in the wandering albatross. *Oikos,* **64,** 464-473.

Wickham, H. (2016) ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New
York.

Zeng, L.Q., Zhang, A.J., Killen, S.S., Cao, Z.D., Wang, Y.X. & Fu, S.J. (2017) Standard
metabolic rate predicts growth trajectory of juvenile Chinese crucian carp (*Carassius*
auratus) under changing food availability. *Biology Open,* **6,** 1305-1309.

Zueva, K.J., Lumme, J., Veselov, A.E., Primmer, C.R. & Pritchard, V.L. (2021) Population
genomics reveals repeated signals of adaptive divergence in the Atlantic salmon of
north-eastern Europe. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology,* **34,** 866-878.

Åsheim, E. R., Prokkola, J. M., Morozov, S., Aykanat, T., Primmer, C. R. Standard metabolic
rate does not associate with age-at-maturity genotype in juvenile Atlantic salmon.
bioRxiv, https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.25.457673.