24-nt phasiRNAs move from tapetal to meiotic cells in maize anthers

Xue Zhou[1*], Kun Huang[2,3,4], Chong Teng[5], Ahmed Abdelgawad[6], Mona Batish[6,7], Blake C. Meyers[5,8] and Virginia Walbot[1]

[1]Department of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA; [2]Department of Plant and Soil Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA; [3]Delaware Biotechnology Institute, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA; [4]Dana-Farber Cancer Institute Molecular Imaging Core, 360 Longwood Ave, MA, 02215, USA. [5]Donald Danforth Plant Science Center, St. Louis, MO 63132, USA; [6]Department of Biological Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA; [7]Department of Medical and Molecular Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA; [8]Division of Plant Sciences, University of Missouri – Columbia, Columbia, MO 65211, USA

[*]**Author for correspondence:**

Xue Zhou

Tel: +1-530-760-5689

Email: xuezhouxz@gmail.com

Total word count for the main body of the text (Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Discussion): 5590

No. of Summary: 145

No. of Introduction: 972

No. of Materials and Methods: 1298

No. of Results: 2219

No. of Discussion: 1101

No. of Acknowledgments: 93

No. of Author contributions: 63

No. of Figures: 5 (Fig.1-5 in color)

No. of Tables: 0

No. of Supporting Information files: 16 (Fig. S1-S10 in color; Table S1-S6)

Summary

●   In maize, 24-nt phased, secondary small interfering RNAs (phasiRNAs) are abundant in
meiotic stage anthers, but their distribution and functions are not precisely known.

●   Using laser capture microdissection, we analyzed tapetal cells, meiocytes, and other somatic
cells at several stages of anther development to establish the timing of 24-*PHAS* precursor
transcripts and the 24-nt phasiRNA products.

●   By integrating RNA and small RNA profiling plus single-molecule and small RNA FISH
(smFISH or sRNA-FISH) spatial detection, we demonstrate that the tapetum is the primary
site of 24-*PHAS* precursor and *Dcl5* transcripts and the resulting 24-nt phasiRNAs.
Interestingly, 24-nt phasiRNAs accumulate in all cell types, with the highest levels in
meiocytes, followed by tapetum.

●   Our data support the conclusion that 24-nt phasiRNAs are mobile from tapetum to
meiocytes and to other somatic cells. We discuss possible roles for 24-nt phasiRNAs in
anther cell types.

Key words: *Zea mays* (maize), laser capture microdissection, smFISH/sRNA-FISH,
24-nt phasiRNA, mobility

Introduction

In plants, small RNAs (sRNAs) belong to three main categories: microRNAs (miRNAs) involved in target mRNA cleavage, heterochromatic small interfering RNAs (hc-siRNAs) involved in RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM), and phased, secondary small interfering RNAs (phasiRNAs). PhasiRNAs were initially reported in male reproductive organs of maize and rice (Johnson *et al.*, 2009; Song *et al.*, 2012a; Zhai *et al.*, 2015; Fei *et al.*, 2016; Tamim *et al.*, 2018; Teng *et al.*, 2020), and more recently in diverse monocots and eudicots (Kakrana *et al.*, 2018; Xia *et al.*, 2019; Patel *et al.*, 2021; Pokhrel *et al.*, 2021); they are found primarily in anthers. In most species, 21-nt phasiRNAs predominate during early anther development, while 24-nt phasiRNAs are abundant during meiosis.

PhasiRNA biogenesis starts with transcription of long noncoding precursors (*PHAS* transcripts) by RNA polymerase II. Subsequently, the *PHAS* transcripts are capped and polyadenylated, translocated to the cytoplasm, and then bound by ribosomes (Yang *et al.*, 2021). In the polysomal complex, an Argonaute-associated 22-nt miRNA complementary to sequences in the *PHAS* transcript binds to this precursor molecule and triggers cleavage. miR2118 is utilized for most 21-nt *PHAS* precursors and miR2275 for most 24-nt *PHAS* precursors based on the presence of complementary sequences; a fraction of precursors lacks such complementarity and may be processed utilizing other miRNAs. Then, the 3′ portions of cleaved transcripts are converted to double-stranded RNA by RNA-DEPENDENT RNA POLYMERASE 6 (RDR6), and subsequently processed by Dicer-like proteins (DCL4 for the 21-nt and DCL5 for the 24-nt type) to yield the phasiRNAs (Song *et al.*, 2012a; Song *et al.*, 2012b; Zhai *et al.*, 2015; Teng *et al.*, 2020). Despite the expectation of equal stoichiometry of all the products from one *PHAS* transcript, individual phasiRNA abundances derived from the same transcript can differ by more than 1000-fold indicating differential stabilization (Tamim *et al.*, 2018; Zhang *et al.*, 2021). It is possible that only these few abundant products generated from one precursor have functions.

In maize the 21-nt phasiRNAs are distributed throughout immature anther lobes based on *in situ* hybridizations with one or a few examples, although the production of miR2118 is restricted to the epidermis (Zhai *et al.*, 2015). Several observations associate phasiRNAs with male sterility. First, the absence of specific 21-nt phasiRNAs are implicated in causing male sterility in rice (Komiya *et al.*, 2014; Fan *et al.*, 2016). Second, in numerous male-sterile mutants of maize, either the 21-nt or 24-nt phasiRNAs are absent reflecting the tight control of the normal

timing of their appearance during development (Zhai *et al.*, 2015; Nan *et al.*, 2017; Teng *et al.*,

2020; Yadava *et al.*, 2021). More specifically addressing the role of 24-nt phasiRNAs, *dicer-*

like5 mutants unable to process most 24-nt *PHAS* precursors are male-sterile and exhibit aberrant

tapetal development under normal growing conditions (Teng *et al.*, 2020). In maize, the

expression of *PHAS* precursors and miR2275 as well as the accumulation of 24-nt phasiRNAs

occur mainly in the tapetum at the prophase I stage in anthers (Zhai *et al.*, 2015). Male-sterile

mutants with tapetal developmental defects evident during early meiotic stages are missing the

24-nt phasiRNAs (Nan *et al.*, 2017; Teng *et al.*, 2020). Interestingly, the male sterility cases in

rice and maize exhibit temperature-dependent male sterility: in appropriate growing conditions,

the plants are male-fertile despite the absence of specific or an entire class of phasiRNAs (Fan *et*

al., 2016; Teng *et al.*, 2020; Yadava *et al.*, 2021). This observation has led to the hypothesis that

phasiRNAs may buffer anther development against environmental perturbation, particularly in

the tapetum. In addition to the expectation that phasiRNAs could modulate mRNA half-life of

still poorly defined targets, the 24-nt phasiRNAs result in increased CHH DNA methylation at

24-*PHAS* loci in maize, as assessed in whole anthers (Zhang *et al.*, 2021). In analysis of isolated

meiocytes, 24-nt phasiRNAs have been detected, and there is an increase in CHH DNA

methylation, leading to the hypothesis that these phasiRNAs might regulate meiosis (Dukowic-

Schulze *et al.*, 2016) by an unknown mechanism related to *cis* methylation of their own loci. To

date, the precise role of 24-nt phasiRNAs in regulating maize anther development has remained

elusive.

sRNAs can function by travelling within and between organs: from the site of synthesis,

they can spread both to neighboring cells and systemically over long distance (Chitwood &

Timmermans, 2010; Dunoyer *et al.*, 2010; Molnar *et al.*, 2010). Cell-to-cell movement of sRNAs

is probably through plasmodesmata (PD), a plasma membrane-lined pore acting as an

intercellular channel that connects the cytoplasm of adjacent cells (Dunoyer *et al.*, 2013). To

date, the potential movement of 24-nt phasiRNAs from the site of biogenesis to the neighboring

cell layers in maize anthers has not been well studied. A major concern with our previous

localization analysis for 24-nt phasiRNAs and biogenesis components primarily to the tapetum is

that only a few examples were evaluated, and the probes could adhere to the callose coat

surrounding the germinal cells, yielding a non-specific signal (Zhai *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, in

meiotic stage maize anthers, two major questions should be addressed: 1) do meiocytes contain

all the components for generating 24-nt phasiRNAs? And 2) using RNA-seq and small RNA-seq,

what is the timing of synthesis and the distribution of these components in anther lobes?

To explore the distribution of 24-nt phasiRNA biogenesis components and the resulting

small RNAs, we used laser capture microdissection (LCM) to isolate meiocytes (ME), tapetum

(TAP), and other somatic cells (OSC) from two anther developmental stages for low input RNA-

seq and sRNA-seq and for a more comprehensive developmental analysis using RT-qPCR. By

integrating comprehensive RNA/sRNA profiling and single-molecule or sRNA FISH

(smFISH/sRNA-FISH) spatial detection, we demonstrate that TAP contain the most 24-*PHAS*

primary transcripts, whereas ME ultimately accumulate more 24-nt phasiRNAs at meiotic

prophase I. We discuss these results in a model in which 24-nt phasiRNAs move from TAP to

ME during meiosis.

Materials and Methods

Plant material

Maize (*Zea mays*) W23 *bz2* inbred line plants were grown in Stanford, CA, USA under greenhouse conditions (31 °C/21 °C, 14 h day/10 h night light cycle with supplemental LED and UV-A lamps providing ~50% of summer sun conditions). Anthers were dissected from tassels and then measured using a micrometer (Fisher Scientific). Homozygous *dcl5-mu03//dcl5-mu03* plants are temperature-sensitive male sterile. They are male sterile under optimized growth condition (28 °C/22 °C day/night temperature, 14 h light, 400 µmol/m^2/s) in the greenhouse. *dcl5-mu03//dcl5-mu03* male sterile and *dcl5-mu03//Dcl5* fertile siblings were grown in St. Louis, MO, USA under the optimized growth conditions in a greenhouse.

Laser Capture Microdissection (LCM)

Fifty upper floret anthers were dissected from individual central tassel spikes for each biological replicate. Different stage anther samples were collected from different maize plants. ME, TAP, and OSC were isolated from 1.25 mm, 1.5 mm, and 2.0 mm W23 anthers by LCM as described previously (Kelliher & Walbot, 2012), with minor modification. Fixed anthers were cryoprotected in 10% (2-3 days) and 15% sucrose/PBS (phosphate buffered saline) (5 to 7 days) to better preserve tapetal cell layer morphology. Total RNA was isolated from each cell type using the RNAqueous™-Micro Kit (Invitrogen); RNA quality was evaluated on an Agilent Bioanalyzer using the RNA 6000 Pico Kit (Agilent Technologies).

Cytological staging and imaging

AR/PMC/ME from W23 anthers (from the 0.75 mm through 2.25 mm anther length stages, recovered at 0.25 mm intervals) were extruded, classified using a micrometer, and then appropriately sized anthers were stained with 10 μg/mL Hoechst 33342 (Sigma-Aldrich) according to our pervious report (Nelms & Walbot, 2019). Confocal image stacks for the germinal cells were taken with a Leica SP8 microscope using a 93X Glycerol immersion objective. ImageJ (Schneider *et al.*, 2012) was used for processing the fluorescent microscopy images. Cytological stages during meiotic prophase I were assigned using established criteria (Dawe *et al.*, 1994; Nelms & Walbot, 2019).

RNA-seq and sRNA-seq library construction and sequencing

RNA-seq and sRNA-seq library construction and sequencing

Total RNA was isolated from three different LCM cell samples and FA samples at 1.5 mm and

2.0 mm using the RNAqueous™-Micro Kit (Invitrogen). RNA-seq libraries were prepared using

CEL-seq2 (Hashimshony *et al.*, 2016) based on a modified protocol (Nelms & Walbot, 2019).

Primers were synthesized by phosphoramidite chemistry at the Stanford Protein and Nucleic

Acid Facility (Stanford, CA) (Table **S1**). All RNA-seq libraries were sequenced on an Illumina

HiSeq 4000 instrument at the Stanford Genome Sequencing Service Center (Stanford, CA) with

paired-end 150 bp reads.

For small RNA library preparation, RealSeq®-AC kits (SomaGenics) were used for ultra-

low amounts of total RNA starting with 100 ng from whole anthers or 10 ng from LCM cell

samples. Sequencing in a single-end mode on an Illumina NextSeq (University of Delaware)

yielded 75 bp reads for sRNA-seq.

Data handling and bioinformatics

Paired-end RNA-seq Read1 contained a 10 bp unique molecular identifier (UMI) (Kivioja *et al.*,

2011) and sample barcodes, and Read2 contained the transcript sequence. Each UMI was

processed and attached to the Read2 metadata using fastp (Chen *et al.*, 2018). Barcodes were

used to demultiplex Read2 into a separate file for each library using fastq-multx (Aronesty,

2013). After trimming the adapters by Trim Galore, the reads were mapped to version 4 of the

B73 maize genome using Hisat2 (Pertea *et al.*, 2016). RNA-seq reads were normalized by

dividing by the total number of transcripts (UMIs) in each sample and multiplying by one

million (transcripts per million normalization).

sRNA-seq data were processed as previously described (Mathioni *et al.*, 2017). In brief,

Trimmomatic version 0.32 (Bolger *et al.*, 2014) was used to remove the linker adaptor

sequences. Then, the trimmed reads were mapped to version 4 of the B73 maize genome using

Bowtie (Kersey *et al.*, 2016). Read counts were normalized to one million to allow for the direct

comparison across libraries. PhasiRNAs were designated based on the criteria of a 24-nt length

and mapping coordinates within the previously identified 176 *24-PHAS* loci (Zhai *et al.*, 2015)

that were updated to version 4 of the B73 genome using the assembly converter tool (Tello-Ruiz

et al., 2018).

Quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR)

RT-qPCR for multiple stage anthers (0.75 mm – 2.0 mm; 0.25 mm intervals) and LCM cell
sample collections (ME, TAP, and OSC) was performed using the Luna® Universal Probe One-
Step RT-qPCR Kit (New England Biolabs). Quantitative PCR was performed using TaqMan
primers synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (Table **S2**) on a CFX96 C1000 Touch
Real-Time PCR Detection System (BioRad). *ZmCyanase* expression was used to normalize
among biological samples, because this gene is highly expressed at all stages of anther
development (Fig. **S5**). Each sample type was tested in two biological and three technical
replicates.

Single-molecule fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (smFISH) for mRNAs and sRNAs

Maize spikelets of various sizes were dissected from the central spike and fixed in 20 mL glass
vials using 4% paraformaldehyde in 1x PHEM buffer (5 mM HEPES, 60 mM PIPES, 10 mM
EGTA, 2 mM $MgCl_2$ pH 7). Fixation was done three times in a vacuum chamber at 0.08 MPa, 15
min each. After fixation, samples were sent for paraffin embedding at the histology lab in the
Nemours/Alfred I. duPont Hospital for Children (Wilmington, DE). Embedded paraffin samples
were sectioned using a paraffin microtome and dried on poly-l-lysine-coated 22 x 22 mm #1.5
coverslips (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, LLC, Cat# 474030-9020-000). Samples were then de-
paraffinized using Histo-Clear (Fisher Scientific, 50-899-90147) and re-hydrated by going
through an ethanol series of 100, 95, 80, 70, 50, 30, 10% (vol/vol) (30 sec each) and finally water
(1 min) at room temperature. After protease (Sigma, P5147) digestion (20 min, 37°C), samples
were neutralized in 0.2% glycine (Sigma-Aldrich, G8898) for 2 min. After two washes in 1X
PBS buffer, samples were dehydrated and then hybridized with smFISH probes. About 20-nt
smFISH probes were designed to bind specifically across the length of each target RNA in a non-
overlapping series (Markey *et al.*, 2014). For small RNA detection, probes were designed reverse
complemented to ~19 nt of the phasiRNA sequences generated from each locus. Briefly, 23-51
probes (total coverage of about 800 nt for mRNAs or sRNAs) were designed for each target, and
each probe was synthesized with a 3′ amino modification (LGC Biosearch Technologies, CA)
(Table **S3**). All the probes of one set were pooled and *en masse* coupled with Alexa Fluor 647
(Thermo Fisher) or Texas Red; the labeled probe fraction was purified using reverse phase
HPLC (Batish *et al.*, 2011). The probes were diluted to a concentration of 20 ng/µl in a

hybridization buffer containing 10% formamide, 1 mg/mL yeast tRNA, 10% dextran sulfate, and

2 mM Vanadyl ribonucleoside complex (New England Biolab, Ipswich, MA, Catalogue Number,

S142), 0.02% RNase free BSA (Ambion, AM2618). The biological samples were hybridized

with probes overnight in a humid chamber at 37°C. The samples were then washed two times

with 2X SSC buffer (sodium saline citrate) containing 10% formamide, and a final wash was

done using 1X TBS buffer (Tris-buffered saline). Samples were mounted using ProLong™ Glass

Antifade Mountant with NucBlue™ Stain (ThermoFisher Scientific, P36981).

Fluorescence was detected by spectral unmixing of autofluorescence spectra using laser

scanning confocal microscopy on a Zeiss LSM 880 multiphoton confocal microscope. We used

30% power of the 633 nm laser and an alpha Plan-Apochromat 100x/1.46 oil lens to acquire

RNA *in situ* images. Pure dye was used as positive control, and autofluorescence from maize

tissue was used as the negative control for spectral bleed-through. After images were acquired,

each image was spectra unmixed. The brightness and contrast of images in the same figure panel

were adjusted equally and linearly in Zen 2010 (Carl Zeiss). For quantification, the numbers for

each localization event were calculated using ImageJ (SpotQuant). Two replicates for each Z-

stack of images were used for calculating the copy number for each gene's transcripts.

Results

LCM collections from meiotic stage maize anthers

Control of fertility in maize anthers, the male reproductive floral organs, is important for hybrid

seed production as an economic way to improve grain yield. Maize tassels produce hundreds of

spikelets, each of which contains an upper and lower floret, and each floret contains three anthers

(Fig. **1a**). The lower floret stamens develop approximately 1 day later than the upper floret

stamens within the same spikelet. At the beginning of meiosis, each anther contains four lobes;

each lobe consists of four somatic cell types that are required to support meiotic cell

development (Fig. **1a**). The high regularity of maize anther development, numerous anthers, and

a detailed staging system allow rapid dissection of carefully staged anthers for cytological and

molecular analysis (Fig. **1b, c**) (Kelliher & Walbot, 2011). To explore the spatial distribution of

24-nt phasiRNAs and their pathway components in the W23 inbred (Fig. **2a**), LCM was used to

isolate three samples (ME, TAP, and OSC) from two stages of meiotic anthers (1.5 mm zygotene

and 2.0 mm pachytene) (Fig. **S1**; Table **S4**). Collections from additional stages were used in RT-

qPCR analysis to provide finer detail of the timing of biogenesis of a subset of 24-*PHAS*

precursor and *Dcl5* transcripts.

24-*PHAS* precursors accumulate in tapetal cells

Seventeen RNA-seq libraries were built from ME, TAP, and OSC recovered from 1.5 mm and

2.0 mm stage anthers plus single, fixed anthers (FA) (Table **S5**). To check the reproducibility of

the datasets, a correlation heatmap and a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) plot were

constructed (Fig. **1d, e**). Biological replicates are highly similar and distinct from other cell

collections at both stages, confirming the high quality of our LCM sample collections. 126

(TPM > 0) of 176 previously discovered 24-*PHAS* precursor types were identified in FA and

LCM cell sample collections (Table **S6**) (Zhai *et al.*, 2015); *PHAS* precursors that overlapped

protein coding genes were excluded. As shown in Fig. **2b**, 118 of these loci had sufficient and

reliable expression (TPM reads > 5 in at least one sample) for further analysis. Overall, the 24-

PHAS precursors were most abundant in TAP at the 1.5 mm stage, showing an expression

pattern and high abundance similar to that in 1.5 mm FA (Fig. **2b**); therefore, the majority of 24-

PHAS precursors in whole anthers are found in the tapetal cells. The average total expression

levels of 24-*PHAS* loci are approximately 65 times lower in ME and 20 times lower in OSC at

this zygotene stage (Fig. **2b**; Table **S6**). Single cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) analysis of individual

ME detected a low abundance of transcripts from 24-*PHAS* loci during the pre-

zygotene/zygotene stages in a A188/B73 maize hybrid stock (Nelms & Walbot, 2022),

confirmation of low precursor abundance in meiocytes. The total average abundance of these 24-

PHAS transcripts per zygotene sample in A188/B73 is less than that in the pooled meiocyte LCM

samples at 1.5 mm from W23 maize anthers. Furthermore, our previous scRNA-seq data also

identified extremely low abundances of 24-*PHAS* precursors in premeiotic AR and PMC in W23

maize anthers (Nelms & Walbot, 2019). These data suggests that the TAP is the main source for

24-*PHAS* precursors in zygotene stage maize anthers.

To further verify our LCM RNA-seq data and to quantify transcripts in more stages, we

randomly selected three highly expressed 24-*PHAS* precursors (*PHAS15*, *PHAS116*, and

PHAS296) for smFISH and RT-qPCR assays (Fig. **2c**). In the LCM RNA-seq data, transcripts for

all three loci were significantly enriched in TAP at the 1.5 mm stage compared to ME and OSC

(Fig. **S2**). smFISH images confirmed that TAP contained most of these three 24-*PHAS*

transcripts (yellow dots resulting from dye AF647 labeling) at the 1.25 mm and 1.5 mm stages

during late leptotene/pre-zygotene and zygotene stages in W23 anthers (Fig. **2d, g**, Fig. **S3a**).

Quantification counts (transcript count) demonstrated that TAP is significantly higher at both

stages (Fig. **2e, h**, Fig. **S3b**). Almost no expression was detected at earlier or later stages (Fig.

2d, e, g, h, Fig. **S3a**) or in control hybridization assays using probes that should only detect the

long precursor transcript (Fig. **S4**). To confirm this assumption, hybridization assays were

repeated now including seven probes that overlap sufficiently with product 24-nt small RNAs to

detect phasiRNAs from *PHAS15*. The signals for *PHAS15* were highly increased when these

seven overlapping probes were included; furthermore, signal was detected in three cell types

including expression at the 1.75 mm stage as expected for 24-nt phasiRNAs (Fig. **S3d**). These

data further verified that the distinction between 24-nt phasiRNAs and precursors can be

discriminated by smFISH using our methods. For RT-qPCR using W23 anthers and LCM

samples, *ZmCyanase* was used as the internal reference; it is consistently expressed across all

anther stages and is expressed in all cell layers based on smFISH detection (Fig. **S5**) compared to

the no label control hybridization test (Fig. **S6**). In conclusion, in 0.75-2.5 mm W23 maize

anthers, the expression patterns of the three 24-*PHAS* loci showed a similar pattern, with high

expression levels in both 1.25 mm and 1.5 mm anthers and with significant enrichment in TAP

compared to ME and OSC (Fig. **2f, i**, Fig. **S3c**).

To further solidify the conclusion that TAP is the primary site of 24-*PHAS* transcript

biogenesis, we utilized the *dcl5-mu3* mutant. Several *dcl5* mutants have been documented to

contain normal 24-*PHAS* precursor levels but lack virtually all the 24-nt phasiRNAs (Teng *et al.*,

2020). We reasoned that in the absence of the processing machinery, the large 24-*PHAS*

precursors would accumulate in the cells where they were synthesized. This was indeed true as

the *PHAS116* transcripts were found to be highly enriched in TAP at the 1.75 mm stage (this is a

slightly later stage than in W23 anthers) in homozygous *dcl5* (*dcl5-mu03//dcl5-mu03*) anthers

(mixed inbred background) using smFISH (Fig. **2j**).

Overall, these data demonstrate that maize tapetal cells are the main site for 24-*PHAS*

precursor accumulation and that accumulation starts at early meiotic prophase I and peaks during

zygotene. We also established that accumulation is already substantial at the initial stages of

meiosis in 1.25 mm anthers, approximately one day earlier than detected previously by analysis

of 1.0 mm and 1.5 mm W23 whole anthers (Zhai *et al.*, 2015).

The spatial distribution of 24-nt phasiRNA biogenesis pathway components

Argonaute (AGO) proteins, binding partners of miRNAs and phasiRNAs, play essential roles in

phasiRNAs biogenesis and RNA silencing (RNAi) (Czech & Hannon, 2011; Ma & Zhang,

2018). AGOs are assumed to be involved at two steps in 24-nt phasiRNA biogenesis and

function: a specific AGO as the binding protein for the miR2275 trigger and one or several

AGOs as the binding proteins for the diverse 24-nt phasiRNAs (Fig. **2a**). We identified

transcripts encoding 18 AGO proteins in LCM cell collections (Fig. **3a**). Nine AGO protein

transcripts were expressed in one or two cell collections, and the other nine AGO proteins were

detected in all three cell collections. None of the AGO isotype transcripts were specific to tapetal

cells. Fifteen of 18 AGO members were expressed in TAP at the 1.5 mm stage, providing a list

of AGOs that could be involved in 24-nt phasiRNA biogenesis (Fig. **3a**). Among these, AGO1

family members have already been designated as candidates in previous studies in maize and rice

(Fei *et al.*, 2016; Kakrana *et al.*, 2018; Araki *et al.*, 2020), and now three AGO members

(AGO10b, AGO2b, and AGO5c) not expressed in 1.5 mm TAP can likely be excluded as

candidates for 24-nt phasiRNA biogenesis (Fig. **3a**). In meiocytes, transcripts were found for 11

AGO proteins, many of which were more highly expressed in ME than other cell types, but none

were exclusive to ME (Fig. **3a**). Several of these AGO types were predicted in previous

transcriptome profiling and spatial localization studies to be potential 24-nt phasiRNA binding

partners, such as AGO18 family members (Zhai *et al.*, 2015; Fei *et al.*, 2016; Sun *et al.*, 2019)

and AGO2b (Fei *et al.*, 2016) based on the timing of their expression. It is noteworthy that we

detected abundant *AGO5c* transcripts, and these were enriched in ME and absent in TAP (Fig.

3a). AGO5c is encoded by the maize *male-sterile28* gene and is homologous to rice MEL1 (Li *et

al.*, 2021), which is the binding partner for a subset of 21-nt phasiRNAs in rice (Komiya *et al.*,

2014).

We investigated the transcript abundance of *Dcl5* and *Rdr6* in the LCM RNA-seq data.

Dcl5 was significantly higher in TAP at the 1.5 mm stage than any other samples (Fig. **3b**). In

contrast, *Rdr6* was expressed in all three cell collections, with slightly higher expression levels in

ME, followed by TAP at both stages (Fig. **3b**). To precisely pinpoint the timing of expression,

RT-qPCR demonstrated that *Dcl5* transcripts were significantly higher in TAP samples (Fig. **3c**);

furthermore, the expression pattern of *Dcl5* is similar to the three 24-*PHAS* precursors (*PHAS15*,

PHAS116, and *PHAS296*), with the highest expression levels detected in TAP compared to ME

or OSC at both the 1.25 mm and 1.5 mm stages (Fig. **3c**). As shown in Fig. **3d-f** with smFISH

detection, *Dcl5* transcripts (yellow dots resulting from Texas Red labeled smFISH probes) were

prominently localized in TAP at the 1.25 mm, 1.5 mm, and 1.75 mm stages in either W23

anthers or heterozygous *Dcl5*//*dcl5* fertile anthers. Far fewer yellow dots were detected in ME or

OSC, and almost no signal was detected in the negative control samples (Fig. **3f**, Fig. **S6**). An

additional smFISH analysis of *Dcl5* using AF647 labeled smFISH probes confirmed that *Dcl5*

transcripts were localized mainly in TAP at 1.25 mm and 1.5 mm (Fig. **S7**). These data suggest

that TAP is the main site for *Dcl5* accumulation at early meiotic prophase I in maize.

349   Previous studies have reported that four basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) factors (*Ms23*,

Ms32, *bHLH52*, and *bHLH122*) are required for normal tapetal cell specification and

differentiation in maize (Moon *et al.*, 2013; Nan *et al.*, 2017). *Ms23* is the master transcription

factor (TF) and is required for expression of 24-*PHAS* precursors, miR2275, and 24-nt

phasiRNAs (Zhai *et al.*, 2015). Here, *Ms23* and *bHLH51* were highly expressed in TAP at 2.0

mm, whereas *Ms32* and *bHLH122* were more abundant in TAP at 1.5 mm (Fig. **S8**). These TF

expression patterns are consistent with our previous work in maize anthers, particularly the

absence of *bHLH122* transcripts at 2.0 mm (Nan *et al.*, 2017). Importantly, the TAP is

significantly higher for these four TFs compared with the other two cell collections (Fig. **S8**).

Collectively, the distribution of key TFs by RNA-seq and localization data provide further

evidence that TAP is the main site for transcription of *PHAS* precursors and their processing into

24-nt phasiRNAs. Based on the timing of 24-*PHAS* accumulation, heterodimers of *Ms32* and

bHLH122 are candidates for direct regulation of 24-*PHAS* loci. Because *Ms23* is essential for

expression of *bHLH122*, it is also a critical factor.

24-nt phasiRNAs are abundant in all three cell collections

To investigate the spatial distribution of 24-nt phasiRNAs in meiotic stage anthers, we collected

ME, TAP, and OSC by LCM from 1.5 mm and 2.0 mm W23 maize anthers for low input sRNA-

seq (Table **S4**, Table **S5**). We first classified sRNAs by length (18 nt to 30 nt) in FA and LCM

cell collections. Confirming previous results (Zhai *et al.*, 2015), the 24-nt size sRNA class is the

most abundant at both stages (Fig. **S9a**). The 21-nt size sRNA class is also detectable, but with

lower expression levels (Fig. **S9a**). Using the set of 118 24-*PHAS* loci for analysis (Fig. **2b**), 24-

nt phasiRNAs from these loci were more abundant in all three cell collections at 2.0 mm than at the 1.5 mm stage (Fig. **4a**; Table **S6**). The expression patterns of 24-nt phasiRNAs and 22-nt miR2275 family members are very similar, with the highest abundance in ME at 2.0 mm during pachytene, followed by TAP at this stage (Fig. **4a**). A previous sRNA-FISH co-localization study reported that miR2275 and one 24-nt phasiRNA had higher abundances in AR/ME and TAP in maize anthers (Huang *et al.*, 2019). Here, two of the miR2275 family members (miR2275a and miR2275b) were highly expressed, indicating they might play key roles in 24-*PHAS* precursor cleavage (Fig. **4b**). Although the precursors are most abundant in TAP cells (Fig. **2b, c**, Fig. **S2**), the 24-nt phasiRNAs accumulate to higher levels in the ME (Fig. **4a, c**, Fig. **S9b**). Individual 24-nt sRNA abundances from products of the same locus (*PHAS15*, *PHAS116*, and *PHAS296*) are not uniform: only a few of the 24-nt sRNAs show high abundance despite the expectation of equal stoichiometry from a single precursor molecule (Fig. **4d, e**, Fig. **S10a**). Furthermore, sRNAs localization studies illustrated that 24-nt phasiRNAs from three 24-*PHAS* loci (*PHAS15*, *PHAS116*, and *PHAS296*) accumulated in all three cell types, with higher expression levels in ME and TAP, lower in OSC from 1.25 mm to 2.0 mm in W23 anthers (Fig. **4f-h,** Fig. **S10b**). Almost zero expression, considered to be background, was detected in control hybridization assays (*dcl5* mutants, mouse HKH RNA control, and no labeled control) (Fig. **4h**, Fig. **S10c, d**). These distribution patterns lead us to hypothesize that the TAP is the site for nearly all 24-nt phasiRNAs biogenesis and that the presence of 24-nt phasiRNAs in ME and OSC depends on transport during early meiotic prophase I in maize.

Discussion

In anthers, TAP is the innermost of the four somatic layers, adjacent to the germinal cells. The TAP plays essential roles in providing nutrients, enzymes, and pollen wall components to support AR, ME, and microspore (MSP) development. Defects in tapetal function or the abnormal differentiation of TAP usually leads to meiotic arrest or to later pollen abortion (Li *et al.*, 2006; Xu *et al.*, 2010; Moon *et al.*, 2013; Niu *et al.*, 2013; Nan *et al.*, 2017; Teng *et al.*, 2020). 24-nt phasiRNAs have been reported as abundant in meiotic stage maize anthers from hundreds of unique copy loci (Zhai *et al.*, 2015). In TAP-defective, male-sterile mutants lacking key tapetal-expressed TFs, almost all the 24-nt phasiRNAs are missing (Zhai *et al.*, 2015; Nan *et al.*, 2017), which indicates that TAP is likely to be involved in 24-nt phasiRNA biogenesis. Our low-input RNA-seq data for ME, TAP, and OSC demonstrated that the TAP is the main source for the 118 24-*PHAS* precursor transcripts as well as *Dcl5* transcripts in 1.5 mm stage anthers (Fig. **2b, c**, Fig. **3b**), confirming a previous study in the same W23 background that 1.5 mm anthers were the peak stage for 24-*PHAS* loci production with 1.0 mm anthers lacking expression (Zhai *et al.*, 2015). We further refined the timing using smFISH and RT-qPCR assays, demonstrating that three selected 24-*PHAS* loci (*PHAS15*, *PHAS116*, and *PHAS296*) and *Dcl5* transcripts were initially expressed at 1.25 mm during the leptotene-zygotene transition and were continuously expressed at 1.5 mm or 1.75 mm during zygotene (Fig. **2d-i**, Fig. **3d-f**, Fig. **S3**, Fig. **S7**). Moreover, the earlier timing for 24-nt phasiRNA generation corresponds to the stage just prior to and including the leptotene-zygotene transition (Fig. **4f, g**, Fig. **S10b**), which is a key checkpoint in meiosis. A previous study has reported that CHH DNA methylation is elevated in isolated ME, specifically at the phasiRNA-producing loci (Dukowic-Schulze *et al.*, 2016). Therefore, a logical hypothesis is that some of the 24-nt phasiRNAs generated in the TAP and transported to ME might induce methylation in the corresponding loci. It is unclear how such *cis* methylation could contribute to meiotic regulation. Furthermore, in *dcl5* mutants, meiosis is normal in the near complete absence of 24-nt phasiRNAs (Teng *et al.*, 2020), indicating that whatever role the 24-nt phasiRNAs play in meiosis, it is dispensable.

By using LCM-purified cell collections, our data demonstrate that TAP cells are the primary site of 24-*PHAS* precursor accumulation and 24-nt phasiRNA biogenesis. sRNA-seq data for ME, TAP, and OSC illustrated that 24-nt phasiRNAs and miR2275 family members were found in all three cell collections, with higher accumulation levels in ME at 2.0 mm during

pachytene, followed by TAP at the same stage (Fig. **4a-c**). Furthermore, sRNA-FISH detection

confirmed that the 24-nt phasiRNAs originating from three 24-*PHAS* loci (*PHAS15*, *PHAS116*,

and *PHAS296*) were present in all three cell layers from 1.25 mm to 2.0 mm (Fig. **4f, g**, Fig.

S10b). Our major new conclusion is the proposal that 24-nt phasiRNAs generated in the TAP

move to other cell types. This conclusion is summarized in a model of 24-phasiRNA biogenesis

and mobility in maize anthers during meiotic prophase I (Fig. **5**). We demonstrated that TAP is

the main site of 24-nt phasiRNAs biogenesis, as TAP contain the vast majority of 24-*PHAS*

precursors, *Dcl5* transcripts, adequate miR2275, and *Rdr6*. To understand why ME later contain

the highest levels of 24-nt phasiRNAs, we note that there are approximately 30 tapetal cells

touching each ME, whereas only approximately 0.6 tapetal cell touch neighboring OSC (Kelliher

& Walbot, 2011). Utilizing the LCM cell numbers we recovered and the total 24-nt phasiRNA

reads we generated (Table **S4**, Table **S6**), we calculated that each tapetal cell "donates"

approximately 5% of its 24-nt phasiRNAs to ME or OSC during meiotic prophase I in maize

anthers. If each TAP cells exports 5% (5 units) of its 24-nt phasiRNAs to ME cells, each ME

would have 5 units x 30 = 150 units of 24-nt phasiRNAs, therefore reaching a higher level than

the source TAP cells. In contrast, export of 5 units of 24-nt phasiRNAs to the OSC, would result

in a low level of 24-nt phasiRNAs in the immediate receiving middle layer cell with further

dilution as 24-nt phasiRNAs move throughout the OSC group. A challenge for future research

will be documenting the path of 24-nt phasiRNA movement. Other cases of plant intercellular

movement of sRNAs are probably through PD, regulating tissue or organ development by gene

silencing and RdDM (Dunoyer *et al.*, 2013). PD are membrane-lined intercellular channels that

connect the cytoplasms of adjacent cells, such as between TAP and PMC/ME or non-TAP

somatic cells in anthers (Mamun *et al.*, 2005; Sager & Lee, 2014). Therefore, we speculate that

24-nt phasiRNAs move from the biogenesis site in TAP to ME or OSC through PD in meiotic

prophase I maize anthers.

In *Arabidopsis*, tapetal-derived 24-nt siRNAs induce DNA methylation in the germline

and maintain genome integrity by silencing corresponding genes and transposons (Long *et al.*,

2021). Here, 24-nt phasiRNAs are generated in TAP and then exported to ME (Fig. **5**). These

exported 24-nt phasiRNAs are likely required for the elevated CHH DNA methylation at 24-

PHAS loci in isolated ME in maize (Dukowic-Schulze *et al.*, 2016). In *Arabidopsis*, the 24-nt

siRNAs appear to have a broader role in modifying the ME genome and gene expression than the

24-nt phasiRNAs have in maize. This is particularly true for any impact 24-nt phasiRNAs might have on gene expression, because no mRNA targets for this class of small RNAs have been identified. Nonetheless, it is interesting that small RNAs synthesized in the TAP are transferred to maize ME. Analysis of *dcl5* maize mutants establishes that in the absence of 24-nt phasiRNA biogenesis, tapetal cells fail to differentiate properly at normal maize growing temperatures (28 ℃), while meiosis proceeds normally (Teng *et al.*, 2020). These observations indicate that the 24-nt phasiRNAs play an essential role in the TAP -- not in ME -- during the meiotic stages; later, microspore failure renders *dcl5* mutants male-sterile, a fact that we attribute to the failure of the tapetum to supply nutrients and wall components to the developing uninucleate microspores. Mutants grown at low temperature (21 ℃) are fertile and tapetal development is slow but sufficient indicating that 24-nt phasiRNAs are not required under these conditions. Considering these observations *in toto,* it is possible that in maize the transfer of 24-nt phasiRNAs to meiocytes is an evolutionary relic that no longer serves (or fully serves) a required function and that the primary role of the 24-nt phasiRNAs is to support rapid tapetal differentiation.

Acknowledgements

We thank M. Zhang for initial suggestions on project design and for instructing X. Zhou in the LCM technique; B. Nelms for help with low input RNA-seq library construction and raw data processing; Y. Chan and Y. Liu for help in data handling; J. Caplan for helpful advice on smFISH; J. Zhan assisted retrieval and organization of the sequences of 24-*PHAS* precursors and 24-nt phasiRNAs; and J. Dinneny for use of the SP8 confocal microscope. This research was supported by National Science Foundation award 17540974. Requests for materials should be addressed to walbot@stanford.edu.

Author Contributions

X.Z., B.C.M., and V.W. conceived and designed the project. X.Z. performed most of the experiments and bioinformatics. K.H. and M.B. designed and synthesized smFISH probes. K.H., M.B., A.A., T.K., and T.C. performed smFISH and imaging analysis. T.C. constructed sRNA libraries and performed raw data processing. X.Z. and V.W. wrote the manuscript with editing by B.C.M. and K.H. and with contributions from all co-authors.

Data Availability

Sequencing data were deposited to the Gene Expression Omnibus (accession no. GSE182588 for RNA-seq data; accession no. GSE182476 for sRNA-seq data) and will be made public after peer review.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Reference

Araki S, Le NT, Koizumi K, Villar-Briones A, Nonomura KI, Endo M, Inoue H, Saze H,
Komiya R. 2020. miR2118-dependent U-rich phasiRNA production in rice anther wall
development. *Nat Commun* **11**: 3115.

Aronesty E. 2013. Comparison of sequencing utility programs. *The open bioinformatics journal*
7: 1-8.

Batish M, Raj A, Tyagi S. 2011. Single molecule imaging of RNA in situ. *Methods Mol Biol*
714: 3-13.

Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
data. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 2114-2120.

Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. 2018. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.
Bioinformatics **34**: i884-i890.

Chitwood DH, Timmermans MC. 2010. Small RNAs are on the move. *Nature* **467**: 415-419.

Czech B, Hannon GJ. 2011. Small RNA sorting: matchmaking for Argonautes. *Nat Rev Genet*
12: 19-31.

Dawe RK, Sedat JW, Agard DA, Cande WZ. 1994. Meiotic chromosome pairing in maize is
associated with a novel chromatin organization. *Cell* **76**: 901-912.

Dukowic-Schulze S, Sundararajan A, Ramaraj T, Kianian S, Pawlowski WP, Mudge J,
Chen C. 2016. Novel meiotic miRNAs and indications for a role of phasiRNAs in
meiosis. *Front Plant Sci* **7**: 762.

Dunoyer P, Melnyk C, Molnar A, Slotkin RK. 2013. Plant mobile small RNAs. *Cold Spring*
Harb Perspect Biol **5:** a017807..

Dunoyer P, Schott G, Himber C, Meyer D, Takeda A, Carrington JC, Voinnet O. 2010.
Small RNA duplexes function as mobile silencing signals between plant cells. *Science*
328: 912-916.

Fan Y, Yang J, Mathioni SM, Yu J, Shen J, Yang X, Wang L, Zhang Q, Cai Z, Xu C, et al.
2016. PMS1T, producing phased small-interfering RNAs, regulates photoperiod-sensitive
male sterility in rice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**: 15144-15149.

Fei Q, Yang L, Liang W, Zhang D, Meyers BC. 2016. Dynamic changes of small RNAs in
rice spikelet development reveal specialized reproductive phasiRNA pathways. *J Exp Bot*
67: 6037-6049.

Hashimshony T, Senderovich N, Avital G, Klochendler A, de Leeuw Y, Anavy L, Gennert
D, Li S, Livak KJ, Rozenblatt-Rosen O, et al. 2016. CEL-Seq2: sensitive highly-
multiplexed single-cell RNA-Seq. *Genome Biol* **17**: 77.

Huang K, Baldrich P, Meyers BC, Caplan JL. 2019. sRNA-FISH: versatile fluorescent in situ
detection of small RNAs in plants. *Plant J* **98**: 359-369.

Johnson C, Kasprzewska A, Tennessen K, Fernandes J, Nan GL, Walbot V, Sundaresan V,
Vance V, Bowman LH. 2009. Clusters and superclusters of phased small RNAs in the
developing inflorescence of rice. *Genome Res* **19**: 1429-1440.

Kakrana A, Mathioni SM, Huang K, Hammond R, Vandivier L, Patel P, Arikit S,
Shevchenko O, Harkess AE, Kingham B, et al. 2018. Plant 24-nt reproductive
phasiRNAs from intramolecular duplex mRNAs in diverse monocots. *Genome Res* **28**:
1333-1344.

Kelliher T, Walbot V. 2011. Emergence and patterning of the five cell types of the Zea mays
anther locule. *Dev Biol* **350**: 32-49.

Kelliher T, Walbot V. 2012. Hypoxia triggers meiotic fate acquisition in maize. *Science* **337**:
345-348.

Kersey PJ, Allen JE, Armean I, Boddu S, Bolt BJ, Carvalho-Silva D, Christensen M, Davis
P, Falin LJ, Grabmueller C, et al. 2016. Ensembl Genomes 2016: more genomes, more
complexity. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**: D574-580.

Kivioja T, Vaharautio A, Karlsson K, Bonke M, Enge M, Linnarsson S, Taipale J. 2011.
Counting absolute numbers of molecules using unique molecular identifiers. *Nat Methods*
9: 72-74.

Komiya R, Ohyanagi H, Niihama M, Watanabe T, Nakano M, Kurata N, Nonomura K.
2014. Rice germline-specific Argonaute MEL1 protein binds to phasiRNAs generated
from more than 700 lincRNAs. *Plant J* **78**: 385-397.

Li N, Zhang DS, Liu HS, Yin CS, Li XX, Liang WQ, Yuan Z, Xu B, Chu HW, Wang J, et
al. 2006. The rice tapetum degeneration retardation gene is required for tapetum
degradation and anther development. *Plant Cell* **18**: 2999-3014.

Li Y, Huang Y, Pan L, Zhao Y, Huang W, Jin W. 2021. Male sterile 28 encodes an
ARGONAUTE family protein essential for male fertility in maize. *Chromosome Res* **29**:
189-201.

Long J, Walker J, She W, Aldridge B, Gao H, Deans S, Vickers M, Feng X. 2021. Nurse
cell-derived small RNAs define paternal epigenetic inheritance in Arabidopsis. *Science*
373: eabh0556.

Ma Z, Zhang X. 2018. Actions of plant Argonautes: predictable or unpredictable? *Curr Opin*
Plant Biol **45**: 59-67.

Mamun EA, Cantrill LC, Overall RL, Sutton BG. 2005. Cellular organisation in meiotic and
early post-meiotic rice anthers. *Cell Biol Int* **29**: 903-913.

Markey FB, Ruezinsky W, Tyagi S, Batish M. 2014. Fusion FISH imaging: single-molecule
detection of gene fusion transcripts in situ. *PLoS One* **9**: e93488.

Mathioni SM, Kakrana A, Meyers BC. 2017. Characterization of Plant Small RNAs by Next
Generation Sequencing. *Curr Protoc Plant Biol* **2**: 39-63.

Molnar A, Melnyk CW, Bassett A, Hardcastle TJ, Dunn R, Baulcombe DC. 2010. Small
silencing RNAs in plants are mobile and direct epigenetic modification in recipient cells.
Science **328**: 872-875.

Moon J, Skibbe D, Timofejeva L, Wang CJ, Kelliher T, Kremling K, Walbot V, Cande
WZ. 2013. Regulation of cell divisions and differentiation by MALE STERILITY32 is
required for anther development in maize. *Plant J* **76**: 592-602.

Nan GL, Zhai J, Arikit S, Morrow D, Fernandes J, Mai L, Nguyen N, Meyers BC, Walbot
V. 2017. MS23, a master basic helix-loop-helix factor, regulates the specification and
development of the tapetum in maize. *Development* **144**: 163-172.

Nelms B, Walbot V. 2019. Defining the developmental program leading to meiosis in maize.
Science **364**: 52-56.

Nelms B, Walbot V. 2022. Gametophyte genome activation occurs at pollen mitosis I in maize.
Science 375: 424-429.

Niu N, Liang W, Yang X, Jin W, Wilson ZA, Hu J, Zhang D. 2013. EAT1 promotes tapetal
cell death by regulating aspartic proteases during male reproductive development in rice.
Nat Commun **4**: 1445.

Patel P, Mathioni SM, Hammond R, Harkess AE, Kakrana A, Arikit S, Dusia A, Meyers
BC. 2021. Reproductive phasiRNA loci and DICER-LIKE5, but not microRNA loci,
diversified in monocotyledonous plants. *Plant Physiol* **185**: 1764-1782.

Pertea M, Kim D, Pertea GM, Leek JT, Salzberg SL. 2016. Transcript-level expression
analysis of RNA-seq experiments with HISAT, StringTie and Ballgown. *Nature*
protocols **11**: 1650-1667.

Pokhrel S, Huang KC, Meyers BC. 2021. Conserved and non-conserved triggers of 24-nt
reproductive phasiRNAs in eudicots. *Plant J* **107:** 1332-1345.

Sager R, Lee JY. 2014. Plasmodesmata in integrated cell signalling: insights from development
and environmental signals and stresses. *J Exp Bot* **65**: 6337-6358.

Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image
analysis. *Nat Methods* **9**: 671-675.

Song X, Li P, Zhai J, Zhou M, Ma L, Liu B, Jeong DH, Nakano M, Cao S, Liu C, et al.
2012a. Roles of DCL4 and DCL3b in rice phased small RNA biogenesis. *Plant J* **69**:
462-474.

Song X, Wang D, Ma L, Chen Z, Li P, Cui X, Liu C, Cao S, Chu C, Tao Y, et al. 2012b.
Rice RNA-dependent RNA polymerase 6 acts in small RNA biogenesis and spikelet
development. *Plant J* **71**: 378-389.

Sun W, Chen D, Xue Y, Zhai L, Zhang D, Cao Z, Liu L, Cheng C, Zhang Y, Zhang Z.
2019. Genome-wide identification of AGO18b-bound miRNAs and phasiRNAs in maize
by cRIP-seq. *BMC Genomics* **20**: 656.

Tamim S, Cai Z, Mathioni SM, Zhai J, Teng C, Zhang Q, Meyers BC. 2018. Cis-directed
cleavage and nonstoichiometric abundances of 21-nucleotide reproductive phased small
interfering RNAs in grasses. *New Phytol* **220**: 865-877.

Tello-Ruiz MK, Naithani S, Stein JC, Gupta P, Campbell M, Olson A, Wei S, Preece J,
Geniza MJ, Jiao Y, et al. 2018. Gramene 2018: unifying comparative genomics and
pathway resources for plant research. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**: D1181-D1189.

Teng C, Zhang H, Hammond R, Huang K, Meyers BC, Walbot V. 2020. *Dicer-like 5*
deficiency confers temperature-sensitive male sterility in maize. *Nat Commun* **11**: 2912.

Xia R, Chen C, Pokhrel S, Ma W, Huang K, Patel P, Wang F, Xu J, Liu Z, Li J, et al. 2019.
24-nt reproductive phasiRNAs are broadly present in angiosperms. *Nat Commun* **10**: 627.

Xu J, Yang C, Yuan Z, Zhang D, Gondwe MY, Ding Z, Liang W, Zhang D, Wilson ZA.
2010. The ABORTED MICROSPORES regulatory network is required for postmeiotic
male reproductive development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell* **22**: 91-107.

Yadava P, Tamim S, Zhang H, Teng C, Zhou X, Meyers BC, Walbot V. 2021.

Transgenerational conditioned male fertility of HD-ZIP IV transcription factor mutant

ocl4: impact on 21-nt phasiRNA accumulation in pre-meiotic maize anthers. *Plant*

Reprod **34**: 117-129.

Yang X, You C, Wang X, Gao L, Mo B, Liu L, Chen X. 2021. Widespread occurrence of

microRNA-mediated target cleavage on membrane-bound polysomes. *Genome Biol* **22**:

15.

Zhai J, Zhang H, Arikit S, Huang K, Nan GL, Walbot V, Meyers BC. 2015.

Spatiotemporally dynamic, cell-type-dependent premeiotic and meiotic phasiRNAs in

maize anthers. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**: 3146-3151.

Zhang M, Ma X, Wang C, Li Q, Meyers BC, Springer NM, Walbot V. 2021. CHH DNA

methylation increases at 24-PHAS loci depend on 24-nt phased small interfering RNAs in

maize meiotic anthers. *New Phytol* **229**: 2984-2997.

Figure Legends

Fig. 1 Staging of maize anthers and quality evaluation of LCM cell sample collections.

(**a**) Male inflorescence structures. From left to right: maize tassel; central spike; single spikelet; three lower and three larger upper florets; transverse confocal microscopy view of a single anther (near the start of meiosis). (**b**) The timeline of maize anther development from initial cell specification, proliferation, and differentiation to pollen maturation. Approximately 10 days of development occur between anther initiation and the start of meiosis at 1.2 mm; meiosis requires 6 days and finishes at 2.5 mm with the tetrad stage; an additional 15-16 days are required for microspore and then trinucleate pollen development (note that this portion of the timeline is not drawn to scale), followed by pollen maturation and anthesis after 5 more days. Tracings of confocal images of single anther lobes are shown through the dyad stage. The germinal cell stages are abbreviated as follows: mitotic archesporial cells (AR); post-mitotic pollen mother cells (PMC); meiocytes (ME); uninucleate microspore (UM); binucleate microspore (BM); and mature pollen (MP). (**c**) Fluorescence microscopy images of germinal cells visualized with Hoechst staining. During the meiotic proliferation period (0.75 mm anther), archesporial cells have a central nucleolus (N) and a smaller volume than meiotic nuclei. At premeiotic interphase/ early leptotene, the knobs (arrowhead) are round, the nucleoli are located centrally, and chromatin threads are not visible or visible only as localized patches. During leptotene leading to pre-zygotene, the spherical knobs become elongated (arrowhead) coincident with nucleolar movement to the periphery. During zygotene, the knobs are paired (red dashed oval); chromosomes are observed as condensation proceeds. Fully paired bivalents (4 strands per thread) and finally a centrally located nucleolus were observed at pachytene. Scale bar represents 2 μm. (**d**) Sample-sample correlation analysis in fixed anthers and LCM cell sample collections at the 1.5 mm and 2.0 mm stages. As shown in the heatmap, the biological replicates of the same sample type are highly correlated at both stages. The scale based on the Pearson correlation is from lightest (no correlation) to darkest (1.0 correlation). Abbreviations: fixed anthers (FA); meiocytes (ME); tapetum (TAP); and other somatic cells (OSC). (**e**) Principal component analysis (PCA) to evaluate the similarity of biological replicates.

Fig. 2 24-*PHAS* precursor distribution in maize anthers.

(**a**) 24-nt phasiRNA biogenesis pathway. miR2275 is complementary to a conserved motif in precursors, resulting in precise cleavage; cleaved products are converted to double stranded molecules by RNA-dependent RNA polymerase 6 (RDR6). Unknown Argonaute (AGO) proteins participate in biogenesis and bind at least a subset of the 24-nt phasiRNA products. (**b**) Heat map depicting the abundances of 118 24-*PHAS* precursors (TPM > 5 in at least one sample) in fixed anthers and LCM cells. Solid bubbles on the left represent the total abundance of 24-*PHAS* precursors in that sample. (**c**) Boxplot depicts the distribution of the 20 most abundant 24-*PHAS* precursors in LCM cell collections. Arrowheads point to the three 24-*PHAS* loci (*PHAS15*, *PHAS116*, and *PHAS296*) chosen to represent different abundances for RT-qPCR and single-molecule FISH (smFISH) verifications. (**d**) and (**g**) smFISH was performed using probes conjugated with dye Alexa Fluor 647 (AF647) against *PHAS116* and *PHAS296* in W23 maize anthers (1.0-2.0 mm). The fluorescent micrographs were taken using laser scanning confocal microscopy. Below the images are enlargements of area in red rectangles. Yellow smFISH spots correspond to precursor transcripts, which primarily accumulate in TAP. Scale bars = 10 μm. (**e**) and (**h**) Quantification analysis of images in (**d**) and (**g**). Transcript counts show the binding events for each cell type at different anther stages using spot count in ImageJ. Two biological and three technical replicates were performed for each stage. Statistical analysis was performed with Prism 8 software. *P* values were determined using one-way ANOVA and Tukey tests. Differences among three cell sample collections within the same anther stage were considered significant for *P* values ≤ 0.05 (* $P \leq 0.05$; ** $P \leq 0.01$; *** $P \leq 0.001$; **** $P \leq 0.0001$). (**f**) and (**I**) Quantification of localization of *PHAS116* and *PHAS296* in whole anthers (0.75- 2.5 mm) and LCM cells (1.25 and 1.5 mm) by RT-qPCR. The expression patterns of these 24-*PHAS* precursors are very similar, with high expression levels in tapetum at 1.25 mm, with a peak at the 1.5 mm stage. The tapetal cell sample is significantly higher than either meiocytes or other somatic cells. *P* values were determined using one-way ANOVA and Tukey tests in Prism 8 software. Differences among the samples were considered significant for *P* values ≤ 0.05 (* $P \leq 0.05$; ** $P \leq 0.01$; *** $P \leq 0.001$; **** $P \leq 0.0001$). Note that there is a different scale for each locus. The reference gene (*ZmCyanase*) was used to normalize expression for the accurate assessment of target *24-PHAS* locus expression. (**j**) smFISH detection of *PHAS116* in homozygous *dcl5-mu03//dcl5-mu03* anthers. The red boxes labeled I and II are enlarged in the

adjacent image to the right. No signal was detected in control hybridization assays (*Dcl5* probes)

at 1.75 mm stage anthers. Scale bars = 10 μm.

Fig. 3 Evaluation of Argonaute, *Dcl5*, and *Rdr6* expression and *Dcl5* transcript localization

in maize anthers.

(**a**) RNA abundance from the 18 maize loci encoding Argonaute (AGO) proteins. At least one

AGO participates in phasiRNA biogenesis by binding miR2275 and a few others have been

shown to bind 24-nt phasiRNAs (see text). (**b**) Transcript abundances in LCM cells for two

biogenesis genes from RNA-seq datasets. *Dcl5* was highly expressed in 1.5 mm TAP, and *Rdr6*

was enriched in both ME and TAP. Differences among the LCM cell samples were considered

significant for *P* values ≤ 0.05 (** *P* ≤ 0.01; *** *P* ≤ 0.001) using one-way ANOVA followed by

Tukey tests. (**c**) RT-qPCR analysis for *Dcl5* at multiple anther stages and in LCM cell samples.

The tapetal cell samples are significantly higher than ME and OSC (** *P* ≤ 0.01). Note that each

graph has a different scale. (**d-e**) smFISH detection and quantification analysis for *Dcl5*

transcripts in W23 maize anthers (1.0-2.0 mm). Probes are conjugated with Texas Red dye. The

images below each panel are the enlarged portions of red rectangle areas. Yellow smFISH spots

correspond to *Dcl5* transcripts are significantly higher in TAP (*** *P* ≤ 0.001). Scale bars = 10

μm. (**f**) smFISH detection of *Dcl5* transcripts in homozygous *dcl5-mu03//dcl5-mu03* anthers.

Probes are conjugated with Texas Red dye. The red boxes designated as I and II indicate the area

enlarged in the adjacent image. To validate the lack of *Dcl5* transcripts, no signal was detected in

a control hybridization assay utilizing *Dcl5* probes applied to homozygous *dcl5-mu03//dcl5-*

mu03 anthers. Scale bars = 10 μm.

Fig. 4 24-nt phasiRNA distribution in maize anthers.

(**a**) Heat map depicting 24-nt phasiRNA abundance from 118 24-*PHAS* loci in fixed anthers and LCM cell sample collections. Solid bubbles on the left represent the total abundance of miR2275 family members and 24-nt phasiRNAs in that sample. The highest levels of 24-nt phasiRNAs and miR2275 family members are in 2.0 mm meiocytes, followed by tapetum at this stage. (**b**) The abundance of miR2275 family members in three LCM cell sample collections. (**c**) Box plot depicting the distribution of 24-nt phasiRNAs from the 20 most abundant 24-*PHAS* loci (same as panel **2c**). (**d-e**) 24-nt sRNA distributions from *PHAS116* and *PHAS296* in FA and LCM cells. The dotted lines represent the miR2275 cleavage sites, and each of the grid lines are spaced 24-nucleotide apart. The accumulation of 24-nt sRNA fragments generated from the same locus are not uniform: only a few of the 24-nt sRNAs show high abundance despite the expectation of equal stoichiometry from a single precursor molecule (Zhang *et al.*, 2021). Overall, accumulation patterns are similar in fixed anthers and LCM cells at each locus. (**f**) 24-nt phasiRNA localization from *PHAS116* in W23 maize anthers (1.25-2.0 mm). Probes are conjugated with Texas Red dye. The red box in each image is enlarged in the panel immediately below each image. Yellow smFISH spots corresponding to 24-nt phasiRNAs are expressed in all three cell types. Scale bars = 10 μm. (**g**) 24-nt phasiRNA localization from *PHAS296* in W23 maize anthers (1.25-1.75 mm). Probes are conjugated with Texas Red dye. The red box in each image is enlarged in the panel immediately below each image. Yellow smFISH spots corresponding to 24-nt phasiRNAs are expressed in all three cell types. No signal was detected in an unlabeled control hybridization. Scale bars = 10 μm. (**h**) 24-nt phasiRNA localization from *PHAS116* in homozygous *dcl5-mu03//dcl5-mu03* anthers and W23 maize anthers at the 1.75 mm stage. Probes are conjugated with Texas Red dye. Low to zero signal was detected in negative control images with probes against mouse Hexokinase H (HKH) RNA (negative control). Scale bars = 10 μm.

Fig. 5 Model of 24-nt phasiRNAs biogenesis and mobility in maize anthers.

On the left is a colorized confocal image as a transverse section of a single anther. On the right is

an enlargement of a portion of a single lobe using the same color scheme. During the leptotene-

zygotene transition, 24-nt phasiRNAs are generated in TAP and are proposed to move to other

cell types. The 30 TAP cells in contact with each meiocyte are proposed to each export a small

fraction of their 24-nt phasiRNAs to ME; similarly, a small amount of TAP 24-nt phasiRNA is

exported to first to the adjacent Middle Layer (ML) and then distributed to other OSC.

Supporting Information

Fig. S1 Sequential application of LCM to isolate ME, TAP, and OSC in 1.5 mm and 2.0 mm

W23 anthers.

Fig. S2 Transcript abundances of three *24-PHAS* loci (*PHAS15*, *PHAS116*, and *PHAS296*) in

LCM cell sample collections in RNA-seq data.

Fig. S3 *PHAS15* localization in maize anthers.

Fig. S4 Negative control hybridization assay.

Fig. S5 *ZmCyanase* localization in maize anthers.

Fig. S6 No labeled control hybridization assay.

Fig. S7 *Dcl5* localization in maize anthers.

Fig. S8 The distribution of four tapetal-enriched TFs (*Ms23*, *Ms32*, *bHLH51*, and *bHLH122*) in

LCM cell sample collections at 1.5 mm and 2.0 mm in the RNA-seq dataset.

Fig. S9 sRNA distribution in maize anthers.

Fig. S10 24-nt phasiRNAs localization in maize anthers.

Table S1 Primer sequences for CEL-seq 2 library preparation.

Table S2 Primer sequences for RT-qPCR by the TaqMan assay.

Table S3 Probes sequences (5'-> 3') used for smFISH or sRNA-FISH.

Table S4 List of LCM cell sample collections from 1.5 mm and 2.0 mm maize anthers.

Table S5 Summary of RNA-seq and sRNA-seq libraries prepared from FA and LCM cell sample

collections.

Table S6 Coordinates and abundance of the 126 24-*PHAS* loci and their corresponding 24-nt

phasiRNAs in FA and LCM cells.

Fig. 1 Staging of maize anthers and quality evaluation of LCM cell sample collections.

Fig. 2 24-*PHAS* precursor distribution in maize anthers.

Fig. 3 Evaluation of Argonaute, *Dcl5*, and *Rdr6* expression and *Dcl5* transcript localization in maize anthers.

Fig. 4. 24-nt phasiRNA distribution in maize anthers.

Fig. 5. Model of 24-nt phasiRNAs biogenesis and mobility in maize anthers.