Metformin preconditioning protects against myocardial stunning and preserves mitochondrial dynamics and protein translation in a mouse model of cardiac arrest

Cody A. Rutledge MD PhD[1], Claudia Lagranha PhD[1], Takuto Chiba PhD[2,3], Kevin Redding MS[1], Donna B. Stolz PhD[4], Eric Goetzman PhD[2], Sunder Sims-Lucas PhD[2,3], Brett A. Kaufman PhD[1]

1. Division of Cardiology, Vascular Medicine Institute, Department of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

2. Rangos Research Center, Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

3. Division of Nephrology, Department of Pediatrics, University of Pittsburgh School, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

4. Department of Cell Biology, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

Running Head: Metformin therapy protects against cardiac arrest

Corresponding Author:

Brett A. Kaufman

200 Lothrop Street

BST E1241

Pittsburgh, PA 15261

1-412-624-8644

bkauf@pitt.edu

Abstract

Cardiac arrest (CA) causes high mortality due to multi-system organ damage attributable to ischemia-
reperfusion injury. Recent work in our group found that among diabetic patients who experienced
cardiac arrest, those taking metformin had less evidence of cardiac and renal damage after cardiac
arrest when compared to those not taking metformin. To investigate the potential for metformin to
impact cardiac arrest outcomes, the current study investigates metformin interventions on cardiac and
renal outcomes in a non-diabetic CA mouse model. We found that two weeks of metformin
pretreatment protects against reduced ejection fraction and reduces kidney ischemia-reperfusion injury
at 24 hours post-arrest. This cardiac and renal protection depends on AMPK signaling, as demonstrated
by outcomes in mice pretreated with the AMPK activator AICAR or metformin plus the AMPK inhibitor
compound C.

At this 24-hour time point, gene expression analysis showed that metformin pretreatment caused
changes supporting autophagy, antioxidant response, and protein translation. Further investigation
found associated improvements in mitochondrial structure and markers of autophagy. We also found
that markers of protein nitrosylation between sham and arrest were not significantly different and thus
unlikely to be a driver of gene expression differences. Notably, Western analysis indicated that protein
synthesis was preserved in arrest hearts of animals pretreated with metformin. The AMPK activation-
mediated protection of protein synthesis was also demonstrated in a hypoxia/reoxygenation cell culture
model. Despite the positive impacts of pretreatment *in vivo* and *in vitro*, metformin did not preserve
ejection fraction when deployed at resuscitation. Taken together, we propose that metformin's *in vivo*
cardiac preservation occurs through AMPK activation, requires adaptation before arrest, and is
associated with preserved protein translation.

New and Noteworthy

We leveraged a mouse model of cardiac arrest to study whether metformin pretreatment could protect
cardiac function after arrest. We find that AMPK activity is crucial to this protection and characterize
several pathways associated with this response. Signaling nodes with strong association may be precise
targets to promote cardiac function in ischemia/reperfusion injury or in cardiac stunning.

Introduction

Cardiac arrest (CA) refers to the abrupt cessation of cardiac function and affects over 600,000 patients
annually in the United States (1,2). Patients who return spontaneous circulation (ROSC) after CA
experience systemic ischemia-reperfusion injury, typically resulting in multi-system organ damage.
Typical findings include cardiogenic shock, acute renal failure, liver damage, and neurologic dysfunction
(3–5). Previous observational studies in cardiac arrest patients have shown that low cardiac ejection
fraction (EF) (6) and reduced kidney function (7,8) after arrest are predictors of increased mortality.
Despite the prevalence of CA, no pharmacologic therapy has been shown to improve overall survival.

A recent study by our group found that diabetic patients taking metformin had less evidence of cardiac
and renal damage after CA than diabetic patients on other treatments (9), suggesting that metformin
pretreatment may be beneficial to CA patients through an undefined mechanism. Metformin is an oral
antihyperglycemic agent used as a first-line agent for type 2 diabetes that enhances insulin sensitivity
and normalizes glucose and lipid homeostasis (10–13). Beyond its role in controlling diabetes,
metformin has demonstrated clinical benefit across a wide variety of pathologies, including decreased
mortality in the setting of coronary artery disease (14), congestive heart failure (15), acute kidney injury
(16), chronic kidney disease (15), septic shock (17,18), and major surgical procedures (19).
Cardiovascular studies suggest that improved outcomes occur independently of the glucose-lowering
effects of metformin and may instead be attributable to metformin's pleiotropic effects (20). In a
preclinical rat model of CA, metformin pretreatment improved neurologic outcomes and survival (21),
and similar protection was recently reported using metformin as a post-arrest therapy (22). However,
the mechanisms underlying this protection and the value of metformin as a rescue therapy after CA
remain unclear.

Several mechanisms beneficial to cardiovascular health have been implicated in metformin's effects,
including reduced oxidative stress, anti-apoptotic activities, JNK inhibition, complex I inhibition, and
AMP Kinase (AMPK) activation (21,23,24). AMPK activation is prevalent in the metformin literature, and
AMPK activity is essential for survival after ischemic stress (25), but it is unclear whether AMPK activity is
the mediator of metformin-mediated protection in CA. Furthermore, it is unclear whether the adaptive
upregulation of AMPK during cardiac stress is optimal or whether further activation could even more
strongly impact recovery in cardiac injury models.

In this study, we sought to test metformin's potential benefit on heart and kidney protection after CA
and begin to assess the drivers of the effects witnessed clinically in our earlier diabetic patient study. We
evaluated cardiac and renal outcomes one day after CA in untreated sham (Sham), untreated arrest
(Arrest), metformin-pretreated sham (Sham+Met), and metformin pretreated arrest mice (Arrest+Met)
mice. Additionally, we tested the AMPK-dependence of metformin's effects by pretreating with the
AMPK activator 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide-1-β-D-ribofuranoside (AICAR; Arrest+AICAR) instead
of metformin or by inhibition of AMPK via compound C given in the presence of metformin
(Arrest+Met+Comp C). We also evaluated a small cohort of mice with intravenous metformin as rescue
therapy. From the pretreatment group, we identified transcriptomic changes in metformin-treated
sham and arrest mice and tested many of the pathways implicated by Western blot analysis or
confirmed changes in a cell model of hypoxia/reoxygenation (H/R).

Methods

Sudden Cardiac Arrest Model

Eight-week-old male and female C57BL/6J mice (Jackson Labs, Bar Harbor, ME, #000664) underwent
cardiac arrest by delivery of potassium chloride (KCl) directly into the LV by percutaneous, ultrasound-
guided needle injection (Figure 1) as previously described (26). Briefly, mice were anesthetized using
vaporized isoflurane (Henry Schein, Melville, NY, #1182097) and endotracheally intubated and
mechanically ventilated (MiniVent, Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA, #73-0043) at 150 bpm and
volume of 125 μL for females and 140 μL for males. Body temperature was maintained using a rectal
temperature probe and heating pad (Indus Instruments, Webster, TX, #THM150). The chest was cleaned
of hair using Nair and sterilized with betadine before the introduction of a 30-gauge needle into the LV
under ultrasound guidance (Visual Sonics Vevo 3100 with Vevo LAB v 5.5.1 software, Toronto, Canada),
followed by delivery of 40 μL of 0.5M KCl to induce asystole. The ventilator was stopped, and the mice
remained in asystole for 8 minutes. 7.5 minutes after KCl dosing, 500 μL of 15 μg/mL epinephrine in
saline (37 °C) was injected into the LV over approximately 30 seconds. At 8 minutes, the ventilator was
restarted, and CPR was initiated by finger compression at about 300 bpm for 1-minute intervals. An
electrocardiogram (ECG) was evaluated for return of sinus rhythm after each 1-minute interval. Animals
not achieving ROSC by 3 minutes after CPR initiation were euthanized. Mice remained on ventilator
support until spontaneous breathing frequency was greater than 60 times per minute. Sham mice
received no KCl, but rather a single injection of epinephrine. After the procedure, all animals were
placed in a recovery cage under a heat lamp.

Animal Treatment Groups

Treatment groups in the study were as follows: untreated sham (Sham), untreated cardiac arrest
(Arrest), metformin-pretreated sham (Sham+Met), metformin-pretreated cardiac arrest (Arrest+Met), 5-
aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide-1-beta-D-ribofuranoside (AICAR) pretreated cardiac arrest
(Arrest+AICAR), metformin + compound c pretreated cardiac arrest (Arrest+Met+Comp C), and
metformin rescue treatment cardiac arrest (Arrest+Rescue Metformin). Metformin pretreatment
consisted of *ad libitum* access to metformin (Major Pharmaceuticals, Livonia, MI, #48152) in drinking
water (1 mg/mL) for 14 days before the procedure. AICAR pretreated mice were given IP injections of
500 mg/kg AICAR (Toronto Research Chemicals, Toronto, CA, #A611700) in saline every other day for 14
days before CA. Compound C pretreated mice were given 20 mg/kg IP injections of compound C
(Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, #11967) in saline daily for 14 days before arrest. Metformin rescue
therapy was given as a single direct LV injection (1250 μg/kg) dissolved in saline and 500 μL of 1 mg/mL
epinephrine (Par Pharmaceutical, Chestnut Ridge, NY, #10977) at the time of resuscitation. Mice were
randomly assigned to treatment groups. Male and female mice were used in all groups. All mouse
studies were performed at the University of Pittsburgh in compliance with the National Institutes of
Health Guidance for Care and Use of Experimental Animals. This protocol was approved by the
University of Pittsburgh Animal Care and Use Committee (Protocol #18032212).

Echocardiography and Ultrasound

Immediately before arrest CA, mice were evaluated by transthoracic echocardiography using Vevo 3100
imaging systems (Visual Sonics) with a 40 MHz probe as previously described (27). Repeat
echocardiography was performed one day after arrest under isoflurane anesthesia delivered by nose

cone. Heart rate was maintained between 400-500 bpm during imaging by adjusting isoflurane
concentration. B-mode images taken from the parasternal long-axis were captured, and LV EF was
calculated using modified Simpson's methods (28). A cohort of all groups was assessed for renal
perfusion after resuscitation. The ultrasound probe was oriented transversely across the abdomen at
the plane of the right kidney and monitored for renal artery blood flow by Doppler imaging. All image
analysis was performed by a blinded sonographer (Vevo Lab 5.5.1, Visual Sonics).

Tissue and Serum Collection

141   After euthanasia with isoflurane and cervical dislocation, mice underwent cardiac puncture to collect
142   blood by heparinized syringe. Blood was separated by centrifugation at 2,000 x g at 4°C for 10 minutes,
143   and the serum was flash frozen. These samples were evaluated for blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and
144   creatinine by the Kansas State Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratories (Manhattan, KS). Hearts from the mice
145   were excised, and LVs were isolated and flash frozen.

Western Blot

Frozen LV tissue was homogenized in lysis buffer containing a protease/phosphatase cocktail (Sigma-
Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, #11697498001) and normalized for protein content using a BCA assay (Life
Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, #23235). Samples were separated on NuPage 4-12% gradient SDS-PAGE gels
(ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, #WG1403BOX) and iBlot transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes
(Invitrogen, #IB21001). Membranes were blocked in 5% milk (non-phosphorylated antibodies) or 5% BSA
(phosphorylated antibodies) for 1 hour and then incubated overnight at 4 °C with primary antibodies,
including, p-AMPK (Thr172, 1:1000, Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA, #2535), AMPK (1:1000, Cell Signaling,
#2532), GAPDH (1:5000, Millipore, St. Louis, MO, #AB2302), p62 (1:1000, Sigma-Aldrich, #P0067), LC3
(Invitrogen, 1:1000, PA1-16931), OxPhos Rodent Antibody Cocktail 1:5000 (ThermoFisher, #458099),
OPA1 (Invitrogen, 1:1000, PA5-57875), MFN2 (Invitrogen, 1:1000, #711803), p-DRP1 (Ser616, 1:1000,
Thermo, #PA5-64821), DRP1 (1:1000, Cell Signaling, #5391), p-S6 (Ser240/244, 1:1000, Cell Signaling,
#2215), S6 (1:1000, Cell Signaling, #2217), p-4EBP1 (Thr37/46, 1:1000, Cell Signaling, #2855), 4EBP1
(1:1000, Cell Signaling, #9644), nitrotyrosine (Santa Cruz, 1:1000, #32757) and ATF4 (1:1000, Thermo,
#PA5-27576). Following incubation, membranes were washed with TBS-tween and then probed for 1
hour at room temperature with anti-mouse or anti-rabbit secondary antibodies conjugated with either
horse radish peroxidase (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA, #115-035-003 and #115-035-144)
or infrared secondary (IRDye 680 and 800, LICOR, Lincoln, NE, #926-32210 and #926-68071). Images
were obtained by developing on a ChemiDoc XRS imaging system (BioRad, Hercules, CA) or Odyssey CLx
infrared imaging system (LICOR) and analyzed using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health,
Bethesda, MD).

Microarray Analysis

Microarray analysis was performed on cDNA through the Affymetrix microarray analysis service
(ThermoFisher). Sham, Arrest, Sham+Met, and Arrest+Met mice were included in these studies with an
even distribution of males and females (total n=6 per group). Differential gene expression analysis was
performed using Transcription Analysis Console (Thermofisher). Gene-level p-values less than 0.05 were
considered significant for gene inclusion. Subsequent pathway analysis was performed to compare
untreated sham and arrest groups through Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (Qiagen). Complete datasets
were deposited in GEO (accession no. GSE176494).

Cell Model

AC16 cells, a hybrid cell model derived from the fusion of adult ventricular myocytes with stable,
proliferating SV40 fibroblasts (29), were cultured in DMEM with 10% FBS and penicillin/streptomycin
(Thermo, #15140122). Cells were incubated overnight at 37° C in DMEM with and without AICAR (1.25
mM) before the hypoxia/reoxygenation (H/R) challenge. Immediately prior to challenge, media was
replaced with either DMEM (normoxia group) or Esumi buffer containing 137 mM NaCl, 12 mM KCl, 0.5
mM $MgCl_2$, 0.9 mM $CaCl_2$, 20 mM HEPES, and 20 mM 2-deoxy-d-glucose at pH 6.2 (H/R group). Cells
were challenged with either normoxia (20% oxygen, 5% CO_2) or hypoxia (1% oxygen, 5% CO_2) for 4
hours, and then media was exchanged with normoxic DMEM. After 30 min of normoxia, cells were
stained with NucBlue Live (Hoechst 33342, Invitrogen) and NucGreen Dead (Sytox green, Invitrogen)
according to manufacturer recommendations and visualized on a Cell Insight CX7 HCX Platform
(Thermo) at 20x to quantify the ratio of living to dead cells. These cells were pelleted and flash frozen
for protein quantification.

Protein Synthesis Assay

The normoxia vs. H/R challenge was repeated for protein synthesis assays using the methionine analog
1-azidohomoalanine (AHA; Thermo). Immediately after normoxia/hypoxia, cell media was exchanged
with methionine-free media (RPMI, Thermo) containing AHA for 30 min. After treatment, media was
removed, and cells were fixed and permeabilized. The AHA reaction cocktail was added to cells to detect
AHA signaling as a marker of protein synthesis, and cells were co-labeled with Hoechst stain. Cells were
visualized for fluorescent signal and total fluorescent intensity quantified in a ring around the nuclei
using the Cell Insight CX7 HCX Platform.

Respirometry and Hydrogen Peroxide Assay

Oroboros high-resolution respirometry was performed on fresh whole-tissue homogenate using an
Oroboros Oxygraph-2K (Innsbruck, Austria). Homogenization was performed by adding 50 mg of frozen
LV to Mir05 buffer ($MgCl_2$-$6H_2O$ 3 mM, K^+MES 105 mM, taurine 20 mM, KH_2PO_4 10 mM, Hepes 20 mM,
d-sucrose 110 mM, and fatty acid-free BSA 1 g/l). After baseline respiration was established, 5 mM
pyruvate, 2 mM malate, and 10 mM glutamate (PMG) were added to assess respiratory capacity
through complex I, followed by ADP (2 mM) titrations to stimulate respiration. Next, 10 µM cytochrome
C was added to evaluate mitochondrial integrity, followed by 10 mM succinate to assess the combined
activity of Complex I + II, then 0.5 µM carbonyl cyanide 3-chlorophenylhydrazone (CCCP) to uncouple
the mitochondrial membrane and induce maximal respiration. Hydrogen peroxide levels were assessed
from these samples using Amplex Red Assay (Thermo, #A22188) according to manufacturer instructions.

Statistical Analysis

Data were expressed as mean ± standard error in all figures. $P \leq 0.05$ was considered significant for all
comparisons. One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test was used to all compare
groups except Figure 8, in which student's t-test was used. All statistical analysis was completed using
Graphpad Prism 8 software (San Diego, CA).

Results

Metformin pretreatment protects cardiac EF and is dependent on AMPK signaling

To test the cardioprotective effects of metformin in CA, mice were pretreated for 2-weeks with
metformin before the CA procedure (Figure 1) and compared to untreated arrest mice. There were no
significant differences between groups to baseline animal characteristics, including the ratio of female
mice (Table 1), body weight (Table 1), or baseline EF (Figure 2A) in these mice. Twenty-four hours after
arrest, untreated arrest mice had significantly lower EF than untreated sham mice (Sham: 59.5±1.7%;
arrest: 41.1±2.7%, p<0.0001, Figure 2A), as expected based on our previous description of this model
(26). Metformin pretreatment significantly protected EF measured 24 hours after CA (Arrest+Met:
51.6±2.6%, p<0.01 vs. Arrest, Figure 2A). There were no changes to postoperative body temperature,
time to resuscitation, or time to extubation (Table 1). Sham mice pretreated with metformin had no
change to baseline characteristics or EF 24 hours after the procedure. These baseline and control
measures found no confounding variables.

Metformin has multiple potential modes of action (30), with AMPK activation being dominant in the
literature. Because of AMPK's role in cardiac resilience (25), we tested whether direct AMPK activation
was necessary and sufficient for the observed enhanced EF. Cohorts of mice were treated for 2-weeks
with the AMPK activator AICAR (31) or metformin and compound C, an established AMPK inhibitor (12).
Both groups underwent two weeks of intraperitoneal (IP) injections before CA and were evaluated by
echocardiography at baseline and twenty-four hours after arrest. No changes were observed in baseline
EF (Figure 2A) or baseline characteristics, including age, % female, or body weight compared to the
arrest group (Table 1). Like the metformin results, AICAR pretreated arrest mice had significantly
improved EF compared to untreated arrest mice at 24 hours post-CA (Arrest+AICAR: 52.0±2.4%, p<0.05
vs. arrest, Figure 2A). Compound C not only prevented the beneficial effects of metformin on cardiac EF
but also caused significantly reduced EF (Arrest+Met+Comp C: 30.0±2.9%) when compared to the
untreated arrest group (p<0.05). The AICAR pretreatment phenocopies metformin pretreatment, and
compound C blocks the benefit of metformin, suggesting that AMPK activation is necessary and
sufficient for the metformin-mediated protection of cardiac function after CA.

To determine AMPK activation status, we assessed phosphorylation of threonine-172 of the AMPKα
subunit in the myocardium (32). 24 hours after the CA procedure, LVs from sham and arrest groups
(n=6/group) were assessed for p-AMPK, total AMPK, and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase
(GAPDH) protein expression and were normalized to the arrest group (Figure 2B, Supplemental Figure
1). p-AMPK/AMPK was not significantly changed between Sham (1.44±0.47), Arrest (1.00±0.17), and
Sham+Met (1.15±0.16) groups. p-AMPK/AMPK was elevated in the Arrest+Met mice (2.19±0.19, p<0.01
vs. Arrest) and Arrest+AICAR mice (1.91±0.31, p<0.05 vs. arrest) but unchanged in the
Arrest+Met+Comp C mice (1.024±0.12). Total AMPK was unchanged between the treatment groups
(Supplemental Figure 1). The strongest activation of AMPK was with metformin or AICAR plus arrest,
suggesting a synergistic effect of drug and arrest on activation at this time point.

Metformin pretreatment protects against kidney injury and is dependent on AMPK signaling

The effects of whole-body ischemia/reperfusion injury can be detected in peripheral tissues, particularly
in the kidney (26). Untreated arrest mice have significant kidney damage by tubular injury scoring
compared to untreated sham mice at one-day post-CA (sham: 0.11±0.04; arrest: 3.33±0.24, p<0.0001,

Figure 2C). Metformin pretreated sham mice have no evidence of damage by tubular injury scores
(0.14±0.04, p<0.0001 vs. arrest). Metformin pretreated arrest mice had significantly lower tubular injury
scores (2.26±0.24, p<0.05) than the arrest group. AICAR pretreated arrest mice had lower injury scores
(1.45±0.42, p<0.001) than arrest mice, while Metformin + compound C mice had no protection evident
by score (2.54±0.47). To test peripheral markers as a proxy for tubular injury scores, serum markers of
kidney damage, creatinine and BUN, were also assessed in each group (Supplemental Figure 2). Serum
creatinine was significantly elevated in the arrest mice compared to sham (sham: 0.36±0.04 mg/dL;
arrest: 1.49±0.14 mg/dL, p<0.0001). There was no significant change to metformin pretreated arrest
mice (0.97±0.21 mg/dL), though significant changes were found in the AICAR arrest (0.67±0.25, p<0.01)
and Metformin + compound C mice (0.85±0.07, p<0.05) compared to sham. BUN was elevated in arrest
mice compared to sham (sham: 26.6±5.2 mg/dL; arrest: 153.9±28.4 mg/dL, p<0.001) but was unchanged
between arrest mice and Metformin pretreated arrest (121.2±28.0 mg/dL), AICAR arrest (85.7±8.9), and
Metformin + compound C mice (135.8±18.6). No changes were found when comparing the time to
kidney reperfusion between the untreated arrest and arrest treatment groups by Doppler flow analysis
of the renal artery (Table 1). Taken together, systemic activation of AMPK reduces histological markers
of CA-induced kidney damage, but 24-hour serum markers show limited sensitivity to this protection.

Metformin causes broad transcriptome changes in sham and arrest mice

To better understand the signaling changes caused by metformin therapy, transcriptomic analysis was
performed on LVs from Sham, Arrest, Sham+Met, and Arrest+Met groups (n=6/group) by microarray.
Differentially expressed genes were identified for three comparisons: Arrest vs. Sham, Sham+Met vs.
Sham, and Arrest+Met vs. Arrest (p<0.05, no fold restriction, Supplemental Figure 3). Pathway analysis
was then performed on these transcriptome changes through Ingenuity Pathway Analysis. The top 15
most significantly changed pathways are included in Figure 3, along with a z-score to predict the
upregulation or downregulation of each pathway. Prominent pathways include changes to autophagy,
AMPK signaling, unfolded protein response, nuclear factor-erythroid 2-related factor 2 (NRF2) mediated
oxidative stress, and eukaryotic initiation factor 2 (EIF2) signaling. We performed initial confirmation
studies for these pathways and focused on changes detectable at 24 hours. When no supporting data
were found, we advanced other pathway studies. When support was identified, additional studies were
done for confirmation.

Metformin affects mitochondrial morphology and markers of autophagy

Interestingly, the autophagy pathway shows the most significant changes between Arrest and Sham and
Sham+Met and Sham, but not between Arrest+Met and Arrest. Because metformin has been reported
to increase cardiac mitophagy in cardiomyopathy (33,34) and autophagy was the top hit in two of the
sample comparisons, we evaluated protein expression for markers associated with autophagosome
formation, including p62/Sequestosome 1, a cargo receptor associated with degradation of
ubiquitinated proteins (35), and microtubule-associated protein light chain 3 (LC3) processing (Figure 4).
p62 expression (normalized to GAPDH) was not significantly changed between groups. The relative
levels of microtubule-associated protein light chain 3 (LC3), specifically levels of uncleaved (LC3-I) and
cleaved (LC3-II) forms, were also monitored as an indicator of changes in autophagy initiation (36).
Interestingly, the LC3-II to LC3-I ratio was significantly increased in untreated arrest mice (1.91±0.15)
compared to sham (1.00±0.18, p<0.01). In contrast, the metformin-pretreated arrest mice had
significantly reduced LC3-II/LC3-I (1.17±0.17) when compared to untreated arrest mice (p<0.05). LC3-I

and LC3-II expression levels (normalized to GAPDH) were not significantly changed between groups
(data not shown). The normalization of LC3-II/LC3-I may be interpreted as metformin restoration of
mitophagy; however, ischemia/reperfusion is well known to induce mitochondrial damage and
mitophagy (37), and reduction in mitochondrial damage could yield a similar change in LC3 ratios.

To assess mitochondrial damage, we used electron microscopy to examine mitochondrial
structural changes among hearts from untreated sham, untreated arrest, and metformin-pretreated
arrest mice (Figure 5A). The untreated arrest mice showed a decrease in mitochondrial perimeter and
area (perimeter: 3.06±0.06 µm; area: 0.60±0.02 µm^2) when compared to sham (perimeter: 3.81±0.09
µm; area: 0.87±0.04 µm^2, p<0.0001 for both measures) in cardiomyocytes (Figure 5B). Myocardium from
metformin-pretreated arrest mice showed a modest but significant increase in mitochondrial perimeter
and area (perimeter: 3.33±0.07 µm; area: 0.70±0.03 µm^2) when compared to that of untreated arrest
mice (p<0.05 for both measures). Both the untreated arrest mice (0.76±0.001 µm, p<0.0001) and
metformin-pretreated arrest mouse heart (0.75±0.01 µm; p<0.0001) had more circular mitochondria
than the untreated sham mouse (0.69±0.01 µm). These data demonstrate modest changes in
mitochondrial morphology at 24 hours post-CA, with normalization of mitochondrial area and perimeter
but not circularity in metformin-pretreated arrest mice compared to untreated arrest mice, which may
be consistent with diminished mitochondrial damage or normalization of membrane dynamics.

Because of the change in mitochondrial architecture, we also looked at markers of
mitochondrial biogenesis and damage in the LVs to explain the protection by metformin. First,
representative proteins of mitochondrial respiratory complexes were assessed from LV extracts taken 24
hours after arrest from untreated sham, untreated arrest, metformin-pretreated sham, and metformin-
pretreated arrest mice (Figure 5C). There was a mild but statically significant elevation in a subunit of
complex II in the metformin-pretreated arrest mice (1.29±0.10) compared to untreated sham mice
(0.98±0.04, p<0.01); but otherwise, relative expression of representative proteins was unchanged across
the groups. Consistent with these results, we found no significant differences in Oroboros measurement
of mitochondrial oxygen consumption in heart homogenates in sham and arrest cohorts (Supplemental
Figure 4A). After sequential stimulation with PMG, ADP, Cytochrome C, succinate, and FCCP, we found
no significant differences in oxygen consumption rates (OCR). Prior work shows that mitochondrial
dysfunction induced by ischemia-reperfusion injury resolved in less than 24 hours (38,39), so this result
only informs that the respiratory defect is not protracted in metformin+arrest heart.

Metformin pretreatment protects against mtDNA damage after arrest

We next examined mtDNA relative abundance and damage in the cardiac tissue from these
groups (Figure 5D). Unlike in failed hearts (40), the arrest group did not show a statistically significant
decrease in mtDNA levels at 24 hours post-CA, consistent with the evidence above that mitochondria
are not being dramatically removed by autophagy. The metformin-pretreated arrest mice had slightly
higher relative mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) levels (1.16±0.06) than untreated arrest mice (0.89±0.05,
p<0.05) but were not significantly different from the sham group (1.00±0.05). Notably, the metformin-
pretreated arrest mice had significantly less mtDNA damage in a long extension assay (0.03±0.1
lesions/10kb mtDNA) than untreated arrest mice (0.59±0.16 lesions, p<0.05). There was no statistical
difference between sham and arrest+met groups. The small but significant increases in mtDNA and
complex II in the metformin-pretreated arrest mice could be consistent with an increase in
mitochondrial biogenesis, but the combined significant improvement in mitochondrial morphology and

mtDNA integrity suggests an improvement in overall mitochondrial quality, decreased damage, and are
in line with the changes in autophagy markers.

Metformin pretreatment affects markers of mitochondrial dynamics

To understand the alterations in mitochondrial morphology better, we examined the relative
levels of several proteins involved in establishing mitochondrial shape (Figure 5E). Mitofusin 2 (MFN2), a
mitochondrial outer membrane GTPase involved in fusion, had reduced expression in metformin-
pretreated arrest mice (Arrest+Met: 0.53±0.07) compared to all other groups (Sham: 1.00±0.10, p<0.01;
Arrest: 1.06±0.07, p<0.001; Sham+Met: 0.89±0.04, p<0.05). OPA1, also a dynamin-related GTPase that
resides in the inner membrane to perform fusogenic functions (41), followed a similar overall trend with
significantly reduced levels in metformin-pretreated arrest mice (0.75±0.04) when compared to
untreated arrest mice (1.13±0.10, p<0.05). In contrast, dynamin-related protein 1 (DRP1), whose activity
is regulated by its phosphorylation at Ser-616 (42), showed no significant change in p-DRP1/DRP1
between sham and arrest groups. Metformin pretreatment appeared to improve p-DRP1/DRP1 post-
arrest modestly, but the effect was not statistically significant, likely because the decline in p-DRP in
untreated arrest hearts was not significant. Indeed, while mitochondrial perimeter and area are
increasing with metformin-pretreatment in 24-hour post-arrest hearts, markers of fusion and fission are
not significantly changed by arrest (relative to sham), suggesting that these markers are not the driver of
those morphological changes. In fact, the MFN2 and OPA1 decrease and p-DRP1/DRP1 increase by
metformin pretreatment in arrested mice (relative to arrest mice) are moving in the opposite direction
from what would be expected to correct mitochondrial morphology. We suggest that other
mitochondrial quality control processes contribute to mitochondrial morphological rescue.

Metformin pretreatment protects against changes to markers of protein synthesis

Interestingly, the MFN2 decrease is consistent with the activation of autophagy (43,44), where it
is ubiquitinated and targeted for proteolysis. Metformin has been reported to impact heart autophagy
through AMPK signaling (33,34). To test engagement of autophagy in the CA model, LVs collected 24
hours after arrest from untreated sham, untreated arrest, metformin-pretreated sham, and metformin-
pretreated arrest mice were evaluated for markers of autophagy by Western blot analysis (Figure 6).
First, we evaluated mTOR activation as a crucial negative regulator of autophagy (45). As a positive
downstream marker of mTOR activity, S6 ribosomal protein (S6) phosphorylation at Ser-240/244 (p-S6)
was assessed (Figure 6A). Both the p-S6/GAPDH and p-S6/S6 showed that the mTOR pathway activity
was highest in the arrest + metformin. We suggest that mTOR is potentially highly activated in
arrest+metformin hearts at 24 hours post-arrest because mTOR activity inhibits autophagy, the concept
that AMPK activation and subsequent mTOR inhibition to increase autophagy is insufficient to explain
the survival benefit of metformin.

EIF2 signaling was the most significantly altered pathway between the Arrest+Met and Arrest
groups (Figure 3) and is activated by mTOR (46,47). EIF2 signaling is commonly referred to as a marker of
the endoplasmic stress downstream of protein kinase RNA-like ER kinase (PERK) activation (48). In that
role, EIF2 activation promotes the expression of activating transcription factors (ATFs), including ATF4,
an integrated stress response regulator known to be activated by metformin (49,50). However, we did
not find significant changes in ATF4 expression between our treatment groups (Supplemental Figure 5).
The mRNA changes driving EIF2 signaling are overwhelmingly related to ribosomal proteins
(Supplemental Figure 5B), leading us to explore markers of protein synthesis.

As both the EIF2 pathway and p-S6 activation increase mRNA translation, we next performed an
additional Western blot analysis of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E-binding protein 1 (4EBP1).
4EBP1 is a repressor of mRNA translation (51), and when phosphorylated at Thr37/36, 4EBP1 releases
eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E (eIF4E) and is degraded (Figure 6C). eIF4E. proceeds to
increase protein synthesis. We found that the ratio of p-4EBP1/4EBP1 was decreased in arrest mice
(0.76±0.05) when compared to sham (1.00±0.05, p<0.01; Figure 6B). The Sham+Met (0.89±0.05) group
was unchanged from Arrest; however, the Arrest+Met group (0.94±0.03) was significantly higher than
Arrest (p<0.05). Sham mice had higher p-4EB1/GAPDH (1.00±0.13) than Arrest mice (0.54±0.05, p<0.01),
while there was no change between the Arrest mice and the Sham+Met (0.56±0.04) or the Arrest+Met
(0.69±0.05) groups. Similarly, Sham mice had higher 4EBP1/GAPDH (1.00±0.09) vs. Arrest (0.71±0.03,
p<0.01), but there was no change between Arrest mice and Sham+Met (0.64±0.02) or Arrest+Met
(0.74±0). The elevation of p-S6, p-4EBP1, and activation of the EIF2 pathway strongly implicate mTOR
activation in promoting translation that persists at 24 hours post-arrest.

AICAR pretreatment protects against H/R changes to protein synthesis in a cell model

To quantify protein synthesis changes, we replicated the hypoxic insult of CA in cell culture by
using AC16 cells (Figure 7A). Cells were pretreated overnight with AICAR to mimic AMPK activation
specifically. Cells underwent normoxia or hypoxia (1% oxygen in Esumi buffer) for 4 hours before
reoxygenation with and without AICAR pretreatment. Using these conditions, we found that p-S6/S6
was decreased in the untreated H/R cells (0.78±0.01) compared to untreated normoxia (1.00±0.06,
p<0.01) and unchanged compared to AICAR normoxia (0.91±0.02) but was significantly higher in the
AICAR H/R cells (0.93±0.05, p<0.05). Similar changes were found in the p-S6/GAPDH and S6/GAPDH
comparisons. Finally, p-4EBP1/4EBP1 was decreased in untreated H/R cells (0.72±0.01) compared to
untreated normoxia (1.00±0.08, p<0.05) and unchanged compared to AICAR normoxia (0.83±0.03) and
AICAR H/R cells (0.87±0.06). However, p-4EBP1 was significantly lower in the untreated H/R cells
(0.56±0.01) compared to all other groups (untreated normoxia: 1.00±0.04, p<0.001, AICAR normoxia;
0.74±0.04, p<0.01, AICAR H/R: 0.74±0.04, p<0.01). There was no change in the percent of dead cells
after reoxygenation in any cell groups (Figure 7C).

Protein synthesis was quantified for each cell group by measuring the fluorescent intensity of
labeled AHA given immediately after reoxygenation (n=3000-5000 cells/group). The fluorescent intensity
was lower in the untreated H/R cells (1397713±12864) compared to untreated normoxia
(2021451±13931, p<0.0001) and AICAR normoxia cells (1969457±16463, p<0.0001; Figure 7D). The
AICAR H/R cells had significantly higher intensity (1651628±16842) compared to the untreated H/R cells
(p<0.0001). These *in vitro* data suggest that activation of AMPK would restore protein translation
disrupted by ischemia/reperfusion injury in heart.

Metformin does not improve outcomes as a rescue therapy

To test metformin's efficacy as rescue therapy in our model, metformin was given directly into the LV in
a cohort of mice (n=7) at the time of resuscitation (1,250 µg/kg). Compared to the arrest mice described
in Figure 2, there was no change to EF one day after CA (arrest rescue metformin: 42.9±2.4%, Figure 8A).
Similarly, there was no change in tubular injury score (2.54±0.423, Figure 8A), creatinine (1.2±0.25,
Supplemental Figure 6), or BUN (155.3±14.2) when compared to untreated arrest mice. Baseline EF
(61.1±2.1) was not significantly different from the arrest mice. These data are consistent with the notion
that metabolic adaptation is required for metformin protection after CA.

Hearts from these mice were collected, and Western blots performed comparing untreated
arrest vs. arrest with rescue metformin. There was no change in p-AMPK/AMPK, p-AMPK/GAPDH, or
AMPK/GAPDH between treatment groups (Figure 8B&C). p-S6/S6 and S6/GAPDH were unchanged
between groups, though there was a reduction in p-S6/GAPDH in the arrest + rescue metformin cohort
(0.75±0.08) when compared to untreated arrest mice (1.00±0.03, $p<0.05$). There was a mild reduction in
p-4EBP1/4EBP1 in the arrest + rescue metformin mice (0.88±0.04) when compared to untreated arrest
mice (1.00±0.02, $p<0.05$), but p-4EBP1/GAPDH and 4EBP1/GAPDH were unchanged. These differences in
magnitude and duration of activation of these pathways in this model may account for the failure as a
rescue therapy.

Discussion

Our group recently performed a retrospective analysis of diabetic CA patients with and without
metformin therapy before arrest and found that metformin improved markers of cardiac and renal
damage acutely after CA (9). This exciting result prompted the search for reports of preclinical models to
identify the molecular pathways involved. Of particular relevance are studies of a 9-min rat asphyxial
model. Metformin pretreatment has neurologic and 7-day survival benefits but focuses on neuronal
markers without measures of cardiac and renal outcomes (21). More recently, a 10-min asphyxial rat
model with post-CA treatment demonstrated improved 3-day survival and neurological function,
involving normalization of brain activity without activation of AMPK, but also did not examine cardiac
and renal function (22). Our current data suggest that metformin-mediated cardiac protection may also
promote survival. Indeed, the lack of AMPK activation in the 2-hours post-treatment brain could be
consistent with protection arising from other metformin activities. Unfortunately, acute metformin
rescue was unsuccessful in our myocardial stunning model, so potential confounders in interpretation
among these studies remain.

Metformin pretreatment has previously decreased scar size in reversible coronary artery ligation
(24,52–56) and *ex vivo* whole-heart ischemia-reperfusion (24,57). However, studies of metformin's
effects on cardiac function have primarily utilized ischemia-reperfusion injury models with ischemic
periods of 25-30 minutes. The long ischemic duration in these models involves substantial
cardiomyocyte necrosis. Much of metformin's beneficial effect has been attributed to reducing mPTP-
mediated cell death (58). In contrast, our data demonstrate metformin's protection of *in vivo* EF in a CA
model (Figure 1) that features an 8-minute ischemia period without evidence of cardiac cell death (26).
We, therefore, set out to identify intracellular processes that adapt the cardiomyocyte to maintain
function rather than alter cell survival.

Metformin pretreatment has pleiotropic effects involving many molecular pathways, including
reducing oxidative stress, inhibiting apoptosis, inhibiting complex I, and activating AMPK signaling
(20,24,59,60). In our CA mouse model, we find that AMPK activation is necessary and sufficient to enact
metformin's cardioprotective effects. Mice pretreated with AICAR, an AMP-mimetic known to activate
AMPK (50), had preserved EF one day after CA compared to the untreated arrest mice (Figure 2A).
Conversely, mice pretreated with metformin with the AMPK inhibitor compound C showed no
improvement in EF one day after arrest compared to the untreated arrest mice. AICAR conferred similar
renal protection as measured by tubular injury score, and the protective effects of metformin on the
kidney were negated by compound C (Figure 2C). Interestingly, we did not find evidence of p-
AMPK/AMPK changes between the arrest and sham groups by Western blot (Figure 2B), despite
indicated AMPK changes in transcriptome pathway analysis (Figure 3). This phosphorylation status of
AMPK may have recovered at the time point evaluated (24 hours after arrest), while the continued
effects of metformin and AICAR are observed.

Pathway analysis was performed on microarray data collected from LVs of Sham, Arrest,
Sham+Met, and Arrest+Met mice. A comparison of arrest to sham mice (Figure 3) implicates autophagy
and AMPK signaling in our model. Given the evidence of metformin's AMPK-dependent effects (Figure
2), it should be expected that metformin therapy causes similar pathway changes in the sham mouse or
the untreated arrest model. Several signaling changes were observed when comparing untreated arrest
to untreated sham mice (Figure 3, top) when comparing the metformin-treated sham to untreated sham

mice (Figure 3, middle). These shared pathways include activation of autophagy, NRF-2 mediated
oxidative stress response, NAD signaling, and xenobiotic metabolism signaling. Given this overlap, it is
unsurprising that signaling pathways are less profound when comparing the metformin pretreated
arrest and untreated arrest mice (Figure 3, bottom). Interestingly, the strongest hit in the Arrest+Met
mice compared to the arrest animals was EIF2 signaling, which may suggest an increased ability to
support the initiation of translation for protein synthesis after CA.

We focused on transcriptional pathways that were altered at the time of cardiac assessment,
which showed overt cardiac dysfunction (Figure 1A). Autophagy, AMPK signaling, unfolded protein
response, Nrf2-mediated oxidative stress, and EIF2 signaling show differences in transcriptional
signatures between Sham, Arrest, and Arrest+Met at 24 hours post-arrest. The absence of changes in
gene expression between arrest and metformin arrest may be due to the preservation of cardiac
function being upstream of those alterations. In the process, we observed whether given pathway
markers being tested showed activation or normalization, i.e., regression to sham levels. For autophagy,
the pathway was activated in Arrest vs. Sham and Sham+Met vs. Sham but *not* in Arrest+Met vs.Arrest,
suggesting that the pathway wasn't accelerated at the time of analysis. Normalization of mitochondrial
function, mitochondrial morphology, LC3-II/I ratios, and hyperphosphorylation of S6, which is inhibitory
for autophagy (61), are consistent with the non-activation of autophagy. Although mtDNA lesions are
decreased, which could be compatible with accelerated autophagy, the increase in total mtDNA levels
and mitochondrial Complex II may indicate some compensatory mitochondrial biogenesis. The
significant decreases (i.e., not normalization) of OPA1 and MFN2 and increase in p-DRP1 levels in
Arrest+Met relative to Arrest alone (Figure 5E) would suggest a change in mitochondrial dynamics,
which has previously been implicated as a major regulator in cardiac arrest outcomes (62). However, we
find that mitochondrial area and perimeter are increasing mitochondrial size (Figure 5B) instead of the
expected shift to a more fragmented shape due to changes in those proteins (41,63,64). We did not
detect oxidative protein modification (Supplemental Figure 4), which is known to be primarily
mitochondrial proteins damaged at early timepoints (38,39), suggesting that oxidant activating the Nrf2
signaling pathway may have been resolved by 24 hours post-CA. This is in line with the normalization of
mitochondrial function by 24 hours post-reperfusion described by others and the lack of differences in
respiration described herein (Supplemental Figure 4A).

The hyperactivation of p-S6 also showed an accelerated response at 24 hours post-CA in
Arrest+Met compared to Arrest alone samples. Initial tests of ATF4 activation downstream of S6K
activation did not support the unfolded protein response's involvement at this time. We speculated that
p-S6 was not only indicating that AMPK stimulated activity inhibited mTOR, which activated p70S6K, but
also the downstream activation of translation (Figure 6C). We also show alterations to the
phosphorylation status of the translation repressor protein 4EBP1 in the untreated arrest mice, which is
normalized in the arrest mice pretreated with metformin (Figure 6B). Phosphorylated 4EBP1 is
dissociated from the translation initiation factor eIF4E (Figure 6C), allowing eIF4E to initiate translation
(65).

To confirm changes in protein synthesis, we performed H/R studies of AC16 cells. We found that
4 hours of ischemia in these cells was enough to insult to drive Western blot markers without causing
cell death (Figure 7A, C). Cell homogenates in the untreated H/R groups have elevated p-AMPK/GAPDH
compared to normoxia and AICAR-treated normoxia cells (Figure 7B). However, we did not find
superactivation of p-AMPK in the AICAR pretreated H/R cells. p-S6/S6 was significantly decreased in the

untreated H/R cells compared to normoxia but preserved in the AICAR pretreated H/R group. Similarly, p-4EBP1/4EBP1 was reduced in the H/R mice compared to untreated normoxia cells but preserved in the AICAR pretreated group. The protective effects of AICAR on p-S6/S6 and p-4EBP1/4EBP1 were comparable to those seen by metformin in the animal model.

It should be noted that the p-S6/S6 is lower in the untreated H/R cells compared to the untreated normoxia cells, which is discordant with changes seen in the metformin-treated mice; however, the mouse model was complicated by epinephrine injection and surgical handling as well as delayed tissue collection (24 hours in mice, compared to 30 min in cells), which may explain the differences in p-S6/S6 results between the *in vivo* and *in vitro* systems. Importantly, we found that untreated H/R cells reduced protein translation compared to untreated normoxia cells, partially protected by AICAR pretreatment (Figure 7D). While these data suggest that AMPK activation can preserve translation in ischemia/reperfusion stressed cardiomyocytes, additional studies will be needed to establish to what extent such preservation contributes to cardiomyocyte resilience *in vivo*.

Finally, we performed a direct injection of metformin into the LV at the time of resuscitation to test the effects of metformin as rescue therapy. To minimize animal injury, we compared the rescue metformin cohort to the large arrest cohort described in Figure 2. However, we completed the analysis of EF and kidney changes in a blinded fashion. This small cohort of mice had no evidence of improved EF or kidney injury compared to the arrest group (Figure 8, Supplemental Figure 7). There has been some controversy concerning the use of metformin after cardiac insult. While several preclinical studies have found beneficial effects of metformin therapy when given at the reperfusion (24,56,59,66), this protection was not evident in a pig model of myocardial infarction (67). Our data suggest that while metformin as a pretreatment protects both the heart and the kidneys against ischemia-reperfusion injury, this protection depends on adaptive mechanisms before the insult and the nature of the insult. When used as rescue therapy, metformin did increase p-S6/S6 as seen in the metformin pretreatment group, but p-4EBP1/4EBP1 was significantly lower in the rescue metformin mice. This supports the notion that metformin's support for mRNA translation after CA requires preconditioning rather than rescue therapy.

There are limitations to the results observed in this study. First, while metformin provided clear evidence of renal protection as measured by tubular injury (Figure 2C), these results are less clear when comparing serum markers of kidney injury, including creatinine and BUN. Further, we found unexpected protection against renal damage in the Arrest+Met+Comp C cohort compared to Arrest, which may suggest an additional signaling component in the kidney or varying degrees of AMPK activation between the heart and the kidney. However, these serum measurements depend on other physiologic factors, such as animal fluid status or peripheral tissue breakdown, which may complicate their interpretation. For this reason, we consider tubular injury score a superior marker of damage (68). This renal damage does not appear to depend on kidney reperfusion time (Table 1). However, this reperfusion time was measured by doppler tracing of the renal artery, which may not have the sensitivity to fully detect ischemic duration without contrast imaging (69). Regarding the rescue therapy, intravenous metformin was calculated based on pharmacologic rodent studies comparing oral to intravenous metformin bioavailability (70). The concentration was 10-times larger than an intravenous dose of metformin used as rescue therapy in a mouse infarction model (56), so it is unlikely that this concentration did not exert a significant effect.

In summary, we demonstrate that pretreatment with metformin protects against myocardial
stunning and renal injury in a mouse model of CA. Our CA mouse involves cardiac injury without cardiac
cell death, making it a more focused system to study metformin's mechanism of action. Direct AMPK
activation and inhibition studies confirmed that AMPK activation is necessary and sufficient for the
cardiac and renal benefits observed with metformin treatment. While multiple molecular mechanisms
downstream of AMPK activation may affect these outcomes, microarray data and Western blot changes
suggest that preservation of mRNA translation may be a major mechanism underlying metformin's
effects. Preserved mRNA translation and protein synthesis were also found in a cell model of H/R when
pretreated with AICAR. Unfortunately, these findings did not translate into use as rescue therapy,
suggesting that metformin's effects require a degree of adaptation to confer tissue protection.

Acknowledgments

CR and BK were responsible for the conceptualization of these studies. CR designed the methodology,
and CL, KR, and EG performed the investigation. Formal analyses were performed by CR, CL, TC, EG, and
SSL. TC and DS completed visualization. CR, SSL, and BK wrote the manuscript. SSL and BK supervised the
project, and BK provided resources for its completion. All authors reviewed the final manuscript and are
responsible for its integrity. Some content was created with BioRender.

Sources of Funding

Research reported in this manuscript was supported by: American Heart Association Transformational Project Award 18TPA34230048 (to BK), NIH Instrument Grant for Advanced High-Resolution Rodent Ultrasound Imaging System 1S10OD023684-01A1, NIH Training Program in Imaging Sciences in Translational Cardiovascular Research 5T32HL129964-02, NIH F32HL156428 (to CR), and Richard K. Mellon Institute Award for postdoctoral trainees (to TC).

583 **Disclosures**

584 None of the authors have financial disclosures or conflicts of interest.

References

1.   Aparicio HJ, Benjamin EJ, Callaway CW, et al. *Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2021 Update A Report from the American Heart Association*.; 2021. doi:10.1161/CIR.0000000000000950

2.   Zipes D, Wellens HJJ. Sudden Cardiac Death. *Circulation*. 1998;98(21):2334-2351.

3.   Mongardon N, Dumas F, Ricome S, et al. Postcardiac arrest syndrome: from immediate resuscitation to long-term outcome. *Ann Intensive Care*. 2011;1(45):1-11.

4.   Neumar RW, Nolan JP, Adrie C, et al. Post-cardiac arrest syndrome: Epidemiology, pathophysiology, treatment, and prognostication a consensus statement from the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation. *Circulation*. 2008;118(23):2452-2483. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.190652

5.   Ruiz-Bailén M, Aguayo De Hoyos E, Ruiz-Navarro S, et al. Reversible myocardial dysfunction after cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Resuscitation*. 2005;66(2):175-181. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2005.01.012

6.   Chang WT, Ma MHM, Chien KL, et al. Postresuscitation myocardial dysfunction: Correlated factors and prognostic implications. *Intensive Care Med*. 2007;33(1):88-95. doi:10.1007/s00134-006-0442-9

7.   Park YS, Choi YH, Oh JH, et al. Recovery from acute kidney injury as a potent predictor of survival and good neurological outcome at discharge after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Crit Care*. 2019;23(1):1-11. doi:10.1186/s13054-019-2535-1

8.   Storm C, Krannich A, Schachtner T, et al. Impact of acute kidney injury on neurological outcome and long-term survival after cardiac arrest – A 10‐year observational follow up. *J Crit Care*. 2018;47:254-259. doi:10.1016/j.jcrc.2018.07.023

9.   Rutledge CA, Kaufman BA, Dezfulian C, Elmer J. Metformin Protects Against Cardiac and Renal Damage in Diabetic Cardiac Arrest Patients. *Resuscitation*. 2022;174(January):42-46. doi:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2022.03.017

10.   Stumvoll M, Nurjhan N, Perriello G, Dailey G, Gerich JE. Metabolic Effects of Metformin in Non-Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Mellitus. *N Engl J Med*. 1995;333(9):550-554. doi:10.1056/nejm199508313330903

11.   Wiernsperger NF, Bailey CJ. The Antihyperglycaemic Effect of Metformin. *Drugs*. 1999;58:31-39. doi:10.2165/00003495-199958001-00009

12.   Zhou G, Goodyear LJ, Moller DE, et al. Role of AMP-activated protein kinase in mechanism of metformin action Find the latest version⬚: Role of AMP-activated protein kinase in mechanism of metformin action. *J Clin Invest*. 2001;108(8):1167-1174. doi:10.1172/JCI200113505.Introduction

13.   Maruthur NM, Tseng E, Hutfless S, Wilson LM, Suarez-cuervo C. Diabetes Medications as Monotherapy or Metformin-Based Combination Therapy for Type 2 Diabetes. *Ann Intern Med*. 2016;164:740-751. doi:10.7326/M15-2650

14.   Han Y, Xie H, Liu Y, Gao P, Yang X, Shen Z. Effect of metformin on all‐cause and cardiovascular mortality in patients with coronary artery diseases: a systematic review and an updated meta‐analysis. *Cardiovasc Diabetol*. 2019;18(96):1-16. doi:10.1186/s12933-019-0900-7

15. Crowley MJ, Diamantidis CJ, Mcduffie JR, et al. Clinical Outcomes of Metformin Use in
Populations With Chronic Kidney Disease , Congestive Heart Failure, or Chronic Liver Disease. *Ann*
Intern Med. 2017;166:191-200. doi:10.7326/M16-1901

16. Bell S, Farran B, Mcgurnaghan S, et al. Risk of acute kidney injury and survival in patients treated
with Metformin: an observational cohort study. *BMC Nephrol*. 2017;18(163):1-8.
doi:10.1186/s12882-017-0579-5

17. Hassan FI, Sc M, Didari T, et al. A Review on The Protective Effects of Metformin in Sepsis-
Induced Organ Failure. 2020;21(4). doi:10.22074/cellj.2020.6286.Introduction

18. Jochmans S, Alphonsine JE, Chelly J, et al. Does metformin exposure before ICU stay have any
impact on patients' outcome? A retrospective cohort study of diabetic patients. *Ann Intensive*
Care. 2017;7(116):1-9. doi:10.1186/s13613-017-0336-8

19. Reitz K, Marroquin O, Zenati M, et al. Association Between Preoperative Metformin Exposure and
Postoperative Outcomes in Adults With Type 2 Diabetes. *JAMA Surg*. 2020;155(6).
doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2020.0416.Association

20. Cameron AR, Morrison VL, Levin D, et al. Anti-Inflammatory Effects of Metformin Irrespective of
Diabetes Status. *Circ Res*. 2016;119:652-665. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.308445

21. Zhu J, Liu K, Huang K, et al. Metformin improves neurologic outcome Via AMP-activated protein
kinase-mediated autophagy activation in a rat model of cardiac arrest and resuscitation. *J Am*
Heart Assoc. 2018;7(12). doi:10.1161/JAHA.117.008389

22. Shoaib M, Choudhary RC, Chillale RK, et al. Metformin-mediated mitochondrial protection post-
cardiac arrest improves EEG activity and confers neuroprotection and survival benefit. *FASEB J*.
2022;36(5):1-16. doi:10.1096/fj.202200121R

23. Chen Q, Lesnefsky EJ. Metformin and myocardial ischemia and reperfusion injury: Moving toward
"prime time" human use? *Transl Res*. 2020;229:1-4. doi:10.1016/j.trsl.2020.10.006

24. Higgins L, Palee S, Chattipakorn SC, Chattipakorn N. Effects of metformin on the heart with
ischaemia-reperfusion injury: Evidence of its benefits from in vitro, in vivo and clinical reports.
Eur J Pharmacol. 2019;858(April):172489. doi:10.1016/j.ejphar.2019.172489

25. Cao Y, Bojjireddy N, Kim M, et al. Activation of γ2-AMPK suppresses ribosome biogenesis and
protects against myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury. *Circ Res*. 2017;121(10):1182-1191.
doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.311159

26. Rutledge CA, Chiba T, Redding K, et al. A novel ultrasound-guided mouse model of sudden cardiac
arrest. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(12):1-14. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0237292

27. Rutledge C, Cater G, McMahon B, et al. Commercial 4-dimensional echocardiography for murine
heart volumetric evaluation after myocardial infarction. *Cardiovasc Ultrasound*. 2020;18(1):1-10.
doi:10.1186/s12947-020-00191-5

28. Lang RM, Bierig M, Devereux RB, et al. Recommendations for chamber quantification: A report
from the American Society of Echocardiography's guidelines and standards committee and the
Chamber Quantification Writing Group, developed in conjunction with the European Association
of Echocardiograph. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr*. 2005;18(12):1440-1463.
doi:10.1016/j.echo.2005.10.005

29.   Davidson MM, Nesti C, Palenzuela L, et al. Novel cell lines derived from adult human ventricular
cardiomyocytes. *J Mol Cell Cardiol*. 2005;39(1):133-147. doi:10.1016/j.yjmcc.2005.03.003

30.   Xu X, Lu Z, Fassett J, et al. Metformin protects against systolic overload-induced heart failure
independent of AMP-activated protein kinase α2. *Hypertension*. 2014;63(4):723-728.
doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.113.02619

31.   Corton JM, Gillespie JG, Hawley SA, Hardie DG. 5-Aminoimidazole-4-Carboxamide
Ribonucleoside: A Specific Method for Activating AMP-Activated Protein Kinase in Intact Cells?
Eur J Biochem. 1995;229(2):558-565. doi:10.1111/j.1432-1033.1995.tb20498.x

32.   Stein SC, Woods A, Jones NA, Davison MD, Cabling D. The regulation of AMP-activated protein
kinase by phosphorylation. *Biochem J*. 2000;345(3):437-443. doi:10.1042/0264-6021:3450437

33.   Kanamori H, Naruse G, Yoshida A, et al. Metformin Enhances Autophagy and Provides
Cardioprotection in δ-Sarcoglycan Deficiency-Induced Dilated Cardiomyopathy. *Circ Hear Fail*.
2019;12(4):1-13. doi:10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.118.005418

34.   Xie Z, Lau K, Eby B, et al. Improvement of cardiac functions by chronic metformin treatment is
associated with enhanced cardiac autophagy in diabetic OVE26 mice. *Diabetes*. 2011;60(6):1770-
1778. doi:10.2337/db10-0351

35.   Seibenhener ML, Babu JR, Geetha T, Wong HC, Krishna NR, Wooten MW. Sequestosome 1/p62 Is
a Polyubiquitin Chain Binding Protein Involved in Ubiquitin Proteasome Degradation. *Mol Cell
Biol*. 2004;24(18):8055-8068. doi:10.1128/mcb.24.18.8055-8068.2004

36.   Li L, Xu J, He L, et al. The role of autophagy in cardiac hypertrophy. *Acta Biochim Biophys Sin
(Shanghai)*. 2016;48(6):491-500. doi:10.1093/abbs/gmw025

37.   Yang M, Linn BS, Zhang Y, Ren J. Mitophagy and mitochondrial integrity in cardiac ischemia-
reperfusion injury. *Biochim Biophys Acta - Mol Basis Dis*. 2019;1865(9):2293-2302.
doi:10.1016/j.bbadis.2019.05.007

38.   Bush L, Shlafer M, Haack D, Lucchesi B. Time-dependent changes in canine cardiac mitochondrial
function and ultrastructure resulting from coronary occlusion and reperfusion. *Basic Res Cardiol*.
1980;(75):555-571.

39.   Dezfulian C, Shiva S, Alekseyenko A, et al. Nitrite therapy after cardiac arrest reduces reactive
oxygen species generation, improves cardiac and neurological function, and enhances survival via
reversible inhibition of mitochondrial complex I. *Circulation*. 2009;120(10):897-905.
doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.853267

40.   Karamanlidis G, Nascimben L, Couper GS, Shekar PS, Del Monte F, Tian R. Defective DNA
replication impairs mitochondrial biogenesis in human failing hearts. *Circ Res*. 2010;106(9):1541-
1548. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.109.212753

41.   Ge Y, Shi X, Boopathy S, McDonald J, Smith AW, Chao LH. Two forms of Opa1 cooperate to
complete fusion of the mitochondrial inner-membrane. *Elife*. 2020;9:1-22. doi:10.1101/739078

42.   Marsboom G, Toth PT, Ryan JJ, et al. Dynamin-related protein 1-mediated mitochondrial mitotic
fission permits hyperproliferation of vascular smooth muscle cells and offers a novel therapeutic
target in pulmonary hypertension. *Circ Res*. 2012;110(11):1484-1497.
doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.111.263848

43.   Xiong W, Ma Z, An D, et al. Mitofusin 2 participates in mitophagy and mitochondrial fusion
against angiotensin II-induced cardiomyocyte injury. *Front Physiol*. 2019;10(APR):1-12.
doi:10.3389/fphys.2019.00411

44.   Benischke AS, Vasanth S, Miyai T, et al. Activation of mitophagy leads to decline in Mfn2 and loss
of mitochondrial mass in Fuchs endothelial corneal dystrophy. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7(1):1-11.
doi:10.1038/s41598-017-06523-2

45.   Sciarretta S, Maejima Y, Zablocki D, Sadoshima J. The Role of Autophagy in the Heart. *Annu Rev*
Physiol. 2018;80:1-26. doi:10.1146/annurev-physiol-021317-121427

46.   Cagnetta R, Wong HHW, Frese CK, Mallucci GR, Krijgsveld J, Holt CE. Noncanonical Modulation of
the eIF2 Pathway Controls an Increase in Local Translation during Neural Wiring. *Mol Cell*.
2019;73(3):474-489.e5. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2018.11.013

47.   Rios-Fuller TJ, Mahe M, Walters B, et al. Translation regulation by eif2α phosphorylation and
mtorc1 signaling pathways in non-communicable diseases (Ncds). *Int J Mol Sci*. 2020;21(15):1-26.
doi:10.3390/ijms21155301

48.   Bertolotti A, Zhang Y, Hendershot LM, Harding HP, Ron D. Dynamic interaction of BiP and ER
stress transducers in the unfolded-protein response. *Nat Cell Biol*. 2000;2(6):326-332.
doi:10.1038/35014014

49.   Quentin T, Steinmetz M, Poppe A, Thoms S. Metformin differentially activates ER stress signaling
pathways without inducing apoptosis. *DMM Dis Model Mech*. 2012;5(2):259-269.
doi:10.1242/dmm.008110

50.   Harding HP, Zhang Y, Zeng H, et al. An integrated stress response regulates amino acid
metabolism and resistance to oxidative stress. *Mol Cell*. 2003;11(3):619-633. doi:10.1016/S1097-
2765(03)00105-9

51.   Brunn GJ, Hudson CC, Sekulić A, et al. Phosphorylation of the translational repressor PHAS-I by
the mammalian target of rapamycin. *Science (80-)*. 1997;277(5322):99-101.
doi:10.1126/science.277.5322.99

52.   Paiva MA, Gonçalves LM, Providência LA, Davidson SM, Yellon DM, Mocanu MM. Transitory
activation of AMPK at reperfusion protects the ischaemic-reperfused rat myocardium against
infarction. *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther*. 2010;24(1):25-32. doi:10.1007/s10557-010-6222-3

53.   Solskov L, Løfgren B, Kristiansen SB, et al. Metformin induces cardioprotection against
ischaemia/reperfusion injury in the rat heart 24 hours after administration. *Basic Clin Pharmacol*
Toxicol. 2008;103(1):82-87. doi:10.1111/j.1742-7843.2008.00234.x

54.   Whittington HJ, Hall AR, McLaughlin CP, Hausenloy DJ, Yellon DM, Mocanu MM. Chronic
metformin associated cardioprotection against infarction: Not just a glucose lowering
phenomenon. *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther*. 2013;27(1):5-16. doi:10.1007/s10557-012-6425-x

55.   Gundewar S, Calvert, John W, Jha S, et al. Activation of AMPK by Metformin Improves Left
Ventricular Function and Survival in Heart Failure. *Circ Res*. 2009;454(1):42-54.
doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.108.190918.Activation

56.   Calvert JW, Gundewar S, Jha S, et al. Acute metformin therapy confers cardioprotection against
myocardial infarction via AMPK-eNOS- mediated signaling. *Diabetes*. 2008;57(3):696-705.

doi:10.2337/db07-1098

57.   Bhamra GS, Hausenloy DJ, Davidson SM, et al. Metformin protects the ischemic heart by the Akt-
748   mediated inhibition of mitochondrial permeability transition pore opening. *Basic Res Cardiol*.
749   2008;103(3):274-284. doi:10.1007/s00395-007-0691-y

750   58.   Emelyanova L, Bai X, Yan Y, et al. Biphasic effect of metformin on human cardiac energetics.
751   *Transl Res*. Published online 2020. doi:10.1016/j.trsl.2020.10.002

752   59.   Mohsin AA, Chen Q, Quan N, et al. Mitochondrial complex I inhibition by metformin limits
753   reperfusion injury. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*. 2019;369(2):282-290. doi:10.1124/jpet.118.254300

754   60.   Lesnefsky EJ, Chen Q, Tandler B, Hoppel CL. Mitochondrial Dysfunction and Myocardial Ischemia-
755   Reperfusion: Implications for Novel Therapies. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol*. 2017;57:535-565.
756   doi:10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-010715-103335

757   61.   Blommaart EFC, Luiken JJFP, Blommaart PJE, Van Woerkom GM, Meijer AJ. Phosphorylation of
758   ribosomal protein S6 is inhibitory for autophagy in isolated rat hepatocytes. *J Biol Chem*.
759   1995;270(5):2320-2326. doi:10.1074/jbc.270.5.2320

760   62.   Sharp WW, Beiser DG, Fang YH, et al. Inhibition of the mitochondrial fission protein dynamin-
761   related protein 1 improves survival in a murine cardiac arrest model. *Crit Care Med*.
762   2015;43(2):e38-e47. doi:10.1097/CCM.0000000000000817

763   63.   Chen H, Vermulst M, Wang YE, et al. Mitochondrial fusion is required for mtdna stability in
764   skeletal muscle and tolerance of mtDNA mutations. *Cell*. 2010;141(2):280-289.
765   doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.02.026

766   64.   Yang Y, Ouyang Y, Yang L, et al. Pink1 regulates mitochondrial dynamics through interaction with
767   the fission/fusion machinery. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2008;105(19):7070-7075.
768   doi:10.1073/pnas.0711845105

769   65.   Gingras AC, Kennedy SG, O'Leary MA, Sonenberg N, Hay N. 4E-BP1, a repressor of mRNA
770   translation, is phosphorylated and inactivated by the Akt(PKB) signaling pathway. *Genes Dev*.
771   1998;12(4):502-513. doi:10.1101/gad.12.4.502

772   66.   Zhang J, Huang L, Shi X, et al. Metformin protects against myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury
773   and cell pyroptosis via AMPK/NLRP3 inflammasome pathway. *Aging (Albany NY)*.
774   2020;12(23):24270-24287. doi:10.18632/aging.202143

775   67.   Techiryan G, Weil BR, Palka BA, Canty JM. Effect of intracoronary metformin on myocardial
776   infarct size in Swine. *Circ Res*. 2018;123(8):986-995. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.118.313341

777   68.   Burkhardt JE, Pandher K, Solter PF, et al. Recommendations for the evaluation of pathology data
778   in nonclinical safety biomarker qualification studies. *Toxicol Pathol*. 2011;39(7):1129-1137.
779   doi:10.1177/0192623311422082

780   69.   Moran CM, Thomson AJW. Preclinical Ultrasound Imaging—A Review of Techniques and Imaging
781   Applications. *Front Phys*. 2020;8(May). doi:10.3389/fphy.2020.00124

782   70.   Choi YH, Kim SG, Lee MG. Dose-independent pharmacokinetics of metformin in rats: Hepatic and
783   gastrointestinal first-pass effects. *J Pharm Sci*. 2006;95(11):2543-2552. doi:10.1002/jps.20744

Figure 1. Mouse model of cardiac arrest (CA). A) Cartoon representation of direct left ventricular injection of potassium chloride (KCl) to cause asystole with representative ultrasound image of needle guidance. B) Representative electrocardioagrm (ECG) traces throughout time course of cardiac arrest procedure C) Time course of CA protocol. LV, left ventricle; ROSC, return of spontaneous circulation.

Figure 2. Metformin and AICAR treated mice have improved ejection fraction (EF) , elevated cardiac p-AMPK/AMPK, and less kidney damage than untreated mice one day after cardiac arrest (CA). A) Baseline (left) and one day EF (right) among treatment groups. Circles represent individual mice. B) Representative Western blot analysis of p-AMPK (Thr172), AMPK, and GAPDH for each treatment group and quantification of Western comparing p-AMPK to total AMPK (n=6/group). Full blots are provided in Supplemental Figure 1. C) Representative histologic sections from untreated sham and untreated arrest mice demonstrating proteinaceous casts in renal tubules (green stars) and infiltrates (yellow arrowheads) with glomeruli marked (blue X's). Scale bar = 50 μm) as well as quantification of tubular injury score between groups. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. P-values: *< 0.05, **< 0.01, ***< 0.001, ****< 0.0001 by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison test. EF, ejection fraction.

Figure 3. Pathway Analysis Comparing Treatment Groups. Ingenuity Pathway Analysis was used to predict signaling pathways between Arrest and Sham mice (top), Sham+Metformin (Sham+Met) and Sham mice (middle), and Arrest+Metformin (Arrest+Met) to Arrest mice (bottom) based on microarray data collected from left ventricles one day after arrest (n=6/group). Bar-graphs include significance values for pathway changes (-log(p-value)) and are colored according to z-score to predict directionality of changes. Differentially expressed genes were included in the analysis when $p<0.05$ based on microarray data. Principal component analysis and volcano plots are included in Supplemental Figure 2.

Figure 4. Western Blot Analysis of Autophagy Markers. Western blot images of autophagy-related proteins downstream of AMPK including p62, LC3 (I/II), and housekeeping protein (GAPDH) expression in Sham, Arrest, Sham+Metformin (Sham+Met), and Arrest+Metformin (Arrest+Met) mice (n=6/group). Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. P-values: *< 0.05, **< 0.01 by one-way ANOVA with with Dunnett's multiple comparison.

Figure 5. Metformin pretreatment affects mitochondrial size, mtDNA damage, and mitochondrial fission/fusion proteins after cardiac arrest (CA). A) Representative electron microscope images of intrafibrillar mitochondria in Sham, Arrest, and Arrest+Metformin (Arrest+Met) mice one day after surgery (40,000x magnification) B) Violin plot showing mitochondrial perimeter, area, and circularity (n=50 per group). C) Western blot of representative oxidative phosphorylation complex subunits (CI-CV) and bar graph of densitometry (n=6/group, normalized to ponceau stain). Quantitation shown in bar graphs with indicated normalization. D) Bar graph of mtDNA copy number assessment by qPCR quantitation of total DNA preparations of sham (n=5), arrest (n=4), and arrest metformin (n=7) mice. E) Western blot images of markers of mitochondrial fission and fusion (MFN2, OPA1, p-DRP1 (ser616), DRP1) and housekeeping protein (GAPDH) in Sham (n=6), Arrest (n=6), Sham+Met (n=5-6), and Arrest+Met (n=6) mice. B) C). E) Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. P-values: *< 0.05, **< 0.01, ***< 0.001, ***<0.0001 by one-way ANOVA with with Dunnett's multiple comparison. DRP, dynamin-related protein1; MFN2, mitofusin 2; OPA1, dynamin-like 120 kDa protein, mitochondrial.

Figure 6. Western Blot Analysis of Protein Synthesis Markers . A) Western blot images of S6 and its phosphorylated form at Ser240/244 and housekeeping protein (GAPDH) expression in Sham, Arrest, Sham+Metformin (Sham+Met), and Arrest+Metformin (Arrest+Met) mice (n=6/group). B) Western blot images of 4eBP1 and its phosphorylated form at Thr37/46 as well as GAPDH. C) Cartoon representation of p-S6 and p-4EBP1 as regulators of mRNA translation downstream of AMPK and mTOR signaling. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. P-values: *< 0.05, **< 0.01, ***< 0.001 by one-way ANOVA with with Dunnett's multiple comparison test. 4eBP1, eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4e-binding protein 1; mTOR, mechanistic target of rapamycin; S6, ribosomal protein S6.

Figure 7. AICAR pretreatment protects against protein synthesis changes in AC16 cells after hypoxia/reoxygenation (H/R). A) Time course of AC16 cells with and without 24 hours of AICAR treatment (1.25 mM). B) Western Blot images of of p-S6 (Ser240/244), total S6, p-4EBP1 (Thr37/46), total 4EBP1, and GAPDH expression from cells 30 min after H/R (n=6/group). C) Quantification of cell death 30-min after H/R. D) Representative image of AHA 488 Protein Synthesis assay demonstrating AHA 488 signal (green) and Hoechst nuclear stain (blue) in AC16 cells 30 min after H/R and quantification of AHA 488 signal intensity surrounding the nuclei after H/R. P-values: *< 0.05, **< 0.01, ***< 0.001 by one-way ANOVA with with Dunnett's multiple comparison test.

Figure 8. Metformin does not protect cardiac or renal function as a rescue therapy following arrest. A) When given concomitantly with epinephrine, intravenous metformin (rescue met, n=7) did not demonstrate any change to ejection fraction (EF) when compared to untreated arrest mice (n=20; arrest data also presented in Figure 2) or to kidney damage as measured by tubular injury score B) Western Blot images of of p-AMPK (Thr172), total AMPK, p-S6 (Ser240/244), total S6, p-4EBP1 (Thr37/46), total 4EBP1, and GAPDH expression between arrest and arrest + rescue metformin groups (n=6/group) C) Quantification of Western blot changes between groups. *p<0.05 by student's t-test.

Table 1. Surgical data for arrest mice. There are no significant changes to body weight, body temperature at time of extubation, time to return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC), time to extubation, or time to kidney reperfusion between groups when compared to the arrest group. Data are presented as mean ± SEM unless otherwise noted. Statistical comparisons by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison test.

	Sham (n=20)	Arrest (n=26)	Sham Metformin (n=8)	Arrest Metformin (n=21)	Arrest AICAR (n=9)	Arrest Metformin+ compound C (n=8)
Age (d)	57.55±0.68	57.60±0.59	58.75±0.40	58.80±0.48	58.44±0.50	58.75±0.37
# Female / Total Mice (percentage)	11/20 (55%)	12/26 (46%)	5/8 (63%)	12/21 (57%)	4/9 (44%)	4/8 (50%)
8-wk Body Wt (g)	23.19±0.83	22.97±0.62	21.05±0.88	21.77±0.78	22.59±1.1	22.47±1.23
Temp at Extubation (°C)	35.86±0.15	35.53±0.20	35.96±0.18	35.95±0.12	35.79±0.19	36.21±0.11
ROSC (min)	-	1.31±0.09	-	1.43±0.15	1.44±0.24	1.23±0.12
Time to Extubation (min)	-	22.31±0.66	-	23.64±0.32	22.06±0.90	24.06±0.52
Time to Kidney Reperfusion (min)	-	21.3±0.90	-	21.79±0.57	20.43±1.01	24.70±0.64