The Histone H1-like protein AlgP facilitates even spacing of polyphosphate granules in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

Ravi Chawla[*,a], Steven Klupt[*,b], Vadim Patsalo[a], James R Williamson[a], Lisa R Racki[a,†]

***Ravi Chawla and Steven Klupt contributed equally to this work. Author order was determined alphabetically*

Affiliations:

[a]Department of Integrative Structural and Computational Biology, Scripps Research, La Jolla, CA

[b]Department of Immunology and Microbiology, Scripps Research, La Jolla, CA

[†]Correspondence to: lracki@scripps.edu

ABSTRACT

Synthesis of polyphosphate (polyP) is an ancient and universal stress and starvation response in

bacteria. In many bacteria, polyP chains come together to form granular superstructures within cells.

Some species appear to regulate polyP granule subcellular organization. Despite the critical role of

polyP in starvation fitness, the composition of these structures, mechanism(s) underpinning their

organization, and functional significance of such organization are poorly understood. We previously

determined that granules become transiently evenly spaced on the cell's long axis during nitrogen

starvation in the opportunistic human pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Here, we developed a

granule-enrichment protocol to screen for polyP granule-localizing proteins. We identified AlgP as a

protein that associates with polyP granules. We further discovered that AlgP is required for the even

spacing of polyP granules. AlgP is a DNA-binding protein with a 154 amino acid C-terminal domain

enriched in 'KPAA' repeats and variants of this repeat, with an overall sequence composition similar to

the C-terminal tail of eukaryotic histone H1. Granule size, number, and spacing are significantly

perturbed in the absence of AlgP, or when AlgP is truncated to remove the C-terminus. The $\Delta algP$

and *algP*ΔCTD mutants having fewer, larger granules. We speculate that AlgP may contribute to

spacing by tethering polyP granules to the chromosome, thereby inhibiting fusion with neighboring

granules. Our discovery that AlgP facilitates granule spacing allows us for the first time to directly

uncouple granule biogenesis from even spacing, and will inform future efforts to explore the functional

significance of granule organization on fitness during starvation.

IMPORTANCE

The mechanisms underpinning polyP's pleiotropic effects on bacterial starvation physiology remain

elusive. This simple polyanion's lack of protein binding specificity has impeded validation of *bona fide*

polyP-binding proteins. However, polyP forms granule superstructures with spatial specificity. Our

granule enrichment protocol identified a polyP granule-associated protein in *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa, AlgP. AlgP was originally reported as regulator of alginate, an extracellular polysaccharide important in biofilm formation, including in cystic fibrosis (CF) chronic infections. AlgP's putative role in alginate biosynthesis has recently been called into question. We establish a distinct, previously unknown function for AlgP in modulating the subcellular organization of polyP, another polymer important for pathogenesis. In CF clinical isolates, the C-terminal repeat domain of AlgP is a hotspot for genetic rearrangements. Our finding that the C-terminus of AlgP is required for granule organization lays the groundwork for exploring the functional significance of these mutations in the evolutionary trajectory of chronic infections.

INTRODUCTION

In response to diverse starvation cues, bacteria almost universally do a curious thing: They spend ATP to make an inorganic polymer of phosphoryl groups, polyphosphate (polyP). The ability to make polyP has been shown to be important for fitness during starvation in evolutionarily disparate bacterial species, but the molecular mechanism(s) underpinning these effects has remained mysterious(1, 2). A significant challenge to understanding how polyP exerts its pleiotropic effects on physiology has been the small number of validated polyP-binding proteins identified in bacteria. Aside from the polyP biosynthetic machinery (kinase families Ppk1 and Ppk2) and a phosphatase (Ppx), only a few proteins are known to functionally interact with polyP in bacteria(1, 3). These include enzymes that have been shown *in vitro* to be able to use polyP as a substrate for phosphorylation reactions, such as NAD kinases and glucokinases(1, 3). In addition, several proteins containing a positively-charged **C**onserved **H**istidine **α**-helical **D**omain (CHAD), have been shown by microscopy to localize to polyP granules(4). It may be that the specificity of binding to polyP is mediated by cooperative assembly of multicomponent protein complexes, making it difficult to understand polyP binding through binary interactions. Because polyP forms granular superstructures in many bacteria, co-localization studies can serve as a powerful method to identify true polyP-interacting proteins.

We and others have observed that polyP granules are organized within cells, raising the possibility that the subcellular localization of these structures is a regulated process(5–8). An important motivation for characterizing the polyP granule proteome is therefore to identify not just polyP-utilizing proteins, but those that specifically contribute to the formation and organization of these structures. In *P. aeruginosa*, polyP granules become transiently evenly spaced on the long axis of the cell during nitrogen starvation. In addition to the even-spacing phenomenon, a notable structural feature of polyP granules is that they form in the nucleoid region of the cell, where the chromosome resides. PolyP granules appear to affect chromosome function, as *P. aeruginosa* cells that cannot make polyP are deficient in exiting the cell cycle during starvation, and these cells also have an elevated SOS DNA damage response(9). PolyP also affects cell cycle progression in *Caulobacter crescentus*(10). Moreover, in *C. crescentus*, it has been shown that disrupting cell cycle progression can in turn alter granule organization (*C. crescentus* cells typically have 1-2 granules, which form and localize to the quarter-cell position during cell cycle progression)(5). Taken together, these observations suggest that polyP granules may be structurally and functionally linked to the chromosome. How and why polyP is connected to bacterial chromatin remains to be explored, but the proteome of polyP granules may provide clues.

In this study, our goal was to develop a polyP granule enrichment protocol in *P. aeruginosa* strain UCBPP_PA14 (herein referred to as just "PA14") as a screening tool to characterize the polyP granule associated proteome. We then validated our protocol by confirming that one of our candidate proteins from this screen, AlgP, indeed localizes to granules. AlgP is a histone H1-like putative DNA binding protein that has a predicted intrinsically disordered C-terminal domain containing tandem repeats of KPAA residues(11, 12). We found that the C-terminus of AlgP is required for granule spacing but that AlgP does not play a role in efficient cell cycle exit during nitrogen starvation. Our proteomic screen represents the first step in determining the interactome of polyP in the opportunistic human pathogen *P. aeruginosa*, and hopefully other bacteria in the future.

RESULTS

PolyP granule enrichment and proteomic analysis.

We developed a protocol for enrichment of polyP granules and analysis of granule-associated

proteins. We had two objectives when choosing a culture condition for granule isolation: (1) a

condition in which polyP granules are evenly spaced, because we are interested in identifying protein

factors that may contribute to spatial positioning of granules, and (2) a condition where polyP granules

are abundant, and the presence of polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) granules is minimized, to avoid

cross-contamination by proteins associated with PHA granules. Three hours of nitrogen starvation in

minimal medium satisfied both of these criteria, as shown in our previous work(9). Briefly, we

fractionated a lysate of WT and a ΔpolyP strain (a quadruple knockout of *ppk1*, *ppk2A*, *ppk2B*, and

ppk2C) by ultracentrifugation in a self-forming Percoll gradient(13)(Fig 1A). We observed a brownish-

yellow pellet at the bottom of the WT gradient, but not the ΔpolyP gradient (Fig 1B). We extracted

proteins from the pellet and whole lysate of WT and subjected them to label-free proteomic analysis

(see Materials and Methods for details).

We identified approximately 1200 proteins in the pellet fraction. Average protein abundances for the

pellet and lysate from three independent experiments are shown in Figure 1C. As an initial verification

for extraction methodology, we sought to confirm enrichment of known polyP associated proteins,

including the previously mentioned polyP kinases (Ppk1, Ppk2A, Ppk2B, and Ppk2C),

exopolyphosphatase (Ppx), and two CHAD-containing proteins (PA14_13320 and PA14_26940).

Ppk1 was more abundant in the lysate than in the pellet and Ppk2B was not detected in our screen.

Ppk2C was enriched and highly abundant in the pellet fraction. Ppk2A was detected in the pellet

fraction in one of three replicates with low abundance. Ppx was present in the lysate fraction only.

One of the CHAD-containing proteins, PA14_26940, was consistently detected in the pellet fraction

but PA14_13320 was not. We note that some ribosome-associated proteins were more abundant and

enriched in the pellet than lysate. However, we excluded this class from subsequent analysis, as they

are known to easily extract under the salt conditions used for our screen(14).

We hypothesized that our granule proteomic screen would identify two broad but non-exclusive

classes of proteins: (1) structural proteins that contribute to polyP granule formation, and (2) client

proteins that use polyP for another function, such as kinases that use polyP for phosphorylation

reactions. PolyP has one of the highest charge densities of any macromolecule in the cell(15). Hence,

we decided to focus initially on the first class, which we reasoned would have two key characteristics:

high enrichment and positive charge. A plot of enrichment, defined as the ratio of abundance of

protein in pellet to the lysate, versus the abundance is shown in Fig 1D. Ppk2C was one of the nine

highly enriched and abundant enriched protein candidates identified in this step. A plot of net protein

charge at pH 7 (obtained from the Pseudomonas Genome Database (16)) versus protein enrichment

in the polyP pellet is shown in Figure 1E, revealing one protein, AlgP, that distinctly stood out due to

its net charge of +55. In contrast, PhaF, which is a polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) granule associated

protein with a net positive charge +35 was abundant, but not enriched per our screening criteria. AlgP

and PhaF share a highly homologous C-terminal domain enriched in closely-spaced lysine residues

(see Discussion), and their abundance is therefore likely an underestimate due to the trypsin cleavage

treatment for mass spectrometry. Based on these abundance, enrichment, and physiochemical

criteria, we decided to focus on AlgP for subsequent analysis.

AlgP localizes to polyP granules

We used fluorescence microscopy to assess whether AlgP is enriched in polyP granules, as our

proteomic analysis suggests. We replaced the endogenous copy of AlgP in the genome with N and C-

terminally tagged fluorescent chimeras of AlgP with mApple. AlgP-mApple forms small irregular

puncta and patches in exponentially growing cells (Fig 2A, top left). After 3h of nitrogen starvation,

AlgP-mApple forms discrete evenly spaced puncta on the long axis of the cell (Fig 2A, bottom left).

Total fluorescence of AlgP-mApple revealed that AlgP is expressed during exponential growth and increases in abundance during starvation (Fig 2B).

We then used DAPI staining to compare the localization of these puncta with polyP granules, and qualitatively observe clear co-localization (Fig 2C, top panels). Demographs of the relative position of DAPI and AlgP-mApple-labeled foci on the long axis of the cell reveal a similar banding pattern between the two fluorescent channels (Fig 2D). We compared the number and positioning of DAPI-labeled polyP granules in WT versus AlgP-mApple cells, for all foci-containing cells, to determine if the chimeric fusion interferes with granule biogenesis. To determine whether the even spacing granule phenotype we have previously observed is preserved in AlgP-mApple-labeled cells, and to quantify co-localization of DAPI and AlgP-mApple labeled foci, we examined the normalized relative position of foci on the long axis of the cell in both channels, for both 2-foci and 3-foci cells (Fig 2E, F). We observed even spacing in both channels, and the position of individual foci in the two channels was positively correlated in the scatter plot. We also compared the number of foci per cell in cells expressing AlgP-mApple with that of WT, again for all foci-containing cells. We observed a similar fraction of cells with 1 DAPI-labeled foci in WT and AlgP-mApple cells (Fig 2G), but slightly more 2 DAPI-labeled foci in WT than AlgP-mApple cells, and slightly fewer >2 DAPI-labeled foci in WT than AlgP-mApple cells (Fig 2H, I). The fraction of mApple-labeled foci per cell was similar to DAPI-labeled foci for 2-foci cells, though we observed slightly fewer 1 mApple-labeled foci than 1 DAPI foci per cell, and slightly more >2 mApple-labeled foci than >2 DAPI labeled foci (Fig 2G-I, summarized in Table S3a).

The mApple-AlgP chimera resulted in a different behavior. The total fluorescence intensity of this protein was significantly lower both in exponential growth and during starvation, suggesting that the N-terminal tag may interfere with expression, folding, or stability of the full-length protein (Fig 2B). The localization of mApple-AlgP was different from AlgP-mApple: we still observed puncta, but significantly

fewer than we observed with the C-terminal tag (Fig 2A, right panels). The mApple-AlgP protein co-

localize with granules, but granule number and organization are significantly perturbed (Fig 2C,

bottom panels, D, G). Together these observations suggested that AlgP might play a role in the

subcellular positioning of polyP granules.

AlgP affects granule maturation and spatial organization

AlgP is a 352 amino acid (aa) protein with a 105aa N-terminal domain predicted to be α-helical

(Phyre2), and a 195aa predicted intrinsically disordered C-terminal domain containing a 154aa region

of tandem repeats of KPAA(17). There are 25 perfect KPAA repeats interspersed with variants,

including 7 KPVA, 4 KTAAA, one KPAV, and two alanine spacers. Two KPAA repeats fall outside of

this 154aa contiguous region (Fig 3A and S2). To determine if AlgP is required for polyP granule

formation and/or organization, we constructed a clean deletion *(ΔalgP)*. Granule number and spatial

organization is perturbed in Δ*algP* cells (Fig 3B, C). We performed complementation analysis by

inserting a copy of *algP* under its native promoter at the *att*Tn7 locus, and observed partial rescue of

granule number and organization (Fig S3). Given the limits of light microscopy to detect small

granules and to accurately measure granule size, we used TEM as an orthogonal method to

characterize the granule phenotype in Δ*algP* cells early in starvation (1.5h) and at 3h. We observed

fewer, larger granules for Δ*algP* cells at both timepoints (Fig 4A-C). While granules were larger in

Δ*algP* cells than WT cells at 1.5h, we saw a larger subpopulation of cells without granules at this

timepoint in Δ*algP* cells (26% of ΔalgP cells versus 2% of WT cells). Even including these 'zero-

volume' cells in the distribution, the average volume of granules for Δ*algP* cells was still larger than for

WT cells. Indeed, when we look at the volume of the largest granule per cell, this trend towards larger

granules becomes very apparent, (Fig 4D). While the distribution of granular volume is different in

Δ*algP* cells, with fewer, larger granules, the loss of AlgP does not appear to lead to a net decrease in

the total volume of polyP granules per cell. We see a broader distribution of total granular volume per

cell Δ*algP* cells than in WT cells (Fig 4E). Summary statistics for TEM quantification are provided in

Table S3b.

We note that for the TEM analysis we observe a subpopulation of cells with many small 'satellite'

granules. We previously observed these small granules of 59 ± 20 nm nanometers in diameter, or

smaller, appear only in a fraction of cells(9). We did not quantify these 'satellite' granules in our

analysis, but we note the fraction of cells that contain them: in 3h nitrogen-starved cells, we observe

these in 24% of WT cells and 26% of Δ*algP* cells. We show examples of cells with 'satellite' granules

in Fig S4A.

Deletion of the KPAA repeat domain results in loss of granule positioning

The C-terminal 154-amino acid KPAA repeat domain of AlgP is highly homologous to the C-terminus

of PhaF. In PhaF, this domain is thought to bind DNA and tether polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA)

granules to the nucleoid and thus contribute to their even distribution within cells. To determine if the

KPAA-repeat domain of AlgP plays a role in granule spacing, we generated an AlgP truncation mutant

where we removed the last 195 C-terminal amino acids (residues 157-352, including the 154aa repeat

region, Fig 3A, S2), In this *algP*ΔCTD strain, we observe a similar decrease in granule number per cell

as with Δ*algP* cells (Fig 3A-C). Qualitatively we also observe this decrease in granule number by TEM

(Fig 4A, right panels) though we have not quantified this effect in the *algP*ΔCTD strain for the TEM

data.

AlgP localization depends on polyP kinases

In the absence of starvation, we noticed that AlgP-mApple is not diffuse, but already forms puncta (Fig

2A). These puncta might form due to interactions with the chromosome, or with a specific polyP

kinase, or nascent polyP granules. Indeed at 0h we observed small putative nascent granules by

TEM, though their presence in growing cells is variable (examples shown in Fig S4B). To determine

whether AlgP localization is tied to the polyP polymer itself or instead to the polyp kinases, we

expressed our AlgP-mApple fusion in various *P. aeruginosa* strains lacking some or all of the kinases.

A mutant lacking all four polyP kinases lacks these puncta, suggesting that the localization depends

on polyP and/or specific polyP kinases (Fig 5A). We note that in ΔpolyP cells, we occasionally

observe cells with a single DAPI stained puncta (<10% of cells), with which AlgP-mApple co-localizes.

We do not believe these puncta are polyP granules because of their small size and number per cell,

and because we do not see them by TEM (Fig 4A). We can only speculate about what they might

represent, acknowledging that dyes can act non-specifically and DAPI is known to undergo a red

emission shift when bound to RNA as well as other biomolecules(18). We next sought to determine

whether the AlgP-mApple puncta we observe depend on the polyP polymer, or one of the polyP

kinases specifically. We previously showed that either Ppk1 or Ppk2A is sufficient for granule

biogenesis(9). In a Δppk2aΔppk2bΔppk2c triple mutant, we observe puncta formation, indicating that

Ppk1 alone is sufficient for AlgP-mApple puncta formation (Fig 5A, 3rd column). Similarly, in a

Δppk1Δppk2bΔppk2c triple mutant, we observe puncta formation, indicating that Ppk2A alone is also

sufficient (Fig 5A, right panels).

We then looked at co-localization of AlgP-mApple with Ppk2A. We previously showed that Ppk2A-

mCherry can co-localize with DAPI-labeled polyP granules(9). We qualitatively observe co-localization

of Ppk2A-mNeonGreen with AlgP-mApple, although the Ppk2A-mNeonGreen and Ppk2A-mCherry

signals are low when these proteins are expressed from the native *ppk2A* promoter and not every

DAPI-stained or AlgP-mApple-labeled granule has visible Ppk2A fluorescence (Fig 5B). We conclude

that AlgP-mApple is a brighter and more consistent marker of granules than Ppk2A-mNeonGreen.

Finally, we noticed that the AlgP-mApple fluorescence in ΔpolyP cells was not completely diffuse

throughout the cytoplasm (Fig 5A, 5C). Instead, we observed apparent co-localization of AlgP-mApple

with the nucleoid region of the cell, as visualized by Sybr Green staining, suggesting that in ΔpolyP

cells, AlgP may bind nonspecifically to DNA (Fig 5C). Together these data suggest that AlgP-mApple

puncta formation depends not on a specific polyP kinase, but rather the presence of small amounts of

polyP in growing cells, and that AlgP may bind to the chromosome more broadly in the absence of

polyP.

AlgP is not required for efficient cell cycle exit

We previously showed that polyP is required for efficient cell cycle exit in response to nitrogen

starvation(9). We also previously showed that transient even spacing of granules on the long axis of

the cell coincides with the period of cell cycle exit, between 3 and 6 hours into nitrogen starvation(9).

We therefore wondered whether AlgP, which is required for granule organization, might also affect

efficient cell cycle exit. To characterize the effect of AlgP on cell cycle exit, we used fluorescent

markers to label the chromosomal origin of replication and open DNA replication forks, as we have

done previously. Briefly, we labeled open DNA replication forks with a fluorescent chimera of single

stranded DNA binding protein (SSB-mCherry), and we used the heterologous chimeric chromosome

partitioning protein GFP-ParB^{pMT1} and its cognate DNA binding sequence *parS*^{pMT1} to label the

chromosomal origins. In exponential growth, most WT and ΔpolyP cells are copying their

chromosomes, with more than one origin/cell and an open DNA replication fork (Fig 6B,C,

summarized in Table S3c). While we observe a similar fraction of cells with open forks in

exponentially growing Δ*algP* cells, the fraction of cells with more than one origin per cell is significantly

lower. However, the bulk growth rates of the WT and Δ*algP* are similar (Figure S5), suggesting that

the difference we observe with the fluorescent tags does not reflect an underlying replication defect.

At six hours after shifting exponentially growing cells to nitrogen-limited MOPS minimal media, 10±6%

of WT and 13±5% of Δ*algP* cells have more than one origin per cell, and 3±2% of WT and 2±2% of

Δ*algP* cells have open DNA replication forks (Fig 6B). In contrast, as we previously have shown,

ΔpolyP cells have a defect in efficient exit, as 87±4% still had more than one origin/cell and 35±3%

had open forks after 6 hours of nitrogen starvation (Fig 6B,C, summarized in Table S3c). These data

suggest that AlgP does not play a role in efficient cell cycle exit during nitrogen starvation.

DISCUSSION

We and others have observed spatial organization of polyP granules in bacteria, and further

hypothesized that polyP granules may have an organizational role(5, 19–22). Our granule enrichment

protocol led us to identify and validate the protein AlgP as a *bona fide* polyP associated protein. We

have also shown that AlgP has a structural role in granule formation: cells lacking AlgP or the C-

terminal domain of AlgP lack evenly spaced granules. How AlgP promotes even spacing of granules

remains to be determined, but the amino acid sequence of its C-terminus, along with previous studies

of AlgP and related proteins, provide exciting clues.

Proteomic Screen: Proof of principle and next steps.

PolyP has been implicated in diverse roles in bacterial cell physiology, but the identity and function of

the proteins that interact with this structurally simple biomolecule *in vivo* have remained elusive.

Previous studies have reported isolation of a polyP pellet obtained from centrifugation of lysates in

other bacteria including *Desulfovirbiro gigas, Corynebacterium glutamicum*, *and Ralstonia*

eutropha(23–25). In *R. eutropha*, these methods led to enrichment of known polyP kinases, confirmed

by fluorescence microscopy. To the best of our knowledge, the enrichment and characterization of

polyP granule-associated proteins has not been reported in *P. aeruginosa*.

Our granule enrichment protocol identified AlgP as a polyP-granule associated protein in *P.*

aeruginosa PA14. Here, the abundance and enrichment characteristics of two known classes of

polyP-associated proteins (polyP kinases and conserved histidine α-helical domain (CHAD) motif

containing proteins) are worth noting. *P. aeruginosa* has one known polyP phosphatase (ppx) and two

classes of polyP kinases: one Ppk1 and three Ppk2-type kinases (Ppk2a, Ppk2b and Ppk2C). In our

screen Ppk1 and Ppx were consistently depleted in the pellet fraction (Fig 1C). Although Ppk2A was

enriched in the pellet fraction at only low abundance and was detected in only one of three

independent experiments, Ppk2A-mNeonGreen colocalizes with polyP granule (Fig 5C). Hence it is

possible that Ppk2A weakly or transiently associated with polyP granules and that our granule

isolation protocol might have disrupted this association. Ppk2B was not detected in our screen but

Ppk2C was consistently and significantly enriched and abundant. Differential association of polyP

kinases and phosphophotases with polyP granule has previously been observed in

betaproteobacterium *Rastlonia eutropha* H16: Ppk1A, Ppk2C, Ppk2D, and Ppk2E localize with the

polyP granule, whereas Ppk1B, Ppk2A, Ppk2B and Ppx are not associated with granules[4, 25].

Future work will seek to determine the co-localization characteristics of other proteins identified and

prioritized in our current screen. Recent work has reported that long-term nitrogen starvation in

Escherichia coli leads to the formation of large subcellular assemblies, like H-bodies and Hfq-foci,

which are a part of the RNA degradosome[26]. Although these assemblies have not been reported in

starved *P. aeruginosa* cells, it is plausible that polyP could nucleate and mediate pelleting of these or

other unknown large bodies. The availability of AlgP as a granule marker will facilitate development of

improved granule fractionation and isolation protocols.

Beyond alginate biosynthesis: A role for AlgP during starvation. AlgP was previously identified

as a transcription factor thought to promote synthesis of alginate, an extracellular polysaccharide that

contributes to biofilm production and when overproduced results in a 'mucoid' phenotype in chronic

infections which correlates with a poor prognosis[27, 28][29]. The *algP* gene is adjacent *algR* and

algQ, known regulators of alginate biosynthesis. Recently, the putative role of AlgP in alginate

biosynthesis in *P. aeruginosa* has been called into question with the observation that deletion of *algP*

in two different mucoid strains of *P. aeruginosa*, did not result in a nonmucoid phenotype. In the Δ*algP*

mutant, alginate production was not attenuated and the alginate biosynthetic operon was not

affected(30). Our discovery that AlgP affects polyP granule localization demonstrates that this protein

remains important for starvation physiology. Although our AlgP mutant does not display a defect in

cell cycle exit, there are intriguing clues that it may play a role in modulating gene expression and

affect anaerobic survival(30). Indeed, AlgP was identified as highly expressed in the metabolically

less active regions of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms using BONCAT labeling, suggesting that starvation

upregulates its expression(31). In addition to localizing to granules, suggesting a direct structural role

in granule organization, it is also possible that AlgP modulates granule organization indirectly via its

effects on gene expression during starvation. While AlgP may not control alginate biosynthesis, polyP

and alginate, two polymers associated with fitness during starvation, may nevertheless share a

regulatory link. An older study implicated the transcriptional regulator AlgQ (originally called AlgR2) in

polyP biosynthesis(32). An ∆*algQ* mutant of *P. aeruginosa* has reduced levels of polyP, which can be

complemented either by adding back AlgQ, or one of its putative downstream effectors, nucleotide

diphosphate kinase (Ndk). The authors attribute the ability of Ndk to rescue polyP synthesis to its role

in modulating nucleotide pools, particularly GTP pools. GTP is required to make the stringent

response regulator (p)ppGpp, which was long thought to be required or polyP synthesis. More

recently, in both *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa*, (p)ppGpp was found not to be required for polyP

synthesis(9, 33). Ndk may still influence polyP biosynthesis via its effect on nucleotide pools(9, 33).

Further work is necessary to explore the possible functional relationship between alginate and polyP,

both important biopolymers in starvation and pathogenesis.

The C-terminus of AlgP: connecting polyP and bacterial chromatin.

TEM and cryo-electron tomography of diverse bacterial species indicate that polyP granules tend to

form in the cell's nucleoid region(5–8). How and why these negatively charged polyanions associate

has remained unclear, but their colocalization suggests there may be a functional and/or structural

relationship between polyP granules and bacterial chromatin. While AlgP has not previously been

implicated in polyP granule biogenesis, the protein has long been thought to associate with the

nucleoid. The CTD of AlgP binds DNA, and peptides containing KPAA repeats bind DNA *in vitro*(27, 34). Molecular modeling studies suggest that the CTD may form a helix around DNA(34). A second protein in *P. aeruginosa*, PhaF, contains a highly homologous CTD to AlgP and is also thought in other Pseudomonads to bind DNA(35–37). PhaF has been shown to play a role in the organization of another class of granular structures, polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) granules, which serve as a cellular store of reduced carbon(35, 36). PhaF is thought to tether PHA granules to the chromosome, and in its absence PHA granules clump together(36). The N-terminus of PhaF contains an amphipathic a helix believed to interact with the hydrophobic surface of PHA granules, as well as an oligomerization domain thought to drive tetramerization(35). The N-terminus of AlgP is predicted to be a helical but its structure and function is not yet known.

In addition to the sequence homology to PhaF, AlgP has interesting similarities in terms of sequence composition to the intrinsically disordered C-terminal tail of eukaryotic histone H1, also rich in lysine, proline, and alanine, as has been previously noted(12, 27, 30). Unlike the other core histones which are thought to have evolved from archaea, the histone H1 family is thought to be of bacterial origin(38). Histone H1 in eukaryotes plays a role in chromatin condensation, and its CTD interacts with linker DNA flanking the nucleosome(39). We observed that in the absence of polyP kinases, AlgP appears to globally interact with the nucleoid. Further chromatin immunoprecipitation studies are needed to understand its sequence preference *in vivo*. While the oligomeric state of AlgP is not yet known, it is possible that the N-terminus might mediate higher order oligomers that are competent to interact with both polyP and DNA.

The C-terminus of AlgP: mediator of phase separation?

A growing list of phase separated microcompartments have been observed in bacteria and are thought to enable cells to achieve subcellular organization and generate specific microenvironments in the absence of membrane-bound organelles(40, 41). Formation of such compartments is driven by

polyanions such as RNA, and intrinsically disordered proteins such as PopZ(42–46). Recently, polyP

has been shown to form liquid-like condensates with polycationic peptides and supercharged GFP

(+36GFP)(47–49). Additionally, the synthetic +36GFP-polyP condensates were shown to coalesce

and exhibit liquid-like properties in *Citrobacter freundii in vivo*(47). While we don't see a decrease in

total granular volume in Δ*algP* cells, as might be expected if the protein were critical for phase

separation of polyP granules, we do see a change in how polyP is distributed between granules, with

fewer, larger granules. We speculate that AlgP may normally function to limit granule fusion (Fig 7).

Fusion inhibition might occur by two mechanisms: non-specific or specific interactions of AlgP with

DNA when bound to small/nascent polyP granules could restrict their motion such that they can only

fuse with local granules tethered within a given chromatin neighborhood (Fig 7A). Such restriction to

fusing with local neighbors could lead to even spacing. Another non-exclusive model is that AlgP

changes the biophysical properties of granules in a manner that inhibits fusion, such as modulating

their surface charge. Future time-lapse imaging experiments of polyP granules are needed to address

these models. The fusion behavior of polyP granules in the Δa*lgP* mutant in *P. aeruginosa* differs from

the fusion behavior of PHA granules in the Δ*phaF* mutant in another Pseudomonad, *Pseudomonas*

putida. In that species and strain, PHA granules bunch together but do not fuse, likely due to the

effect of another protein PhaI(36).

Functional significance of AlgP in starvation fitness and pathogenesis

Having discovered that AlgP modulates granule spacing in cells, the next important question is why

cells invest in granule spacing at all. Even spacing of another class of bacterial membraneless

compartments, carboxysomes, is important for the fitness of daughter cells and mediated by a

Brownian-ratchet mechanism(50, 51). While we don't yet understand the molecular mechanism fully

underpinning AlgP-mediated spacing, we now have the means in *P. aeruginosa* to disrupt polyP

granule organization and ask whether even spacing of polyP granules poses either a survival benefit

during starvation, or a growth advantage when nutrients become available. The CTD of AlgP has

been identified as a hotspot for genetic rearrangements in clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa* from cystic

fibrosis patients(27, 52). These rearrangements alter KPAA repeat number, suggesting that this

domain is under selective pressure during chronic infections, however more research is needed to

determine whether there is a connection between these rearrangements and infection outcomes. The

consequences of these alterations in AlgP CTD domain length for granule biogenesis, starvation

fitness, and pathogenesis remain to be explored. Recently an alkyne derivative of the natural product

elegaphenone, which has antibiotic effects against *P. aeruginosa*, was shown to bind AlgP,

suggesting that AlgP is worthy of further exploration as a possible drug target(53).

METHODS

Media and Growth conditions

For nitrogen starvation experiments, strains were grown overnight at 37°C shaking in MOPS-

buffered minimal media (MMM): 40mM sodium succinate, 22mM NH_4Cl, 43mM NaCl, 2.2mM KCl,

1.25mM NaH_2PO_4, 1mM $MgSO_4$, 0.1mM $CaCl_2$, 7.5µM $FeCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$, 0.8µM $CoCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$, 0.5µM

$MnCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$, 0.5µM $ZnCl_2$, 0.2µM $Na_2MoO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$, 0.1µM $NiCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$, 0.1µM H_3BO_3, and

0.01µM $CuCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$, 50mM MOPS, pH 7.2). Optical density was determined at 500nm, rather

than 600nm, to minimize interference of pigments such as phenazines that *P. aeruginosa* produces

during starvation. 5mL subcultures at OD_{500} = 0.0125 to 0.025 were grown in glass test tubes at

37°C shaking at 250rpm to OD_{500} = 0.4 to 0.6, then spun down at room temperature for 5 minutes

at 5000xG, and resuspended to OD_{500} = 0.4 in nitrogen-limited MMM (Identical to MMM, but with

1mM NH_4Cl instead of 22mM) in clean glass test tubes and grown at 37°C shaking at 250rpm.

Time 0h = cells collected immediately before being spun down and shifted to nitrogen-limited

medium.

Granule isolation and proteomic analysis

Refer to the SI methods for a detailed description of cell culturing (cell growth and harvesting) and proteomic analysis (sample preparation, data acquisition, software used and data availability)**.** Briefly, cells were grown to exponential phase at 37°C in MMM and then shifted to a low-nitrogen MMM for 3h at 37°C**.** Cell pellets corresponding to 100mL of cell culture were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Pellet was resuspended and incubated on ice for 15min in 1mL of lysis buffer, followed by microtip sonication (Qsonica Q700), and additional nucleic acid digestion with Benzonase and DNAse I. Lysate (0.5mL) was loaded onto 7mL pre-chilled Percoll gradient solution in ultracentrifuge tubes and spun in a 50TI rotor at 21,300 RPM for 15 minutes. After removing the gradient solution, a Percoll-encased pellet was resuspended in 1mL of dilution buffer and centrifuged in a tabletop microcentrifuge at 10,000G for 2 min, resuspended, and the spin repeated to remove residual Percoll from the pellet. The pellet was flash frozen in liquid nitrogen for subsequent proteomic analysis. The (g/g) absolute mass fraction of a protein in a given sample was estimated using the spectral counting technique(54). For a protein, its mass fraction abundance was tabulated by dividing the total number its peptide-spectrum matches (PSM) by the total of all 14N PSMs in the sample. Enrichment of a protein in our proteomics screen was defined as the ratio of the abundance of protein in the pellet to the abundance in the lysate. See supplemental methods for more details.

Plasmids and strains

Strains, plasmids, and primers used in this study are listed in Tables S4a-c respectively. Strain construction was performed as described previously, with details outlined in SI methods(9).

Fluorescence microscopy

All live cell imaging was acquired with a Nikon Ti2-E inverted microscope. Details of optical setup and acquisition parameters are described in SI methods. Cells were imaged at 26°C on 1% agarose pads containing MMM or MMM lacking ammonium chloride for nitrogen starvation experiments. Agarose

pads were imaged inside coverslip bottom dishes with plastic lids (Willco Wells, 0.17 mm/#1.5). For

DAPI-stained imaging of polyP granules, live cells were stained for 20 minutes in minimal media with

200µM DAPI from a 10 mM stock in dimethyl sulfoxide. DAPI staining of live cells for the purpose of

polyP granule imaging is not 100% efficient, with some cells not taking up enough dye to label

granules (to overcome this limitation of DAPI labeling, we used TEM to quantify the fraction of

granule-free cells). But DAPI can be used to characterize the number of granules per cell in cells that

take up the DAPI dye, and their organization. For Sybr Green staining, cells were stained for 20

minutes at 1X dye in minimal media before imaging.

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)

Unfixed cells (3µL) were spotted onto glow discharged carbon-coated 200 mesh copper grids with

pinpointer grid (Ted Pella 01841-F) for 45 seconds, blotted with Whatman paper, and media salts

were washed off of grids by spotting the grids with 3uL of water and rapidly blotting; wash step was

repeated 5 times. Samples were analyzed at 120kV with a ThermoFisher Talos L120C transmission

electron microscope and images were acquired with a CETA 16M CMOS camera. TEM data was

plotted using iqplot: http://dx.doi.org/10.22002/D1.1614.

Image analysis

Cell segmentation and spot detection for fluorescence microscopy images was performed using

MicrobeTracker[55]. Spot detection was performed after rolling-ball background subtraction in ImageJ

(radius 10 pixels). Demographs of fluorescence intensity were constructed using the method and

script developed by Hocking et al., 2012[56]. For TEM imaging, granule number and diameter per cell

were measured manually using ImageJ as described previously[9].

Data availability

The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited and will be available upon publication

to ProteomeXchange Consortium via the UCSD's MassIVE repository with the accession codes:

MassIVE: MSV000087218 and ProteomeXchange: PXD025444

The raw light and electron microscopy data will be deposited upon publication to zenodo.org

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Workflow for isolation of polyphosphate granules from starved *P. aeruginosa* cells

and proteomic analysis. (A) The workflow of granule isolation as described in the Methods section.

(B) The ultracentrifugation of a lysate from wild type *Pseudomonas aeruginosa PA14* cells in a Percoll

gradient solution generates a "pellet" fraction, which is not seen with a lysate from ∆polyP strain. The

bottom panel shows a zoomed-in view of the Percoll-encased pellet after discarding the Percoll

gradient solution. **(C-E):** Mass spectrometry analysis of the pellet fractions from three independent

experiments. **(C)** Absolute mass fraction of proteins in the "pellet" and "lysate", obtained from spectral

counting (see Methods and Fig S1) is shown in parts per million. The dashed diagonal corresponds to

a line of equal abundance in the pellet and lysate fraction. The data points below the diagonal line

correspond to proteins in higher abundance in the pellet fraction than in the lysate; diamond and

inverted triangle symbol marks the proteins identified in "lysate-only" and "pellet-only" fractions. **(D)**

The enrichment of proteins in the pellet plotted against the abundance of proteins in the pellet. The

cut-off of lines for enrichment are 8, (2^3, $\log_2$ fold-change =3) and abundance of 5000ppm. The highly

abundant and enriched proteins in the pellets are, therefore, located in the upper right quadrant. **(E)**

The calculated charge at neutral pH for proteins identified in the proteomics plotted against the fold

enrichment (negative charge cut-off for plotting is -75; refer to Fig S1c for the full plot). The horizontal

dashed line divides the data into positively and negatively charged proteins. For panels C-E: averages

of proteins identified in the mass spectrometric analysis from three independent experiments (light

gray circles); ribosomal-binding proteins (dark gray circles); AlgP(red circles); polyP kinases(blue

circles); polyhydroxyalkanoate granule-associated protein PhaF(green circles); and CHAD proteins (orange circles).

Figure 2: Subcellular localization of AlgP. (A) Representative images of localization of AlgP-mApple and mApple-AlgP translational fusion proteins. The genes encoding the chimeric proteins replaced the endogenous *algP* at its native chromosomal locus. mApple fluorescence displayed in magenta. Left panels: AlgP-mApple in exponential growth (0h) and after nitrogen starvation (3h). Middle panels: mApple-AlgP displayed using the same contrast settings as for AlgP-mApple. Right panels: the same mApple-AlgP images, shown with the higher contrast settings necessary to see puncta. (B) Quantification of the average fluorescence intensity per cell (total intensity divided by the area of the cell) for AlgP-mApple and mApple-AlgP in growing (0h) and nitrogen-starved (3h) cells. (C) Localization of AlgP-mApple and mApple-AlgP relative to DAPI-stained polyP granules. mApple fluorescence is displayed in magenta, DAPI fluorescence is displayed in yellow. Note that the contrast settings in mApple channel are different for AlgP-mApple and mApple-AlgP because of different brightness. They reflect the same settings used in the left panels of (A) for AlgP-mApple, and the right panels of (A) for mApple-AlgP. (D) Demographs displaying the fluorescence intensity of DAPI and mApple on the long axis of the cell in 3h nitrogen-starved cells. (E) Spatial organization of 2-foci cells. Histograms display the normalized relative position on the long axis of the cell of the first foci in blue and second foci in orange. The horizontal histogram (top) represents mApple foci, and the vertical (left) histogram represents DAPI-labeled foci. Dots in the scatter plot show the correlation in spatial position of mApple and DAPI foci. Grey dots represent foci found in one channel but not in the other, these are also displayed as grey in the histograms. (F) Spatial organization of 3-foci cells. As in (E), but the third foci is depicted in magenta. (G) Quantification of the fraction of 1-foci cells in the population in both the DAPI and mApple channel. Note that 0-puncta cells are not included in these calculations, because it is impossible to distinguish between cells that have no granules, and those in which DAPI did not efficiently label the cell. The fraction of 1-foci cells therefore represents the ratio of

1-foci cells to all cells with 1 or more foci. Each point represents an independent replicate/day of

experiment. Dots represent results from independent experiments on different days, bar shows mean.

Variance analyzed using a one-way ANOVA. Significant differences between strains at the same time

point are marked with different uppercase letters based on a post hoc Tukey test (H) As in (G) but for

2-foci cells. (I) As in (G) but for cells with more than 2 foci. Scale bar: 2μm.

Figure 3. Effect of AlgP and C-terminus of AlgP on localization of polyP granules during

nitrogen starvation. (A) AlgP protein domain organization. AlgP contains an N-erminal 105aa

putative α-helical domain, predicted by Phyre2. The C-terminus contains a 195aa domain predicted to

be intrinsically disordered, with a 154 region of tandem repeats of KPAA. There are 25 perfect KPAA

repeats interspersed with variants, including 7 KPVA, 4 KTAAA, one KPAV, and two alanine spacers.

Two KPAA repeats that fall outside of this 154aa contiguous region. (B) Representative images of

DAPI-stained cells. (C) Demographs displaying the fluorescence intensity of DAPI on the long axis of

the cell in 3h nitrogen-starved cells. (D) Quantification of the fraction of DAPI-labeled cells in the

population with 1, 2, and >2 foci per cell. Each point represents an independent replicate/day of

experiment. Note that 0-puncta cells are not included in these calculations, because it is impossible

to distinguish between cells that have no granules, and those where DAPI did not efficiently label the

cell. The fraction of 1-foci cells therefore represents the ratio of 1-foci cells to all cells with 1 or more

foci. Dots represent results from independent experiments/days, the bar indicates the mean. Variance

analyzed using a one-way ANOVA. Significant differences between strains at the same time point are

marked with different uppercase letters based on a post hoc Tukey test. Scale bar: 2μm.

Figure 4. Effect of AlgP and C-terminus of AlgP on number and size of polyP granules during

nitrogen starvation. For the empirical cumulative distribution functions (ECDFs), the shading

represents confidence intervals for the ECDF acquired by bootstrapping. (A) Representative TEM

images of cells 1.5 and 3h after nitrogen starvation. (B) Distribution of the number of granules per cell

for WT and Δ*algP* cells at 1.5 and 3 hours into nitrogen starvation. (C) Distribution of volumes of all

individual granules at 1.5 and 3 hours into nitrogen starvation. (D) Distribution of volumes of the

largest granule per cell after 3 hours of nitrogen starvation. (E) Distribution of total granular volume

per cell (sum of volumes of all granules within a cell) after 3 hours of nitrogen starvation. Scale bar:

0.5μm.

Figure 5. Effects of polyP kinases on AlgP and polyP granule localization after 3h of nitrogen

starvation. (A) Localization of AlgP and DAPI-labeled puncta in the absence of all polyP kinases

(ΔpolyP), when only Ppk1 is present (3rd column), and when only Ppk2A is present (4th column). (B)

Localization of AlgP-mApple relative to Ppk2A-mNeonGreen. (C) Localization of AlgP relative to the

nucleoid in WT and ΔpolyP cells. The nucleoid was visualized using Sybr Green labeling (2nd row).

Scale bar: 2μm.

Figure 6. Effects of AlgP on cell cycle exit during nitrogen starvation. (A) Representative image

of WT exponentially-growing cells with chromosomal origins (green) and open DNA replication forks

(red). Origins are labeled using GFP-ParB^{pMT1} and a single copy of its cognate DNA binding site,

parS^{pMT1} DNA sequence at the *attB* locus, 19.5 kb from the origin. Forks are labeled using a

fluorescent chimera of single stranded DNA-binding protein (SSB-mCherry). We used a second copy

of the SSB promoter to drive both SSB-mCherry and GFP-ParB^{pMT1} expressed from the same operon,

and also integrated at the *attB* locus. (B) Fraction of cells with more than one chromosomal origin per

cell in growing (0h) or 6h nitrogen starved (6h) cells. Each point represents an independent

replicate/day of experiment. (C) Fraction of cells with at least one open DNA replication fork in

growing (0h) or 6h nitrogen-starved (6h) cells. Each point represents an independent replicate/day of

experiment. In (B) and (C), dots represent results from independent experiments/days, and the bar

indicates the mean. Variance was analyzed using a one-way ANOVA. Significant differences between

strains at the same time point are marked with different uppercase letters based on a post hoc Tukey

test. Scale bar: 2µm.

Figure 7. Model for the role of AlgP in granule biogenesis. (A) AlgP tethers nascent polyP

granules to the nucleoid, either through specific or non-specific contacts. Interactions between

nascent polyP granules and the nucleoid prevent free diffusion of granules, and lead to some degree

of local confinement within a chromosomal 'neighborhood.' Such confinement allows granules to fuse

only with their nearest neighbors on the timescale of granule maturation (hours). (B) Mature granules,

with their larger mass and more extensive interactions with the nucleoid, are more limited in their

radius of gyration and do not fuse with other granules, leading to even spacing.

ACKNLOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Scott Henderson, Kimberly Vanderpool, and Theresa Fassel of the Core Microscopy Facility

at the Scripps Research Institute for their expert assistance in performing the TEM experiments. We

thank Megan Bergkessel, Ashok Deniz, Keren Lasker, Michael Manson, Dianne Newman, Daniel

Scholl and members of the Racki Lab for helpful feedback and discussions of this work. This work

was supported by the Donald E. and Delia B. Baxter Foundation (LRR), and

also in part by a grant from the NIH (R35-GM-136412 to JRW). Figures 1A and S1A were created with

BioRender.com.

REFERENCES

1. Rao NN, Gómez-García MR, Kornberg A. 2009. Inorganic polyphosphate: essential for growth and survival.

Annu Rev Biochem 78:605–647.

2. Denoncourt A, Downey M. 2021. Model systems for studying polyphosphate biology: a focus on

microorganisms. Curr Genet 67:331–346.

3. Kulakovskaya T, Kulaev I. 2013. Enzymes of Inorganic Polyphosphate Metabolism, p. 39–63. *In* Müller, WEG,

Wang, X, Schröder, HC (eds.), Biomedical Inorganic Polymers: Bioactivity and Applications of Natural and

Synthetic Polymeric Inorganic Molecules. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

4. Tumlirsch T, Jendrossek D. 2017. Proteins with CHADs (Conserved Histidine α-Helical Domains) Are

Attached to Polyphosphate Granules In Vivo and Constitute a Novel Family of Polyphosphate-Associated

Proteins (Phosins). Appl Environ Microbiol 83:e03399-16.

5. Henry JT, Crosson S. 2013. Chromosome replication and segregation govern the biogenesis and inheritance

of inorganic polyphosphate granules. Mol Biol Cell 24:3177–3186.

6. Butan C, Hartnell LM, Fenton AK, Bliss D, Sockett RE, Subramaniam S, Milne JLS. 2011. Spiral Architecture of

the Nucleoid in Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus. J Bacteriol 193:1341–1350.

7. Takade A, Umeda A, Misumi T, Sawae Y, Amako K. 1991. Accumulation of phosphate-containing granules in

the nucleoid area of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Microbiol Immunol 35:367–374.

8. Murata K, Hagiwara S, Kimori Y, Kaneko Y. 2016. Ultrastructure of compacted DNA in cyanobacteria by

high-voltage cryo-electron tomography. Sci Rep 6:34934.

9. Racki LR, Tocheva EI, Dieterle MG, Sullivan MC, Jensen GJ, Newman DK. 2017. Polyphosphate granule

biogenesis is temporally and functionally tied to cell cycle exit during starvation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

Proc Natl Acad Sci 114:E2440–E2449.

10.   Boutte CC, Henry JT, Crosson S. 2012. ppGpp and polyphosphate modulate cell cycle progression in

Caulobacter crescentus. J Bacteriol 194:28–35.

11.   Kato J, Chakrabarty AM. 1991. Purification of the regulatory protein AlgR1 and its binding in the far

upstream region of the algD promoter in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Proc Natl Acad Sci 88:1760–1764.

12.   Kato J, Misra TK, Chakrabarty AM. 1990. AlgR3, a protein resembling eukaryotic histone H1, regulates

alginate synthesis in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Proc Natl Acad Sci 87:2887–2891.

13.   Pertoft H, Laurent TC, Låås T, Kågedal L. 1978. Density gradients prepared from colloidal silica particles

coated by polyvinylpyrrolidone (Percoll). Anal Biochem 88:271–282.

14.   Spitnik-Elson P, Atsmon A. 1969. Detachment of ribosomal proteins by salt: I. Effect of conditions on the

amount of protein detached. J Mol Biol 45:113–124.

15.   Xie L, Jakob U. 2019. Inorganic polyphosphate, a multifunctional polyanionic protein scaffold. J Biol Chem

294:2180–2190.

16.   Winsor GL, Griffiths EJ, Lo R, Dhillon BK, Shay JA, Brinkman FSL. 2016. Enhanced annotations and features

for comparing thousands of Pseudomonas genomes in the Pseudomonas genome database. Nucleic Acids Res

44:D646-653.

17.   Kelley LA, Mezulis S, Yates CM, Wass MN, Sternberg MJE. 2015. The Phyre2 web portal for protein

modeling, prediction and analysis. Nat Protoc 10:845–858.

18.   Omelon S, Georgiou J, Habraken W. 2016. A cautionary (spectral) tail: red-shifted fluorescence by DAPI–

DAPI interactions. Biochem Soc Trans 44:46–49.

19.   Frank C, Jendrossek D. 2020. Acidocalcisomes and Polyphosphate Granules Are Different Subcellular

Structures in Agrobacterium tumefaciens. Appl Environ Microbiol 86:e02759-19.

20.   Seufferheld M, Vieira MCF, Ruiz FA, Rodrigues CO, Moreno SNJ, Docampo R. 2003. Identification of

Organelles in Bacteria Similar to Acidocalcisomes of Unicellular Eukaryotes*. J Biol Chem 278:29971–29978.

21.   Bode G, Mauch F, Ditschuneit H, Malfertheiner P. 1993. Identification of structures containing

polyphosphate in Helicobacter pylori. J Gen Microbiol 139:3029–3033.

22.   Tocheva EI, Dekas AE, McGlynn SE, Morris D, Orphan VJ, Jensen GJ. 2013. Polyphosphate storage during

sporulation in the gram-negative bacterium Acetonema longum. J Bacteriol 195:3940–3946.

23.   Jones HE, Chambers LA. 1975. Localized intracellular polyphosphate formation by Desulfovibrio gigas. J

Gen Microbiol 89:67–72.

24.   Pallerla SR, Knebel S, Polen T, Klauth P, Hollender J, Wendisch VF, Schoberth SM. 2005. Formation of

volutin granules in Corynebacterium glutamicum. FEMS Microbiol Lett 243:133–140.

25.   Tumlirsch T, Sznajder A, Jendrossek D. 2015. Formation of polyphosphate by polyphosphate kinases and

its relationship to poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) accumulation in Ralstonia eutropha strain H16. Appl Environ

Microbiol 81:8277–8293.

26.   McQuail J, Carpousis AJ, Wigneshweraraj S. 2021. The association between Hfq and RNase E in long-term

nitrogen-starved Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol Online ahead of print.

27.   Deretic V, Konyecsni WM. 1990. A procaryotic regulatory factor with a histone H1-like carboxy-terminal

domain: clonal variation of repeats within algP, a gene involved in regulation of mucoidy in Pseudomonas

aeruginosa. J Bacteriol 172:5544–5554.

28.   Okkotsu Y, Little AS, Schurr MJ. 2014. The Pseudomonas aeruginosa AlgZR two-component system

coordinates multiple phenotypes. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 20;4:82.

29.   Konyecsni WM, Deretic V. 1990. DNA sequence and expression analysis of algP and algQ, components of

the multigene system transcriptionally regulating mucoidy in Pseudomonas aeruginosa: algP contains multiple

direct repeats. J Bacteriol 172:2511–2520.

30.   Cross AR, Csatary EE, Raghuram V, Diggle FL, Whiteley M, Wuest WM, Goldberg JB. 2020. The histone-like

protein AlgP regulon is distinct in mucoid and nonmucoid Pseudomonas aeruginosa and does not include

alginate biosynthesis genes. Microbiology 166:861–866.

31.   Babin BM, Atangcho L, van Eldijk MB, Sweredoski MJ, Moradian A, Hess S, Tolker-Nielsen T, Newman DK,

Tirrell DA. 2017. Selective Proteomic Analysis of Antibiotic-Tolerant Cellular Subpopulations in Pseudomonas

aeruginosa Biofilms. mBio 8:e01593-17.

32.   Kim H-Y, Schlictman D, Shankar S, Xie Z, Chakrabarty AM, Kornberg A. 1998. Alginate, inorganic

polyphosphate, GTP and ppGpp synthesis co-regulated in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa:* implications for stationary

phase survival and synthesis of RNA/DNA precursors. Mol Microbiol 27:717–725.

33.   Gray MJ. 2019. Inorganic Polyphosphate Accumulation in Escherichia coli Is Regulated by DksA but Not by

(p)ppGpp. J Bacteriol 201:e00664-18.

34.   Medvedkin VN, Permyakov EA, Klimenko LV, Mitin YV, Matsushima N, Nakayama S, Kretsinger RH. 1995.

Interactions of (Ala*Ala*Lys*Pro)n and (Lys*Lys*Ser*Pro)n with DNA. Proposed coiled-coil structure of AlgR3

and AlgP from Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Protein Eng 8:63–70.

35.   Maestro B, Galán B, Alfonso C, Rivas G, Prieto MA, Sanz JM. 2013. A new family of intrinsically disordered

proteins: structural characterization of the major phasin PhaF from Pseudomonas putida KT2440. PloS One

8:e56904.

36.   Galán B, Dinjaski N, Maestro B, de Eugenio LI, Escapa IF, Sanz JM, García JL, Prieto MA. 2011. Nucleoid-

associated PhaF phasin drives intracellular location and segregation of polyhydroxyalkanoate granules in

Pseudomonas putida KT2442. Mol Microbiol 79:402–418.

37. Prieto MA, Bühler B, Jung K, Witholt B, Kessler B. 1999. PhaF, a polyhydroxyalkanoate-granule-associated

protein of Pseudomonas oleovorans GPo1 involved in the regulatory expression system for pha genes. J

Bacteriol 181:858–868.

38. Kasinsky HE, Lewis JD, Dacks JB, Ausló J. 2001. Origin of H1 linker histones. FASEB J 15:34–42.

39. Cutter AR, Hayes JJ. 2017. Linker histones: novel insights into structure-specific recognition of the

nucleosome. Biochem Cell Biol Biochim Biol Cell 95:171–178.

40. Abbondanzieri EA, Meyer AS. 2019. More than just a phase: the search for membraneless organelles in

the bacterial cytoplasm. Curr Genet 65:691–694.

41. Azaldegui CA, Vecchiarelli AG, Biteen JS. 2021. The emergence of phase separation as an organizing

principle in bacteria. Biophys J 120:1123–1138.

42. Ladouceur A-M, Parmar BS, Biedzinski S, Wall J, Tope SG, Cohn D, Kim A, Soubry N, Reyes-Lamothe R,

Weber SC. 2020. Clusters of bacterial RNA polymerase are biomolecular condensates that assemble through

liquid–liquid phase separation. Proc Natl Acad Sci 117:18540–18549.

43. Al-Husini N, Tomares DT, Bitar O, Childers WS, Schrader JM. 2018. α-Proteobacterial RNA Degradosomes

Assemble Liquid-Liquid Phase-Separated RNP Bodies. Mol Cell 71:1027-1039.e14.

44. Al-Husini N, Tomares DT, Pfaffenberger ZJ, Muthunayake NS, Samad MA, Zuo T, Bitar O, Aretakis JR,

Bharmal M-HM, Gega A, Biteen JS, Childers WS, Schrader JM. 2020. BR-Bodies Provide Selectively Permeable

Condensates that Stimulate mRNA Decay and Prevent Release of Decay Intermediates. Mol Cell 78:670-682.e8.

45. Lasker K, von Diezmann L, Zhou X, Ahrens DG, Mann TH, Moerner WE, Shapiro L. 2020. Selective

sequestration of signalling proteins in a membraneless organelle reinforces the spatial regulation of

asymmetry in Caulobacter crescentus. Nat Microbiol 5:418–429.

46.   Saurabh S, Chong TN, Bayas C, Dahlberg PD, Cartwright HN, Moerner WE, Shapiro L. 2020. Modulation of

kinase activity within a bacterial membraneless organelle. bioRxiv 2020.08.09.232405.

47.   Wang X, Shi C, Mo J, Xu Y, Wei W, Zhao J. 2020. An Inorganic Biopolymer Polyphosphate Controls

Positively Charged Protein Phase Transitions. Angew Chem Int Ed 59:2679–2683.

48.   Boeynaems S, Holehouse AS, Weinhardt V, Kovacs D, Van Lindt J, Larabell C, Van Den Bosch L, Das R,

Tompa PS, Pappu RV, Gitler AD. 2019. Spontaneous driving forces give rise to protein−RNA condensates with

coexisting phases and complex material properties. Proc Natl Acad Sci 116:7889–7898.

49.   Alshareedah I, Moosa MM, Raju M, Potoyan DA, Banerjee PR. 2020. Phase transition of RNA-protein

complexes into ordered hollow condensates. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 117:15650–15658.

50.   Savage DF, Afonso B, Chen AH, Silver PA. 2010. Spatially ordered dynamics of the bacterial carbon

fixation machinery. Science 327:1258–1261.

51.   MacCready JS, Hakim P, Young EJ, Hu L, Liu J, Osteryoung KW, Vecchiarelli AG, Ducat DC. 2018. Protein

gradients on the nucleoid position the carbon-fixing organelles of cyanobacteria. eLife 7:e39723.

52.   Chung JCS, Becq J, Fraser L, Schulz-Trieglaff O, Bond NJ, Foweraker J, Bruce KD, Smith GP, Welch M. 2012.

Genomic Variation among Contemporary Pseudomonas aeruginosa Isolates from Chronically Infected Cystic

Fibrosis Patients. J Bacteriol 194:4857–4866.

53.   Zhao W, Cross AR, Crowe-McAuliffe C, Weigert-Munoz A, Csatary EE, Solinski AE, Krysiak J, Goldberg JB,

Wilson DN, Medina E, Wuest WM, Sieber SA. 2019. The Natural Product Elegaphenone Potentiates Antibiotic

Effects against Pseudomonas aeruginosa - Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 58(25):858108584.

54.   Gao J, Friedrichs MS, Dongre AR, Opiteck GJ. 2005. Guidelines for the Routine Application of the Peptide

Hits Technique. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 16:1231–1238.

55.   Sliusarenko O, Heinritz J, Emonet T, Jacobs-Wagner C. 2011. High-throughput, subpixel precision analysis

of bacterial morphogenesis and intracellular spatio-temporal dynamics. Mol Microbiol 80:612–627.

56.   Hocking J, Priyadarshini R, Takacs CN, Costa T, Dye NA, Shapiro L, Vollmer W, Jacobs-Wagner C. 2012.

Osmolality-dependent relocation of penicillin-binding protein PBP2 to the division site in Caulobacter

crescentus. J Bacteriol 194:3116–3127.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

A

Putative
α−Helical

KPAA   KTAAA
KPVA   KPAV

6   110   157   310   352

CTD

B

WT   ΔalgP   algPΔCTD

3h

C

Cell Number

Distance from midcell (µm)

D

1-focus
A   B   B
WT   ΔalgP   AlgPΔCTD

2-foci
A   B   B
WT   ΔalgP   AlgPΔCTD

>2-foci
A   B   B
WT   ΔalgP   AlgPΔCTD

Fraction of population

Figure 4

A

	WT	ΔpolyP	Δ*algP*	*algP*Δ*CTD*
1.5h				
3h				

B

ECDF vs # granules/cell

WT 1.5h, n=91
WT 3h, n=62
Δ*algP* 1.5h, n=90
Δ*algP* 3h, n=76

C

ECDF vs Individual granule volumes (µm³)

WT 1.5h, n=393
WT 3h, n=176
Δ*algP* 1.5h, n=137
Δ*algP* 3h, n=122

D

ECDF vs Volume of largest granule/cell (µm³)

WT 3h, n=62
Δ*algP* 3h, n=76

E

ECDF vs Total granular volume per cell (µm³)

WT 3h, n=62
Δ*algP* 3h, n=76

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

A

B