Zika virus induces mitotic catastrophe in human neural progenitors by triggering

unscheduled mitotic entry in the presence of DNA damage while functionally depleting

nuclear PNKP.

Malgorzata Rychlowska[1], Abigail Agyapong[1], Michael Weinfeld[2], Luis M. Schang[1*]

*corresponding author

[1] Baker Institute for Animal Health and Department of Microbiology and Immunology,

College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, USA

[2] Department of Oncology, Division of Experimental Oncology, University of Alberta,

Canada

Email

MR: mr783@cornell.edu

AA: aa845@cornell.edu

MW: mweinfel@ualberta.ca

LMS: luis.schang@cornell.edu

Keywords

ZIKV; congenital Zika virus syndrome; microcephaly; mitotic catastrophe; unscheduled mitotic entry; cyclin dependent kinase 1; CDK1; DNA damage repair; polynucleotide kinase 3'-phosphatase; PNKP; microcephaly, seizures, and developmental delay; MCSZ

Abstract

Vertical transmission of Zika virus (ZIKV) leads with high frequency to congenital ZIKV syndrome (CZS), whose worst outcome is microcephaly. However, the mechanisms of congenital ZIKV neurodevelopmental pathologies, including direct cytotoxicity to neural progenitor cells (NPC), placental insufficiency, and immune responses, remain incompletely understood. At the cellular level, microcephaly typically results from death or insufficient proliferation of NPC or cortical neurons. NPCs replicate fast, requiring efficient DNA damage responses to ensure genome stability. Like congenital ZIKV infection, mutations in the polynucleotide 5'-kinase 3'-phosphatase (PNKP) gene, which encodes a critical DNA damage repair enzyme, results in recessive syndromes often characterized by congenital microcephaly with seizures (MCSZ). We thus tested whether there were any links between ZIKV and PNKP.

Here we show that two PNKP phosphatase inhibitors or PNKP knockout inhibited ZIKV replication. PNKP relocalized from the nucleus to the cytoplasm in infected cells, co-localizing with the marker of ZIKV replication factories (RF) NS1 and resulting in functional nuclear PNKP depletion. Although infected NPC accumulated DNA damage,

they failed to activate the DNA damage checkpoint kinases Chk1 and Chk2. ZIKV also

induced activation of cytoplasmic CycA/CDK1 complexes, which trigger unscheduled

mitotic entry. Inhibition of CDK1 activity inhibited ZIKV replication and the formation of

RF, supporting a role of cytoplasmic CycA/CDK1 in RF morphogenesis. In brief, ZIKV

infection induces mitotic catastrophe resulting from unscheduled mitotic entry in the

presence of DNA damage. PNKP and CycA/CDK1 are thus host factors participating in

ZIKV replication in NPC, and pathogenesis to neural progenitor cells.

Significance

The 2015-2017 Zika virus (ZIKV) outbreak in Brazil and subsequent international

epidemic revealed the strong association between ZIKV infection and congenital

malformations, mostly neurodevelopmental defects up to microcephaly. The scale and

global expansion of the epidemic, the new ZIKV outbreaks (Kerala state, India, 2021),

and the potential burden of future ones pose a serious ongoing risk. However, the

cellular and molecular mechanisms resulting in microcephaly remain incompletely

understood. Here we show that ZIKV infection of neuronal progenitor cells results in

cytoplasmic sequestration of an essential DNA repair protein itself associated with

microcephaly, with the consequent accumulation of DNA damage, together with an

unscheduled activation of cytoplasmic CDK1/Cyclin A complexes in the presence of

DNA damage. These alterations result in mitotic catastrophe of neuronal progenitors,

which would lead to a depletion of cortical neurons during development.

Introduction

Since its identification in 1947, Zika virus (ZIKV) had been associated with mostly mild and asymptomatic infections until the recent international epidemic with 245,000 confirmed, and 820,000 suspected, cases (1). It took 62 years from the first documented human infection in 1954 (2) to this international epidemic in 2015-2018 to make evident the association of ZIKV infection with the congenital neurodevelopmental pathologies currently referred to as a congenital ZIKV syndrome (CZS) (3). The most significant burden of CZS is congenital microcephaly. Infants born with microcephaly have a range of health problems and suffer lifetime consequences (4, 5). Despite the global health burden, relatively little is yet known about the mechanisms of ZIKV-induced microcephaly.

ZIKV is a unique vector-born flavivirus in that it has two additional routes of transmission, sexual (6-8) and vertical (9-13). The risk of vertical transmission is as high as 46% in the first trimester of pregnancy, and vertically transmitted ZIKV can infect the developing fetal brain producing CZS in about 9% of babies born to mothers infected in the first trimester (14). Neither the mechanism of vertical or sexual transmission, nor the tropism for the developing fetal brain or the microcephaly mechanisms are fully understood. The fetal neurotoxicity of ZIKV is likely the result of a combination of multiple factors, including placental insufficiency and inflammatory responses, activation of innate immunity, and direct ZIKV induced cytotoxicity to neural progenitor cells (recently reviewed in (15, 16)). At the cellular level, congenital microcephaly is caused by the depletion of the neural progenitor cells (NPC), which are responsible for brain development, either by cell death or premature differentiation (17). Proliferating NPC

are the primary target for ZIKV, as demonstrated in human autopsies, animal models,

and in vitro cell culture systems. ZIKV infection of NPC leads to reduced proliferation

and cell death (reviewed in (16, 18)).

Zika virus (ZIKV) is a mosquito-born RNA virus in the *Flavivirus* genus of the

Flaviviridae family, which comprises several other important arthropod-borne human

pathogens like dengue virus (DENV), yellow fever virus (YFV), West Nile virus (WNV),

and Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV). Like all viruses in this family, ZIKV has a single

stranded, positive sense RNA (ss(+)RNA) genome encoding a single ORF, which is

directly translated into a precursor polyprotein (19). The polyprotein is then

proteolytically cleaved into the individual viral proteins, including the structural proteins,

capsid, E and prM/M, which are incorporated into viral particles, and the non-structural

(NS) proteins, NS1, NS2A, NS2B, NS3, NS4A, NS4B and NS5, which are expressed in

the infected cell and responsible for viral replication and assembly (20, 21).

Like all ss(+)RNA viruses, ZIKV has a cytoplasmic replication cycle and remodels the

endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membranes to assemble the viral replication factories (RF)

(22). The characteristic ER rearrangements in flavivirus infections take the shape of

dilated ER cisternae containing numerous invaginated vesicles (Ve), vesicular packets

(Vp), tubular network of convoluted membranes (CM), and zippered ER (zER) (22-26).

These RF enable the concentration of the viral factors required for replication and

assembly of progeny virions. Invaginated towards the ER lumen with a narrow opening

to the cytoplasm, the Ve contain fully assembled viral replication complexes (RC). Such

a semi-open structure is crucial for recruiting the cytoplasmic viral enzymes NS3

(protease and helicase) and NS5 (methyltransferase and RNA-dependent RNA

polymerase), while at the same time shielding the viral genomes from recognition and

degradation (22, 27). The formation of RF is thus essential for the viral replication cycle.

Several cellular and viral factors play important roles in the biogenesis of RF (recently

reviewed in (28)). One of them is the viral non-structural protein 1 (NS1), which localizes

to the ER lumen and binds to the inner leaflet of the ER membrane via hydrophobic

interactions. Free luminal NS1 also enters the secretory pathway and is secreted (29,

30). Membrane binding and dimerization or multimerization of NS1 induces membrane

curvature leading to the formation of invaginations directed towards the ER lumen, thus

initiating the shaping of the Ve (25). There is also mounting evidence that flaviviral

NS2A, 2B, 4A and 4B, as well as several cellular proteins, play important roles in the

biogenesis of RF (28). The formation and maintenance of ZIKV RFs is thus a combined

effort of several NS and cellular proteins, not all of which have been identified.

ZIKV is only a minor cause of global microcephaly, with over 3,720 confirmed CZS

cases worldwide (1). The vast majority of microcephalies with identified etiology result

from genetic disorders, including mutations in one of the essential DNA damage repair

proteins, polynucleotide kinase 3'-phosphatase (PNKP). These mutations are

associated with a primary form of microcephaly named microcephaly, seizures, and

developmental delay (MCSZ) (31-33).

PNKP is a DNA processing enzyme that restores canonical termini at DNA breaks

through its 5' DNA kinase and 3'-phosphatase activities (34-36). Some DNA breaks

have noncanonical 3'-phosphate (3'-PO) or 5'-hydroxyl (5'-OH) groups, which cannot be

processed by cellular DNA ligases or polymerases. Reactive oxygen species (ROS)

produce predominantly DNA breaks harboring 3'-phosphate termini (37), which are also

common in DNA breaks induced by ionizing irradiation, stalled topoisomerase I (TOP1) complexes, and as intermediate products of base excision DNA repair (BER). 5'-OH termini are also common products of ionizing radiation or abortive TOP1 reactions (38-42). Although chromatin organization protects DNA from mechanical stresses, its condensation at repairing or replicating DNA sites induces sufficient mechanical stresses to result in DNA breaks (43). Therefore, physical forces are another important mechanism producing DNA breaks which need not have canonical termini. Both 5'-OH and 3'-PO DNA ends are substrates for PNKP.

PNKP deficiencies cause the expected accumulation of DNA breaks, affecting particularly neural progenitor cells, and thus leading to neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative disorders (44-47). Experimental PNKP depletion causes embryonic death or congenital microcephaly in animal models, depending on the residual levels of enzymatic activities (44, 47). Targeted PNKP deletion restricted to the nervous system resulted in early postnatal lethality in a mouse model. Massive cell death occurred through many still proliferating regions of the developing nervous system. This cell death produced neurodevelopmental defects leading to thinning or even complete loss of the neural cortex (47).

DNA damage is detrimental to genome stability. Therefore, DNA damage signaling activates DNA damage checkpoints to stop cell cycle progression and allow for DNA repair before proceeding into genome replication (DNA synthesis, S phase) or cell division (mitosis, M phase). Cell-cycle progression is driven by the periodical activation and inactivation of the cyclin-dependent kinases (CDK). Activation of CDK1 determines the commitment of a cell to mitosis, whereas its inactivation promotes mitotic exit.

Scheduled activation and inactivation of CDK1 are thus essential for successful mitosis

and progression through the cell cycle (comprehensively reviewed in (48)). CDK activity

is modulated by regulatory subunits, the cyclins, post-translational modifications,

association with other proteins, and subcellular localization. In brief, CDK activation

requires binding to their regulatory cyclins, phosphorylation at the activation loop,

removal of other inhibitory phosphates, and no inhibition by the CIP/KIP or INK4 CDK

inhibitors (48).

Unscheduled mitotic entry in the presence of DNA damage is rare, and it occurs

primarily in response to chemo- or radiotherapy in cancer cells defective in checkpoint

signaling. Under these conditions, cells undergo catastrophic mitoses producing

abnormal nuclear morphologies due to defective chromosome segregation

(comprehensively reviewed in (49)).

To prevent mitotic catastrophe, mutagenesis, and other cytopathologies, mitotic entry is

highly regulated. It is initiated by the threshold activation of CDK1 (recently reviewed in

(50)), which is primarily limited by cyclin availability. CDK1 associates with two types of

mitotic cyclins, A or B, which are expressed and degraded in a highly regulated fashion.

Cyclin A (CycA) starts to accumulate in S phase and first associates with CDK2, with

CycA/CDK activity starting to be detected in early S phase (51). Cyclin B (CycB) starts

to accumulate in G2, with CycB/CDK1 activity first detected at mitosis. Both CycA and

CycB are degraded in mitosis by regulated ubiquitination-proteasome system. CycA

levels decline rapidly after the nuclear envelope breakdown, with degradation

completed at prometaphase (52, 53). CycB degradation is initiated by the activated

anaphase promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C) at metaphase. Both CycA and B are

thus degraded at the onset of anaphase, rendering CDK1 inactive (reviewed in (54,

55)), and this inactivation is required for mitotic exit. The activity of Cyclin/CDK1 is

additionally controlled by inhibitory CDK1 phosphorylations at Thr14 (56-58) and Tyr15

(56, 59) and the activating phosphorylation at Thr161 (60, 61). Phosphorylation on

Tyr15, in particular, prevents unscheduled mitotic entry by keeping CycA or B/CDK1

complexes inactive. The CDC25 family phosphatases activate CycB/CDK1 at the onset

of mitosis by removing these inhibitory phosphate groups (62-64). Activation of the DNA

damage checkpoints inactivates CDC25 phosphatases, thus preventing CDK1

activation and delaying mitotic entry until the DNA damage signaling is turned off.

Both ZIKV and PNKP deficiencies specifically affect human neural progenitor cells,

leading to their massive loss during fetal development. Both induce DNA damage and

produce chromosomal abnormalities leading to abnormal mitoses. We thus explored

whether PNKP could be a cellular factor for ZIKV replication in human iPSC-derived

neural progenitor cells (hiNPC) and other cells. We explored potential molecular

mechanisms for the direct cytotoxicity of ZIKV to human neural progenitor cells by

testing the effects of PNKP inhibition on ZIKV replication and the influence of ZIKV

infection on PNKP, the DNA damage operated cell cycle checkpoints, and mitotic entry.

Materials and methods

Cell lines. African green monkey Vero E76, human U-2 OS osteosarcoma and HEK-

293 embryonic kidney cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (CCL-

81, HTB-96, CRL-1573, respectively). The CRISPR knockout HCT 116 PNKP-/- human

colorectal carcinoma cells (HCT 116 PNKP-/-) were generated and validated before

(65). Cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 5% FBS at 37°C in 5% CO_2.

Human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived neural progenitor cells (HIP Neural Stem

Cells, BC1 line, referred to as 'hiNPC') were purchased form MTI-GlobalStem, Inc.

(GSC-4311). Cells were grown as monolayers on geltrex-coated surfaces (Geltrex™

LDEV-Free reduced growth factor basement membrane matrix, Gibco, A1413201) in

NPC expansion medium: KnockOut™ DMEM/F-12 (Gibco, 12660012) supplemented

with StemPro™ neural supplement (Gibco, A1050801), 2 mM (1X) Glutagro (L-

Alanine/L-Glutamine dipeptide, Corning), 1X MEM non-essential amino acids (Corning),

and 20 ng/mL human heat stable sbFGF (Gibco, PHG0367) and split using accutase

(Millipore Sigma, A6964).

Compounds and treatments. The PNKP inhibitors A12B4C3 and A83B4C63 were

synthesized as previously reported (66-68) and kindly provided by Dr. Dennis Hall

(University of Alberta). DMSO stocks of A12B4C3 and A83B4C63 (50 mM) were

prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), aliquoted and stored at -20°C. Frozen aliquots

were thawed fast at 37°C and diluted to target concentrations (30-0.01 μM) in tissue

culture media. Roscovitine (Rosco, LC Laboratories, R-1234) stock solution was

prepared at 100 mM in DMSO aliquoted and stored at -20°C. Frozen aliquots were

thawed fast at 37°C and diluted to 100 µM in pre-warmed DMEM-5% FBS. Compounds

were added to cells after removing the inocula and washing with serum free media.

Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), and ultraviolet irradiation (UV) were used to induce DNA

damage. A working solution of 100 μM H_2O_2 was prepared by diluting 30% stock

solution in serum-free culture media immediately before adding to cells. After 60 min

incubation at 37°C in 5% CO_2, the H_2O_2 containing medium was aspirated and cells

were washed twice in serum free medium. Complete media (DMEM + 5%FBS or NPC

expansion medium) was then added, and cells were returned to the incubator for 1 h.

Alternatively cells were washed and overlaid with phenol red free-DMEM, irradiated with

UV at 100 J/m^2 (Spectrolinker XL-1000 UV crosslinker. Spectronics Corp.) and allowed

to recover for 1 h in the complete culture medium. Cells were subsequently fixed and

processed by immunostaining or lysed and used for Western blotting.

Viruses. ZIKV strains R103451 (placenta isolate, Human/2015/Honduras) or IbH 30656

(blood isolate, Human/1968/Nigeria) were obtained from BEI resources (NR-50066 and

NR-50355, respectively). Stocks were prepared by inoculation of sub-confluent

monolayers of U-2 OS cells seeded in a T150 flask 24 h earlier at an MOI of 0.001 in 2

ml DMEM for 1 h. Inoculum was removed and cells were washed twice with 12 ml cold

DMEM before overlaying with 15 ml DMEM-5% FBS. Infected cells were incubated for

24 h at 37°C in 5% CO$_2$ to reach confluence, and then split 1:3. Supernatants were

harvested 5 days post inoculation and cells were overlaid with 15 ml DMEM-5%FBS.

Typically, 3-4 harvests were collected every 4-5 days before cells reached full

cytopathic effect. Collected medium was clarified by centrifugation at 3,000 g for 10

minutes at 4°C. Cleared culture media was concentrated 10 times for each harvest,

using 50 mL 100K Amicon Ultra centrifugation tubes spun at 3500 g for 25 minutes at

4°C. Retentates were aliquoted into 500 μL, frozen in ethanol-dry ice bath and stored at

-80°C.

Antibodies. Primary and secondary antibodies are listed in Supplementary Tables 1

and 2 (**Suppl. Tab.1, 2**)

ZIKV infections. For immunofluorescence (IF), 3×10^5 (hiNPC) or 1.5×10^5 (U-2 OS,

Vero, 293) cells were seeded onto geltrex-coated (hiNPC) or uncoated (U-2 OS, Vero,

HEK-293) 21 mm diameter round coverslips in 12-well plates and infected 24 h later

with 1-3 foci forming units (FFU) (hiNPC) or 1-3 plaque forming units (PFU) (other cells)

per cell of ZIKV in 150 ml of expansion medium (hiNPC) or DMEM (other cells).

Inoculated cells were incubated for 1 h at 37°C, rocking and rotating every 10-15 min.

Cells were washed once with 2 ml/well cold KnockOut DMEM:F12 (hiNPC) or DMEM

(other cells) before overlaying with 1.5 ml/well 37°C complete expansion medium

(hiNPC) or DMEM-5% FBS (other cells) and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO_2. Cells were

fixed and processed by immunostaining at pre-selected times.

For drug treatments, cells were seeded on geltrex-coated 12-well plates as described

and infected 24 h later with 3 FFU (hiNPC) or 3 PFU (other cells) per cell of ZIKV as

described. Culture media were harvested at pre-selected times, cleared by

centrifugation at 3,000 g for 10 minutes at 4^oC, and titrated immediately or frozen at -

80^oC for later titration.

For Western blotting or immuno-kinase activity assays, 3×10^6 cells were seeded on T75

flasks or 10 cm diameter dishes and infected 24 h later with 3 FFU (hiNPC) or 3 PFU

(U-2 OS) per cell of ZIKV in 1 ml serum free medium, as described. Cell monolayers

were detached with accutase (hiNPC), or trypsin (U-2 OS) at pre-selected times,

pelleted, resuspended in 1 ml ice-cold PBS (10 μl aliquots were used for cell counting)

and pelleted again. PBS was aspirated and cell pellets were frozen at -80^oC until further

processing.

Plaque forming assays. Vero cells ($1.2x\ 10^5$) were seeded into each well of 12-well

plates and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO_2. Cells were inoculated with 150 μl of sample

the following day and incubated for 1 h at 37°C, rocking and rotating every 10 min. Cells

were washed twice with 2 ml/well cold DMEM before overlaying with 1.5 ml/well 37°C

DMEM-5% FBS containing 1% methylcellulose and incubating at 37°C in 5% CO_2 until

plaques developed, typically 4-6 days. Cells were fixed and stained overnight with 0.5%

crystal violet in 17% methanol. Plaque numbers are expressed as PFU/ml for titration of

viral stocks or normalized to infected cell number and expressed as PFU/cell (virus

burst) for dose response or time of addition analyses.

Foci formation assays. ZIKV infectious titers in hiNPC we evaluated by FFU. hiNPC

($3.0\ x\ 10^5$ cells/well) were seeded into Geltrex-coated 12-well plates in 1 ml of NPC

expansion medium and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO2. Cells were inoculated 24 h later

with 150 μl per well of serial dilutions of ZIKV stocks in the expansion medium and

incubated at 37°C for 1 h, rocking and rotating every 10-15 min. Inocula were removed

and cells were washed twice with 1.5 ml/well cold unsupplemented KnockOut

DMEM:F12. Cells were overlaid with 1 ml/well expansion medium, containing 0.75%

methylcellulose and incubated for 48 h at 37°C and 5% CO_2. Cells were fixed and

processed for indirect immunofluorescence for flavivirus group E antigen (clone 4G2).

Calculated foci numbers were expressed as FFU/ml.

Time of addition assay. hiNPC cells were infected with 3 FFU of ZIKV/cell. After 1 h

adsorption, cells were washed and overlaid with 2 ml drug-free expansion medium or

expansion medium containing 100 μM Rosco. Drug-free expansion medium was

removed at 3, 6, 9, 12, or 15 hours post infection (hpi) from replicate series of infected

monolayers and replaced with 2 ml of expansion medium containing 100 µM Rosco. An

additional series of infected monolayers was incubated continuously in vehicle (0.1%

DMSO) containing drug-free expansion medium. For each time of addition, culture

media were sampled just before Rosco addition and at the end of experiment (24 hpi),

to calculate viral burst from the time of drug addition. To this end, 100 μl of medium was

removed and substituted with 100 μl fresh expansion media. Samples were frozen at -

80°C until titrated on Vero cells. For untreated infections, culture medium was equally

sampled at every time-point from 1 to 15 h, and then at 24 h. Viral burst, as percent of

burst in vehicle control, is plotted against hours post infection (hpi). Each time point

indicates the average and range of two independent experiments.

Immuno complex kinase assay. Cell lysates were prepared by resuspending cell

pellets to 1x10^4 cells/μl in non-denaturing lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM

EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100), supplemented with protease and

phosphatase inhibitor cocktails (cOmplete, EDTA-free and PhosSTOP from Roche) and

incubated for 30 min at 4°C. Lysates were cleared by centrifugation (15,000 g, 15 min,

4°C) before 100 μl of supernatant, equivalent to 1x10^6 cells, was incubated with 25 μl of

agarose-coupled anti-CDK1 or anti-CycA2 antibodies (sc-54AC or sc-239AC, Santa

Cruz Biotechnology, respectively) for 2 h at 4 °C with gentle rocking. After three washes

in lysis buffer and one wash in kinase buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 10 mM MgCl$_2$, 1

mM DTT), kinase assays were performed by incubating the immunoprecipitated

proteins and beads in 30 μl kinase buffer supplemented with 50 μM ATP and 3 μg

histone H1 (EMD Millipore, 14-155) for 30 min at room temperature. Kinase activity was

measured using ADP-Glo Kinase assay (V6930, Promega) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. Luminescence was measured using a TECAN infinite 200Pro plate reader. Kinase activity, proportional to relative luminesce units (RLU), was plotted against time after infection.

Indirect immunofluorescence. For confocal imaging, cells were fixed with 3.7% buffered formaldehyde for 15 min at room temperature. Fixative was removed, cells were washed three times with 1 ml PBS each, permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X100 in PBS for 15 minutes at RT, and blocked with 500 µl 5% normal goat serum (NGS) in PBS for 1 h at room temperature (RT). Primary antibodies (**Suppl. Tab. 1**) were diluted in 1% NGS in PBS and incubated overnight at 4°C. Primary antibodies were removed and cells were washed three times in 1 ml of 0.2% TritonX100 in PBS each time. Fluorescently-conjugated secondary antibodies (**Suppl. Tab. 2**) were diluted 1:1,000 in 1% NGS in PBS, incubated for 1 h at room temperature protected from light and washed 3 times in 1 ml each wash of 0.2% TritonX100 in PBS.

Cells were counterstained with 2 µg/ml DAPI in PBS for 5 min at room temperature. Coverslips were removed from the wells, rinsed briefly in dH$_2$O, and mounted onto glass microscope slides using 10 µl Prolong Gold (Molecular Probes, P10144) or Prolong Glass (Invitrogen, P36984) antifade mounting media. The slides were protected from light until imaging by confocal microscopy using a Zeiss LSM 510 or an Olympus FV 3000 microscope and ZEN2.3ProHWL or FV315-SW image acquisition software, respectively. ImageJ software (69) was used to convert raw image stacks to single channel (grey scale) or merged (color scale) images and to add scale bars.

Metabolic labeling with EdU. hiNPC (2.5 x 10^5) were seeded on Geltrex-coated 21 mm diameter glass coverslips in 1.0 ml growth medium. 24 hours after plating, 1.0 ml of

20 μM EdU in growth medium was added (10 μM final concentration) and cells were

returned to the incubator for a 15 min pulse labelling. Cells were subsequently fixed and

permeabilized for IF as described above, blocked with 1 ml of 3% BSA in PBS, washed

and stained using Click-iT™ EdU Cell Proliferation Kit for Imaging, using Alexa Fluor™

488 dye (Thermo Fisher Scientific, C10337), according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Cells were subsequently stained for ZIKV NS1 (IF) and counterstained with DAPI.

Cytotoxicity. Cytotoxicity and cytostasis in hiNPC cells were tested using CellTiter-

Glo® luminescent cell viability assay (Promega, G7570). Cells (5×10^3 cells/well) were

seeded in 96-well plates to reach 25% confluence at 24 h after plating. Test compound

dilutions were added 24 hours later in 150 μl in hiNPC maintenance medium and

incubated for 2 to 3 days at 37°C. Relative cell number was determined daily by

incubating replicated monolayers with CellTiter-Glo reagent diluted in phenol-red free

DMEM for 30 min at RT. Luminescence was measured using TECAN infinite 200Pro

plate reader. Relative cell number was plotted against time of treatment for each drug

dilution. Cytostasis was evaluated by no increase or decrease in the number of viable

cells during the incubation period.

Western blotting. Cell monolayers in T75 flasks or 10 cm diameter dishes were

detached with accutase (hiNPC) or trypsin (U-2 OS), pelleted, and resuspended in 1 ml

of ice-cold PBS (10 μl samples were collected for counting cells) and pelleted again.

PBS was aspirated and cell pellets were frozen at -80°C. Sufficient ice-cold lysis buffer

(50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100,

0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.5% SDS) supplemented with protease and phosphatase

inhibitor cocktails (cOmplete, EDTA-free and PhosSTOP from Roche) was added to the

pellets to yield 1×10^4 cell equivalent/μl. Samples were incubated for 30 min at 4°C with

rocking and then sonicated (3 x 30 sec interval, high setting in a Bioruptor water bath

sonicator, Diagenode). Lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 13,000 g for 15 min at

4°C. Supernatants were collected, mixed 4:1 with reducing NuPAGE™ LDS sample

buffer 4x (ThermoFisher Scientific, NP0007) and boiled for 10 minutes. Proteins were

separated by electrophoresis in 12 or 7% polyacrylamide gels (the latter for high

molecular weight proteins, ATM and ATR) and transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride or

nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were blocked with TBS containing 0.1% Tween-

20 (TBST) supplemented with 5% BSA. Primary antibodies (**Suppl. Tab. 1**) diluted in

TBST were added and incubated overnight at 4°C with rocking. Membranes were

washed with TBST three times for 10 minutes each and incubated with the

corresponding fluorescently-labeled secondary antibody (**Suppl. Tab. 2**) for 1 h at room

temperature with gentle rocking. Membranes were washed as described and signal was

detected and recorded using a ChemiDoc MP imager (BioRad).

Results

Two PNKP inhibitors, A83B4C463 and A12B4C3, inhibit ZIKV replication, as does

knocking out PNKP. PNKP is an essential DNA damage repair enzyme which, like

ZIKV infection, is also linked to microcephaly (31, 32). We asked whether ZIKV infection

may affect, or be affected by, PNKP. To this end, we tested the effect of two PNKP

phosphatase inhibitors, A83B4C63 and A12B4C3, on ZIKV replication in hiNPC cells.

We treated already infected cells with semi-logarithmic dilutions of the inhibitors and

titrated the cell-free infectivity at 24 h. Both inhibitors induced dose response inhibition

of ZIKV replication (**Fig. 1A).** A83B4C63 and A12B4C3 were no cytotoxic or cytostatic

at up to 30 μM or 10 μM, respectively, in that cells continuously doubled for at least two

days, whereas A12B4C3 was cytostatic (but not cytotoxic) at 30 μM (**Fig. 1C**). Inhibition

of PNKP phosphatase activity in cells with concentrations of A12B4C3 and A83B4C63

in the same range of 1 to 10 μM inhibit the repair of single and double stranded DNA

breaks and sensitizes cancer cells to the topoisomerase poison camptothecin and γ-

irradiation (66-68, 70-72).

Based on these results we proposed that PNKP phosphatase activity was required for

ZIKV replication. We tested this model by evaluating ZIKV replication in a CRISPR

knockout HCT 116 PNKP-/- cell line (65). We infected WT and PNKP-/- HCT 116 cells

with ZIKV at low or high multiplicity of infection (MOI=0.05 or 2, respectively). We

harvested culture supernatants every 24 h for up to 120 h and titrated the cell free

infectivity. ZIKV failed to replicate in the PNKP knockout cells infected at either low or

high multiplicity. The ZIKV replication cycle had been completed in 72 or 48 hours in the

WT cells infected at low or high MOI, respectively (**Fig. 1D**), and the viral titers

decreased thereafter.

PNKP is constitutively expressed, and its levels are regulated by proteasomal

degradation (73). Host factors required for viral replication are often upregulated during

infection. However, PNKP levels were constant during ZIKV infection and did not differ

from those in mock-infected cells (**Fig. 1E**).

PNKP relocalizes to the cytoplasm, where it co-localizes with NS1 during ZIKV

infection. PNKP normally localizes to the nucleus and mitochondria (74) whereas ZIKV

replicates in cytoplasmic ER-derived membranous structures (26). We thus evaluated

PNKP subcellular localization in ZIKV-infected cells (**Fig. 2A**). PNKP localized

predominantly to the nucleus in mock infected hiNPC, showing a disperse staining

pattern, as expected (74). In contrast, PNKP signal was concentrated in the cytoplasm

at the center of ZIKV-infected cells, whereas abnormal and often multi-lobular nuclei

occupied the cell periphery. Cytoplasmic PNKP colocalized with NS1 (PCC, 0.83-0.88,

Fig. 2A). PNKP colocalized in the cytoplasm with NS1 in about 80-95% of cells at 24

hpi. PNKP signal started to be depleted from the nucleus at 3 h post infection, with a

gradual increase in cytoplasmic staining observed from 6 hpi. PNKP colocalization with

NS1 was obvious as early as ZIKV replication factories were detected at 12 hpi,

although it was detectable as soon as 9 hpi in some infected cells staining positive for

NS1 (**Fig. 2C**).

Co-localization of PNKP and NS1 was not unique to neural progenitor cells.

Cytoplasmic PNKP accumulation was also detected in three non-neural cell lines

permissive to ZIKV replication, U-2 OS, Vero, and HEK293 (**Fig. 2B**). Notably, however,

the nuclear morphology in these non-neural progenitor cells was much closer to that of

their non-infected counterparts. PNKP localization to ZIKV RFs was detected using

three different ZIKV-specific antibodies recognizing ZIKV NS1 or gE, or flavivirus group

E antigen (**Fig. 2B**).

PNKP relocalization was not unique to the contemporary ZIKV strain R103451

(Honduras/2016/human placenta). Cytoplasmic PNKP and abnormal nuclear

morphology were equally induced in neuronal progenitor cells by historical ZIKV strain

IbH-30656 (Nigeria/1968) (**Fig. 2D**).

ZIKV-induced PNKP relocalization is independent of XRCC1 or XRCC4. PNKP is

recruited to nuclear DNA damage sites by interactions with the DDR scaffold proteins

XRCC1 or XRCC4 (75-77). Depending on the type of DNA damage, XRCC1 (single

strand breaks) or XRCC4 (double strand breaks) organize multiprotein complexes at

DNA lesions to concentrate DNA repair enzymes, including PNKP, DNA ligases, and

polymerases, along with multiple regulatory and signaling proteins. We thus asked

whether PNKP was recruited to the cytoplasm by XRCC1 or XRCC4. XRCC1 and

XRCC4 are normally nuclear and both remained nuclear in ZIKV-infected hiNPC. There

was no co-localization of either with NS1 (PCC 0.13-0.04) (**Fig. 2E**). XRCC1 or XRCC4

therefore do not account for the cytoplasmic PNKP accumulation.

ZIKV infected hiNPC accumulate DNA damage. Downregulation or inhibition of PNKP

sensitizes cells to genotoxic stress and results in accumulation of unrepaired DNA (45-

47, 66, 67, 70, 71). Cytoplasmic PNKP sequestration in ZIKV infection may result in

depletion of functional nuclear PNKP, with the consequent accumulation of DNA

damage. We used γH2AX staining to test for DNA damage. H_2O_2 treatment of

uninfected cells induced the expected canonical, bright, well defined, and isolated

nuclear γH2AX foci, detectable at 10 min post treatment (**Fig. 3A, 3C** and **suppl. Fig.**

1A, 1B). Infected cell nuclei stained positive for γH2AX at 24 hours after infection (**Fig.**

3A). Some baseline level of γH2AX staining was detected also in mock-infected cells or

at time zero after ZIKV infection, but the nuclear γH2AX foci started to obviously

increase in number, size, and brightness above baseline after infection (**Suppl. Fig.**

1A), and most ZIKV-infected cells had strong nuclear γH2AX signal at 32 and 48 h.

Thus, ZIKV infection induces DNA damage that continues to accumulate during

infection.

PNKP is not phosphorylated at the DNA damage sites in ZIKV-infected cells. In response to DNA damage signaling, PNKP is recruited to the DNA breaks where it is phosphorylated by ATM. Phosphorylation allows for the accumulation of PNKP at the DNA breaks, accumulation which is necessary for efficient repair (73, 78, 79). ZIKV-induced accumulation of DNA damage could be the consequence of nonfunctional repair mechanisms due to the cytoplasmic PNKP localization (**Fig. 2A**). However, cytoplasmic PNKP could still be recruited to the nucleus, or a small population of PNKP may remain in the nucleus and be activated. We tested PNKP phosphorylation using phospho-specific PNKP antibodies (Ser114). pPNKP accumulated in scattered nuclear foci in uninfected cells treated with H_2O_2 (**Fig. 3B, C**), as expected. Also as expected, H_2O_2-induced PNKP foci were detected at 30 min post treatment and colocalized with γH2AX foci (**Fig. 3C** and **suppl. Fig. 1B**). In contrast, there was no detectable p-PNKP signal in ZIKV-infected cells (**Fig. 3B, Suppl. Fig. 3C**). No pPNKP signal was detected at any time during ZIKV infection **(Suppl. Fig. 3C).**

ZIKV infection of hiNPC induces obvious gross mitotic abnormalities. Throughout all experiments (**Fig. 2A, B, C; 3A, B; Suppl. Fig. 1A, C**), we had noted that ZIKV infection consistently induced multilobed nuclei, micronuclei, and chromosomal bridges in hiNPC but not in other cells (**Fig. 2D, 4A**). These defects are all consistent with mitotic abnormalities. We analyzed the relative frequency of the putative mitotic aberrations in hiNPC or U-2 OS. Grossly abnormal nuclear morphologies were more frequent, and more severe, in infected hiNPC than U-2 OS (**Fig. 4A, B**), Vero, or HEK293 cells (**Fig. 2D**). These abnormal nuclei did not show the typical features of apoptosis; they rather resembled the nuclear morphology of cells undergoing mitotic

catastrophe (MC) (80, 81). The morphological hallmarks of MC are micro and multi-

nucleation with the nuclear envelope assembled around individual clusters of mis-

segregated chromosomes (81, 82). Micro and multi-nucleation were both common

features among ZIKV-infected hiNPC (**Fig. 4D**), and lamin B1 staining revealed that the

individual nuclear lobules and micronuclei were indeed surrounded by nuclear

envelopes (**Fig. 4D**). ZIKV induced obvious gross nuclear abnormalities in hiNPC from

12 hpi (**Fig. 4E**), and the nuclei of infected hiNPC were globally and grossly abnormal,

and DNA was largely no longer replicating, at 24 hpi (**Fig. 4F**).

ZIKV infected human neural progenitors undergo mitotic catastrophe. MC results

from unscheduled mitotic entry in the presence of DNA damage or unfinished DNA

replication with the consequent incomplete decatenation. As a result, chromosomes

cannot be properly segregated, and pulling by the mitotic spindle results in DNA

damage and chromosomal bridges, and consequent mis-segregation of chromosomes.

The end results are abnormal multi-lobular nuclei. As a consequence of the resulting

gross genomic defects, cells die at mitosis or at subsequent cell cycles. If ZIKV-induced

nuclear abnormalities are indicative of MC, then they should be prevented by blocking

mitosis. To test for this possibility, we used roscovitine (Rosco), which inhibits mitosis by

inhibiting CDK1, and also CDK2 (it also inhibits other protein kinases not involved in

mitosis like CDK5) (83, 84). At 100 μM (85-87), Rosco inhibited hiNPC cell doubling,

which results from inhibition of mitosis, without decreasing viability (**Fig. 5A**). ZIKV-

infected cells treated with DMSO vehicle showed morphological features characteristic

of MC, as previously observed (**Fig. 2A, Fig. 3A, B, Fig. 4C, D**), whereas Rosco-treated

infected cells, did not (**Fig. 5B**). The nuclear morphology of infected cells treated with

Rosco was closer to that of mock infected cells than to that of the untreated infected

ones, with far fewer cells presenting multilobular nuclei (MLN) or chromosomal bridges

(CHB) (**Fig. 5C**). The frequency of MLN in infected cells decreased from about 60-70%

to 10-15%, and that of CHB from 35-45% to about 5%. Mock-infected cells had less

than 10% MLN or less than 1% CHB regardless of treatment. Inhibiting cell duplication

with an inhibitor of CDK1 (and also of other protein kinases like CDK2, CDK5 and

CDK7) thus prevents the abnormal nuclear morphology induced by ZIKV. Unexpectedly,

no ZIKV RF were obvious in Rosco-treated cells, as evidenced by the lack of clusters of

cytoplasmic NS1 signal (**Fig. 5B**, lower panel). NS1 accumulation was delayed by some

6 hours by Rosco, in that there was about as much NS1 at 24 hpi in the treated cells as

at 18 hpi in the untreated ones (**Fig. 5D**).

Roscovitine inhibits ZIKV replication. Given the lack of obvious NS1 positive ZIKV

RF (**Fig. 5B**) and delayed NS1 accumulation (**Fig. 5D**) in Rosco-treated infected cells,

we tested the effect of Rosco added at selected times after infection on ZIKV

replication.

Cells were infected with 3 PFU of ZIKV/cell. After 1 h adsorption, the inoculum was

removed and monolayers were washed and overlaid with drug-free medium or medium

containing 100 μM Rosco. The drug-free medium from replicate infections was replaced

every 3 h with medium containing 0 or 100 μM Rosco. The infectivity released to the

culture medium by Rosco- or vehicle-treated cells was sampled every 3 h from the time

of compound or vehicle addition until 24 hpi. The results are expressed as virus burst

from the time of Rosco addition to 24 hpi and presented as percentage of vehicle-

treated controls. Addition of Rosco immediately after adsorption resulted in about 99.7%

inhibition of ZIKV replication (**Fig. 5E**). The addition of Rosco at 3-6 h allowed only for

low levels of replication, about 5-6% of those in untreated infections. Even when Rosco

was added at 9, 12 or 15 hpi, ZIKV replicated to only 12, 19 and 18% of the levels in

untreated infections, respectively. Thus, Rosco inhibits ZIKV replication even when

added at 15 hours after infection, and with similar potency as it inhibits the nuclear

replicating DNA viruses HSV-1, HCMV, EBV, or VZV (85, 88-92).

ZIKV induces cytoplasmic CDK1 accumulation. Membrane limited cytoplasmic

organelles undergo rapid morphological changes in size and shape as cells enter

mitosis, fragmenting and dispersing to enable their correct inheritance between the two

daughter cells (reviewed in (93)). Following the nuclear pore complex (hiNPC)

disassembly at prophase, nuclear envelope membrane proteins are released into the

mitotic endoplasmic reticulum (ER), which is remodeled from predominantly sheets in

the interphase into predominantly tubules or cisternae in mitosis (94, 95). This entire

process is initiated by the cytoplasmic activity of mitotic CDK1.

ZIKV induces a large remodeling of the ER to assemble cytoplasmic RF. ZIKV-

remodeled ER membranes consist of mostly tubules and cisternae, globally like the

mitotic ER, and Rosco, which inhibits mitosis by mostly inhibiting CDK1, and also

CDK2, inhibited the formation of the RF (**Fig. 5B)**. RF assembly may thus require CDK1

activity. We therefore asked whether ZIKV might recruit CDK1 to induce the ER

membrane rearrangements required for RF assembly.

CDK1 localization varies during the cell cycle. In uninfected cells, CDK1 was dispersed

throughout the cytoplasm and nucleus during interphase and started to accumulate at

the nuclear periphery and centrosomes at the beginning of prophase, as expected (96).

Later in mitosis, CDK1 localized around condensed chromosomes (**Fig. 6A**). In ZIKV-

infected cells however, there was a cytoplasmic accumulation of CDK1 (**Fig. 6C,** upper

panel) starting at 3 hours after infection, independent of any mitotic changes in nuclear

morphology (**Fig. 6E**). CDK1 signal was enriched around NS1, but CDK1 and NS1

signals did not fully colocalize (PCC 0.62, **Fig. 6C,** upper panel, **D, E**) and were rather

intermixed, in contrast to the co-localization between PNKP and NS1 (**Fig. 6D**).

ZIKV induces accumulation of cytoplasmic cyclin A. CDK1 activity is highly

regulated at multiple levels. Binding of cyclin A or B, cellular localization of the

cyclin/CDK1 holoenzymes, several activating and inhibitory phosphorylations, and

binding by specific inhibitors, all regulate CDK1 activity to prevent unscheduled mitotic

entry and ensure successful exit from mitosis. ZIKV infection induced MC and abnormal

cytoplasmic accumulation of CDK1. We thus asked whether either of its activating

cyclins had similar localization and could thus activate CDK1.

Two families of mitotic cyclins, A and B, associate with CDK1 to promote mitotic entry

(reviewed in (54)). CDK1 tends to associate preferentially with CycA in early G2 and

with CycB later. We first evaluated CycB. In uninfected cells, CycB was first detected as

a dispersed cytoplasmic signal. At early prophase, it started to accumulate at the

nuclear periphery and at the centrosomes. Later, CycB localized around condensed

chromosomes and to the mitotic spindle. CycB signal was lost in anaphase, in

agreement with its regulated degradation initiated by the activation of the anaphase

promoting complex (APC) after the spindle assembly checkpoint is satisfied (**Suppl.**

Fig. 2A). CycB localization in ZIKV-infected cells was unremarkable and

indistinguishable from its localization in uninfected cells (**Suppl. Fig. 2B**). CycB

accumulated and degraded through the cell cycle similarly in mock- or ZIKV-infected cells.

We next evaluated CycA. In mock infected hiNPC, CycA localized predominantly to the nucleus with dispersed cytoplasmic staining, as expected (96) (**Fig. 6B**). CycA signal was lost in late metaphase, in agreement with its known degradation initiated by APC. Starting 3h after ZIKV infection, however, infected cells started to display abnormally localized cytoplasmic CycA, often in the absence of any obvious nuclear signal (**Fig. 6C, F**). The number of cells showing this abnormal localization increased with time, and all ZIKV-infected cells had abnormal cytoplasmic CycA at 24 hpi, when CycA was particularly enriched around NS1. Similarly to CDK1, CycA and NS1 signals were intermixed (PCC, 0.58, **Fig. 6C)** rather than fully colocalized as those of PNKP and NS1 (**Fig. 6D**). All ZIKV-infected cells expressed detectable levels of CycA at 24 h post infection, as opposed to the expected degradation in a large subset of mock-infected cells (**Fig. 6F**). Our results thus indicate that ZIKV infection induces cytoplasmic accumulation of CycA and CDK1.

ZIKV induces unscheduled activation of CycA/CDK1. ZIKV induced accumulation of cytoplasmic CycA and CDK1, presumably to trigger ER membrane rearrangements, correlated with gross mitotic abnormalities, and Rosco, which inhibits CDK1 inhibited the formation of RF. We thus asked whether the abnormal accumulation of CycA and CDK1 during ZIKV infection resulted in active CDK1 complexes at the sites of RF assembly.

We evaluated the kinase activity of CDK1 or CycA immunoprecipitates from 3 to 24 hpi. CDK1 activity increased in ZIKV infected hiNPC cells from the time of infection.

Following an initial steady-state increase for up to 12 hpi, there was an exponential

increase in CDK1 activity, reaching on average about 5-fold higher than in non-infected

cells at 21 hpi (**Fig. 7A**). CDK1 kinase activity did not change much in asynchronous

control cells in the 24 h, as expected (**Fig. 7A,** insert). Similarly, CycA antibody co-

immunoprecipitated kinase activity was elevated in ZIKV-infected cells, to about 2-fold

over control cells at 21 hpi, whereas there were no major changes in non-infected cells

(**Fig. 7A**). Our results indicate that ZIKV induces unscheduled activation of cytoplasmic

CycA/CDK1 activity, activation that continuously increases until 21-24 h post ZIKV

infection.

We next evaluated CycA and CDK1 levels through infection. CDK1 is constitutively

expressed, and its level is constant during the cell cycle. CycA expression is tightly

regulated and synchronized with cell cycle progression. Its accumulation begins in late

G1, peaks in mid-S phase and declines in early mitosis (52, 53). In populations of

unsynchronized uninfected cells, however, the average levels of CycA across the cell

population are approximately constant, as different cells progress through the cell cycle

at different times. No major differences in total CycA or CDK1 accumulation in the

populations of ZIKV- infected cells were observed, and their levels were as constant as

expected (**Fig. 7B**). Therefore, the elevated activity of CycA/CDK1 was not related to

increased expression levels.

The elevated levels of CycA/CDK1 complexes in the cytoplasm thus result in increased

CycA/CDK1 activity in infected cells. However, this elevated CycA/CDK1 activity is

surprising in consideration of the abundant DNA damage (**Fig. 3A, Suppl. Fig. 1A**). We

thus evaluated checkpoint activation.

DNA damage-activated checkpoints are defective in ZIKV infection. As CDK1 was active in the presence of DNA damage, we tested checkpoint activation. The G2/M checkpoint inhibits CDK1 activity to prevent mitotic progression in the presence of damaged or incompletely replicated DNA. The unscheduled activation of CycA/CDK1 in the presence of DNA damage in ZIKV infection suggested dysfunctional checkpoint signaling. DNA damage activates the ATM and the ATR kinases, which in turn phosphorylate checkpoint kinases Chk1 and Chk2 to stop cell cycle progression (reviewed in (97)).

To test checkpoint signaling, we analyzed the activation of ATM, ATR, Chk1, and Chk2 with phospho-specific antibodies recognizing their activated phosphorylated forms (ATM, pS1981; ATR, pT1989; CHK1, pS345; CHK2, pT68). As expected, UV irradiation at 100 J/m^2 resulted in the accumulation of the DNA damage marker γH2AX and activation of the damage sensing kinases ATM and ATR and checkpoint kinases Chk1 and Chk2, as detected by the presence of their specific phosphorylated forms at 1 h post irradiation (**Fig. 8**). There was also an accumulation of total γH2AX in ZIKV-infected cells, most obvious at 21 and 24 hpi. Unlike in UV-treated cells however, there was no obvious ATM activation in infected cells. The background levels of ATR activation in NPC were relatively high but did not differ between infected and mock-infected cells. This basal ATR activation in NPC likely results from their innate replication stress (98). As expected from the lack of activation of ATM or ATR, there was no downstream activation of Chk1 or Chk2 in ZIKV infected hiNPC either.

In summary, ZIKV-infected cells failed to activate the cell cycle checkpoints despite the presence of DNA damage, CDK1 was thus activated and triggered mitosis in the

presence of DNA damage, which remained unrepaired because of the functional PNKP

depletion, thus resulting in MC.

Discussion

Congenital ZIKV infections preferentially target fetal neural progenitor cells. Infection of

these cells results in a series of neurodevelopmental abnormalities of which the most

serious is microcephaly. In the present study, we show a link between ZIKV infection

and the DNA damage repair enzyme PNKP, which is itself also linked to microcephaly.

PNKP translocated to cytoplasmic clusters co-localizing with NS1, which is a marker of

ZIKV RF. Two PNKP phosphatase inhibitors, A83B4C63 and A12B4C3, inhibited ZIKV

replication in a dose-dependent manner and PNKP knockout HCT 116 cells were not

permissive for ZIKV replication. ZIKV-infected human neural progenitors accumulated

DNA damage but failed to activate the DNA damage checkpoint kinases Chk1 and

Chk2 and CDK1 activity increased. Consequently, the cells underwent defective

mitoses, producing grossly abnormal nuclear morphologies consistent with the

phenotype of MC **Fig. 9)**. ZIKV infection also induced cytoplasmic accumulation and

activation of CycA/CDK1 complexes. Inhibition of cell division with Rosco, which inhibits

CDK1 and CDK2, as well as other protein kinases not involved in mitosis like CDK5,

inhibited the formation of ZIKV RFs and the production of ZIKV infectivity. Being an ATP

competitor, the concentration of Rosco required to inhibit CDK is dependent on that of

ATP. It has been shown that although 3 µM suffices to inhibit CDK at very low ATP

concentrations, 100 µM is actually required to inhibit CDK at physiological ATP

concentrations (about 0.5 µM or higher) (87). The well characterized specificity of Rosco

against the human kinome has been described and discussed extensively many times

before (83-87). Unscheduled activation of CycA/CDK1 and checkpoint failure thus lead

to premature mitotic entry of infected cells in the presence of damaged or incompletely

replicated DNA, which in turn lead to MC. It remains unclear at this time why nuclear

depletion or cytoplasmic accumulation of PNKP would be required during the replication

cycle of ZIKV, as are the mechanisms of PNKP recruitment to the RF, or of CycA/CDK1

to their proximity. It is also unclear why PNKP would participate in the replication of a

cytoplasmic RNA virus, although it has a role in the replication of some nuclear DNA

viruses (99, 100). We are in the process of addressing these yet outstanding issues.

The PNKP gene (MIM605610, locus 19q13.33) was identified in 1999 (34, 35). It

encodes a polynucleotide 5'-kinase 3′-phosphatase involved in DNA damage repair.

PNKP is recruited to the sites of DNA damage to repair DNA breaks with so-called 'dirty'

3'-phosphate (3'-PO) and 5'-hydroxyl (5'-OH) termini. Restoring canonical DNA termini

by the 5'-kinase and 3'-phosphatase enzymatic activities of PNKP is essential to repair

DNA breaks bearing such 'dirty' termini. PNKP deficiencies hamper single and double

strand break repair (44, 46, 47), increasing the frequency of spontaneous mutations and

sensitizing cells to genotoxic stress (45). PNKP deficient cells accumulate DNA damage

and chromosomal lesions, producing mitotic abnormalities including micronuclei and

chromosomal bridges (44, 47).

Some PNKP genetic variants are associated with rare (less than 50 patients worldwide)

autosomal recessive neurological disorders, presenting with neurodevelopmental or

neurodegenerative symptoms. The most severe of these disorders produces early onset

primary microcephaly with seizures and developmental delay (MCSZ, MIM 613402),

also known as developmental and epileptic encephalopathy-10, DEE10) (31, 101). It is

not entirely clear how different PNKP mutations contribute to either neurodevelopmental

or neurodegenerative pathologies, but recent data indicate that residual levels of

phosphatase or kinase activity may play a role. In patient-isolated fibroblasts, reduced

phosphatase activity of PNKP mutants and inability to repair 3'-PO single stranded DNA

breaks correlated with neurodevelopmental disorders (MCSZ), whereas reduced kinase

activity in the context of functional phosphatase correlated with milder

neurodegenerative pathologies (44).

Two PNKP phosphatase inhibitors, A83B4C63 and A12B4C3, inhibit ZIKV replication

(**Fig. 1A, B**), at concentrations in the range of those required to inhibit other cellular

outcomes of PNKP activity (1-50 μM - (66-68, 70-72) (**Fig. 1C**). Furthermore, PNKP

knockout HCT 116 cells were not permissive for ZIKV replication, indicating that PNKP

plays an important role in ZIKV replication. However, PNKP normally localizes to the

nucleus and mitochondria (74, 102) and ZIKV replicates in cytoplasmic ER-derived RF

(22, 24, 25). Surprisingly, PNKP clustered in the cytoplasm in ZIKV-infected cells co-

localizing with NS1, a marker of RF (**Fig. 2A, B, and C**). As ZIKV infection did not affect

total PNKP levels (**Fig.1E**), PNKP re-localizes to these cytoplasmic clusters from the

nucleus, and possibly mitochondria. The resulting depletion of phosphorylated nuclear

PNKP (**Fig. 3B, Suppl. Fig. 1C**) likely results in functional enzyme deficiency and the

consequent accumulation of unrepaired DNA breaks (**Fig. 3A, Suppl. Fig. 1A**). A

recently identified PNKP mutation in an individual with MCSZ (P101L) results in a novel

nuclear export signal and consequently cytoplasmic PNKP localization (Jiang et al.,

unpublished data). Nuclear PNKP depletion, like the one induced by ZIKV, may

therefore be sufficient to induce neurodevelopmental defects and microcephaly.

Following genotoxic stress, the DNA damage-activated ATM kinase phosphorylates

PNKP at Ser114 to prevent PNKP proteasomal degradation, thus allowing efficient

recruitment and accumulation of PNKP at the damage sites (73, 78, 79). Although ZIKV-

infected cells accumulated DNA damage (**Fig. 3A, Fig. 6, Suppl. Fig. 1A**), there were

no phosphorylated PNKP foci (**Fig. 3B, Suppl. Fig. 1C**). PNKP was thus not efficiently

activated at DNA damage sites. PNKP is recruited to DNA breaks by direct interaction

with the DDR scaffolding proteins, X-ray repair cross complementing protein 1

(XRCC1), or 4 (XRCC4) (76, 77, 103). PNKP could thus have been recruited to the viral

RF by either. However, ZIKV-induced cytoplasmic PNKP localization was independent

of XRCC1 or XRCC4, which both remained nuclear (**Fig. 2E**).

DNA damage, mitotic aberrations, accumulation of chromosomal abnormalities, and

death of progeny cells after mitosis have all been reported in ZIKV-infected cells (104-

110). Based on the analysis of the abundant and grossly abnormal nuclear

morphologies in ZIKV-infected neural progenitors, seen by us (**Fig. 2A, 3A, 4C, D**) and

others (104-106), we propose that infected NPC undergo MC. MC has been defined by

"the nomenclature committee on cell death" as a "regulated oncosuppressive

mechanism that impedes the proliferation or survival of cells unable to complete mitosis

due to extensive DNA damage, problems with mitotic machinery, or failure of mitotic

checkpoints" (111). Cells undergoing MC die at mitosis, slip out of mitosis and enter

senescence, or proceed to interphase and die at subsequent cell cycles (82). MC is

defined morphologically by distinct nuclear changes, including micronucleation

(presence of micronuclei), multinucleation (presence of multilobular nuclei surrounded

by nuclear envelope) or macronucleation (two or more nuclei collapsed into one and

surrounded by nuclear envelope) (81). All the above MC phenotypes were present in

ZIKV-infected NPC (**Fig. 2A; 3A, B; 4C, D**) and absent when mitosis was inhibited with

Rosco (**Fig. 5B, E**).

Damaged DNA causes chromosome segregation failure during mitosis and subsequent

defects in mitotic exit, due to abnormal, broken, linked, or entangled chromosomes.

Experimental PNKP depletion produced mitotic abnormalities in cultured astrocytes

(47). However, micronuclei and chromosomal bridges, indicative of chromosome

segregation failure, were detected only in PNKP knockout and also irradiated cells,

despite the presence of basal levels of DNA damage in the untreated cells. We and

others have observed DNA damage, mitotic abnormalities (104-110) and MC during

ZIKV infection in the absence of any exogenous genotoxic stress. ZIKV-induced MC

would thus require abnormal mitotic entry in the presence of the damaged DNA. Here

we show that ZIKV infection induced activation of cytoplasmic CycA/CDK1 (**Fig. 7A**),

triggering unscheduled mitotic entry even in the presence of DNA damage, thus leading

to MC. The activity of CDK1 was elevated throughout the infection, which prevents

mitotic exit, resulting in MC.

Premature mitosis is deleterious and therefore entry into mitosis is highly regulated.

DNA damage-regulated checkpoints respond to DDR signaling by arresting cells at the

G2-M and G1-S transitions to allow DNA repair or induce regulated cell death or

senescence if the damage is beyond repair (recently reviewed in (97)). The main signal

transducers linking DNA damage responses to checkpoint effectors are the protein

serine/threonine kinases ATM and ATR. Activated ATM and ATR initiate signaling to

trigger the multiple pathways of DNA repair and activate the checkpoint kinases Chk1

and Chk2 to induce temporary cell cycle arrest at G1-S or G2-M. To this end, Chk1 and

Chk2 inactivate the CDC25 family phosphatases, thus inhibiting mitotic cyclin/CDK1 and

preventing mitotic entry (112).

Elevated CDK1 activity in the presence of DNA damage thus also indicates checkpoint

failure. Indeed, ZIKV-infected cells failed to efficiently activate the checkpoint kinases

Chk1 and Chk2, although they had about as much DNA damage (based on γH2AX

levels) as uninfected cells treated with UV (**Fig. 8**). Defective ATR/Chk1 checkpoint has

been reported previously in ZIKV infection in human NPC (110), while the ATM/Chk2

checkpoint was reported active, but only at 48 h post infection. In our studies, MC was

induced between 12-15 h after infection and most of the infected cells presented a well-

defined MC phenotype at 24 h, before the previously reported ATM and Chk2 activating

phosphorylation. We analyzed checkpoint activation at early times, from 3 to 24 h post

infection, which would be relevant for MC at 24 h. There was no activation of Chk1 or

Chk2 in ZIKV infected hiNPC as compared to their levels of phosphorylation induced by

UV irradiation (**Fig. 8**) despite the presence of abundant γH2AX staining in ZIKV

infected hiNPC (**Fig. 3A, Suppl. Fig. 1A, Fig. 8**), and CDK1 was active (**Fig. 7A**),

consistently with the lack of Chk1/2 activation during ongoing mitosis (113, 114).

The cytoplasmic CycA localization detected in ZIKV-infected hiNPC (**Fig. 6C, F**) is not

entirely unusual. CycA localizes to the cytoplasm at the S/G2 transition where it initiates

the activation of Plk1 through the phosphorylation of the cytoplasmic cofactor Bora

(115). Plk1 activation in late G2 sets the commitment to mitosis (116). CDK1 and CycA

accumulated in the cytoplasm of ZIKV-infected cells adjacent to ZIKV RF and all ZIKV-

infected cells were positive for cytoplasmic CycA at 24 hours after infection (**Fig. 6C, F**).

Thus, the unscheduled mitotic entry of ZIKV-infected hiNPC is likely triggered by this

cytoplasmic accumulation of active CycA/CDK1 complexes.

Inhibition of cell duplication by inhibition of CDK1, CDK2 (and other kinases not involved

in mitosis) with Rosco inhibited the formation of ZIKV RF (**Fig. 5B**), and the consequent

production of cell-free infectious ZIKV virions (**Fig. 5E**). ZIKV RF are derived from

modified rough ER (RER) membranes (22, 24-26). The morphology of ZIKV RF is

predominantly tubular or cisternal, while the RER has predominantly sheet morphology,

with the exception of the peripheral RER membranes that form the tubular networks.

The ER undergoes sheet to tubule transformation during mitosis to enable the organelle

partitioning to the daughter cells during cytokinesis (94, 95). CycA is involved in the

reorganization of the ER network during mitosis in the *Drosophila* embryo (117) and in

nuclear envelope breakdown, an event that initiates the ER rearrangement, in HeLa

cells (118). We propose that ZIKV likely induces the activation and cytoplasmic

accumulation of CycA/CDK1 to induce morphological changes to the ER membranes to

facilitate the formation of the viral RFs. Curiously, CDKs continue to be shown to play

important roles in the replication of RNA viruses whose replication requires no cell cycle

progression (119).

Of the 25 genes linked to human autosomal recessive primary microcephaly (MCPH),

22 encode mitotic regulators (120). Mutations in the *MCPH2* gene, encoding the

centrosomal protein Wdr62 linked to MCPH, delay mitotic progression due to spindle

instability leading to spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) activation, resulting in mitotic

arrest and cell death (121). Mitotic delay in murine NPC, either by pharmacological

intervention or in transgenic mouse models, results in premature differentiation or death

of NPC and produces microcephaly in transgenic animals (122-124). Mitotic

abnormalities in ZIKV-infected cells, including supernumerary centriole, multipolar

spindle and chromosomal abnormalities, have been documented previously (104-106,

125). Here, we show that ZIKV infection caused the activation of cytoplasmic

CycA/CDK1 and unscheduled mitotic entry in the presence of DNA breaks, which

accumulated in infected cells due to the cytoplasmic localization of PNKP, leading to

grossly abnormal mitoses culminating in MC.

Previous studies have proposed several alternative molecular mechanisms underlying

ZIKV-induced microcephaly. The functions of several mitosis-associated proteins,

CEP152 and pericentrin (centrosomal), CENPJ (centromere) and TBK1 kinase

(centrosomal) are affected during ZIKV infection, leading to mitotic defects and

premature differentiation or cell death (104, 125). Microcephaly-linked RNA binding

protein musashi-1 (MSI1) has been proposed as a host factor promoting ZIKV

replication (126). MSI1 binding to viral RNA limited binding to its endogenous targets,

thus affecting the expression of proteins playing important roles in NPC function. ZIKV

infection was also shown to inhibit the AKT-mTOR signaling pathway, which plays

essential roles in brain development and autophagy, leading to defective neurogenesis

(127). ZIKV NS4A also targets another microcephaly-related protein ANKLE-2 and thus

disrupts neurogenic asymmetric cell division in neural stem cells leading to

microcephaly in a drosophila model (128). Mounting evidence also supports a role for

the immune responses in the fetal neuropathology of ZIKV infection (129-134).

Congenital Zika virus syndrome is thus most likely a result of a combination of several

mechanisms and systemic processes, which we are only beginning to understand.

Similar to defects in mitotic genes, genetic defects affecting DNA damage responses

often associate with neurodevelopmental defects presenting with microcephaly (135).

We propose that the functional PNKP deficiency and dysregulation of CycA/CDK1

mitotic activity during ZIKV infection of neural progenitors result in MC, contributing to

the molecular mechanism underlying neurodevelopmental pathologies of congenital

ZIKV infections.

References

1.   Anonymous. Pan American Health Organization / World Health Organization.
Zika suspected and confirmed cases reported by countries and territories in the
Americas Cumulative cases, 2015-2017. Updated as of 04 January 2018.
Washington, D.C.:PAHO/WHO; 2017. Accessed

2.   Dick GWA, Kitchen SF, Haddow AJ. 1952. Zika Virus (I). Isolations and
serological specificity. Transactions of The Royal Society of Tropical Medicine
and Hygiene 46:509-520.

3.   Freitas DA, Souza-Santos R, Carvalho LMA, Barros WB, Neves LM, Brasil P,
Wakimoto MD. 2020. Congenital Zika syndrome: A systematic review. PLOS
ONE 15:e0242367.

4.   Pereira HVFS, Dos Santos SP, Amâncio APRL, De Oliveira-Szejnfeld PS, Flor
EO, De Sales Tavares J, Ferreira RVB, Tovar-Moll F, De Amorim MMR, Melo A.
2020. Neurological outcomes of congenital Zika syndrome in toddlers and
preschoolers: a case series. The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health 4:378-387.

5.   Platt DJ, Miner JJ. 2017. Consequences of congenital Zika virus infection.
Current Opinion in Virology 27:1-7.

6.   Mead PS, Hills SL, Brooks JT. 2018. Zika virus as a sexually transmitted
pathogen. Current Opinion in Infectious Diseases 31.

7.   Foy BD, Kobylinski KC, Foy JLC, Blitvich BJ, Travassos Da Rosa A, Haddow AD,
Lanciotti RS, Tesh RB. 2011. Probable Non–Vector-borne Transmission of Zika
Virus, Colorado, USA. Emerging Infectious Diseases 17:880-882.

8.   Sakkas H, Bozidis P, Giannakopoulos X, Sofikitis N, Papadopoulou C. 2018. An
Update on Sexual Transmission of Zika Virus. Pathogens 7:66.

9.   Calvet G, Aguiar RS, Melo ASO, Sampaio SA, De Filippis I, Fabri A, Araujo ESM,
De Sequeira PC, De Mendonça MCL, De Oliveira L, Tschoeke DA, Schrago CG,
Thompson FL, Brasil P, Dos Santos FB, Nogueira RMR, Tanuri A, De Filippis
AMB. 2016. Detection and sequencing of Zika virus from amniotic fluid of fetuses
with microcephaly in Brazil: a case study. The Lancet Infectious Diseases
16:653-660.

10.   Rubin EJ, Greene MF, Baden LR. 2016. Zika Virus and Microcephaly. New

England Journal of Medicine 374:984-985.

11.   Martines RB, Bhatnagar J, De Oliveira Ramos AM, Davi HPF, Iglezias SDA,
Kanamura CT, Keating MK, Hale G, Silva-Flannery L, Muehlenbachs A, Ritter J,
Gary J, Rollin D, Goldsmith CS, Reagan-Steiner S, Ermias Y, Suzuki T, Luz KG,
De Oliveira WK, Lanciotti R, Lambert A, Shieh W-J, Zaki SR. 2016. Pathology of
congenital Zika syndrome in Brazil: a case series. The Lancet 388:898-904.

12.   Oliveira Melo AS, Malinger G, Ximenes R, Szejnfeld PO, Alves Sampaio S, Bispo
De Filippis AM. 2016. Zika virus intrauterine infection causes fetal brain
abnormality and microcephaly: tip of the iceberg? Ultrasound in Obstetrics &
Gynecology 47:6-7.

13.   Brasil P, Vasconcelos Z, Kerin T, Gabaglia CR, Ribeiro IP, Bonaldo MC,
Damasceno L, Pone MV, Pone S, Zin A, Tsui I, Adachi K, Pereira JP, Gaw SL,
Carvalho L, Cunha DC, Guida L, Rocha M, Cherry JD, Wang L, Aliyari S, Cheng
G, Foo S-S, Chen W, Jung J, Brickley E, Moreira MEL, Nielsen-Saines K. 2020.
Zika virus vertical transmission in children with confirmed antenatal exposure.
Nature Communications 11.

14.   Ades AE, Soriano-Arandes A, Alarcon A, Bonfante F, Thorne C, Peckham CS,
Giaquinto C. 2021. Vertical transmission of Zika virus and its outcomes: a
Bayesian synthesis of prospective studies. The Lancet Infectious Diseases
21:537-545.

15.   Christian KM, Song H, Ming GL. 2019. Pathophysiology and Mechanisms of Zika
Virus Infection in the Nervous System. Annu Rev Neurosci 42:249-269.

16.   Xu D, Li C, Qin C-F, Xu Z. 2019. Update on the Animal Models and Underlying
Mechanisms for ZIKV-Induced Microcephaly. Annual Review of Virology 6:459-
479.

17.   Barkovich AJ, Dobyns WB, Guerrini R. 2015. Malformations of Cortical
Development and Epilepsy. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine
5:a022392-a022392.

18.   Wen Z, Song H, Ming GL. 2017. How does Zika virus cause microcephaly?
Genes Dev 31:849-861.

19.   Kuno G, Chang GJJ. 2007. Full-length sequencing and genomic characterization

of Bagaza, Kedougou, and Zika viruses. Archives of Virology 152:687-696.

20. Hou W, Cruz-Cosme R, Armstrong N, Obwolo LA, Wen F, Hu W, Luo M-H, Tang
Q. 2017. Molecular cloning and characterization of the genes encoding the
proteins of Zika virus. Gene 628:117-128.

21. Wang A, Thurmond S, Islas L, Hui K, Hai R. 2017. Zika virus genome biology
and molecular pathogenesis. Emerging Microbes & Infections 6:1-6.

22. Mohd Ropidi MI, Khazali AS, Nor Rashid N, Yusof R. 2020. Endoplasmic
reticulum: a focal point of Zika virus infection. Journal of Biomedical Science 27.

23. Rossignol ED, Peters KN, Connor JH, Bullitt E. 2017. Zika virus induced cellular
remodelling. Cellular Microbiology 19:e12740.

24. Caldas LA, Azevedo RC, Da Silva JL, De Souza W. 2020. Microscopy analysis of
Zika virus morphogenesis in mammalian cells. Scientific Reports 10.

25. Ci Y, Liu Z-Y, Zhang N-N, Niu Y, Yang Y, Xu C, Yang W, Qin C-F, Shi L. 2020.
Zika NS1–induced ER remodeling is essential for viral replication. Journal of Cell
Biology 219.

26. Cortese M, Goellner S, Acosta EG, Neufeldt CJ, Oleksiuk O, Lampe M,
Haselmann U, Funaya C, Schieber N, Ronchi P, Schorb M, Pruunsild P, Schwab
Y, Chatel-Chaix L, Ruggieri A, Bartenschlager R. 2017. Ultrastructural
Characterization of Zika Virus Replication Factories. Cell Reports 18:2113-2123.

27. Neufeldt CJ, Cortese M, Acosta EG, Bartenschlager R. 2018. Rewiring cellular
networks by members of the Flaviviridae family. Nature Reviews Microbiology
16:125-142.

28. Ci Y, Shi L. 2021. Compartmentalized replication organelle of flavivirus at the ER
and the factors involved. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences
doi:10.1007/s00018-021-03834-6.

29. Delfin-Riela T, Rossotti M, Alvez-Rosado R, Leizagoyen C, Gonzalez-Sapienza
G. 2020. Highly Sensitive Detection of Zika Virus Nonstructural Protein 1 in
Serum Samples by a Two-Site Nanobody ELISA. Biomolecules 10.

30. Flamand M, Megret FO, Mathieu M, Lepault J, Rey FLA, Deubel V. 1999.
Dengue Virus Type 1 Nonstructural Glycoprotein NS1 Is Secreted from
Mammalian Cells as a Soluble Hexamer in a Glycosylation-Dependent Fashion.

Journal of Virology 73:6104-6110.

31. Shen J, Gilmore EC, Marshall CA, Haddadin M, Reynolds JJ, Eyaid W, Bodell A,
Barry B, Gleason D, Allen K, Ganesh VS, Chang BS, Grix A, Hill RS, Topcu M,
Caldecott KW, Barkovich AJ, Walsh CA. 2010. Mutations in PNKP cause
microcephaly, seizures and defects in DNA repair. Nature Genetics 42:245-249.

32. Dumitrache LC, McKinnon PJ. 2017. Polynucleotide kinase-phosphatase (PNKP)
mutations and neurologic disease. Mechanisms of Ageing and Development
161:121-129.

33. Poulton C, Oegema R, Heijsman D, Hoogeboom J, Schot R, Stroink H,
Willemsen MA, Verheijen FW, Van De Spek P, Kremer A, Mancini GMS. 2013.
Progressive cerebellar atrophy and polyneuropathy: expanding the spectrum of
PNKP mutations. neurogenetics 14:43-51.

34. Karimi-Busheri F, Daly G, Robins P, Canas B, Pappin DJ, Sgouros J, Miller GG,
Fakhrai H, Davis EM, Le Beau MM, Weinfeld M. 1999. Molecular characterization
of a human DNA kinase. J Biol Chem 274:24187-94.

35. Jilani A, Ramotar D, Slack C, Ong C, Yang XM, Scherer SW, Lasko DD. 1999.
Molecular Cloning of the Human Gene, PNKP, Encoding a Polynucleotide Kinase
3′-Phosphatase and Evidence for Its Role in Repair of DNA Strand Breaks
Caused by Oxidative Damage. Journal of Biological Chemistry 274:24176-24186.

36. Weinfeld M, Mani RS, Abdou I, Aceytuno RD, Glover JNM. 2011. Tidying up
loose ends: the role of polynucleotide kinase/phosphatase in DNA strand break
repair. Trends in Biochemical Sciences 36:262-271.

37. Bertoncini CRA, Mene R. 1995. DNA strand breaks produced by oxidative stress
in mammalian cells exhibit 3′-phosphoglycolate termini. Nucleic Acids Research
23:2995-3002.

38. Henner WD, Grunberg SM, Haseltine WA. 1982. Sites and structure of gamma
radiation-induced DNA strand breaks. Journal of Biological Chemistry
257:11750-11754.

39. Yang SW, Burgin AB, Huizenga BN, Robertson CA, Yao KC, Nash HA. 1996. A
eukaryotic enzyme that can disjoin dead-end covalent complexes between DNA
and type I topoisomerases. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences

93:11534-11539.

40. Wiederhold L, Leppard JB, Kedar P, Karimi-Busheri F, Rasouli-Nia A, Weinfeld M, Tomkinson AE, Izumi T, Prasad R, Wilson SH, Mitra S, Hazra TK. 2004. AP Endonuclease-Independent DNA Base Excision Repair in Human Cells. Molecular Cell 15:209-220.

41. Coquerelle T, Bopp A, Kessler B, Hagen U. 1973. Strand Breaks and 5′ End-groups in DNA of Irradiated Thymocytes. International Journal of Radiation Biology and Related Studies in Physics, Chemistry and Medicine 24:397-404.

42. Hsiang YH, Hertzberg R, Hecht S, Liu LF. 1985. Camptothecin induces protein-linked DNA breaks via mammalian DNA topoisomerase I. Journal of Biological Chemistry 260:14873-14878.

43. Terzoudi GI, Karakosta M, Pantelias A, Hatzi VI, Karachristou I, Pantelias G. 2015. Stress induced by premature chromatin condensation triggers chromosome shattering and chromothripsis at DNA sites still replicating in micronuclei or multinucleate cells when primary nuclei enter mitosis. Mutat Res Genet Toxicol Environ Mutagen 793:185-98.

44. Kalasova I, Hailstone R, Bublitz J, Bogantes J, Hofmann W, Leal A, Hanzlikova H, Caldecott KW. 2020. Pathological mutations in PNKP trigger defects in DNA single-strand break repair but not DNA double-strand break repair. Nucleic Acids Research 48:6672-6684.

45. Rasouli-Nia A, Karimi-Busheri F, Weinfeld M. 2004. Stable down-regulation of human polynucleotide kinase enhances spontaneous mutation frequency and sensitizes cells to genotoxic agents. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 101:6905-6910.

46. Reynolds JJ, Walker AK, Gilmore EC, Walsh CA, Caldecott KW. 2012. Impact of PNKP mutations associated with microcephaly, seizures and developmental delay on enzyme activity and DNA strand break repair. Nucleic Acids Research 40:6608-6619.

47. Shimada M, Dumitrache LC, Russell HR, McKinnon PJ. 2015. Polynucleotide kinase–phosphatase enables neurogenesis via multiple DNA repair pathways to maintain genome stability. The EMBO Journal 34:2465-2480.

982   48.   Heim A, Rymarczyk B, Mayer TU. 2017. Regulation of Cell Division, p 83-116
983   doi:10.1007/978-3-319-46095-6_3. Springer International Publishing.

984   49.   De Souza R, Costa Ayub L, Yip K. 2018. Mitotic Catastrophe, p 475-510,
985   Apoptosis and Beyond doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119432463.ch23.

986   50.   Crncec A, Hochegger H. 2019. Triggering mitosis. FEBS Letters 593:2868-2888.

987   51.   Katsuno Y, Suzuki A, Sugimura K, Okumura K, Zineldeen DH, Shimada M, Niida
988   H, Mizuno T, Hanaoka F, Nakanishi M. 2009. Cyclin A-Cdk1 regulates the origin
989   firing program in mammalian cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of
990   Sciences 106:3184-3189.

991   52.   Den Elzen N, Pines J. 2001. Cyclin a Is Destroyed in Prometaphase and Can
992   Delay Chromosome Alignment and Anaphase. Journal of Cell Biology 153:121-
993   136.

994   53.   Geley S, Kramer E, Gieffers C, Gannon J, Peters J-M, Hunt T. 2001. Anaphase-
995   Promoting Complex/Cyclosome–Dependent Proteolysis of Human Cyclin a Starts
996   at the Beginning of Mitosis and Is Not Subject to the Spindle Assembly
997   Checkpoint. Journal of Cell Biology 153:137-148.

998   54.   Martínez-Alonso D, Malumbres M. 2020. Mammalian cell cycle cyclins. Seminars
999   in Cell & Developmental Biology 107:28-35.

1000   55.   Fung T, Poon R. 2005. A roller coaster ride with the mitotic cyclins. Seminars in
1001   Cell & Developmental Biology 16:335-342.

1002   56.   Mueller PR, Coleman TR, Kumagai A, Dunphy WG. 1995. Myt1: A Membrane-
1003   Associated Inhibitory Kinase That Phosphorylates Cdc2 on Both Threonine-14
1004   and Tyrosine-15. Science 270:86-90.

1005   57.   Kornbluth S, Sebastian B, Hunter T, Newport J. 1994. Membrane localization of
1006   the kinase which phosphorylates p34cdc2 on threonine 14. Molecular Biology of
1007   the Cell 5:273-282.

1008   58.   Liu F, Stanton JJ, Wu Z, Piwnica-Worms H. 1997. The human Myt1 kinase
1009   preferentially phosphorylates Cdc2 on threonine 14 and localizes to the
1010   endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi complex. Molecular and Cellular Biology
1011   17:571-583.

1012   59.   Parker L, Piwnica-Worms H. 1992. Inactivation of the p34cdc2-cyclin B complex

1013   by the human WEE1 tyrosine kinase. Science 257:1955-1957.

60.   Solomon MJ, Lee T, Kirschner MW. 1992. Role of phosphorylation in p34cdc2 activation: identification of an activating kinase. Molecular Biology of the Cell 3:13-27.

61.   Kaldis P. 1999. The cdk-activating kinase (CAK): from yeast to mammals. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences CMLS 55:284-296.

62.   Kumagai A, Dunphy WG. 1991. The cdc25 protein controls tyrosine dephosphorylation of the cdc2 protein in a cell-free system. Cell 64:903-914.

63.   Strausfeld U, Labbé JC, Fesquet D, Cavadore JC, Picard A, Sadhu K, Russell P, Dorée M. 1991. Dephosphorylation and activation of a p34cdc2/cyclin B complex in vitro by human CDC25 protein. Nature 351:242-245.

64.   Gautier J, Solomon MJ, Booher RN, Bazan JF, Kirschner MW. 1991. cdc25 is a specific tyrosine phosphatase that directly activates p34cdc2. Cell 67:197-211.

65.   Chalasani SL, Kawale AS, Akopiants K, Yu Y, Fanta M, Weinfeld M, Povirk LF. 2018. Persistent 3'-phosphate termini and increased cytotoxicity of radiomimetic DNA double-strand breaks in cells lacking polynucleotide kinase/phosphatase despite presence of an alternative 3'-phosphatase. DNA Repair (Amst) 68:12-24.

66.   Freschauf GK, Karimi-Busheri F, Ulaczyk-Lesanko A, Mereniuk TR, Ahrens A, Koshy JM, Rasouli-Nia A, Pasarj P, Holmes CFB, Rininsland F, Hall DG, Weinfeld M. 2009. Identification of a Small Molecule Inhibitor of the Human DNA Repair Enzyme Polynucleotide Kinase/Phosphatase. Cancer Research 69:7739-7746.

67.   Freschauf GK, Mani RS, Mereniuk TR, Fanta M, Virgen CA, Dianov GL, Grassot J-M, Hall DG, Weinfeld M. 2010. Mechanism of Action of an Imidopiperidine Inhibitor of Human Polynucleotide Kinase/Phosphatase. Journal of Biological Chemistry 285:2351-2360.

68.   Shire Z, Vakili MR, Morgan TDR, Hall DG, Lavasanifar A, Weinfeld M. 2018. Nanoencapsulation of Novel Inhibitors of PNKP for Selective Sensitization to Ionizing Radiation and Irinotecan and Induction of Synthetic Lethality. Mol Pharm 15:2316-2326.

69.   Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years

1044   of image analysis. Nat Methods 9:671-5.

70.   Srivastava P, Sarma A, Chaturvedi CM. 2018. Targeting DNA repair with PNKP inhibition sensitizes radioresistant prostate cancer cells to high LET radiation. PLOS ONE 13:e0190516.

71.   Zereshkian A, Leyton JV, Cai Z, Bergstrom D, Weinfeld M, Reilly RM. 2014. The human polynucleotide kinase/phosphatase (hPNKP) inhibitor A12B4C3 radiosensitizes human myeloid leukemia cells to Auger electron-emitting anti-CD123 111In-NLS-7G3 radioimmunoconjugates. Nuclear Medicine and Biology 41:377-383.

72.   Sadat SMA, Wuest M, Paiva IM, Munira S, Sarrami N, Sanaee F, Yang X, Paladino M, Binkhathlan Z, Karimi-Busheri F, Martin GR, Jirik FR, Murray D, Gamper AM, Hall DG, Weinfeld M, Lavasanifar A. 2021. Nano-Delivery of a Novel Inhibitor of Polynucleotide Kinase/Phosphatase (PNKP) for Targeted Sensitization of Colorectal Cancer to Radiation-Induced DNA Damage. Frontiers in Oncology 11.

73.   Parsons JL, Khoronenkova SV, Dianova, II, Ternette N, Kessler BM, Datta PK, Dianov GL. 2012. Phosphorylation of PNKP by ATM prevents its proteasomal degradation and enhances resistance to oxidative stress. Nucleic Acids Res 40:11404-15.

74.   Tahbaz N, Subedi S, Weinfeld M. 2012. Role of polynucleotide kinase/phosphatase in mitochondrial DNA repair. Nucleic Acids Research 40:3484-3495.

75.   Aceytuno RD, Piett CG, Havali-Shahriari Z, Edwards RA, Rey M, Ye R, Javed F, Fang S, Mani R, Weinfeld M, Hammel M, Tainer JA, Schriemer DC, Lees-Miller SP, Glover JNM. 2017. Structural and functional characterization of the PNKP-XRCC4-LigIV DNA repair complex. Nucleic Acids Res 45:6238-6251.

76.   Koch CA, Agyei R, Galicia S, Metalnikov P, O'Donnell P, Starostine A, Weinfeld M, Durocher D. 2004. Xrcc4 physically links DNA end processing by polynucleotide kinase to DNA ligation by DNA ligase IV. The EMBO Journal 23:3874-3885.

77.   Della-Maria J, Hegde ML, McNeill DR, Matsumoto Y, Tsai M-S, Ellenberger T,

1075   Wilson DM, Mitra S, Tomkinson AE. 2012. The Interaction between
1076   Polynucleotide Kinase Phosphatase and the DNA Repair Protein XRCC1 Is
1077   Critical for Repair of DNA Alkylation Damage and Stable Association at DNA
1078   Damage Sites. Journal of Biological Chemistry 287:39233-39244.

1079 78.   Zolner AE, Abdou I, Ye R, Mani RS, Fanta M, Yu Y, Douglas P, Tahbaz N, Fang
1080   S, Dobbs T, Wang C, Morrice N, Hendzel MJ, Weinfeld M, Lees-Miller SP. 2011.
1081   Phosphorylation of polynucleotide kinase/ phosphatase by DNA-dependent
1082   protein kinase and ataxia-telangiectasia mutated regulates its association with
1083   sites of DNA damage. Nucleic Acids Res 39:9224-37.

1084 79.   Segal-Raz H, Mass G, Baranes-Bachar K, Lerenthal Y, Wang SY, Chung YM,
1085   Ziv-Lehrman S, Strom CE, Helleday T, Hu MC, Chen DJ, Shiloh Y. 2011. ATM-
1086   mediated phosphorylation of polynucleotide kinase/phosphatase is required for
1087   effective DNA double-strand break repair. EMBO Rep 12:713-9.

1088 80.   Castedo M, Perfettini JL, Roumier T, Andreau K, Medema R, Kroemer G. 2004.
1089   Cell death by mitotic catastrophe: a molecular definition. Oncogene 23:2825-37.

1090 81.   Vakifahmetoglu H, Olsson M, Zhivotovsky B. 2008. Death through a tragedy:
1091   mitotic catastrophe. Cell Death & Differentiation 15:1153-1162.

1092 82.   Vitale I, Galluzzi L, Castedo M, Kroemer G. 2011. Mitotic catastrophe: a
1093   mechanism for avoiding genomic instability. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell
1094   Biology 12:385-392.

1095 83.   Zhelev N, Trifonov D, Wang S, Hassan M, El Serafi I, Mitev V. 2013. From
1096   Roscovitine to CYC202 to Seliciclib – from bench to bedside: discovery and
1097   development. BioDiscovery doi:10.7750/BioDiscovery.2013.10.1.

1098 84.   Schang LM. 2002. Cyclin-dependent kinases as cellular targets for antiviral
1099   drugs. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 50:779-792.

1100 85.   Schang LM, Phillips J, Schaffer PA. 1998. Requirement for cellular cyclin-
1101   dependent kinases in herpes simplex virus replication and transcription. J Virol
1102   72:5626-37.

1103 86.   Schang LM. 2001. Cellular proteins (cyclin dependent kinases) as potential
1104   targets for antiviral drugs. Antivir Chem Chemother 12 Suppl 1:157-78.

1105 87.   Schang LM, Bantly A, Knockaert M, Shaheen F, Meijer L, Malim MH, Gray NS,

Schaffer PA. 2002. Pharmacological cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors inhibit replication of wild-type and drug-resistant strains of herpes simplex virus and human immunodeficiency virus type 1 by targeting cellular, not viral, proteins. J Virol 76:7874-82.

88. Taylor SL, Kinchington PR, Brooks A, Moffat JF. 2004. Roscovitine, a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor, prevents replication of varicella-zoster virus. J Virol 78:2853-62.

89. Schang LM, St Vincent MR, Lacasse JJ. 2006. Five years of progress on cyclin-dependent kinases and other cellular proteins as potential targets for antiviral drugs. Antivir Chem Chemother 17:293-320.

90. Sanchez V, McElroy AK, Yen J, Tamrakar S, Clark CL, Schwartz RA, Spector DH. 2004. Cyclin-dependent kinase activity is required at early times for accurate processing and accumulation of the human cytomegalovirus UL122-123 and UL37 immediate-early transcripts and at later times for virus production. J Virol 78:11219-32.

91. Kudoh A, Daikoku T, Sugaya Y, Isomura H, Fujita M, Kiyono T, Nishiyama Y, Tsurumi T. 2004. Inhibition of S-phase cyclin-dependent kinase activity blocks expression of Epstein-Barr virus immediate-early and early genes, preventing viral lytic replication. J Virol 78:104-15.

92. Gutierrez-Chamorro L, Felip E, Ezeonwumelu IJ, Margeli M, Ballana E. 2021. Cyclin-dependent Kinases as Emerging Targets for Developing Novel Antiviral Therapeutics. Trends Microbiol doi:10.1016/j.tim.2021.01.014.

93. Champion L, Linder MI, Kutay U. 2017. Cellular Reorganization during Mitotic Entry. Trends in Cell Biology 27:26-41.

94. Puhka M, Joensuu M, Vihinen H, Belevich I, Jokitalo E. 2012. Progressive sheet-to-tubule transformation is a general mechanism for endoplasmic reticulum partitioning in dividing mammalian cells. Mol Biol Cell 23:2424-32.

95. Puhka M, Vihinen H, Joensuu M, Jokitalo E. 2007. Endoplasmic reticulum remains continuous and undergoes sheet-to-tubule transformation during cell division in mammalian cells. J Cell Biol 179:895-909.

96. Baptist M, Lamy F, Gannon J, Hunt T, Dumont JE, Roger PP. 1996. Expression

and subcellular localization of CDK2 and cdc2 kinases and their common partner cyclin A in thyroid epithelial cells: Comparison of cyclic AMP-dependent and - independent cell cycles. Journal of Cellular Physiology 166:256-273.

97. Lanz MC, Dibitetto D, Smolka MB. 2019. DNA damage kinase signaling: checkpoint and repair at 30 years. The EMBO Journal 38.

98. Ward IM, Chen J. 2001. Histone H2AX is phosphorylated in an ATR-dependent manner in response to replicational stress. J Biol Chem 276:47759-62.

99. Yue L, Guo S, Zhang Y, Liu L, Wang Q, Wang X, Shen D, Wang L, Sun L, Wang J, Liao Y, Li Q. 2013. The modulation of phosphatase expression impacts the proliferation efficiency of HSV-1 in infected astrocytes. PLoS One 8:e79648.

100. Yue L, Guo S, Cao X, Zhang Y, Sun L, Liu L, Yan M, Li Q. 2013. PNKP knockdown by RNA interference inhibits herpes simplex virus-1 replication in astrocytes. Virol Sin 28:345-51.

101. Entezam M, Razipour M, Talebi S, Beiraghi Toosi M, Keramatipour M. 2019. Multi affected pedigree with congenital microcephaly: WES revealed PNKP gene mutation. Brain and Development 41:182-186.

102. Tsukada K, Matsumoto Y, Shimada M. 2020. Linker region is required for efficient nuclear localization of polynucleotide kinase phosphatase. PLOS ONE 15:e0239404.

103. Loizou JI, El-Khamisy SF, Zlatanou A, Moore DJ, Chan DW, Qin J, Sarno S, Meggio F, Pinna LA, Caldecott KW. 2004. The Protein Kinase CK2 Facilitates Repair of Chromosomal DNA Single-Strand Breaks. Cell 117:17-28.

104. Onorati M, Li Z, Liu F, André, Nakagawa N, Li M, Maria, Forrest, Pochareddy S, Andrew, Han W, Pletikos M, Gao T, Zhu Y, Bichsel C, Varela L, Szigeti-Buck K, Lisgo S, Zhang Y, Testen A, Gao X-B, Mlakar J, Popovic M, Flamand M, Stephen, Leonard, Anton ES, Tamas, Brett, Sestan N. 2016. Zika Virus Disrupts Phospho-TBK1 Localization and Mitosis in Human Neuroepithelial Stem Cells and Radial Glia. Cell Reports 16:2576-2592.

105. Souza BSF, Sampaio GLA, Pereira CS, Campos GS, Sardi SI, Freitas LAR, Figueira CP, Paredes BD, Nonaka CKV, Azevedo CM, Rocha VPC, Bandeira AC, Mendez-Otero R, Dos Santos RR, Soares MBP. 2016. Zika virus infection

induces mitosis abnormalities and apoptotic cell death of human neural progenitor cells. Scientific Reports 6:39775.

106. Wolf B, Diop F, Ferraris P, Wichit S, Busso C, Missé D, Gönczy P. 2017. Zika virus causes supernumerary foci with centriolar proteins and impaired spindle positioning. Open Biology 7:160231.

107. Ghouzzi VE, Bianchi FT, Molineris I, Mounce BC, Berto GE, Rak M, Lebon S, Aubry L, Tocco C, Gai M, Chiotto AM, Sgrò F, Pallavicini G, Simon-Loriere E, Passemard S, Vignuzzi M, Gressens P, Di Cunto F. 2016. ZIKA virus elicits P53 activation and genotoxic stress in human neural progenitors similar to mutations involved in severe forms of genetic microcephaly and p53. Cell Death & Disease 7:e2440-e2440.

108. Glover K, Coombs KM. 2020. ZIKV Infection Induces DNA Damage Response and Alters the Proteome of Gastrointestinal Cells. Viruses 12:771.

109. Ledur PF, Karmirian K, Pedrosa CDSG, Souza LRQ, Assis-De-Lemos G, Martins TM, Ferreira JDCCG, De Azevedo Reis GF, Silva ES, Silva D, Salerno JA, Ornelas IM, Devalle S, Madeiro Da Costa RF, Goto-Silva L, Higa LM, Melo A, Tanuri A, Chimelli L, Murata MM, Garcez PP, Filippi-Chiela EC, Galina A, Borges HL, Rehen SK. 2020. Zika virus infection leads to mitochondrial failure, oxidative stress and DNA damage in human iPSC-derived astrocytes. Scientific Reports 10.

110. Hammack C, Ogden SC, Madden JC, Medina A, Xu C, Phillips E, Son Y, Cone A, Giovinazzi S, Didier RA, Gilbert DM, Song H, Ming G, Wen Z, Brinton MA, Gunjan A, Tang H. 2019. Zika Virus Infection Induces DNA Damage Response in Human Neural Progenitors That Enhances Viral Replication. Journal of Virology 93.

111. Galluzzi L, Vitale I, Aaronson SA, Abrams JM, Adam D, Agostinis P, Alnemri ES, Altucci L, Amelio I, Andrews DW, Annicchiarico-Petruzzelli M, Antonov AV, Arama E, Baehrecke EH, Barlev NA, Bazan NG, Bernassola F, Bertrand MJM, Bianchi K, Blagosklonny MV, Blomgren K, Borner C, Boya P, Brenner C, Campanella M, Candi E, Carmona-Gutierrez D, Cecconi F, Chan FKM, Chandel NS, Cheng EH, Chipuk JE, Cidlowski JA, Ciechanover A, Cohen GM, Conrad M,

Cubillos-Ruiz JR, Czabotar PE, D'Angiolella V, Dawson TM, Dawson VL, De Laurenzi V, De Maria R, Debatin K-M, Deberardinis RJ, Deshmukh M, Di Daniele N, Di Virgilio F, Dixit VM, Dixon SJ, et al. 2018. Molecular mechanisms of cell death: recommendations of the Nomenclature Committee on Cell Death 2018. Cell Death & Differentiation 25:486-541.

112. Sadoughi F, Hallajzadeh J, Asemi Z, Mansournia MA, Alemi F, Yousefi B. 2021. Signaling pathways involved in cell cycle arrest during the DNA breaks. DNA Repair 98:103047.

113. Heijink AM, Krajewska M, Van Vugt MATM. 2013. The DNA damage response during mitosis. Mutation Research/Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis 750:45-55.

114. Bakhoum SF, Kabeche L, Compton DA, Powell SN, Bastians H. 2017. Mitotic DNA Damage Response: At the Crossroads of Structural and Numerical Cancer Chromosome Instabilities. Trends in Cancer 3:225-234.

115. Silva Cascales H, Burdova K, Middleton A, Kuzin V, Müllers E, Stoy H, Baranello L, Macurek L, Lindqvist A. 2021. Cyclin A2 localises in the cytoplasm at the S/G2 transition to activate PLK1. Life Science Alliance 4:e202000980.

116. Gheghiani L, Loew D, Lombard B, Mansfeld J, Gavet O. 2017. PLK1 Activation in Late G2 Sets Up Commitment to Mitosis. Cell Reports 19:2060-2073.

117. Bergman ZJ, McLaurin JD, Eritano AS, Johnson BM, Sims AQ, Riggs B. 2015. Spatial reorganization of the endoplasmic reticulum during mitosis relies on mitotic kinase cyclin A in the early Drosophila embryo. PLoS One 10:e0117859.

118. Gong D, Pomerening JR, Myers JW, Gustavsson C, Jones JT, Hahn AT, Meyer T, Ferrell JE. 2007. Cyclin A2 Regulates Nuclear-Envelope Breakdown and the Nuclear Accumulation of Cyclin B1. Current Biology 17:85-91.

119. Schang L. 2003. The cell cycle, cyclin-dependent kinases, and viral infections: new horizons and unexpected connections. Progress in cell cycle research 5:103-24.

120. Degrassi F, Damizia M, Lavia P. 2019. The Mitotic Apparatus and Kinetochores in Microcephaly and Neurodevelopmental Diseases. Cells 9:49.

121. Chen J-F, Zhang Y, Wilde J, Hansen KC, Lai F, Niswander L. 2014.

Microcephaly disease gene Wdr62 regulates mitotic progression of embryonic

neural stem cells and brain size. Nature Communications 5.

122. Mitchell-Dick A, Chalem A, Pilaz L-J, Debra. 2019. Acute Lengthening of

Progenitor Mitosis Influences Progeny Fate during Cortical Development<i>

in vivo</i>. Developmental Neuroscience 41:300-317.

123. Pilaz L-J, John, Emily, Ashley, Suzuki A, Salmon E, Debra. 2016. Prolonged

Mitosis of Neural Progenitors Alters Cell Fate in the Developing Brain. Neuron

89:83-99.

124. Phan TP, Maryniak AL, Boatwright CA, Lee J, Atkins A, Tijhuis A, Spierings DC,

Bazzi H, Foijer F, Jordan PW, Stracker TH, Holland AJ. 2021. Centrosome

defects cause microcephaly by activating the 53BP1-USP28-TP53 mitotic

surveillance pathway. The EMBO Journal 40.

125. Gabriel E, Ramani A, Karow U, Gottardo M, Natarajan K, Gooi LM, Goranci-

Buzhala G, Krut O, Peters F, Nikolic M, Kuivanen S, Korhonen E, Smura T,

Vapalahti O, Papantonis A, Schmidt-Chanasit J, Riparbelli M, Callaini G, Krönke

M, Utermöhlen O, Gopalakrishnan J. 2017. Recent Zika Virus Isolates Induce

Premature Differentiation of Neural Progenitors in Human Brain Organoids. Cell

Stem Cell 20:397-406.e5.

126. Chavali PL, Stojic L, Meredith LW, Joseph N, Nahorski MS, Sanford TJ,

Sweeney TR, Krishna BA, Hosmillo M, Firth AE, Bayliss R, Marcelis CL, Lindsay

S, Goodfellow I, Woods CG, Gergely F. 2017. Neurodevelopmental protein

Musashi-1 interacts with the Zika genome and promotes viral replication. Science

357:83-88.

127. Liang Q, Luo Z, Zeng J, Chen W, Foo S-S, Lee S-A, Ge J, Wang S, Steven,

Berislav, Zhao Z, Jae. 2016. Zika Virus NS4A and NS4B Proteins Deregulate

Akt-mTOR Signaling in Human Fetal Neural Stem Cells to Inhibit Neurogenesis

and Induce Autophagy. Cell Stem Cell 19:663-671.

128. Link N, Chung H, Jolly A, Withers M, Tepe B, Arenkiel BR, Shah PS, Krogan NJ,

Aydin H, Geckinli BB, Tos T, Isikay S, Tuysuz B, Mochida GH, Thomas AX, Clark

RD, Mirzaa GM, Lupski JR, Bellen HJ. 2019. Mutations in ANKLE2, a ZIKA Virus

Target, Disrupt an Asymmetric Cell Division Pathway in Drosophila Neuroblasts

to Cause Microcephaly. Developmental Cell 51:713-729.e6.

129.   Li C, Xu D, Ye Q, Hong S, Jiang Y, Liu X, Zhang N, Shi L, Qin C-F, Xu Z. 2016.
Zika Virus Disrupts Neural Progenitor Development and Leads to Microcephaly in
Mice. Cell Stem Cell 19:120-126.

130.   Wu K-Y, Zuo G-L, Li X-F, Ye Q, Deng Y-Q, Huang X-Y, Cao W-C, Qin C-F, Luo
Z-G. 2016. Vertical transmission of Zika virus targeting the radial glial cells
affects cortex development of offspring mice. Cell Research 26:645-654.

131.   Shao Q, Herrlinger S, Yang S-L, Lai F, Moore JM, Brindley MA, Chen J-F. 2016.
Zika virus infection disrupts neurovascular development and results in postnatal
microcephaly with brain damage. Development 143:4127-4136.

132.   Lin S, Yang S, He J, Guest JD, Ma Z, Yang L, Pierce BG, Tang Q, Zhang Y-J.
2019. Zika virus NS5 protein antagonizes type I interferon production via blocking
TBK1 activation. Virology 527:180-187.

133.   Grant A, Sanket, Tripathi S, Balasubramaniam V, Miorin L, Sourisseau M,
Megan, Mari, Matthew, Sonja, García-Sastre A. 2016. Zika Virus Targets Human
STAT2 to Inhibit Type I Interferon Signaling. Cell Host & Microbe 19:882-890.

134.   Dang J, Tiwari SK, Lichinchi G, Qin Y, Veena, Alexey, Tariq. 2016. Zika Virus
Depletes Neural Progenitors in Human Cerebral Organoids through Activation of
the Innate Immune Receptor TLR3. Cell Stem Cell 19:258-265.

135.   Lee Y, Choi I, Kim J, Kim K. 2016. DNA damage to human genetic disorders with
neurodevelopmental defects. Journal of Genetic Medicine 13:1-13.

Acknowledgments

These studies were funded by the NIH National Institute of Neurological Disorders and

Stroke (5R21NS111416) and Canadian Institutes of Health Research (PJT168869).

LMS is a BWF investigator in the pathogenesis of infectious disease. The authors

acknowledge the support from the Baker Institute and the College of Veterinary

Medicine, Cornell University. The authors thank Dr. Roy Golsteyn (University of

Figure legends

Figure 1. Two PNKP phosphatase inhibitors or PNKP genetic knockout inhibit ZIKV replication. (A) hiNPC were inoculated with ZIKV (MOI=0.1), washed, and overlaid with media containing the PNKP inhibitors A83B4C3 or A12B4C3, or DMSO. Culture supernatants at 48 hpi were titrated; results expressed as percent PFU/cell relative to DMSO-treated infections; n=2; (average +/- range). (B) Chemical structures of the PNKP phosphatase inhibitors A83B4C63 and A12B4C3. (C) hiNPC were seeded in 96-well plates to reach 25% confluence at 24 h after plating, when test dilutions of the PNKP inhibitors were added (T_0). Relative cell numbers were evaluated daily. Results show cell doublings relative to T_0, n=1. (D) WT or PNKP knockout HCT 116 cells were inoculated with ZIKV (MOI=0.05 or 2), washed, and overlaid with media. Culture supernatants were collected every 24 h and titrated on Vero cells; results expressed as PFU/cell; n=2; (average +/- range). (E) hiNPC infected with ZIKV R1034 (MOI=3) or mock infected were harvested at the indicated times after infection, lysed, and analyzed by WB (whole cell lysates). Immunoreactive bands were visualized by fluorescently labelled secondary antibodies.

Figure 2. PNKP relocalizes to the cytoplasm in ZIKV-infected NPC, where it colocalizes with NS1. (A, B, E) hiNPC, Vero, U-2 OS or HEK 293 cells were infected with ZIKV R1034 (MOI=1), fixed 24 h later, and analyzed by IF for NS1 and PNKP (A, B) or XRCC1 or XRCC4 (E). Pearson's colocalization coefficients between NS1 and PNKP or XRCC1 and XRCC4 signals were calculated using the colocalization finder plugin in ImageJ. (C) hiNPC infected with ZIKV R1034 (MOI=2) were fixed at the indicated times after infection and analyzed by IF for NS1 and PNKP. (D) hiNPC were infected with ZIKV IbH-30656 (MOI=1), and 24 h later fixed and analyzed for PNKP and NS1 (IF). Images representative of at least 2 independent experiments; scale bars, 10μm.

Figure 3. ZIKV infected NPC accumulate DNA damage but fail to accumulate phosphorylated PNKP. (A, B) hiNPC infected with ZIKV (MOI=1) were fixed at 26 hpi and analyzed by IF for NS1 and γH2AX (A) or pPNKP (B). (C) Mock-infected cells were

1322   treated with 100 μM H$_2$O$_2$ for 1 h, washed, overlaid with fresh complete medium, fixed 1

1323   h later, and analyzed by IF for γH2AX and pPNKP; scale bars, 10 μm; images

1324   representative of 3 independent experiments.

1325

Figure 4. ZIKV induces grossly abnormal nuclear morphologies in hiNPC,

morphologies which are consistent with the hallmarks of mitotic catastrophe. (A)

hiNPC or U-2 OS were infected with ZIKV R1034, (MOI=1), fixed at 24 hpi and analyzed

by IF for ZIKV NS1. (B) Cells with obvious and gross nuclear aberrations at 24 h were

counted in 5 wide field confocal images. Results expressed as percentage of all cells

(for mock infections) or infected cells (for ZIKV infections); n=2 (average, +/- SD for

each individual experiment). (C) Infected hiNPC, as identified by NS1 staining at 24 hpi

and counterstained with DAPI were analyzed using high magnification confocal imaging.

(D) hiNPC were infected with ZIKV R1034, (MOI=1), fixed at 24 hpi and analyzed by IF

for NS1 and lamin B. (E) hiNPC were infected with ZIKV R1034, (MOI=3), fixed at

indicated times, and analyzed by DAPI staining and IF for NS1. (F) hiNPC were infected

with ZIKV R1034, (MOI=3), or mock-infected, for 24 h were metabolically labelled for 15

minutes with EdU, fixed, and stained for EdU and NS1 (IF). Scale bars, 10 μm; images

representative of 2-4 independent experiments.

1340

Figure 5. Inhibition of cell doubling with roscovitine inhibits the aberrant nuclear

morphologies and ZIKV replication. (A) hiNPC were seeded in 96-well plates to reach

25% confluence at 24 h after plating, when 100 μM Rosco or vehicle (DMSO) were

added (T$_0$). Relative cell numbers were evaluated daily. Results show cell doublings

relative to T$_0$, n=1. (B-E) hiNPC were infected with ZIKV R1034 (MOI=3), or mock-

infected, washed, and overlaid with media containing 100 μM Rosco or vehicle (DMSO)

at the time of inoculum removal (B, C, D) or at indicated time post infection (E). (B) Cells

were fixed at 24 hpi and analyzed by IF; images are representative of 2 independent

experiments. (C) ZIKV or mock-infected cells with multilobular ($\geq$3 lobules) nuclei (MLN)

or chromosomal bridges (CHB), counted in the wide field confocal images from (B);

results expressed as percent of NS1-expressing (ZIKV-infected) or all (mock-infected)

cells; n=6 (average +/- range). (D) Cells were lysed at indicated time points and

analyzed by Western blot; images are representative of 3 independent experiments. (E)

ZIKV-infected cells were treated with Rosco at the indicated times after infection.

Culture supernatants at time of drug addition and at 24 hpi were titrated on Vero cells;

results expressed as burst from time of treatment relative to vehicle-treated infections;

n=2 (average +/- range).

1358

Figure 6. ZIKV infection induces cytoplasmic accumulation of CDK1 and Cyclin A.

(A, B) uninfected hiNPC were fixed 24 h post plating and analyzed by DAPI staining and

IF for CDK1 (A), or CycA (B). Cells in different cell cycle phases were identified based

on nuclear DNA. I, interphase; P, prophase; PM, prometaphase; M, metaphase; A,

anaphase; T, telophase. (C-F) hiNPC were infected with ZIKV R1034, (MOI=2), fixed at

24 hpi (C), or at indicated times (E, F) and analyzed by IF for NS1 and CDK1 (C, E) or

CycA (C, F). Scale bars, 10 µm. (D) hiNPC were infected with ZIKV R1034, (MOI=2),

fixed at 24 hpi and analyzed by IF for NS1 and PNKP, or CDK1, or CycA, or CycB.

Pearson's colocalization coefficients between NS1 and PNKP, CDK1, CycA, or CycB

calculated from single cell confocal images (n=18) using the colocalization finder plugin

in ImageJ. Results expressed as box plots, cross mark, mean; boxes, 25th to 75th

percentile; whiskers, 5th and 95th percentiles.

1371

Figure 7. ZIKV infection induces unscheduled activation of cytoplasmic

CycA/CDK1. (A) hiNPC were infected with ZIKV R1034, (MOI=2), or mock-infected and

lysed at indicated times. CDK1 or CycA were immunoprecipitated and kinase activity

was evaluated by immuno-kinase assays; fold change over mock-infected cells; inserts,

mock-infected cells, fold change over T=0; n=2 (average +/- range). (B) hiNPC were

infected with ZIKV R1034, (MOI=2), or mock infected, lysed at indicated times, and

analyzed by Western blotting. Immuno-reactive bands were visualized by fluorescently

labelled secondary antibodies. Images are representative of 3 independent

experiments.

1381

Figure 8. Neither G1/S nor G2/M DNA damage checkpoints are activated in ZIKV

infected hiNPC. hiNPC were infected with ZIKV R1034 (MOI=2), or mock-infected,

harvested at the indicated times, lysed, and analyzed for total and phosphorylated forms

of checkpoint signaling (ATM, ATR) and effector (Chk1, Chk2) kinases by Western blot.

DNA damage was induced in uninfected cells by UV irradiation (100 J/m^2). Cells were

allowed to recover for 1 h prior to harvesting. Immunoreactive bands were visualized by

fluorescently labelled secondary antibodies. Images representative of 3 independent

experiments.

1390

Figure 9. A model for the development of mitotic catastrophe in ZIKV-infected

hiNPCs. ZIKV infection induces the activation of cytoplasmic CycA/CDK1 complexes,

presumably to facilitate the formation of the viral replication factories, while sequestering

PNKP away from the nucleus and into the replication factories. Unscheduled mitotic

entry results in DNA damage, which cannot be repaired because of the functional

depletion of nuclear PNKP activity; however, checkpoints are not activated and CDK1

activity continues to increase, resulting in mitotic catastrophe and subsequent neuronal

progenitor cell death. Red, CycA, CDK1 or PNKP; green, NS1.

Figure 1

Figure 2

A

hiNPC hiNPC U-2 OS

mock ZIKV ZIKV

NS1 DAPI

B

cells with mitotic aberrations, %

○ ZIKV
○ mock

hiNPC U-2 OS

C

DAPI

merge

NS1 DAPI

D

NS1 lamin B DAPI merge

NS1 lamin B DAPI

E

hpi 0 3 6

9 12 15

18 21 24

NS1 DAPI

F

mock ZIKV

EdU
NS1
DAPI

Figure 6

Figure 7

A

B

Figure 8

CycA

CDK1

CycA/CDK1

ZIKV INFECTION

Nuclear   PNKP   Cytoplasmic

PNKP

Mitotic
Catastrophe