Partial exogastrulation due to apical-basal polarity of F-actin distribution disruption in sea urchin embryo by omeprazole

Short title: Partial exogastrulation in sea urchin embryo

Kaichi Watanabe[1,*], Yuhei Yasui[1], Yuta Kurose[2], Masashi Fujii[1], Takashi Yamamoto[1], Naoaki Sakamoto[1,*], Akinori Awazu[1,3,*]

[1] Graduate School of Integrated Sciences for Life, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima, 739-8526, Japan

[2] Department of Mathematical and Life Sciences, Graduate School of Science, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima, 739-8526, Japan

[3] Research Center for the Mathematics on Chromatin Live Dynamics, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima, Hiroshima, 739-8526, Japan

*Corresponding authors: (kaichi1849@hiroshima-u.ac.jp (K.W.); naosaka@hiroshima-u.ac.jp (N. S.); awa@hiroshima-u.ac.jp (A. A.)

Keywords: Gastrulation, partial exogastrulation, sea urchin embryo, actin, omeprazole

Abstract

Gastrulation is a universal process in the morphogenesis of many animal embryos. Although morphological and molecular events in gastrulation have been well studied, the mechanical driving forces and underlying regulatory mechanisms are not fully understood. Here, we investigated the gastrulation of embryos of a sea urchin, *Hemicentrotus pulcherrimus*, which involves the invagination of a single-layered vegetal plate into the blastocoel. We observed that omeprazole, a proton pump inhibitor capable of perturbing the left-right asymmetry of sea urchin embryo, induced "partial exogastrulation" where the secondary invagination proceeds outward. During early gastrulation, intracellular apical-basal polarity of F-actin distribution in vegetal half were higher than those in animal half, while omeprazole treatment disturbed the apical-basal polarity of F-actin distribution in vegetal half. Furthermore, gastrulation stopped and even partial exogastrulation did not occur when F-actin polymerization or degradation in whole embryo was partially inhibited via *RhoA* or *YAP1* knockout. A mathematical model of the early gastrulation reproduced the shapes of both normal and exogastrulating embryos using cell-dependent cytoskeletal features based on F-actin. Additionally, such cell position-dependent intracellular F-actin distributions might be regulated by intracellular pH distributions. Therefore, apical-basal polarity of F-actin distribution disrupted by omeprazole may induce the partial exogastrulation via anomalous secondary invagination.

1   Introduction

Gastrulation is an essential morphogenic process in various animals, wherein a ball of single-layered cells (blastula) differentiates into a multilayered gastrula or early embryo (Stower and Bertocchini, 2017; Shindo, 2018; Nájera and Weijer, 2020). Species-specific variations in this process provide the basis for particular animal morphologies and lead to the generation of internal organs. The basic mechanism of whole embryonic transformations induced by organizational rearrangement and cell movements are evolutionarily conserved.

Sea urchin embryos are commonly used for studying various morphogenetic processes universally observed in animals, such as the left-right symmetry breakage (McCain et al., 1994;

Aihara and Amemiya, 2001; Warner and McClay, 2014; Takemoto et al., 2016), neural network

formations (Yaguchi et al., 2010; Burke et al., 2014; McClay et al., 2018), and gastrulation (Dan

and Okazaki, 1956; Gustafson and Kinnander, 1960; Hardin and Cheng, 1986; Kominami and

Takata, 2004; Martik and McClay, 2017) due to its evolutionary position as diverged at early

period of deuterostome evolution. Furthermore, the gene regulatory network controlling

endomesoderm specification in sea urchin embryos have been well studied (Davidson et al.,

2002; Oliveri and Davidson, 2004). Sea urchin gastrulation progresses through the following five

steps (Kominami and Takata, 2004): Step 1 (after hatching), the embryo becomes an epithelial

monolayer with a thickened vegetal plate; step 2 (primary invagination), the vegetal plate bends

to invade the blastocoel and a short tubular archenteron is formed; step 3 (lag phase in

archenteron elongation), secondary mesenchyme cells (SMCs) appear at the tip; step 4

(secondary invagination), the archenteron elongates by pulling on the filopodia of the SMC and

cell rearrangement; step 5 (tertiary invagination), presumptive endodermal cells are recruited into

the archenteron. Steps 1 and 2 have been conventionally referred to the primary invagination,

and steps 3 and 4 to the secondary invagination (Dan and Okazaki, 1956; Gustafson and

Kinnander, 1956).

Embryos of some sea urchin species exhibit anomalous morphogenesis (exogastrulation) under

various treatments where the vegetal plate of the embryo prolapses outward instead of

invaginating. For example, the archenteron of embryos cultured in the presence of LiCl or sugar

is completely evaginated due to the depolymerization of SMC microtubules in the blastocoel

space connecting the primary intestine and ectoderm (Dan and Okazaki, 1956; Hardin and

Cheng, 1986; Khurrum et al., 2004). These studies suggest that lifting the primary intestine from

the vegetal plate by microtubules plays an important role in step 4 of gastrulation.

Exogastrulation was also observed via Rab35 knockdown, which was also suggested to disturb

whole embryonic cytoskeleton distribution (Remsburg et al., 2021).

Moreover, the vegetal plate bending in step 2 of normally cultured embryos of the sea urchin,

Lytechinus pictus, occurs even when it is surgically isolated (Ettensohn, 1984). It is suggested

that the cells at the archenteron tip should be bottle-shaped (Nakajima and Burke, 1996), and

those around the vegetal plate should be wedge-shaped (Burke et al., 1991) to initiate primary

invagination (Kominamiand Takata, 2004). Additionally, mathematical models of vegetal poles

suggest that primary invagination occurs under the appropriate force conditions for "apical

constriction," "cell tractor," "apical contractile ring," "apicobasal contraction," and "gel

swelling" (Odell et al., 1981; Davidson et al., 1995). However, it is unclear which of these

effects are essential for development after primary invagination (steps 2).

In this study, the roles of the dominant factors in steps 2 and after of sea urchin gastrulation are

determined through experimental analysis and mathematical modeling. First, omeprazole that

was known as the gastric acid suppressant inhibiting the proton pump activity and as to perturbs

left-right asymmetry of sea urchin embryo (Hibino et al., 2006), caused "partial" exogastrulation

without the loss of microtubules in the blastocoel space of *Hemicentrotus pulcherrimus* (HP)

embryos. Second, the intracellular apical-basal polarity of F-actin distribution in vegetal side

cells was stronger than those in animal side cells during the early gastrulation stage (steps 1 and

2), but omeprazole disturbed the polarities in vegetal side. Therefore, the partial exogastrulation

was likely due to anomalous cytoskeletal behaviors. Third, CRISPR-Cas9-mediated knockout of

cytoskeleton-related genes were performed in HP embryos and the apical-basal ratio of

intracellular pH was analyzed using fluorescence imaging. Finally, simulations of morphogenic

processes of normal and omeprazole-treated (exhibiting exogastrulation) HP embryos during the

pre-early gastrulation were performed using a mathematical model utilizing the cytoskeletal

force parameters determined using the above experiments.

2   Results

2.1   Omeprazole treatment of HP embryos caused partial exogastrulation

The HP embryos exposed to omeprazole from immediately after fertilization and through

development exhibited anomalous morphogenesis with partial exogastrulation (Fig. 1). Detailed

comparisons of the structural features around the vegetal pole between the normal (control) and

treated embryos at each gastrulation step were performed. The following steps were designated

based on those defined in a recent report (Kominami and Takata, 2004). In this case, the original

step 3 is not shown because the step 3 was obtained at 21-23 h post fertilization (hpf) that

overlaps step 2 (20-22 hpf) and step 4 (mid-gastrula: 22-24 hpf).

In step 1, control and treated embryos exhibited equivalent vegetal pole thickening (18-20 hpf).

In step 2, primary invagination occurred in both control and treated embryos at the same time.

However, intestinal invagination was slightly shallower in the treated embryos than that in the

control embryos (20-22 hpf). In the mid-gastrula stage (step 4; secondary invagination stage),

treated embryos did not show further elongation of the archenteron into the blastocoel, and the

shape of treated embryos elongated along the animal-vegetal axis (22-24 hpf). Meanwhile, in the

control embryos, the archenteron was further elongated, and the presence of SMCs was obvious.

In the late gastrula stage (step 4; secondary invagination stage), the outward protrusion of the

vegetal pole side was pronounced in the treated embryo (24-26 hpf). The treated embryo had a

comparatively little elongation of the archenteron into the blastocoel compared to the control

embryos. In step 5 (36 hpf), gastrulation was arrested without penetrating the archenteron in the

treated embryos. More than half of treated embryos exhibited "partial" exogastrulation, in which

the tip of the archenteron folded inward due to normal primary invagination, but the remaining

part extended outward during the secondary invagination. Here, the bottom half of archenteron

was stained for endogenous alkaline phosphatase activity in control embryos (Fig. 2a). On the

other hand, in treated embryos showing partial exogastrulation, the part extending outward was

stained (Fig. 2a), indicating that the part corresponding to endodermal tissue was formed at the

outer of these embryos. However, a part of the endodermal tissue was invaginated inward,

suggesting that exogastrulated endodermal tissue may be lifted inward in the treated embryos

after the secondary invagination.

During the secondary invagination phase, SMCs are detached from the tip of the archenteron and

form contractile units; the connection between the archenteron tip and the animal pole tissue via

the SMC and pseudopodia facilitates the traction of the archenteron (Dan and Okazaki, 1956;

Hardin, 1988). In both control and treated embryos, the migration of SMCs from the tip of

archenteron into the blastocoel was observed (Fig. 2b), indicating that the SMCs migrated into

the blastocoel of the treated embryo between step 2 and 4 and pseudopodia were formed in the

blastocoel.

Previously reported exogastrulation was induced by the loss of pseudopodia (Dan and Okazaki,

1956; Hoshi, 1979; Khurrum et al., 2004); therefore, partial exogastrulation in this report was

expected to occur through a different mechanism. On the other hand, whole mount *in situ*

hybridization showed the release of SMCs from archenteron in the treated embryos (Fig. 2b).

This result suggested that further lift of the archenteron into the blastocoel was induced by SMCs

in the treated embryos.

2.2   Omeprazole perturbed cytoskeletal distributions in vegetal side cells

The intracellular distribution of F-actin was analyzed at the primary invagination stage (steps 1

and 2) to reveal the mechanism underlying the morphological changes in the treated embryos at

the secondary invagination stage (step 4). F-actin was visualized via fluorescence imaging using

actinin-GFP where actinin was known as one of F-actin binding proteins (Edlund et al., 2001).

The apical-basal polarity of intracellular F-actin distribution was evaluated by estimating the

fluorescence intensity ratio between the apical and basal sides of cells (Fig. 3a).

Similar apical-basal ratio distributions of the actinin-GFP signal were obtained between the

control and treated embryos, while the ratios gradually increased closer to the vegetal pole in

both embryos at step 1 (Fig. 3b, Fig. S1a, Fig. S2a-d). The distribution of the apical-basal ratios

of actinin-GFP signal intensities in step 2 was similar between control and treated embryos only

in the animal half (Fig. 3c, Fig. S1b, Fig. S2e-h). However, from the equator to the vegetal pole,

the ratio in the control embryos was larger than that in the treated embryos, whereas at the

vegetal pole and its surrounding region, control embryos showed a smaller ratio than the treated

embryos. Therefore, the formation of an anomalous embryonic shape of treated embryos could

correlate with the deviation of apical-basal polarity of F-actin distribution in the vegetal half of

the embryos during primary invagination stage. Meanwhile, omeprazole did not influence the

whole embryonic distribution of fibropellin-1 (Fig. 3d, Fig. S1c), an F-actin scaffold in the apical

lamina of the apical pole in each cell (Nakajima and Burke, 1996; Burke et al., 1998).

Since omeprazole is a proton pump inhibitor, intracellular pH was estimated using a pH

indicator; fluorescent intensity increased as pH decreased. In both control and treated embryos in

step 2, the apical-basal ratio of the pH indicator was greater than 1 (Fig. 3e, Fig. S1d, S3),

indicating that the pH of the apical side of each cell was always lower than that on the basal side.

Additionally, this ratio was higher in the vegetal half than that in the animal half of the control

embryo, while this pattern disappeared in the treated embryo. Thus, the apical-basal polarity of

the pH indicator fluorescent intensity was positively correlated with that of actinin-GFP signal

intensity in whole embryos (Fig. 3c, e).

2.3   Gastrulation starts but does not progress as normal in cytoskeleton

regulator-knockout embryos

CRISPR-Cas9-mediated knockout of the typical enhancer and repressor of F-actin formation,

RhoA and *YAP1* (Beane et al., 2006; Dupont et al., 2011), were performed by microinjection of

Cas9 mRNA and sgRNAs designed (Fig. S4) to elucidate the contribution of F-actin to

gastrulation. Although the mutation frequencies of *RhoA* and *YAP1* knockouts were 55.6 and

77.8%, respectively, and the frameshift rate was 22.3% in either knockout (Fig. S4), gastrulation

stopped at step 2 at the primary invagination stage in *RhoA* or *YAP1* knockout embryos (Fig. 4a);

further extension of the archenteron was not observed in both knockout embryos. Interestingly,

the phenotypes between these knockout embryos were similar, although the gene functions were

different (Fig. S4). Pigmented cells were observed at 45 hpf, indicating that development had not

ceased. This showed that primary invagination occurred even in embryos with mild perturbation

of F-actin function, but subsequent gastrulation processes required appropriate F-actin-derived

forces.

The whole embryonic distribution of average intensity and apical-basal ratios of the pH

indicator were unchanged in *RhoA*-knockout embryos compared with those in the control, and

therefore, perturbation of F-actin polymerization did not affect the pH gradient of the cell (Fig.

4b-c, Fig. S1e-f).

2.4   Mathematical model of embryonic shape formations during early gastrulation

considering cell-dependent apical-basal intracellular polarity of F-actin distribution

A mathematical model of cell motion at the cross-section including animal and vegetal poles

during steps 1-4 of gastrulation was constructed to examine the influence of intracellular F-actin

distribution on the formation of embryonic shapes. The model was constructed based on the

gastrulation model of *Nematostella vectensis* (Tamulonis et al., 2011) that consisted of cells

constructed using springs and beads circularly connected to form a two-dimensional

cross-section of the embryo. The following assumptions were made based on experimentally
known facts:

I) Each embryo contained three cell types as follows: pigment cells (Kimberly and Hardin, 1998;
Kominami and Takata, 2004), wedge cells (Burke et al., 1991), and other cells (Fig. 5). The
pigment cells near the cavity entrance were considered to be bottle-shaped (Kimberly and
Hardin, 1998; Kominami and Takata, 2004) because of the site-specific force in these cells. This
may explain the primary invagination occurring in a surgically isolated vegetal plate (Ettensohn,
1984). In the knockout experiment shown in Fig. 4, partial F-actin function may be enough for
the formation of bottle-shaped pigment cells and subsequent primary invagination. In the present
model, such effect was represented by the fact that the apical side of the pigment cell was
assumed smaller than those of other cells, and the apical side of each wedge cell was assumed
larger than the basal side (Fig. 5a). The apical and basal sizes of cells in the initial state (Fig. 5b)
were determined by those with which the shape of the model after the relaxation of interaction
energy could imitate the embryo shape in step 1 (Fig. 1).

II) The width of the apical and basal sides changed in a cell-dependent manner in control and
treated embryos due to cytoskeletal forces generated by F-actin. In the present model, the F-actin
concentration in each side of the cell was assumed to correlate positively with the cell cortical
force generations that push out each cell side area to stretch tissues due to F-actin polymerization
(Pollard et al., 2000, Footer et al., 2007, Mullins et al., 2013). Thus, the lengths of the apical and
basal sides of the cells, except pigment cells and wedge cells, were determined by referring the
profile of the apical-basal ratio of actinin-GFP in step 2 (Fig. 5a). Here, the elongations of the
apical side of these cells were determined based on the apical-basal ratio of actinin-GFP, and the
length of the basal side was assumed to change oppositely to the apical side to maintain the cell
area.

III) Cell divisions and three-dimensional mutual cell invasions mainly contributing to late
gastrulation were not included because these processes were rarely observed in early gastrulation
(Mizoguchi, 1999). Therefore, the present model described embryo shape dynamics of the
control and treated embryos introducing two-dimensional motions and deformations of the apical
and basal sides in 64 cells (Fig. 5a).

Simulations showed different shapes (Fig. 5b), although each shape was similar to that
experimentally observed at step 4 (Fig. 1). Similar temporal changes in roundness indices (Fig.
5c) of control embryos (0.85 ~ 0.9) and treated embryos (0.75 ~ 0.8) were observed in the
simulated (Fig. 5d, Fig. S5a) and experimental data (Fig. 5e, Fig. S5b). Additionally, the ratio of
apical/basal lengths along the embryos around the vegetal pole obtained at the final time step in
the simulations of both control and the treated model exhibited similar features to those of the
control and treated embryos at step 4 (late gastrula), respectively (Fig. 5f). Here, the control
embryos and control model showed significantly larger ratios than the treated embryos and
treated model, respectively, but there were no significant differences between the observed
embryos and simulation results in both the control and treated conditions.

The simulation where the elongation ratio of the apical side of each cell was a little larger
than that of control was also performed, named "over-polarized embryo" (Fig. 5a, b). The whole
embryonic shape of this model showed in a wider and larger roundness index than the control
embryos (Fig. S5a). This suggested that the site-specific apical-basal ratio polarity of F-actin
distribution in each cell influenced sensitively the whole embryonic shape.

3   Discussion

Omeprazole treatment resulted in abnormal embryo shape with incomplete gastrulation, in
particular, more than half of treated embryos showed partial exogastrulation with outward
extending endodermal tissue (Figs. 1 and 2). Such anomalous morphogenesis was due to the
perturbation of intracellular F-actin distribution in the vegetal half of embryos (Figs. 3 and 5) as
follows:

F-actin concentrations generating cell cortical forces were significantly larger at the apical
side of each cell than that of the basal side in the vegetal half of the control embryos, except for
cells at the vegetal pole. Therefore, the apical planes of wedge cells and other cells in the vegetal
pole side were expected to be significantly wider than the basal planes. Conversely, the apical
planes of cells in the vegetal half of the treated embryo were not significantly wider compared to
the basal sides due to lower apical F-actin levels. Simulation results showed that such expected
features in the vegetal half may lead to normal early gastrulation in control embryos and partial

exogastrulation in treated embryos (Fig. 5). Therefore, appropriate embryonic intracellular apical

F-actin distribution in the vegetal half is required for gastrulation.

Although the present mathematical model assumed that F-actin concentration correlates

positively with the forces elongating each cell periphery to stretch tissues, the force by F-actin

varies both qualitatively and quantitatively depending on its interaction with the environment and

other molecules. At least for the morphogenetic process considered in this study, the employed

assumptions for the relationship between F-actin concentration and the elongation of each side of

the cells were supported by the relationships between the apical and basal lengths in the control

and treated embryo after morphological changes (Fig 5f).

Gastrulation does not proceed when apical lamina is inhibited (Burke et al., 1991). The whole

embryonic distributions of apical lamina along cells were nearly identical between the control

and treated embryos, although large differences were observed between the cell shapes in the

vegetal half and whole embryos. This indicated that the generated force was independent of the

relative amounts of the apical lamina in each cell. Embryos with a partial knockout of

F-actin-regulating factors (*RhoA* or *YAP1*) showed inhibited gastrulation at the primary

invagination step (Fig. 4a, b). This indicated that the secondary invagination was inhibited

without appropriately regulated F-actin, even if the apical lamina existed. Therefore, the apical

lamina may play the role of just the scaffold for apical F-actin, and F-actin generates the force

required for deformation processes driving the secondary invagination of gastrulation and whole

embryonic deformation. Notably, the importance of the role of intracellular F-actin for

gastrulation was also supported by the recent study performing Rab35 knockdown (Remsburg et

al., 2021).

The elongation of the archenteron requires cell divisions and rearrangements (Ettensohn, 1985).

Conversely, in order to focus on the morphogenetical process independent of cell divisions or

those during early gastrula stage with little occurrence of cell divisions, the present mathematical

model did not involve the effects of cell divisions. Therefore, the model could not reproduce cell

division induced morphological processes like elongations of archenteron of normal embryos

and more outward protruding of the part of the elongated archenteron in treated embryos (Fig. 1).

However, the morphological features evaluated by the temporal change in the roundness index

and the lengths of apical and basal sides of the vegetal side of both control and treated embryos,

which should be spatially and temporally little influenced by cell divisions, were well reproduced

in our models (Fig. 5d-f). These facts suggested that not only cell divisions but also the

cytoskeletal forces provide dominant contributions to gastrulation. The considerations of the

model implementing the effects of cell divisions are important and are considered as a future

issue for not only the simulations of whole gastrulation processes but also the considerations of

the regulatory mechanism of post gastrulation processes like left-right asymmetry formations of

embryos as mentioned previously.

The present mathematical models were constructed based on the results of the apical-basal

ratios of actinin-GFP of step 2 to simulate the morphological features of step 3 and beyond (Fig.

5a). It was noted that higher values of the apical-basal ratio of actinin-GFP intensities were

obtained at step 1 than at step 2 (Fig. 3b-c). This may be explained by the fact that the cells at

step 1 are larger than those at step 2 (Fig. 3b-c), because the apical-basal difference between the

molecule concentrations were expected to increase with the increases in apical-basal distance.

Additionally, the absolute values of actinin-GFP intensities in the apical and basal sides of cells

in both control and treated embryos at step 1 were sufficiently smaller than those at step 2 (Fig.

S2). These findings suggest that the contributions of the apical-basal ratios of actinin-GFP

intensities at step 2 were more dominant than those at step 1 for the focused gastrulation

processes.

CRISPR-Cas9-mediated *RhoA*-knockout showed an intermediate level (55.6%) of mutation

frequency (Fig. S4) and the knockout embryos exhibited primary invagination. However, LV

embryos expressing dominant-negative *RhoA* failed to initiate primary invagination (Beane et al.,

2006). Therefore, the contribution of *RhoA* is expected to be considerable also for the primary

invagination, but the extent of the dependency on F-actin function is small and a mechanism

other than the F-actin-related system might be involved in this initial process, at least in HP

embryos. F-actin function may be essential for secondary invagination.

F-actin network formation, generating cell cortical forces, was drastically enhanced with

decreased pH *in vitro* (Köhler et al., 2012). Whole embryonic distributions of apical-basal ratios

of the pH indicator at step 2 was found to correlate positively with those of intracellular

actinin-GFP signal intensities (Fig. 3c, e), suggesting that F-actin concentration was high when
the pH was low at the apical side of cells. Additionally, the apical-basal polarity of F-actin
distribution in the vegetal half cells of the omeprazole-treated embryo decreased to significantly
smaller than those of control embryo (Fig. 3c) while whole embryonic distribution of the apical
lamina did not exhibit any significant changes (Fig. 3d). Conversely, the whole embryonic
distribution of intracellular pH and polarity was unchanged even when F-actin polymerization
was directly perturbed by the knockout of F-actin-regulating factors (Fig. 4d). These facts
suggested that the intracellular pH is a one-sided regulator of F-actin polymerization also in HP
embryos and contributes to the progression of the secondary invagination to form appropriate
apical-basal intracellular polarity of F-actin distribution.

Herein, omeprazole increased the intracellular pH in most cells in the HP embryo (Fig. S6)
conversely from the apical-basal polarities of intracellular pH. Oppositely from the case of HP
embryo, a recent study of the LV embryo reported that omeprazole significantly decreases
intracellular pH (Schatzberg et al., 2015). This suggests the apical-basal polarities of intracellular
pH in LV embryo was increased by omeprazole. The influence of pH on F-actin network
formation and force generation might be a universal biochemical feature as confirmed via both *in*
vitro experiments (Köhler et al., 2012) and the present *in vivo* study. Therefore, omeprazole may
increase the apical-basal polarities of intracellular pH and F-actin concentration in LV embryo
similar to the "over-polarized embryo" model. The over-polarized embryo model exhibited a
wider embryonic shape with a larger roundness index than the control embryos (Fig. 5b, S5b),
which is consistent with LV imaging (Schatzberg et al., 2015). This also supported the
significant contributions of cell position-dependent intracellular F-actin apical-basal
polarizations to early gastrulation.

Omeprazole treatment of sea urchin embryos disrupts the left-right asymmetric formations
of the adult rudiment although gastrulation is completed (Duboc et al., 2005; Hibino et al., 2006;
Bessodes et al., 2012) (Fig. S7). The left-right asymmetric *nodal* gene expression observed
immediately after gastrulation is important for establishing this asymmetry (Duboc et al., 2005).
Disrupted left-right asymmetry in HP is observed at lower (60 ~ 80%) omeprazole
concentrations (Hibino et al., 2006), different to what was observed in the present study. Dilute

omeprazole solutions induced weak perturbation of gut formation in the present study, which
may disrupt cell-cell interactions and regulate whole embryonic *nodal* gene expression.

This report revealed the cell position-dependent regulations of intracellular F-actin
polymerization and polarization by intracellular pH by focusing on the normal and
omeprazole-induced abnormal gastrulation in sea urchins. Frogs, chickens, zebrafish, and
ascidians disrupted left-right asymmetry upon inhibition of H^+/K^+ ion pump activity (Levin et al.,
2002; Kawakami et al., 2005; Shimeld and Levin, 2006). Therefore, omeprazole may disrupt
left-right asymmetry of sea urchin embryo through the inhibition of H^+/K^+ ion pump activity
(Duboc et al., 2005; Hibino et al., 2006; Bessodes et al., 2012), which suggests the involvement
of H^+/K^+ ion pump in intracellular pH distribution regulating F-actin distribution. Due to the high
similarity between H^+/K^+ ion pump and Na^+/K^+ ion pump sequences in sea urchin, however, the
detail features of H^+/K^+ ion pump such as whole embryonic gene expression profiles along the
developmental process and intracellular distributions have yet to be determined; hence further
investigations are warranted to explore the formation mechanism underlying embryonic
position-dependent intracellular states promoting gastrulation. The universal effect of inhibiting
H^+/K^+ ion pump activity on early embryo formation among different animals should also be
determined in the future.

4   Experimental Procedures

4.1   Animals and embryos

Adult Japanese sea urchins (HP) were collected from the Seto Inland Sea or Tateyama Bay. Eggs
and sperms were obtained via coelomic injection of 0.55 M KCl. Fertilized eggs were cultured in
filtered seawater at 16°C.

4.2   Omeprazole treatment

A 100 mM stock solution of omeprazole (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation, Japan)
dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was immediately added to fertilized eggs at a final
concentration of 100 μM and cultured at 16°C.

4.3   Whole mount *in situ* hybridization

Whole mount *in situ* hybridization of glial cells missing (*gcm*) was performed following the
method of Minokawa (2004). Antisense RNA probe of *gcm* was transcribed from a PCR
fragment amplified from the cDNA clone with those primers stated in Table S1. Antisense RNA
probe was synthesized using MEGAscript T7 Transcription Kit (Ambion, USA) with DIG RNA
Labeling Mix (Roche, Germany).

Sea urchin embryos were fixed by fixative III (4% paraformaldehyde, 32.5% filtered seawater,
32.5 mM 3-(N-morpholino) propane sulfonic acid (MOPS) (pH 7.0), 162.5 mM NaCl) for 16 h
at 4 °C. Fixed embryo were preserved in 70% ethanol at -20 °C until use. Embryos were washed
three times with 100 mM MOPS, 500 mM NaCl, and 0.1% Tween20 (MOPS buffer). The
embryos were prehybridized for 3 h in the hybridization buffer containing 70% formamide, 100
mM MOPS (pH 7.0), 500 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween20, 1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (BSA) at
50 °C. For hybridization, 0.1 ng/µl of probe was added to the prehybridized samples, and the
hybridization was performed at 50 °C with shaking for 6 days. After hybridization, the embryos
were washed five times with MOPS buffer. The embryos were then transferred to probe-free
hybridization buffer again at 50 °C for 3 h and washed three times with MOPS buffer. For
blocking, the embryos were incubated in MOPS buffer containing 10 mg/ml BSA for 20 min at
RT, followed by additional incubation in the MOPS buffer containing 10% sheep serum and 1
mg/ml BSA for 30 min at 37 °C. Incubation with a 1:1,500 dilution of the alkaline phosphatase
conjugated Fab fragments (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Indianapolis, IN) in the MOPS
buffer containing 1% sheep serum and 1 mg/ml BSA was performed overnight at 4 °C. Embryos
were washed 5 times every 2 h to remove antibodies with MOPS buffer (the last wash was done
with overnight shaking). The embryos were washed 2 times with alkaline phosphatase buffer
(100 mM Tris HCl (pH 9.5), 50 mM $MgCl_2$, 100 mM NaCl, and 1 mM Levamisole). The
staining reaction was done in the alkaline phosphatase buffer containing 10%
dimethylformamide and NBT (SIGMA, Germany) and BCIP (SIGMA, Germany).

4.4   Alkaline phosphatase staining

Alkaline phosphatase staining of endodermal tissue was performed following Whittaker and
Meedel (1989) with modification. Sea urchin embryos were fixed by fixative III (4%
paraformaldehyde, 32.5% filtered seawater, 32.5 mM 3-(N-morpholino) propane sulfonic acid

(MOPS), pH 7.0, 162.5 mM NaCl) for 1 h at 4 °C. Embryos were washed three times using 0.5

mL 1x phosphate-buffered saline. Embryos were reacted in reaction buffer (100mM Tris-HCL

(pH 9.5), 100mM NaCl, 5mM MgCl2) containing NBT (SIGMA, Germany) and BCIP (SIGMA,

Germany). Embryos showed specific staining when reacted at 4 °C for 48h and then at RT for

6h.

4.5   Live imaging of pH, actinin, and apical lamina

Intracellular pH of sea urchin embryos was visualized using pHrodo Red AM intracellular pH

indicator or 5-(and-6)-carboxy SNARF-1 (C-1270) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) at final

concentrations of 10 and 5 µM, respectively, and stained at 16 °C for 30 min. The embryos were

washed in filtered seawater and observed using confocal microscopy with laser illumination at

555/585 nm excitation/emission for pHrodo and 555/573 m excitation/emission for SNARF-1,

respectively.

Actinin and fibropellin-1 were visualized following the fusion of the proteins with GFP. RNA

was extracted from mesenchyme blastula stage HP embryos using ISOGEN (Nippongene, Japan)

according to the manufacturer's instructions. Actinin- and fibropellin-1-coding sequences were

amplified via RT-PCR using SuperScript™ III Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

USA) with the primers stated in Table S2 and cloned into pGreenLantern2-derived plasmid at

EcoRI and XhoI restriction sites. mRNA (actinin-GFP, fibropellin-1-GFP, in that order) was

synthesized *in vitro* using an mMESSAGE mMACHINE T7 ultra transcription kit (Thermo

Fisher Scientific, USA) and purified using an RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Nederland). mRNA was

microinjected into fertilized eggs as described by Liu et al. (2019).

Fluorescent images were acquired by confocal microscopy using an excitation of 488 nm,

and emission of 515 nm. The images of the animal and vegetal poles of the embryo were

analyzed based on the *z*-stacked image with the largest area by averaging seven *z*-axis images at

a total thickness of 6 µm.

4.6   Quantification of fluorescent signals

Fluorescent images of embryos were acquired according to the angle θ (0°-180°) between the

vegetal and animal poles along the circumference (Fig. 3a, Fig. S7) and transformed into a

band-like image using the "Polar Transformer" function
(https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/plugins/polar-transformer.html) of ImageJ 2.1.0. Each band-like image
was filtered using a median filter (radius = 1.0). The filtered images were binarized using
"Mean" (pHrodo indicator), "IsoData" (actinin), and "Triangle" (fibropellin-1 and SNARF-1)
functions, respectively, to obtain the cellular regions of the embryo. The apical-basal ratios of the
pH indicator, actin-GFP, and fibropellin-1-GFP for θ were determined using average fluorescent
intensities over the regions at a width of 3.126 µm from the apical and basal sides (Fig. 3a, Fig.
S7).

The fluorescent intensity of the pHrodo indicator was defined as the average fluorescent
intensity over the intracellular region at a width of 6.232 µm from the apical side (Fig. S7).
Fluorescent signal intensity values were estimated by calculating the ratio between the observed
fluorescence intensity and the average background fluorescence intensity around the entire
embryo.

F-actin and apical lamina were stained via mRNA microinjections of actinin-GFP and
fibropellin-1-GFP into fertilized eggs. Nonnegligible variations was inevitable in the
concentration of injected mRNA among fertilized egg samples, which would be amplified during
development. Therefore, only the apical-basal ratios were mainly used to evaluate the
intracellular features of F-actin and apical lamina distributions. For the fluorescence intensity of
actinin-GFP in the apical and basal sides of cells, see Fig. S2.

Two data points were obtained for each θ from each fluorescence image of the embryo since
the left and right sides of the embryo were considered axis symmetric against the animal-vegetal
axis. Both data were used to estimate the sample average and 95% confidence interval of each
value, where the number of samples (n) was given as $2 \times$ [number of observed embryos].

4.7   Gene knockout using CRISPR-Cas9

Knock out of endogenous *RhoA* and *YAP1* in HP was performed using the method described by
Liu et al. (2019). The oligonucleotide sequences used for sgRNA preparations are listed in Table
S3.

4.8   Heteroduplex mobility assay (HMA) and DNA sequencing

Analysis of knockout embryos using HMA and DNA sequencing was performed as previously

published by Liu et al. (2019). The primer sequences used to amplify each target region are listed

in Table S4.

4.9   Mathematical model of sea urchin embryos

A two-dimensional particle model describing cellular motion at the cross-section of animal poles

and vegetal poles of sea urchin embryos during steps 1 to 3 of gastrulation was constructed. The

determined number of cells was based on that at the equatorial plane of the embryo at the

blastula stage (Mizoguchi, 1999). The following assumptions were made: 1) each cell was

represented by 16 particles with radii (r) of 1.125 µm, and each embryo was represented by 64

cells connected in a ring (Fig. S8); 2) each cell perimeter was 36 µm and the height and width of

the embryo at step 1 were 110 and 100 µm, respectively, which was consistent with sea urchin

embryo observations (results not shown); 3) the number of cells was constant because cell

divisions and cell invasions from other cross-sections were rarely observed during the primary

invagination stage (Mizoguchi, 1999); 4) the motion of each particle obeyed the overdamped

limit of the equation (1) of motion,

$\frac{\partial X_{i,j}}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\gamma}\frac{\partial V}{\partial X_{i,j}}$ (1)

where $X_{i,j} = (x_{i,j}(t), y_{i,j}(t))$ is the position of the *j*-th particle constructing the *i*-th cell ($i =$

$0,1,2\ldots63$ and $j = 0,1,2\ldots15$) on the x-y plane at time *t* (Fig. 5, Fig. S8), γ is the coefficient of the

drag force acting on each particle, and V is the potential of the entire system; 5) the y-axis was

parallel to the animal-vegetal axis of the embryo model.

We rewrote $\frac{V}{\gamma} = U$ and U was calculated as follows:

$U = U_{bond} + U_{collision} + U_{actin} + U_{adhesion} + U_{ca} + U_{ea}$ (2)

where U_{bond} is the elastic force potential between each neighboring pair of particles in each cell

to maintain each cell perimeter, denoted as follows:

$U_{bond} = \sum_{i=0}^{63}\sum_{j=0}^{15}\frac{k_b}{2}(d_{(i,j),(i,j+1)} - 2r)^2$ (3)

where k_b, $d_{(i,j),(i,j+1)}$, and r are the coefficient of elasticity, the distance between the j-th and j

+1-th particle $(j+1=0$ for $j=15)$ in the i-th cell at time t, and the particle radius,

respectively.

$U_{collision}$ is the potential of excluded volume effects among all particles denoted as follows:

$U_{collision} = \sum_{i<k}\sum_{j<l}\theta(2r - d_{(i,j),(k,l)})\frac{k_c}{2}(2r - d_{(i,j),(k,l)})^2$ (4)

where k_c is the coefficient of repulsion between two particles, and $\theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step

function.

U_{actin} is the elastic force potential to form and sustain cell shape with the expansion and

contraction of the apical and basal sides by the cytoskeleton, denoted as follows:

$U_{actin} = \sum_i \frac{k_a}{2}((d_{(i,10),(i,14)} - l_i^a)^2 + (d_{(i,2),(i,6)} - l_i^b)^2)$ (5)

where the apical and basal sides of the i-th cell consisted of particles with $j = 10 - 14$ and $j = 2 -$

6, respectively. The wideness of the apical and basal sides at time t were given by $d_{(i,10),(i,14)}$

and $d_{(i,2),(i,6)}$, respectively. The basic wideness of the apical and basal sides were given by l_i^a

and l_i^b, respectively. k_a was assumed by the coefficient of elasticity to sustain the wideness of

the apical and basal sides of the cell.

$U_{adhesion}$ is the potential force for cell adhesion by proteins, such as cadherin, denoted as

follows:

$U_{adhesion} = \sum_i \sum_j \frac{k_h}{2}(d_{(i,j),(i+1,k(j))} - 2r)^2$ (6),

where k_h is the coefficient for the adhesive forces between the particles of the i-th and $i + 1$-th

cells $(i + 1 = 0$ for $i = 63)$, and $k(j) \equiv 6, 7, 8, 9, 10$ for $j \equiv 2, 1, 0, 15, 14$, respectively (Fig.

S8).

U_{ca} is the potential of the forces to conserve each cell area, denoted as follows:

$U_{ca} = \sum_i \frac{k_{ca}}{2}(s_i - s_i^{ini})^2$ (7),

where k_{ca} is the coefficient of elasticity required to maintain each cell area. The area of the i-th

cell was estimated by $s_i = \sum_{j=0}^{15}|X_{i,j} - X_i^{cc}|$ with $X_i^{cc} = \frac{1}{16}\sum_{j=0}^{15} X_{i,j}$, and s_i^{ini} referred to s_i

given by $X_{i,j}$ at the initial state.

U_{ea} is the potential of the forces to maintain the area (volume) of the sea urchin embryo,

denoted as follows:

$U_{ea} = \frac{k_{ea}}{2}(S - S^{ini})^2$ (8),

where k_{ea} is the coefficient of elasticity required to maintain embryo area. The area was

estimated by $S = \sum_{i=0}^{63}|X_i^{cc} - X^{ec}|$ with $X^{ec} = \frac{1}{64}\sum_{i=0}^{63} X_i^{cc}$, and S^{ini} referred to S given by

$X_{i,j}$ at the initial state.

U_{ca} and U_{ea} were considered alternatives for the volume-conserving forces in real 3-D cells

and embryos as internal pressures in each cell and embryo were isotropic.

4.10   Simulation method for the mathematical model

The simulations of the present mathematical model were performed through the integrals of the

equation of motion (1) using the Euler method at time intervals of 0.000064 h with conserved

$X^{ec} = (0,0)$. In all models, the parameters k_{bond}, $k_{collision}$, k_{actin}, $k_{adhesion}$, k_{ca}, and k_{ea}

were given empirically as 9375, 6250, 8125, 8125, 625, and 0.00625 hour^{-1}, respectively,

because there were no experiments to measure or estimate them. The qualitative features of the

results were independent of the details of these values if the order was maintained. The model

formed the embryo shape at step 1 of gastrulation if the appropriate cell type-dependent values of

l_i^a and l_i^b, $= l_i^{a,ini}$ and $l_i^{b,ini}$ were given for the models of pigment cells, wedge cells, and

other cells (Fig. 5). This configuration gave the particle positions $X_{i,j}$ at time t = 0 (initial

configuration) in all simulations.

The early gastrulation processes were simulated by the change in l_i^a and l_i^b respectively,

from $= l_i^{a,ini}$ and $l_i^{b,ini}$ to $= l_i^{a,fin}$ and $l_i^{b,fin}$ at time $t = 0$. Here, $l_i^{a,fin}$ and $l_i^{b,fin}$ were

assumed to obey $l_i^{a,fin} + l_i^{b,fin} = l_i^{a,ini} + l_i^{b,ini} =$ constant among cells except pigment cells

based on the expectation that F-actin was constant. The model showed similar structural

behaviors to the early gastrulation as the relaxation process of $d_{(i,10),(i,14)} \rightarrow l_i^{a,fin}$ and

$d_{(i,2),(i,6)} \rightarrow l_i^{b,fin}$.

4.11   Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed independently twice or more with four replicates or more

per experiment. Statistical test was performed using SciPy library (https://www.scipy.org/).

The roundness index of the vegetal side of each embryo was evaluated using the following:

[embryo width half-way between the bottom and middle of the embryo]/[embryo length] (Fig.

5c).

The apical length of embryos around vegetal pole was defined as the length of the curve

along apical side from 1/4 of the height of the whole embryo to a point that this curve crosses

with straight line connecting the bottom of the inner side of the embryo (Fig. 5f). The basal

length was defined as the curve from the bottom of the inner side of the embryo to the point at

which this curve intersects the line that crosses the tangent line of the apical envelope at 1/4 of

the embryo perpendicularly (Fig. 5f). The same definitions were employed to determine the

apical and basal lengths around vegetal pole also for simulation results (Fig. 5f). Each length of

the curve was measured using ImageJ 2.1.0. The number of samples (n) was provided as $2 \times$

[number of observed embryos], and those from the simulation results was considered 2 since the

data were obtained from left side and right side of each model.

Acknowledgments

541 We thank Prof. Masato Kiyomoto (Tateyama Marine Laboratory, Ochanomizu University) for

542 supplying live sea urchins. We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.com) for English

543 language editing.

Conflicts of Interest

545 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial

546 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Author Contributions

K.W., N.S., and A.A. conceived and designed the study; K.W., Y.K., and N.S. conducted the experiments; K.W., Y.Y., M.F., and A.A. analyzed the data; K.W. and A.A. conducted the mathematical model construction and simulations; K.W., N.S., and A.A. wrote the manuscript with support from all authors; T.Y. supervised the work.

Funding

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI [grant, award number: 17K05614, 21K06124 to A.A.], JSPS KAKENHI [grant, award number: 17K07241, 20K06602 to N.S.], JSPS KAKENHI [grant, award number: 19K20382 to M.F], SASAGAWA SCIENTIFIC RESERCH GRANT [award number: 2021-4023, to K.W.], and Hiroshima University Graduate School Research Fellowship, 2021-2024 (InformationAI Field, to K.W.).

Data Availability

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

References

Aihara, M., & Amemiya, S. (2001). Left-right positioning of the adult rudiment in sea urchin larvae is directed by the right side. *Development, 128*(24), 4935-4948. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.128.24.4935

Beane, W.S., Gross, J.M., & McClay, D.R. (2006). RhoA regulates initiation of invagination, but not convergent extension, during sea urchin gastrulation. *Developmental Biology, 292*(1), 213-225. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.12.031

Bessodes, N., Haillot, E., Duboc, V., Röttinger, E., Lahaye, F., & Lepage, T. (2012). Reciprocal signaling between the ectoderm and a mesendodermal left-right organizer directs left-right

determination in the sea urchin embryo. *PLoS Genetics, 8*(12), e1003121.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003121

Burke, R.D., Lail, M., & Nakajima, Y. (1998). The apical lamina and its role in cell adhesion in

sea urchin embryos. *Cell Adhesion and Communication, 5*(2), 97-108.

https://doi.org/10.3109/15419069809040284

Burke, R.D., Moller, D.J., Krupke, O.A., & Taylor, V.J. (2014). Sea urchin neural development

and the metazoan paradigm of neurogenesis. *Genesis, 52*(3), 208-221.

https://doi.org/10.1002/dvg.22750

Burke, R.D., Myers, R.L., Sexton, T.L., & Jackson, C. (1991). Cell movements during the initial

phase of gastrulation in the sea urchin embryo. *Developmental Biology, 146*(2), 542-557.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-1606(91)90255-2

Dan, K., & Okazaki, K. (1956). Cyto-embryological studies of sea urchins. III. Role of the

secondary mesenchyme cells in the formation of the primitive gut in sea urchin larvae. *The*

Biological Bulletin, *110*(1), 29-42. https://doi.org/10.2307/1538890

Davidson, E.H., Rast, J.P., Oliveri, P., Ransick, A., Calestani, C., Yuh, C.H.,... Davidson, E.H.

(2002). A provisional regulatory gene network for specification of endomesoderm in the sea

urchin embryo. *Developmental Biology, 246*(1), 162-190. https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.2002.0635

Davidson, L.A., Koehl, M.A., Keller, R., & Oster, G.F. (1995). How do sea urchins invaginate?

Using biomechanics to distinguish between mechanisms of primary

invagination. *Development, 121*(7), 2005-2018. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.121.7.2005

Duboc, V., Röttinger, E., Lapraz, F., Besnardeau, L., & Lepage, T. (2005). Left-right asymmetry

in the sea urchin embryo is regulated by nodal signaling on the right side. *Developmental*

Cell, 9(1), 147-158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2005.05.008

Dupont, S., Morsut, L., Aragona, M., Enzo, E., Giulitti, S., Cordenonsi, M.,... Piccolo, S. (2011).

Role of YAP/TAZ in mechanotransduction. *Nature, 474*(7350), 179-183.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10137

Edlund, M., Lotano, M.A., & Otey, C.A. (2001). Dynamics of alpha-actinin in focal adhesions

and stress fibers visualized with alpha-actinin-green fluorescent protein. *Cell Motility and the*

Cytoskeleton, 48(3), 190-200.

https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0169(200103)48:3%3C190::AID-CM1008%3E3.0.CO;2-C

Ettensohn, C. A. (1984). Primary invagination of the vegetal plate during sea urchin

gastrulation. *American Zoologist*, *24*(3), 571-588. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/24.3.571

Ettensohn, C. A. (1985). Gastrulation in the sea urchin embryo is accompanied by the

rearrangement of invaginating epithelial cells. *Developmental biology*, *112*(2), 383-390.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-1606(85)90410-5

Footer, M. J., Kerssemakers, J. W., Theriot, J. A., & Dogterom, M. (2007). Direct measurement

of force generation by actin filament polymerization using an optical trap. *Proceedings of the*

National Academy of Sciences, *104*(7), 2181-2186.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0607052104

Gustafson, T., & Kinnander, H. (1956). Microaquaria for time-lapse cinematographic studies of

morphogenesis in swimming larvae and observations on sea urchin gastrulation. *Experimental*

Cell Research, *11*(1), 36-51. https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4827(56)90188-4

Gustafson, T., & Kinnander, H. (1960). Cellular mechanisms in morphogenesis of the sea urchin

gastrula: The oral contact. *Experimental Cell Research*, *21*(2), 361-373.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4827(60)90268-8

Hardin, J. (1988). The role of secondary mesenchyme cells during sea urchin gastrulation studied

by laser ablation. *Development*, *103*(*2*), 317-324. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.103.2.317

Hardin, J. D., & Cheng, L. Y. (1986). The mechanisms and mechanics of archenteron elongation

during sea urchin gastrulation. *Developmental Biology*, *115*(2), 490-501.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-1606(86)90269-1

Hibino, T., Ishii, Y., Levin, M., & Nishino, A. (2006). Ion flow regulates left–right asymmetry in

sea urchin development. *Development Genes and Evolution*, *216*(5), 265.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00427-005-0051-6

Hoshi, M. (1979). Exogastrulation induced by heavy water in sea urchin larvae. *Cell*
Differentiation, *8*(6), 431-436. https://doi.org/10.1016/0045-6039(79)90039-3

Kawakami, Y., Raya, A., Raya, R. M., Rodríguez-Esteban, C., & Belmonte, J. C. I. (2005).
Retinoic acid signalling links left–right asymmetric patterning and bilaterally symmetric
somitogenesis in the zebrafish embryo. *Nature*, *435*(7039), 165-171.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03512

Khurrum, M., Hernandez, A., Eskalaei, M., Badali, O., Coyle-Thompson, C., & Oppenheimer, S.
B. (2004). Carbohydrate involvement in cellular interactions in sea urchin gastrulation. *Acta*
Histochemica, *106*(2), 97-106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acthis.2004.01.001

Kimberly, E.L., & Hardin, J. (1998). Bottle cells are required for the initiation of primary
invagination in the sea urchin embryo. *Developmental Biology, 204*(1), 235-250.
https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.1998.9075

Köhler, S., Schmoller, K. M., Crevenna, A. H., & Bausch, A. R. (2012). Regulating contractility
of the actomyosin cytoskeleton by pH. *Cell Reports*, *2*(3), 433-439.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2012.08.014

Kominami, T., & Takata, H. (2004). Gastrulation in the sea urchin embryo: a model system for
analyzing the morphogenesis of a monolayered epithelium. *Development, Growth &*
Differentiation, *46*(4), 309-326. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-169x.2004.00755.x

Levin, M., Thorlin, T., Robinson, K. R., Nogi, T., & Mercola, M. (2002). Asymmetries in
H+/K+-ATPase and cell membrane potentials comprise a very early step in left-right
patterning. *Cell*, *111*(1), 77-89. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(02)00939-X

Liu, D., Awazu, A., Sakuma, T., Yamamoto, T., & Sakamoto, N. (2019). Establishment of
knockout adult sea urchins by using a CRISPR☐Cas9 system. *Development, Growth &*
Differentiation, *61*(6), 378-388. https://doi.org/10.1111/dgd.12624

Martik, M. L., & McClay, D. R. (2017). New insights from a high-resolution look at gastrulation
in the sea urchin, Lytechinus variegatus. *Mechanisms of Development*, *148*, 3-10.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mod.2017.06.005

McCain, E. R., & McClay, D. R. (1994). The establishment of bilateral asymmetry in sea urchin

embryos. *Development*, *120*(2), 395-404. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.120.2.395

McClay, D. R., Miranda, E., & Feinberg, S. L. (2018). Neurogenesis in the sea urchin embryo is

initiated uniquely in three domains. *Development*, *145*(21), dev167742.

https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.167742

Minokawa, T., Rast, J. P., Arenas-Mena, C., Franco, C. B., & Davidson, E. H. (2004).

Expression patterns of four different regulatory genes that function during sea urchin

development. *Gene Expression Patterns*, *4*(4), 449-456.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.modgep.2004.01.009

Mizoguchi, H. (1999). Cell Numbers in the Gut of the Embryo of the Sea Urchin Hemicentrotus

pulcherrimus. *Zoological Science*, *16*(2), 341-344. https://doi.org/10.2108/zsj.16.341

Nakajima, Y., & Burke, R. D. (1996). The initial phase of gastrulation in sea urchins is

accompanied by the formation of bottle cells. *Developmental Biology*, *179*(2), 436-446.

https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.1996.0273

Nájera, G. S., & Weijer, C. J. (2020). Cellular processes driving gastrulation in the avian

embryo. *Mechanisms of Development*, *163*, 103624. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mod.2020.103624

Odell, G. M., Oster, G., Alberch, P., & Burnside, B. (1981). The mechanical basis of

morphogenesis: I. Epithelial folding and invagination. *Developmental Biology*, *85*(2), 446-462.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-1606(81)90276-1

Oliveri, P., & Davidson, E. H. (2004). Gene regulatory network controlling embryonic

specification in the sea urchin. *Current Opinion in Genetics & Development*, *14*(4), 351-360.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2004.06.004

Pollard, T. D., Blanchoin, L., & Mullins, R. D. (2000). Molecular mechanisms controlling actin

filament dynamics in nonmuscle cells. *Annual review of biophysics and biomolecular*

structure, *29*(1), 545-576.

Pollard, T. D., & Cooper, J. A. (2009). Actin, a central player in cell shape and
movement. *Science*, *326*(5957), 1208-1212. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1175862

Remsburg, C., Testa, M., & Song, J. L. (2021). Rab35 regulates skeletogenesis and gastrulation
by facilitating actin remodeling and vesicular trafficking. *Cells and Development*, *165*, 203660.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cdev.2021.203660

Schatzberg, D., Lawton, M., Hadyniak, S. E., Ross, E. J., Carney, T., Beane, W. S.,... Bradham,
C. A. (2015). H+/K+ ATPase activity is required for biomineralization in sea urchin
embryos. *Developmental Biology*, *406*(2), 259-270. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2015.08.014

Shimeld, S. M., & Levin, M. (2006). Evidence for the regulation of left☐right asymmetry in
Ciona intestinalis by ion flux. *Developmental dynamics: an official publication of the American*
Association of Anatomists, *235*(6), 1543-1553. https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.20792

Shindo, A. (2018). Models of convergent extension during morphogenesis. *Wiley*
Interdisciplinary Reviews: Developmental Biology, *7*(1), e293. https://doi.org/10.1002/wdev.293

Stower, M. J., & Bertocchini, F. (2017). The evolution of amniote gastrulation: the
blastopore☐primitive streak transition. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Developmental*
Biology, *6*(2), e262. https://doi.org/10.1002/wdev.262

Takemoto, A., Miyamoto, T., Simono, F., Kurogi, N., Shirae☐Kurabayashi, M., Awazu, A.,...
Sakamoto, N. (2016). Cilia play a role in breaking left–right symmetry of the sea urchin
embryo. *Genes to Cells*, *21*(6), 568-578. https://doi.org/10.1111/gtc.12362

Tamulonis, C., Postma, M., Marlow, H. Q., Magie, C. R., de Jong, J., & Kaandorp, J. (2011). A
cell-based model of Nematostella vectensis gastrulation including bottle cell formation,
invagination and zippering. *Developmental Biology*, *351*(1), 217-228.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.10.017

Warner, J. F., & McClay, D. R. (2014). Left–right asymmetry in the sea urchin. *Genesis*, *52*(6),
481-487. https://doi.org/10.1002/dvg.22752

701 Whittaker, J. R., & Meedel, T. H. (1989). Two histospecific enzyme expressions in the same

702 cleavage☐arrested one☐celled ascidian embryos. *Journal of Experimental Zoology*, *250*(2),

703 168-175. https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.1402500208

704 Yaguchi, S., Yaguchi, J., Angerer, R.C., Angerer, L.M., & Burke, R.D. (2010). TGFβ signaling

705 positions the ciliary band and patterns neurons in the sea urchin embryo. *Developmental*

706 *Biology,* 347(1), 71-81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.08.009

 Figure Legends

Figure 1. Developmental stages of control and omeprazole-treated HP embryos. Typical
bright-field images of the gastrulation process (steps 1, 2, 4, and 5) of sea urchin embryos (scale
bars: 30 µm). Arrows indicate vegetal pole positions. Gastrulation did not progress after step 2 in
the treated embryos, and the outward protrusion of the vegetal pole side was more pronounced at
step 4. In step 5, gastrulation stopped incompletely without penetrating the archenteron, and
"partial" exogastrulation was observed in more than half of treated embryos (bellow image). #
and #' refer to the total number of sampled embryos and number of embryos with similar shape
to the image, respectively.

Figure 2. Visualization of endodermal tissue and SMCs. (a) Endodermal tissue was stained
for endogenous alkaline phosphatase (red arrows) in control (left) and treated embryos (right) in
step 5, where stained part located inner region in control embryos while stained located outer
region in treated embryos (scale bars: 30 µm). (b) Typical locations SMCs in treated embryo in
step 4 where *gcm*, the marker of SMC, was stained (red arrow) (scale bars: 30 µm). # and #'
refer to the total number of sampled embryos and number of embryos clearly stained similar to
the image, respectively.

Figure 3. Whole embryonic distributions of actinin-GFP, fibropellin-1-GFP, and pH. (a)
Definitions of angle θ (0°-180°) from the vegetal pole (0°) to the animal pole (180°) along the
circumference of the embryo cross-section and apical and basal sides of cells (See also Fig. S7)
of confocal fluorescence microscopy images determined via actinin (actinin-GFP: green)
intensity of embryos. **(b-e)** Average and 95% confidence intervals (error bars) of apical-basal
ratios at angle θ obtained by n samples (left), and typical confocal fluorescence microscopy
images (scale bars: 30 µm) (right) of actinin-GFP intensities at step 1 **(b)** and step 2 **(c)**,
fibropellin-1-GFP intensities at step 2 **(d)**, and pH indicator intensities at step 2 **(e)**. In left figs,
blue and orange curves and bars represent the control and treated embryo values, respectively,
where gray bars indicate significantly different average values between the control and treated
embryos according to Welch's *t*-test ($p < 0.05$) (see also Fig. S1). Magenta curves in the right
panel of (**c**) were included along the region with significant differences between the values of the
control and treated embryos. The correlation coefficients of apical-basal ratios between

actinin-GFP intensities **(c)** and pH indicator intensities **(e)** in control and treated embryos were

0.56 and 0.64, respectively.

Figure 4. Effect of F-actin regulator-knockout on gastrulation.

(a) Bright-field images of gastrulation in control, *RhoA*-knockout, and *YAP1*-knockout embryos

at selected time-points. PMCs and pigment cells were observed in all embryos suggesting that

development did not stop. The knockout embryos did not form the structure like prism larva

observed at 45 hpf in the control embryo (scale bars: 30 µm). # and #' refer to the total number

of sampled embryos and number of embryos with similar shape to the image, respectively. **(b-c)**

Average fluorescence intensities (arbitrary fluorescence units) and 95% confidence intervals

(error bars) of intracellular pH indicator **(b)** and apical-basal ratio of pH indicator **(c)** of

RhoA-knockout embryos and control embryos as a function of angle θ. The indications of colors

and θ are stated in Figures 3 and S1.

Figure 5. Coarse-grained model and simulation of control, treated, and over-polarized

embryos. (a) Modeled cell lengths of apical and basal sides of control, treated, and

over-polarized embryos; $l_i^{a,fin}$ and $l_i^{b,fin}$ refer to the final length of the apical and basal sides

of the *i*-th cell, respectively (see Materials and methods). Distributions of the final length of the

former two models were determined based on the distributions of apical-basal ratios of

actinin-GFP intensities from control and treated embryos in step 2. The top center panel was a

modification of Fig. 3d. Red, green, and blue circles in the top panel and panel **(b)** represent

pigment cells, wedge cells, and other cells, respectively. **(b)** Snapshots of the initial embryo

shape at 18 and 26 hpf of the three models. **(c)** Definition of the roundness of the vegetal side of

embryos from simulation results and imaging. **(d-e)** Roundness indices of vegetal sides of

modeled control and treated embryos **(d)** and experimentally determined values (e). Blue and

orange # refer to the sampling number of control and treated embryos at each time point, error

bars indicated 95% confidence intervals, and * indicated that the roundness of control embryos

was significantly larger than that of treated embryos according to Welch's t-test ($p < 0.05$, see

Fig. S5b). (**f**) The ratio of apical/basal lengths obtained by experimental and simulation data, and

p-values of Welch's t-test between the two types of data, where * and N. S. between two box

plots indicates $p < 0.05$ and $p > 0.05$, respectively. Embryos at step 4 (late gastrula) and

simulation final step were compared.

Control embryo

Step 1 (18-20 hpf)

#' / # = 40 / 40

Step 2 (20-22 hpf)

#' / # = 38 / 38

Step 4 (22-24 hpf)
(Mid-gastrula)

#' / # = 43 / 47

Step 4 (24-26 hpf)
(late gastrula)

#' / # = 39 / 39

Step 5 (36 hpf)

#' / # = 48 / 49

Treated embryo

Step 1 (18-20 hpf)

#' / # = 37 / 37

Step 2 (20-22 hpf)

#' / # = 54 / 57

Step 4 (22-24 hpf)
(Mid-gastrula)

#' / # = 44 / 46

Step 4 (24-26 hpf)
(late gastrula)

#' / # = 52 / 56

Step 5 (36 hpf)

#' / # = 26 / 55

Partial exogastrulation

#' / # = 29 / 55

(a) Step 5: Alkaline phosphatase

Control embryo

Treated embryo

#' / # = 37 / 42

#' / # = 44 / 65

(b) Step 4: GCM

Treated embryo

#' / # = 52 / 70

(c) Control (n = 34)　Treated (n = 34)

Actinin-GFP

Control embryo　Treated embryo

(d) Control (n = 46) Treated (n = 42)

Fibropellin-1-GFP

Control embryo   Treated embryo

(a)

	25 hpf	29 hpf	45 hpf
Control embryo	#' / # = 45 / 46	#' / # = 38 / 38	#' / # = 24 / 24
RhoA-KO embryo	#' / # = 15 / 36	#' / # = 8 / 23	#' / # = 12 / 25
YAP1-KO embryo	#' / # = 12 / 30	#' / # = 9 / 24	#' / # = 17 / 37

(a) Embryo model

🔴🔴🔴🔴🔴 Pigment cells (vegetal plate)

🟢🟢🟢 Wedge cells

🔵🔵🔵🔵🔵 Other cells

$i = 32$

$\theta°$ $\theta°$

Cell index (i)

$i = 63$ $i = 0$

i : Cell index

Vegetal   Animal

Control (n = 34)

Treated (n = 34)

Step 2

Control apical ($l_i^{a,fin}$)

Control basal ($l_i^{b,fin}$)

Treated apical ($l_i^{a,fin}$)

Treated basal ($l_i^{b,fin}$)

Over-polarized apical ($l_i^{a,fin}$)

Over-polarized basal ($l_i^{b,fin}$)

Apical-basal ratio of actinin-GFP

$\theta°$

Apical-basal length of model cells (µm)

i

$\theta°$

(b) Simulated initial embryo shape at 18 hpf

Simulated embryo shapes at 26 hpf

Control embryo　　　Treated embryo　　　Over-polarized embryo

30 μm

(c)

$$\text{Roundness} = \frac{[\text{Width of embryo at 1/4 from vegetal pole}]}{[\text{Length of embryo}]}$$

$$= \frac{[\text{Width}]}{[\text{Distance}]}$$

(f)

Basal length

Basal length

Half

Quarter

Apical length

Apical length

Line connecting bottoms of inside

p-value	Control (Experiment)	Treated (Experiment)	Control (Model)	Treated (Model)
Control (Experiment)		0.0002	0.3285	0.0194
Treated (Experiment)	0.0002			0.0358	0.4047
Control (Model)	0.3285	0.03658		0.0391
Treated (Model)	0.0194	0.4047	0.0391	