Cryo-ET of HIV reveals Env positioning on Gag lattice and structural variation among Env trimers

Vidya Mangala Prasad[1], Daniel P. Leaman[4], Klaus N. Lovendahl[1], Mark A. Benhaim[1]†, Edgar A. Hodge[1], Michael B. Zwick[4] and Kelly K. Lee[1,2,3]*

1. Department of Medicinal Chemistry, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA.

2. Biological Physics, Structure and Design Graduate Program, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA.

3. Department of Microbiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA.

4. Department of Immunology and Microbiology, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA

*Correspondence to: kklee@uw.edu

†Current Address: Alkermes, Inc., 900 Winter St, Waltham, MA 02451, USA.

Summary:

HIV-1 Env mediates viral entry into host cells and is the sole target for neutralizing antibodies. However, Env structure and organization in its native virion context has eluded detailed characterization. Here we used cryo-electron tomography to analyze Env in mature and immature HIV-1 particles. Immature particles showed distinct Env positioning relative to the underlying Gag lattice, providing insights into long-standing questions about Env incorporation. A 9.1Å sub-tomogram averaged reconstruction of virion-bound Env in conjunction with structural mass spectrometry revealed unexpected features, including a variable central core of the gp41 subunit,

heterogeneous glycosylation between protomers plus a flexible stalk that allows Env tilting and

variable exposure of neutralizing epitopes. Together, our results provide an integrative

understanding of HIV assembly and structural variation in Env antigen presentation.

Keywords: HIV Env glycoprotein, HIV assembly, Gag-Env interaction, Cryo-electron

tomography, sub-tomogram averaging, structural mass spectroscopy.

Introduction:

Human Immunodeficiency Virus-1 (HIV-1) continues to infect nearly two million people

worldwide each year, with no available vaccine against the virus (Pandey and Galvani 2019). The

envelope glycoprotein (Env) on the surface of HIV-1 is an essential viral entry machine that

mediates binding to host cell receptors and subsequent membrane fusion. As the sole target for

neutralizing antibodies, Env is also of singular importance for vaccine design efforts (van Gils and

Sanders 2013). Env is translated as a precursor gp160 protein, which trimerizes, and is cleaved

into gp120 and gp41 subunits that are primarily responsible for receptor binding and fusion,

respectively. The gp120 and gp41 subunits remain as non-covalently associated heterodimers with

gp41 embedded in the viral membrane via its transmembrane domain (TMD) and the C-terminal

cytoplasmic domain (CTD) in the viral lumen. On incorporation into budding virions, Env CTD

interacts with the matrix domain (MA) of immature Gag polyprotein which assembles as a

membrane-associated lattice on the inner side of the viral bilayer (Checkley, Luttge et al. 2011,

Tedbury and Freed 2014).

Recent insights into Env structure have primarily come from studies of engineered,

truncated forms of the trimer ectodomain (such as SOSIPs) (Ward and Wilson 2015, Stewart-

Jones, Soto et al. 2016), while details of Env structure in its viral membrane context, including its

organization relative to other viral components, has remained elusive. In order to understand how

Env is assembled on virions and how it differs structurally from soluble trimers, we carried out

cryo-electron tomography (cryo-ET) with sub-tomogram averaging, and structural mass

spectrometry analysis of native Env displayed on immature and mature Gag-bearing HIV-1 virus-

like particles. These studies advance our knowledge of native Env via insights gained from cryo-

ET of intact viral particles.

Results and Discussion:

Virion particles bearing ADA.CM Env, a variant of subtype B isolate ADA that was

selected for stability (Leaman and Zwick 2013), were produced in human HEK 293T cells as

previously described (Stano, Leaman et al. 2017) (Figure 1A, B). These membrane enveloped

virus-like particles, referred to as high Env (h)VLPs, display elevated levels of Env trimers that

are fully functional for mediating receptor binding and entry. Env on hVLPs retain the antigenic

profile of full-length Env (Figure S1 and Table S1), despite a C-terminal truncation of 102 amino

acids in CTD (Stano, Leaman et al. 2017). hVLPs assemble around a functional Gag layer that

undergoes proteolytic maturation and thus, have the composition of authentic HIV-1 virions, but

are replication incompetent because the packaged genome lacks the Env gene (Leaman and Zwick

2013, Stano, Leaman et al. 2017). Purified hVLPs were treated with aldrithiol-2 (AT-2), which

interrupts nucleocapsid interaction with RNA, to ensure non-infectivity (Rossio, Esser et al. 1998).

Antibody binding experiments showed that the antigenicity of hVLP-Env was unaffected by AT-

2 treatment (Figure S2), suggesting the hVLP-Env trimer conformation is unaltered by AT-2,

which is in contrast to a recent report for a neutralization sensitive HIV Env (Li, Li et al. 2020).

The high density of surface Env on hVLPs allowed us to gather sufficient cryo-ET data to obtain

a 9.1Å resolution structure of native Env in its authentic context on virus-like particles (Figure 1C

and S3).

Env structure from immature particles reveal interactions with Gag

Though the hVLPs in our sample population were predominantly mature, we also observed

particles at different stages of maturation (Figure 1D). Approximately 3% of the particles were

fully immature, exhibiting a clear, assembled Gag lattice beneath the viral membrane (Figure 1A,

D). Env sub-tomogram volumes from only immature particles were averaged together to give

density maps at ~31 Å (C3 symmetry) and ~34 Å (C1 symmetry) (Figure 2A and S4). The Env

structure from immature particles closely resembles the sub-nanometer Env structure generated

from the total hVLP population (Figure 1C) and other reported Env ectodomain structures (Kwon,

Pancera et al. 2015, Ward and Wilson 2015). In the averaged immature Env map, a third density

layer is seen underneath the membrane bilayer corresponding to the Gag lattice position (Figure

1A, 2A, B and Figure S4B, C). Focused refinement of this internal third layer revealed a distinct

structure that clearly resembles a lattice formed by the capsid domain (CA) of immature Gag

polyprotein (Figure 2B and Figure S4D) (Schur, Hagen et al. 2015). Thus, structures of trimeric

Env ectodomain (Pan, Peng et al. 2020) and hexameric Gag-CA (Schur, Hagen et al. 2015) were

fitted as rigid bodies into corresponding densities in the averaged map (Figure 2C). No clear

density for the Env TMD-CTD was observed in the averaged structure, even though the TMD is

seen in individual raw tomograms (Figure 2C), suggesting that the TMD is not strictly aligned

with the ectodomain or Gag-CA. The structure of the TMD-CTD (PDB ID: 6UJV) was thus placed

into the map based on its position relative to the Env ectodomain (Figure 2C) (Piai, Fu et al. 2020).

The N-terminal MA domain of immature Gag polyprotein assembles along the inner

membrane leaflet as a hexameric lattice of trimers (Frank, Narayan et al. 2015, Tedbury, Novikova

et al. 2016) and is connected by a flexible linker to the Gag-CA lattice underneath (Tedbury and

Freed 2014). Although regularly spaced puncta corresponding to the Gag-MA domain can be

discerned directly underneath the inner leaflet and Env ectodomains in immature hVLP tomograms

(Figure 2C), distinguishable density for the Gag-MA layer was not seen in the averaged immature

map implying that the Gag-MA lattice (Tedbury and Freed 2014, Frank, Narayan et al. 2015,

Tedbury, Novikova et al. 2016), may have subtle variations in arrangement that deviate from Gag-

CA or Env symmetry axes. Hence, based on the expected position of Gag-MA relative to the Gag-

CA lattice (Frank, Narayan et al. 2015, Tedbury, Novikova et al. 2016), the MA lattice structure

was placed into the map (Figure 2C). As a result, juxtaposition of Env CTD and Gag-MA occurs

right at the bottom of the inner membrane as would be expected from the raw tomograms (Figure

2C). From this composite model, the relative position of Env CTD, when viewed normal to the

membrane, is on the rim of the hexameric Gag-CA lattice along its 2-fold axis (Fig2D). This

observation can also be corroborated in the raw tomograms (Figure 2E). Thus, the trimeric Env

CTD does not lie in the central hole formed by the immature Gag lattice as has been hypothesized

(Tedbury and Freed 2014, Tedbury, Novikova et al. 2016), but instead is positioned on its

hexameric rim (Figure 2D, F). In this configuration, the modeled Env CTD makes direct contact

with critical residues on MA previously shown to be necessary for Env incorporation in virions

(Figure 2F) (Tedbury and Freed 2014, Tedbury, Novikova et al. 2016).

Rearrangement of MA layer occurs during maturation

In immature particles, the Gag-MA layer is consistently arrayed underneath the inner membrane (Figure 1A, D and 2C), whereas in mature particles where the Gag polyprotein has been proteolytically cleaved, the MA layer appears fragmented (Figure 2G), indicating that MA rearranges during particle maturation. Notably, Env trimers in the mature particles are not colocalized with the MA layer (Figure 2G). Given this ultrastructural reorganization following maturation, it seems possible that disruption of membrane-associated Gag lattices may be a prerequisite for Env trimers to gain necessary mobility to mediate membrane fusion (Wyma, Jiang et al. 2004, Roy, Chan et al. 2013). These structural changes may also provide sufficient membrane pliability for remodeling during viral fusion, similar to matrix layer disruptions seen in other viruses such as influenza virus (Gui, Ebner et al. 2016).

Sub-nanometer HIV-1 Env structure shows a conformationally variable gp41 subunit

A total of 32802 sub-volumes were used to reconstruct a C3-symmetric sub-tomogram averaged structure of hVLP-Env with local resolution ranging between 5.7 -12 Å and a global resolution of 9.1 Å (Figure 1C, 3A, B and Figure S3) (Table 1). Classification of the sub-volumes did not yield any other distinct conformational class, indicating that the hVLP-Env were in a predominantly closed, pre-fusion state. The Env ectodomain connects to the membrane via a thin tripod stalk (Figure 1C and 3B). Though the TMD can be observed spanning the membrane layer in raw tomograms (Figure 1A), no evidence of TMD is seen in the sub-tomogram averaged structure (Figure 3B), similar to the Env structure from immature hVLPs.

Hydrogen-deuterium exchange mass-spectroscopy (HDX-MS) analysis of hVLP-Env from intact particles showed that key fiducial peptides which report on trimer integrity (Guttman, Kahn

et al. 2012, Guttman, Garcia et al. 2014), were well-protected in hVLP-Env (Figure 3C),

confirming its closed, pre-fusion conformation. Relative to standard HDX-MS experimental

approaches, we were able to improve peptide coverage by performing deuterium exchanges under

native conditions but solubilizing the surface protein using detergent under quench conditions

(Figure S5). Comparison of hVLP-Env with BG505.SOSIP showed that cognate peptides

throughout the trimers had similar exchange profiles (Figure S6), indicating that they both have

comparable global conformations. However, the hVLP-Env trimer on virions exhibited greater

exchange protection, indicating it is in general more structurally ordered than the engineered

soluble trimer (Figure 3C and S6). Indeed, in terms of gp120 subunit organization, hVLP-Env has

a global architecture that closely resembles previously published Env structures (Kwon, Pancera

et al. 2015, Ward and Wilson 2015, Lee, Ozorowski et al. 2016, Pan, Peng et al. 2020). This is

confirmed by good agreement seen in fitting the atomic structure of detergent extracted full-length

Env (PDB ID: 6ULC) into the sub-tomogram averaged map (Figure 3A, B).

Differences in gp41's terminal HR2 helix and stalk influence MPER surface exposure

Significant differences relative to available structures are evident, however, in the gp41

subunit of hVLP-Env. In nearly all known Env structures, the HR2 helix has a long, rod-like

conformation with Asp664 forming its distal tip (Kwon, Pancera et al. 2015, Ward and Wilson

2015). In contrast, in hVLP-Env, Gln-653 forms the distal tip of the HR2 helix, making the helix

length 10 amino acids shorter (Figure 3B). The remaining residues in the C-terminal portion of

HR2 helix bend and form a thin stalk connecting the ectodomain to the membrane (Figure 3B).

Apart from hVLP-Env, this bend in HR2 helix has only been observed in the full-length, detergent-

solubilized Env structure derived from strain 92UG037.8 (Pan, Peng et al. 2020). In the presence

of membrane, we observe that the thin stalks, corresponding to Env residues 654-664, form a tripod

that elevates the ectodomain ~10 Å above the membrane (Figure 3B). As a result, the bulk of the

MPER (Membrane-Proximal External Region) (residues 660-683), which is a desirable vaccine

target of some of the most broadly neutralizing HIV-1 antibodies isolated to date (Gray, Madiga

et al. 2009, Rantalainen, Berndsen et al. 2020), is primarily embedded within the membrane in our

structure.

The complete MPER peptide is unresolved in all reported structures of trimeric Env, owing

to its membrane proximal location and/or possible variations in its conformation. Current

knowledge of MPER structure is only derived from constructs without the Env ectodomain

included (Fu, Shaik et al. 2018, Piai, Fu et al. 2020). In a recently published structure of Env from

BaL-1 virions, MPER was indicated to form part of the stalk connecting Env ectodomain to the

membrane (Li, Li et al. 2020), which contrasts with the membrane embedded MPER location

identified in our structure (Figure S7). However, the embedded nature of the MPER in our map is

in agreement with that inferred from other solubilized full-length Env structures and biophysical

studies on binding of MPER-targeted antibodies to HIV-1 Env (Kim, Sun et al. 2011, Lee,

Ozorowski et al. 2016, Wang, Kaur et al. 2019, Rantalainen, Berndsen et al. 2020). Analyzing Env

structures from different HIV strains shows that the general position of the bulk of the ectodomain

is ~10-12 Å above the interpreted membrane surface in all cases (Figure S7). However, differences

arise in the position of HR2 helix and subsequent MPER sequence leading to variability in MPER

presentation and accessibility above the membrane amongst HIV-1 strains.

A flexible gp41 stalk allows Env orientational freedom and MPER epitope exposure

In our tomographic data, we observe tilting of hVLP-Env with respect to the membrane

(Figure 4A), which leads to a lower density level for the stalk in our sub-tomogram averaged map

(Figure 4B). Thus, the tripod stalk of Env is flexible in its native state, allowing differential

sampling of MPER and other membrane-proximal epitopes. Although tilting or lifting of Env from

the membrane on binding to MPER targeted antibody 10E8 (Lee, Ozorowski et al. 2016,

Rantalainen, Berndsen et al. 2020) and gp120-gp41 interface targeting antibody 35O22 (Huang,

Kang et al. 2014) has been suggested, our results provide the first direct evidence of Env tilting on

native membrane in its natural, unliganded state.

Sensitivity to MPER broadly neutralizing antibodies (bnAbs) has been shown to be

impacted by Env stability in its prefusion state (Kim, Leaman et al. 2014), with increased

neutralization observed after cellular receptor engagement (Frey, Peng et al. 2008, Chakrabarti,

Walker et al. 2011). Based on the structural data discussed above, we envision that local structure

of HR2, height of the Env ectodomain above membrane, and propensity of Env tilting have

additional impact on Env sensitivity or resistance to MPER bnAbs. To test this hypothesis, we

conducted comparative neutralization assays using MPER bnAbs against HIV-1 strains whose Env

structures have been determined. As anticipated from the structural data, amongst the three viruses,

ADA.CM hVLP, BaL-1 and BG505, ADA.CM was overall more resistant to MPER bnAbs (Figure

4C and Table S2). Neutralization and mutational analysis of proximal residues in ADA.CM that

contribute to trimer stability (Figure S8) showed modest individual effects but none were

individually responsible for ADA.CM's enhanced resistance to MPER bnAbs (Figure S8 and

Table S3).

Structural variation in gp41's HR1-C helical bundle suggests a conformationally variable central core

Another prominent difference in the gp41 subunit of hVLP-Env is absence of complete density for the central C-terminal HR1 helices (HR1-C) (Figure 5A). The HR1-C helices comprised of amino acid residues 570-595 have been a hallmark of high resolution Env SOSIP structures with the central trimeric bundle composed of a HR1-C helix from each of the gp41 domains. However, in our structure, only partial density for the HR1-C helix can be observed corresponding to gp41 residues 570-577 and 582-595 (Figure 5A). Classification of Env sub-volumes with a tight cylindrical mask for the gp41 region did not yield any subset with higher density for HR1-C helices in our data. Considering that our sub-tomogram averaged map has a local resolution range for the ectodomain between 5.7-12 Å (Figure S3), it is clear from comparisons with other cryo-EM maps at similar resolutions, that the absence of complete HR1-C helix density in our structure is not an effect of map resolution (Figure S9).

To ascertain whether the observed HR1-C density was affected by applied symmetry to the map, we calculated an asymmetric structure of hVLP-Env at 10.7 Å resolution (Figure S3 and Table 1). The asymmetric structure (Figure 5B) appears overall similar to the three-fold symmetrized map (Figure 5A). Partial density for the HR1-C helices is consistently present across all three protomers in the asymmetric structure, with near-complete density for HR1-C observed in one protomer (Figure 5B).

HDX-MS analysis provides additional insight into the state of this key structural motif. Peptides in HR1-C helix (residues 570-579 and 583-593) are more protected in hVLPs than in BG505.SOSIP (Figure 5C), indicating increased backbone hydrogen bonding interactions in corresponding residues. Taken together, the sub-tomogram averaged structures and HDX-MS

231 analysis shows that the peptides in HR1-C region exist in a stable, ordered conformation in hVLP-

232 Env even though they do not rigidly conform to Env's global three-fold structural symmetry.

An extensive and heterogenous glycan shield in hVLP-Env protects critical epitopes

The hVLP-Env structure displays distinguishable density features for N-linked glycans that

decorate the ectodomain surface. In contrast to most available structures where density near to

glycosylation sites often covers only the core GlcNAc sugars, in our unliganded hVLP-Env

structure, extended electron density for glycan moieties is observed across the protein. Resolved

density for multiple canonical glycan positions in gp120 and gp41 subunits extends beyond the

range of current structural models, revealing the extent of the 'glycan shield' (Figure 6A) (Wei,

Decker et al. 2003). Glycans surrounding a number of key bnAb epitopes can be identified in the

unliganded Env map, which reveal their orientations in the natural, antibody-naïve state so as to

occlude underlying epitopes.

At the CD4 receptor binding site (CD4bs) in hVLP-Env, overlaying the previously

determined Fab (Fragment antigen binding) structure of VRC01 (CD4bs bnAb) (Stewart-Jones,

Soto et al. 2016) in its binding orientation, highlights possible clashes with nearby glycans N197,

N276, N361 and N461 (Figure 6B). These glycans have also been shown to overlap with the

VRC01 epitope using molecular dynamic studies, but previously determined structures using

SOSIPs resolved only short glycan chains at these sites (except for N276) resulting in minimal

apparent glycan interactions with the bnAb (Stewart-Jones, Soto et al. 2016). In hVLP-Env,

extended density for these multiple glycans can be seen to clearly deter binding of CD4 epitope-

directed nAbs (Figure 6B). These observations are corroborated in VRC01 based neutralization

assays which show that hVLP-Env is substantially more resistant than BaL-1 Env (Figure 6B and

Table S2), whose structure lacks extended glycosylation around this site (Li, Li et al. 2020).

Indeed, removal of N276 and N461 glycans has been demonstrated to facilitate engagement of

germline precursor antibodies for VRC01-like nAbs that normally do not interact strongly with

natively glycosylated Env (McGuire, Hoot et al. 2013).

Similarly, long-range extended densities for N-linked glycans are observed in the gp41

domain corresponding to residues N262, N448, N611 and N637. The close spacing of N262/N448

to N611 likely orders them such that they are visible in the averaged map (Figure 6A, C).

Collectively, these glycans form a protective barrier over the fusion peptide (FP) in hVLP-Env

(Figure 6C). Env's FP is essential for viral cell entry but is surprisingly exposed to solution and

highly dynamic by HDX-MS analysis (Figure 5C). Mapping the Fab structure of FP targeting

bnAb, PGT151 (Lee, Ozorowski et al. 2016), onto its corresponding epitope on hVLP-Env shows

how the glycans would need to be displaced in order for the antibody to bind (Figure 6C). Though

hVLPs are neutralized by PGT151, they are relatively resistant compared to other strains (Figure

6C and Table S2), suggesting that glycan occlusion may impact neutralization by FP targeted

bnAbs as has been reported (Stewart-Jones, Soto et al. 2016).

Extensive glycan densities also persist in the asymmetric structure of hVLP-Env but

strikingly, we observe variations in densities between different protomers, indicating heterogeneity

in glycan occupancy. For example, the N355 glycan is present at all three protomers in the

asymmetric Env reconstruction but its density varies with respect to size and orientation at this site

(Figure 6D). Similarly, the conserved N88 glycan, which forms part of the epitope of bnAb 35O22

(Huang, Kang et al. 2014) and borders that of 10E8 (Lee, Ozorowski et al. 2016), can only be

observed in one protomer but not in others (Figure 6D) and is absent in the C3-symmetrized map.

In mass spectrometry analysis of hVLP-Env, these glycan sites, along with others, exhibit presence

of a heterogenous population of complex sugars (Table S4). Thus, though identified heterogeneity

in glycan processing of hVLP-Env (Table S4) is similar to previous reports (Guttman, Garcia et

al. 2014, Cao, Pauthner et al. 2018, Berndsen, Chakraborty et al. 2020); our comparative structural

analysis on asymmetric and symmetric hVLP-Env directly resolves glycan heterogeneity within

protomers of the same Env trimer.

When comparing bnAbs affected by both the presence of glycan and membrane proximity,

binding of bnAb 35O22 (Huang, Kang et al. 2014) is highly dependent on the presence of N88

glycan whereas 3BC176 binding (Lee, Leaman et al. 2015) is partially inhibited by it. Mapping

binding orientation of both these Fabs onto hVLP-Env results in steric clashing of the Fab regions

with the membrane surface (Figure 6E). Nevertheless, hVLPs are effectively neutralized by 35O22

but show relative resistance to 3BC176 (Figure 6E and Table S2), presumably due at least in part

to 3BC176's lower binding capacity (Lee, Leaman et al. 2015). Thus, a combination of

heterogenous presence of N88 glycan along with the ability of hVLP-Env to tilt on membrane can

account for differential access to these binding epitopes.

Conclusions

In this study, we have analyzed the structure of HIV particles displaying functional Env.

Although the ADA.CM Env trimers used are highly stable in a membrane environment, they

notably do not form well-ordered gp140 trimers in solution using the typically employed "SOSIP"

mutations (Leaman and Zwick 2013). This is true for the majority of Env sequences that require

extensive modifications in order to encourage formation of stable ectodomain trimers (Rawi,

Rutten et al. 2020). Thus, the structural details discussed here may reflect features of Env strains

that are not compatible with SOSIP modifications and thus have not been structurally characterized

so far.

In hVLP-Env, we find substantial evidence that key parts of gp41 including the HR1 central

helices and flexible stalk are not rigidly fixed relative to the rest of the trimer. Indeed, R.M.S.D.

calculations among static high-resolution structures of full-length Env and SOSIP structures show

higher deviation in the gp41 domain compared to gp120 domain (Table S5), despite the strains

having greater than 75% sequence similarity (Table S6). Likewise, DEER spectroscopy studies of

SOSIP trimers have also shown a higher degree of variability in the gp41 domain (Stadtmueller,

Bridges et al. 2018), further substantiating the flexible nature of gp41 as inferred from our

structures.

Our data also demonstrate that even in membrane associated Env, the fusion peptide (FP)

is highly dynamic and exposed to solvent. Exposure of such a functionally critical and conserved

component seems counter-intuitive, but the dense clustering of glycans around the FP proximal

region likely confers a degree of steric protection. However, breaches in the glycan shield, revealed

by heterogeneity in glycan density in this region in our structures, can still offer access to the FP

by bnAbs (Kong, Xu et al. 2016, Lee, Ozorowski et al. 2016). Moreover, in cases where glycans

form part of bnAb epitopes, heterogeneity in glycosylation provide mechanisms exploited by HIV-

1 as a means of increasing epitope variability and facilitating evasion of antibody responses.

Finally, the extent of ordered density between adjacent glycans in hVLP-Env suggests that the

glycans are interacting in a stable manner, consistent with recent reports (Stewart-Jones, Soto et

al. 2016, Berndsen, Chakraborty et al. 2020).

By imaging Env in its native membrane-bound context, we found that, like other type I

fusion proteins such as SARS-CoV-2 S trimers (Ke, Oton et al. 2020), HIV-1 Env ectodomain sits

atop a flexible stalk that affords it considerable freedom of motion to tilt relative to the membrane.

This likely plays an important mechanistic role by affording fusion proteins the flexibility to bind

receptors and refold during membrane fusion. The flexible stalk also impacts the accessibility of

key epitopes that are sterically hindered on the membrane-facing side of the trimer ectodomain

such as the FP, gp120/gp41 interface, and membrane-associated MPER. Thus, our structural

analyses revealed previously uncharacterized Env features that impact presentation of prime

epitopes which can help explain differences in neutralization sensitivity across diverse HIV-1

strains.

Lastly, our structural analyses of Env in immature viral particles, shows that Env is situated

directly over two-fold symmetric contacts of the Gag lattice, providing the first structural evidence

of a direct physical Env-Gag interaction, helping to inform models for virion particle assembly

and Env incorporation. Taken together, our results advance understanding of native HIV-1 Env in

the context of virion assembly, maturation and conformational sampling, revealing insights that

are generally unavailable through structural studies on recombinant proteins alone.

Acknowledgements:

We thank the University of Washington Arnold and Mabel Beckman cryoEM center and the

School of Pharmacy mass spectrometry center for data collection time and support. This work

was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIAID) grants R01GM099989 and

R01AI140868 to K.K.L., T32 GM008268 to M.A.B., and R01AI143563 to M.B.Z., the James B.

Pendleton Charitable Trust (M.B.Z.), and by the University of Washington's Proteomics

Resource (UWPR95794).

Author Contributions: V.M.P.: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Validation

and Writing.:D.P.L.: Investigation, Formal Analysis. K.N.L.: Investigation, Formal Analysis.

M.A.B.: Investigation. E.A.H.: Investigation. M.B.Z.: Resources, Writing review, Funding

acquisition. K.K.L.: Conceptualization, Writing, Funding acquisition.

Competing interests: Authors declare no competing interests;

Data Availability: Density maps with corresponding atomic models have been deposited with

accession codes EMD-XXXX, EMD-XXX, EMD-XXX, EMD-XXXX and PDB IDs XXXX and

XXX.

Figures:

Figure 1. Structural analysis of hVLP-Env. (A) Tomogram slice showing a mature (M) and

immature hVLP (IM). Blue arrows indicate Env. Orange arrow indicates Gag layer in IM with

zoomed inset of Gag top view on bottom right. Scale bars indicate 200 Å. Top left inset shows

close-up of Env with red arrows denoting TMD and yellow arrows denoting membrane bilayer.

(For antigenic profile of hVLPs, see Figures S1 and S2). **(B)** Representative model of mature

hVLP with averaged Env structure (in blue) placed onto original particle coordinates. Membrane

surface is shown in grey. **(C)** Sub-tomogram averaged Env structure at 9.1Å resolution (for

resolution related statistics, see Figure S3). OML and IML indicate outer and inner membrane

leaflets, respectively. **(D)** Tomogram slices showing different maturation states observed in the

hVLP population. Immature particle showing a continuous Gag layer underneath the membrane

is labeled IM. Mature particles with the Gag layer reorganized into clearly formed internal capsid

is labeled M. Yellow arrows indicate the internal mature capsid in two representative virions.

Particles at intermediate stages of maturation are labeled I. Scale bar corresponds to 50 nm.

Black is high density in all panels.

Figure 2. HIV Env interaction with immature Gag polyprotein. **(A)** Sub-tomogram averaged

Env structure from immature VLPs. OML and IML indicate outer and inner membrane leaflets,

respectively. **(B)** Top views of immature Gag layer from relaxed C1 symmetry map (top left) and

after focused refinement (top right) (see Figure S4 for details). Bottom panels show the same

with fitted atomic structure of hexameric Gag-CA (PDB: 4USN). **(C)** Left: Composite model of

Env-Gag structures in immature particles. Atomic coordinates of Env ectodomain with Gp120

(cyan) and Gp41 (dark blue), TMD and CTD in purple (PDB: 6UJV), Gag-MA hexamer (PDB:

1HIW) in green and Gag-CA hexamer in orange are shown. Right: Tomogram slice of Env from

an immature particle with protein components labeled. Grey and green arrows indicate

membrane bilayer and Gag-MA respectively. (**D**) Top view of CTD (purple) and Gag-MA-CA

(green) interface. CTD lies on the 2-fold axis (black line) of underlying Gag-CA hexamer

(orange). (**E**) Left: Tomogram cross-section of immature VLP surface showing Env positions

(blue triangles). Right: Position of Env central axis (blue triangles) with respect to the Gag-CA

hexamer (orange hexagon) is shown when viewing along an axis perpendicular to the plane of

image. Env position superposes on the edge of Gag hexamer. Black represents high density.

Scale bar is 200 Å in length. (**F**) Model of Env-CTD interaction with Gag-MA. Ribbon diagram

of Gag-MA hexamer (green) (PDB ID: 1HIW) (Hill, Worthylake et al. 1996) is shown. Residues

that reduce Env incorporation when mutated (13L, 17E, 31L, 35V, 99E and 75LG) are

highlighted as red spheres (Alfadhli, Staubus et al. 2019). Residues that reportedly suppress Env

incorporation defects (62QR, 35VI and 44FL) are shown as blue spheres (Alfadhli, Staubus et al.

2019). Position and area covered by Env CTD structure (based on PDB ID: 6UJV (Piai, Fu et al.

2020)) in our current model (left) and previously predicted models (right) is shown as purple

circle. In previous models (right panel), Env CTD position was predicted to be at the center of

the Gag-MA hexamer. In this configuration, Env CTD directly interacts with only a few residues

that line the inner circumference of Gag-MA hexamer. Thus, the effect of the majority of

involved residues on Env incorporation would be allosteric. In the current model (left panel)

based on our cryo-ET data, the Env CTD position encompasses all residues determined to affect

Env incorporation, giving rise to direct contacts between Env CTD and Gag-MA residues. (**G**)

Cross-section of mature VLP shows the presence of Env (blue) on membrane surface (grey)

irrespective of the presence of visible MA density (green) underneath. Scale bars are 200Å.

Figure 3. Sub-nanometer structure of hVLP-Env indicates conformational variation in HR2 helix and MPER location. Top **(A)** and side-view **(B)** of sub-tomogram averaged Env map with fitted ribbon structure adapted from full-length Env structure (PDB ID: 6ULC). Gp120 subunit is colored in cyan, gp41 in dark blue, glycans are colored as red and blue heteroatoms. Outer and inner membrane leaflets are indicated as OML and IML respectively. Zoomed inset shows the shortened HR2 helix fitted into its respective density. Red star indicates position of Asp-664 in the trimer structure (See Figure S7 for details on MPER variation). **(C)** HDX-MS of hVLP-Env shows protection of peptides that report on the closed prefusion conformation of HIV-1 Env (Guttman, Garcia et al. 2014, Guttman, Cupo et al. 2015) (see also Figures S5 and S6). Deuterium uptake for

peptides reporting on trimer integrity behave similarly between hVLP-Env and BG505.SOSIP,

which predominantly samples a closed prefusion conformation.

Figure 4. Flexible gp41 stalk in HIV Env leads to variation in MPER epitope accessibility.

(A) Top: Tomogram slices showing examples of tilted Env on membrane surface. Scale bar equals

100 Å. Bottom: Surface rendering of modeled Env structure (blue) in tilted orientations on

membrane (grey) as seen in the tomograms. **(B)** Env density map rendered at low and high

thresholds, respectively, showing loss of density in the stalk region (black rectangle). **(C)**

Comparison of neutralization effect on different HIV-1 strains by MPER-directed bnAbs. Also see

Figure S8.

Figure 5. Conformational variation in central helical bundle (HR1-C) of hVLP-Env. A) Side-

view of sub-tomogram averaged Env map with fitted ribbon structure and colored similar to Fig.

2. Zoomed inset shows fit of HR1-C helix into its respective density (See also Figure S9). **B)** Top

and side views of an asymmetric reconstruction of hVLP-Env with the three interfaces (I1, I2 and

I3) of Env trimer shown along with magnified insets of fitted HR1-C helix. Black, dotted rectangle

indicate the central core of the trimer where the HR1-C helix lies. See Figure S3 for resolution-

related details. **C)** HDX-MS deuterium uptake plots for fusion peptide (FP) and HR1 peptides (see

also Figures S5 and S6). Surface rendering of Env was calculated using the fitted atomic model of

hVLP-Env in panel A without glycans. Full FP region is not observed in hVLP-Env structure due

to flexibility.

Figure 6. Presence of an extensive glycan shield in hVLP-Env. **A)** Top and side view of

hVLP-Env with glycans rendered as orange ball-stick structures. Gp41 colored in dark blue and

gp120 in cyan. **B), C)** and **E)** Binding positions of four bnAbs – VRC01 (dark grey), PGT151

(pink), 35O22 (brown) and 3BC176 (green) mapped onto hVLP-Env surface. Gp120, gp41,

glycan surfaces and membrane are colored in cyan, dark blue, orange and grey respectively.

Zoomed-in sub-panel outlines interaction surfaces corresponding to each bnAb. Glycans that

would obstruct bnAb binding are labeled. Neutralization of HIV-1 strains, ADA.CM.V4, BG505

and BaL in presence of each bnAb are shown. **D)** Differential glycosylation among trimer

subunits in hVLP-Env represented using glycans at N88 (orange circle) and N355 (purple oval).

Surface rendering of C3-symmetrized map on left followed by the three protomer interfaces from

the C1 symmetry hVLP-Env map. Scale bars equal 30 Å.

Table 1.

Data collection and processing parameters for cryo-ET and sub-tomogram averaging.

Data Collection Parameters:				
Magnification	58000X			
Voltage	300 kV			
Pixel size	2.58 Å/pixel			
Electron dose	64-68 e$^-$/Å^2			
Defocus Range (μm)	-2.5 to -5.0			
Total number of tilt-series	526			
Final number of tilt-series	423			
Data Processing Parameters:				
	hVLP-Env C3 symmetry (sym)	hVLP-Env C1 sym	Immature hVLP-Env C3 sym	Immature hVLP-Env C1 sym
Initial number of sub-volumes	63592	63592	1520	1520
Final number of sub-volumes	32802	29074	1051	1059
Map resolution at 0.143 FSC	9.13 Å	10.67 Å	31.35 Å	34.3 Å

Experimental Methods:

hVLP expression and purification:

Stable cell line expressing high levels of HIV Env, ADA.CM.V4, was described previously (Stano, Leaman et al. 2017). A stable cell line expressing Env BG505 was generated using a similar method, namely by transduction of HEK293T cells using a lentiviral vector (pLentiIII.BG505.755*) containing the *env* gene BG505 with a stop codon at position 756. Transduced cells were subjected to two rounds of sorting by FACS using fluorescently labeled quaternary neutralizing antibody PGT145 and non-neutralizing CD4BS antibody b6, then gating cells for PGT145^{high} b6^{low}. Env copy number on BG505 VLPs was notably lower than on ADA.CM.V4 hVLPs. Additionally, BG505 VLPs were also more fragile, which precluded extensive cryo-ET data collection and HDX-MS analysis.

To generate hVLPs, cells were transfected using Env-deficient HIV-1 backbone plasmid pSG3ΔEnv (NIH ARRRP) and 25 kDa PEI (Polysciences) as a transfection reagent. Supernatants were harvested 3 days after transfection and cleared by centrifugation at 3,000 × g for 15 min. hVLPs were pelleted at 80,000 × g for 1 h and resuspended 100-fold concentrated in PBS. VLPs were separated from cellular debris using iodixanol density gradient centrifugation. Here, concentrated hVLPs were overlaid on a 9.6 to 20.4% iodixanol (Optiprep; Sigma) gradient, formed by layering iodixanol in 1.2% increments, and centrifuged at 200,000 × g for 1.5 h at 4°C in an SW41Ti rotor (Beckman). Fractions (1 ml each) were collected starting from the top and fractions 6-9 were saved and pooled. Purified hVLPs were brought up to 15 ml with PBS and concentrated to ~0.2 ml using a 100 kDa MWCO Amicon centrifugal filter (Millipore). VLPs were inactivated by adding aldrithiol-2 (AT-2) to a final concentration of 2.5 mM and samples were incubated at RT for 2 h. The volume was again increased to 15 ml with PBS and hVLPs were concentrated

using a 100 kDa MWCO centrifugal filter to 250-fold the concentration in the original transfection

supernatant.

<u>Cryo-ET sample preparation and tilt-series acquisition:</u>

Purified hVLP samples were mixed with 10nm gold beads (Aurion BSA Gold Tracer 10nm) at a

ratio of 15:1 (v/v). Using a Vitrobot Mark IV (FEI Co.), 3 µl of this mixture was applied to glow

discharged, C-Flat 1.2/1.3, 200mesh or 400mesh grids (Electron Microscopy Sciences), blotted for

4-5 seconds and plunge frozen in liquid ethane. Vitrobot was maintained at 4°C and 100%

humidity during the experiment.

Frozen grids were imaged using a 300 kV Titan Krios with a Gatan K2 direct electron

detector and GIF energy filter with slit width of 20 eV. Tilt-series were collected in a dose-

symmetric tilting scheme from -54° to +54° or from -48° to +48° with a step size of 3° using

Leginon (Carragher, Kisseberth et al. 2000) or SerialEM softwares (Mastronarde 2005). Tilt-series

were collected in counting mode at a magnification of 53000X, corresponding to a pixel size of

2.58 Å per pixel. The total dose per tilt series was ~64-68 e$^-$/Å^2. A total of 526 tilt-series were

collected across multiple sessions.

<u>Tomogram reconstruction:</u>

Tilt-series image frames were corrected for beam-induced motion using motioncor2 (Zheng,

Palovcak et al. 2017). Using batch tomography via the Etomo interface in IMOD software package

(Kremer, Mastronarde et al. 1996, Mastronarde and Held 2017), tilt-series were processed for

tomogram generation using standard procedures. Tilt series images were aligned using gold bead

markers and aligned tilt-series were used to generate a three-dimensional volume using weighted

back-projection method. The final tomograms were rotated, binned, and low pass–filtered for

visualization. Tilt-series with non-optimal alignments were discarded. In the end, 423 tilt-series

were selected for further processing.

Initial model generation:

Eight tilt-series were randomly selected. CTF estimation and correction for these were done using

the Ctfplotter program (Xiong, Morphew et al. 2009). Tomograms were then generated for these

CTF-corrected tilt-series in IMOD at the unbinned pixel size (Kremer, Mastronarde et al. 1996,

Mastronarde and Held 2017). The aligned tilt-series, reconstructed tomograms, file listing the tilt

angles and electron dose values were imported into Relion software suite (Scheres 2012) according

to its conventions (Bharat and Scheres 2016). Using the 3dmod graphical user interface (Kremer,

Mastronarde et al. 1996), 2067 Env particles on the surface of hVLPs were manually picked. The

picked particle coordinates were imported into Relion (Scheres 2012) and corresponding sub-

volumes extracted. These were then subjected to 3D classification with a spherical mask covering

small parts of the membrane using C1 and C3 symmetry with no initial model provided. Both the

C1 and C3 symmetry classifications resolved a 3D class that looked similar to the expected

structure of HIV-1 Env. The 3D class from the C3 symmetry run showing clear Env density

contained a total of 811 sub-volumes and was selected as initial model.

Sub-tomogram averaging:

For C3-symmetrized hVLP-Env structure:

Tilt-series were imported into EMAN2's sub-tomogram averaging pipeline (Chen, Bell et al.

2019). 1k X 1k tomograms were generated within EMAN2 using default parameters. The binned

tomograms were then used for semi-automated particle picking in PEET software (Nicastro, Schwartz et al. 2006). Consolidated particles from the semi-automated picking were further curated manually using the 3dmod interface (Kremer, Mastronarde et al. 1996) to remove wrongly positioned particle points such as those that were in the membrane or on the inside of VLPs. Curated particle coordinates (63592 particles) were then imported into EMAN2 through the e2spt_boxer.py interface (Chen, Bell et al. 2019). CTF-estimation for all the tilt-series and subsequent CTF-corrections were carried out within EMAN2. Sub-volumes were initially extracted at 4xbinning corresponding to a pixel size of 10.32 Å per pixel. Sub-tomogram refinement was carried using a spherical mask including Env on surface and a part of the membrane. The 3D structure previously generated in Relion (Scheres 2012, Bharat and Scheres 2016) was used as initial model after low pass filtering to 60 Å. Sub-volumes were then re-extracted at 2X binning using the particle orientations from the 4X binned refinement output. Sub-tomogram refinement was repeated using the 2X binned data with a cylindrical mask covering only the ectodomain and outer membrane layer. Particle orientations were locally refined within a 30º angular limit from the positions calculated in the 4Xbin refinement. Sub-volumes closer than 120 Å when measured between centers were removed. Sub-volumes with low cross-correlation scores were also removed according to EMAN2's default settings. Remaining particles were then re-extracted at the original, un-binned pixel size and re-refined starting from previously determined orientations at 2X binning. Sub-tilt refinement in EMAN2 was then carried out using default parameters following sub-tomogram refinement with un-binned sub-volumes (Chen, Bell et al. 2019). For sub-tilt refinement, a threshold mask was used that enclosed only the Env ectodomain portion without any membrane density. The threshold mask was generated using the mask creation

tool in the Relion package (Scheres 2012). The final masked ectodomain map contained 32802

sub-volumes with a calculated resolution of 9.13 Å at 0.143 FSC cut-off value.

<u>For asymmetric hVLP-Env map:</u>

The initial sub-volumes used for generation of the asymmetric map were the same as that

used for the C3 symmetrized map described above. Refinement strategies were also nearly

identical between the two structures except the asymmetric map was generated with C1 symmetry.

However, the refinement and processing steps were carried out completely independent of each

other. The number of sub-volumes in the final C1 hVLP-Env structure was 29074 with a global

resolution of 10.67 Å at 0.143 FSC cut-off.

<u>Structure of hVLP-Env and Gag layer from immature virions:</u>

A total of 1520 sub-volumes from only immature virions were used to generate C3 and C1

symmetrized maps of membrane bound Env using 2Xbinned data in EMAN2 (Chen, Bell et al.

2019) by similar procedures as described above.

In the unmasked maps, a third density layer was observed underneath the membrane

bilayer. Relaxing symmetry of the C3-density map to C1, showed a more defined organization in

the Gag layer. Hence, a short, cylindrical mask enclosing only the Gag layer was used for local

refinement of the Gag layer using C1 symmetry, starting from the final refined positions derived

from the C3-symmetrized immature Env map. This focused refinement gave rise to a 23 Å map of

the Gag protein layer (0.143 FSC cut-off). The refined Gag-CA density map was fitted back into

the Gag-CA layer of the relaxed to C1 symmetry full Env map for further analyses.

 Sub-tomogram averaged structure of BG505-Env from VLPs:

Purified BG505-VLPs were mixed with 10nm gold beads (Aurion BSA Gold Tracer 10nm)

at a ratio of 15:1 (v/v). The mixture was applied to C-Flat grids and plunge frozen using a Vitrobot

Mark IV (FEI Co.) similar to the procedure used for hVLPs above.

Frozen grids were imaged using a 300 kV Titan Krios with a Gatan K2 direct electron

detector and GIF energy filter with slit width of 20 eV. Tilt-series were collected in a dose-

symmetric tilting scheme from -54° to +54° with a step size of 3° using Leginon (Carragher,

Kisseberth et al. 2000) software. Tilt-series were collected in counting mode at a magnification of

53000X, corresponding to a pixel size of 2.58 Å per pixel. The total dose per tilt series was ~64-

68 e$^-$/Å^2. A total of 49 tilt-series were collected.

Tilt-series were imported into EMAN2's sub-tomogram averaging pipeline (Chen, Bell et

al. 2019). 1k X 1k tomograms were generated within EMAN2 using default parameters. Particle

points were picked manually in the e2spt_boxer.py interface (Chen, Bell et al. 2019). Further

refinement and processing steps were carried out similar to the hVLP-Env sub-tomogram

averaging procedures described above. Briefly, sub-volumes were initially extracted at 4xbinning

corresponding to a pixel size of 10.32 Å per pixel. Sub-tomogram refinement was carried using a

spherical mask including Env on surface and a part of the membrane. The initial model generated

for hVLP-Env in Relion (Scheres 2012, Bharat and Scheres 2016) was used as initial model for

BG505-Env also with low pass filtering to 60 Å. Sub-volumes were then re-extracted at 2X binning

using the particle orientations from the 4X binned refinement output. Sub-tomogram refinement

was repeated using the 2X binned data with a cylindrical mask covering only the ectodomain and

outer membrane layer. Particle orientations were locally refined, and duplicates were removed.

Sub-tilt refinement in EMAN2 was carried out using default parameters (Chen, Bell et al. 2019).

The final map contained 2773 sub-volumes with a calculated resolution of 16.7 Å at 0.143 FSC

cut-off value.

<u>Model fitting and glycan modeling:</u>

Full length Env structure from strain 92UG037.8 purified with detergents (PDB ID: 6ULC)

(Pan, Peng et al. 2020) was fitted as a rigid body into the 9.13 Å resolution hVLP-Env map. Each

protomer, comprising of gp120-gp41 heterodimer, was fitted individually into the map using

UCSF Chimera (Pettersen, Goddard et al. 2004). The unstructured loop region at the end of gp41,

comprising of amino acid residues 654-664, was fitted into the clearly delineated stalk density

using 'Flexible fitting' feature in Coot software (Emsley, Lohkamp et al. 2010). For modelling

glycan moieties, previously published high-resolution Env structures, PDB IDs: 5FUU and 5FYJ-

L, containing well-built glycan models were used as reference (Lee, Ozorowski et al. 2016,

Stewart-Jones, Soto et al. 2016). Appropriate glycan chains from these structures were copied into

corresponding glycan positions in the hVLP-Env map based on the presence of unoccupied

density. In regions where the unoccupied density was larger than the modeled glycan chains

available from the reference PDB structures, these extra densities were left un-modeled.

The final model of hVLP-Env, as generated above, was used for rigid body fitting into the

other sub-tomogram averaged maps of asymmetric hVLP-Env and immature hVLP-Env. All rigid

body fitting procedures were carried out using UCSF Chimera (Pettersen, Goddard et al. 2004).

Root mean square deviation (R.m.s.d) calculations between pairs of HIV Env structures

was carried out using UCSF Chimera (Pettersen, Goddard et al. 2004). Multiple sequence

alignments were carried out using the Clustal Omega web service (Madeira, Park et al. 2019).

Structure and tomographic images were generated using UCSF Chimera (Pettersen,

Goddard et al. 2004), ChimeraX (Pettersen, Goddard et al. 2021), IMOD's graphical user interface

(Kremer, Mastronarde et al. 1996) and ImageJ (Schneider, Rasband et al. 2012).

<u>Neutralization assays:</u>

ADA.CM.V4 hVLPs were prepared for neutralization assays as described above except omitting

the AT-2 inactivation step. Pseudotyped viruses were similarly produced by co-transfection of

HEK 293T cells using pSG3ΔEnv backbone plasmid and Env-complementation plasmid. Serial

dilutions of antibodies were added to virus and the mixture was incubated for 1 h at 37°C prior to

addition to TZM-bl target cells. DEAE-dextran was added to wells to a final concentration of 10

µg/ml. After incubating for 72 h at 37°C, cells were lysed, Bright-Glo luciferase reagent (Promega)

was added, and luminescence was measured using a Synergy H1 microplate reader (Bio-Tek).

<u>Virus ELISA:</u>

ELISAs on directly immobilized hVLPs were performed as previously described (Tong, Crooks

et al. 2012). Briefly ADA.CM.V4 hVLPs (prepared as detailed above and treated with or without

AT-2) were immobilized on microwell plates at a 20x concentration for 2 h at 37˚C. Plates were

blocked with 4% non-fat dry milk (NFDM) PBS for 1 h at 37˚C, probed with serial dilutions of

primary antibodies and, subsequently, goat anti-human-Fcɣ-HRP secondary antibody (Jackson) in

PBS + 0.4% NFDM, and with washes using PBS between each step (detergent was omitted from

all steps). Signal was developed using One-step Ultra TMB Substrate (ThermoFisher).

<u>BG505.SOSIP expression and purification:</u>

Soluble BG505.SOSIP protein was expressed and purified as previously described (Verkerke,

Williams et al. 2016). Expi293F cells grown in Freestyle 293 media (Thermo Scientific) were co-

transfected with plasmids containing the SOSIP sequence and furin protease in a 3:1 ratio using

PEI MAX (Polysciences). Six to seven days post-transfection the supernatant was cleared by

filtration and the SOSIP purified by *Galanthus nivalis* lectin affinity, followed by ion-exchange

and hydrophobic interaction chromatography. Trimeric product was buffer exchanged into PBS

and stored at 4°C.

<u>Hydrogen-deuterium mass-spectroscopy (HDX-MS) of ADA.CM.V4 particles and</u>

<u>BG505.SOSIPs:</u>

<u>HDX-MS using quench lysis method:</u>

Frozen stocks of ADA.CM.V4 VLP containing approximately 0.5mg/mL envelope protein or

purified BG505.SOSIP diluted to the same concentration were buffer-exchanged into HEPES-

buffered saline (HBS, 10mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.4, 150mM NaCl) using Zeba Spin columns with

a 7kDa MWCO (Thermo Fisher). 10µL of VLP solution was diluted with 90µL of deuterated HBS

(Hepes Buffer Saline, pH 7.4) for the indicated labeling time. The reaction was quenched and lysed

by addition of an equal volume of 0.2% formic acid, 4M guanidine hydrochloride, 0.2M TCEP

(tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine), 0.2% DDM (n-Dodecyl-B-D-maltoside), followed by 30s

incubation on ice. 20µL of a 300mg/mL solution of ZrO_2 beads (HybridSPE-Phospholipid)

(Adhikary, Deredge et al. 2017) in 0.1% formic acid was then added to the solution, followed by

vortexing for 30seconds on ice. The bead/protein mixture was then moved to a 0.22µm cellulose

acetate centrifuge filter tube (Spin-X, Corning) and spun for 30s at 13,000xG, 0°C. The flow-

through was transferred to a thin-wall PCR tube and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Samples were

thawed on ice and passed over a custom packed pepsin column (2.1 × 50 mm) kept at 15°C with a

flow of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), 2% acetonitrile (ACN) at 200 μL/min for 5 minutes.

Digested peptides were collected on a Waters XSelect CSH C18 XP VanGuard Cartridge,

130Å, 2.5 μm, 2.1 mm X 5 mm before separation on a Waters ACQUITY UPLC Peptide CSH

C18 Column, 130Å, 1.7 μm, 1 mm X 100 mm using a gradient of 7 to 14% B over 1 minute, 14

to 30% B over 12.5 minutes, and 30 to 50% B over 1 minute, followed by washing with three rapid

gradients between 95 and 5% B (A: 0.1% formic acid, 0.025% trifluoroacetic acid, 2% acetonitrile;

B: 0.1% formic acid in 100% acetonitrile). The liquid chromatography system was coupled to a

Waters Synapt G2-Si Q-TOF with ion mobility enabled. Source and de-solvation temperatures

were 70°C and 130°C respectively. The StepWave ion guide settings were tuned to prevent non-

uniform gas phase proton exchange in the source (Guttman, Wales et al. 2016).

During the separation step, a series of 250 μL injections were used to clean the pepsin

column: (1) Fos-choline-12 (Anaspec) in 0.1% TFA; (2) 2 M GuHCl in 0.1% TFA; (3) 10% acetic

acid, 10% ACN and 5% IPA (Majumdar, Manikwar et al. 2012, Hamuro and Coales 2018). After

each gradient, the trap column was washed with a series of 250 μL injections: (1) 10% FA; (2)

30% trifluoroethanol; (3) 80% MeOH; (4) 66% 2-propanol, 34% ACN; (5) 80% ACN (Fang, Rand

et al. 2011).

HDX-MS using solution digestion method:

Env on hVLPs was quantified by SDS-PAGE using BG505 SOSIPs as a standard. HDX-MS

reactions were initiated by diluting 20 uL of either hVLPs or BG505 SOSIPs with 180 uL

deuteration buffer (10 mM Phosphate, 150 mM NaCl, 85% D_2O (Cambridge Isotope

Laboratories)) to a final pH = 7.45. Samples were deuterated for 5 seconds, 60 seconds, 30 minutes,

or 3 hours before being diluted 1:1 with ice cold quench buffer (8 M urea, 200 mM TCEP [tris(2-

carboxyethyl) phosphine] and 0.2% formic acid (FA)) to a final pH of 2.5. Quenched samples were

digested with 30 ug/mL of porcine pepsin (Worthington Labs) under quench conditions for 5

minutes on ice. Labeled peptides were purified by high speed centrifugation at 0°C (2 minutes at

25,000 rcf) and immediately flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. BG505 SOSIP samples were handled

identically to ensure consistent labeling and back exchange. Frozen samples were stored at -80°C

until analysis. All reactions were performed in duplicate.

Samples were thawed for 5 minutes on ice and manually injected into a custom built HDX

LC system kept at 0°C using a 500 uL sample loop. Samples were trapped on a Waters ACQUITY

UPLC CSH C18 VanGuard 130Å, 1.7 μm, 2.1 mm by 5 mm trap column for 7 minutes with a flow

of solvent A [2% acetonitrile, 0.1% FA, 0.025% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA)] at a rate of 150

μL/min. Peptides were resolved over a Waters ACQUITY UPLC CSH C18 130Å, 1.7 μm, 1 x 100

mm column using a 20 minute linear gradient of 3% to 50% solvent B (Solvent B: 100%

acetonitrile and 0.1% FA) and analyzed using Waters Synapt G2-Si Q-TOF as described above.

Following each injection, the sample loop and trap were washed as described above.

Deuterium uptake analysis was performed with HD-Examiner (Sierra Analytics) and HX-

Express v2 (10.1021/jasms.8b04435). For solution digestion experiments data was extracted using

CDCReader and analyzed using HXExpress V2 (Guttman, Weis et al. 2013) with binomial fitting

and bimodal deconvolution.

Internal exchange standards Pro-Pro-Pro-Ile [PPPI] and Pro-Pro-Pro-Phe [PPPF] were

included in each reaction to control for variations in ambient temperature during the labeling

reactions (10.1021/ac300535r). Back-exchange was measured by including bradykinin peptide at

2µg/mL in the deuterated buffer to serve as a fully deuterated control. The back-exchange level

ranged from 12-16% across experiments; deuterium uptake was not corrected.

Totally deuterated (TD) samples were prepared by collecting purified peptide eluent following

reverse phase LC separation of a pepsin digested undeuterated sample. Following evaporation of

the LC elution buffer the peptides were resuspended in HDX PBS pH 7.50 Buffer, deuterated in

deuteration Buffer for 1 hours at 65°C, and quenched and frozen as described above.

For peptide identification, un-deuterated peptides were collected from the LC system, dried

by speed-vac, and resuspended in 5% acetonitrie, 0.1% formic acid for re-injection on an Orbitrap

Fusion for MS/MS using EThcD fragmentation. Data was analyzed using Byonic (Protein Metrics)

and manually compared to undeuterated sample data.

<u>Peptic digest analysis:</u>

Peptic digest products were collected from the HDX chromatography system, dried by speed-

vaccuum, and resuspended in aqueous buffer for nanoscale liquid chromatography (nanoLC-MS)

using a 90-minute linear gradient from 2-40% acetonitrile. Products were analyzed on an Orbitrap

Fusion mass spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific) using a high-energy collisional dissociation

(HCD) product-dependent electron-transfer/high-energy collision dissociation (EThcD) method

with a targeted mass list method with a targeted mass list using HexNAc, HexHexNAc, and

Hex2HexNAc m/z (204, 366, and 528 respectively) to trigger EThcD.

Glycopeptide data were visualized and processed by Byonic™ (Version 3.8, Protein Metrics Inc.)

using a 10 ppm precursor and 10 ppm fragment mass tolerance. Glycopeptides were searched using

the N-glycan 309 mammalian database in Protein Metrics PMI-Suite and scored based on the

assignment of correct c- and z- fragment ions. The true-positive entities were further validated by

the presence of glycan oxonium ions m/z at 204 (HexNAc ions) and 366 (HexNAcHex ions).

Supplemental Information: Supplemental information can be found online at ***

References:

Adhikary, S., D. J. Deredge, A. Nagarajan, L. R. Forrest, P. L. Wintrode and S. K. Singh (2017).
"Conformational dynamics of a neurotransmitter:sodium symporter in a lipid bilayer." Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A **114**(10): E1786-E1795.

Alfadhli, A., A. O. Staubus, P. R. Tedbury, M. Novikova, E. O. Freed and E. Barklis (2019).
"Analysis of HIV-1 Matrix-Envelope Cytoplasmic Tail Interactions." J Virol **93**(21).

Berndsen, Z. T., S. Chakraborty, X. Wang, C. A. Cottrell, J. L. Torres, J. K. Diedrich, C. A.
López, J. R. Yates, M. J. van Gils, J. C. Paulson, S. Gnanakaran and A. B. Ward (2020).
"Visualization of the HIV-1 Env glycan shield across scales." Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
117(45): 28014-28025.

Bharat, T. A. and S. H. Scheres (2016). "Resolving macromolecular structures from electron
cryo-tomography data using subtomogram averaging in RELION." Nat Protoc **11**(11): 2054-
2065.

Cao, L., M. Pauthner, R. Andrabi, K. Rantalainen, Z. Berndsen, J. K. Diedrich, S. Menis, D. Sok,
R. Bastidas, S. R. Park, C. M. Delahunty, L. He, J. Guenaga, R. T. Wyatt, W. R. Schief, A. B.
Ward, J. R. Yates, D. R. Burton and J. C. Paulson (2018). "Differential processing of HIV
envelope glycans on the virus and soluble recombinant trimer." Nat Commun **9**(1): 3693.

Carragher, B., N. Kisseberth, D. Kriegman, R. A. Milligan, C. S. Potter, J. Pulokas and A.
Reilein (2000). "Leginon: an automated system for acquisition of images from vitreous ice
specimens." J Struct Biol **132**(1): 33-45.

Chakrabarti, B. K., L. M. Walker, J. F. Guenaga, A. Ghobbeh, P. Poignard, D. R. Burton and R.
T. Wyatt (2011). "Direct antibody access to the HIV-1 membrane-proximal external region
positively correlates with neutralization sensitivity." <u>J Virol</u> **85**(16): 8217-8226.

Checkley, M. A., B. G. Luttge and E. O. Freed (2011). "HIV-1 Envelope Glycoprotein
Biosynthesis, Trafficking, and Incorporation." <u>J Mol Biol</u> **410**(4): 582-608.

Chen, M., J. M. Bell, X. Shi, S. Y. Sun, Z. Wang and S. J. Ludtke (2019). "A complete data
processing workflow for cryo-ET and subtomogram averaging." <u>Nat Methods</u> **16**(11): 1161-
1168.

Emsley, P., B. Lohkamp, W. G. Scott and K. Cowtan (2010). "Features and development of
Coot." <u>Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr</u> **66**(Pt 4): 486-501.

Fang, J., K. D. Rand, P. J. Beuning and J. R. Engen (2011). "False EX1 signatures caused by
sample carryover during HX MS analyses." <u>Int J Mass Spectrom</u> **302**(1-3): 19-25.

Frank, G. A., K. Narayan, J. W. Bess, G. Q. Del Prete, X. Wu, A. Moran, L. M. Hartnell, L. A.
Earl, J. D. Lifson and S. Subramaniam (2015). "Maturation of the HIV-1 core by a non-
diffusional phase transition." <u>Nat Commun</u> **6**: 5854.

Frey, G., H. Peng, S. Rits-Volloch, M. Morelli, Y. Cheng and B. Chen (2008). "A fusion-
intermediate state of HIV-1 gp41 targeted by broadly neutralizing antibodies." <u>Proc Natl Acad</u>
<u>Sci U S A</u> **105**(10): 3739-3744.

Fu, Q., M. M. Shaik, Y. Cai, F. Ghantous, A. Piai, H. Peng, S. Rits-Volloch, Z. Liu, S. C.
Harrison, M. S. Seaman, B. Chen and J. J. Chou (2018). "Structure of the membrane proximal
external region of HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> **115**(38): E8892-
E8899.

Gray, E. S., M. C. Madiga, P. L. Moore, K. Mlisana, S. S. Abdool Karim, J. M. Binley, G. M.
Shaw, J. R. Mascola and L. Morris (2009). "Broad neutralization of human immunodeficiency
virus type 1 mediated by plasma antibodies against the gp41 membrane proximal external
region." <u>J Virol</u> **83**(21): 11265-11274.

Gui, L., J. L. Ebner, A. Mileant, J. A. Williams and K. K. Lee (2016). "Visualization and
Sequencing of Membrane Remodeling Leading to Influenza Virus Fusion." <u>J Virol</u> **90**(15): 6948-
6962.

Guttman, M., A. Cupo, J. P. Julien, R. W. Sanders, I. A. Wilson, J. P. Moore and K. K. Lee (2015). "Antibody potency relates to the ability to recognize the closed, pre-fusion form of HIV Env." <u>Nat Commun</u> **6**: 6144.

Guttman, M., N. K. Garcia, A. Cupo, T. Matsui, J. P. Julien, R. W. Sanders, I. A. Wilson, J. P. Moore and K. K. Lee (2014). "CD4-induced activation in a soluble HIV-1 Env trimer." <u>Structure</u> **22**(7): 974-984.

Guttman, M., M. Kahn, N. K. Garcia, S. L. Hu and K. K. Lee (2012). "Solution structure, conformational dynamics, and CD4-induced activation in full-length, glycosylated, monomeric HIV gp120." <u>J Virol</u> **86**(16): 8750-8764.

Guttman, M., T. E. Wales, D. Whittington, J. R. Engen, J. M. Brown and K. K. Lee (2016). "Tuning a High Transmission Ion Guide to Prevent Gas-Phase Proton Exchange During H/D Exchange MS Analysis." <u>J Am Soc Mass Spectrom</u> **27**(4): 662-668.

Guttman, M., D. D. Weis, J. R. Engen and K. K. Lee (2013). "Analysis of overlapped and noisy hydrogen/deuterium exchange mass spectra." <u>J Am Soc Mass Spectrom</u> **24**(12): 1906-1912.

Hamuro, Y. and S. J. Coales (2018). "Optimization of Feasibility Stage for Hydrogen/Deuterium Exchange Mass Spectrometry." <u>J Am Soc Mass Spectrom</u> **29**(3): 623-629.

Hill, C. P., D. Worthylake, D. P. Bancroft, A. M. Christensen and W. I. Sundquist (1996). "Crystal structures of the trimeric human immunodeficiency virus type 1 matrix protein: implications for membrane association and assembly." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> **93**(7): 3099-3104.

Huang, J., B. H. Kang, M. Pancera, J. H. Lee, T. Tong, Y. Feng, H. Imamichi, I. S. Georgiev, G. Y. Chuang, A. Druz, N. A. Doria-Rose, L. Laub, K. Sliepen, M. J. van Gils, A. T. de la Peña, R. Derking, P. J. Klasse, S. A. Migueles, R. T. Bailer, M. Alam, P. Pugach, B. F. Haynes, R. T. Wyatt, R. W. Sanders, J. M. Binley, A. B. Ward, J. R. Mascola, P. D. Kwong and M. Connors (2014). "Broad and potent HIV-1 neutralization by a human antibody that binds the gp41-gp120 interface." <u>Nature</u> **515**(7525): 138-142.

Ke, Z., J. Oton, K. Qu, M. Cortese, V. Zila, L. McKeane, T. Nakane, J. Zivanov, C. J. Neufeldt, B. Cerikan, J. M. Lu, J. Peukes, X. Xiong, H. G. Kräusslich, S. H. W. Scheres, R. Bartenschlager and J. A. G. Briggs (2020). "Structures and distributions of SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins on intact virions." <u>Nature</u> **588**(7838): 498-502.

Kim, A. S., D. P. Leaman and M. B. Zwick (2014). "Antibody to gp41 MPER alters functional
properties of HIV-1 Env without complete neutralization." PLoS Pathog **10**(7): e1004271.

Kim, M., Z. Y. Sun, K. D. Rand, X. Shi, L. Song, Y. Cheng, A. F. Fahmy, S. Majumdar, G.
Ofek, Y. Yang, P. D. Kwong, J. H. Wang, J. R. Engen, G. Wagner and E. L. Reinherz (2011).
"Antibody mechanics on a membrane-bound HIV segment essential for GP41-targeted viral
neutralization." Nat Struct Mol Biol **18**(11): 1235-1243.

Kong, R., K. Xu, T. Zhou, P. Acharya, T. Lemmin, K. Liu, G. Ozorowski, C. Soto, J. D. Taft, R.
T. Bailer, E. M. Cale, L. Chen, C. W. Choi, G. Y. Chuang, N. A. Doria-Rose, A. Druz, I. S.
Georgiev, J. Gorman, J. Huang, M. G. Joyce, M. K. Louder, X. Ma, K. McKee, S. O'Dell, M.
Pancera, Y. Yang, S. C. Blanchard, W. Mothes, D. R. Burton, W. C. Koff, M. Connors, A. B.
Ward, P. D. Kwong and J. R. Mascola (2016). "Fusion peptide of HIV-1 as a site of vulnerability
to neutralizing antibody." Science **352**(6287): 828-833.

Kremer, J. R., D. N. Mastronarde and J. R. McIntosh (1996). "Computer visualization of three-
dimensional image data using IMOD." J Struct Biol **116**(1): 71-76.

Kwon, Y. D., M. Pancera, P. Acharya, I. S. Georgiev, E. T. Crooks, J. Gorman, M. G. Joyce, M.
Guttman, X. Ma, S. Narpala, C. Soto, D. S. Terry, Y. Yang, T. Zhou, G. Ahlsen, R. T. Bailer, M.
Chambers, G. Y. Chuang, N. A. Doria-Rose, A. Druz, M. A. Hallen, A. Harned, T. Kirys, M. K.
Louder, S. O'Dell, G. Ofek, K. Osawa, M. Prabhakaran, M. Sastry, G. B. Stewart-Jones, J.
Stuckey, P. V. Thomas, T. Tittley, C. Williams, B. Zhang, H. Zhao, Z. Zhou, B. R. Donald, L. K.
Lee, S. Zolla-Pazner, U. Baxa, A. Schon, E. Freire, L. Shapiro, K. K. Lee, J. Arthos, J. B.
Munro, S. C. Blanchard, W. Mothes, J. M. Binley, A. B. McDermott, J. R. Mascola and P. D.
Kwong (2015). "Crystal structure, conformational fixation and entry-related interactions of
mature ligand-free HIV-1 Env." Nat Struct Mol Biol **22**(7): 522-531.

Leaman, D. P. and M. B. Zwick (2013). "Increased functional stability and homogeneity of viral
envelope spikes through directed evolution." PLoS Pathog **9**(2): e1003184.

Lee, J. H., D. P. Leaman, A. S. Kim, A. Torrents de la Pena, K. Sliepen, A. Yasmeen, R.
Derking, A. Ramos, S. W. de Taeye, G. Ozorowski, F. Klein, D. R. Burton, M. C. Nussenzweig,
P. Poignard, J. P. Moore, P. J. Klasse, R. W. Sanders, M. B. Zwick, I. A. Wilson and A. B. Ward
(2015). "Antibodies to a conformational epitope on gp41 neutralize HIV-1 by destabilizing the
Env spike." Nat Commun **6**: 8167.

Lee, J. H., G. Ozorowski and A. B. Ward (2016). "Cryo-EM structure of a native, fully
glycosylated, cleaved HIV-1 envelope trimer." Science **351**(6277): 1043-1048.

Li, Z., W. Li, M. Lu, J. Bess, C. W. Chao, J. Gorman, D. S. Terry, B. Zhang, T. Zhou, S. C.
Blanchard, P. D. Kwong, J. D. Lifson, W. Mothes and J. Liu (2020). "Subnanometer structures
of HIV-1 envelope trimers on aldrithiol-2-inactivated virus particles." Nat Struct Mol Biol 27(8):
726-734.

Madeira, F., Y. M. Park, J. Lee, N. Buso, T. Gur, N. Madhusoodanan, P. Basutkar, A. R. N.
Tivey, S. C. Potter, R. D. Finn and R. Lopez (2019). "The EMBL-EBI search and sequence
analysis tools APIs in 2019." Nucleic Acids Res 47(W1): W636-W641.

Majumdar, R., P. Manikwar, J. M. Hickey, J. Arora, C. R. Middaugh, D. B. Volkin and D. D.
Weis (2012). "Minimizing carry-over in an online pepsin digestion system used for the H/D
exchange mass spectrometric analysis of an IgG1 monoclonal antibody." J Am Soc Mass
Spectrom 23(12): 2140-2148.

Mastronarde, D. N. (2005). "Automated electron microscope tomography using robust prediction
of specimen movements." J Struct Biol 152(1): 36-51.

Mastronarde, D. N. and S. R. Held (2017). "Automated tilt series alignment and tomographic
reconstruction in IMOD." J Struct Biol 197(2): 102-113.

McGuire, A. T., S. Hoot, A. M. Dreyer, A. Lippy, A. Stuart, K. W. Cohen, J. Jardine, S. Menis,
J. F. Scheid, A. P. West, W. R. Schief and L. Stamatatos (2013). "Engineering HIV envelope
protein to activate germline B cell receptors of broadly neutralizing anti-CD4 binding site
antibodies." J Exp Med 210(4): 655-663.

Nicastro, D., C. Schwartz, J. Pierson, R. Gaudette, M. E. Porter and J. R. McIntosh (2006). "The
molecular architecture of axonemes revealed by cryoelectron tomography." Science 313(5789):
944-948.

Pan, J., H. Peng, B. Chen and S. C. Harrison (2020). "Cryo-EM Structure of Full-length HIV-1
Env Bound With the Fab of Antibody PG16." J Mol Biol 432(4): 1158-1168.

Pandey, A. and A. P. Galvani (2019). "The global burden of HIV and prospects for control."
Lancet HIV 6(12): e809-e811.

Pettersen, E. F., T. D. Goddard, C. C. Huang, G. S. Couch, D. M. Greenblatt, E. C. Meng and T.
E. Ferrin (2004). "UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis."
J Comput Chem 25(13): 1605-1612.

Pettersen, E. F., T. D. Goddard, C. C. Huang, E. C. Meng, G. S. Couch, T. I. Croll, J. H. Morris
and T. E. Ferrin (2021). "UCSF ChimeraX: Structure visualization for researchers, educators,
and developers." Protein Sci **30**(1): 70-82.

Piai, A., Q. Fu, Y. Cai, F. Ghantous, T. Xiao, M. M. Shaik, H. Peng, S. Rits-Volloch, W. Chen,
M. S. Seaman, B. Chen and J. J. Chou (2020). "Structural basis of transmembrane coupling of
the HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein." Nat Commun **11**(1): 2317.

Rantalainen, K., Z. T. Berndsen, A. Antanasijevic, T. Schiffner, X. Zhang, W. H. Lee, J. L.
Torres, L. Zhang, A. Irimia, J. Copps, K. H. Zhou, Y. D. Kwon, W. H. Law, C. A. Schramm, R.
Verardi, S. J. Krebs, P. D. Kwong, N. A. Doria-Rose, I. A. Wilson, M. B. Zwick, J. R. Yates, W.
R. Schief and A. B. Ward (2020). "HIV-1 Envelope and MPER Antibody Structures in Lipid
Assemblies." Cell Rep **31**(4): 107583.

Rawi, R., L. Rutten, Y. T. Lai, A. S. Olia, S. Blokland, J. Juraszek, C. H. Shen, Y. Tsybovsky, R.
Verardi, Y. Yang, B. Zhang, T. Zhou, G. Y. Chuang, P. D. Kwong and J. P. M. Langedijk
(2020). "Automated Design by Structure-Based Stabilization and Consensus Repair to Achieve
Prefusion-Closed Envelope Trimers in a Wide Variety of HIV Strains." Cell Rep **33**(8): 108432.

Rossio, J. L., M. T. Esser, K. Suryanarayana, D. K. Schneider, J. W. Bess, G. M. Vasquez, T. A.
Wiltrout, E. Chertova, M. K. Grimes, Q. Sattentau, L. O. Arthur, L. E. Henderson and J. D.
Lifson (1998). "Inactivation of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infectivity with
preservation of conformational and functional integrity of virion surface proteins." J Virol
72(10): 7992-8001.

Roy, N. H., J. Chan, M. Lambelé and M. Thali (2013). "Clustering and mobility of HIV-1 Env at
viral assembly sites predict its propensity to induce cell-cell fusion." J Virol **87**(13): 7516-7525.

Scheres, S. H. (2012). "RELION: implementation of a Bayesian approach to cryo-EM structure
determination." J Struct Biol **180**(3): 519-530.

Schneider, C. A., W. S. Rasband and K. W. Eliceiri (2012). "NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of
image analysis." Nat Methods **9**(7): 671-675.

Schur, F. K., W. J. Hagen, M. Rumlová, T. Ruml, B. Müller, H. G. Kräusslich and J. A. Briggs
(2015). "Structure of the immature HIV-1 capsid in intact virus particles at 8.8 Å resolution."
Nature **517**(7535): 505-508.

Stadtmueller, B. M., M. D. Bridges, K. M. Dam, M. T. Lerch, K. E. Huey-Tubman, W. L.
Hubbell and P. J. Bjorkman (2018). "DEER Spectroscopy Measurements Reveal Multiple

Conformations of HIV-1 SOSIP Envelopes that Show Similarities with Envelopes on Native
Virions." <u>Immunity</u> **49**(2): 235-246.e234.

Stano, A., D. P. Leaman, A. S. Kim, L. Zhang, L. Autin, J. Ingale, S. K. Gift, J. Truong, R. T.
Wyatt, A. J. Olson and M. B. Zwick (2017). "Dense Array of Spikes on HIV-1 Virion Particles."
<u>J Virol</u> **91**(14).

Stewart-Jones, G. B., C. Soto, T. Lemmin, G. Y. Chuang, A. Druz, R. Kong, P. V. Thomas, K.
Wagh, T. Zhou, A. J. Behrens, T. Bylund, C. W. Choi, J. R. Davison, I. S. Georgiev, M. G.
Joyce, Y. D. Kwon, M. Pancera, J. Taft, Y. Yang, B. Zhang, S. S. Shivatare, V. S. Shivatare, C.
C. Lee, C. Y. Wu, C. A. Bewley, D. R. Burton, W. C. Koff, M. Connors, M. Crispin, U. Baxa, B.
T. Korber, C. H. Wong, J. R. Mascola and P. D. Kwong (2016). "Trimeric HIV-1-Env Structures
Define Glycan Shields from Clades A, B, and G." <u>Cell</u> **165**(4): 813-826.

Tedbury, P. R. and E. O. Freed (2014). "The role of matrix in HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein
incorporation." <u>Trends Microbiol</u> **22**(7): 372-378.

Tedbury, P. R., M. Novikova, S. D. Ablan and E. O. Freed (2016). "Biochemical evidence of a
role for matrix trimerization in HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein incorporation." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci</u>
<u>U S A</u> **113**(2): E182-190.

Tong, T., E. T. Crooks, K. Osawa and J. M. Binley (2012). "HIV-1 virus-like particles bearing
pure env trimers expose neutralizing epitopes but occlude nonneutralizing epitopes." <u>J Virol</u>
86(7): 3574-3587.

van Gils, M. J. and R. W. Sanders (2013). "Broadly neutralizing antibodies against HIV-1:
templates for a vaccine." <u>Virology</u> **435**(1): 46-56.

Verkerke, H. P., J. A. Williams, M. Guttman, C. A. Simonich, Y. Liang, M. Filipavicius, S. L.
Hu, J. Overbaugh and K. K. Lee (2016). "Epitope-Independent Purification of Native-Like
Envelope Trimers from Diverse HIV-1 Isolates." <u>J Virol</u> **90**(20): 9471-9482.

Wang, Y., P. Kaur, Z. J. Sun, M. A. Elbahnasawy, Z. Hayati, Z. S. Qiao, N. N. Bui, C. Chile, M.
L. Nasr, G. Wagner, J. H. Wang, L. Song, E. L. Reinherz and M. Kim (2019). "Topological
analysis of the gp41 MPER on lipid bilayers relevant to the metastable HIV-1 envelope prefusion
state." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> **116**(45): 22556-22566.

Ward, A. B. and I. A. Wilson (2015). "Insights into the trimeric HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein
structure." <u>Trends Biochem Sci</u> **40**(2): 101-107.

Wei, X., J. M. Decker, S. Wang, H. Hui, J. C. Kappes, X. Wu, J. F. Salazar-Gonzalez, M. G.
Salazar, J. M. Kilby, M. S. Saag, N. L. Komarova, M. A. Nowak, B. H. Hahn, P. D. Kwong and
G. M. Shaw (2003). "Antibody neutralization and escape by HIV-1." <u>Nature</u> **422**(6929): 307-
312.

Wyma, D. J., J. Jiang, J. Shi, J. Zhou, J. E. Lineberger, M. D. Miller and C. Aiken (2004).
"Coupling of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 fusion to virion maturation: a novel role of
the gp41 cytoplasmic tail." <u>J Virol</u> **78**(7): 3429-3435.

Xiong, Q., M. K. Morphew, C. L. Schwartz, A. H. Hoenger and D. N. Mastronarde (2009). "CTF
determination and correction for low dose tomographic tilt series." <u>J Struct Biol</u> **168**(3): 378-387.

Zheng, S. Q., E. Palovcak, J. P. Armache, K. A. Verba, Y. Cheng and D. A. Agard (2017).
"MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for improved cryo-electron
microscopy." <u>Nat Methods</u> **14**(4): 331-332.
945