Analysing pneumococcal invasiveness using Bayesian models of pathogen

progression rates

Alessandra Løchen[1,2], James E. Truscott[1] and Nicholas J. Croucher[1,2,*]

[1] Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Imperial

College London, London, W2 1PG, UK

[2] MRC Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis, Department of Infectious

Disease Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London,

W2 1PG, UK

* n.croucher@imperial.ac.uk

Short title: Bayesian analyses of microbial progression rates

Abstract

The disease burden attributable to opportunistic pathogens depends on their prevalence in asymptomatic colonisation and the rate at which they progress to cause symptomatic disease. Increases in infections caused by commensals can result from the emergence of "hyperinvasive" strains. Such pathogens can be identified through quantifying progression rates using matched samples of typed microbes from disease cases and healthy carriers. This study describes Bayesian models for analysing such datasets, implemented in an RStan package (https://github.com/nickjcroucher/progressionEstimation). The models converged on stable fits that accurately reproduced observations from meta-analyses of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* datasets. The estimates of invasiveness, the progression rate from carriage to invasive disease, in cases per carrier per year correlated strongly with the dimensionless values from meta-analysis of odds ratios when sample sizes were large. At smaller sample sizes, the Bayesian models produced more informative estimates. This identified historically rare but high-risk *S. pneumoniae* serotypes that could be problematic following vaccine-associated disruption of the bacterial population. The package allows for hypothesis testing through model comparisons with Bayes factors. Application to datasets in which strain and serotype information were available for *S. pneumoniae* found significant evidence for within-strain and within-serotype variation in invasiveness. The heterogeneous geographical distribution of these genotypes is therefore likely to contribute to differences in the impact of vaccination in between locations. Hence genomic surveillance of opportunistic pathogens is crucial for quantifying the effectiveness of public health interventions, and enabling ongoing meta-analyses that can identify new, highly invasive variants.

Author summary

Opportunistic pathogens are microbes that are commonly carried by healthy hosts, but can occasionally cause severe disease. The progression rate quantifies the risk of such a pathogen transitioning from a harmless commensal to causing a symptomatic infection. The incidence of infections caused by opportunistic pathogens can rise with the emergence of "hyperinvasive" strains, which have high progression rates. Therefore methods for calculating progression rates of different pathogen strains using surveillance data are crucial for rapidly identifying emerging infectious disease threats. Existing methods typically measure progression rates relative to the overall mix of microbes in the population, but these populations can vary substantially between locations and times, making the outputs challenging to combine across studies. This work presents a new method for estimating progression rates from surveillance data that generates values useful for modelling pathogen populations, even from relatively small sample sizes.

Introduction

Opportunistic pathogens are commonly found in the environment, or microbiota of healthy hosts, but have the capacity to cause disease in some host organisms [1]. The frequency with which they transition from asymptomatic colonisation to symptomatic infection can be quantified as a progression rate [2]. Many opportunistic pathogens are diverse, and can be typed by a variety of phenotypic methods [3], or divided into strains using genotyping or whole genome sequencing [4,5]. Understanding the variation in progression rates across such species is crucial for understanding changes in the incidence of diseases caused by opportunistic pathogens. Recently, strains with high progression rates, sometimes referred to as "hypervirulent" [6] or "hyperinvasive" [7], have been ascribed as the primary cause of rising case numbers of disease caused by *Neisseria meningitidis* [8], *Streptococcus pyogenes* [9] and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* [10], among others. However, understanding whether such strains drive elevated disease burdens through more rapid transmission, or heightened progression rates, typically requires additional information on the carried population of the opportunistic pathogen.

Progression rates have been extensively studied in the nasopharyngeal commensal and respiratory pathogen *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (the pneumococcus). Over one hundred serotypes have been identified in this species, each corresponding to a structurally-distinct polysaccharide capsule [11,12]. These are clustered into 48 serogroups, based on serological cross-reactivity [13]. This capsule inhibits pneumococci being cleared by the immune system, and is crucial to their ability to cause invasive pneumococcal disease (IPD) [14,15], infections of normally sterile anatomical sites. Hence the rate of progression from carriage to IPD is referred to as

invasiveness [16]. It has long been assumed the capsule is an important determinant

of pneumococcal invasiveness, based on differences in serotypes' ability to cause

disease in animal experiments [17]. Epidemiological differences are also apparent in

human disease, with paediatric serotypes (including serotypes within serogroups 6,

9, 19, 23, and serotype 14) common in sporadic infant disease, and 'epidemic'

serotypes (such as 1, 2, 5 and 12F) capable of causing IPD outbreaks in adults

[18,19].

Understanding these differences between serotypes has become crucial for *S.*

pneumoniae epidemiology, owing to the introduction of polysaccharide conjugate

vaccines (PCVs) [20]. A seven valent PCV (PCV7) was introduced in the USA in

2000, which has been supplanted by ten- and thirteen-valent formulations (PCV10

and PCV13, respectively). These induce immune responses against a specific

subset of serotypes, resulting in their elimination from carriage [21]. However, the

overall frequency of *S. pneumoniae* colonisation typically remains stable after any

PCV's introduction, owing to the replacement of vaccine serotypes by the plethora of

non-vaccine serotypes [22,23]. Nevertheless, PCVs have usually proved highly

effective at reducing IPD through facilitating the replacement of the pre-PCV carried

population with pneumococcal serotypes associated with lower invasiveness [24,25].

Hence optimal PCVs are those which minimise the overall invasiveness of the

carried pneumococcal population [26,27]. Therefore estimation of invasiveness

across vaccine-targeted serotypes, and those which may replace them post-PCV, is

vital for reducing the incidence of IPD. Such quantification of invasiveness is typically

achieved through paired studies of serotypes' prevalence in geographically and

temporally matched surveys of pneumococcal carriage, and surveillance of IPD.

Multiple methods have been used to estimate invasiveness using such paired case

and carrier data. The most common approaches use odds ratios: the ratio of isolates

of a given serotype recovered from disease against those recovered from carriage,

divided by the same ratio calculated across all other serotypes in the population

[28,29]. However, this statistic is intrinsically imperfect, because even if a serotype

causes disease at a consistent rate across populations, the mix of serotypes against

which it is compared will differ between locations [30,31]. This geographical

heterogeneity has been exacerbated by post-PCV serotype replacement, which has

driven increasing divergence between countries' serotype compositions [32]. The

interpretability of the odds ratios may be improved by standardising them relative to

the geometric mean across all odds ratios [33], but this does not resolve the

underlying problem, which likely contributes to the substantial heterogeneity

observed for some serotypes when odds ratios are combined in meta-analyses [34].

This means carefully-conducted odds ratio analyses can be hampered by having to

subsample data, and employ both fixed and random effects analyses, within a single

analysis [35]. One solution has been to estimate invasiveness relative to a standard

serotype that is common in both carriage and IPD across studied locations [34,36].

However, these properties mean such serotypes are likely to be targeted by PCVs.

Correspondingly, the original standard, serotype 14 [29], has been eliminated by

PCV7 in many settings. Similarly, PCV13 has removed the replacement standard

serotype used in post-PCV7 studies, 19A [34].

Invasiveness has been more directly characterised as the ratio of disease cases

relative to the estimated prevalence in carriage [25]. However, this approach did not

account for the stochasticity of disease cases being observed, and assumed the uncertainty associated with the estimated case-to-carrier ratio derived only from the colonisation survey data. More comprehensive quantification of the uncertainty in invasiveness can be achieved with Bayesian frameworks, which have been applied to individual pneumococcal populations [2,37]. Invasiveness has been modelled by jointly fitting distributions to carriage and disease data, then using random effects models to estimate invasiveness in different age groups [37]. An alternative approach, using Poisson regression, was used to estimate serotypes' progression rates for causing otitis media [2].

In this work, we apply Bayesian modelling to estimate progression rates from meta-analyses of multiple studies. The ability to synthesise data across populations is important for increasing the sample size of each of the many serotypes observed in species such as *S. pneumoniae*, particularly as many genotypes that have emerged post-PCV were previously rare, and vary geographically [32,38]. The model enables the estimation of progression rates as an opportunistic pathogen's hazard of progressing from carriage to disease in a specified population. Such absolute values avoid a measure that is relative either to the rest of the local pneumococcal population, or to one standard serotype. These properties are crucial for quantifying and modelling changes in disease incidence, such as following vaccine introduction, or the emergence of a hyperinvasive strain. They can be particularly valuable in settings where disease surveillance is not comprehensive, and only carriage data are available [37,39].

Using a Bayesian approach also enables hypothesis testing through statistical

comparison of alternative model structures [2,37]. This is crucial for understanding

the host and pathogen factors that affect progression rates. For instance, the

correlation between pneumococcal serotypes and invasiveness has not been

indisputably established as a causal link. In *Haemophilus influenzae*, changes to an

isolate's serotype altered its virulence in an animal model of disease in such a

manner that reflected the epidemiology of human disease [40]. While some

equivalent experiments in *S. pneumoniae* have replicated observations from human

IPD [41,42], others have found changes in an isolate's serotype did not change its

invasiveness in an animal model [43,44], suggesting serotype-independent factors

may contribute to this phenotype. Studies of isolates from disease and carriage

using comparative genomic hybridisation [45,46] and whole genome sequencing

[47,48] have both supported links between non-capsular loci and invasiveness. An

unambiguous association cannot even be made between the distinctive phenotypes

of the epidemic serotypes and their capsules, as these pneumococci tend to have

low genetic diversity [35,49], and therefore their capsule polysaccharide synthesis

locus is in linkage disequilibrium with many other variable genomic loci [50,51].

Epidemiological studies using genotyped and serotyped isolates have also found

examples of within-serotype differences in invasiveness [33,52,53], although other

studies found evidence of serotype being the primary determinant of invasiveness

[29]. Genomic analysis by the Global Pneumococcal Sequencing project also

identified differences in the invasiveness of strains of the same serotype [31].

However, given the multiple pairwise comparisons conducted in such analyses, it is

not clear whether such observations might be expected, even if the capsule is the

primary determinant of invasiveness. Such questions can be addressed through

comparison of different model fits to the data, thereby improving our understanding

of the determinants of progression rates. This is essential for deciding on the most

effective methods for ongoing pathogen surveillance, and to enable the rapid

identification of emerging highly invasive types. Therefore the methods, models and

data described in this study are made available as an R package

(https://github.com/nickjcroucher/progressionEstimation), to enable the ongoing

aggregation of case and carrier studies for any opportunistic pathogens,.

Methods

Model definitions and assumptions

All models were designed to be applied to a meta-population of a multi-strain

opportunistic pathogen. Each population *i* corresponded to a matched sample of

microbes from asymptomatic carriers, or the environment, and a sample from

disease. It was assumed all cases of disease emerged independently from the

carried or environmental population. Across the meta-population, the microbes were

classified according to their serotype *j*, strain *k*, or the combination of both, *j,k*. If *x*

denotes any one of these classifications, then the carriage rate in population *i*, $\rho_{i,x}$,

was estimated based on the total number of samples (including negative results), η_i,

and the number of samples positive for isolates of type *x*, $c_{i,x}$:

200                 $$c_{i,x} \sim Binom(\rho_{i,x}, \eta_i)$$

Modelling the number of positive samples using a Binomial distribution follows the

precedent of Weinberger *et al* [37], and assumes all carriage samples are

independently drawn from the circulating population.

A set of related statistical models were used to estimate the progression rate [2], v,

the hazard of developing a disease given carriage of a microbe per unit time. As v

was assumed to be constant for each type *x* in each study *i*, the number of observed

cases of disease caused by *x* in *i*, $d_{i,x}$, was modelled as the product of the number of

potential hosts in *i*, N_i; the proportion carrying *x* in *i*, $\rho_{i,x}$; the per unit time probability

of *x* causing disease, v_x; and the duration of the study, t_i. . Hence, the expected

number of isolates from disease, $\mathbb{E}[d_{i,x}]$, in all models was proportional to all these

quantities:

$$\mathbb{E}[d_{i,x}] \propto \rho_{i,x} N_i t_i v_x$$

Some models also adjusted the expected $d_{i,x}$ between *i* through a scaling parameter,

γ_i, that was relative to a reference population. This corrects for differences between

studies both in the actual hazard of developing a disease, due to host population

variation, and differences in the comprehensiveness of surveillance across the study

populations. Hence, the expected number of isolates from disease, $\mathbb{E}[d_{i,x}]$, in such

models was proportional the quantities:

$$\mathbb{E}[d_{i,x}] \propto \rho_{i,x} N_i t_i v_x \gamma_i$$

All these parameters were assumed to be constant within a study over t_i. All disease

cases were assumed to develop independently, and therefore were modelled as a

Poisson process. However, relevant unmodelled variation, or oversampling of local

transmission chains in either carriage or disease sampling [54], could cause

heterogeneity in the observed isolate counts in either component of the paired

samples. Hence $d_{i,x}$ was additionally modelled as following a negative binomial

distribution, in which overdispersion was quantified by the precision parameter, ϕ,

relative to the mean, μ. Lower ϕ values indicate greater overdispersion, as the

variance of the distribution was calculated as:

236
$$Var[d_{i,x}] = \mu + \frac{\mu^2}{\phi}$$

238   **Models of disease prevalence**

239   Four different structures were used to model $\mathbb{E}[d_{i,x}]$. For each, two different versions

240   were fitted to the data. In the simpler version, the observed disease case counts

241   were assumed to follow a Poisson distribution:

243
$$d_{i,x} \sim Poisson(\mathbb{E}[d_{i,x}])$$

245   The more complex version assumed a negative binomial distribution of the observed

246   disease case counts:

248
$$d_{i,x} \sim NegBin(\mathbb{E}[d_{i,x}], \phi)$$

Null model: In this simplest model, the progression rate was independent of the

pathogen's type ($v_x = v$ for all x) and host population ($\gamma_i = 1$ for all i). Therefore $d_{i,x}$ is

expected to be correlated with $c_{i,x}$:

$$\mathbb{E}[d_{i,x}] = \rho_{i,x} N_i t_i v$$

Type-specific model: This model, similar to that Weinberger *et al* applied to a

single population [37], allowed for variation in progression rate between types, but

still assumed host populations to be homogeneous ($\gamma_i = 1$ for all i). Therefore $d_{i,x}$

depends on both the carriage prevalence and progression rate of x:

$$\mathbb{E}[d_{i,x}] = \rho_{i,x} N_i t_i v_x$$

Study-adjusted model: In this model, variation in disease prevalence between

studies reflected differences in host population and surveillance, rather than

differences between types. Hence the progression rate was independent of the

pathogen's type ($v_x = v$ for all x), but varied between i due to γ_i:

$$\mathbb{E}[d_{i,x}] = \rho_{i,x} N_i t_i v \gamma_i$$

Study-adjusted type-specific model: This model allowed for both variation in the

progression rate between types x and study populations i:

$$\mathbb{E}[d_{i,x}] = \rho_{i,x} N_i t_i v_x \gamma_i$$

Joint modelling of strain and type progression rates

For datasets in which information was available on both type (for *S. pneumoniae*, serotype) j and strain k, v_x was modelled in five different ways:

Serotype-determined progression rate: The progression rate was entirely determined by an isolate's type, and independent of its strain background ($v_x = v_j$).

Strain-determined progression rate: The progression rate was entirely determined by the strain to which an isolate belonged, and independent of its type ($v_x = v_k$).

Strain-modified type-determined progression rate: Two approaches were taken to modelling progression rates as being independently affected by type and genetic background. The first modelled the progression rate as being primarily determined by an isolate's serotype, which was modified by its strain background. This was calculated as $v_x = v_j v_k$, where the model priors meant v_j was less constrained than v_k. Hence most variation in v_x should be attributed to the type j.

Type-modified strain-determined progression rate: The second approach to analysing the independent effects of type and genetic background modelled progression rate as being primarily determined by the strain to which an isolate belonged, which was modified by the serotype it expressed. This was calculated as $v_x = v_j v_k$, where the model priors meant v_k was less constrained than v_j. most variation in v_x should therefore be attributed to the strain k.

Type and strain-determined progression rate: Each combination of serotype j and

strain k was modelled as having a unique progression rate ($v_x = v_{j,k}$), suggesting non-

multiplicative interactions between strain background and type on an isolate's

progression rate.

Model priors and implementation

The characteristics of each study population (η_i, N_i, t_i) and observed counts of type x

in carriage ($c_{i,x}$) and disease ($d_{i,x}$) were assumed to be accurate and without error. As

each recovery of a type x isolate from carriage contributes to the estimation of $\rho_{i,x}$,

even if an individual carries multiple types, the prior distribution was:

$$\rho_{i,x} \sim Beta(1,1)$$

This means each type's carriage was independently estimated, and the sum of

carriage prevalences ($\sum_x \rho_{i,x}$) may be greater than one, if there is high level of

multiple carriage in a population. The beta distribution was used, as it is the

conjugate prior of the binomial distribution, although setting the scale and shape

parameters to one make it equivalent to a uniform distribution bounded by zero and

one.

Invasiveness has been estimated to vary over orders of magnitude in *S. pneumoniae*

[27], and therefore a uniform prior was placed on the logarithm of v_x in all models.

The lower bound was set at one IPD case per million carriers per year, as the largest

studies used surveillance of host populations consisting of tens of millions of

individuals (Table S1). The upper bound was ten cases per carrier per year, which

would effectively correspond to an obligate pathogen for a bacterium with the

carriage duration of *S. pneumoniae* [55]:

$$log_{10}(v_x) \sim U(-6,1)$$

As the scaling factors were relative measures of disease prevalence between

populations, γ_i for the population with the largest sample size was fixed at one. The

scaling factor for each other population *i* was allowed to vary higher or lower. The

Cauchy distribution was assumed as the prior for the logarithm of γ_i, as this

describes the ratio of two normally-distributed random variables. However, the

Hamiltonian Monte Carlo algorithm used for model fitting does not efficiently sample

heavy-tailed distributions, and therefore the prior was reparameterised to use a

random variable Γ_i with a unit-sized uniform prior [56]:

$$\Gamma_i \sim U\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$$

The Γ_i values were then transformed to generate γ_i values with a Cauchy distribution

using the location ($\mu_{study} = 0$) and scale ($\tau_{study} = 2$) parameters:

$$log_{10}(\gamma_i) = \mu_{study} + \tau_{study} tan(\Gamma_i))$$

The τ_{study} value allowed for variation in observed incidence over multiple orders of

magnitude between datasets, ensuring the model makes allowance for substantial

heterogeneity in observed disease incidence [57].

A similar reparameterization of the Cauchy distribution was used for the models in

which type and strain background independently contributed to a genotype's

progression rate (the strain-modified type-determined, and type-modified strain-

determined progression rate models). The categorisation modelled as determining

the progression rate (v_x) had the same prior as when a single factor determined the

progression rate. This was multiplied by a second value, v_y, determined by the

classification modifying the progression rate. The prior distribution for the logarithm

of this value was a truncated Cauchy, symmetrical around zero. This represented the

expectation that the modification of the progression rate would be small

(approximately one) in most cases, but may be substantial in rare instances. The

truncation was found to be necessary for efficient sampling with the Hamiltonian

Monte Carlo algorithm. This was parameterised with a random variable Y_y, sampled

from a uniform distribution with narrower boundaries than those for the analogous Γ_i

variable:

364                                     $$\Upsilon_y \sim U(-1.25, 1.25)$$

366   The Y_y values were then transformed to generate v_y values using the location (μ_{mod}

367    $= 0$) and scale ($\tau_{mod} = 0.5$) parameters:

369                          $$log_{10}(v_y) = \mu_{mod} + \tau_{mod} tan(\Upsilon_y))$$

This meant v_y values could vary over three orders of magnitude (between 0.0313

and 32.0), while enabling models to converge with reasonable MCMC lengths.

The precision parameter of the negative binomial distribution, ϕ, was sampled from a

uniform distribution. Where there is little evidence of overdispersion, ϕ will be large

relative to $\mathbb{E}[d_{i,x}]$, whereas highly overdispersed data can be modelled with a smaller,

albeit non-zero, ϕ value. Therefore, the prior distribution was chosen to be broad, but

always positive:

$$log_{10}(\phi) \sim U(-3,3)$$

Model parameters are summarised in Table 1. All models were implemented using R

[58] and Rstan [59]. Each model was fitted using two Hamiltonian Markov chain

Monte Carlo (MCMC) evaluations for 25,000 iterations. Convergence was assessed

through analysing the MCMC traces, using the criterion of all $\hat{R}$ values below 1.05,

and no reports of divergent transitions in the sampling [56]. This was achieved using

default MCMC sampling parameters for the serotype analyses, but the strain

analyses required a smaller step size (0.01), higher acceptance probability (0.99)

and larger tree depth (20). The data and code used for the analyses are available as

an R package from https://github.com/nickjcroucher/progressionEstimation/.

Model evaluation and comparison

Models were compared using likelihoods, independent of priors, through

approximate leave-one-out cross-validation with the loo package [60]. The marginal

likelihoods of different models, given the data, were compared with Bayes factors

calculated using the bridgesampling package [61]. The same number of iterations

were used to calculate the logarithmic marginal likelihoods as were used to fit the

models. Model outputs were analysed and plotted with tidyverse and ggpubr

packages [62,63].

***S. pneumoniae* serotype data**

The matched carriage and invasive pneumococcal disease serotype datasets were

combined from two meta-analyses [27,34]. Twelve of the reported studies were

omitted due to lack of publicly-available data [29,64–69]; difficultly in defining

independent cross-sectional carriage samples [70,71]; small sample sizes once

stratified by age [72], or study design biased towards particular serotypes [73]. If a

PCV were introduced during a study, then samples were divided into pre-PCV and

post-PCV datasets. This generated 21 paired case and carrier datasets, each with

substantial matched systematically-sampled and comprehensively serotyped

asymptomatic carriage and disease samples (Table S1). Of these studies, 20

included data on child carriage and child IPD, and five included data on child

carriage and adult IPD.

Data that were only resolved to serogroup level, based on the currently-known

serotypes, were omitted from the analysis, although the overall number of samples

taken (η_i) was not adjusted. However, data on serotypes in historical studies that are

now known to correspond to multiple serotypes (e.g. serotype 6A now being

resolved into 6A and 6C [74]) were included unaltered. Additionally, isolates of

serotypes 15B and 15C were combined into the single 15B/C category.

***S. pneumoniae* strain data**

Analysis of variation of invasiveness between *S. pneumoniae* strains in children used

population genomic data from South Africa [35], a mixture of population genomic and

genotyped data from the USA [35], and genotyped data from Finland [71], Oxford

[29] and Stockholm [75]. The equivalent analysis of strain invasiveness in adults

used data from Portugal [52]. Some of the genotyped datasets were not as

thoroughly documented as the serotyped datasets, and therefore it was not

appropriate to fit models that lacked a study-specific scale factor that reduced their

reliance upon accurate carriage sample information (Text S1).

Results

Bayesian meta-analysis of *S. pneumoniae* serotype invasiveness

Twenty matched disease case and nasopharyngeal carrier datasets were used to

quantify *S. pneumoniae* serotype invasiveness in children (Table S1). From these,

7,340 carriage isolates and 2,851 disease isolates were extracted, across 72

serotypes (Fig. S1). Multiple models of invasiveness were proposed to analyse these

data (see Methods). The null model assumed there was no systematic difference

between serotypes' invasiveness across datasets. The type-specific model assumed

each serotype had a characteristic invasiveness that was consistent across

locations. The study-adjusted null model assumed invasiveness did not vary across

serotypes, but could differ between studies. Finally, the study-adjusted type-specific

model allowed invasiveness to vary between both types and studies. All four

approaches to estimating the expected numbers of disease cases and carriage

isolates were fitted assuming the number of disease cases would follow a Poisson

distribution, and allowing for overdispersion by fitting a negative binomial distribution

to these values, resulting in eight total models.

Datapoints at which both the count of both carriage and disease isolates were low for

a given type in a study offered limited power for distinguishing the different model

structures. Therefore only paired observations from an individual study in which

either the number of carried or disease isolates was at least five were used for the

model comparison. This reduced the dataset to 7,048 carriage isolates and 2,617

disease isolates across 45 serotypes (Fig. S2). The eight models were each fitted to

the data using two Hamiltonian Markov chain Monte Carlo samplings, both run for

25,000 iterations. All chains appeared to have converged, based on the traces of the

posterior probabilities (Fig. S3) and all $\hat{R}$ values being below 1.001 (Fig. S4).

Comparisons of the observed and predicted isolate counts showed all models could

accurately reproduce the observations from carriage studies, with the exception of

the simplest null Poisson model (Fig. 1). However, only the most complex models,

adjusting for both *S. pneumoniae* serotype and study, were able to accurately

reproduce the disease case counts.

These deviations can be quantified as root mean squared error (RMSE) values (Fig.

S5). These show the negative binomial models replicate the carriage values closely,

relative to the equivalent Poisson models, with correspondingly greater deviation

from the disease counts enabled by the overdispersion permitted by the negative

binomial distribution's precision parameter, φ. The low φ values for the three simplest

negative binomial models suggest there is substantial unmodelled variation (Fig. S6).

However, for the study-adjusted type-specific models, the high φ value suggests little

evidence of overdispersion, and the similar RMSE values for the Poisson and

negative binomial versions of this model suggested adjusting for study and serotype

enabled disease cases to be modelled using a Poisson distribution (Fig. S5).

The success of the study-adjusted type-specific models in reproducing the

observations may represent overfitting by the most complex models. Therefore

formal model comparisons were undertaken using leave-one-out cross validation

[60]. Although this suggested the study-adjusted type-specific models were the most

appropriate, the large number of model parameters meant the Pareto k statistic

diagnostic values were too high for these comparisons to be reliable (Table S2) [76].

This was still true if the models were compared using only the likelihoods calculated

from the disease counts, which were more constrained than those calculated from

the carriage data (Table S3). Hence models were instead compared using Bayes

factors calculated from bridge sampling [61]. This found the null models to be the

poorest fitting, and identified the study-adjusted type-specific Poisson model to be

the most strongly favoured by the data (Table S4).

Identification of highly invasive non-vaccine serotypes

The study-adjusted type-specific model was therefore applied to the full dataset.

Both the MCMC traces and $\hat{R}$ statistics indicated the model fit converged after

25,000 iterations (Fig. S7). This enabled the estimation of invasiveness for 72

serotypes (Fig. 2; Dataset S1), including all 24 included in formulations that are

currently licensed or under development [20,77,78]. The analysis shows the

serotypes associated with high invasiveness and narrow credibility intervals are the

three serotypes added to PCV7 to generate PCV10 (1, 5 and 7F), which are also

present in higher-valency PCVs, and serotype 12F, included in PCV20. Other

serotypes not included in current vaccine designs, but likely to be highly invasive,

include 9L, 19C, 28A and 46. However, the credibility intervals of these estimates

were substantial, resulting from small overall sample sizes.

The serotypes associated with low invasiveness and narrow credible intervals were

11A (included in PCV20), 6C, 21, 23A, 23B, 34, 35B and 35F. Many other serotypes

(e.g. 10F, 11F, 19B, 33A, 35A, 42 and 45) are likely to have low invasiveness, but

these estimates have wide credibility intervals. The higher uncertainty generally

corresponded with lower sample sizes, although some of these serotypes had a total

sample size above 50 (e.g. 10F, 35A, 42), but were nevertheless rare in IPD. The

reference population for the model fit was the post-PCV7 sample from the Navajo

nation [37], as this study contributed the largest number of isolates (Fig. S1). The

model fits found the maximal progression rates were above 10^{-2} IPD cases per

carrier per year, with the minimum point estimates approximately 10^{-4} IPD cases per

carrier per year. However, the incidence of IPD in the Navajo population is high, with

excellent disease surveillance [79], and therefore other studies were expected to

report lower disease cases per carriage episode. Correspondingly, the study-

adjustment scale factors were below one for most other datasets (Fig. S8),

suggesting most locations would expect to detect around half the number of IPD

cases per carriage episode for the same carriage serotype composition.

The fitted study-adjustment scale factors were all associated with similarly narrow

credibility intervals, demonstrating there were enough shared types between

samples to robustly infer these parameters. For four locations, both pre-PCV and

post-PCV samples were included in the meta-analysis, which might be expected to

have similar scale factors, if the host population and disease surveillance were

consistent across these eras. However, this was not the case for three locations

(Atlanta, Bogota and the Netherlands). The model's assumption that serotype

invasiveness is constant across studies may be violated by a reduction in vaccine-

type invasiveness following PCV introduction, if vaccine-induced immunity inhibited

progression from carriage to IPD. Therefore the study-adjusted type-specific Poisson

model was refitted, treating vaccine-type serotypes as different types pre- and post-

PCV (including 6A as a PCV7 type, and 6C as a PCV13 type [80]; Fig. S9). The

point estimates of invasiveness for most vaccine serotypes dropped following PCV

introduction, with the evidence strongest for serotypes 14 and 7F falling after the

introduction of PCV7 and PCV10, respectively (Fig. 2). This fit meant the scale factor

credibility intervals for the Netherlands' pre-PCV7, post-PCV7 and post-PCV10

studies overlapped (Fig. S10). These data suggest PCVs can reduce the

invasiveness of vaccine types before they are eliminated by herd immunity, and

therefore distinguishing between pre- and post-PCV invasiveness may help

standardisation across meta-analyses.

539   Comparison of Bayesian modelling with odds ratios

The outputs of the adjusted type-specific Poisson model were compared to those

from estimating pneumococcal invasiveness from the same dataset using odds

ratios (Fig. 3). Odds ratios were combined across studies using either random (Fig.

3A) or fixed effects (Fig. 3B) models, and the results disaggregated based on the

total number of isolates, summed across carriage and disease, for each serotype.

Across all sample sizes, there was a significant positive correlation between the two

measures of invasiveness. The range of invasiveness values extended over two

orders of magnitude for both methods. Hence the study-adjusted type-specific

Poisson model produced similar relative estimates of invasiveness to odds ratio

analyses, while having the advantage of providing both overall, and location-specific,

absolute estimates of these progression rates that can be used in quantitative

analyses.

Fixed effects analyses assume there is a single invasiveness value across all

locations, whereas random effects analyses allow for variation in the underlying

invasiveness estimate between studies. The identification of between-location

heterogeneity typically suggests random effects models are more appropriate for

analyses of pneumococcal invasiveness [27,34,35]. This variation may either reflect

genuine differences in serotype behaviour, or arise from standardisation relative to a

variable mix of serotypes between locations. By accounting for this variation, random

effects models often calculate wider confidence intervals than fixed effect models

(Fig. 3). Additionally, random effects models are typically not recommended where

there are fewer than five studies in which a serotype features [81], and therefore

meta-analyses of odds ratios may be a mixture of fixed- and random-effects models

[35]. By contrast, the Bayesian framework rejected the over-dispersed negative

binomial model in favour of the Poisson model (Fig. 1). This may reflect reduced

heterogeneity in invasiveness estimates, because standardisation does not use

differing mixtures of serotypes, as for odds ratios. This single, consistent model can

be applied across serotypes, regardless of their frequency.

Deviations between the methods in the rank order of invasiveness point estimates

were most evident at small sample sizes. This was most notable for serotypes 33A

and 36, both of which were associated with relatively high log odds ratios (above

zero) and relatively low Bayesian progression rate estimates (below 10^{-3} cases per

carrier per year). Both were observed only in carriage: five isolates across four

studies for serotype 33A, and one isolate in each of three studies for serotype 36.

Whereas logarithmic odds ratio analyses necessarily generate symmetrical

confidence intervals, those from the Bayesian analysis were asymmetric, with long

tails spanning lower values representing the possibility that the invasiveness of these

serotypes may be negligible, as they were not observed to cause IPD. Hence the

Bayesian model estimates provide a more informative representation of the limited

available data.

At the upper end of the scale, the Bayesian model found the most highly invasive

serotype to be 46, with credibility intervals indicating this serotype is unlikely to be of

intermediate invasiveness [28]. By contrast, the confidence intervals for the odds

ratios estimated using random effects spanned the full range of invasiveness point

estimates across the species. This serotype was observed in two studies, but never

isolated from carriage, and there is further circumstantial evidence that serotype 46

is likely to be highly invasive (see Discussion). Hence this Bayesian framework can

use case-carrier studies to provide informative estimates of invasiveness even from

small sample sizes.

Odds ratios were previously found to correlate with another measure of

invasiveness, the "attack rate", which in turn negatively correlated with carriage

duration [18]. This could result from IPD being most common shortly after the

acquisition of a novel serotype in the nasopharynx, which occurs more frequently for

serotypes carried for only a short period in each host. The invasiveness values from

child IPD data were compared with recent estimates of carriage duration from multi-

state modelling of longitudinally-sampled carriage studies in Maela, Thailand [55,82].

Although the highest invasiveness values were associated with short carriage

durations, likely as longer carriage duration is expected to result in higher cross-

sectional carriage prevalences, there was no strong overall relationship (Fig. S11).

Differences in invasiveness between host age demographics

Although *S. pneumoniae* primarily circulate between children, they frequently cause

disease in adults. Five datasets were identified in which adult disease cases could

be matched with child nasopharyngeal carrier datasets, to estimate serotype

invasiveness in adults. Overall, 3,756 carriage isolates and 3,041 disease isolates

were extracted across 53 serotypes (Fig. S12). As with the child IPD samples, this

dataset was filtered for observations where either the number of carriage or disease

isolates was at least five for the model comparison, to improve the power for

identifying the best fitting model. This filtering left in 3,704 carriage isolates and

2,969 disease isolates across 40 serotypes (Fig. S13). As with the child dataset, two

Hamiltonian MCMC samplings for 25,000 iterations were used to fit the eight models,

which converged based on the traces of the posterior probability (Fig. S14) and $\hat{R}$

values being below 1.001 (Fig. S15). Similar to the analysis of invasiveness in

children, comparisons of the observed and predicted isolate counts again showed

that only the models adjusting for both *S. pneumoniae* serotype and study were able

to accurately reproduce the adult disease case counts (Fig. S16). Bayes factors

concurred that the study-adjusted type-specific Poisson model was the most likely,

given the data (Table S5).

Thus, this model was applied to the complete adult disease dataset. MCMC traces

and $\hat{R}$ statistics again indicated the model fit converged after 25,000 iterations (Fig.

S17). Like the child analysis, the reference population for the model fit was the post-

PCV7 sample from the Navajo nation [37]. The high incidence of IPD in this

population is again evident in the adult population, and therefore the other studies

report lower study-adjustment scale factors (Fig. S18), with most locations expecting

to detect around a third or fewer the number of IPD cases per carriage episode for

the same carriage serotype composition.

This analysis therefore enabled the estimation of invasiveness of 53 serotypes in

adults (Fig. 4A; Dataset S2), including the 24 included in PCV formulations currently

licensed or under development. The analysis shows the non-vaccine serotypes

associated with high invasiveness and narrow credibility intervals were serotypes 8

and 12F, included in the PCV20 formulation, and the non-vaccine type serotype 13.

Other serotypes not included in current vaccine designs, but likely to be highly

invasive, include serotypes 9L, 12B and 29. However, the credibility intervals of

these estimates were substantial, resulting from small overall sample sizes. The non-

PCV serotypes associated with low invasiveness (mean estimates $<10^{-3}$ disease

cases per carrier per year) and narrow credible intervals were serotypes 7C, 15A,

16F, 23A, 31, 34, 35A, 35B and 35F.

Comparisons with the corresponding child invasiveness estimates (Fig. 4B) showed

many PCV serotypes had similar invasiveness estimates in both children and adults

[37], with PCV7 and PCV10 types having mid-range and high invasiveness

estimates for both children and adults, respectively. Serotype 6C, which is affected

by PCV13-induced immunity against the 6A component, was low in both children

and adults. The non-PCV serotype 9L appears to be highly invasive in both

populations, although this value was associated with considerable uncertainty.

However, other non-PCV types showed a discrepancy between the two age groups.

For example, serotypes 12B and 29 were highly invasive in adults but not children,

though the credible interval ranges were large for both.

Serotypes included in the PCV15 and PCV20 formulations appeared to have mid-

range invasiveness in both age groups, except for the consistently highly invasive

serotypes 8 and 12F. This suggests the removal of these two serotypes through herd

immunity from higher-valency PCV infant immunisation programmes would likely be

beneficial to the adult population [83,84]. However, this would concomitantly reduce

the effectiveness of the current PPV23 adult vaccine, due to its overlap in serotype

coverage with PCVs meaning it would protect against a lower proportion of the post-

vaccine *S. pneumoniae* population [27,85]. Its residual effect would be determined

by the prevalence and invasiveness of the non-PCV PPV23 serotypes. Both

serotypes 2 and 20 were estimated to be highly invasive for both age groups, albeit

with broad credible intervals for adults for the former, due to it only being included in

one adult dataset. Other PPV23 serotypes had elevated invasiveness compared to

many non-vaccine types, suggesting that PPV23 may offer protection against a

limited number of higher-risk serotypes following the implementation of PCV15 or

PCV20 infant vaccination programmes.

Invasiveness varies between pneumococcal strains and serotypes

S. pneumoniae populations are genetically diverse, and can be divided into discrete

strains [50,86]. Isolates of a particular serotype are often found across multiple strain

backgrounds, and a single strain may be associated with multiple serotypes through

switching [51]. To test how each of these characteristics affected pneumococcal

progression rates, five different models of isolates' invasiveness were fitted using the

study-adjusted type-specific Poisson framework (see Methods). These corresponded

to the hypotheses that an isolate's invasiveness was determined by its serotype; by

its strain background; primarily by its serotype, but modified by strain background;

primarily by its strain background, but modified by its serotype; and determined by

the combination of its serotype and strain background. All five models were fitted

using Poisson and negative binomial distributions.

These ten models were used to conduct a meta-analysis of six studies in which both

serotype and strain background could be determined, for at least some isolates (Fig.

S19). Three of the studies (post-PCV7 and post-PCV13 South Africa; post-PCV7

USA) were modified versions of a comparison primarily conducted using genomic

data [35]. These were combined with pre-PCV genotyped studies from Oxford, UK

[29]; Stockholm, Sweden [75], and Finland [71] (see Text S1 and Table S6). For

each dataset i, isolates were grouped by both their serotype j and strain k. Models

were fitted to a subset of these samples that excluded rare strain-serotypes (with a

maximum $c_{i,j,k}$ or $d_{i,j,k}$ below five; Fig. S20), leaving 38 serotypes, 74 strains, and 99

serotype-strain combinations. All models converged on stable set of parameter

estimates, as inferred from the traces of logarithmic posterior probability values (Fig.

S21) and distribution of $\hat{R}$ values (Fig. S22). All models were able to generate

reasonably accurate predictions (Fig. S23). There was little evidence of negative

binomial distributions improving the fit of these models, and the precision parameters

were correspondingly high, albeit with evidence of greater overdispersion when

serotype information was omitted from the model fit (Fig. S24). The lowest RMSEs

were associated with the models that accounted for both strain background and

serotype, either through modification, or when considered in combination (Fig. S25).

Model comparison with LOO-CV found the best-performing models were those that

combined information on isolates' serotype and strain background (Tables S7 and

S8), although the Pareto k statistic diagnostic values were again too high for this

comparison to be reliable. Comparisons using Bayes factors agreed that the most

likely model was that in which invasiveness was determined individually for each

combination of strain and serotype (Table S9). Some carriage sample sizes had to

be approximated in this analysis (for the Oxford and Finland studies in particular; see

Text S1), but the study adjustment factors were robustly estimated and significantly

differed across studies, suggesting the model was able to compensate for this

aspect of the data (Fig. S26). To test whether these problems could have affected

relative model likelihoods, the model comparison was repeated with a 100-fold

change in the sample size values for those studies in which the precise number was

not reported. The study-adjustment factor meant the comparisons were relatively

insensitive to these changes, and the same model was identified as the most likely,

given the data (Table S10). Hence model comparisons demonstrated that neither

serotype nor strain background alone determines a genotype's invasiveness.

Identification of invasive pneumococcal genotypes

The best-fitting model converged on a stable solution when applied to the full dataset

(Fig. S27). The invasiveness estimates can be plotted to analyse variation by

serotype within strains (Fig. 5; Dataset S3). Some strains were uniformly low

invasiveness (serotypes 11A, 15A and 20A within GPSC22), whereas others had

consistently higher invasiveness estimates (serotypes 14, 9V, 19F and 19A within

GPSC6). By contrast, 19A had an elevated invasiveness relative to 19F within

GPSC1, and relative to serotype 15B/C in GPSC4. Similarly, serotypes 8 and 33F

were substantially more invasive than 11A within GPSC3. Hence there was

considerable variation in estimated invasiveness both between, and within, strains.

The same invasiveness estimates were plotted grouped by serotype (Fig. 6). The

meta-analysis identified significant evidence to support many of the observations of

within-serotype invasiveness variation noted in the individual analyses (Table S11).

Heterogeneity was most strongly evident within some of the traditional paediatric

types [19] (including 6A, 6B, 14, 19F), as well as 16F and 19A. This may represent

these common serotypes being found across a wider diversity of strain backgrounds.

However, the estimates for other low invasiveness serotypes disseminated across

multiple backgrounds, such as 15B/C, 23F and 35B, were more consistent.

Furthermore, some serotypes (such as 1 and 12F) were similarly highly invasive in

multiple strains. This implies some serotypes may have a strong effect on an

isolate's invasiveness.

The adjusted type-specific model was applied to the full dataset, including low

frequency types, to identify high-risk genotypes that might emerge as causes of IPD

post-PCV (Fig. S28). The most invasive genotypes not targeted by current PCVs

were mainly of serotypes typically considered invasive, such as 8 and 12F. Yet there

were also examples of genotypes expressing serotypes regarded as less invasive

(e.g. 10A, 16F, 23B and 35B) with high invasiveness point estimates, albeit with wide

credibility intervals reflecting small sample sizes. Most of these are likely to remain

rare, or regress to the population-wide mean as more data emerge, but ongoing

surveillance to rapidly identify whether any represent a potentially problematic type

post-PCV will be valuable.

An additional genotyped dataset from Portugal (Fig. S29), in which the majority of

disease cases were isolated from adults [52], was analysed with the type-specific

Poisson model in which invasiveness was specific to each combination of serotype

and strain background (Fig. S30). There were few instances of multiple serotypes

being isolated from the same strain, but several serotypes were distributed across

multiple genetic backgrounds (Fig. S31). This found evidence of heterogeneity in

invasiveness within serotypes 3, 14 and 23F. The elevated invasiveness of serotype

3 was associated with the GPSC6 strain, found to have high invasiveness when

expressing multiple serotypes in children (Fig. 5). For serotypes 14 and 23F, this

involved strains that did not substantially differ in the child dataset (Fig. 6),

suggesting further within-serotype variation in invasiveness may emerge as studies

include a greater diversity of host age groups.

Discussion

This study describes novel models for using data from cases and carriers to estimate the hazard of an asymptomatically carried pathogen causing a defined disease over a time period, previously defined as a progression rate [2]. This quantity is crucial for determining the efficacy of partial coverage vaccines, such as the PCVs [26,27], and the flexible framework developed here should be applicable to any diverse microbial population. These models calculate progression rates as absolute quantities, rather than dimensionless ratios as with previous meta-analyses [27,34,36]. These estimates can enable the translation of alterations in microbial population structures to changes in disease incidence, which is crucial for understanding the consequences of strain- or type-specific interventions [27]. Furthermore, given suitable datasets for multiple pathogens, these models could also be used to estimate changes in disease burdens for alterations across microbiota.

When applied to *S. pneumoniae* serotype data, the best-performing model structures were those in which type-specific progression rates were adjusted by dataset-specific scale factors. In principle, these scale factors should correlate with the burden of disease in a given host population, representing a combination of socio-economic factors and co-morbidities that affect IPD incidence [87,88]. Yet in practice, they will also represent the probability of an isolate causing IPD being included in a study dataset. This is affected by multiple factors, including the probability of attending a hospital participating in the study, the efficiency of retrieving bacteria from blood cultures, and the consistency with which cultured isolates are collected by research centres [87].

These model structures facilitate comparisons across populations by standardising

progression rate estimates between datasets using all common serotypes or strains

shared between them. Existing methods for meta-analysis typically standardise data

relative to a single type, required to be present in all datasets [34,36], or combine

estimates derived from ratios calculated relative to variable mixes of types in

different locations. The dataset-adjusted type-specific progression rate models are

better suited to the extensive international variation in *S. pneumoniae* population

structures [35,38], particularly given their ongoing diversification following vaccine

introduction [32]. Comparison with odds ratios showed that estimates were

correlated at large sample sizes, demonstrating both methods converged on similar

values when data are available on many isolates. However, this comes with the

caveat that 16 of the 21 studies in the meta-analysis originated from North America

or Europe, with most collected prior to PCV introduction. Hence there is a pressing

need for paired case and carrier studies from post-PCV settings, particularly from

Asia and Africa. As more diverse populations are combined, the improved

standardisation across studies from these Bayesian analyses will become more

important.

At smaller sample sizes, the Bayesian models were able to produce more

informative estimates of invasiveness than odds ratios. Information on types that are

rare in individual datasets is particularly important for an opportunistic pathogen as

diverse as *S. pneumoniae*, in which serotypes can emerge and expand rapidly post-

PCV [23,32,83]. A recent meta-analysis using odds ratios highlighted the conclusion

that 12F was the only non-PCV13 serotype to have an invasiveness higher than 19A

[34], the serotype responsible for much post-PCV7 IPD [32,89]. However, this

previous study limited its results to 25 serotypes, omitting less common examples

that could rise in frequency when serotype replacement is driven by higher-valency

vaccines [32,89]. The Bayesian analysis described in this work identified rare

serotypes that may be highly invasive in adults (e.g. 9L, 12B and 29) and children

(e.g. 19C, 28A and 46), based on small sample sizes. Serotype 46 has already been

observed causing child IPD post-PCV13 within the strain GPSC26, which is more

commonly associated with the highly-invasive serotype 12F [31]. These two capsule

types are structurally similar [90], which is common for serotypes expressed by

isolates of the same strain [51]. Future post-PCV13 surveillance will likely show

whether 12F and 46 are truly similarly invasive. As higher-valency PCVs become

available, with the potential to remove commonly-carried serotypes such as 11A and

15B/C [20,91], reducing the uncertainty in invasiveness estimates for remaining non-

vaccine types will be of great importance in understanding the vaccine-associated

changes in the incidence of IPD.

The need for meta-analysis of multiple datasets is exacerbated by the model

comparison in this study that concluded that invasiveness is most accurately

quantified at the level of strain-serotype combination. This conclusion is consistent

with the results of some individual studies [35,52,53], although not all [29]. Such a

result raises the spectre of separately estimating invasiveness for multiple serotypes

within the hundreds of known *S. pneumoniae* strains, many of which are rare in

individual populations [35,86]. However, that such models fit better than those using

only strain or serotype as a predictor only implies that neither factor alone

determines an isolate's invasiveness. The improved fit might simply represent the

benefit of more precise classification of bacterial genotypes, and therefore remains

possible that some other component of the *S. pneumoniae* genome that varies over

short, within-strain timescales may additionally affect, or entirely determine, isolates'

invasiveness [50,92,93]. This could explain the heterogeneity of invasiveness within

strain GPSC3, where the serotypes are separated into distinct clades

(https://microreact.org/project/gpsGPSC3), in contrast to the comparative

homogeneity of invasiveness within GPSC6 (containing the PMEN3, or Spain[9V]-3,

lineage), where a single lineages dominates the strain's structure [94].

However, it seems unlikely that serotypes and invasiveness are not causally linked.

Some serotypes (e.g. 1, 12F) exhibited high invasiveness across multiple strain

backgrounds, whereas others were consistently low (e.g. 15B/C, 35F). This is

consistent with the model fitting to serotype data, in which there was not a

substantial improvement of model fitting when using negative binomial versions of

the models. Additionally, the associated precision parameters were large when

dataset-adjusted models were fitted (Fig. S6). If other loci were strongly affecting

invasiveness, then a greater degree of overdispersion in the observed incidence of

IPD might be expected, unless they were in linkage disequilibrium with the locus

determining serotype.

By contrast, some paediatric serotypes exhibited a more heterogeneous distribution

of invasiveness values. This may reflect these capsules having little effect on

invasiveness, or their greater prevalence in the pre-PCV pneumococcal population

providing greater power to detect variation between strains [30]. Heterogeneity has

previously been noted in serotype 14, with both GPSC6 [52,71] and GPSC18 [29,35]

identified as highly invasive clones (Table S11). In this analysis, both were found to

be more invasive than GPSC9 within the same serotype. This analysis estimated

high invasiveness values for all serotypes within GPSC6, including an elevated

invasiveness within serotype 3 in the Portuguese dataset. Similarly, invasiveness

was consistently low for serotypes 14 and 15A for GPSC9. This could result in

heterogeneous vaccine impacts between regions, as elimination of serotype 14 by

PCVs will likely have a greater benefit across Europe and South America, where the

highly-invasive GPSC6 is mainly distributed

(https://microreact.org/project/gpsGPSC6), rather than Africa and India, where the

less invasive GPSC9 is common (https://microreact.org/project/gpsGPSC9).

Whether these models are useful long-term, or will ultimately be superseded by

alternatives based on genome-wide association studies, will depend on the extent to

which progression rates are affected by interactions between genetic loci [95]. It may

prove possible to explain a high proportion of the variation in invasiveness between

microbes through a tractable number of polymorphic loci that each independently

contribute to a microbe's invasiveness using genome-wide association studies [45–

48]. If so, then the individual estimates for each of the multitude of subtype

categorisations can be replaced with a simpler genome-based approach, which

would be capable of predicting the invasiveness of previously unseen genotypes.

However, if invasiveness depends on combinations of loci [95], it may only be

possible to estimate progression rates for extant common types from epidemiological

studies. Other alternative model structures include random effects models and

multivariate regression analyses, which can incorporate information on the host

population characteristics [2,37,96]. However, these models typically require

comprehensive surveillance of disease and detailed information on host populations,

which limits the range of studies that can be combined in meta-analyses of diverse

bacterial populations.

Even using more conventional approaches to estimating invasiveness, whole

genome sequencing clearly represents an important tool in future case-carrier

studies of opportunistic pathogen invasiveness. In addition to assigning isolates to

strains, genomic data is a reliable and cost-effective means of classifying microbes

according to their capsular structures [97,98]. Furthermore, it also enables the

extraction of additional clinically-relevant information, such as antibiotic resistance

loci [94,99], that may be incorporated into future extensions of these models.

However, the use of genomic data exacerbates an underlying problem with these

models, as high-quality genome assemblies are not necessarily generated from all

isolates recovered from carriage. The model assumes there are no false negative

swabs in carriage studies, meaning there is an expectation that all carriage samples

will be present in the genomic data. Hence the omission of genomic data adds to a

false negative rate that, even with serotype data, already reflects the imperfect

detection of colonisation by nasopharyngeal swabbing. This can be the consequence

of all resident bacteria being missed, or the difficulty of detecting lower prevalence

types in instances of multiple carriage [100]. Unless there is variation in the ability to

detect carriage of different types, the underestimation of carriage means all

progression rates will be uniformly overestimated. However, if only a subset of

datasets in a meta-analysis has a lower sensitivity for detecting carriage, the model

will adjust for this by increasing the associated scale factors. Hence the model

structure is sufficiently flexible to account for such differences. This is necessary, as

even with standard protocols for detecting colonisation, the population-wide

estimates for carriage rates for a location will depend on the exact demographics

sampled.

Some of these problems with detecting colonisation can be addressed using new

techniques with improved sensitivity for detecting multiple serotypes in carriage

[101]. However, to simultaneously obtain the genotyping information needed to most

precisely characterise an isolate's invasiveness, deep genome sequencing, or

similar high-sensitivity molecular genotyping techniques, will be necessary. Such

data will not only improve the input to epidemiological models, such as those

outlined here, but also potential future models based on combinations of genetic loci.

Yet meta-analyses of case-carrier studies can also be improved by simple changes

to reporting, without any alterations to current procedures. The data and models

described in this work are made available for re-use, modification, and application to

other multi-strain microbes (see Methods). The fitting of the model to new datasets

will require studies to report their raw data in a standard format, and include the total

number of swabs included in the carriage study, as well as an informed estimate of

the size of the population under surveillance for IPD. To improve comparability

between future studies, it would also be ideal for studies to stratify these data by age

category, to enable specific subsets to be employed in different meta-analyses

studying specific demographics. Therefore, as the repertoire of vaccines against

diverse pathogens expands, we can hope to monitor and understand their impact on

population-wide microbial invasiveness using improved models, advances in

sequencing technology, and greater transparency of reporting.

Acknowledgements

We thank Raquel Sá-Leão, Mário Ramirez, Bill Hanage and Birgitta Henriques-

Normark for providing further information on case-carrier datasets, and Daniel

Weinberger for helpful discussions on model structure and fitting. AL was funded by

an investigator-initiated grant from GSK to NJC. NJC was supported by the UK

Medical Research Council and Department for International Development (grants

MR/R015600/1 and MR/T016434/1) and a Sir Henry Dale Fellowship, jointly funded

by Wellcome and the Royal Society (grant no. 104169/Z/14/A). The funders had no

role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation

of the manuscript.

Competing interests

We have read the journal's policy and the authors of this manuscript have the

following competing interests: this work was partially funded by GSK, who

manufacture the PCV10 vaccine, although they had no role in study design, data

collection or analysis.

Data Availability

All data and code used for the described analyses are available in a GitHub

repository at https://github.com/nickjcroucher/progressionEstimation/. This repository

has been assigned the DOI 10.5281/zenodo.5154066.

References

1. Brown SP, Cornforth DM, Mideo N. Evolution of virulence in opportunistic pathogens: generalism, plasticity, and control. Trends Microbiol. 2012;20: 336–342. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2012.04.005

2. Lewnard JA, Givon-Lavi N, Weinberger DM, Lipsitch M, Dagan R. Pan-serotype reduction in progression of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* to otitis media after rollout of pneumococcal conjugate vaccines. Clin Infect Dis. 2017;65: 1853–1861. doi:10.1093/cid/cix673

3. Didelot X, Bowden R, Wilson DJ, Peto TEA, Crook DW. Transforming clinical microbiology with bacterial genome sequencing. Nat Rev Genet. 2012;13: 601–612. doi:10.1038/nrg3226

4. Feil EJ, Enright MC. Analyses of clonality and the evolution of bacterial pathogens. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2004;7: 308–313. doi:10.1016/j.mib.2004.04.002

5. Lees JA, Harris SR, Tonkin-Hill G, Gladstone RA, Lo S, Weiser JN, et al. Fast and flexible bacterial genomic epidemiology with PopPUNK. Genome Res. 2019;29: 304–316. doi:10.1101/360917

6. Cartman ST, Heap JT, Kuehne SA, Cockayne A, Minton NP. The emergence of "hypervirulence" in *Clostridium difficile*. Int J Med Microbiol. 2010;300: 387–395. doi:10.1016/j.ijmm.2010.04.008

7. Fearnley C, Manning G, Bagnall M, Javed MA, Wassenaar TM, Newell DG. Identification of hyperinvasive *Campylobacter jejuni* strains isolated from poultry and human clinical sources. J Med Microbiol. 2008;57: 570–580. doi:10.1099/jmm.0.47803-0

8.   Knol MJ, Hahné SJM, Lucidarme J, Campbell H, de Melker HE, Gray SJ, et al.

Temporal associations between national outbreaks of meningococcal

serogroup W and C disease in the Netherlands and England: an observational

cohort study. Lancet Public Heal. 2017;2: e473–e482. doi:10.1016/S2468-

2667(17)30157-3

9.   Lynskey NN, Jauneikaite E, Li HK, Zhi X, Turner CE, Mosavie M, et al.

Emergence of dominant toxigenic M1T1 *Streptococcus pyogenes* clone during

increased scarlet fever activity in England: a population-based molecular

epidemiological study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2019;19: 1209–1218.

doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(19)30446-3

10. Du P, Zhang Y, Chen C. Emergence of carbapenem-resistant hypervirulent

Klebsiella pneumoniae. Lancet Infect Dis. 2018;18: 23–24. doi:10.1016/S1473-

3099(17)30625-4

11. Ganaie F, Saad JS, McGee L, van Tonder AJ, Bentley SD, Lo SW, et al. A

new pneumococcal capsule type, 10D, is the 100th serotype and has a large

cps fragment from an oral streptococcus. MBio. 2020;11: e00937-20.

doi:10.1128/mBio.00937-20

12. Ganaie F, Maruhn K, Li C, Porambo RJ, Elverdal PL, Abeygunwardana C, et

al. Structural, genetic, and serological elucidation of *Streptococcus*

pneumoniae serogroup 24 serotypes: Discovery of a new serotype, 24C, with

a variable capsule structure. J Clin Microbiol. 2021; JCM.00540-21.

doi:10.1128/JCM.00540-21

13. Geno KA, Gilbert GL, Song JY, Skovsted IC, Klugman KP, Jones C, et al.

Pneumococcal capsules and their types: Past, present, and future. Clin

Microbiol Rev. 2015;28: 871–899. doi:10.1128/CMR.00024-15

14.   Nelson AL, Roche AM, Gould JM, Chim K, Ratner AJ, Weiser JN. Capsule

enhances pneumococcal colonization by limiting mucus-mediated clearance.

Infect Immun. 2007;75: 83–90. doi:10.1128/IAI.01475-06

15.   Hyams C, Camberlein E, Cohen JM, Bax K, Brown JS. The *Streptococcus*

pneumoniae capsule inhibits complement activity and neutrophil phagocytosis

by multiple mechanisms. Infect Immun. 2009/12/02. 2010;78: 704–715.

doi:IAI.00881-09 [pii]10.1128/IAI.00881-09 [doi]

16.   Barker J, Gratten M, Riley M, Lehmann D, Montgomery J, Kajoi M, et al.

Pneumonia in children in the eastern highlands of Papua New Guinea: A

bacteriologic study of patients selected by standard clinical criteria. J Infect

Dis. 1989;159: 348–352. doi:10.1093/infdis/159.2.348

17.   Eyre JW, Washbourn JW. Varities and virulence of the pneumococcus. Lancet.

1899;153: 19–22. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(01)78950-7

18.   Sleeman KL, Griffiths D, Shackley F, Diggle L, Gupta S, Maiden MC, et al.

Capsular serotype-specific attack rates and duration of carriage of

Streptococcus pneumoniae in a population of children. J Infect Dis. 2006;194:

682–688. doi:10.1086/505710

19.   Hausdorff WP, Feikin DR, Klugman KP. Epidemiological differences among

pneumococcal serotypes. Lancet Infect Dis. 2005;5: 83–93.

doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(05)01280-6

20.   Croucher NJ, Løchen A, Bentley SD. Pneumococcal Vaccines: Host

Interactions, Population Dynamics, and Design Principles. Annu Rev Microbiol.

2018;72: 521–549. doi:10.1146/annurev-micro-090817-062338

21.   Miller E, Andrews NJ, Waight PA, Slack MPE, George RC. Herd immunity and

serotype replacement 4 years after seven-valent pneumococcal conjugate

vaccination in England and Wales: An observational cohort study. Lancet

Infect Dis. 2011;11: 760–68. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(11)70090-1

22. Weinberger DM, Malley R, Lipsitch M. Serotype replacement in disease after

pneumococcal vaccination. The Lancet. 2011. pp. 1962–1973.

doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(10)62225-8

23. Lewnard JA, Hanage WP. Making sense of differences in pneumococcal

serotype replacement. Lancet Infect Dis. 2019. doi:10.1016/s1473-

3099(18)30660-1

24. Devine VT, Cleary DW, Jefferies JMC, Anderson R, Morris DE, Tuck AC, et al.

The rise and fall of pneumococcal serotypes carried in the PCV era. Vaccine.

2017;35: 1293–1298. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.01.035

25. Southern J, Andrews N, Sandu P, Sheppard CL, Waight PA, Fry NK, et al.

Pneumococcal carriage in children and their household contacts six years after

introduction of the 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in England.

PLoS One. 2018;13: e0195799. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0195799

26. Nurhonen M, Auranen K. Optimal Serotype Compositions for Pneumococcal

Conjugate Vaccination under Serotype Replacement. PLoS Comput Biol.

2014;10: e1003477. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003477

27. Colijn C, Corander J, Croucher NJ. Designing ecologically optimized

pneumococcal vaccines using population genomics. Nat Microbiol. 2020;5:

473–485. doi:10.1038/s41564-019-0651-y

28. Smith T, Lehmann D, Montgomery J, Gratten M, Riley ID, Alpers MP.

Acquisition and invasiveness of different serotypes of *Streptococcus*

pneumoniae in young children. Epidemiol Infect. 1993;111: 27–39.

doi:10.1017/S0950268800056648

29.   Brueggemann AB, Griffiths DT, Peto T, Meats E, Crook DW, Spratt BG. Clonal

Relationships between Invasive and Carriage *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and

Serotype- and Clone-Specific Differences in Invasive Disease Potential. J

Infect Dis. 2003;187: 1424–32. doi:10.1086/374624

30.   Johnson HL, Deloria-Knoll M, Levine OS, Stoszek SK, Hance LF, Reithinger

R, et al. Systematic evaluation of serotypes causing invasive pneumococcal

disease among children under five: The pneumococcal global serotype project.

PLoS Med. 2010;7: e1000348. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000348

31.   Lo SW, Gladstone RA, van Tonder AJ, Lees JA, du Plessis M, Benisty R, et al.

Pneumococcal lineages associated with serotype replacement and antibiotic

resistance in childhood invasive pneumococcal disease in the post-PCV13 era:

an international whole-genome sequencing study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2019;19:

759–769. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(19)30297-X

32.   Løchen A, Croucher NJ, Anderson RM. Divergent serotype replacement trends

and increasing diversity in pneumococcal disease in high income settings

reduce the benefit of expanding vaccine valency. Sci Rep. 2020;10: 18977.

doi:10.1038/s41598-020-75691-5

33.   Hanage WP, Auranen K, Syrjanen R, Herva E, Makela PH, Kilpi T, et al. Ability

of pneumococcal serotypes and clones to cause acute otitis media:

implications for the prevention of otitis media by conjugate vaccines. Infect

Immun. 2004;72: 76–81.

34.   Balsells E, Dagan R, Yildirim I, Gounder PP, Steens A, Muñoz-Almagro C, et

al. The relative invasive disease potential of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*

among children after PCV introduction: A systematic review and meta-

analysis. J Infect. 2018;77: 368–378. doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2018.06.004

35. Gladstone RA, Lo SW, Lees JA, Croucher NJ, van Tonder AJ, Corander J, et

al. International genomic definition of pneumococcal lineages, to contextualise

disease, antibiotic resistance and vaccine impact. EBioMedicine. 2019;43:

338–346. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.04.021

36. Brueggemann AB, Peto TEA, Crook DW, Butler JC, Kristinsson KG, Spratt

BG. Temporal and Geographic Stability of the Serogroup-Specific Invasive

Disease Potential of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in Children. J Infect Dis.

2004/09/04. 2004;190: 1203–1211. doi:10.1086/423820

37. Weinberger DM, Grant LR, Weatherholtz RC, Warren JL, O'Brien KL, Hammitt

LL. Relating Pneumococcal Carriage among Children to Disease Rates among

Adults before and after the Introduction of Conjugate Vaccines. Am J

Epidemiol. 2016;183: 1055–62. doi:10.1093/aje/kwv283

38. Corander J, Fraser C, Gutmann MU, Arnold B, Hanage WP, Bentley SD, et al.

Frequency-dependent selection in vaccine-associated pneumococcal

population dynamics. Nat Ecol Evol. 2017;1: 1950–1960. doi:10.1038/s41559-

017-0337-x

39. Weinberger DM, Bruden DT, Grant LR, Lipsitch M, O'Brien KL, Pelton SI, et al.

Using pneumococcal carriage data to monitor postvaccination changes in

invasive disease. Am J Epidemiol. 2013;178: 1488–1495.

doi:10.1093/aje/kwt156

40. Zwahlen A, Winkelstein JA, Moxon ER. Surface Determinants of *Haemophilus*

influenzae Pathogenicity: Comparative Virulence of Capsular Transformants in

Normal and Complement-Depleted Rats. J Infect Dis. 1983;148: 385–394.

doi:10.1093/infdis/148.3.385

41. Kelly T, Dillard JP, Yother J. Effect of genetic switching of capsular type on

virulence of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Infect Immun. 1994;62: 1813–1819.

Available: https://iai.asm.org/content/iai/62/5/1813.full.pdf

42.   Hathaway LJ, Grandgirard D, Valente LG, Täuber MG, Leib SL. *Streptococcus*

pneumoniae capsule determines disease severity in experimental

pneumococcal meningitis. Open Biol. 2016;6: 150269.

doi:10.1098/rsob.150269

43.   Hu FZ, Eutsey R, Ahmed A, Frazao N, Powell E, Hiller NL, et al. *In vivo*

capsular switch in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* - Analysis by whole genome

sequencing. PLoS One. 2012;7: e47983–e47983.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047983

44.   Mizrachi Nebenzahl Y, Porat N, Lifshitz S, Novick S, Levi A, Ling E, et al.

Virulence of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* may be determined independently of

capsular polysaccharide. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2004;233: 147–152.

doi:10.1016/j.femsle.2004.02.003

45.   Obert C, Sublett J, Kaushal D, Hinojosa E, Barton T, Tuomanen EI, et al.

Identification of a candidate *Streptococcus pneumoniae* core genome and

regions of diversity correlated with invasive pneumococcal disease. Infect

Immun. 2006;74: 4766–4777. doi:10.1128/IAI.00316-06

46.   Blomberg C, Dagerhamn J, Dahlberg S, Browall S, Fernebro J, Albiger B, et al.

Pattern of Accessory Regions and Invasive Disease Potential in *Streptococcus*

pneumoniae. J Infect Dis. 2009;199: 1032–1042. doi:10.1086/597205

47.   Lees JA, Ferwerda B, Kremer PHC, Wheeler NE, Serón MV, Croucher NJ, et

al. Joint sequencing of human and pathogen genomes reveals the genetics of

pneumococcal meningitis. Nat Commun. 2019;10: 2176. doi:10.1038/s41467-

019-09976-3

48.   Cremers AJ, Mobegi F, van der Gaast –de Jongh C, van Weert M, van

Opzeeland F, Vehkala M, et al. The contribution of genetic variation of

Streptococcus pneumoniae to the clinical manifestation of invasive

pneumococcal disease. Clin Infect Dis. 2019;68: 61–69. doi:10.1101/169722

49.   Donati C, Hiller NL, Tettelin H, Muzzi A, Croucher NJ, Angiuoli S V, et al.

Structure and dynamics of the pan-genome of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and

closely related species. Genome Biol. 2010;11: R107–R107. doi:10.1186/gb-

2010-11-10-r107

50.   Croucher NJ, Coupland PG, Stevenson AE, Callendrello A, Bentley SD,

Hanage WP. Diversification of bacterial genome content through distinct

mechanisms over different timescales. Nat Commun. 2014;5: 5471.

51.   Croucher NJ, Kagedan L, Thompson CM, Parkhill J, Bentley SD, Finkelstein

JA, et al. Selective and Genetic Constraints on Pneumococcal Serotype

Switching. PLoS Genet. 2015;11: e1005095.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005095

52.   Sá-Leao R, Pinto F, Aguiar S, Nunes S, Carriço JAJA, Frazao N, et al.

Analysis of invasiveness of pneumococcal serotypes and clones circulating in

Portugal before widespread use of conjugate vaccines reveals heterogeneous

behavior of clones expressing the same serotype. J Clin Microbiol. 2011;49:

1369–75. doi:10.1128/jcm.01763-10

53.   Browall S, Norman M, Tångrot J, Galanis I, Sjöström K, Dagerhamn J, et al.

Intraclonal Variations Among *Streptococcus pneumoniae* Isolates Influence the

Likelihood of Invasive Disease in Children. J Infect Dis. 2014;209: 377–388.

doi:10.1093/infdis/jit481

54.   Fraser C, Hanage WP, Spratt BG. Neutral microepidemic evolution of bacterial

pathogens. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005;102: 1968–1973.

doi:10.1073/pnas.0406993102

55. Lees JA, Croucher NJ, Goldblatt D, Nosten F, Parkhill J, Turner C, et al.

Genome-wide identification of lineage and locus specific variation associated

with pneumococcal carriage duration. Elife. 2017;6: e26255.

doi:10.7554/eLife.26255

56. Stan Development Team. Stan User's Guide, Version 2.27. In: Interaction Flow

Modeling Language [Internet]. 2020 p. 23.7. Available: https://mc-

stan.org/docs/2_27/stan-users-guide/reparameterization-section.html

57. Wahl B, O'Brien KL, Greenbaum A, Majumder A, Liu L, Chu Y, et al. Burden of

Streptococcus pneumoniae and *Haemophilus influenzae* type b disease in

children in the era of conjugate vaccines: global, regional, and national

estimates for 2000–15. Lancet Glob Heal. 2018;6: E744–E757.

doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30247-X

58. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R

Found Stat Comput. 2019. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-74686-7

59. Stan Development Team. RStan: the R interface to Stan. 2020.

60. Vehtari A, Gelman A, Gabry J. Practical Bayesian model evaluation using

leave-one-out cross-validation and WAIC. Stat Comput. 2017;27: 1413–1432.

doi:10.1007/s11222-016-9696-4

61. Gronau QF, Singmann H, Wagenmakers EJ. Bridgesampling: An R package

for estimating normalizing constants. J Stat Softw. 2020;92: 1–29.

doi:10.18637/jss.v092.i10

62. Wickham H, Averick M, Bryan J, Chang W, McGowan L, François R, et al.

Welcome to the Tidyverse. J Open Source Softw. 2019;4: 1686.

doi:10.21105/joss.01686

63.   Kassambara A. ggpubr: "ggplot2" Based Publication Ready Plots. 2020.

Available: https://cran.r-project.org/package=ggpubr

64.   Valles X, Roca A, Lozano F, Morais L, Suarez B, Casals F, et al. Serotype-

specific pneumococcal disease may be influenced by mannose-binding lectin

deficiency. Eur Respir J. 2010;36: 856–863. doi:10.1183/09031936.00171409

65.   Principi N, Marchisio P. Epidemiology of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in Italian

children. Acta Paediatr Suppl. 2000;89: 40–43.

66.   Smart LE, Platt DJ, Timbury MC. A comparison of the distribution of

pneumococcal types in systemic disease and the upper respiratory tract in

adults and children. Epidemiol Infect. 1987;98: 203–209.

67.   Mogdasy MC, Camou T, Fajardo C, Hortal M. Colonizing and invasive strains

of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in Uruguayan children: type distribution and

patterns of antibiotic resistance. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 1992;11: 648–652.

68.   Scott J, Hall A, Hannington A, Edwards R, Mwarumba S, Lowe B, et al.

Serotype distribution and prevalence of resistance to benzylpenicillin in three

representative populations of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* isolates from the

coast of Kenya. Clin Infect Dis. 1998;27: 1442–50.

69.   Levidiotou S, Vrioni G, Tzanakaki G, Pappa C, Gesouli H, Gartzonika C, et al.

Serotype distribution of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in north-western Greece

and implications for a vaccination programme. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol.

2006;48: 179–82. doi:10.1111/j.1574-695X.2006.00126.x

70.   Azzari C, Cortimiglia M, Nieddu F, Moriondo M, Indolfi G, Mattei R, et al.

Pneumococcal serotype distribution in adults with invasive disease and in

carrier children in Italy: Should we expect herd protection of adults through

infants' vaccination? Hum Vaccin Immunother. 2016;12: 344–350.

doi:10.1080/21645515.2015.1102811

71.   Hanage WP, Kaijalainen TH, Syrjänen RK, Auranen K, Leinonen M, Mäkelä

PH, et al. Invasiveness of serotypes and clones of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*

among children in Finland. Infect Immun. 2005;73: 431–5.

doi:10.1128/IAI.73.1.431-435.2005

72.   Cullotta AR, Kalter HD, Delgado J, Gilman RH, Facklam RR, Velapatino B, et

al. Antimicrobial susceptibilities and serotype distribution of *Streptococcus*

pneumoniae isolates from a Low socioeconomic area in Lima, Peru. Clin Diagn

Lab Immunol. 2002;9: 1328–1331.

73.   Takala AK, Vuopio-Varkila J, Tarkka E, Leinonen M, Musser JM. Subtyping of

common pediatric pneumococcal serotypes from invasive disease and

pharyngeal carriage in Finland. J Infect Dis. 1996;173: 128–135.

74.   In HP, Pritchard DG, Cartee R, Brandao A, Brandileone MCC, Nahm MH.

Discovery of a new capsular serotype (6C) within serogroup 6 of

Streptococcus pneumoniae. J Clin Microbiol. 2007;45: 1225–1233.

doi:10.1128/JCM.02199-06

75.   Browall S, Backhaus E, Naucler P, Galanis I, Sjöström K, Karlsson D, et al.

Clinical manifestations of invasive pneumococcal disease by vaccine and non-

vaccine types. Eur Respir J. 2014;44: 1646–57.

doi:10.1183/09031936.00080814

76.   Vehtari A, Simpson D, Gelman A, Yao Y, Gabry J. Pareto Smoothed

Importance Sampling. arXiv. 2021; 1507.02646v7.

77.   Stacey HL, Rosen J, Peterson JT, Williams-Diaz A, Gakhar V, Sterling TM, et

al. Safety and immunogenicity of 15-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine

(PCV-15) compared to PCV-13 in healthy older adults. Hum Vaccines

Immunother. 2019;15: 530–539. doi:10.1080/21645515.2018.1532249

78.   Hurley D, Griffin C, Young M, Scott DA, Pride MW, Scully IL, et al. Safety,

Tolerability, and Immunogenicity of a 20-Valent Pneumococcal Conjugate

Vaccine (PCV20) in Adults 60 to 64 Years of Age. Clin Infect Dis. 2020;

ciaa1045. doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa1045

79.   O'Brien KL, Shaw J, Weatherholtz R, Reid R, Watt J, Croll J, et al.

Epidemiology of invasive *Streptococcus pneumoniae* among Navajo children

in the era before use of conjugate pneumococcal vaccines, 1989-1996. Am J

Epidemiol. 2004;160: 270–8. doi:10.1093/aje/kwh191

80.   Ladhani SN, Collins S, Djennad A, Sheppard CL, Borrow R, Fry NK, et al.

Rapid increase in non-vaccine serotypes causing invasive pneumococcal

disease in England and Wales, 2000–17: a prospective national observational

cohort study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2018;18: 441–451. doi:10.1016/S1473-

3099(18)30052-5

81.   Bolker BM, Brooks ME, Clark CJ, Geange SW, Poulsen JR, Stevens MHH, et

al. Generalized linear mixed models: a practical guide for ecology and

evolution. Trends Ecol Evol. 2009;24: 127–135. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2008.10.008

82.   Chewapreecha C, Harris SR, Croucher NJ, Turner C, Marttinen P, Cheng L, et

al. Dense genomic sampling identifies highways of pneumococcal

recombination. Nat Genet. 2014;46: 305–309. doi:10.1038/ng.2895

83.   Feikin DR, Kagucia EW, Loo JD, Link-Gelles R, Puhan MA, Cherian T, et al.

Serotype-Specific Changes in Invasive Pneumococcal Disease after

Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine Introduction: A Pooled Analysis of Multiple

Surveillance Sites. PLoS Med. 2013;10: e1001517.

doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001517

84.   Shiri T, Datta S, Madan J, Tsertsvadze A, Royle P, Keeling MJ, et al. Indirect

1264   effects of childhood pneumococcal conjugate vaccination on invasive

1265   pneumococcal disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Glob

1266   Heal. 2017;5: e51–e59. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(16)30306-0

1267   85.   José RJ, Brown JS. Adult pneumococcal vaccination: advances, impact, and

1268   unmet needs. Curr Opin Pulm Med. 2017;23.

1269   86.   Harrow GL, Lees JA, Hanage WP, Lipsitch M, Corander J, Colijn C, et al.

1270   Negative frequency-dependent selection and asymmetrical transformation

1271   stabilise multi-strain bacterial population structures. ISME J. 2021;15: 1523–

1272   1538. doi:10.1038/s41396-020-00867-w

1273   87.   Fedson DS, Anthony J, Scott G. The burden of pneumococcal disease among

1274   adults in developed and developing countries: what is and is not known.

1275   Vaccine. 1999;17: S11–S18. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-

1276   410X(99)00122-X

1277   88.   Torres A, Blasi F, Dartois N, Akova M. Which individuals are at increased risk

1278   of pneumococcal disease and why? Impact of COPD, asthma, smoking,

1279   diabetes, and/or chronic heart disease on community-acquired pneumonia and

1280   invasive pneumococcal disease. Thorax. 2015;70: 984 LP – 989.

1281   doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2015-206780

1282   89.   Kyaw MH, Lynfield R, Schaffner W, Craig AS, Hadler J, Reingold A, et al.

1283   Effect of Introduction of the Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine on Drug-

1284   Resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. N Engl J Med. 2006;354: 1455–1463.

1285   doi:10.1056/NEJMoa051642

90.   Mavroidi A, Aanensen DM, Godoy D, Skovsted IC, Kaltoft MS, Reeves PR, et

al. Genetic relatedness of the *Streptococcus pneumoniae* capsular

biosynthetic loci. J Bacteriol. 2007;189: 7841–7855. doi:10.1128/JB.00836-07

91. Croucher NJ, Finkelstein JA, Pelton SI, Mitchell PK, Lee GM, Parkhill J, et al.

Population genomics of post-vaccine changes in pneumococcal epidemiology.

Nat Genet. 2013;45: 656–663. doi:10.1038/ng.2625

92. Manso AS, Chai MH, Atack JM, Furi L, De Ste Croix M, Haigh R, et al. A

random six-phase switch regulates pneumococcal virulence via global

epigenetic changes. Nat Commun. 2014;5: 5055. doi:10.1038/ncomms6055

93. Kwun MJ, Oggioni MR, De Ste Croix M, Bentley SD, Croucher NJ. Excision-

reintegration at a pneumococcal phase-variable restriction-modification locus

drives within- and between-strain epigenetic differentiation and inhibits gene

acquisition. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46: 11438–11453.

doi:10.1093/nar/gky906

94. D'Aeth JC, van der Linden MPG, McGee L, de Lencastre H, Turner P, Song J-

H, et al. The role of interspecies recombination in the evolution of antibiotic-

resistant pneumococci. Elife. 2021;10: e67113. Available:

https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.67113

95. Skwark MJ, Croucher NJ, Puranen S, Chewapreecha C, Pesonen M, Xu YY,

et al. Interacting networks of resistance, virulence and core machinery genes

identified by genome-wide epistasis analysis. PLoS Genet. 2017;13:

e1006508. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006508

96. Lewnard JA, Givon-Lavi N, Tähtinen PA, Dagan R. Pneumococcal Phenotype

and Interaction with Nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae* as Determinants of

Otitis Media Progression. Infect Immun. 2018;86: e00727-17.

doi:10.1128/IAI.00727-17

97.   Epping L, van Tonder AJ, Gladstone RA, Bentley SD, Page AJ, Keane JA.

1313   SeroBA: rapid high-throughput serotyping of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* from

1314   whole genome sequence data. Microb Genomics. 2018;4:

1315   10.1099/mgen.0.000186. doi:10.1099/mgen.0.000186

1316   98.   Kapatai G, Sheppard CL, Al-Shahib A, Litt DJ, Underwood AP, Harrison TG, et

1317   al. Whole genome sequencing of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*: development,

1318   evaluation and verification of targets for serogroup and serotype prediction

1319   using an automated pipeline. PeerJ. 2016;4: e2477. doi:10.7717/peerj.2477

1320   99.   Li Y, Metcalf BJ, Chochua S, Li Z, Gertz RE, Walker H, et al. Penicillin-Binding

1321   Protein Transpeptidase Signatures for Tracking and Predicting β-Lactam

1322   Resistance Levels in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. MBio. 2016;7: e00756-16.

1323   doi:10.1128/mbio.00756-16

1324   100.   Huebner RE, Dagan R, Porath N, Wasas AD, T M, Klugman KP. Lack of utility

1325   of serotyping multiple colonies for detection of simultaneous nasopharyngeal

1326   carriage of different pneumococcal serotypes. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2000;19:

1327   1017–1020. doi:10.1097/00006454-200010000-00019

1328   101.   Turner P, Hinds J, Turner C, Jankhot A, Gould K, Bentley SD, et al. Improved

1329   detection of nasopharyngeal cocolonization by multiple pneumococcal

1330   serotypes by use of latex agglutination or molecular serotyping by microarray.

1331   J Clin Microbiol. 2011;49: 1784–1789. doi:10.1128/JCM.00157-11

1332   102.   Nzenze SA, Von Gottberg A, Shiri T, Van Niekerk N, De Gouveia L, Violari A,

1333   et al. Temporal Changes in Pneumococcal Colonization in HIV-infected and

1334   HIV-uninfected Mother-Child Pairs Following Transitioning from 7-valent to 13-

1335   valent Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine, Soweto, South Africa. J Infect Dis.

1336   2015. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiv167

103. Madhi SA, Nzenze SA, Nunes MC, Chinyanganya L, Van Niekerk N, Kahn K,

et al. Residual colonization by vaccine serotypes in rural South Africa four

years following initiation of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine immunization.

Expert Rev Vaccines. 2020;19: 383–393.

doi:10.1080/14760584.2020.1750377

104. Nzenze SA, Shiri T, Nunes MC, Klugman KP, Kahn K, Twine R, et al.

Temporal changes in pneumococcal colonization in a rural African community

with high HIV prevalence following routine infant pneumococcal immunization.

Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2013;32: 1270–1278.

doi:10.1097/01.inf.0000435805.25366.64

105. Statistics South Africa. Mid-year population estimates: 2009-2013. 2013.

106. Chang Q, Stevenson AE, Croucher NJ, Lee GM, Pelton SI, Lipsitch M, et al.

Stability of the pneumococcal population structure in Massachusetts as PCV13

was introduced. BMC Infect Dis. 2015;15: 68. doi:10.1186/s12879-015-0797-z

107. Centres for Disease Prevention and Control. Active Bacterial Core surveillance

matrix. 2018. Available: https://www.cdc.gov/abcs/downloads/abcs-

surveillance-matrix.pdf

108. Enright MC, Spratt BG. A multilocus sequence typing scheme for

Streptococcus pneumoniae: Identification of clones associated with serious

invasive disease. Microbiology. 1998;144: 3049–3060. doi:10.1099/00221287-

144-11-3049

109. Syrjänen RK, Kilpi TM, Kaijalainen TH, Herva EE, Takala AK. Nasopharyngeal

carriage of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in Finnish children younger than 2

years old. J Infect Dis. 2001;184: 451–459. doi:10.1086/322048

110. Sleeman KL, Daniels L, Gardiner M, Griffiths D, Deeks JJ, Dagan R, et al.

Acquisition of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and nonspecific morbidity in infants

and their families: A cohort study. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2005;24: 121–127.

doi:10.1097/01.inf.0000151030.10159.b1

111. Normark BH, Christensson B, Sandgren A, Noreen B, Sylvan S, Burman LG,

et al. Clonal Analysis of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* Nonsusceptible to

Penicillin at Day-Care Centers with Index Cases, in a Region with Low

Incidence of Resistance: Emergence of an Invasive Type 35B Clone among

Carriers. Microb Drug Resist. 2003;9: 337–344.

doi:10.1089/107662903322762761

112. Galanis I, Lindstrand A, Darenberg J, Browall S, Nannapaneni P, Sjöström K,

et al. Effects of PCV7 and PCV13 on invasive pneumococcal disease and

carriage in Stockholm, Sweden. Eur Respir J. 2016;47: 1208–1218.

doi:10.1183/13993003.01451-2015

113. Mato R, Santos Sanches I, Simas C, Nunes S, Carriço JA, Sousa NG, et al.

Natural history of drug-resistant clones of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*

colonizing healthy children in Portugal. Microb Drug Resist. 2005;11: 309–322.

doi:10.1089/mdr.2005.11.309

114. Gibson C. Population of the 100 Largest Cities and Other Urban Places In The

United States: 1790 to 1990. 1998. Available:

https://www.census.gov/library/working-papers/1998/demo/POP-

twps0027.html

115. Gray BM, Converse GM, Dillon HC. Serotypes of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*

causing disease. J Infect Dis. 1979;140: 979–83. doi:10.1093/infdis/140.6.979

116. Sharma D, Baughman W, Holst A, Thomas S, Jackson D, Da Gloria Carvalho

M, et al. Pneumococcal carriage and invasive disease in children before

introduction of the 13-valent conjugate vaccine: Comparison with the era

before 7-valent conjugate vaccine. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2013;32: e45-53.

doi:10.1097/INF.0b013e3182788fdd

117. Parra EL, De La Hoz F, Díaz PL, Sanabria O, Realpe ME, Moreno J. Changes

in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* serotype distribution in invasive disease and

nasopharyngeal carriage after the heptavalent pneumococcal conjugate

vaccine introduction in Bogotá, Colombia. Vaccine. 2013;31: 4033–8.

doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2013.04.074

118. Instituto Nacional de Estadistica Venezuela. Censo Nacional de Poblacion y

Vivienda. 2011. Available:

http://www.redatam.ine.gob.ve/Censo2011/index.html

119. Rivera-Olivero IA, Del Nogal B, Sisco MC, Bogaert D, Hermans PWM, De

Waard JH. Carriage and invasive isolates of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in

Caracas, Venezuela: The relative invasiveness of serotypes and vaccine

coverage. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2011;30: 1489–95.

doi:10.1007/s10096-011-1247-5

120. Czech Statistical Office. Age Distribution of the Population of the Czech

Republic - 2005. 2005. Available: https://www.czso.cz/csu/czso/4003-06-2005-

01n

121. Zemlickova H, Jakubu V, Urbaskova P, Motlova J, Musilek M, Adamkova V.

Serotype-specific invasive disease potential of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in

Czech children. J Med Microbiol. 2010;59: 1079–83.

doi:10.1099/jmm.0.018390-0

122. Trotter CL, Waight P, Andrews NJ, Slack M, Efstratiou A, George R, et al.

Epidemiology of invasive pneumococcal disease in the pre-conjugate vaccine

era: England and Wales, 1996-2006. J Infect. 2010;60: 200–8.

doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2009.12.008

123. Papua New Guinea National Statistical Office. National Population and

Housing Census Ward Population Profile: Highlands Region. 2011. Available:

https://www.nso.gov.pg/index.php/population-and-social/other-

indicators#highlands-region

124. Plan H-C au. Annuaire statistique de la région Rabat-Salé-Zemmour-Zaër,

2010. 2012. Available: https://www.hcp.ma/downloads/Annuaires-statistiques-

regionaux_t11956.html

125. Jroundi I, Mahraoui C, Benmessaoud R, Moraleda C, Munoz Almagro C,

Seffar M, et al. *Streptococcus pneumoniae* carriage among healthy and sick

pediatric patients before the generalized implementation of the 13-valent

pneumococcal vaccine in Morocco from 2010 to 2011. J Infect Public Health.

2017;10: 165–170. doi:10.1016/j.jiph.2016.02.012

126. United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. Population by age

groups - both sexes. 2020. Available:

https://population.un.org/wpp/Download/Standard/Population/

127. Vissers M, Wijmenga-Monsuur AJ, Knol MJ, Badoux P, van Houten MA, van

der Ende A, et al. Increased carriage of non-vaccine serotypes with low

invasive disease potential four years after switching to the 10-valent

pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in The Netherlands. PLoS One. 2018;13: 1–

15. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0194823

128. Statistics Canada. Data tables, 1996. 1996. Available:

https://www.statcan.gc.ca/

129. Instituto Nacionalde Estadistica Portugal. Censos 2001. 2002.

130. Statistics Sweden. Population by region, marital status, age and sex. Year

1968 - 2018. Available:

http://www.statistikdatabasen.scb.se/pxweb/en/ssd/START__BE__BE0101__

BE0101A/BefolkningNy/?rxid=e550e75b-4cef-4e77-b5e5-83adcc09c97e

131. Sandgren A, Christensson B, Samuelsson A, Olsson-Liljequist B, Sjöström K,

Kronvall G, et al. Effect of Clonal and Serotype-Specific Properties on the

Invasive Capacity of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. J Infect Dis. 2004;189: 785–

96. doi:10.1086/381686

132. del Amo E, Brotons P, Monsonis M, Triviño M, Iñigo M, Selva L, et al. High

invasiveness of pneumococcal serotypes included in the new generation of

conjugate vaccines. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2014;20: 684–9. doi:10.1111/1469-

0691.12422

133. Institut National de la Statistique et des Etudes Economiques. Age pyramid

2020 – France and metropolitan France. 2008.

134. Varon E, Cohen R, Béchet S, Doit C, Levy C. Invasive disease potential of

pneumococci before and after the 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine

implementation in children. Vaccine. 2015;33: 6178–85.

doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.10.015

135. Yildirim I, Hanage WP, Lipsitch M, Shea KM, Stevenson A, Finkelstein J, et al.

Serotype specific invasive capacity and persistent reduction in invasive

pneumococcal disease. Vaccine. 2010/10/30. 2010;29: 283–288. doi:S0264-

410X(10)01502-1 [pii]10.1016/j.vaccine.2010.10.032 [doi]

136. Scott JR, Millar E V., Lipsitch M, Moulton LH, Weatherholtz R, Perilla MJ, et al.

Impact of more than a decade of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine use on

carriage and invasive potential in native American communities. J Infect Dis.

2012. doi:10.1093/infdis/jir730

137. Statistics Finland. Tilastokeskus. 2021.

138. Office for National Statistics. Mid-1998 Population Estimates: Single year of

age and sex for local authorities in England and Wales; estimated resident

population. 2004.

139. Huang SS, Hinrichsen VL, Stevenson AE, Rifas-Shiman SL, Kleinman K,

Pelton SI, et al. Continued Impact of Pneumococcal Conjugate Vaccine on

Carriage in Young Children. Pediatrics. 2009;124: e1-11.

doi:10.1542/peds.2008-3099

140. Wroe PC, Lee GM, Finkelstein JA, Pelton SI, Hanage WP, Lipsitch M, et al.

Pneumococcal carriage and antibiotic resistance in young children before 13-

valent conjugate vaccine. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2012;31: 249–254.

doi:10.1097/INF.0b013e31824214ac

1475

1476 **Figures**

1477

Figure 1 Comparison of observed and predicted counts of each serotype within each
study of *S. pneumoniae* invasiveness in children. The points are coloured by the
study to which they correspond, and show the observed value on the horizontal axis,
and the mean predicted value on the vertical axis. The error bars show the 95%
credibility intervals. The red dashed line shows the line of identity, corresponding to a
perfect match between prediction and observation. The left column shows the
correspondence for carriage data (values of $c_{i,j}$), and the right column shows the
correspondence for disease isolates (values of $d_{i,j}$). Each row corresponds to a
different model: (A) null Poisson model; (B) null negative binomial model; (C) type-
specific Poisson model; (D) type-specific negative binomial model; (E) study-
adjusted Poisson model; (F) study-adjusted negative binomial model; (G) study-
adjusted type-specific Poisson model; (H) study-adjusted type-specific negative
binomial model.
1491
1492

1493
Figure 2 Invasiveness estimates for *S. pneumoniae* serotypes from the study-
adjusted type-specific Poisson model applied to the full dataset of serotypes from
child carriage and disease. Points represent the mean estimate, and are coloured
according to the vaccine formulation in which they are found; all higher valency
formulations encompass the serotypes found in lower valency formulations, with the
exception of 6A not being present in PPV23. The point shape represents the sample
size, summed across disease and carriage isolates from all studies, on which the
estimates are based. The error bars show the 95% credibility intervals. (A) Estimates
for all 72 serotypes in the full dataset. (B) Estimates when distinguishing between
vaccine-type serotypes in unvaccinated and vaccinated populations. Vaccine
serotypes in vaccinated populations are denoted with the suffix "_pv".

Figure 3 Comparison of the serotype invasiveness estimates from the study-adjusted type-specific Poisson model with those from meta-analyses of the same dataset calculated as logarithmic odds ratios combined using (A) fixed effects models and (B) random effects models. Points are coloured based on the vaccine formulations in which serotypes are found. The horizontal error bars are the 95% credibility intervals from the Bayesian model, and the vertical error bars show the 95% confidence intervals from the logarithmic odds ratio analyses. Each plot is divided based on the sample size associated with each serotype, calculated as the sum of isolates from carriage and disease across all studies. The correlation between the two estimates is summarised as Kendall's τ on each panel, with an associated *p* value.

Figure 4 Invasiveness estimates for *S. pneumoniae* serotypes from the study-
adjusted type-specific Poisson model applied to the full dataset of serotypes from
child carriage and adult disease. Points represent the mean estimate, and are
coloured according to the vaccine formulation in which they are found; all higher
valency formulations encompass the serotypes found in lower valency formulations,
with the exception of 6A not being present in PPV23. The error bars show the 95%
credibility intervals. (A) Estimates for all 53 serotypes in the full dataset. The point
shape represents the sample size, summed across disease and carriage isolates
from all studies, on which the estimates are based. (B) Scatterplot comparing the
invasiveness estimates for adults and children.

1529

1530
Figure 5 Estimates of within- and between-strain variation in invasiveness for strain
and serotype combinations represented by at least ten isolates across the studies
with genotype information. Points represent the mean estimate, and are coloured by
the vaccine formulations in which the corresponding serotype is present. The shape
of the point represents the sample size on which the estimate is based. Estimates
are grouped by strain. Only strains for which estimates of multiple strain and
serotype combinations were possible are displayed.

1538
Figure 6 Estimates of within- and between-serotype variation in invasiveness for
strain and serotype combinations represented by at least ten isolates across the
included studies. Points represent the mean estimate, and are coloured by the
vaccine formulations in which the corresponding serotype is present. The shape of
the point represents the sample size on which the estimate is based. Estimates are
grouped by serotype. Only serotypes for which estimates of multiple strain and
serotype combinations were possible are displayed.

Tables

Parameter	Description	Value or prior distribution
i	Index denoting study	1..(number of studies)
j	Index denoting type	1..(number of types)
k	Index denoting strain	1..(number of strains)
x	Generic index for type or strain	-
y	Generic index of type or strain that modifies the invasiveness of a genotype	-
c	Number of isolates detected in carriage study	-
d	Number of isolates detected in disease surveillance	-
ρ	Carriage prevalence	$\rho \sim \text{Beta}(1,1)$
η	Total number of positive and negative samples in carriage study	From previous publications
N	Number of individuals of the specified demographic under disease surveillance	From previous publications
t	Duration of disease surveillance	From previous publications
v	Progression rate	$\log_{10}(v) \sim U(-6,1)$ or truncated Cauchy(μ_{mod}, τ_{mod}); see below
γ	Study-specific scale parameters	1 or $\log_{10}(\gamma) \sim$ Cauchy(μ_{study}, τ_{study})
ϕ	Precision parameter of negative binomial distribution	$\log_{10}(\phi) \sim U(-3,3)$
Γ_i	Random variable for sampling from the Cauchy distribution for the study-specific scale parameters	$\Gamma_i \sim U(-0.5\pi, 0.5\pi)$
μ_{study}	Location parameter of the Cauchy distribution for the study-specific scale parameters	0
τ_{study}	Scale parameter of the Cauchy distribution for the study-specific scale parameters	2
Y_y	Random variable for sampling from the truncated Cauchy distribution for the invasiveness modification terms	$Y_y \sim U(-1.25, 1.25)$
μ_{mod}	Location parameter of the truncated Cauchy distribution for the invasiveness modification terms	0
τ_{mod}	Scale parameter of the truncated Cauchy distribution for the invasiveness modification terms	0.5

Table 1 Summary of parameters used in the models.

1549 **Supporting Information**

1550

1551

1552 **Analysing pneumococcal invasiveness using Bayesian models of pathogen**

1553 **progression rates**

1554

1555 Alessandra Løchen, James E. Truscott and Nicholas J. Croucher

1556

1557 **Text S1**

1558 **Figures S1-S31**

1559 **Tables S1-11**

1560 **Datasets S1-S3** (legends only)

Text S1: Sample descriptions for genomic and genotyped datasets

The *S. pneumoniae* population was previously divided into strains by the Global

Pneumococcal Sequencing project [31] using PopPUNK [5]. Previous estimation of

the effect of strain background on invasiveness by Gladstone *et al* [35] used two

study populations. The first combined two carriage studies from Agincourt and

Soweto, in South Africa, with nationwide surveillance of IPD by the National Institute

for Communicable Diseases. After excluding carriage and disease isolates from

individuals reported as being HIV positive, genomes were available for 1,089

carriage isolates and 855 IPD isolates from children under seven years old. Model

fitting required calculating the number of swabs taken from HIV negative children

under seven in the carriage studies. In Soweto, the reported age stratification meant

this number could only be calculated for children under five [102], and therefore four

carriage isolates from children aged five or six were excluded from the study. In

Agincourt, the reported age stratification meant 33 isolates from children aged six

were excluded [103]. As the prevalence of HIV was low in infants in the Agincourt

study [104], and the exact number of swabs from HIV positive children was not

reported, no adjustment was made to the sampling based on HIV status. The

population of children under surveillance for IPD was estimated from nationwide

census data between 2009-2013 [105]. All samples were stratified into the post-

PCV7 (2009-2011) and post-PCV13 (2012-2013) periods [31].

The second study population combined a carriage survey from Massachusetts, USA

and isolates from the Active Bacterial Core Surveillance (ABCS) of IPD across

several states. Isolates were collected over the sampling periods of 2000-2001,

2003-2004, 2006-2007 and 2008-2009. As only 51 IPD samples were available for

the earliest two periods, and the population was changing rapidly over these years

[91], only samples from the more stable post-PCV7 2006-2009 period were studied

[106]. This included 405 IPD isolates, modelled as coming from a time interval of two

years (as samples only came from 2007 and 2009) from a population size calculated

from the ABCS population matrix [107]. Of the carriage isolates, 280 were assigned

to strains from genomic data, while 291 were only associated with multi-locus

sequence typing (MLST) genotypes [108]. Gladstone *et al* assigned these isolates to

strains using the close relationship between MLST and PopPUNK population

classifications [5,35].

1595

As these studies were estimating odds ratios across samples stratified by year,

Gladstone *et al* randomly subsampled isolates to ensure an even ratio of disease

and carriage at each sampling timepoint [35]. This correction is unnecessary with the

models described in this study, as the γ_i scaling parameters can adjust for differing

levels of surveillance between samples (incorporating variation in both the samples

collected, and the subset sequenced). Furthermore, such subsampling would distort

the inferred $\rho_{i,x}$.

1603

MLST genotypes were also available for isolates from the studies of serotype

invasiveness from Stockholm [75], Finland [71,109] and Oxford, UK [29]. Where

possible, these were assigned to GPS strains using the isolate's MLST data, or the

clonal complex to which they belonged at the time of publication [4]. If an isolate

could not be assigned to a GPS strain, then its MLST sequence type was used to

designate its genotype instead. Isolates that could not be unequivocally assigned a

strain, serotype and source (carriage or disease) were omitted.

1611

For the Oxford study, these criteria meant 84 of the 150 disease isolates, and 113 of

the 351 carriage isolates, could be analysed. The carriage isolates were assembled

from three different surveys, only one of which could be linked to a more detailed

published description of the total carriage sample size [110]. As the isolates in this

study were recovered from 213 individuals, and the Oxford study attempted to

minimise multiple sampling from any one host [29], the overall carriage sample size

for the three studies was approximated as three times the number of individuals in

this published study (639).

1620

1621   For the Finland study, the criteria described above meant 143 of the 224 disease

1622   isolates, and 102 of the 217 carriage isolates, could be analysed. The carriage

1623   isolates were recovered from ten longitudinal samples from each of 329 individuals

1624   [33]. As the Finland study sought to avoid multiple sampling of the same carriage

1625   episode [71], the host population size was used as the carriage sample size.

1626

For the Stockholm study, the criteria described above meant 65 of the 165 disease

isolates, and 178 of the 550 carriage isolates, could be analysed. The carriage

isolates were recovered from studies conducted between 1997 and 2004. Two

published descriptions of the original carriage studies reported the recovery of 506

isolates from 1,330 individuals [111,112]. As this accounted for over 90% of the

nasopharyngeal isolates, this number was used as the carriage sample size.

1633

An additional study from Portugal used a collection of disease isolates primarily

recovered from adults [52]. Applying the same criteria as to the child disease studies

allowed unambiguous assignation of genotype and serotype to 463 of the 769

carriage isolates, and 152 of the 475 of the disease isolates. The carriage isolates

were a subset of a previous study [113], and originated from 1,170 nasopharyngeal

swabs (R. Sá-Leão, personal communication).

Supplementary Figures

3
4   **Figure S1** Properties of the child serotype dataset. (A) Stacked bar plot showing the
5   distribution of carriage and disease isolates between studies. (B) Stacked bar plot
6   showing the distribution of carriage and disease isolates between serotypes.

Figure S2 Properties of the child serotype dataset after removing datapoints in which fewer than five isolates of a serotype were recorded from both carriage and disease in a given study. (A) Stacked bar plot showing the distribution of carriage and disease isolates between studies. (B) Stacked bar plot showing the distribution of carriage and disease isolates between serotypes.

1
Figure S3 Line plots showing the post-warmup MCMC traces for the logarithmic
posterior probabilities across two independent chains for models fitted to the filtered
serotype data from child carriage and disease. The horizontal axis shows the
generation of the MCMC, with values for the two chains shown by orange and purple
lines. Each panel corresponds to a different model: (A) null Poisson model; (B) null
negative binomial model; (C) type-specific Poisson model; (D) type-specific negative
binomial model; (E) study-adjusted Poisson model; (F) study-adjusted negative
binomial model; (G) study-adjusted type-specific Poisson model; (H) study-adjusted
type-specific negative binomial model.

Figure S4 Histograms of Rhat values calculated from the paired MCMCs for models
fitted to the filtered serotype data from child carriage and disease. Each panel
corresponds to a different model: (A) null Poisson model; (B) null negative binomial
model; (C) type-specific Poisson model; (D) type-specific negative binomial model;
(E) study-adjusted Poisson model; (F) study-adjusted negative binomial model; (G)
study-adjusted type-specific Poisson model; (H) study-adjusted type-specific
negative binomial model.

1
2 **Figure S5** Violin plots showing the root mean square error between observed and
3 predicted values across model fits to the filtered serotype data from child carriage
4 and disease. Blue crosses represent the individual observations.

1
2   **Figure S6** Graph showing the values of the negative binomial distribution's precision
3   parameter, ϕ, from model fits to the filtered serotype data from child carriage and
4   disease. The points represent the mean estimate from the MCMCs, and the error
5   bars show the 95% credibility interval.

1
2 **Figure S7** Plots validating the fit of the study-adjusted type-specific Poisson model
3 to the full serotype data from child carriage and disease. (A) Histogram showing the
4 distribution of Rhat values. (B) Post-warmup MCMC traces of the log posterior
5 probability.

1
2 **Figure S8** Study-adjustment scale factors from the study-adjusted type-specific
3 Poisson model fitted to the full serotype data from child carriage and disease. The
4 reference study, for which the value was fixed at one, was the Navajo post-PCV7
5 dataset, which had the greatest sample size in this meta-analysis (Fig. S1).

1
2 **Figure S9** Plots validating the fit of the study-adjusted type-specific Poisson model
3 to the full serotype data from child carriage and disease, when distinguishing
4 between vaccine-type serotypes pre- and post-PCV. (A) Histogram showing the
5 distribution of Rhat values. (B) Post-warmup MCMC traces of the log posterior
6 probability.

1
2 **Figure S10** Study-adjustment scale factors from the study-adjusted type-specific
3 Poisson model fitted to the full serotype data from child carriage and disease, when
4 distinguishing between vaccine-type serotypes pre- and post-PCV. The reference
5 study, for which the value was fixed at one, was the Navajo post-PCV7 dataset,
6 which had the greatest sample size in this meta-analysis (Fig. S1).
7

1
Figure S11 Relationship between serotype invasiveness in children (as shown in
Fig. 2) and carriage duration in a study of infant colonisation in Maela, Thailand. The
carriage duration estimates were derived from a multi-variate lasso regression that
included both serotype and antibiotic resistance phenotypes. Values were available
for 14 serotypes, all relative to the carriage duration of serotype 6A/C, which was
therefore assigned a value of zero days.

1
2 **Figure S12** Properties of the adult serotype dataset. (A) Stacked bar plot showing
3 the distribution of carriage and disease isolates between studies. (B) Stacked bar
4 plot showing the distribution of carriage and disease isolates between serotypes.
5
6

Figure S13 Properties of the adult serotype dataset after removing datapoints in which fewer than five isolates of a serotype were recorded from both carriage and disease in a given study. (A) Stacked bar plot showing the distribution of carriage and disease isolates between studies. (B) Stacked bar plot showing the distribution of carriage and disease isolates between serotypes.

1
Figure S14 Line plots showing the post-warmup MCMC traces for the logarithmic
posterior probabilities across two independent chains for models fitted to the filtered
serotype data from child carriage and adult disease. The horizontal axis shows the
generation of the MCMC, with values for the two chains shown by orange and purple
lines. Each panel corresponds to a different model: (A) null Poisson model; (B) null
negative binomial model; (C) type-specific Poisson model; (D) type-specific negative
binomial model; (E) study-adjusted Poisson model; (F) study-adjusted negative
binomial model; (G) study-adjusted type-specific Poisson model; (H) study-adjusted
type-specific negative binomial model.

Figure S15 Histograms of Rhat values calculated from paired MCMCs for models fitted to the filtered serotype data from child carriage and adult disease. Each panel corresponds to a different model: (A) null Poisson model; (B) null negative binomial model; (C) type-specific Poisson model; (D) type-specific negative binomial model; (E) study-adjusted Poisson model; (F) study-adjusted negative binomial model; (G) study-adjusted type-specific Poisson model; (H) study-adjusted type-specific negative binomial model.

Figure S16 Comparison of observed and predicted counts of each serotype within
each adult study. The points are coloured by the study to which they correspond,
and represent the observed value on the horizontal axis, and the mean predicted
value on the vertical axis. The error bars show the 95% credibility intervals. The red
dashed line shows the line of identity, corresponding to a perfect match between
prediction and observation. The left column shows the correspondence for carriage
data (values of $c_{i,j}$), and the right column shows the correspondence for disease
isolates (values of $d_{i,j}$). Each row corresponds to a different model: (A) null Poisson
model; (B) null negative binomial model; (C) type-specific Poisson model; (D) type-
specific negative binomial model; (E) study-adjusted Poisson model; (F) study-
adjusted negative binomial model; (G) study-adjusted type-specific Poisson model;
(H) study-adjusted type-specific negative binomial model.

1
2 **Figure S17** Plots validating the fit of the study-adjusted type-specific Poisson model
3 to the full serotype data from child carriage and adult disease. (A) Histogram
4 showing the distribution of Rhat values. (B) Post-warmup MCMC traces of the log
5 posterior probability.

Figure S18 Study-adjustment scale factors from the study-adjusted type-specific
Poisson model fitted to the full serotype data from child carriage and adult disease.
The reference study, for which the value was fixed at one, was the Navajo post-
PCV7 dataset, which had the greatest sample size in this meta-analysis (Fig. S11).

1
2　**Figure S19** Properties of the child serotype and strain dataset. (A) Stacked bar plot
3　showing the distribution of carriage and disease isolates between studies. (B)
4　Stacked bar plot showing the distribution of carriage and disease isolates between
5　serotypes.

1
2 **Figure S20** Properties of the child serotype and strain dataset after removing
3 datapoints in which fewer than five isolates of a serotype were recorded from both
4 carriage and disease in a given study. (A) Stacked bar plot showing the distribution
5 of carriage and disease isolates between studies. (B) Stacked bar plot showing the
6 distribution of carriage and disease isolates between serotypes.

2
Figure S21 Line plots showing the post-warmup MCMC traces for the logarithmic
posterior probabilities across two independent chains for models fitted to the filtered
strain and serotype data from child carriage and disease. Each panel corresponds to
a model with a different method of associating isolates with an invasiveness
estimate: (A) serotype-determined, Poisson-distributed invasiveness; (B) serotype-
determined, negative binomially-distributed invasiveness; (C) strain-determined,
Poisson-distributed invasiveness; (D) strain-determined, negative binomially-
distributed invasiveness; (E) serotype-determined, strain-modified Poisson-
distributed invasiveness; (F) serotype-determined, strain-modified negative binomial
model; (G) strain-determined, serotype-modified Poisson-distributed invasiveness;
(H) strain-determined, serotype-modified negative binomially-distributed
invasiveness; (I) strain- and serotype-determined Poisson-distributed invasiveness;
(J) strain- and serotype-determined negative binomially-distributed invasiveness.
16

Figure S22 Histograms of Rhat values calculated from paired MCMCs for models fitted to the filtered strain and serotype data from child carriage and disease. Each panel corresponds to a different model: (A) serotype-determined, Poisson-distributed invasiveness; (B) serotype-determined, negative binomially-distributed invasiveness; (C) strain-determined, Poisson-distributed invasiveness; (D) strain-determined, negative binomially-distributed invasiveness; (E) serotype-determined, strain-modified Poisson-distributed invasiveness; (F) serotype-determined, strain-modified negative binomial model; (G) strain-determined, serotype-modified Poisson-distributed invasiveness; (H) strain-determined, serotype-modified negative binomially-distributed invasiveness; (I) strain- and serotype-determined Poisson-distributed invasiveness; (J) strain- and serotype-determined negative binomially-distributed invasiveness.

Figure S23 Comparison of observed and predicted counts of isolates, categorised by both their serotype and strain background, within each study. The points are coloured by the study to which they correspond, and represent the observed value on the horizontal axis, and the mean predicted value on the vertical axis. The error bars show the 95% credibility intervals. The red dashed line shows the line of identity, corresponding to a perfect match between prediction and observation. The left column shows the correspondence for carriage data (values of $c_{i.j.k}$), and the right column shows the correspondence for disease isolates (values of $d_{i.j.k}$). Each row corresponds to a different model: (A) serotype-determined, Poisson-distributed invasiveness; (B) serotype-determined, negative binomially-distributed invasiveness; (C) strain-determined, Poisson-distributed invasiveness; (D) strain-determined, negative binomially-distributed invasiveness; (E) serotype-determined, strain-modified Poisson-distributed invasiveness; (F) serotype-determined, strain-modified negative binomial model; (G) strain-determined, serotype-modified Poisson-distributed invasiveness; (H) strain-determined, serotype-modified negative binomially-distributed invasiveness; (I) strain- and serotype-determined Poisson-distributed invasiveness; (J) strain- and serotype-determined negative binomially-distributed invasiveness.

1
2 **Figure S24** Graph showing the values of the negative binomial distribution's
3 precision parameter, ϕ, from model fits to the filtered strain and serotype data from
4 child carriage and disease. The points represent the mean estimate from the
5 MCMCs, and the error bars show the 95% credibility interval.

1
2 **Figure S25** Violin plots showing the root mean square error between observed and
3 predicted values across model fits to the filtered strain and serotype data from child
4 carriage and disease. Blue crosses represent the individual observations.
5

1
Figure S26 Study-adjustment scale factors from the study-adjusted type-specific
Poisson model fitted to the full serotype and strain data from child carriage and
disease, with the combination of both serotype and strain determining an isolate's
invasiveness. The reference study, for which the value was fixed at one, was the
South Africa post-PCV7 dataset, which had the greatest sample size in this meta-
analysis, and was associated with a known carriage sample size (Text S1).
8

1
2 **Figure S27** Plots validating the fit of the study-adjusted type-specific Poisson model
3 to the full strain and serotype data from child carriage and disease, with the
4 combination of both serotype and strain determining an isolate's invasiveness. (A)
5 Histogram showing the distribution of Rhat values. (B) Post-warmup MCMC traces of
6 the log posterior probability.
7

1
2　**Figure S28** Invasiveness estimates for all strain and serotype combinations in the
3　full strain and serotype dataset from child carriage and disease.

1
2 **Figure S29** Bar plot showing the distribution of carriage and disease isolates
3 between serotypes for the dataset from Portugal comparing child carriage with
4 primarily adult disease.

1
2 **Figure S30** Plots validating the fit of the type-specific Poisson model to the strain
3 and serotype data from child carriage and adult disease, with the combination of
4 both serotype and strain determining an isolate's invasiveness. (A) Histogram
5 showing the distribution of Rhat values. (B) Post-warmup MCMC traces of the log
6 posterior probability.
7

1
Figure S31 Invasiveness estimates for strain and serotype combinations
represented by at least ten isolates in the study of child carriage and adult disease.
Points represent the mean estimate, and are coloured by the vaccine formulations in
which the corresponding serotype is present. The shape of the point represents the
sample size on which the estimate is based. (A) Estimates are shown grouped by
strain. Only strains for which estimates of multiple strain and serotype combinations
were possible are displayed. (B) Estimates are shown grouped by serotype. Only
serotypes for which estimates of multiple strain and serotype combinations were
possible are displayed.

1 **Supplementary Tables**
2

Population	Vaccine period	Carriage study time interval	Carriage isolate source	Disease isolate source	No. of swabs	Population of children	No. disease isolates from children	Population of adults	No. disease isolates from adults	References
Alabama	Pre-PCV	July 1975 – December 1978	Unvaccinated sick and healthy children <18 years old from Alabama	Unvaccinated children with IPD <18 years old from Alabama and unvaccinated adults hospitalised with pneumonia or IPD in Alabama	827	19,316	114	232,373	86	[114,115]
Atlanta	Pre-PCV	January – December 1995	Unvaccinated children with recent URI in Atlanta <5 years old	Unvaccinated children <5 years old with IPD in Atlanta	231	204,680	202	-	-	[116]
Bogota	Pre-PCV	May 2005 – November 2006	Healthy unvaccinated children <18 months old in Bogota	IPD in children <2 years old in Bogota	197	357,200	353	-	-	[117]
Caracas	Pre-PCV	December 2006 – January 2008	Unvaccinated healthy children <6 years old in Caracas	Unvaccinated children with IPD <6 years old in Caracas	1,004	146,125	36	-	-	[118,119]
Czech	Pre-PCV	1996 – 2005	Unvaccinated healthy children 3 - 5 years old across the Czech Republic	Unvaccinated children <6 years old with IPD across the Czech Republic	425	478,177	138	-	-	[120,121]
England & Wales	Pre-PCV	July 1996 – June 2006	Unvaccinated healthy children	Unvaccinated children <5	3,752	3,091,000	461	48,702,414	1,876	[122]

- 110 -

			<5 years old in Hertfordshire	years old across and predominantly unvaccinated individuals >4 years old across England & Wales						
Goroka	Pre-PCV	1981 – 1987	Unvaccinated children attending clinics near Goroka Town	Unvaccinated children with IPD in Goroka hospital	2,844	96,207	56	-	-	[28,123]
Morocco	Pre-PCV	November 2010 – December 2011	Unvaccinated healthy children in Rabat	Children hospitalised with severe pneumonia in Rabat <5 years old	200	212,566	118	-	-	[124,125]
Netherlands	Pre-PCV	June 2004 – May 2006	Unvaccinated healthy children <2 years old in Noord-Holland, Zuid-Holland and Utrecht	Children <5 years with IPD across Netherlands	321	250,924	100	-	-	[126,127]
Ontario	Pre-PCV	1995	Unvaccinated healthy children primarily <4 years old in Toronto	Unvaccinated children <18 years old with IPD in Toronto and surrounding area	1,139	580,507	89	-	-	[128]
Portugal	Pre-PCV	January 2001 – December 2003	Unvaccinated healthy children <7 years old in Lisbon and Oeiras	Unvaccinated children (<18 years) and adults (>18 years) across Portugal	1,170	2,071,223	90	8,284,894	378	[52,129]

Stockholm	Pre-PCV	1997	Unvaccinated healthy children <7 years old in Stockholm	Unvaccinated adults (and a small number of children) hospitalised with IPD in Stockholm	611	-	-	2,004,152	273	[130,131]
Atlanta	Post-PCV7	June 2008 – May 2009	PCV7-vaccinated sick Georgia-resident children <5 years old	PCV7-vaccinated children <5 years old with IPD in Atlanta	451	298,831	47	-	-	[116]
Barcelona	Post-PCV7	2007 – 2011	PCV7-vaccinated healthy children <7 years old in Barcelona	PCV7-vaccinated children <7 years old with IPD in Barcelona	209	228,000	159	-	-	[132]
Bogota	Post-PCV7	June – November 2011	PCV7-vaccinated healthy children <18 months old in Bogota	IPD in children <2 years old in Bogota	246	357,200	91	-	-	[117]
France	Post-PCV7	January 2008 – December 2009	PCV7-vaccinated healthy children <2 years old across France	PCV7-vaccinated children with IPD <2 years old across France	1,212	838,866	388	-	-	[133,134]
Massachusetts	Post-PCV7	2001 – April 2009	PCV7-vaccinated children visiting physicians <7 years old across Massachusetts	PCV7-vaccinated children <7 years with IPD old across Massachusetts	2,969	820,000	206	-		[135]
Navajo	Post-PCV7	March 2006 - March 2008	PCV7-vaccinated Navajo or White Mountain	Active IPD surveillance of PCV7-vaccinated	6,541	65,048	132	201,553	514	[37,39,136]

			Apache native American children <9 years old	Navajo or White Mountain Apache native American children <7 years old and people at least 18 years old							
Netherlands	Post-PCV7	June 2008 – May 2012	Vaccinated healthy children <2 years old in Noord-Holland, Zuid-Holland and Utrecht	IPD in children (<5 years) across Netherlands	660	232,251	73	-	-		[126,127]
France	Post-PCV13	January 2012 – December 2013	PCV13-vaccinated healthy children <2 years old across France	PCV13-vaccinated children with IPD <2 years old across France	1,212	842,076	181	-	-		[133,134]
Netherlands	Post-PCV10	June 2012 – May 2016	Vaccinated healthy children <2 years old in Noord-Holland, Zuid-Holland and Utrecht	IPD in children (<5 years) across Netherlands	659	222,671	47	-	-		[126,127]

2 **Table S1**: Study populations used for the joint analysis of strain and serotype invasiveness.

Model	ELPD difference	ELPD difference standard error
study-adjusted type-specific Poisson	0	0
study-adjusted type-specific negative binomial	-11.61731	13.49535
type-specific negative binomial	-179.09155	23.2183
study-adjusted negative binomial	-239.06206	25.67848
null negative binomial	-283.58518	25.5161
type-specific Poisson	-825.94846	138.34479
study-adjusted null Poisson	-920.16588	112.08258
null Poisson	-1774.3198	213.90718

2
Table S2 Model fit comparison with leave-one-out (LOO) cross-validation using the child serotype data on carriage and disease.
These values were generated using the logarithm of the likelihoods calculated within the models. Models are ranked by their
expected log pointwise predictive density (ELPD), calculated from the individual pointwise log predictive densities across all
observed data points. The ELPD difference column shows the difference between the ELPD of a model and that of the most likely
model (this value is zero for the first row, corresponding to the most likely model given the data). The ELPD difference standard
error is calculated from the distribution of individual pointwise log predictive densities from the same comparison.
9

Model	ELPD difference	ELPD difference standard error
study-adjusted type-specific negative binomial	0	0
study-adjusted type-specific Poisson	-16.68564	10.50462
type-specific negative binomial	-148.19801	13.72573
study-adjusted negative binomial	-203.23604	16.36764
null negative binomial	-249.59283	17.21276
type-specific Poisson	-729.33726	112.9739
study-adjusted null Poisson	-821.7134	96.65528
null Poisson	-1638.1251	190.51644

2
3   **Table S3** Model fit comparison with LOO cross-validation using the child serotype data on carriage and disease. These values
4   were generated using the logarithm of the likelihoods calculated for the observations of isolates from disease only, as these were
5   more constrained than the modelling of isolate counts from carriage. The table is displayed as described for Table S2.
6

Model	Log(Bayes factor) relative to most likely model
study-adjusted type-specific Poisson	0.0000
type-specific Poisson	-406.5216
type-specific negative binomial	-459.7201
null negative binomial	-600.7347
study-adjusted type-specific negative binomial	-666.8982
study-adjusted null Poisson	-848.4240
study-adjusted negative binomial	-876.0923
null Poisson	-1445.7665

3 **Table S4** Comparison of model fits to child carriage and disease serotype data using Bayes factors calculated with bridge
4 sampling. Models are ranked by their logarithmic marginal likelihoods. The logarithmic Bayes factors are calculated for each model
5 relative to the most likely given the data; hence the value is zero for the first row.

Model	Log(Bayes factor) relative to most likely model
study-adjusted type-specific Poisson	0.0000
type-specific negative binomial	-131.7431
study-adjusted type-specific negative binomial	-185.9657
null negative binomial	-205.1582
study-adjusted negative binomial	-286.0869
type-specific Poisson	-362.1535
study-adjusted null Poisson	-1010.5423
null Poisson	-1787.1696

2 **Table S5** Comparison of model fits to child carriage and adult disease serotype data using Bayes factors calculated with bridge

3 sampling. The table is displayed as described for Table S4.

Population	Vaccine period	Carriage study time interval	Carriage isolate source	Disease isolate source	No. of swabs	Population of children	No. disease isolates from children	Population of adults	No. disease isolates from adults	References
Finland	Pre-PCV	1994 - 1996	Unvaccinated children <2 years old in Tampere	Unvaccinated children <2 years across Finland	329	120,238	143	-	-	[71,137]
Oxford	Pre-PCV	1994 - 2001	Unvaccinated healthy children <5 years old in Oxford	Unvaccinated children <5 years old with IPD in Oxford	639	37,467	84	-	-	[29,138]
Portugal	Pre-PCV	January 2001 - December 2003	Unvaccinated healthy children <7 years old in Lisbon and Oeiras	Unvaccinated children (<18 years) and adults (>18 years) across Portugal	1,170	2,071,223	-	8,284,894	152	[52,129]
Stockholm	Pre-PCV	1997 - 2004	Unvaccinated children <7 years old attending day care centres in Stockholm County	Unvaccinated children <18 years old from the Stockholm area	1,330	397,289	65	-	-	[75,111,112, 130]
South Africa	Post-PCV7	2009 - 2010	HIV negative children <13 years old in Soweto and Agincourt	HIV negative children <7 years old in South Africa	2,674	7,187,314	515	-	-	[35,102,104, 105]
USA	Post-PCV7	2006 - 2009	Children <7 years of age in Massachusetts	Children <7 years old in the USA ABCS regions	1,983	1,931,331	405	-	-	[35,91,107,1 39,140]

| South Africa | Post-PCV13 | 2011 - 2013 | HIV negative children <13 years old in Soweto and Agincourt | HIV negative children <7 years old in South Africa | 2,023 | 7,329,006 | 340 | - | - | [35,102,104, 105] |

2 **Table S6**: Study populations used for the joint analysis of strain and serotype invasiveness. The disease isolates from Portugal
3 came from a mixture of infants and adults, but are tabulated based on them primarily arising from the latter age category.

Model	ELPD difference	ELPD difference standard error
strain- and serotype-specific Poisson	0	0
strain-specific serotype-modified Poisson	-3.7248	5.477322
type-specific strain-modified Poisson	-6.287393	5.468617
serotype-specific Poisson	-37.42351	18.306771
serotype-specific negative binomial	-46.514338	12.630727
strain-specific serotype-modified negative binomial	-56.069404	7.98463
type-specific strain-modified negative binomial	-57.304676	7.837755
strain- and serotype-specific negative binomial	-67.860004	7.923821
strain-specific Poisson	-90.523468	29.006827
strain-specific negative binomial	-98.704763	13.503281

3 **Table S7** Model fit comparison with LOO cross-validation using the child strain and serotype data on carriage and disease. These
4 values were generated using the logarithm of the likelihoods calculated for the observations of isolates from carriage and disease.
5 The table is displayed as described for Table S2.

Model	ELPD difference	ELPD difference standard error
strain- and serotype-specific Poisson	0	0
strain-specific serotype-modified Poisson	-6.069704	4.036103
type-specific strain-modified Poisson	-6.667989	4.181882
serotype-specific negative binomial	-33.721585	10.603748
serotype-specific Poisson	-43.800528	15.81467
type-specific strain-modified negative binomial	-48.519279	6.091823
strain-specific serotype-modified negative binomial	-50.379496	6.308592
strain- and serotype-specific negative binomial	-58.830455	6.314365
strain-specific Poisson	-78.341963	24.643501
strain-specific negative binomial	-83.515938	11.810553

3   **Table S8** Model fit comparison with LOO cross-validation using the child strain and serotype data on carriage and disease. These
4   values were generated using the logarithm of the likelihoods calculated for the observations of isolates from disease only. The table
5   is displayed as described for Table S2.

Model	Log(Bayes factor) relative to best-fitting model
strain- and serotype-specific Poisson	0
serotype-specific Poisson	-77.5832
strain-specific Poisson	-86.35369
strain-specific serotype-modified Poisson	-258.18324
type-specific strain-modified Poisson	-260.87589
strain- and serotype-specific negative binomial	-334.62845
strain-specific negative binomial	-371.46834
serotype-specific negative binomial	-388.66057
type-specific strain-modified negative binomial	-575.30246
strain-specific serotype-modified negative binomial	-575.70213

3 **Table S9** Comparison of model fits to child carriage and disease strain and serotype data using Bayes factors calculated with
4 bridge sampling. The table is displayed as described for Table S4.

Model	Log(Bayes factor) relative to best-fitting model
strain- and serotype-specific Poisson	0.00000
serotype-specific Poisson	-77.67605
strain-specific Poisson	-86.35044
type-specific strain-modified Poisson	-257.60470
strain-specific serotype-modified Poisson	-267.16176
strain- and serotype-specific negative binomial	-334.11318
strain-specific negative binomial	-371.16642
serotype-specific negative binomial	-388.88025
type-specific strain-modified negative binomial	-574.35959
strain-specific serotype-modified negative binomial	-577.58130

3   **Table S10** Comparison of model fits to child carriage and disease strain and serotype data using Bayes factors calculated with
4   bridge sampling. In this analysis, the carriage sample size for three studies (Finland pre-PCV, Oxford pre-PCV and Stockholm pre-
5   PCV) was increased 100-fold, to test for the sensitivity of the model comparisons to the uncertainty in this parameter (Text S1). The
6   table is displayed as described for Table S4.

Previous publication	Serotype	Low invasiveness genotype	Low invasiveness strain	High invasiveness genotype	High invasiveness strain	Results from this study
Gladstone *et al* 2019	6A	CC172	GPSC5	CC1094	GPSC41	GPSC41-6A invasiveness significantly higher than GPSC5-6A
Gladstone *et al* 2019	14	CC63	GPSC9	CC15	GPSC18	GPSC18-14 invasiveness significantly higher than GPSC9-14
Gladstone *et al* 2019	16F	CC4088	GPSC33	CC30	GPSC46	Not tested
Gladstone *et al* 2019	19F	CC347	GPSC21	CC320	GPSC1	GPSC1-19F invasiveness significantly higher than GPSC21-19F
Gladstone *et al* 2019	23B	CC439	GPSC7	CC172	GPSC5	Not tested
Sá-Leão *et al* 2011	3	ST180	GPSC12	ST156	GPSC6	GPSC6 found to have significantly higher invasiveness
Sá-Leão *et al* 2011	6A	ST460, ST315, ST1879	GPSC47, GPSC64, ST1879	-	-	GPSC64 does not exhibit significantly divergent invasiveness; others not tested
Sá-Leão *et al* 2011	6B	ST176, ST315	GPSC24, GPSC47	-	-	Other GPSCs of this serotype not tested
Sá-Leão *et al* 2011	11A	ST62	GPSC3	-	-	Not tested
Sá-Leão *et al* 2011	14	-	-	ST156	GPSC6	More invasive than GPSC9-14
Sá-Leão *et al* 2011	19A	ST81	GPSC16	ST193, ST230	GPSC11, GPSC10	Not tested
Sá-Leão *et al* 2011	19F	ST177	GPSC44	-	-	Not tested
Sá-Leão *et al* 2011	22F	-	-	ST443	ST443	Not tested
Sá-Leão *et al* 2011	23F	ST176, ST439	GPSC24, GPSC7	-	-	GPSC7-23F has lower invasiveness than GPSC5-23F
Sá-Leão *et al* 2011	34	ST1439	GPSC45	-	-	Not tested
Brueggemann *et al* 2003	14	-	-	ST9	GPSC18	More invasive than GPSC9-14

Brueggemann *et al* 2003	14	-	-	ST124	GPSC39	More invasive than GPSC9
Brueggemann *et al* 2003	18C	-	-	ST113	GPSC50	Higher invasiveness than GPSC68-18C, but with overlapping credibility intervals
Hanage *et al* 2005	14	-	-	ST156	GPSC6	More invasive than GPSC9-14
Hanage *et al* 2005	19A	-	-	ST482	ST482	Higher invasiveness than GPSC17-19A
Hanage *et al* 2005	7F	-	-	ST191	GPSC15	Not tested
Hanage *et al* 2005	14	-	-	ST124	GPSC39	More invasive than GPSC9-14
Hanage *et al* 2005	6B	-	-	ST138	GPSC24	More invasive than other 6B GPSCs
Hanage *et al* 2005	19F	ST485	GPSC175	-	-	Low invasiveness, with overlapping credibility intervals with other GPSCs
Hanage *et al* 2005	11A	ST62	GPSC3	-	-	Similar to GPSC22

1
2 **Table S11** Comparison of previously-identified within-serotype differences in strain invasiveness with those supported by this
3 analysis. The exclusion of strain and serotype combinations represented by fewer than ten isolates, summed across carriage and
4 disease, meant that some within-serotype tests between strains were not undertaken in this analysis.

Supplementary Datasets

Dataset S1 Invasiveness estimates for serotypes in children. The mean estimates, and lower and upper bounds of the 95% credibility interval, are listed for each serotype.

Dataset S2 Invasiveness estimates for serotypes in adults. The mean estimates, and lower and upper bounds of the 95% credibility interval, are listed for each serotype.

Dataset S3 Invasiveness estimates for serotype-strain combinations in children. The mean estimates, and lower and upper bounds of the 95% credibility interval, are listed for each serotype-strain combination.