1
2
Diffusion MRI Anisotropy in the Cerebral Cortex is Determined by Unmyelinated Tissue Features
5

Colin Reveley[1,2,*], Frank Q Ye[3], Rogier B Mars[1,4], David A Leopold[3,5]

[1] Wellcome Centre for Integrative Neuroimaging, Centre for fMRI of the Brain (FMRIB), Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, John Radcliffe Hospital, University of Oxford, Headington, Oxford, OX9 3DU, UK.

[2] Department of Informatics, University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton BN1 9QJ, UK

[3] Neurophysiology Imaging Facility, National Institute of Mental Health, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Eye Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD

[4] Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Radboud University Nijmegen, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

[5] Section on Cognitive Neurophysiology and Imaging, Laboratory of Neuropsychology, National Institute of Mental Health, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD

25
26
27

*correspondence: reveley@gmail.com

Abstract

The diffusion of water molecules through the brain is constrained by tissue and cellular substructure, which imposes an anisotropy that can be measured through diffusion magnetic resonance imaging (dMRI). In the white matter, myelinated axons strongly shape diffusion anisotropy; however, in gray matter the determinants of dMRI signals remain poorly understood. Here we investigated the histological tissue properties underlying dMRI anisotropy and diffusivity in the cerebral cortex of the marmoset monkey. We acquired whole brain ex vivo dMRI data designed for high signal-to-noise at ultra-high (150μm) resolution. We compared the MRI to myelin- and Nissl-stained histological sections obtained from the scanned brain. We found that dMRI anisotropy corresponds most strongly not with cortical myelin content, but rather with the microscale anisotropy of tissue features, most notably those unmyelinated features highlighted by Nissl staining. The results suggest that dMRI anisotropy in gray matter derives from the organization of unmyelinated neurites, which are known to be affected by neurodegenerative diseases.

Introduction

Recent advances in high resolution diffusion MRI (dMRI) methods have revealed significant diffusion anisotropy in the cerebral cortex gray matter[1–7]. This finding provides an opportunity to extend the use of dMRI beyond its well-established application for characterizing white matter projections. Determining the cellular and histological features that give rise to this anisotropy is important, because this could offer a way for MRI methods to detect and measure the detailed cytoarchitectural properties of gray matter, both in healthy tissue and in disease states. Cytoarchitecturally grounded MRI contrasts might link foundational histological studies in the medical field[8] to *in vivo* studies in humans at the level of individual subjects, and could aid in the detection of neuropathology. For example, linking dMRI variation to tissue structure could be applied to track the progression of cortical pathology during the early stages of Alzheimer's disease[9], or to track tissue changes in conditions like PTSD[10].

The histological basis of diffusion anisotropy in gray matter is not yet well explored[11]. Based on principles derived from the analysis of white matter, where the majority of previous histological comparisons have been carried out[12,13], it seems likely that both the density and arrangement of myelinated fibers contribute to cortical dMRI patterns[2,14–20]. Large caliber myelinated axons are one component of gray matter, which for example include extrinsic projections emanating from layer II/III into white matter[21,22], and afferent axons projecting into cortex. Other myelinated axons in gray matter, however, differ from those in the white matter, being less densely packed, having a much smaller caliber, or having matted structure rather than forming coherent bundles[23].

The spatial distribution of cortical myelin density varies substantially by horizontal location (anatomical region) and by vertical (laminar) position. The myelin content of voxels may be assessed using a wide variety of MRI contrasts[12,13,24] for example the T1w/T2w or magnetization transfer ratio (MTR). Such assessments are the principal means by which anatomical regions of the gray matter are identified in-vivo at present[25]. Quantitative validation of these contrasts in both white and gray matter is ongoing, but they tend to show more reliable correlations to histologically measured myelin content than do measures of dMRI anisotropy or diffusivity[12,13,24]. There is good evidence[3,26] that the organization, rather than the density, of myelinated fibers drives dMRI anisotropy in a way that is not reflected in contrasts like T1w or MTR, although this has not yet been demonstrated quantitatively[2].

There are significant variations in myelinated axon organization between cortical anatomical regions[23,27]

and these differences may be reflected in diffusion anisotropy.

However, in contrast to white matter, the microstructure of gray matter is dominated by non-

myelinated substructures and so it is possible that these shape the diffusion signal more than

myelinated axons[28,29], or do so in a different way[30]. Previous work in the field of dMRI indicates that lipid

cell membranes are more important determinants of diffusion anisotropy than myelin per se[30]. In white

matter, these elements covary because the overwhelming majority of neurites are myelinated axons, so

the distinction between myelinated and non-myelinated neurites has not been of great importance[31].

This distinction may be much more important in gray matter, and some qualitative evidence[2,3,32]

suggests that non-myelinated tissue components could be linked to dMRI anisotropy.

At a more detailed level, previous dMRI studies have found that the dominant direction of diffusion

anisotropy in the cortex is nearly always perpendicular (henceforth, "vertical") to the pial surface, with

the exception of thin zones directly adjacent to the pial and white matter boundaries[3,33–35]. Just as the

diffusion orientations in white matter reflect the organization of axonal projections into directed

bundles, the pronounced vertical bias in gray matter diffusion orientations may reflect the organization

of cell bodies, axons, dendrites and other tissue components into columnar structures[2,3]. Vertically

oriented structures in gray matter include some myelinated axons[21,22], but they also include, for

example, apical dendrite bundles projecting vertically from deep to superficial layers[3,32,36,37], as well as

the neurites of many other more regional and layer specific cell types[22,36,38].

In this study we investigate the extent to which measures computed from histological stains for

myelinated fibers and Nissl bodies reflect dMRI anisotropy and diffusivity in the gray matter. In

comparison to white matter, gray matter exhibits significant variation in myelin density and other

material composition within small areas of space. We therefore emphasize high SNR and high spatial

resolution in our dMRI acquisition, and spatially precise co-registration in our analysis. We use the

foundational diffusion tensor model of the dMRI signal[39] due to its mathematical simplicity, its minimal

assumptions about tissue composition and its conceptual similarity to the structure tensor model of

histological image anisotropy[40]. We report that dMRI fractional anisotropy (dMRI-FA) in the marmoset

cerebral cortex best matches histological anisotropy (HA), rather than myelin content. Myelin content

has a comparatively poor correspondence with dMRI-FA, and is much better reflected in a different MRI

measure, namely the magnetization transfer ratio (MTR). We then show that the anisotropy of Nissl-

stained tissue (Nissl-HA) has the strongest correspondence with MRI diffusion, including dMRI-FA as well

as its underlying diffusivity components. Together, the findings suggest that dMRI-FA in the cerebral

cortex gray matter is determined principally by unmyelinated components of the tissue, such as

dendrites, glial processes and unmyelinated axons.

Results

To assess which histological features of the gray matter best matched the spatial variation of dMRI-

FA, we compared the dMRI data to histological sections from the same brain. Analysis focused on the

brain of a marmoset (case P) that was first scanned *ex vivo* using high resolution and signal-to-noise MRI

and then sectioned and stained for histological analysis.

The brain was scanned for 58 hours on a 7-T Bruker preclinical scanner with 450mT/m gradient

coils. Six whole-brain volumes at 150μm isotropic resolution were averaged for each of 60 diffusion

encoded directions and two B0 volumes. This extensive averaging resulted in a dMRI dataset with a

signal-to-noise ratio of approximately 85 in gray matter. In addition, magnetization transfer ratio (MTR)

volumes were acquired in a separate session from the same brain at an isotropic spatial resolution of

75μm. The MTR sequence is known to be sensitive to myelin content[41]. The dMRI volumes were

resampled to the higher MTR resolution for analysis using a spline interpolation.

After MRI scanning, the brain's left and right hemispheres were sectioned into coronal and sagittal

sections, respectively, at 50μm on a freezing microtome. Adjacent sections were stained for Nissl

bodies using the Thionin stain, and for myelin using the Gallyas silver stain. For quantitative analysis,

nine strips of gray matter in the dorsal frontal and parietal lobes were chosen for this comparison based

on their low curvature, since cortical curvature can affect dMRI-FA values[42]. Non-linear registration

*Figure 1. Comparison of dMRI FA to corresponding myelin histology and MTR MRI data obtained from the same tissue in the right hemisphere of marmoset monkey case P. **a)** Sagittal sections of dMRI-FA (**top**), Myelin histology (**center**) and MTR MRI (**bottom**). The spatial variation of dMRI-FA in vertical (laminar) and horizontal direction showed minimal correspondence with the pattern of myelin intensity, but the variation of the MTR MRI closely mirrored the myelin variation. In some regions of high myelination, the dMRI-FA was high (red arrow) whereas in others it was low (blue arrow). **b)** Normalized average laminar profiles of FA, MTR and myelin intensity through the cortical thickness in the brain's left hemisphere, derived from cortical parcellation (see **Methods, Figure 3**). **c)** Histogram of correlation coefficients between MRI variables and histological myelin intensity over the nine sections of coronal histology used in this study. MTR MRI shows much higher correspondence with myelin intensity than dMRI-FA does.*

allowed for a direct and precise pixel to pixel comparison of diffusion and histological parameters at

150μm spatial scale (see **Methods**, **SI Figure 1**).

dMRI fractional anisotropy does not reflect myelin content

We began our analysis with a simple pixelwise correlation of MRI and histology within the nine

registered sections. Our first question was whether the patterns of dMRI-FA matched the distribution of

myelin intensity, as might be expected based on some previous studies in white matter regions[12,13]. We

found spatial variation of dMRI-FA was a poor match for the myelin intensity measured through

histological staining. This difference was evident both across laminae (henceforth, *vertically*) and along

the cortical sheet (henceforth, *horizontally*), as illustrated in a sagittal example section in **Figure 1a**.

Comparing the dMRI-FA to the myelin stain intensity, there are areas in which they appear to match

(blue arrow) and others in which they clearly do not (red arrow). In the vertical direction, myelin

intensity declines monotonically from the white matter to the pia[43]. dMRI-FA behaves differently, being

strongest in the middle cortical layers, and lowest near the white matter and pial boundaries (**Figure**

1b)[4,44]. This example also shows that, in contrast to dMRI-FA, the MTR MRI contrast closely matches the

laminar pattern of myelin staining, following from the known sensitivity of the MTR MRI sequence to

large macromolecules[41]. Across all sections, the correspondence of the myelin intensity was much

higher to the MTR MRI contrast than to the dMRI-FA contrast (**Figure 1c**).

Fractional anisotropy of dMRI matches that of histology

Having established that myelin stain intensity is only modestly correlated to dMRI-FA, we next

turned our attention to a comparison of dMRI parameters with tissue organization as reflected in the

cell body and myelin histology. In each voxel, the diffusion tensor provides a principal orientation, and

scalar indices of anisotropy such as dMRI-FA. For direct comparison, we sought to generate parameter

maps from the histology at the same spatial resolution and with equivalent information as the dMRI. To

achieve this, we computed the structure tensor (ST) of the cell body and myelinated fiber histology at

microscopic scale, weighted over a mesoscopic spatial area that matched the dMRI acquisition

resolution of 150μm (see **Methods**). We then resampled the resulting parameter maps to the MRI

display resolution of 75μm (**Figure 2**). Like the diffusion tensor, the structure tensor provides both

vector and scalar data, in the form of mean orientations and ST coherence[45]. For both the myelin- and

Nissl-based sections, the principal ST orientation was strikingly uniform and nearly always perpendicular

to the pial surface (i.e. vertical) closely matching the orientation map obtained from the dMRI diffusion

tensor (**Figure 2a**). While it was not the focus of the present study, we also found that the tractography

computed from the histological and dMRI data yielded qualitatively nearly identical results (**SI Figure 3**).

Only in thin laminar zones directly adjacent to the pia and the white matter did this principal orientation

deviate significantly from the vertical and differ across measurements.

ST coherence is similar to dMRI-FA in that it reflects the degree of alignment of objects. However,

unlike dMRI-FA[2,15], ST coherence does not reflect object density[45] within that area. We therefore created

measures of histological anisotropy (Nissl-HA and myelin-HA) by adjusting the histological ST coherence

values to incorporate a pixelwise approximation of neurite density. To derive myelin-HA, we used the

product of normalized histological myelin density and myelin ST coherence. For Nissl data we used the

product of Nissl ST coherence and the Nissl derived "neurite fraction"[46] (see **Methods**). These measures

served as summary statistics whose variation could be compared systematically with that of the dMRI-

*Figure 2. Properties of directional MRI and histology data. For comparison with MRI data, the weighted structure tensor of the myelin and Nissl histology images was computed over a 150μm area (see **Methods**). **a**) Orientation data derived from Nissl and myelin histology and from dMRI are similar, showing columnar, vertically oriented vectors. The overall pattern of orientations in the gray matter is remarkably similar for the data computed from histology and from dMRI. **b**) The right column shows dMRI-FA and non-linearly registered histology anisotropy maps which show the degree of edge dispersion from the mean in 75μm tiles (see **Methods**).*

FA across the gray matter (**Figure 2b**). While the inclusion of neurite density into the HA measure was

deemed appropriate for this comparison, qualitatively similar results for all figures were obtained using

maps of the histological coherence alone (**SI Figure 2**).

For each section, we quantitatively compared the spatial variation of dMRI-FA to the Nissl-HA,

myelin-HA, and myelin stain intensity scalar parameter maps (see **Methods**). For completeness, we also

compared the MTR MRI data to the same histological parameters. To accommodate anatomical variety

and potential inconsistencies in histological stain intensity, we employed a parcellation approach in our

analysis of the gray matter. In each of the nine low-curvature sections, we compared the spatial

variation in the MRI and histological parameter maps by computing the correlation over multiple

parcellated subdivisions spanning the thickness of the cortex (example red trapezoids in **Fig. 3**, see

Methods, SI Figure 4). We focused analysis on a horizontal parcellation width of 300 μm, though the

results of the analysis were robust to parcel sizes ranging over a hundred-fold in area (see **SI Figure 5**).

Within a given parcel, a pixel-by-pixel correlation of variation within each column revealed different

levels of correspondence for the different histological and MRI parameters. This difference can be seen

in the example parcel in **Figure 3 (bottom row),** the strongest spatial correspondence with the dMRI-FA

measure was the anisotropy in the Nissl-stained sections, Nissl-HA, while the strongest correspondence

with the MTR was the myelin intensity.

Figure 3. Example correlation of Histology and MRI variables sampled in columnar parcels. Top row: non-linearly co-registered histology parameter maps and MRI at 75μm resolution. The red trapezoids indicate a columnar parcel example. Bottom row shows representative scatter plots and Pearson correlation coefficients between histological variables and MRI variables.

We evaluated such correlations across all 393 parcels in the nine sections for the different MRI and
histological parameter maps (**Figure 4a**). Across the cortex, the strongest correlation with dMRI-FA was
found in the Nissl histological anisotropy (Nissl-HA) (median 0.76, mean 0.72). Significantly less
correlated were the myelin anisotropy (myelin-HA, median 0.63, mean 0.57) and myelin intensity (MI,
median 0.47, mean 0.39). Nissl-HA and myelin-HA were also correlated moderately to one another
(median 0.60, mean 0.55) (**SI Figure 6**). The difference in correlation profile between Nissl-HA and
myelin-HA was even more pronounced using a measure of in-plane dMRI anisotropy[40] in place of dMRI-
FA (**SI Figure 7**), yielding correlations with Nissl-HA (median 0.77, mean 0.74), myelin-HA (median 0.64,
mean 0.56) myelin (median 0.43, mean 0.37) with a smaller interquartile range for Nissl-HA and larger
for myelin-HA. For comparison, the MTR MRI contrast had a very strong spatial covariation with the
myelin intensity (**Figure 4b**, median 0.94, mean 0.93).

The shared variation of dMRI-FA with Nissl-HA suggests that the cortical dMRI-FA is strongly shaped
by unmyelinated components such as cell bodies, dendrites and unmyelinated axons. Its much weaker

*Figure 4. The distribution of correlation coefficients between histological parameters and dMRI-FA over all 393 parcels of cortex. Each point in the distribution is the Pearson correlation between the variables sampled in a vertical parcel (see **Methods**). Red dot shows median value, blue line shows mean and vertical gray bars show lower and upper quartiles. **a)** Correlation of Histological variables to dMRI-FA **b)** Correlation of MTR to myelin intensity.*

relationship to the myelin-stained sections, and particularly to the myelin intensity distribution, suggests
that myelinated axons may have a relatively minor contribution to dMRI anisotropy in the cerebral
cortex.

Laminar and tangential variation in anisotropy

Given that the laminar (vertical) and tangential (horizontal) dimensions of the cortex differ substantially

in their architectural variation, we performed additional analyses to consider these dimensions

*Figure 5. Average laminar profiles of FA, Nissl-HA and myelin-HA across all parcels, and the results of a spatial randomization condition to test the regional specificity of parcel-wise histology to MRI correlations. The results show Nissl-HA more closely matches the laminar profile of dMRI-FA, and is more sensitive to shuffling of horizontal MRI locations **a)** Normalized laminar profiles of dMRI-FA, Myelin-HA and Nissl-HA through the cortical thickness, computed from the average of all columnar parcels (see **Methods**). WGB indicates the white/gray matter boundary, PIA indicates the pial surface (see **Methods, Figure 3**) **b)** The change in mean correlation coefficient when the cortical parcels of the histology parameters and those of the dMRI-FA are shuffled between random horizontal locations. Bars indicate standard error. The star indicates significance under a paired t-test.*

separately. First, we analyzed the mean laminar variation of the dMRI-FA and compared it to the

histological anisotropy (**Figure 5a**). Collapsing the data across all horizontal cortical positions (see

Methods) revealed a pronounced laminar profile for each of the measured parameters. The dMRI-FA

profile had its highest responses in the middle or lower-middle cortical layers, with a decline in

anisotropy in both upper layers near the pia, and lower layers near the white gray boundary (WGB). This

profile was consistent with results from human dMRI[4], although our higher resolution data revealed

significantly more underlying variation in the laminar profiles of individual horizontal locations (**SI Figure**

8, SI Figure 9). The average laminar profile across horizontal regions in **Figure 5a** resembled the

observed histological anisotropy, with somewhat stronger correspondence to the Nissl-HA measure. As

described earlier, the profile of dMRI-FA differed markedly from the more monotonic profiles of myelin

intensity and MTR (**Figure 1c**). The average laminar Nissl-staining intensity exhibited nearly the inverse

profile to the myelin intensity, having its highest intensity in the superficial layers (**SI Figure 10**).

Given these conspicuous laminar profiles, we next asked to what extent the observed

correspondence between dMRI-FA and the two measures of histological anisotropy could be ascribed to

laminar variation alone. To address this question, we performed an analysis in which we shuffled the

horizontal locations of the columnar parcels in the histological parameter maps relative to the dMRI-FA

maps. If such shuffling were to have no effect on the observed correlation, then we could conclude that

the parcel correlation results in **Figure 4** are fully explained by laminar variation that follows a

stereotypical pattern across the data. We found that shuffling strongly affected the correlation of the

Nissl-HA with the dMRI-FA but, had no significant effect on the other histological/MRI correlations

(**Figure 5b**). For the Nissl-HA, tangential (horizontal) shuffling causing the mean correlation to drop

significantly, from 0.72 to 0.52 (t-test, $p<10^{-10}$). These results indicate that, while the average laminar

profile (**Figure 5a**) generally determines the correspondence of dMRI with myelin-HA and myelin

intensity, the Nissl-HA measure was unique matching the horizontal variation of dMRI-FA.

Histological correlation with dMRI diffusivity components

We next investigated relationships between histological anisotropies (Nissl-HA, myelin-HA) and the

specific directional diffusivities that underlie diffusion anisotropy in gray matter. dMRI-FA is a composite

measure that relates to the ratio of axial and radial diffusivities. Axial diffusivity (AD), $\lambda_\parallel \equiv \lambda_1 > \lambda_2, \lambda_3,$

describes the mean rate of diffusion along the principal diffusion tensor axis. As described earlier (**Figure**

2a), in gray matter the principal tensor axis for both dMRI and histology is overwhelmingly oriented in

the vertical direction. Radial diffusivity (RD), $\lambda_\perp \equiv (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)/2$, refers instead to diffusion perpendicular to

the principal axis, in the horizontal direction in the gray matter.

Applying the same strategy as above in **Figure 4**, we computed the parcel-wise spatial correlation of

MRI diffusivity parameters AD and RD with that of dMRI-FA (**Figure 6a**). We also computed the spatial

correlation of AD and RD with the two measures of histological anisotropy (**Figure 6b**). We found that

the diffusivity correlation profile of Nissl-HA was qualitatively similar to that dMRI-FA, whereas that of

myelin-FA was not. Specifically, of the two histological measures, only Nissl-HA exhibited any positive

correlation to AD. We also noted that myelin-HA exhibited a very strong anti-correlation to RD, and we

found that laminar variation in myelin-HA closely matched laminar variation in RD (**SI Figure 11**). MTR

and myelin intensity both show a strong anti-correlation to RD (**SI Figure 12**). dMRI-FA in gray matter

*Figure 6. Distribution of correlation coefficients between histological and dMRI anisotropy on one hand and directional diffusivities on the other. Nissl-HA exhibits a profile that is more similar to dMRI-FA than that of myelin-HA. **a)** dMRI-FA correlates to increases vertical diffusivity (AD) and decreases in horizontal diffusivity (RD). **Top Right** Nissl histological anisotropy (Nissl-HA) exhibits modest positive correlation to AD and negative correlation to RD, indicating that Nissl-HA and dMRI are associated with a similar mechanism of diffusion anisotropy. **Bottom Right** myelin histological anisotropy exhibits no mean correlation to vertical diffusivity and very strong correlation to horizontal diffusivity, as predicted from previous studies [18]*

appears to be caused both by increases in axial diffusivity and decreases in radial diffusivity relative to

the isotropic case. Spatial variation in these diffusivity parameters are similarly reflected in Nissl-HA

parameter, while myelin-HA and myelin intensity appear primarily to affect only RD.

The exception of granular layer 4

While the Nissl-HA was generally a reliable marker for dMRI-FA variation across gray matter voxels,

there was a notable exception. In layer 4 of granular regions of the cortex, the Nissl-HA and dMRI-FA did

not match as expected, since the Nissl-HA was low but the dMRI-FA was high, driven by elevated axial

diffusivity (**Figure 7a**, green). While above we interpreted Nissl-HA to be an indicator of oriented

neurites, this assumption likely does not hold true in layer 4, where the Nissl-staining is dominated by

round and unoriented, granular neurons (see **SI Figure 13**), and there is a pronounced gap in

myelination (**Figure 7b**). While most cortical neurons outside of layer 4 are pyramidal in shape[47], both

excitatory and inhibitory layer 4 cells have a stellate morphology with rounded cell bodies. While these

cell bodies are unlikely to elevate dMRI-FA, it is known that they project highly branched, vertically

oriented, unmyelinated axons[48]. Furthermore, layer 4 is a site where many thalamic afferents lose their

myelin sheath and branch heavily, including into vertically oriented collaterals (**Figure 7b**, right)[49]. Taken

*Figure 7. In granular cortex, layer 4 is identifiable in Nissl histology by many small cell bodies and in myelin histology by a local decrease in stain intensity. In dMRI data layer 4 is associated with a local increase in Axial diffusivity (AD) and in MTR data by a band of low intensity. **a)** dMRI, MTR and histologically derived contrasts. **B) Left** Illustrative detail of the Nissl histology from an ROI of granular cortex. The internal granule layer is visible as a band of small, round cells. **Middle** the same region in myelin histology exhibits a gap in myelination. CLAHE contrast enhancement was applied uniformly across both myelin and Nissl images for display **Right** The highly branched, unmyelinated layer 4 terminals of axons from 8 thalamic afferent cells in rat Barrel cortex, reproduced from[49].*

261   together, the elevated MRI axial diffusivity in granular layer 4 may be thus hypothesized to result from

262   these and other unmyelinated axons, which cannot be observed in either the Nissl or myelin-stained

263   sections.

Discussion

The results of this study suggest that diffusion MRI in cortical gray matter can capture important,

hitherto inaccessible cytoarchitectural tissue properties in vivo. We analyzed the basis of dMRI-FA in the

cerebral cortex gray matter of the marmoset monkey, scanned at very high signal-to-noise and spatial

resolution. We focused on the diffusion tensor as a straightforward and well understood model of

diffusion with minimal prior assumptions about tissue properties[50]. We systematically compared dMRI-

FA to finely co-registered histological parameter maps obtained from the same brain. To accommodate

anatomical variety and potential inconsistencies in histological stain intensity, we used a cortical

parcellation approach to conduct a mesoscale analysis of gray matter histological features that link to

the spatial variation in dMRI properties. For comparison we also analyzed an MTR MRI data set, which is

sensitive to large macromolecules such as myelin[41].

Our principal finding was that fractional anisotropy correlated strongly with histological anisotropy

derived from the structure tensor, and much less so with histological myelin density. However, myelin

density did correlate very strongly with the MTR MRI contrast obtained from the same brain. We found

that the dMRI-FA was particularly strongly correlated with the Nissl histological anisotropy, a result that

identifies unmyelinated neurites as the principal determinant of dMRI-FA. Our second main finding was

that Nissl-HA and myelin-HA correlated differently to the diffusivity components of dMRI-FA. Like dMRI-

FA, Nissl-HA positively correlated to axial diffusivity - but myelin-HA did not. These two distinct

correlation profiles indicate that myelinated and non-myelinated neurites in gray matter have

qualitatively different contributions to diffusion anisotropy. An exception to the general pattern was

found in granular layer 4, where high dMRI-FA and high axial diffusivity were not accompanied by

elevated Nissl-HA or myelin-HA. We hypothesize that this exception stems from unmyelinated axons

and other neurites known to penetrate that layer in great numbers[49], but which are undetectable with

either Nissl or myelin staining.

The finding that the cell body anisotropy (Nissl-HA) had the strongest correlation to dMRI-FA was

somewhat unexpected. This association is probably not due to the properties of cell bodies

themselves[2,3,7,32]; however, the arrangement of cell bodies in Nissl data may be a reliable marker for the

arrangement of neurites and glial processes[22,38,51,52], and this has previously been used to detect and

quantify the columnar neurite architecture of the cortex[51]. The relative area of the unstained portion of

Nissl stained sections has previously been used to quantify the neuropil fraction, including non-

myelinated neurites[46], and we used this quantity in deriving our Nissl-HA measure (see **Methods**).

Pyramidal cells constitute 70-80% of cells in the cortex[47]. Due to their shape, pyramidal cell body

orientations are reflected in the ST anisotropy measure (**SI Figure 14**). The orientations of apical

dendrites are strongly associated with the orientation of pyramidal cell bodies[53], and these non-

myelinated neurites are prominent structural features of gray matter which likely influence local

diffusion[22,36,38,52]. The arrangement of smaller neural cell bodies into minicolumns along apical dendrite

bundles is reflected locally in each cortical layer by our structure tensor methods[22,36–38,52]. It is likely that

other accompanying tissue features, such as unmyelinated axons, glial processes, epithelial cells and

microvasculature play a role in shaping the correspondence between Nissl-HA and dMRI-FA, and these

may all be indicated by patterns in cell body orientations.

Nissl-HA may also partly reflect a subset of vertically oriented myelinated axons[22,38,46] in addition to

non-myelinated tissue components. The Nissl derived "neuropil fraction"[46] includes some myelinated

axons, especially in deep layers[21,22,36–38,46,52]. We observed a median correlation of 0.6 between Nissl-HA

and myelin-HA (**SI Figure 6**). However, non-myelinated substructures are more plentiful in gray matter

than myelinated[21,22,28,36–38,52]. The lower average correlation (**Figure 4**) and clear differences in the

locations of peak anisotropy in the laminar profiles of myelin-HA and dMRI-FA (**Figure 5**, **SI Figure 9**)

suggest that myelin is not the primary driver of dMRI-FA or of Nissl-HA, even in deep layers where

myelin is very dense.

The specific combination of tissue features that shape cortical dMRI-FA cannot be fully known at

this point, and thus warrants further investigation. The strong spatial covariation (median 0.76) of Nissl-

HA to dMRI-FA holds promise that the structural basis of diffusion MRI in the cortex can be well

understood. Future research using more specific stains will be able to assess more details of the relevant

structural features, for example using immunohistochemical stains for proteins such as MAP 2[36,37], MAP

τ[54], SMI-312[55], SMI-311[55], MBP[56] and GFAP[57] which are variously specific to dendrites, neurites, axons,

myelin and glial processes.

Previous work has shown that when a white matter voxel is organized as a single bundle[58], myelination

and axon integrity are associated with radial and axial diffusivity, respectively[12,13,18]. The present results

of Nissl-HA and myelin-HA in the gray matter indicate that myelinated and unmyelinated neurites affect

these two components of anisotropy in different ways, consistent with recent theoretical work[11] (**Figure**

6, SI Figure 11). Such information might potentially be used in biophysically motivated tissue models of

the diffusion signal[11,59,60]. In dMRI models of white matter, it is sometimes assumed that intra-neurite

axial diffusivity – i.e. the intrinsic rate of diffusion in the axoplasm parallel to the membrane - is

constant[60,61]. However, in the more biologically heterogenous environment of gray matter, this

assumption may not hold[11]. It may be that the cytoplasm of cell bodies, axons, dendrites and glial

processes each have slightly different, characteristic intrinsic diffusivities[30]. The differing anatomy of

particular tissue features may also hinder diffusion in specific ways, for example diffusivity in cell bodies

may be low due to the high lipid content of cell organelles[62]. Recent modelling efforts in white matter

have sought to simultaneously measure both variation in intra-axonal diffusivity, and variation in axon

orientation dispersion[60]. Related models may prove especially important in gray matter. Models that

include information about myelin levels, cortical curvature and location in the cortical depth, as well as

different diffusion times, we may be able to gather very detailed information about the human cortex

in-vivo.

In order to develop such models, our understanding of the diffusion signal in gray matter should be

grounded in strong empirical data. In the present study, we see significant variations in the laminar

profiles of dMRI-FA between gray matter regions (**SI Figure 8, SI Figure 9**). This variation may reflect

valuable information such as cytoarchitectural differences between cortical regions, or degradation of

tissue due to disease. The factors influencing the diffusion signal are likely to differ in different

horizontal locations and at different cortical depths. Measurements taken in-vivo at low resolution will

represent the influences not only of cyto and myeloarchitecture, but also of cortical curvature[42]. Work at

high resolution in ex-vivo animal models is important in order to understand the more ambiguous

signals from the more complex human brain at lower resolution. The ex-vivo marmoset model has

considerable advantages for this endeavor. As a primate, the marmoset's cortical thickness and

cytoarchitectural complexity is comparable to the human case, but its small size means many cortical

regions with diverse properties are available at high resolution and with minimal folding confounds. The

larger, more complex and folded rhesus brain may form an intermediate subject at somewhat lower

spatial resolution, before tackling the much more complex human case at low resolution in-vivo.

Diseases such as Alzheimer's are believed to involve subtle changes to tissue properties especially

in their early stages, and the ability to gather specific cytoarchitectural information separately from

myeloarchitectural in-vivo may be crucial[9], particularly since relatively little post-mortem information is

available regarding the early tissue changes. The early stages of Alzheimer's and other diseases of the

gray matter neuropil can manifest as cellular damage and inflammation rather than demyelination[63].

Changes in mean diffusivity in gray matter have been associated with Alzheimer's pathology[64]. The

present findings may inform the development of dMRI models of the gray matter, perhaps to specifically

target neuroinflammation[9] or other aspects of Alzheimer's pathology in the earliest stages[65].

Our findings may also be useful in the growing field of comparative anatomy[66–70]. MRI methods

lack the spatial precision and biochemical specificity of histology. However, the whole brain can be

studied at once, non-destructively and in three dimensions. This permits sophisticated computational

analysis of the geometric and topological layout of the brain[66], of the spatial trajectories of white matter

pathways[67] and of how these may be related between species[68,70]. At present however, analysis of the

gray matter structural properties in such studies tends to be limited to myelin levels[66]. The present

results may add important information that may help explain differing cognitive abilities between

species. For example, the fraction of cortical tissue occupied by neurites differs between humans and

chimpanzees[46]. Using dMRI measures of cortical gray matter, we might model not only the quantity, but

organization of specifically non-myelinated neurites in gray matter, which may be a marker for the

intrinsic connectional sophistication of local gray matter regions. We may be able to compare these

tissue properties across whole brains and in many species, in a quantitative way that can be linked to

patterns of long-range connectivity via white matter bundles. Such studies might shed light on cortical

evolution, the relationships between cortical regions, disease states, the interpretation of the BOLD

signal and differing cognitive capabilities between species.

Methods

We describe below the methods used in this study, sample preparation and MRI acquisition,

sectioning and staining, registration and finally statistical analysis.

Animals and materials

The brain of one adult marmoset (female, 5-year-old, "Case P") was used in this study.

Ex vivo high-resolution MRI Acquisition

Before MRI scanning, the formalin-fixed marmoset brain was soaked with 0.15% gadopentetate

dimeglumine (Magnevist, Bayer Leverkusen, Germany) for 3 weeks to reduce the T1 relaxation time. In

order to improve SNR, brain P was cut through the midline, and each hemisphere was scanned

separately with a 25-mm birdcage volume coil.

For the left hemisphere Diffusion MRI was collected with a 3D diffusion-weighted spin-echo EPI

sequence with a spatial resolution of 150µm isotropic voxel size. The 3D diffusion EPI sequence

parameters were: TR = 400 ms, TE = 28.4 ms, flip angle = 90°, FOV = 38.4 x 24 x 21 mm, matrix size = 256

x 160 x 140, resolution = 0.15 mm isotropic. Sixty two DWI volumes (2 at b=0, and 60 directions with

b=4800) were acquired using 3 averages. The same 62 volumes were collected a second time with

identical settings. The total DWI acquisition time was about 58 hours. The pulse width for the diffusion

weighting gradient is 6.4 ms and pulse separation is 14 ms.

The right hemisphere was scanned with 100µm resolution with the 25 mm coil. The parameters for the

3D diffusion-weighted spin-echo EPI sequence were: TR = 400 ms, TE = 35 ms, flip angle = 90°, FOV =

38.4 x 20 x 25 mm, matrix size = 348 x 200 x 250, resolution = 0.10 mm isotropic, a total of 132 DWI

images (6 at b=0, and 126 directions with b = 4800), and total acquisition time was about 55 hours.

The left and right hemispheres separately underwent scanning for MTR at 75µm and 150µm

respectively.

The MTR images were collected with a 3D FLASH sequence. For the resolution of 150µm, the

parameters were: TR = 21.5 ms, TE = 3.6 ms, flip angle = 20^0, FOV = 38.4 x 24 x 21 mm, matrix size = 256

x 160 x 140, number of averages = 8 and number of repetitions = 6, total acquisition time about 13 hour

For the resolution of 75µm acquisition, the parameters are: TR = 21.9 ms, TE = 3.8 ms, flip angle = 20^0,

FOV = 34.8 x 20.4 x 20.1 mm, matrix size = 464 x 272 x 268, number of averages=5 and number of

repetition = 14, total acquisition time about 60 hours. The magnetization transfer was achieved (in M_{sat}

scans} by a Gaussian shaped pulse at either +2000 or -2000 Hz offset frequency; the duration of pulse is

12.5 ms and flip angle is 540^0 and the pulse is present in every TR. This magnetization transfer pulse is

turned off in M_{off} scans. The MTR value is calculated as $100*(1-M_{sat}/M_{off})$.

MRI Processing

Diffusion Tensor Estimation dMRI data was preprocessed using TORTOISE v3[71] which includes code

for denoising[72]. After rotation to the histology slice plane (see *Registration of histology and MRI data*

below), the diffusion tensor was estimated using FSL 5.0.11 DTIFIT. AD, RD and the in-plane anisotropy[40]

were calculated using FSL 5.0.11 fslmaths.

Registered dMRI tensor parameter maps and MT data were split into separate coronal 32 bit

quantitative tif images and grouped according to slice number for registration.

Histological Processing

Sectioning and Staining Following scanning, the brain's left hemisphere was coronally sectioned at

50μm on a freezing microtome, while the right hemisphere was sectioned sagittally. Alternate sections

were stained for Nissl bodies and for myelin. The Gallyas silver stain for myelin was selected to stain

individual axons in high detail[73]. We used the Thionin stain for Nissl bodies. This is a traditional staining

method that identifies cell bodies and some dendrites proximal to the soma, but very few axons[53]. Each

histological section was scanned at 0.88μm resolution (10x magnification) using a Ziess Axioscan

microscope slide scanner. Higher resolution 0.44μm microscopy was not shown to produce significantly

different ST results using this data. Histological sections were excluded due to damage or poor stain

properties.

Registration of histology and MRI data

Rigid body rotation to histology slice plane. For histology to MRI registration, we first performed an

initial rigid body alignment of the 75μm MT_sat data (**SI Figure 1**) to match the histology slice plane for

each of P's hemispheres. This transformation was estimated by-eye using the mango MRI

editor/viewer[74] and the manually transformed MT volume was used as the target for FSL 5.0.11 FLIRT[75]

to transform the remainder of the MRI data.

Registration of MT and dMRI. The 150μm dMRI was rigid body registered to the 75μm MT volume

using FSL FLIRT, so that the dMRI was upsampled to 75μm using spline interpolation. The b-vectors were

rotated using the FAST transform and custom MATLAB code.

MIND nonlinear registration of local histology ROI. After performing ST computations on the

histology to produce ST anisotropy parameter maps (see *Structure Tensor Analysis of Histological*

Orientations and Anisotropy below), we performed careful non-linear alignment of nine local frontal and

parietal ROI from the histology to the MRI (**SI figure 1**). 75μm resolution stain intensity maps of nine

coronal sections of each of Nissl and myelin histology were first binarized using a threshold adjustment

in imageJ[76] and then registered to their corresponding MRI slices to correct for histology shrinkage and

deformation, first using manual 2D alignment in imageJ[76] and then MIND MATLAB code[77] (α=0.1). To

avoid registration between MRI and histological features whose anatomical meanings and relationships

were unknown, the registration source and target images were featureless masks of the GM derived

from the MT data (**SI figure 1**). Custom MATLAB code was written to store and apply these transforms to

ST maps (see *Structure Tensor Analysis of Histological Orientations and Anisotropy* below) and other

parameter maps derived from the histology. We selected regions of frontal and parietal cortex for non-

linear alignment whose minimal gyrification ensured that the diffusion MRI signal was largely unaffected

by cortical curvature[42]. This registration process allowed us to directly compare the cortical variation of

diffusion and histological parameters from the same brain.

Structure Tensor Analysis of Histological Orientations and Anisotropy

To estimate the anisotropy and orientation content of the histology we employed the structure

tensor method[40]. The 0.88μm per pixel resolution histology images were processed using the structure

tensor as implemented in the "OrientationJ" ImageJ package[45], using a local gaussian window of σ=80

pixels and image gradients computed with a cubic spline. This was applied to the color channel of the

histology that exhibited the strongest image intensity gradients. This was the red channel for Nissl data,

or blue channel for Gallyas myelin data. Orientation and anisotropy information were obtained from

these data according to

455
$$S_0[p] = \begin{bmatrix} I_x[p]I_x[p] & I_x[p]I_y[p] \\ I_x[p]I_y[p] & I_y[p]I_y[p] \end{bmatrix}$$

Equation 1. *Pixelwise structure tensor*

where S_0 is the structure tensor at pixel p and Ix, Iy are the partial derivatives of the 2D image

intensities at pixel p. Since the dMRI data contains diffusion information gathered over a 150μm cubic

area, the histology structure tensor at each pixel was a distance weighted function $S_w[p]$ of the

structure tensors S_0 within a radius of 75μm. This was achieved for pixel p by multiplying with gaussian

window with a sum of 1, with sigma 85 pixels (75μm), shown in **Equation 2.** The ST angle is shown in

Equation 3 and anisotropy in **Equation 4**

463
$$S_w[p] = \sum_r w[r]S_0[p-r]$$

464   *Equation 2. Weighted structure tensor*

466
$$\theta[p] = \frac{1}{2}arctan\left(2\frac{I_x[p]I_y[p]}{I_y[p]I_y[p] - I_x[p]I_x[p]}\right)$$

467   *Equation 3. ST orientation*

469
$$SAnisotropy = \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$$

Equation 4. Structure tensor anisotropy

A pipeline was implemented in bash and ImageJ macro language to process the Zeiss axioscan

histology output files in CZI format into 32 bit tif format with resolution 0.88μm, and process these with

orientationJ. The histology stain intensity data and ST data at 0.88μm were then down sampled into

maps of 75μm tiles for comparison and registration to MRI data using MATLAB R2018a. The mean ST

angle within 75μm was the circular mean of the 0.88μm angles within that area.

Histological Anisotropy Because diffusion anisotropy is sensitive to both the density and coherence

of tissue features, but ST coherence is sensitive only to the latter, we defined composite measures of

histological anisotropy. **Myelin-HA** was defined as the product of myelin stain intensity and the ST

coherence of the myelin data.

For the Nissl-HA we defined the neurite fraction (NF) as 1-nissl intensity, where 1 was defined as
the highest gray matter value and 0 was defined as black. Nissl-HA was defined as the product of NF and
the ST coherence of the Nissl data.

2D dMRI and Histology ST Tractography

The ST analysis of the myelin and Nissl histology yielded 2D vector fields at 75μm resolution representing
the mean 0.88μm ST angle within a tile. For comparison, we projected the 3D dMRI principle eigenvector
at the same spatial resolution onto the 2D plane (**Figure 2**).

The vector fields of the 75μm weighted structure tensor permitted tractography based on histological
image properties within areas equivalent to the MRI voxels. We ran identical tractography through the
Nissl and myelin ST vector fields. We used a MATLAB package "even streamlines" v 1.1.0.0 to ensure the
streamlines were evenly spaced in the horizontal direction[78], modified to use a fixed integration step of
0.1 . **SI figure 3** shows tractography through the 2D projected components of the first eigenvector of the
diffusion tensor, overlaid on high resolution histology images. We note all three modalities yield very
similar results.

Cortical Parcellation and Statistical Analysis

To sample the gray matter for analysis, we took advantage of the fact that cortex consists of vertically
oriented tissue components[21,33,36,39] to develop a matrix representation whose columns were derived from
data sampled along the vertical dMRI tractography streamlines (**SI Figure 4a**) (see *2D dMRI and Histology*
ST Tractography above), and whose rows spanned the horizontal direction. We first masked the registered
gray matter ROI by thresholding the MT image (M_{sat}), and eroding this mask by 2 75μm pixels to avoid the
regions where the diffusion tensor orientations were known not to be vertical (see **Figure 2**). We then ran
tractography through the 2d projected principle eigenvectors of the diffusion tensor in the masked region.
This produced a set of vertical, non-intersecting streamlines (**SI Figure 4a)** which were numbered from in
the horizontal direction.

For each histology and MRI parameter map, we sampled a vector of unique pixels whose coordinates
intersected one streamline's coordinates. We then resampled each resulting vector of pixels to a fixed

length using bilinear interpolation, to yield a simple matrix representation of the gray matter (**SI Figure**

4a). This operation was invertible such that data in matrix form could be displayed in image space (**SI**

Figure 4b).

Our statistical analysis proceeded by parcellating the matrix representation of the non-linearly registered

histology and MRI data. We used parcellation in our analysis partly to separate out regions of potentially

differing stain intensity, and partly because different anatomical regions of cortex have different

constituent myelo- and cytoarchitectural elements[21,37] and may therefore exhibit different relationships

between histology and dMRI. For each parcel we took the Pearson correlation between sample variables.

Examples are shown in **Figure 3**. **SI Figure 4b** shows parcels of matrix columns of different sizes,

represented in image space and colored by the correlation coefficient of histology ST anisotropy to dMRI–

FA.

Figure 4 and **Figure 6** show the distribution of correlation coefficients using a parcel size of 5 streamlines

which is roughly 300μm in horizontal width. **SI Figure 5** shows that the correlation results are stable for

different parcel sizes. For **figure 5** we concatenated matrices from all sections and displayed the mean of

all rows. **SI figure 9** shows the mean of rows in each individual section.

References

1. Aggarwal, M., Nauen, D. W., Troncoso, J. C. & Mori, S. Probing region-specific microstructure of
human cortical areas using high angular and spatial resolution diffusion MRI. *Neuroimage* **105**,
198–207 (2015).

2. Assaf, Y. Imaging laminar structures in the gray matter with diffusion MRI. *Neuroimage* **197**, 677–
688 (2019).

3. Leuze, C. W. U. *et al.* Layer-specific intracortical connectivity revealed with diffusion MRI. *Cereb.*
Cortex **24**, 328–339 (2014).

4. Truong, T.-K., Guidon, A. & Song, A. W. Cortical Depth Dependence of the Diffusion Anisotropy in
the Human Cortical Gray Matter In Vivo. *PLoS One* **9**, e91424 (2014).

5. Kleinnijenhuis, M. *et al.* Layer-specific diffusion weighted imaging in human primary visual cortex
in vitro. *Cortex* **49**, 2569–2582 (2013).

6.   Jespersen, S. N., Leigland, L. A., Cornea, A. & Kroenke, C. D. Determination of Axonal and Dendritic
Orientation Distributions Within the Developing Cerebral Cortex by Diffusion Tensor Imaging. *IEEE*
Trans. Med. Imaging **31**, 16–32 (2012).

7.   Jespersen, S. N. *et al.* Neurite density from magnetic resonance diffusion measurements at
ultrahigh field: Comparison with light microscopy and electron microscopy. *Neuroimage* **49**, 205–
216 (2010).

8.   Brodmann, K. *Vergleichende lokalisationslehre der großhirnrinde in ihren prinzipien dargestellt auf*
grund des zellenbaues (1909)(English translation available in Garey, L.J. (2006). Brodmann's:
Localisation in the cerebral cortex. 3rd Edition. Springer US). (Springer US, 2006).

9.   Weston, P. S. J., Simpson, I. J. A., Ryan, N. S., Ourselin, S. & Fox, N. C. Diffusion imaging changes in
grey matter in Alzheimer's disease: A potential marker of early neurodegeneration. *Alzheimer's*
Res. Ther. **7**, 1–8 (2015).

10.   O'Doherty, D. C. M., Chitty, K. M., Saddiqui, S., Bennett, M. R. & Lagopoulos, J. A systematic review
and meta-analysis of magnetic resonance imaging measurement of structural volumes in
posttraumatic stress disorder. *Psychiatry Res. - Neuroimaging* **232**, 1–33 (2015).

11.   Lampinen, B. *et al.* Searching for the neurite density with diffusion MRI: Challenges for biophysical
modeling. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* **40**, 2529–2545 (2019).

12.   Mancini, M. *et al.* An interactive meta-analysis of MRI biomarkers of Myelin. *Elife* **9**, 1–23 (2020).

13.   Lazari, A. & Lipp, I. Can MRI measure myelin? Systematic review, qualitative assessment, and meta-
analysis of studies validating microstructural imaging with myelin histology. *Neuroimage* **230**,
117744 (2021).

14.   Calamante, F., Jeurissen, B., Smith, R. E., Tournier, J. D. & Connelly, A. The role of whole-brain
diffusion MRI as a tool for studying human in vivo cortical segregation based on a measure of
neurite density. *Magn. Reson. Med.* **79**, 2738–2744 (2018).

15.   Fukutomi, H. *et al.* Neurite imaging reveals microstructural variations in human cerebral cortical
gray matter. *Neuroimage* **182**, 1–12 (2018).

16.   Roosendaal, S. D. *et al.* Regional DTI differences in multiple sclerosis patients. *Neuroimage* **44**,
1397–1403 (2009).

17.   Song, S. K. *et al.* Diffusion tensor imaging detects and differentiates axon and myelin degeneration
in mouse optic nerve after retinal ischemia. *Neuroimage* **20**, 1714–1722 (2003).

18.   Song, S. K. *et al.* Dysmyelination revealed through MRI as increased radial (but unchanged axial)
diffusion of water. *Neuroimage* **17**, 1429–1436 (2002).

19.   Song, S. K. *et al.* Demyelination increases radial diffusivity in corpus callosum of mouse brain.
Neuroimage **26**, 132–140 (2005).

20.   Stricker, N. H. *et al.* Decreased white matter integrity in late-myelinating fiber pathways in
Alzheimer's disease supports retrogenesis. *Neuroimage* **45**, 10–16 (2009).

21.   Peters, A. & Sethares, C. Myelinated axons and the pyramidal cell modules in monkey primary
visual cortex. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **365**, 232–255 (1996).

22.   Buxhoeveden, D. P. & Casanova, M. F. The minicolumn hypothesis in neuroscience. *Brain* **125**, 935–

951 (2002).

23.   Lewis, J. W. & Van Essen, D. C. Mapping of architectonic subdivisions in the macaque monkey, with
emphasis on parieto-occipital cortex. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **428**, 79–111 (2000).

24.   Van der Weijden, C. W. J. *et al.* Myelin quantification with MRI: A systematic review of accuracy
and reproducibility. *Neuroimage* **226**, 117561 (2020).

25.   Glasser, M. F. & Van Essen, D. C. Mapping human cortical areas in vivo based on myelin content as
revealed by T1- and T2-weighted MRI. *J. Neurosci.* **31**, 11597–11616 (2011).

26.   Kleinnijenhuis, M. *et al.* Detailed laminar characteristics of the human neocortex revealed by
NODDI and histology. *Organ. Hum. Brain Mapp.* 3815 (2013).

27.   Vogt, C. & Vogt, O. Allgemeinere Ergebnisse unserer Hirnforschung. *J Psychol Neurol* **25**, 279–461
(1919).

28.   Schüz, A., Braitenberg, V. & Miller, S. R. The Human Cortical White Matter: Quantitative Aspects of
Cortico-Cortical Long-Range Connectivity. in *Cortical Areas* 377–385 (CRC Press, 2002).

29.   Wang, Y., Ye, M., Kuang, X., Li, Y. & Hu, S. A simplified morphological classification scheme for
pyramidal cells in six layers of primary somatosensory cortex of juvenile rats. *IBRO Reports* **5**, 74–
90 (2018).

30.   Beaulieu, C. The basis of anisotropic water diffusion in the nervous system - A technical review.
NMR Biomed. **15**, 435–455 (2002).

31.   Jones, D. K., Knösche, T. R. & Turner, R. White matter integrity, fiber count, and other fallacies: The
do's and don'ts of diffusion MRI. *Neuroimage* **73**, 239–254 (2013).

32.   Jespersen, S. N., Kroenke, C. D., Østergaard, L., Ackerman, J. J. H. & Yablonskiy, D. A. Modeling
dendrite density from magnetic resonance diffusion measurements. *Neuroimage* **34**, 1473–1486
(2007).

33.   Reveley, C. *et al.* Superficial white matter fiber systems impede detection of long-range cortical
connections in diffusion MR tractography. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **112**, E2820–E2828 (2015).

34.   Miller, K. L. *et al.* Diffusion imaging of whole, post-mortem human brains on a clinical MRI scanner.
Neuroimage **57**, 167–181 (2011).

35.   McNab, J. A. *et al.* High resolution diffusion-weighted imaging in fixed human brain using diffusion-
weighted steady state free precession. *Neuroimage* **46**, 775–785 (2009).

36.   Rockland, K. S. & Ichinohe, N. Some thoughts on cortical minicolumns. *Exp. Brain Res.* **158**, 265–
277 (2004).

37.   Peters, A., Manuel Cifuentes, J. & Sethares, C. The organization of pyramidal cells in area 18 of the
rhesus monkey. *Cereb. Cortex* **7**, 405–421 (1997).

38.   Buxhoeveden, D. P. & Casanova, M. F. The minicolumn and evolution of the brain. *Brain. Behav.*
Evol. **60**, 125–151 (2002).

39.   Pierpaoli, C., Jezzard, P., Basser, P. J., Barnett, A. & Di Chiro, G. Diffusion tensor MR imaging of the
human brain. *Radiology* **201**, 637–648 (1996).

40.   Budde, M. D. & Frank, J. A. Examining brain microstructure using structure tensor analysis of

histological sections. *Neuroimage* **63**, 1–10 (2012).

41.   Heath, F., Hurley, S. A., Johansen-Berg, H. & Sampaio-Baptista, C. Advances in noninvasive myelin
imaging. *Dev. Neurobiol.* **78**, 136–151 (2018).

42.   Kleinnijenhuis, M. *et al.* Diffusion tensor characteristics of gyrencephaly using high resolution
diffusion MRI in vivo at 7T. *Neuroimage* **109**, 378–387 (2015).

43.   Bock, N. A., Hashim, E., Kocharyan, A. & Silva, A. C. Visualizing myeloarchitecture with magnetic
resonance imaging in primates. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **1225**, E171–E181 (2011).

44.   Liu, C. *et al.* Marmoset Brain Mapping V3: Population multi-modal standard volumetric and
surface-based templates. *Neuroimage* **226**, 117620 (2021).

45.   Püspöki, Z., Storath, M., Sage, D. & Unser, M. Transforms and operators for directional bioimage
analysis: A survey. *Adv. Anat. Embryol. Cell Biol.* **219**, 69–93 (2016).

46.   Spocter, M. A. *et al.* Neuropil distribution in the cerebral cortex differs between humans and
chimpanzees. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **520**, 2917–2929 (2012).

47.   Jones, E. G. Laminar distribution of cortical efferent cells. *Cereb. cortex* 521–552 (1984).

48.   Lübke, J., Egger, V., Sakmann, B. & Feldmeyer, D. Columnar organization of dendrites and axons of
single and synaptically coupled excitatory spiny neurons in layer 4 of the rat barrel cortex. *J.*
Neurosci. **20**, 5300–5311 (2000).

49.   Oberlaender, M. *et al.* Cell type-specific three-dimensional structure of thalamocortical circuits in
a column of rat vibrissal cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* **22**, 2375–2391 (2012).

50.   Basser, P. J., Mattiello, J. & LeBihan, D. MR diffusion tensor spectroscopy and imaging. *Biophys. J.*
66, 259–267 (1994).

51.   Buldyrev, S. V. *et al.* Description of microcolumnar ensembles in association cortex and their
disruption in Alzheimer and Lewy body dementias. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **97**, 5039–5043
(2000).

52.   Jones, E. G. Microcolumns in the cerebral cortex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **97**, 5019–5021
(2000).

53.   Windle, W. F., Rhines, R. & Rankin, J. A Nissl Method Using Buffered Solutions of Thionin. *Stain*
Technol. **18**, 77–86 (1943).

54.   Kosik, K. S., Joachim, C. L. & Selkoe, D. J. Microtubule-associated protein tau (τ) is a major antigenic
component of paired helical filaments in Alzheimer disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **83**, 4044–
4048 (1986).

55.   Ulfig, N., Nickel, J. & Bohl, J. Monoclonal antibodies SMI 311 and SMI 312 as tools to investigate
the maturation of nerve cells and axonal patterns in human fetal brain. *Cell Tissue Res.* **291**, 433–
443 (1998).

56.   Deibler, G. E., Martenson, R. E. & Kies, M. W. Large Scale Preparation of Myelin Basic Protein from
Central Nervous Tissue of Several Mammalian Species. *Prep. Biochem.* **2**, 139–165 (1972).

57.   Eng, L. F. & Ghirnikar, R. S. GFAP and Astrogliosis. *Brain Pathol.* **4**, 229–237 (1994).

58.   Wheeler-Kingshott, C. A. M. & Cercignani, M. About 'axial' and 'radial' diffusivities. *Magn. Reson.*

Med. **61**, 1255–1260 (2009).

59.   Novikov, D. S., Fieremans, E., Jespersen, S. N. & Kiselev, V. G. Quantifying brain microstructure with
diffusion MRI: Theory and parameter estimation. *NMR Biomed.* **32**, 1–53 (2019).

60.   Howard, A. F. D. *et al.* Estimating intra-axonal axial diffusivity with diffusion MRI in the presence of
fibre orientation dispersion. *bioRxiv* 1–33 (2020).

61.   Zhang, H., Schneider, T., Wheeler-Kingshott, C. A. & Alexander, D. C. NODDI: Practical in vivo
neurite orientation dispersion and density imaging of the human brain. *Neuroimage* **61**, 1000–
1016 (2012).

62.   Morré, D. J. & Mollenhauer, H. H. endomembrane concept: a functional integration of endoplasmic
reticulum and Golgi apparatus. *Dyn. Asp. Plant Ultrastruct. AW Robards, ed* (1974).

63.   Wenk, G. L. Neuropathologic changes in Alzheimer's disease. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* **64**, 7–10 (2003).

64.   Montal, V. *et al.* Cortical microstructural changes along the Alzheimer's disease continuum.
Alzheimer's Dement. **14**, 340–351 (2018).

65.   Sato, K. *et al.* A non-human primate model of familial Alzheimer's disease. *bioRxiv*
2020.08.24.264259 (2020).

66.   Eichert, N. *et al.* Cross-species cortical alignment identifies different types of anatomical
reorganization in the primate temporal lobe. *Elife* **9**, 1–30 (2020).

67.   Roumazeilles, L. *et al. Longitudinal connections and the organization of the temporal cortex in*
macaques, great apes, and humans. PLoS biology **18**, (2020).

68.   Mars, R. B. *et al.* Whole brain comparative anatomy using connectivity blueprints. *Elife* **7**, 1–15
(2018).

69.   Mars, R. B. *et al.* Primate comparative neuroscience using magnetic resonance imaging: promises
and challenges. *Front. Neurosci.* **8**, 298 (2014).

70.   Xu, T. *et al.* Cross-species functional alignment reveals evolutionary hierarchy within the
connectome. *Neuroimage* **223**, 117346 (2020).

71.   Irfanoglu, M. O., Nayak, A., Jenkins, J. & Pierpaoli, C. TORTOISE v3 : Improvements and New
Features of the NIH Diffusion MRI Processing Pipeline. in *ISMRM 25th annual meeting* 9–11 (2018).

72.   Veraart, J. *et al.* Denoising of diffusion MRI using random matrix theory. *Neuroimage* **142**, 394–
406 (2016).

73.   Gallyas, F. Silver staining of myelin by means of physical development. *Neurol. Res.* **1**, 203–209
(1979).

74.   Lancaster, J. L. *et al.* Automated regional behavioral analysis for human brain images. *Front.*
Neuroinform. **6**, 1–12 (2012).

75.   Jenkinson, M., Bannister, P., Brady, M. & Smith, S. Improved Optimization for the Robust and
Accurate Linear Registration and Motion Correction of Brain Images. *Neuroimage* **17**, 825–841
(2002).

76.   Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods* **9**,
676–682 (2012).

77.   Heinrich, M. P. *et al.* MIND: Modality independent neighbourhood descriptor for multi-modal
deformable registration. *Med. Image Anal.* **16**, 1423–1435 (2012).

78.   Jobard, B. & Lefer, W. Creating Evenly-Spaced Streamlines of Arbitrary Density. in *Proceedings of*
the Eurographics Workshop in Boulogne-sur-Mer France 43–55 (1997).

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute of Mental

Health (ZIC MH002899), C.R. and R.B.M. were supported by the Wellcome Centre for Integrative

Neuroimaging (Wellcome Trust, 203139/Z/16/Z), and a Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research

Council grant to RBM (BBSRC UK,BB/N019814/1). High resolution MRI data was collected on the 7T Bruker

scanner of Dr. Afonso Silva in NINDS. Scanning of brain sections was carried out in the Systems

Neuroscience Imaging Resource under Dr. Ted Usdin in NIMH. The authors thank David Yu for histology

processing.

Author contributions

704 C.R. Initiated the study; C.R., F.Q.Y, R.B.M and D.A.L. designed research; F.Q.Y. acquired data; C.R.

705 analysed data; D.A.L. and F.Q.Y. refined the analysis strategy; C.R. wrote the initial manuscript draft;

706 D.A.L., F.Q.Y. and R.B.M. edited the manuscript; All authors discussed results.

Competing Interests

708 The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Comparison of dMRI-FA to corresponding myelin histology and MTR MRI data obtained from

the same tissue in the right hemisphere of a marmoset monkey brain. a) Sagittal sections of dMRI-FA

(top), Myelin histology (center) and MTR MRI (bottom). The spatial variation of dMRI-FA in vertical

(laminar) and horizontal direction showed minimal correspondence with the pattern of myelin intensity,

but the variation of the MTR MRI closely mirrored the myelin variation. In some regions of high

myelination, the dMRI-FA was high (red arrow) whereas in others it was low (blue arrow). b) Normalized

average laminar profiles of FA, MTR and myelin intensity through the cortical thickness in the brain's left

hemisphere, derived from cortical parcellation (see **Methods**, **Figure 3**). c) Histogram of correlation

coefficients between MRI variables and histological myelin intensity over the nine sections of coronal

histology used in this study. MTR MRI shows much higher correspondence with myelin intensity than

dMRI-FA does.

Figure 2. Properties of directional MRI and histology data. For comparison with MRI data, the weighted

structure tensor of the myelin and Nissl histology images was computed over a 150μm area (see

Methods). **a**) Orientation data derived from Nissl and myelin histology and from dMRI are similar,

showing columnar, vertically oriented vectors. The overall pattern of orientations in the gray matter is

remarkably similar for the data computed from histology and from dMRI. **b**) Diffusion MRI anisotropy

and histology-derived anisotropy maps. (see **Methods**).

Figure 3. Example correlation of Histology and MRI variables sampled in columnar parcels. Top row:

non-linearly co-registered histology parameter maps and MRI at 75μm resolution. The red trapezoids

indicate a columnar parcel example. Bottom row shows representative scatter plots and Pearson

correlation coefficients between histological variables and MRI variables.

Figure 4. The distribution of correlation coefficients between histological parameters and dMRI-FA over

all 393 parcels of cortex. Each point in the distribution is the Pearson correlation between the variables

sampled in a vertical parcel (see **Methods**). Red dot shows median value, blue line shows mean and

vertical gray bars show lower and upper quartiles. **a)** Correlation of Histological variables to dMRI-FA **b)**

Correlation of MTR to myelin intensity.

Figure 5. Average laminar profiles of FA, Nissl-HA and myelin-HA across all parcels, and the results of a

spatial randomization condition to test the regional specificity of parcel-wise histology to MRI

correlations. The results show Nissl-HA more closely matches the laminar profile of dMRI-FA, and is

more sensitive to shuffling of horizontal MRI locations **a)** Normalized laminar profiles of dMRI-FA,

Myelin-HA and Nissl-HA through the cortical thickness, computed from the average of all columnar

parcels (see **Methods**). WGB indicates the white/gray matter boundary, PIA indicates the pial surface

(see **Methods, Figure 3**) **b)** The change in mean correlation coefficient when the cortical parcels of the

histology parameters and those of the dMRI-FA are shuffled between random horizontal locations. Bars

indicate standard error. The star indicates significance under a paired t-test.

Figure 6. Distribution of correlation coefficients between dMRI or histological anisotropy and directional

diffusivities. Nissl-HA exhibits a profile that is more similar to dMRI-FA than that of myelin-HA. **a)** dMRI-

FA correlates to increases vertical diffusivity (AD) and decreases in horizontal diffusivity (RD). **Top Right**

Nissl histological anisotropy (Nissl-HA) exhibits modest positive correlation to AD and negative

correlation to RD, indicating that Nissl-HA and dMRI are associated with a similar mechanism of diffusion

anisotropy. **Bottom Right** myelin histological anisotropy exhibits no mean correlation to vertical

diffusivity and very strong correlation to horizontal diffusivity, as predicted from previous studies [18]

Figure 7. In granular cortex, layer 4 is identifiable in Nissl histology by many small cell bodies and in

myelin histology by a local decrease in stain intensity. In dMRI data layer 4 is associated with a local

increase in Axial diffusivity (AD) and in MTR data by a band of low intensity. **a)** dMRI, MTR and

histologically derived contrasts. **B) Left** Illustrative detail of the Nissl histology from an ROI of granular

cortex. The internal granule layer is visible as a band of small, round cells. **Middle** the same region in

myelin histology exhibits a gap in myelination. CLAHE contrast enhancement was applied uniformly

across both myelin and Nissl images for display **Right** The highly branched, unmyelinated layer 4

terminals of axons from 8 thalamic afferent cells in rat Barrel cortex, reproduced from[49].