Bioactive exometabolites drive maintenance competition in simple bacterial communities

John L. Chodkowski[1] and Ashley Shade[1,2,3*]

[1]Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

[2]Department of Plant, Soil and Microbial Sciences, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

[3]Program in Ecology, Evolutionary Biology and Behavior, Michigan State University, 10 East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

* Corresponding author and material requests. Email: shadeash@msu.edu; ORCiD: 0000-0002-7189-3067

Abstract

During prolonged resource limitation, bacterial cells can persist in metabolically active states of non-growth. These maintenance periods, such as those experienced by cells in stationary phase cultures, can, perhaps counterintuitively, include upregulation of cellular secondary metabolism and release of exometabolites into the local environment, at the cost of an energetic commitment to growth. As resource limitation is a characteristic feature of many habitats that harbor environmental microbial communities, we hypothesized that neighboring bacterial populations employ exometabolites to compete or cooperate during maintenance, and that these

exometabolite-facilitated interactions can drive community outcomes. Here, we

evaluated the consequences of exometabolite interactions over stationary phase among

three well-known environmental bacterial strains: *Burkholderia thailandensis* E264

(ATCC 700388), *Chromobacterium violaceum* ATCC 31532, and *Pseudomonas*

syringae pv.*tomato* DC3000 (ATCC BAA-871). We assembled these stains into

laboratory-scale synthetic communities that only permitted chemical interactions among

them. We compared the responses (transcripts) and behaviors (exometabolites) of each

member with and without neighbors. We found that transcriptional dynamics were

altered in the presence of different neighbors, and that these changes could be

attributed to the production of or response to bioactive exometabolites employed for

competition during maintenance. *B. thailandensis* was especially influential and

competitive within its communities, as it consistently upregulated additional biosynthetic

gene clusters involved in the production of bioactive exometabolites for both exploitative

and interference competition. Additionally, some of these bioactive exometabolites were

upregulated and produced in a non-additive manner in the 3-member community. These

results demonstrate that the active investment in competition during maintenance can

contribute to both bacterial population fitness and community-level outcomes. It also

suggests that the traditional concept of defining competitiveness by growth outcomes

may be too narrow, and that maintenance competition could be an alternative measure.

Introduction

Bacteria interact with other bacteria and their environment within complex, multi-

species communities. Bacterial interactions rely on the ability to sense and respond to

both biotic and abiotic stimuli (Stock et al., 2000; Browning and Busby, 2004). These

stimuli include physical, chemical or molecular cues, and can alter bacterial behaviors

(Pietschke et al., 2017; Garren et al., 2016), and ultimately, can also alter community

functioning (Kato et al., 2005; Steinweg et al., 2013). It is expected that interspecies

interactions play an important role in shaping microbial community dynamics (Aziz et al.,

2015). However, multiple stimuli in the environment make it difficult to disentangle the

separate influences of abiotic versus biotic stimuli on microbial community dynamics

(Orr et al., 2020). Therefore, efforts to characterize and distinguish community

responses to biotic stimuli, such as those that facilitate interspecies interactions, will

provide insights into the specific roles that microbial interactions play in shaping their

communities (Little et al., 2008).

Interspecies interactions can be facilitated through small molecules (Phelan et

al., 2011). Extracellular small molecules are collectively referred to as exometabolites

(Kell et al., 2005; Pinu; Silva and Northen, 2015; Villas-Boas, 2017). Depending on the

exometabolite produced, these molecules can mediate interspecies interactions that

range from competitive to cooperative (Großkopf and Soyer, 2014). Of these interaction

types, competition has been shown to have a major influence in structuring microbial

communities (e.g., Foster and Bell, 2012; Coyte et al., 2015; Hibbing et al. 2010). Thus,

competitive interactions that are mediated by exometabolites are also expected to

influence to microbial community dynamics. In addition, different types of

exometabolites can be employed by bacteria to gain advantage in both exploitative (e.g.

nutrient scavenging) and interference (direct cell damage) categories of competition.

Traditionally, competition has been viewed through the lens of resource

acquisition (Tilman, 1986). In these studies, competitiveness is modeled with respect to

yield given resource consumption and growth (Stewart and Levin, 1973; Smith, 2011).

However, competition for *survival* or *maintenance* may be just as important as

competition for yield, especially during periods of resource limitation (Pekkonen et al.,

2011; Holt, 2008). Competition during maintenance is likely common in some free-living

environments, such as soils, sequencing batch reactors, and the human gut that

experience long periods of nutrient famine punctuated by short periods of nutrient influx

(Schimel, 2018; Chiesa et al., 1985; Fetissov, 2017; Hiltunen et al., 2008). The

stationary phase of a bacterial growth curve falls within this context of growth cessation,

and pulses of nutrients may be transiently available as cells die and lyse (necromass),

while the total population size remains stagnant. Stationary phase is often coordinated

with a metabolic shift to secondary metabolism (Navarro Llorens et al., 2010; Čihák et

al., 2017). Therefore, an effective "maintenance" competitor may produce bioactive

exometabolites, like antibiotics, which are often produced as a result of secondary

metabolism. In particular, bacteria can activate biosynthetic gene clusters (BSGCs) to

produce bioactive exometabolites (Medema et al., 2015). The activation of BSGCs is

closely tied to stress responses, suggesting that bacteria can sense the stress of

competition (Cornforth and Foster, 2013; Okada and Seyedsayamdost, 2017). While it

is known that certain exometabolites can trigger BSGC upregulation and, more

generally alter transcription (Goh et al., 2002), there is much to understand about the

outcomes of interspecies interactions for BSGCs in multi-member microbial

communities.

Here, we build on our previous research to understand how exometabolite-

mediated interactions among bacterial neighbors contribute to community outcomes in a

simple, three-member community (Table 1). These three members are commonly

associated with terrestrial environments (soils or plants) and were chosen because of

reported (Chandler et al., 2012) and observed interspecies exometabolite interactions in

the laboratory. We used a synthetic community ("SynCom") approach (De Roy et al.,

2014) by applying our previously described transwell system (Chodkowski and Shade,

2017), which allowed for evaluation of "community goods" within a media reservoir that

was shared among members. The members' populations were physically isolated by

membrane filters at the bottom of each transwell, but could interact chemically via the

reservoir. In our prior work, we investigated each member's exometabolites and

transcription over stationary phase, and the objective was to understand monoculture

responses (in minimal glucose media) before assembling the more complex 2- and 3-

member communities. We found that each member in monoculture produced a variety

of exometabolites in stationary phase, including bioactive molecules involved in

competition (Chodkowski and Shade, 2020). In this work, we build to 2- and 3- member

arrangements to ask: How do members interact via exometabolites in simple

communities during maintenance (stationary phase), and what are the competitive

strategies and outcomes of those interactions? What genetic pathways, molecules, and

members drive the responses? Which outcomes in 3-member community are predicted

by the 2-member communities, and which are "greater than the sum of its parts"?

111   We found that *B. thailandensis* had a major influence on the transcriptional

112 responses of both *C. violaceum* and *P. syringae*, and that this influence could be

113 attributed to an increase in both interference and exploitative competition strategies.

114 Furthermore, we observed non-additive transcriptional responses and exometabolite

115 production, particularly for *B. thailandensis* with respect to BSGCs. These findings show

116 that diverse competitive strategies can be deployed even when bacterial neighbors are

117 surviving rather than exponentially growing. Therefore, we suggest that contact-

118 independent, exometabolite-mediated interference and exploitation are important

119 competitive strategies in resource-limited environments and support the non-yield

120 outcome of maintenance.

122 Table 1. Bacterial members used in the synthetic community (SynCom) system.

Member	*Burkholderia thailandensis* E264	*Chromobacterium violaceum* ATCC 31532	*Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato DC3000
Genome size (Mb)	6.72	4.76	6.54
Family	*Burkholderiaceae*	*Neisseriaceae*	*Pseudomonadaceae*
No. of CDSs[a]	5639	4393	5576
Chromosomes	2	1	1
Plasmids	0	0	2
Reference	Brett et al., 1998	Wells et al., 1982	Buell et al. 2003

123 [a]CDSs, Coding sequences.

125 <u>Results</u>

Stationary phase dynamics of microbial communities: transcriptional responses

We had four replicate, independent timeseries of each of seven community

arrangements (three monocultures plus four cocultures of every pair and the 3-member

arrangement), and here focus on the coculture analyses to gain insights into community

outcomes. A range of 518 to 1204 genes were differentially expressed by each member

in coculture, irrespective of the identity of neighbors (Fig. S1.1, false discovery rate

adjusted p-value (FDR)≤ 0.01). In addition, each member also had differential gene

expression that was unique to a particular neighbor(s). Summarizing across all

coculture arrangements, 2,712/5639 (48.1%), coding sequences (CDSs), 3267/4393

CDSs (74.4%), and 4974/5576 CDSs (89.2%) genes in *B. thailandensis*, *C. violaceum*,

and *P. syringae* were differentially expressed, respectively (FDR≤0.01). Both community

membership and time contributed to the transcriptional response of each member (Fig.

1, Table S1.1). Together, these data suggest that there are both general and specific

consequences of neighbors for the transcriptional responses of these bacterial

community members.

Figure 1. Transcriptional responses are driven by community membership and time. Shown are principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) plots for *B. thailandensis* (Bt, A), *C. violaceum* (Cv, B), and *P. syringae* (Ps, C). Each point represents a mean transcript profile for a community member given a particular community arrangement (neighbor(s) included, indicated by symbol color) and sampled at a given time point over exponential and stationary growth phases (in hours since inoculation, h, indicated by symbol size, n=3 to 4 replicates per timepoint/community arrangement). The Bray-Curtis distance

metric was used to calculate dissimilarities between transcript profiles. Error bars are 1 standard deviation
around the mean axis scores.

Temporal trajectories in transcript profiles were generally reproducible across

replicates for each member given a particular community arrangement (PROTEST

analyses, Table S1.2). Each member had a distinct transcript profile (0.480 ≤ r2 ≤ 0.778

by Adonis; P value, 0.001; all pairwise false discovery rate [FDR]-adjusted P values,

≤0.068 except for the *C. violaceum* coculture with *B. thailandensis* vs 3-member

comparison, Table S1.3). For all ordinations, community membership had the most

explanatory value (Axis 1), followed by time (Axis 2), with the most variation explained

by the interaction between time and membership (Table S1.1)*.* Membership alone

accounted for 60.6% and 77.0% of the variation explained in *C. violaceum* and *P.*

syringae analyses, respectively and 46.3% in the *B. thailandensis* analysis (Table S1.3).

When included in the community, *B. thailandensis* strongly determined the

transcript profiles of the other two members. For example, the inclusion of *B.*

thailandensis in a coculture differentiated transcript profiles for both *C. violaceum* and *P.*

syringae (Fig. 1B & 1C). Thus, *B. thailandensis* appears to have had a dominating

influence on the transcriptional response of neighbors, and these responses were

dynamic with respect to time.

We analyzed clusters of orthologous groups of proteins (COGs) to infer the

responses of members to their neighbors. Differentially expressed genes were

categorized as upregulated or downregulated based on temporal patterns and

representation in COG groups (Fig. S1.2). We focused on the largest discrepancies

between upregulated and downregulated within COG groups, which provide insights

into broad biological processes affected by exometabolite interactions. COG groups

trending towards upregulation in *B. thailandensis* included secondary metabolites

biosynthesis, transport, and catabolism [Q], signal transduction mechanisms [T], and

cell motility [N] while COG categories trending towards downregulation included cell

cycle control, cell division, chromosome partitioning [D], translation, ribosomal structure

and biogenesis [J], and defense mechanisms [V]. These results suggest that *B.*

thailandensis responds to neighbors via downregulation of growth and reproduction and

upregulation of secondary metabolism. We therefore hypothesized that *B. thailandensis*

was producing bioactive exometabolites against *C. violaceum* and *P. syringae* to

competitively inhibit their growth.

Because of the strong transcript response of *C. violaceum* and *P. syringae* when

neighbored with *B. thailandensis* (Fig. 1B & 1C), we first focused on COG group trends

within community arrangements with *B. thailandensis* (Fig. S1.2B & S1.2C, rows 2 & 3).

COG groups tending towards upregulation in *C. violaceum* and *P. syringae* were

translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis [J] and replication, recombination, and

repair [L], respectively. COG groups tending towards downregulation in *C. violaceum*

and *P. syringae* were signal transduction mechanisms [T] and secondary metabolites

biosynthesis, transport, and catabolism [Q], respectively. These results suggest that the

presence of *B. thailandensis* alters its neighbor's ability to respond to the environment

and inhibits secondary metabolism.

We were interested in understanding patterns of differential gene regulation and

how individual genes contribute to the observed dynamics in Figure 1. The top ~500

differentially expressed genes (see methods: *Circos plots*) were visualized by genomic

location and temporal dynamics (Figs. S1.3-S1.5). These results show that the gene

dynamics for *C. violaceum* and *P. syringae* when grown in the 3-member community

are consistent with each neighbor's gene dynamics when grown only with *B.*

thailandensis (Figs. S1.4 & S1.5, heatmap, outer two tracks vs. inner two tracks).

Interestingly, 68.4% (340 genes) of the top differentially regulated genes in *B.*

thailandensis were located on chromosome II despite chromosome II only accounting

for 44.0% of all coding sequences (2365 coding sequences). In addition, nearly all *P.*

syringae plasmid genes were upregulated when *P. syringae* was neighbored with *B.*

thailandensis. These plasmids were significantly enriched for processes related to DNA

recombination, recombinase activity, and DNA binding/integration (GO enrichment,

Table S1.4).

<u>*Stationary phase dynamics of microbial communities: exometabolomic responses*</u>

Because member populations are physically separated in the SynCom transwell

system but allowed to interact chemically, observed transcript responses in different

community arrangements are inferred to result from exometabolite interactions. Spent

medium from the shared medium reservoir was collected from each transwell plate and

analyzed using mass spectrometry to detect exometabolites. We focused our analysis

on those exometabolites that had maximum accumulation in a coculture community

arrangement (either in pairs or in 3-member community). Consistent with the transcript

analysis, we found that both community membership and time explained the

exometabolite dynamics, and that the explanatory value of membership and time was

maintained across all polarities and ionization modes (Fig. 2, Table S2.1).

Figure 2. Bacterial community exometabolite profiles differ by community membership and time.
Shown are PCoA plots for exometabolite profiles from the following mass spectrometry modes: polar
positive (A), polar negative (B), nonpolar positive (C), and nonpolar negative (D). Each point represents
the mean exometabolite profile (relative contributions by peak area) given a particular community
membership (indicated by symbol color) at a particular time point (indicated by symbol shape). The Bray-
Curtis distance metric was used to calculate dissimilarities between exometabolite profiles. Error bars are

1 standard deviation around the mean axis scores (n= 2 to 4 replicates). Bt is *B. thailandensis*, Cv is *C.*
violaceum, and Ps is *P. syringae*.

Temporal trajectories in exometabolite profiles were generally reproducible across

replicates with some exceptions (PROTEST analyses, Table S2.2, Supplementary File

2.1). Exometabolite profiles were distinct by community membership (0.475 ≤ r2 ≤ 0.662

by Adonis; P value, 0.001; all pairwise false discovery rate [FDR]-adjusted P values,

≤0.025, Table S2.3), and also dynamic over time. As observed for the member

transcript profiles, and the interaction between membership and time had the highest

explanatory value for the exometabolite data (Table S2.1).

We found that the *C. violaceum-P. syringae* coculture exometabolite profiles

were consistently the most distinct from the other coculture memberships (Fig. 2),

supporting, again, that the inclusion of *B. thailandensis* was a major driver of

exometabolite dynamics, possibly because it provided the largest or most distinctive

contributions to the community exometabolite pool. Indeed, we observed that a majority

of the most abundant exometabolites were either detected uniquely in the *B.*

thailandensis monoculture or accumulated substantially in its included community

arrangements (Fig. S2.1). Some exometabolites detected in *B. thailandensis*-inclusive

communities were not detected in its monocultures (Fig. S2.1D), suggesting that the

inclusion of neighbors contributed to the accumulation of these particular

exometabolites (e.g. upregulation of biosynthetic gene clusters or lysis products). *C.*

violaceum and *P. syringae* contributed less to the 3-member community exometabolite

profile, as exometabolites detected in the *C. violaceum-P. syringae* coculture

arrangement were less abundant and had lower accumulation over time in the 3-

member community arrangement (Fig. S2.1A). Together, these results suggest that *B.*

thailandensis can suppress or overwhelm expected outputs from neighbors.

In summary, we observed both increased accumulation and unique production of

exometabolites in pairwise cocultures and in the 3-member community arrangements,

with *B. thailandensis* contributing the most to the shared exometabolite pool as

determined by comparisons with its monoculture exometabolite profile. Related, the

transcriptional responses of *C. violaceum* and *P. syringae* in the 3-member community

arrangement is most similar to their respective transcriptional response when

neighbored with *B. thailandensis* alone, despite the presence of the third neighbor.

B. thailandensis increases competition strategies in the presence of neighbors

We observed relatively unchanged viability in *B. thailandensis* (Fig. S3.1). On the

contrary, we observed a slight reduction (~2.1 log2 fold change) in *C. violaceum* live cell

counts, and a drastic reduction (~4.7 log2 fold change) in *P. syringae* live cell counts,

when either was cocultured with *B. thailandensis* (Figs. S3.2 & S3.3). Given this

reduction in viability and that there have been competitive interactions between *B.*

thailandensis and *C. violaceum* previously reported (Chandler et al., 2012), we

hypothesized that *B thailandensis* was using competition strategies to influence its

neighbors via production of bioactive exometabolites. If true, we would expect an

upregulation in *B. thailandensis* biosynthetic gene clusters (BSGC) that encode

bioactive exometabolites. Indeed, we found evidence of this when *B. thailandensis* had

neighbors (Fig. 3, Table S3.1)*.*

Figure 3. *B. thailandensis* upregulates biosynthetic gene clusters (BSGC) in cocultures. Columns
represent community membership where Bt: *B. thailandensis*, Cv: *C. violaceum*, and Ps: *P. syringae* and
rows represent BSCC in *B. thailandensis*. Genes part of a BSGC were curated from antiSMASH
predictions and literature-based evidence. Within each BSGC, log2 fold-changes (LFC) were calculated
by comparing gene counts from a coculture to the monoculture control at each time point. LFC were then
averaged from all biosynthetic genes in the BSGC at each time point. We defined an upregulated BSGC
as a BSGC that had at least two consecutive stationary phase time points with a LFC > 1 (indicated by
the horizontal line). Note that plots for each BSGC have separate scales for the Y-axis.

This suggests that *B. thailandensis* responded to neighbors by upregulating

genes involved in the production of bioactive compounds, likely to gain a competitive

advantage. However, not all BSGCs in *B. thailandensis* were upregulated. Some

BSGCs were unaltered or downregulated (Fig. S3.4). *C. violaceum* upregulated only 1

BSGC in coculture with *B. thailandensis,* while *P. syringae* did not upregulate any

BSGC in any coculture (Figs. S3.5 & S3.6). Interestingly, coculturing with *C. violaceum*

and *P. syringae* resulted in the upregulation of an unidentified beta-lactone and an

unidentified non-ribosomal peptide synthetase (NRPS) in *B. thailandensis*, respectively.

Similarly, coculturing with *B. thailandensis* resulted in the upregulation on an

unidentified NRPS- Type I polyketide synthase in *C. violaceum*. We also note that two

additional unidentified NRPS passed the LFC threshold of 1 in *C. violaceum*. However,

these were only upregulated at the exponential phase time point and subsequently

downregulated or below the LFC threshold in all stationary phase time points.

Interspecies interactions led to the upregulation of BSGC in both *B. thailandensis* and

C. violaceum and three of these BSGC encode potentially novel bioactive

exometabolites.

We were able to identify 6 of the 11 products from the upregulated *B.*

thailandensis BSGC and quantify their abundances from mass spectrometry data (Fig.

S3.7, Table S3.2). For any given identified exometabolite, it differentially accumulated

between community arrangements containing *B. thailandensis* (Table S3.3), particularly

when comparing the *B. thailandensis* monoculture compared to each coculture

arrangement (Table S3.4). As expected, these identified exometabolites were not

detected in community arrangements that did not include *B. thailandensis*. Bactobolin

was the only identified exometabolite that accumulated in monoculture to equivalent

levels of accumulation in all coculture conditions. Thus, *B. thailandensis* increased

competition strategies with neighbors through the upregulation and production of many

bioactive exometabolites. Of these bioactive exometabolites, three are documented

antimicrobials (Amunts et al., 2015; Kuznedelov et al., 2011; Wozniak et al., 2018), two

are siderophores (Biggins et al., 2012; Butt and Thomas, 2017), and one is a

biosurfactant (Dubeau et al., 2009). We conclude that *B. thailandensis* produced

bioactive exometabolites to competitively interact using both interference and

exploitative competition strategies (Ghoul and Mitri, 2016). Given that *B. thailandensis*

upregulated competition strategies, and responded more broadly in producing

competition-supportive exometabolites when grown with neighbors, we hypothesized

that these bioactive exometabolites are responsible for the altered transcriptional

responses in *C. violaceum* and *P. syringae*.

Interspecies co-transcriptional networks reveal coordinated gene expression related to

competition

We performed interspecies coexpression network analysis to infer interspecies

interactions. We used temporal profiles to generate 23 and 24 coexpression networks

for *B. thailandensis*-*C. violaceum* and *B. thailandensis*-*P. syringae* cocultures,

respectively (Table S4.1). As expected, the majority of nodes network had intraspecies

edges only, with interspecies edges comprising 1.85% and 1.90% of the total edges in

the *B. thailandensis*-*C. violaceum* and *B. thailandensis*-*P. syringae* networks,

respectively. We explored interspecies edges for evidence of interspecies

transcriptional co-regulation.

We performed two analyses (module analysis and GO enrichment) to validate

networks and infer interspecies interactions (Fig. S4.1). Module analysis validated

networks as intraspecies modules enriched for biological processes (Supplementary

File 4.1). To infer interspecies interactions, we filtered genes with interspecies edges

and performed enrichment analysis (Supplementary File 4.2). The top enriched Gene

Ontology (GO) term for *B. thailandensis* when paired with *C. violaceum* was antibiotic

synthesis of thailandamide, supporting interference competition. Though the top

enriched GO term in *B. thailandensis* when paired with *P. syringae* was bacterial-type

flagellum-dependent cell motility, antibiotic synthesis of malleilactone was also enriched.

Both thailandamide genes from the *B. thailandensis-C. violaceum* network (Fig. 4) and

malleilactone genes from the *B. thailandensis-P. syringae* network (Fig. S4.2) formed

near-complete modules within their respective BSGCs.

	B. thailandensis-C. violaceum interspecies network	*B. thailandensis-P. syringae* interspecies network
Bactobolin	✓	✓
Beta-lactone	✓	✗
Capistruin	✓	✓
Malleilactone	✓	✓
Malleobactin	✓	✓
NRPS-1	✗	✓
Pyochelin	✓	✓
Rhamnolipid	✗	✗
Thailandamide	✓	✓

Figure 4. *B. thailandensis* genes involved in thailandamide production are detected as
interspecies edges in the *B. thailandensis-C. violaceum* coexpression network and biosynthetic
genes organize into network modules. A network module containing the thailandamide BSGC is
shown. The network module nodes are color coded by *B. thailandensis* gene type (BSGC or not) and type
of connections (interspecies or not): thailandamide biosynthetic genes that had interspecies edges
(magenta), thailandamide biosynthetic genes that did not have interspecies edges (orange), or other
genes that were not part of the BSGC (yellow); as well as genes that were from *C. violaceum* (blue). The
chromosomal organization of the thailandamide BSGC is shown below the network module. The same
colors are applied to the BSGC operon. The operons also depict genes that were not detected within the
interspecies network, shown in gray. Asterisks indicate core biosynthetic genes in the BSGCs, as
predicted from antiSMASH. The table shows upregulated *B. thailandensis* BSGCs (Fig. 3) and whether
there were interspecies edges detected (check is yes, x is no).

At least one gene from each of *B. thailandensis's* upregulated BSGCs (Fig. 3, Table)

had an interspecies edge, except for rhamnolipid. The top GO term for both *C.*

violaceum and *P. syringae* genes that had edges shared *B. thailandensis* was bacterial-

type flagellum-dependent motility. Other notable enriched GO processes were efflux

activity for *C. violaceum* and signal transduction for *P. syringae*. Specifically, a DNA

starvation/stationary phase gene (CLV04_2968, Fig. 4), *dspA*, was connected within the

thailandamide module of the *B. thailandensis-C. violaceum* network and a TonB-

dependent siderophore receptor gene (PSPTO_1206, Fig. S4.2) was connected within

the malleilactone module of the *B. thailandensis-P. syringae* network (Supplementary

File 4.3). Interestingly, both CLV04_2968 and PSPTO_1206 were differentially

downregulated when cocultured with *B. thailandensis* (Figs. S4.3A & S4.4A,

respectively). Additionally, the closest homolog for *dspA* in *B. thailandensis* was

unaltered (BTH_I1284, Supplementary File 4.4) when cocultured with *C. violaceum* (Fig.

S4.3B) and the closest homolog to the TonB-dependent receptor in *B. thailandensis*

(BTH_I2415, Supplementary File 4.5) was differentially upregulated when cocultured

with *P. syrinage* (Fig. S4.4B). Taken together, these interspecies networks revealed that

B. thailandensis BSGC have coordinated expression patterns to biological process in

both *C. violaceum* and *P. syringae*, suggesting that bioactive exometabolites were

driving their transcriptional responses.

Distinctive member responses when assembled together

376   Within a complex community, there can be outcomes that are not expected

based on interactions that are observed in simpler situations of member pairs (Sanchez-

Gorostiaga et al., 2019; Mickalide et al., 2019; D'hoe et al., 2018). A typical predictive

approach uses the additivity assumption, where the null expectation is that a members'

outcome in more complex communities is a summation of its outcomes in simpler

communities (Momeni et al., 2017). Patterns that deviate from the assumption arise

from biological phenomena that are deemed non-additive. Much emphasis has been

placed on predictions on the additive (or non-additive deviation) nature of growth

outcomes in more complex environments (Foster and Bell, 2012; Pacheco et al., 2021;

Estrela et al., 2021). Here, we evaluated non-additive responses (e.g. transcriptomics)

and behaviors (e.g. exometabolite production). We identified genes with non-additive

upregulation in the 3-member community (Fig. S5.1, Supplementary File 5.1, see

methods: *Non-additive gene expression*). For *B. thailandensis*, most of these genes

consistently had nonadditive upregulation throughout stationary phase. Both *P. syringae*

and *C. violaceum* trended towards transient dynamics of non-additive upregulation,

which tended to occur at a specific timepoint. For each member, there was a stark

contrast in genes with non-additivity between the exponential phase timepoint and all

stationary phase time points. Notably, the top GO enrichments included antibiotic

biosynthesis for *B. thailandensis* and structural constituents of the ribosome for both *C.*

violaceum and *P. syringae* (Supplementary File 5.2). Of the BSGC in *B. thailandensis*,

multiple genes involved in the production of thailandamide, pyochelin, capistruin, and

malleobactin had non-additive upregulation. Since we were able to identify some of

these compounds as features within the mass spectral data, we asked if non-additive

transcriptional activity corresponded to non-additive exometabolite production.

Figure 5. Thailandamide accumulates in a non-additive manner. The accumulation of thailandamide was quantified through time (n = 3-4 integrated peak areas per time point). The bottom and top of the box are the first and third quartiles, respectively, and the line inside the box is the median. The whiskers extend from their respective hinges to the largest value (top), and smallest value (bottom) was no further away than 1.5× the interquartile range. Colors correspond to the community membership for the *B.thailandensis-P. syringae* coculture (yellow, BtPs), the *B. thailandensis-C, violaceum* coculture (magenta, BtCv), the "expected" exometabolite abundance in the 3-member community obtained from additive peak areas from the *B.thailandensis-P. syringae* and *B.thailandensis-C, violaceum* cocultures (orange, BtCv+BtPs), and the 3-member community (black, BtCvPs).

Most exometabolite features did not exceed expectations of additivity, as the average fold change across all time points was ~0.6 (Fig. S5.2). However, we did find that 858 exometabolomic features accumulated in the 3-member community in a non-additive manner (Fig. S5.3), including thailandamide (Fig. 5) and pyochelin (Fig. S5.4), but not capistruin (Fig. S5.5). At the final stationary phase time point (45 h), thailandamide accumulated ~4-fold more and pyochelin accumulated ~3-fold more than the additive expectation. Thailandamide and pyochelin were consistently above 1σ of the average additive fold change for all coculture filtered exometabolites throughout stationary phase (Fig. S5.2, ablines). We combined peak areas from pairwise cocultures to create an "expected" exometabolite abundance over the time course for thailandamide, pyochelin, and capistruin (Figs. 5, S5.4, S5.5, orange). The experimental exometabolite abundance over the time course was significantly different from the "expected" exometabolite abundance for thailandamide, but not for pyochelin and capistruin (repeated measures ANOVA, Table S5.1). When comparing each time point separately for thailandamide, significance was obtained only for the initial time point, and later time points were trending toward significance (Table S5.2).

Discussion

Here, we used a synthetic community system to understand how

exometabolomic interactions determine members responses and behaviors. Our

experiment used a bottom-up approach to compare the seven possible community

arrangements of three members, and their dynamics in member transcripts and

community exometabolites over stationary phase. Differential gene expression across

community arrangements and over time show that the exometabolites released by a

member were sensed and responded to by its neighbors. Furthermore, members'

behaviors in monocultures changed because of coculturing, as evidenced by differential

exometabolite production. *B. thailandensis* evoked the largest transcriptional changes in

C. violaceum and *P. syringae*, and these changes were driven largely by several

increases in *B. thailandensis* competitive strategies. Numerous transcripts and

exometabolite were non-additive in the 3-member community, suggesting that

predictions of members outcomes in more complex communities will not always be a

simple summation of pairwise outcomes. That interactions within a relatively simple

community altered the behavior of each member is important because these kinds of

behavioral changes could, in turn, drive changes in community structure and/or function

in an environmental setting. For example, it was shown that interspecies interactions

more strongly influenced the assembly of *C. elegans* gut communities than host-

associated factors (Ortiz et al., 2021). Therefore, mechanistic and ecological

characterization of interspecies interactions will inform as to the principles that govern

emergent properties of microbial communities.

Overall, competitive interactions predominated in this synthetic community. Our

previous study found that, over stationary phase in monocultures, each member

released and accumulated at least one exometabolite documented to be involved in

either interference or exploitative competition (Chodkowski and Shade, 2020). This

suggests that entry into stationary phase primed members for competitive interactions,

whether there were heterospecific neighbors present. We interpret this strategy of

preemptive aggression to be especially advantageous to *B. thailandensis,* as it

successfully used competitive strategies against both *C. violaceum* and *P. syringae*. *B.*

thailandensis's success was supported by decreased viable *P. syringae* cells when

cocultured with *B. thailandensis*. Though *C. violaceum* viable cell counts were not as

affected directly by the coculture with *B. thailandensis*, *B. thailandensis*-produced

bactobolin (Duerkop et al., 2009) was detected in the shared medium reservoir.

Bactobolin is a bacteriostatic antibiotic previously shown to be bioactive against *C.*

violaceum (Chandler et al., 2012). But, *C. violaceum* can resist bactobolin through

upregulation of an RND-type efflux pump (Evans et al., 2018). This finding also is

supported by our data, as all genes coding for the CdeAB-OprM RND-type efflux

system and the TetR-family transcriptional regulator were upregulated in *C. violaceum*

cocultures with *B. thailandensis* (CLV04_2412-CLV04_2415).

Coculturing can induce secondary metabolism (Pettit, 2009; Netzker et al., 2015;

Zhu et al., 2014) because an exometabolite produced by one microbe can prompt

secondary metabolism in a neighbor (Okada and Seyedsayamdost, 2017). We found

that coculturing led to the upregulation of numerous BSGCs in *B. thailandensis*. These

exometabolites included bactobolin, malleilactone (Biggins et al., 2012; Truong et al.,

2015; siderophore and cytotoxin), malleobactin (Alice et al., 2006; Gupta et al., 2017;

siderophore), capistruin (Knappe et al., 2008; lasso peptide), thailandamide (Ishida et

al., 2010; polyketide), pyochelin (Butt and Thomas, 2017; siderophore), rhamnolipids

(Dubeau et al., 2009; biosurfactants), and two uncharacterized BSGCs encoding

nonribosomal peptide synthetases. Of these exometabolites, bactobolin, capistruin, and

thailandamide have documented antimicrobial activities through translation inhibition

(Amunts et al., 2015), transcription inhibition (Kuznedelov et al., 2011), and inhibition of

fatty acid synthesis (Wozniak et al., 2018), respectively. For those exometabolites we

were able to identify with mass spectrometry, their accumulation in cocultures was

correlated with the upregulation of their BSGCs. Furthermore, up/downregulated

patterns across all *B. thailandensis* BSGCs is consistent with ScmR global regulatory

patterns of secondary metabolism (Mao et al., 2017). Though we were not able to

pinpoint the exact inducers of BSGCs, exometabolites such as antibiotics (Okada et al.,

2016) and primary metabolites (Li et al., 2020) have been documented to induce

secondary metabolism in *B. thailandensis*. *C. violaceum* can inhibit *B. thailandensis*

(Chandler et al., 2012) but we did not observe *B. thailandensis* inhibition based on cell

counts. However, we did find that in stationary phase *C. violaceum-B. thailandensis*

cocultures, *C. violaceum* upregulated an uncharacterized hybrid nonribosomal peptide

synthetase-type I polyketide synthase. *P. syringae* was the least competitive of the

three neighbors, as evidenced by a reduction in live cell counts when cocultured with *B.*

thailandensis. Also, *P. syringae* did not increase competitive strategies when

cocultured, as no BSGCs were consistently upregulated across all coculture conditions.

In summary, though all three neighbors used competitive strategies, *B. thailandensis*

was most successful and displayed continued aggression in cocultures over stationary

phase through increased production of exometabolites involved in interference and

exploitative competition strategies.

Given the upregulation of BSGCs in *B. thailandensis* and the strong

transcriptional responses of *C. violaceum* and *P. syringae* to the presence of *B.*

thailandensis, we hypothesized that competitive exometabolites were contributing to

their community dynamics. Thus, we used a coexpression network analysis with our

longitudinal transcriptome series to infer interspecies interactions (McClure, 2019). This

use of this approach was first demonstrated to infer coregulation between a phototroph-

heterotroph commensal pair (McClure et al., 2018). Our network confirmed that *B.*

thailandensis BSGCs had coordinated gene expression patterns with both *C. violaceum*

and *P. syringae*. Interspecies nodes in both networks contained various genes involved

in the aforementioned upregulated *B. thailandensis* BSGCs. In particular, we focused on

interspecies edges within thailandamide nodes for the *B. thailandensis-C. violaceum*

network and interspecies edges within malleilactone nodes for the *B. thailandensis-P.*

syringae network because these were significantly enriched as interspecies nodes. A *C.*

violaceum gene of interest, CLV04_2968, was contained within the thailandamide

cluster of interspecies nodes. This gene codes for a DNA starvation/stationary phase

protection protein and had the highest homology to the Dps protein in *Escherichia coli*

across all *C. violaceum* protein coding genes. Dps mediates tolerance to multiple

stressors and *dps* knockouts are more susceptible to thermal, oxidative, antibiotic, iron

toxicity, osmotic, and starvation stressors (Karas et al., 2015). Interestingly,

CLV04_2968 was downregulated when cocultured with *B. thailandensis*, suggesting

that *B. thailandensis* attenuates *C. violaceum* stress tolerance over stationary phase.

While we observed a slight decrease in viable *C. violaceum* cells when cocultured with

B. thailandensis, one may expect *C. violaceum* to have increased sensitivity to a

subsequent stress (e.g. pH stress; Nair and Finkel, 2004) resulting from CLV04_2968

downregulation in the presence of *B. thailandensis*.

In the *B. thailandensis*-*P. syringae* coexpression network, a *P. syringae* gene of

interest, PSPTO_1206, was contained within the malleilactone cluster of interspecies

nodes. PSPTO_1206 is annotated as a TonB-dependent siderophore receptor. A *P.*

syringae iron-acquistion receptor had coordinated expression with a malleilactone,

which has been characterized as a siderophore with antimicrobial properties (Biggins et

al., 2012). Interestingly, this gene was downregulated when in coculture with *B.*

thailandensis. In contrast, the closest TonB-dependent siderophore receptor homolog to

PSPTO_1206 in *B. thailandensis*, BTH_I2415, was upregulated in coculture conditions

with *P. syringae*. To summarize, coexpression network analysis reveal coordinated

gene expression patterns that helped to infer that BSGC upregulation likely explains the

influence of *B. thailandensis* on both *C. violaceum* and *P. syringae. B. thailandensis*-

increased competition strategies were coordinated with a potential decrease in

competition strategies in *C. violaceum* via reduced stress tolerance and in *P. syringae*

with reduced iron acquisition ability.

A major goal in microbial ecology is to predict community dynamics for purposes

of modulating and/or maintaining ecosystem function (Antwis et al., 2017, Konopka,

2009). At its core, microbial functional properties emerge, in part, from the concerted

interactions of multi-species assemblages. Predictions can be complicated by non-

additive phenomena that arise in more complex communities. For example, non-

additive phenomena have been documented in gene expression (Cornforth et al., 2014;

Bundy et al., 2002), growth (Pacheco et al., 2021), and fitness (Morin et al., 2018).

Here, we also have documented non-additive responses and behaviors in our system

when combinatorial stimuli were present in the 3-member community. *B. thailandensis*

BSGCs displayed non-additive phenomena, as we are able show that the transcriptional

response of certain BSGCs in the 3-member community was greater than the naive

summation of transcriptional response in pairwise arrangements. Furthermore, non-

additive transcriptional responses resulted in non-additive exometabolite accumulation

(e.g. thailandamide & pyochelin) with exceptions (e.g. capistruin). However, we only

quantified exometabolite accumulation in the shared medium reservoir. Differential

release and uptake of bioactive molecules within the community can complicate tracking

metabolite dynamics in the system. Thus, analyses that incorporate both intracellular

and extracellular metabolomics can provide a more complete understanding of altered

microbial metabolism under different conditions. Regardless, we documented non-

additive accumulation of secondary metabolites involved in both competition strategies.

This finding may have implications for high-order interactions, meaning, the nature of

the interaction between two members may be altered by the addition of a third member

(Billick and Case, 1994). For example, one member could produce sublethal antibiotics

in the presence of an antibiotic-sensitive member. The addition of a third member could

stimulate the antibiotic producer to increase antibiotic production, altering the nature of

the initial interaction from sublethal to lethal for antibiotic-sensitive member (Bernier et

al., 2013). In other words, the nature of interactions may be altered depending on

surrounding stimuli that can exacerbate bacterial behaviors not expected by studying

the system as simpler parts (Mickalide et al., 2019). Therefore, characterizing

transcriptome and metabolome dynamics in microbial communities is expected to

inform how non-additive phenomena arise and how they contribute to deviations in

predictive models of community outcomes.

Our results indicated that each member continued to maintain competitive

strategies despite stagnant population growth. In particular, *B. thailandensis*

upregulated various bioactive exometabolites involved in both interference and

exploitative competition when with neighbors. An effective competitor is often defined as

by its ability to outcompete neighbors via growth advantage that stems from efficient

nutrient uptake and/or biomass conversion rates (Miller et al., 2005; De Jong et al.,

2017). We add to this that a competitor can also have a fitness advantage through

effective maintenance, which can similarly employ interference or exploitative

competitive strategies despite no net growth. Maintenance may ensure survival in some

environments that impose a stationary phase lifestyle, where long periods of nutrient

depletion are punctuated with short periods of nutrient flux. In these scenarios, it

warrants to understand how competitive strategies are deployed in the interim of growth

and the extent to which these interactions contribute to long-term community outcomes.

Though population levels remain constant, sub-populations of growing cells have been

observed in stationary phase (Jõers et al., 2020), and continued production of

competitive exometabolites may serve as an advantageous strategy to hinder growth of

competitors. In addition, some antibiotics remain effective in non-replicating bacteria

(McCall et al., 2019). The ability for continued maintenance via effective competition

strategies during stationary phase may provide spatiotemporal maintenance of

population levels before growth resumption (Chesson, 1983). Thus, we expect that

insights into the long-term consequences of competition for microbial community

outcomes will be gained by considering competition in both active growth and

maintenance scenarios.

Material and Methods

Bacterial strains and culture conditions

Freezer stocks of *B. thailandensis*, *C. violaceum*, and *P. syringae* were plated on

half-concentration Trypticase soy agar (TSA50) at 27°C for at least 24 h. Strains were

inoculated in 7 ml of M9–0.2% glucose medium and grown for 16 h at 27°C, 200 rpm.

Cultures were then back-diluted into 50 ml M9-0.2% glucose medium such that

exponential growth phase was achieved after 10 h of incubation at 27°C, 200 rpm.

Strains were back-diluted in 50 ml M9 glucose medium to target ODs (*B. thailandensis*

0.3 OD, *C. violaceum*: 0.035 OD, *P. syringae* 0.035 OD) such that stationary phase

growth would be achieved by all members within a 2 h time frame after 24 h incubation

in the transwell plate. The glucose concentration in the final back-dilution varied upon

community arrangement- 0.067% for monocultures, 0.13% for pairwise cocultures, and

0.2% for the 3-member community. For each strain, 48 ml of back-diluted culture was

transferred as 4 mL aliquots in 12, 5 mL Falcon tubes prior to transferring them into the

transwell plate.

Synthetic community experiments

Transwell plate preparation was performed as previously described (Chodkowski

and Shade, 2017). Briefly, we used sterile filter plates with 0.22-µm-pore polyvinylidene

difluoride (PVDF) filter bottoms (Millipore MAGVS2210). Prior to use, filter plates were

washed three times with sterile water using a vacuum apparatus (NucleoVac 96

vacuum manifold; Clontech Laboratories). The filter of well H12 was removed with a

sterile pipette tip and tweezer, and 31 ml of M9 glucose medium was added to the

reservoir through well H12. The glucose concentration in the reservoir varied upon

community arrangement- 0.067% for monocultures, 0.13% for pairwise cocultures, and

0.2% for the 3-member community. Each well was then filled with 130 µL of culture or

medium. For each plate, a custom R script (RandomArray.R [see script at

https://github.com/ShadeLab/PAPER_Chodkowski_mSystems_2017/blob/master/R_an

alysis/RandomArray.R]) was used to randomize community member placement in the

wells so that each member occupied a total of 31 wells per plate. In total, there were 7

community conditions- 3 monocultures, 3 pairwise cocultures, and the 3-member

community. A time course was performed for each replicate. The time course included

an exponential phase time point (12.5 h) and 5 time points assessed every 5 h over

stationary phase (25 h – 45 h). Four biological replicates were performed for each

community condition for a total of 28 experiments. For each experiment, 6 replicate filter

plates were prepared for destructive sampling for a total of 168 transwell plates.

Filter plates were incubated at 27°C with gentle shaking (~0.32 rcf). For each

plate, a custom R script (RandomArray.R [see script at

https://github.com/ShadeLab/PAPER_Chodkowski_mSystems_2017/blob/master/R_an

alysis/RandomArray.R]) was used to randomize wells for each organism assigned to

RNA extraction (16 wells) and flow cytometry (5 wells). The following procedure was

performed for each organism when a transwell plate was destructively sampled: i) wells

containing spent culture assigned to RNA extraction were pooled into a 1.5 mL

microcentrifuge tube and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 until further

processing. ii) 20 µL from wells assigned for flow cytometry were diluted into 180 µL

Tris-buffered saline (TBS; 20 mM Tris, 0.8% NaCl [pH 7.4]). In plate arrangements

where *P. syringae* was arrayed with *B. thailandensis*, *P. syringae* had a final dilution of

70-fold in TBS. In plate arrangements where *P. syringae* was arrayed in monoculture or

in coculture with *C. violaceum*, *P. syringae* had a final dilution of 900-fold in TBS. Final

dilutions for *B. thailandensis* and *C. violaceum* were 1,300-fold and 1,540-fold,

respectively. iii) Spent medium (~31 ml) from the shared reservoir was transferred to 50

mL conical tubes, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C prior to metabolite

extraction.

Flow cytometry

Diluted cultures were stained with the Thermo Scientific LIVE/DEAD BacLight

bacterial viability kit at final concentrations of 1.5 µM Syto9 (live stain) and 2.5 µM

propidium iodide (dead stain). Two hundred microliters of stained cultures were

transferred to a 96-well microtiter U-bottom microplate (Thermo Scientific). Twenty

microliters of sample were analyzed on a BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer (BD

Biosciences) at a fluidics rate of 66 µl/min and a threshold of 500 on an FL2 gate. The

instrument contained the following optical filters: FL1-533, 30 nm; FL2-585, 40 nm; and

FL3, 670-nm longpass. Data were analyzed using BD Accuri C6 software version

1.0.264.21 (BD Biosciences).

RNA-seq

RNA extraction

RNA was extracted using the E.Z.N.A. Bacterial RNA kit (Omega Bio-tek, Inc.). An in-

tube DNase I (Ambion, Inc AM2222, 2U) digestion was performed to remove DNA from RNA

samples. RNA samples were purified and concentrated using the Qiagen RNAeasy MinElute

Clean up Kit (Qiagen, Inc). Ten random samples were chosen to assess RNA integrity on an

Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer.

RNA sample prep, sequencing, QC, read preprocessing, and filtering

Standard operating protocols were performed at the Department of Energy Joint

Genome Institute as previously described (Chodkowski and Shade, 2020).

Pseudoalignment and counting

Reads from each library with pseudoaligned to the transcriptome of each strain

with kallisto (Bray et al., 2016). Raw counts from each library were combined into a

gene count matrix for each strain. The gene count matrix was used for downstream

analyses.

Transcriptomics

Quality filtering and differential gene expression analysis

Count matrices for each member were quality filtered in two steps: genes

containing 0 counts in all samples were removed, and genes with a transcript count of

≤10 in more than 90% of samples were removed. DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014) was used to

extract size factor and dispersion estimates. These estimates were used as external

input into ImpulseDE2 for the analysis of differentially regulated genes (Fischer et al.,

2018). Case-control (Cocultures-monoculture control) analyses were analyzed to

identify both permanent and transient regulated genes at an FDR-corrected threshold of

0.01. For each member, differences in gene regulation between the three coculture

conditions was visualized with venn diagrams using the VennDiagram package.

The initial differential gene expression analysis compared each coculture to the

monoculture control, separately. It was revealed that were was a subset of genes that

were only differentially expressed in the 3-member community. These genes were

parsed from the dataset and used to perform two additional analyses in ImpulseDE2.

Case-control analyses were performed to analyze differential expression between the 3-

member community to each of the pairwise cocultures as controls. Functional

enrichment analysis was performed on the collection of genes determined to have

unique differential expression in the 3-member community using the BiNGO package in

Cytoscape (Maere et al., 2005).

COG analysis

Protein fasta files were downloaded from NCBI and uploaded to eggNOG-

mapper v2 (http://eggnog-mapper.embl.de/) to obtain Clusters of Orthologous Groups

(COG) categories. Genes corresponding to COGs were categorized as upregulated or

downregulated based on their temporal expression patterns and plotted using ggplot2.

Principal coordinates analysis and statistics

We extracted genes that were differentially expressed with an FDR-corrected

threshold of < 0.01 and a log2 fold-change >1 or < -1. A variance-stabilizing

transformation was performed on normalized gene matrices using the rlog function in

DESeq2. A distance matrix based on the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity metric was then

calculated on the variance-stabilized gene matrices and principal coordinates analysis

was performed using the R package vegan. Principal coordinates were plotted using

ggplot2. Coordinates of the first two PCoA axes were used to perform Protest analysis

using the protest function in vegan. Dissimilarity matrices were used to perform

PERMANOVA and variation partitioning and using the adonis and varpart functions in

vegan, respectively. The RVAideMemoire package was used to perform a post-hoc

pairwise PERMANOVAs.

Circos plots

A subset of the top ~500 of differentially regulated genes was determined for

each member by assessing differential expression in the 3-member community. A log2

fold-change (LFC) cutoff of at least > 1.5 or < -1.5 was used to capture both upregulated

and downregulated genes. The LFC threshold needed to be met in at least one

timepoint. We then filtered these genes by those that had differential expression with a

significance cutoff of < 0.01 (FDR corrected). *P. syringae* genes encoded on plasmids

were an exception. We extracted all coding sequence genes from both plasmids

because the entirety of each plasmid could be visualized on a Circos plot with high

resolution. From these genes of interest, a variance-stabilizing transformation was

performed on normalized gene matrices using the rlog function in DESeq2 and Z-scored

on a per gene basis. Circular genome plots were generated in Circos version 0.69-5.

Pseudo-genes composed of the minimum and maximum Z-scores were added to each

of four tracks to make the Z-score color scale comparable across all community

arrangements. Functional enrichment analysis was performed on the collection of genes

within each *P. syringae* plasmid using the BiNGO package in Cytoscape.

Biosynthetic gene cluster (BSGC) analysis

NCBI accession numbers were uploaded to antiSMASH 6 beta bacterial version

(Blin et al., 2021) to identify genes involved in BSGCs using default parameters. Where

possible, literature-based evidence and BSGCs uploaded to MIBiG (Kautsar et al.,

2020) were used to better inform antiSMASH predictions. Log2 fold-changes (LFCs)

were calculated for all predicted biosynthetic genes within each predicted cluster by

comparing coculture expression to monoculture expression at each time point. Average

LFCs were calculated from all predicted biosynthetic genes within a predicted BSGC at

each time point. Temporal LFC trends were plotted using ggplot2. An upregulated

BSGC was defined as a BSGC that had at least two consecutive time points in

stationary phase with a LFC > 1.

Network analysis

Unweighted co-expression networks were created from quality filtered and

normalized expression data. Networks were generated for pairwise cocultures

containing *B. thailandensis*. First, data were quality filtered as previously described

(See section: *Quality filtering and differential gene expression analysis*). Then,

normalized expression data was extracted from DESeq2. Biological replicates for

each member within each timepoint were averaged by mean. Interspecies networks

were then inferred from the expression data using the context likelihood of relatedness

(Faith et al., 2007) algorithm within the R package Minet (Meyer et al., 2008). Gene

matrices for each coculture pair were concatenated to perform the following analysis.

Briefly, the mutual information coefficient was determined for each gene-pair. To ensure

robust detection of co-expressed genes, a resampling approach was used as previously

described (McClure et al., 2016). Then, a Z-score was computed on the mutual

information matrix. A Z-score threshold of 4.5 was used to determine an edge in the

interspecies network. Interspecies networks were uploaded into Cytoscape version

3.7.1. for visualization, topological analysis, and enrichment analysis (Shannon et al.,

2003).

Gene annotation and gene ontology files were obtained for *B. thailandensis*, *P.*

syringae, and *C. violaceum* for enrichment analyses. For *B. thailandensis,* annotation

and ontology files were downloaded from the Burkholderia Genome Database

(https://www.burkholderia.com). For *P. syringae*, annotation and ontology files were

downloaded from the Pseudomonas Genome Database

(http://www.pseudomonas.com/strain/download). Annotation and ontology files for *C.*

violaceum were generated using Blast2GO version 5.2.5 (Götz et al., 2008).

InterProScan (Zbobnov and Apweiler, 2001) with default parameters were used to

complement gene annotations from *C. violaceum*. GO terms were assigned using

Blast2GO with default parameters. In addition, genes involved in secondary metabolism

were manually curated and added to these files as individual GO terms. These genes

were also used to update the GO term GO**:**0017000 (antibiotic biosynthetic process),

composed of a collection of all the biosynthetic genes. (See section: *Biosynthetic gene*

*cluster analysi*s).

Topological analysis was performed as follows: Nodes were filtered from each

coculture network to only select genes from one member. The GLay community cluster

function in Cytoscape was used to determine intra-member modules. Functional

enrichment analysis was then performed on the modules using the BiNGO package in

Cytoscape.

To determine interspecies co-regulation patterns, we filtered network nodes that

contained an interspecies edge. Functional enrichment analysis was performed on the

collection of genes containing interspecies edges for each member using the BiNGO

package in Cytoscape. Modules of interest (e.g. thailandamide and malleilactone) were

filtered in Cytoscape for visualization. The biosynthetic gene cluster organization of

thailandamide and malleilactone were obtained from MIbig and drawn in InkScape.

Protein sequences from an interspecies gene of interest from the thailandamide

module cluster (CLV_2968) and an interspecies gene of interest from the malleilactone

module cluster (PSPTO_1206) were obtained. A protein blast for each protein was run

against *B. thailandensis* protein sequences. *B. thailandensis* locus tags were extracted

from the top blast hit from each run. Normalized transcript counts for these 4 genes of

interest were plotted in R. Time course gene trajectories were determined using a loess

smoothing function.

Non-additive gene expression

The quality filtered and normalized expression matrix from each community

member was used to extract log2 fold-change (LFC) values within DESeq2. LFCs were

calculated by comparing transcript counts from each time point to its respective time

point in the monoculture condition. LFCs were converted to fold-changes (FC). Non-

additive outcomes were determined based on the null expectation that FCs in the 3-

member community should reflect a summation of FCs from the pairwise cocultures.

The thresholds for assigning a gene as non-additive upregulation was as follows:

(TM/MC)/(PWC1/MC+PWC2/MC) >1.2

Where TM are the gene counts in the 3-member community, MC are the gene counts in

the monoculture condition, and PWC1/PWC2 are the gene counts in the pairwise

coculture conditions 1 & 2, respectively. Non-additive gene expression patterns were

determined at each time point and plotted using ggplot2. Venn diagrams were also

created to analyze the patterns of non-additivity across the time series and between the

exponential phase time point and stationary phase times points. Functional enrichment

analysis was performed on the collection of genes determined to have non-additive

upregulation using the BiNGO package in Cytoscape.

Metabolomics

LCMS sample preparation and *data acquisition*

Standard operating protocols were performed at the Department of Energy Joint

Genome Institute as previously described (Chodkowski and Shade, 2020).

Feature detection

MZmine2 (Pluskal et al., 2010) was used for feature detection and peak area

integration as previously described (Chodkowski and Shade, 2017). Select

exometabolites were identified in MZmine2 by manual observation of both MS and

MS/MS data. We extracted quantities of these identified exometabolites for ANOVA and

Tukey HSD post-hoc analysis in R.

Feature filtering and HM visualization

We filtered features in three steps to identify coculture-accumulated

exometabolites. The feature-filtering steps were performed as follows on a per-member

basis: (i) retain features where the maximum peak area abundance occurred in a

coculture community arrangement ; (ii) a noise filter, the minimum peak area of a

feature from a replicate at any time point needed to be 3 times the maximum peak area

of the same feature in one of the external control replicates, was applied; (iii) coefficient

of variation (CV) values for each feature calculated between replicates at each time

point needed to be less than 20% across the time series.

Four final feature data sets from polar and nonpolar analyses in both ionization modes

were analyzed in MetaboAnalyst 5.0 (Pang et al., 2021). Features were normalized by

an ITSD reference feature (see Dataset 5

at https://github.com/ShadeLab/Paper_Chodkowski_MonocultureExometabolites_2020/t

ree/master/Datasets) and cube root transformed. Reference features for polar analyses

in positive ([^{13}C,^{15}N]proline) and negative ([^{13}C,^{15}N]alanine) modes were determined by

the ITSD with the lowest CV value across all samples. The reference feature for

nonpolar data sets was the ITSD ABMBA. Heat maps were generated in MetaboAnalyst

using Ward's clustering algorithm with Euclidean distances from Z-scored data. Data for

each sample are the averages from independent time point replicates (n = 2 to 4).

Normalized and transformed data sets were exported from MetaboAnalyst to generate

principal-coordinate analysis (PCoA) plots in R.

Principal coordinates analysis and statistics

A distance matrix based on the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity metric was used to

calculate dissimilarities between exometabolite profiles. Principal coordinates analysis

was performed using the R package vegan. Principal coordinates were plotted using

ggplot2. Coordinates of the first two PCoA axes were used to perform Protest analysis

using the protest function in vegan. Dissimilarity matrices were used to perform

PERMANOVA and variation partitioning and using the adonis and varpart functions in

vegan, respectively. The RVAideMemoire package was used to perform a post-hoc

pairwise PERMANOVAs. Monoculture community arrangements were removed to focus

on coculture trends.

Non-additive metabolomics production

All reference standard normalized, filtered features (across both polarities and

ionization modes) were combined. Non-additive outcomes were determined based on

the null expectation that fold-changes (FC) in the 3-member community should reflect a

summation of FCs from the pairwise cocultures. The thresholds for assigning a

metabolomic feature as non-additive production was as follows:

(TM)/(PWC1 + PWC2 + PWC3) > 1.5

Where TM is the peak area abundance in the 3-member community, and

PWC1/PWC2/PWC2 are the peak area abundance in the pairwise coculture conditions

1,2, and 3, respectively. FCs were determined at each time point. A feature was

determined to be produced in the 3-member community in a non-additive manner if it

was above the FC threshold at least 3 times during stationary phase. At each time point,

a Z-scored distribution of FCs was plotted in R. Z-scores were extracted from

exometabolite of interest (thailandamide, pyochelin, and capistruin) to plot as vertical

ablines on the Z-scored distributions. In addition, the non-additive features were

extracted from the dataset to generate a heat map in MetaboAnalyst. Ward's clustering

algorithm was used with Euclidean distances from Z-scored data. Data for each sample

are the averages from independent time point replicates (n = 2 to 4).

To find statistical support for non-additive exometabolite production in the 3-

member community, we created an "expected" time course abundance for identified

exometabolites that had non-additive upregulation (thailandamide, pyochelin, and

capistruin). The "expected" time course abundance was determined by calculating the

summation of peak abundances across pairwise cocultures with *B. thailandensis*. Due

to the issue of replicate independence for each time course, the standard deviation

would vary depending on what replicates were combined. As a conservative approach,

we permuted all possible pairwise coculture combinations and used the replicate

combination that resulted in the highest standard deviation. The time course peak

abundance of thailandamide, pyochelin, and capistruin from pairwise cocultures with *B.*

thailandensis, from the 3-member community, and from the "expected" 3-member

community were plotted in ggplot2. We then ran a repeated measures permutation

ANOVA to compare the time course peak abundance of thailandamide, pyochelin, and

capistruin observed in the 3-member community to the "expected" 3-member

community. A post-hoc pairwise t-test was performed to observe which time points were

statistically significant. We note each iteration of repeated measures ANOVA would

result in different statistical result. This was because the replicate identifiers for the

"expected" time course were arbitrarily assigned. For this reason, we only considered a

test significant if it was consistently below a P threshold of 0.05 across 10 iterations of

the code.

Code availability

Computing code, workflows, and data sets are available at

[https://github.com/ShadeLab/Paper_Chodkowski_3member_SynCom_2021]. R

packages used during computing analyses included DEseq2 (Love et al., 2014),

ImpulseDE2 (Fischer et al., 2018), Minet (Meyer et al., 2008), vegan 2.5-4 (Oksanen et

al., 2019), ggplot2 (Wickham, 2016), VennDiagram (Chen, 2018), RVAideMemoire

(Herve, 2020), rtracklayer (Lawrence et al., 2009), viridis (Garnier et al., 2021), and

helper functions (Wickham, 2007, Wickham, 2019; Wickham et al., 2019A; Wickham et

al., 2019B).

Data availability

Genomes for *B. thailandensis*, *C. violaceum*, and *P. syringae* are available at the

National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) under accession

numbers NC_007651 (Chromosome I)/NC_007650 (Chromosome

II), NZ_PKBZ01000001, and NC_004578 (Chromosome)/NC_004633 (Plasmid

A)/NC_004632 (Plasmid B), respectively. An improved annotated draft genome of *C.*

violaceum is available under NCBI BioProject accession

number PRJNA402426 (GenBank accession number PKBZ00000000). Data for

resequencing efforts for *B. thailandensis* and *P. syringae* are under NCBI BioProject

accession numbers PRJNA402425 and PRJNA402424, respectively. Metabolomics

data and transcriptomics data are also available at the JGI Genome Portal (Nordberg et

al., 2014) under JGI proposal identifier 502921. MZmine XML parameter files for all

analyses can be viewed at and downloaded from GitHub (see Dataset 7

at https://github.com/ShadeLab/Paper_Chodkowski_MonocultureExometabolites_2020/t

ree/master/Datasets). Large data files (e.g., MZmine project files) are available upon

request. Supplementary files are also available on GitHub

(https://github.com/ShadeLab/Paper_Chodkowski_3member_SynCom_2021/tree/maste

r/Supplemental_Files).

Acknowledgements

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under

grant DEB 1749544 and by Michigan State University. In addition, metabolite analysis

and transcript sequencing were provided by a DOE-JGI Community Science Program

award (proposal identifier 502921). The work conducted by the U.S. Department of

Energy Joint Genome Institute, a DOE Office of Science User Facility, is supported

under contract number DE-AC02-05CH11231. J.L.C. was supported by the Eleanor L.

Gilmore Fellowship from the Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics.

We thank Katherine B. Louie and Benjamin P. Bowen for support in mass spectral

analysis.

Competing Interests

953 We declare no competing interests.

Author contributions

J.L.C. and A.S. conceived of and designed the study. J.L.C. performed the research

and analyses. J.L.C. and A.S. wrote the manuscript.

References

Alice, A. F., López, C. S., Lowe, C. A., Ledesma, M. A., & Crosa, J. H. (2006). Genetic and transcriptional analysis of the siderophore malleobactin biosynthesis and transport genes in the human pathogen Burkholderia pseudomallei K96243. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *188*(4), 1551–1566. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.188.4.1551-1566.2006

Amunts, A., Fiedorczuk, K., Truong, T. T., Chandler, J., Peter Greenberg, E., & Ramakrishnan, V. (2015). Bactobolin A binds to a site on the 70S ribosome distinct from previously seen antibiotics. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, *427*(4), 753–755. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2014.12.018

Antwis, R. E., Griffiths, S. M., Harrison, X. A., Aranega-Bou, P., Arce, A., Bettridge, A. S., … Sutherland, W. J. (2017). Fifty important research questions in microbial ecology. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, *93*(5), fix044. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fix044

Aziz, F. A. A., Suzuki, K., Ohtaki, A., Sagegami, K., Hirai, H., Seno, J., … Futamata, H. (2015). Interspecies interactions are an integral determinant of microbial community dynamics. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *6*, 1148. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01148

Bernier, S. P., & Surette, M. G. (2013). Concentration-dependent activity of antibiotics in natural environments. Frontiers in Microbiology, 4, 20. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00020

Biggins, J. B., Ternei, M. A., & Brady, S. F. (2012). Malleilactone, a polyketide synthase-derived virulence factor encoded by the cryptic secondary metabolome of Burkholderia pseudomallei group pathogens. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, *134*(32), 13192–13195. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja3052156

Billick, I., & Case, T. J. (1994). Higher order interactions in ecological communities: What are they and how can they be detected? *Ecology*, *75*(6), 1529–1543. https://doi.org/10.2307/1939614

Blin, K., Shaw, S., Kloosterman, A. M., Charlop-Powers, Z., van Wezel, G. P., Medema, M. H., & Weber, T. (2021). antiSMASH 6.0: improving cluster detection and comparison capabilities. Nucleic Acids Research, 49(W1), W29–W35. https://doi.org/10.1093/NAR/GKAB335

Bray, N. L., Pimentel, H., Melsted, P., & Pachter, L. (2016). Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq quantification. *Nature Biotechnology*, *34*, 525–527. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3519

Brett, P. J., DeShazer, D., & Woods, D. E. (1998). Burkholderia thailandensis sp. nov., a Burkholderia pseudomallei-like species. International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology, 48 Pt 1(1), 317–320. https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-48-1-317

Browning, D. F., & Busby, S. J. W. (2004). The regulation of bacterial transcription initiation. *Nature*
Reviews Microbiology, *2*(1), 57–65. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro787

Buell, C. R., Joardar, V., Lindeberg, M., Selengut, J., Paulsen, I. T., Gwinn, M. L., … Collmer, A. (2003).
The complete genome sequence of the Arabidopsis and tomato pathogen Pseudomonas syringae
pv. tomato DC3000. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 100(18), 10181–10186.
https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.1731982100

Bundy, B. M., Collier, L. S., Hoover, T. R., & Neidle, E. L. (2002). Synergistic transcriptional activation by
one regulatory protein in response to two metabolites. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
Sciences of the United States of America, *99*(11), 7693–7698.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.102605799

Butt, A. T., & Thomas, M. S. (2017). Iron acquisition mechanisms and their role in the virulence of
Burkholderia species. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*, *7*, 460.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2017.00460

Chandler, J. R., Heilmann, S., Mittler, J. E., & Greenberg, E. P. (2012). Acyl-homoserine lactone-
dependent eavesdropping promotes competition in a laboratory co-culture model. *ISME Journal*,
6(12), 2219–2228. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.69

Chen, H. (2018). VennDiagram: Generate High-Resolution Venn and Euler Plots. R package version
1.6.20.

Chesson, P. L. (1983). Coexistence of Competitors in a Stochastic Environment: The Storage
Effect. *Lecture Notes in Biomathematics*, *52*, 188–198. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-
87893-0_25

Chiesa, S. C., Irvine, R. L., & Manning, J. F. (1985). Feast/famine growth environments and activated
sludge population selection. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, *27*(5), 562–568.
https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.260270503

Chodkowski, J. L., & Shade, A. (2020). Exometabolite Dynamics over Stationary Phase Reveal Strain-
Specific Responses. *MSystems*, *5*(6), e00493-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems.00493-20

Chodkowski, J. L., & Shade, A. (2017). A Synthetic Community System for Probing Microbial Interactions
Driven by Exometabolites. *MSystems*, *2*(6), e00129-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems.00129-17

Čihák, M., Kameník, Z., Šmídová, K., Bergman, N., Benada, O., Kofronová, O., … Bobek, J. (2017).
Secondary metabolites produced during the germination of Streptomyces coelicolor. *Frontiers in*
Microbiology, *8*, 2495. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02495

Cornforth, D. M., & Foster, K. R. (2013). Competition sensing: The social side of bacterial stress
responses. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, *11*(4), 285–293. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2977

Cornforth, D. M., Popat, R., McNally, L., Gurney, J., Scott-Phillips, T. C., Ivens, A., … Brown, S. P.
(2014). Combinatorial quorum sensing allows bacteria to resolve their social and physical
environment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*,
111(11), 4280–4284. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1319175111

Coyte, K. Z., Schluter, J., & Foster, K. R. (2015). The ecology of the microbiome: Networks, competition,
and stability. *Science*, *350*(6261), 663–666. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad2602

D'hoe, K., Vet, S., Faust, K., Moens, F., Falony, G., Gonze, D., … Raes, J. (2018). Integrated culturing,
modeling and transcriptomics uncovers complex interactions and emergent behavior in a three-
species synthetic gut community. *ELife*, *7*, e37090. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37090

De Jong, H., Casagranda, S., Giordano, N., Cinquemani, E., Ropers, D., Geiselmann, J., & Gouzé, J. L.
(2017). Mathematical modelling of microbes: Metabolism, gene expression and growth. *Journal of*
the Royal Society Interface, *14*(136), 20170502. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2017.0502

De Roy, K., Marzorati, M., Van den Abbeele, P., Van de Wiele, T., & Boon, N. (2014). Synthetic microbial
ecosystems: an exciting tool to understand and apply microbial communities. *Environmental*
Microbiology, *16*(6), 1472–1481. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12343

Dubeau, D., Déziel, E., Woods, D. E., & Lépine, F. (2009). Burkholderia thailandensis harbors two
identical rhl gene clusters responsible for the biosynthesis of rhamnolipids. *BMC Microbiology*, *9*(1),
1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-9-263

Duerkop, B. A., Varga, J., Chandler, J. R., Peterson, S. B., Herman, J. P., Churchill, M. E. A., …
Greenberg, E. P. (2009). Quorum-sensing control of antibiotic synthesis in Burkholderia
thailandensis. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *191*(12), 3909–3918. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00200-09

Estrela, S., Sanchez-Gorostiaga, A., Vila, J. C. C., & Sanchez, A. (2021). Nutrient dominance governs the
assembly of microbial communities in mixed nutrient environments. *ELife*, *10*, e65948.
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.65948

Evans, K. C., Benomar, S., Camuy-Vélez, L. A., Nasseri, E. B., Wang, X., Neuenswander, B., & Chandler,
J. R. (2018). Quorum-sensing control of antibiotic resistance stabilizes cooperation in
Chromobacterium violaceum. *ISME J*, *12*(5), 1263–1272. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0047-
7

Faith, J. J., Hayete, B., Thaden, J. T., Mogno, I., Wierzbowski, J., Cottarel, G., … Gardner, T. S. (2007).
Large-scale mapping and validation of Escherichia coli transcriptional regulation from a
compendium of expression profiles. *PLoS Biology*, *5*(1), e8.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0050008

Fetissov, S. O. (2017). Role of the gut microbiota in host appetite control: Bacterial growth to animal
feeding behaviour. *Nature Reviews Endocrinology*, *13*(1), 11–25.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2016.150

Fischer, D. S., Theis, F. J., & Yosef, N. (2018). Impulse model-based differential expression analysis of
time course sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *46*(20), 119.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky675

Foster, K. R., & Bell, T. (2012). Competition, not cooperation, dominates interactions among culturable
microbial species. *Current Biology*, *22*(19), 1845–1850. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.08.005

Garnier, S., Ross, N., Rudis, R., Camargo, P. A., Sciaini, M., & Scherer, C. (2021). viridis - Colorblind-
Friendly Color Maps for R, *R package*, https://sjmgarnier.github.io/viridis/.
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4679424

Garren, M., Son, K., Tout, J., Seymour, J. R., & Stocker, R. (2016). Temperature-induced behavioral
switches in a bacterial coral pathogen. *ISME Journal*, *10*(6), 1363–1372.
https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2015.216

Ghoul, M., & Mitri, S. (2016). The Ecology and Evolution of Microbial Competition. *Trends in Microbiology*,
24(10), 833–845. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2016.06.011

Goh, E. B., Yim, G., Tsui, W., McClure, J. A., Surette, M. G., & Davies, J. (2002). Transcriptional
modulation of bacterial gene expression by subinhibitory concentrations of antibiotics. *Proceedings*
of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, *99*(26), 17025–17030.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.252607699

Götz, S., García-Gómez, J. M., Terol, J., Williams, T. D., Nagaraj, S. H., Nueda, M. J., … Conesa, A.
(2008). High-throughput functional annotation and data mining with the Blast2GO suite. *Nucleic*
Acids Research, *36*(10), 3420–3435. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn176

Großkopf, T., & Soyer, O. S. (2014). Synthetic microbial communities. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*,
18(1), 72–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2014.02.002

Gupta, A., Bedre, R., Thapa, S. S., Sabrin, A., Wang, G., Dassanayake, M., & Grove, A. (2017). Global
Awakening of Cryptic Biosynthetic Gene Clusters in Burkholderia thailandensis. *ACS Chemical*
Biology, *12*(12), 3012–3021. https://doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.7b00681

Herve M. (2020). RVAideMemoire: testing and plotting procedures for biostatistics. R Packag version 09-
77.

Hibbing, M. E., Fuqua, C., Parsek, M. R., & Peterson, S. B. (2010). Bacterial competition: Surviving and
thriving in the microbial jungle. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, *8*(1), 15–25.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2259

Hiltunen, T., Laakso, J., Kaitala, V., Suomalainen, L. R., & Pekkonen, M. (2008). Temporal variability in
detritus resource maintains diversity of bacterial communities. *Acta Oecologica*, *33*(3), 291–299.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actao.2007.12.002

Holt, R. D. (2008). Theoretical perspectives on resource pulses. *Ecology*, *89*(3), 671–681.
https://doi.org/10.1890/07-0348.1

Ishida, K., Lincke, T., Behnken, S., & Hertweck, C. (2010). Induced biosynthesis of cryptic polyketide
metabolites in a Burkholderia thailandensis quorum sensing mutant. *Journal of the American*
Chemical Society, *132*(40), 13966–13968. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja105003g

Jõers, A., Liske, E., & Tenson, T. (2020). Dividing subpopulation of Escherichia coli in stationary phase.
Research in Microbiology, *171*(3–4), 153–157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2020.02.002

Karas, V. O., Westerlaken, I., & Meyer, A. S. (2015). The DNA-binding protein from starved cells (Dps)
utilizes dual functions to defend cells against multiple stresses. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *197*(19),
3206–3215. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00475-15

Kato, S., Haruta, S., Cui, Z. J., Ishii, M., & Igarashi, Y. (2005). Stable coexistence of five bacterial strains
as a cellulose-degrading community. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 71*(11), 7099–7106.
https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.11.7099-7106.2005

Kautsar, S. A., Blin, K., Shaw, S., Navarro-Muñoz, J. C., Terlouw, B. R., van der Hooft, J. J. J., …
Medema, M. H. (2020). MIBiG 2.0: a repository for biosynthetic gene clusters of known function.
Nucleic Acids Research, 48(D1), D454–D458. https://doi.org/10.1093/NAR/GKZ882

Kell, D. B., Brown, M., Davey, H. M., Dunn, W. B., Spasic, I., & Oliver, S. G. (2005). Metabolic footprinting and systems biology: The medium is the message. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, *3*(7), 557–565. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1177

Knappe, T. A., Linne, U., Zirah, S., Rebuffat, S., Xie, X., & Marahiel, M. A. (2008). Isolation and structural characterization of capistruin, a lasso peptide predicted from the genome sequence of Burkholderia thailandensis E264. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, *130*(34), 11446–11454. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja802966g

Konopka, A. (2009). What is microbial community ecology. *ISME J*, *3*(11), 1223–1230. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2009.88

Kuznedelov, K., Semenova, E., Knappe, T. A., Mukhamedyarov, D., Srivastava, A., Chatterjee, S., … Severinov, K. (2011). The antibacterial threaded-lasso peptide capistruin inhibits bacterial RNA polymerase. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, *412*(5), 842–848. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2011.02.060

Lawrence, M., Gentleman, R., & Carey, V. (2009). rtracklayer: An R package for interfacing with genome browsers. *Bioinformatics*, *25*(14), 1841–1842. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp328

Li, A., Mao, D., Yoshimura, A., Rosen, P. C., Martin, W. L., Gallant, É., … Seyedsayamdost, M. R. (2020). Multi-omic analyses provide links between low-dose antibiotic treatment and induction of secondary metabolism in Burkholderia thailandensis. *MBio*, *11*(1), e03210-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.03210-19

Little, A. E. F., Robinson, C. J., Peterson, S. B., Raffa, K. F., & Handelsman, J. (2008). Rules of engagement: Interspecies interactions that regulate microbial communities. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, *62*, 375–401. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.030608.101423

Love, M. I., Huber, W., & Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology*, *15*, 550. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

Maere, S., Heymans, K., & Kuiper, M. (2005). BiNGO: a Cytoscape plugin to assess overrepresentation of gene ontology categories in biological networks. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England), 21(16), 3448–3449. https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTI551

Mao, D., Bushin, L. B., Moon, K., Wu, Y., & Seyedsayamdost, M. R. (2017). Discovery of scmR as a global regulator of secondary metabolism and virulence in Burkholderia thailandensis E264. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *114*(14), E2920–E2928. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1619529114

McCall, I. C., Shah, N., Govindan, A., Baquero, F., & Levin, B. R. (2019). Antibiotic killing of diversely generated populations of nonreplicating bacteria. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, *63*(7), e02360-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02360-18

McClure, R. S. (2019). Toward a Better Understanding of Species Interactions through Network Biology. *MSystems*, *4*(3), e00114-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems.00114-19

McClure, R. S., Overall, C. C., Hill, E. A., Song, H.-S., Charania, M., Bernstein, H. C., … Beliaev, A. S. (2018). Species-specific transcriptomic network inference of interspecies interactions. *ISME J*, *12*(8), 2011–2023. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0145-6

McClure, R. S., Overall, C. C., Mcdermott, J. E., Hill, E. A., Markillie, L. M., Mccue, L. A., … Beliaev, A. S.
(2016). Network analysis of transcriptomics expands regulatory landscapes in Synechococcus sp.
PCC 7002. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *44*(18), 8810–8825. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw737

Medema, M. H., Kottmann, R., Yilmaz, P., Cummings, M., Biggins, J. B., Blin, K., … Glöckner, F. O.
(2015). Minimum Information about a Biosynthetic Gene cluster. *Nature Chemical Biology*, *11*(9),
625–631. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.1890

Megan Steinweg, J., Dukes, J. S., Paul, E. A., & Wallenstein, M. D. (2013). Microbial responses to multi-
factor climate change: Effects on soil enzymes. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *4*, 146.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00146

Meyer, P. E., Lafitte, F., & Bontempi, G. (2008). Minet: A r/bioconductor package for inferring large
transcriptional networks using mutual information. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *9*(1), 461.
https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-9-461

Mickalide, H., & Kuehn, S. (2019). Higher-Order Interaction between Species Inhibits Bacterial Invasion of
a Phototroph-Predator Microbial Community. *Cell Systems*, *9*(6), 521-533.e10.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cels.2019.11.004

Miller, T. E., Burns, J. H., Munguia, P., Walters, E. L., Kneitel, J. M., Richards, P. M., … Buckley, H. L.
(2005). A critical review of twenty years' use of the resource-ratio theory. *American Naturalist*,
165(4), 439–448. https://doi.org/10.1086/428681

Momeni, B., Xie, L., & Shou, W. (2017). Lotka-Volterra pairwise modeling fails to capture diverse pairwise
microbial interactions. *ELife*, *6*, e25051. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.25051.001

Morin, M., Pierce, E. C., & Dutton, R. J. (2018). Changes in the genetic requirements for microbial
interactions with increasing community complexity. *ELife*, *7*, e37072.
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.37072

Nair, S., & Finkel, S. E. (2004). Dps protects cells against multiple stresses during stationary phase.
Journal of Bacteriology, *186*(13), 4192–4198. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.186.13.4192-4198.2004

Navarro Llorens, J. M., Tormo, A., & Martínez-García, E. (2010). Stationary phase in gram-negative
bacteria. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, *34*(4), 476–495. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-
6976.2010.00213.x

Netzker, T., Fischer, J., Weber, J., Mattern, D. J., König, C. C., Valiante, V., … Brakhage, A. A. (2015).
Microbial communication leading to the activation of silent fungal secondary metabolite gene
clusters. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. Frontiers Media S.A. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00299

Nordberg, H., Cantor, M., Dusheyko, S., Hua, S., Poliakov, A., Shabalov, I., … Dubchak, I. (2014). The
genome portal of the Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute: 2014 updates. *Nucleic Acids*
Research, *42*, D26-31. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1069

Okada, B. K., & Seyedsayamdost, M. R. (2017). Antibiotic dialogues: Induction of silent biosynthetic gene
clusters by exogenous small molecules. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, *41*(1), 19–33.
https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuw035

Okada, B. K., Wu, Y., Mao, D., Bushin, L. B., & Seyedsayamdost, M. R. (2016). Mapping the
Trimethoprim-Induced Secondary Metabolome of Burkholderia thailandensis. *ACS Chemical*
Biology, *11*(8), 2124–2130. https://doi.org/10.1021/acschembio.6b00447

Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., … Wagner, H. (2019).
vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.5-4.

Orr, J. A., Vinebrooke, R. D., Jackson, M. C., Kroeker, K. J., Kordas, R. L., Mantyka-Pringle, C., …
Piggott, J. J. (2020). Towards a unified study of multiple stressors: Divisions and common goals
across research disciplines. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *287*(1926),
20200421. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.0421

Ortiz, A., Vega, N. M., Ratzke, C., & Gore, J. (2021). Interspecies bacterial competition regulates
community assembly in the C. elegans intestine. *ISME J*, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-021-
00910-4

Pacheco, A. R., Osborne, M. L., & Segrè, D. (2021). Non-additive microbial community responses to
environmental complexity. *Nature Communications*, *12*(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-
021-22426-3

Pang, Z., Chong, J., Zhou, G., de Lima Morais, D. A., Chang, L., Barrette, M., … Xia, J. (2021).
MetaboAnalyst 5.0: narrowing the gap between raw spectra and functional insights. *Nucleic Acids*
Research, (1), gkab382. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab382

Pekkonen, M., Korhonen, J., & Laakso, J. T. (2011). Increased survival during famine improves fitness of
bacteria in a pulsed-resource environment. *Evolutionary Ecology Research* (Vol. 13). Evolutionary
Ecology, Ltd.

Pettit, R. K. (2009). Mixed fermentation for natural product drug discovery. *Applied Microbiology and*
Biotechnology, *83*(1), 19–25. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-009-1916-9

Phelan, V. V, Liu, W.-T., Pogliano, K., & Dorrestein, P. C. (2011). Microbial metabolic exchange--the
chemotype-to-phenotype link. *Nature Chemical Biology*, *8*(1), 26–35.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.739

Pietschke, C., Treitz, C., Forêt, S., Schultze, A., Künzel, S., Tholey, A., … Fraune, S. (2017). Host
modification of a bacterial quorum-sensing signal induces a phenotypic switch in bacterial
symbionts. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*,
114(40), E8488–E8497. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1706879114

Pinu, F. R., & Villas-Boas, S. G. (2017). Extracellular microbial metabolomics: The state of the art.
Metabolites, *7*(3), 43. https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo7030043

Pluskal, T., Castillo, S., Villar-Briones, A., & Orešič, M. (2010). MZmine 2: Modular framework for
processing, visualizing, and analyzing mass spectrometry-based molecular profile data. *BMC*
Bioinformatics, *11*, 395. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-395

Sanchez-Gorostiaga, A., Bajić, D., Osborne, M. L., Poyatos, J. F., & Sanchez, A. (2019). High-order
interactions distort the functional landscape of microbial consortia. *PLoS Biology*, *17*(12), e3000550.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000550

Schimel, J. P. (2018). Life in dry soils: Effects of drought on soil microbial communities and processes.
Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, *49*, 409–432.
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110617-062614

Shannon, P., Markiel, A., Ozier, O., Baliga, N. S., Wang, J. T., Ramage, D., … Ideker, T. (2003).
Cytoscape: A software Environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks.
Genome Research, *13*(11), 2498–2504. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.1239303

Silva, L. P., & Northen, T. R. (2015). Exometabolomics and MSI: Deconstructing how cells interact to
transform their small molecule environment. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, *34*, 209–216.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2015.03.015

Smith, H. L. (2011). Bacterial competition in serial transfer culture. *Mathematical Biosciences*, *229*(2),
149–159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mbs.2010.12.001

Stewart, F. M., & Levin, B. R. (1973). Partitioning of Resources and the Outcome of Interspecific
Competition: A Model and Some General Considerations. *The American Naturalist*, *107*(954), 171–
198. https://doi.org/10.1086/282825

Stock, A. M., Robinson, V. L., & Goudreau, P. N. (2000). Two-component signal transduction. *Annual*
Review of Biochemistry. Annual Reviews 4139 El Camino Way, P.O. Box 10139, Palo Alto, CA
94303-0139, USA. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.69.1.183

Stock, A. M., Robinson, V. L., & Goudreau, P. N. (2000). Two-component signal transduction. *Annual*
Review of Biochemistry, *69*, 183–215. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.69.1.183

Tilman, D. (1982). *Resource Competition and Community Structure*. Princeton, NJ, U.S.A.: Princeton
University Press.

Truong, T. T., Seyedsayamdost, M., Greenberg, E. P., & Chandler, J. R. (2015). A Burkholderia
thailandensis acyl-homoserine lactone-independent orphan LuxR homolog that activates production
of the cytotoxin malleilactone. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *197*(21), 3456–3462.
https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00425-15

Wells, J. S., Trejo, W. H., Principe, P. A., Bush, K., Georgopapadakou, N., Bonner, D. P., & Sykes, R. B.
(1982). SQ 26,180, a novel monobactam. I Taxonomy, fermentation and biological properties. The
Journal of Antibiotics, 35(2), 184–188. https://doi.org/10.7164/ANTIBIOTICS.35.184

Wickham, H. (2016). ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York.

Wickham, H. (2019). stringr: Simple, Consistent Wrappers for Common String Operations. R package
version 1.4.0.

Wickham, H. (2007). Reshaping data with the reshape package. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *21*(12),
1–20. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v021.i12

Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L., François, R., … Yutani, H. (2019).
Welcome to the Tidyverse. *Journal of Open Source Software*, *4*(43), 1686.
https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686

Wickham, H., François, R., Henry, L., & Müller, K. (2019). dplyr: A Grammar of Data Manipulation. R
package version 0.8.3.

Wozniak, C. E., Lin, Z., Schmidt, E. W., Hughes, K. T., & Liou, T. G. (2018). Thailandamide, a fatty acid
synthesis antibiotic that is coexpressed with a resistant target gene. *Antimicrobial Agents and*
Chemotherapy, *62*(9), e00463-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00463-18

Zdobnov, E. M., & Apweiler, R. (2001). InterProScan - An integration platform for the signature-
recognition methods in InterPro. *Bioinformatics*, *17*(9), 847–848.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/17.9.847

Zhu, H., Sandiford, S. K., & Van Wezel, G. P. (2014). Triggers and cues that activate antibiotic production
by actinomycetes. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*, *41*(2), 371–386.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-013-1309-z

1267