Yersinia remodels epigenetic histone modifications in human macrophages

Indra Bekere[1*], Jiabin Huang[1], Marie Schnapp[1], Maren Rudolph[1], Laura Berneking[1], Klaus

Ruckdeschel[1], Adam Grundhoff[2], Thomas Günther[2], Nicole Fischer[1], Martin Aepfelbacher[1*]

[1]Institute of Medical Microbiology, Virology and Hygiene, University Medical Center

Hamburg-Eppendorf (UKE), Hamburg, Germany

[2] Heinrich-Pette-Institute (HPI), Leibniz Institute for Experimental Virology, Research Group

Virus Genomics, Hamburg, Germany

* Corresponding authors

E-mail: ibekere@uke.de (IB), m.aepfelbacher@uke.de (MAe)

Abstract

Various pathogens systematically reprogram gene expression in macrophages, but the

underlying mechanisms are largely unknown. We investigated whether the enteropathogen

Yersinia enterocolitica alters chromatin states to reprogram gene expression in primary

human macrophages. Genome-wide chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) seq analyses

showed that pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) induced up- or down-

regulation of histone modifications (HMod) at approximately 14500 loci in promoters and

enhancers. Effectors of *Y. enterocolitica* reorganized about half of these dynamic HMod,

with the effector YopP being responsible for about half of these modulatory activities. The

reorganized HMod were associated with genes involved in immune response and

metabolism. Remarkably, the altered HMod also associated with 61 % of all 534 known Rho

GTPase pathway genes, revealing a new level in Rho GTPase regulation and a new aspect of

bacterial pathogenicity. Changes in HMod were associated to varying degrees with

corresponding gene expression, e. g. depending on chromatin localization and cooperation

of the HMod. In summary, infection with *Y. enterocolitica* remodels HMod in human

macrophages to modulate key gene expression programs of the innate immune response.

Author Summary

Human pathogenic bacteria can affect epigenetic histone modifications to modulate gene

expression in host cells. However, a systems biology analysis of this bacterial virulence

mechanism in immune cells has not been performed. Here we analyzed genome-wide

epigenetic histone modifications and associated gene expression changes in primary human

macrophages infected with enteropathogenic *Yersinia enterocolitica*. We demonstrate that

Yersinia virulence factors extensively modulate histone modifications and associated gene

expression triggered by the pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) of the bacteria.

The epigenetically modulated genes are involved in several key pathways of the macrophage

immune response, including the Rho GTPase pathway, revealing a novel level of Rho GTPase

regulation by a bacterial pathogen. Overall, our findings provide an in-depth view of

epigenetic and gene expression changes during host-pathogen interaction and might have

further implications for understanding of the innate immune memory in macrophages.

Introduction

Macrophages play an essential role in the response to bacterial infection. They sense pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) like lipopolysaccharide (LPS), nucleic acids or flagellin through Toll-like, RIG-I-like or NOD-like pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), respectively (Zhang & Mosser, 2008; Takeuchi & Akira, 2010). RIG-I-like and NOD-like PRRs are part of inflammasomes that process and release the major pro-inflammatory cytokines interleukin (IL)-1β and IL-18 (Broz & Dixit, 2016; Lupfer et al., 2015). While PRRs recognize a wide variety of PAMPs, their downstream signaling often converges on mitogen activated protein kinase- (MAPK), nuclear factor κB- (NF-κB) and type I interferon (IFN) signal pathways (Mogensen, 2009; Takeuchi & Akira, 2010). These pathways include activation of transcription factors that control expression of genes for cytokines, chemokines, and inflammasome components, as well as genes for metabolism, cytoskeleton regulation, and transcriptional regulation (Takeuchi & Akira, 2010; Christgen et al., 2020; Ryan & O'Neill, 2020; Doyle et al., 2004; Roach et al., 2007). Thousands of functionally diverse genes are up- or downregulated by PAMPs in macrophages. Many of these genes belong to elaborate transcriptional programs that drive macrophage functions during infection. Such programs contribute crucially to the immunological phenomena of priming, tolerance (immunosuppression) and trained immunity (Park et al., 2017; Saeed et al., 2014). The inflammatory gene expression programs in macrophages form an intricate network that is characterized by crosstalk and feedback loops (Park et al., 2017; Kang et al., 2019). Because of their central role in immune defense, numerous pathogenic bacteria have developed mechanisms to suppress or modulate macrophage gene expression (Diacovich & Gorvel, 2010).

Pathogenic *Yersinia* species, which comprise the enteropathogens *Y. pseudotuberculosis* and

Y. enterocolitica as well as the plague agent *Yersinia pestis*, can proliferate extracellularly in

lymphoid tissues of animal hosts (Balada-Llasat & Mecsas, 2006; Viboud & Bliska, 2005).

Yersiniae can on one hand exploit intracellular niches early during infection, e.g., within

macrophages and dendritic cells, in order to disseminate to lymphoid tissues and organs

such as spleen and liver (St. John et al., 2014; Spinner et al., 2014; Pujol et al., 2009;

Drechsler-Hake et al., 2016; Pujol & Bliska, 2003). On the other hand these bacteria have

been shown to suppress phagocytosis, migration and immune signaling in resident cells of

the innate immune system (Viboud & Bliska, 2005; Marketon et al., 2005). The major

virulence mechanism of pathogenic yersiniae is a type III secretion system (T3SS) by which

they inject effector proteins, named *Yersinia* outer proteins (Yops), into immune cells

(Cornelis & Wolf-Watz, 1997; Galán & Wolf-Watz 2006; Viboud & Bliska, 2005). The seven

known Yops exert different activities to suppress immune cell functions. E.g., YopE, YopT and

YopO block cytoskeletal reorganization by modifying the activity of Rho GTP binding proteins

(Aktories et al., 2000; Aepfelbacher & Wolters, 2017). Further, YopP/J, and YopM inhibit the

inflammatory responses triggered by the PAMPs (e.g., LPS, heptose containing metabolites,

T3SS pore or the adhesins YadA and invasin) or the effector-triggered immunity (ETI) elicited

by the bacteria (Brodsky et al., 2010; Chung et al., 2016; Mukherjee et al., 2006; Schubert et

al., 2020; Auerbuch et al., 2009; Schmid et al., 2004; Grassl et al., 2003; Schulte et al., 2000;

Lopes Fischer et al., 2020). Induction of ETI is a consequence of bacterial virulence activities

in host cells that disrupt cellular processes and are sensed by the host (Lopes Fischer et al.,

2020). *Yersinia* triggers ETI e.g., by the T3SS translocation pore-produced membrane damage

or by deactivation of Rho GTPases through YopE and YopT (Schubert et al., 2020). YopP/J

acetylates and inhibits components of NF-κB and MAPK pathways and thereby profoundly

suppresses pro-inflammatory gene expression downstream of TLRs (Mukherjee et al., 2006).

YopM acts by both, increasing production of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 and

counteracting activation of the pyrin inflammasome, which is triggered by the Yop-induced

deactivation of Rho GTPases (McPhee et al., 2012; Berneking et al., 2016; Chung et al., 2016).

Thus, on one hand pathogenic yersiniae contain immunostimulatory PAMPs and their T3SS

effectors elicit ETI that strongly alter gene expression in macrophages. On the other hand,

the bacteria employ an arsenal of activities to systematically modulate and antagonize the

PAMP- and ETI triggered immune responses (Philip et al., 2016; Schubert et al., 2020; Lopes

Fischer et al., 2020).

Epigenetic mechanisms play a master role in the regulation of macrophage gene expression.

Among others they i) determine the phenotypes of macrophages in different tissues and

disease states, ii) control trained innate immunity, iii) regulate priming and immune

tolerance and iv) integrate stimulus triggered responses (Ivashkiv, 2013; Park et al., 2017;

Glass & Natoli, 2015; Saeed et al., 2014). Gene expression in response to external stimuli is

controlled by the concerted activity and binding of macrophage lineage-determining

transcription factors and stimulus-regulated transcription factors to accessible cis-regulatory

elements (promoters and enhancers) in the cellular chromatin (Ivashkiv, 2013; Glass & Natoli,

2015). DNA accessibility is determined by the pre-existing epigenomic landscape, which is

the sum of DNA methylation, nucleosome occupancy, pre-bound factors and histone

modifications (Klemm et al., 2019). The types of histone modifications located at gene

promoters and enhancers influence DNA accessibility and transcriptional activity. For

instance, a trimethylated lysine at position 4 in histone 3 (H3K4me3) is characteristic for

active promoters, an acetylated lysine at position 27 in histone 3 (H3K27ac) indicates active

promoters and enhancers while H3K9me3 signifies repressed, transcriptionally inactive

heterochromatin (Rada-Iglesias et al., 2011; Barski et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2008). Most

posttranslational histone modifications are transient and their turnover is regulated by

enzymes that mediate their deposition or deletion (Yun et al., 2011; Allis & Jenuwein, 2016).

Bacterial PAMP-induced signaling has been shown to intensely modulate histone

modifications and this has been implicated in priming, immune tolerance and trained

immunity in macrophages (Ivashkiv, 2013; Park et al., 2017; Dong & Hamon, 2020; Saeed et

al., 2014). Consequently, numerous pathogens can interfere with histone modifications in

host cells using unique and sophisticated strategies (Connor et al., 2019; Bierne et al., 2012;

Rolando et al., 2013).

The current understanding of how *Yersinia* modulates gene expression in macrophages is

that it uses its effectors YopP and YopM to interfere with PAMP-induced MAP-kinase and

NF-kB signaling to the nucleus (Schubert et al., 2020). Previous microarray or RNA-seq

studies of *Yersinia* effects on gene expression were so far conducted in *Y. pestis* infected rat

lymph nodes (Comer et al., 2010), African green monkeys (Chakraborty et al., 2019;

Hammamieh et al., 2016) and neutrophils (Subrahmanyam et al., 2001), in *Y.*

pseudotuberculosis infected mouse cecum (Heine et al., 2018) and Peyer's patches (Nuss et

al., 2017) as well as in *Y. enterocolitica* infected epithelial and NK cells (Bohn et al., 2004;

Koch et al., 2013). In macrophages systematic gene expression analysis was performed

during *Y. enterocolitica* or *Y. pseudotuberculosis* infection of cultured mouse macrophages

for up to 4 h and utilizing microarrays (Sauvonnet et al., 2002; Hoffmann et al., 2004;

Auerbuch et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2005). Here we employed primary human macrophages

and genome wide chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-seq and RNA-seq technologies to

globally analyze histone modifications and associated gene expression patterns for up to 6 h

of *Y. enterocolitica* infection*.* We uncover a profound and coordinated reorganization of

histone modifications as basis for systematic *Yersinia* effects on gene expression in

macrophages. In addition to extensive modulation of histone modifications at inflammatory,

immune response and metabolism genes, we found that the bacteria caused alterations of

histone modifications at 61 % of all 534 known Rho GTPase pathway genes in macrophages.

These results describe the previously unrecognized strategy of pathogenic *Yersinia* to

modulate specific gene expression programs in innate immune cells through the systematic

reorganization of histone modifications.

Results

Global reorganization of histone modifications in macrophages

upon *Yersinia enterocolitica* infection

We first investigated on a global scale whether *Y. enterocolitica* alters histone modification patterns in human macrophages (Ivashkiv, 2013). For this, *in vitro* differentiated primary human macrophages (S1A Fig) were mock infected or infected with *Y. enterocolitica* wild type strain WA314 or its avirulent derivative WAC and subjected to chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-seq (Fig 1A). WAC lacks a T3SS and therefore was used to separate the effects of the *Yersinia* PAMPs from the T3SS associated effects (for strains employed in this study see S1 Table). We investigated cells after infection with a multiplicity of infection (MOI) 100 for 6 h, conditions at which 100 % of cells were positive for translocated Yops (S1A Fig) and a maximal effect on gene expression (Berneking et al., 2016) and histone modifications (S1B Fig) but no signs of cell death as measured by membrane permeability (S1C Fig) and caspase-3 cleavage (S1D Fig; Ruckdeschel et al., 1997; Sarhan et al., 2018) are detectable in the human macrophages.

ChIP-seq analyzes were performed for four histone-3 (H3) marks whose impact on macrophage gene expression has been well established: H3K4me3, indicating active promoters; H3K4me1, which is highly enriched at distal regulatory elements (enhancers); H3K27ac, indicating active promoters and enhancers; and H3K27me3 indicating inactive promoters and enhancers (Heintzman et al., 2009; Rada-Iglesias et al., 2011; Barski et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2008). Successful ChIP was confirmed using ChIP-qPCR with positive and negative control primers for different histone marks (S2A Fig). Dynamic regions were defined as those exhibiting at least a 2-fold change in any pairwise comparison between mock-,

WA314- or WAC infected macrophages. Overall, H3K27ac peaks were the most dynamic (43

%) followed by H3K4me3 peaks (7 %), H3K4me1 regions (3 %) and H3K27me3 regions (0.1 %)

(Fig 1B). Around half of the dynamic H3K4me3 peaks and H3K4me1 regions and one fourth

of the dynamic H3K27ac peaks were at promoters (+/- 2 kb from transcription start site; Fig

1C). The remaining half of the dynamic H3K4me3 peaks and H3K4me1 regions and three

fourth of the dynamic H3K27ac peaks were at enhancers (H3K4me1-enriched regions

outside promoters; Fig 1C).

A Spearman correlation heatmap of the H3K27ac peaks and two corresponding datasets

published elsewhere from naive and *E. coli* LPS-stimulated primary human macrophages

(Park et al., 2017; Novakovic et al., 2016) revealed i) a strong correlation between WAC

infected and LPS-treated macrophages (Fig 1D), indicating that the PAMP-induced histone

modifications are to a large part caused by LPS, although yersiniae possess a number of

additional PAMPs (Reinés et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2018; Brodsky et al., 2010); ii) a close

similarity between the mock-infected macrophages in our study and the naïve macrophages

employed in other studies, and iii) a higher similarity of WA314 infected macrophages to

naïve and mock-infected macrophages than to WAC- and LPS infected macrophages (Fig 1D).

This likely reflects suppression of PAMP/LPS-induced H3K27ac modifications by the T3SS

effectors of WA314 (Schubert et al., 2020).

We evaluated whether histone marks were significantly (≥ 2-fold change, adjusted P-value ≤

0.05) up- or down-regulated in the three pairwise comparisons WAC vs mock, WA314 vs

mock and WAC vs WA314 (Fig 1E; S18 Table). An eminent result was that, consistently,

around 14-times more H3K27ac regions than H3K4me3 regions were dynamic (Fig 1E; S18

Table). Further, 2156 unique H3K4me1 regions were dynamic whereas H3K27me3 regions

were essentially unaltered under these conditions (Fig 1E; S18 Table), further suggesting that

H3K27me3 marks are not affected by *Yersinia* infection in macrophages. WAC up- and down-

regulated histone modifications at 14559 unique loci vs mock, reflecting the effect of the

PAMPs (Fig 1E). As determined by comparison with the WAC effects, WA314 inhibited the up

regulation of 571 H3K4me3 regions (53 %; Fig 1F) and 2881 H3K27ac regions (42 %; Fig 1G)

and inhibited the down regulation of 2627 H3K27ac regions (40 %; Fig 1G).

Further analysis revealed that histone modification patterns were modified at promoters of

6228 genes and at enhancers of 7730 genes, whereby 2964 genes were modified both at the

promoter and nearby enhancer regions (Fig 1H). We conclude that *Y. enterocolitica* triggers

extensive reprogramming of chromatin states in human macrophages by regulating

H3K27ac-, H3K4me1- and H3K4me3 marks at promoters and enhancers of about 11000

genes (Fig 1H).

Y. enterocolitica virulence factors suppress PAMP-induced histone

modifications at promoters and enhancers

We investigated the bacteria induced reprogramming of histone modifications at promoters

and enhancers in more detail. By genome wide analysis of dynamic H3K27ac and H3K4me3

regions at promoters of mock-, WAC- and WA314 infected macrophages we identified 10

clusters which could be assigned to 6 classes and 2 modules (Methods; Fig 2A, S2 Table).

Promoter module 1 (P1) contains dynamic H3K4me3- and H3K27ac regions that change

concordantly (classes P1a, b; Figs 2A, B). Promoter module 2 (P2) contains dynamic H3K27ac

regions at largely constant H3K4me3 regions (classes P2a-d; Figs 2A, B). The patterns of

histone modifications in the classes were clearly distinct and assigned to 4 different profiles.

In profile "Suppression", WAC increases deposition of histone marks and this is suppressed

by WA314 (P1a and P2a; Figs 2A-C). In profile "Prevention", WAC downregulates histone

marks and this is prevented by WA314 (P1b and P2d; Figs 2A-C). In profile "Down", WA314

selectively downregulates H3K27ac marks when compared to mock and WAC (P2b; Figs 2A-

C). In profile "Up" WA314 selectively upregulates H3K27ac marks when compared to mock

and WAC (P2c; Figs 2A-C). These profiles are also illustrated by tag densities of H3K4me3 and

H3K27ac ChIP-seq at promoters of selected genes (Figs 2C). WA314 strongly counteracted

the PAMP-induced up- and downregulation of histone modifications at promoters in

Suppression and Prevention profiles, which was also confirmed by ChIP-qPCR analysis of

H3K4me3 and H3K27ac for IL6, IL1B and PTGS2 (Suppression) and ASB2 and CEBPE

(Prevention) genes (S2B Fig). However, WA314 counter-regulation often was not complete

therefore the levels of histone modifications in WA314 infected cells were frequently in

between the levels in mock- and WAC infected macrophages (e.g. in Suppression profile

classes P1a and P2a; Figs 2A, B).

We further sought to investigate in detail the *Yersinia* induced reprogramming of histone

modifications at distal regulatory elements/enhancers. A genome wide heatmap of dynamic

H3K27ac regions at enhancer regions, characterized by the presence of H3K4me1 marks,

was prepared in mock-, WAC- and WA314 infected macrophages (Fig 2D, S3 Table). Six

clusters comprising altogether 16408 dynamic enhancers were identified and assembled into

four classes (E1-E4; Fig 2D). Analogous to the promoter classes, the enhancer classes E1, E2,

E3 and E4 correspond to Suppression, Down, Up and Prevention profiles, respectively (Fig

2E). In unstimulated macrophages (mock) enhancers were either poised (no or low level of

H3K27ac marks; E1 and E3) or constitutive (presence of H3K27ac marks; E2 and E4) (Figs 2D,

E; Ostuni et al., 2010). WAC infection activated poised enhancers by increasing H3K27ac

marks (E1) or repressed constitutive enhancers by decreasing H3K27ac marks (E4) (Figs 2D,

E). WA314 inhibited the WAC induced up-regulation of poised enhancers in Suppression

profile E1 and down-regulation of constitutive enhancers in Prevention profile E4 (Figs 2D, E).

WA314 also down-regulated constitutive enhancers in Down profile E2 and up-regulated

poised enhancers in Up profile E3 (Figs 2D, E).

We also investigated the effect of *Yersinia* on latent enhancers, defined by the initial

absence of H3K4me1- and H3K27ac marks (Ostuni et al., 2010). We identified 149 latent

enhancers in mock infected macrophages that gained H3K4me1- and H3K27ac marks upon

WAC infection, which converts these latent enhancers into a constitutive state (Fig 2F, S4

Table). WA314 also increased H3K4me1 levels but did not produce an increase of H3K27ac

levels in most of these latent enhancers (Fig 2F). Thus, WA314 infection does not inhibit the

unveiling of latent enhancers and their conversion into a poised state by the bacterial

PAMPs, but suppresses their transition to a constitutive state.

In summary, four profiles describe how *Y. enterocolitica* alters H3K4me3 and H3K27ac marks

at macrophage promoters and enhancers. In the profiles Suppression and Prevention, the

bacteria's virulence plasmid-encoded factors block the PAMP-induced deposition or removal

of H3K4me3- and H3K27ac marks, respectively. In profiles Down and Up the T3SS-associated

effectors down- or up-regulate, respectively, H3K27ac marks.

Yersinia reorganization of histone marks and gene expression

targets central immune pathways in macrophages

We asked to what extent the histone modifications reprogrammed by the bacteria associate

with expression of the corresponding genes. Therefore, infected macrophages were

analyzed by RNA-seq in parallel to ChIP-seq (Fig 1A). 6148 differentially expressed genes

(DEGs) were altogether found in three pairwise comparisons (WAC vs mock, WA314 vs mock

and WAC vs WA314; Fig 3A; S5 and S6 Tables). Four classes (R1-R4) were identified in the

DEGs which corresponded to Suppression- (R1), Down- (R2), Up- (R3) or Prevention (R4)

profiles (Fig 3A and S6 Table). WAC up-regulated 3020 genes (Fig 3B) and down-regulated

2152 genes (Fig 3C) vs mock reflecting the transcriptional response of macrophages to the

PAMPs of *Y. enterocolitica.* In the Suppression profile, 42 % of the genes upregulated by

WAC were not or only partly upregulated by WA314 (Fig 3B). In the Prevention profile, 39 %

of the genes downregulated by WAC were not or much less downregulated by WA314 (Fig

3C). RT-qPCR analysis confirmed WA314 counteraction of PAMP-induced changes in mRNA

levels for PPARGC1B (Prevention) and ABI1, IL1B and TNF (Suppression) genes (S2C Fig).

WA314 often did not completely reverse the PAMP-induced up- or downregulation of genes,

as shown by the fact that gene expression levels in the WA314 infected cells were frequently

in between mock- and WAC infected macrophages (e.g. in classes R1 and R4, Fig 3A). Thus,

coinciding with histone modifications, gene expression is modulated extensively by *Y.*

enterocolitica in human macrophages and can also be divided into Suppression-, Down-, Up-,

and Prevention profiles.

We evaluated all individual histone modifications which associated with gene expression

changes in the different profiles and found that Suppression-, Down-, Up- and Prevention

profiles showed on average 30 %, 2 %, 4 % and 16 % corresponding gene expression changes,

respectively (Fig 3D). Overlap between histone modifications and gene expression was

stronger for H3K4me3 and H3K27ac changes at promoters (8-81 % overlap; S3A-C Figs) than

for H3K27ac changes at enhancers (5-29 % overlap; S3D Fig), indicating that enhancer

modifications associate with direct gene expression to a lesser extent than promoter

modifications.

To find out whether histone modifications at promoters and enhancers cooperate to

regulate gene expression, we analyzed pairwise overlaps of genes associated with promoter-

and enhancer classes (Fig 3E). The strongest overlaps occurred in classes belonging to the

same profiles: P1a/P2a/E1 (Suppression), P2b/E2 (Down), P2c/E3 (Up) and P1b/P2d/E4

(Prevention) (Fig 3E). We found that 57 % of the genes overlapping in the Suppression profile

classes and 26 % of the genes overlapping in the Prevention profile classes showed

corresponding changes in gene expression (Fig 3F). In comparison, only 4 % and 3 % of genes

associated with histone modifications in the Down and Up profile classes, respectively,

showed expression changes (Fig 3F). We conclude that reprogramming of histone

modifications upon *Y. enterocolitica* infection in macrophages can cause significant changes

in gene expression. The size of the effect displays a very wide range from 2 to over 80 % and

strongly depends on the localization (promoter or enhancers), cooperation (e.g. at

promoters or between related promoters and enhancers) and profile of the histone

modifications.

To address the question which biological pathways are regulated by the epigenetic

reprogramming upon *Yersinia* infection, we subjected all DEGs in the different expression

classes/profiles that are associated with histone modifications (Fig 3G) to Gene Ontology

(GO) and KEGG analysis (Fig 3H). In profiles Suppression, Down, Up and Prevention, 51 %, 19

%, 19 % and 36 % of DEGs, respectively, were associated with at least one corresponding

histone modification change (Fig 3G). Thus, the proportion of gene expression changes that

is associated with histone modifications is clearly higher than the proportion of histone

modifications that is associated with corresponding gene expression changes (compare Fig

3D and Fig 3G). Suppression profile genes were most highly enriched in inflammatory

response, type I interferon signaling and apoptotic process (Fig 3H) and mainly encode pro-

inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, feedback regulators (like TNFAIPs) and type I IFN

signaling mediators (Fig 3I, S4A Fig). Down profile was enriched in regulation of transcription

(Fig 3H) containing CEBPE and FOS (Fig 3J). CEBPE regulates myeloid lineage differentiation

(Bedi et al., 2009), inflammasome activation and interferon signaling (Göös et al., 2019). FOS

encoding c-Fos protein is a part of AP1 complex, which regulates macrophage differentiation

and long range enhancer interactions (Phanstiel et al., 2017), suppresses pro-inflammatory

cytokine and IL-10 expression and increases lysosome mediated bacterial killing (Hop et al.,

2018). Up profile genes were enriched in Wnt signaling (Figs 3H, J), which directs

macrophage differentiation, regulates expression of key anti-inflammatory mediators and

modulates phagocytosis (Malsin et al., 2019). Prevention profile genes were enriched in

pathways involving regulation of GTPases, including mostly Rho GTPase pathway genes (Figs

3H, K) and in metabolic pathways (Fig 3H), including 22 genes for the metabolism of

cholesterol, fatty acids, acyl-CoA or glucose (S7 Table). Suppression profile genes associated

with latent enhancers (Fig 2F) were enriched among others in negative regulation of

transcription and inflammatory signaling (S4B Fig).

Pathogenic *Yersinia* exploit a variety of host signaling pathways for pathogenesis such as

MAP kinase (Mukherjee et al., 2006), PI3K/ Akt (Sauvonnet et al., 2002b), cell death (Sarhan

et al., 2018) and trafficking pathways, e.g., involving Rab GTPases (Connor et al., 2018).

Notably, gene expressions and histone modifications belonging to these pathways are also

modulated by *Yersinia* T3SS effectors (Table S16). For instance, *Yersinia* was shown to inhibit

activation of the MAPK pathway (Mukherjee et al., 2006) and several MAPK kinases and

phosphatases were found to be suppressed by plasmid-encoded virulence factors in the

Suppression profile (S4C Fig). Furthermore, both Suppression and Prevention profiles

contained genes from Rab GTPase signaling (S4D Fig), which is exploited by pathogenic *Yersinia* to promote intracellular survival (Connor et al., 2018).

Analysis of transcription factor (TF) motif enrichment revealed binding sites of inflammatory regulators from the RHD- (NFkB-p65-Rel), IRF- (ISRE, IRF2) and bZIP- (Fra2, Fosl2, Jun-AP1) families in Suppression profile genes (Fig 3J, S4E Fig). No transcription factor motifs were enriched in Down profile. Up profile was enriched for RHD (NFkB-p65-Rel) binding sites (Fig 3J, S4E Fig) indicating that *Yersinia* effector activities can lead to increased gene expression also through regulation of NF-κB signaling. Prevention profile genes were enriched for distinct motifs from ETS (SpiB, PU.1) family (Fig 3J, S4E Fig). ETS TFs are known to interact extensively with other TFs (Verger & Duterque-Coquillaud, 2002) and play a role in cytokine gene expression (Gao et al., 2016; Lennard Richard et al., 2014; O'Reilly et al., 2003; Gallant & Gilkeson, 2006) and macrophage differentiation (Glass & Natoli, 2015).

Taken together, the histone modifications that are reprogrammed upon *Y. enterocolitica* infection associate with central transcriptional programs in macrophages. Most significantly, PAMP-induced up-regulation of immune signaling and inflammatory response genes is suppressed and the down-regulation of metabolic and Rho GTPase pathway genes is prevented by the bacteria.

Y. enterocolitica reprogramms histone marks of Rho GTPase pathway genes

Interestingly, the Rho GTPase pathway genes were most significantly enriched in Prevention profile genes connected to histone modifications (Figs 3H, K). It was also found enriched in the Suppression profile and in the latent enhancer associated genes (S8 Table). Altogether,

the dynamic histone modifications identified here were associated with 324 unique Rho

GTPase pathway genes (61 % of all known Rho GTPase genes; Fig 4A, S9 Table).

A great variety of bacterial virulence factors target or imitate Rho proteins or their regulators

to manipulate immune cell functions (Heasman & Ridley, 2008; Aktories, 2011). Subversion

of Rho GTPase activities is also a central virulence strategy of *Yersinia* and there is mediated

by the T3SS effectors YopE, YopT and YopO/YpkA (Aepfelbacher & Wolters, 2017). However,

only scarce information is available on the epigenetic regulation of Rho GTPase pathway

genes in general and relevant systems-level studies are lacking (Chen et al., 2020).

Considering the strong association of dynamic histone modifications with Rho GTPase

pathway genes in *Yersinia* infected macrophages, we examined this connection in more

detail here.

The Rho GTPase family is composed of 20 proteins, which can be divided into 8 subfamilies

(Boureux et al., 2006). Rho GTPases are best known for regulating the actin cytoskeleton but

also for control of vesicle transport, microtubule dynamics, cell cycle and gene expression

(Jaffe & Hall, 2005; Hodge & Ridley, 2016). Through these basic activities, they play central

roles in immune cell functions such as phagocytosis, chemotaxis and adhesion (Heasman &

Ridley, 2008). The classical Rho GTPases cycle between inactive GDP-bound and active GTP-

bound states (Fig 4H). Guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) promote the dissociation

of GDP leading to GTP loading and activation (Laurin & Côté, 2014). Active GTP-bound Rho

proteins stimulate effector proteins which carry out basic molecular activities (Bagci et al.,

2020; Mott & Owen, 2015). GTPase activating proteins (GAPs) bind to GTP-loaded Rho

proteins and thereby stimulate their intrinsic GTPase activity and lead to their deactivation

(Tcherkezian & Lamarche-Vane, 2007). Most GEFs, GAPs and effectors are not specific for

individual Rho GTPases but associate with members of one or more subfamilies (Müller et al.,

2020; Bagci et al., 2020). Currently 77 RhoGEFs (Laurin & Côté, 2014), 66 Rho GAPs

(Tcherkezian & Lamarche-Vane, 2007) and up to 370 Rho GTPase effectors are known,

whereby the number of effectors may still be growing (Bustelo et al., 2007; Paul et al., 2017;

Bagci et al., 2020).

Notably, 68 %, 62 %, 58 % and 74 % of all known genes for GAPs, GEFs, effectors and Rho

proteins, respectively, exhibited altered histone modification patterns in *Y. enterocolitica*

infected macrophages (S5A Fig "RNA & ChIP" and "ChIP only" fractions). Histone

modifications were concomitantly altered at enhancers and promoters of 127 Rho GTPase

pathway genes (S5B Fig). More than 2 enhancer regions in the E1 and E4 enhancer classes

were on average associated with an individual Rho GTPase pathway gene (Fig 4A) and the

number of enhancers per Rho GTPase gene ranged from 1 to 14 (S5C Fig, S9 Table). FNBP1,

RAPGEF1 and ELMO1 were the genes for which 13, 13 and 14 putative enhancers,

respectively, were found (S9 Table).

To draw the most informed conclusion about the biological significance of Rho GTPase

pathway gene regulation by *Yersinia*, we created a heatmap of all Rho GTPase pathway DEGs

in mock-, WAC-, and WA314-infected macrophages independent of their association with

histone modifications (Bustelo et al., 2007; Paul et al., 2017; Bagci et al., 2020; Müller et al.,

2020; Wennerberg et al., 2004; Senoo et al., 2019). This revealed altogether 204 DEGs in

Suppression-, Down-, Up- and Prevention profiles (classes R1-R4, Fig 4B, S10 Table). Of these,

29 encode GTPase activating proteins (GAPs), 31 guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs),

134 effectors and 11 Rho GTPases (S10 Table). 115 (56 %) of these DEGs were in fact

associated with dynamic histone modifications (Fig 4C).

Recently all known proteins with putative GAP or GEF activities were biochemically

characterized regarding their actual effect on the three main Rho family proteins RhoA, Rac1

and Cdc42 (Müller et al., 2020). Analysis of our data in view of this report revealed that in

the Prevention profile 60 % of the active GAPs (6/10) act specifically on Rac as compared to

36 % (18/50) of all known GAPs (Figs 4D, E, S11 Table; Müller et al., 2020). In the Suppression

profile, Rho-, Rac- or Cdc42 specific GAP genes are not enriched and the percentages of GAP

activities acting on Rho (25 %) or Rac (25 %) are identical to those of total GAPs (Figs 4D, E;

Müller et al., 2020). We conclude that aided by epigenetic remodelling *Y. enterocolitica*

tends to keep Rac-inhibiting GAPs at the level of uninfected cells.

Of the 8 Rho GTPase genes in the Suppression and Prevention profiles, three encode

classical Rho proteins (Rac1, RhoC, RhoG) and five encode atypical Rho proteins (RhoH, RhoU,

RND1, RhoBTB1, RhoBTB2) (Fig 4F). Most atypical Rho proteins are considered constitutively

active and consequently are not regulated by GEFs and GAPs but instead are controlled at

the level of transcription and targeted destruction, i.e. proteasomal degradation

(Aspenström et al., 2007; Haga & Ridley, 2016). The cellular levels of RhoC and RhoG were

also shown to be transcriptionally regulated (Vincent et al., 1992; Fritz et al., 1999). Classical

and atypical Rho proteins often have overlapping cellular functions and share the same

effector proteins. Atypical Rho proteins have so far been implicated in multiple activities

including tumour suppression (e.g. RhoBTB2), cell transformation and -morphogenesis as

well as development (e.g. RhoU and Rnd) (Aspenström et al., 2007; Stiegler & Boggon, 2020;

Hodge & Ridley, 2016; Chardin, 2006). Many atypical Rho proteins have been found to

regulate the activity of classical Rho Proteins, e.g. RhoH spatially controls Rac1 activity

(Tajadura-Ortega et al., 2018) and Rnd proteins bind and steer Rho GAP proteins to specific

cell sites (Stiegler & Boggon, 2020). Notably, the Rho protein genes in the Suppression

profile include Rac1, the Rac1 activator RhoG and the atypical Rho proteins RhoH and RhoU

(Fig 4F). These Rho proteins can alternatively activate Rac effectors, spatiotemporally control

Rac activity or take over Rac functions in cells (Bagci et al., 2020; Aspenström et al., 2007; Hodge & Ridley, 2016; Tajadura-Ortega et al.,2018; Chardin, 2006). Thus, a prominent effect of *Yersinia* in the Suppression profile is to inhibit the expression of genes, whose products can keep up Rac activity. This effect complements well the blocked downregulation of Rac GAPs in the Prevention profile (Figs 4D, E).

Altogether epigenetically controlled gene expression might cause low Rac activity in *Yersinia* infected cells and thereby cooperate with the Rac down-regulating T3SS effectors YopE, YopO and YopT (Aepfelbacher & Wolters, 2017).

66 Rho GTPase effectors were altogether found in the overlaps of gene expression and epigenetic profiles (S5A, D, F Figs; Bustelo et al., 2007; Paul et al., 2017; Bagci et al., 2020). This includes effectors for all eight Rho GTPase subfamilies (Rho, Rac, Cdc42, RhoD/Rif, Rnd, Wrch-1/Chp, RhoH and RhoBTB) (S5F Fig, S12 Table). Interestingly, while *Yersinia* prevents downregulation of genes for the atypical RhoBTBs (Fig 4F), it at the same time suppresses expression of genes encoding specific RhoBTB effectors (S5F Fig, S12 Table). Furthermore, 19 of the identified Rho GTPase effectors have been implicated in epigenetic and transcriptional regulation, suggesting mechanisms for crosstalk and feedback in the epigenetic control of Rho GTPase pathway gene expression (S12 Table). Another level of regulation is provided by the finding that some DEGs from Suppression- and Prevention profile genes belong to the machinery for ubiquitination and/or proteasomal degradation of Rho proteins (Fig 4G; Hodge & Ridley, 2016).

Altogether these data indicate that yersiniae modulate expression of a large part of Rho GTPase pathway genes in macrophages through reprogramming of cellular chromatin on multiple levels (S5D, E Figs, Figs 4E, F, H).

YopP is a major modulator of epigenetic reprogramming upon *Y. enterocolitica* infection

The *Y. enterocolitica* T3SS effectors YopP (YopJ in *Y. pseudotuberculosis*) and YopM have been shown to modulate inflammatory gene expression in *Yersinia* infected macrophages (Schubert et al., 2020). We therefore examined whether YopP and YopM contribute to the epigenetic reprogramming by *Y. enterocolitica.* Macrophages were infected (6 h) with WA314 strains lacking YopP or YopM (strains WA314ΔYopP or WA314ΔYopM; S1 Table) and investigated using ChIP-seq and RNA-seq (H3K4me3 and H3K27ac modifications; Fig 1A). Principal component analysis (PCA) revealed that the WA314ΔYopM- and WA314 induced H3K27ac- and H3K4me3 modifications located close to each other, while the WA314ΔYopP induced modifications were clearly separate (Fig 5A, S6A Fig). This suggests that YopP but not YopM significantly contributes to the epigenetic changes produced by WA314. The effects of WA314ΔYopP and WA314ΔYopM were directly compared with the effects of WA314 on H3K27ac- and H3K4me3 modifications and gene expression. Notably, 684 H3K4me3 regions and 5094 H3K27ac regions were differentially regulated between WA314ΔYopP and WA314 (Fig 5B). In contrast, altogether only 29 histone modifications were differentially regulated between WA314ΔYopM and WA314 (Fig 5B). While 1616 DEGs were detected between WA314ΔYopP and WA314, also 804 DEGs were found between WA314ΔYopM and WA314 (Fig 5B). This suggests that YopP affects gene transcription in macrophages to a large extent through modulation of H3K27ac- and H3K4me3 histone modifications. In contrast, although YopM strongly affects gene transcription, it does not do so by regulating H3K4me3- and H3K27ac histone modifications. We next studied what proportions of the WA314-induced histone modifications in the Suppression-, Prevention-, and Up- and Down profiles were due to YopP (S6B Fig). For this, the percentage YopP effect

was calculated from the ratio of fold change (FC) between WA314ΔYopP vs WA314 and

either WA314 vs WAC (Suppression and Prevention profiles) or WA314 vs mock (Up and

Down profiles). In the promoter and enhancer classes the median Yop contribution to the

WA314 effects was 42 % and ranged from 8.9 % - 57.2 % (S6B Fig). Furthermore, the median

YopP contribution to the WA314 effects on gene expression associated with histone

modifications was 51.4 % (S6C Fig). We conclude that YopP on average contributes around

one half to the effects of *Y. enterocolitica* on histone modifications and gene expression.

Consequently, other T3SS associated virulence factors - except YopM – also contribute

significantly.

We looked in more detail at the effects of YopP on Rho GTPase pathway genes (Fig 4, S13

Table). In the Suppression- and Prevention profiles of Rho GTPase pathway genes, YopP

contributed in the mean 48.5 % and 78.5 %, respectively, to the WA314 effects on gene

expression (S13 Table). However, at the level of individual genes the YopP effect was widely

spread from 2 to 103 % in the Suppression- and from 29 to 177 % in Prevention profile (Fig

5C, S13 Table). We tested whether this widely distributed effect of YopP also applies to

inflammatory genes (Fig 3H, S4A Fig, S14 Table). Notably, while the mean effect of YopP on

the Suppression profile inflammatory genes was 46 % (S14 Table), the effect on individual

genes was spread from -57 to 103 % (Fig 5D). Although the majority of YopP induced

changes in gene expression were associated with corresponding YopP induced changes in

histone modifications, some YopP regulated genes were associated with histone

modifications induced by other T3SS effectors and vice versa (e.g. IL2RA highlighted in Figs

5D, E; S13 and S14 Tables, S6D Fig). Further, although YopP contribution to gene expression

and histone modifications was evident for the majority of genes and regions associated with

inflammatory response and Rho GTPase pathways, the YopP effects on gene expression on

one hand and histone modifications on the other hand frequently did not correlate (S6D Fig).

Thus, the YopP effect on the expression of individual Rho GTPase pathway and inflammatory

genes varies considerably from representing the complete *Yersinia* wild type effect to just a

minor contribution. It thereby appears that different T3SS effectors and histone

modifications contribute to the widely varying YopP effects on gene expression.

YopP/YopJ blocks PAMP-induced inflammatory gene expression by inhibiting NF-κB and

MAP-kinase signaling (Schubert et al., 2020). Interestingly, MAP-kinases also phosphorylate

histone-3 at serine-10, a modification that is thought to promote the deposition of activating

histone marks like H3K14ac and H3K16ac (Sawicka & Seiser, 2014). We therefore sought to

find out whether YopP reduces the deposition of H3K4me3- and/or H3K27ac marks through

inhibition of MAP-kinases. WA314 inhibited the deposition of H3K4me3 at the IDO1- and

SOCS3 promoters and deposition of H3K27ac at the SOCS3 and PTGS2 promoters in the

macrophages (Fig 5F). YopP contributed to the WA314 effects on these genes, as seen by

significantly less inhibitory activity of WA314ΔYopP on deposition of the histone marks when

compared to WA314 (Fig 5F). When combined with the MAP-kinase inhibitors SB203580 and

PD98059, targeting p38 and MEK1, respectively, WA314ΔYopP was nearly as effective as

WA314 in inhibiting the deposition of H3K4me3 marks at the IDO1 and SOCS3 promoters

and deposition of H3K27ac marks at the PTGS2 and SOCS3 promoters (Fig 5F). Thus, MAP-

kinase inhibitors can substitute for the missing YopP activity in WA314ΔYopP. This is

consistent with the idea that YopP blocks deposition of the histone marks through MAP-

kinase inhibition.

Finally, we wanted to determine whether the histone modification-driven reprogramming of

Rho GTPase pathway genes has functional consequences in macrophages. For this, we took

advantage of suppression of ABI1 gene expression by WA314, which is entirely dependent

on YopP (Fig 5C and S6D Fig). ABI1 encodes a component of the WAVE regulatory complex

that controls actin polymerization in macrophages (Chen et al., 2014; Stahnke et al., 2021).

ChIP-qPCR analysis confirmed that YopP suppresses H3K27ac at enhancer within ABI1 gene

and H3K4me3 at ABI1 promoter (S6E Fig). Interestingly, during WA314ΔYopP infection

treatment with MAP kinase inhibitors suppressed only H3K4me3 and not H3K27ac (S6E Fig),

suggesting that different signaling pathways regulate promoter H3K4me3 and enhancer

H3K27ac. Gene expression analysis by RT-qPCR confirmed suppression of ABI1 expression by

YopP, which was dependent on inhibition of the MAPK pathway (S6F Fig). Corresponding to

gene expression, the ABI1 protein was upregulated in WA314ΔYopP- compared to WA314

infected macrophages and this could be prevented by MAP-kinase inhibitors (Fig 5G). In the

WA314ΔYopP infected macrophages ABI1 prominently accumulated at cell cell contacts,

where it colocalized with actin, vinculin and the zonula occludens protein ZO1 (Figs 5H, I).

Further, accumulation of ABI1 was associated with increased levels of actin at the cell cell

contacts, indicating enhanced WAVE regulatory complex-induced actin polymerization (Figs

5H, I; Yamazaki et al., 2007). Notably, accumulation of ABI1 and actin was reversed by

treatment of p300 histone acetyltransferase inhibitor C646 (Fig 5J; S6G Fig). Altogether this

data shows that through inhibition of the MAPK pathway YopP targets histone modifications

and gene expression of the ABI1 gene, causing a redistribution of ABI1 and actin in *Yersinia*

infected macrophages.

Discussion

At present only scarce information is available on epigenetic reprogramming of immune cells

by pathogenic bacteria (Rolando et al., 2013; Pacis et al., 2019). This study was conducted to

find out whether the enteropathogen *Y. enterocolitica* alters chromatin states to globally

control gene expression in human macrophages. Our results provide a number of novel

insights into systemic reorganization of epigenetic histone modifications in human

macrophages upon infection of pathogenic yersiniae.

A primary goal was to map in detail whether and how the effects of the *Yersinia* PAMPs on

histone modifications are reorganized by the T3SS associated virulence factors of the

bacteria. To this end, we systematically compared the effects of a *Y. enterocolitica* wild type

strain and a derived avirulent strain.

Infection with virulent and avirulent yersiniae extensively reorganized histone marks

(H3K4me1, H3K4me3 and H3K27ac) at macrophage gene promoters and enhancers.

H3K27ac marks were the most dynamic (> 22.000 loci altered) followed by H3K4me1 marks

(2156 loci altered). That H3K27ac marks are highly dynamic in human macrophages

stimulated with bacterial agents has been reported previously (Novakovic et al., 2016).

We could classify the bacteria-induced reorganization of histone modifications into four

profiles, which we named Suppression, Down, Up and Prevention. In the Suppression- and

Prevention profiles, the bacteria's T3SS effectors block the PAMP-induced deposition or

removal, respectively, of histone marks. In Down- and Up profiles, the T3SS-associated

effectors down- or up-regulate, respectively, histone marks independently of PAMP

activities.

The fraction of histone modifications overlapping with actual gene expression in the four

profiles varied widely. Overlaps ranged from 30 % in the Suppression profile to 2% in the

Down profile. The biological significance of *Yersinia*-modulated histone modifications that do

not immediately affect gene expression is unclear. It has been observed that chromatin

changes in stimulated macrophages do not always associate with gene expression (Park et

al., 2017; Qiao et al., 2013; Kang et al., 2019). These "silent" histone modifications may be

involved in priming, immune memory, immune tolerance or other complex gene regulatory

processes in macrophages (Glass & Natoli, 2015; Ivashkiv, 2013).

It was interesting to note that different histone marks at individual promoters or at related

promoters and enhancers were reorganized in a coordinated manner upon infection, mostly

in the Suppression- and Prevention profiles. In these instances, the histone modifications

were more frequently associated with changes in gene expression. Thus, the activity of

bacterial pathogenicity factors lead to systematic co-regulation of different histone

modifications to effectively act on gene transcription.

The T3SS effector YopP accounted for 40-50% of the changes in histone modifications and

gene expression produced by virulent *Y. enterocolitica* in macrophages, as exemplified with

inflammatory and Rho GTPase pathway genes. Inhibition of MAP-kinase signaling was a

crucial mechanism underlying these YopP effects for selected target genes. YopP regulated

the expression of genes, at which it changed histone modifications, to very different degrees.

E.g., for individual inflammatory or Rho GTPase pathway genes the contribution of YopP to

the *Yersinia* effect ranged from null to 100%. Interestingly, the histone modifications at

some of the genes whose expression was strongly affected by YopP were changed by other

T3SS effectors. We could exclude YopM as one of these effectors, because it did not alter

close to any of the histone modifications investigated here. Thus, YopP but not YopM

regulates the expression of selective genes through histone modifications and thereby

seemingly cooperates to varying extents with other T3SS effectors. Of note, in addition to

histone modifications, other gene regulatory mechanisms clearly also contribute to the *Yersinia-* and YopP effects on gene expression (Köberle et al., 2012). Thus, our data suggest that virulent yersiniae fine tune expression of individual genes in specific biological pathways through governing the cooperation between histone modifications and other gene regulatory mechanisms. For this the bacteria employ YopP as the main T3SS effector that cooperates with other effectors. Together the effectors mostly remodel PAMP-induced signal transduction but also exert PAMP independent effects on gene transcription (Köberle et al., 2012).

Among the most significant findings of our study was the remodeling of histone modifications at 61 % of all 534 known Rho GTPase genes. When considered in terms of gene expression, 38 % of all known Rho GTPase pathway genes were differentially expressed whereby well over half of them were associated with dynamic histone modifications. The latter encode Rho proteins (Rho GTPases) and their effectors, activators (GEFs) and deactivators (GAPs). To control dynamic cellular processes such as cytoskeletal reorganization or vesicular transport, the right Rho proteins, regulators and effectors have to be activated or deactivated at the right time and location. Rho GTPase signaling networks are characterized by the sheer countless interactions that can take place between the different Rho GTPases, regulators and effectors and by crosstalk and feedback loops (Bustelo et al., 2007; Paul et al., 2017; Bagci et al., 2020; Müller et al., 2020). Our results add a further level of complexity to Rho GTPase regulation in macrophages by revealing an extensive epigenetic control of Rho GTPase pathway gene expression.

One of our intriguing findings was that genes encoding GAPs for Rac were overrepresented in the Prevention profile. This indicates that epigenetic changes upon *Yersinia* infection create a cellular state in which downregulation of Rac inhibitors is prevented, which favours

Rac inhibition. Inhibition of Rac is a known central strategy of *Yersinia* with the three T3SS

effectors YopE, YopT and YopO acting as Rac inhibitors (Aepfelbacher & Wolters, 2017).

Further consistent with this bacterial strategy is the finding that expression of Rac1, the Rac1

activator RhoG and the atypical Rho proteins RhoH and RhoU, which can take over Rac

functions (Bagci et al., 2020; Aspenström et al., 2007; Hodge & Ridley, 2016; Tajadura-

Ortega et al.,2018; Chardin, 2006), were suppressed by the bacteria . A reason why *Yersinia*

places a focus on inhibition of Rac may be the outstanding role that it plays in anti-microbial

activities of macrophages such as phagocytosis, chemotaxis and production of reactive

oxygen species (Bokoch, 2005). Rac inhibition by YopE also prevents overshooting

translocation of T3SS effectors into cells (Aepfelbacher & Wolters, 2017). A number of genes

for atypical Rho proteins (RHOH, RHOU, RND1, RHOBTB1, RHOBTB2) were found in the

Suppression and Prevention profiles. The activities of atypical Rho proteins are generally

controlled by gene transcription and protein degradation and not by GAPs and GEFs

(Aspenström et al., 2007; Haga & Ridley, 2016), but their regulation by epigenetic

mechanisms has so far not been reported. Although the specific functions of atypical Rho

proteins in macrophages are widely unknown, atypical Rho proteins also can regulate the

activity of classical Rho proteins in different cell types (Aspenström et al., 2007; Stiegler &

Boggon, 2020; Hodge & Ridley, 2016; Chardin, 2006). For instance, RhoH spatially controls

Rac1 activity (Tajadura-Ortega et al.,2018) and Rnd proteins bind and steer Rho GAP proteins

to specific cell sites (Stiegler & Boggon, 2020). We also found that downregulation of genes

for the atypical Rho proteins RhoBTBs was prevented and expression of genes for some

RhoBTB effectors was suppressed in parallel by the bacteria. Thus, through epigenetic

mechanisms *Yersinia* may determine which atypical Rho protein/effector pairs are functional

in macrophages. Considering the universal role that Rho GTPases play in cellular function,

the multifaceted epigenetic regulation of their expression will undoubtedly affect their

activities and thereby have functional consequences in macrophages.

Providing support for this notion, we here show that MAP kinase (MAPK) pathway regulates

histone modifications, gene expression and protein levels of ABI1 gene with associated

redistribution of actin cytoskeleton and ABI1 protein, a component of the WAVE actin

regulatory complex, at macrophage-macrophage contacts. Furthermore, treatment of

macrophages with p300 histone acetyltransferase inhibitor reversed this ABI1 and actin

accumulation, providing a direct link between histone modifications and actin reorganization.

Increased ABI1 enhances actin accumulation and recruitment of adhesion proteins at these

contacts, likely promoting the stabilization of these structures (Ryu et al., 2009; Zipfel et al.,

2006).

Taken together, in this study we decipher key principles of epigenetic reorganization of

human macrophages upon infection of the bacterial enteropathogen *Y. enterocolitica*. 1)

Remodeling of histone modifications and associated changes in gene expression can be

classified in different profiles, with virulent bacteria mainly suppressing or preventing the

effects of bacterial PAMPs, but also exerting independent stimulatory or inhibitory activities

through their T3SS effectors. Suppressed histone modifications with corresponding gene

suppression mostly belong to immune and inflammatory signaling, whereas prevented

modifications/genes belong to Rho GTPase pathway and metabolic pathways (Fig 6). 2)

Changes in the different histone modifications at promoters and enhancers are often

coordinated, so that they can act cooperatively on gene expression. 3) The T3SS effector

YopP contributes up to 50 % to *Yersinia*-induced remodeling of histone modifications and

gene expression, through its inhibitory effect on MAP kinase signaling. At the level of

individual gene expression, the contribution of YopP varies from null to 100%, even in genes

belonging to the same biological pathways. 4) Histone modifications, transcription factor

expression, and other unidentified mechanisms are reprogrammed by YopP and other T3SS

effectors and cooperatively cause the effects of *Yersinia* on individual gene expression. 5)

While there was an up to 30% overlap between histone modifications and associated gene

expression in the profiles, the majority of histone modifications did not alter gene

expression. In these cases, such epigenetic modifications could prepare cells for subsequent

stimuli or provide a basis for innate immune memory or tolerance. Further studies are

warranted to test these intriguing possibilities.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains

Yersinia enterocolitica strains used in this study are derivatives of the serotype O:8 strain WA314 harboring the virulence plasmid pYVO8 (Heesemann & Laufs, 1983). WA314ΔYopM was constructed by replacing the YopM gene in WA314 with a kanamycin resistance cassette (Trülzsch et al., 2004). WA314ΔYopP was generated by insertional inactivation of *yopP* gene (Ruckdeschel et al., 2001). WA314-YopE-ALFA strain was constructed using CRISPR-Cas12a-assisted recombineering approach as described in preprint from Carsten et al., 2021. S1 Table provides an overview of the bacterial strains used in this study and oligonucleotide sequences for the generation of WA314-YopE-ALFA strain.

Cell culture

Human peripheral blood monocytes were isolated from buffy coats as described in Kopp et al., 2006. Cells were cultured in RPMI1640 containing 20 % autologous serum at 37 °C and 5 % CO_2 atmosphere. The medium was changed every three days until cells were differentiated into macrophages after 7 days. Macrophages were used for infection 1 week after the isolation except for RNA-seq experiments for two mock, WA314 and WA314ΔYopM samples from Berneking et al., 2016 and WA314ΔYopP RNA-seq samples where cells were used after 2 weeks of differentiation (RNA-seq samples from batch 2 in S17 Table).

Infection of cells

On the day before infection of primary human macrophages the cell medium was changed to RPMI1640 without antibiotics and serum and precultures of *Y. enterocolitica* strains (S1

Table) were grown overnight in LB medium with appropriate antibiotics at 27 °C and 200 x

rpm. On the day of infection precultures were diluted 1:20 in fresh LB medium without

antibiotics and incubated for 90 min at 37 °C and 200 x rpm to induce activation of the

Yersinia T3SS machinery and Yop expression. Afterwards bacteria were pelleted by

centrifugation for 10 min at 6000 x g, 4 °C and resuspended in 1 ml ice-cold PBS containing 1

mM $MgCl_2$ and $CaCl_2$. The optical density OD_{600} was adjusted to 3.6 and afterwards

macrophages were infected at multiplicity-of-infection (MOI) of 100. Cell culture dishes were

centrifuged for 2 min at RT and 200 x g to sediment bacteria on the cells and synchronize

infection. Cells were incubated at 37 °C for 6 h.

CFU assay

For analysis of bacterial growth (S1E Fig) *Yersinia* cultures were prepared and cells infected

as described above in "Infection of cells". Bacterial sample at 0 h was prepared from bacteria

used as an input. Afterwards macrophages and bacteria present in the wells were harvested

by scraping without washing and centrifuged at 5000 x g at 4 °C. Pellet was resuspended in

0.5 % Digitonin in PBS to lyze macrophages and not bacteria and incubated for 10 min on ice.

Afterwards lysate was used to prepare 10-fold serial dilutions, which were plated in

duplicate on LB agar plates containing appropriate antibiotics. Plates were incubated at 26

°C for 24 h before counting the colonies. Two independent experiments with different

biological replicates were used for the analysis. The results showed that the number of wild

type *Yersinia* at 6 h increased about 3 fold when compared to 0 h (S1E Fig) indicating a low

level of replication under selected infection conditions.

SYTOX Green assay

For analysis of cell death during infection SYTOX Green assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was performed which measures membrane permeability (Grootjans et al., 2016). $6x10^4$ macrophages per well in a 96-well plate were seeded in RPMI1640 on the day before analysis. The following day macrophages were treated with PBS (mock), WA314 (MOI 100) or positive control (1 % TRITON-X-100) in duplicates. Plates were centrifuged for 2 min at RT, 200 x g and incubated at 37 °C for 6 h. SYTOX Green reagent was added to cells 30 min before the end of 6 h incubation. SYTOX fluorescence due to membrane permeabilization was measured with plate reader (Tecan infinite M200) at 485/520 nm. Two biological replicates were used for the analysis.

Flow cytometry

Antibody staining for flow cytometry was done in FACS buffer (PBS, 0.1 % BSA) at room temperature in the dark for 30 min, followed by washing in FACS buffer and fixation with 2 % paraformaldehyde in FACS buffer. Cells were stained with the following antibodies: anti-CD14 (Biolegend, 301806), anti-CD16 (Biolegend, 302018), anti-CD38 (Biolegend, 301806), anti-CD86 (BD biosciences), anti-HLA-DR (Biolegend, 307642), anti-CD163 (Biolegend, 333608) and anti-CD206 (Biolegend, 321109). In addition, cells were stained with live/dead dye (Invitrogen, P30253) to identify live cells.

Flow cytometry data was acquired on a Celesta flow cytometer (BD biosciences) and flow cytometry data was analyzed using Flowing software (Turku Bioscience). Analysis showed that 63.9 % of macrophage culture were $CD14^+$ macrophages (S1F Fig). $CD14^+$ macrophages were positive for macrophage markers CD38 (99 %), CD86 (98.5 %), HLA-DR (74.9 %), CD206 (91.6 %), CD163 (61.6 %) and CD16 (88 %) (S1F Fig).

Treatment with inhibitors

For the MAPK pathway inhibition combination of 5 μM SB203580 (Cayman Chemical) and 5 μM PD98059 (Merck Millipore), which target p38 and MEK1, respectively, was used. Inhibitors were added to macrophages 30-60 min before the infection. Staurosporine (LC Laboratories; 5 μM) or C646 (Merck; 10 μM) were added at the start of infection.

RNA-seq

Total RNA of 1-2 x 10^6 human macrophages (2-4 biological replicates/ macrophage donors per condition, see Table S17) was isolated using RNeasy extraction kit (Qiagen) including DNAse treatment according to manufacturer's instructions. RNA integrity of the isolated RNA was analyzed with the RNA 6000 Nano Chip (Agilent Technologies) on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). mRNA was extracted using the NEBNext Poly(A) mRNA Magnetic Isolation module (New England Biolabs) and RNA-seq libraries were generated using the NEBNext Ultra RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs) as per manufacturer's recommendations. Concentrations of all samples were measured with a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and fragment lengths distribution of the final libraries was analyzed with the DNA High Sensitivity Chip (Agilent Technologies) on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). All samples were normalized to 2 nM and pooled equimolar. The library pool was sequenced on the NextSeq500 (Illumina) with 1 x 75 bp and total 19.9 to 23.8 million reads per sample.

RNA-seq analysis

Sequencing reads containing bases with low quality scores (quality Phred score cutoff 20) or adapters were trimmed using TrimGalore program (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/).

Reads were aligned to the human reference assembly hg19 using STAR (Dobin et al., 2012). FeatureCounts (Liao et al., 2014) was employed to obtain the number of reads mapping to each gene. RNA-seq data have been deposited in the ArrayExpress database at EMBL-EBI (www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress) under accession number E-MTAB-10473. RNA-seq data from additional replicates of mock, WA314 6h and WA314ΔYopM 6h were obtained from European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) at http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/view/PRJEB10086.

RNA-seq differential expression analysis

Statistical analysis of differential expression was carried out with DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014) using raw counts as an input and the experimental design *~batch + condition*. Significantly enriched genes were defined with fold change ≥ 2 and adjusted P-value ≤ 0.05. Normalized rlog counts for each gene were obtained after batch effect removal with limma package (Ritchie et al., 2015) and used for downstream clustering analysis and visualization. PCA analysis and sample distance heatmaps confirmed high reproducibility between all biological replicates (see Table S17) used in the analysis. Clustering analysis of all DEGs from comparisons between mock, WAC, WA314 was done with rlog counts in R with pheatmap package. Clustering was performed with clustering distance based on Euclidean distance and Complete clustering method to yield 6 clusters

which were further assembled into profiles Suppression, Prevention, Up and Down.

Clustering distance, clustering method and number of clusters were selected so that all

meaningful clusters were identified by the analysis. For heatmaps rlog counts from DESeq2

analysis were scaled by row (row Z-score) and low to high expression levels are indicated by

blue-white-red color gradient. 2 representative biological replicates are shown for each

sample in the figures for visualization purposes, count data from all replicates are available

in the Table S6.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

For the ChIP with formaldehyde crosslinking, macrophages (3-10 x 10^6 cells per condition, 2-

4 biological replicates/ macrophage donors per condition, see Table S17) were washed once

with warm PBS and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C with accutase (eBioscience) to detach the

cells. ChIP protocol steps were performed as described in (Günther et al., 2016), except that

BSA-blocked ChIP grade protein A/G magnetic beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were added

to the chromatin and antibody mixture and incubated for 2 h at 4 °C rotating to bind

chromatin-antibody complexes. Samples were incubated for ~3 min with a magnetic stand

to ensure attachment of beads to the magnet and mixed by pipetting during the wash steps.

Eluted DNA was either subjected to ChIP-seq library preparation or used for ChIP-qPCR

experiments. Input chromatin DNA was prepared from 1/4 of chromatin amount used for

ChIP. Antibodies used for ChIP were anti-H3K4me3 (Merck Millipore, 04–745, 4 µl per ChIP),

anti-H3K27me3 (Merck Millipore, 07-449, 4 µl per ChIP), anti-H3K27ac (abcam, ab4729, 4 µg

per ChIP) and anti-H3K4me1 (Cell Signaling, 5326S, 5 µl per ChIP).

ChIP library preparation and sequencing

ChIP-seq libraries were constructed with 1-10 ng of ChIP DNA or input control as a starting material. Libraries were generated using the NEXTflex™ ChIP-Seq Kit (Bioo Scientific) as per manufacturer's recommendations. Concentrations of all samples were measured with a Qubit Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and fragment length distribution of the final libraries was analyzed with the DNA High Sensitivity Chip on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). All samples were normalized to 2 nM and pooled equimolar. The library pool was sequenced on the NextSeq500 (Illumina) with 1 x 75 bp and total 18.6 to 41 million reads per sample.

ChIP-seq analysis

Sequencing reads containing bases with low quality scores (quality Phred score cutoff 20) or adapters were trimmed using TrimGalore program (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/). BWA (Li & Durbin, 2009) was used to align reads from FASTQ files to hg19 human reference genome. Samtools (Liet et al., 2009) was used for manipulations (e.g. sorting, indexing, conversions) of the sequencing files. Picard (https://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/) was used for duplicate read removal. BEDTools (Quinlan & Hall, 2010) was used for generation of BED files. The following command was used for the alignment to hg19:

bwa mem -M <reference genome> <ChIP-seqfile.fastq> | samtools view -bT <reference genome> | samtools view -b -q 30 -F 4 -F 256 > <aligned-ChIP-seq-file.bam>

ChIP-seq data have been deposited in the ArrayExpress database at EMBL-EBI (www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress) under accession number E-MTAB-10475.

ChIP-seq peak calling

MACS2 peak calling (Zhang et al., 2008) against the input control was used for H3K4me3 and H3K27ac with *-q 0.01* parameter. SICER peak calling (Zang et al., 2009) against the input control was used for H3K4me1 and H3K27me3 with default settings. SICER peaks for ChIP enrichment over background with fold change ≥ 2 were selected. MACS2 and SICER peaks were filtered to exclude blacklisted regions (Amemiya et al., 2019). To generate a file with all peaks for each modification, peaks found in replicates for different conditions were pooled together and merged using BEDTools (Quinlan & Hall, 2010).

ChIP-seq differential region analysis

DiffReps (Shen et al., 2013) was used for identification of differentially enriched regions/ dynamic regions between all collected biological replicates (see Table S17) from mock, WAC, WA314, WA314ΔYopP and WA314ΔYopM. Csaw (Lun & Smyth, 2015) normalization coefficients for efficiency bias were calculate with *width 150, spacing 100, minq 50, ext 210* and used as input normalization coefficients in diffReps. Other diffReps parameters were set to default except for H3K4me1 and H3K27me3 where *–nsd broad* parameter was used. Accuracy of differentially enriched regions was examined in IGV (Thorvaldsdóttir et al., 2012). Statistically significant sites with log2 FC ≥1 and adjusted P-value ≤0.05 were selected for further analysis. Regions were further filtered to exclude regions that do not overlap MACS2 or SICER peaks, overlap blacklist regions (Amemiya et al., 2019) and regions with low read counts (less than 20 for any replicate in the upregulated condition; less than 20 in the upregulated condition for H3K4me3). Additionally, H3K27me3 regions with length less than 1700 bp were excluded as smaller regions appeared to be false positives. For further

872   clustering analysis of H3K4me3 and H3K27ac dynamic regions at promoters and enhancers

873   all differential sites for each mark for different conditions were pooled together and merged.

ChIP-seq quantification of tag counts

876   For quantification of tag counts at peaks and differential sites raw counts at target regions

877   were quantified using EaSeq (Lerdrup et al., 2016) and normalized with csaw (Lun & Smyth,

878   2015) efficiency bias coefficients with the formula: *(1+raw counts)/(csaw effective library*

879   *size/10^6)/bp per kb*.

ChIP-seq classification and annotation of regions

Promoter coordinates of ±2 kb from TSS and associated gene annotations were extracted

from RefSeq hg19 gene annotations in UCSC Genome Browser (Haeussler et al., 2018) using

EaSeq (Lerdrup et al., 2016). Regions overlapping promoter coordinates were defined as

regions at promoters and annotated with associated genes; one region could overlap

multiple promoters and associate with multiple genes. Regions that did not overlap

promoters but overlapped with H3K4me1 regions were assigned to enhancers. Enhancer

regions were annotated to the closest gene in EaSeq. The remaining regions were classified

as "undefined".

For the identification of "dynamic" and "constant" peak regions, pooled peaks (based on

MACS2 or SICER) were intersected with pooled differential site regions (based on diffReps)

for each histone modification. Peaks intersecting differential regions were defined as

"dynamic", whereas the rest of the peaks were termed "constant".

Latent enhancers were defined as sites outside promoters with H3K4me1 signal increase

based on diffReps without pre-existing H3K4me1 and H3K27ac signal (no SICER and MACS2

peaks)

ChIP-seq clustering of promoters and enhancer regions

Clustering of normalized tag counts at pooled differential sites was performed

with R function heatmap.2. All H3K4me3 and H3K27ac regions from pooled differential sites

that intersected promoter regions were used for promoter (P1a-P2d classes) heatmaps. For

the H3K27ac enhancer heatmap (E1-E4 classes) all H3K27ac regions from pooled differential

sites outside promoters and overlapping H3K4me1 peaks were used. H3K4me3 promoter

heatmap was generated with clustering distance based on Pearson correlation and

Complete clustering method. For H3K27ac promoter heatmap regions which did not overlap

regions in H3K4me3 promoter heatmap were used for clustering. H3K27ac promoter and

enhancer heatmaps were generated with clustering distance based on Spearman correlation

and Average clustering method. Clustering distance, clustering method and number of

clusters were selected so that all meaningful clusters were identified by the analysis. Clusters

were further assembled into classes based on the pattern of histone modifications across

conditions.

ChIP-seq comparison to public data

914 For comparison of H3K27ac data from this study and publicly available data (Park et al., 2017;

915 Novakovic et al., 2016) raw tag counts at all H3K27ac peaks from this study were normalized

916 with csaw (Lun & Smyth, 2015) efficiency bias coefficients. Batch effect between different

917 datasets was removed using limma package (Ritchie et al., 2015) and tag counts used to

918 calculate Spearman correlation.

ChIP-qPCR

ChIP-qPCR was performed with SYBR Green/ROX qPCR Master Mix kit (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) following manufacturer's instructions. Primers (S15 Table) were designed using

PRIMER-Blast tool (Ye et al., 2012) with the optimal melting temperature of 60 °C and

template length between 55 and 200 bp. For all primer pairs input chromatin DNA was used

to generate standard curves and verify amplification efficiency between 90-100 %. The

specificity of primers was confirmed using reaction without a DNA template and melting

curve analysis of PCR products. qPCR was performed on a Rotorgene 6000 qPCR machine

(Qiagen) and analyzed with the Rotor-Gene 6000 software (Qiagen). A gain optimization was

carried out at the beginning of the run. Concentration of amplified DNA was calculated

based on the standard curve. In order to compare changes in enrichment at specific regions

between different conditions, normalization was done with at least 2 selected control

regions which did not show change in histone modifications during infection.

RT-qPCR analysis

Uninfected or infected primary human macrophages were subjected to RNA extraction using

RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) following manufacturer's instructions. 1 µg of each RNA was

reverse transcribed using iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad Hercules) and subjected to RT-

qPCR reaction using the TaqMan Fast Advanced Master mix (Applied Biosystems) or SYBR

Green/ROX qPCR Master Mix kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and gene specific primers (see

Table S15 for primers).

For determination of IL1B and TNF mRNA levels the TaqMan Gene Expression Assays for

human IL1B (Hs00174097_m1) and TNF (Hs01113624_g1) were employed. As reference the

TagMan Gene Expression Assay for GAPDH (Hs02758991_g1), TATA-box binding protein (TBP)

(Hs00427620_m1) and beta-2-microglobulin (B2M) (Hs00187842_m1) was used (all from

Thermo Fisher Scientific). PCR was performed using the LightCycler 480 Instrument (Roche

Life Science) and data were analyzed according to manufacturer's instruction (Roche

LightCycler 480 software; Software release 1.5.1.62). Reference genes and external

standards were employed for the relative quantification of gene expression.

For determination of PPARGC1B and ABI1 mRNA levels RT-qPCR was performed with SYBR

Green/ROX qPCR Master Mix kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following manufacturer's

instructions. Primers (S15 Table) were designed using PRIMER-Blast tool (Ye et al., 2012)

with the optimal melting temperature of 60 °C, template length between 55 and 200 bp and

including intron junctions. cDNA was used to generate standard curves and verify

amplification efficiency between 90-100 %. The specificity of primers was confirmed using

reaction without a cDNA template or reverse transcription reaction without the reverse

transcriptase and melting curve analysis of PCR products. qPCR was performed on a

Rotorgene 6000 qPCR machine (Qiagen) and analyzed with the Rotor-Gene 6000 software

(Qiagen). A gain optimization was carried out at the beginning of the run. Concentration of

amplified cDNA was calculated based on the standard curve and normalized using reference

genes GAPDH and HPRT.

Pathway analysis

Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) terms were

determined for RNA-seq and ChIP-seq gene lists by using DAVID webtool (Huang et al., 2008;

Huang et al., 2009).

Motif analysis

Transcription factor (TF) motif enrichment for known motifs was performed using HOMER

package (Heinz et al., 2010). Command *findMotifsGenome.pl* was used and a list of genomic

coordinates was supplied as an input; the exact size of supplied regions was used by setting

parameter *-size given*.

Boxplots

Boxplots were generated using ggplot2 in R. Boxes encompass the twenty-fifth to

seventy-fifth percentile changes. Whiskers extend to the tenth and ninetieth percentiles.

Outliers are depicted with black dots. The central horizontal bar indicates the median.

Association between RNA-seq and ChIP-seq

Gene lists from RNA-seq and ChIP-seq were compared based on gene symbols to

find the number of overlapping genes and determine how many genes showed associated

epigenetic and gene expression changes. In some cases RNA-seq lists contained old gene

symbols which did not match to symbols in ChIP-seq lists with RefSeq annotation from UCSC.

All old symbols in RNA-seq lists without a match in RefSeq annotation were replaced with

the new symbol using Multi-symbol checker tool (Braschi et al., 2019).

988   Relative overlap between promoter and enhancer classes was obtained by dividing the

989   number of overlapping genes from two input classes with the total number of genes from

990   the first input class and then with total number of genes from the second input class.

Calculation of % YopP effect

Percentage YopP effect was calculated as ratio of FC between WA314ΔYopP vs

WA314 and WAC vs WA314 (Suppression and Prevention) or WA314 vs mock (Up

and Down). % YopP effect was presented for individual strongly WA314-regulated genes and

regions from inflammatory response and Rho GTPase pathway, which associated with gene

expression and histone modification changes in Suppression and Prevention profiles.

Specifically, only genes were selected, which overlapped WAC vs WA314 DEGs and

differentially enriched regions. Normalized tag counts were calculated for the target regions

and used to obtain FC.

1001

Rho GTPase pathway gene analysis

The target gene list with 534 Rho GTPase pathway genes was compiled from publicly

available data and included 370 effectors binding GTP-Rho GTPases (Bustelo et al., 2007;

Bagci et al., 2020; Paul et al., 2017), 66 GAPs, 77 GEFs (Müller et al., 2020) and 23 Rho

GTPases (Wennerberg & Der, 2004; Senoo et al., 2019 list in the supplementary). The list of

genes does not match the list including activities (534 vs 536) as some genes possess

multiple activities.

1009

1010

Immunofluorescence staining

For analysis of YopP effect on actin and ABI1 infected cells were washed twice with PBS, fixed with 4 % PFA in PBS for 5 min and permeabilized with 0.1 % Triton X-100 (w/v) in PBS for 10 min. After fixation and permeabilization coverslips were washed twice with PBS. Unspecific binding sites were blocked with 3 % bovine serum albumin (BSA, w/v) in PBS for at least 30 min. Samples were then incubated with 1:100 (anti-ABI1 (Sigma-Aldrich), anti-ZO-1 (Zymed), anti-H3K27ac (Abcam)) or 1:200 (anti-vinculin (Sigmal-Aldrich)) dilution of the primary antibody for 1 h and incubated with a 1:200 dilution of the suitable fluorophore-coupled secondary antibody for 45 min. Secondary anti-IgG antibodies and dyes used: Alexa488 chicken anti-rabbit (Molecular Probes), Alexa568 goat anti-mouse (Molecular Probes), AlexaFluor568 phalloidin (Invitrogen, GIBCO), AlexaFluor633 phalloidin (Invitrogen, GIBCO).

After each staining coverslips were washed three times with PBS. Both primary and secondary antibodies were applied in PBS supplemented with 3 % BSA. Fluorophore-coupled phalloidin (1:200, Invitrogen) was added to the secondary antibody staining solution as indicated. Coverslips were mounted in ProLong Diamond (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

For analysis of cells positive for effector translocation primary human macrophages were infected with different MOIs (10, 25, 50 and 100) of *Y. enterocolitica* WA314-YopE-ALFA strain for 6 h and fixed with 4 % PFA for 10 min. The samples were permeabilized with 0.1 % Triton-X-100 for 15 min, blocked with 3 % BSA for 1h and incubated with anti-ALFA nanobody-635 (FluoTag-X2 anti-ALFA Abberior Star 635P) (1:300) for 1h at RT. After washing 3 times with PBS, the cells were counterstained with DAPI and phalloidin- 488 and mounted on glass slides in Prolong Glass Antifade Mountant (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Microscopy

Fixed samples were analyzed with a confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica TCS SP8) equipped with a 63x, NA1.4 oil immersion objective and Leica LAS X SP8 software (Leica Microsystems) was used for acquisition.

Image analysis

For analysis of YopP effect on actin and ABI1 the z-stacks of images were combined to one image using maximum intensity projection. These images were used to measure the mean fluorescent intensity of the F-actin signal with a circle (9.02 µm diameter) around the cellular junctions between macrophages. The signal intensity at junctions refers to the maximum value of 255 for 8-bit images.

Western blot analysis

Primary human macrophages ($1\text{-}2*10^6$ cells per condition) were washed once with warm PBS and scrapped off the plates to harvest the cells. Cell were pelleted by centrifugation at 700 x g, 10 min and 4°C. For ABI1 western blot cells were resuspended in 60 µl Lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.5, 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10 % glycerol, 0.5 % NP-40, 0.75 % Triton X-100, protease inhibitor (Complete, Roche Diagnostics) and lyzed by incubation at 4°C for 30 min. For caspase-3 western blot cells were resuspended in 40 µl RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1 % TRITON-X-100, 0.5 % sodium deoxycholate, 0.1 % SDS, protease inhibitor (Complete, Roche Diagnostics) and lyzed by incubation at 4°C for 10 min and brief sonication. Lysates were centrifuged at 10000 x g, 10 min and 4°C and supernantant was collected for Western blot analysis.

Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF)

membrane (Immobilion-P, Millipore) by semi-dry blotting. The membrane was incubated in

blocking solution (5 % milk powder (w/v) for ABI1 or 3 % BSA (w/v) for GAPDH and caspase-3

in TBS supplemented with 0.03 % Tween 20; TBS-T) at room temperature for 30 min. Primary

antibody incubations (anti-ABI1 (Sigma-Aldrich) at 1:1000, anti-GAPDH (Sigma-Aldrich) at

1:3000, anti-caspase-3 (Cell Signaling) at 1:1000) were carried out at 4°C overnight,

secondary antibody incubation (horseradish peroxidase linked anti-rabbit IgG (Cell Signaling)

at 1:10000) was performed at room temperature for 1 h. Washing steps with TBS-T were

done between the incubations. Antibody signals were visualized with chemiluminescence

technology (Supersignal West Femto, Pierce Chemical) and captured on X-ray films (Fujifilm)

or digital imager (Licor).

1069

1070 **Ethics statement**

1071 Approval for the analysis of anonymized blood donations (WF-015/12) was obtained by the

1072 Ethical Committee of the Ärztekammer Hamburg (Germany).

1073

1074

Acknowledgements

We thank the UKE Core Facility Microscopy Imaging (Umif) for help with experimental setups

and data analysis. We also would like to thank Daniela Indenbirken for generating the RNA-

seq libraries and Frank Bentzien (UKE Transfusion Medicine) for buffy coats. We thank

Alexander Carsten and Asiri Ortega for WA314-YopE-ALFA strain and Eva Tolosa and

Manuela Kolster for flow cytometry analysis.

References

1083

Aepfelbacher, M. & Wolters, M. in The Actin Cytoskeleton and Bacterial Infection 201–220

(Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2017).

Aktories, K., Schmidt, G. & Just, I. Rho GTPases as Targets of Bacterial Protein Toxins. Biological

Chemistry **381,** 421 –426 (2000).

Aktories, K. Bacterial protein toxins that modify host regulatory GTPases. Nature reviews.

Microbiology **9,** 487—498 (2011).

Allis, C. D. & Jenuwein, T. The molecular hallmarks of epigenetic control. Nature Reviews

Genetics **17,** 487–500 (2016).

Amemiya, H. M., Kundaje, A. & Boyle, A. P. The ENCODE Blacklist: Identification of Problematic

Regions of the Genome. Scientific Reports **9,** 9354 (2019).

Aspenström, P., Ruusala, A. & Pacholsky, D. Taking Rho GTPases to the next level: The cellular

functions of atypical Rho GTPases. Experimental Cell Research **313,** 3673 –3679 (2007).

Auerbuch, V., Golenbock, D. T. & Isberg, R. R. Innate Immune Recognition of Yersinia

pseudotuberculosis Type III Secretion. PLOS Pathogens **5**, 1–16 (2009).

Bagci, H. et al. Mapping the proximity interaction network of the Rho-family GTPases reveals

signalling pathways and regulatory mechanisms. Nature cell biology **22,** 120—134 (2020).

Balada-Llasat, J.-M. & Mecsas, J. Yersinia Has a Tropism for B and T Cell Zones of Lymph

Nodes That Is Independent of the Type III Secretion System. PLOS Pathogens **2,** 1–13 (2006).

Barski, A. et al. High-Resolution Profiling of Histone Methylations in the Human Genome. Cell

129, 823—837 (2007).

Bedi, R., Du, J., Sharma, A. K., Gomes, I. & Ackerman, S. J. Human C/EBP-E activator and

repressor isoforms differentially reprogram myeloid lineage commitment and differentiation.

Blood **113,** 317–327 (2009).

Berneking, L. et al. Immunosuppressive Yersinia Effector YopM Binds DEAD Box Helicase

DDX3 to Control Ribosomal S6 Kinase in the Nucleus of Host Cells. PLoS Pathogens **12,** 1–35 (2016).

Bierne, H., Hamon, M. & Cossart, P. Epigenetics and Bacterial Infections. Cold Spring Harbor

Perspectives in Medicine **2** (2012).

Bohn, E. et al. Gene expression patterns of epithelial cells modulated by pathogenicity factors

of Yersinia enterocolitica†. Cellular Microbiology **6**, 129–141 (2004).

Bokoch, G. M. Regulation of innate immunity by Rho GTPases. Trends in Cell Biology **15,**

163–171 (2005).

Boureux, A., Vignal, E., Faure, S. & Fort, P. Evolution of the Rho Family of Ras-Like GTPases

in Eukaryotes. Molecular Biology and Evolution **24,** 203–216 (2006).

Braschi, B. et al. Genenames.org: the HGNC and VGNC resources in 2019. Nucleic Acids

Research **47,** D786–D792 (2018).

Brodsky, I. E. et al. A Yersinia Effector Protein Promotes Virulence by Preventing Inflammasome

Recognition of the Type III Secretion System. Cell Host and Microbe **7,** 376 –387 (2010).

Broz, P. & Dixit, V. M. Inflammasomes: mechanism of assembly, regulation and signalling.

Nature reviews. Immunology **16,** 407—420 (2016).

Bustelo, X. R., Sauzeau, V. & Berenjeno, I. M. GTP-binding proteins of the Rho/Rac family:

regulation, effectors and functions in vivo. BioEssays **29,** 356–370 (2007).

Carsten, A. et al. Visualization of bacterial type 3 secretion system components down to the

molecular level by MINFLUX nanoscopy. bioRxiv (2021).

Chakraborty, N. et al. The responses of lungs and adjacent lymph nodes in responding to

Yersinia pestis infection: A transcriptomic study using a non-human primate model. PLOS ONE

14, 1–24 (2019).

Chardin, P. Function and regulation of Rnd proteins. Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology **7,**

54—62 (2006).

Chen, B. et al. Epigenetic activation of the small GTPase TCL contributes to colorectal cancer

cell migration and invasion. Oncogenesis **9,** 86 (2020).

Chen, X. et al. Ena/VASP Proteins Cooperate with the WAVE Complex to Regulate the Actin

Cytoskeleton. Developmental Cell **30,** 569–584 (2014).

Christgen, S., Place, D. E. & Kanneganti, T.-D. Toward targeting inflammasomes: insights into

their regulation and activation. Cell research **30,** 315—327 (2020).

Chung, L. K. et al. The Yersinia Virulence Factor YopM Hijacks Host Kinases to Inhibit Type III

Effector-Triggered Activation of the Pyrin Inflammasome. Cell Host and Microbe **20,** 296 –306

(2016).

Comer, J. E. et al. Transcriptomic and Innate Immune Responses to Yersinia pestis in

the Lymph Node during Bubonic Plague. Infection and Immunity **78,** 5086–5098 (2010)

Connor, M. G. et al. Yersinia pestis Targets the Host Endosome Recycling Pathway during the

Biogenesis of the Yersinia-Containing Vacuole To Avoid Killing by Macrophages.

mBio **9,** e01800–17 (2018).

Connor, M., Arbibe, L. & Hamon, M. Customizing Host Chromatin: a Bacterial Tale. Microbiology

Spectrum **7** (2019).

Cornelis, G. R. & Wolf-Watz, H. The Yersinia Yop virulon: a bacterial system for subverting

eukaryotic cells. Molecular Microbiology **23,** 861–867 (1997).

Diacovich, L. & Gorvel, J.-P. Bacterial manipulation of innate immunity to promote infection.

Nature Reviews Microbiology **8,** 117–128 (2010).

Dobin, A. et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics **29,** 15–21 (2012).

Dong, W. & Hamon, M. A. Revealing eukaryotic histone-modifying mechanisms through bacterial

infection. Seminars in Immunopathology **42,** 201–213 (2020).

Doyle, S. E. et al. Toll-like Receptors Induce a Phagocytic Gene Program through p38. Journal

of Experimental Medicine **199,** 81–90 (2004).

Drechsler-Hake, D. et al. Mononuclear phagocytes contribute to intestinal invasion and dissemination

of Yersinia enterocolitica. International Journal of Medical Microbiology **306,** 357–366 (2016).

Fritz, G., Just, I. & Kaina, B. Rho GTPases are over-expressed in human tumors. International

Journal of Cancer **81,** 682–687 (1999).

Gallant, S. & Gilkeson, G. ETS transcription factors and regulation of immunity. Archivum

Immunologiae et Therapiae Experimentalis **54,** 149–163 (2006).

Galán, J. E. & Wolf-Watz, H. Protein delivery into eukaryotic cells by type III secretion machines.

Nature **444,** 567—573 (2006).

Gao, P. et al. Transcription factor Fli-1 positively regulates lipopolysaccharide-induced interleukin-27

production in macrophages. Molecular immunology **71,** 184—191 (2016).

Glass, C. K. & Natoli, G. Molecular control of activation and priming in macrophages. Nature

immunology **17,** 26–33 (2015).

Grassl, G. A. et al. Activation of NF-κB and IL-8 by Yersinia enterocolitica invasin protein is

conferred by engagement of Rac1 and MAP kinase cascades. Cellular Microbiology **5,** 957–

971 (2003).

Grootjans, S. et al. A real-time fluorometric method for the simultaneous detection of cell death

type and rate. Nature Protocols **11,** 1444–1454 (2016).

Günther, T., Theiss, J. M., Fischer, N. & Grundhoff, A. Investigation of Viral and Host Chromatin by

ChIP-PCR or ChIP-Seq Analysis. Current Protocols in Microbiology **40,** 1E.10.1–1E.10.21 (2016).

Göös, H. et al. Gain-of-function CEBPE mutation causes noncanonical autoinflammatory

inflammasomopathy. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology **144,** 1364–1376 (2019).

Haeussler, M. et al. The UCSC Genome Browser database: 2019 update. Nucleic Acids Research **47,**

D853–D858 (2018).

Haga, R. B. & Ridley, A. J. Rho GTPases: Regulation and roles in cancer cell biology. Small

GTPases **7,** 207–221 (2016).

Hammamieh, R. et al. Temporal Progression of Pneumonic Plague in Blood of Nonhuman Primate: A

Transcriptomic Analysis. PLOS ONE **11,** 1–21 (Mar. 2016).

Heasman, S. J. & Ridley, A. J. Mammalian Rho GTPases: new insights into their functions

from in vivo studies. Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology **9,** 690—701 (2008).

Heesemann, J & Laufs, R. Construction of a mobilizable Yersinia enterocolitica virulence plasmid.

Journal of Bacteriology **155,** 761–767 (1983).

Heine, W. et al. Loss of CNFY toxin-induced inflammation drives Yersinia pseudotuberculosis

into persistency. PLOS Pathogens **14,** 1–32 (2018).

Heintzman, N. D. et al. Histone modifications at human enhancers reflect global cell type-specific

gene expression. Nature **459,** 108–112 (2009).

Heinz, S. et al. Simple Combinations of Lineage-Determining Transcription Factors Prime cis-

Regulatory Elements Required for Macrophage and B Cell Identities. Molecular Cell **38,** 576–589

(2010).

Hodge, R. G. & Ridley, A. J. Regulating Rho GTPases and their regulators. Nature reviews.

Molecular cell biology **17,** 496—510 (2016).

Hoffmann, R., Van Erp, K., Trülzsch, K. & Heesemann, J. Transcriptional responses of murine

macrophages to infection with Yersinia enterocolitica. Cellular Microbiology **6,** 377–390 (2004).

Hop, H. T. et al. The Key Role of c-Fos for Immune Regulation and Bacterial Dissemination in

Brucella Infected Macrophage. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology **8,** 287 (2018).

Huang, D. W., Sherman, B. T. & Lempicki, R. A. Bioinformatics enrichment tools: paths toward

the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. Nucleic Acids Research **37,** 1–13 (2008).

Huang, D. W., Sherman, B. T. & Lempicki, R. A. Systematic and integrative analysis of large

gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nature Protocols **4,** 44–57 (2009).

Ivashkiv, L. B. Epigenetic regulation of macrophage polarization and function. Trends in Immunology

34, 216 –223 (2013).

Jaffe, A. B. & Hall, A. RHO GTPASES: Biochemistry and Biology. Annual Review of Cell and

Developmental Biology **21,** 247–269 (2005).

Kang, K. et al. IFN- selectively suppresses a subset of TLR4-activated genes and enhancers

to potentiate macrophage activation. Nature Communications **10** (2019).

Köberle, M. et al. Yersinia enterocolitica YopT and Clostridium difficile Toxin B Induce Expression of

GILZ in Epithelial Cells. PLOS ONE **7,** 1–17 (2012).

Klemm, S. L., Shipony, Z. & Greenleaf, W. J. Chromatin accessibility and the regulatory epigenome.

Nature reviews. Genetics **20,** 207—220 (2019).

Koch, I., Dach, K., Heesemann, J. & Hoffmann, R. Yersinia enterocolitica inactivates NK cells.

International Journal of Medical Microbiology **303,** 433–442 (2013).

Kopp, P. et al. The Kinesin KIF1C and Microtubule Plus Ends Regulate Podosome Dynamics

in Macrophages. Molecular Biology of the Cell **17,** 2811–2823 (2006).

Laurin, M. & Côté, J.-F. Insights into the biological functions of Dock family guanine nucleotide

exchange factors. Genes and Development **28,** 533–547 (2014).

Lennard Richard, M. L. et al. The Fli-1 transcription factor regulates the expression of CCL5/RANTES.

Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md. : 1950) **193,** 2661—2668 (2014).

Lerdrup, M., Johansen, J. V., Agrawal-Singh, S. & Hansen, K. An interactive environment for

agile analysis and visualization of ChIP-sequencing data. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology

23, 349–357 (2016).

Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform.

Bioinformatics **25,** 1754–1760 (2009).

Li, H. et al. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics **25,** 2078–

2079 (2009).

Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. & Shi, W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning

sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) **30,** 923—930

(2014).

Lopes Fischer, N., Naseer, N., Shin, S. & Brodsky, I. E. Effector-triggered immunity and pathogen

sensing in metazoans. Nature Microbiology **5,** 14–26 (2020).

Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for

RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biology **15,** 550 (2014).

Lun, A. T. & Smyth, G. K. csaw: a Bioconductor package for differential binding analysis of

ChIP-seq data using sliding windows. Nucleic Acids Research **44,** e45–e45 (2015).

Lupfer, C., Malik, A. & Kanneganti, T.-D. Inflammasome control of viral infection. Current Opinion in

Virology **12.** Antiviral strategies • Virus structure and expression, 38 –46 (2015).

Malsin, E. S., Kim, S., Lam, A. P. & Gottardi, C. J. Macrophages as a Source and Recipient of

Wnt Signals. Frontiers in Immunology **10,** 1813 (2019).

Marketon, M. M., DePaolo, R. W., DeBord, K. L., Jabri, B. & Schneewind, O. Plague Bacteria

Target Immune Cells During Infection. Science **309,** 1739–1741 (2005).

McPhee, J. B., Mena, P., Zhang, Y. & Bliska, J. B. Interleukin-10 Induction Is an Important

Virulence Function of the Yersinia pseudotuberculosis Type III Effector YopM. Infection and

Immunity **80** (ed Bäumler, A. J.) 2519–2527 (2012).

Mogensen, T. H. Pathogen Recognition and Inflammatory Signaling in Innate Immune Defenses.

Clinical Microbiology Reviews **22,** 240–273 (2009).

Mott, H. R. & Owen, D. Structures of Ras superfamily effector complexes: What have we learnt in two

decades? Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology **50,** 85–133 (2015).

Mukherjee, S. et al. Yersinia YopJ Acetylates and Inhibits Kinase Activation by Blocking

Phosphorylation. Science **312,** 1211–1214 (2006).

Müller, P. M. et al. Systems analysis of RhoGEF and RhoGAP regulatory proteins reveals spatially

organized RAC1 signalling from integrin adhesions. Nature Cell Biology **22,** 498–511 (2020).

Novakovic, B. et al. β-Glucan Reverses the Epigenetic State of LPS-Induced Immunological

Tolerance. Cell **167,** 1354–1368.e14 (2016).

Nuss, A. M. et al. Tissue dual RNA-seq allows fast discovery of infection-specific functions and

riboregulators shaping host–pathogen transcriptomes. Proceedings of the National Academy of

Sciences **114,** E791–E800 (2017).

O'Reilly, D., Quinn, C. M., El-Shanawany, T., Gordon, S. & Greaves, D. R. Multiple Ets Factors

and Interferon Regulatory Factor-4 Modulate CD68 Expression in a Cell Type-specific Manner.

Journal of Biological Chemistry **278,** 21909–21919 (2003).

Ostuni, R. et al. Latent Enhancers Activated by Stimulation in Differentiated Cells. Cell **152,**

157–171 (2013).

Pacis, A. et al. Gene activation precedes DNA demethylation in response to infection in human

dendritic cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **116,** 6938–6943 (2019).

Park, S. H. et al. Type I IFNs and TNF cooperatively reprogram the macrophage epigenome

to promote inflammatory activation. Nature immunology **18,** 1104 –1116 (2017).

Paul, F. et al. Quantitative GTPase Affinity Purification Identifies Rho Family Protein Interaction

Partners. Molecular & Cellular Proteomics **16,** 73–85 (2017).

Phanstiel, D. H. et al. Static and Dynamic DNA Loops form AP-1-Bound Activation Hubs during

Macrophage Development. Molecular Cell **67,** 1037–1048.e6 (2017).

Philip, N. H., Zwack, E. E. & Brodsky, I. E. in Inflammasome Signaling and Bacterial Infections. 69–90

(Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2016).

Pujol, C. & Bliska, J. B. The Ability To Replicate in Macrophages Is Conserved between Yersinia

pestis and Yersinia pseudotuberculosis. Infection and Immunity **71,** 5892–5899 (2003).

Pujol, C. et al. Yersinia pestis Can Reside in Autophagosomes and Avoid Xenophagy

in Murine Macrophages by Preventing Vacuole Acidification. Infection and Immunity **77,** 2251–

2261 (2009).

Quinlan, A. R. & Hall, I. M. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features.

Bioinformatics **26,** 841–842 (2010).

Rada-Iglesias, A. et al. A unique chromatin signature uncovers early developmental enhancers in

humans. Nature **470,** 279–283 (2011).

Reinés, M. et al. Deciphering the Acylation Pattern of Yersinia enterocolitica Lipid A. PLOS

Pathogens **8,** 1–21 (2012).

Ritchie, M. E. et al. limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and

microarray studies. Nucleic Acids Research **43,** e47–e47 (2015).

Roach, J. C. et al. Transcription factor expression in lipopolysaccharide-activated peripheral-blood-

derived mononuclear cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **104,** 16245–16250

(2007).

Rolando, M. et al. Legionella pneumophila Effector RomA Uniquely Modifies Host Chromatin

to Repress Gene Expression and Promote Intracellular Bacterial Replication. Cell Host & Microbe

13, 395 –405 (2013).

Ruckdeschel, K et al. Interaction of Yersinia enterocolitica with macrophages leads to macrophage

cell death through apoptosis. Infection and Immunity **65,** 4813–4821 (1997).

Ruckdeschel, K. et al. Yersinia Outer Protein P of Yersinia enterocolitica Simultaneously Blocks

the Nuclear Factor-κB Pathway and Exploits Lipopolysaccharide Signaling to Trigger Apoptosis

in Macrophages. The Journal of Immunology **166,** 1823–1831 (2001).

Ryan, D. G. & O'Neill, L. A. Krebs Cycle Reborn in Macrophage Immunometabolism. Annual

Review of Immunology **38,** 289–313 (2020).

Ryu, J. R., Echarri, A., Li, R. & Pendergast, A. M. Regulation of Cell-Cell Adhesion by Abi/Diaphanous

Complexes. Molecular and Cellular Biology **29,** 1735–1748 (2009).

Saeed, S. et al. Epigenetic programming of monocyte-to-macrophage differentiation and trained

innate immunity. Science **345** (2014).

Sarhan, J. et al. Caspase-8 induces cleavage of gasdermin D to elicit pyroptosis during Yersinia

infection. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **115,** E10888–E10897 (2018).

Sauvonnet, N., Pradet-Balade, B., Garcia-Sanz, J. A. & Cornelis, G. R. Regulation of mRNA

Expression in Macrophages afterYersinia enterocolitica Infection: ROLE OF DIFFERENT Yop

EFFECTORS. Journal of Biological Chemistry **277,** 25133–25142 (2002).

Sauvonnet, N., Lambermont, I., Bruggen, P. v. d. & Cornelis, G. R. YopH prevents monocyte

chemoattractant protein 1 expression in macrophages and T-cell proliferation through inactivation of

the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase pathway. Molecular Microbiology **45,** 805–815 (2002)b

Sawicka, A. & Seiser, C. Sensing core histone phosphorylation — A matter of perfect timing.

Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Gene Regulatory Mechanisms **1839.** Molecular

mechanisms of histone modification function, 711 –718 (2014).

Schmid, Y. et al. Yersinia enterocolitica Adhesin A Induces Production of Interleukin-8 in

Epithelial Cells. Infection and Immunity **72,** 6780–6789 (2004).

Schubert, K. A., Xu, Y., Shao, F. & Auerbuch, V. The Yersinia Type III Secretion System as a

Tool for Studying Cytosolic Innate Immune Surveillance. Annual Review of Microbiology **74,**

221–245 (2020).

Schulte, R. et al. Yersinia enterocolitica invasin protein triggers IL-8 production in epithelial cells

via activation of Rel p65-p65 homodimers. The FASEB Journal **14,** 1471–1484 (2000).

Senoo, H. et al. Phosphorylated Rho-GDP directly activates mTORC2 kinase towards AKT through dimerization with Ras-GTP to regulate cell migration. Nature cell biology **21,** 867—878 (2019).

Shen, L. et al. diffReps: Detecting Differential Chromatin Modification Sites from ChIP-seq Data with Biological Replicates. PLOS ONE **8,** 1–13 (2013).

Spinner, J. L. et al. Yersinia pestis survival and replication within human neutrophil phagosomes and uptake of infected neutrophils by macrophages. Journal of Leukocyte Biology **95,** 389–398 (2014).

Stahnke, S. et al. Loss of Hem1 disrupts macrophage function and impacts migration, phagocytosis, and integrin-mediated adhesion. Current Biology **31,** 2051–2064.e8 (2021).

Stiegler, A. L. & Boggon, T. J. The pseudoGTPase group of pseudoenzymes. The FEBS Journal **287,** 4232–4245 (2020).

St. John, A. L. et al. S1P-Dependent Trafficking of Intracellular Yersinia pestis through Lymph Nodes Establishes Buboes and Systemic Infection. Immunity **41,** 440–450 (2014).

Subrahmanyam, Y. V. B. K. et al. RNA expression patterns change dramatically in human neutrophils exposed to bacteria. Blood **97,** 2457–2468 (2001).

Tajadura-Ortega, V. et al. An RNAi screen of Rho signalling networks identifies RhoH as a regulator of Rac1 in prostate cancer cell migration. BMC Biology **16** (2018).

Takeuchi, O. & Akira, S. Pattern Recognition Receptors and Inflammation. Cell **140,** 805 –820 (2010).

Tcherkezian, J. & Lamarche-Vane, N. Current knowledge of the large RhoGAP family of proteins. Biology of the Cell **99,** 67–86 (2007).

Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Robinson, J. T. & Mesirov, J. P. Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV): highperformance genomics data visualization and exploration. Briefings in Bioinformatics **14,** 178–192 (2012).

Trülzsch, K., Sporleder, T., Igwe, E. I., Rüssmann, H. & Heesemann, J. Contribution of the Major

Secreted Yops of Yersinia enterocolitica O:8 to Pathogenicity in the Mouse Infection

Model. Infection and Immunity **72,** 5227–5234 (2004).

Verger, A. & Duterque-Coquillaud, M. When Ets transcription factors meet their partners.

BioEssays **24,** 362–370 (2002).

Viboud, G. I. & Bliska, J. B. YERSINIA OUTER PROTEINS: Role in Modulation of Host Cell

Signaling Responses and Pathogenesis. Annual Review of Microbiology **59,** 69–89 (2005).

Vincent, S, Jeanteur, P & Fort, P. Growth-regulated expression of rhoG, a new member of the ras

homolog gene family. Molecular and Cellular Biology **12,** 3138–3148 (1992).

Wang, Z. et al. Combinatorial patterns of histone acetylations and methylations in the human

genome. Nature Genetics **40,** 897–903 (2008).

Wennerberg, K. & Der, C. J. Rho-family GTPases: it's not only Rac and Rho (and I like it).

Journal of Cell Science **117,** 1301–1312 (2004).

Yamazaki, D., Oikawa, T. & Takenawa, T. Rac-WAVE-mediated actin reorganization is required for

organization and maintenance of cell-cell adhesion. Journal of Cell Science **120,** 86–100 (2007).

Ye, J. et al. Primer-BLAST: A tool to design target-specific primers for polymerase chain reaction. BMC

Bioinformatics **13,** 134 (2012).

Yun, M., Workman, J. L. & Li, B. Readers of histone modifications. Cell research **21,** 564–578

(2011).

Zang, C. et al. A clustering approach for identification of enriched domains from histone modification

ChIP-Seq data. Bioinformatics **25,** 1952–1958 (2009).

Zhang, Y., Ting, A. T., Marcu, K. B. & Bliska, J. B. Inhibition of MAPK and NF-κB Pathways Is

Necessary for Rapid Apoptosis in Macrophages Infected with Yersinia. The Journal of Immunology

174, 7939–7949 (2005).

Zhang, X & Mosser, D. Macrophage activation by endogenous danger signals. The Journal of

Pathology **214,** 161–178 (2008).

Zhang, Y. et al. Model-based Analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome Biology **9,** R137 (2008).

Zhou, P. et al. Alpha-kinase 1 is a cytosolic innate immune receptor for bacterial ADP-heptose.

Nature **561,** 122–126 (2018).

Zipfel, P. A. et al. Role for the Abi/Wave Protein Complex in T Cell Receptor-Mediated Proliferation

and Cytoskeletal Remodeling. Current Biology **16,** 35–46 (2006).

1381

1382

Figures

1384

Fig 1. Changes of epigenetic histone modifications in *Y. enterocolitica* infected primary

human macrophages.

A, Experimental design. CD14⁺ monocytes were isolated and differentiated into

macrophages by cultivation with 20 % human serum for 6 days. Macrophages from ≥ two

independent donors were mock infected or infected with avirulent *Y. enterocolitica* strain

WAC, wild type strain WA314 or the single Yop-mutant strains WA314ΔYopM and

WA314ΔYopP with multiplicity-of-infection (MOI) of 100 for 6 h. Samples were subjected to

ChIP-seq for histone modifications H3K27ac, H3K4me3 and RNA-seq. H3K4me1 and

H3K27me3 ChIP-seq was performed only for mock, WAC and WA314 infected macrophages.

For all replicates used in the analysis see Table S17.

B, Bar plot showing proportions of dynamic and constant regions of ChIP-seq data obtained

from mock-, WAC- and WA314 infected macrophages as described in **(A)**. Dynamic regions

were defined as MACS (H3K4me3, H3K27ac) or SICER (H3K4me1, H3K27me3) peaks

overlapping significantly (≥ 2-fold change, adjusted P-value ≤ 0.05) up- or down-regulated

differentially enriched regions from diffReps in the three pairwise comparisons WAC vs mock,

WA314 vs mock and WAC vs WA314.

C, Bar plot showing distribution of dynamic regions from **(B)** at gene promoters and

enhancers.

D, Heatmap showing Spearman correlation (cor.) of H3K27ac tag density at all H3K27ac

peaks from mock-, WAC- and WA314-infected samples and publicly available H3K27ac ChIP-

seq data of naive* and LPS* treated macrophages. Low to high correlation is indicated by

blue-white-red colour scale.

E, Number of differentially enriched regions (diffReps ≥ 2-fold change, adjusted P-value ≤

0.05) for the indicated histone marks. Total (unique) refers to all unique regions in each row

or column after merging overlapping regions.

F, G, Venn diagrams showing overlaps of differentially enriched regions from diffReps

depicting suppression of WAC induced upregulation of H3K4me3 marks by WA314 **(F)** and

suppression of WAC induced up-regulation of H3K27ac marks by WA314 or prevention of

WAC induced down-regulation of H3K27ac marks by WA314 **(G)**.

H, Bar plot showing the number of genes associated with promoters, enhancers or both for

the indicated histone marks associated with dynamic regions in **(E)** or when taking all regions

together (total).

1417

Fig 2. Dynamic chromatin modifications at promoters and enhancers in *Y. enterocolitica*

infected macrophages.

A, Heatmap showing clustering of all H3K4me3 (module P1) and H3K27ac (module P2)

differentially enriched regions at promoters in mock-, WAC- and WA314 infected human

macrophages. P1 contains dynamic H3K4me3 regions and P2 contains dynamic H3K27ac

regions at largely constant H3K4me3 regions. H3K4me3 and H3K27ac tag densities are

shown in both modules. The identified clusters (colour coded bars on the left side) were

grouped in classes P1a-P2d.

B, Boxplots of H3K4me3 and H3K27ac tag counts for the classes in **(A)**. Profiles describing

relation of histone mark levels between mock, WAC and WA314 are indicated on top. Data

are representative of at least two independent experiments.

C Peak tracks of H3K4me3 (red) and H3K27ac (blue) ChIP-seq tag densities at promoter

regions of the genes OASL from Suppression profile classes P1a and ACOT7 from Prevention

profile class P1b (left) and ZNF513 from Down profile class P2b and SLC30A3 from Up profile

class P2c (right).

D, Heatmap showing clustering of H3K27ac differentially enriched regions at enhancers of

mock-, WAC- and WA314 infected macrophages. H3K4me1 tag counts are shown for the

associated regions. Clustering analysis yielded 6 clusters (color coded, left side) which were

assembled into classes E1-4.

E, Boxplot of H3K27ac tag counts of classes E1-E4 in **(D)**. Profiles describing relation of

histone mark levels between mock, WAC and WA314 are indicated on top. Data are

representative of two independent experiments.

F, Heatmap showing H3K4me1 and H3K27ac tag counts at latent enhancers induced by WAC

vs mock. For **(A)**, **(D)** and **(F)** rows are genomic regions from -10 to +10 kb around the centre

of the analyzed regions. "n" indicates number of regions.

1443

1444

1445

Fig 3. Association between histone modifications and gene expression in *Y. enterocolitica* infected macrophages.

A, Heatmap from clustering of all DEGs from mock, WAC and WA314 comparisons.

Clustering identified 4 major classes R1-R4 (color coded, right side). Profiles describing

relation of expression levels between mock, WAC and WA314 are indicated on the left. In

the heatmap, gene rlog counts are row-scaled (row Z-score).

B, C, Venn diagrams of DEG overlaps between WAC vs mock up and WAC vs WA314 up **(B)**

and WAC vs mock down and WAC vs WA314 down **(C)**. Overlaps show the number of genes

whose up-regulation by WAC is suppressed by WA314 **(B)** and whose down-regulation by

WAC is prevented by WA314 **(C)**.

D, Barplot showing percentage of genes from ChIP-seq profiles with promoter or enhancer

modifications overlapping with corresponding RNA-seq profiles from DEG analysis.

E, Heatmap presentation showing relative overlap of genes in promoter classes P1a-P2d and

enhancer classes E1-4. Light to dark color scale indicates low to high overlap.

F, Barplot showing percentage of genes with coordinated promoter and enhancer changes

from ChIP-seq profiles in **(E)** overlapping with corresponding RNA-seq profiles.

G, Bar plot showing fraction and number (numbers in bars) of genes from RNA-seq profiles

overlapping with genes from corresponding ChIP-seq profiles at promoters or enhancers.

H, Bar plot showing enriched GO and KEGG (hsa prefix) terms for genes overlapping in RNA-

seq and ChIP-seq as in **(G)**. Bars represent log10 transformed P-values correlating with

significance of enrichment. Pos: positive, reg: regulation, pol: polymerase, prom: promoter.

I-K, Heatmaps of row-scaled (row Z-score) RNA-seq rlog gene counts for genes with RNA-seq

and ChIP-seq promoter or enhancer overlaps from pathways in **(H)**.

J, Representative enriched transcription factor motifs in promoter and enhancer regions of

genes with RNA-seq and ChIP-seq (promoter or enhancer) overlaps as in **(G)**.

1471

1472

Fig 4. Epigenetic and transcriptional regulation of Rho GTPase pathway genes in _Y._

1473

**enterocolitica** **infected macrophages.**

1474

A, Number of Rho GTPase pathway genes associated with changed regions in promoter (P1-

1475

b, P2a-d) or enhancer (E1-4) classes. "Unique" refers to total unique genes or regions

1476

(overlapping regions were merged together) when counting together all promoter and

1477

enhancer classes.

1478

B, Heatmap of all DEGs from Rho GTPase pathway belonging to classes R1-R4 (color coded,

1479

right side) in Fig 3A. Association with profiles is shown on the left side. "n" refers to the

1480

number of genes. Gene rlog counts were row-scaled (row Z-score).

1481

C, Bar plot showing number of DEGs belonging to Rho GTPase pathway from RNA-seq

1482

profiles in **(B)** overlapping with genes with corresponding promoter (P1a-b, P2a-d classes)

1483

and/ or enhancer (E1-4 classes) changes from the same profiles.

1484

D, Bar plot showing Rho GTPase specificity of active GAPs (Müller et al., 2020) for genes with RNA-seq and ChIP-seq overlaps in **(C)**..Fraction and number (in bars) for all active GAPs and for GAPs in the Suppression and Prevention profiles are shown.

E, F, Heatmaps of RNA-seq rlog counts for GAP **(E)** and Rho GTPase genes **(F)** with RNA-seq and ChIP-seq overlaps in **(C)**. Associated profiles are indicated on the left and specificity of GAPs for Rho GTPases are indicated on the right. Gene rlog counts were row-scaled (row Z-score).

G,Table showing DEGs (from Fig 3A, S6 Table) known to regulate ubiquitination and/ or proteosomal degradation of Rho GTPases.

H, Schematic representation of Rho GTPase cycle showing reprogrammed Rho GTPase pathway genes divided into GAPs, GEFs, Rho GTPases and effectors, and assigned to profiles.

1498

Fig 5. Role of YopP and YopM in the epigenetic and transcriptional reprogramming of

macrophages by *Y. enterocolitica*.

A, Principal component analysis of H3K27ac tag counts in classes P1a-P2d and E1-4 in two replicates of macrophages infected with the indicated strains.

B, Number of statistically significant (≥ 2-fold change, adjusted P-value ≤ 0.05) DEGs and differentially enriched H3K4me3 and H3K27ac regions for WA314ΔYopM vs WA314 and WA314ΔYopP vs WA314.

C, D, Percentage of WA314 effect on expression of Rho GTPase pathway **(C)** or Inflammatory response **(D)** genes that is caused by YopP. Genes with associated promoter or enhancer changes from the same profile and significant change for RNA-seq (DESeq2) and ChIP-seq (diffReps) between WAC vs WA314 are shown. The percentage value was calculated from the ratio of fold change (FC) between WA314ΔYopP vs WA314 and WA314 vs WAC for Suppression **(C, D)** and Prevention **(C)** profiles.

E, Peak tracks of ChIP-seq tag densities showing H3K4me3 and H3K27ac changes associated with IL2RA gene, whose suppression of gene expression by WA314 is produced in a YopP-dependent manner **(D)**. H3K4me3 and H3K27ac densities at the IL2RA promoter (green shade) and H3K27ac densities at the enhancers (purple shade) are not affected by YopP (compare effects of WA314 and WA314ΔYopP).

F, Dot plots of H3K4me3 and H3K27ac ChIP-qPCR signals at indicated genes in macrophages not infected (mock) or infected with indicated strains. WA314ΔYopP infections occurred in the absence and presence of MAPK inhibitors (inh). The ChIP-qPCR signal was expressed as relative (rel.) enrichment (enr.) vs mock. Lines represent mean of 3 biological replicates (dots with different shapes). SOCS3: Suppressor of cytokine signaling 3, IDO1: Indoleamine 2,3-Dioxygenase 1, PTGS2: Prostaglandin-Endoperoxide Synthase 2.

G, Western blot analysis (top) and quantification (bottom) of ABI1 levels in primary human macrophages infected with WA314, WA314ΔYopP and WA314ΔYopP+inh (MAPK inhibitors)

at an MOI of 100 for 6 h. GAPDH was used as a loading control and for normalization of ABI1

signal. Western blot is representative of three independent experiments shown in

quantification.

H, Immunofluorescence staining of primary human macrophages infected with WA314,

WA314ΔYopP+inh (MAPK inhibitors) and WA314ΔYopP with MOI of 100 for 6 h. Cells were

stained with Alexa568 phalloidin (red) to visualize actin and antibodies for ABI1 (cyan),

vinculin (blue) and ZO-1 (green). Fourth column shows an overlay of actin and ABI1 (top) and

actin, vinculin and ZO-1 (bottom) for WA314ΔYopP infection. Data are representative of two

independent experiments.

I, Quantification of actin (left) and ABI1 (right) signal intensity at cell junctions from

immunofluorescence of primary human macrophages infected with WA314,

WA314ΔYopP+inh (MAPK inhibitors) and WA314ΔYopP with MOI of 100 for 6 h. Data are

representative of two independent experiments.

J, Immunofluorescence staining of primary human macrophages infected with WA314,

WA314ΔYopP+C646 (p300 inhibitor) and WA314ΔYopP with MOI of 100 for 6 h (left). Cells

were stained with Alexa568 phalloidin (red) to visualize actin and antibody for ABI1 (cyan).

Quantification of ABI1 signal at cell cell contacts is shown on the right. Data are

representative of two independent experiments. In **(I)** and **(J)** bars show mean and error bars

represent standard deviation. ****: P-adjusted < 0.0001 by unpaired Wilcoxon test.

1544

1545

1546

1547

Fig 6. Summary schematic showing the main effects of *Y. enterocolitica* on macrophage

chromatin in gene expression. Plasmid-encoded virulence factors suppress upregulation

(Suppression profile) and prevent downregulation (Prevention profile) of PAMP induced

H3K27ac and H3K4me3 changes at gene promoters and/ or enhancers and consequently the

expression of associated genes. Suppression profile is associated with genes from

Inflammatory response and Type I IFN signaling, whereas Prevention profile is associated

with genes from Metabolic pathways and Rho GTPase signaling. Representative genes

belonging to each pathway are shown. Enhancer activity is indicated with arched arrows.

Increased promoter activity is indicated with more mRNAs.

1557

Supporting information

Figures

 Fig S1. Analysis of infection conditions.

A, Left: Immunofluorescence staining of primary human macrophages mock infected or infected with WA314-YopE-ALFA for 6 h with MOI 10, 25, 50 or 100. Cells were stained with anti-ALFA nanobody-635 (red) to visualize YopE, Alexa568 phalloidin (green) to visualize actin and DAPI (blue) to visualize nucleus. Right: Quantification of YopE-ALFA positive cells. Bars show mean and error bars represent standard deviation when analyzing two different coverslips.

B, Line plot showing time course H3K4me3 (IL1B, SOCS3, IDO1 genes) and H3K27ac (IL6, SOCS3 genes) ChIP-qPCR analysis of WAC infected primary human macrophages with MOI of 100. Lines represent means and error bars represent standard deviation from two independent macrophage donors/ biological replicates.

C, Bar plot showing membrane permeability due to cell death in primary human macrophages mock infected, infected with WA314 (MOI of 100) or treated with positive (pos.) control (1 % TRITON-X-100) for 6 h. Increased membrane permeability is expressed as higher SYTOX Green intensity, where the dye binds to DNA and emits fluorescence when plasma membrane integrity is compromised. Bars represent means of two biological replicates/ macrophage donors (dots with different shapes).

D, Western blot analysis of primary human macrophages showing caspase-3 cleavage in mock or WA314 (MOI 100) infected cells or treated with positive control Staurosporine (5 μM) for 6 h. * indicates full length caspase-3 (35 kDa) and cleavage fragments (17 and 19 kDa). GAPDH was used as a loading control. Data are representative of four biological replicates/ different macrophage donors.

E, Bar plot showing colony forming unit (CFU) analysis of *Y. enterocolitica* WA314 growth during infection of primary human macrophages for 0 h, 1.5 h or 6 h at 37 °C. Bars represent means of two different biological replicates (dots with different shapes).

1586   **F,** Flow cytometry analysis of monocyte-derived primary human macrophages after 6 days of

1587   differentiation. Live cells (L/D negative) were selected (blue areas; first top panel) and

1588   doublets excluded (second top panel). CD14 positive macrophages were selected (third top

1589   panel) and analyzed for expression of macrophage markers CD163, CD38, CD86, HLA-

1590   DR,CD206 and CD16 (bottom panels).

1591

1592

1593

1594

Fig S2. Confirmation of ChIP-seq and RNA-seq results by qPCR.

A, Bar plot showing H3K27me3 (left) and H4K4me3 (right) ChIP-qPCR from primary human

macrophages mock infected or infected with WAC or WA314 for 6 h with MOI of 100.

HOXC12 and NXPH4 are positive controls for H3K27me3 and ADH5 and TUBA1C are positive

controls for H3K4me3. Data are representative of at least two experiments with different

biological replicates/ macrophage donors.

B, Peak tracks showing tag density of H3K4me3 (red) or H3K27ac (blue) ChIP-seq from

primary human macrophages infected for 6 h with indicated strains (MOI of 100) (left). qPCR

target refers to the primer binding site used for fragment amplification in ChIP-qPCR analysis

shown as a dot plot (right). The ChIP-qPCR signal was expressed as relative (rel.) enrichment

(enr.) vs mock. Lines represent means from at least two different biological replicates/

macrophage donors (dots with different shapes).

C, Dot plots showing gene expression analysis of PPARGC1B, ABI1, TNF and IL1B genes with

RT-qPCR from primary human macrophages infected for 6 h with indicated strains (MOI 100).

The RT-qPCR signal was expressed as fold vs mock. Lines represent means from at least two

different biological replicates/ macrophage donors (dots with different shapes).

1611

1612

1613

Fig S3. Analysis of association between histone marks and gene expression.

A-D, Bar plots showing fraction (%) of genes associated with H3K4me3 at promoter **(A)**,

H3K27ac at promoter **(B)**, H3K4me3 and H3K27ac at promoter **(C)** and H3K27ac at enhancer

(D) changes for comparisons between mock, WAC and WA314 showing significant (≥ 2-fold

change, adjusted P-value ≤ 0.05) associated change in gene expression (overlap RNA).

1614

1615

1616

1617

1618

1619

1620

A Inflammatory response

B GO terms associated with latent enhancers

Term	P-value	Genes
GO:0000122~negative regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter	1.13E-04	ATF7IP, SP100, EDN1, BCL11A, NEDD4L, RBPJ, NFKB1, FOXP1, ZEB2, REST, H2AFY2, REL, PKIG, JARID2, EZH2
GO:0006954~inflammatory response	0.0022	SP100, TNFAIP6, IL2RA, CCL3L3, REL, TNFAIP3, IL19, CCR7, NFKB1

C MAPK signaling

D Rab signaling

E TF motif enrichment

Fig S4. Pathway and transcription factor motif enrichment analysis of genes with

associated histone modification and gene expression changes during *Y. enterocolitica*

infection.

A, Heatmap of row-scaled (row Z-score) RNA-seq rlog gene counts for genes with RNA-seq

and ChIP-seq promoter or enhancer overlaps belonging to "Inflammatory response"

pathway as in Figure 3H.

B, GO terms associated with latent enhancer genes from Figure 2F.

C-D, Heatmaps of row-scaled (row Z-score) RNA-seq rlog gene counts for selected genes with

RNA-seq and ChIP-seq promoter or enhancer overlaps belonging to phosphatases and

kinases from MAPK signalling in Suppression profile **(C)** and Rab GTPase pathway in

Prevention and Suppression profiles **(D)** from S16 Table.

E, Heatmap showing transcription factor motif enrichment in promoter and enhancer

regions of genes with RNA-seq and ChIP-seq overlaps from Figure 3G. Color indicates level of

log10 transformed P-value positively correlating with significance of enrichment.

1636

1637

1638

Fig S5. Epigenetic and transcriptional regulation of Rho GTPase pathway genes in *Y.*

1639

***enterocolitica* infected macrophages.**

1640

A, Bar plot showing fraction and number (in bars) of indicated Rho GTPase pathway genes

1641

with changes in expression only (RNA only), any associated histone modification only (ChIP

1642

only), overlaps between RNA-seq and ChIP-seq (RNA & ChIP) and no overlap with neither

1643

ChIP-seq nor RNA-seq (no overlap).

1644

B, Bar plot showing number of Rho GTPase pathway genes with dynamic histone

1645

modifications at promoters, enhancers or both (overlap).

1646

C, Table showing number of Rho GTPase pathway genes with histone modification changes

1647

at enhancers and number of associated enhancers. "% total enhancer associated genes"

1648

indicates what fraction of all Rho GTPase pathway genes with changes at enhancers show a

1649

certain number of changed enhancers.

1650

D, E, Heatmaps of DEGs with associated histone modification changes at promoters or

enhancers encoding Rho GTPase effectors **(D)** and GEFs **(E)** . Associated profiles are color

coded on the left. The specificity of GEFs for Rho GTPases is color coded on the right **(E)**.

Gene rlog counts were row-scaled (row Z-score).

F, Table showing specificity of Rho GTPase effectors associated with RNA-seq and ChIP-seq

overlaps in **(A)** and Figure 4C.

1657

1658

B, % YopP effect on histone modifications

Profile	Class	% YopP effect
Suppression	P1a	57.2
	P2a	43.4
	E1	48.6
Down	P2b	8.9
	E2	25.2
Up	P2c	25.9
	E3	28.3
Prevention	P1b	40.5
	P2d	52.0
	E4	53.2
	Median	42.0

C, % YopP effect on gene expression

Profile	Class	% YopP effect
Suppression	R1	46.9
Down	R2	26.4
Up	R3	65.7
Prevention	R4	55.8
	Median	51.4

Fig S6. Analysis of YopM and YopP effect on epigenetic and gene expression changes in macrophages upon *Y. enterocolitica* infection.

A, Principal component analysis of H3K4me3 tag counts in classes P1a-b of macrophages infected with the indicated strains for all biological replicates used in the analysis.

B, Percentage YopP effect (median value) for H3K4me3 (P1a-b classes) and H3K27ac (P2a-d, E1-4 classes) when compared to WA314 vs mock (Up and Down profiles) or WA314 vs WAC (Suppression and Prevention profiles)**.**

1659

1660

1661

1662

1663

1664

1665

1666

C, Percentage YopP effect (median value) for DEGs associated with respective histone

modification changes at promoters or enhancers. "Median": median value when taking %

YopP effect from all classes together.

D, Scatter plot of % YopP effect for RNA-seq DEGs and ChIP-seq differential regions for genes

with associated gene expression and histone modification change from Rho GTPase and

Inflammatory response pathways from Figures 5C, D. Purple dots associated with ABI1 gene

are indicated.

E, Top: Dot plots showing ChIP-qPCR of H3K27ac at enhancer within ABI1 gene and

H3K4me3 at ABI1 promoter from primary human macrophages infected for 6 h with

indicated strains (MOI of 100). Lines represent means from three different biological

replicates/ macrophage donors (dots with different shapes). Bottom: Peak tracks showing

tag density of H3K27ac at enhancer (blue) and H3K4me3 at promoter (red) ChIP-seq and

primer binding site used for fragment amplification in ChIP-qPCR analysis (qPCR target)

shown in dot plots. The ChIP-qPCR signal was expressed as relative (rel.) enrichment (enr.) vs

mock. inh: MAPK inhibitors.

F, Dot plots showing RT-qPCR gene expression analysis of ABI1 from primary human

macrophages infected for 6 h with indicated strains (MOI of 100). The RT-qPCR signal was

expressed as fold vs mock. Lines represent means from three different biological replicates/

macrophage donors (dots with different shapes). inh: MAPK inhibitors.

G, Immunofluorescence staining of primary human macrophages infected with

WA314ΔYopP+C646 (p300 inhibitor) and WA314ΔYopP with MOI of 100 for 6 h. Cells were

stained with antibody for H3K27ac. Images are representative of two independent

experiments.

1690

Tables

S1 Table. *Yersinia enterocolitica* **strains used in the study.**

S2 Table. Analysis of promoter regions in P1 and P2 modules.

S3 Table. Analysis of enhancer regions in E1-4 classes.

S4 Table. Analysis of latent enhancers.

S5 Table. RNA-seq background from DESeq2 analysis.

S6 Table. Clustering of RNA-seq DEGs in a heatmap.

Table S7. Metabolic pathways in Prevention profile.

S8 Table. Enriched pathways associated with Rho GTPase pathway genes.

S9 Table. Analysis of Rho GTPase pathway genes in promoter and enhancer classes.

S10 Table. RNA-seq heatmap of DEGs from Rho GTPase pathway.

S11 Table. Rho GTPase specificity of GAPs and GEFs with RNA-seq and ChIP-seq overlaps in different profiles.

S12 Table. Rho GTPase specificity and reported function in epigenetics for effectors with RNA-seq and ChIP-seq overlaps.

S13 Table. Analysis of YopP effect on Rho GTPase pathway genes.

S14 Table. Analysis of YopP effect on inflammatory response pathway genes.

S15 Table. Primer sequences used for ChIP-qPCR and RT-qPCR analysis.

S16 Table. Enriched pathways associated with trafficking, MAPK signaling, Akt signaling and cell death.

S17 Table. Summary of all replicates used for ChIP-seq and RNA-seq experiments.

S18 Table. All filtered differentially enriched regions for histone marks based on diffReps analysis.