Classification of antimicrobial mechanism of action using bacterial

time-lapse imaging

Xudong Ouyang[1,2], Jelmer Hoeksma[1], Tjalling K. Siersma[3], Leendert W. Hamoen[3] and

Jeroen den Hertog[1,2*]

1. Hubrecht Institute-KNAW and University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, the

Netherlands

2. Institute Biology Leiden, Leiden University, Leiden, the Netherlands

3. Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the

Netherlands

* Corresponding author, j.denhertog@hubrecht.eu

Running title: Dynamic bacterial morphology imaging

Keywords: bacterial time-lapse imaging, Gram-positive, mechanism of action,

antimicrobial, *B. subtilis*

Abstract

Antimicrobial resistance is a major threat to human health. Basic knowledge of

antimicrobial mechanism of action (MoA) is imperative for patient care and for identification

of novel antimicrobials. However, the process of antimicrobial MoA identification is relatively

laborious. Here, we developed a simple, quantitative time-lapse fluorescence imaging

method, Dynamic Bacterial Morphology Imaging (DBMI), to facilitate this process. It uses a

membrane dye and a nucleoid dye to track the morphological changes of single *Bacillus*

subtilis cells in response to antimicrobials for up to 60 min. DBMI of bacterial cells facilitated

assignment of the MoAs of 14 distinct, known antimicrobial compounds to the five main

classes. Using this method, we found that the poorly studied antimicrobial, harzianic acid, a

secondary metabolite that we purified from the fungal culture of *Oidiodendron flavum*,

targets the cell envelope. We conclude that DBMI is a simple method, which facilitates rapid

classification of the MoA of antimicrobials in functionally distinct classes.

Introduction

As the number of untreatable infections caused by multidrug-resistant "superbugs" is increasing globally, antimicrobial resistance is becoming a major threat to human health (Hancock, 2005; Blair et al., 2015). New antimicrobials with distinct working mechanisms are required to combat bacteria that have become resistant to all known antimicrobials. Although thousands of small molecules have now been screened for antibacterial activity in countless screening programs, the yield of useful compounds from these is relatively low (Payne et al., 2007). One of the reasons is the lack of insight into antimicrobial mechanism of action (MoA), which makes it difficult to determine the value of a new compound. Therefore, understanding the MoA is fundamental for identification of novel antimicrobials.

Transcriptomic and proteomic technologies have been developed to assess the MoA of antimicrobial agents in recent years (Van Duy et al., 2007; Silver, 2011). Transcriptomic analyses generate information about the expression of genes in response to antimicrobials (Pulido et al., 2016) and provide transcriptome-wide overviews of gene expression and are therefore used at the initial stages of target identification. Proteomic approaches generate overviews of protein levels, and changes in response to antimicrobial treatment may provide insight into the working mechanism (Brötz-Oesterhelt et al., 2005; Armengaud, 2013). Whereas these methods provide valuable information about antimicrobial targets, they do not necessarily provide information about the direct target(s) of antimicrobials. Because the time spent and cost involved in the genetic and omics-approaches is relatively high, they are not the best approaches for initial stages of target identification. A simple, cost-effective

method would be preferred as a first approach.

Recently, imaging-based approaches started to be applied for antimicrobial MoA

prediction. Bacterial cytological profiling was developed for Gram-negative bacteria to

classify antimicrobial agents, utilizing high resolution fluorescent microscopy of cells stained

with fluorescent dyes, or expressing fluorescent reporters (Nonejuie et al., 2013; Sun et al.,

2018). For Gram-positive bacteria, a panel of GFP reporters was developed, covering many

pathways and this panel was used successfully for in-depth analysis of antimicrobial targets

(Müller et al., 2016; Wenzel et al., 2018; Zhu et al., 2018). Here, we developed a method to

rapidly distinguish the effect of anti-Gram-positive bacterial compounds from all of the five

main target classes, cell membrane, cell wall, protein, DNA or RNA. To achieve this, we

used time-lapse imaging of fluorescent dye-stained *B. subtilis* to record dynamic changes.

We improved the imaging protocol to make it simple and functional for bacterial long-term

imaging. Using this method, dubbed Dynamic Bacterial Morphology Imaging (DBMI), we

observed bacteria over time and established fluorescence intensities qualitatively and

quantitatively. It allowed to rapidly distinguish between antimicrobials from all of the five

different classes. Finally, we purified an antimicrobial activity from the fungal culture of

Oidiodendron flavum and identified it to be harzianic acid, an understudied fungal secondary

metabolite. Using DBMI, we established that harzianic acid targets the cell membrane and

cell wall.

Materials and Methods

Strains and reagents

B. subtilis 168 was used for imaging in this study (Kunst et al., 1997). *O. flavum* (CBS 366.71) was obtained from Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute (the Netherlands) and used for biologically active compound production. Commercial antimicrobials were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Table 1). FM4-64, 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), SYTO-9 and SYTOX-Green were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific.

Microdilution assay

MIC (Minimum Inhibitory Concentration) was determined by broth microdilution assay. Early exponential-phase cultures of *B. subtilis* were diluted 1:100 into Luria-Bertani (LB) medium, and distributed in 96-wells plates. Antimicrobials were tested 1:10 of the stock, then serially diluted with a factor 2. Bacterial growth was visually inspected after overnight incubation at 37 °C.

Confocal microscopy

Microscopy was performed as described before with minor modification (Müller et al., 2016). Briefly, bacterial cultures in early exponential-phase were treated with antimicrobials (2.5 × MIC) or 1% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) as control for up to 60 min. Afterwards, 1.5 µM FM4-64 was used for membrane staining. 5 µM DAPI, 50 nM SYTO-9 or 0.5 µM SYTOX-

Green was applied to stain nucleoids. Samples were then immobilized on microscope slides

covered with an agarose pad containing 1% agarose and LB medium, and imaged. Confocal

microscopy was carried out using a Perkin Elmer UltraView VoX spinning disk microscope

system and Volocity v6.3 software. Z-stack images were collected over a length of 3 μm with

0.2 μm intervals to acquire signals from the whole cells. Three independent experiments

were done using each antimicrobial (biological triplicates). Images were analyzed using Fiji

(Schindelin et al., 2012).

Time-lapse microscopy

A single well agarose pad (2 mL in size) containing 0.75% agarose, 1.5 μM FM4-64, 50

nM SYTO-9 and LB medium was made by a trimmed syringe to immobilize bacterial cells

on its surface. Bacterial culture in early exponential-phase was treated with 1.5 μM FM4-64

and 25 nM SYTO-9 for 10 min, and then 5 μL of it was transferred onto the agarose pad for

imaging. Time-lapse images were collected using the spinning disk microscope system

described above for 30 to 60 min with 3 min intervals. 400 μL LB medium with antimicrobials

(2.5 × MIC) or 1% DMSO (control) was added into the well on the agarose pad after the

second image was taken to make sure the cells were growing normally. Images were

analyzed using Fiji.

DBMI *patterns*

Stack images were merged by Z projection using max intensity and cell morphological

patterns were measured by Fiji. A wide line (width: 20-pixel, i.e. 1.3 µm) was drawn to cover

a whole cell and the intensity of both membrane signal and nucleoid signal over the line was

acquired with the tool Plot Profile. The graphs of Plot Profile from each series of time-lapse

imaging were then re-plotted into one heatmap with GraphPad Prism v8.4.1 to generate the

DBMI patterns.

Analysis of DBMI images

Stack images were merged by Z projection using max intensity. One cell unit was

defined as a cell without any septa shown in the image of the initial time point. Cell status

analysis was done by counting cells by eye in each of the three cell type categories (intact

cell, no-nucleoid cell and disintegrated cell). Cell morphology analysis was done by

measuring the length and intensity of both membrane and nucleoid using Fiji. Bar graphs

and line chart were plotted using GraphPad Prism v8.4.1.

Identification of biologically active compound

O. flavum was cultured on Malt Extract Agar (MEA) for 14 days. Secondary metabolites

were extracted using ethyl acetate and separated using a Shimadzu preparative high

performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system with a C18 reversed phase Reprosil

column (10 µm, 120 Å, 250 × 22 mm). The mobile phase was 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid in

water (buffer A) and 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid in acetonitrile (buffer B). A linear gradient was

applied of buffer B (5–95%) for 40 minutes. Fractions were collected and tested on *B. subtilis.*

The active fraction was assessed for its purity through Shimadzu LC-2030 analytical HPLC

using a Shimadzu Shim-pack GISTC18-HP reversed phase column (3 μm, 4.6 × 100 mm).

LC-MS was performed on a Shimadzu LC-system connected to a Bruker Daltonics μTOF-

Q mass spectrometer. High resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) was measured on an

LCT instrument (Micromass Ltd, Manchester UK). Elemental composition analyses were

performed by Mikroanalytisch Labor Kolbe (Oberhausen, Germany). Finally, the compound

was dissolved in 400 μL $CDCl_3$ + 0,03% TMS and analyzed by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance

(NMR) spectroscopy. More specifically, ^{1}H-NMR, Hetronuclear Single Quantum Coherence

(HSQC), Hetronuclear Multile-Bond Correlation (HMBC) and Correlation spectroscopy

(COSY) spectra were measured at 600 MHz using a Bruker instrument. ^{13}C-NMR was

measured on the same instrument at 150 MHz.

Results

Static morphological differences did not fully distinguish the effect of antimicrobials on bacteria

Most antimicrobials for Gram-positives fall into one of five main classes based on their MoA: cell membrane, cell wall, protein, DNA or RNA (Peach et al., 2013). We set out to use morphological differences to distinguish MoAs between different classes of antimicrobials against Gram-positive bacteria. To this end, we selected one antimicrobial from each class. *B. subtilis* was treated for 60 min with the antimicrobials using 2.5 × MIC (Table 1) to ensure the inhibitory effects. The cells were stained with FM4-64 (red, cell membrane) and DAPI (blue, nucleoid), immobilized and imaged. Ampicillin (cell wall class) treatment for 60 min did not alter the appearance of cells compared to control treatment (Fig. 1). The other four antimicrobials induced variations both with respect to membrane and nucleoid staining. On the membrane, bright fluorescent foci appeared in response to all four antimicrobials, suggesting that the cytoskeleton was affected (Strahl et al., 2014). However, similar foci were also sometimes observed in the control, precluding the presence of foci as a criterion to distinguish between antimicrobial classes. Nucleoid staining was divided into two groups: decondensed nucleoids in response to CCCP (cell membrane class) and rifampin (RNA class), and shorter, more condensed nucleoids in response to moxifloxacin (DNA class) and chloramphenicol (protein class). In addition, the nucleoid dye SYTOX-Green was applied separately to check the permeability of cells. However, no cells were shown to have permeable membrane in response to CCCP or ampicillin (Fig. S1A). Taken together,

analysis of membrane and nucleoid staining of immobilized cells after treatment with

antimicrobials for 60 min was not sufficient to definitively distinguish between the five classes

of antimicrobials. Nevertheless, some differences were observed and we hypothesized that

the response over time might be distinct. To investigate the dynamics of the cells' responses

to antimicrobials, we set up time-lapse imaging of bacteria stained with fluorescent dyes.

DBMI distinguished antimicrobials from distinct MoA classes

To acquire dynamic morphological patterns, SYTO-9 was used as nucleoid dye. We also

designed the following workflow to enable time-lapse imaging of bacteria(Fig. 2). Images

were taken every three minutes to prevent cells from laser damage, and two images were

acquired before antimicrobials were added to confirm that the bacteria were growing

healthily. To facilitate quantitative dynamic imaging of individual cells, the fluorescence

intensity was integrated and quantified along the length of the cell and plotted. Control cells

treated with DMSO showed steady growth over the course of 60 min (Fig. 3). DBMI was

initially performed with the same antimicrobials as in the static bacterial imaging experiment.

Images were collected for 60 min and resulting movies are shown in Movie S1-S6.

Cells treated with different classes of antimicrobials had notably distinct profiles. The

ampicillin and CCCP profiles stood out, because the nucleoid fluorescence signals suddenly

became almost undetectable (Fig. 3). Ampicillin treatment inhibited cell growth and

subsequently, the nucleoid fluorescence was lost. Strikingly, the membrane fluorescence at

the septum started to decrease a few minutes after cell growth was inhibited. Part of the

membrane fluorescence was lost at the end of the imaging series, showing that cells treated

with ampicillin disintegrated, which was also evident from the actual micrograph (Fig. 3D).

In response to CCCP, the membrane pattern remained normal. However, the nucleoid

fluorescence intensity decreased sharply already after 6 min of treatment and cells stopped

growing at the same time. The apparent loss of SYTO-9 staining was unexpected, because

CCCP-treatment did not significantly affect DAPI-stained nucleoids (Fig. 1). Double labeling

of nucleoids with SYTO-9 and DAPI showed that CCCP-treatment for 3 min already greatly

reduced the SYTO-9 signal. In high contrast, SYTO-9 was still detectable. Yet, DAPI labeling

appeared not to be affected in the same CCCP-treated cells (Fig. 4). Similar results were

obtained after CCCP-treatment for 60 min (Fig. S1B). These results indicate that rapid

reduction in SYTO-9 labeling of nucleoids was not due to loss of nucleoids from the cells,

but rather to loss of SYTO-9 fluorescence.

Chloramphenicol and moxifloxacin induced shorter nucleoids relative to the cell size (Fig.

3), but the dynamics were different. In chloramphenicol treated cells, the extension of

nucleoids was totally arrested, and the nucleoids compacted, resulting in an apparent

increase in space between the nucleoids. In moxifloxacin-treated cells, the nucleoids still

extended, but slower than the cells themselves, resulting in an increase in the space

between nucleoids. Rifampin induced the nucleoid signal to gradually spread over the cells,

suggesting that the nucleoids decondensed, which is in line with previous studies (Chen et

al., 1996; Bakshi et al., 2015). Taken together, these results suggest that DBMI distinguished

the MoA of antimicrobials from five major classes.

Rapid loss of nucleoid staining was distinct in cells treated with antimicrobials from cell membrane and cell wall classes

In order to determine whether the DBMI profiles were conserved in each class, we tested 14 distinct antimicrobials from the five main antimicrobial classes (Table 1) using DBMI. All the profiles were first analyzed by eye for nucleoid visibility and membrane integrity to derive cell status, which was featured into three types: intact cells, having both visible membrane and nucleoid fluorescence; no-nucleoid cells, intact cells without visible nucleoid fluorescence; and disintegrated cells, no detectable nucleoid fluorescence and a disintegrated membrane. Cell status was evaluated over time to determine the changes in the ratios of each cell type during treatment.

As expected, antimicrobials within the cell membrane class (triclosan, nisin and CCCP) and the cell wall class (ampicillin, penicillin G and vancomycin) showed distinct profiles by cell status analysis and were readily distinguished from the other three classes (Fig. 5A, S1C). The percentage of intact cells decreased strongly after treatment with antimicrobials from the cell membrane and cell wall classes, compared to the other three classes. However, some antimicrobials from RNA and protein classes, including rifampin, gentamicin and chloramphenicol, also induced loss of nucleoid fluorescence in a small proportion of the cells (Fig. S1C). Quantification of nucleoid intensity of individual cells over time indicated that rifampin, gentamicin and chloramphenicol treatment resulted in gradual loss of nucleoid intensity (Fig. S1D). In contrast, treatment with cell membrane-active and cell wall-active

antimicrobials resulted in a sharp decrease of nucleoid fluorescence intensity in each

individual cell (Fig. 5B). Next, to distinguish the classes further, quantitative analysis of the

changes in the membrane fluorescence intensity, cell length and the nucleoid length was

done over time. Differences in membrane appearance and nucleoid shape were also

recorded.

To distinguish the cell membrane class from the cell wall class, we evaluated the

percentage of intact cells (Fig. 5A) and the inhibition of cell growth (Fig. 5C). Cell wall-active

antimicrobial treatment induced loss of nucleoid fluorescence and inhibition of cell growth

after 15 - 30 min of treatment. Cell wall-active antimicrobials also induced disintegration of

cells within 12 min after losing nucleoid fluorescence. Disintegrated cells were only observed

upon treatment with cell wall-active antimicrobials, making this both a unique and a

conserved trait for this class. In contrast, cell membrane-active antimicrobials induced

simultaneous arrest of cell growth and loss of nucleoid fluorescence at an early stage of the

antimicrobial treatment. These results indicate that the loss of nucleoid fluorescence is the

first effect of cell membrane-active antimicrobials and distinguishes the class of cell

membrane-active antimicrobials from the other classes. Like for CCCP, co-staining of

nucleoids using SYTO-9 and DAPI indicated that loss of SYTO-9 fluorescence was not

caused by loss of nucleoids themselves (Fig. S1E). Taken together, DBMI facilitates the

distinction between cell wall and cell membrane active antimicrobials.

Next, we assessed differences between the cells treated with the three non-envelope-

associated classes of antimicrobials. Since most of the cells remained intact during

treatment (Fig. S1C), we investigated the potential differences in cell morphology, first

focusing on changes in nucleoid length (Fig. 6A, S1F) in comparison with changes in cell

length (Fig. 5C, 6B). To visualize this comparison, the ratio between nucleoid length and cell

length was calculated (Fig. S1G). If not detectable, the nucleoid length was recorded as 0

µm. In response to cell wall and cell membrane active antimicrobials, nucleoid fluorescence

was lost abruptly. In contrast, the two antimicrobials from the RNA class (rifampin and

actinomycin D) induced an increase in nucleoid length compared to cell length, albeit with

different dynamics. This indicated that an increased ratio of nucleoid length to cell length

was conserved following treatment with antimicrobials from the RNA class, which is

consistent with a previous report about nucleoid compaction (Cabrera et al., 2009). In

comparison, treatment with antimicrobials from both the DNA class (moxifloxacin, mitomycin

C and nalidixic acid) and the protein class (chloramphenicol, fusidic acid and gentamicin)

reduced nucleoid length compared to cell length (Fig. S1G). For cells treated with

antimicrobials from the protein class, nucleoid elongation stopped immediately, suggesting

DNA elongation was blocked shortly after the antimicrobial was added. Since cell growth

was not totally inhibited (fusidic acid) or was inhibited more slowly than the inhibition of

nucleoid growth (chloramphenicol and gentamicin), the ratio of nucleoid length to cell length

was reduced. The antimicrobials from the DNA class did not inhibit nucleoid elongation

completely, but reduced it to some extent. Additionally, during treatment, the separation of

nucleoids seemed to be poorly controlled and unusual shapes of nucleoids were often

observed (e.g. Fig. 7A at 30 min), in line with known effects of these antimicrobials on DNA

replication (Sugino et al., 1977; Drlica and Zhao, 1997; Paz et al., 2012). Hence, each class

of antimicrobials we tested generated a unique and reproducible DBMI profile.

DBMI distinguished sub-classes within the cell membrane, cell wall and RNA classes

Subsequently, we investigated whether DBMI was also able to distinguish sub-classes

within the five main classes. In the cell membrane class, the growth curve of nisin-treated

cells was notable, showing stabilized and even decreased cell size when the drug was

added (Fig. 5C), which might due to loss of turgor pressure. In addition, big membrane blobs

were observed after loss of nucleoid intensity at 30 min (Fig. 7B). Triclosan and CCCP

generated highly similar profiles, but there was still a detectable morphological difference

between the treated cells at 30 min (Fig. 7B). CCCP did not induce effects on the membrane,

whereas triclosan induced small fluorescent membrane foci. These results are in line with

their MoAs: nisin generates pores in the membrane, whereas triclosan and CCCP destroy

membrane polarization, albeit at different levels (Kasianowicz et al., 1984; Russell, 2004;

Prince et al., 2016). This indicates that DBMI may be used to distinguish between cell

membrane-active antimicrobials.

When comparing the profiles within the cell wall class, vancomycin was found to

generate a different profile than ampicillin and penicillin G. The cell growth inhibition in

response to vancomycin was delayed by 20 min compared to the other two (Fig. 5C). Before

cell growth inhibition at 30 min, some fluorescent blobs were detected on the membrane,

indicating membrane aggregates during vancomycin treatment, which is distinct from cells

treated with ampicillin or penicillin G (Fig. 7B). Ampicillin and penicillin G generated similar

DBMI profiles and they both inhibit cross linking of peptidoglycans (Williamson et al., 1980),

whereas vancomycin affects lipid II synthesis (Boger, 2001). Thus, we conclude that DBMI

is able to distinguish antimicrobials of the cell wall class.

Rifampin of the RNA class induced rapid elongation of the nucleoid whereas cell length

was almost constant, resulting in an increase in nucleoid length: cell length ratio (Fig. 6A, B

and S1G), indicating that the nucleoids in these cells were decondensed (Cabrera et al.,

2009) and almost fully filled the cells (Fig. 7A). Although the increase in the ratio of nucleoid

length to cell length was also observed in actinomycin D treated cells, it was much slower

than in rifampin treated cells, suggesting that actinomycin D has a different target to block

RNA synthesis, which is consistent with previous reports (Wehrli, 1983; Sobell, 1985).

Next, we explored differences of the profiles of antimicrobial treatments within the

protein class and the DNA class. Whereas most of the dynamic changes were similar among

the three selected antimicrobials of the protein class, changes in nucleoid intensity were

distinct for each antimicrobial (Fig. S1D). None of the fusidic acid treated cells showed a

reduction in nucleoid intensity, whereas half of the chloramphenicol treated cells and all of

the gentamicin treated cells showed a decrease in nucleoid intensity. This might be related

to their different MoAs (Dobie and Gray, 2004; Borovinskaya et al., 2007; Siibak et al., 2009),

but the large variability in nucleoid intensities in chloramphenicol treated cells precluded

nucleoid intensity as a good parameter to distinguish between antimicrobials within the

protein category. For the DNA class of antimicrobials, the responses were too similar to

distinguish between them.

Taken together, DBMI facilitated distinction between antimicrobials from the five main

classes, and allowed to distinguish sub-classes within the cell membrane, cell wall and RNA

classes, using the scheme, depicted in Fig. 8.

Identification of Harzianic Acid

Previously, we screened fungal metabolites from more than 10,000 fungi for bioactive

compounds (Hoeksma et al., 2019). Here, we screened this library for antimicrobial activity

against Gram-positive bacteria (*S. aureus*). One of the fungi, *O. flavum* (CBS 366.71),

produced high antimicrobial activity. The active compound was purified and the chemical

characteristics (Table 2, Fig. S2) were consistent with data previously reported for harzianic

acid (Sawa et al., 1994; Vinale et al., 2014) (Fig. 9A).

Harzianic acid: $C_{19}H_{27}NO_6$, dark yellow powder. HRMS: found 388.1750 (M+Na),

calculated 388.1736 for $C_{19}H_{27}NO_6Na$. Elemental composition analyses: C 61,4%; O 21,8%;

H 7,0%; N 3,8%. NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$): See Table 2. UV-Vis λ_{max}: 244 nm, 363 nm.

MoA Identification of Harzianic Acid

Little is known about harzianic acid, a compound with antimicrobial activity that was

isolated from fungi decades ago (Sawa et al., 1994). Harzianic acid also has antifungal

activity and plant growth promoting activity (Vinale et al., 2009, 2014; De Filippis et al., 2020;

De Tommaso et al., 2020). The antimicrobial MoA of harzianic acid is unknown. DBMI was

used to get insight into the MoA of harzianic acid. Imaging data were analyzed to assess cell status and cell morphology (Fig. 9B, S1). Harzianic acid induced loss of nucleoid fluorescence at an early stage of treatment. Cell growth was totally inhibited at an early stage as well. This was reminiscent of the initial action of cell membrane-active antimicrobials. Cell length actually appeared to decrease when the drug was added, suggesting loss of turgor pressure. Big membrane aggregates were observed when intact cells lost their nucleoid fluorescence, i.e. from 9 min onwards (Movie S7). These effects were similar to the effects of nisin, suggesting that harzianic acid generated pores in the membrane. Cell status data also indicated that part of the cells disintegrated. Note the gaps along the membrane at 30 min (Fig. 9C) or from 18 min onwards (Movie S7). Whereas disintegrated membranes were evident in nisin-treated cells as previously shown in static bacterial imaging (Lamsa et al., 2012), we did not observe disintegrated cells in response to nisin by DBMI up to 30 min treatment. Disintegration of part of the cells in response to harzianic acid may therefore suggest that harzianic acid also partially affects the cell wall.

The final disintegrated cell membrane showed similarities to the cell membrane of vancomycin treated cells, but the dynamics suggested that pore formation in the membrane was the initial effect of harzianic acid. To investigate this, non-cell permeable SYTOX Green was used to stain nucleoids. Evidently, treatment with harzianic acid or nisin, but not vancomycin or vehicle control (DMSO) induced SYTOX Green staining of the nucleoid (Fig. 9D). In conclusion, harzianic acid generated pores in the membrane and eventually appeared to affect the cell wall.

Discussion

Here we used time-lapse imaging of live, stained Gram-positive bacteria to rapidly distinguish the MoA classes of antimicrobial agents. In order to acquire dynamic profiles of bacteria under different conditions, we used SYTO-9 instead of DAPI, because DAPI is toxic to bacterial cells. SYTO-9 shows low bacterial toxicity, fast staining, high fluorescence intensity in the green spectrum and therefore good compatibility with FM4-64 fluorescence. We also developed an imaging protocol (Fig. 2), which is based on a previously described technique (de Jong et al., 2011). A thick agarose pad provides enough nutrition to sustain cell growth and the well on top of the agarose is convenient for adding antimicrobial solutions to bacteria at any stage of the imaging process. In addition, the preparation is fast because no fridge-cool-down or precision-work steps are required. Using this imaging technique, which we called DBMI, we are able to reliably predict the MoA of antimicrobials at three levels (Fig. 8).

At the first level, antimicrobials were divided into two groups, based on whether they exert their effect on the cell envelope or not. All of the inhibitors that induce cell envelope stress induced a sudden loss of SYTO-9 nucleoid fluorescence, which is distinct from the effects of antimicrobials with non-cell-envelope stress targets. Rapid loss of SYTO-9 staining might be caused by sudden changes in intracellular milieu due to a compromised cell envelope, which affects SYTO-9 fluorescence. Which environmental factor(s) affect SYTO-9 fluorescence, be it membrane potential, pH, ionic profile or osmolarity, remains to be determined (Auty et al., 2001; Stiefel et al., 2015).

At the next level, antimicrobials were further distinguished into five distinct classes. For

antimicrobials from the cell membrane class, cell growth arrest and loss of nucleoid staining

occurred simultaneously, which was not observed in cells treated with cell wall active

antimicrobials. In addition, cell wall active antimicrobials led to disintegrated cells eventually,

which is presumably due to the decrease in peptidoglycan synthesis and increase in

autolysins (Kohanski et al., 2010). Interestingly, this pattern was not observed in static

bacterial imaging, e.g. ampicillin treated cells in Fig. 1. This discrepancy is likely caused by

the different imaging methods that were used. Time lapse imaging of the same cell showed

disintegration of the cell (Fig. 3D), whereas treatment in liquid medium for 60 min and

subsequent transfer for imaging may cause disintegrated cells to go unnoticed and only

intact cells are imaged (Fig. 1). The non-cell-envelope associated antimicrobials from

different classes did not induce sudden loss of nucleoid fluorescence. Cells treated with RNA

synthesis inhibitors showed decondensed nucleoids, whereas antimicrobials affecting the

protein or DNA class both induced compacted nucleoids, consistent with earlier reports

(Bylund et al., 1993; Van Helvoort et al., 1996; Bakshi et al., 2015). Unusual nucleoid shapes

and shorter nucleoids may be due to a DNA segregation block and/or dissected nucleoids

by division (Georgopapadakou and Bertasso, 1991; Chen et al., 1996; Drlica et al., 2008).

At the third level, antimicrobials from cell membrane, cell wall and RNA classes were

further distinguished into sub-classes. In the cell membrane class, the appearance of the

membrane seemed to be related to the extent of the permeability. From CCCP to triclosan

to nisin, the permeability increased and apparently, so did the extent of the membrane

aggregation. Notable among them was triclosan, which has multiple targets in the cytoplasm

and membrane. High concentrations of triclosan have bactericidal effects and are mediated

by membrane targets (Russell, 2004). Here, we used high concentrations (2.5 × MIC), which

had bactericidal effects. DBMI classified triclosan in the cell membrane class, which is

consistent with bactericidal concentrations of triclosan acting on membrane targets. In the

cell wall class, vancomycin binds to different target groups than the penicillins (Williamson

et al., 1980; Boger, 2001), which is in line with the observed aggregation of membrane only

in response to vancomycin in the cell wall class. In the RNA category, due to the differences

in targets (Wehrli, 1983; Sobell, 1985), the extent of decondensing of nucleoids was shown

to be different. Inhibiting RNA polymerase by rifampin might affect RNA synthesis faster than

actinomycin D binding to DNA at the transcription initiation complex, resulting in totally

decondensed nucleoids in rifampin treated cells and only partially decondensed nucleoids

in actinomycin D treated cells.

Conclusion

DBMI facilitates profiling of changes over time in cell morphology and viability. With the

obtained parameters, antimicrobials may be classified at three levels. DBMI does not require

prior knowledge of the antimicrobial MoAs. Hence, DBMI may be used to rapidly distinguish

the MoA class and subclass of known and unknown antimicrobials.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Anko de Graaff of the Hubrecht Imaging Centre for help

with imaging, and Samantha van der Beek and Maja Solman for help with developing the

imaging protocol. This project was supported by the Chinese Scholarship Council (CSC).

References

Armengaud, J. (2013). Microbiology and proteomics, getting the best of both worlds! *Environ.*

Microbiol. 15, 12–23. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02811.x.

Auty, M. A. E., Gardiner, G. E., McBrearty, S. J., O'Sullivan, E. O., Mulvihill, D. M., Collins,

J. K., et al. (2001). Direct in situ viability assessment of bacteria in probiotic dairy

products using viability staining in conjunction with confocal scanning laser microscopy.

Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67, 420–425. doi:10.1128/AEM.67.1.420-425.2001.

Bakshi, S., Choi, H., and Weisshaar, J. C. (2015). The spatial biology of transcription and

translation in rapidly growing Escherichia coli. *Front. Microbiol.* 6, 1–15.

doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.00636.

Blair, J. M. A., Webber, M. A., Baylay, A. J., Ogbolu, D. O., and Piddock, L. J. V. (2015).

Molecular mechanisms of antibiotic resistance. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 13, 42–51.

doi:10.1038/nrmicro3380.

Boger, D. L. (2001). Vancomycin, teicoplanin, and ramoplanin: Synthetic and mechanistic

studies. *Med. Res. Rev.* 21, 356–381. doi:10.1002/med.1014.

Borovinskaya, M. A., Pai, R. D., Zhang, W., Schuwirth, B. S., Holton, J. M., Hirokawa, G., et

al. (2007). Structural basis for aminoglycoside inhibition of bacterial ribosome recycling.

Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 14, 727–732. doi:10.1038/nsmb1271.

Brötz-Oesterhelt, H., Bandow, J. E., and Labischinski, H. (2005). Bacterial proteomics and

its role in antibacterial drug discovery. *Mass Spectrom. Rev.* 24, 549–565.

doi:10.1002/mas.20030.

Bylund, J. E., Haines, M. A., Piggot, P. J., and Higgins, M. L. (1993). Axial filament formation

in Bacillus subtilis: Induction of nucleoids of increasing length after addition of

chloramphenicol to exponential-phase cultures approaching stationary phase. *J.*

Bacteriol. 175, 1886–1890. doi:10.1128/jb.175.7.1886-1890.1993.

Cabrera, J. E., Cagliero, C., Quan, S., Squires, C. L., and Ding, J. J. (2009). Active

transcription of rRNA operons condenses the nucleoid in Escherichia coli: Examining

the effect of transcription on nucleoid structure in the absence of transertion. *J. Bacteriol.*

191, 4180–4185. doi:10.1128/JB.01707-08.

Chen, C. R., Malik, M., Snyder, M., and Drlica, K. (1996). DNA gyrase and topoisomerase

IV on the bacterial chromosome: Quinolone-induced DNA cleavage. *J. Mol. Biol.* 258,

627–637. doi:10.1006/jmbi.1996.0274.

De Filippis, A., Nocera, F. P., Tafuri, S., Ciani, F., Staropoli, A., Comite, E., et al. (2020).

Antimicrobial activity of harzianic acid against Staphylococcus pseudintermedius. *Nat.*

Prod. Res. 0, 1–6. doi:10.1080/14786419.2020.1779714.

de Jong, I. G., Beilharz, K., Kuipers, O. P., and Veening, J. W. (2011). Live cell imaging of

Bacillus subtilis and Streptococcus pneumoniae using automated time-lapse

microscopy. *J. Vis. Exp.*, 1–6. doi:10.3791/3145.

De Tommaso, G., Salvatore, M. M., Nicoletti, R., DellaGreca, M., Vinale, F., Bottiglieri, A., et

al. (2020). Bivalent metal-chelating properties of harzianic acid produced by

Trichoderma pleuroticola associated to the gastropod Melarhaphe neritoides.

Molecules 25. doi:10.3390/molecules25092147.

Dobie, D., and Gray, J. (2004). Fusidic acid resistance in Staphylococcus aureus. *Arch. Dis.*

Child. 89, 74–77. doi:10.1136/adc.2003.019695.

Drlica, K., Malik, M., Kerns, R. J., and Zhao, X. (2008). Quinolone-mediated bacterial death.

Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 52, 385–392. doi:10.1128/AAC.01617-06.

Drlica, K., and Zhao, X. (1997). DNA gyrase, topoisomerase IV, and the 4-quinolones.

Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 61, 377–392. doi:10.1128/.61.3.377-392.1997.

Georgopapadakou, N. H., and Bertasso, A. (1991). Effects of quinolones on nucleoid

segregation in Escherichia coli. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 35, 2645–2648.

doi:10.1128/AAC.35.12.2645.

Hancock, R. E. W. (2005). Mechanisms of action of newer antibiotics for Gram-positive

pathogens. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 5, 209–218. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(05)70051-7.

Hoeksma, J., Misset, T., Wever, C., Kemmink, J., Kruijtzer, J., Versluis, K., et al. (2019). A

new perspective on fungal metabolites: identification of bioactive compounds from fungi

using zebrafish embryogenesis as read-out. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 1–16. doi:10.1038/s41598-

019-54127-9.

Kasianowicz, J., Benz, R., and McLaughlin, S. (1984). The kinetic mechanism by which

CCCP (carbonyl cyanide m-Chlorophenylhydrazone) transports protons across

membranes. *J. Membr. Biol.* 82, 179–190. doi:10.1007/BF01868942.

Kohanski, M. A., Dwyer, D. J., and Collins, J. J. (2010). How antibiotics kill bacteria: From

targets to networks. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 8, 423–435. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2333.

Kunst, F., Ogasawara, N., Moszer, I., Albertini, A. M., Alloni, G., Azevedo, V., et al. (1997).

The complete genome sequence of the gram-positive bacterium Bacillus subtilis.

Nature 390, 249–256. doi:10.1038/36786.

Lamsa, A., Liu, W. T., Dorrestein, P. C., and Pogliano, K. (2012). The Bacillus subtilis

cannibalism toxin SDP collapses the proton motive force and induces autolysis. *Mol.*

Microbiol. 84, 486–500. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2012.08038.x.

Müller, A., Wenzel, M., Strahl, H., Grein, F., Saaki, T. N. V., Kohl, B., et al. (2016). Daptomycin

inhibits cell envelope synthesis by interfering with fluid membrane microdomains. *Proc.*

Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 113, E7077–E7086. doi:10.1073/pnas.1611173113.

Nonejuie, P., Burkart, M., Pogliano, K., and Pogliano, J. (2013). Bacterial cytological profiling

rapidly identifies the cellular pathways targeted by antibacterial molecules. *Proc. Natl.*

Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110, 16169–16174. doi:10.1073/pnas.1311066110.

Payne, D. J., Gwynn, M. N., Holmes, D. J., and Pompliano, D. L. (2007). Drugs for bad bugs:

Confronting the challenges of antibacterial discovery. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 6, 29–40.

doi:10.1038/nrd2201.

Paz, M. M., Zhang, X., Lu, J., and Holmgren, A. (2012). A new mechanism of action for the

anticancer drug Mitomycin C: Mechanism-based inhibition of thioredoxin reductase.

Chem. Res. Toxicol. 25, 1502–1511. doi:10.1021/tx3002065.

Peach, K. C., Bray, W. M., Winslow, D., Linington, P. F., and Linington, R. G. (2013).

Mechanism of action-based classification of antibiotics using high-content bacterial

image analysis. *Mol. Biosyst.* 9, 1837–1848. doi:10.1039/c3mb70027e.

Prince, A., Sandhu, P., Kumar, P., Dash, E., Sharma, S., Arakha, M., et al. (2016). Lipid-II

Independent Antimicrobial Mechanism of Nisin Depends on Its Crowding and Degree

of Oligomerization. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 1–15. doi:10.1038/srep37908.

Pulido, M. R., García-Quintanilla, M., Gil-Marqués, M. L., and McConnell, M. J. (2016).

Identifying targets for antibiotic development using omics technologies. *Drug Discov.*

Today 21, 465–472. doi:10.1016/j.drudis.2015.11.014.

Russell, A. D. (2004). Whither triclosan? *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 53, 693–695.

doi:10.1093/jac/dkh171.

Sawa, R., Mori, Y., Iinuma, H., Naganawa, H., Hamada, M., Yoshida, S., et al. (1994).

Harzianic acid, a new antimicrobial antibiotic from a fungus. *J. Antibiot. (Tokyo).* 47,

731–732. doi:10.7164/antibiotics.47.731.

Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., et al.

(2012). Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods* 9,

676–682. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2019.

Siibak, T., Peil, L., Xiong, L., Mankin, A., Remme, J., and Tenson, T. (2009). Erythromycin-

and chloramphenicol-induced ribosomal assembly defects are secondary effects of

protein synthesis inhibition. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 53, 563–571.

doi:10.1128/AAC.00870-08.

Silver, L. L. (2011). Challenges of antibacterial discovery. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 24, 71–109.

doi:10.1128/CMR.00030-10.

Sobell, H. M. (1985). Actinomycin and DNA transcription. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 82,

5328–5331. doi:10.1073/pnas.82.16.5328.

Stiefel, P., Schmidt-Emrich, S., Maniura-Weber, K., and Ren, Q. (2015). Critical aspects of

using bacterial cell viability assays with the fluorophores SYTO9 and propidium iodide.

BMC Microbiol. 15, 1–9. doi:10.1186/s12866-015-0376-x.

Strahl, H., Bürmann, F., and Hamoen, L. W. (2014). The actin homologue MreB organizes

the bacterial cell membrane. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 1–11. doi:10.1038/ncomms4442.

Sugino, A., Peebles, C. L., Kreuzer, K. N., and Cozzarelli, N. R. (1977). Mechanism of action

of nalidixic acid: Purification of Escherichia coli nalA gene product and its relationship

to DNA gyrase and a novel nicking-closing enzyme. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 74,

4767–4771. doi:10.1073/pnas.74.11.4767.

Sun, Y., Heidary, D. K., Zhang, Z., Richards, C. I., and Glazer, E. C. (2018). Bacterial

Cytological Profiling Reveals the Mechanism of Action of Anticancer Metal Complexes.

Mol. Pharm. 15, 3404–3416. doi:10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.8b00407.

Van Duy, N., Mäder, U., Tran, N. P., Cavin, J. F., Tam, L. T., Albrecht, D., et al. (2007). The

proteome and transcriptome analysis of Bacillus subtilis in response to salicylic acid.

Proteomics 7, 698–710. doi:10.1002/pmic.200600706.

Van Helvoort, J. M. L. M., Kool, J., and Woldringh, C. L. (1996). Chloramphenicol causes

fusion of separated nucleoids in Escherichia coli K-12 cells and filaments. *J. Bacteriol.*

178, 4289–4293. doi:10.1128/jb.178.14.4289-4293.1996.

Vinale, F., Flematti, G., Sivasithamparam, K., Lorito, M., Marra, R., Skelton, B. W., et al.

(2009). Harzianic acid, an antifungal and plant growth promoting metabolite from

Trichoderma harzianum. *J. Nat. Prod.* 72, 2032–2035. doi:10.1021/np900548p.

Vinale, F., Manganiello, G., Nigro, M., Mazzei, P., Piccolo, A., Pascale, A., et al. (2014). A

novel fungal metabolite with beneficial properties for agricultural applications.

Molecules 19, 9760–9772. doi:10.3390/molecules19079760.

Wehrli, W. (1983). Rifampin: Mechanisms of action and resistance. *Rev. Infect. Dis.* 5, S407–

S411. doi:10.1093/clinids/5.Supplement_3.S407.

Wenzel, M., Rautenbach, M., Vosloo, J. A., Siersma, T., Aisenbrey, C. H. M., Zaitseva, E., et

al. (2018). The multifaceted antibacterial mechanisms of the pioneering peptide

antibiotics tyrocidine and gramicidin S. *MBio* 9, 1–20. doi:10.1128/mBio.00802-18.

Williamson, R., Hakenbeck, R., and Tomasz, A. (1980). In vivo interaction of β-lactam

antibiotics with the penicillin-binding proteins of Streptococcus pneumoniae. *Antimicrob.*

Agents Chemother. 18, 629–637. doi:10.1128/AAC.18.4.629.

Zhu, J. H., Wang, B. W., Pan, M., Zeng, Y. N., Rego, H., and Javid, B. (2018). Rifampicin

can induce antibiotic tolerance in mycobacteria via paradoxical changes in rpoB

transcription. *Nat. Commun.* 9. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06667-3.

Table 1. List of antimicrobials used in this study

Class	Sub-class	Antimicrobials	MIC* (mg/L)	Mode of Action
Cell wall	Penicillin	Ampicillin	5	Binding to Penicillin-binding protein (Williamson et al., 1980)
	Penicillin	Penicillin G	5	Binding to Penicillin-binding protein (Williamson et al., 1980)
	Glycopeptide	Vancomycin	0.25	Binding to D-Ala-D-Ala moiety of peptidoglycan (Boger, 2001)
Cell membrane	Proton ionophore	CCCP	0.4	Transporting protons across membranes (Kasianowicz et al., 1984)
	Polychloro phenoxy phenols	Triclosan	2.5	Disrupting cellular ionic homeostasis at bactericidal concentration (Russell, 2004)
	Lantibiotics	Nisin	2.5	Generating pores on cell membrane (Prince et al., 2016)
Protein	Amphenicols	Chloramphenicol	2.5	Inhibiting the peptidyl transferase activity (Siibak et al., 2009)
	Fusidanes	Fusidic acid	0.13	Binding to the elongation factor G (EF-G) (Dobie and Gray, 2004)
	Aminoglycoside	Gentamicin	2.5	Binding to the A-site on the 16S ribosomal RNA (Borovinskaya et al., 2007)
DNA	Quinolone	Moxifloxacin	0.05	Inhibiting DNA gyrase A and topoisomerase IV (Drlica and Zhao, 1997)
	Quinolone	Nalidixic acid	1.5	Inhibiting DNA gyrase A and topoisomerase IV (Sugino et al., 1977)
	Mitomycin	Mitomycin C	0.06	Cross-linking and alkylating of DNA (Paz et al., 2012)
RNA	Rifamycin	Rifampin	0.13	Inhibiting bacterial RNA polymerase (Wehrli, 1983)
	Actinomycines	Actinomycin D	0.03	Inhibiting bacterial RNA synthesis by binding to DNA at the transcription initiation complex (Sobell, 1985)
Unknown	Harzianic acid	Harzianic acid	50	Unknown

567　* Determined by our stain of *Bacillus subtilis* 168 in this study.

 Table 2: Assignments NMR-shifts, HMBC and COSY couplings for harzianic acid (in

 CDCl₃)

		Harzianic acid (CDCl₃)		
#	δC^a	δH^b	HMBC[b]	COSY[b]
1	13.7	0.95 (t), 3H	2, 3	2
2	21.8	1.50 (m), 2H	1, 3, 4	1, 3
3	35.5	2.24 (dd), 2H	1, 2, 4, 5	3, 4 or 5[c]
4	149.9	6.37[c] (m), 1H	2, 3, 5, 6, 7[c]	3, 6[c]
5	129.6	6.38[c] (m), 1H	2, 3, 6, 7[c]	3, 6[c]
6	147.6	7.55 (m), 1H	4, 5, 7, 8	4 or 5[c], 7
7	119.1	7.00 (d, J=15.1 Hz), 1H	5, 6, 8, 10	6
8	176.7	-	-	-
9	173.2	-	-	-
10	99.7	-	-	-
11	197.3	-	-	-
12	64.1	3.63 (dd, J= 10.6, 1,0 Hz), 2H	9, 11, 13, 14, 18,	13
13	33.8	1.89 (dd), 1H 2.48 (d), 1H	11, 12, 14, 15, 19	12
14	79.9	-	-	-
15	36.0	2.02 (m), 1H	13, 14, 16, 17, 19	16 or 17[c]
16	17.5	0.99[c] (m), 3H	14, 15[c]	15
17	16.2	0.99[c] (m), 3H	14, 15[c]	15
18	26.6	2.97 (s), 3H	9, 12	-
19	176.3	-	-	-

571 [a]= measured at 150 MHz, [b]= measured at 600 MHz, [c]= overlapping signals

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Cytological profiling of antimicrobial activities. *B. subtilis* cells were treated with an antimicrobial from one of the five main MoA classes or 1% DMSO (control) for 60 min. The antimicrobials we selected were: ampicillin for cell wall, CCCP for cell membrane, chloramphenicol for protein, moxifloxacin for DNA and rifampin for RNA. The concentrations of antimicrobials were 2.5 × MIC. Cells were stained with FM4-64 (red, cell membrane) and DAPI (blue, nucleoid), immobilized and imaged by confocal fluorescence microscopy. Representative images are shown. Scale bar is 5 µm.

Fig. 2. Workflow for time-lapse imaging of bacteria. A single well LB agarose pad was made using a trimmed syringe to immobilize bacterial cells on its surface. A glass slide was used to keep the agarose surface flat and smooth. Upon solidification of the agarose, the glass slide was removed and drops with bacterial cultures were added onto the surface. Once the drops were dry, the agarose pad with bacteria was transferred into a confocal dish for imaging. The thick agarose pad provided enough nutrition to sustain growing cells, allowing time-lapse imaging for hours, even overnight. The well on top of the agarose pad was used for easy addition of antimicrobial solutions to bacteria at any stage of the imaging process. After imaging, raw micrographs were converted into dynamic patterns by quantifying the fluorescence intensities of the membrane and nucleoid staining separately along the length of the bacteria.

Fig. 3. Distinct DBMI patterns of cells upon antimicrobial treatments. *B. subtilis* cells were treated with antimicrobials (2.5 × MIC) as indicated or 1% DMSO (control). The antimicrobials we selected were: ampicillin for cell wall, CCCP for cell membrane, chloramphenicol for protein, moxifloxacin for DNA and rifampin for RNA. Cells were stained with FM4-64 (red, cell membrane) and SYTO-9 (green, nucleoid) and imaged by time lapse confocal fluorescence microscopy for 60 min with 3 min intervals. Column A and D show the actual micrographs of the first and the last picture of the series (contrast was adjusted for individual graph to show details). The FM4-64 (column B) and SYTO-9 (column C) signals in a single cell (arrow in micrographs) were quantified over the length of the cell and plotted (y-axis, numbers in μm) over time (x-axis, numbers in min). Representative cells are shown. Scale bar is 5 μm.

Fig. 4. Dual nucleoid staining of cells following CCCP treatment for 3 min. *B. subtilis* cells were treated with CCCP (2.5 × MIC) or 1% DMSO (control) for 3 min. Cells were stained with FM4-64 (red, cell membrane), SYTO-9 (green, nucleoid) and DAPI (blue, nucleoid), immobilized and imaged by confocal fluorescence microscopy. Representative images are shown. Scale bar is 5 μm. SYTO-9 graphs were shown both in normal contrast and high contrast (HC).

Fig. 5. DBMI of cells treated with antimicrobials from the cell membrane and cell wall classes. *B. subtilis* cells were treated with antimicrobials (2.5 × MIC) as indicated or 1%

DMSO (control). Cells were stained with FM4-64 (red, cell membrane) and SYTO-9 (green,

nucleoid), and imaged by time lapse confocal fluorescence microscopy with 3 min intervals.

Three distinct cell types were identified: intact cells (cells with visible membrane and

nucleoid fluorescence), no-nucleoid cells (cells with apparently intact membrane, but without

visible nucleoid fluorescence), and disintegrated cells (cells with disintegrated membrane

and no detectable nucleoid). The number of cells of the three types were counted from

biological triplicate imaging series (n > 40). In-depth cell morphology analysis was done on

9 cells in total per condition, i.e. 3 cells per biological triplicate. The cell length and nucleoid

intensity data were quantified in these cells at each timepoint. (A) The number of cells in

each cell type, intact cells (green), no-nucleoid cells (yellow) and disintegrated cells (red),

was determined and plotted as percentage (y-axis, numbers in %) over time (x-axis, min).

(B) Overall nucleoid intensity, i.e. the SYTO-9 fluorescence intensity inside a whole cell,

corrected for background, was determined for individual cells over time and was depicted

as percentage of the value at the start (t = 0 min) (y-axis) over time (x-axis, min). The mean

of antimicrobial-treated cells was plotted in black with error bars representing the SEM. Gray

lines represent individual antimicrobial-treated cells on which the mean was based. (C) Cell

length was determined using the membrane signal (FM4-64) and was represented as

percentage, relative to the initial length (y-axis, numbers in %) over time (x-axis, min). The

mean of antimicrobial-treated cells was plotted in black with error bars representing the SEM.

Gray lines represent individual antimicrobial-treated cells on which the mean was based.

Fig. 6. DBMI of cells treated with antimicrobials from the DNA, RNA and protein

classes. *B. subtilis* cells were treated with antimicrobials (2.5 × MIC) as indicated or 1%

DMSO (control). Cells were stained with FM4-64 (red, cell membrane) and SYTO-9 (green,

nucleoid), and imaged by time lapse confocal fluorescence microscopy with 3 min intervals.

Cell morphology data were analyzed from biological triplicate imaging series in triplicate,

hence from 9 cells in total per antimicrobial and processed as described in the legend to Fig.

5. Nucleoid length, i.e. the length of all the nucleoids inside one cell added together, is shown

in (A) and cell length in (B). In case nucleoid fluorescence was not detectable, the nucleoid

length was recorded as 0 µm. The mean of antimicrobial-treated cells was plotted in black

with error bars representing the SEM. Gray lines represent individual antimicrobial-treated

cells on which the mean was based. DMSO control is depicted in Fig. 5C.

Fig. 7. Cell membrane and nucleoid staining of cells after 0 min and 30 min treatment

with different antimicrobials. Cells treated with antimicrobials from DNA, RNA and protein

class are in (A) and from cell membrane, cell wall classes and DMSO control are in (B).

Special patterns induced by moxifloxacin, nalidixic acid, mitomycin C, triclosan and

vancomycin are indicated by arrow heads. Representative images are shown. Scale bar is

5 µm.

Fig. 8. Schematic representation of the DBMI-guided antimicrobial MoA identification.

Green boxes indicate well-identified categories or mechanisms, whereas red ones indicate not well-identified. DBMI distinguishes antimicrobial MoAs at three levels. Briefly, *B. subtilis* cells were treated with antimicrobials and imaged. At the first level, based on the loss of nucleoid staining, every antimicrobial was grouped into cell-envelope associated stress or non-cell-envelope associated stress. If the nucleoid staining was suddenly lost, they would fall into cell-envelope stress group. At the second level, five main MoA classes were identified. For the group of cell-envelope stress, if growth inhibition and loss of nucleoid staining occurred simultaneously, cell membrane was supposed to be affected. If growth inhibition occurred before loss of nucleoid staining, cell wall was determined to be affected if disintegrated cells were observed. For the group of non-cell-envelope associated stress, the changing of nucleoid length / cell length ratio before loss of nucleoid staining were measured. If the ratio was becoming larger during imaging, RNA synthesis was supposed to be affected. If the ratio was becoming smaller, the nucleoid growth had to be checked. If DNA elongation was inhibited, the antimicrobial would fall into protein class; if not, into DNA class. At the third level, sub-classes would be identified within cell membrane, cell wall and RNA classes. Protein and DNA classes could not be further distinguished because the differences within these classes were not evident. For the cell membrane class, if cells became shorter and large membrane aggregates were observed, probably pores were generated in the cell membrane. If there were little or no membrane blobs, the cellular ionic homeostasis was supposed to be disrupted. To further distinguish within cell wall class, we looked back to the time before growth inhibition. If cells were normal, the cross linking of

peptidoglycans, which was the last step of cell wall synthesis, might be affected. If thicker

membrane septa or big membrane blobs were observed, lipid II synthesis might be affected.

For the RNA class, the extent of nucleoid decondensing was different between different

targets. If RNA polymerase was targeted, nucleoids would be totally decondensed. If

transcription complex was affected, the decondensing of nucleoids would be gentler.

Fig. 9. MoA identification of harzianic acid. (A) Chemical structure of harzianic acid. (B)

Analysis of DBMI images of harzianic acid. *B. subtilis* cells were treated with harzianic acid

(2.5 × MIC). Cells were stained, imaged, analyzed and plotted as in Fig. 5. (C) Examples of

micrographs of cells at 0 min, 9 min and 30 min that were used for the quantifications in (B).

Disintegrated cells at 30 min are indicated by arrow heads. DMSO treated cells are shown

as control. Representative images are shown. Scale bar is 5 µm. (D) Cell permeability assay.

B. subtilis cells were treated with antimicrobials (2.5 × MIC) or 1% DMSO (control) for 60

min. Cells were stained with FM4-64 (red, cell membrane) and SYTOX-Green (green,

nucleoid), and imaged by confocal fluorescence microscopy. Representative images are

shown. Scale bar is 5 µm.

A

A

	rifampin	actinomycin D	moxifloxacin	nalidixic acid	mitomycin C	chloramphenicol	fusidic acid	gentamicin
0 min								
30 min								

B

	DMSO	ampicillin	penicillin G	vancomycin	CCCP	triclosan	nisin
0 min							
30 min							