1 **TITLE: Targeted isolation of panels of diverse human broadly neutralizing**
2 **antibodies against SARS-like viruses**
3

AUTHORS:
Wan-ting He[1,2,3†], Rami Musharrafieh[1,2,3†], Ge Song[1,2,3†], Katharina Dueker[1,2,3†], Sean
Callaghan[1,2,3], Peter Yong[1,2,3], Nathan Beutler[1], Jonathan L. Torres[4], Reid M. Volk[4],
Panpan Zhou[1,2,3], Meng Yuan[4], Hejun Liu[4], Fabio Anzanello[1,2,3], Mara Parren[1], Elijah
Garcia[1], Stephen A. Rawlings[5], Davey M. Smith[5], Ian A. Wilson[2,3,4,6], Andrew B. Ward[2,3,4],
Thomas F. Rogers[1,5], Dennis R. Burton[1,2,3,7,*], Raiees Andrabi[1,2,3,*]
10
AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS:
[1]Department of Immunology and Microbiology, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla,
CA 92037, USA.
[2]IAVI Neutralizing Antibody Center, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA 92037,
USA
[3]Consortium for HIV/AIDS Vaccine Development (CHAVD), The Scripps Research
Institute, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA.
[4]Department of Integrative Structural and Computational Biology, The Scripps Research
Institute, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA.
[5]Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, University of California, San
Diego, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA.
[6]Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA
9203
[7]Ragon Institute of Massachusetts General Hospital, Massachusetts Institute of
Technology, and Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA.
[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.
[*]Corresponding author. Email: burton@scripps.edu (D.R.B.); andrabi@scripps.edu
(R.A.).

SUMMARY

The emergence of current SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern (VOCs) and potential future

spillovers of SARS-like coronaviruses into humans pose a major threat to human health

and the global economy [1-7]. Development of broadly effective coronavirus vaccines that

can mitigate these threats is needed [8,9]. Notably, several recent studies have revealed

that vaccination of recovered COVID-19 donors results in enhanced nAb responses

compared to SARS-CoV-2 infection or vaccination alone [10-13]. Here, we utilized a targeted

donor selection strategy to isolate a large panel of broadly neutralizing antibodies (bnAbs)

to sarbecoviruses from two such donors. Many of the bnAbs are remarkably effective in

neutralization against sarbecoviruses that use ACE2 for viral entry and also show strong

binding to non-ACE2-using sarbecoviruses. The bnAbs are equally effective against

SARS-CoV-2 VOCs compared to the original virus. Neutralization breadth is achieved by

bnAb binding to epitopes on a relatively conserved face of the receptor binding domain

(RBD) as opposed to strain-specific nAbs to the receptor binding site that are commonly

elicited in SARS-CoV-2 infection and vaccination [14-18]. The generation of a large panel of

potent bnAbs provides new opportunities and choices for next-generation antibody

prophylactic and therapeutic applications and, importantly, provides a basis for effective

design of pan-sarbecovirus vaccines.

Introduction

Relatively early in the COVID-19 pandemic, it appeared that SARS-CoV-2 was a virus

that might be particularly amenable to control by vaccination. Many different vaccine

modalities, most notably mRNA vaccination, showed spectacular success in phase 3

protection studies [19,20]. The success was attributed at least in part to the ability of the

different modalities to induce robust neutralizing antibody (nAb) responses [21-23]. However,

as the virus has now infected hundreds of millions worldwide, variants have arisen

(variants of concern, VOCs), some of which show notable resistance to neutralization by

immunodominant nAb responses induced through infection and vaccination [1,3-6]. Current

vaccines are still apparently largely effective in preventing hospitalization and death

caused by VOCs [24,25]. However, as vaccine-induced nAb responses naturally decline,

breakthrough infections are on the increase and there are concerns that these may

become more prevalent and perhaps more clinically serious, and that more pathogenic

and resistant VOCs may appear. There are also concerns that emerging SARS-like

viruses may seed new pandemics from spillover events [2,7].

These concerns drive a search for nAbs and vaccines that are effective against a greater

diversity of sarbecoviruses. Indeed, several individual broadly neutralizing antibodies

(bnAbs) have now been generated either by direct isolation of bnAbs from convalescent

donors or from antibody engineering of more strain-specific nAbs to generate breadth [26-

34]. Ideally, large panels of bnAbs would provide more options in the use of such Abs for

prophylaxis and therapy [35]. Importantly, a range of bnAbs would allow for better definition

of the requirements for neutralization breadth and more rational effective design of

appropriate immunogens [36,37]. A range of bnAbs has been crucial in germline targeting

approaches to HIV vaccine design [36-40]. Inspired by the demonstration [10-13,31,41-47] of the

strong serum nAb responses in individuals who are infected with SARS-CoV-2 and then

receive an mRNA vaccine, we isolated and characterized 40 bnAbs from two COVID-19

convalescent donors who were recently vaccinated, many of which combine excellent

potency and breadth to sarbecoviruses.

Results

Donors for bnAb isolation

To identify donors for bnAb isolation, we first screened sera from 3 different groups for

SARS-CoV-2 neutralization. The groups were: i) COVID-19 convalescent donors (n = 21);

ii) spike-mRNA vaccinated (2X) donors (n = 10) and iii) COVID-19 convalescent donors

(n = 15) who had subsequently been mRNA vaccinated (1X) (Extended Data Fig. 1).

Consistent with earlier studies, we observed significantly higher levels of plasma nAbs in

donors who were previously infected then vaccinated ("infected-vaccinated") compared

to donors who were only infected or only vaccinated (Fig. 1a and Extended Data Fig. 1).

To examine the breadth of nAb responses across these 3 groups, we tested sera for

neutralization against ACE2 receptor-utilizing sarbecoviruses (Pang17, SARS-CoV-1 and

WIV1) and against SARS-CoV-2 VOCs (B.1.1.7 (alpha), B.1.351 (beta), P.1 (gamma) and

B.1.617.V2 (delta)) and (Fig. 1b-c and Extended Data Fig. 1). Sera from infected-

vaccinated donors showed greater breadth of neutralization and more effective

neutralization of VOCs than sera from donors who were only previously infected or only

vaccinated. Consistent with previous studies, neutralization efficacy of infected-

vaccinated sera against VOCs was similar to that against the ancestral strain of SARS-

CoV-2 [12,43,48,49] (Fig. 1c). Neutralization of SARS-CoV-1, which is relatively

phylogenetically distinct from SARS-CoV-2 compared to other sarbecoviruses (Extended

Data Fig. 1) [2,50], was relatively low but was clearly above background for about half of the

infected-vaccinated donors (Extended Data Fig. 1). None of the convalescent-only or

vaccinated-only donors in our cohort had sera that could neutralize SARS-CoV-1, as also

noted by us earlier [51]. Of note, many of the existing SARS-CoV-2 cross-reactive or cross-

neutralizing antibodies were isolated from SARS-CoV-1 convalescent donors [27,28,52-55]

and only more recently from SARS-CoV-2 infected donors [26,29-31,56]. These bnAbs appear

to target more conserved spike epitopes, and as also illustrated by SARS-CoV-1 cross-

neutralizing bnAbs elicited in S-protein vaccinated macaques [51,57,58]. Hence, SARS-CoV-

1/2 cross-neutralization appears to be a good indicator of the presence of pan-

sarbecovirus activity and possibly greater CoV neutralization breadth [59]. Accordingly, for

isolation of bnAbs in this study, we focused on two SARS-CoV-2 infected-vaccinated

donors (CC25 and CC84) with most potent SARS-CoV-1 cross-neutralizing antibody

titers.

Isolation and characterization of a large panel of sarbecovirus bnAbs

Using SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2 S-proteins as baits, we sorted antigen-specific

single B cells to isolate 107 mAbs from two COVID-19 recovered donors who had been

recently vaccinated with the Moderna spike-mRNA vaccine (CC25 (n = 56) and CC84 (n

= 51)) (Fig. 1d and Extended Data Fig. 2a) [60]. Briefly, from the peripheral blood

mononuclear cells (PBMCs) of the donors, we sorted CD19$^+$CD20$^+$ IgG$^+$ IgM$^-$ B cells that

bound to both SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV-1 S-proteins. Flow cytometry profililing of

pre-vaccination (post-infection) PMBCs of CC25 and CC84 donors revealed that SARS-

CoV-1/2 cross-reactive IgG$^+$ memory B cells were likely seeded after infection and got

recalled upon vaccination (Fig. 1d), as also observed in other studies [43,61]. Heavy (HC)

and light (LC) chain sequences from 107 S-protein sorted single B cells were recovered

and expressed as IgGs (Extended Data Fig. 3).

All 107 mAbs exhibited cross-reactive binding to SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV-1 S-

proteins (Fig. 2a, Extended Data Fig. 3). Very few of the mAbs showed weak but

detectable binding to β-HCoV (MERS-CoV HCoV-HKU-1 and HCoV-OC43) and α-HCoV

(HCoV-NL63 and HCoV-229E)-derived S-proteins (Fig. 2a, Extended Data Fig. 3). To

determine the epitope specificities of the mAbs, we tested binding with SARS-CoV-2 S1

subunit domains and observed that the vast majority of the mAbs (>80%) displayed RBD-

specific binding (Fig. 2a). To determine the cross-reactivity of RBD binding, we

investigated 12 diverse RBDs representing all the 4 major sarbecovirus clades; clades

1a, 1b, 2 and 3 [2,28,50] (Fig. 2b, Extended Data Fig. 3). The mAbs showed the greatest

degree of cross-reactivity with clades 1a and 1b and the least with clade 2. Approximately

a third of the mAbs (31%) showed cross-reactivity with all 12 RBDs derived from all 4

sarbecovirus clades (Fig. 2b, Extended Data Fig. 3).

Next, we evaluated cross-clade neutralization with mAb supernatants on a panel of clade

1a (SARS-CoV-1 and WIV-1) and clade 1b (SARS-CoV-2 and Pang17) ACE2-utilizing

sarbecoviruses. Two-thirds of mAbs neutralized both SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2 and

43% (40 out of 93 mAbs) neutralized all 4 sarbecoviruses in the panel and are categorized

as bnAbs in this study (Fig. 2b, Extended Data Fig. 3). More comprehensive and

quantitative cross-neutralization is described with a smaller panel of mAbs below.

In terms of antibody sequences, of the 107 isolated mAbs, 93 were encoded by unique

immunoglobulin germline gene combinations and 11 were expanded lineages (CC25 [n

= 6] and CC84 [n = 5]) that had 2 or more clonal members (Fig. 2a, Extended Data Fig.

3). There was a notable enrichment of IGHV3-30, particularly, and also IGHV1-46 and

IGHV1-69 germline gene families for both donors as compared to human baseline

germline frequencies (Fig. 2a, c-d, Extended Data Fig. 4) [62,63]. Interestingly, the mAbs

showed modest levels of V-gene nucleotide somatic hypermutation (SHM): for VH,

median = 5.0% and for VL, median = 4.0% (Extended Data Fig. 3). We sought to

determine whether the IGHV germline gene usage and/or VH SHM levels were correlated

with the extent of neutralization breadth (Fig. 2a, c-d). We observed enrichment of IGHV3-

30 in mAbs that bind to clade 2 RBDs and all 12 sarbecovirus RBDs, but otherwise no

notable trends (Fig. 2c-d). VH-gene SHM levels did not distinguish broad versus less

broad or non-neutralizing mAbs. Overall, we observed that some IGHV genes were

enriched in bnAbs, but diverse human immunoglobulin gene combinations were capable

of encoding for sarbecovirus bnAbs.

To further investigate the potential contribution of SHM to broad reactivity to

sarbecoviruses, we tested the binding of mAbs to SARS-CoV-2 S-protein and to

monomeric RBD by BLI (Extended Data Fig. 5). We found no association of SHM with S-

protein binding and a weak correlation with binding to RBD. Consistent with this lack of

correlation, we did not observe any correlation of SARS-CoV-2 RBD mAb binding with

sarbecovirus neutralization breadth or binding breadth (Extended Data Fig. 5), although

some modest correlation was observed for S-protein binding. These results suggest that

critical antibody paratope features for sarbecovirus breadth may be germline-encoded

and limited affinity maturation is needed. While recent findings demonstrate that the

accumulation of SHM may increase potency and breadth [13,64], this may not be a requisite

feature of sarbecovirus bnAbs. The predominant use of certain germline gene segments

in bnAbs suggests that a germline-targeting approach [38-40] to pan-sarbecovirus vaccines

may be rewarding and the relatively low levels of SHM are promising for successful

vaccine deployment provided appropriate immunogens can be designed.

Next, we examined the CDRH3 loop lengths of the isolated Abs and observed a strong

enrichment for 20- and 21-residue long CDRH3s compared to the human baseline

reference database (Fig. 2e) [62,63]. These long CDRH3s were found to contain high

proportions of two D genes, IGH D2-15 and D3-22, that were notably enriched in bnAbs

(Fig. 2g). Notably, 71% (12/17) of mAbs with 20 amino acid CDRH3s utilized germline

IGHD2-15 D-gene, and the majority of mAbs bearing 21 amino acid length-CDRH3s

utilized either IGHD2-15 or IGHD3-22 germline D-genes (Fig. 2f, Extended Data Figs. 3

and 4). We noted that D3-22 D-gene was also selected in bnAbs isolated in other studies

[26,56,65,66]. Therefore, vaccine design strategies will likely need to take these germline

features into consideration [38-40].

Altogether, we have isolated the largest set of human sarbecovirus bnAbs to date. The

isolated bnAbs, although encoded by diverse immunoglobulin germline gene families, are

strongly enriched for certain germline gene features that will inform pan-sarbecovirus

vaccine strategies.

Detailed binding and neutralization characteristics of a smaller panel of bnAbs

We selected 30 SARS-CoV-1/SARS-CoV-2 RBD cross-reactive mAbs for more detailed

characterization (Fig. 3a). Selection was made based on a high degree of cross-reactive

binding with sarbecovirus clades; the large panel included nAbs that likely had more

potent neutralization of SARS-CoV-1 and/or SARS-CoV-2 individually but lacked cross-

reactivity (Fig. 2a). To determine sarbecovirus cross-reactivity more extensively, we

evaluated 12 soluble monomeric RBDs representing the major sarbecovirus clades, as

above in Figure 2. Almost all mAbs bind SARS-CoV-2 and other clade 1b-derived RBDs,

with most binding in a nanomolar (nM) to picomolar (pM) K_D affinity range (Fig. 3b,

Extended Data Fig. 6). The mAbs that bound most effectively to clade 1b RBDs tended

to also bind well to clade 1a and clade 3 RBDs, albeit with somewhat lower affinities, yet

still in the nM-pM K_D affinity range. Cross-reactivity was least to the clade 2 RBDs,

although there was generally some level of reactivity and some mAbs did show high

affinity binding. Remarkably, several mAbs showed consistently high affinity binding to

RBDs from all 4 sarbecovirus clades.

Neutralization was investigated only for clade 1a and 1b ACE2-utilizing viruses, since

neutralization assays were not available for clade 2 and 3 viruses, and we found that 22

of 30 mAbs neutralized SARS-CoV-2 with a range of IC_{50} neutralization titers (IC_{50} range

= 0.05-4.9 µg/mL) (Fig. 3c) and that 28 of 30 mAbs showed neutralization against SARS-

CoV-1, including all mAbs that neutralized SARS-CoV-2. Neutralization potency was

typically stronger against SARS-CoV-1 than SARS-CoV-2. All mAbs showed

neutralization against WIV1, while a majority exhibited cross-neutralization with Pang17,

and to a lesser degree with SHC014. 13 out of 30 mAbs neutralized all 5 ACE2-utilizing

sarbecoviruses tested with a geomean IC_{50} potency of 0.12 µg/ml. The three most potent

SARS-CoV-2 bnAbs, CC25.52, CC25.54 and CC25.3, neutralized all 5 ACE2-utilizing

sarbecoviruses with a geomean potencies of 0.03, 0.04 and 0.04 µg/ml, respectively.

Although neutralization assays differ, this suggests they are amongst the most potent and

broad individual nAbs described to date (compare also control nAbs in Fig. 3c).

We tested neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 VOCs by select SARS-CoV-2 bnAbs.

Consistent with the donor CC25 and CC84 sera neutralization above, the bnAbs were

consistently effective against SARS-CoV-2 VOCs tested (Fig. 3d). In comparison, SARS-

CoV-2 strain-specific nAb, CC12.1 showed substantial loss of neutralization with VOCs.

The results suggest that these bnAbs target more conserved RBD epitopes that are likely

more resistant to SARS-CoV-2 escape mutations. Overall, we have identified multiple

potent sarbecoviruses bnAbs that exhibit broad reactivity to diverse sarbecovirus

lineages.

Epitope specificity of sarbecovirus bnAbs

To help map the epitopes recognized by the sarbecovirus bnAbs, we first epitope binned

them using BLI competition with RBD nAbs of known specificities (Fig. 4a, Extended Data

Fig. 7), including 5 human nAbs: (1) CC12.1, an RBS-A or class 1 nAb targeting the ACE2

binding site [6,14,17]; (2) CC12.19, which recognizes a complex RBD epitope and competes

with some non-RBD Abs [15]; (3) CR3022, which recognizes the class 4 epitope site [6,14];

(4) S309, which recognizes the class 3 epitope site [6,14]; and (5) DH1047, which

recognizes a conserved site and is class 4 [27]. In addition, we included K398.22, a

macaque bnAb [51], which targets an RBD bnAb epitope distinct from that recognized by

human bnAbs characterized to date but has features characteristic of class 4 bnAbs (Fig.

4a-b). The bnAbs we describe here can be clustered for convenience into two major

groups. Group-1 bnAbs strongly competed with SARS-CoV-2 class 4 human bnAbs,

CR3022 and DH1047, and macaque bnAb K398.22, showed more sporadic competition

with CC12.1 and did not compete with CC12.19 or S309. Group-2 mAbs competed

strongly with CC12.19, weakly with macaque K398.22, and only infrequently and/or

weakly with any of the other bnAbs. Group-1 bnAbs were potent and broad against ACE2-

utilizing sarbecoviruses, but many lineage members displayed limited reactivity with clade

2 sarbecovirus RBDs. The group-2 mAbs showed broader reactivity with sarbecoviruses

but were relatively less potent compared to group-1 bnAbs (Fig. 4a). Notably, one group-

2 bnAb, CC25.11 showed strong competition with human class 3 RBD bnAb, S309 [52],

and the macaque bnAb, K398.2 [51]. The findings suggest that both group-1 and 2 bnAbs

target more conserved RBD epitopes but group-1 bnAbs are overall more potent but less

broad against clade 2 sarbecoviruses with some exceptions.

To further investigate the epitopes recognized by the bnAbs, we utilized single-particle,

negative-stain electron microscopy (nsEM) and confirmed that the 9 Group-1 and 2

Group-2 bnAb Fabs bound to the RBD of SARS-CoV-2 S-protein (Fig. 4c, Extended Data

Fig. 8). The binding modes of bnAbs to SARS-CoV-2 S-protein were largely similar with

some differences in the angles of approaches, but not distinct enough to clearly segregate

group-1 epitope bnAbs. The group-2 bnAb reconstructions are consistent with an epitope

that spans the RBD, and other parts of the S-protein as described for the competitive Ab

CC12.19 [15]. These bnAb Fabs showed binding to S-protein with all three stoichiometries

(Fab: trimer; 1:1, 1:2 and 1:3) with some of the Fabs exhibiting destabilizing effects on

the S-trimer (Fig. 4c, Extended Data Fig. 8). This destabilization is seen as dimers and

flexible densities in the 2D class averages (Extended Data Fig. 8).

Lessons for pan-sarbecovirus passive antibody and vaccine strategies

Here, we characterized the largest set of sarbecovirus bnAbs described to date. The

bnAbs recognize a relatively conserved face of the RBD and many are highly potent with

fine differences in recognition properties that may provide useful in the face of viral

variation. In particular, as variants emerge during this and future CoV pandemics, the

availability of a selection of potent bnAbs provides choice of optimal reagents for

prophylaxis and therapy to respond to the viral threats.

In terms of vaccine design, the generation of HIV immunogens typically draws heavily on

the availability of multiple bnAbs to a given site to provide the best input for design

strategies [36,67]. The same consideration is likely to apply to pan-sarbecovirus vaccine

design. Further, although the bnAbs that we isolated were encoded by diverse gene

families, certain V and D gene families were highly enriched, and rational vaccine design

strategies may seek to target these genetic features [36,38-40]. Some of the potent bnAbs

compete with the immunodominant human SARS-CoV-2 RBS-A/class 1 nAb CC12.1 that

shows relatively low cross-reactivity. Elicitation of nAbs like CC12.1 may then reduce the

elicitation of bnAbs and rational vaccine design modalities may need to mask RBS-

A/class 1 immunodominant sites [68-70] whilst leaving the bnAb sites intact. Resurfaced

RBD-based immunogens in various flavors [50,57,58] may achieve a similar goal.

Given the strong bnAb responses induced through infection-vaccination as indicated from

serum studies and by our mAbs, are there lessons here for vaccine design? The higher

frequency of bnAbs in infection-vaccination may have a number of causes. First, the spike

S-protein may have subtle conformational differences, particularly in the sites targeted by

bnAbs, between the native structure on virions and the stabilized form presented by

mRNA immunization. This may favor the activation of bnAbs in the infection step followed

by recall during mRNA boosting. Second, the long time-lag between infection and

vaccination may have favored the accumulation of key mutations associated with bnAbs.

There is evidence that intact virions can be maintained on follicular dendritic cells in

germinal centers over long time-periods in a mouse model [71]. Third, T cell help provided

by the infection may be superior to that provided by mRNA vaccination alone. Overall,

there is an intriguing possibility that pan-sarbecovirus nAb activity may be best achieved

by a hybrid approach [42] to immunization that seeks to mimic infection-vaccination, once

the key contributing factors to breadth development in that approach can be determined.

In summary, we isolated multiple potent sarbecovirus cross-neutralizing human

antibodies and provide a molecular basis for broad neutralization. The bnAbs identified

may themselves have prophylactic or therapeutic utility and the bnAb panel delineates

the boundaries and requirements for broad neutralization and will be an important

contributor to rational vaccine design.

Acknowledgements

We thank all the human cohort participants for donating samples. This work was supported by NIH CHAVD UM1 AI44462 (D.R.B.), NIH R61 AI161818 (R.A.), the IAVI Neutralizing Antibody Center, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation INV-004923 (I.A.W., A.B.W., D.R.B.), the Translational Virology Core of the San Diego Center for AIDS Research (CFAR) grant NIH AI036214 (D.M.S.), NIH 5T32AI007384 (S.A.R.), and the John and Mary Tu Foundation and the James B. Pendleton Charitable Trust (D.M.S. and D.R.B.).

Author contributions

W.H., R.M., G.S., K.D., D.R.B. and R.A. conceived and designed the study. N.B., M.P., E.G., S.A.R., D.M.S., and T.F.R. recruited donors and collected and processed plasma and PBMC samples. W.H., R.M., G.S., K.D., S.C., P.Y. and F.A. performed BLI, ELISA, virus preparation, neutralization and isolation and characterization of monoclonal antibodies. P.Z. prepared virus mutant plasmids. J.L.T. and R.M.V. conducted negative stain electron microscopy studies. M.Y. and H.L. generated antibody-antigen structural models. W.H., R.M., G.S., K.D., S.C., P.Y., N.B., J.L.T., R.M.V., P.Z., M.Y. H.L., F.A., M.P., E.G., I.A.W., A.B.W., T.F.R., D.R.B. and R.A. designed the experiments and/or analyzed the data. W.H., R.M., D.R.B. and R.A. wrote the paper, and all authors reviewed and edited the paper.

Competing interests

334   Competing interests: W.H., R.M., G.S., K.D., T.F.R., D.R.B. and R.A. are listed as

335   inventors on pending patent applications describing the sarbecovirus broadly neutralizing

336   antibodies isolated in this study. D.R.B. is a consultant for IAVI and for Adagio. All other

337   authors have no competing interests to declare.

338
339

340 **Figures and legends**
341

342
Fig. 1. Plasma neutralization and memory B cell responses in infected, vaccinated,
and infected/vaccinated donors.
a. SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus neutralization of plasma samples from COVID-19
convalescent donors (blue) as well as vaccinated individuals with (red) or without (green)
a prior history of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Statistical comparisons between the two groups
were performed using a Mann-Whitney two-tailed test, (, (*p < 0.05, ****p < 0.0001).
b. Plasma neutralization for all three groups against distantly related sarbecoviruses.
Pang17 (cyan), SARS-CoV-1 (yellow), and WIV1 (violet) are shown. RBDs are colored
pink for all spikes. In contrast to infected only and vaccinated only donors, approximately

half of the infected-vaccinated donors have neutralizing titers against SARS-CoV-1 above
background (Extended Data Fig. 1).
c. Plasma neutralizing activity against SARS-CoV-2 (Wuhan) and SARS-CoV-2 variants
of concern (B.1.1.7 (alpha), B.1.351 (beta), P.1 (gamma) and B.1.617.2 (delta)).
d. Flow cytometric analysis of IgG$^+$ B cells from PBMCs of human donors CC25 and CC84
isolated at the indicated timepoints (see Extended Data Fig. 2 for gating strategy).
Numbers indicate percentage of cells binding to SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2 spike
proteins, respectively.
e. Quantification of SARS-CoV-1-specific, SARS-CoV-2-specific, and cross-reactive IgG$^+$
B cells from donor CC25 (top) and CC84 (bottom) donors.
362

Fig. 2. Binding, neutralization and immunogenetic properties of sarbecovirus mAbs. A total of 107 mAbs were isolated, 56 mAbs from donor CC25 and 51 mAbs from donor CC84. MAbs were isolated by single B cell sorting using SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2 S-proteins as baits.

a. Heatmap showing IGVH germline gene usage (colored: VH1-46 (magenta), VH1-69 (spring) and VH3-30 (plum) and other V-genes (grey)), lineage information (unique (sky) and expanded (tangerine) lineages) and V-gene nucleotide somatic mutations (SHMs). ELISA binding activity of mAbs with SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV-1 and other β- and α-HCoV derived S-proteins and domains of SARS-CoV-2 S-protein (NTD, RBD-SD1, RBD-SD1-2) (LOD <0.5 OD$_{405}$). Binding of mAbs with clade 1a (SARS-CoV-2 related: SARS-CoV-2, RatG13, Pang17), clade 1b (SARS-CoV-1 related: SARS-CoV-1, WIV1, SHC014), clade 2 (RmYN02, Rf1, Rs4081, Yun11) and clade 3 (BM4831, BtKY72) sarbecovirus S-protein derived monomeric RBDs. Percent neutralization of ACE2-utilizing

sarbecoviruses (SARS-CoV-2, Pang17, SARS-CoV-1 and WIV1) by mAb supernatants
(cut-off <60%). Breadth (%) of binding to 12 sarbecovirus RBDs and breadth (%) of
neutralization with 4 ACE2 sarbecoviruses is indicated for each mAb. MAb expression
levels in the supernatants were quantified by total IgG ELISA and the concentrations were
approximately comparable overall. For reproducibility, the binding and neutralization
assays were all performed twice with mAb supernatants expressed independently twice.
b. Number of mAbs (unique clones) neutralizing SARS-CoV-2 and other sarbecoviruses.
40 mAbs neutralized all 4 ACE2 sarbecoviruses tested.
c. Pie plots showing IGHV gene usage distribution of isolated mAbs with enriched gene
families colored, VH1-46 (magenta) VH1-69 (spring) and VH3-30 (plum). Dot plots
showing % nucleotide mutations (SHMs) in the heavy chain (VH) of isolated mAbs. The
mAbs are grouped by neutralization with sarbecoviruses.
d. Pie and dot plots depicting IGHV gene distribution and VH nucleotide SHMs
respectively. The mAbs are grouped by binding to sarbecovirus-derived RBDs.
e. CDRH3 length distributions of isolated mAbs across broadly neutralizing and broadly
cross-reactive mAb groups compared to human baseline germline reference. MAbs with
20- and 21- amino acid-CDRH3s are highly enriched.
f. Sequence conservation logos of 20 (n = 17) and 21 (n = 11) amino acid long CDRH3-
bearing mAbs show enrichment of D-gene derived residues, including IGHD2-15 germline
D-gene enccoded two cysteines in 20 amino acid long CDRH3-bearing mAbs that may
potentially form a disulfide bond.
g. Enrichment of IGHD2-15 and IGHD3-22 germline D-genes in sarbecovirus broadly
neutralizing or broadly cross-reactive mAbs compared to corresponding human baseline
germlines.
401

Fig. 3. Binding and neutralization of mAbs in terms of potency and breadth.
A total of 19 mAbs from donor CC25 and 11 mAbs from donor CC84 were selected to determine specificity, neutralization potency and breadth, kinetic properties, and neutralization activities against sarbecoviruses and SARS-CoV-2 VOCs.
a. Heatmap of binding responses determined by BLI using SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2 S and S-protein domains and subdomains with IGHV gene usage for each mAb indicated.
b. Heatmap of dissociation constants (K_D (M) values) for mAb binding to spike-derived monomeric RBDs from four sarbecovirus clades: clade 1b (n = 3); clade 1a (n = 3); clade 2 (n = 4); clade 3 (n = 2).
c. IC_{50} neutralization of mAbs against SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV-1, Pang17, WIV1, and SHC014 determined using pseudotyped viruses.

415   **d**, Neutralization of 18 bnAbs against SARS-CoV-2 (WT) and four major SARS-CoV-2
416   variants of concern. CC12.1, DH1047, K398.22 and DEN mAbs were used as controls.
417

Fig. 4. Epitope specificities of sarbecovirus bnAbs.
a. Heatmap summary of epitope binning of sarbecovirus bnAbs based on BLI competition of bnAbs with human (CC12.1, CC12.19, CR3022, DH1047 and S309) and macaque (K398.22) RBD-specific nAbs. IGHV gene usage for each mAb is indicated. Geomean neutralization potency and breadth (calculated from Fig. 3B) and RBD binding breadth with clade 2 or all clade sarbecoviruses (calculated from 3C) for each mAb are indicated. The BLI competition was performed with monomeric SARS-CoV-2 RBD, and the competition levels are indicated as red (strong), orange (moderate), light blue (weak) and grey (very weak) competition. Based on competition with human and one macaque nAb of known specificities, the sarbecovirus bnAbs were divided into group-1 and group-2.
b. Binding of human nAbs to SARS-CoV-2 RBD. The RBD is shown as a black chain trace, whereas antibodies are represented by solid surfaces in different colors: CC12.1 (pink, PDB 6XC2), CR3022 (yellow, PDB 6W41), S309 (orange, PDB 7R6W), DH1047 (cyan, 7LD1), and K398.22 (blue, PDB unreleased).
c. Electron microscopy 3D reconstructions of sarbecovirus bnAb Fabs with SARS-CoV-2 S-protein. Fabs of group-1 (n = 9) and group-2 (n = 2) were complexed with SARS-CoV-2 S-protein and 3D reconstructions were generated from 2D class averages. Fabs are shown in different colors and the spike S1 and S2 subunits (grey) and the RBD sites are

labelled. Group-1 Fabs are colored based on competition levels with ACE2 binding site
nAb, CC12.1.
d. The epitope of each antibody is outlined in different colors corresponding to panel b.
Epitope residues are defined by buried surface area (BSA) > 0 Å^2 as calculated by
PDBePISA (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/msd-srv/prot_int/pistart.html). Putative epitope regions
of group-1 bnAbs based on the competitive binding assay are indicated by red circles.
e. Conservation of 12 sarbecovirus RBDs. Gray surface represents conserved regions,
whereas blue represents variable regions. The conservation was calculated by ConSurf
(https://consurf.tau.ac.il/). The putative epitope region targeted by group-1 bnAbs is
circled as in panel d.
447

Methods

Convalescent COVID-19 and human vaccinee sera

Sera from convalescent COVID-19 donors [34], spike-mRNA-vaccinated humans, and from COVID-19-recovered vaccinated donors, were provided through the "Collection of Biospecimens from Persons Under Investigation for 2019-Novel Coronavirus Infection to Understand Viral Shedding and Immune Response Study" UCSD IRB# 200236. The protocol was approved by the UCSD Human Research Protection Program. Convalescent serum samples were collected based on COVID-19 diagnosis regardless of gender, race, ethnicity, disease severity, or other medical conditions. All human donors were assessed for medical decision-making capacity using a standardized, approved assessment, and voluntarily gave informed consent prior to being enrolled in the study.

Plasmid construction

To generate soluble S ectodomain proteins from SARS-CoV-1 (residues 1-1190; GenBank: AAP13567) and SARS-CoV-2 (residues 1-1208; GenBank: MN908947), we constructed the expression plasmids by synthesizing the DNA fragments from GeneArt (Life Technologies) and cloned them into the phCMV3 vector (Genlantis, USA). To keep the soluble S proteins in a stable trimeric prefusion state, the following changes in the constructs were made: double proline substitutions (2P) were introduced in the S2 subunit; the furin cleavage sites (in SARS-CoV-2 residues 682–685, and in SARS-CoV-1 residues 664–667) were replaced by "GSAS" linker; the trimerization motif T4 fibritin was incorporated at the C-terminus of the S proteins. To purify and biotinylate the spike proteins, the HRV-3C protease cleavage site, 6x HisTag, and AviTag spaced by GS-linkers were added to the C-terminus after the trimerization motif. To produce truncated proteins of SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2 spike, the PCR amplifications of the gene fragments encoding SARS-CoV-1 RBD (residue 307-513), SARS-CoV-2 NTD (residue 1-290), RBD (residue 320-527), RBD-SD1 (residue 320-591), and RBD-SD1-2 (residue 320-681) subdomains were carried out using the SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2 plasmids as templates.To generate pseudoviruses of non-human sarbecoviruses, the DNA fragments encoding the spikes of the sarbecoviruses without the ER retrieval signal were codon-optimized and synthesized at GeneArt (Life Technologies). The spike encoding genes of Pang17 (residues 1-1249, GenBank: QIA48632.1), WIV1 (residues 1-1238, GenBank: KF367457) and SHC014 (residue 1-1238, GenBank: AGZ48806.1) were constructed into the phCMV3 vector (Genlantis, USA) using the Gibson assembly (NEB, E2621L) according to the manufacturer's instructions. To express the monomeric RBDs of sarbecovirus clades (clades, 1b, 1a, 2 and 3), the conserved region aligning to SARS-CoV-2 RBD (residue 320-527) were constructed into phCMV3 vector with 6x HisTag, and AviTag spaced by GS-linkers on C-terminus. The sarbecovirus RBD genes encoding RaTG13 (residues 320-527, GenBank: QHR63300.2), Pang17 (residues 318-525, GenBank: QIA48632.1), WIV1 (residues 308-514, GenBank: KF367457), RsSHC014 (residues 308-514, GenBank: AGZ48806.1), BM-4831 (residues 311-514, NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_014470.1), BtKY72 (residues 310-516, GenBank: KY352407), RmYN02 (residues 299-487, GSAID EPI_ISL_412977), Rf1 (residues 311-499, GenBank: DQ412042.1), Rs4081 (residues 311-499, GenBank: KY417143.1) and Yun11

493 (residues 311-499, GenBank: JX993988) were synthesized at GeneArt (Life
494 Technologies) and constructed using the Gibson assembly (NEB, E2621L).
495
Cell lines
HEK293F cells (Life Technologies) and Expi293F cells (Life Technologies) were
maintained using 293FreeStyle expression medium (Life Technologies) and Expi293
Expression Medium (Life Technologies), respectively. HEK293F and Expi293F cell
suspensions were maintained in a shaker at 150 rpm, 37°C with 8% CO_2. Adherent
HEK293T cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin-
streptomycin and maintained in an incubator at 37°C with 8% CO_2. A stable hACE2-
expressing HeLa cell line was generated using an ACE2 lentivirus protocol previously
described. Briefly, the pBOB-hACE2 plasmid and lentiviral packaging plasmids (pMDL,
pREV, and pVSV-G (Addgene #12251, #12253, #8454)) were co-transfected into
HEK293T cells using the Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific,
11668019).
508
Transfection for protein expression
For expression of mAbs, HC and LC gene segments that were cloned into corresponding
expression vectors were transfected into Expi293 cells (Life Technologies) (2-3 million
cells/mL) using FectoPRO PolyPlus reagent (Polyplus Cat # 116-040) for a final
expression volume of 2, 4 or 50 mL. After approximately 24 hours, sodium valproic acid
and glucose were added to the cells at a final concentration of 300 mM each. Cells were
allowed to incubate for an additional 4 days to allow for mAb expression. For expression
of spike proteins, RBDs, and NTDs, cloned plasmids (350 μg) were transfected into
HEK293F cells (Life Technologies) (1 million cells/mL) using Transfectagro reagent
(Corning) and 40K PEI (1 mg/mL) in a final expression volume of 1 L as previously
described. Briefly, plasmid and transfection reagents were combined and filtered
preceding PEI addition. The combined transfection solution was allowed to incubate at
room temperature for 30 mins before being gently added to cells. After 5 days,
supernatant was centrifuged and filtered.
523
Protein purification
For mAb purification, a 1:1 solution of Protein A Sepharose (GE Healthcare) and Protein
G Sepharose (GE Healthcare) was added to Expi293 supernatant for 2h at room
temperature or overnight at 4°C. The solution was then loaded into an Econo-Pac column
(BioRad #7321010), washed with 1 column volume of PBS, and mAbs were eluted with
0.2 M citric acid (pH 2.67). The elution was collected into a tube containing 2 M Tris Base.
Buffer was exchanged with PBS using 30K Amicon centrifugal filters (Millipore,
UFC903008). His-tagged proteins were purified using HisPur Ni-NTA Resin (Thermo
Fisher). Resin-bound proteins were washed (25 mM Imidazole, pH 7.4) and slowly eluted
(250 mM Imidazole, pH 7.4) with 25 mL elution buffer. Eluted proteins were buffer-
exchanged with PBS, and further purified using size-exclusion chromatography using
Superdex 200 (GE Healthcare).
536
ELISA

ELISAs were performed on 96-well half-area microplates (ThermoFisher Scientific) as
described previously [15]. The plate was coated with 2 µg/mL mouse anti-His antibody
(Invitrogen cat. #MA1-21315-1MG, ThermoFisher Scientific) overnight at 4°C. The
following day, plates were washed three times with PBST (PBS + 0.05% Tween20) and
incubated for 1h with blocking buffer (3% bovine serum albumin (BSA)). Following
removal of blocking buffer, plates were treated with His-tagged proteins (5 µg/mL in PBST
+ 1% BSA) for 1.5h at room temperature. Plates were washed and serum was added at
threefold dilutions (beginning at 1:30) and allowed to incubate for 1.5h. Following washes,
secondary antibody (AffiniPure Goat anti-human IgG Fc fragment specific, Jackson
ImmunoResearch Laboratories cat. #109-055-008) was added for an additional 1h.
Secondary antibody was washed, and staining substrate (alkaline phosphatase substrate
pNPP tablets, Sigma) was added. Absorbance at 405 nm was measured after 8, 20, and
30 min using VersaMax microplate reader (Molecular Devices) and analyzed using
SoftMax version 5.4 (Molecular Devices).

552
553 Biotinylation of proteins
To randomly biotinylate the proteins described in this paper, we used an EZ-Link NHS-
PEG Solid-Phase Biotinylation Kit (Thermo Scientific #21440). To dissolve the reagents
supplied in the kit for stock solutions, 10 µL DMSO was added into each tube. To make
a working solution, 1 µL stock solution was diluted by 170 µL water freshly before use.
To concentrate the proteins before biotinylation, the proper sized filter Amicon tubes were
used. The proteins were adjusted to 7-9 mg/mL in PBS. For each 30 µL aliquoted protein,
3 µL of working solution was added and mixed thoroughly following by a 3h incubation on
ice. To stop the reaction and remove the free NHS-PEG4-Biotin, the protein solution was
buffer exchanged into PBS using Amicon tubes. All proteins were evaluated by BioLayer
Interferometry after biotinylation.

564
565 BirA biotinylation of proteins for B cell sorting
For B cell sorting, the spike probes with the His and Avi-tag at the C-terminus were
biotinylated by the intracellular biotinylating reaction during transfection step. To
biotinylate the recombinant Avi-tagged spike probes, the BirA biotin-protein ligase
encoding plasmid was co-transfected with the spike probe-Avi-tag encoding plasmids in
the FreeStyle™ 293-F cell. 150ug BirA plasmid and 300ug spike probe plasmids were
transfected with PEI reagent as described in the Transient transfection section. The spike
probes were purified with HisPur Ni-NTA Resin (Thermo Fisher) as described in the
Protein purification section. After the purification, the biotinylated proteins were evaluated
by BioLayer Interferometry.

575
576 BioLayer Interferometry (BLI)
Binding assays were performed on an Octet RED384 instrument using Anti-Human IgG
Fc Capture (AHC) biosensors (ForteBio). All samples were diluted in Octet buffer (PBS
with 0.1% Tween 20) for a final concertation of 10 µg/mL for mAbs and 200 nM for viral
proteins. For supernatant mAb binding screening, 125 µL of expression supernatant was
used. For binding assays, antibodies were captured for 60 s and transferred to buffer for
an additional 60 s. Captured antibodies were dipped into viral proteins for 120 s in order
to obtain an association signal. For dissociation, biosensors were moved to Octet buffer

only for an additional 240 s. Randomly biotinylated SARS-CoV-2 S and SARS-CoV-2
RBD were diluted to 200 nM and captured for 5 min. Antigen-captured biosensors were
placed in a saturating concentration of mAbs (100 µg/mL) for 10 min. Biosensors were
subsequently moved to competing antibodies (25 µg/mL) for an additional 5 min. All BLI
results were analyzed using the 1:1 binding kinetics fitting model on ForteBio Data
Analysis software.
590
Isolation of monoclonal antibodies (mAbs)
To isolate antigen-specific memory B cells, we used SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2
spike proteins as probes to perform single cell sorting in a 96-well format. PBMCs from
post-infection vaccinated human donors were stained with fluorophore labeled antibodies
and spike proteins. To generate spike probes, streptavidin-AF647 (Thermo Fisher
S32357) was coupled to BirA biotinylated SARS-CoV-1 spike. Streptavidin-AF488
(Thermo Fisher S32354) and streptavidin-BV421 (BD Biosciences 563259) were coupled
to BirA biotinylated SARS-CoV-2 spike separately. The conjugation reaction was carried
freshly before use with spike protein versus streptavidin-fluorophores at 2:1 or 4:1
molecular ratio. After 30 min incubation at room temperature, the conjugated spike
proteins were stored on ice or at 4 ℃ for up to 1 week. To prepare PBMCs, the frozen
PBMCs were thawed in 10mL recover medium (RPMI 1640 medium containing 50% FBS)
immediately before staining. The cells were washed with 10mL FACS buffer (PBS, 2%
FBS, 2 mM EDTA) and each 10 million cells were resuspended in 100µL of FACS buffer.
To isolate SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV2 cross-reactive IgG+ B cells, PBMCs were
stained for CD3 (APC Cy7, BD Pharmingen #557757), CD4 (APC-Cy7, Biolegend,
#317418), CD8 (APC-Cy7, BD Pharmingen #557760), CD14 (APC-H7, BD Pharmingen
#561384, clone M5E2), CD19 (PerCP-Cy5.5, Biolegend, #302230, clone HIB19), CD20
(PerCP-Cy5.5, Biolegend, #302326, clone 2H7), IgG (BV786, BD Horizon, #564230,
Clone G18-145) and IgM (PE, Biolegend, #314508, clone MHM-88). Antibodies were
incubated with PBMCs on ice for 15 min. After the 15 min staining, SARS-CoV-1- S-
AF647, SARS-CoV-2-S-AF488, and SARS-CoV-2-S-BV421 were added to the PBMC
solution incubating on ice. After another 30 min incubation, FVS510 Live/Dead stain
(Thermo Fisher Scientific, #L34966) 1:1000 diluted with FACS buffer was added to the
PBMC solution for 15 min. Subsequently, cells were washed with 10 mL ice cold FACS
buffer. Each 10 million cells were resuspended with 500µL FACS buffer and then filtered
through 70um nylon mesh FACS tube caps (Fisher Scientific, #08-771-23). A BD
FACSMelody sorter (BRV 9 Color Plate 4way) was used for the single cell sorting
process. To isolate cross-reactive B cells, the gating strategy was set as follows:
lymphocytes (SSC-A vs. FSC-A) and singlets (FSC-H vs. FSC-A) were gated first, and
then live cells were selected by FVS510 Live/Dead negative gating. B cells were identified
as CD19+CD20+CD3-CD4-CD8-CD14-IgM-IgG+ live singlets. Cross-reactive S-protein
specific B cells were sequentially selected for SARS-CoV-2-S-BV421/SARS-CoV-2-S-
AF488 double positivity and SARS-CoV-1-S-AF647/SARS-CoV-2-S-AF488 double
positivity. Single cells were sorted into 96-well plates on a cooling platform. To prevent
degradation of mRNA, plates were moved onto dry ice immediately after sorting. Reverse
transcription was done right after. Superscript IV Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher),
dNTPs (Thermo Fisher), random hexamers (Gene Link), Ig gene-specific primers, DTT,
and RNAseOUT (Thermo Fisher), and Igepal (Sigma) were used in the reverse

transcription PCR reaction as described previously [72,73]. To amplify IgG heavy and light
chain variable regions, two rounds of nested PCR reactions were carried out using the
cDNAs as template and Hot Start DNA Polymerases (Qiagen, Thermo Fisher) and
specific primer sets described previously [72,73]. The PCR products of the heavy and light
chain variable regions were purified with SPRI beads according to the manufacturer's
instructions (Beckman Coulter). Then, the purified DNA fragments were constructed into
expression vectors encoding human IgG1, and Ig kappa/lambda constant domains,
respectively. Gibson assembly (NEB, E2621L) was used according to the manufacturer's
instructions in the construction step. To produce mAbs, the paired heavy and light chain
were co-transfected into 293Expi cells.
640
Pseudovirus production
To generate pseudoviruses, plasmids encoding the SARS-CoV-1, SARS-CoV-2 or other
variants spike proteins with the ER retrieval signal removed were co-transfected with
MLV-gag/pol and MLV-CMV-Luciferase plasmids into HEK293T cells. Lipofectamine
2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 11668019) was used according to the manufacturer's
instructions. 48 hours post transfection, supernatants containing pseudoviruses were
collected and filtered through a 0.22 μm membrane to remove debris. Pseudoviruses
could be stored at -80°C prior to use.
649
Pseudovirus entry and serum neutralization assays
To generate hACE2-expressing stable cell lines for the pseudovirus infection test, we
used lentivirus to transduce the hACE2 into HeLa cells. Stable cell lines with consistent
and high hACE2 expression levels were established as HeLa-hACE2 and used in the
pseudovirus neutralization assay. To calculate the neutralization efficiency of the sera or
mAbs, the samples were 3-fold serially diluted and 25 μL of each dilution was incubated
with 25 μL of pseudovirus at 37 °C for 1 h in 96-half area well plates (Corning, 3688). Just
before the end of the incubation, HeLa-hACE2 cells were suspended with culture medium
at a concentration of 2×10^5/mL. The DEAE-dextran (Sigma, # 93556-1G) was added to
the cell solutions at 20 μg/mL. 50 μL of the cell solution was distributed into each well.
The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 2 days and the neutralization efficiency was
calculated by measuring the luciferase levels in the HeLa-hACE2 cells. After removal of
the supernatant, the HeLa-hACE2 cells were lysed by luciferase lysis buffer (25 mM Gly-
Gly pH 7.8, 15 mM MgSO4, 4 mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100) at room temperature for 10-
20 mins. After adding Bright-Glo (Promega, PRE2620) to each well, luciferase activity
was inspected by a luminometer. Each experiment was carried out with duplicate samples
and repeated independently at least twice. Percentage of neutralization was calculated
according to the equation:
668
669 % Neutralization $= 100 * (1 - \frac{(RLU\ of\ sample) - (Average\ RLU\ of\ CC)}{(Average\ RLU\ of\ VC) - (Average\ RLU\ of\ CC)})$
670
671 The neutralization percentage was calculated and plots against antibody concentrations
672 or sera dilution ratio were made in Graph Pad Prism. The curves were fitted by nonlinear
673 regression and the 50% pseudovirus neutralizing (IC_{50}) or binding (ID_{50}) antibody titer was
674 calculated.
675

Competition BLI

To determine the binding epitopes of the isolated mAbs compared with known human SARS-CoV-2 mAbs, we did in-tandem epitope binning experiments using the Octet RED384 system. 200 nM of randomly biotinylated SARS-CoV-2 S or RBD protein antigen was captured using SA biosensors (18-5019, Sartorius). The biosensor was loaded with antigen for 5 min and then moved into the saturating mAbs at a concentration of 100 µg/mL for 10 min. The biosensors were then moved into bnAb solution for 5 min to measure binding in the presence of saturating antibodies. As control, biosensors loaded with antigen were directly moved into bnAb solution. The percent (%) inhibition in binding is calculated with the formula: [Percent (%) binding inhibition = 1 - (bnAb binding response in presence of the competitor antibody / binding response of the corresponding control bnAb without the competitor antibody)]

Fab production

To generate the Fab from the IgG, a stop codon was inserted in the heavy chain constant region at "KSCDK". The truncated heavy chains were co-transfected with the corresponding light chains in 293Expi cells to produce the Fabs. The supernatants were harvested 4 days post transfection. Fabs were purified with CaptureSelect™ CH1-XL MiniChrom Columns (#5943462005). Supernatants were loaded onto columns using an Econo Gradient Pump (Bio-Rad #7319001). Following a wash with 1x PBS, Fabs were eluted with 25 mL of 50 mM acetate (pH 4.0) and neutralized with 2 M Tris Base. The eluate was buffer exchanged with 1x PBS in 10K Amicon tubes (Millipore, UFC901008) and filtered with a 0.22 µm spin filter.

Negative stain electron microscopy

S-protein was complexed with Fab at three times molar excess per trimer and incubated at room temperature for 30 mins. Complexes were diluted to 0.03mg/ml in 1x Tris-buffered saline and 3µl applied to a 400mesh Cu grid, blotted with filter paper, and stained with 2% uranyl formate. Micrographs were collected on a Thermo Fisher Tecnai Spirit microscope operating at 120kV with an FEI Eagle CCD (4k x 4k) camera at 52,000 X magnification using Leginon automated image collection software [74]. Particles were picked using DogPicker [75] and data was processed using Relion 3.0 [76]. Map segmentation was performed in UCSF Chimera [77].

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Graph Pad Prism 8 for Mac, Graph Pad Software, San Diego, California, USA. Median area-under-the-curve (AUC) or reciprocal 50% binding (ID50) or neutralization (IC50) titers were compared using the non-parametric unpaired Mann-Whitney-U test. The correlation between two groups was determined by Spearman rank test. Data were considered statistically significant at * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, and **** $p < 0.0001$.

Data availability

The authors declare that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the paper and its supplementary information files or from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. Antibody sequences have been deposited in GenBank under

722   accession numbers XXXX-XXXX. Antibody plasmids are available from Raiees Andrabi

723   or Dennis Burton under an MTA from The Scripps Research Institute.

Reference:

Harvey, W. T. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 variants, spike mutations and immune escape. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **19**, 409-424, doi:10.1038/s41579-021-00573-0 (2021).

Letko, M., Marzi, A. & Munster, V. Functional assessment of cell entry and receptor usage for SARS-CoV-2 and other lineage B betacoronaviruses. *Nat Microbiol* **5**, 562-569, doi:10.1038/s41564-020-0688-y (2020).

Mascola, J. R., Graham, B. S. & Fauci, A. S. SARS-CoV-2 Viral Variants-Tackling a Moving Target. *JAMA*, doi:10.1001/jama.2021.2088 (2021).

Wang, P. *et al.* Antibody Resistance of SARS-CoV-2 Variants B.1.351 and B.1.1.7. *Nature*, doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03398-2 (2021).

Wibmer, C. K. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 501Y.V2 escapes neutralization by South African COVID-19 donor plasma. *bioRxiv*, doi:10.1101/2021.01.18.427166 (2021).

Yuan, M. *et al.* Structural and functional ramifications of antigenic drift in recent SARS-CoV-2 variants. *Science*, doi:10.1126/science.abh1139 (2021).

Menachery, V. D. *et al.* SARS-like WIV1-CoV poised for human emergence. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **113**, 3048-3053, doi:10.1073/pnas.1517719113 (2016).

Burton, D. R. & Topol, E. J. Variant-proof vaccines - invest now for the next pandemic. *Nature* **590**, 386-388, doi:10.1038/d41586-021-00340-4 (2021).

Koff, W. C. & Berkley, S. F. A universal coronavirus vaccine. *Science* **371**, 759, doi:10.1126/science.abh0447 (2021).

Reynolds, C. J. *et al.* Prior SARS-CoV-2 infection rescues B and T cell responses to variants after first vaccine dose. *Science*, doi:10.1126/science.abh1282 (2021).

Saadat, S. *et al.* Binding and Neutralization Antibody Titers After a Single Vaccine Dose in Health Care Workers Previously Infected With SARS-CoV-2. *JAMA* **325**, 1467-1469, doi:10.1001/jama.2021.3341 (2021).

Stamatatos, L. *et al.* mRNA vaccination boosts cross-variant neutralizing antibodies elicited by SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Science*, doi:10.1126/science.abg9175 (2021).

Wang, Z. *et al.* Naturally enhanced neutralizing breadth against SARS-CoV-2 one year after infection. *Nature*, doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03696-9 (2021).

Barnes, C. O. *et al.* Structures of Human Antibodies Bound to SARS-CoV-2 Spike Reveal Common Epitopes and Recurrent Features of Antibodies. *Cell* **182**, 828-842 e816, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.06.025 (2020).

Rogers, T. F. *et al.* Isolation of potent SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies and protection from disease in a small animal model. *Science* **369**, 956-963, doi:10.1126/science.abc7520 (2020).

Wang, Z. *et al.* mRNA vaccine-elicited antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 and circulating variants. *Nature* **592**, 616-622, doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03324-6 (2021).

Yuan, M. *et al.* Structural basis of a shared antibody response to SARS-CoV-2. *Science* **369**, 1119-1123, doi:10.1126/science.abd2321 (2020).

Robbiani, D. F. *et al.* Convergent antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in convalescent individuals. *Nature* **584**, 437-442, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2456-9 (2020).

Baden, L. R. *et al.* Efficacy and Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine. *The New England journal of medicine*, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2035389 (2020).

Polack, F. P. *et al.* Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine. *The New England journal of medicine* **383**, 2603-2615, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2034577 (2020).

Khoury, D. S. *et al.* Neutralizing antibody levels are highly predictive of immune protection from symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Nature medicine* **27**, 1205-1211, doi:10.1038/s41591-021-01377-8 (2021).

22   Gilbert, P. B. *et al.* Title: Immune Correlates Analysis of the mRNA-1273 COVID-19
Vaccine Efficacy Trial. doi:10.1101/2021.08.09.21261290 (2021).

23   Earle, K. A. *et al.* Evidence for antibody as a protective correlate for COVID-19 vaccines.
Vaccine **39**, 4423-4428, doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.05.063 (2021).

24   Lopez Bernal, J. *et al.* Effectiveness of Covid-19 Vaccines against the B.1.617.2 (Delta)
Variant. *The New England journal of medicine* **385**, 585-594,
doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2108891 (2021).

25   Abu-Raddad, L. J., Chemaitelly, H., Butt, A. A. & National Study Group for, C.-V.
Effectiveness of the BNT162b2 Covid-19 Vaccine against the B.1.1.7 and B.1.351
Variants. *The New England journal of medicine* **385**, 187-189,
doi:10.1056/NEJMc2104974 (2021).

26   Jette, C. A. *et al.* Broad cross-reactivity across sarbecoviruses exhibited by a subset of
COVID-19 donor-derived neutralizing antibodies. *bioRxiv*,
doi:10.1101/2021.04.23.441195 (2021).

27   Li, D. *et al.* The functions of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing and infection-enhancing
antibodies in vitro and in mice and nonhuman primates. *bioRxiv*,
doi:10.1101/2020.12.31.424729 (2021).

28   Rappazzo, C. G. *et al.* Broad and potent activity against SARS-like viruses by an
engineered human monoclonal antibody. *Science*, doi:10.1126/science.abf4830 (2021).

29   Starr, T. N. *et al.* Antibodies to the SARS-CoV-2 receptor-binding domain that maximize
breadth and resistance to viral escape. *bioRxiv*, doi:10.1101/2021.04.06.438709 (2021).

30   Tortorici, M. A. *et al.* Structural basis for broad sarbecovirus neutralization by a human
monoclonal antibody. *bioRxiv*, doi:10.1101/2021.04.07.438818 (2021).

31   Jennewein, M. F. *et al.* Isolation and Characterization of Cross-Neutralizing Coronavirus
Antibodies from COVID-19+ Subjects. *bioRxiv*, doi:10.1101/2021.03.23.436684 (2021).

32   Pinto, D. *et al.* A human antibody that broadly neutralizes betacoronaviruses protects
against SARS-CoV-2 by blocking the fusion machinery. *bioRxiv*,
2021.2005.2009.442808, doi:10.1101/2021.05.09.442808 (2021).

33   Song, G. *et al.* Cross-reactive serum and memory B-cell responses to spike protein in
SARS-CoV-2 and endemic coronavirus infection. *Nature communications* **12**, 2938,
doi:10.1038/s41467-021-23074-3 (2021).

34   Zhou, P. *et al.* A protective broadly cross-reactive human antibody defines a conserved
site of vulnerability on beta-coronavirus spikes. *bioRxiv*, doi:10.1101/2021.03.30.437769
(2021).

35   Hurt, A. C. & Wheatley, A. K. Neutralizing Antibody Therapeutics for COVID-19. *Viruses*
13, doi:10.3390/v13040628 (2021).

36   Andrabi, R., Bhiman, J. N. & Burton, D. R. Strategies for a multi-stage neutralizing
antibody-based HIV vaccine. *Curr Opin Immunol* **53**, 143-151,
doi:10.1016/j.coi.2018.04.025 (2018).

37   Kwong, P. D. & Mascola, J. R. HIV-1 Vaccines Based on Antibody Identification, B Cell
Ontogeny, and Epitope Structure. *Immunity* **48**, 855-871,
doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2018.04.029 (2018).

38   Andrabi, R. *et al.* Identification of Common Features in Prototype Broadly Neutralizing
Antibodies to HIV Envelope V2 Apex to Facilitate Vaccine Design. *Immunity* **43**, 959-
973, doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2015.10.014 (2015).

39   Jardine, J. *et al.* Rational HIV immunogen design to target specific germline B cell
receptors. *Science* **340**, 711-716, doi:10.1126/science.1234150 (2013).

40   Steichen, J. M. *et al.* A generalized HIV vaccine design strategy for priming of broadly
neutralizing antibody responses. *Science* **366**, doi:10.1126/science.aax4380 (2019).

41   Bradley, T. *et al.* Antibody Responses after a Single Dose of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA
Vaccine. *The New England journal of medicine* **384**, 1959-1961,
doi:10.1056/NEJMc2102051 (2021).
42   Crotty, S. Hybrid immunity. *Science* (2021).
43   Goel, R. R. *et al.* Distinct antibody and memory B cell responses in SARS-CoV-2 naive
and recovered individuals following mRNA vaccination. *Sci Immunol* **6**,
doi:10.1126/sciimmunol.abi6950 (2021).
44   Krammer, F. *et al.* Antibody Responses in Seropositive Persons after a Single Dose of
SARS-CoV-2 mRNA Vaccine. *The New England journal of medicine* **384**, 1372-1374,
doi:10.1056/NEJMc2101667 (2021).
45   Tauzin, A. *et al.* A single BNT162b2 mRNA dose elicits antibodies with Fc-mediated
effector functions and boost pre-existing humoral and T cell responses. *bioRxiv*,
doi:10.1101/2021.03.18.435972 (2021).
46   Turner, J. S. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccines induce persistent human germinal
centre responses. *Nature*, doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03738-2 (2021).
47   Schmidt, F. *et al.* High genetic barrier to escape from human polyclonal SARS-CoV-2
neutralizing
2 antibodies. *bioRxiv*, doi:10.1101/2021.08.06.455491 (2021).
48   Edara, V. V. *et al.* Infection- and vaccine-induced antibody binding and neutralization of
the B.1.351 SARS-CoV-2 variant. *Cell host & microbe* **29**, 516-521 e513,
doi:10.1016/j.chom.2021.03.009 (2021).
49   Leier, H. C. *et al.* Previously infected vaccinees broadly neutralize SARS-CoV-2
variants. *medRxiv*, doi:10.1101/2021.04.25.21256049 (2021).
50   Cohen, A. A. *et al.* Mosaic nanoparticles elicit cross-reactive immune responses to
zoonotic coronaviruses in mice. *Science*, doi:10.1126/science.abf6840 (2021).
51   He, W.-t. *et al.* Broadly neutralizing antibodies to SARS-related viruses can be readily
induced in rhesus macaques. doi:10.1101/2021.07.05.451222 (2021).
52   Pinto, D. *et al.* Cross-neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 by a human monoclonal SARS-CoV
antibody. *Nature* **583**, 290-295, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2349-y (2020).
53   ter Meulen, J. *et al.* Human monoclonal antibody combination against SARS
coronavirus: synergy and coverage of escape mutants. *PLoS Med* **3**, e237,
doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0030237 (2006).
54   Wec, A. Z. *et al.* Broad neutralization of SARS-related viruses by human monoclonal
antibodies. *Science* **369**, 731-736, doi:10.1126/science.abc7424 (2020).
55   Martinez, D. R. *et al.* A broadly neutralizing antibody protects against SARS-CoV, pre-
emergent bat CoVs, and SARS-CoV-2 variants in mice. *bioRxiv*,
doi:10.1101/2021.04.27.441655 (2021).
56   Liu, H. *et al.* Cross-Neutralization of a SARS-CoV-2 Antibody to a Functionally
Conserved Site Is Mediated by Avidity. *Immunity* **53**, 1272-1280 e1275,
doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2020.10.023 (2020).
57   Saunders, K. O. *et al.* Neutralizing antibody vaccine for pandemic and pre-emergent
coronaviruses. *Nature*, doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03594-0 (2021).
58   Walls, A. C. *et al.* Elicitation of Potent Neutralizing Antibody Responses by Designed
Protein Nanoparticle Vaccines for SARS-CoV-2. *Cell* **183**, 1367-1382 e1317,
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.10.043 (2020).
59   Tan, C. W. *et al.* Pan-Sarbecovirus Neutralizing Antibodies in BNT162b2-Immunized
SARS-CoV-1 Survivors. *The New England journal of medicine*,
doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2108453 (2021).
60   Jackson, L. A. *et al.* An mRNA Vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 - Preliminary Report. *The*
New England journal of medicine **383**, 1920-1931, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2022483 (2020).

61   Mishra, P. K. *et al.* Vaccination boosts protective responses and counters SARS-CoV-2-
induced pathogenic memory B cells. *medRxiv*, doi:10.1101/2021.04.11.21255153
(2021).
62   Soto, C. *et al.* High frequency of shared clonotypes in human B cell receptor repertoires.
Nature **566**, 398-402, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-0934-8 (2019).
63   Briney, B., Inderbitzin, A., Joyce, C. & Burton, D. R. Commonality despite exceptional
diversity in the baseline human antibody repertoire. *Nature* **566**, 393-397,
doi:10.1038/s41586-019-0879-y (2019).
64   Muecksch, F. *et al.* Affinity maturation of SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies confers
potency, breadth, and resilience to viral escape mutations. *Immunity* **54**, 1853-1868
e1857, doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2021.07.008 (2021).
65   Brouwer, P. J. M. *et al.* Potent neutralizing antibodies from COVID-19 patients define
multiple targets of vulnerability. *Science* **369**, 643-650, doi:10.1126/science.abc5902
(2020).
66   Sakharkar, M. *et al.* Prolonged evolution of the human B cell response to SARS-CoV-2
infection. *Sci Immunol* **6**, doi:10.1126/sciimmunol.abg6916 (2021).
67   Burton, D. R. & Hangartner, L. Broadly Neutralizing Antibodies to HIV and Their Role in
Vaccine Design. *Annual review of immunology* **34**, 635-659, doi:10.1146/annurev-
immunol-041015-055515 (2016).
68   Duan, H. *et al.* Glycan Masking Focuses Immune Responses to the HIV-1 CD4-Binding
Site and Enhances Elicitation of VRC01-Class Precursor Antibodies. *Immunity* **49**, 301-
311 e305, doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2018.07.005 (2018).
69   Konrath, K. M. *et al.* Nucleic acid delivery of immune-focused SARS-CoV-2
nanoparticles drive rapid and potent immunogenicity capable of single-dose protection.
bioRxiv, 2021.2004.2028.441474, doi:10.1101/2021.04.28.441474 (2021).
70   Hauser, B. M. *et al.* Rationally designed immunogens enable immune focusing to the
SARS-CoV-2 receptor binding motif. *bioRxiv*, doi:10.1101/2021.03.15.435440 (2021).
71   Smith, B. A. *et al.* Persistence of infectious HIV on follicular dendritic cells. *Journal of
immunology* **166**, 690-696, doi:10.4049/jimmunol.166.1.690 (2001).
72   Tiller, T. *et al.* Efficient generation of monoclonal antibodies from single human B cells
by single cell RT-PCR and expression vector cloning. *Journal of immunological methods*
329, 112-124, doi:10.1016/j.jim.2007.09.017 (2008).
73   Doria-Rose, N. A. *et al.* New Member of the V1V2-Directed CAP256-VRC26 Lineage
That Shows Increased Breadth and Exceptional Potency. *Journal of virology* **90**, 76-91,
doi:10.1128/JVI.01791-15 (2016).
74   Suloway, C. *et al.* Automated molecular microscopy: the new Leginon system. *J Struct
Biol* **151**, 41-60, doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2005.03.010 (2005).
75   Voss, N. R., Yoshioka, C. K., Radermacher, M., Potter, C. S. & Carragher, B. DoG
Picker and TiltPicker: software tools to facilitate particle selection in single particle
electron microscopy. *J Struct Biol* **166**, 205-213, doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2009.01.004 (2009).
76   Scheres, S. H. RELION: implementation of a Bayesian approach to cryo-EM structure
determination. *J Struct Biol* **180**, 519-530, doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2012.09.006 (2012).
77   Pettersen, E. F. *et al.* UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research
and analysis. *J Comput Chem* **25**, 1605-1612, doi:10.1002/jcc.20084 (2004).
917
918

Strain	CC12	CC27	CC34	CC35	CC36	CC38
SARS-CoV-2	639	170	269	1340	586	230
B.1.1.7	1413	150	219	1824	758	3724
B.1.351	<60	64	<60	85	<60	<60
P.1	<60	378	<60	<60	<60	<60
B1.617.V2	119	685	501	1822	320	554
Pang17	79	<60	<60	149	89	<60
SARS-CoV-1	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60
WIV1	101	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60

COVID-19

Strain	CC102	CC103	CC104	CC105	CC106	CC107	CC108	CC109	CC110	CC111
SARS-CoV-2	185	544	263	870	789	303	486	288	163	76
B.1.1.7	<60	326	132	720	422	117	369	211	<60	<60
B.1.351	<60	95	<60	168	115	<60	67	<60	<60	<60
P.1	<60	<60	123	<60	107	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60
B1.617.V2	<60	72	162	159	93	<60	97	<60	<60	<60
Pang17	<60	70	<60	515	427	411	283	<60	<60	<60
SARS-CoV-1	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60
WIV1	<60	148	<60	228	123	<60	111	<60	<60	<60

2X vaccinated

Strain	CC9	CC24	CC25	CC26	CC42	CC62	CC67	CC68	CC74	CC78	CC80	CC84	CC92	CC95	CC99
SARS-CoV2	68	<60	1204	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	349	<60	<60	<60	169	<60	<60
B.1.1.7	<60	<60	903	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	174	<60	<60	<60	83	<60	<60
B.1.351	<60	<60	149	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	130	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60
P.1	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60
B1.617.V2	<60	<60	357	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	111	<60	<60	<60	190	<60	<60
Pang17	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60
SARS-CoV-1	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60
WIV1	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60	<60

infected (before vaccination)

Strain	CC9	CC24	CC25	CC26	CC42	CC62	CC67	CC68	CC74	CC78	CC80	CC84	CC92	CC95	CC99
SARS-CoV2	1923	244	1097	3815	62	3140	617	1488	1238	804	296	5770	1423	1235	243
B.1.1.7	904	361	1172	1513	<60	2695	735	668	887	1545	912	2267	1840	1185	129
B.1.351	758	156	950	842	<60	2512	843	1398	1013	1884	174	3312	3546	1997	223
P.1	755	193	1426	657	<60	1611	529	364	566	832	<60	1167	1224	919	<60
B1.617.V2	1364	695	1972	935	<60	3193	1294	2352	702	1308	394	4908	2191	1154	149
Pang17	<60	76	923	362	<60	1235	729	1424	512	282	169	1160	1363	615	<60
SARS-CoV-1	87	<60	229	110	<60	124	<60	73	<60	<60	<60	1758	<60	111	<60
WIV1	228	336	433	290	<60	391	232	92	81	410	219	2233	672	512	82

infected + vaccinated

Extended Data Fig. 1. Sera neutralization. Neutralization by sera from COVID-19, 2 x mRNA-spike-vaccinated and SARS-CoV-2 infected/mRNA vaccinated donors with pseudotyped SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern [B.1.1.7 (alpha), B.1.351 (beta), P.1 (gamma) and B.1.617.2 (delta)], as well as other sarbecoviruses (Pang17, SARS-CoV-1, and WIV1). ID_{50} neutralization titers are shown. Prior to vaccination, the sera from infected-vaccinated donors were tested for neutralization and the ID_{50} neutralization titers are shown for comparison.

Extended Data Fig. 2. Flow cytometry B cell profiling and sorting strategies.

a. Gating strategy for analysis of IgG$^+$ B cell populations that bind SARS-CoV-1 S-protein only

(CD19$^+$CD20$^+$CD3$^-$CD4$^-$CD8$^-$CD14$^-$IgM$^-$IgG$^+$CoV2BV421$^-$CoV2AF488$^-$CoV1$^+$), SARS-CoV-2 S-

protein only (CD19$^+$CD20$^+$CD3$^-$CD4$^-$CD8$^-$CD14$^-$IgM$^-$IgG$^+$ CoV2BV421$^+$CoV2AF488$^+$CoV1$^-$), or

both SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2 S-proteins (CD19$^+$CD20$^+$CD3$^-$CD4$^-$CD8$^-$CD14$^-$IgM$^-$

IgG$^+$CoV2BV421$^+$CoV2AF488$^+$CoV1$^+$).

b. Gating strategy used to isolate single cross-reactive IgG$^+$ B cells (indicated in red).

939
Extended Data Fig. 3. Binding, neutralization and immunogenetics information of isolated
mAbs.
A total of 107 mAbs from two SARS-CoV-2 infected-vaccinated donors CC25 (n = 56 mAbs) and
CC84 (n = 51 mAbs) were isolated by single B cell sorting using SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2
S-proteins as baits. MAbs were expressed and tested for antigen binding, pseudovirus
neutralization, and analyzed for immunogenetic properties. Germline, lineage, somatic
hypermutation (SHM), ELISA binding with S-proteins and RBDs, neutralization with ACE2-
utilizing sarbecoviruses and breadth are colored according to the key. Paired gene information,
including heavy chain CDRH3 and light chain CDRL3 sequences are represented for each mAb.
949

Extended Data Fig. 4. Immunoglobulin heavy chain V-gene, D-gene and J-gene usage and enrichment in isolated mAbs compared to a reference human germline database. Baseline germline frequencies of heavy chain genes (VH-, DH- and JH- genes) are shown in grey, and mAb, bnAbs and cross-reactive mAbs in a-c panels are colored according to the key in (a). Arrows indicate gene enrichments compared to human baseline germline frequencies. (a) VH genes, (b) DH genes, and (b) JH genes are shown for all unique clone mAbs isolated from CC25 and CC84 donors.

959
Extended Data Fig. 5. mAb supernatant binding to SARS-CoV-2 RBD and SARS-CoV-2 S
and association with SHM, binding and neutralization breadth.
a. Supernatants from Expi293F cell-expressed mAbs were screened for BLI binding with SARS-
CoV-2 RBD and SARS-CoV-2 S-protein. Binding kinetics were obtained using the 1:1 binding
kinetics fitting model on ForteBio Data Analysis software.
b. Correlations of mAb binding (K_D (M) values) to SARS-CoV-2 RBD and S-proteins with heavy
chain SHM, neutralization breadth, and sarbecovirus RBD breadth are determined by
nonparametric Spearman correlation two-tailed test with 95% confidence interval. The Spearman
correlation coefficient (r) and p-value are indicated.
969

970
971

Extended Data Fig. 6. Binding of select mAbs to RBDs from sarbecovirus clades.
BLI binding kinetics of select CC25 and CC84 mAbs to monomeric RBDs derived from sarbecovirus clades: clade 1b (SARS-CoV-2, RatG13, Pang17), clade 1a (SARS-CoV-2, WIV1, SHC014), clade 3 (BM-4831, BtKY72) and clade 2 ((RmYN02, Rf1, Rs4081, Yun11). Binding kinetics were obtained using the 1:1 binding kinetics fitting model on ForteBio Data Analysis software and maximum binding responses, dissociations constants (K_D) and on-rate (k_{on}) and off-rate constants (k_{off}) for each antibody protein interaction are shown. K_D, k_{on} and k_{off} values were calculated only for antibody-antigen interactions where a maximum binding response of 0.05nm was obtained.

982
Extended Data Fig. 7. Epitope binning of mAbs using a competition assay.
30 select mAbs (19 mAbs from donor CC25 and 11 mAbs from donor CC84) were assayed in BLI
competition binning to evaluate epitope properties shared with previously isolated human
(CC12.1, CC12.19, CR3022, DH1047 and S309) and macaque (K398.22) mAbs with known
epitope specificities. His-tagged SARS-CoV-2 RBD protein (200nM) was captured on anti-His
biosensors and incubated with the indicated mAbs at a saturating concentration of 100μg/mL for
10 mins and followed by nAb incubation for 5 min at a concentration of 25μg/mL. All BLI
measurements were performed on an Octet RED384 system. BLI traces are shown for each
binding. The binding inhibition % is calculated with the formula: percent (%) of inhibition in the BLI
binding response = 1- (response in presence of the competitor antibody / response of the
corresponding control antibody without the competitor antibody).

994
995 **Extended Data Fig. 8. Epitope mapping of bnAbs using negative stain Electron Microscopy**
996 **(ns-EM).**
997 Electron microscopy (EM) images of sarbecovirus cross-neutralizing antibody Fabs with SARS-
998 CoV-2 S-protein. 2D class averages of S-protein bound Fabs for each mAbs are shown.
999
1000
1001
1002
1003