Vestibular CCK signaling drives motion-induced malaise

Pablo Machuca-Márquez[1,5], Laura Sánchez-Benito[1,2,5], Fabien Menardy[1], Andrea Urpi[1],

Mònica Girona[1], Emma Puighermanal[1], Isabella Appiah[1], Richard Palmiter[3*], Elisenda

Sanz[1,2,6*], Albert Quintana[1,2,4,6,7*]

Affiliations

[1] Institut de Neurociències, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona. Bellaterra (Barcelona)

08193. Spain

[2] Department of Cell Biology, Physiology and Immunology, Universitat Autònoma de

Barcelona. Bellaterra (Barcelona) 08193. Spain

[3] Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Department of Biochemistry, University of

Washington, Seattle WA 98195

[4] Human Metabolomics, Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences, North-West University,

Potchefstroom, South Africa.

[5] These authors contributed equally

[6] These authors jointly supervised the work

[7] Lead contact

* Correspondence: palmiter@uw.edu; elisenda.sanz@uab.cat ; albert.quintana@uab.cat

Keywords: Motion sickness, Vestibular nuclei, Glutamatergic neurons, CCK neurons, Food

aversion, Avoidance behavior, Malaise.

ABSTRACT

Travel can induce motion sickness (MS) in susceptible individuals. MS is an evolutionary

conserved mechanism caused by mismatches between motion-related sensory information

and past visual and motion memory, triggering a malaise accompanied by hypolocomotion,

hypothermia, hypophagia and nausea. Vestibular nuclei (VN) are critical for the processing

of movement input from the inner ear. Motion-induced activation of VN neurons

recapitulates MS-related signs. However, the genetic identity of VN neurons mediating MS-

related autonomic and aversive responses remains unknown. Here, we identify a central

role of cholecystokinin (CCK)-expressing VN neurons in motion-induced malaise.

Moreover, we show that CCK VN inputs onto the parabrachial nucleus activate *Calca*-

expressing neurons and are sufficient to establish avoidance to novel food, which is

prevented by CCK-A receptor antagonism. These observations provide greater insight into

the neurobiological regulation of MS by identifying the neural substrates of MS and

providing potential targets for treatment.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

We live in an age where travel is paramount. However, one of the most disabling conditions

inherent to traveling is motion sickness (MS). While studies have underscored the role of

the vestibular system in the development of MS, the neuronal populations involved in

motion-induced malaise remain largely unknown.

Here, we describe the vestibular pathways eliciting MS responses, and identify a key role

for cholecystokinin (CCK)-expressing vestibular neurons. We reveal that a vestibulo-

parabrachial (PBN) CCKergic projection is sufficient to induce conditioned taste aversion,

likely through the activation of calcitonin gene-related peptide-expressing PBN neurons.

Finally, we underscore the role of CCK-A receptor signaling as a novel druggable target to

treat MS, providing novel insight on the neurobiological substrates of MS.

INTRODUCTION

Motion sickness (MS) is an unpleasant autonomic physiological condition that occurs in healthy individuals undergoing passive or even illusory motion. MS signs and symptoms include pallor, cold sweating, yawning, retching and vomiting, vertigo, anorexia, drowsiness, and even severe pain (1-5). MS is highly conserved among species (4, 6-9). Thus, while still debated (10), it has been posited that MS may be the byproduct of an evolutionary mechanism acting as an early toxin-ingestion warning system leading to reduced metabolism (hypothermia and drowsiness), expulsion of the toxin (vomiting) and future avoidance of the ingested substance (6).

It is widely accepted that MS arises from conflicts between actual visual/vestibular sensory inputs and the expected motion and body position information based on past memories (11-13). Accordingly, the necessity of a functional vestibular system for the development of MS was identified long ago (14, 15). Movement-related information processed by the vestibular organ in the inner ear is relayed directly to the medullo-pontine vestibular nuclei (VN). Compelling evidence demonstrates that VN neurons are central to MS neurobiological regulation by showing that activation of VN neurons through provocative motion reproduced MS-like autonomic alterations in rats and mice (16-18). In addition, diseases that affect VN function are associated to autonomic dysregulation such as vertigo, nausea, and vomiting (19).

The role of the VN in the control of the body orientation system, mainly by means of vestibular reflexes at the ocular, head, neck, and spinal levels, has been well described (20). Furthermore, vestibular outputs have been shown to modulate blood pressure with posture change (21, 22). However, how vestibular function governs motion-induced changes in other MS-mediated responses, such as hypolocomotion, appetite suppression, loss of body temperature, or acquisition of a conditioned taste avoidance (CTA) are still unknown (3, 4, 8, 16, 17, 23-25). Mapping of neuronal activity after provocative motion has identified potential

neural substrates for vestibular-induced physiological responses, such as the nucleus of the

solitary tract (NTS), paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (PVN), parabrachial

nucleus (PBN), central amygdala, dorsal raphe nucleus, locus coeruleus and area postrema

(16, 17). Among them, both the NTS and PBN are known to process visceral sensory input

in the brainstem (26) and receive direct connections from the VN (27-29).

Excitatory neurons are the main projection-neuron type in the VN (30) and have been

suggested to participate in autonomic responses after hypergravity (31) and postural

imbalance (32). Furthermore, provocative-motion stimuli activate glutamatergic vesicular

glutamate transporter 2 (VGLUT2)-expressing VN neurons that in turn project axons to

nuclei such as the PBN (33-35). Thus, we hypothesized that genetically defined,

glutamatergic neuronal (sub)populations and circuits in the VN would be sufficient and/or

necessary to develop MS-induced autonomic regulation and/or aversive learning. To that

end, we used cell-type-specific transcriptomics combined with optogenetic and

chemogenetic approaches to identify the underlying vestibular circuitry of MS-induced

responses.

RESULTS

Glutamatergic vestibular neurons sustain motion-induced autonomic responses

Assessment of MS in mice is hindered by the lack of emetic reflex and difficulty to

unequivocally identify nausea (5, 8, 36). However, behavioral and autonomic signs of MS

are consistently observed in mice subjected to rotatory or gravitational paradigms (8, 16-

18). Thus, we sought to establish a rotational paradigm sufficient to develop robust MS-like

symptoms (Figure 1A). Four repeated 1-min, 4-g accelerations (spin stimulation)

consistently induced transient hypolocomotion (Figure 1B and S1A). Furthermore, a

significant decrease in food intake was observed in food-deprived mice after spin

stimulation compared to controls (Figure 1C), in line with the appetite suppression

observed in MS (3, 4, 8, 16, 18, 24). In addition, a decrease in core body temperature,

another classical MS response (3, 4, 8, 17, 24, 25), was observed after the rotational

stimulus compared to controls, with a maximum temperature difference of 4°C that

occurred ~17.5 min after rotation onset (Figure 1D).

MS is known to induce a robust CTA (23). Hence, we asked whether rotation could

establish a CTA in a two-bottle choice test (37). Two conditioning sessions pairing a 5%

sucrose solution with rotational motion, were followed by a choice test of water or sucrose

two days later. We observed a significant reduction in sucrose preference when sucrose was

paired with rotation, compared to controls (Figure 1E).

With the validity of the rotation paradigm established, we set out to define the

neuronal substrate of vestibular-induced, MS-like responses. Excitatory neurons are highly

abundant in the VN (30) and glutamatergic VN neurons are activated after provocative

motion (35). Among the different glutamatergic markers, VGLUT2 (encoded by *Slc17a6*)

shows a robust and significant expression in the VN (38), and VGLUT2-expressing neurons

have been shown to participate in vestibular responses to hypergravity and postural

imbalance, in contrast to GABAergic (VGAT-expressing) inhibitory neurons (31, 32). Thus,

we assessed the necessity of genetically defined glutamatergic VGLUT2-expressing VN

(VGLUT2^{VN}) neurons in eliciting MS behavioral and autonomic responses by bilateral

chemogenetic inhibition in a well-characterized *Slc17a6^{Cre}* mouse line (39) (Figure 1F,

Figure S1B). Targeted chemogenetic inhibition of VGLUT2^{VN} neurons by expression of

hM4Di and administration of clozapine N-oxide (CNO) little effect on locomotion prior to

spin stimulation (Figure S1C). However, inhibition of VGLUT2^{VN} neurons by CNO

administration prevented spin-induced decreases in ambulatory activity (Figure 1G),

appetite suppression (Figure 1H) and attenuated the MS-related decrease in body

temperature (Figure 1I). An initial decrease in body temperature was observed in CNO-

injected mice, suggesting that other neuronal populations may contribute to the early spin-

induced drop in body temperature. In addition, inhibition of VGLUT2^{VN} neurons also

prevented spin-induced CTA (Figure 1J). In contrast, bilateral chemogenetic inhibition of

GABAergic (*Gad2*-expressing) VN neurons did not alter spin-induced hypolocomotion

(Figure S1D-F). These results indicate that VGLUT2^{VN} neurons are necessary to promote

the development of the MS-like behavioral and autonomic responses elicited by rotational

stimulation.

Nauseogenic responses can be obtained after unilateral inner ear caloric stimulation

(40). Hence, to test whether VGLUT2^{VN} neuronal activation is sufficient to induce MS-like

autonomic responses, *Slc17a6^{Cre}* mice received a unilateral injection of an AAV1 expressing

Cre-dependent ChR2:YFP (VGLUT2^{VN}:ChR2 mice; for photoactivation) or a YFP construct

(VGLUT2^{VN}:YFP mice; as control) into the right VN, and an optical fiber was implanted over

the injected VN (Figure 2A). Increased photostimulation frequencies ranging from 10 to 40

Hz, reduced ambulatory activity in VGLUT2^{VN}:ChR2 mice (Figure S1B). We used a 5-min,

40-Hz, optogenetic-stimulation paradigm because we and others have shown VGLUT2^{VN}

neurons in actively moving mice maintain firing rates of up to 40 Hz (41, 42). VGLUT2^{VN}

neuron optogenetic activation led to a significant decrease in spontaneous ambulatory

activity (Figure 2B), consistent with the results obtained after rotational stimulus. Pairing 5%

sucrose solution to unilateral optogenetic activation of VGLUT2^{VN} neurons did not result in

significant differences between the ChR2 and the YFP group (Figure 2C), even though

ingestion of their regular, low-calorie chow and water intake were significantly decreased

after optogenetic VGLUT2^{VN} activation, showing complete suppression for as long as 30 min

after laser onset (Figures 2D and 2E). Noteworthy, a normal feeding pattern was observed

after presentation of highly palatable, chocolate-flavored, liquid diet to a separate cohort of

laser-stimulated VGLUT2^{VN}:ChR2 mice (Figure 2F), ruling out physical inability to feed but

rather a lack of motivational drive to consume regular chow or water. Core body temperature

significantly decreased, with a maximum 3°C drop occurring 17.5 min after laser onset

(Figure 2G). To assess whether the observed optogenetic-induced loss of core body

temperature was due to a reduction in ambulatory activity in VGLUT2^{VN}:ChR2 mice, an

additional optogenetic stimulation was applied under physical restraint. Under these

conditions, core body temperature increased in VGLUT2^{VN}:YFP mice, likely due to restraint-

induced stress responses. However, VGLUT2^{VN}:ChR2 mice still showed a significant drop in

body temperature coincident with photostimulation (Figure 2H). Thus, these results highlight

that VGLUT2^{VN} neuron activation is sufficient to recapitulate most MS-induced symptoms.

Identification of *Crh*- and *Cck*-expressing VGLUT2^{VN} neuronal subpopulations

To define VGLUT2^{VN}-neuron subpopulations, we performed viral vector-mediated RiboTag

molecular profiling (43-45) in VN homogenates of *Slc17a6^{Cre}* mice injected with a RiboTag-

expressing construct (AAV1-DIO-Rpl22:HA (44); Figure 3A). Transcripts enriched in

VGLUT2^{VN} neurons were identified by differential expression analysis in RNA samples

extracted from the RiboTag immunoprecipitates (IP; containing polysome-associated

mRNAs from VGLUT2^{VN} neurons) and the input (I) of the immunoprecipitation (containing

RNA from all the different cell types in the VN). Data analysis confirmed specific enrichment

for *Slc17a6* (Vglut2), and depletion of inhibitory neuron mRNAs (*Gad2*) and non-neuronal

transcripts (*Cnp*, *Gfap*) in the RiboTag IPs. In addition, there was a significant enrichment for

candidate VGLUT2^{VN} neuron subpopulation markers such as *Cck*, *Crh*, *Adcyap1*, *Gal*, *Cbln1*

and *Coch* (Figure 3B).

Among these, *Crh*- and *Cck*-expressing neurons have been shown to be involved in

autonomic and nauseogenic responses (46, 47), highlighting the potential relevance of these

neuronal populations in VN-mediated MS responses. Subsequent *in situ* hybridization

assays confirmed the existence of scattered *Crh*-positive cells that co-localized with *Slc17a6*

(Figure S2A) and an abundant population of *Cck*-expressing neurons, constituting >60% of

all *Slc17a6-* expressing neurons (Figure 3C,D). Noteworthy, a second population of *Cck-*

expressing cells (~45% of the total) that co-localized with *Gad2* was observed (Figure S2B).

Activation of vestibular *Cck*-expressing neurons causes MS-like autonomic

responses

To test the necessity of vestibular *Crh-* or *Cck*-expressing neuronal populations (CRH[VN] or

CCK[VN] neurons, respectively) in the development of MS-like signs, we injected *Crh^{Cre}* or

Cck^{Cre} mice bilaterally in the VN with an AAV vector carrying Cre-dependent hM4Di-mCherry

(Figure S3A and 4A). Chemogenetic inhibition of CRH[VN] neurons did not induce any effect

pre- or post-spin on ambulatory activity (Figure S3B,C), indicating that they are not

necessary to develop rotation-induced autonomic responses. Unexpectedly, bilateral

chemogenetic inhibition of CCK[VN] neurons significantly decreased ambulatory activity in the

absence of rotational stimulus (Figure 4B), which led to an inability to move after the spin

(Figure 4C). Reducing the volume of the inhibitory chemogenetic vector (from 0.35 µl to 0.2

µl) in the VN of *Cck^{Cre}* mice also led to a marked decrease in locomotion in the CNO-injected

hM4Di group, ruling out a role for *Cck*-expressing neurons from neighboring nuclei (Figure

S3D-F). Since CCK[VN] neuron inhibition *per se* is sufficient to induce locomotor effects,

further experiments using this approach were hindered. Thus, we sought to establish if

stimulation of CCK[VN] neurons would be sufficient to elicit MS-like responses. Optogenetic

activation of CCK[VN] neurons (Figure 4D), led to a significant and prolonged decrease in

spontaneous ambulatory activity in the open-field test compared to the control animals

(Figure 4E) and the appearance of a robust CTA (Figure 4F). Similarly, food intake was

significantly decreased after photostimulation of CCK[VN] neurons, showing a complete

suppression for 35 min, while control animals engaged in feeding almost immediately (Figure

4G). Optogenetic stimulation of CCK[VN] neurons reduced core temperature (~4.5°C),

occurring 22.5 min after laser onset, in contrast to control mice (Figure 4H). Thus, our results

underscore that stimulation of CCK^{VN} neurons is sufficient to elicit MS-like behavioral and

autonomic responses.

Since global CCK^{VN} inactivation alters normal VN function, likely by the combined

action of neurotransmitters and neuropeptides, we also assessed the specific role of CCK

signaling blockade in spin-induced responses (Figure 4I-K). Systemic administration of

devazepide (Dev), a CCK-A receptor antagonist, prior to the rotation stimulus, was sufficient

to block hypolocomotion (Figure 4J) and the appearance of CTA (Figure 4K), without having

any overt behavioral pre-spin effects (Figure 4I) other than an increase in food intake, as

described (48, 49) (Figure S3G). In comparison, administration of dimenhydrate (DMH), a

the common anti-MS antihistamine, was able to ameliorate spin-induced hypolocomotion

only at 40 mg/kg, a dose that reduced locomotion prior to the rotatory stimulus (Figure

S3H,I). These results reveal that vestibular CCK signaling can mediate MS-like behavioral

and autonomic responses.

223   A CCK$^{VN \rightarrow PBN}$ circuit mediates MS-like CTA via CGRP neuron activation

We hypothesized that specific vestibular projections were likely driving discrete MS-induced

responses. To elucidate the genetically defined, vestibular outputs involved in these

responses, we compared the vestibular projections described in the Allen Mouse Brain

Connectivity Atlas (121146455, 300687607) to the projection fields of *Slc17a6^{Cre}*, *Cck^{Cre}*,

and *Gad2^{Cre}* mice injected with a Cre-dependent hM4Di·mCherry construct (Table S1).

Among the different brain regions, the PBN was consistently targeted in all animal groups

(Figure 5A), in agreement with the Allen Mouse Brain Connectivity Atlas and prior reports

(27-29, 35, 50, 51). Furthermore, among brain areas showing robust CCK^{VN} axonal

projections, both the VN and the PBN, but not the GRN, had more Fos-positive neurons after

the rotational stimulus as assessed by immunohistochemistry (Figure 5B and Figure S4A).

Thus, these data confirmed the PBN as a prominent terminal field for VN neurons.

Our results pointed at an excitatory role for vestibuloparabrachial inputs. Accordingly,

to identify the neuronal population in the PBN receiving inputs from VN neurons, we

performed double-label ISH assays for *Fos* and *Calca* (which encodes the calcitonin gene-

related peptide, CGRP) because these PBN neurons have been implicated in mediating

visceral malaise and CTA (37, 52, 53). Results showed that spin stimulation induced *Fos*

expression in *Calca*-expressing neurons (Figure 5C). Thus, we hypothesized that a *Cck*-

expressing vestibuloparabrachial (CCK$^{VN \to PBN}$) circuit might be relevant in MS by impinging

onto CGRP^{PBN} neurons. To that end, we optogenetically activated CCK^{VN} axon terminals in

the ipsilateral PBN by placing the optical fiber-tip over this region in CCK^{VN}:ChR2 mice

(Figure 5D and S5A). Photoactivation of CCK^{VN} neuronal terminals in the PBN increased

the number of *Fos* positive-cells in the PBN, which colocalized with *Calca*-expressing

neurons (Figure 5E).

Behaviorally, optogenetic stimulation of CCK$^{VN \to PBN}$ fibers at 40 Hz significantly

decreased sucrose preference when compared to controls (Figure 5F), as well as body

temperature (Figure S5B) without affecting locomotion or food intake (Figure S5C,D).

Overall, these results underscore CCK$^{VN \to PBN}$ activation of CGRP neurons as a key

component of MS-induced CTA. Quantification of activated CGRP^{PBN} neurons after

rotational stimulus, as assessed by double ISH assays for *Fos* and *Calca* transcripts (Figure

5G,H) showed that approximately 25% of CGRP^{PBN} neurons respond to spin. Antagonism of

CCK-A receptor by devazepide administration led to a 50% decrease in the percentage of

active CGRP^{PBN} neurons after rotational stimulus but did not significantly alter the number of

Fos expressing neurons in the VN (Figure S4B,C). Thus, our results identify a role for CCK-

A signaling in the PBN for the development of MS responses.

DISCUSSION

Being described by Hippocrates over 2000 years ago, MS affects millions of individuals (3). The CNS is thought to compute an MS-triggering sensory conflict signal analogously to "toxic shock", eliciting malaise and nausea (6, 12, 25, 54). Thus, in an evolutionary context, MS responses could represent a proxy for toxicity-induced balance mismatches. Hence, vomiting observed in humans would be a response to evacuate toxic substances, appetite suppression to avoid additional toxic ingestion, hypolocomotion and hypothermia to minimize the metabolism, and CTA to avoid consumption of toxins in the future. However, the neurobiological underpinnings of MS have remained elusive.

Our results link VGLUT2^{VN} and CCK^{VN} neuronal populations to the development of MS-like symptoms in mice. Furthermore, we reveal a CCK$^{VN \rightarrow PBN}$ projection that is sufficient to induce CTA and hypothermia likely through the activation of an ensemble of PBN neurons that express the CCK-A receptor including *Calca*-expressing neurons. Our results suggest the potential use of CCK-A receptor blockers as a treatment for MS.

MS is conserved among animal phyla (4, 6-9), but with a high degree of heterogeneity in its behavioral and physiological correlates. Mice do not present an emetic reflex but do present overt physiological and behavioral alterations when exposed to a nauseogenic experience (4, 17, 18, 23, 24, 55) such as sustained 2-*g* rotational stimuli (8, 16-18, 24, 31). We show that an intermittent rotational stimulus of 4 min is sufficient to develop robust hypolocomotion, hypophagia, hypothermia, and CTA. The role of the VN in the development of MS is well recognized (16, 17). The currently accepted hypothesis is that the vestibular inner ear organs provide a major input for the subsequent computing comparisons between present sensory input (integrated input including vestibular, visual and proprioceptive information) and memory recalled from similar motion situations experienced in the past (12, 13). Rotational stimuli convey information from the semicircular canals mostly onto medial VN (MVN) neurons, leading to nauseogenic

responses (5, 40, 56). Previous studies had identified that VGLUT2^{VN} neurons, highly

abundant in the VN (30), participate in postural balance and mediate gravitational stress-

induced hypolocomotion, hypophagia, and hypothermia (31, 32). Here, we confirm these

results and show, for the first time, that VGLUT2^{VN} neurons are necessary for the

development of MS responses, such as CTA, in a rotational paradigm in mice. Our results

show that while unilateral optogenetic activation of VGLUT2^{VN} neurons elicits the responses,

bilateral chemogenetic inhibition of the same populations does not have the converse effect

on locomotion, which may suggest that a glutamatergic drive is necessary to elicit vestibular-

induced effects on locomotion. Alternatively, bilateral inhibition may result in the absence of

conflicting commissural inhibition, thus limiting the effects on locomotion (49).

Several excitatory populations have been described in the VN that may be involved

in different aspects of vestibular-mediated responses (57). Using the RiboTag approach (43,

45) we identified several genetic markers for subpopulations. Our results validate genes *Crh*

and *Adcyap1* (57) and identify new markers for VGLUT2^{VN} neurons including *Gal*, *Coch* and

Cck. Furthermore, we provide evidence that *Cck*-expressing neurons are the most abundant

glutamatergic vestibular neuronal population.

At the functional level, we show that unilateral optogenetic activation of CCK^{VN}

neurons is sufficient to recapitulate MS-induced responses, such as hypolocomotion,

hypothermia, hypophagia and to elicit a robust CTA. On the other hand, restricted

chemogenetic inhibition of CCK^{VN} neurons significantly decreased ambulatory activity and

temperature, even in the absence of a rotational stimulus. Different mechanisms may

account for this paradoxical response. First, VN presents a high degree of compensation,

such as contralateral commissural inhibition (58). Thus, alterations in neuronal activity may

lead to compensatory contralateral activation. However, these responses were neither

observed after VGLUT2^{VN}, GAD2^{VN} nor CRH^{VN} inhibition, pointing at a specific role of CCK^{VN}

neurons. In this regard, we have uncovered the existence of both glutamatergic, and

GABAergic CCK^{VN} neurons. This fact, given the existence of GABAergic local and

commissural interneurons as well as GABAergic projection populations (59) may underlie

the strong effect observed after CCK^{VN} activity modulation. Alternatively, MVN neurons

produce endogenous, spontaneous pacemaker activity (60). Restoration of MVN pacemaker

activity is key for MS habituation (61). Thus, it may be possible that CCK^{VN} neurons

contribute to pacemaker activity and alterations in their rhythmic firing rate may lead to MS-

like symptoms. Alternatively, it is possible that either activation or inhibition of these neurons

affects balance, which may secondarily cause the MS-responses. Future studies assessing

the contribution of balance *per se*, are warranted.

At the circuitry level, our results underscore genetically defined, target- and density-

specific projections that may underlie their differential contribution to MS-induced responses.

Of the different axonal projections, we describe dense projections from CCK^{VN} neurons to

the PBN. The PBN is known to mediate malaise, appetite suppression, lethargy, anxiety,

thermoregulation, and CTA (26, 55, 62). Chemogenetic inhibition of VGLUT2^{VN} neurons

blocked hypothermia and CTA in our MS paradigm. Unilateral optogenetic stimulation of

CCK^{VN} neurons or their projections to the PBN produced hypothermia and robust CTA,

which is not recapitulated by optogenetic activation of VGLUT2^{VN} neurons, which may

suggest the existence of parallel, or opposing, VGLUT2^{VN} neuronal populations/pathways. In

contrast, activation of VGLUT2^{VN} neurons leads to hypothermia, likely by decreasing

sympathetic tone (31). Recent reports revealed that *Pdyn*-expressing PBN neurons

(PDYN^{PBN}) regulate hypothermia through projections to the pre-optic area (62), involved in

temperature regulation (63). Hence, CCK^{VN} activation of PDYN^{PBN} neurons might mediate

the MS-induced drop in body temperature.

Rotational stimulus and CCK^{VN} optogenetic stimulation activated CGRP-expressing,

glutamatergic neurons in the lateral PBN, which are known to be involved in malaise, and

CTA (26), sustaining the establishment of aversive taste memories (37). Thus, we propose

that glutamatergic CCK^{VN} input onto CGRP^{PBN} neurons mediates MS-induced CTA.

CCK$^{VN \rightarrow PBN}$ projections do not seem to participate in MS-induced hypophagia and

hypoactivity, even though activation of CGRP^{PBN} neurons is involved in appetite suppression

and reduced locomotion (26, 64, 65). Thus, it is likely that CCK^{VN} projections to other brain

areas may be responsible for these responses. For example, CCK^{VN} neurons also project to

the NTS, which contains neurons that can activate PBN^{CGRP} neurons to promote anorexia

(66). Alternatively, it is possible that unilateral CCK$^{VN \rightarrow PBN}$ activation was not sufficient to

recruit a large population of CGRP^{PBN} neurons. Accordingly, our results show that ~25% of

PBN^{CGRP} neurons respond to CCK$^{VN \rightarrow PBN}$ projection activation. Given that different PBN^{CGRP}

subpopulations have been recently described (67), this may indicate that only specific

subsets of PBN^{CGRP} are targeted by CCK$^{VN \rightarrow PBN}$ projections. Alternatively, while CTA is

commonly referred to as conditioned taste aversion, we acknowledge that we have not

assessed other unequivocal signs of aversion (such as gapes and chin rubs) or malaise.

Thus, the responses observed may be due to conditioned avoidance, rather than aversion,

which are two independent processes (68), which may also explain the absence of a

hypophagic response after CCK$^{VN \rightarrow PBN}$ stimulation.

Our data show that alterations in the activity of CCK^{VN} neurons exert a pivotal role in

controlling MS-like behavioral responses. Here we provide several lines of evidence that

CCK signaling, through its CCK-A receptor, underlies MS responses. Devazepide

administration, abolishes both hypolocomotion and CTA after a rotational stimulus, without

affecting spontaneous locomotion like dimenhydrate, a widely used anti-motion sickness

drug.

Pharmacologically, three anti-MS drug classes have been described depending on

their influence over MS habituation. Thus, class A drugs such as amphetamine are thought

to block the MS-eliciting sensory input, leading to habituation delay. Class B drugs such as

anticholinergics modulate the neural store decreasing the neuronal mismatch signal

intensity, leading to boosted habituation. On the other hand, class C drugs such as

antihistamines inhibit MS-related autonomic responses, leading to unchanged MS

habituation (69). Even though we have not tested habituation *per se*, our results suggest that

devazepide may be preventing the expression of autonomic responses, akin to class C

drugs. Mechanistically, we show that CCK-A receptor blockade reduces CGRP^{PBN} activation,

in agreement with our recent study reporting the expression of *Cckra* in CGRP^{PBN} neurons

(67). In addition to its known gastric effects, CCK-A receptor signaling has been suggested

to contribute to multiple central functions, such as anxiety, nociception, and food

consumption (70).

Our study underscores a key role for CCK^{VN} neurons in MS-related behavioral and

physiologic responses by impinging onto PBN circuitry, providing the first evidence of a direct

link between motion inputs and aversive responses. Furthermore, we identify CCK-A

receptor blockade as a novel therapeutic approach for MS. Future studies detailing the

behavioral and physiological contribution of other CCK^{VN} targets will provide a more

complete profile of the neurobiological substrates of MS.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authors thank Diane Durnam for editing the manuscript. This work was supported by a

NENS exchange grant for training stay (PMM), a Marie Sklodowska-Curie Individual

Fellowship (H2020-MSCA-IF-2014-658352; ES), pre-doctoral fellowships (2018FI_B 00452

to AU; PRE2018-083179 to LSB, PRE2021-096944 to MG) and three Ramón y Cajal

fellowships (RyC-2012-11873; AQ, RYC2019-028501-I; ES, RYC2020-029596-I; E.P). E.P

received funds from MICINN (PID2021-125079OA-I00). E.S received funds from MICIU

Proyectos I+D+i "Retos Investigacion" (RTI2018-101838-J-I00) and MICINN Proyectos

I+D+i (PID2019-107633RB-I00 and PID2022-142544OB-I00). A.Q. received funds from the

European Research Council (Starting grant NEUROMITO, ERC-2014-StG-638106),

MINECO Proyectos I+D de Excelencia (SAF2014-57981P; SAF2017-88108-R), MICINN

Proyectos I+D+i (PID2020-114977RB-I00), AGAUR (2017SGR-323, 2021SGR-720),

Fundació TV3-La Marató (202030), and "la Caixa" Foundation (ID 100010434), under the

agreement LCF/PR/HR20/52400018.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

METHODS

Mice

The following mouse lines were used in this study: *Slc17a6*^{Cre} (BAC-Vglut2-Cre) (39) mice

were generated by Ole Kiehn. *Cck*^{Cre} (CCK-IRES-Cre) and *Crh*^{Cre} (CRH-IRES-Cre) (71) mice

were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME. Stock No: 012706 and

012704, respectively). Mice were group-housed with a 12:12h light:dark cycle at 22°C, with

ad libitum access to rodent chow (Teklad Global Rodent Diet #2014S; Envigo) and water,

unless otherwise stated. Sex and age-balanced groups of 2- to 7-month-old mice were used

across all experimental procedures. No sex differences were observed. After surgeries,

animals were individually housed until the end of all experimental procedures. Sample sizes

were determined using power analyses. The number of animals used per group in each

experiment (n) are provided in figure legends. All mice were on a C57BL/6J background

after backcrossing for at least 10 generations. All experiments were conducted following the

recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and were

approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

and the Generalitat de Catalunya.

Drugs

Devazepide (Dev; 1 mg/kg in saline solution containing 1% DMSO and 1% Tween-80) and

dimenhydrinate (DMH; 20 or 40 mg/kg in saline solution) were administered intraperitoneally

(i.p.) 45 or 30 minutes before tests, respectively. A between-subject design was used for

pharmacologic studies, with animals receiving either Veh-Drug or Drug-Veh in a balanced

manner (Figure S6)

Rotational stimulus

Prior to rotational stimulation, animals were habituated to physical restraint for 4 min using a

50-mL conical tube coupled to a custom-made rotary device (external radius: 10.5 cm;

internal radius from mouse head: 5 cm. Rotation multiplier: x3.6). Afterwards, rotational (four

repeated 1-min, 4-*g* accelerations) or control (4 min with no rotation) stimuli were applied,

unless otherwise stated. 1-min accelerations included 55 s of rotation plus 5 s break until full

stop. To achieve 4-*g* accelerations, 75 rpm were applied.

Behavioral assays

Each animal was subjected to an open-field test, followed by food intake and conditioned

taste aversion (CTA) analysis. After tests, telemetric temperature sensors were implanted,

and core-body temperature monitored.

Open-field test

The open-field (OF) test was conducted in a non-covered, white methacrylate box (56 x 36.5

x 31 cm) that allows for video recording during animal testing. Mice were individually

exposed for 5 min to the OF before receiving rotational or control stimulation. After

stimulation, mice were re-exposed to the OF for 60 more min. For optogenetic experiments,

mice were exposed for a total of 60 min to the OF (5 min of pre-stimulation, followed by 5

min of laser stimulation and 50 min of post-stimulation). Spontaneous ambulatory activity

was monitored using a video tracking software (Ethovision XT 11.5; Noldus Information

Technology).

Food and liquid intake measurement

Animals were individually placed in an Oxyletpro-Physiocage monitoring system (Panlab) for

real-time quantification of food and liquid intake. Mice were habituated to the cage for 2 to 4

days with *ad libitum* access to normal chow and water, unless otherwise stated. Prior to

each session, animals were food deprived for 24 h and refed at the onset of the dark cycle.

For specific experiments, chocolate-flavored, highly palatable liquid diet (ENSURE

Nutrivigor, Abbott) was provided during cage habituation and in the subsequent experimental

sessions. Food and liquid intake were expressed as cumulative intake using the Metabolism

software version v3.0.00 (Panlab).

Conditioned taste avoidance (CTA) test

For the CTA test, a two bottle-based protocol was used (37). Animals were individually

placed in a custom cage with angular ports for two liquid-containing bottles. *Ad libitum* water

access was provided for 2 days during habituation. Next, animals only had access to both

water-containing bottles during restricted time periods (30 min access in the morning, 30-60

min in the afternoon) for 8 days (D1- D8). During days 4 and 6 (D4 and D6), a solution of 5%

sucrose in water was paired with specific stimuli (rotation, CNO injection, photostimulation)

to establish conditioning. Mice were tested on D8. Sucrose preference value was calculated

as sucrose solution consumption/total liquid consumption.

Surgical implantation of telemetry devices and temperature monitoring

Anesthetized mice (5% isoflurane for induction, 1.5% for maintenance) were aseptically

implanted with telemetric temperature transmitters (G2 E-Mitter, STARR Life Sciences

Corp.) into the peritoneum and allowed to recover for 2 weeks. Mouse cages were placed on

telemetry receivers (ER4000 Energizer/Receiver, STARR Life Sciences Corp.) and core

body temperature was monitored using the VitalView software version 5.0 (STARR Life

Sciences Corp.) under controlled ambient temperature (22°C). All recordings started in

resting animals. For specific experiments, mice were inserted into a modified 50-mL Falcon

tube to ensure physical restrain while allowing optogenetic tethering.

Viral vector production

pAAV-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di:mCherry, pAAV-EF1a-DIO-eYFP and pAAV-EF1a-DIO-ChR2:YFP

plasmids were obtained from Addgene (#44362, #27056 and #100056, respectively).

pAAV RiboTag virus (pAAV-EF1a-DIO-Rpl22·HA) has been described (44). Recombinant

adeno-associated viral vectors (AAV) were produced in human embryonic kidney

(HEK293T) cells with AAV1 coat serotype. Purification was achieved by several sucrose and

CsCl gradient centrifugations and a final re-suspension in 1x Hanks Balanced Saline

Solution (HBSS) at a titer of 2 x 10^9 viral genomes/μL as described (44, 72). AAV

preparations were aliquoted and stored at -80°C until stereotaxic injection.

Stereotaxic surgery

All surgeries were performed under aseptic conditions. Animal anesthesia was induced and

maintained with 5% and 1-1.5% isoflurane/O_2, respectively. Analgesia (5 mg/kg ketoprofen;

Sanofi-Aventis) and ocular protective gel (Viscotears®, Bausch+Lomb) were applied. Mice

were then placed over a heating pad in a robot-operated, 3-dimensional (stereotaxic) frame

(Neurostar) for intracerebral virus delivery. Stereotaxic coordinates were normalized using a

correction factor (Bregma-Lambda distance/4.21) based on the coordinates of Paxinos and

Franklin(73). AAV preparations were unilaterally (right side) or bilaterally delivered into the

VN (antero-posterior (AP), –6.00 mm from Bregma; medio-lateral (ML), ± 0.90 mm; dorso-

ventral (DV), –4.00 mm from skull surface) at a constant rate of 0.1 μL/min for 3.5-4.0 min

(0.20-0.40 μL per injection site) using a 32-gauge blunt needle coupled to a 5 μL-syringe

(Hamilton). After infusion, the needle was maintained in place for 6 min to allow proper

diffusion. Subsequent needle withdrawal was performed at 1 mm/min to ensure minimal off-

target viral leakage. After viral injection, mice used for optogenetic experiments also

received unilateral surgical implantation of a fiber-optic cannula as described below. Only

animals with correct targeting were included in the experiment (Figure S7).

Chemogenetics

Slc17a6^{Cre}, Cck^{Cre} or *Crh^{Cre}* mice were bilaterally injected in the VN with 0.35 μL (per side) of

AAV1-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di:mCherry. Clozapine-n-oxide (CNO; 1 mg/kg) was administered via

intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection 35 min prior to rotational or control stimulation. A between-

subject design was used for chemogenetics studies, with animals receiving either Veh-CNO

or CNO-Veh in a balanced manner (Figure S6).

Optogenetics

Slc17a6^{Cre} or *Cck^{Cre}* mice were injected in the right VN with 0.4 μL of either AAV1-EF1α-

DIO-ChR2·YFP or AAV1-EF1α-DIO-eYFP and a fiber-optic cannula (200-μm fiber core

diameter, 0.22-numeric aperture; 2.5-mm ferrule diameter; Thorlabs) was implanted over the

right VN (AP, −6.00 mm; ML, +0.90 mm; DV, -4.00 mm) or over the right PBN (AP, −5.20

mm; ML, +1.70 mm; DV, -3.00 mm) of *Cck^{Cre}* animals. A fiber-optic cannula was fixed to the

exposed skull with a layer of adhesive cement (Super-Bond C&B, Sun Medical) and dental

acrylic cement (Rebaron, GC Corporation). The skin was affixed to the cement with tissue

adhesive (Vetbond, 3M). A blue 473-nm laser light was produced by a DPSS Laser System

(LRS-0473-GFM-00100-05 Laserglow) and driven by a fiber-optic patch cord (200-μm core

diameter, 0.22-numeric aperture: FT030 protection, Thorlabs). Light intensity was set at 10

mW measured by a photometer (Thorlabs) at the tip of a non-implanted fiber optic cannula

attached to the patch-cord. To deliver illumination to the right VN or right PBN, the patch

cord was connected to the implanted fiber-optic-containing cannula through a ceramic

sleeve. A pulse generator (33500B Series Trueform, Keysight) was used to adjust laser

output to deliver 40-Hz, 10-ms, pulse trains for 5 min to all mice.

Mapping of neuronal projections

To visualize the projections of VGLUT2^{VN}, CCK^{VN} or GAD2^{VN} neurons, *Slc17a6^{Cre}*, *Cck^{Cre}* or

Gad2^{Cre} mice were injected into the right VN with 0.2 μL of AAV1-hSyn-DIO-hM4Di:mCherry.

Animals were euthanized 3 weeks after surgery for direct visualization of fibers after tissue

fixation and cryopreservation.

Tissue processing and Immunofluorescence analysis

Mouse brains were freshly dissected following euthanasia by CO_2 asphyxia, fixed overnight

with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) and cryo-

protected with 30% sucrose in PBS. For cryo-sectioning, brains were frozen for 5 min in dry

ice and sectioned in a freezing microtome. For immunofluorescence, 30-µm free-floating

sections were blocked in PBS with 10% normal donkey serum (NDS) and 0.2% Triton X-100

for 1 h at room temperature followed by an overnight incubation at 4ºC with primary antibody

solution containing a rabbit anti-c-Fos (1:1,000; #ab222699, Abcam) antibody in PBS with

1% NDS and 0.2% Triton X-100. After three washes in PBS with 0.2% Triton X-100, a

secondary antibody solution containing a secondary antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 594

fluorophore (1:500; Invitrogen) was added to the sections and incubated for 1 h at room

temperature. After the incubation, sections were washed three times for 5 min in PBS with

0.2% Triton X-100 and mounted onto slides with DAPI Fluoromount (#17984-24, Electron

Microscopy Sciences) before visualization with an EVOS imaging system (Thermo Fisher

Sci).

RiboTag assays

For genetic identification of neuronal subsets, $Slc17a6^{Cre}$ mice were bilaterally injected in the

VN with 0.4 µL of the RiboTag viral vector (AAV1-DIO-Rpl22HA) (44). Animals were

euthanized 3 weeks after surgery for subsequent RiboTag analysis. To isolate the polysome-

associated mRNAs from VGLUT2^{VN} neurons, punches containing the VN of $Slc17a6^{Cre}$ mice

injected with AAV1-DIO-Rpl22·HA were pooled and homogenized in 1 ml of buffer as

described (45). After centrifugation, 4 µL of anti-HA antibody (MMS-101R, 2–3 mg/ml;

Covance) was added to 800 µL of the cleared lysate and incubated for 4 h at 4°C.

Remaining lysate was saved as input sample. After incubation, 200 µL of protein A/G

magnetic beads (Thermo Scientific) were added and incubated overnight at 4°C with

rotation. Immunoprecipitates (IPs) were washed in high-salt buffer and RNA from inputs and

IPs were extracted(43). For differential expression analysis, 10 ng of RNA was amplified

using the Ovation Pico SL WTA system (NuGEN). Fidelity of amplification was confirmed by

qPCR analysis of the resulting cDNA using the QuantiTect kit (Qiagen) before biotinylation

according to the EncoreIL biotinylation kit (NuGEN). Biotinylated cDNA was quantified, and

product size distribution was analyzed using the 2100 Bioanalyzer system with the RNA

6000 Nano chips (Agilent Technologies). Biotinylated cDNA (750 ng) was hybridized at 48°C

to MouseRef-8 v2 expression beadchips (Illumina) for 16 h before washing and analyzing

according to the manufacturer's directions. Signal was detected using a BeadArray Reader

(Illumina), and data were analyzed for differential expression using the GenomeStudio data

analysis software (Illumina). Average normalization, the Illumina custom error model, and

multiple testing corrections using the Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate were applied

to the analysis. Only transcripts with a differential score of >13 ($p < 0.05$) were considered.

Normalized and raw data have been deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology

Information Gene Expression Omnibus and are accessible through GEO Series accession

number GSE167672 .

In situ hybridization assays

Mouse brains were fresh-frozen in Tissue-Tek O.C.T. compound (Sakura) with dry ice and

stored at -80 ºC until cryosectioning. Coronal sections (15 μm) containing the VN or the PBN

were used for RNAscope (Advanced Cell Diagnostics) analysis following manufacturer's

directions. The following probes were used: Mm-*Slc17a6* (#319171-C3), Mm-*Cck* (#402271-

C2), Mm-*Crh* (#316091-C1), Mm-*Gad2* (#439371-C1), Mm-*Fos* (#316921-C1) and Mm-

Calca (#578771-C2). All *in situ* hybridization assays were imaged using a confocal (Leica

SP5) or epifluorescence (Nikon Eclipse 90i) microscope and analyzed in ImageJ (Fiji v1.0)

or QuPath open-source software (74). Cell counting was performed in VN sections from

Bregma -5.68mm to -6.64mm in 2-3 slices/animal (n=3 animals) with ImageJ Cell Counter

plugin (Fiji v1.52). Number of *Fos* positive *Calca*-expressing cells was analyzed using

QuPath. Cell segmentation was accomplished in DAPI-stained sections containing *Calca*-

expressing neurons from Bregma -5.02 mm to -5.34 mm using the cell detection feature with

a cell expansion of 30 μm. After cell detection, *Fos* and *Calca* transcripts were detected

using the subcellular detection module. Each section was visually inspected to confirm

accurate spot identification. Number of *Fos* positive *Calca*-expressing cells was obtained

from 2-5 sections per mouse with 4 mice per group.

Statistics

Data are shown as the mean ± SEM. GraphPad Prism v9.0 software was used for statistical

analyses. Appropriate tests were selected depending on the experimental design as stated

in the figure legends. Statistical significance, when reached ($p < 0.05$ was considered

significant), is stated in the figure legends. Number of mice in an experiment (n) represents

the number of animals per group. Different cohorts of mice were assigned to different tests

to avoid undergoing repeated testing. No attrition was observed.

 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. VGLUT2^{VN} neuron inactivation blocks rotation-induced kinetosis in mice.

(A) Mice were subjected to a rotational stimulus using a custom-made rotary device.

Perpendicular distance from the mouse head to the axis of rotation was 5 cm. Mouse body

inclination angle was 45º. (B) Traveled distance during a 60-min open-field test after spin or

control stimulation (n=6; two-way ANOVA, $P < 0.001$ effect of spin). (C) Cumulative food

intake after spin or control stimulation (n=6; two-way ANOVA, $P < 0.01$ effect of spin). Mice

were food deprived for 24 h prior to the test. (D) Body temperature difference (ΔT) after

spin or control stimulation (n=5; two-way ANOVA, $P < 0.01$ effect of spin). (E) Conditioned

taste avoidance (CTA) response in mice exposed to a two-bottle-based test pairing a 5%

sucrose solution to rotational stimulus (n=4; t-test, $P < 0.001$). (F) $Slc17a6^{Cre}$ mice were

bilaterally injected in the vestibular nuclei (VN) with AAV1-DIO-hM4Di·mCherry

(VGLUT2^{VN}:hM4Di mice) to inhibit glutamatergic neurons upon CNO administration. (G)

Total distance traveled during a 60-min open-field session after spin stimulation in CNO- or

vehicle-injected VGLUT2^{VN}:hM4Di mice (n=10; two-way ANOVA, $P < 0.01$ effect of drug). (H)

Cumulative food intake after spin stimulation in CNO- or vehicle-injected VGLUT2^{VN}:hM4Di

mice (n=7; two-way ANOVA, $P < 0.001$ effect of drug). Mice were food deprived for 24 h

prior to the test. (I) Core body temperature difference (ΔT) after spin stimulation in CNO- or

vehicle-injected VGLUT2^{VN}:hM4Di mice (n=4; two-way ANOVA, $P < 0.05$ effect of drug). The

arrow shows the time of injection. (J) CTA response in mice exposed to a two-bottle-based

test pairing a 5% sucrose solution to rotational stimulus in VGLUT2^{VN}:hM4Di mice injected

with CNO (n=4) or vehicle (n=3) (t-test, P<0.001).

Figure 2. VGLUT2^{VN} neuron activation is sufficient to induce kinetosis. (A) $Slc17a6^{Cre}$

mice were unilaterally injected in the right VN with AAV1-DIO-ChR2·YFP (VGLUT2^{VN}:ChR2

mice) or AAV1-DIO-YFP (VGLUT2^{VN}:YFP mice), followed by optical fiber implantation over

the right VN to deliver 40-Hz, 10-ms, 10-mW, 473-nm light pulses for 5 min under different

behavioral approaches. (B) Traveled distance during 60 min of open-field test after

photostimulation (VGLUT2^{VN}:ChR2 mice n=7; VGLUT2^{VN}:YFP mice n=5; two-way ANOVA,

P<0.01 effect of ChR2). (C) Conditioned taste avoidance (CTA) response in mice exposed

to a two-bottle-based test pairing a 5% sucrose solution to optogenetic activation of

VGLUT2^{VN} neurons in VGLUT2^{VN}:ChR2·YFP (n=8) or control VGLUT2^{VN}:YFP (n=6) mice (t-

test, P>0.05). (D) Normal-chow intake following laser onset in VGLUT2^{VN}:ChR2 or

VGLUT2^{VN}:YFP mice (n=6; two-way ANOVA, *P*<0.001 effect of ChR2). Animals were food-

deprived for 24 h prior to photostimulation. (E) Water intake following laser onset in food-

deprived, VGLUT2^{VN}:ChR2 or VGLUT2^{VN}:YFP mice (n=6; Two-way ANOVA, P<0.001 effect

of ChR2). (F) Highly palatable, chocolate-flavored drink intake following laser onset in food-

deprived VGLUT2^{VN}:ChR2 or VGLUT2^{VN}:YFP animals (n=6; Two-way ANOVA, P>0.05

effect of ChR2). (G) Core body temperature difference (ΔT) in freely moving

VGLUT2^{VN}:ChR2 or VGLUT2^{VN}:YFP mice after handling and laser stimulation (n=8; two-

way ANOVA, *P*<0.01 effect of ChR2). (H) Core body temperature difference (ΔT) after

photostimulation in restrained VGLUT2^{VN}:ChR2 or VGLUT2^{VN}:YFP mice (n=5; two-way

ANOVA, *P*<0.001 effect of ChR2). YFP: VGLUT2^{VN}:YFP mice; ChR2: VGLUT2^{VN}:ChR2

mice.

Figure 3. Identification of glutamatergic neuronal subpopulations in the VN. (A)

Slc17a6^{Cre} mice were bilaterally injected in the VN with a viral vector expressing the

RiboTag (AAV1-DIO-Rpl22HA) for the molecular profiling of VGLUT2^{VN} neurons. (B)

Differential expression analysis showing significant enrichment for candidate VGLUT2^{VN}

neuron subpopulation marker transcripts in the immunoprecipitates of RiboTag assays

when compared to inputs (n=3). Specific enrichment for *Slc17a6* (Vglut2), and depletion for

inhibitory neuron (*Gad2*) and non-neuronal marker transcripts (*Cnp*, *Gfap*) were confirmed

in the analysis (padj: adjusted *P*-value; FC: fold change). (C) Double-label *in situ*

hybridization assay showing expression of *Cck* mRNA within *Slc17a6*-expressing cells.

Scale bar: 100 µm. (D) Percentage of double labelled *Cck*- and *Slc17a6*-expressing cells.

Figure 4. CCK^{VN} neuron manipulation affects motion sickness responses. (A) *Cck^{Cre}*

mice were bilaterally injected in the VN with AAV1-DIO-hM4Di·mCherry (CCK^{VN}:hM4Di

mice) to inhibit CCK^{VN} neurons upon CNO administration. (B) 5-min open-field test in

CCK^{VN}:hM4Di mice 30 minutes after CNO or vehicle administration (n=4; t-test, *P*<0.01).

(C) Open-field test in CCK^{VN}:hM4Di mice injected with CNO or vehicle after spin stimulation

(n=4; two-way ANOVA, *P*<0.001 effect of drug). (D) *CCK^{Cre}* mice were unilaterally injected

in the right VN with AAV1-DIO-ChR2·YFP (CCK^{VN}:ChR2 mice) or AAV1-DIO-YFP

(CCK^{VN}:YFP mice) followed by an optical fiber implantation to deliver a 5-min, 473-nm laser

stimulation (40-Hz, 10-mW, 10-ms pulses). (E) Open-field test showing traveled distance

after photostimulation in CCK^{VN}:ChR2 and CCK^{VN}:YFP mice (n=4; two-way ANOVA,

P<0.01 effect of ChR2). (F) Conditioned taste avoidance (CTA) response in mice exposed

to a two-bottle-based test pairing a 5% sucrose solution to optogenetic activation of CCK^{VN}

neurons in CCK^{VN}:ChR2·YFP or control (CCK^{VN}:YFP) mice (n=4; t-test, *P*<0.01). (G)

Normal-chow intake after light stimulation in CCK^{VN}:ChR2 and CCK^{VN}:YFP mice. Mice were

food deprived for 24 h prior to stimulation (n=4; two-way ANOVA, *P*<0.05 effect of ChR2).

(H) Core body temperature difference (ΔT) in CCK^{VN}:ChR2 and CCK^{VN}:YFP mice after

handling and laser stimulation (n=4; two-way ANOVA, *P*<0.01 effect of ChR2). (I)

Spontaneous ambulatory activity of mice 45 min after devazepide or vehicle administration

in a 5-min, open-field test (n=10; t-test, *P*>0.05). (J) Traveled distance during 60 min of

open-field test after spin stimulation in devazepide or vehicle-treated mice (n=10; two-way

ANOVA, *P* <0.05 effect of drug). (K) Conditioned taste avoidance (CTA) response in

devazepide or vehicle-treated mice exposed to a two-bottle-based test pairing a 5%

sucrose solution to rotational stimulus (n=6; t-test, P-value<0.05).

Figure 5. CCK^{VN} neurons target the PBN to mediate CTA. (A) Representative images at

Bregma -5.2 mm showing projections to the PBN from VGLUT2^{VN}, CCK^{VN} and GAD2^{VN}

neurons as assessed by hM4Di·mCherry visualization in sections containing the PBN from

VGLUT2^{VN}:hM4Di, CCK^{VN}:hM4Di and GAD2^{VN}:hM4Di mice. Scale bar: 150 μm. (B) c-Fos

staining at Bregma -5.2 mm from mice subjected to spin or control stimulation. Dotted line

delineates lateral PBN. Scale bar: 400 μm. (C) Double-label *in situ* hybridization assay

(RNAscope) showing expression of *Fos* mRNA within *Calca*-expressing neurons in the

PBN after rotational stimulation. Scale bar: 250 μm. (D) Illustration showing AAV1-DIO-

ChR2·YFP or AAV1-DIO-YFP injection in the VN and optical fiber implantation over the

PBN in *Cck^{Cre}* mice for photoactivation of CCK^{VN} neuron projections in the PBN

(CCK$^{VN→PBN}$). (E) Double-label *in situ* hybridization assay (RNAscope) showing expression

of *Fos* mRNA within *Calca*-expressing neurons in the PBN after photoactivation of

CCK$^{VN→PBN}$ projections. Scale bar: 250 μm. (F) CTA response in mice exposed to a two-

bottle-based test pairing a 5% sucrose solution to targeted optogenetic activation of the

CCK$^{VN→PBN}$ circuit in CCK^{VN}:ChR2·YFP (n=3) or control (CCK^{VN}:YFP; n=4) mice (t-test,

P<0.05). (G) Double-label *in situ* hybridization assay (RNAscope) showing expression of

Fos mRNA within *Calca*-expressing neurons in the PBN of control or spin-stimulated mice

with or without devazepide (Dev; 1 mg/kg) administration. Scale bar: 250 μm. (H)

Quantification of the percentage of *Fos*-positive *Calca*-expressing cells in the PBN of

control or spin-stimulated mice with or without devazepide (Dev; 1 mg/kg) administration

(n=4; one-way ANOVA,* *P* <0.05 ,*** *P* <0.001).

REFERENCES

1.   G. Bertolini, D. Straumann, Moving in a Moving World: A Review on Vestibular Motion Sickness. *Frontiers in neurology* **7**, 14-14 (2016).
2.   A. Graybiel, C. D. Wood, E. F. Miller, D. B. Cramer, Diagnostic criteria for grading the severity of acute motion sickness. *Aerospace medicine* **39**, 453-455 (1968).
3.   K. E. Money, Motion sickness. *Physiological Reviews* **50**, 1-39 (1970).
4.   E. Nalivaiko, "Thermoregulation and nausea". (2018), vol. 156, pp. 445-456.
5.   F. H. Previc, Do the organs of the labyrinth differentially influence the sympathetic and parasympathetic systems? *Neuroscience and biobehavioral reviews* **17**, 397-404 (1993).
6.   M. Treisman, Motion sickness: an evolutionary hypothesis. *Science* **197**, 493-495 (1977).
7.   K. Helling, S. Hausmann, A. Clarke, H. Scherer, Experimentally induced motion sickness in fish: possible role of the otolith organs. *Acta oto-laryngologica* **123**, 488-492 (2003).
8.   S. Ngampramuan *et al.*, Thermoregulatory correlates of nausea in rats and musk shrews. *Oncotarget* **5**, 1565-1575 (2014).
9.   X. Wei *et al.*, Verification of motion sickness index in mice. *CNS neuroscience & therapeutics* **17**, 790-792 (2011).
10.   J. R. Lackner, Motion sickness: more than nausea and vomiting. *Exp Brain Res* **232**, 2493-2510 (2014).
11.   W. Bles, J. E. Bos, B. de Graaf, E. Groen, A. H. Wertheim, Motion sickness: only one provocative conflict? *Brain Res Bull* **47**, 481-487 (1998).
12.   J. T. Reason, Motion Sickness Adaptation: A Neural Mismatch Model. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine* **71**, 819-829 (1978).
13.   C. M. Oman, Sensory conflict in motion sickness: an Observer Theory approach. *Pictorial communication in virtual and real environments*, 362-376 (1991).
14.   W. James, THE SENSE OF DIZZINESS IN DEAF-MUTES. *American Annals of the Deaf and Dumb* **28**, 102-117 (1883).
15.   J. A. Irwin, The pathology of sea-sickness. *The Lancet* **118**, 907-909 (1881).
16.   C. Abe, K. Tanaka, C. Iwata, H. Morita, Vestibular-mediated increase in central serotonin plays an important role in hypergravity-induced hypophagia in rats. *Journal of Applied Physiology* **109**, 1635-1643 (2010).
17.   D. M. Murakami, L. Erkman, O. Hermanson, M. G. Rosenfeld, C. A. Fuller, Evidence for vestibular regulation of autonomic functions in a mouse genetic model. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **99**, 17078-17082 (2002).
18.   Z. H. Zhang *et al.*, A New Vestibular Stimulation Mode for Motion Sickness With Emphatic Analysis of Pica. *Front Behav Neurosci* **16**, 882695 (2022).
19.   M. Dieterich *et al.*, Medial Vestibular Nucleus Lesions in Wallenberg's Syndrome Cause Decreased Activity of the Contralateral Vestibular Cortex. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* **1039**, 368-383 (2005).
20.   D. E. Angelaki, K. E. Cullen, Vestibular system: the many facets of a multimodal sense. *Annu Rev Neurosci* **31**, 125-150 (2008).
21.   B. J. Yates, M. J. Holmes, B. J. Jian, Adaptive plasticity in vestibular influences on cardiovascular control. *Brain research bulletin* **53**, 3-9 (2000).
22.   B. J. Yates, A. D. Miller, Properties of sympathetic reflexes elicited by natural vestibular stimulation: implications for cardiovascular control. *Journal of*

Neurophysiology **71**, 2087-2092 (1994).

23.   J. J. Braun, H. McIntosh, Learned taste aversions induced by rotational stimulation.
Physiological Psychology **1**, 301-304 (1973).

24.   P. M. Fuller, T. A. Jones, S. M. Jones, C. A. Fuller, Neurovestibular modulation of
circadian and homeostatic regulation: vestibulohypothalamic connection?
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
99, 15723-15728 (2002).

25.   E. Nalivaiko, J. A. Rudd, R. H. Y. So, Motion sickness, nausea and thermoregulation:
The "toxic" hypothesis. *Temperature: Multidisciplinary Biomedical Journal* **1**, 164-164
(2014).

26.   R. D. Palmiter, The Parabrachial Nucleus: CGRP Neurons Function as a General
Alarm. *Trends in neurosciences* **41**, 280-293 (2018).

27.   C. D. Balaban, Vestibular nucleus projections to the parabrachial nucleus in rabbits:
implications for vestibular influences on the autonomic nervous system. *Exp Brain*
Res **108**, 367-381 (1996).

28.   C. D. Balaban, G. Beryozkin, Vestibular nucleus projections to nucleus tractus
solitarius and the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus nerve: potential substrates for
vestibulo-autonomic interactions. *Experimental brain research* **98**, 200-212 (1994).

29.   S. Liu *et al.*, Divergent brainstem opioidergic pathways that coordinate breathing with
pain and emotions. *Neuron* **110**, 857-873 e859 (2022).

30.   C. Erö, M.-O. Gewaltig, D. Keller, H. Markram, A Cell Atlas for the Mouse Brain.
Frontiers in Neuroinformatics **12**, 84-84 (2018).

31.   C. Abe *et al.*, VGLUT2-expressing neurons in the vestibular nuclear complex mediate
gravitational stress-induced hypothermia in mice. *Commun Biol* **3**, 227 (2020).

32.   Q. Montardy *et al.*, Selective optogenetic stimulation of glutamatergic, but not
GABAergic, vestibular nuclei neurons induces immediate and reversible postural
imbalance in mice. *Prog Neurobiol* **204**, 102085 (2021).

33.   G. R. Holstein, V. L. Friedrich, Jr., G. P. Martinelli, Glutamate and GABA in Vestibulo-
Sympathetic Pathway Neurons. *Front Neuroanat* **10**, 7 (2016).

34.   A. H. Gagliuso, E. K. Chapman, G. P. Martinelli, G. R. Holstein, Vestibular neurons
with direct projections to the solitary nucleus in the rat. *J Neurophysiol* **122**, 512-524
(2019).

35.   Y.-L. Cai *et al.*, Glutamatergic vestibular neurons express Fos after vestibular
stimulation and project to the NTS and the PBN in rats. *Neuroscience Letters* **417**,
132-137 (2007).

36.   P. Singh, S. S. Yoon, B. Kuo, Nausea: a review of pathophysiology and therapeutics.
Therap Adv Gastroenterol **9**, 98-112 (2016).

37.   J. Y. Chen, C. A. Campos, B. C. Jarvie, R. D. Palmiter, Parabrachial CGRP Neurons
Establish and Sustain Aversive Taste Memories. *Neuron* **100**, 891-899 e895 (2018).

38.   L. Ng *et al.*, An anatomic gene expression atlas of the adult mouse brain. *Nature*
Neuroscience **12**, 356-362 (2009).

39.   L. Borgius, C. E. Restrepo, R. N. Leao, N. Saleh, O. Kiehn, A transgenic mouse line
for molecular genetic analysis of excitatory glutamatergic neurons. *Molecular and*
cellular neurosciences **45**, 245-257 (2010).

40.   H. F. Lidvall, Mechanisms of motion sickness as reflected in the vertigo and
nystagmus responses to repeated caloric stimuli. *Acta Otolaryngol* **55**, 527-536
(1962).

41.   I. Bolea *et al.*, Defined neuronal populations drive fatal phenotype in a mouse model
of Leigh syndrome. *Elife* **8** (2019).

42.   W. Waespe, V. Henn, The velocity response of vestibular nucleus neurons during
vestibular, visual, and combined angular acceleration. *Exp Brain Res* **37**, 337-347
(1979).

43. E. Sanz, J. C. Bean, D. P. Carey, A. Quintana, G. S. McKnight, RiboTag: Ribosomal
Tagging Strategy to Analyze Cell-Type-Specific mRNA Expression In Vivo. *Curr*
Protoc Neurosci **88**, e77 (2019).
44. E. Sanz *et al.*, Fertility-regulating Kiss1 neurons arise from hypothalamic POMC-
expressing progenitors. *J Neurosci* **35**, 5549-5556 (2015).
45. E. Sanz *et al.*, Cell-type-specific isolation of ribosome-associated mRNA from
complex tissues. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**, 13939-13944 (2009).
46. R. F. Parrott, I. S. Ebenezer, B. A. Baldwin, M. L. Forsling, Central and peripheral
doses of cholecystokinin that inhibit feeding in pigs also stimulate vasopressin and
cortisol release. *Exp Physiol* **76**, 525-531 (1991).
47. M. J. Nijsen *et al.*, The role of the CRH type 1 receptor in autonomic responses to
corticotropin- releasing hormone in the rat. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **22**, 388-399
(2000).
48. I. S. Ebenezer, Effects of intracerebroventricular administration of the CCK(1)
receptor antagonist devazepide on food intake in rats. *Eur J Pharmacol* **441**, 79-82
(2002).
49. N. Bellissimo, G. H. Anderson, Cholecystokinin-A receptors are involved in food
intake suppression in rats after intake of all fats and carbohydrates tested. *J Nutr*
133, 2319-2325 (2003).
50. X. Shi *et al.*, Whole-brain monosynaptic inputs and outputs of glutamatergic neurons
of the vestibular nuclei complex in mice. *Hear Res* **401**, 108159 (2021).
51. S. S. Horowitz, J. Blanchard, L. P. Morin, Medial vestibular connections with the
hypocretin (orexin) system. *J Comp Neurol* **487**, 127-146 (2005).
52. C. A. Campos *et al.*, Cancer-induced anorexia and malaise are mediated by CGRP
neurons in the parabrachial nucleus. *Nat Neurosci* **20**, 934-942 (2017).
53. M. E. Carter, S. Han, R. D. Palmiter, Parabrachial calcitonin gene-related peptide
neurons mediate conditioned taste aversion. *J Neurosci* **35**, 4582-4586 (2015).
54. I. L. Bernstein, Learned taste aversions in children receiving chemotherapy. *Science*
200, 1302-1303 (1978).
55. Z. Xie *et al.*, The gut-to-brain axis for toxin-induced defensive responses. *Cell* **185**,
4298-4316 e4221 (2022).
56. F. H. Previc, Intravestibular Balance and Motion Sickness. *Aerosp Med Hum Perform*
89, 130-140 (2018).
57. T. Kodama *et al.*, Neuronal classification and marker gene identification via single-
cell expression profiling of brainstem vestibular neurons subserving cerebellar
learning. *J Neurosci* **32**, 7819-7831 (2012).
58. N. Mano, T. Oshima, H. Shimazu, Inhibitory commissural fibers interconnecting the
bilateral vestibular nuclei. *Brain Res* **8**, 378-382 (1968).
59. S. M. Highstein, G. R. Holstein, The anatomy of the vestibular nuclei. *Prog Brain Res*
151, 157-203 (2006).
60. Y. Lin, D. O. Carpenter, Medial vestibular neurons are endogenous pacemakers
whose discharge is modulated by neurotransmitters. *Cellular and Molecular*
Neurobiology **13**, 601-613 (1993).
61. L. Ris, B. Capron, N. Vibert, P. P. Vidal, E. Godaux, Modification of the pacemaker
activity of vestibular neurons in brainstem slices during vestibular compensation in
the guinea pig. *Eur J Neurosci* **13**, 2234-2240 (2001).
62. A. J. Norris, J. R. Shaker, A. L. Cone, I. B. Ndiokho, M. R. Bruchas, Parabrachial
opioidergic projections to preoptic hypothalamus mediate behavioral and
physiological thermal defenses. *Elife* **10** (2021).
63. C. L. Tan *et al.*, Warm-Sensitive Neurons that Control Body Temperature. *Cell* **167**,
47-59 e15 (2016).
64. M. E. Carter, M. E. Soden, L. S. Zweifel, R. D. Palmiter, Genetic identification of a

neural circuit that suppresses appetite. *Nature* **503**, 111-114 (2013).

65. A. J. Bowen *et al.*, Dissociable control of unconditioned responses and associative
fear learning by parabrachial CGRP neurons. *Elife* **9** (2020).

66. C. W. Roman, V. A. Derkach, R. D. Palmiter, Genetically and functionally defined
NTS to PBN brain circuits mediating anorexia. *Nat Commun* **7**, 11905 (2016).

67. J. L. Pauli *et al.*, Molecular and anatomical characterization of parabrachial neurons
and their axonal projections. *Elife* **11** (2022).

68. L. A. Parker, Taste avoidance and taste aversion: evidence for two different
processes. *Learn Behav* **31**, 165-172 (2003).

69. N. Takeda *et al.*, Neural mechanisms of motion sickness. *J Med Invest* **48**, 44-59
(2001).

70. S. Ballaz, The unappreciated roles of the cholecystokinin receptor CCK(1) in brain
functioning. *Rev Neurosci* **28**, 573-585 (2017).

71. H. Taniguchi *et al.*, A resource of Cre driver lines for genetic targeting of GABAergic
neurons in cerebral cortex. *Neuron* **71**, 995-1013 (2011).

72. A. Quintana *et al.*, Fatal breathing dysfunction in a mouse model of Leigh syndrome.
J Clin Invest **122**, 2359-2368 (2012).

73. K. B. J. Franklin, G. Paxinos, *Paxinos and Franklin's The mouse brain in stereotaxic
coordinates* (Academic Press, an imprint of Elsevier, Amsterdam, ed. Fourth edition.,
2013), pp. 1 volume (unpaged).

74. P. Bankhead *et al.*, QuPath: Open source software for digital pathology image
analysis. *Sci Rep* **7**, 16878 (2017).

Figure 1. VGLUT2^VN neuron inactivation blocks rotation-induced kinetosis in mice. (A) Mice were subjected to a rotational stimulus using a custom-made rotary device. Perpendicular distance from the mouse head to the axis of rotation was 5 cm. Mouse body inclination angle was 45º. (B) Traveled distance during a 60-min open-field test after spin or control stimulation (n=6; two-way ANOVA, P <0.001 effect of spin). (C) Cumulative food intake after spin or control stimulation (n=6; two-way ANOVA, P<0.01 effect of spin). Mice were food deprived for 24 h prior to the test. (D) Body temperature difference (ΔT) after spin or control stimulation (n=5; two-way ANOVA, P<0.01 effect of spin). (E) Conditioned taste avoidance (CTA) response in mice exposed to a two-bottle-based test pairing a 5% sucrose solution to rotational stimulus (n=4; t-test, P<0.001). (F) *Slc17a6^Cre* mice were bilaterally injected in the vestibular nuclei (VN) with AAV1-DIO-hM4Di·mCherry (VGLUT2^VN:hM4Di mice) to inhibit glutamatergic neurons upon CNO administration. (G) Total distance traveled during a 60-min open-field session after spin stimulation in CNO- or vehicle-injected VGLUT2^VN:hM4Di mice (n=10; two-way ANOVA, P<0.01 effect of drug). (H) Cumulative food intake after spin stimulation in CNO- or vehicle-injected VGLUT2^VN:hM4Di mice (n=7; two-way ANOVA, P<0.001 effect of drug). Mice were food deprived for 24 h prior to the test. (I) Core body temperature difference (ΔT) after spin stimulation in CNO- or vehicle-injected VGLUT2^VN:hM4Di mice (n=4; two-way ANOVA, P<0.05 effect of drug). The arrow shows the time of injection. (J) CTA response in mice exposed to a two-bottle-based test pairing a 5% sucrose solution to rotational stimulus in VGLUT2^VN:hM4Di mice injected with CNO (n=4) or vehicle (n=3) (t-test, P<0.001).

Figure 2. VGLUT2^{VN} neuron activation is sufficient to induce kinetosis. (A) *Slc17a6^{Cre}* mice were unilaterally injected in the right VN with AAV1-DIO-ChR2·YFP (VGLUT2^{VN}:ChR2 mice) or AAV1-DIO-YFP (VGLUT2^{VN}:YFP mice), followed by optical fiber implantation over the right VN to deliver 40-Hz, 10-ms, 10-mW, 473-nm light pulses for 5 min under different behavioral approaches. (B) Traveled distance during 60 min of open-field test after photostimulation (VGLUT2^{VN}:ChR2 mice n=7; VGLUT2^{VN}:YFP mice n=5; two-way ANOVA, *P*<0.01 effect of ChR2). (C) Conditioned taste avoidance (CTA) response in mice exposed to a two-bottle-based test pairing a 5% sucrose solution to optogenetic activation of VGLUT2^{VN} neurons in VGLUT2^{VN}:ChR2·YFP (n=8) or control VGLUT2^{VN}:YFP (n=6) mice (t-test, P>0.05). (D) Normal-chow intake following laser onset in VGLUT2^{VN}:ChR2 or VGLUT2^{VN}:YFP mice (n=6; two-way ANOVA, *P*<0.001 effect of ChR2). Animals were food-deprived for 24 h prior to photostimulation. (E) Water intake following laser onset in food-deprived, VGLUT2^{VN}:ChR2 or VGLUT2^{VN}:YFP mice (n=6; Two-way ANOVA, P<0.001 effect of ChR2). (F) Highly palatable, chocolate-flavored drink intake following laser onset in food-deprived VGLUT2^{VN}:ChR2 or VGLUT2^{VN}:YFP animals (n=6; Two-way ANOVA, P>0.05 effect of ChR2). (G) Core body temperature difference (ΔT) in freely moving VGLUT2^{VN}:ChR2 or VGLUT2^{VN}:YFP mice after handling and laser stimulation (n=8; two-way ANOVA, *P*<0.01 effect of ChR2). (H) Core body temperature difference (ΔT) after photostimulation in restrained VGLUT2^{VN}:ChR2 or VGLUT2^{VN}:YFP mice (n=5; two-way ANOVA, *P*<0.001 effect of ChR2). YFP: VGLUT2^{VN}:YFP mice; ChR2: VGLUT2^{VN}:ChR2 mice.

Figure 3. Identification of glutamatergic neuronal subpopulations in the VN. (A) *Slc17a6*^{Cre} mice were bilaterally injected in the VN with a viral vector expressing the RiboTag (AAV1-DIO-Rpl22HA) for the molecular profiling of VGLUT2^{VN} neurons. (B) Differential expression analysis showing significant enrichment for candidate VGLUT2^{VN} neuron subpopulation marker transcripts in the immunoprecipitates of RiboTag assays when compared to inputs (n=3). Specific enrichment for *Slc17a6* (Vglut2), and depletion for inhibitory neuron (*Gad2*) and non-neuronal marker transcripts (*Cnp*, *Gfap*) were confirmed in the analysis (padj: adjusted *P*-value; FC: fold change). (C) Double-label *in situ* hybridization assay showing expression of *Cck* mRNA within *Slc17a6*-expressing cells. Scale bar: 100 µm. (D) Percentage of double labelled *Cck*- and *Slc17a6*-expressing cells.

Figure 4. CCK^{VN} neuron manipulation affects motion sickness responses. (A) *Cck^{Cre}* mice were bilaterally injected in the VN with AAV1-DIO-hM4Di·mCherry (CCK^{VN}:hM4Di mice) to inhibit CCK^{VN} neurons upon CNO administration. (B) 5-min open-field test in CCK^{VN}:hM4Di mice 30 minutes after CNO or vehicle administration (n=4; t-test, $P<0.01$). (C) Open-field test in CCK^{VN}:hM4Di mice injected with CNO or vehicle after spin stimulation (n=4; two-way ANOVA, $P<0.001$ effect of drug). (D) *CCK^{Cre}* mice were unilaterally injected in the right VN with AAV1-DIO-ChR2·YFP (CCK^{VN}:ChR2 mice) or AAV1-DIO-YFP (CCK^{VN}:YFP mice) followed by an optical fiber implantation to deliver a 5-min, 473-nm laser stimulation (40-Hz, 10-mW, 10-ms pulses). (E) Open-field test showing traveled distance after photostimulation in CCK^{VN}:ChR2 and CCK^{VN}:YFP mice (n=4; two-way ANOVA, $P<0.01$ effect of ChR2). (F) Conditioned taste avoidance (CTA) response in mice exposed to a two-bottle-based test pairing a 5% sucrose solution to optogenetic activation of CCK^{VN} neurons in CCK^{VN}:ChR2·YFP or control (CCK^{VN}:YFP) mice (n=4; t-test, $P<0.01$). (G) Normal-chow intake after light stimulation in CCK^{VN}:ChR2 and CCK^{VN}:YFP mice. Mice were food deprived for 24 h prior to stimulation (n=4; two-way ANOVA, $P<0.05$ effect of ChR2). (H) Core body temperature difference (ΔT) in CCK^{VN}:ChR2 and CCK^{VN}:YFP mice after handling and laser stimulation (n=4; two-way ANOVA, $P<0.01$ effect of ChR2). (I) Spontaneous ambulatory activity of mice 45 min after devazepide or vehicle administration in a 5-min, open-field test (n=10; t-test, $P>0.05$). (J) Traveled distance during 60 min of open-field test after spin stimulation in devazepide or vehicle-treated mice (n=10; two-way ANOVA, $P<0.05$ effect of drug). (K) Conditioned taste avoidance (CTA) response in devazepide or vehicle-treated mice exposed to a two-bottle-based test pairing a 5% sucrose solution to rotational stimulus (n=6; t-test, P-value<0.05).

Figure 5. CCK^VN neurons target the PBN to mediate CTA. (A) Representative images at Bregma -5.2 mm showing projections to the PBN from VGLUT2^VN, CCK^VN and GAD2^VN neurons as assessed by hM4Di·mCherry visualization in sections containing the PBN from VGLUT2^VN:hM4Di, CCK^VN:hM4Di and GAD2^VN:hM4Di mice. Scale bar: 150 μm. (B) c-Fos staining at Bregma -5.2 mm from mice subjected to spin or control stimulation. Dotted line delineates lateral PBN. Scale bar: 400 μm. (C) Double-label *in situ* hybridization assay (RNAscope) showing expression of *Fos* mRNA within *Calca*-expressing neurons in the PBN after rotational stimulation. Scale bar: 250 μm. (D) Illustration showing AAV1-DIO-ChR2·YFP or AAV1-DIO-YFP injection in the VN and optical fiber implantation over the PBN in *Cck^Cre* mice for photoactivation of CCK^VN neuron projections in the PBN (CCK^VN→PBN). (E) Double-label *in situ* hybridization assay (RNAscope) showing expression of *Fos* mRNA within *Calca*-expressing neurons in the PBN after photoactivation of CCK^VN→PBN projections. Scale bar: 250 μm. (F) CTA response in mice exposed to a two-bottle-based test pairing a 5% sucrose solution to targeted optogenetic activation of the CCK^VN→PBN circuit in CCK^VN:ChR2·YFP (n=3) or control (CCK^VN:YFP; n=4) mice (t-

test, *P*<0.05). (G) Double-label *in situ* hybridization assay (RNAscope) showing expression of *Fos* mRNA within *Calca*-expressing neurons in the PBN of control or spin-stimulated mice with or without devazepide (Dev; 1 mg/kg) administration. Scale bar: 250 μm. (H) Quantification of the percentage of *Fos*-positive *Calca*-expressing cells in the PBN of control or spin-stimulated mice with or without devazepide (Dev; 1 mg/kg) administration (n=4; one-way ANOVA,* *P* <0.05 ,*** *P* <0.001).