Robust maintenance of cell surface tension in mitosis by RhoA-driven myosin II mechanoresponse

Jingjing Ding[1,2,*], Chao Wang[1,2,*], Qiaodong Wei[3], Shoukang Du[1,2], Xiaobo Gong[3], Ting Gang Chew[1,2,#]

Affiliations

[1]Department of Orthopedics of the Second Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China

[2]The Zhejiang University-University of Edinburgh Institute, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China

[3]Department of Engineering Mechanics, School of Naval Architecture, Ocean and Civil Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

*Co-first authors

#Correspondence to: Ting Gang Chew (tinggchew@intl.zju.edu.cn)

Running title: Mechanoresponsive RhoA regulates NMII in mitosis

Abstract

As cells enter mitosis, cell cortex contraction generates surface tension to establish a geometry

feasible for division in a physically confined environment. Cell surface tension rises in prophase and

continues to stay constant during metaphase to support mitosis. How the cell surface tension is

maintained throughout mitosis is not well explored. We show that the cell surface tension is actively

maintained by a mechanosensitive RhoA pathway at the cell cortex during mitosis. Mechanical

activation of RhoA leads to non-muscle myosin IIB (NMIIB) stabilization and mechanosensitive

accumulation at the cell cortex via Rho kinase (ROCK) regulation of the NMIIB head domain.

Interestingly, when the NMIIB tail domain regulation is perturbed, the NMIIB has reduced ability to

generate tension but could still support mitotic cells to withstand compressive stress by undergoing

mechanosensitive accumulation at the cell cortex. Thus, mechanical RhoA activation drives NMIIB

mechanoresponse via its head domain regulation to maintain cell surface tension during mitosis.

Introduction

Most animal cells undergo a dramatic change of cell geometry when they enter mitosis (Ramkumar

and Baum, 2016). Upon entry into mitosis, cells increase their intracellular hydrostatic pressure by

water influx, and concomitantly, contracts the cell cortex to generate higher cell surface tension to

counteract the hydrostatic force (Chugh et al., 2017; Ramanathan et al., 2015; Roubinet et al., 2012;

Stewart et al., 2011). These cellular forces together lead to mitotic cell rounding that provides an

ideal cell geometry to undergo mitosis and cytokinesis (Lancaster et al., 2013). Establishing and

maintaining cell surface tension to sustain a minimum cell height for a functional cell geometry during

mitosis is particularly important when cells are dividing in a physically confined tissue environment

(Cattin et al., 2015; Matthews et al., 2020). Within a tissue environment, cells constantly experience

mechanical stresses such as compressive stress from surrounding cells and the extracellular matrix.

This is particularly significant in an overgrowth tumor environment where the excessive cell

proliferation, cell infiltration and matrix deposition results in an accumulation of solid stress or

compressive stress inside the tumors (Nia et al., 2016; Nia et al., 2020). Cells that are not able to

withstand the compressive stress during mitosis have defects in their mitotic spindle stability and

form multipolar spindles that lead to chromosome mis-segregation and aneuploidy (Lancaster et al.,

2013; Matthews et al., 2020). Thus, the ability to generate and sustain cell surface tension in mitotic

cells in a crowded environment is important in maintaining their genome integrity (Cadart et al., 2014;

Taubenberger et al., 2020). How mechanistically cells maintain cell surface tension during mitosis in

a confined environment remains unclear.

Cells progressively increase their surface tension from prophase and maintain a constant high level

of the surface tension throughout mitosis with the actin cortex homeostatically maintained (Nishimura

et al., 2019; Stewart et al., 2011). Cell surface tension is generated by contractile forces derived from

an actomyosin-based cell cortex. In the cell cortex, actin filaments turn over to maintain a

homeostatic network for the molecular motor non-muscle myosin II (NMII) to slide and generate

forces (Chew et al., 2017; Chugh et al., 2017). As cells enter mitosis, a Rho guanine nucleotide

exchange factor (RhoGEF) Ect2 activates RhoA, which in turn binds to the cell membrane and

recruits its effectors such as anillin, formin and Rho-associated kinase (ROCK) to activate

actomyosin contractility at the cell cortex (Maddox and Burridge, 2003; Matthews et al., 2012;

Ramanathan et al., 2015). Interestingly, NMIIs display mechanoresponse by accumulating at the cell

cortex in response to mechanical stress (Effler et al., 2006; Schiffhauer et al., 2016; Schiffhauer et

al., 2019). In addition, a tension-dependent activation of RhoA signaling has been detected at the

cell-cell adherens junctions and the cell matrix focal adhesion to reinforce NMII contraction (Acharya

et al., 2018; Guilluy et al., 2011). A similar mechanosensitive RhoA activation has not been

demonstrated in mitosis to regulate NMII's mechanoresponse despite ROCK is required for NMII's

mechanoresponse at the cell cortex (Schiffhauer et al., 2016).

Results and discussion

To study how cell surface tension is maintained during mitosis, we made a human MCF10A

mammary epithelial cell stably expressing fluorescently tagged non-muscle myosin IIB (mEmerald-

NMIIB) and active RhoA biosensor consisting of the RhoA-binding domain of anillin (mRuby2-AHPH)

(Budnar et al., 2019; Piekny and Glotzer, 2008). NMIIB localizes to the cell cortex in the early mitosis

and functions downstream of active RhoA to generate cortical tension (Taneja et al., 2020). Live-cell

microscopy imaging revealed that NMIIB and active RhoA localized to the cell cortex starting from

early mitosis and enriched at the division site during mitosis and cytokinesis, consistent with previous

studies and their roles in tension generation at the cell cortex during cell division (Fig. S1 A) (Piekny

and Glotzer, 2008; Taneja et al., 2020). When mitotic cells were treated with a RhoA inhibitor C3

exoenzyme that prevents activation of RhoA, both active RhoA and NMIIB disappeared from the

mitotic cell cortex (Fig. S1 B). This indicates that mRuby2-AHPH reliably reports the active state of

RhoA, and NMIIB and anillin AHPH localizations report the status of cellular contractility during

mitosis and cytokinesis.

We first addressed whether the maintenance of cortical NMIIB and cell surface tension during mitosis

required an active NMIIB turnover. To this end, we treated mitotic cells with low, medium and high

concentrations of the low cytotoxic and photostable NMII inhibitor *para*-nitro-blebbistatin (p-

nitroblebb), which lowers the actin affinity of NMII heads (Kepiro et al., 2014; Rauscher et al., 2018).

Mitotic cells treated with a low concentration of p-nitroblebb showed punctate cortical NMIIB patterns

(Fig. 1 A, insets). Higher concentrations of p-nitroblebb resulted in a decreasing NMIIB intensity at

the mitotic cell cortex (Figs. 1 A and B). Analyses of the NMIIB turnover at the mitotic cell cortex with

the fluorescence recovery after photobleaching technique (FRAP) showed an increase of the

immobile fractions of NMIIB upon increased concentrations of p-nitroblebb (Figs.1 C and D; DMSO:

0.27 ± 0.08; [Low]: 0.45 ± 0.01; [Medium]: 0.50 ± 0.01; [High]: 0.59 ± 0.03). The recovery half time

of cortical NMIIB decreased when increasing concentrations of p-nitroblebb were used (Fig. 1 D;

DMSO: 20.33 ± 2.09 s; [Low]: 12.61 ± 1.46 s; [Medium]: 11.30 ± 0.59 s; [High]: 9.49 ± 0.05 s). The

FRAP analysis suggests that a decrease of NMIIB recovery half time with higher immobile fractions

characterizes inhibition of NMIIB function at the cell cortex.

Next, we measured cell surface tension in mitotic cells treated with p-nitroblebb using the

micropipette aspiration technique. The mitotic cell surface tension decreased to 56%, 44%, 31% of

the control group after treatments with low, medium and high concentrations of p-nitroblebb,

respectively (Fig. 1 E; DMSO: 894.90 ± 186.74 pN/μm; [Low]: 500.42 ± 90.64 pN/μm; [Medium]:

393.87 ± 121.08 pN/μm; [High]: 281.58 ± 60.84 pN/μm). Our data suggests that a low concentration

of p-nitroblebb reduced NMIIB capacity in tension generation despite having punctate localizations

at the cell cortex after treatment. This is similar to other contractile actomyosin machinery in which

the absence of NMII turnover results in NMII aggregation and low tension generation (Thiyagarajan

et al., 2021). Perturbation of NMII turnover by p-nitroblebb did not affect the actin cortex significantly

as its functional thickness remained largely similar after treatment (Fig. 1 F). Taken together, we

showed that an active NMII turnover at the cell cortex is required to maintain a contractile actomyosin

architecture and cell surface tension during mitosis.

Interestingly, we found that there was a decrease of the cortical localization of active RhoA when

cell surface tension has decreased for 3-fold in mitotic cells treated with a high concentration of p-

nitroblebb, suggesting that RhoA could respond to the cortical tension generated by NMII during

mitosis (Fig. 1 G). This contrasts with the upstream function of active RhoA in regulating NMII and

implies a mechanosensitive activation of RhoA during mitosis. To test whether RhoA and NMIIB

could be mechanically regulated, we compressed the mitotic cells with a soft elastic gel and a weight

(Fig. 2 A). When compressive forces were exerted on the mitotic cells, the cell has enlarged its

diameter, and the cell height has decreased from 22.89 ± 1.88 μm to 15.19 ± 1.19 μm (Figs. 2 B and

C). Within 2 minutes after compression, we observed increased intensity of active RhoA at the mitotic

cell cortex. In average, there was 1.54 ± 0.31-fold increase of cortical active RhoA intensity in

individual cells (Fig. 2 D). Concomitantly, NMIIB increased its intensity at the mitotic cell cortex by

1.45 ± 0.29-fold compared to that of before compression (Figs. 2 E and F). Approximately 76.5%

individual cells showed an increase of both active RhoA and NMIIB at the mitotic cell cortex after

compression (Fig. S2), suggesting that compressive forces exerted on the mitotic cell cortex activate

RhoA, which in turn activates NMIIB to generate higher cell surface tension to withstand the

mechanical stress. Consistently, we found that compression in mitotic cells has slowed down the

turnover of the cortical NMIIB, with an increase of the recovery half time of 27.75 ± 0.86 s as

compared to that of in the uncompressed mitotic cells that was 18.85 ± 1.79 s (Figs. 2 G and H).

Thus, compressive forces stabilize NMIIB at the mitotic cell cortex leading to a mechanosensitive

accumulation of NMIIB. Taken together, our results showed that active RhoA and NMIIB

demonstrate a mechanosensitive accumulation at the cell cortex to generate cell surface tension to

withstand compressive forces during mitosis.

We next studied how NMII's functions in cell surface tension generation and mechanoresponse are

regulated and interrelated during mitosis. NMII is regulated by the phosphorylation of its regulatory

light chain (RLC) that associates with the head domain and by the phosphorylation of the tail domain.

Phosphorylation of RLC at the NMII head domain increases NMII actin-activated ATPase activity

and promotes the formation of an unfolded active NMII to slide on actin filaments. Phosphorylation

of the tail domain is involved in regulating myosin II filament assembly (Heissler and Sellers, 2016).

We examined the contribution of NMII head and tail domain phosphoregulations on the tension

generation and the mechanoresponse of NMII during mitosis. Phosphorylation of RLC at the NMII

head domain is driven by a RhoA / ROCK signaling pathway (Fig. 3 A) (Amano et al., 1996). When

mitotic cells were chemically inhibited by the ROCK inhibitor Y-27632, NMIIB decreased for about

2-fold at the cell cortex while active RhoA did not show significant changes (Figs. 3 A and B).

Consistently, there was about 20% decrease of cell surface tension from 965.34 ± 133.34 pN/μm in

the control group to 770.04 ± 160.08 pN/μm in the Y-27632 treated group (Fig. 3 C). Of note, a

decrease of cell surface tension by the concentration of Y-27632 used in this study was not sufficient

to reduce active RhoA from the cell cortex.

When mitotic cells were compressed for about 8 minutes in the presence of Y-27632, there was a

decrease of cortical NMIIB as compared to the control group that showed an increase of cortical

NMIIB after compression (Figs. 3 D, E and F). Active RhoA showed a mechanosensitive

accumulation at the mitotic cell cortex after compression in the presence and absence of Y-27632

(Figs. 3 D and E and F). Importantly, the lack of NMIIB mechanosensitive accumulation at the mitotic

cell cortex after compression has further decreased the cell height after compression (Fig. 3 G; 13.62

± 0.83 μm in DMSO treated compressed group and 11.08 ± 1.22 μm in Y-27632 treated compressed

group), which is a readout of how much the cells could resist the compressive stress. Thus, mitotic

cells were not able to generate sufficient cell surface tension to withstand the compressive force

when RhoA / ROCK pathway was defective. Collectively, our results showed that inhibition of the

RLC phosphorylation by ROCK has reduced NMII capacity in tension generation and in

mechanoresponse. Thus, NMII head domain phosphoregulation by RhoA / ROCK signaling is

required for both tension generation and mechanoresponse of NMII.

We next tested the role of NMIIB tail domain phosphorylation in regulating cell surface tension and

NMIIB mechanoresponse during mitosis. Phosphorylation of NMIIB S1935 lowers the ability of NMIIB

to assemble into myofilaments (Fig. 4 A) (Juanes-Garcia et al., 2015; Schiffhauer et al., 2019). We

first generated three cell lines stably expressing wild type NMIIB, NMIIB containing a non-

phosphorylatable alanine residue at S1935 (S1935A), and NMIIB containing a phosphomimetic

aspartate residue at S1935 (S1935D), respectively. The endogenous NMIIB in these cell lines was

depleted using CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) that switches off gene expression from its promoter

and does not interfere with the transgene expression (Fig. S3 A and B). Cells expressing NMIIB

S1935D showed a decrease in the cytoskeletal fraction compared to cells expressing wild type

NMIIB or NMIIB S1935A, indicating less NMIIB myofilaments were assembled (Fig. 4 B and C).

Next, we examined the mitotic cell surface tension and the NMIIB mechanoresponse in cells

expressing NMIIB tail phosphomutants. Interestingly, mitotic cells expressing NMIIB S1935D

generated lower cell surface tension (1007.94 ± 130.21 pN/μm in wild type NMIIB and 677.67 ±

111.03 pN/μm in NMIIB S1935D) but were able to demonstrate mechanosensitive accumulation of

NMIIB S1935D after compression (Figs. 4 D and E). Mitotic cells expressing NMIIB S1935A exhibited

a slightly higher level of cell surface tension (1069.76 ± 158.13 pN/μm in NMIIB S1935A) and

displayed a similar mechanoresponse as in cells expressing wild type NMIIB (Figs. 4 D and E). Thus,

phosphorylation of NMIIB S1935 at the tail domain affected NMIIB's function in cell surface tension

generation but less on the mechanoresponse of NMIIB, in line with the previous study showing that

the NMIIB tail domain fine-tuned the NMIIB mechanosensitive dynamics and the phosphomutants

could accumulate with varying degree at the cell cortex in epithelial cells in response to mechanical

stress (Schiffhauer et al., 2019). Importantly, the NMIIB S1935D generated sufficient mitotic cell

surface tension to withstand the compressive force exerted on the cells as evidenced by the similar

cell heights as in the cells expressing wild type NMIIB after compression (Fig. 4 F). Thus, the

mechanosensitive accumulation of NMIIB compensates for the decreased ability to generate tension

of the NMIIB phosphomimetic tail mutant. By accumulating more NMIIB at the cell cortex has allowed

cells to generate sufficient cell surface tension during mitosis under compression.

Our study revealed that during mitosis, cell surface tension is maintained by a mechanosensitive

RhoA / ROCK pathway that leads to the NMIIB accumulation at the cell cortex in a mechanically

constrained environment. Mechanosensitive accumulation of NMIIB is a result of a slowdown of

NMIIB turnover at the cell cortex, which we showed is important in maintaining a contractile

actomyosin architecture that sustains the mitotic cell surface tension. We further show that tension

generation and mechanoresponse are two mechanoproperties of NMIIB that can be uncoupled. The

phosphoregulation by RhoA / ROCK pathway at the NMII head domain is involved in both

mechanoproperties, whereas the phosphoregulation at the NMII tail domain is required mainly for

the tension generation and for fine-tuning the mechanoresponse of NMIIB.

Mechanical activation of RhoA happens at the cell-cell adherens junctions and the cell-matrix focal

adhesion to reinforce actomyosin contractility in response to mechanical stress (Acharya et al., 2018;

Guilluy et al., 2011). This study, to our knowledge, is first to show mechanoactivation of RhoA at the

cell cortex to sustain cell surface tension during mitosis. Mechanosensitve accumulation of active

RhoA at the cell cortex during mitosis was not previously identified although inhibition of its

downstream ROCK led to a decreased mechanoresponse of NMII (Schiffhauer et al., 2016). In this

study, we used a widely used live-cell active RhoA biosensor (Koh et al., 2021) and focused only on

mitotic cells using a microscopy with confocality and high temporal resolution. This has facilitated

the detection of a tension-dependent activation of RhoA at the cell cortex when mitosis is

mechanically challenged.

We showed that NMIIB's function in tension generation and its mechanoresponse can be uncoupled

by a phosphomimetic point mutation at S1935 of the tail domain, which perturbs assembly of NMIIB

myofilaments. This perturbation lowers NMIIB's ability to generate cell surface tension but does not

decrease NMIIB's ability to accumulate at the cell cortex in response to mechanical stress. The

functional uncoupling enables cells to withstand the mechanical stress by compensating with more

NMIIs at the cell cortex even the NMII is weaker in tension generation, enabling robust maintenance

of cell surface tension during mitosis.

NMII shows a decrease of ADP-release kinetics when experiencing opposing loads (Kovacs et al.,

2007). This increases the lifetime of actin-bound state of NMII and hence its duty ratio, which could

lead to the mechanoaccumulation of NMII upon compression (Luo et al., 2013). This is in line with

our FRAP analysis that NMIIB stabilizes at the cell cortex when cells experience compressive forces.

We propose that mechanosensitive activation of RhoA / ROCK signaling pathway leads to RLC

phosphorylation, which associates with an increase of the ATPase kinetics of myosin head domain

to increase the flux of ATP hydrolysis into ADP (Amano et al., 1996). This together with the load-

dependent decrease of ADP-release kinetics of NMII collectively increase the ADP-bound NMII that

has a higher actin-binding lifetime, leading to its accumulation at the cell cortex in response to

mechanical stress to maintain cell surface tension during mitosis.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and culture

MCF10A cell lines were cultured in DMEM F-12 (Shanghai BasalMedia) with Glutamax (Gibco), 5% horse serum (Biological Industries), 20 ng/ml EGF (Gibco), 0.5 mg/ml Hydrocortisone (MedChemExpress), 100 ng/ml Cholera toxin (Sigma), 10 µg/ml Insulin (Biological Industries), 1% Pen/Strep (Biological Industries) at 37°C with 5% CO_2. The MCF10A cell line was a gift from Dr. Kuan Yoow Chan from Zhejiang University. The cells were authenticated by Sangon Biotech and were routinely checked for mycoplasma contamination in the lab using Myco-Blue Mycoplasma Detector (Vazyme). MCF10A cells expressing mRuby2-AHPH and mEmerald-NMIIB were prepared by lentivirus transduction and were enriched using the fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). MCF10A cells expressing mEmerald-NMIIB WT, mEmerald-NMIIB S1935A, and mEmerald-NMIIB S1935D, respectively, were prepared by lentivirus transduction and selected with 2 µg/ml puromycin (Sangon Biotech) and were enriched using the FACS. To knockdown the endogenous NMIIB, the lentivirus expressing pCRISPRi0003 containing the guiding RNA (gRNA) targeting the NMIIB's 5' UTR region was transduced into the cells expressing NMIIB phosphomutants. Cells were selected with 6 µg/ml blasticidin (Solarbio) for 6 days and were continued to grow without the antibiotic for another 1 to 3 days before the experiments.

Drug treatments

The following drugs were used in the study: NMII inhibitor: p-nitro-blebbistatin (Cayman Chemical, 24171); Rho inhibitor: C3 exoenzyme (Cytoskeleton, CT04); ROCK inhibitor: Y-27632 (MedChemExpress, HY-10583); CDK1 inhibitor: RO-3306 (Selleckchem, S7747). DMSO was used to dissolve p-nitro-blebbistatin, Y-27632, RO-3306. C3 exoenzyme was dissolved in water. Details of concentrations used in the study are described in figure legends.

Lentiviral expression constructs

The following lentiviral transfer plasmids were used in the study: pTGL0427 containing mRuby2-AHPH in which AHPH was subcloned from an Addgene plasmid #68026 (a gift from Michael Glozter) and fused with mRuby2; pTGL0193 containing mEmerald-NMIIB, which was subcloned from an

Addgene plasmid #54192 (a gift from Michael Davidson); pTGL0373 containing mEmerald-NMIIB

S1935A; pTGL0374 containing mEmerald-NMIIB S1935D; pTGL0386 containing KRAB and dCas9

for CRISPRi (a gift from Jorge Ferrer, Addgene plasmid #118154); pCRISPRi0001 containing gRNA

5' GTGCTAAAGGAGCCCGGCGG 3' cloned into pTGL0386; pCRISPRi0002 containing gRNA 5'

GCTGGATCTGTGGTCGCGGC 3' cloned into pTGL0386; pCRISPRi0003 containing gRNA 5'

GGACTGAGGCGCTGGATCTG 3' cloned into pTGL0386. The 3rd generation lentiviral packaging

system was used to prepare lentiviral particles. In brief, packaging plasmids pRSV-Rev,

pMDLg/pRRE, pMD2.G (gifts from Didier Trono) were chemically transfected with the transfer

plasmid into the 293Ta packaging cell line (Genecopoeia, LT008) using GeneTwin transfection

reagent (Biomed, TG101). After 3 days, the culture medium was collected, filtered through a 0.45

μm filter, and then concentrated using the lentivirus concentration solution (Genomeditech, GM-

040801) before adding to MCF10A cells.

Mitotic cell preparation

277 To increase the mitotic cell number, cells cultured on the imaging chambered coverglass were

278 treated with 7.5 μM RO-3306 (Selleckchem) for 16 to 18 hours at 37 °C and were washed with the

279 pre-warmed culture medium for 3 times to release cells into mitosis and added with 1 ml of fresh

280 medium. Experiments were performed 40 minutes after the drug wash-off.

Elastic polyacrylamide gel preparation

The polyacrylamide gel used for cell compression was prepared as described in Matthews *et al*. and

Le Berre *et al*. with modifications (Le Berre et al., 2014; Matthews et al., 2020). Briefly, 18 mm glass

coverslips (Sangon, F518211) were treated with 10 μl Binding-silane (Sangon, C500226) for 10

minutes and then were rinsed with 100% ethanol and air-dried. To prepare soft elastic gels (2 kPa),

1 ml polyacrylamide gel solution was prepared by mixing 125 μl 40% w/v acrylamide (Sangon), 35

μl 2% bis-arcylamide (Sangon), 10 μl APS (10% in water, Sangon), and 830 μl water. After adding

and mixing 1 μl TEMED (Sangon) into the gel solution, about 350 μl of the final gel solution was

immediately transferred onto a flat glass slide and covered by the coverslip pre-treated with the

Binding-silane. After polymerization for 20 minutes, the gel and the attached coverslip were gently

removed from the glass slide using a surgical blade and were soaked in PBS for at least 2 hours and

followed by the incubation in cell culture media for overnight. In experiments that involved drug

treatment, the gels were incubated in media containing the drugs for overnight.

Micropipette aspiration (MPA)

The micropipette was prepared by first pulling a borosilicate glass capillary (Sutter Instrument, B100-

58-10) using the P-97 Micropipette puller (Sutter Instrument). Then, the thin end of a borosilicate

glass capillary was cut by a Microforge (Narishige) to a diameter of about 5.5 μm. The micropipette

was bent to a desired degree by heating it over an alcohol lamp and was filled with phosphate-

buffered saline (PBS) using the MicroFil (World Precision Instruments, MF34G-5). The micropipette

was installed to a micropipette holder, which was connected to a syringe pump (Harvard apparatus)

and a 25 ml serological plastic pipettes via a three-way valve. The micropipette was positioned under

an inverted microscope (Olympus, IX73) equipped with Olympus 60x objective lens (N.A. 1.35, U

Plan super apochromat), a micromanipulator system (Eppendorf, TransferMan 4r), and a CMOS

high speed camera (Vision Research, Phantom 410L, 333.33 nm / pixel).

To perform micropipette aspiration, cells were cultured on a one-well chambered coverglass (Cellvis,

C1-1.5H-N) for 24 hours and then were treated with 7.5 μM RO-3306 for 18 hours. Cells were

washed 3 times with fresh media and were added with fresh media containing 20 mM HEPES. Cells

were incubated for another 40 minutes to reach mitosis. When a mitotic cell was aspirated by the

micropipette, the microscopy image was captured and analyzed using Fiji to obtain the pipette radius

(R_p) and the cell radius (R_c). The cell length of about half a diameter of the pipette opening was

aspirated in. The water pressure changes (ΔP) as displayed on the 25 ml serological plastic pipette

was also recorded. Cell surface tension (T) was then calculated based on the Laplace equation, T =

$\Delta P / 2 (1/R_p - 1/R_c)$.

Spinning-disk confocal microscopy for live-cell imaging

Spinning-disk confocal microscopy was equipped with a Nikon Eclipse Ti2-E inverted microscope,

Nikon 60x oil-immersion objective lens (N.A. 1.40, Plan Apochromat Lambda), a spinning-disk

system (Yokogawa Electric Corporation, CSU-W1), a Photometrics Prime 95B sCMOS camera, a

Piezo Z stage (Physik Instrumente), and a live-cell stage top chamber with humidified CO_2 (Okolab).

Images were acquired using the Metamorph with a z-step size of 0.5 μm and a x-y plane resolution

of 183.33 nm / pixel. The fluorophores were excited by laser lines at wavelengths of 488, 561, or 640

nm. For experiments involving cell compression, a z-stack of 30 μm was acquired before cells were

compressed and a stack of 22 μm was acquired after cells were compressed. This ensured that the

entire cell volume was covered during imaging. To quantitate the actin cortex thickness using the

super-resolution microscopy in Figure 1 F, images were acquired using the LiveSR super-resolution

module (Gataca) installed on the spinning-disk confocal microscope. For microscopy imaging not

involving cell compression, the μ-Slide 8-well chambered coverglass (ibidi, 80826) was used for cell

culture and imaging. Mitotic chromosomes were labeled with 0.2 μM SiR-DNA in live cells during

imaging (Cytoskeleton, CY-SC007).

Mitotic cell compression and live-cell imaging

To compress cells, 8 x 10^4 cells were first seeded on a 20 mm two-well chambered cover glass

(Cellvis, C2-1.5H-N). Forty-eight hours later, cells were treated with 7.5 μM RO-3306 to synchronize

cells at the G2/M boundary for 16 to 18 hours. Prior to live-cell imaging with compression,

synchronized cells were washed 3 times with the pre-warmed medium and added with 1 ml of fresh

medium and incubated in the stage-top chamber attached to the spinning-disk microscopy for 40

minutes. When compressing the mitotic cells, the coverslip coated with the elastic gel was put over

the cell layer and followed by a 5 g weight. Elastic gels and weights were pre-heated to 37 °C in the

chamber. In experiments where cells were treated with DMSO or 20 μM Y-27632, 1 ml medium

containing 40 μM Y-27632 or containing equivalent volume of DMSO was added to cells grown in 1

ml medium on the chambered coverglass to achieve a final concentration of 20 μM. The elastic gel

that was pre-incubated with 20 μM Y-27632 for overnight was then put on top of the cell layer and

followed by a 5 g weight. Cells at the same position were imaged before and after compression. The

cell compression efficiency was validated by their decrease of cell heights.

Image analysis and processing

Microscopy images were analyzed using Fiji. To quantitate fluorescence intensity of mEmerald-NMIIB and mRuby2-AHPH in mitotic cells (Figs. 1 B, 1 G, S1 B, 3 A) and in mitotic cells for compression experiments (Figs. 2 D, 2 F, 3 E, 3 F, 4 E), image stacks containing 5 slices around the mid-plane were projected along the z-axis using the sum-intensity projection (Fiji/image/stacks/Z project). Background subtraction (Fiji/process/subtract background) was performed on projected images using the rolling ball radius of 20 pixels. Then, a segmented line with a line width of 4 (for mitotic cells) or 5 (for mitotic cells in compression experiments) was used to select the cortical fluorescent signals over the entire cell circumference. The mean fluorescence intensity of the selected line region from the same cells before and after treatment and/or compression was measured. The fold change was then calculated by dividing the intensity after treatment and/or compression to the intensity before treatment and/or compression. For time series in Fig. 1 B, the mean intensity of the cortical fluorescence was measured every 45 s. To quantitate the cell height in cell compression experiments, the number of slices from top to bottom of a cell were multiplied by 0.5 μm. To quantitate the actin cortex thickness, a line was drawn across the cortical actin to obtain a point spread function. The full-width-half-maximum of the point spread function was used to indicate the thickness.

FRAP

FRAP was performed using the above-mentioned spinning-disk confocal microscopy equipped with Nikon 100x oil-immersion objective lens (N.A. 1.45, Plan Apochromat Lambda) and iLAS2 FRAP system (Gataca). Images were acquired using the Metamorph software at 110 nm / pixel. The mEmerald-NMIIB fluorescence signal was photobleached by using a short pulse of high power 488 nm laser lines and imaged by using a low power 488 nm laser lines. A small bleaching rectangular region-of-interest (ROI) of about 2 x 10-μm was drawn on the cortical mEmerald-NMIIB in mitotic cells. Three frames of pre-bleached images were acquired with 1 second interval before photobleaching, followed by time-lapse imaging of the same cells with 2 seconds interval for 120 seconds. The bleaching ROIs were recorded to locate the regions of bleaching during analysis.

Fiji was used to quantitate the fluorescence intensity of FRAP images. Background subtraction

(Fiji/process/subtract background) was performed on the images with the rolling ball radius of 20

pixels. A segmented line ROI (line width 5) was used to select the FRAP regions for quantification.

The mean intensity of ROIs for pre-bleached images and after bleached images ($I_{bleached}$) was

calculated for each time point. A mean pre-bleached intensity ($I_{prebleach}$) was derived from the average

of three pre-bleached images. The ratio of $I_{bleached}$ / $I_{prebleach}$ at each time point was calculated and

was subsequently deducted by the ratio of the first image after photobleaching to obtain $ratio_t$. The

$ratio_t$ of each time point was plotted as the recovery curve and fitted using a non-linear fitting function

(one-phase association function constrained by Y0 = 0 and plateau < 1) in the Prism 9 software

(GraphPad). The recovery time was derived from the half-time parameter calculated from the fitting.

The mobile fraction was derived from the span parameter calculated from the fitting. The immobile

fraction was calculated as a difference between 1 and the mobile fraction.

Cytoskeletal fractionation and immunoblotting

Cells were washed once with ice-cold PBS. To a single well of a 6-well cell culture plate, 200 μl of

lysis buffer (50 mM PIPES, pH 6.8, 46 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM EGTA, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.5% Triton-X 100,

protease inhibitor cocktail) was added. Lysates were harvested and centrifuged at 13000 *g* for 20

minutes at 4°C. The supernatant (soluble fraction) was separated from the pellet (cytoskeletal

fraction). The pellet was then resuspended in a same volume of lysis buffer as the supernatant. Both

fractions were added with 5 x Laemli sample buffer and boiled for 5 minutes. The pellet suspension

was sonicated in a water bath sonicator for 1 minute with 20% power to improve solubility. Protein

samples were separated in a pre-cast SDS-PAGE gel (GenScript, M00657) and blotted to a PVDF

membrane (EMD Millipore, immobilon-P, IPVH00010). Blots were blocked with 5% skim milk for 1

hour at room temperature and were incubated at 4°C overnight with the NMIIB antibody (Proteintech,

19673-1-AP) or the β-actin antibody (HuaBio, ET1701-80). The secondary antibody used was Alexa

Fluor Plus 800-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (ThermoFisher, A32735). Blots

were imaged using the LI-COR Odyssey CLx imaging system. The signal intensity of protein bands

was calculated using the LI-COR Image Studio software. The cytoskeletal fraction was calculated

by dividing the cytoskeletal fraction intensity by the sum intensity of cytoskeletal and soluble fractions.

Measurements in Fig. 4 C were obtained from four proteins blots derived from four independent

experiments. For the blot in Fig. S 3 A, primary antibodies used were NMIIB antibody (Proteintech,

19673-1-AP) and GAPDH antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, #5174). The secondary antibody

used was Alexa Fluor Plus 800-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (ThermoFisher,

A32735).

Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) for checking endogenous NMIIB

To validate the knockdown of endogenous NMIIB in cells expressing the phosphomutants used in

Figure 4 E and 4 F, total RNAs were prepared from cells using the FastPure Cell/Tissue Total RNA

Isolation Kit (Vazyme, RC101-01) and were reverse transcribed into cDNA using the HiScript II Q

RT SuperMix for qPCR (Vazyme, R223-01). The expression level of cDNAs was determined using

the real-time PCR with the ChamQ Universal SYBR qPCR Master Mix (Vazyme, Q711-02). The

reaction mix was assembled on the hard-shell PCR plate (Bio-Rad, HSP9655), sealed with the

Microseal 'B' seal (Bio-Rad, MSB1001), and was performed in the CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR

Detection System (Bio-RAD, C1000). Primers used in the qPCR: GAPDH, 5'

CAGGAGGCATTGCTGATGAT 3' and 5' GAAGGCTGGGGCTCATTT 3'; NMIIB, 5'

CCTCATGCTGACCTTGCAAA 3' and 5' GGACACAAAACCAATATTCCCATT 3'. The primer pair for

NMIIB targets the 3' UTR of NMIIB gene, allowing checking of endogenous NMIIB expression. The

C_T value for GAPDH was used for normalization to obtain the relative expression level.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Prism 9 (GraphPad). Datasets were analyzed by Student t-

test. If datasets were not normally distributed, they were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney test. All

graphs were plotted using Prism 9 (GraphPad).

Author contributions

J.D. and C.W. designed, performed experiments, and analyzed data. S.D. prepared elastic gels used

in the study. Q.W and X.G provided the devices and technical expertise for micropipette aspiration.

T.G.C conceived the study, designed experiments, supervised the study, and prepared the
manuscript with inputs from all authors.

Acknowledgement

This study was funded by the Zhejiang University International Campus start-up grant (130000-
541902/016) given to T.G.C. We thank Dr. Di Chen for sharing the CRISPRi vector with us. We thank
Dr. Mikael Bjorklund for sharing the 293Ta packaging cell line with us. We thank Dr. Wanzhong Ge
and Dr. Junqi Huang for their comments on the manuscript. Special thanks to Dr. Fang Kong from
the Nanyang Technological University, Singapore for designing the imaging chamber adaptor used
in the microscopy imaging for cell compression. Q.W and X.G would like to acknowledge the support
from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (12072198).

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Acharya, B.R., A. Nestor-Bergmann, X. Liang, S. Gupta, K. Duszyc, E. Gauquelin, G.A. Gomez, S. Budnar, P. Marcq, O.E. Jensen, Z. Bryant, and A.S. Yap. 2018. A Mechanosensitive RhoA Pathway that Protects Epithelia against Acute Tensile Stress. *Dev Cell*. 47:439-452 e436.

Amano, M., M. Ito, K. Kimura, Y. Fukata, K. Chihara, T. Nakano, Y. Matsuura, and K. Kaibuchi. 1996. Phosphorylation and activation of myosin by Rho-associated kinase (Rho-kinase). *J Biol Chem*. 271:20246-20249.

Budnar, S., K.B. Husain, G.A. Gomez, M. Naghibosadat, A. Varma, S. Verma, N.A. Hamilton, R.G. Morris, and A.S. Yap. 2019. Anillin Promotes Cell Contractility by Cyclic Resetting of RhoA Residence Kinetics. *Dev Cell*. 49:894-906 e812.

Cadart, C., E. Zlotek-Zlotkiewicz, M. Le Berre, M. Piel, and H.K. Matthews. 2014. Exploring the function of cell shape and size during mitosis. *Dev Cell*. 29:159-169.

Cattin, C.J., M. Duggelin, D. Martinez-Martin, C. Gerber, D.J. Muller, and M.P. Stewart. 2015. Mechanical control of mitotic progression in single animal cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 112:11258-11263.

Chew, T.G., J. Huang, S. Palani, R. Sommese, A. Kamnev, T. Hatano, Y. Gu, S. Oliferenko, S. Sivaramakrishnan, and M.K. Balasubramanian. 2017. Actin turnover maintains actin filament homeostasis during cytokinetic ring contraction. *J Cell Biol*. 216:2657-2667.

Chugh, P., A.G. Clark, M.B. Smith, D.A.D. Cassani, K. Dierkes, A. Ragab, P.P. Roux, G. Charras, G. Salbreux, and E.K. Paluch. 2017. Actin cortex architecture regulates cell surface tension. *Nat Cell Biol*. 19:689-697.

Effler, J.C., Y.S. Kee, J.M. Berk, M.N. Tran, P.A. Iglesias, and D.N. Robinson. 2006. Mitosis-specific mechanosensing and contractile-protein redistribution control cell shape. *Curr Biol*. 16:1962-1967.

Guilluy, C., V. Swaminathan, R. Garcia-Mata, E.T. O'Brien, R. Superfine, and K. Burridge. 2011. The Rho GEFs LARG and GEF-H1 regulate the mechanical response to force on integrins. *Nat Cell Biol*. 13:722-727.

Heissler, S.M., and J.R. Sellers. 2016. Various Themes of Myosin Regulation. *J Mol Biol*. 428:1927-1946.

Juanes-Garcia, A., J.R. Chapman, R. Aguilar-Cuenca, C. Delgado-Arevalo, J. Hodges, L.A. Whitmore, J. Shabanowitz, D.F. Hunt, A.R. Horwitz, and M. Vicente-Manzanares. 2015. A regulatory motif in nonmuscle myosin II-B regulates its role in migratory front-back polarity. *J Cell Biol*. 209:23-32.

Kepiro, M., B.H. Varkuti, L. Vegner, G. Voros, G. Hegyi, M. Varga, and A. Malnasi-Csizmadia. 2014. para-Nitroblebbistatin, the non-cytotoxic and photostable myosin II inhibitor. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl*. 53:8211-8215.

Koh, S.P., N.P. Pham, and A. Piekny. 2021. Seeing is believing: tools to study the role of Rho GTPases during cytokinesis. *Small GTPases*:1-14.

Kovacs, M., K. Thirumurugan, P.J. Knight, and J.R. Sellers. 2007. Load-dependent mechanism of nonmuscle myosin 2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 104:9994-9999.

Lancaster, O.M., M. Le Berre, A. Dimitracopoulos, D. Bonazzi, E. Zlotek-Zlotkiewicz, R. Picone, T. Duke, M. Piel, and B. Baum. 2013. Mitotic rounding alters cell geometry to ensure efficient bipolar spindle formation. *Dev Cell*. 25:270-283.

Le Berre, M., E. Zlotek-Zlotkiewicz, D. Bonazzi, F. Lautenschlaeger, and M. Piel. 2014. Methods for two-dimensional cell confinement. *Methods Cell Biol*. 121:213-229.

Luo, T., K. Mohan, P.A. Iglesias, and D.N. Robinson. 2013. Molecular mechanisms of cellular mechanosensing. *Nat Mater*. 12:1064-1071.

Maddox, A.S., and K. Burridge. 2003. RhoA is required for cortical retraction and rigidity during mitotic cell rounding. *J Cell Biol*. 160:255-265.

Matthews, H.K., U. Delabre, J.L. Rohn, J. Guck, P. Kunda, and B. Baum. 2012. Changes in Ect2
localization couple actomyosin-dependent cell shape changes to mitotic progression. *Dev*
Cell. 23:371-383.
Matthews, H.K., S. Ganguli, K. Plak, A.V. Taubenberger, Z. Win, M. Williamson, M. Piel, J. Guck,
and B. Baum. 2020. Oncogenic Signaling Alters Cell Shape and Mechanics to Facilitate Cell
Division under Confinement. *Dev Cell*. 52:563-573 e563.
Nia, H.T., H. Liu, G. Seano, M. Datta, D. Jones, N. Rahbari, J. Incio, V.P. Chauhan, K. Jung, J.D.
Martin, V. Askoxylakis, T.P. Padera, D. Fukumura, Y. Boucher, F.J. Hornicek, A.J.
Grodzinsky, J.W. Baish, L.L. Munn, and R.K. Jain. 2016. Solid stress and elastic energy as
measures of tumour mechanopathology. *Nat Biomed Eng*. 1.
Nia, H.T., L.L. Munn, and R.K. Jain. 2020. Physical traits of cancer. *Science*. 370.
Nishimura, K., Y. Johmura, K. Deguchi, Z. Jiang, K.S.K. Uchida, N. Suzuki, M. Shimada, Y. Chiba,
T. Hirota, S.H. Yoshimura, K. Kono, and M. Nakanishi. 2019. Cdk1-mediated DIAPH1
phosphorylation maintains metaphase cortical tension and inactivates the spindle assembly
checkpoint at anaphase. *Nat Commun*. 10:981.
Piekny, A.J., and M. Glotzer. 2008. Anillin is a scaffold protein that links RhoA, actin, and myosin
during cytokinesis. *Curr Biol*. 18:30-36.
Ramanathan, S.P., J. Helenius, M.P. Stewart, C.J. Cattin, A.A. Hyman, and D.J. Muller. 2015. Cdk1-
dependent mitotic enrichment of cortical myosin II promotes cell rounding against
confinement. *Nat Cell Biol*. 17:148-159.
Ramkumar, N., and B. Baum. 2016. Coupling changes in cell shape to chromosome segregation.
Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 17:511-521.
Rauscher, A.A., M. Gyimesi, M. Kovacs, and A. Malnasi-Csizmadia. 2018. Targeting Myosin by
Blebbistatin Derivatives: Optimization and Pharmacological Potential. *Trends Biochem Sci*.
43:700-713.
Roubinet, C., P.T. Tran, and M. Piel. 2012. Common mechanisms regulating cell cortex properties
during cell division and cell migration. *Cytoskeleton (Hoboken)*. 69:957-972.
Schiffhauer, E.S., T. Luo, K. Mohan, V. Srivastava, X. Qian, E.R. Griffis, P.A. Iglesias, and D.N.
Robinson. 2016. Mechanoaccumulative Elements of the Mammalian Actin Cytoskeleton.
Curr Biol. 26:1473-1479.
Schiffhauer, E.S., Y. Ren, V.A. Iglesias, P. Kothari, P.A. Iglesias, and D.N. Robinson. 2019. Myosin
IIB assembly state determines its mechanosensitive dynamics. *J Cell Biol*. 218:895-908.
Stewart, M.P., J. Helenius, Y. Toyoda, S.P. Ramanathan, D.J. Muller, and A.A. Hyman. 2011.
Hydrostatic pressure and the actomyosin cortex drive mitotic cell rounding. *Nature*. 469:226-
230.
Taneja, N., M.R. Bersi, S.M. Baillargeon, A.M. Fenix, J.A. Cooper, R. Ohi, V. Gama, W.D. Merryman,
and D.T. Burnette. 2020. Precise Tuning of Cortical Contractility Regulates Cell Shape during
Cytokinesis. *Cell Rep*. 31:107477.
Taubenberger, A.V., B. Baum, and H.K. Matthews. 2020. The Mechanics of Mitotic Cell Rounding.
Front Cell Dev Biol. 8:687.
Thiyagarajan, S., S. Wang, T.G. Chew, J. Huang, M.K. Balasubramanian, and B. O'Shaughnessy.
2021. Myosin turnover controls actomyosin contractile instability. *BioRxiv*.
10.1101/2021.03.18.436017.

 Figure legends

Figure 1. Maintenance of the mitotic cell surface tension and the cortical active RhoA requires NMIIB

turnover. (A) Micrographs of the cortical mEmerald-NMIIB in mitotic cells treated with different

concentrations of p-nitroblebb. Cells before and 15 minutes after the treatments were shown. [Low]

is 37 μM; [Medium] is 74 μM; [High] is 110 μM. Insets show a zoom-in view of the punctate NMIIB.

(B) Relative changes of cortical NMIIB intensity as a function of time. The fluorescence intensity at

each time point was normalized to that of in cells before treatments. Dotted lines indicate standard

deviation. DMSO, 12 cells; low, 9 cells; medium, 9 cells; high, 10 cells. (C) Recovery curves of

mEmerald-NMIIB fluorescence intensity after photobleaching in cells treated with different

concentrations of p-nitroblebb. DMSO, 36 cells; low, 36 cells; medium, 30 cells; high, 28 cells. (D)

Quantification of the recovery time and the immobile fraction of mEmerald-NMIIB by FRAP. (E)

Measurement of the mitotic cell surface tension by MPA in the presence of different concentrations

of p-nitroblebb. The inset shows an example of an aspirated cell. DMSO, 33 cells; low, 40 cells;

medium, 39 cells; high, 43 cells. (F) Quantification of the actin cortex thickness in mitotic cells

expressing actin chromobody treated with different concentrations of p-nitroblebb. 40 cells in each

treatment were counted. (G) Relative changes of active RhoA intensity at the cell cortex after DMSO

or 110 μM p-nitroblebb treatments as a function of time. The fluorescence intensity at each time point

was normalized to that of in cells before treatments. DMSO, 11 cells; p-nitroblebb, 17 cells.

Representative cells showing the decrease of active RhoA at the mitotic cell cortex before and after

p-nitroblebb treatment are shown.

Data represent means $\pm$ SD, except for (C) where data represent $\pm$ SEM. Scale bar, 5 μm.

Figure 2. NMIIB and active RhoA display mechanosensitive accumulation at the cell cortex during

mitosis. (A) A schematic representation of the mitotic cell compression experiment. A soft elastic

polyacrylamide gel (2 kPa) was used in the study. (B) A representative cell before and after

compression is shown. XY, top view; XZ, side view. (C) Quantification of the cell height before and

after compression. 56 cells were counted for their cell height before and after compression. (D)

Intensity of active RhoA in mitotic cells before and after compression was quantitated. Black dots

indicate the intensity before compression and orange dots indicate the intensity after compression

of the same cells. A representative cell with increased cortical active RhoA after compression is

shown. 26 cells were counted. (E) Two representative cells with increased accumulation of NMIIB at

the cell cortex are shown. (F) Intensity of the cortical NMIIB in mitotic cells before and after

compression was quantitated. Black dots indicate the intensity before compression and orange dots

indicate the intensity after compression of the same cells. 21 cells were counted. (G) Recovery

curves of the cortical mEmerald-NMIIB intensity in uncompressed cells and in compressed cells after

photobleaching. Uncompressed, 55 cells; compressed, 48 cells. (H) Quantification of the recovery

time and the immobile fraction of mEmerald-NMIIB by FRAP.

Data represent means $\pm$ SD, except for (G) where data represent $\pm$ SEM. Scale bar, 5 μm.

Figure 3. Phosphoregulation of the myosin II head domain is required to maintain the mitotic cell

surface tension and the NMIIB mechanosensitive response. (A) A schematic representation of the

regulation of RLC phosphorylation by Rho / ROCK signaling pathway at the myosin II head domain

is shown. P indicates phosphorylation. The cortical NMIIB and active RhoA at the cell cortex of mitotic

cells before and after DMSO or 20 μM Y-27632 treatments are shown. (B) Quantification of the

changes of NMIIB and active RhoA intensities at the cell cortex before and after DMSO or 20 μM Y-

27632 treatments. The fold change is a ratio of the mean intensity after treatments to the mean

intensity before treatment. NMIIB (DMSO), 26 cells; NMIIB (Y-27632), 47 cells; active RhoA (DMSO),

30 cells; active RhoA (Y-27632), 55 cells. (C) Measurement of the mitotic cell surface tension by

MPA in cells treated with DMSO or 20 μM Y-27632. DMSO, 59 cells; Y-27632, 59 cells. (D) The

cortical NMIIB and active RhoA at the cell cortex of mitotic cells before and after DMSO or 20 μM Y-

27632 treatments with compression are shown. (E) Quantification of the changes of NMIIB and

active RhoA intensities at the cell cortex before and after treatments of DMSO or 20 μM Y-27632

with compression. The fold change is a ratio of the mean intensity after treatments and compression

to the mean intensity before treatment and compression. NMIIB (DMSO + compression), 25 cells;

NMIIB (Y-27632 + compression), 25 cells; active RhoA (DMSO + compression), 24 cells; active

RhoA (DMSO + compression), 21 cells. (F) Intensity changes for NMIIB and active RhoA at the cell

cortex in mitotic cells treated with DMSO or 20 μM Y-27632. Individual changes are shown. The fold

change in 3 E was calculated based on the individual fold change of the intensity in 3 F. (G)

Quantification of the cell height before and after treatment of DMSO or 20 μM Y-27632 with

compression. 25 cells in each treatment and compression were counted for their cell heights.

Data represent means ± SD. Scale bar, 5 μm.

Figure 4. Perturbation of the NMIIB tail domain regulation affects maintenance of the mitotic cell

surface tension but less on the NMIIB mechanoresponse. (A) A schematic representation of the

phosphoregulation of the NMIIB tail domain in controlling the myosin II filament assembly. (B)

Western blotting shows the cytoskeletal fractions and soluble fractions of NMIIB and actin in

MCF10A cells expressing different phosphomutants in the absence of endogenous NMIIB. KD,

knockdown. (C) Quantification of the cytoskeletal fractions of NMIIB wild type or phosphomutants

from the western blotting. (D) Measurement of the mitotic cell surface tension by MPA in cells

expressing NMIIB (WT), NMIIB (S1935A), or NMIIB (S1935D). NMIIB (WT), 59 cells; NMIIB

(S1935A), 40 cells; NMIIB(S1935D), 40 cells. (E) Quantification of the changes of NMIIB (WT),

NMIIB (S1935A), and NMIIB (S1935D) at the cell cortex before and after compression. The fold

change is a ratio of the mean intensity after compression to the mean intensity before compression.

The dotted indicates ratio of 1 in which a ratio higher than 1 means a positive mechanoresponse.

NMIIB (WT), 30 cells; NMIIB (S1935A), 28 cells; NMIIB (S1935D), 28 cells. (F) Quantification of the

cell height in cells expressing NMIIB (WT), NMIIB (S1935A), or NMIIB (S1935D) before and after

compression. NMIIB (WT), 30 cells; NMIIB (S1935A), 21 cells, NMIIB (S1935D), 26 cells.

Data represent means ± SD.

Figure S1. Establishment of a MCF10A cell line stably expressing mEmerald-NMIIB and mRuby2-

AHPH (active RhoA). (A) Micrographs of a representative cell showing mEmerald-NMIIB and active

RhoA localization during mitosis and cytokinesis. (B) Effect of C3 exoenzyme treatment on the

cortical NMIIB and active RhoA in a mitotic cell is shown. The changes of NMIIB and active RhoA

intensities at the mitotic cell cortex before and after 10 μg/ml C3 exoenyzme treatments were

quantified. The fold change is a ratio of the mean intensity after 10 μg/ml C3 exoenzyme treatment

to the mean intensity before treatment. The control group was added with media as C3 exoenzyme

was dissolved in water. NMIIB (control), 22 cells; NMIIB (C3 exoenzyme), 37 cells; active RhoA

(control), 35 cells; active RhoA (C3 exoenzyme), 36 cells.

Data represent means $\pm$ SD. Scale bar, 5 μm.

Figure S2. Increased accumulation of NMIIB and active RhoA at the mitotic cell cortex in the same

individual cells. Fold changes of NMIIB and active RhoA at the mitotic cell cortex after compression

in individual cells were plotted.

Figure S3. Identification of gRNA sequences that could knockdown NMIIB expression by CRISPRi.

(A) Western blotting shows the knockdown efficiency of endogenous NMIIB by CRISPRi using 3

different guiding RNAs (gRNAs). Highlighted gRNA 3 was used in the study to knock down NMIIB.

(B) Relative expression of endogenous NMIIB in MCF10A cells, MCF10A cells expressing wild type

NMIIB or phosphomutants as determined by qPCR.

Data represent means $\pm$ SD.

Figure 1

(A)

mEmerald-NMIIB

(B)

(C)

(D) Recovery time / Immobile fraction

(E) Mitotic cell surface tension

(F)

(G)

mRuby2-AHPH (active RhoA) DNA

Figure 2

Figure 3

(A)

RhoGEF
|
RhoA
|
ROCK
(Y-27632)
|
Actomyosin

DMSO
Before | After

Y-27632
Before | After

mEmerald-NMIIB

mRuby2-AHPH (Active RhoA)

(B)

NMIIB

Fold change (after treatment / before)

DMSO | Y-27632

$p < 0.0001$

Active RhoA

Fold change (after treatment / before)

DMSO | Y-27632

ns

(C) Mitotic cell surface tension

pN/μm

DMSO | Y-27632

$p < 0.0001$

(D)

DMSO
Before compression | After compression

Y-27632
Before compression | After compression

mEmerald-NMIIB   mRuby2-AHPH (Active RhoA)

(E) Fold change
(after compression and Y-27632 treatment / before)

NMIIB

$p < 0.0001$

DMSO | Y-27632

Active RhoA

ns

DMSO | Y-27632

(F)

DMSO $p < 0.0001$
Y-27632 $p < 0.0001$
DMSO $p < 0.0007$
Y-27632 $p < 0.0001$

Before After   Before After   Before After   Before After

Cortical NMIIB
intensity (A.U.)

Active RhoA
intensity (A.U.)

(G)

Cell height (μm)

Before | After DMSO | Before | After Y-27632

23.44
±
2.27 μm

13.62
±
0.83 μm

21.38
±
2.14 μm

11.08
±
1.22 μm

$p < 0.0001$

Figure 4

(A) Phospho-regulation of myosin II tail

(B) Cytoskeletal fraction / Soluble fraction

A: MCF10A
B: MCF10A + NMIIB KD

C: MCF10A + NMIIB KD + mEmerald-NMIIB WT
D: MCF10A + NMIIB KD + mEmerald-NMIIB S1935A
E: MCF10A + NMIIB KD + mEmerald-NMIIB S1935D

* Endogenous NMIIB

(C) Cytoskeletal fraction
$p = 0.6857$
$p = 0.0286$

(D) Mitotic cell surface tension
$p = 0.0362$
$p < 0.0001$

(E) Fold change (after compression / before)

(F) Cell height (μm)

| 22.20 ± 1.95 μm | 12.87 ± 1.02 μm | 23.00 ± 1.66 μm | 15.15 ± 1.75 μm | 21.52 ± 1.73 μm | 12.61 ± 1.23 μm |

$p < 0.0001$
ns

Figure S1

(A)

mEmerald-NMIIB

mRuby2-AHPH
(active RhoA)

(B)

mEmerald-NMIIB
mRuby2-AHPH (Active RhoA)

Figure S2

13/17 cells showed at at least 1.2 fold increase of Myosin IIB and active RhoA after compression

Figure S3

(A)

CRISPRi guide RNA targeting NMIIB

	Control	gRNA 1	gRNA 2	gRNA 3	
250—					NMIIB
40—					GAPDH

gRNA 1: 5' GTGCTAAAGGAGCCCGGCGG 3'
gRNA 2: 5' GCTGGATCTGTGGTCGCGGC 3'
gRNA 3: 5' GGACTGAGGCGCTGGATCTG 3'

(B)

qPCR of *endogenous* NMIIB