Title

Population genomics of *Cryptosporidium hominis* across five continents identifies two

subspecies that have diverged and recombined during 500 years of evolution

Author names

Swapnil Tichkule[1,2,3*], Simone M. Cacciò[4*], Guy Robinson[5,6], Rachel M. Chalmers[5,6], Ivo

Mueller[1,3], Samantha J. Emery-Corbin[1], Daniel Eibach[7,8], Kevin M. Tyler[9,10], Cock van

Oosterhout[11*] and Aaron R. Jex[1,12*]

Affiliation

[1]Population Health and Immunity, Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research,

Melbourne, VIC, Australia;

[2]Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Sciences, University of Melbourne, Melbourne,

VIC, Australia;

[3]Department of Medical Biology, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia;

[4]Department of Infectious Disease, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Viale Regina Elena 299, 00161,

Rome, Italy;

[5]Cryptosporidium Reference Unit, Public Health Wales Microbiology, Singleton Hospital,

Swansea, UK;

[6]Swansea University Medical School, Swansea, UK;

[7]Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, Bernhard Nocht Institute for Tropical

Medicine Hamburg, Bernhard-Nocht-Strasse 74, 20359 Hamburg, Germany;

[8]German Center for Infection Research (DZIF), Hamburg-Lübeck-Borstel-Riems, Germany;

[9]Biomedical Research Centre, Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich

Research Park, Norwich, UK;

[10]Center of Excellence for Bionanoscience Research, King Abdul Aziz University, Jeddah,

Saudi Arabia

[11]School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park,

Norwich, UK;

[12]Faculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC,

Australia

E-mail addresses:

Swapnil Tichkule: tichkule.s@wehi.edu.au

Simone M. Cacciò: simone.caccio@iss.it

Guy Robinson: Guy.Robinson@wales.nhs.uk

Rachel M. Chalmers: Rachel.Chalmers@wales.nhs.uk

Ivo Mueller: mueller@wehi.edu.au

Samantha J. Emery-Corbin: emery.s@wehi.edu.au

Daniel Eibach: eibach@bnitm.de

Kevin Tyler: k.tyler@uea.ac.uk

Cock van Oosterhout: c.van-oosterhout@uea.ac.uk

Aaron Jex: jex.a@wehi.edu.au

* Corresponding authors:

E-mail: tichkule.s@wehi.edu.au (ST)

E-mail: c.van-oosterhout@uea.ac.uk (CVO)

E-mail: jex.a@wehi.edu.au (ARJ)

E-mail: simone.caccio@iss.it (SMC)

Keywords: *Cryptosporidium hominis*; speciation; whole genome sequencing; comparative

genomics; population structure; population genetics; recombination; genetic introgression;

gene flow; secondary contact; selective sweep; evolution.

Repositories

All raw and processed sequencing data generated and analysed during the current study have

been   submitted   to   the   NCBI   Sequence   Read   Archive

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/), under the BioProject PRJEB15112 and

PRJNA607931.

Abstract

Cryptosporidium is a significant public health problem and one of the primary causes of diarrhoea in humans, particularly in very young children living in low- and middle-income countries. While the zoonotic *Cryptosporidium parvum* and anthroponotic *C. hominis* species collectively account for most cases globally, the latter is predominant in low- and middle-income countries. Here, we present a comprehensive whole genome study of *C. hominis,* comprising 114 isolates from 16 countries within five continents. We detect two highly diverged lineages with a distinct biology and demography that have diverged circa 500 years ago. We consider these lineages as two subspecies, and provisionally propose the names *C. hominis hominis* (clade 1) and *C. hominis aquapotentis* (clade 2 or *gp60* subtype IbA10G2). *C. h. hominis* is mostly found in low-income countries in Africa and Asia, and it appears to have recently undergone population contraction. In marked contrast, *C. h. aquapotentis* was found in high-income countries, mainly in Europe, North America and Oceania, and we reveal a signature of population expansion. Moreover, we detected genomic regions of introgression representing gene flow after a secondary contact between the subspecies from low- and high-income countries. We demonstrate that this gene flow resulted in genomic island of high diversity and divergence, and that this diversity at potential virulence genes is maintained by balancing selection, suggesting that they are involved in a coevolutionary arms race.

Introduction

Cryptosporidiosis is one of the leading causes of death due to diarrhoea in children under five globally[1,2], resulting in an estimated 48,000 deaths annually. It is second only to malaria as a parasite contributing to global health burdens, with an overall global disease burden of ~12.8 million Disability Adjusted Life-Years (DALYs)[1]. In humans, cryptosporidiosis is primarily

caused by both *Cryptosporidium parvum*, which is zoonotic and a common parasite in young

ruminants[3,4], and *C. hominis*, which is likely exclusively anthroponotic and, the more prevalent

of the two species globally[5]. The disease burden caused by these parasites is overwhelmingly

skewed to low and middle-income countries, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa[1]. However,

Cryptosporidium parasites are ubiquitous and often associated with large waterborne outbreaks

through water treatment paucity or failures in swimming pools and municipal water supplies[6,7].

Presently, there are no vaccines or widely available, effective drugs to treat the infection.

Hence, control is largely based on prevention of infection, which is underpinned by a strong

understanding of the parasite's epidemiology and transmission.

Molecular typing has remained the primary method to study the global epidemiology

of these parasites, at both a single locus (particularly the highly polymorphic *gp60* gene) or

multiple loci (including simple sequence repeats)[8]. This accumulated molecular data has

demonstrated significant genetic variability within each species and indicated a complex

population genetic structuring. In *C. parvum*, the population structure varies globally from

clonal, to epidemic, to panmictic, likely as a result of varying ecologic factors[9-11]. Importantly,

specific variants found exclusively with human infections credit the existence of an

anthroponotic *C. parvum* lineage (IIc)[12,13], with a recent genome wide study providing strong

evidence for two subspecies, the zoonotic *C. parvum parvum* and the anthroponotic *C. parvum*

anthroponosum[14] . Interestingly, this study also found that both subspecies occasionally still

hybridise and exchange genetic variation. These exchanges overlapped with similar genomic

regions undergoing genetic introgression between *C. hominis* and *C. parvum anthroponosum*,

indicating candidate sites underpinning adaptation to human-specific infection[14].

Most molecular studies of *C. hominis* suggest this species is characterised by a largely

clonal population structure, with dominance of specific variants in given areas[15]. In particular,

the IbA10G2 variant (or subtype) identified from *gp60* sequence appears to be dominant in

high-income countries, where it has been associated with large waterborne outbreaks (drinking water and swimming pools) and person-to-person transmission, although it is also found in low- and middle-income countries[16]. Intermixing of genetic material (i.e., recombination) within *C. hominis* appears to be common. A population genetic study of *C. hominis* isolates from Peru suggested that recombination in a region of chromosome 6 encompassing the *gp60* gene may have driven emergence of the IbA10G2 subtype[17]. Relatedly, a recent genomic study of *C. hominis* infecting children in Bangladesh indicated that the high transmission rate and likelihood of mixed infections may be driving higher recombination levels within this species[18]. Moreover, a study in Africa also highlighted evidence of geographically isolated lineages with limited population admixture, which were also likely driven by the exchange of genetic information through recombination[19].

To better understand the epidemiology and evolution of *C. hominis*, we aim to address three questions: (1) are the two subtypes identified by *gp60* genotyping (i.e., IbA10G2, and "not-IbA10G2" subtypes) significantly diverged across their entire genome, (2) do they represent reproductively isolated gene pools that no longer exchange genetic information through recombination, and (3) do they possess genes that are significantly diverged as the result of positive or balancing selection? Population genetic analysis of whole genome sequence data from samples collected across five continents enables us to address these questions. The insights gained from these analyses are particularly relevant for public health; the IbA10G2 subtype has already been identified as an emerging host-adapted, virulent, and hyper-transmissible population, making it a threat to human health in high-income countries[17,20,21]. We therefore performed a global study of 114 *C. hominis* genomes, comparing the IbA10G2 subtype to published genomes representing locally acquired infections from five continents. We show that although both subtypes (i.e., IbA10G2, and "not-IbA10G2") are significantly diverged, they continue to exchange a small amount of genetic information

through recombination. The genetically introgressed regions comprise genomic islands with drug targets, and the diversity at these potential virulence genes is maintained by balancing selection. Furthermore, the subtypes are estimated to have diverged circa 500 years ago, and the demographic histories of both parasite subtypes are markedly different. We hypothesise that due to the improved water quality and sanitation in high-income countries, the selection pressure on transmission of subtype IbA10G2 has shifted, and that this subtype evolved improved transmissibility through direct human-to-human contact. Given the significant genomic divergence and partial reproductive isolation, and the fact that the transmission of this subtype no longer is strictly dependent on foul water, we propose to name the IbA10G2 subtype *Cryptosporidium hominis aquapotentis* (strong water). Furthermore, we propose to rename the subtype most commonly found in low-income countries in Africa and Asia *Cryptosporidium hominis hominis*.

Results

Genomic evidence of population sub-structuring at the continental level

We first tested for the presence of mixed populations in the whole genome sequence data of each of the 114 *C. hominis* isolates but found no evidence for mixed infections (estimated MOI=1). We then identified 5,618 biallelic SNPs which were used to determine the population structure of *C. hominis*. A Principle Component Analysis (PCA) identified three major clusters (Fig 1A). The first component (PC1; 72.3% of the population variation) separated European, North American and Oceania from Asian and African samples, and illustrated that most isolates clustered geographically (107 out of 114, ~94%). The second component (4.6% of the population variation) separated African from Asian samples. Some samples from North America (n=2), Europe (n=4) and Africa (n=1) did not cluster geographically, however, at least some of these represent returned travellers whose infection

locations were outside their sampling location. For instance, two European samples, UKH30

(from UK) and Sweden_SRR3098109 (from Sweden) reported international travel before

sampling to Egypt and Denmark, respectively.

The geographical structuring was also evident in a STRUCTURE graph (Fig 1B). 110

C. hominis isolates are comprised from the three commonly shared population ancestry

(clusters 1-3 in Fig 1B), while four samples (three from Africa and one from Europe)

possessed a fourth, unique population ancestry (cluster 4 in Fig 1B). Among these four, only

one European isolate (UK_UKH4) was assigned to four different populations.

Genomic evidence of population diversification

To further support the genetic relationship between *C. hominis* isolates and determine global

population structure we generated a Maximum Likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1C).

We identified two major clades, one corresponding to low-income countries from Asia and

Africa (Clade 1), and the other to high-income countries from Europe, North America and

Oceania (Clade 2). Clade 2 represents subtype IbA10G2. The divergence between the two

clades was estimated to be 488 [84 – 2,199] years ago. The diversification within the global

C. hominis population was also supported by a network analysis (Fig 1D) and a consensus

tree (Fig 1E) generated by SplitsTree and DensiTree, respectively.

Demographic histories and selective sweep in Europe

To understand the demographic histories and estimate the change in the effective population

size (*Ne*) through time, we constructed a Bayesian Skyline Plot (BSP) [22] for both clades

identified in Figure 1. Fig. 2A shows that the parasite isolates from low-income countries

(clade 1) experienced a marked population contraction recently from Ne=~5000 to Ne=~100,

which was supported by a higher proportion of positive Tajima's D values (Fig 2B). In

contrast, the isolates from high-income countries (clade 2, *gp60* subtype IbA10G2) were

characterised by more stable effective population size (Ne=~1000). Furthermore, clade 2

(*gp60* subtype IbA10G2) had a higher proportion of negative Tajima's D values (Fig 2C),

and the distribution of Tajima's D was significantly smaller than zero (One Sample t-test: t =

-28.883, df = 681, p-value < 2.2e-16), which is consistent with recent population expansion.

Linkage, recombination, introgression and gene flow between continents

Decay of linkage due to genetic exchanges

To infer the rate of recombination within parasite populations from low- and high-income

countries, we performed independent linkage analysis for the two clades. Fig 3A indicated a

more rapid decay of linkage disequilibrium (LD) in clade 1 compared to clade 2 (*gp60*

subtype IbA10G2). This high decay of LD was consistent with genetic exchanges between

isolates within low-income countries resulting in genetic introgression. In contrast, the strong

LD in clade 2 (*gp60* subtype IbA10G2) supports our hypothesis of a recent population

expansion.

Recombination and regions of secondary contact

We identified four significant recombination events using the RDP4 software involving

genetic exchange between the two clades. These events occurred in chromosome 1 (event 1)

and 2 (event 2), with a further two events in chromosome 6 (event 3-4) (Fig 3B and

Supplementary Table 2). This indicated a potential secondary contact between geographically

isolated populations from clade 1 and clade 2 (*gp60* subtype IbA10G2), which resulted in

rare genetic exchanges between these otherwise diverged clades. Further, we detected

windows of selective sweeps upstream of event 3 and event 4 in chromosome 6 in clade 1.

These windows were further supported by regions with low π and Tajima's D (Fig 4).

Theoretically, this may have contributed to the recent decline in effective population size of

clade 1; and genetic variation would have become lost during the selective sweep as the

selectively favoured variant replaced other existing variants.

We undertook additional analyses of the highly admixed European isolate

(UK_UKH4 of *gp60* subtype IaA14R3) that showed unique ancestry (Fig 1B) and clustered

with low-income countries (clade 1). RDP4 identified four recombination events which

involved this admixed isolate in chromosomes 3, 4, 5 and 8 (Supplementary Fig 1 and

Supplementary Table 3). Moreover, a higher number of population clusters was determined

in these chromosomes by the Evanno method (Supplementary Fig 1), where the admixed

isolate had shared ancestry with these clusters (Supplementary Fig 2 & 3). The unique

genomic evolution of this isolate is further evident in a SplitTree graph, which showed the

presence of loops between clade 1 and clade 2 (*gp60* subtype IbA10G2), and in a

HybridCheck analysis, which revealed the signature of hybridisation which suggested

emergence of novel genotypes (Supplementary Fig 2 & 3).

Signature of introgression and gene flow between the clades

To better understand the implications of genetic introgression in gene flow between the two

clades, we analysed recombination events in more detail. More specifically, determining the

signature of genetic introgression is crucial as these regions can also be responsible for

increasing genetic diversity and providing novel substrate for natural selection that plays an

important role in parasites evolution. We employed HybridCheck program [23] to perform

introgression analyses for recombinant events 2 – 4 (event 1 was excluded due to missing

parental sequence). We randomly selected a triplet (recombinant, minor parent and major

parental sequences) from the RDP output (Supplementary Table 2), which revealed a clear

signature of introgression (Fig 3 C-D), which was supported by an ABBA-BABA test (Fig

3E). Additionally, we calculated the pairwise r^2 between SNPs within chromosomes of clade

1 to determine linkage of SNPs in the introgressed regions (Fig 3F-G). Large blocks of high

LD that encompass the introgressed regions suggested that this region had been exchanged as

a single complex between clade 1 and clade 2 (*gp60* subtype IbA10G2).

Population genetic and divergence analyses of introgressed regions

We investigated whether the genetic introgression affected the genetic diversity and

divergence within and between clades. Hence, we calculated nucleotide diversity (π) and

divergence (Dxy) for each gene, within and between the two clades, respectively (Fig 5A).

Interestingly, we observed a pattern of high diversity and divergence particularly for genes

within the recombinant blocks (Fig 3B-E).

Next, we identified genomic islands of increased diversity and divergence and

investigated whether these islands were associated with genetic introgression. First, we

identified the 1,645 polymorphic genes between the clades (Dxy > 0) and selected the top 1%

genes that were highly diverged between clade 1 and clade 2 (*gp60* subtype IbA10G2)

(Supplementary Table 4). These top 38 genes were the most polymorphic (mean π = 0.0043)

compared to all remaining polymorphic genes (mean π = 0.00036) (t-test: t = -3.8055, df =

37, p-value = 0.0005145). Moreover, we observed that these genes were under strong

balancing selection (mean Tajima's D = 2.61), compared to the other polymorphic genes

(mean Tajima's D = 1.47) (t-test: t = -6.8032, df = 37, p-value = 4.35e-08).

We then explored whether the 38 divergent genes encode proteins with biological

properties of potential relevance for host-parasite interaction. We observed that these genes

were significantly enriched for extracellular encoding proteins (Chi-sq = 80.198, df = 1, p-

value = 0.0005), signal peptide containing proteins (Chi-sq = 10.942, df = 1, p-value =

0.0009) and transmembrane encoding proteins (Chi-sq = 4.6163, df = 1, p-value = 0.03167),

where 53%, 42%, 37% of the top 38 genes were extracellular, signal peptide and

transmembrane containing proteins, compared to 9%, 19%, 21% of other 1,645 polymorphic

genes, respectively. Secondly, 38 most divergent genes were enriched in recombinant

regions (Chi-sq = 287.08, df = 1, p-value = 0.00049), with 37% present in the introgressed

regions (Supplementary Table 4), compared to 0.9% of other 1,645 polymorphic genes.

Consistent with this observation, t-tests demonstrate these introgressed regions had

significantly elevated levels of nucleotide diversity (t = -3.0026, df = 27, p-value = 0.0057),

divergence (t = -3.0043, df = 27, p-value = 0.0057) and balancing selection (t = -3.0125, df =

27, p-value = 0.0055). Together, these results suggested that the genes undergoing frequent

recombination accumulated beneficial polymorphism that were maintained by balancing

selection, and that this increased population diversity.

Identification of potential virulence genes

Virulence genes that are involved in a coevolutionary arms race tend to be rapidly evolving.

Such genes are typically characterised by a relatively elevated rate of non-synonymous

substitutions, high intra-population variability, and/or high level of genetic divergence

between separate lineages. Hence, to identify potential virulence genes, we focussed on the

top 1% highly polymorphic genes, and we calculated the correlation between diversity (π)

and divergence (Dxy), and between π and Ka/Ks ratio for each gene (Fig 5B-C). We

identified three genes with high π, Dxy and nonsynonymous mutations encoding for mucins

(CHUDEA2_430, CHUDE2_440 and CHUDEA2_450) and a hypothetical protein

(CHUDEA6_5270) (Fig 5B-C, Supplementary Table 4). We detected episodic diversifying

selection (LRT p-value < 0.05) at codon potions 92, 111 and 138 in CHUDEA2_430 and at

codon positions 97 and 105 in CHUDEA6_5270 using the Mixed Effects Model of Evolution

(MEME) method implemented in Datamonkey [24]. These sites represent putative codons

harbouring genetic polymorphisms that experience periodicity of strong natural selection. In

other words, these are likely candidate virulence factors participating in a coevolutionary

arms race.

Discussion

In this study, we examined the evolutionary genomics of a human associated parasite, *C.*

hominis, studying whole genome sequence data from over a hundred *C. hominis* isolates from

16 low- and high-income countries across five continents. We thereby expand on earlier

studies that analysed the population genomics of *C. hominis* in Africa[19], and population

genetic studies that used selected genomic markers[25]. In particular, we examined the

evolution of two main subtype populations i.e., whole genome sequences with *gp60* subtype

IbA10G2, versus those that did not contain this particular *gp60* sequence ("not-IbA10G2").

We performed a population genomic and phylogenomic analysis to answer three questions:

(1) are both subtypes significantly diverged across their entire genome, (2) do they represent

reproductively isolated gene pools that no longer exchange genetic information through

recombination, and (3) do they possess genes that are significantly diverged as the result of

positive or balancing selection? We provide robust evidence for population diversification

between the two subtypes of *C. hominis* over circa 500 years of evolution. Intriguingly, we

revealed subtype-specific adaption and prevalence based on geographically assumed socio-

economic status of the infected host. We also identified genomic islands of divergence that

show the signatures of balancing selection. Based on our analyses, we propose to rename

these subtypes as subspecies to reflect their distinct evolutionary trajectory and biology.

Speciation is rarely discussed in human parasites despite the significance to human

health[26]. With improved, advanced analyses of whole genome data becoming more

accessible, we are now able to study the evolution and demography of parasites in much

detail, revealing integral differences between parasite populations that were initially

considered to represent a single species. Speciation plays a fundamental role in organismal

evolution, leading to the diversification of an organism and indeed contributes the Earth's

biodiversity [27]. Understanding the underlying mechanism of speciation is also equally

important, particularly in newly diversified species[28].

Phylogenetic and clustering-based analyses identified two lineages within *C. hominis*,

representing two spatiotemporally isolated clades. One clade (i.e., clade 1, the "non-

IbA10G2" subtype) comprises infections observed in low- to middle- income countries,

mostly from Africa and Asia, for which we propose to suffix a subspecies *C. hominis hominis*

referring to the fact that this subspecies is majority population. The second clade (clade 2, or

the IbA10G2 subtype) includes isolates from high-income countries, namely Europe, North

America and Oceania, and we suggest naming this subspecies *C. hominis aquapotentis*

(strong water), which highlights that this subspecies is mostly found in countries with

longstanding high sanitation and water quality indices. These two subspecies are estimated to

have been reproductively isolated for approximately 488 [84 – 2,199] years. The timing of

the divergence coincides with improvements to sanitation in Europe. Possibly, *C. h.*

aquapotentis has evolved specialisations suited for between-host transmission in higher-

income countries where sanitation has reduced the level of environmental transmission.

Indeed, epidemiological investigations in the UK identified direct person-to-person

transmission as a key pathway for *C. h. aquapotentis*[29]. Hence, we hypothesise this may have

resulted in its rapid population expansion and (partial) reproductive isolation. In contrast, *C.*

h. hominis experienced recent reduction in effective population size (*Ne*) consistent with

improvements to sanitation world-wide. We detected two strong signatures of a recent

selective sweep in this subspecies, and we propose that this may have eroded some of the

genetic variation, resulting in the marked drop in *Ne* = 5000 to *Ne* = 100 in the past 32 years.

Based on the significantly negative Tajima's D values, we infer that *C. h. aquapotentis* has

experienced a recent period of population expansion in the past ~500 years, providing

support to our hypothesis.

Despite increased migration and international travelling in the past decades, our PCA

and STRUCTURE analyses divided the global *C. hominis* isolates into three major

continental clusters (Fig. 1). This suggests that there is relatively little movement between

continents for this parasite, in contrast to that reported in *C. parvum.* Corsi *et. al.* has recently

showed a higher proportion of admixture and gene flow between *C. parvum* populations from

different geographic regions that homogenises the population structure (*in press*). Despite the

strong population sub-structuring in *C. hominis*, we did find evidence of potential

recombination between the geographic populations and subspecies. Furthermore, rapid decay

in LD and significant recombinant breakpoints between the populations provides evidence of

gene flow (Fig 3A-B). Simulation based approach had inferred 'recent geneflow' as the best

likelihood demographic model of *C. hominis* population, confirming secondary contact

between the two subspecies after recent globalisation, illustrating higher migration rate from

high-income to low-income countries (Fig 6). We further investigated and identified the

introgressed regions where we detected significant gene flow between the low- and high-

income countries (Fig 3C-E). This suggests that international travel can re-establish

connections between isolated *C. hominis* parasites, leading to secondary contact which

facilitates gene flow, recombination and population admixture. Potentially, this could cause

genetic introgression of adaptations evolved in *C. h. aquapotentis* to spread to *C. h. hominis*

in low-income countries, negating the progress made in sanitation in those countries with

respect to human health.

359   We have discovered genomic islands contributing to the population diversification,

and that these overlap with the introgressed regions (Fig 5A). Within the genomic islands, we

identified several genes that may confer a selective advantage displaying an elevated level of

genetic diversity under balancing selection. These genes encode proteins displaying the

characteristics of virulence factors being significantly enriched for extracellular, signal

peptide and transmembrane domain-encoding proteins and thus potentially involved in the

host-parasite co-evolution. Although functional data remains scarce, some data is available

for the mucins and *gp60* (CHUDE6_1080)[30,31]; these data suggests that by modulating

infectivity by sporozoites and merozoites, the level and duration of oocyst production may be

affected, potentially moderating virulence and resulting in the emergence of the more

transmissible *C. h. aquapotentis* subtypes[8,32]. In particular, we show that the orthologs of

mucin encoding protein CHUDE2_430 and a hypothetical protein CHUDE6_5270 are

consistently identified as being under positive selection (Ka/Ks > 1) in the evolution of *C.*

hominis subspecies. These findings corroborate similar conclusions made in previous studies

on *C. hominis* in Africa[19], and between *C. parvum parvum* zoonotic vs *C. parvum*

anthroponosum subspecies[14]. Altogether, these data strongly suggest that these genes are

directly related to invasion and human-host adaptation, presenting potential targets for

diagnostics to discriminate the subspecies.

377   In conclusion, this work represents the first large scale population genomic study in

any *Cryptosporidium* species, inferring the global population structure and evolutionary

history of *C. hominis*. We propose that the two previously identified subtypes represent

subspecies with their own distinct demographic histories that have diverged circa 500 years

ago. Although the subspecies differ in their global distribution, their gene pools are not

completely isolated, and rare genetic exchanges have occurred in the recent past. This

illustrates how human mediated gene flow is involved in parasite evolution and genomic

architecture, and how it could affect virulence evolution. Also, it shows that the genomic

islands that result from population admixture in an anthroponotic species are under selection

and involved in evolutionary arms race.

388   Methods

389   Parasite isolates

The *C. hominis* isolates newly sequenced for this study (n = 34) were archived stool samples

collected at the *Cryptosporidium* Reference Unit in the UK. The species was determined by

species-specific real-time PCR targeting the A135 gene[33] and the subtype by PCR and

sequencing of the *gp60* gene[34]. Supplementary Table 1 provides information about these

isolates. Isolates were selected to mainly represent the dominant variant, IbA10G2, as defined

by *gp60* sequencing.

397   Processing of faecal samples for whole genome sequencing

Stool samples were processed as previously described[35]. Briefly, saturated salt-flotation was

used to obtain a partially purified suspension of oocysts starting from 1-2 ml of each faecal

sample. Oocysts were further purified from the suspension by immunomagnetic separation

(IMS), using the Isolate® IMS kit (TCS Biosciences, Botolph Claydon, UK). IMS-purified

oocysts were treated with bleach, and washed three times with nuclease-free water by

centrifugation at 1,100X g for 5 min. The pellets were suspended in 200 μL of nuclease-free

water for DNA extraction.

DNA preparation and whole genome sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from purified *Cryptosporidium* oocysts by first performing eight

cycles of freezing in liquid nitrogen for 1 min and thawing at 95°C for 1 min, and then using

the QIAamp DNA extraction kit (Qiagen, Manchester, UK) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. The genomic DNA was eluted in 50 μL nuclease-free water, and the concentration

measured using the Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit with the Qubit 1.0 fluorometer (Invitrogen,

Paisley, UK), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Whole genome amplification (WGA) was performed using the Repli-g Midi kit

(Qiagen, Milan, Italy), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 5 μL of genomic

DNA (containing 1-10 ng of DNA) were mixed with 5 μL of denaturing solution and incubated

at room temperature for 3 min. Next, 10 μL of stop solution were added to stabilize denatured

DNA fragments. The reaction mixture was completed with 29 μL of buffer and 1 μL of phi29

polymerase, and allowed to proceed for 16 hours at 30°C. The reaction was stopped by heating

at 63°C for 5 minutes. WGA products were visualized by electrophoresis on a 0.7% agarose

gel, purified and quantified by Qubit as described above.

For Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) experiments, about 1 μg of purified WGA

product was used to generate Illumina TruSeq 2x 150 bp paired-end libraries (average insert

size: 500 bp), which were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform (Illumina, SanDiego,

CA). Library preparation and NGS experiments were performed by a commercial company

(GATC, Germany).

Whole genome global dataset

To perform a global comparative genomics of *C. hominis*, we supplemented our newly

sequenced genome dataset by downloading all available published *C. hominis* genomes on till

date (25th July 2021), from the sequence read archive (SRA) of NCBI and from the EMBL's

European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) (see Supplementary Table 1). Collectively, these data

represented 114 genomes from 16 countries across five continents.

Data pre-processing and variant calling

Raw reads of the 114 *C. hominis* isolates were trimmed to remove adapter sequences and

filtered for low-quality bases using Trimmomatic v.0.36[36]. The filtered reads were aligned to

C. hominis UdeA01 reference genome[37,38] using the maximal exact matches (MEM) algorithm

implemented in Burrows-Wheeler Alignment (BWA) tool v.0.7[39] with default settings.

Sequence variants were called from the aligned reads of each isolate using the HaplotypeCaller

method in the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) v3.7.0[40] as per GATK's best practices

pipeline[41]. Called variants were removed if quality depth (QD) < 2.0, Fisher strand (FS) > 60.0,

mapping quality (MQ) < 40.0, mapping quality rank sum test (MQRankSum) < -12.5, read

position rank sum test (ReadPosRankSum) < -8.0, Strand odds ratio (SOR) > 4.0, QUAL < 30,

allele depth (AD) < 5, MAF < 0.05 or missingness > 0.5. Each of the 114 whole genomes

assessed here had > 80% coverage of the *C. hominis* reference genome to at least the 5-fold

depth. All identified variants were combined in one file and each isolate genotyped using the

GenotypeGVCFs tool (GATK v3.7.0) [40] and the variants were separated into SNPs (i.e.,

nucleotide substitutions) and INDELs.

Population genetic structure based on whole genome SNPs

The filtered SNPs were used for population structure, phylogenetic and clustering analyses.

Samples having multiple co-infections were identified using estMOI[42] and MOIMIX

(https://github.com/bahlolab/moimix). The R package SNPRelate v.1.18[43] was used for

principal-component analysis (PCA) analysis. A maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree was

constructed by IQ-TREE[44] with 1000 bootstraps and visualised in iTOL v3[45]; the sister

species *C. parvum* was used as an outgroup. We also constructed a consensus of 10^7 trees

using DensiTree 2[46] in BEAST v2[47]. BEAST v2[47] was also used to estimate the divergence

time between the populations by using 95% highest posterior density (HPD). A Neighbor-Net

algorithm based network was generated using SplitsTree5[48]. Genetic structure was analysed

by STRUCTURE v2.3 software[49] for population number (K) ranging 2 - 10 and plotted by

using plotSTR R package (https://github.com/DrewWham/Genetic-Structure-Tools). The

optimal population genetic cluster value K was estimated by using CLUMPAK[50].

Population demographic history and divergence time estimation

We used Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method implemented in Beast v2

program[47] to estimate the effective population size (*Ne*) of the *C. hominis* population. The

nucleotide substitution model of HKY was selected. A strict molecular clock model and a

Bayesian skyline coalescent model was used with 10^9 generations of MCMC chain and 10%

burn-ins. Tracer v.1.7[51] was used to assess chain convergence and effective sample size

[ESS] > 200 and to construct the demographic history over time; i.e. Bayesian Skyline Plot

(BSP).

Demographic histories and migration rates between the *C. hominis* populations were

estimated by using fastsimcoal2[52] by using mutation rate of 10^{-8} and a generation time of

48h[14]. We first inferred best parameters and the likelihoods for each of the demographic

models – no geneflow, ongoing geneflow, early geneflow, recent geneflow and different

geneflow, since the time of divergence (~500 years) by running 100 independent iterations

with 300,000 coalescent simulations and 60 optimization cycles. Demographic model with

the highest likelihood was then selected to run parameter estimation with block-bootstrapping

of 100 replicates.

Linkage, recombination and gene flow analyses

We inferred rate of decay of linkage disequilibrium (LD) by calculating the squared

correlation of the coefficient (r^2) between SNPs within 50kb using VCFtools[53]. LD blocks

were also determined by calculating pairwise r^2 between SNPs within chromosomes of each

population. Recombination events were identified using the Recombination Detection

Program version 4 (RDP4)[54] using the RDP[55], GENECONV[56], BootScan[57], MaxChi[58] and

Chimaera[59] methods. Events were considered significant if at least three methods predicted

their occurrence at a probability values, $p \leq 10^{-5}$. Recombination events with undetermined

parental sequences were excluded from further HybridCheck analyses. Statistically

significant recombination events were visualized and analyzed using HybridCheck[23] to

determine the sequence similarity between the isolates involved in the events. HybridCheck

program was also used to calculate the D statistic and estimate the gene flow between the

populations.

Population genetics analyses

Tajima's D, Nucleotide diversity (π), Dxy and Fst were calculated using the PopGenome R-

package[60]. Nonsynonymous (Ka) and synonymous (Ks) mutation rates were calculated by

using Ka/Ks_Calculator[61]. Protein localisation (extracellular) was predicted using WoLF

PSORT[62] and information regarding predicted protein targeting (signalling peptides) genes

were obtained from [37]. All results were plotted in R (version 3.6.1).

References

References

1. Khalil, I.A.*, et al.* Morbidity, mortality, and long-term consequences associated with
diarrhoea from Cryptosporidium infection in children younger than 5 years: a meta-
analyses study. *The Lancet. Global health* **6**, e758-e768 (2018).
2. Kotloff, K.L.*, et al.* Burden and aetiology of diarrhoeal disease in infants and young
children in developing countries (the Global Enteric Multicenter Study, GEMS): a
prospective, case-control study. *Lancet (London, England)* **382**, 209-222 (2013).
3. Ryan, U., Zahedi, A. & Paparini, A. Cryptosporidium in humans and animals—a one
health approach to prophylaxis. *Parasite immunology* **38**, 535-547 (2016).
4. Santin, M. Cryptosporidium and Giardia in Ruminants. *Vet Clin North Am Food Anim*
Pract **36**, 223-238 (2020).
5. Razakandrainibe, R.*, et al.* Common occurrence of Cryptosporidium hominis in
asymptomatic and symptomatic calves in France. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases* **12**,
e0006355 (2018).
6. Putignani, L. & Menichella, D. Global distribution, public health and clinical impact of
the protozoan pathogen cryptosporidium. *Interdisciplinary perspectives on infectious*
diseases **2010**(2010).
7. Craun, G.F., Hubbs, S.A., Frost, F., Calderon, R.L. & Via, S.H. Waterborne outbreaks of
cryptosporidiosis. *Journal AWWA* **90**, 81-91 (1998).
8. Feng, Y., Ryan, U.M. & Xiao, L. Genetic Diversity and Population Structure of
Cryptosporidium. *Trends in parasitology* (2018).
9. Herges, G.R.*, et al.* Evidence that Cryptosporidium parvum populations are panmictic
and unstructured in the Upper Midwest of the United States. *Applied and*
environmental microbiology **78**, 8096-8101 (2012).
10. Wang, R.*, et al.* Cryptosporidium parvum IId family: clonal population and dispersal
from Western Asia to other geographical regions. *Scientific reports* **4**, 4208 (2014).
11. Morrison, L.J.*, et al.* The population structure of the Cryptosporidium parvum
population in Scotland: a complex picture. *Infection, genetics and evolution : journal*
of molecular epidemiology and evolutionary genetics in infectious diseases **8**, 121-129
(2008).
12. King, P.*, et al.* Prevalence and epidemiology of human Cryptosporidium
parvum IIc infections in England and Wales. *The Lancet* **389**, S56 (2017).
13. King, P., Tyler, K.M. & Hunter, P.R. Anthroponotic transmission of Cryptosporidium
parvum predominates in countries with poorer sanitation: a systematic review and
meta-analysis. *Parasites & vectors* **12**, 16-16 (2019).
14. Nader, J.L.*, et al.* Evolutionary genomics of anthroponosis in Cryptosporidium. *Nature*
Microbiology (2019).
15. Yang, X., Guo, Y., Xiao, L. & Feng, Y. Molecular Epidemiology of Human
Cryptosporidiosis in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. *Clinical microbiology reviews*
34, e00087-00019 (2021).
16. Jex, A.R. & Gasser, R.B. Genetic richness and diversity in Cryptosporidium hominis and
C. parvum reveals major knowledge gaps and a need for the application of "next
generation" technologies--research review. *Biotechnology advances* **28**, 17-26 (2010).

17. Li, N.*, et al.* Genetic recombination and Cryptosporidium hominis virulent subtype
IbA10G2. *Emerging infectious diseases* **19**, 1573-1582 (2013).
18. Gilchrist, C.A.*, et al.* Genetic Diversity of Cryptosporidium hominis in a Bangladeshi
Community as Revealed by Whole-Genome Sequencing. *The Journal of infectious*
diseases **218**, 259-264 (2018).
19. Tichkule, S.*, et al.* Comparative genomics revealed adaptive admixture in
Cryptosporidium hominis in Africa. *Microb Genom* **7**(2021).
20. Cacciò, S.M. & Chalmers, R.M. Human cryptosporidiosis in Europe. *Clin Microbiol*
Infect **22**, 471-480 (2016).
21. Feng, Y., Tiao, N., Li, N., Hlavsa, M. & Xiao, L. Multilocus sequence typing of an
emerging Cryptosporidium hominis subtype in the United States. *Journal of clinical*
microbiology **52**, 524-530 (2014).
22. Drummond, A.J., Rambaut, A., Shapiro, B. & Pybus, O.G. Bayesian coalescent inference
of past population dynamics from molecular sequences. *Molecular biology and*
evolution **22**, 1185-1192 (2005).
23. Ward, B.J. & van Oosterhout, C. HYBRIDCHECK: software for the rapid detection,
visualization and dating of recombinant regions in genome sequence data. *Mol Ecol*
Resour **16**, 534-539 (2016).
24. Pond, S.L. & Frost, S.D. Datamonkey: rapid detection of selective pressure on
individual sites of codon alignments. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **21**, 2531-2533
(2005).
25. Widmer, G. & Sullivan, S. Genomics and population biology of Cryptosporidium
species. *Parasite immunology* **34**, 61-71 (2012).
26. Huyse, T., Poulin, R. & Théron, A. Speciation in parasites: a population genetics
approach. *Trends in parasitology* **21**, 469-475 (2005).
27. Wolf, J.B.W., Lindell, J. & Backström, N. Speciation genetics: current status and
evolving approaches. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **365**, 1717-1733 (2010).
28. Payseur, B.A. & Rieseberg, L.H. A genomic perspective on hybridization and speciation.
Molecular ecology **25**, 2337-2360 (2016).
29. McKerr, C.*, et al.* Cross-Sectional Household Transmission Study of Cryptosporidium
Shows that C. Hominis Infections are A Key Risk Factor for Spread. (2021).
30. O'Connor, R.M.*, et al.* Polymorphic mucin antigens CpMuc4 and CpMuc5 are integral
to Cryptosporidium parvum infection in vitro. *Eukaryot Cell* **8**, 461-469 (2009).
31. Cevallos, A.M.*, et al.* Mediation of Cryptosporidium parvum infection in vitro by
mucin-like glycoproteins defined by a neutralizing monoclonal antibody. *Infection and*
immunity **68**, 5167-5175 (2000).
32. Bouzid, M., Hunter, P.R., Chalmers, R.M. & Tyler, K.M. Cryptosporidium pathogenicity
and virulence. *Clinical microbiology reviews* **26**, 115-134 (2013).
33. Robinson, G., Elwin, K. & Chalmers, R.M. Cryptosporidium Diagnostic Assays:
Molecular Detection. *Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, N.J.)* **2052**, 11-22 (2020).
34. Chalmers, R.M., Robinson, G., Elwin, K. & Elson, R. Analysis of the Cryptosporidium
spp. and gp60 subtypes linked to human outbreaks of cryptosporidiosis in England and
Wales, 2009 to 2017. *Parasites & Vectors* **12**, 95 (2019).
35. Hadfield, S.J.*, et al.* Generation of whole genome sequences of new Cryptosporidium
hominis and Cryptosporidium parvum isolates directly from stool samples. *BMC*
genomics **16**, 650 (2015).

36.   Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **30**, 2114-2120 (2014).
37.   Heiges, M.*, et al.* CryptoDB: a Cryptosporidium bioinformatics resource update.
Nucleic acids research **34**, D419-422 (2006).
38.   Isaza, J.P.*, et al.* Revisiting the reference genomes of human pathogenic
Cryptosporidium species: reannotation of C. parvum Iowa and a new C. hominis
reference. *Scientific reports* **5**, 16324 (2015).
39.   Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
transform. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **25**, 1754-1760 (2009).
40.   McKenna, A.*, et al.* The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for
analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome research* **20**, 1297-1303
(2010).
41.   Van der Auwera, G.A.*, et al.* From FastQ data to high confidence variant calls: the
Genome Analysis Toolkit best practices pipeline. *Current protocols in bioinformatics*
43, 11.10.11-33 (2013).
42.   Assefa, S.A.*, et al.* estMOI: estimating multiplicity of infection using parasite deep
sequencing data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **30**, 1292-1294 (2014).
43.   Zheng, X.*, et al.* A high-performance computing toolset for relatedness and principal
component analysis of SNP data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **28**, 3326-3328
(2012).
44.   Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H.A., von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B.Q. IQ-TREE: A Fast and
Effective Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies.
Molecular biology and evolution **32**, 268-274 (2014).
45.   Letunic, I. & Bork, P. Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v3: an online tool for the display and
annotation of phylogenetic and other trees. *Nucleic acids research* **44**, W242-245
(2016).
46.   Bouckaert, R.R. & Heled, J. DensiTree 2: Seeing Trees Through the Forest. *bioRxiv*,
012401 (2014).
47.   Bouckaert, R.*, et al.* BEAST 2: A Software Platform for Bayesian Evolutionary Analysis.
PLOS Computational Biology **10**, e1003537 (2014).
48.   Huson, D.H. & Bryant, D. Application of phylogenetic networks in evolutionary studies.
Molecular biology and evolution **23**, 254-267 (2006).
49.   Pritchard, J.K., Stephens, M. & Donnelly, P. Inference of population structure using
multilocus genotype data. *Genetics* **155**, 945-959 (2000).
50.   Kopelman, N.M., Mayzel, J., Jakobsson, M., Rosenberg, N.A. & Mayrose, I. Clumpak: a
program for identifying clustering modes and packaging population structure
inferences across K. *Molecular ecology resources* **15**, 1179-1191 (2015).
51.   Rambaut, A., Drummond, A.J., Xie, D., Baele, G. & Suchard, M.A. Posterior
Summarization in Bayesian Phylogenetics Using Tracer 1.7. *Systematic biology* **67**,
901-904 (2018).
52.   Excofffier, L.*, et al.* fastsimcoal2: demographic inference under complex evolutionary
scenarios. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* (2021).
53.   Danecek, P.*, et al.* The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics (Oxford,*
England) **27**, 2156-2158 (2011).
54.   Martin, D.P., Murrell, B., Golden, M., Khoosal, A. & Muhire, B. RDP4: Detection and
analysis of recombination patterns in virus genomes. *Virus Evolution* **1**(2015).

55. Martin, D. & Rybicki, E. RDP: detection of recombination amongst aligned sequences.
Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) **16**, 562-563 (2000).
56. Sawyer, S. GENECONV: a computer package for the statistical detection of gene
conversion. *http://www. math. wustl. edu/~ sawyer* (1999).
57. Salminen, M.O., CARR, J.K., BURKE, D.S. & McCUTCHAN, F.E. Identification of
breakpoints in intergenotypic recombinants of HIV type 1 by bootscanning. *AIDS*
research and human retroviruses **11**, 1423-1425 (1995).
58. Smith, J.M. Analyzing the mosaic structure of genes. *Journal of molecular evolution* **34**,
126-129 (1992).
59. Posada, D. & Crandall, K.A. Evaluation of methods for detecting recombination from
DNA sequences: Computer simulations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
Sciences **98**, 13757 (2001).
60. Pfeifer, B., Wittelsbürger, U., Ramos-Onsins, S.E. & Lercher, M.J. PopGenome: an
efficient Swiss army knife for population genomic analyses in R. *Molecular biology and*
evolution **31**, 1929-1936 (2014).
61. Zhang, Z., *et al.* KaKs_Calculator: calculating Ka and Ks through model selection and
model averaging. *Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics* **4**, 259-263 (2006).
62. Horton, P., *et al.* WoLF PSORT: protein localization predictor. *Nucleic acids research*
35, W585-W587 (2007).
656

Acknowledgments

S.T. acknowledges the Australian Society for Parasitology (ASP) for a student conference

travel grant, and JD Smyth Postgraduate Travel Award for a Network Researcher Exchange,

Training and Travel Award. S.T. acknowledge the Walter and Eliza Hall Research Institute

(WEHI), Australia, for the top up scholarship. S.T. acknowledges Namrata Srivastava (Monash

University) for assisting with statistical data analysis.

Author contributions

A.R.J., S.M.C., C.V.O. and S.T. conceived the study. A.R.J., S.M.C., C.V.O. and S.T. designed

the analyses. S.M.C., R.M.C., G.R., D.E., and K.M.T. were involved in acquisition of data.

S.T. performed the bioinformatics associated evolutionary genetic and genomic analyses.

A.R.J., S.M.C., C.V.O. and S.T. wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the

submission of the manuscript for the publication.

Orchid ID

Swapnil Tichkule: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2940-9961

Cock van Oosterhout: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5653-738X

Simone M Cacciò: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8561-1323

Samantha J Emery-Corbin: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2984-0620

Kevin M. Tyler: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0647-8158

Competing interests

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Funding information

A. R. J. acknowledges financial support from the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (APP1126395) and Victorian State Government Operational Infrastructure Support and Australian Government National Health and Medical Research Council Independent Research Institute Infrastructure Support Scheme. K.M.T. was supported by funding from the Interreg 2 Seas programme 2014–2020 co-funded by the European Regional Development Fund under subsidy contract No. 2S05–043 H4DC. S.M.C acknowledges support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme, project "Collaborative management platform for detection and analyses of (re-) emerging and foodborne outbreaks in Europe" (COMPARE, www.compare-europe.eu), grant agreement No. 643476. S.T. was supported by Walter and Eliza Hall International PhD Scholarship and Melbourne Research Scholarship (MRS). C.V.O. was supported by the Earth and Life Systems Alliance (ELSA) of the Norwich Research Park (NRP).

Figures

Fig 1. Global population structure of *C. hominis* isolates illustrating their sub-structing and

diversification. **a**. PCA of isolates based on their whole genome SNPs, highlighting three

clusters of isolates which are predominately based on continents of origin. Isolates were color

coded with their continent of origin. Isolates associated with *gp60* subtype IbA10G2 were

represented with solid circles while non-IbA10G2 with solid triangles. **b.** Structure plot

illustrating population genetic ancestry and the admixed nature of the *C. hominis* isolates. The

plot was obtained assuming an optimum value of K=4. The black arrow (bottom) indicates the

highly admixed isolate (UK_UKH4), which includes all four ancestries. **c.** Phylogenetic

clustering demonstrating two clades. **d.** Splitstree and **e.** Densitree are also demonstrating two

major clades comprising isolates, which are separated based on low- and high-income countries

of origin, respectively. Clade 2 includes isolates associated with *gp60* subtype IbA10G2 while

clade 1 includes isolates associated with other *gp60* subtypes.

Fig 2. Demographic histories and population size of clade 1 and clade 2. **a**. Bayesian Skyline

plots (BSP) depicting change in *Ne* (effective population size) through time, for both the clades.

The central dark line and the upper and lower dashed lines on Y-axis are mean estimates and

95% HPD intervals of *Ne*, respectively. X-axis is time in years, running backwards. **b**. Boxplot

showing significant difference in Tajima's D values between clade 1 and clade 2.

Fig 3. Analyses of recombination and gene flow between clade 1 and clade 2. **a**. Linkage

disequilibrium (LD) decay plot showing rapid decay of linkage between SNPs in clade 1

compared to clade 2. **b**. Graphical representation of recombinant breakpoint positions detected

by RDP4 program between clade and clade 2. **c-d**. HybridCheck plots representing genomic

signature of introgression in chromosome 2 and 6, respectively. Analysis for chromosome 1

was excluded due to unknown parental sequences. The plots were generated for random set of

triplets that includes recombinant (hybrid), minor (donor) and major (recipient) parental

sequence, as detected by RDP4 program. Introgressed blocks (recombinant breakpoints) were

illustrated with dashed boxes, showing high similarity between the recombinant (clade 1 hybrid

isolates) and minor parent (clade 2 isolates). **e.** Gene flow analyses with ABBA-BABA test,

representing D statistics for the random sets of triplets (as used in C-D) along with *C. parvum*

as an outgroup. D statistic values close to -1 suggesting geneflow between H1 and H3. **f-g**.

Pairwise LD of SNPs in chromosomes 2 and 6 of clade 1 showing red-blocks of high linkage

between SNPs in introgressed events 2-4.

Fig 4. Signature of selective sweep in chromosome 6 of clade 1. Panels represent the CLR

values (top), low nucleotide diversity (middle) and negative Tajima's D values (bottom) in

the windows of selective sweeps.

Fig 5. Population genetic analyses of genomic islands. **a**. Population genetic and divergence

analyses of introgressed regions. X-axis represents genomic positions of eight chromosomes

highlighted with different colours. Population divergence (Dxy) between clade 1 and clade 2

for each gene were plotted on Y-axis (top panel). Nucleotide diversity (π) for clade 1 (middle

panel) and clade 2 (bottom panel) for each gene, were also plotted on Y-axis, respectively. The

breakpoints of four recombination events (event 1-4) were indicated by grey vertical boxes.

Event 1 was un-detected in clade 2. **b-c**. Identification of potential virulence genes. (Panel B)

Correlation between π and Dxy were plotted to identify polymorphic genes. (Panel C)

Correlation between π and Ka/Ks ratios were plotted to identify genes under selection.

Fig 6. Demographic history and secondary contact between clade1 and clade 2. **a**. Comparing

likelihood distributions of geneflow models. "Recent geneflow" model (in red) has the highest

likelihood (t-test: p-value < 2.2e-16). **b**. Graphical representation of demographic history of *C.*

hominis, illustrating recent secondary contact and migration rates between the two clades.