Title

Global population genomics of two subspecies of *Cryptosporidium hominis* during 500 years

of evolution

Author names

Swapnil Tichkule[1,2,3*], Simone M. Cacciò[4*], Guy Robinson[5,6], Rachel M. Chalmers[5,6], Ivo

Mueller[1,3], Samantha J. Emery-Corbin[1], Daniel Eibach[7,8], Kevin M. Tyler[9,10], Cock van

Oosterhout[11*] and Aaron R. Jex[1,12*]

Affiliation

[1]Population Health and Immunity, Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research,

Melbourne, VIC, Australia;

[2]Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Sciences, University of Melbourne, Melbourne,

VIC, Australia;

[3]Department of Medical Biology, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia;

[4]Department of Infectious Disease, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Viale Regina Elena 299, 00161,

Rome, Italy;

[5]Cryptosporidium Reference Unit, Public Health Wales Microbiology, Singleton Hospital,

Swansea, UK;

[6]Swansea University Medical School, Swansea, UK;

[7]Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, Bernhard Nocht Institute for Tropical

Medicine Hamburg, Bernhard-Nocht-Strasse 74, 20359 Hamburg, Germany;

[8]German Center for Infection Research (DZIF), Hamburg-Lübeck-Borstel-Riems, Germany;

[9]Biomedical Research Centre, Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich

Research Park, Norwich, UK;

[10]Center of Excellence for Bionanoscience Research, King Abdul Aziz University, Jeddah,

Saudi Arabia

[11]School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park,

Norwich, UK;

[12]Faculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC,

Australia

E-mail addresses:

Swapnil Tichkule: tichkule.s@wehi.edu.au

Simone M. Cacciò: simone.caccio@iss.it

Guy Robinson: Guy.Robinson@wales.nhs.uk

Rachel M. Chalmers: Rachel.Chalmers@wales.nhs.uk

Ivo Mueller: mueller@wehi.edu.au

Samantha J. Emery-Corbin: emery.s@wehi.edu.au

Daniel Eibach: eibach@bnitm.de

Kevin Tyler: k.tyler@uea.ac.uk

Cock van Oosterhout: c.van-oosterhout@uea.ac.uk

Aaron Jex: jex.a@wehi.edu.au

* Corresponding authors:

E-mail: tichkule.s@wehi.edu.au (ST)

E-mail: c.van-oosterhout@uea.ac.uk (CVO)

E-mail: jex.a@wehi.edu.au (ARJ)

E-mail: simone.caccio@iss.it (SMC)

Keywords: *Cryptosporidium hominis*; speciation; whole genome sequencing; comparative

genomics; population structure; population genetics; recombination; gene flow; secondary

contact; selective sweep; evolution.

Abstract

Cryptosporidiosis is a major global health problem and a primary cause of diarrhoea,

particularly in young children in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). The zoonotic

Cryptosporidium parvum and anthroponotic *C. hominis* cause most human infections. Here,

we present a comprehensive whole-genome study of *C. hominis,* comprising 114 isolates

from 16 countries within five continents. We detect two lineages with distinct biology and

demography, which diverged circa 500 years ago. We consider these lineages two subspecies

and propose the names *C. hominis hominis* and *C. hominis aquapotentis* (*gp60* subtype

IbA10G2). In our study, *C. h. hominis* is almost exclusively represented by isolates from

LMICs in Africa and Asia and appears to have undergone recent population contraction. In

contrast, *C. h. aquapotentis* was found in high-income countries, mainly in Europe, North

America and Oceania, and appears to be expanding. Notably, *C. h. aquapotentis* is associated

with high rates of direct human-to-human transmission, which may explain its success in

countries with well-developed environmental sanitation infrastructure. Intriguingly, we

detected genomic regions of introgression following secondary contact between the

subspecies. This resulted in high diversity and divergence in genomic islands of putative

virulence genes (GIPVs), including *muc5* (CHUDEA2_430) and a hypothetical protein

(CHUDEA6_5270). This diversity is maintained by balancing selection, suggesting a

coevolutionary arms race with the host. Lastly, we find that recent gene flow from *C. h.*

aquapotentis to *C. h. hominis*, likely associated with increased human migration, may be

driving evolution of more virulent *C. hominis* variants.

Main

Cryptosporidiosis is a leading cause of diarrhoea in children under five globally[1,2], resulting

in an estimated 48,000 deaths annually. Among parasitic diseases, it is second only to malaria

in global health burden, with an overall impact of ~12.8 million Disability Adjusted Life-

Years (DALYs)[1]. Human cryptosporidiosis is primarily caused by *Cryptosporidium parvum*,

a zoonoses common in young ruminants[3,4], and *C. hominis*, which is anthroponotic and the

more prevalent species globally[5]. The disease burden is overwhelmingly skewed to low and

middle-income countries (LMICs)[6], particularly in sub-Saharan Africa[1], where *C. hominis*

predominates. However, *Cryptosporidium* remains a significant public health problem in

wealthy countries through large water or foodborne outbreaks and direct transmission in day-

care facilities, hospitals and other institutions[7,8]. Presently, there are no vaccines or effective

drugs to treat the infection. Hence, control depends on prevention of infection, which is

driven by a strong understanding of the parasite's epidemiology.

The global epidemiology of cryptosporidiosis varies by geographic region,

socioeconomic status and a range of risk factors[6,9]. Understanding of this epidemiology is

underpinned by molecular typing, based mainly on the highly polymorphic *gp60* gene[10]. This

work has identified numerous genetic variants within each species and indicated a complex

population genetic structuring. In *C. parvum*, population structure varies globally from clonal

to epidemic to panmictic, likely due to varying ecologic factors[11-13]. Genetic variants found

exclusively in humans point to an anthroponotic *C. parvum* lineage (IIc)[14,15], with a recent

genomic study recognising two subspecies, the zoonotic *C. parvum parvum* and the

anthroponotic *C. parvum anthroponosum*[16]. Interestingly, this study found that both

subspecies occasionally still hybridise and exchange genetic variation. These exchanges

overlapped with similar genomic regions undergoing genetic introgression between *C.*

hominis and *C. parvum anthroponosum*, indicating candidate sites underpinning adaptation to

human-specific infection[16]. *Cryptosporidium hominis* appears to have a largely clonal

population structure, dominated by specific variants in different regions[17]. The IbA10G2

gp60 subtype, although found in LMICs[18], is the dominant variant in high-income countries

and accounts for up to ~45% of all *gp60*-typed human infections[18]. This subtype is linked to

most major waterborne outbreaks in wealthy countries for which genetic typing is available[19-

23]. The IbA10G2 subtype also appears more readily capable of direct human-to-human

transmission[24] and may cause more severe disease[25].

Studies of *C. hominis* molecular epidemiology pose several essential questions that

have major implications for global control of cryptosporidiosis. Specifically: (1) what is the

IbA10G2 subtype, and is this *gp60*-defined subtype reflective of a phylogenomically

divergent *C. hominis* lineage that predominates in wealthy countries; (2) if so, does this

lineage undertake reduced levels of genetic recombination with global *C. hominis*

populations; (3) and can signatures within the genomes IbA10G2 typed isolates identify its

taxonomic status, reveal the factors underpinning its increased virulence and its dominance in

wealthy countries, and identify its influence on global parasite population structure? To

address these questions, we performed a global study of 114 *C. hominis* genomes, comparing

the IbA10G2 subtype to published genomes representing locally acquired infections from 16

countries across five continents. The insights gained from these analyses are particularly

relevant for public health; the IbA10G2 subtype has already been identified as an emerging

host-adapted, virulent, and hyper-transmissible population, making it a threat to human health

in high-income countries[26-28].

Results

Genomic evidence of population sub-structuring at the continental level

All 114 *C. hominis* isolates included in this study were confirmed as single variant infections

(estimated MOI=1). We identified 5,618 biallelic SNPs among these samples and used these

to explore the population structure of *C. hominis*. Our analyses identified two major clusters

(Fig. 1a), separating European, North American and Oceanian samples from Asian and

African samples. We also saw minor clustering separating African from Asian samples.

STRUCTURE analysis provided further support for these findings (Fig. 1b). Overall, ~94%

of isolates clustered geographically. Exceptions included a small number of infections (e.g.,

UKH30 (from UK) and Sweden_SRR3098109 (from Sweden) acquired during international

travel. STRUCTURE analysis also identified a fourth cluster, including three African and one

European isolate, with unique population ancestry (cluster 4 in Fig. 1b).

Genomic evidence of population diversification

Maximum Likelihood (ML) analysis (Fig. 1c) identified two major clades, one corresponding

to Asia and Africa (clade 1) and the other to Europe, North America and Oceania (clade 2).

All isolates within clade 2 had *gp60* subtype IbA10G2, and no IbA10G2 isolates clustered

with clade 1. The two clades were estimated to have diverged 488 (84 – 2,199; 95% HPD)

years ago. This divergence is supported by a network (Fig. 1d) and SplitTree and DensiTree

(Fig. 1e) analyses. Considering the stability of these two genomically distinct lineages and

evidence below of their reproductive isolation, we propose their recognition as separate

subspecies. We propose clade 1, which comprises infections observed in low- to middle-

income countries, mostly from Africa and Asia, be recognised as *C. hominis hominis*,

referring to the fact that this subspecies represents the majority population. We propose clade

2 (IbA10G2 subtype) includes isolates from high-income countries, namely Europe, North

America and Oceania, be named *C. hominis aquapotentis* (strong water), as it predominates

in countries with longstanding high sanitation and water quality indices.

Demographic histories

To understand the demographic histories and estimate the change in the effective population

size (*Ne*) through time, we constructed a Bayesian Skyline Plot (BSP)[29] for *C. h. hominis* and

C. h. aquapotentis. Parasite isolates from low-income countries (*C. h. hominis*) experienced a

marked population contraction recently from *Ne*=~5000 to *Ne*=~100 (Fig. 2a), which is

supported by a higher proportion of positive Tajima's D values (Fig. 2b). In contrast, the

isolates from high-income countries (*C. h. aquapotentis*, *gp60* subtype IbA10G2) had a stable

effective population size (*Ne*=~1000) and a higher proportion of negative Tajima's D values

(Fig. 2c). The distribution of Tajima's D in *C. h. aquapotentis* is significantly smaller than

zero (one-sided t-test: $t = -28.883$, $df = 681$, p-value $< 2.2e-16$), which is consistent with

recent population expansion. Simulation-based projections of the evolution of the overall *C.*

hominis population finds it is being shaped by 'recent gene flow', likely indicating recent

secondary contact (Fig. 2c-d) between the two subspecies ~165 (24 – 647; 5-95% CI) years

ago.

We found evidence of two selective sweeps on chromosome 6 in *C. h. hominis* based

on composite likelihood ratio (CLR) statistic using SweeD[30] (Supplementary Fig. 1).

However, we do not see any other hallmarks of a selective sweep in these regions based on π

or Tajima's D (see Supplementary Fig. 2). Possibly, the selective sweeps were incomplete or

occurred in the distant past, eroding their genomic signatures. Nevertheless, this may have

contributed to the recent decline in effective population size of *C. h. hominis* (Fig. 2a);

genetic variation could have been lost during the selective sweep not only in the affected

chromosomal regions, but throughout the genome in this largely clonally reproducing

organisms, as the selectively favoured variant replaced other existing variants.

Linkage, recombination, introgression and gene flow between subspecies

Distinct patterns of decay of linkage disequilibria

We performed independent linkage analyses for each subspecies to infer the recombination

rate within parasite populations from low- and high-income countries (Fig. 3a).

Cryptosporidium h. hominis had more rapid linkage disequilibrium (LD) decay than *C. h.*

aquapotentis (IbA10G2), consistent with genetic exchanges through gene flow and

recombination. In contrast, the strong LD in *C. h. aquapotentis* (IbA10G2) supports our

hypothesis of a recent population expansion (see below).

Recombination and regions of secondary contact

Using RDP4[31], we identified significant recombination events between the two clades in

chromosome 1 (event 1), 2 (event 2) and 6 (event 3 and 4) (Fig; 3b and Supplementary Table

2). This indicated secondary contacts between *C. h. hominis* and *C. h. aquapotentis*

(IbA10G2), which resulted in rare genetic exchanges between these otherwise diverged

clades. We also undertook additional analyses of the highly admixed European isolate

(UK_UKH4 of *gp60* subtype IaA14R3) that showed unique ancestry (Fig 1B) and clustered

with low-income countries (*C. h. hominis*) (see Supplementary Text).

Signature of introgression and gene flow between the clades

We analysed the recombination events in more detail to better understand the implications of

genetic introgression between the two subspecies. Determining the signature of genetic

introgression is crucial as these regions can also be responsible for increasing genetic

diversity and providing novel substrate for natural selection in host-parasite coevolution[32].

We used HybridCheck[33] to perform introgression analyses for recombinant events 2 – 4

(event 1 was excluded due to a missing parental sequence). We randomly selected a triplet

(recombinant, minor parent and major parental lines) from the RDP output (Supplementary

Table 2), which revealed a clear signature of introgression (Fig. 3 c-d), also supported by an

ABBA-BABA test (Fig. 3e). Additionally, we calculated the pairwise r^2 between SNPs within

chromosomes of *C. h. hominis* to assess linkage among SNPs in the introgressed regions (Fig.

3f-g). Large blocks of high LD that encompass the introgressed regions suggested each had

been exchanged as a single event between *C. h. hominis* and *C. h. aquapotentis* (IbA10G2)

~165 (24 – 647; 5-95% CI) years ago.

Could recent introgression increase virulence?

Our analyses suggest *C. h. hominis* and *C. h. aquapotentis* have been diverged and largely

reproductively isolated for ~500 years. Noting that the latter subspecies has come to

dominate infections within high-income countries[34], is more virulent[25], appears better able to

transmit through direct human-to-human contact[24] and possibly at a lower infectious dose[22],

C. h. aquapotentis may owe its success to being better adapted to human infection. If this is

the case, it is possible that recent introgression between these two subspecies could select for

more virulent and transmissible *C. hominis* subspecies in low-income countries, particularly

noting the recent genetic bottlenecking we have observed in *C. h. hominis* within the last

decades.

Identification of potential virulence genes

To explore this, we first predicted candidate virulence genes in *C. hominis* likely to be

involved in the host-parasite interaction and engaged in a coevolutionary arms race with the

host. Broadly, such genes are under continuous adaptation and involved in reciprocal,

adaptive genetic changes while interacting with host[32]. During coevolution, evolutionary

forces act on virulent genes to create genetic variation through mutation, recombination and

gene flow and moulds genetic variation through selection. To identify putative virulence

genes in *C. hominis*, we selected the top 5% most highly polymorphic genes based on

nucleotide diversity (π). These were filtered for the top 25% of genes under balancing

selection, based ranked Tajima's D. Finally, these genes were filtered by selecting the top

50% of genes with the highest proportion of non-synonymous mutations, based on ranked

Ka/Ks ratio. Using this approach, we identified 24 highly polymorphic genes (Supplementary

Table 4) that are rapidly mutating at the protein level and under selective pressure. These

genes were significantly more polymorphic (two-sided t-test: t = -2.9062, df = 23, p-value =

0.007957), under stronger balancing selection (two-sided t-test: t = -10.393, df = 23, p-value

= 2.533e-10) and positive selection (two-sided t-test: t = -2.4736, df = 23, p-value = 0.021)

compared to all remaining polymorphic genes. Moreover, these genes are enriched in

recombination regions ($\chi2$= 225.04, df = 1, p-value = 0.00049), showing that gene flow

within *C. hominis* is inclined towards elevated levels of genetic variation. Overall, these

genes are enriched for extracellular ($\chi2$= 13.608, df = 1, p-value = 0.00349) and signal

peptide proteins ($\chi2$= 6.69, df = 1, p-value = 0.015), which is consistent with their potential

relevance for host-parasite interaction. Together, these results provided the evidence of

genomic islands of putative virulence genes (GIPVs: Fig. 4) that are likely to be in a

coevolutionary arms race with the host, undergoing frequent recombination and accumulating

beneficial polymorphisms that are under selection, and that this increased population

diversity.

Subspecific divergence of putative virulence genes

We investigated whether any virulence genes predicted above were highly diverged between

C. h. hominis and *C. h. aquapotentis* and under diversifying selection between the two

subspecies. To do this, we calculated absolute divergence (Dxy), representing the average

proportion of differences between all pairs of sequences between *C. h. hominis* and *C. h.*

aquapotentis (IbA10G2), revealing differential gene flow during their reproductive isolation

(Fig. 4a). We then calculated the correlation between diversity (π) and divergence (Dxy) for

each gene (Fig. 4b). This approach identified four clear outlier genes, which were the most

divergent, diverse, and rapidly mutating at the protein level. These genes encoded three

mucins (CHUDEA2_430, CHUDEA2_440 and CHUDEA2_450) arrayed in a cluster on

chromosome 2, and a hypothetical protein (CHUDEA6_5270) found on chromosome 6 (Fig.

4b, Supplementary Table 4). The *gp60* gene ranked 5th in the list of virulence genes but does

not sit as a clear outlier from the other genes identified in our assessment. Two of these

genes, CHUDEA2_430 (LRT, p-value = 0.005) and CHUDEA6_5270 (LRT, p-value =

0.017), are under statistically significant diversifying selection between *C. h. hominis* and *C.*

h. aquapotentis.

Lastly, we looked at each codon position within each of the four outlier genes to

detect codon specific diversifying selection, which might be overlooked in the overall gene.

We detected episodic diversifying selection (LRT p-value < 0.05) at codon positions 92, 111

and 138 of CHUDEA2_430 and 97 and 105 of CHUDEA6_5270 using the Mixed Effects

Model of Evolution (MEME) method implemented in Datamonkey[35]. These sites represent

putative codons harbouring genetic polymorphisms that experience periods of strong

diversifying selection. This strongly suggests CHUDEA2_430 and CHUDEA6_5270 are

likely candidate virulence factors participating in a coevolutionary arms race and contributing

to the divergence of *C. h. hominis* and *C. h. aquapotentis*.

Recent introgression of putative virulence genes

Finally, we asked whether the recent recombination events in chromosomes 2 and 6 between

C. h. hominis and *C. h. aquapotentis* might include genes associated with increased virulence,

indicating increasing virulence of *C. hominis* globally within the past decades, possibly

linked to increased human migration. These introgressed regions had significantly elevated

levels of nucleotide diversity (two-sided t-test: $t = -3.0026$, $df = 27$, p-value $= 0.0057$),

divergence (two-sided t-test $= -3.0043$, $df = 27$, p-value $= 0.0057$) and balancing selection

(two-sided t-test: $t = -3.0125$, $df = 27$, p-value $= 0.0055$). Interestingly, we observed a pattern

of high diversity and divergence (Fig. 4a), particularly for genes within the recombinant

blocks (Fig. 3b-e). The 38 (= top 1%) most divergent genes between *C. h. hominis* and *C. h.*

aquapotentis were enriched in recombinant regions ($\chi 2 = 287.08$, $df = 1$, p-value $= 0.00049$),

with 37% present in these regions (Supplementary Table 5), compared to 0.9% of the other

1,645 divergent genes. These results suggest the genes undergoing frequent recombination

accumulated beneficial polymorphisms that were maintained by balancing selection and that

this increased population diversity.

Notably, the introgressed regions between *C. h. hominis* and *C. h. aquapotentis*

included CHUDEA2_430 (*muc5*) for event 2 (chromosome 2), CHUDEA6_1080 (*gp60*) for

event 3 (chromosome 6) and CHUDEA6_5270 (a hypothetical protein) for event 4

(chromosome 6). The introgression at CHUDEA6_5270 is particularly intriguing as it sheds

further light on the evolution of *C. h. aquapotentis* and *C. h. hominis*, revealing how recent

recombination events could be driving the virulence evolution of *C. h. hominis*. We identified

two major CHUDEA6_5270 (hypothetical gene) haplotypes; Hap1 representing most *C. h.*

hominis isolates, and Hap2 representing all *C. h. aquapotentis* plus a small subset of *C. h.*

hominis (Fig. 5a), as well as many haplotypes associated only with *C. h. hominis*. No

mutations were observed in Hap2, which is consistent with *C. h. aquapotentis* having evolved

recently, being an estimated 392 years (29 – 1699 years; 5-95% CI) old, assuming a mutation

rate of $u=10^{-8}$ and 48h cell division time. Hap1 and Hap2 are diverged by 22 SNPs (Fig. 5b),

which is unlikely to represent standing genetic variation, given this is 3-fold higher than the

mean deviation among all other CHUDEA6_5270 haplotypes identified here. Instead, we

propose CHUDEA6_5270-Hap2 might be an introgressed variant from a highly diverged,

unsampled (sub)species that diverged from *C. hominis* around 2.36 million generations ago

(assuming a mutation rate of $u=10^{-8}$), which is equal to 12,742 (8,901 – 17,497 years; 5-95%

CI) years (assuming 48h/replication). The emergence of CHUDEA6_5270-Hap2 in some *C.*

h. hominis isolates (i.e., the red section of Hap2 in Fig. 6a) strongly implies that *C. h.*

aquapotentis has introgressed (circa 400 years ago) into *C. h. hominis* leading to evolution

under balancing selection (Fig. 5d).

We modelled the 3D protein structure of CHUDEA6_5270-Hap1 and Hap2, and

compared these to similarly predicted 3D protein structures for the orthologous genes from *C.*

parvum parvum and *C. parvum anthropanosum* (Fig. 5c). This modelling identified several

conserved alpha-helices that appear to form a coiled-coil domain. CHUDEA6_5270 from *C.*

h. aquapotentis encodes mutations near the C-terminal end, resulting in a notable kink that

deviates from the other structures. This structural variation overlaps with an increase in

Tajima's D values toward the C-terminus of the protein, suggesting the region is under

balancing selection (Fig. 5d).

A similar pattern is observed for CHUDEA2_430 (see Supplementary Fig. 6).

However, given that both the subspecies are interspersed in the haplotype network, indicating

against a specific directionality of introgression. This may indicate that CHUDEA_430

diverged with the divergence of the subspecies and continued to diversify. We were not able

to generate a robust 3D structural model for CHUDEA2_430 or its *C. parvum* orthologs. We

noted the gene encodes a large intrinsically disordered region (Supplementary Fig. 7) which

is a consistent feature of mucin proteins[36], whose structural confirmation is influenced by

post-translation glycosylation[37]. Noting this, we did identify eight novel glycosylation sites

in *C. h. aquapotentis* CHUDEA2_430 haplotypes not found in *C. h. hominis* (Supplementary

Fig 5). Whether these impact interaction with host proteins is not known, but this would be

consistent with glycosylated proteins in other pathogens[38].

Discussion

We examined the evolutionary genomics of a major human parasite, *C. hominis*, using 114

isolates from 16 countries across five continents. We identified two phylogenomic lineages

within *C. hominis* that appear largely reproductively isolated, and we propose that these

should be considered distinct subspecies. One *C. h. hominis* lineage comprises infections

observed in low- to middle-income countries, mostly from Africa and Asia, which we

propose be recognised as *C. hominis hominis*, referring to the fact that this subspecies

represents the majority population. The second lineage (IbA10G2 subtype) includes isolates

from high-income countries, namely Europe, North America and Oceania, which we suggest

being named *C. hominis aquapotentis* (strong water), as this subspecies is predominant in

countries with longstanding high sanitation and water quality indices.

These two subspecies are estimated to have been reproductively isolated for

approximately 488 (84 – 2,199) years, except for the more recent genetic exchange at four

genetic loci. The reproductive isolation coincides with improvements to sanitation in Europe.

It is possible that *C. h. aquapotentis* evolved specialisations making it better suited to human

infection allowing it to be more successful through direct transmission supported by a lower

infectious dose. Such adaptations would have allowed *C. h. aquapotentis* to become

dominant in higher-income countries where sanitation has reduced the level of environmental

transmission. Indeed, epidemiological investigations in the UK identified direct person-to-

person transmission as a key pathway for *C. h. aquapotentis*[24]. We hypothesise this may have

resulted in its rapid population expansion over the last ~500 years and (partial) reproductive

isolation. In contrast, *C. h. hominis* experienced recent reduction in effective population size

(*Ne*) within the past few decades. We detected two signatures of selective sweep in this

subspecies, and we propose that this may have eroded some of the genetic variation, resulting

in the marked drop in $Ne = 5000$ to $Ne = 100$ in the past.

Despite increased migration and international travelling in the past decades, our study

suggests that there has been relatively little movement between continents for this parasite.

This is in contrast to reports for *C. parvum*. Corsi *et. al.* recently found a higher proportion of

admixture and gene flow between *C. parvum* populations and no evidence of population

structuring by geographic region[39]. Despite the strong population sub-structuring in *C.*

hominis, we found evidence of potential recombination and gene flow between the

geographic populations and subspecies. We further investigated and identified the

introgressed regions where we detected significant gene flow between the low- and high-

income countries. Simulation-based analyses indicated this was most likely explained by

'recent geneflow' (circa 165 years ago). This would appear to be a secondary contact

between the two subspecies after recent globalisation, illustrating higher migration rate from

high-income to low-income countries, which facilitated gene flow, recombination, population

admixture and selective sweep.

Finally, we have discovered genomic islands of putative virulence genes (GIPVs)

contributing to population diversification between *C. h. aquapotentis* and *C. hominis hominis*.

These islands have experienced relatively elevated recombination rate which has enriched

nucleotide variation under balancing selection and the acquisition of non-synonymous SNPs,

consistent with virulence factors driving host-parasite interactions. Intriguingly, the most

significant signals within these analyses are driven by *gp60*, a hypothetical protein

(CHUDEA6_5270) and a cluster of mucin-like genes (CHUDEA2_430, CHUDEA2_440 and

CHUDEA2_450) found on chromosome 2. These genes are consistently identified as being

under selection in the evolution of the *C. hominis* subspecies here and in similar observations

made of *C. hominis* in Africa[40]. Their orthologs are associated with recombination between

human-specific *C. parvum anthroponosum* relative to the zoonotic *C. parvum parvum* and

appear to have driven convergence of the former with *C. hominis*[16].

CHUDEA2_430 (*muc5*) and hypothetical protein CHUDEA6_5270 are the most

notable, displaying significant diversifying selection between the two subspecies. Broadly,

mucins mediate cell-cell interactions[41], and modulate infectivity of *Cryptosporidium*

sporozoites and merozoites and oocyst production[41,42]. In *C. parvum*, MUC5 is involved in

host-cell invasion and an important determinant of host adaptation[41] and highly expressed in

the first 2 hours of infection in vitro[43]. MUC5 may also play a role in tethering the sporozoite

to the oocyst wall[44]. Our analyses suggest *C. h. aquapotentis* and *C. h. hominis muc5*

haplotypes diverged before or with the subspecies and subsequently diversified, which is

consistent with prior observations implicating CHUDEA2_430 in the emergence of *C. h.*

aquapotentis[10,45]. This appears to have resulted in the acquisition of novel glycosylation sites

within *C. h. aquapotentis muc5* haplotypes. We cannot determine the functional consequence

of these sites but note that glycosylation sites often mediate the specificity of mucin

interactions with host proteins in a variety of pathogens[38]. In contrast, CHUDEA6_5270

displays a clear signal for the recent introgression of a novel *C. h. aquapotentis* haplotype

into *C. h. hominis* after the divergence of these subspecies. This haplotype has notable,

structurally relevant, mutations. Identifying the function of this gene, its potential role in

infection and the relevance of the structural variation we have inferred here, should be

considered a major research priority.

In conclusion, this work represents the first large scale population genomic study in

any *Cryptosporidium* species, inferring the global population structure and evolutionary

history of *C. hominis*. We propose recognition of two distinct subspecies, *C. h. hominis* and

C. h. aquapotentis, with distinct demographic histories that have diverged circa 500 years

ago. Although the subspecies differ in their global distribution, their gene pools are not

completely isolated, and rare genetic exchanges have occurred in the recent past. We contend

that many of the genes, CHUDEA2_430 and CHUDEA6_5270 in particular, in these

introgression regions are involved in infection, and that their evolution in humans may be

driving greater human specificity, virulence and transmissibility. It appears *C. h.*

aquapotentis is playing a key role in this process, which is supported by previous

observations based on multilocus typing[28]. This illustrates how human-mediated gene flow is

involved in parasite evolution and genomic architecture, and how it could affect virulence

evolution. Also, it shows that the GIPVs that result from population admixture in an

anthroponotic species are under selection and involved in evolutionary arms race.

Methods

Parasite isolates

The *C. hominis* isolates newly sequenced for this study (n = 34) were archived stool samples

collected at the *Cryptosporidium* Reference Unit in the UK. The species was determined by

species-specific real-time PCR targeting the A135 gene[46] and subtyped by PCR and

sequencing of the *gp60* gene[47]. Supplementary Table 1 provides information about these

isolates. Isolates were selected to mainly represent the dominant variant, IbA10G2, as defined

by *gp60* sequencing.

Processing of faecal samples for whole genome sequencing

Stool samples were processed as previously described[48]. Briefly, saturated salt-flotation was

used to obtain a partially purified suspension of oocysts starting from 1-2 ml of each faecal

sample. Oocysts were further purified from the suspension by immunomagnetic separation

(IMS), using the Isolate® IMS kit (TCS Biosciences, Botolph Claydon, UK). IMS-purified

oocysts were treated with bleach, and washed three times with nuclease-free water by

centrifugation at 1,100X g for 5 min. The pellets were suspended in 200 µL of nuclease-free

water for DNA extraction.

DNA preparation and whole genome sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from purified *Cryptosporidium* oocysts by first performing

eight cycles of freezing in liquid nitrogen for 1 min and thawing at 95°C for 1 min, and then

using the QIAamp DNA extraction kit (Qiagen, Manchester, UK) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. The genomic DNA was eluted in 50 µL nuclease-free water, and

the concentration measured using the Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit with the Qubit 1.0

fluorometer (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Whole genome amplification (WGA) was performed using the Repli-g Midi kit

(Qiagen, Milan, Italy), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 5 μL of genomic

DNA (containing 1-10 ng of DNA) were mixed with 5 μL of denaturing solution and

incubated at room temperature for 3 min. Next, 10 μL of stop solution were added to stabilise

denatured DNA fragments. The reaction mixture was completed with 29 μL of buffer and 1

μL of phi29 polymerase, and allowed to proceed for 16 hours at 30°C. The reaction was

stopped by heating at 63°C for 5 minutes. WGA products were visualised by electrophoresis

on a 0.7% agarose gel, purified and quantified by Qubit as described above.

For Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) experiments, about 1 μg of purified WGA

product was used to generate Illumina TruSeq 2x 150 bp paired-end libraries (average insert

size: 500 bp), which were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform (Illumina,

SanDiego, CA). Library preparation and NGS experiments were performed by a commercial

company (GATC, Germany).

Whole genome global dataset

To perform a global comparative genomics of *C. hominis*, we supplemented our newly

sequenced genome dataset by downloading all available published *C. hominis* genomes on till

date (25th July 2021), from the sequence read archive (SRA) of NCBI and from the EMBL's

European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) (see Supplementary Table 1). Collectively, these data

represented 114 genomes from 16 countries across five continents.

Data pre-processing and variant calling

Raw reads of the 114 *C. hominis* isolates were trimmed to remove adapter sequences and

filtered for low-quality bases using Trimmomatic v.0.36[49]. The filtered reads were aligned to

C. hominis UdeA01 reference genome[50,51] using the maximal exact matches (MEM)

algorithm implemented in Burrows-Wheeler Alignment (BWA) tool v.0.7[52] with default

settings. Sequence variants were called from the aligned reads of each isolate using the

HaplotypeCaller method in the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) v3.7.0[53] as per GATK's

best practices pipeline[54]. Called variants were removed if quality depth (QD) < 2.0, Fisher

strand (FS) > 60.0, mapping quality (MQ) < 40.0, mapping quality rank sum test

(MQRankSum) < -12.5, read position rank sum test (ReadPosRankSum) < -8.0, Strand odds

ratio (SOR) > 4.0, QUAL < 30, allele depth (AD) < 5, MAF < 0.05 or missingness > 0.5.

Each of the 114 whole genomes assessed here had > 80% coverage of the *C. hominis*

reference genome to at least the 5-fold depth. All identified variants were combined in one

file and each isolate genotyped using the GenotypeGVCFs tool (GATK v3.7.0) [53] and the

variants were separated into SNPs (i.e., nucleotide substitutions) and INDELs.

Population genetic structure based on whole genome SNPs

The filtered SNPs were used for population structure, phylogenetic and clustering analyses.

Samples having multiple co-infections were identified using estMOI[55] and MOIMIX

(https://github.com/bahlolab/moimix). The R package SNPRelate v.1.18[56] was used for

principal-component analysis (PCA) analysis. A maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree was

constructed by IQ-TREE[57] with 1000 bootstraps and visualised in iTOL v3[58]; the sister

species *C. parvum* was used as an outgroup. We also constructed a consensus of 10^7 trees

using DensiTree 2[59] in BEAST v2[60]. BEAST v2[60] was also used to estimate the divergence

time between the populations by using 95% highest posterior density (HPD); and SpeedDate

(https://github.com/vanOosterhoutLab/SpeedDate.jl) to estimate the coalescence times

between sequences by using 5-95% confidence interval (CI). We used mutation rate of 10^{-8}

and a generation time of 48h/replication[16] to date the coalescence times between sequences.

A Neighbor-Net algorithm based network was generated using SplitsTree5[61]. Genetic

structure was analysed by STRUCTURE v2.3 software[62] for population number (K) ranging

2 - 10 and plotted by using plotSTR R package (https://github.com/DrewWham/Genetic-

Structure-Tools). The optimal population genetic cluster value K was estimated by using

CLUMPAK[63].

Population demographic history and divergence time estimation

We used Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method implemented in Beast v2

program[60] to estimate the effective population size (*Ne*) of the *C. hominis* population. The

nucleotide substitution model of HKY was selected. A strict molecular clock model and a

Bayesian skyline coalescent tree prior was used with 10^9 generations of MCMC chain and

10% burn-ins. Tracer v.1.7[64] was used to assess chain convergence and effective sample size

[ESS] > 200 and to construct the demographic history over time; i.e. Bayesian Skyline Plot

(BSP). SweeD[30] was used to detect windows of selective sweeps from genome-wide SNP

dataset by using composite likelihood ratio (CLR) statistic that identifies signature of site

frequency spectrum (SFS), with a grid size of 1000.

Demographic histories and migration rates between the *C. hominis* populations were

estimated by using fastsimcoal2[65] by using mutation rate of 10^{-8} and a generation time of

48h/replication[16]. We first inferred best parameters and the likelihoods for each of the

demographic models – no geneflow, ongoing geneflow, early geneflow, recent geneflow and

different geneflow, since the time of divergence (~500 years) by running 100 independent

iterations with 300,000 coalescent simulations and 60 optimisation cycles. Demographic

model with the highest likelihood was then selected to run parameter estimation with block-

bootstrapping of 100 replicates.

Linkage, recombination and gene flow analyses

We inferred rate of decay of linkage disequilibrium (LD) by calculating the squared correlation of the coefficient (r^2) between SNPs within 50kb using VCFtools[66]. LD blocks were also determined by calculating pairwise r^2 between SNPs within chromosomes of each population. Recombination events were identified using the Recombination Detection Program version 4 (RDP4)[31] using the RDP[67], GENECONV[68], BootScan[69], MaxChi[70] and Chimaera[71] methods. Events were considered significant if at least three methods predicted their occurrence at a probability values, $p \leq 10^{-5}$. Recombination events with undetermined parental sequences were excluded from further HybridCheck analyses. Statistically significant recombination events were visualised and analysed using HybridCheck[33] to determine the sequence similarity between the isolates involved in the events. HybridCheck program was also used to calculate the D statistic and estimate the gene flow between the populations.

Population genetic and genomic analyses of coding region

Tajima's D, Nucleotide diversity (π), Dxy and Fst were calculated using the PopGenome R-package[72]. Nonsynonymous (Ka) and synonymous (Ks) mutation rates were calculated by using Ka/Ks_Calculator[73]. Protein localisation (extracellular) was predicted using WoLF PSORT[74] and information regarding predicted protein targeting (signalling peptides) genes were obtained from CryptoDB[50]. POPART program was used to generate haplotype networks[75]. AlphaFold was used to predict the protein structures[76]. Glycosylation sites were predicted by using NetNGlyc 4.0 Server[77]. Intrinsically disordered region in proteins were predicted using IUPred2A[78]. All statistical tests and results were performed and plotted in R (version 3.6.1).

Data availability

All raw and processed sequencing data generated and analysed during the current study have

been submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/), under the BioProject PRJEB15112,

PRJNA610731, PRJNA610732, PRJNA610735, PRJNA610737, PRJNA610738,

PRJNA610739, PRJNA610740, PRJNA610741, PRJNA610742, PRJNA610743,

PRJNA610744, PRJNA610745, PRJNA610746, PRJNA610747 and PRJNA610748.

References

1.   Khalil, I.A., *et al.* Morbidity, mortality, and long-term consequences associated with diarrhoea from Cryptosporidium infection in children younger than 5 years: a meta-analyses study. *The Lancet. Global health* **6**, e758-e768 (2018).

2.   Kotloff, K.L., *et al.* Burden and aetiology of diarrhoeal disease in infants and young children in developing countries (the Global Enteric Multicenter Study, GEMS): a prospective, case-control study. *Lancet (London, England)* **382**, 209-222 (2013).

3.   Ryan, U., Zahedi, A. & Paparini, A. Cryptosporidium in humans and animals—a one health approach to prophylaxis. *Parasite immunology* **38**, 535-547 (2016).

4.   Santin, M. Cryptosporidium and Giardia in Ruminants. *Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract* **36**, 223-238 (2020).

5.   Razakandrainibe, R., *et al.* Common occurrence of Cryptosporidium hominis in asymptomatic and symptomatic calves in France. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases* **12**, e0006355 (2018).

6.   Yang, X., Guo, Y., Xiao, L. & Feng, Y. Molecular epidemiology of human cryptosporidiosis in low-and middle-income countries. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* **34**, e00087-00019 (2021).

7.   Putignani, L. & Menichella, D. Global distribution, public health and clinical impact of the protozoan pathogen cryptosporidium. *Interdisciplinary perspectives on infectious diseases* **2010**(2010).

8.   Craun, G.F., Hubbs, S.A., Frost, F., Calderon, R.L. & Via, S.H. Waterborne outbreaks of cryptosporidiosis. *Journal AWWA* **90**, 81-91 (1998).

9.   Nichols, G.L., Chalmers, R.M. & Hadfield, S.J. Molecular epidemiology of human cryptosporidiosis. in *Cryptosporidium: parasite and disease* 81-147 (Springer, 2014).

10. Feng, Y., Ryan, U.M. & Xiao, L. Genetic Diversity and Population Structure of Cryptosporidium. *Trends in parasitology* (2018).

11. Herges, G.R., *et al.* Evidence that Cryptosporidium parvum populations are panmictic and unstructured in the Upper Midwest of the United States. *Applied and environmental microbiology* **78**, 8096-8101 (2012).

12.   Wang, R.*, et al.* Cryptosporidium parvum IId family: clonal population and dispersal
from Western Asia to other geographical regions. *Scientific reports* **4**, 4208 (2014).
13.   Morrison, L.J.*, et al.* The population structure of the Cryptosporidium parvum
population in Scotland: a complex picture. *Infection, genetics and evolution : journal*
of molecular epidemiology and evolutionary genetics in infectious diseases **8**, 121-129
(2008).
14.   King, P.*, et al.* Prevalence and epidemiology of human Cryptosporidium
parvum IIc infections in England and Wales. *The Lancet* **389**, S56 (2017).
15.   King, P., Tyler, K.M. & Hunter, P.R. Anthroponotic transmission of Cryptosporidium
parvum predominates in countries with poorer sanitation: a systematic review and
meta-analysis. *Parasites & vectors* **12**, 16-16 (2019).
16.   Nader, J.L.*, et al.* Evolutionary genomics of anthroponosis in Cryptosporidium. *Nature*
Microbiology (2019).
17.   Yang, X., Guo, Y., Xiao, L. & Feng, Y. Molecular Epidemiology of Human
Cryptosporidiosis in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. *Clinical microbiology reviews*
34, e00087-00019 (2021).
18.   Jex, A.R. & Gasser, R.B. Genetic richness and diversity in Cryptosporidium hominis and
C. parvum reveals major knowledge gaps and a need for the application of "next
generation" technologies--research review. *Biotechnology advances* **28**, 17-26 (2010).
19.   Efstratiou, A., Ongerth, J.E. & Karanis, P. Waterborne transmission of protozoan
parasites: review of worldwide outbreaks-an update 2011–2016. *Water research* **114**,
14-22 (2017).
20.   Chalmers, R.M.*, et al.* Detection of Cryptosporidium species and sources of
contamination with Cryptosporidium hominis during a waterborne outbreak in north
west Wales. *Journal of water and health* **8**, 311-325 (2010).
21.   Widerström, M.*, et al.* Large outbreak of Cryptosporidium hominis infection
transmitted through the public water supply, Sweden. *Emerg Infect Dis* **20**, 581 (2014).
22.   Segura, R., Prim, N., Montemayor, M., Valls, M.E. & Muñoz, C. Predominant virulent
IbA10G2 subtype of Cryptosporidium hominis in human isolates in Barcelona: a five-
year study. *PloS one* **10**, e0121753 (2015).
23.   Zhou, L., Singh, A., Jiang, J. & Xiao, L. Molecular surveillance of Cryptosporidium spp.
in raw wastewater in Milwaukee: implications for understanding outbreak occurrence
and transmission dynamics. *Journal of clinical microbiology* **41**, 5254-5257 (2003).
24.   McKerr, C.*, et al.* Cross-Sectional Household Transmission Study of Cryptosporidium
Shows that C. Hominis Infections are A Key Risk Factor for Spread. (2021).
25.   Cama, V.A.*, et al.* Cryptosporidium species and subtypes and clinical manifestations in
children, Peru. *Emerg Infect Dis* **14**, 1567 (2008).
26.   Cacciò, S.M. & Chalmers, R.M. Human cryptosporidiosis in Europe. *Clin Microbiol*
Infect **22**, 471-480 (2016).
27.   Feng, Y., Tiao, N., Li, N., Hlavsa, M. & Xiao, L. Multilocus sequence typing of an
emerging Cryptosporidium hominis subtype in the United States. *Journal of clinical*
microbiology **52**, 524-530 (2014).
28.   Li, N.*, et al.* Genetic recombination and Cryptosporidium hominis virulent subtype
IbA10G2. *Emerging infectious diseases* **19**, 1573-1582 (2013).
29.   Drummond, A.J., Rambaut, A., Shapiro, B. & Pybus, O.G. Bayesian coalescent inference
of past population dynamics from molecular sequences. *Molecular biology and*
evolution **22**, 1185-1192 (2005).

30. Pavlidis, P., Živkovic, D., Stamatakis, A. & Alachiotis, N. SweeD: likelihood-based
detection of selective sweeps in thousands of genomes. *Molecular biology and*
evolution **30**, 2224-2234 (2013).
31. Martin, D.P., Murrell, B., Golden, M., Khoosal, A. & Muhire, B. RDP4: Detection and
analysis of recombination patterns in virus genomes. *Virus Evolution* **1**(2015).
32. Van Oosterhout, C. Mitigating the threat of emerging infectious diseases; a
coevolutionary perspective. *Virulence* **12**, 1288-1295 (2021).
33. Ward, B.J. & van Oosterhout, C. HYBRIDCHECK: software for the rapid detection,
visualization and dating of recombinant regions in genome sequence data. *Mol Ecol*
Resour **16**, 534-539 (2016).
34. Guo, Y.*, et al.* Comparative genomic analysis reveals occurrence of genetic
recombination in virulent Cryptosporidium hominis subtypes and telomeric gene
duplications in Cryptosporidium parvum. *BMC genomics* **16**, 320 (2015).
35. Pond, S.L. & Frost, S.D. Datamonkey: rapid detection of selective pressure on
individual sites of codon alignments. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **21**, 2531-2533
(2005).
36. Carmicheal, J.*, et al.* Presence and structure-activity relationship of intrinsically
disordered regions across mucins. *The FASEB Journal* **34**, 1939-1957 (2020).
37. Perez-Vilar, J. & Hill, R.L. The Structure and Assembly of Secreted Mucins* 210. *Journal*
of Biological Chemistry **274**, 31751-31754 (1999).
38. Lin, B., Qing, X., Liao, J. & Zhuo, K. Role of Protein Glycosylation in Host-Pathogen
Interaction. *Cells* **9**, 1022 (2020).
39. Corsi, G.I.*, et al.* Evolutionary epidemiology of a zoonosis. *bioRxiv*,
2021.2010.2015.464618 (2021).
40. Tichkule, S.*, et al.* Comparative genomics revealed adaptive admixture in
Cryptosporidium hominis in Africa. *Microb Genom* **7**(2021).
41. O'Connor, R.M.*, et al.* Polymorphic mucin antigens CpMuc4 and CpMuc5 are integral
to Cryptosporidium parvum infection in vitro. *Eukaryot Cell* **8**, 461-469 (2009).
42. Cevallos, A.M.*, et al.* Mediation of Cryptosporidium parvum infection in vitro by
mucin-like glycoproteins defined by a neutralizing monoclonal antibody. *Infection and*
immunity **68**, 5167-5175 (2000).
43. Lippuner, C.*, et al.* RNA-Seq analysis during the life cycle of Cryptosporidium parvum
reveals significant differential gene expression between proliferating stages in the
intestine and infectious sporozoites. *International journal for parasitology* **48**, 413-422
(2018).
44. Chatterjee, A.*, et al.* Evidence for mucin-like glycoproteins that tether sporozoites of
Cryptosporidium parvum to the inner surface of the oocyst wall. *Eukaryot Cell* **9**, 84-
96 (2010).
45. Bouzid, M., Hunter, P.R., Chalmers, R.M. & Tyler, K.M. Cryptosporidium pathogenicity
and virulence. *Clin Microbiol Rev* **26**, 115-134 (2013).
46. Robinson, G., Elwin, K. & Chalmers, R.M. Cryptosporidium Diagnostic Assays:
Molecular Detection. *Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, N.J.)* **2052**, 11-22 (2020).
47. Chalmers, R.M., Robinson, G., Elwin, K. & Elson, R. Analysis of the Cryptosporidium
spp. and gp60 subtypes linked to human outbreaks of cryptosporidiosis in England and
Wales, 2009 to 2017. *Parasites & Vectors* **12**, 95 (2019).

48. Hadfield, S.J*., et al.* Generation of whole genome sequences of new Cryptosporidium
hominis and Cryptosporidium parvum isolates directly from stool samples. *BMC*
genomics **16**, 650 (2015).
49. Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **30**, 2114-2120 (2014).
50. Heiges, M*., et al.* CryptoDB: a Cryptosporidium bioinformatics resource update.
Nucleic acids research **34**, D419-422 (2006).
51. Isaza, J.P*., et al.* Revisiting the reference genomes of human pathogenic
Cryptosporidium species: reannotation of C. parvum Iowa and a new C. hominis
reference. *Scientific reports* **5**, 16324 (2015).
52. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
transform. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **25**, 1754-1760 (2009).
53. McKenna, A*., et al.* The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for
analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome research* **20**, 1297-1303
(2010).
54. Van der Auwera, G.A*., et al.* From FastQ data to high confidence variant calls: the
Genome Analysis Toolkit best practices pipeline. *Current protocols in bioinformatics*
43, 11.10.11-33 (2013).
55. Assefa, S.A*., et al.* estMOI: estimating multiplicity of infection using parasite deep
sequencing data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **30**, 1292-1294 (2014).
56. Zheng, X*., et al.* A high-performance computing toolset for relatedness and principal
component analysis of SNP data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **28**, 3326-3328
(2012).
57. Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H.A., von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B.Q. IQ-TREE: A Fast and
Effective Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies.
Molecular biology and evolution **32**, 268-274 (2014).
58. Letunic, I. & Bork, P. Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v3: an online tool for the display and
annotation of phylogenetic and other trees. *Nucleic acids research* **44**, W242-245
(2016).
59. Bouckaert, R.R. & Heled, J. DensiTree 2: Seeing Trees Through the Forest. *bioRxiv*,
012401 (2014).
60. Bouckaert, R*., et al.* BEAST 2: A Software Platform for Bayesian Evolutionary Analysis.
PLOS Computational Biology **10**, e1003537 (2014).
61. Huson, D.H. & Bryant, D. Application of phylogenetic networks in evolutionary studies.
Molecular biology and evolution **23**, 254-267 (2006).
62. Pritchard, J.K., Stephens, M. & Donnelly, P. Inference of population structure using
multilocus genotype data. *Genetics* **155**, 945-959 (2000).
63. Kopelman, N.M., Mayzel, J., Jakobsson, M., Rosenberg, N.A. & Mayrose, I. Clumpak: a
program for identifying clustering modes and packaging population structure
inferences across K. *Molecular ecology resources* **15**, 1179-1191 (2015).
64. Rambaut, A., Drummond, A.J., Xie, D., Baele, G. & Suchard, M.A. Posterior
Summarization in Bayesian Phylogenetics Using Tracer 1.7. *Systematic biology* **67**,
901-904 (2018).
65. Excofffier, L*., et al.* fastsimcoal2: demographic inference under complex evolutionary
scenarios. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* (2021).
66. Danecek, P*., et al.* The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics (Oxford,*
England) **27**, 2156-2158 (2011).

67.   Martin, D. & Rybicki, E. RDP: detection of recombination amongst aligned sequences.
714   *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **16**, 562-563 (2000).
715   68.   Sawyer, S. GENECONV: a computer package for the statistical detection of gene
716   conversion. *http://www. math. wustl. edu/~ sawyer* (1999).
717   69.   Salminen, M.O., CARR, J.K., BURKE, D.S. & McCUTCHAN, F.E. Identification of
718   breakpoints in intergenotypic recombinants of HIV type 1 by bootscanning. *AIDS*
719   *research and human retroviruses* **11**, 1423-1425 (1995).
720   70.   Smith, J.M. Analyzing the mosaic structure of genes. *Journal of molecular evolution* **34**,
721   126-129 (1992).
722   71.   Posada, D. & Crandall, K.A. Evaluation of methods for detecting recombination from
723   DNA sequences: Computer simulations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
724   *Sciences* **98**, 13757 (2001).
725   72.   Pfeifer, B., Wittelsbürger, U., Ramos-Onsins, S.E. & Lercher, M.J. PopGenome: an
726   efficient Swiss army knife for population genomic analyses in R. *Molecular biology and*
727   *evolution* **31**, 1929-1936 (2014).
728   73.   Zhang, Z*., et al.* KaKs_Calculator: calculating Ka and Ks through model selection and
729   model averaging. *Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics* **4**, 259-263 (2006).
730   74.   Horton, P*., et al.* WoLF PSORT: protein localization predictor. *Nucleic acids research*
731   **35**, W585-W587 (2007).
732   75.   Leigh, J.W. & Bryant, D. popart: full-feature software for haplotype network
733   construction. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **6**, 1110-1116 (2015).
734   76.   Jumper, J*., et al.* Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature*
735   (2021).
736   77.   Gupta, R. & Brunak, S. Prediction of glycosylation across the human proteome and the
737   correlation to protein function. *Pac Symp Biocomput*, 310-322 (2002).
738   78.   Mészáros, B., Erdős, G. & Dosztányi, Z. IUPred2A: context-dependent prediction of
739   protein disorder as a function of redox state and protein binding. *Nucleic acids*
740   *research* **46**, W329-W337 (2018).
741   79.   Lighten, J*., et al.* Evolutionary genetics of immunological supertypes reveals two faces
742   of the Red Queen. *Nature Communications* **8**, 1294 (2017).
743

Acknowledgments

S.T. acknowledges the Australian Society for Parasitology (ASP) for a student conference

travel grant, and JD Smyth Postgraduate Travel Award for a Network Researcher Exchange,

Training and Travel Award; and Walter and Eliza Hall Research Institute (WEHI), Australia,

for the top up scholarship. S.T. also acknowledges Namrata Srivastava (Monash University)

for assisting with statistical data analysis.

Author contributions

A.R.J., S.M.C., C.V.O. and S.T. conceived the study. A.R.J., S.M.C., C.V.O. and S.T.

designed the analyses. S.M.C., R.M.C., G.R., D.E., and K.M.T. were involved in acquisition

of data. S.T. performed the bioinformatics associated evolutionary genetic and genomic

analyses. A.R.J., S.M.C., C.V.O. and S.T. wrote the manuscript. All authors read and

approved the submission of the manuscript for the publication.

ORCID ID

Swapnil Tichkule: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2940-9961

Cock van Oosterhout: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5653-738X

Simone M Cacciò: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8561-1323

Samantha J Emery-Corbin: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2984-0620

Kevin M. Tyler: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0647-8158

Guy Robinson: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6594-2408

Competing interests

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Funding information

A. R. J. acknowledges financial support from the Australian National Health and Medical

Research Council (APP1194330) and Victorian State Government Operational Infrastructure

Support and Australian Government National Health and Medical Research Council

Independent Research Institute Infrastructure Support Scheme. K.M.T. was supported by

funding from the Interreg 2 Seas programme 2014–2020 co-funded by the European

Regional Development Fund under subsidy contract No. 2S05–043 H4DC. S.M.C

acknowledges support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation

programme, project "Collaborative management platform for detection and analyses of (re-)

emerging and foodborne outbreaks in Europe" (COMPARE, www.compare-europe.eu), grant

agreement No. 643476. S.T. was supported by Walter and Eliza Hall International PhD

Scholarship and Melbourne Research Scholarship (MRS). C.V.O. was supported by the Earth

and Life Systems Alliance (ELSA) of the Norwich Research Park (NRP).

Figures

Fig 1. Global population structure of *C. hominis* isolates illustrating their sub-structing and

diversification. **a**. PCA of isolates based on their whole genome SNPs, highlighting three

clusters of isolates which are predominately based on continents of origin. Isolates were color

coded with their continent of origin. Isolates associated with *gp60* subtype IbA10G2 were

represented with solid circles while non-IbA10G2 with solid triangles. **b.** Structure plot

illustrating population genetic ancestry and the admixed nature of the *C. hominis* isolates.

The plot was obtained assuming an optimum value of K=4. The black arrow (bottom)

indicates the highly admixed isolate (UK_UKH4), which includes all four ancestries. **c.**

Phylogenetic clustering demonstrating two clades. **d.** Splitstree and **e.** Densitree are also

demonstrating two major clades comprising isolates, which are separated based on low- and

high-income countries of origin, respectively. *Cryptosporidium h. aquapotentis* includes

isolates associated with *gp60* subtype IbA10G2 while *C. h. hominis* includes isolates

associated with other *gp60* subtypes.

Fig 2. Demographic histories and population size and secondary contact between *C. h.*

hominis (clade 1) and *C. h. aquapotentis* (clade 2). **a**. Bayesian Skyline plots (BSP) depicting

change in *Ne* (effective population size) through time, for both the clades. The central dark

line and the upper and lower dashed lines on Y-axis are mean estimates and 95% HPD

intervals of *Ne*, respectively. X-axis is time in years, running backwards. **b**. Boxplot showing

significant difference (two-sided t-test) in Tajima's D values between *C. h. hominis* (clade 1)

and *C. h. aquapotentis* (clade 2). **c**. Higher likelihood for "recent geneflow" model (in red).

Comparing likelihood distributions of geneflow models and observed significant difference

(one-way ANOVA test, F = 2629761, df = 4, p-value <2e-16). Further, Post-hoc Tukey-HSD

test revealed difference in likelihood between all the models (p-value < 1e-16). **d**. Graphical

representation of demographic history of *C. hominis*, illustrating recent secondary contact and

migration rates between the two clades (mean ± SE).

Fig 3. Analyses of recombination and gene flow between *C. h. hominis* (clade 1) and *C. h.*

aquapotentis (clade 2). **a**. Linkage disequilibrium (LD) decay plot showing rapid decay of

linkage between SNPs in *C. h. hominis* (clade 1) compared to *C. h. aquapotentis* (clade 2). **b**.

Graphical representation of recombinant breakpoint positions detected by RDP4 program

between *C. h. hominis* (clade 1) and *C. h. aquapotentis* (clade 2). **c-d**. HybridCheck plots

representing genomic signature of introgression in chromosome 2 and 6, respectively.

Analysis for chromosome 1 was excluded due to unknown parental sequences. The plots

     were generated for random set of triplets that includes recombinant (hybrid), minor (donor)

and major (recipient) parental sequence, as detected by RDP4 program. Introgressed blocks

(recombinant breakpoints) were illustrated with dashed boxes, showing high similarity

between the recombinant (*C. h. hominis* hybrid isolates) and minor parent (*C. h. aquapotentis*

isolates). **e.** Gene flow analyses with ABBA-BABA test, representing D statistics for the

random sets of triplets (as used in c-d) along with *C. parvum* as an outgroup. D statistic

values close to -1 at all three recombinant events, suggesting geneflow between H1 and H3.

f-g. Pairwise LD of SNPs in chromosomes 2 and 6 of *C. h. hominis* showing red-blocks of

high linkage between SNPs in introgressed events 2-4.

Fig 4. Population genetic analyses of genomic islands of putative virulence genes (GIPVs). **a**.

Population genetic and divergence analyses of introgressed regions. X-axis represents

genomic positions of eight chromosomes highlighted with different colours. Population

divergence (Dxy) between *C. h. hominis* (clade 1) and *C. h. aquapotentis* (clade 2) for each

gene were plotted on Y-axis (top panel). Nucleotide diversity (π) for *C. h. hominis* (middle

panel) and *C. h. aquapotentis* (bottom panel) for each gene, were also plotted on Y-axis,

respectively. The breakpoints of four recombination events (event 1-4) were indicated by

grey vertical boxes. Event 1 was un-detected in *C. h. aquapotentis*. **b**. Correlation between π

and Dxy were plotted to identify polymorphic and potential virulent genes.

Fig 5. Illustrating diversifying selection between *C. hominis* subspecies and host adaptation

at CHUDEA6_5270 (hypothetical gene). **a.** Haplotype network analyses illustrating

haplotype diversification between *C. h. hominis* (clade 1) and *C. h. aquapotentis* (clade 2). **b.**

Pairwise nucleotide divergence shows bimodal distribution, which, theoretically, can be

explained both by balancing selection[79], as well as by genetic introgression. **c.** Comparison of

protein structure of CHUDEA6_5270 gene between *Cryptosporidium* species and subtypes

demonstrates variation towards C.-terminal region. **d**. Introgressed-isolates driving balancing

selection at gene CHUDEA6_5270 in *C. h. hominis*. Red line represents balancing selection

(positive Tajima's D) in *C. h. hominis* that also includes introgressed-isolates. Blue line

represents purifying selection (negative Tajima's D) in *C. h. hominis* after excluding

introgressed-isolates.