Comparative Genome Analysis of '*Candidatus* Phytoplasma luffae' Reveals the Influential

Roles of Potential Mobile Units in Phytoplasma Evolution

Running Title: PMU and Phytoplasma Genome Evolution

Ching-Ting Huang[1], Shu-Ting Cho[1], Choon-Meng Tan[2], Yi-Ching Chiu[2,3], Jun-Yi Yang[2,3,4]*,

Chih-Horng Kuo[1,3,5.6]*

[1] Institute of Plant and Microbial Biology, Academia Sinica, Taipei 115, Taiwan

[2] Graduate Institute of Biochemistry, National Chung Hsing University, Taichung 402, Taiwan

[3] PhD Program in Microbial Genomics, National Chung Hsing University and Academia Sinica,

Taichung 402, Taiwan

[4] Advanced Plant Biotechnology Center, National Chung Hsing University, Taichung 402,

Taiwan

[5] Molecular and Biological Agricultural Sciences Program, Taiwan International Graduate

Program, National Chung-Hsing University and Academia Sinica, Taipei 115, Taiwan

[6] Biotechnology Center, National Chung Hsing University, Taichung 402, Taiwan

* Correspondence

Jun-Yi Yang: jyang@nchu.edu.tw

Chih-Horng Kuo: chk@gate.sinica.edu.tw

Abstract

Phytoplasmas are insect-transmitted plant pathogens that cause substantial losses in

agriculture. In addition to economic impact, phytoplasmas induce distinct disease symptoms

in infected plants, thus attracting attention for research on molecular plant-microbe

interactions and plant developmental processes. Due to the difficulty of establishing an

axenic culture of these bacteria, culture-independent genome characterization is a crucial

tool for phytoplasma research. However, phytoplasma genomes have strong nucleotide

composition biases and are repetitive, which make it challenging to produce complete

assemblies. In this study, we utilized Illumina and Oxford Nanopore sequencing technologies

to obtain the complete genome sequence of '*Candidatus* Phytoplasma luffae' strain

NCHU2019 that is associated with witches' broom disease of loofah (*Luffa aegyptiaca*) in

Taiwan. The fully assembled circular chromosome is 769 kb in size and is the first

representative genome sequence of group 16SrVIII phytoplasmas. Comparative analysis

with other phytoplasmas revealed that NCHU2019 has an exceptionally repetitive genome,

possessing a pair of 75 kb repeats and at least 13 potential mobile units (PMUs) that

account for ~25% of its chromosome. This level of genome repetitiveness is exceptional for

bacteria, particularly among obligate pathogens with reduced genomes. Our genus-level

analysis of PMUs demonstrated that these phytoplasma-specific mobile genetic elements

can be classified into three major types that differ in gene organization and phylogenetic

distribution. Notably, PMU abundance explains nearly 80% of the variance in phytoplasma

genome sizes, a finding that provides a quantitative estimate for the importance of PMUs in

phytoplasma genome variability. Finally, our investigation found that in addition to

horizontal gene transfer, PMUs also contribute to intra-genomic duplications of effector

genes, which may provide redundancy for neofunctionalization or subfunctionalization.

Taken together, this work improves the taxon sampling for phytoplasma genome research

and provides novel information regarding the roles of mobile genetic elements in

phytoplasma evolution.

Keywords

Plant pathogen, phytoplasma, genomics, molecular evolution, mobile genetic

element, effector

Introduction

Phytoplasmas are uncultivated bacteria associated with plant diseases in several hundred species (Lee et al., 2000; Hogenhout et al., 2008; Bertaccini et al., 2014; Namba, 2019). In infected plants, phytoplasma cells are restricted to phloem tissues and can secrete effector proteins that cause developmental abnormalities of the hosts (Sugio et al., 2011b). Typical symptoms of phytoplasma infections include stunting, dwarfism, virescence (i.e., greening of flowers), phyllody (i.e., abnormal development of floral parts into leaf-like tissues), and witches' broom (i.e., proliferation of stems and leaves), which result in substantial agricultural losses.

For classification of these uncultivated bacteria, a system based on restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis of their 16S rRNA genes was developed in the 1990s (Lee et al., 1993, 1998; Gundersen and Lee, 1996) and at least 33 16S rRNA gene RFLP (16Sr) groups have been described (Zhao et al., 2009; Zhao and Davis, 2016). Later, a provisional genus-level taxon 'Candidatus Phytoplasma' was proposed to accommodate these bacteria (The IRPCM Phytoplasma/Spiroplasma Working Team - Phytoplasma taxonomy group, 2004) and at least 41 'Ca. P.' species have been described or proposed (Bertaccini and Lee, 2018). Based on analysis of 16S rRNA and other conserved genes, phytoplasmas are divided into three major phylogenetic clusters (Hogenhout and Seruga Music, 2009; Chung et al., 2013; Seruga Music et al., 2019). Early genomics studies were mainly conducted for clusters I (i.e., 'Ca. P. asteris' of group 16SrI and 'Ca. P. australiense' of 16SrXII) (Oshima et al., 2004; Bai et al., 2006; Tran-Nguyen et al., 2008) and II (i.e., 'Ca. P. mali' of 16SrX) (Kube et al., 2008). In comparison, cluster III harbors the highest level of diversity, yet has received limited attention for comparative genomics studies (Chung et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2018a).

To improve our understanding of phytoplasma genomes, we conducted whole

genome sequencing of a 'Ca. P. luffae' strain collected in Taiwan. The species-level taxon 'Ca.

P luffae' belongs to group 16SrVIII in cluster III and is associated with witches' broom

disease of loofah (*Luffa aegyptiaca*) (Davis et al., 2017). The availability of a complete

genome sequence from this taxon provides a complete view of its gene content, which can

facilitate the study of its pathogenesis mechanisms and other aspects of biology. More

importantly, with increased availability of genome sequences from diverse phytoplasmas

(Table 1), we performed genus-level comparative analysis to obtain a more comprehensive

picture of their genomic diversity. This improves upon previous works that are limited to

comparisons of closely related taxa or have sparse sampling (Bai et al., 2006; Tran-Nguyen

et al., 2008; Kube et al., 2012; Saccardo et al., 2012; Andersen et al., 2013; Chung et al.,

2013; Orlovskis et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018a; Cho et al., 2019, 2020a; Seruga Music et al.,

2019; Kirdat et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2021). Furthermore, our focused analysis of the

potential mobile units (PMUs) (Bai et al., 2006) revealed the influential roles of these mobile

genetic elements in the evolution of phytoplasma genome organization and effector gene

content.

Materials and Methods

<u>Biological Materials</u>

The strain NCHU2019 was collected from a naturally infected loofah plant found in

Dacheng Township (Changhua County, Taiwan; 23.861860 N, 120.291322 E) on July 4th,

2019 (Figure 1A). After initial collection, the bacterium was transferred to lab-grown loofah

plants (cultivar A-Jun, Known-You Seed Co., Kaohsiung, Taiwan) through grafting and

maintained in a plant growth facility in the National Chung Hsing University (Taichung,

Taiwan) (**Figure 1B**). To confirm the presence and identity of this phytoplasma strain, a

partial sequence of the rRNA operon was PCR amplified using the phytoplasma-specific

primer set P1/P7 for Sanger sequencing as described (Chung et al., 2013).

<u>Genome Sequencing</u>

The procedures for genome sequencing and analysis were based on those described

in our previous work on phytoplasma genomes (Chung et al., 2013; Cho et al., 2019, 2020a,

2020b). All kits were used according to the manufacturer's protocols and all bioinformatics

tools were used with the default settings unless stated otherwise.

For whole genome shotgun sequencing, leaves from one artificially infected plant

exhibiting typical symptoms (i.e., small leaves and witches' broom) (**Figure 1B**) were

collected for total genomic DNA extraction using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit

(A1120; Promega, USA). For Illumina sequencing, the DNA sample was processed using the

KAPA Library Preparation Kit (KK8234) and the Invitrogen SizeSelect Gels (G6610-02) to

obtain ~550 bp fragments, followed by MiSeq 2x300 bp paired-end sequencing (v3

chemistry). For Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT) sequencing, the library was prepared

using the ONT Ligation Kit (SQK-LSK109) without shearing or size selection, followed by

MinION sequencing (R9.4.1 chemistry) and Guppy v3.3.3 base-calling.

The first round of *de novo* assembly was performed using Velvet v1.2.10 (Zerbino

and Birney, 2008) with only the Illumina reads. The draft assembly was queried against the

NCBI non-redundant protein database (Benson et al., 2018) using BLASTX v2.10.0 (Camacho

et al., 2009) to identify putative phytoplasma contigs. These contigs were used as the

reference for extracting phytoplasma reads from the Illumina data set using BWA v0.7.17 (Li

and Durbin, 2009) with an alignment score cutoff of 30 and from the ONT data set using

Minimap2 v2.15 (Li, 2018) with an alignment score cutoff of 1000. The extracted reads from

both data sets were processed together using Unicycler v0.4.9b for the second round of *de*

novo assembly. The putative phytoplasma contigs were identified by BLAST searches and

used as the starting point for an iterative process of assembly improvement. In each

iteration, all Illumina and ONT raw reads were mapped to the draft assembly as described.

The mapping results were programmatically checked using SAMTOOLS v1.9 (Li et al., 2009)

and manually inspected using IGV v2.5.0 (Robinson et al., 2011) to identify assembly errors

and to close gaps.

After complete sequence of the circular chromosome was obtained, gene prediction

was performed using RNAmmer v1.2 (Lagesen et al., 2007), tRNAscan-SE v1.3.1 (Lowe and

Eddy, 1997), and Prodigal v2.6.3 (Hyatt et al., 2010). The annotation was based on the

homologous gene clusters present in other phytoplasma genomes (**Table 1**) as identified by

OrthoMCL v1.3 (Li et al., 2003), followed by manual curation based on information obtained

from GenBank (Benson et al., 2018), KEGG (Kanehisa et al., 2010), and COG (Tatusov et al.,

2003) databases. Additionally, putative secreted proteins were predicted using SignalP v5.0

(Armenteros et al., 2019) based on the Gram-positive bacteria model. Those candidates

with transmembrane domains as identified by TMHMM v2.0 (Krogh et al., 2001) were

removed and the remaining ones were required to have a signal peptide length in the range

of 21 to 52 amino acids. For visualization, the Circos v0.69-6 (Krzywinski et al., 2009) was

used to draw the genome map.

<u>Comparative Analysis</u>

For comparative analysis with other representative phytoplasma genomes (**Table 1**),

homologous gene clusters were identified using OrthoMCL (Li et al., 2003). Multiple

sequence alignments of homologous genes were prepared using MUSCLE v3.8.31 (Edgar,

2004) and visualized using JalView v2.11 (Waterhouse et al., 2009). Maximum likelihood

phylogenies were inferred using PhyML v3.3 (Guindon and Gascuel, 2003) and visualized

using FigTree v1.4.4. PHYLIP v3.697 (Felsenstein, 1989) was used for bootstrap analysis.

Classification of the 16S RFLP group was performed using *i*PhyClassifier (Zhao et al., 2009).

For whole-genome comparison, FastANI v1.1 (Jain et al., 2018) was used to calculate

the proportion of genomic segments mapped and the average nucleotide identity (ANI). For

pairwise genome alignments, Mummer v3.23 (Kurtz et al., 2004) was used with the options

"--maxmatch --mincluster 30" and the results were visualized using genoPlotR v0.8.9 (Guy et

al., 2010).

The PMU analysis was based on the eight core genes (i.e., *tra5*, *dnaB*, *dnaG*, *tmk*,

hflB, *himA*, *ssb*, and *rpoD*) defined previously (Bai et al., 2006). To ensure uniform

annotation and to include possible pseudogenes, all genome assemblies were examined by

using available PMU genes sequences as queries to run TBLASTN searches. All statistical

tests were performed in the R statistical environment; correlation coefficients were

calculated using the 'cor.test' function, linear regression was performed using the 'lm'

function and visualized using the 'plot' and 'abline' functions.

Results and Discussion

<u>Genomic Characterization of NCHU2019</u>

The shotgun sequencing generated ~4.7 Gb of Illumina raw reads and ~3.5 Gb of

ONT raw reads. As expected, most of the reads are derived from the plant host. By mapping

to the finalized phytoplasma genome assembly, ~1.0% of the Illumina reads and ~5.1% of

the ONT reads are derived from phytoplasma DNA, which corresponds to ~51- and ~80-fold

sequencing depth, respectively. The *de novo* genome assembly produced one complete

circular chromosome that is 769,143 bp in size with 23.3% G+C content; no plasmid was

found (**Figure 2**).

The annotation of this phytoplasma genome contains two complete sets of 16S-23S-

5S rRNA gene clusters, 31 tRNA genes, 725 coding sequences (CDSs), and 13 pseudogenes.

Both copies of the 16S rRNA gene are 100% identical to the reference sequence of '*Ca*. P.

luffae' LfWB (GenBank accession AF248956). Among the CDSs, 317 (44%) lacked any COG

functional category assignments. Among the CDSs that were assigned to specific functional

categories, those assigned to information storage and processing (e.g., replication,

transcription, and translation) represent the largest group that account for 32% of all CDSs.

In comparison, those assigned to cell process and metabolism account for 9% and 13%,

respectively. These observations are consistent with findings from characterization of other

phytoplasmas and more distantly related Mollicutes (e.g., *Spiroplasma*, *Entomoplasma*, and

Mycoplasma) (Chen et al., 2012; Kube et al., 2012; Lo et al., 2018). The observation that a

large fraction of genes lack specific functional annotation may be attributed to the elevated

evolutionary rates of Mollicutes and their distant phylogenetic relationships from model

organisms (Wu and Eisen, 2008; Wu et al., 2009; McCutcheon and Moran, 2012). The

observation that genes related to information storage and processing genes are relatively

abundant compared to those involved in metabolism is common among symbiotic bacteria

with small genomes (McCutcheon and Moran, 2012; Lo et al., 2016).

Other than the low G+C content and reduced gene content, phytoplasma genomes

are generally known to be repetitive, partly due to the presence of PMUs (Sugio and

Hogenhout, 2012). Interestingly, the genome of this strain is far more repetitive than other

phytoplasmas that have been characterized. On average, PMU-associated genes account for

~4.7% (Std. Dev. = 2.7%) of the genome size among those 19 representative phytoplasma

genomes analyzed (**Table 1**). For strain NCHU2019, there are 117 PMU-associated genes

that are organized into at least 13 distinct PMU regions (**Figure 2**) and account for 11% of

the chromosome length. Additionally, a pair of chromosomal segments, each is ~75 kb in

size, were found to be duplicated (positions: 315,975-391,140 and 391,446-466,612). These

parts of the assembly were verified based on manual inspection of the ONT long reads

mapping result. Together, these two duplicated segments and the 13 PMUs account for 25%

of the chromosome length. The explanation for the high genome repetitiveness of strain

NCHU2019 compared to other phytoplasmas is unclear.

<u>Comparisons with Closely Related Phytoplasmas</u>

Based on the established classification scheme and a previous study of 16S rRNA

gene phylogeny (Davis et al., 2017), '*Ca*. P. luffae' belongs to group 16SrVIII and is most

closely related to '*Ca*. P. malaysianum' (group 16SrXXXII; GenBank accession EU371934)

(Nejat et al., 2013). These two species-level taxa have 95.9% sequence identity (i.e.,

1,463/1,526 aligned nucleotides) in their 16S rRNA genes. However, no genome sequence is

available for '*Ca*. P. malaysianum' for comparative analysis.

Other than '*Ca*. P. malaysianum', the next closest relatives of '*Ca*. P. luffae' include

phytoplasmas belonging to groups 16SrV ('*Ca*. P. vitis' and '*Ca*. P. ziziphi'), 16SrVI ('*Ca*. P.

sudamericanum' and '*Ca*. P. trifolii'), and 16SrVII ('*Ca*. P. fraxini'). Among these, one

complete genome sequence (GenBank accession CP025121) is available for '*Ca*. P. ziziphi'

strain Jwb-nky (Wang et al., 2018a), which represents the most closely related lineage for

comparative analysis (**Figure 3**). Comparison based on the 16S rRNA gene sequences

indicated that '*Ca*. P. luffae' NCHU2019 and '*Ca*. P. ziziphi' Jwb-nky have 94.9% sequence

identity (i.e., 1,447/1,524 aligned nucleotides). For whole-genome comparison, only 49% of

the chromosomal segments can be mapped between these two strains and these segments

have < 80% ANI. Pairwise genome alignment indicated that the most of the conserved

regions correspond to PMUs (**Figure 4**), which further supports that the sequence

divergence between these two genomes is too high for nucleotide-level comparisons. The

lack of chromosome-level synteny conservation was commonly reported in previous

comparisons between complete genome sequences of phytoplasmas (Bai et al., 2006; Tran-

Nguyen et al., 2008; Andersen et al., 2013; Orlovskis et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018a), even

for '*Ca*. P. asteris' strains sharing > 99.9% 16S rRNA gene sequence identity and > 98.1%

genome-wide ANI (Cho et al., 2020a). These observations may be attributed to the strong

nucleotide composition biases, the high mutation accumulation rates, and the influence of

PMUs (Cho et al., 2020a).

Detailed Characterization of PMUs

To better understand the roles of PMUs in phytoplasma genome evolution, we

conducted detailed characterization of these mobile genetic elements. Among the 13 PMUs

identified in '*Ca*. P. luffae' NCHU2019 (**Figure 5A**), 11 are considered as complete ones and

range from 14 to 18 kb in size. The remaining two (i.e., #6 and #7) are both 12 kb in size and

appear to be truncated. These PMUs are interspersed across the entire chromosome and

there is no obvious pattern of clustering (**Figures 2 and 4**). Two sets of PMUs (i.e., #4-6 and

#7-9) are associated with the two 75-kb repeat regions. Notably, one set of junctions for

these large repeats (chromosomal positions 315,975 and 466,612) are located inside PMU

#4 (positions 308,032..323,983) and PMU #9 (positions 458,604..475,923). This finding

suggests that homologous recombination facilitated by PMUs may have facilitated the

segmental duplication of this chromosome, which in turn further increased the PMU copy

number.

250   Based on initial characterization of the PMUs in 'Ca. P. asteris' AYWB genome, eight

core genes were identified (Bai et al., 2006). As more genome sequences become available

from more diverse phytoplasmas, we are able to include representatives of 13 'Ca. P.'

species from all three phylogenetic clusters in this analysis (**Table 1**). Compared to other

phytoplasmas, 'Ca. P. luffae' NCHU2019 has the highest number of PMUs and is distinctive

in that all of its PMUs are similar (**Figure 5A**). Among the 11 complete PMUs in this genome,

the eight PMU core genes and *rad50* are all organized in the same order. The minor

variation in gene organization is mainly in between *rad50* and *tmk*, where genes encode

different putative secreted proteins and hypothetical proteins are found. For comparison, in

the closely related 'Ca. P. ziziphi' Jwb-nky of cluster III, the four PMUs exhibit much higher

levels of diversity in gene organization between *hflB* and *tmk* (**Figure 5A**). For the distantly

related 'Ca. P. asteris' AYWB and 'Ca. P. australiense' PAa of cluster I, high levels of intra-

genomic PMU diversity are also observed (**Figure 5B**).

263   Based on the presence/absence pattern and order of eight PMU core genes defined

previously (Bai et al., 2006), we found that the PMUs in these representative phytoplasma

genomes can be classified into three major types (**Figure 5B**). Type A PMUs, in which *tmk* is

upstream of *dnaB*, are the most common ones that include PMUs found in phylogenetic

clusters I (e.g., 'Ca. P. asteris' and 'Ca. P. australiense') and III (e.g., 'Ca. P. luffae', 'Ca. P.

ziziphi', and 'Ca. P. aurantifolia'). Type B PMUs are shorter, have *tmk* downstream of *dnaB*,

and are found only in 'Ca. P. australiense' that belongs to cluster I. Type C is the rarest type,

with only one representative found in 'Ca. P. mali' that belongs to cluster II, and has *hflB*

and *dnaG* located in between *tmk* and *dnaB*. In addition to the gene organization, molecular

phylogenies of *dnaB* and *tmk* also revealed divergence among homologs from different

PMU types (**Figure 6**). These patterns provide further support for our PMU classification

scheme. It is interesting to note that regardless of PMU copy numbers, most of the

phytoplasmas with genome sequences available harbor only one single type of PMUs. '*Ca*. P.

australiense' is the only exception that harbors both type A and B PMUs. Future

improvements in sampling more diverse phytoplasma genomes, particularly cluster II

lineages, are necessary to provide a more comprehensive understanding of PMU diversity.

PMU and Phytoplasma Genome Size Variation

One notable observation regarding phytoplasma genomes is the extensive size

variation at both between- and within-species levels (**Table 1**). Previous within-species

comparisons suggested that PMU abundance is an important factor (Bai et al., 2006;

Andersen et al., 2013). To further test if this pattern holds true for genus-level analysis, we

performed regression analysis to examine the correlation between the combined length of

PMU core genes and genome size. Strikingly, when all 19 representative phytoplasma

genome assemblies were examined together, PMU gene length explains 79% of the variance

in genome sizes (r = 0.89, p = 3.7e-07) (**Figure 7**). Due to the concern that draft assemblies

cannot provide accurate information regarding these two metrics, we also performed

regression analysis with only the 10 complete assemblies and obtained similar results (r =

0.87, p = 9.7e-04). Given that the evolution of bacterial genome sizes may be affected by

multiple factors (Konstantinidis and Tiedje, 2004; Ochman and Davalos, 2006; Kuo et al.,

2009; Novichkov et al., 2009; McCutcheon and Moran, 2012; Lo et al., 2016; Sabater-Muñoz

et al., 2017; Weinert and Welch, 2017), the strong correlation between PMU abundance

and phytoplasma genome size is surprising. Notably, as genome reduction appears to be a

common and recurring theme of symbiont evolution, the roles of PMU in genome expansion

of some phytoplasmas require further investigation. Because PMUs are known to be

associated with effector genes and likely can transfer horizontally between closely- (Cho et

al., 2019; Seruga Music et al., 2019) or distantly-related phytoplasmas (Chung et al., 2013;

Ku et al., 2013), the involvement of PMUs in phytoplasma effector gene content evolution is

particularly important.

<u>Effector Genes</u>

An important feature of phytoplasmas is their ability to modulate host plant

development through effectors, which are small secreted proteins (Sugio et al., 2011b;

Sugio and Hogenhout, 2012). To date, four phytoplasma effectors have been experimentally

characterized, including SAP05 (Gamboa et al., 2019; Huang and Hogenhout, 2019; Huang et

al., 2021), SAP11/SWP1 (Bai et al., 2009; Sugio et al., 2011a; Lu et al., 2014; Chang et al.,

2018; Wang et al., 2018c, 2018b), SAP54/PHYL1 (MacLean et al., 2011; Maejima et al., 2014;

Orlovskis and Hogenhout, 2016), and TENGU (Hoshi et al., 2009; Sugawara et al., 2013;

Minato et al., 2014). The expanded genome sequence availability allowed us to investigate

the phylogenetic distribution of homologous effector genes among diverse phytoplasmas.

The highly variable distribution patterns suggest that the effector gene content may have

rapid turnover during the diversification of phytoplasmas (**Figure 8**). For example, at within-

species level comparison among '*Ca*. P. asteris' strains, the patterns of presence/absence

and gene copy number are variable for three of these effectors as we reported previously

(Cho et al., 2020a). At genus-level, TENGU appears to be restricted to and conserved among

16SrI lineages in cluster I (i.e., '*Ca*. P. asteris' and '*Ca*. P. tritici'), while the other three

effectors are variable. Based on these patterns, it is likely that TENGU originated in the most

recent common ancestor (MRCA) of 16SrI phytoplasmas. However, for the other three

effectors, it is unclear if the MRCA of all extant phytoplasmas harbor these genes or not. If

yes, then multiple independent gene losses are required to explain the distribution of these

genes among extant phytoplasmas. Alternatively, multiple independent origins, likely

mediated by PMU-mediated horizontal gene transfer (Chung et al., 2013; Cho et al., 2019;

Seruga Music et al., 2019), are required to explain the gene distribution pattern. Another

interesting observation is that several phytoplasmas do not possess any of these four

effector genes. It is likely that these phytoplasmas harbor novel effector genes that are yet

to be characterized and further investigation is required to obtain a more complete picture

of phytoplasma effector diversity.

For closer inspection of these four known effectors, we performed multiple

sequence alignments to examine the protein sequence divergence among homologs (**Figure**

9). Consistent with the expectation derived from their phylogenetic distribution patterns,

the three effector genes found among diverse phytoplasmas have higher levels of sequence

divergence compared to phylogenetically restricted TENGU homologs.

For copy number variation, '*Ca*. P. luffae' NCHU2019 stands out as having the highest

copy numbers for SAP11 and SAP54 (**Figure 8**). These homologous genes are all located

within PMUs (**Figure 5**) and have nearly identical sequences (**Figure 9**), which suggest that

recent intra-genomic PMU duplications are responsible for expansions in effector gene copy

numbers. Similar patterns were observed for SAP11 and SAP54 homologs in '*Ca*. P. ziziphi'

Jwb-nky. Experimental characterization of the two SAP11 homologs in '*Ca*. P. ziziphi'

demonstrated that both can stimulate lateral bud outgrowth for witches' broom symptoms

when expressed in *Nicotiana bentha*miana (Zhou et al., 2021). Intriguingly, in phytoplasma-

infected jujube plants, these two SAP11 homologs have different expression patterns

among tissue types. These findings suggest that such gene duplication events may lead to

neofunctionalization or subfunctionalization, thus promoting the genetic diversity of

effectors.

Conclusion

In this work, we determined the complete genome sequence of an uncultivated '*Ca*.

P. luffae' strain associated with the witches' broom disease of loofah. This assembly

provides the first representative genome sequence for the 16SrVIII group of phytoplasmas

and improves the taxon sampling of these diverse plant-pathogenic bacteria. For

comparative genomics analysis conducted at genus level, we provided a global view of the

PMUs (i.e., phytoplasma-specific mobile genetic elements) and identified three major PMU

types that differ in gene organization and phylogenetic distribution. Importantly, statistical

analysis revealed that PMU abundance explains nearly 80% of the variance in phytoplasma

genome sizes, thus providing a quantitative estimate on the importance of these elements.

Finally, our investigation of effector genes highlighted the genetic diversity associated with

phytoplasma virulence and established the roles of PMUs in shaping such diversity.

Data Availability

This genome sequencing project was deposited in the NCBI under BioProject

PRJNA636624. The raw reads were deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under the

accessions SRR11921288 (Illumina MiSeq paired-end reads) and SRR11921289 (ONT MinION

reads). The complete and annotated genome sequence of '*Ca*. P. luffae' NCHU2019 was

deposited in GenBank under the accession CP054393.

Author Contribution

JYY and CHK conceptualized the study, acquired funding, and supervised the project.

JYY, YCC, and CMT provided the biological materials. CMT and STC coordinated the

sequencing. CMT conducted the initial genome assembly. STC completed the assembly. STC

and CTH conducted the comparative analysis and prepared the figures. CTH and CHK wrote

the draft. All authors approved the submitted version.

Funding

Work in the Yang lab was supported by grants-in-aid from the Ministry of Science

and Technology (110-2628-B-005-002) and the Advanced Plant Biotechnology Center from

the Featured Areas Research Center Program within the framework of the Higher Education

Sprout Project by the Ministry of Education in Taiwan. Work in the Kuo lab was supported

by Academia Sinica and the Ministry of Science and Technology (106-2311-B-001-028-MY3)

of Taiwan. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or

the decision to submit the work for publication.

Acknowledgments

We thank Ai-Ping Chen and Shu-Jen Chou for technical assistance. The Illumina

sequencing library preparation service was provided by the Genomic Technology Core

Facility (Institute of Plant and Microbial Biology, Academia Sinica, Taipei. Taiwan). The

Illumina paired-end sequencing service was provided by the Genomics Core Facility

(Institute of Molecular Biology, Academia Sinica, Taipei. Taiwan).

Conflict of Interest Statement

397   **References**

398   Andersen, M. T., Liefting, L. W., Havukkala, I., and Beever, R. E. (2013). Comparison of the
399   complete genome sequence of two closely related isolates of 'Candidatus
400   Phytoplasma australiense' reveals genome plasticity. *BMC Genomics* 14, 529.
401   doi:10.1186/1471-2164-14-529.

402   Armenteros, J. J. A., Tsirigos, K. D., Sønderby, C. K., Petersen, T. N., Winther, O., Brunak, S.,
403   et al. (2019). SignalP 5.0 improves signal peptide predictions using deep neural
404   networks. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 37, 420–423. doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0036-z.

405   Bai, X., Correa, V. R., Toruño, T. Y., Ammar, E.-D., Kamoun, S., and Hogenhout, S. A. (2009).
406   AY-WB phytoplasma secretes a protein that targets plant cell nuclei. *Mol. Plant.*
407   *Microbe Interact.* 22, 18–30. doi:10.1094/MPMI-22-1-0018.

408   Bai, X., Zhang, J., Ewing, A., Miller, S. A., Jancso Radek, A., Shevchenko, D. V., et al. (2006).
409   Living with genome instability: the adaptation of phytoplasmas to diverse
410   environments of their insect and plant hosts. *J. Bacteriol.* 188, 3682–3696.
411   doi:10.1128/JB.188.10.3682-3696.2006.

412   Benson, D. A., Cavanaugh, M., Clark, K., Karsch-Mizrachi, I., Ostell, J., Pruitt, K. D., et al.
413   (2018). GenBank. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, D41–D47. doi:10.1093/nar/gkx1094.

414   Bertaccini, A., Duduk, B., Paltrinieri, S., and Contaldo, N. (2014). Phytoplasmas and
415   phytoplasma diseases: a severe threat to agriculture. *Am. J. Plant Sci.* 05, 1763–1788.
416   doi:10.4236/ajps.2014.512191.

417   Bertaccini, A., and Lee, I.-M. (2018). "Phytoplasmas: An update," in *Phytoplasmas: Plant*
418   *Pathogenic Bacteria - I: Characterisation and Epidemiology of Phytoplasma -*
419   *Associated Diseases*, eds. G. P. Rao, A. Bertaccini, N. Fiore, and L. W. Liefting
420   (Singapore: Springer Singapore), 1–29. doi:10.1007/978-981-13-0119-3_1.

421   Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K., et al. (2009).
422   BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics* 10, 421.
423   doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-421.

424   Chang, S. H., Tan, C. M., Wu, C.-T., Lin, T.-H., Jiang, S.-Y., Liu, R.-C., et al. (2018). Alterations
425   of plant architecture and phase transition by the phytoplasma virulence factor SAP11.
426   *J. Exp. Bot.* 69, 5389–5401. doi:10.1093/jxb/ery318.

427   Chen, L.-L., Chung, W.-C., Lin, C.-P., and Kuo, C.-H. (2012). Comparative analysis of gene
428   content evolution in phytoplasmas and mycoplasmas. *PLOS ONE* 7, e34407.
429   doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034407.

430   Cho, S.-T., Kung, H.-J., Huang, W., Hogenhout, S. A., and Kuo, C.-H. (2020a). Species
431   boundaries and molecular markers for the classification of 16SrI phytoplasmas
432   inferred by genome analysis. *Front. Microbiol.* 11, 1531.
433   doi:10.3389/fmicb.2020.01531.

Cho, S.-T., Lin, C.-P., and Kuo, C.-H. (2019). Genomic characterization of the periwinkle leaf
yellowing (PLY) phytoplasmas in Taiwan. *Front. Microbiol.* 10, 2194.
doi:10.3389/fmicb.2019.02194.

Cho, S.-T., Zwolińska, A., Huang, W., Wouters, R. H. M., Mugford, S. T., Hogenhout, S. A., et
al. (2020b). Complete genome sequence of "*Candidatus* Phytoplasma asteris" RP166,
a plant pathogen associated with rapeseed phyllody disease in Poland. *Microbiol.*
Resour. Announc. 9. doi:10.1128/MRA.00760-20.

Chung, W.-C., Chen, L.-L., Lo, W.-S., Lin, C.-P., and Kuo, C.-H. (2013). Comparative analysis of
the peanut witches'-broom phytoplasma genome reveals horizontal transfer of
potential mobile units and effectors. *PLOS ONE* 8, e62770.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0062770.

Davis, R. E., Zhao, Y., Wei, W., Dally, E. L., and Lee, I.-M. (2017). '*Candidatus* Phytoplasma
luffae', a novel taxon associated with witches' broom disease of loofah, Luffa
aegyptica Mill. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 67, 3127–3133.
doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.001980.

Edgar, R. C. (2004). MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high
throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32, 1792–1797. doi:10.1093/nar/gkh340.

Felsenstein, J. (1989). PHYLIP - phylogeny inference package (version 3.2). *Cladistics* 5, 164–
166.

Gamboa, C., Cui, W., Quiroga, N., Fernández, C., Fiore, N., and Zamorano, A. (2019).
Identification of 16SrIII-J phytoplasma effectors using a viral vector. *Phytopathogenic*
Mollicutes 9, 229. doi:10.5958/2249-4677.2019.00115.4.

Guindon, S., and Gascuel, O. (2003). A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large
phylogenies by maximum likelihood. *Syst. Biol.* 52, 696–704.
doi:10.1080/10635150390235520.

Gundersen, D. E., and Lee, I.-M. (1996). Ultrasensitive detection of phytoplasmas by nested-
PCR assays using two universal primer pairs. *Phytopathol. Mediterr.* 35, 144–151.

Guy, L., Roat Kultima, J., and Andersson, S. G. E. (2010). genoPlotR: comparative gene and
genome visualization in R. *Bioinformatics* 26, 2334–2335.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq413.

Hogenhout, S. A., Oshima, K., Ammar, E.-D., Kakizawa, S., Kingdom, H. N., and Namba, S.
(2008). Phytoplasmas: bacteria that manipulate plants and insects. *Mol. Plant Pathol.*
9, 403–423. doi:10.1111/j.1364-3703.2008.00472.x.

Hogenhout, S. A., and Seruga Music, M. (2009). "Phytoplasma genomics, from sequencing to
comparative and functional genomics - what have we learnt?," in *Phytoplasmas:*
genomes, plant hosts and vectors (CABI), 19–36.

Hoshi, A., Oshima, K., Kakizawa, S., Ishii, Y., Ozeki, J., Hashimoto, M., et al. (2009). A unique
virulence factor for proliferation and dwarfism in plants identified from a
phytopathogenic bacterium. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 106, 6416–6421.
doi:10.1073/pnas.0813038106.

Huang, W., and Hogenhout, S. A. (2019). Phytoplasma effectors have converged onto
degrading plant transcription factors with fundamental roles in plant development
and defense to insects. in *IS-MPMI XVIII Congress Abstracts of Poster Presentations*
(Glasgow, UK: IS-MPMI), 158. doi:https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-32-10-S1.1.

Huang, W., MacLean, A. M., Sugio, A., Maqbool, A., Busscher, M., Cho, S.-T., et al. (2021).
Parasite co-opts a ubiquitin receptor to induce a plethora of developmental changes.
bioRxiv, 2021.02.15.430920. doi:10.1101/2021.02.15.430920.

Hyatt, D., Chen, G.-L., LoCascio, P., Land, M., Larimer, F., and Hauser, L. (2010). Prodigal:
prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. *BMC*
Bioinformatics 11, 119. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-11-119.

Jain, C., Rodriguez-R, L. M., Phillippy, A. M., Konstantinidis, K. T., and Aluru, S. (2018). High
throughput ANI analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species
boundaries. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 5114. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-07641-9.

Kanehisa, M., Goto, S., Furumichi, M., Tanabe, M., and Hirakawa, M. (2010). KEGG for
representation and analysis of molecular networks involving diseases and drugs.
Nucleic Acids Res. 38, D355–D360. doi:10.1093/nar/gkp896.

Kirdat, K., Tiwarekar, B., Thorat, V., Sathe, S., Shouche, Y., and Yadav, A. (2021). "*Candidatus*
Phytoplasma sacchari", a novel taxon - associated with Sugarcane Grassy Shoot
(SCGS) disease. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 71, 004591. doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.004591.

Konstantinidis, K. T., and Tiedje, J. M. (2004). Trends between gene content and genome
size in prokaryotic species with larger genomes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 101, 3160–
3165. doi:10.1073/pnas.0308653100.

Krogh, A., Larsson, B., von Heijne, G., and Sonnhammer, E. L. L. (2001). Predicting
transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to
complete genomes. *J. Mol. Biol.* 305, 567–580. doi:10.1006/jmbi.2000.4315.

Krzywinski, M., Schein, J., Birol, İ., Connors, J., Gascoyne, R., Horsman, D., et al. (2009).
Circos: an information aesthetic for comparative genomics. *Genome Res.* 19, 1639–
1645. doi:10.1101/gr.092759.109.

Ku, C., Lo, W.-S., and Kuo, C.-H. (2013). Horizontal transfer of potential mobile units in
phytoplasmas. *Mob. Genet. Elem.* 3, e26145. doi:10.4161/mge.26145.

Kube, M., Mitrovic, J., Duduk, B., Rabus, R., and Seemüller, E. (2012). Current view on
phytoplasma genomes and encoded metabolism. *Sci. World J.* 2012, 1–25.
doi:10.1100/2012/185942.

Kube, M., Schneider, B., Kuhl, H., Dandekar, T., Heitmann, K., Migdoll, A., et al. (2008). The
linear chromosome of the plant-pathogenic mycoplasma "*Candidatus* Phytoplasma
mali." *BMC Genomics* 9, 306. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-9-306.

Kuo, C.-H., Moran, N. A., and Ochman, H. (2009). The consequences of genetic drift for
bacterial genome complexity. *Genome Res.* 19, 1450–1454.
doi:10.1101/gr.091785.109.

Kurtz, S., Phillippy, A., Delcher, A. L., Smoot, M., Shumway, M., Antonescu, C., et al. (2004).
Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. *Genome Biol.* 5, R12.
doi:10.1186/gb-2004-5-2-r12.

Lagesen, K., Hallin, P., Rodland, E. A., Staerfeldt, H.-H., Rognes, T., and Ussery, D. W. (2007).
RNAmmer: consistent and rapid annotation of ribosomal RNA genes. *Nucleic Acids
Res.* 35, 3100–3108. doi:10.1093/nar/gkm160.

Lee, I.-M., Davis, R. E., and Gundersen-Rindal, D. E. (2000). Phytoplasma: phytopathogenic
mollicutes. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 54, 221–255. doi:10.1146/annurev.micro.54.1.221.

Lee, I.-M., Gundersen-Rindal, D. E., Davis, R. E., and Bartoszyk, I. M. (1998). Revised
classification scheme of phytoplasmas based on RFLP analyses of 16S rRNA and
ribosomal protein gene sequences. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 48, 1153–1169.
doi:10.1099/00207713-48-4-1153.

Lee, I.-M., Hammond, R., Davis, R., and Gundersen, D. (1993). Universal amplification and
analysis of pathogen 16S rDNA for classification and identification of mycoplasmalike
organisms. *Phytopathology* 83, 834–842. doi:10.1094/phyto-83-834.

Li, H. (2018). Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* 34,
3094–3100. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty191.

Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler
transform. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1754–1760. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324.

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., et al. (2009). The
Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25, 2078–2079.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.

Li, L., Stoeckert, C. J., and Roos, D. S. (2003). OrthoMCL: identification of ortholog groups for
eukaryotic genomes. *Genome Res.* 13, 2178–2189. doi:10.1101/gr.1224503.

Lo, W.-S., Gasparich, G. E., and Kuo, C.-H. (2018). Convergent evolution among ruminant-
pathogenic *Mycoplasma* involved extensive gene content changes. *Genome Biol.
Evol.* 10, 2130–2139. doi:10.1093/gbe/evy172.

Lo, W.-S., Huang, Y.-Y., and Kuo, C.-H. (2016). Winding paths to simplicity: genome evolution
in facultative insect symbionts. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 40, 855–874.
doi:10.1093/femsre/fuw028.

Lowe, T., and Eddy, S. (1997). tRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer
RNA genes in genomic sequence. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 25, 955–964.
doi:10.1093/nar/25.5.955.

Lu, Y.-T., Li, M.-Y., Cheng, K.-T., Tan, C. M., Su, L.-W., Lin, W.-Y., et al. (2014). Transgenic
plants that express the phytoplasma effector SAP11 show altered phosphate
starvation and defense responses. *Plant Physiol.* 164, 1456–1469.
doi:10.1104/pp.113.229740.

MacLean, A. M., Sugio, A., Makarova, O. V., Findlay, K. C., Grieve, V. M., Tóth, R., et al.
(2011). Phytoplasma effector SAP54 induces indeterminate leaf-like flower
development in Arabidopsis plants. *Plant Physiol.* 157, 831–841.
doi:10.1104/pp.111.181586.

Maejima, K., Iwai, R., Himeno, M., Komatsu, K., Kitazawa, Y., Fujita, N., et al. (2014).
Recognition of floral homeotic MADS domain transcription factors by a phytoplasmal
effector, phyllogen, induces phyllody. *Plant J.* 78, 541–554. doi:10.1111/tpj.12495.

McCutcheon, J. P., and Moran, N. A. (2012). Extreme genome reduction in symbiotic
bacteria. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 10, 13–26. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2670.

Minato, N., Himeno, M., Hoshi, A., Maejima, K., Komatsu, K., Takebayashi, Y., et al. (2014).
The phytoplasmal virulence factor TENGU causes plant sterility by downregulating of
the jasmonic acid and auxin pathways. *Sci. Rep.* 4, 7399. doi:10.1038/srep07399.

Namba, S. (2019). Molecular and biological properties of phytoplasmas. *Proc. Jpn. Acad. Ser.*
B 95, 401–418. doi:10.2183/pjab.95.028.

Nejat, N., Vadamalai, G., Davis, R. E., Harrison, N. A., Sijam, K., Dickinson, M., et al. (2013).
'*Candidatus* Phytoplasma malaysianum', a novel taxon associated with virescence
and phyllody of Madagascar periwinkle (*Catharanthus roseus*). *Int. J. Syst. Evol.*
Microbiol. 63, 540–548. doi:10.1099/ijs.0.041467-0.

Novichkov, P. S., Wolf, Y. I., Dubchak, I., and Koonin, E. V. (2009). Trends in prokaryotic
evolution revealed by comparison of closely related bacterial and archaeal genomes.
J. Bacteriol. 191, 65–73. doi:10.1128/JB.01237-08.

Ochman, H., and Davalos, L. M. (2006). The nature and dynamics of bacterial genomes.
Science 311, 1730–1733. doi:10.1126/science.1119966.

Orlovskis, Z., Canale, M. C., Haryono, M., Lopes, J. R. S., Kuo, C.-H., and Hogenhout, S. A.
(2017). A few sequence polymorphisms among isolates of maize bushy stunt
phytoplasma associate with organ proliferation symptoms of infected maize plants.
Ann. Bot. 119, 869–884. doi:10.1093/aob/mcw213.

Orlovskis, Z., and Hogenhout, S. A. (2016). A bacterial parasite effector mediates insect
vector attraction in host plants independently of developmental changes. *Front.*
Plant Sci. 7, 885. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.00885.

Oshima, K., Kakizawa, S., Nishigawa, H., Jung, H.-Y., Wei, W., Suzuki, S., et al. (2004).
Reductive evolution suggested from the complete genome sequence of a plant-
pathogenic phytoplasma. *Nat. Genet.* 36, 27–29. doi:10.1038/ng1277.

Robinson, J. T., Thorvaldsdottir, H., Winckler, W., Guttman, M., Lander, E. S., Getz, G., et al.
(2011). Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 29, 24–26.
doi:10.1038/nbt.1754.

Sabater-Muñoz, B., Toft, C., Alvarez-Ponce, D., and Fares, M. A. (2017). Chance and
necessity in the genome evolution of endosymbiotic bacteria of insects. *ISME J.* 11,
1291–1304. doi:10.1038/ismej.2017.18.

Saccardo, F., Martini, M., Palmano, S., Ermacora, P., Scortichini, M., Loi, N., et al. (2012).
Genome drafts of four phytoplasma strains of the ribosomal group 16SrIII.
Microbiology 158, 2805–2814. doi:10.1099/mic.0.061432-0.

Seruga Music, M., Samarzija, I., Hogenhout, S. A., Haryono, M., Cho, S.-T., and Kuo, C.-H.
(2019). The genome of 'Candidatus Phytoplasma solani' strain SA-1 is highly dynamic
and prone to adopting foreign sequences. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 42, 117–127.
doi:10.1016/j.syapm.2018.10.008.

Sugawara, K., Honma, Y., Komatsu, K., Himeno, M., Oshima, K., and Namba, S. (2013). The
alteration of plant morphology by small peptides released from the proteolytic
processing of the bacterial peptide TENGU. *Plant Physiol.* 162, 2005–2014.
doi:10.1104/pp.113.218586.

Sugio, A., and Hogenhout, S. A. (2012). The genome biology of phytoplasma: modulators of
plants and insects. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 15, 247–254.
doi:10.1016/j.mib.2012.04.002.

Sugio, A., Kingdom, H. N., MacLean, A. M., Grieve, V. M., and Hogenhout, S. A. (2011a).
Phytoplasma protein effector SAP11 enhances insect vector reproduction by
manipulating plant development and defense hormone biosynthesis. *Proc. Natl.*
Acad. Sci. 108, E1254–E1263. doi:10.1073/pnas.1105664108.

Sugio, A., MacLean, A. M., Kingdom, H. N., Grieve, V. M., Manimekalai, R., and Hogenhout, S.
A. (2011b). Diverse targets of phytoplasma effectors: from plant development to
defense against insects. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 49, 175–195. doi:10.1146/annurev-
phyto-072910-095323.

Tatusov, R., Fedorova, N., Jackson, J., Jacobs, A., Kiryutin, B., Koonin, E., et al. (2003). The
COG database: an updated version includes eukaryotes. *BMC Bioinformatics* 4, 41.
doi:10.1186/1471-2105-4-41.

The IRPCM Phytoplasma/Spiroplasma Working Team - Phytoplasma taxonomy group (2004).
"Candidatus Phytoplasma", a taxon for the wall-less, non-helical prokaryotes that
colonize plant phloem and insects. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 54, 1243–1255.
doi:10.1099/ijs.0.02854-0.

Tran-Nguyen, L. T. T., Kube, M., Schneider, B., Reinhardt, R., and Gibb, K. S. (2008).
Comparative genome analysis of "*Candidatus* Phytoplasma australiense" (subgroup
tuf-Australia I; *rp*-A) and "*Ca*. Phytoplasma asteris" strains OY-M and AY-WB. *J.*
Bacteriol. 190, 3979–3991. doi:10.1128/JB.01301-07.

Wang, J., Song, L., Jiao, Q., Yang, S., Gao, R., Lu, X., et al. (2018a). Comparative genome
analysis of jujube witches'-broom phytoplasma, an obligate pathogen that causes
jujube witches'-broom disease. *BMC Genomics* 19, 689. doi:10.1186/s12864-018-
5075-1.

Wang, N., Li, Y., Chen, W., Yang, H. Z., Zhang, P. H., and Wu, Y. F. (2018b). Identification of
wheat blue dwarf phytoplasma effectors targeting plant proliferation and defence
responses. *Plant Pathol.* 67, 603–609. doi:10.1111/ppa.12786.

Wang, N., Yang, H., Yin, Z., Liu, W., Sun, L., and Wu, Y. (2018c). Phytoplasma effector SWP1
induces witches' broom symptom by destabilizing the TCP transcription factor
BRANCHED1. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 19, 2623–2634. doi:10.1111/mpp.12733.

Waterhouse, A. M., Procter, J. B., Martin, D. M. A., Clamp, M., and Barton, G. J. (2009).
Jalview version 2—a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench.
Bioinformatics 25, 1189–1191. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp033.

Weinert, L. A., and Welch, J. J. (2017). Why might bacterial pathogens have small genomes?
Trends Ecol. Evol. 32, 936–947. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2017.09.006.

Wu, D., Hugenholtz, P., Mavromatis, K., Pukall, R., Dalin, E., Ivanova, N. N., et al. (2009). A
phylogeny-driven genomic encyclopaedia of Bacteria and Archaea. *Nature* 462,
1056–1060. doi:10.1038/nature08656.

Wu, M., and Eisen, J. (2008). A simple, fast, and accurate method of phylogenomic inference.
Genome Biol. 9, R151. doi:10.1186/gb-2008-9-10-r151.

Zerbino, D. R., and Birney, E. (2008). Velvet: algorithms for *de novo* short read assembly
using de Bruijn graphs. *Genome Res.* 18, 821–829. doi:10.1101/gr.074492.107.

Zhao, Y., and Davis, R. E. (2016). Criteria for phytoplasma 16Sr group/subgroup delineation
and the need of a platform for proper registration of new groups and subgroups. *Int.*
J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 66, 2121–2123. doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.000999.

Zhao, Y., Wei, W., Davis, R. E., Lee, I.-M., and Bottner-Parker, K. D. (2021). The agent
associated with blue dwarf disease in wheat represents a new phytoplasma taxon,
'*Candidatus* Phytoplasma tritici.' *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.*
doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.004604.

Zhao, Y., Wei, W., Lee, I.-M., Shao, J., Suo, X., and Davis, R. E. (2009). Construction of an
interactive online phytoplasma classification tool, *i*PhyClassifier, and its application
in analysis of the peach X-disease phytoplasma group (16SrIII). *Int. J. Syst. Evol.*
Microbiol. 59, 2582–2593. doi:10.1099/ijs.0.010249-0.

Zhou, J., Ma, F., Yao, Y., Deng, M., Chen, M., Zhang, S., et al. (2021). JWB phytoplasma
effectors SJP1 and SJP2 induce lateral bud outgrowth by repressing the ZjBRC1-
controlled auxin efflux channel. *Plant Cell Environ.* n/a. doi:10.1111/pce.14141.

Table

Table 1. List of the genome assemblies analyzed. For each strain, information regarding the

16S rRNA gene (16Sr) group, genome accession number, assembly status, genome size,

coding sequence (CDS) count, potential mobile unit (PMU) gene count, and combined length

of PMU genes are provided. The PMU gene information is based on homologs of eight core

genes (i.e., *tra5*, *dnaB*, *dnaG*, *tmk*, *hflB*, *himA*, *ssb*, and *rpoD*); other genes such as those

encode hypothetical proteins or putative secreted proteins are excluded. *Acholeplasma*

laidlawii is included as an outgroup.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Infection symptoms. (A) The loofah plant exhibiting phytoplasma infection

symptoms that was collected in Changhua, Taiwan. (B) Loofah plants grown in the lab. Left,

healthy control; right, grafted with the infected plant shown in panel A.

Figure 2. Genome map of '*Candidatus* Phytoplasma luffae' NCHU2019. Rings from outside in:

(1) Scale marks (kb). (2 and 3) Coding sequences on the forward and reverse strand,

respectively. Color-coded by functional categories. (4) Genes associated with potential

mobile units (PMUs), color-coded by annotation (see **Figure 5**). Gene clusters that represent

individual PMUs are labeled by orange lines. Genes encode putative secreted proteins are in

red. (5) GC skew (positive: dark gray; negative: light gray); (6) GC content (above average:

dark gray; below average; light gray). One high GC peak located in the 531 to 544 kb region

corresponds to two adjacent rRNA operons.

Figure 3. Evolutionary relationships among representative phytoplasmas with genome

sequences available. The maximum likelihood phylogeny was inferred using a concatenated

alignment of 80 conserved single-copy genes (25,340 aligned amino acid sites). All internal

nodes received > 80% bootstrap support based on 1,000 re-sampling. Strains with complete

genome assemblies available are highlighted in bold. The number in parentheses following

the strain name indicates the genome size (in kb). The 16S rRNA gene (16Sr) group

assignments are labeled on the right. The three major phylogenetic clusters are indicated by

colored backgrounds (I: blue; II; green; III: yellow). *Acholeplasma laidlawii* is included as the

outgroup.

Figure 4. Pairwise genome alignments. The genome of '*Ca*. P. luffae' NCHU2019 was used as

the reference for comparison with (A) itself and (B) '*Ca*. P. ziziphi' Jwb-nky. Matches on the

same strand and the opposite strand are indicated in red and blue, respectively. Potential

mobile units (PMUs) are illustrated as orange arrows on both axes.

Figure 5. Gene organization of potential mobile units (PMUs). Each individual PMU is

labeled by the strain name and a numerical identifier. Background colors for the PMU

identifiers indicate the three phylogenetic clusters of phytoplasmas (I: blue; II: green; III:

yellow). Genes are drawn to scale and color-coded according to annotation. Homologs of

putative effectors identified in the '*Ca*. P. asteris' AYWB genomes are labeled, those with

experimental evidence (i.e., SAP05, SAP11, and SAP54) are highlighted in bold. (A) All PMUs

in '*Ca*. P. luffae' NCHU2019 and '*Ca*. P. ziziphi' Jwb-nky. Grouped by genomes. (B)

Representative PMUs from selected phytoplasmas. Grouped by PMU types.

Figure 6. Maximum likelihood phylogenies of PMU core genes (A) *tmk* (228 aligned amino

acid sites). (B) *dnaB* (531 aligned amino acid sites). In both panels, a non-PMU homolog is

included as the outgroup. Numbers next to internal branches indicate the bootstrap support

levels based on 1,000 re-sampling. Background colors for the gene identifiers indicate the

three phylogenetic clusters of phytoplasmas (I: blue; II; green; III: yellow).

Figure 7. Correlation between the combined length of PMU core genes and genome size.

Strains with complete and draft genome assemblies are indicated by filled and open circles,

respectively. The linear regression line was based on all available assemblies.

Figure 8. Phylogenetic distribution of known effector genes. Gene presence and absence are

indicated by filled (with copy numbers) and empty circles, respectively. The cladogram on

the left is based on **Figure 3**. Strains with complete genome assemblies available, which

have a higher confidence level for inferring gene presence and absence, are highlighted in

bold.

Figure 9. Protein sequence alignments of four characterized phytoplasma effectors. Shaded

background colors indicate the levels of sequence conservation. (A) SAP05. (B) SAP11/SWP1.

(C) SAP54/PHYL1. (D) TENGU.

Table 1. List of the genome assemblies analyzed. For each strain, information regarding the 16S rRNA gene (16Sr) group, genome accession number, assembly status, genome size, coding sequence (CDS) count, potential mobile unit (PMU) gene count, and combined length of PMU genes are provided. The PMU gene information is based on homologs of eight core genes (i.e., *tra5*, *dnaB*, *dnaG*, *tmk*, *hflB*, *himA*, *ssb*, and *rpoD*); other genes such as those encode hypothetical proteins or putative secreted proteins are excluded. *Acholeplasma laidlawii* is included as an outgroup.

Strain	16Sr Group	Accession	Assembly	Genome Size (bp)	CDS Count	PMU Gene Count	PMU Gene Length (bp)
'*Ca.* P. asteris' AYWB	I	GCF_000012225.1	Complete	706,569	514	112	49,188
'*Ca.* P. asteris' De Villa	I	GCF_004214875.1	Complete	600,116	521	21	11,958
'*Ca.* P. asteris' DY2014	I	GCA_005093185.1	8 contigs	824,596	775	89	48,761
'*Ca.* P. asteris' LD1	I	GCF_001866375.1	8 contigs	599,264	513	29	15,441
'*Ca.* P. asteris' M3	I	GCF_001712875.1	Complete	576,118	490	27	14,541
'*Ca.* P. asteris' OY-M	I	GCF_000009845.1	Complete	853,092	708	137	72,399
'*Ca.* P. tritici' WBD	I	GCF_000495255.1	6 contigs	611,462	471	45	19,549
'*Ca.* P. aurantifolia' NCHU2014	II	GCA_001307505.2	Complete	635,584	471	23	16,378
'*Ca.* P. pruni' CX	III	GCF_001277135.1	46 contigs	598,511	434	31	22,474
'*Ca.* P. ziziphi' Jwb-nky	V	GCF_003640545.1	Complete	750,803	641	69	49,824
'*Ca.* P. luffae' NCHU2019	VIII	GCA_018024475.1	Complete	769,143	725	117	84,321
'*Ca.* P. mali' AT	X	GCF_000026205.1	Complete	601,943	495	20	15,084
'*Ca.* P. oryzae' Mbita	XI	GCF_001578535.1	28 contigs	533,195	432	17	14,259
'*Ca.* P. sacchari' SCGS	XI	GCF_009268105.1	28 contigs	502,197	402	15	13,620
'*Ca.* P. australiense' NZSb11	XII	GCF_000397185.1	Complete	959,779	828	131	78,642
'*Ca.* P. australiense' PAa	XII	GCF_000069925.1	Complete	879,959	699	108	55,565
'*Ca.* P. solani' SA-1	XII	GCA_003698095.1	19 contigs	821,322	709	66	45,234
'*Ca.* P. cynodontis' LW01	XIV	GCF_009268075.1	23 contigs	483,935	425	13	11,856
'*Ca.* P. pini' MDPP	XXI	GCF_007821455.1	16 contigs	474,136	392	15	11,685
Acholeplasma laidlawii PG-8A	N/A	GCF_000018785.1	Complete	1,496,992	1,378	0	0

INFORMATION

- ■ [J] Translation
- ■ [K] Transcription
- ■ [L] Replication

POORLY CHARACTERIZED

- ■ [R] General prediction only
- ■ [S] Function unknown
- ■ [?] No COG assignment

CELL PROCESS

- ■ [D] Cell cycle
- ■ [V] Defense
- ■ [T] Signal transduction
- ■ [M] Cell wall, membrane, & envelope
- ■ [N] Motility
- ■ [W] Extracellular structure
- ■ [U] Trafficking, secretion, & transport
- ■ [O] Posttranslational modification
- ■ [X] Mobilome

METABOLISM

- ■ [C] Energy
- ■ [G] Carbohydrate
- ■ [E] Amino acid
- ■ [F] Nucleotide
- ■ [H] Coenzyme
- ■ [I] Lipid
- ■ [P] Inorganic ion
- ■ [Q] Secondary metabolites

'*Ca.* P. luffae' NCHU2019 (769) | VIII

'*Ca.* P. ziziphi' Jwb-nky (751) | V

'*Ca.* P. pini' MDPP (474) | XXI

'*Ca.* P. cynodontis' LW01 (483) | XIV

'*Ca.* P. sacchari' SCGS (502) | XI

'*Ca.* P. oryzae' Mbita1 (533) |

'*Ca.* P. aurantifolia' NCHU2014 (636) | II

'*Ca.* P. pruni' CX (599) | III

'*Ca.* P. mali' AT (602) | X

'*Ca.* P. asteris' DY2014 (825) |

'*Ca.* P. asteris' OY-M (853) |

'*Ca.* P. asteris' De Villa (600) | I

'*Ca.* P. asteris' M3 (576) |

'*Ca.* P. asteris' LD1 (599) |

'*Ca.* P. asteris' AYWB (707) |

'*Ca.* P. tritici' WBD (611) |

'*Ca.* P. australiense' NZSb11 (960) |

'*Ca.* P. australiense' PAa (880) | XII

'*Ca.* P. solani' SA-1 (821) |

0.1

//— ***Acholeplasma laidlawii* (1,497)**

(A) *tmk*

(B) *dnaB*

(A) SAP05

EPWB_v2c3230		128
ATP_RS02540		135
EPWB_v2c2740		135
LFWB_3020		135
AYWB_032		135
N747_RS100715		137
PAM_RS03110		135
PLY_1120		135
CWO85_RS02045		136

Conservation

(B) SAP11/SWP1

ATP_RS00990		122
MBSPM3_RS00550		116
AYWB_370		121
PLY_3690		96
LFWB_0640		111
LFWB_5860		111
LFWB_1510		111
EPWB_v2c3970		113
CPX_RS02550		116
CWO85_RS00885		112
N747_RS0100020		111
PSSA_v1c1150		111
CWO85_RS02060		111
PAM_RS03115		111
PLY_1110		111

Conservation

(C) SAP54/PHYL1

AYWB_224		124
PAM_RS00330		125
PLY_0730		125
LFWB_3340		121
LFWB_4310		121
LFWB_7200		121
CWO85_RS00795		122
CWO85_RS03090		121
EPWB_v2c2400		122

Conservation

(D) TENGU

AYWB_168		70
BHE82_RS02390		70
EXT02_RS01135		70
MBSPM3_RS01195		70
PAM_RS02860		70
PLY_4530		70
N747_RS0101805		70

Conservation