Parvalbumin neurons, temporal coding, and cortical noise in complex scene analysis

- 3
- Authors: Jian Carlo Nocon^{1,2,3,4}, Howard J. Gritton^{5,6}, Nicholas M. James^{1,2,3,4}, Rebecca A.
 Mount^{1,2,3,4}, Zhili Qu^{5,6}, Xue Han^{1,2,3,4}, Kamal Sen^{1,2,3,4,*}
- ¹Neurophotonics Center, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America
 02215,
- ²Center for Systems Neuroscience, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, United States of
 America, 02215
- ³Hearing Research Center, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America,
 02215
- ⁴Department of Biomedical Engineering, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, United States
 of America, 02215
- ⁵Department of Comparative Biosciences, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois, United States of
 America, 61820
- ⁶Department of Bioengineering, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois, United States of America,
 61820
- 18 * Corresponding Author: kamalsen@bu.edu
- 19 Classification: Biological Sciences/Neuroscience

Keywords: Parvalbumin, cortical code, temporal code, cortical noise, cocktail party problem,
 complex scene analysis

Author contributions: J.C.N. and H.J.G. performed all experiments. J.C.N. analyzed the data. N.M.J. provided technical support and code for analysis. R.A.M. performed histological analysis. Z.Q. and H.J.G. performed additional experiments for control analysis. X.H. and K.S obtained funding and supervised the study. J.C.N., H.J.G., X.H., and K.S. wrote the manuscript and contributed to the interpretation of the results.

27 Abstract

28 Cortical representations supporting many cognitive abilities emerge from underlying 29 circuits comprised of several different cell types. However, cell type-specific contributions to rate 30 and timing-based cortical coding are not well-understood. Here, we investigated the role of 31 parvalbumin (PV) neurons in cortical complex scene analysis. Many complex scenes contain 32 sensory stimuli which are highly dynamic in time and compete with stimuli at other spatial locations. 33 PV neurons play a fundamental role in balancing excitation and inhibition in cortex and sculpting 34 cortical temporal dynamics; yet their specific role in encoding complex scenes via timing-based 35 coding, and the robustness of temporal representations to spatial competition, has not been 36 investigated. Here, we address these questions in auditory cortex using a cocktail party-like 37 paradigm, integrating electrophysiology, optogenetic manipulations, and a family of spike-distance 38 metrics, to dissect PV neurons' contributions towards rate and timing-based coding. We find that 39 suppressing PV neurons degrades cortical discrimination of dynamic sounds in a cocktail party-like 40 setting via changes in rapid temporal modulations in rate and spike timing, over a wide range of 41 time-scales. Our findings suggest that PV neurons play a critical role in enhancing cortical temporal 42 coding and reducing cortical noise, thereby improving representations of dynamic stimuli in 43 complex scenes.

44 Significance Statement

45 One impressive example of sensory perception by the brain is its ability to analyze complex scenes, e.g., following what a friend is saying at a party amongst other speakers. Although some 46 47 humans can solve this problem with relative ease, it remains very difficult for humans with a variety 48 of impairments, e.g., hearing impairments, ADHD, and autism. The brain mechanisms underlying 49 complex scene analysis remain poorly understood. Here, we recorded neural activity in auditory 50 cortex in a complex auditory scene. When we suppressed PV neuron activity in auditory cortex, 51 cortical performance decreased, and the timing of cortical responses was degraded. Our findings 52 suggest that PV neurons improve the brain's ability to analyze complex scenes by enhancing the 53 timing of cortical responses while reducing cortical noise.

- 54 55 Main Text
- 56

57 Introduction 58

59 The cerebral cortex is critical for perception, attention, decision-making, memory, and 60 motor output. Understanding the cortical circuit mechanisms that underly these functions remains 61 a central problem in systems neuroscience. One line of investigation towards addressing this 62 problem has been to identify the underpinnings of the cortical code; specifically, to assess whether cortical coding relies on rate or spike timing¹. Previous studies have demonstrated both rate and 63 spike timing-based coding in cortex^{2, 3, 4}. However, a mechanistic understanding of how cortical 64 circuits implement these codes and on what timescales is still missing. A second line of questioning 65 towards addressing this central problem has been to utilize a combination of anatomy, physiology 66 67 and optogenetics to interrogate cortical circuits and neuron types^{5, 6}. This concerted approach has 68 allowed systems neuroscience to identify key contributions of specific cell types to cortical circuits, including inhibitory neurons (e.g., parvalbumin-expressing (PV), somatostatin-expressing (SOM) 69 and vasoactive intestinal peptide-expressing (VIP) neurons)⁵. However, the specific contributions 70 71 of these diverse cell types to the cortical code remain unclear.

A potentially powerful strategy for unraveling cell type-specific contributions to cortical coding is to investigate problems where cortical processing is likely to play a central role. An important example of such a problem is complex scene analysis, e.g., recognizing objects in a scene cluttered with multiple objects at different spatial locations. The brain displays an astonishing ability to navigate such complex scenes in everyday settings, an impressive feat yet to be matched

by state-of-the-art machines. The relative contribution of specific cell-types to this powerfulcomputational ability remains unclear.

79 PV neurons are the most prominent group of inhibitory neurons in cortex⁷. Previous studies have investigated the role played by PV neurons in the generation of oscillations⁸ and spike 80 synchronization⁹. PV neurons play a fundamental role in balancing excitation and inhibition¹⁰ and 81 determining receptive field properties in cortex^{11, 12, 13}. Optogenetic manipulation of PV neurons has 82 provided insights into cortical responses, network dynamics, and behavior^{14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19}. 83 84 Specifically, a study by Moore et al.¹⁹ revealed that optogenetic suppression of PV neurons led to 85 a rapid rebalancing of excitation and inhibition in cortex, with the expected increase in the activity 86 of excitatory neurons, but a counterintuitive increase in the activity of inhibitory neurons. As 87 elegantly dissected in the study, this occurs because the suppression of PV neurons leads to an 88 increase in activity of excitatory neurons, which then drives both excitatory and inhibitory neurons 89 downstream, rapidly rebalancing cortical activity. This result illuminates a property of cortical 90 networks consistent with theoretical models but raises another question: does the suppression of 91 PV neurons impact cortical temporal coding? The biophysical properties of PV neurons are well-92 suited for rapid temporal processing⁵ and therefore may be essential in the cortical temporal coding 93 of dynamic stimuli present in complex scenes. Additionally, narrow-spiking units, which are thought 94 to be putative inhibitory neurons, have exhibited distinct temporal response patterns to stimulus 95 envelopes compared to those of regular-spiking units²⁰. This motivates several open questions: do PV neurons play a critical role in cortical temporal coding of dynamic stimuli? Are such temporal 96 97 codes robust to competing stimuli at other locations in space? Here, we address these questions 98 in auditory cortex using a combination of electrophysiology, optogenetic suppression of PV neurons, and a family of spike distance metrics^{21, 22} to dissect specific contributions of PV neurons 99 100 to the cortical code.

101 The auditory cortex (ACx) is well suited to investigate these issues. It is thought to play a key role in solving the cocktail party problem^{23, 24}, one of the most impressive examples of complex 102 103 scene analysis. Here, we integrate a cocktail party-like paradigm²⁵ with optogenetic suppression of 104 PV neurons to investigate the specific contribution of PV neurons to temporal coding in mouse ACx. 105 We find that suppressing PV neurons degrades discrimination performance, specifically temporal 106 coding, in ACx, and degrades performance over a wide range of time-scales. Our results reveal 107 that despite the rebalancing of excitation and inhibition in cortical networks observed previously, 108 suppression of PV neurons disrupts coding throughout ACx suggesting an important influence of 109 PV neurons on cortical temporal coding and cortical noise.

110

111 Results

112 113

We recorded single units (SUs) and multi-units (MUs) using a multielectrode array with 4 114 115 shanks and 32 channels throughout different layers in ACx of PV-Arch transgenic mice (Figures 116 1A-C and Supplementary Figure 1). We used a semi-automated detection and sorting algorithm to identify 124 units from N = 9 animals^{26, 27}. Of these 124 units, 82 were identified as SUs (e.g., Figure 117 118 1C) while the remaining 42 were identified as MUs. In the results below, we focus on SUs. The 119 results for MUs are given in Supplementary Figure 4C. Out of the SUs, 73 were identified as regular 120 spiking (RS) while the remaining 9 were identified as narrow spiking (NS) based on the trough-121 peak interval of their mean waveforms (Supplementary Figure 2). RS and NS units have been 122 found to correspond to excitatory and inhibitory neurons, respectively, in ACx^{12, 28}.

To confirm specificity of expression, immunohistochemistry quantification was performed at the conclusion of the study and revealed that ~93% of PV immuno-positive cells in auditory cortex were also Arch-GFP expressing neurons. Importantly, we also found that <1% of Arch-GFP expressing cells were immuno-negative for PV antibody (Supplementary Figure 3). Optogenetic suppression occurred on approximately 50% of trials, randomly interleaved, throughout the

recording sessions. Suppression was achieved using light output from a 532nm laser that began 50ms prior to the auditory stimulus and consisted of continuous illuminations that co-terminated with sound offset. Within a given 800-trial session, optogenetic suppression strength remained constant (2mW, 5mW or 10mW), but was varied across sessions.

Next, we confirmed that the effects of optogenetic suppression of PV neurons in ACx were 132 133 consistent with previous studies (Figure 1E, Supplementary Figures 4-5). Upon laser onset, we 134 found that NS units in PV-Arch-expressing subjects showed an immediate suppression of spiking 135 followed by an increase in activity (Supplemental Figure 4A), while NS units within non-Arch-136 expressing subjects did not show a change in activity during laser onset (Supplemental Figure 4B). 137 We found that upon PV suppression, RS units increased their firing rate during both spontaneous 138 and auditory evoked periods (Figure 1E and Supplementary Figure 5A), as expected^{18, 19}. Different 139 intensities enhanced the firing rate of RS neurons in a level-dependent manner consistent with 140 previous studies (Supplementary Figure 5Ai). Counter-intuitively, but consistent with the previous 141 study by Moore et al.¹⁹, NS units also increased their firing activity (Supplementary Figure 5B). As 142 demonstrated by Moore et al. optogenetic suppression of PV neurons also produced a 143 compensatory increase in inhibition and a rapid rebalancing of excitation and inhibition in cortex. Thus, the effects of optogenetic suppression of PV neurons on firing rates in ACx we observed are 144 145 consistent with previous studies and the rapid rebalancing of excitation and inhibition. However, 146 the effects of PV suppression on temporal coding in ACx remain unknown. Thus, we next inquired: 147 does PV suppression impact temporal coding in ACx?

148

149 Investigating cortical coding in mouse ACx using a cocktail party-like paradigm. To 150 better understand cortical coding of complex scenes in a mouse model amenable to circuit 151 interrogation using genetic tools, we adopted a cocktail party-like experimental paradigm²⁵ while 152 recording from neurons in ACx. Specifically, we recorded responses to spatially-distributed sound 153 mixtures to determine how competing sound sources influence cortical coding of stimuli. The 154 recording configuration consisted of 4 speakers arranged around the mouse at 4 locations on the 155 azimuthal plane: directly in front (0°), two contralateral (45° and 90°) and 1 ipsilateral (- 90°) to the 156 right auditory cortex recording area. Target stimuli consisted of white noise modulated by human speech envelopes extracted from a speech corpus²⁹. We utilized two target waveforms (target 1 157 158 and target 2) and a competing masker consisting of unmodulated white noise. Mice were exposed 159 to either target-alone trials (Clean) or target-masker combinations (Masked) (Figures 2A-C).

160

161 Mouse ACx neurons show spatial configuration sensitivity between competing 162 auditory stimuli. We assessed cortical coding using neural discriminability, which refers to the 163 ability to determine stimulus identity based on neural responses and thus a neuron's ability to 164 encode stimulus features, and a variety of other quantitative response measures. Neural 165 discriminability between the two targets (% correct) was computed both without the masker (Clean) 166 (Figure 2A); and with the masker (Masked), for all possible combinations of target and masker 167 locations (Figures 2B-C). We refer to the matrix of performance values from all speaker configurations as spatial grids, which allow for visualization of the spatial tuning sensitivity of a 168 169 given unit in the presence of competing auditory stimuli. Values near 100% and 50% respectively 170 represent perfect discriminability and chance discriminability, and positions of high performance (\geq 171 70%), which were also statistically significant ($p \le 0.05$) with a relatively large effect size ($d \ge 1$), 172 were deemed as hotspots. These hotspots represent locations of enhanced discriminability 173 between the two targets, either in the absence (Clean) or presence (Masked) of a competing 174 masking stimulus, using a spike distance-based classifier to determine how well target identity can 175 be predicted given the spike train from that site based on dissimilarities in spike timing and 176 instantaneous rate²⁵ (Figure 2D: see Methods, Neural discriminability using SPIKE-distance).

Figure 2A illustrates spike trains from an example SU that shows high discriminability under both target-only conditions (Figures 2A, 2D, and 2E: black); and for a specific spatial configuration in the presence of a competing noise masker (Figures 2B, 2D, and 2E: red). In the masked condition, discriminability depends strongly on the spatial configuration of the target and masker, indicating that the response of this neuron is spatial configuration-sensitive (Figures 2C-E, red versus green).

183 Previous studies have demonstrated that neurons with the highest performance are most strongly correlated with behavior and strongly constrain population performance^{30, 31, 32, 33, 34}. Thus, 184 we were curious to test how the performance of the best neurons in our population would be 185 186 affected by optogenetic suppression of PV neurons. To do so, we focused on the neurons with high discrimination performance in our population (i.e., SUs with at least one hotspot in the clean or 187 188 masked conditions). 23 SUs showed hotspots at one or more spatial configurations, and there were 189 49 hotspots in the clean condition and 20 hotspots in the masked condition giving a total of 69 190 hotspots.

191 At each spatial configuration we observed a broad range of performance levels, consisting 192 of neurons with significant hotspots (Figure 2F; filled circles), as well as neurons with poor 193 performance (open circles), reflecting that different neurons in the population had different spatial 194 configuration sensitivities. The upper envelope of maximal performance was relatively high for all 195 spatial configurations, except co-located target-masker and ipsilateral target positions. Thus, as a 196 population, ACx neurons showed robust performance at all spatial configurations in the 197 contralateral hemisphere, when the target and masker were spatially separated. We did not 198 observe any statistically significant differences in performance between SUs across different 199 layers, or SUs with different waveform types (RS vs. NS) (Supplementary Figure 6).

200

201 Suppression of PV neurons reduces discrimination performance at hotspots. To 202 investigate the role of PV interneurons in auditory discrimination performance, we compared 203 discrimination performance at hotspots, with and without optogenetic suppression of PV neurons 204 in ACx. Figure 3A shows an example SU with and without suppression. Compared to the control 205 response (Figure 3Ai), the optogenetic response (Figure 3Aii) shows an increase in spiking 206 between the peaks of both target stimuli. Specifically, the responses exhibited an earlier onset and 207 decreased spike timing reproducibility across trials during suppression (Figure 3Aiii). Figure 3B 208 shows the spatial grids for the same example SU during both conditions, with the example 209 configuration in Figure 3A (Clean Target 45°) outlined in black in the control grid (Figure 3Bi) and 210 in red in the optogenetic grid (Figure 3Bii). This unit showed a decrease in performance across all 211 clean configurations, and the hotspots in the control masked condition (Target 90°, Masker -90°; 212 Target 45°, Masker -90°; Target 45°, Masker 90°) showed a reduction in performance to below 213 threshold. Overall, we found that performance decreased significantly in both clean (p < 1e-04) and 214 masked (p = 2e-04) conditions during suppression (Figure 3C), a decrease that was not significant 215 in mice that did not express PV-Arch (Supplementary Figure 7).

216

Suppression of PV neurons degrades cortical temporal coding. To determine the 217 218 extent to which changes in the temporal dynamics of rapid firing rate modulation, spike timing, and 219 average firing rate changes that occur during suppression might affect performance, we calculated 220 different performance metrics across all hotspots. Specifically, we used inter-spike interval (ISI)-221 distance, rate-independent (RI)-SPIKE-distance, and spike count, as the basis for discriminability 222 between spike trains. ISI-distance calculates the distance between two spike trains based on the 223 dissimilarities in instantaneous firing rate modulation, while RI-SPIKE-distance measures spike 224 timing dissimilarity between two trains while accounting for changes in firing rate differences²¹. 225 Spike count distance is the absolute difference in the number of spikes between trains, effectively 226 measuring differences in total firing rate. We found that performance based on both ISI-distance

227 (Figure 4A) and RI-SPIKE-distance (Figure 4B) performances were relatively high. Both 228 performances showed highly significant decreases with optogenetic suppression (ISI-distance-229 based performance: p_{clean} < 1e-04, p_{masked} = 0.0034; RI-SPIKE-distance-based performance: p_{clean} 230 < 1e-04, p_{masked} = 0.0011). In contrast, performance based on spike count over the entire stimulus 231 (Figure 4C) was close to chance level both for control and laser conditions, indicating that spike 232 count alone was not sufficient to account for overall performance. The significant decrease in ISI 233 distance-based performance indicates a disruption in rate-based coding, including the dynamics of 234 instantaneous firing rate modulations. The significant decrease in RI-SPIKE based distance 235 indicates that spike timing-based coding is also degraded by optogenetic suppression of PV 236 neurons (Figure 4D).

237

238 Effects on components of discrimination performance with suppression. Generally, 239 discrimination performance depends on both the dissimilarity of responses between targets, as well 240 as the similarity of responses within a target. To assess the relationship between different 241 components of responses with performance, we calculated three metrics sensitive to firing rate 242 and/or timing: the average firing rate; the rate-normalized root-mean-square (RMS) difference in 243 the responses to the targets, which captures the difference in the temporal pattern of responses to 244 the targets; and the trial similarity³⁵, which captures the reproducibility of responses across trials 245 within a target (see Methods).

246 We first calculated the correlation between evoked firing rate and performance during the 247 control condition by pooling clean and masked data. Firing rate did not show a significant correlation 248 with performance (r = -0.0645, p = 0.452), whereas both RMS difference and trial similarity 249 measures were highly correlated with performance (rate-normalized RMS difference: r = 0.4205, p 250 < 1e-04; trial similarity: r = 0.6013, p < 1e-04). These results suggest that both the pattern of firing 251 rate modulations (quantified by RMS difference) as well as the reproducibility of responses 252 (quantified by trial similarity) contribute to discrimination performance under control conditions. 253 When comparing these measures between the control and laser conditions, we found that rate-254 normalized RMS difference significantly decreased with optogenetic suppression for both clean 255 and masked trials (Figure 5A), and trial similarity significantly decreased during clean trials (Figure 256 5B).

257

258 **Optogenetic suppression decreases performance across a wide range of time** 259 **scales.** The previous analyses used spike distance measures which do not require a choice of a 260 specific time-scale for analysis. A further interesting question regarding discrimination performance 261 is the optimal time-scale for discrimination. Thus, we next quantified the time-scale for optimal 262 discrimination using the van Rossum spike distance measure³⁶ (see Methods).

We found that optimal time-scales for discrimination (τ) for most neurons was around 40 milliseconds, with a significant proportion of neurons covering even finer time scales down to ~10 ms (Figure 6A). Optimal τ was not significantly different between control and laser conditions for clean trials (p = 0.4920) but significant for masked trials (p = 0.0098), and performance decreased significantly in the laser condition across a wide range of time-scales (Figures 6B-D, Table 1). These results indicate that PV suppression did not significantly change the optimal time-scale for discrimination but rather degraded discrimination across a wide range of time-scales.

- 270
- 271 Discussion
- 272
- 273

274 **Diversity of cortical cell types and the cortical code.** One of the most striking features of the cerebral cortex is the tremendous diversity of its cell types³⁷. Understanding the 275 276 computational role of such diversity in cortical coding is central to systems neuroscience. 277 Addressing this central question requires understanding cell type-specific contributions to the 278 cortical code at both the single neuron and the population level. A small number of previous studies 279 have demonstrated a role of specific cell types in cortical population coding; specifically, the 280 generation of oscillations^{38, 39}, and synchrony across cortical layers and areas^{40, 41}. However, cell 281 type-specific contributions to the cortical code at the single unit level, a fundamental aspect of 282 cortical encoding, remain poorly understood. In this study, we addressed this fundamental gap by 283 investigating the role of PV neurons in cortical coding of a complex scene, i.e., a cocktail party-like 284 setting, in mouse ACx.

285

286 Cortical discrimination & PV neurons; rate, spike timing and temporal codes. We assessed cortical coding using neural discrimination performance and other quantitative measures. 287 There is a rich history of quantitative work on cortical discrimination^{30, 32}. These studies have 288 289 suggested a critical role for neurons with the highest levels of performance in a population, which 290 correlate strongly with behavioral performance and determine the overall performance at the 291 population level. In this study we examined cortical discrimination of dynamic stimuli in a complex 292 scene by the highest performing neurons in ACx, extending the previous body of work in several 293 ways: First, we assessed the impact of optogenetic suppression of PV neurons on discrimination 294 performance. Optogenetically suppressing PV neurons resulted in increased firing rate during 295 spontaneous and auditory evoked activity, which is consistent with the effects of inhibitory blockade on cortical responses^{42, 43, 44}. A recent study by Moore et al.¹⁹ employed optogenetic suppression 296 297 of PV neurons to powerfully reveal an important property of cortical networks: rapid rebalancing of 298 excitation and inhibition upon PV suppression. Our study reveals that despite such rebalancing, 299 cortical discrimination performance is degraded across cortical layers in ACx upon PV suppression. 300 This finding suggests that PV neurons play a role in improving discrimination of dynamic stimuli in ACx, both sounds in quiet backgrounds, as well as in the presence of competing sounds from other 301 302 spatial locations. Second, we quantified the contributions of instantaneous firing rate modulations, 303 spike timing, and spike count towards cortical discrimination, using a family of spike distance 304 metrics. These metrics provide a powerful set of tools for dissecting different components of cortical 305 coding. Although these metrics have been employed in previous theoretical studies, to our 306 knowledge this is the first time they were applied to analyze cortical responses. This analysis 307 revealed that high discrimination performance is mediated by the temporal pattern of firing rate 308 modulations and spike timing reproducibility, and that optogenetic suppression of PV neurons 309 degraded both components.

310 Previous studies have demonstrated that auditory cortical neurons can employ both rate 311 and spike timing-based codes^{3, 4}; and provided insight into the roles of inhibitory neurons in shaping frequency tuning^{11, 12, 13, 45}, frequency discrimination^{14, 46}, adaptation¹⁵, sparseness⁴⁷, and gap 312 encoding²⁸. An influential review on neural coding also defined a precise notion of a temporal code 313 as one that contains information in spike timing beyond rate modulations⁴⁸. Temporal codes have 314 315 been challenging to identify because the contributions of rate vs. spike timing are often difficult to 316 decouple. Our results based on spike distance measures, which quantify both rate-dependent and 317 rate-independent components of coding, suggest that PV neurons specifically contribute to 318 temporal coding in cortical discrimination. This computational portrait of PV neurons validates the 319 importance of their established electrophysiological specializations-namely, fast, efficient, and 320 temporally precise responses⁵.

321

A general cortical representation for the cocktail party problem across species. From a comparative standpoint, we found that the key features present at the cortical level within ACx of

324 the mammalian mouse was consistent with previous findings in songbirds. Specifically, a previous 325 study by Maddox et al. found hotspots at particular spatial configurations of target and masker on the spatial grids of cortical level neurons in songbirds²⁵. Songbirds and mice have different 326 frequency ranges of hearing and therefore the cues used for spatial processing, e.g., interaural 327 328 time difference (ITD), and interaural level difference (ILD) are frequency-dependent, and the 329 peripheral representations of these cues are likely to be different across species with different 330 frequency ranges of hearing. This suggests the emergence of general cortical representations for 331 solving the cocktail party problem despite different peripheral representations of acoustic cues 332 across species.

333

334 Time scales of cortical discrimination. A further interesting question regarding cortical 335 discrimination is: what is the optimal time scale for maximal discrimination performance? One 336 characteristic time scale in our stimuli arises from the slow modulation of speech envelopes on relatively long time-scales ~100-500 ms, or equivalently, in the 2-10 Hz frequency range⁴⁹. We 337 338 found that the optimal time-scale for most neurons in our dataset was much finer ~40 ms, with a 339 significant number at even finer timescales down to ~10 ms. These time-scales are well matched 340 to the duration of short ultrasonic vocalizations in mice (Figure 7), and finer grain structures within 341 these vocalizations, e.g., spectral features and frequency sweeps⁵⁰. These time-scales are similar 342 to those found in a previous study of decoding sinusoidally amplitude-modulated (SAM) tones in 343 mouse auditory cortex³⁵, and consistent with integration time scales in cat auditory cortex⁵¹. 344 Phonemic structures in speech also occupy similar time-scales, which are in the beta and low gamma range of frequencies^{52, 53}. Thus, the time-scales for optimal discrimination in ACx, may be 345 346 well suited for analyzing such vocalizations and the finer spectro-temporal features within.

347

Cortical noise. Our findings are also relevant in the context of cortical noise, which can 348 349 have a profound impact on cortical codes⁵⁴. We found that suppressing PV neurons did not change 350 the optimal time-scale for discrimination, but rather degraded performance at a wide range of time-351 scales (Figure 6). Additionally, we observed that suppression impacted specific components 352 underlying discrimination: Most notably, PV suppression decreased the difference in the pattern of 353 responses (quantified by RMS difference) between targets as well as decreasing the reproducibility 354 of responses across trials (quantified by trial similarity). Taken together, these observations are 355 consistent with an overall enhancement in cortical noise level across multiple time-scales upon PV suppression. A previous study on PV suppression in ACx observed the rapid rebalancing of 356 357 excitation and inhibition, suggesting maintenance of the stability of global cortical representations¹⁹. 358 However, our results suggest that despite this excitatory-inhibitory rebalancing, PV suppression 359 also leads to an increase in cortical noise, fundamentally impacting the fidelity of cortical coding, 360 including temporal coding.

361

362 Within channel vs. cross-channel inhibition. Cortical inhibitory neurons can mediate 363 feedforward, recurrent and di-synaptic feedback inhibition in cortical circuits, illustrated in a 364 schematic model in Figure 8. Previous modeling studies have demonstrated that feed-forward within-channel inhibition can improve discrimination performance⁵⁵; whereas inhibition across 365 different channels can lead to the formation of hotspots and the specific pattern of spatial 366 configuration sensitivity⁵⁶. Our results suggest that PV neurons mediate within-channel inhibition, 367 368 corresponding to I neurons in the schematic model. This is consistent with our finding that although 369 suppressing PV neurons reduced discrimination performance, it did not completely eliminate the 370 presence of hotspots on the spatial grids, suggesting that PV neurons alone do not control the 371 emergence of hotspots. Based on these observations, we hypothesize that a separate cell-type (X 372 neurons in Figure 8) mediates cross-channel inhibition, resulting in the generation of hotspots and

the specific pattern of spatial configuration sensitivity on spatial grids. A candidate cell type that may correspond to X cells are somatostatin-positive (SOM) neurons, which have been implicated in di-synaptic feedback inhibition^{57, 58} and surround suppression^{59, 60}. These distinct roles may be functionally well suited for solving the cocktail party problem, with one class of neurons (PV) enhancing the temporal coding of dynamic stimuli at a target location, and another class of inhibitory neurons (X) suppressing competing stimuli from other spatial locations.

379

380 Limitations and future directions. Several limitations in this study should be further 381 addressed in the future. Although we used a cocktail party-like paradigm to probe auditory cortical 382 responses to dynamic stimuli our experimental paradigm had some limitations. First, the target 383 stimuli did not have any specific behavioral significance, unlike the case of speech recognition at a 384 cocktail party. Second, the masker stimuli did not contain any temporal modulations, unlike 385 competing speakers at a cocktail party. Despite the anthropomorphic nature of our stimuli, we have demonstrated for the first time that auditory cortical neurons in mice are able to encode the distinct 386 387 temporal features of both targets in the presence of a competing noise masker from different spatial 388 locations. Future studies should address these limitations, e.g., by employing mouse 389 communication sounds as targets and maskers. Although we were able to characterize the time-390 scale for optimal discrimination in ACx, we did not characterize the integration window, or the encoding window^{48, 51, 61}. Future studies that characterize both the time-scale for optimal 391 392 discrimination as well as the encoding window can address whether cortical neurons also employ 393 temporal encoding, i.e., encode information in the temporal pattern of spikes within the encoding 394 window⁴⁸. Within this study, mice were awake but listening passively, whereas listening in a cocktail 395 party-type setting is an active sensing process. It will be interesting to probe cortical coding in 396 awake, behaving mice in experiments where animals attend to a specific spatial location. A recent 397 theoretical model of attention in auditory cortex, the AIM network model⁶², suggests distinct roles for different interneuron groups in attentional sharpening of both spatial and frequency tuning which 398 399 enables flexible listening in cocktail party-like settings, e.g., monitoring the entire scene, selecting 400 a speaker at a spatial location, and switching to a speaker at a different location. Future 401 experiments probing distinct interneuron populations (e.g., PV, SOM and VIP neurons) in behaving 402 animals, in conjunction with testing and extending the AIM model may further unravel cortical 403 circuits for solving the cocktail party problem.

404

405 Materials and Methods

406

407 **Subjects.** All procedures involving animals were approved by the Boston University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign 408 409 Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). A total of 14 mice were used in this study. Original breeding pairs of parvalbumin-Cre (PV-Cre: B6;129P2-Pvalbtm1(cre)Arbr/J), and Ai40 410 mice (Arch: B6.Cg-Gt(ROSA)26Sor^{tm40.1(CAG-aop3/EGFP)Hze}/J) mice were obtained from Jackson 411 Laboratory (Maine), and all breeding was done in house. Subjects consisted of both male and 412 413 female PV-Arch (n = 9) offspring and PV-Cre (n = 5) only offspring (controls) 8-12 weeks old on the 414 day of recording.

415

416 **Surgery.** Mice were surgically implanted with a head-plate as described previously^{63, 64}. 417 Briefly, under isoflurane anesthesia, stereotaxic surgery was performed to install a headplate, 418 electrode, and optical fiber. The custom head-plate was mounted anterior to bregma allowing 419 access to ACx caudally. The headplate was anchored to the skull with 3 stainless steel screws and 420 dental cement. A fourth screw was connected to a metal pin and placed in the skull above the

421 contralateral cerebellum to serve as the reference. A craniotomy was made above the right auditory 422 cortex (AP -2.3 to -3.6, ML + 4.0 to +4.5, DV). Using a stereotaxic arm, a 32-contact linear probe 423 (Neuronexus, Ann Arbor, MI; model: a 4x8-5mm-100-400-177-CM32) with 100µm spacing between 424 electrode contacts and 400µm spacing between shanks, was positioned into ACx, perpendicular 425 to the cortical surface. Because of the curvature of the ACx surface, not all four shanks could be 426 placed at precisely the same depth during each experiment. Probes were advanced until all 427 electrode contacts were within the cortical tissue and shanks were positioned along the rostro-428 caudal axis of ACx (Figures 1A-C). An optical fiber, 200µm in diameter, was placed medially to the 429 4 shanks and positioned between the two innermost shanks terminating at the cortical surface 430 (Figure 1A). After implantation, mice were allowed to recover for 4-7 days before undergoing 431 habituation to being head-fixed as described below.

432

Habituation. Following surgery and complete recovery, mice were first handled for several
days before being head-fixed to the recording apparatus. Mice were gradually exposed to longer
restraint periods at the same time of day as subsequent recording sessions. Each animal received
at least 6 habituation sessions prior to the first recording day. Under head-fixed conditions, mice
were loosely covered with a piece of lab tissue taped down on either side (Kimwipes: KimberlyClark, Irving, TX) to encourage reduced movement. At the conclusion of habituation, mice
underwent recording sessions in the presence in the spatial stimuli as described below.

440

Recording sessions and data acquisition. All recordings were made with a Tucker Davis Technologies (TDT; Alachua, FL) RZ2 recording system in an electrically-shielded sound attenuation chamber. Broadband neural signals at 24414.0625 Hz were recorded for each of the 32 channels. Local field potentials (LFPs) were band-pass filtered between 1 and 300 Hz, notchfiltered at 60 Hz, and digitized at 3051.8 Hz and used for current source density analysis (see Supplemental Methods).

Recording sessions consisted of both non-optogenetic and optogenetic trials in random
order. The inter-trial interval was 5 seconds, with 3s of stimulus playback followed by 2s of silence.
Mice were exposed to target-alone (clean) trials and target-masker (masked) combinations. 10
trials were given per target identity for all possible combinations of target location, masker location
(including clean trials), and optogenetic suppression of PV neurons. Thus, animals received a total
of 800 trials per ~60 minute recording session, with each session having a set laser power.

453

454 Auditory stimuli. All auditory stimuli were generated in Matlab and consisted of either 455 target, masker, or combination of the two stimuli played from four TDT ES-1 electrostatic speakers. Target stimuli consisted of white noise modulated in time by human speech envelopes taken from 456 the Harvard IEEE speech corpus²⁹ which has been used in previous psychological studies of the 457 458 cocktail party effect⁶⁵. Masker stimuli consisted of 10 unique tokens of unmodulated white noise. 459 Before speaker calibration, all stimuli were generated with the same RMS value, and sampling 460 frequency was 195312 Hz to capture the frequency range of hearing in mice. Stimuli were loaded 461 onto a custom RPvdsEx circuit on an RZ6 Multi I/O processor, which was connected to two PM2R 462 multiplexers that controlled the location of target and masker stimuli during trials.

During recordings, the stimuli were presented 18 cm from the mouse's head using four speakers driven by two TDT ED-1 speaker drivers. The four speakers were arranged around the mouse at four locations on the azimuthal plane: directly in front (0°), two contralateral (45° and 90°) and 1 ipsilateral (-90°) to the right auditory cortex recording area. Before recording sessions, stimulus intensity was calibrated using a conditioning amplifier and microphone (Brüel and Kjær, Nærum, Denmark; amplifier model: 2690, and microphone model: 4939-A-011). For 7 of the 9 Arch

469 mice and the 5 PV-only control animals, all stimuli were at a measured 75dB intensity at the 470 mouse's head. For the remaining 2 Arch mice, stimulus intensity was set to 70dB. Stimulus 471 playback lasted 3s with a 1ms cosine ramp at onset and offset.

472

473 Optogenetic stimulation. Laser light for optogenetic stimulation of auditory cortex was 474 delivered through a multimode optically-shielded 200µm fiber (Thorlabs, Newton, NJ; model: 475 BFH48-200), coupled to a 532nm DPSS laser (Shanghai Laser Ltd., Shanghai, China; model: 476 BL532T3-200FC), with the fiber tip positioned right above the cortical surface. Laser power was 477 calibrated to 2mW, 5mW, or 10mW at the fiber tip using a light meter calibrated for 532nm 478 wavelength (PM100D, Thorlabs, Newton, NJ). The intensity was determined based on optogenetic 479 cortical PV suppression studies using Archaerhodopsin from the literature^{14, 66}. During optogenetic 480 trials, the laser was turned on 50ms before stimulus onset and co-terminated with the end of the 481 auditory stimuli (Figure 1D). Square light pulses lasting 3.05s were delivered via TTL trigger from 482 the RZ2 recording system to the laser diode controller (ADR-1805). Optogenetic trials were 483 randomized throughout the recording session such that animals received all stimulus/masker pairs 484 from each location with and without laser. Recordings were done in successive blocks with constant 485 optogenetic suppression strengths of 2mW, 5mW, or 10mW, with each block lasting ~60 minutes and having their own set of control trials. These laser strengths are similar to those used in past 486 studies^{14, 18} and did not result in epileptiform activity in cortex. 487

488

489 Histology. At the end of the experiments, all mice were transcardially perfused and tissue 490 was processed to confirm ArchT expression was specific to PV cell populations. Briefly, mice were 491 perfused with 30 mL 0.01M phosphate buffered saline (Fisher Scientific, BP2944-100, Pittsburgh, 492 PA), followed by 30 mL 4% paraformaldehyde (Sigma Aldrich, 158127, St. Louis, MO), Brains were 493 carefully removed and post-fixed 4-12 hours in 4% paraformaldehyde before being transferred to 494 a 30% sucrose solution for at least 24 hours before sectioning. Brains were sectioned coronally at 495 a thickness of 50µm with a freezing microtome (CM 2000R; Leica) or cryostat (CM 3050S; Leica). 496 Tissue sections were collected throughout the auditory cortex. A subset of sections (2 sections per 497 animal) were stained with antibodies against PV (guinea pig anti-PV antibody, SWANT GP72 498 1:1000) followed by Alexa Fluor 568 goat anti-guinea pig secondary antibody (No: A-11075, 499 Thermo Fisher Scientific, 1:500). Antibodies and dilution concentrations were previously reported^{67,} ^{68, 69}. Briefly, sections were rinsed with 0.01M PBS followed by a solution of 100mM glycine (No: 500 501 G7126, Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.5% Triton-X in 0.01M PBS. This was followed by a 2-hour blocking 502 buffer incubation with 5% normal goat serum and 0.5% Triton-X in 0.01M PBS. Sections were then 503 incubated for 24 hours with primary antibody, rinsed with 100mM glycine and 0.5% Triton-X in 504 0.01M PBS, and incubated with secondary antibody for 2 hours. Slices were lastly incubated for 10 min with Hoechst 33342 (No: 62249, Thermo Fisher Scientific, 1:10,000 in 0.01M PBS), rinsed with 505 506 100mM glycine and 0.5% Triton-X in 0.01M PBS before being rinsed in 100mM glycine in 0.01M 507 PBS before mounting. Slices were mounted on slides (Fisherbrand Superfrost Plus, No: 12-5550-508 15, Fisher Scientific) using anti-fade mounting medium (ProLong Diamond, No: P36965, Thermo 509 Fisher Scientific).

510

511 Imaging and quantification. Images were taken on a VS120 widefield Olympus 512 microscope or an OlympusFV3000 scanning confocal microscope using a 20× objective. All images 513 were comprised of Z-stacks consisting of 5-6 slices taken at 10µm intervals throughout the 50µm 514 slices. Stacks were taken from coronal sections as near as possible to the electrode location in 515 auditory cortex. Areas were chosen to include similarly dense Arch-GFP cell counts across animals. 516 To confirm targeting specificity, each PV+ cell was categorized as co-expressing or not expressing 517 Arch-GFP across a 300x300µm grid. We also quantified the number of Arch+ cells from each stack

that were not PV+ based on Hoechst labeling to estimate off target expression. We analyzed 2-4 non-overlapping stacks from 2 slices per animal from the animals that made up optogenetic Arch+ dataset (n = 9 PV-Arch). Cell counts were pooled across slices stained for the same marker for each animal and averaged to produce a single data point for quantification.

522

523 Spike extraction and clustering. Kilosort2 (https://github.com/MouseLand/Kilosort) was 524 used to detect multi-units within the recordings²⁶. Before spike detection and sorting, the broadband 525 signal was band-passed between 300 and 5000 Hz using a 3rd-order Butterworth filter. Kilosort 526 results were then loaded onto Phv2 (https://github.com/cortex-lab/phv) to manually determine if 527 spike clusters exhibited neural activity or noise²⁷. Clusters with either artifact-like waveforms from 528 laser or similar responses across all channels were deemed as noise, and spikes with artifact-like 529 waveforms were removed from clusters that clearly exhibited neural activity, whenever possible. 530 Clusters were merged if the cross-correlograms were similar to the component clusters' auto-531 correlograms and showed overlap in principal component feature space at the same channel. The 532 spikes toolbox (https://github.com/cortex-lab/spikes) was then used to import the cluster 533 information from Phy to Matlab and extract spike waveforms from the high-passed signal²⁶. Clusters 534 were assigned to recording channels based on which site yielded the largest average spike 535 amplitude. To remove any remaining artifacts from laser onset and offset, all spikes with waveforms 536 above an absolute threshold of 1500 µV or a positive value above 750 µV were discarded, and 537 clusters that still showed a high amount of remaining artifact after removal were excluded from 538 further analysis. To determine which of the remaining clusters were single-units (SU), we utilized 539 the sortingQuality toolbox (https://github.com/cortex-lab/sortingQuality) to calculate isolation 540 distances and L-ratios⁷⁰. SUs must 1) have less than 5% of inter-spike intervals below 2ms (Figure 541 1C), 2) an isolation distance above 15, and 3) an L-ratio below 0.25. For clusters where isolation 542 distance and L-ratio were not defined, the first threshold was used. These thresholds are consistent with values used in past studies on single-unit activity^{71, 72, 73}, and clusters that did not meet any of 543 these criteria were deemed multi-units (MUs). Finally, SUs were classified as narrow-spiking if the 544 545 trough-peak interval of their mean waveform was below 0.5ms, a threshold that is consistent with 546 past findings on excitatory and inhibitory units in mouse auditory cortex¹².

547

548 Neural discriminability performance using SPIKE-distance. Neural discrimination 549 performance refers to the ability to determine stimulus identity based on neural responses, thus 550 measuring a neuron's ability to encode stimulus features. Here, performance was calculated using a template-matching approach similar to our previous studies²⁵. Briefly, spike trains were classified 551 to one of the two target stimuli based on whose template, one from each stimulus, yielded a smaller 552 553 spike distance. For each target-masker configuration, 100 iterations of template matching were 554 done. In each iteration, one of the 10 spike trains for each target was chosen as a template, and 555 all remaining trials were matched to each template to determine target identity. All possible pairs of 556 templates were used across the 100 iterations to calculate an average value of neural 557 discriminability. SPIKE-distance²¹ calculates the dissimilarity between two spike trains based on 558 differences in spike timing and instantaneous firing rate without additional parameters. For one 559 spike train in a pair, the instantaneous spike timing difference at time t is:

560
$$S_1(t) = \frac{\Delta t_P^{(1)}(t) x_F^{(1)} + \Delta t_F^{(1)}(t) x_P^{(1)}}{x_{ISI}^{(1)}(t)}, t_P^{(1)} \le t \le t_F^{(1)}$$

where Δt_P represents the distance between the preceding spike from train 1 ($t_P^{(1)}$) and the nearest spike from train 2, Δt_F represents the distance between the following spike from train 1 ($t_F^{(1)}$) and the nearest spike from train 2, x_F is the absolute difference between *t* and $t_F^{(1)}$, and x_P is the absolute difference between *t* and $t_P^{(1)}$. To calculate $S_2(t)$, the spike timing difference from the view of the

565 other train, all spike times and ISIs are replaced with the relevant values in train 2. The pairwise 566 instantaneous difference between the two trains is calculated as:

567
$$S''(t) = \frac{S_1(t) + S_2(t)}{2\langle x_{ISI}^1(t), x_{ISI}^2(t) \rangle}$$

568 Finally, $S_1(t)$ and $S_2(t)$ are locally weighted by their instantaneous spike rates to account 569 for differences in firing rate:

570
$$S(t) = \frac{S_1(t)x_{ISI}^2(t) + S_2(t)x_{ISI}^1(t)}{2\langle x_{ISI}^1(t), x_{ISI}^2(t) \rangle^2}$$

For a train of length T, the distance is the integral of the dissimilarity profile across the entire response interval, with a minimum value of 0 for identical spike trains:

573
$$D_S = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T S(t) dt$$

cSPIKE, a toolbox used to calculate SPIKE-distance, was used to calculate all spike train distances
 between all possible spike train pairs for all spatial grid configurations²¹.

576 To determine how firing rate modulation, spike timing, and average firing rate contribute to 577 discriminability, we used different distance measures as inputs to the classifier. For all hotspots, 578 performances using inter-spike interval (ISI)-distance, rate-independent (RI)-SPIKE-distance, and 579 spike count distance, the absolute difference in spike count between trains, were also calculated 580 and compared to SPIKE-distance-based values.

582 **ISI-distance.** To determine how optogenetic suppression affects rapid temporal 583 modulations in firing rate, ISI-distances were calculated. The ISI-distance calculates the 584 dissimilarity between two spike trains based on differences in instantaneous rate synchrony. For a 585 given time point:

586
$$I(t) = \frac{\left|x_{ISI}^{(1)}(t) - x_{ISI}^{(2)}(t)\right|}{\max\left(x_{ISI}^{(1)}(t), x_{ISI}^{(2)}(t)\right)}$$

587 This profile is then integrated along the spike train length to give a distance value, with values of 0 588 obtained for either identical spike trains or pairs with the same constant firing rate and a global 589 phase shift difference.

590

RI-SPIKE-distance. To determine how optogenetic suppression affects spike timing, RI-SPIKE-distances between spike trains were calculated. The RI-SPIKE-distance is rateindependent, as it does not take differences in local firing rate between the two spike trains into account. From SPIKE-distance calculations, the final step of weighing $S_1(t)$ and $S_2(t)$ by their instantaneous spike rates is skipped, yielding:

596
$$S_{1,2}^{RI}(t) = \frac{S_1(t) + S_2(t)}{2\langle x_{ISI}^1(t), x_{ISI}^2(t) \rangle}$$

597 Like the other measures, the dissimilarity profile is integrated to give a distance value, with a value 598 of 0 obtained for two identical spike trains.

599

600 Rate-normalized RMS difference and trial similarity. In addition to average firing rate, 601 we also calculated two other measures to determine their impact on classification performance: the 602 similarity of responses within target, and the dissimilarity of responses across targets. To quantify 603 intertrial reliability of responses to target stimuli, we adopted the measure of trial similarity from previous studies³⁵. Specifically, we randomly divided the 10 trials in each configuration into two 604 605 equal groups, binned spike times with a time resolution of 25ms, and calculated the Pearson's 606 correlation coefficient between the two resulting PSTHs. This process was repeated 100 times to 607 obtain a mean correlation coefficient, or trial similarity.

We also calculated the rate-normalized RMS difference between target responses to quantify the dissimilarity in the temporal pattern of responses between the two targets. We first binned each target response using the same time-resolution as trial similarity (25ms) and normalized each PSTH such that the sum of all bins over time was 1. The RMS difference between the two rate-normalized PSTHs was then calculated. This measure quantifies the dissimilarity in the temporal pattern of responses across the targets, accounting for differences in mean evoked firing rate between targets.

615 All three response measures (average firing rate, trial similarity, and rate-normalized RMS 616 difference between targets) were correlated with SPIKE-distance-based performance using 617 Pearson's correlation coefficients, with separate calculations done for control and laser trials.

618

619 **Decoding time analysis using van Rossum distances.** To estimate the decoding time 620 of the spike trains at each hotspot, we used van Rossum distances³⁶. Briefly, the van Rossum 621 distance between two spike trains involves convolving each response with a decaying exponential 622 kernel with time constant τ . The distance between two smoothed spike trains $f_1(t)$ and $f_2(t)$ is 623 calculated as:

624
$$D_{VR} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\infty (f_1(t) - f_2(t))^2 dt}$$

For each spatial grid configuration, a distance matrix containing the van Rossum distances between all possible spike train pairs was set as the input for the template-matching approach. Performance was calculated across a range of τ values, increasing in powers of 2 from 1ms to 256ms. Finally, to determine the optimal τ value at which performance was maximized for each configuration, we implemented a fine-grain parameter where τ was varied in steps of 1ms, with the optimization separately done for control and laser trials.

631

632Statistics and reproducibility. All single-units and spatial grid data were extracted from633N = 9 mice. Spatial grid hotspots of high neural discriminability were determined using three criteria:6341) mean performance must be above 70% during control trials; 2) mean control performance635distribution must be significantly different from chance (p < 0.05), calculated using a null distribution636obtained by classifying spike trains within each target, which should result in chance performance;637and 3) the effect size given by Cohen's *d* between the two distributions (control vs. null) must be638greater than 1:

639

$$d = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_0}{\sqrt{\frac{(n_1 - 1)s_1^2 - (n_0 - 1)s_0^2}{n_1 + n_0 - 2}}}$$

640 where values with subscript 0 represent the mean, standard deviation, and number of template-641 matching iterations for the null performance distribution. Additionally, configurations where at least 642 3 trials for one target showed zero spiking were excluded from analysis, to avoid inaccurate 643 estimates of performance. This resulted in n = 49 clean configurations and n = 20 masked 644 configurations, both of which were used to analyze the effects of suppression on discriminability 645 and spiking activity. In the manuscript, we focus on SUs with hotspots in the control condition. We 646 found a small number of emergent hotspots (12 from 10 single-units across both clean and masked 647 trials) where performance and effect size were both below threshold in the control condition but 648 above threshold in the laser condition, with a median performance 72.9% and an inter-quartile range of 2.35%. To analyze the effects of suppression on performance metrics, we used built-in 649 650 Matlab functions to run paired t-tests between control and optogenetic values to determine 651 statistical significance ($p \le 0.05$), with tests done separately for clean and masked trials.

652 We also analyzed 47 low performance hotspots-configurations with performances 653 between chance and our threshold of 70%—in three separate groups: hotspots with effect sizes 1) 654 between 0.2 and 0.5, 2) between 0.5 and 0.8, and 3) greater than 0.8. To determine whether low-655 performance hotspots showed similar changes in performance to our main set of hotspots, we ran 656 paired t-tests and calculated the effect size of optogenetic suppression on discriminability. For the first group, we found 68 clean configurations and 352 masked configurations. Clean performance 657 did not significantly decrease (p = 0.8116, d = -0.03) while masked performance did (p < 1e-04, d 658 659 = 0.24). For the second group, both clean (n = 56, p = 0.0150, d = 0.34) and masked (n = 166, p < 1001e-04, d = 0.67) performance decreased with suppression. For the last group, both clean (n = 35, 660 p = 0.0043, d = 0.52) and masked (n = 98, p < 1e-04, d = 0.84) performance decreased with 661 662 suppression.

663

664

665 666 **References**

- deCharms RC, Zador A. Neural representation and the cortical code. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 669 23, 613-647 (2000).
- Zuo Y, Safaai H, Notaro G, Mazzoni A, Panzeri S, Diamond ME. Complementary contributions of spike timing and spike rate to perceptual decisions in rat S1 and S2 cortex. *Curr Biol* 25, 357-363 (2015).
- 3. Yao JD, Sanes DH. Temporal Encoding is Required for Categorization, But Not Discrimination. *Cereb Cortex* **31**, 2886-2897 (2021).
- 4. Lu T, Liang L, Wang X. Temporal and rate representations of time-varying signals in the auditory cortex of awake primates. *Nat Neurosci* **4**, 1131-1138 (2001).
- 5. Tremblay R, Lee S, Rudy B. GABAergic Interneurons in the Neocortex: From Cellular Properties to Circuits. *Neuron* **91**, 260-292 (2016).
- 6. Pfeffer CK, Xue M, He M, Huang ZJ, Scanziani M. Inhibition of inhibition in visual cortex:
 the logic of connections between molecularly distinct interneurons. *Nat Neurosci* 16, 1068-1076 (2013).
- 682 7. Canales A, Scheuer KS, Zhao X, Jackson MB. Unitary synaptic responses of parvalbumin interneurons evoked by excitatory neurons in the mouse barrel cortex. *Cereb Cortex*, (2022).

- Antonoudiou P, Tan YL, Kontou G, Upton AL, Mann EO. Parvalbumin and Somatostatin Interneurons Contribute to the Generation of Hippocampal Gamma Oscillations. *J Neurosci* 40, 7668-7687 (2020).
- Jang HJ, Chung H, Rowland JM, Richards BA, Kohl MM, Kwag J. Distinct roles of parvalbumin and somatostatin interneurons in gating the synchronization of spike times in the neocortex. *Sci Adv* 6, eaay5333 (2020).
- Wehr M, Zador AM. Balanced inhibition underlies tuning and sharpens spike timing in auditory cortex. *Nature* 426, 442-446 (2003).
- Li LY, *et al.* A feedforward inhibitory circuit mediates lateral refinement of sensory
 representation in upper layer 2/3 of mouse primary auditory cortex. *J Neurosci* 34, 13670 13683 (2014).
- Li LY, Xiong XR, Ibrahim LA, Yuan W, Tao HW, Zhang LI. Differential Receptive Field
 Properties of Parvalbumin and Somatostatin Inhibitory Neurons in Mouse Auditory Cortex.
 Cereb Cortex 25, 1782-1791 (2015).
- 69913.Moore AK, Wehr M. Parvalbumin-expressing inhibitory interneurons in auditory cortex are
well-tuned for frequency. J Neurosci 33, 13713-13723 (2013).
- Aizenberg M, Mwilambwe-Tshilobo L, Briguglio JJ, Natan RG, Geffen MN. Bidirectional Regulation of Innate and Learned Behaviors That Rely on Frequency Discrimination by Cortical Inhibitory Neurons. *PLoS Biol* **13**, e1002308 (2015).
- 70415.Natan RG, et al. Complementary control of sensory adaptation by two types of cortical705interneurons. Elife 4, (2015).
- 70616.Blackwell JM, Geffen MN. Progress and challenges for understanding the function of
cortical microcircuits in auditory processing. *Nat Commun* 8, 2165 (2017).
- 17. Seybold BA, Phillips EAK, Schreiner CE, Hasenstaub AR. Inhibitory Actions Unified by
 Network Integration. *Neuron* 87, 1181-1192 (2015).
- 710 18. Phillips EA, Hasenstaub AR. Asymmetric effects of activating and inactivating cortical interneurons. *Elife* **5**, (2016).
- Moore AK, Weible AP, Balmer TS, Trussell LO, Wehr M. Rapid Rebalancing of Excitation and Inhibition by Cortical Circuitry. *Neuron* 97, 1341-1355 e1346 (2018).
- Penikis KB, Sanes DH. A Redundant Cortical Code for Speech Envelope. *J Neurosci* 43, 93-112 (2023).
- 716 21. Satuvuori E, Kreuz T. Which spike train distance is most suitable for distinguishing rate
 717 and temporal coding? *J Neurosci Methods* 299, 22-33 (2018).
- 718 22. Kreuz T, Chicharro D, Houghton C, Andrzejak RG, Mormann F. Monitoring spike train 719 synchrony. *J Neurophysiol* **109**, 1457-1472 (2013).
- Narayan R, *et al.* Cortical interference effects in the cocktail party problem. *Nat Neurosci*10, 1601-1607 (2007).
- Mesgarani N, Chang EF. Selective cortical representation of attended speaker in multitalker speech perception. *Nature* 485, 233-236 (2012).
- Maddox RK, Billimoria CP, Perrone BP, Shinn-Cunningham BG, Sen K. Competing sound sources reveal spatial effects in cortical processing. *PLoS Biol* **10**, e1001319 (2012).
- Pachitariu M, Steinmetz N, Kadir S, Carandini M, Harris KD. Kilosort: realtime spike-sorting
 for extracellular electrophysiology with hundreds of channels. *bioRxiv*, (2016).
- 728 27. Rossant C, *et al.* Spike sorting for large, dense electrode arrays. *Nat Neurosci* 19, 634-641 (2016).
- 730 28. Keller CH, Kaylegian K, Wehr M. Gap encoding by parvalbumin-expressing interneurons in auditory cortex. *J Neurophysiol* **120**, 105-114 (2018).
- Rothauser EH, Chapman, W. D., Guttman, N., Nordby, K. S., Silbiger, H. R., Urbanek, G.
 E., & Weinstock, M. I.E.E.E. recommended practice for speech quality measurements. *IEEE Trans Audio Electroacoust* 17, 225-246 (1969).
- 73530.Britten KH, Shadlen MN, Newsome WT, Movshon JA. The analysis of visual motion: a
comparison of neuronal and psychophysical performance. J Neurosci 12, 4745-4765
(1992).
- Parker AJ, Newsome WT. Sense and the single neuron: probing the physiology of
 perception. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 21, 227-277 (1998).

740	32.	Wang L, Narayan R, Grana G, Shamir M, Sen K. Cortical discrimination of complex natural
741		stimuli: can single neurons match behavior? J Neurosci 27, 582-589 (2007).
742	33.	Billimoria CP, Kraus BJ, Narayan R, Maddox RK, Sen K. Invariance and sensitivity to
743		intensity in neural discrimination of natural sounds. <i>J Neurosci</i> 28 , 6304-6308 (2008).
744	34.	Downer JD, Bigelow J, Runfeldt MJ, Malone BJ. Temporally precise population coding of
	54.	
745	05	dynamic sounds by auditory cortex. J Neurophysiol 126 , 148-169 (2021).
746	35.	Hoglen NEG, Larimer P, Phillips EAK, Malone BJ, Hasenstaub AR. Amplitude modulation
747		coding in awake mice and squirrel monkeys. <i>J Neurophysiol</i> 119 , 1753-1766 (2018).
748	36.	van Rossum MC. A novel spike distance. Neural Comput 13, 751-763 (2001).
749	37.	Scala F, et al. Phenotypic variation of transcriptomic cell types in mouse motor cortex.
750		Nature, (2020).
751	38.	Cardin JA, et al. Driving fast-spiking cells induces gamma rhythm and controls sensory
752		responses. Nature 459 , 663-667 (2009).
753	39.	Sohal VS, Zhang F, Yizhar O, Deisseroth K. Parvalbumin neurons and gamma rhythms
754	00.	enhance cortical circuit performance. <i>Nature</i> 459 , 698-702 (2009).
755	40.	Bruno RM, Simons DJ. Feedforward mechanisms of excitatory and inhibitory cortical
	40.	
756		receptive fields. <i>J Neurosci</i> 22 , 10966-10975 (2002).
757	41.	Xiang Z, Huguenard JR, Prince DA. Cholinergic switching within neocortical inhibitory
758		networks. <i>Science</i> 281 , 985-988 (1998).
759	42.	Wang JA, McFadden SL, Caspary D, Salvi R. Gamma-aminobutyric acid circuits shape
760		response properties of auditory cortex neurons. Brain Research 944 , 219-231 (2002).
761	43.	Kurt S, Moeller CK, Jeschke M, Schulze H. Differential effects of iontophoretic application
762		of the GABAA-antagonists bicuculline and gabazine on tone-evoked local field potentials
763		in primary auditory cortex: interaction with ketamine anesthesia. Brain Res 1220, 58-69
764		(2008).
765	44.	Chen QC, Jen PH. Bicuculline application affects discharge patterns, rate-intensity
766	44.	
		functions, and frequency tuning characteristics of bat auditory cortical neurons. <i>Hear Res</i>
767		150 , 161-174 (2000).
768	45.	Kato HK, Asinof SK, Isaacson JS. Network-Level Control of Frequency Tuning in Auditory
769		Cortex. Neuron 95, 412-423 e414 (2017).
770	46.	Briguglio JJ, Aizenberg M, Balasubramanian V, Geffen MN. Cortical Neural Activity
771		Predicts Sensory Acuity Under Optogenetic Manipulation. J Neurosci 38, 2094-2105
772		(2018).
773	47.	Liang F, et al. Sparse Representation in Awake Auditory Cortex: Cell-type Dependence,
774		Synaptic Mechanisms, Developmental Emergence, and Modulation. Cereb Cortex 29,
775		3796-3812 (2019).
776	48.	Theunissen F, Miller JP. Temporal encoding in nervous systems: a rigorous definition. J
777	40.	Comput Neurosci 2 , 149-162 (1995).
	40	
778	49.	Ding N, Patel AD, Chen L, Butler H, Luo C, Poeppel D. Temporal modulations in speech
779		and music. <i>Neurosci Biobehav Rev</i> 81 , 181-187 (2017).
780	50.	Castellucci GA, Calbick D, McCormick D. The temporal organization of mouse ultrasonic
781		vocalizations. <i>PLoS One</i> 13 , e0199929 (2018).
782	51.	Chen C, Read HL, Escabi MA. Precise feature based time scales and frequency
783		decorrelation lead to a sparse auditory code. J Neurosci 32, 8454-8468 (2012).
784	52.	Ghitza O. Linking speech perception and neurophysiology: speech decoding guided by
785		cascaded oscillators locked to the input rhythm. Front Psychol 2, 130 (2011).
786	53.	Teng X, Poeppel D. Theta and Gamma Bands Encode Acoustic Dynamics over Wide-
787	00.	Ranging Timescales. Cereb Cortex 30 , 2600-2614 (2020).
	54	
788	54.	Shadlen MN, Newsome WT. Noise, neural codes and cortical organization. <i>Curr Opin</i>
789		Neurobiol 4 , 569-579 (1994).
790	55.	Narayan R, Ergun A, Sen K. Delayed inhibition in cortical receptive fields and the
791		discrimination of complex stimuli. J Neurophysiol 94, 2970-2975 (2005).
792	56.	Dong J, Colburn HS, Sen K. Cortical Transformation of Spatial Processing for Solving the
793		Cocktail Party Problem: A Computational Model(1,2,3). eNeuro 3, (2016).

- Kapfer C, Glickfeld LL, Atallah BV, Scanziani M. Supralinear increase of recurrent inhibition during sparse activity in the somatosensory cortex. *Nat Neurosci* 10, 743-753 (2007).
- 79658.Silberberg G, Markram H. Disynaptic inhibition between neocortical pyramidal cells797mediated by Martinotti cells. Neuron 53, 735-746 (2007).
- 79859.Adesnik H, Bruns W, Taniguchi H, Huang ZJ, Scanziani M. A neural circuit for spatial799summation in visual cortex. Nature **490**, 226-231 (2012).
- Lakunina AA, Nardoci MB, Ahmadian Y, Jaramillo S. Somatostatin-Expressing
 Interneurons in the Auditory Cortex Mediate Sustained Suppression by Spectral Surround.
 J Neurosci 40, 3564-3575 (2020).
- 803 61. Norman-Haignere SV, *et al.* Multiscale temporal integration organizes hierarchical computation in human auditory cortex. *Nat Hum Behav* **6**, 455-469 (2022).
- 805 62. Chou KF, Sen K. AIM: A network model of attention in auditory cortex. *PLoS Comput Biol*806 **17**, e1009356 (2021).
- 63. Gritton HJ, *et al.* Oscillatory activity in alpha/beta frequencies coordinates auditory and prefrontal cortices during extinction learning. *bioRxiv*, (2020).
- And State State
- 812 65. Hawley ML, Litovsky RY, Culling JF. The benefit of binaural hearing in a cocktail party:
 813 effect of location and type of interferer. *J Acoust Soc Am* **115**, 833-843 (2004).
- 814 66. Zhu Y, Qiao W, Liu K, Zhong H, Yao H. Control of response reliability by parvalbumin815 expressing interneurons in visual cortex. *Nat Commun* 6, 6802 (2015).
- Keaveney MK, Tseng HA, Ta TL, Gritton HJ, Man HY, Han X. A MicroRNA-Based Gene-Targeting Tool for Virally Labeling Interneurons in the Rodent Cortex. *Cell Rep* 24, 294-303 (2018).
- 68. Gritton HJ, *et al.* Unique contributions of parvalbumin and cholinergic interneurons in organizing striatal networks during movement. *Nat Neurosci* 22, 586-597 (2019).
- 69. Tseng H-a, Mount RA, Lowet E, Gritton HJ, Cheung C, Han X. Membrane Voltage
 B22 Dynamics of Parvalbumin Interneurons Orchestrate Hippocampal Theta Rhythmicity.
 bioRxiv, 2022.2011.2014.516448 (2022).
- Schmitzer-Torbert N, Jackson J, Henze D, Harris K, Redish AD. Quantitative measures of
 cluster quality for use in extracellular recordings. *Neuroscience* 131, 1-11 (2005).
- Kvitsiani D, Ranade S, Hangya B, Taniguchi H, Huang JZ, Kepecs A. Distinct behavioural and network correlates of two interneuron types in prefrontal cortex. *Nature* 498, 363-366 (2013).
- Monaghan JJM, Garcia-Lazaro JA, McAlpine D, Schaette R. Hidden Hearing Loss Impacts
 the Neural Representation of Speech in Background Noise. *Curr Biol* **30**, 4710-4721 e4714
 (2020).
- Jung F, Yanovsky Y, Brankack J, Tort ABL, Draguhn A. Respiratory entrainment of units
 in the mouse parietal cortex depends on vigilance state. *Pflugers Arch*, (2022).
- 83474.Wesson DW, Donahou TN, Johnson MO, Wachowiak M. Sniffing behavior of mice during835performance in odor-guided tasks. Chem Senses 33, 581-596 (2008).
- 836

837 Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Science Foundation (#IIS-1835270), the National Institute of Health (#1R34NS111742-01 and #1T32DC013017-01A1), and the Boston University Micro and Nano Imaging Facility (NIH S10OD024993) We would like to thank Alberto Cruz-Martín, Michael Economo, and Conor Houghton for comments and suggestions on the manuscript. We also thank Monty Escabi and Oded Ghitza for discussions on coding time-scales in auditory cortex and speech.

845 **Competing Interest Statement:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

- 847 **Data Availability Statement:** The data used for the analysis in this study is available upon request.
- 848 The source data for the figures and code used in this study are available at github.com/NSNC-
- 849 Lab/SpatialDiscriminabilityAnalysis.

850 Figures and Tables

851

852 Figure 1. Experimental methods. A: Illustration depicting recording electrode location and optical 853 fiber placement. Subjects were implanted with a 4-shank, 32-channel electrode array and 854 optogenetic fiber in right hemisphere of ACx. Each shank contained 8 sites per shank with 100µm 855 spacing between electrode contacts. B: Representative local field potential (LFP) activity from one 856 mouse. LFP was used to estimate current source density and the layer of the recording site within 857 each shank (Supplementary Figure 1). C: Example mean single unit waveform and inter-spike 858 interval (ISI) auto-correlogram. Dashed lines in mean waveform represent one standard deviation 859 above and below the mean, while scale bars are equal to 200µV and 1ms. Dashed red lines in 860 correlogram represent ISIs of ±2ms. D: Schematic for control and optogenetic trial presentation. 861 During approximately 50% of all trials, a 532nm laser would turn on 50ms before sound stimulus 862 onset and turn off coincident with sound offset. E: Paired comparisons of mean evoked firing rate 863 during control and laser trials. Paired t-tests yielded a significant increase in evoked firing rate 864 during optogenetic suppression for clean (n = 49 configurations; p < 1e-04, d = -0.92) and masked 865 trials (n = 20 configurations; p = 0.0219, d = -0.56).

866 Figure 2. Cortical discrimination in a cocktail party paradigm in mouse ACx. A: Illustration depicting the stimulus configuration for clean trials originating at +90° azimuth (Ai) and responses 867 868 to both target stimuli (T) (Aii). Auditory stimuli were presented from speakers at 4 locations. Target 869 stimuli consisted of white noise modulated by human speech envelopes extracted from recordings 870 of speech sentences (see Methods, Auditory stimuli). As shown in Aii, responses during clean trials 871 exhibit spike timing and rapid firing rate modulation that follow the amplitude envelope of both target 872 stimuli. All plotted PSTHs have a bin length of 20ms. Bi: Stimulus configuration for trials where 873 targets (T) played at +90° and a competing masking stimulus (M) played at -90°. Masking stimuli 874 consisted of unmodulated white noise with the same onset and offset times as target stimuli. Bii: 875 Responses to masked trials shown in Di. In this configuration, spike timing and firing rate 876 modulation follow both target stimuli, despite the presence of the competing masker. Ci: Stimulus 877 configuration for trials where targets played at 0° and maskers played at +45°. Cii: Responses to 878 target-masker configuration shown in Ci. For this configuration, spike timing and firing rate 879 modulation do not follow either target stimulus, resulting in similar responses between target 880 identities. D: Neural discriminability performance for all possible target-masker location 881 configurations, referred to as the spatial grid, for the example cell featured in A-C. Outlined spots 882 indicate configurations shown in A-C, matched by the outline color. Performance is calculated using 883 a template-matching approach based on differences in instantaneous firing rate and spike timing 884 similarities between spike trains (see Methods, Neural discriminability using SPIKE-distance). The 885 top grid shows discriminability for clean trials on top, while the bottom grid shows discriminability 886 for masked trials. All blocks are color-coded according to the color axis shown to the right of the 887 masked grid. Configurations with high performance (\geq 70%) and a large effect size (d \geq 1), e.g., the 888 configurations outlined in black and red, are referred to as hotspots. E: Effect sizes for each spatial 889 grid configuration in D, with the same outlines corresponding to examples in A-C. Positive values 890 represent an increase in performance relative to a null distribution where spike trains within each 891 target are template-matched to each other, while negative values represent a decrease in 892 performance relative to null. F: The performance of all 23 single units exhibiting at least one hotspot 893 during control trials. The translucent yellow surface represents the upper envelope of best 894 performance across all single units for each masked spatial configuration, while the translucent 895 blue surface represents the performance threshold of 70% for hotspots. Solid gray markers 896 represent masked configurations with performances above threshold, while unfilled gray markers 897 represent data points with performances below threshold. Black markers represent the maximal 898 performance used to represent the upper envelope.

899 Figure 3. Effects of suppressing PV neurons on cortical discrimination. A: Responses during 900 clean stimulus trials originating at 45° from one example cell during control (Ai) and optogenetic 901 (Aii) conditions. Aiii: Inset showing zoomed-in portion of the response between 0.1 and 0.4s after 902 sound onset, as outlined in Ai and Aii. Responses during optogenetic trials show earlier onset and 903 reduced spike timing consistency, compared to the control. B: Example spatial grids from the same 904 single unit during control (Bi) and optogenetic (Bii) conditions, with the performances at Clean 905 Target 45° outlined in black to correspond to responses shown in A. Performance is color-coded 906 according to the axis shown to the right of the Laser grid. The reduction in spike timing 907 reproducibility during optogenetic suppression (seen in Aiii) contributes to the decrease in 908 performance (80%) compared to control trials at the same configuration (95%). Additionally, 909 performance decreased during optogenetic suppression for the rest of the clean configurations, 910 while performance at the masked control hotspots, outlined by dashed boxes in both Bi and Bii, 911 decreased to below threshold: Target 45°, Masker 90° (75% to 55%); Target 45°, Masker -90° (79% to 64%); and Target 90°, Masker -90° (74% to 67%). C: Paired comparisons of SPIKE-distance-912 913 based performance from control and PV-suppressed trials at the same spatial grid location. Paired 914 t-tests yielded a significant decrease in performance for both clean (n = 49 configurations; p < 1e-915 04, d = 1.05) and masked (n = 20 configurations; p = 2e-04, d = 1.03) trials during optogenetic 916 suppression, indicating that PV suppression significantly reduced discrimination performance.

918 Figure 4. Effects of suppressing PV neurons on spike timing and rate-based coding 919 measures. A: Performance based on ISI-distance, which measures differences between trains in 920 instantaneous firing rate only (see Methods, ISI-distance). Paired t-tests showed a significant 921 decrease in performance for both clean (n = 49 configurations; p < 1e-04, d = 0.92) and masked (n922 = 20 configurations; p = 0.0034, d = 0.75) trials. **B:** Performance based on RI-SPIKE-distance, 923 which measures differences between trains in spike timing only (see Methods, RI-SPIKE-distance). 924 Paired *t*-tests showed a significant decrease in performance for both clean (p < 1e-04, d = 0.95) 925 and masked (p = 0.0011, d = 0.86) trials. C: Performance based on differences in total spike count 926 between spike trains was near chance level, indicating that total spike count did not account for 927 overall discrimination performance. Paired t-tests showed a significant decrease in performance 928 for clean trials (p = 0.0590, d = 0.28) but not for masked trials (p = 0.020, d = 0.56). **D**: Summary 929 figure showing contributions from spike distance measures presented in Figure 3C and 4A-C on 930 the same scale and axis. Changes in spike timing and instantaneous firing rate-based measures (RI-SPIKE and ISI, respectively) provide relatively high discrimination performance and show a 931 932 significant decrease upon optogenetic suppression of PV neurons.

934 Figure 5. Effects of optogenetic suppression on spiking activity measures. A: Changes in 935 dissimilarity of target responses via rate-normalized RMS difference between target PSTHs during 936 both conditions. Paired t-tests found significant decreases between conditions during both clean 937 trials (n = 49 configurations; p < 1e-04, d = 0.93) and masked trials (n = 20 configurations; p = 1600.0031, d = 0.76). **B**: Changes in response reproducibility via trial similarity between responses to 938 939 the same target during both conditions. Paired *t*-tests found a highly significant decrease between 940 conditions during clean trials (n = 49 configurations; p < 1e-04, d = 0.85) but not masked trials (n = 1600941 20 configurations; p = 0.7333, d = 0.08).

942 Figure 6. Decoding time analysis. A: Histogram of optimal τ for hotspots across both conditions (control and laser) and stimulus types (clean and masked). Dashed line indicates median value of 943 944 46.5ms, and shaded region represents the inter-quartile range (IQR) between 29ms and 79ms. 945 Paired *t*-tests did not find a significant change in optimal τ within hotspots between conditions during clean trials (n = 49 configurations; p = 0.492, d = -0.10) but found a significant decrease 946 947 during masked trials (n = 20 configurations; p = 0.0098, d = 0.64). **B**: van Rossum-based 948 performance with τ set at 8ms. Performance was found to significantly decrease during both clean (p < 1e-04, d = 0.74) and masked (p = 0.0042, d = 0.73) trials. **C**: van Rossum-based performance 949 950 with τ set at 32ms. Performance was found to significantly decrease during both clean (p < 1e-04, 951 d = 0.87) and masked (p = 0.0098, d = 0.76) trials. **D**: van Rossum-based performance with τ set 952 at 256ms. Performance was found to significantly decrease during both clean (p < 1e-04, d = 0.64) 953 and masked (p < 1e-04, d = 1.18) trials.

955 Figure 7. Comparison of optimal τ values and other time scales. Semi-logarithmic plot showing 956 various time-scales for spike timing in mouse ACx neurons compared to mouse vocalizations, 957 human speech, and neural oscillations. Top: Time scales for human speech sounds, mouse 958 vocalizations, and sniffing periods⁷⁴. In the mouse time-scales, short and long USV bars represent 959 the mean (black line) ± 2 SD. vocalization length. Within the plot, from left to right: the refractory 960 period for single units (red dashed line); and the distribution of optimal τ values from Figure 6 (solid 961 black curve), with the dashed yellow line indicating the median value of 46.5ms and the shaded 962 green region representing the IQR. The bottom axes show the time scale of optimal τ values in ms and frequency in Hz, with the latter decreasing from left to right. Shaded bars represent frequency 963 964 bands for neural oscillations.

Figure 8. Cortical circuits for complex scene analysis. Hypothesized conceptual model of
 cortical circuit underlying spatial grids. C and R cells represent excitatory units, I cells mediate
 within-channel inhibition, and X cells mediate cross-channel inhibition.

τ (ms)	d _{clean}	Pclean	d masked	p_{masked}
1	-0.02	0.9157	0.56	0.0226
2	0.11	0.4530	0.67	0.0074
4	0.35	0.0189	0.70	0.0055
8	0.74	< 1e-04	0.73	0.0042
16	0.87	< 1e-04	0.66	0.0079
32	0.87	< 1e-04	0.64	0.0098
64	0.79	< 1e-04	0.74	0.0035
128	0.72	< 1e-04	1.03	2e-04
256	0.64	< 1e-04	1.18	< 1e-04

Table 1. Effect sizes and paired *t*-test results for all τ values used in van Rossum distance-

970 based performance calculations.















