**Mobile element integration reveals a chromosome dimer resolution system in Legionellales.**

Beth Nicholson[a], Shayna R. Deecker[a] and Alexander W. Ensminger[a,b,*]

[a] Department of Biochemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, M5S 1A8 Canada.

[b] Department of Molecular Genetics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, M5S 1A8 Canada.

Running title: Chromosome dimer resolution in Legionellales.

[*]Address correspondence to Alexander W. Ensminger, alex.ensminger@utoronto.ca

Classification: Biological Sciences; Microbiology

Keywords: *Legionella pneumophila*, *Coxiella burnetii*, chromosome dimer resolution, site-specific

recombination, Xer, *dif,* IMEX

**ABSTRACT**

In bacteria, the mechanisms used to repair DNA lesions during genome replication include homologous recombination between sister chromosomes. This can lead to the formation of chromosome dimers if an odd number of crossover events occurs. The concatenated DNA must be resolved before cell separation to ensure genomic stability and cell viability. The broadly conserved *dif*/Xer system counteracts the formation of dimers by catalyzing one additional crossover event immediately prior to cell separation. While *dif*/Xer systems have been characterized or predicted in the vast majority of proteobacteria, no homologs to *dif* or *xer* have been identified in the order Legionellales. Here we report the discovery of a distinct single-recombinase *dif*/Xer system in the intracellular pathogen *Legionella pneumophila*. The *dif* site was uncovered by our analysis of *Legionella* mobile element-1 (LME-1), which harbors a *dif* site-mimic and integrates into the *L. pneumophila* genome via site-specific recombination. We demonstrate that *lpg1867* (herein named *xerL*) encodes a tyrosine recombinase that is necessary and sufficient for catalyzing recombination at the *dif* site, and that deletion of *dif* or *xerL* causes filamentation along with extracellular and intracellular growth defects. We show that the *dif*/XerL system is present throughout Legionellales and that *Coxiella burnetii* XerL and its cognate *dif* site can functionally substitute for the native system in *L. pneumophila*. Lastly, we describe an unexpected link between *C. burnetii dif*/Xer and the maintenance of its virulence plasmids.

**Significance Statement**

The maintenance of circular chromosomes depends on the ability to resolve aberrant chromosome dimers as they form. In most proteobacteria, broadly conserved Xer recombinases catalyze single crossovers at short, species-specific *dif* sites located near the replication terminus. Chromosomal

dimerization leads to the formation of two copies of *dif*, leading to rapid site-specific recombination

and elimination of the duplicated intervening sequence. The apparent absence of chromosome-dimer

resolution mechanisms in Legionellales has been a mystery to date. By studying a phage-like mobile

genetic element, LME-1, we have identified a previously unknown single-recombinase *dif*/Xer

system that is not only widespread across Legionellales but whose activity is linked to virulence in

two important human pathogens.

## INTRODUCTION

The circular nature of bacterial chromosomes brings about a problem for the partitioning of genetic information to daughter cells: the formation of chromosome dimers during replication. Dimers are generated when homologous recombination-mediated repair of DNA lesions results in an odd number of crossover events between sister chromosomes. The concatenated DNA must be resolved into monomers for proper cell division to occur. The essential and broadly conserved bacterial *dif*/Xer system overcomes this topological problem by catalyzing one additional crossover event immediately prior to cell separation (1, 2). The *dif* (<u>d</u>eletion-<u>i</u>nduced <u>f</u>ilamentation) site is a conserved ~30-bp DNA element on the chromosome that contains binding sites for either two copies of a single tyrosine recombinase or one copy of two different ones (3). In a highly regulated process, a nucleoprotein complex containing the Xer tetramer and two aligned *dif* sites forms a recombination synapse, and site-specific recombination between them deconcatenates the chromosome dimer into monomers (4–6).

Dimer resolution via site-specific recombination by tyrosine recombinases was first discovered in plasmids (7, 8) including ColE1, in which a DNA sequence named *cer* was found to be essential for plasmid monomerization and stability (8). Recombination between *cer* sites was later found to require catalysis by XerC (9) and XerD (10) encoded on the *E. coli* genome. These findings, along with homology between the chromosomal *dif* site and *cer*, led to the discovery of the *dif*/XerCD chromosome dimer resolution (CDR) pathway in *E. coli* (10–13) and later in several other species of bacteria (14–19) and archaea (20, 21). Subsequent dissection of the *dif*/Xer recombination machinery has been aided by another group of mobile genetic elements, named integrative mobile elements exploiting Xer (IMEX) (22–27). IMEXs, which include lysogenic

phages, genomic islands, and plasmids, contain a *dif*-mimic sequence which allows them to integrate

into the chromosome at the *dif* site using the host's Xer recombination machinery (5, 28).

Homologs of *xerC* and *xerD* have been predicted to be present in the vast majority of

proteobacterial species (29). Exceptions to this include two groups of bacteria that utilize a single-

recombinase system. The *Streptococci/Lactococci* use a single recombinase XerS and an atypical *dif*

site (16) and a group of epsilon-proteobacteria, including *Helicobacter* and *Campylobacter* species,

uses a single-recombinase XerH system (14, 17, 29). While *dif*/Xer homologs have been detected in

almost 90% of proteobacteria, no *dif* or Xer homologs could be detected in the order Legionellales,

despite encoding RecA, RecBCD, and RecF, which are thought to be responsible for dimer

formation during replication (29). How then do the members of the order Legionellales overcome

the threat to genome stability that chromosome dimers impose? It is possible that they use an entirely

different method for CDR, or that its *dif*/Xer system is sufficiently divergent from others to avoid

detection by sequence-based homology searches.

Several strains of *Legionella pneumophila* harbor a phage-like integrative mobile genetic

element, named *Legionella* mobile element-1 (LME-1) (30–33). We previously found that

integration into the genome requires a 22-bp attachment site (*att*) in LME-1 that is identical to a

sequence on the *L. pneumophila* genome (33). Even though LME-1 is only integrated in a small

proportion of sequenced *L. pneumophila* isolates, the chromosomal *att* site is present in all *L.*

*pneumophila* isolates and *Legionella* species sequenced to date (Table S1), a level of conservation

that suggests an important function. We report here that the LME-1 *att* site on the chromosome

meets several criteria of the missing *dif* site in *Legionella*: size ~30 bp, low GC-content, some degree

of palindromicity, positioned close to the replication terminus, and in a non-coding region with

varying flanking genes. We identify a single recombinase that is both necessary and sufficient for

site-specific recombination at this site. Together, our results indicate that *L. pneumophila* uses a

distinct single-recombinase system for CDR and that LME-1 is an IMEX of that system. We also

report that *xerL* orthologs can be found across the Legionellales family. Lastly, we show that the

*Coxiellaceae dif* site and *xerL* ortholog can functionally substitute for the *Legionella dif*/XerL

system and propose that the migration of *C. burnetii* XerL off the chromosome has contributed to the

stability of virulence plasmids in this pathogen.

**RESULTS**

**The LME-1 attachment site is invariably close to the *Legionella* replication terminus.**

We previously determined that LME-1 integrates into the *L. pneumophila* genome via a 22-

bp *att* site (33). The corresponding *att* site on the chromosome is contained within a broader 29-bp

sequence that is conserved across all sequenced *L. pneumophila* strains and *Legionella* species (Fig.

1A, Table S1), suggesting that it performs an essential function. While the *att* site itself is conserved,

its genomic neighborhood varies (33) (Table S1), indicating that the intrinsic function of this

sequence may be unrelated to its flanking genes. In a search for attributes of the *att* site that may hint

at its function, we analyzed its chromosomal location in all *L. pneumophila* strains with available

genome sequences (Table S1). We found that despite the disparate gene neighborhoods, the location

of the *att* site was roughly opposite the origin of replication in all cases. In fact, GC-skew analysis of

each genome revealed that the *att* site was invariably close to the cumulative GC-skew maximum,

which occurs at the site of replication termination (34) (Fig. 1B, Table S1). The *att* site is present

within a small ~8-kb window between 35 kb and 43 kb from the GC-skew inflection point (Table

S1). This specificity of positioning extended to the *att* sites of other *Legionella* species, which are

located between 89 bp and 79 kb from the point of GC-skew inflection (Fig. 1B, Table S1).

The proximity of the LME-1 *att* site to the terminus region is reminiscent of bacterial *dif*

sites, so we next compared the *att* site to the *dif* sites of several bacteria with established *dif*/Xer

systems. In addition to its proximity to the terminus, the LME-1 *att* site shows several similarities to

known *dif* sites, including (i) intergenic location (Table S1), (ii) size of ~30 bp, (iii) low G+C

content, and (iv) a short central region flanked by left and right arms with some degree of dyad

symmetry (Fig. 1C). However, the LME-1 *att* site is distinguished from known *dif* sites by the

perfect dyad symmetry of its 10-bp arms and the short 4-bp central region separating them. The arms

do not show homology to any known Xer-binding motifs (Fig. 1C) (29).

**The *L. pneumophila dif* site supports highly efficient RecA-independent DNA recombination.**

The similarities between the chromosomal LME-1 *att* site (hereafter referred to as the

*Legionella dif* site) and established *dif* sites suggest that it likely contains two binding sites for one or

more tyrosine-type recombinases that catalyze site-specific recombination (3). To assess the ability

of the *L. pneumophila dif* site to undergo site-specific recombination, we used an intermolecular

recombination assay (Fig. 2A). In this assay, a non-replicative plasmid (pJB4648) containing a

gentamicin-resistance marker and a 22-bp portion of the *dif* site (corresponding to the LME-1 *att*

site) is transformed into *L. pneumophila* strain Lp02. If site-specific recombination occurs between

the *att* site on the plasmid and the chromosomal *dif* site, the entire plasmid will be integrated into the

genome and confer gentamicin resistance to the cell. We compared the number of transformants

resulting from the *att*-containing plasmid to one with a control 22-bp sequence derived from the *L.*

*pneumophila* genome near the *dif* site. To evaluate the efficiency of recombination supported by the

*dif* site, we also included a plasmid containing 2200 bp of unrelated intergenic sequence from *L.*

*pneumophila*, which can integrate into the genome via a single-crossover homologous recombination

event. Considering that chromosomal *dif* site-specific recombination is known to be RecA-

independent (13, 16, 35), we also assessed the integration of each of these plasmids in a *recA*

deletion strain (Fig. 2B). To control for any strain-to-strain differences in overall transformation

efficiency, we normalized the number of transformants for each plasmid to the number resulting

from transformation with a replicative plasmid (pJB1806_GmR). The *att* site-containing plasmid

integrated into the genome at a high efficiency that was 50-fold higher than that of the 2200-bp

control. In contrast, the 22-bp control sequence did not generate any gentamicin-resistant

recombinants, indicating that the number of integration events was lower than the detection limit of

1 CFU per ~$2 \times 10^9$ cells. In the $\Delta recA$ strain, no transformants were detected for the 2200-bp

control which relies on homologous recombination for integration, while the *att* site-containing

plasmid integrated at a level similar to that in the wildtype strain (Fig. 2B). In both the wildtype and

$\Delta recA$ strains, integration of the *att*-containing plasmid at the chromosomal *dif* site was confirmed

by PCR-amplification of the *dif* region and Sanger sequencing (data not shown). These data indicate

that, similar to other *dif* sites, site-specific recombination at the *L. pneumophila dif* site is efficient

and RecA-independent.

***Legionella* Xer/*dif* is a single-recombinase system.**

We next aimed to identify the recombinase(s) that catalyzes recombination at the *Legionella*

*dif* site. No orthologs of XerC, XerD, XerS, or XerH have been identified in *Legionella* using

sequence homology (29). However, HHPred analysis (36) revealed that the proteins encoded by six

*L. pneumophila* genes present in strain Lp02 (*lpg0980, lpg0981, lpg1070, lpg1085, lpg1867*, and

*lpg2057*) show structural similarity to tyrosine-type recombinases (probability >99%). We therefore

repeated our intermolecular recombination assay in strains containing individual deletions of these

six genes, along with a strain lacking the *dif* site. As expected, deletion of the *dif* site resulted in no

detectable integration of the *att* site-containing plasmid. Deletion of *lpg1867* also specifically

abolished integration, while deletion of each of the other five recombinases had no significant effect

(Fig. 3A). We also performed rescue experiments using expression plasmids to confirm that the loss

of recombination at the *dif* site in the Δ*lpg1867* strain was due to loss of Lpg1867 protein function

and not any secondary mutations acquired during strain generation. Expression of Lpg1867 in the

Δ*lpg1867* strain resulted in near-wildtype levels of recombination (Fig. 3B). In contrast, no

recombination was detected with an empty vector control or when a point mutant of Lpg1867

(Y387F) was expressed. The Y387F mutant is predicted to be catalytically inactive based on the

equivalent catalytic tyrosine mutation in other tyrosine recombinases (10, 37, 38).

We next asked whether one of the other five putative tyrosine recombinases might be

involved along with Lpg1867 in recombination at the *dif* site, despite having no effect upon

individual deletion. To address this, we generated two multiple-deletion strains: one with five

putative recombinases deleted (all except *lpg1867*), and a pan-deletion strain with all six deleted. In

our intermolecular recombination assay, integration of the *att*-containing plasmid was similar to

wildtype levels in the 5-deletion strain and nonexistent in the pan-deletion strain (Fig. 4A).

Overexpression of Lpg1867, but not Lpg1867-Y387F, again rescued the recombination defect (Fig.

4B).

As chromosome dimer resolution involves recombination between two *dif* sites on the same

molecule, we also wanted to test whether Lpg1867 was sufficient to catalyze intramolecular

recombination between two *dif* sites. To this end, we developed an intramolecular recombination

assay by inserting a *dif* site-flanked kanamycin-resistance cassette at the normal *dif* locus of the

Lp02 pan-deletion strain, such that recombination between the two flanking *dif* sites would result in

loss of kanamycin resistance. To quantify excision of the reporter, we determined the proportion of

kanamycin-resistant cells in the population after transforming the cells with wildtype or mutant

Lpg1867. Overexpression of wildtype Lpg1867 in this strain resulted in a high level of cassette

excision, while overexpression of the catalytic mutant resulted in an excision level similar to

background level (Fig. 4C). Together, these data suggested that Lpg1867 does not require a partner

recombinase for recombination at the *dif* site. However, to rule out the possibility that another

unidentified recombinase or cofactor in *L. pneumophila* is also required, we performed a similar

intramolecular excision assay in yeast. In this case, a *dif* site-flanked KanMX cassette was inserted at

the HO locus of the *S. cerevisiae* BY4741 strain, and recombination between the two *dif* sites was

assessed by quantifying loss of geneticin resistance (Fig. 4C). Overexpression of Lpg1867, but not

Lpg1867-Y387F, again led to high levels of excision. Collectively, these data indicate that Lpg1867

is both necessary and sufficient to catalyze site-specific recombination at the *L. pneumophila dif* site.

**Deletion of *dif* or *lpg1867* induces filamentation and inhibits extracellular and intracellular**

**growth.**

Loss of a functional chromosome dimer resolution system causes defects in cell division,

which can result in a variety of phenotypes including slow growth and filamentation (the *dif* site was

named for its deletion-induced filamentation phenotype). Consistent with reported findings for *dif* or

*xer* deletions in other species (11, 13, 14, 18, 39, 40), we observed that loss of *dif* or Lpg1867 in *L.*

*pneumophila* led to filamentation in a subpopulation of cells (Fig. 5A). Notably, and consistent with

a reduction in chromosome dimer formation in the absence of RecA (2, 41), we observed a decrease

in filament formation upon deletion of *recA* in the Δ*lpg1867* background (Fig. 5A).

Deletion of *dif* or *lpg1867* also results in a visible reduction in colony growth on solid media

(Fig. 5B). Again, this defect is alleviated upon additional deletion of *recA* in the *Δlpg1867*

background (Fig. 5B), consistent with the growth inhibition being caused by an inability to resolve

chromosome dimers. The slow-growth phenotype is also present in the recombinase pan-deletion

strain, but not the 5-deletion strain, and the defect is rescued by expression of wildtype Lpg1867 but

not the catalytic mutant (Fig. 5C), mirroring the effects of these deletions on recombination (see Fig.

4A,B). Importantly, the *dif* and *lpg1867* deletions also result in diminished growth in human U937

macrophages (Fig. 5D), indicating that growth within host cells is also impacted by disruptions to

*dif*/Xer activity. Taken together, these data support a model in which a single site-specific

recombinase, Lpg1867 (which we designate here as XerL), catalyzes recombination between two *dif*

sites to resolve chromosome dimers in *Legionella*.

**LME-1 stability is modulated by the formation of a modified downstream *dif* site.**

We have previously shown that LME-1 integrates into the *L. pneumophila* chromosome at

what we now know to be the *dif* site (33). LME-1 is stably integrated into the genome of str. Murcia-

4983 (only excised in ~1% of the population), despite being flanked by two *att* sites (33). The

sequence of the LME-1 *att* site is identical to the *L. pneumophila dif* site, but only encompasses 22-

bp of it. Recombination between this 22-bp sequence and the chromosomal *dif* site results in *att*-site

duplication, which generates an intact *dif* site upstream and a modified *dif* site downstream of LME-

1 that contains three substitutions (Fig. 6A). We next asked whether these modifications to the

downstream *dif* site contribute to the stability of the integrated form of LME-1. We modified our

excision assay to use the kanamycin-resistance cassette as a proxy for LME-1, and determined the

effect of adding one or more of the LME-1 *att* substitutions to the downstream *dif* site (Fig. 6B). We

found that adding all three substitutions to the downstream *dif* site (to mimic integrated LME-1)

resulted in markedly reduced excision compared to the reporter flanked by two wildtype *dif* sites,

while one or two substitutions resulted in an intermediate level of excision (Fig. 6B). These data

suggest that the short 22-bp *att* site of LME-1 likely contributes to the stability of its integrated form

by preventing it from being flanked by two intact *dif* sites.

**Widespread distribution of *xerL* orthologs in *Legionella* and *Coxiella*.**

Our discovery of the *Legionella dif*/Xer pathway, which had been missed in homology-based

searches due to divergence from known CDR components, led us to next examine other bacteria in

which no *dif*/Xer system has been identified to date. One possibility is that several species have

XerL-like systems that were missed for the same reasons that they were missed in *Legionella*. To

explore this possibility, we performed BLASTp and tBLASTn analyses using the *L. pneumophila*

XerL protein as a query. These analyses identified several additional XerL orthologs across the order

Legionellales, which includes *Legionella* sp. as well as the select agent, *Coxiella burnetii* (Fig. 7).

Phylogenetic analysis of the XerL-like recombinases indicates widespread distribution across

Legionellales (Fig. S1). The amount of sequence divergence between XerL and the rest of the Xer

protein family likely explains how this machinery has been missed by prior analyses.

**The *Coxiella burnetii xerL* ortholog is encoded on a virulence plasmid.**

Despite the apparent vertical inheritance of *xerL* given its restriction within the order

Legionellales, the *Coxiella burnetii* orthologs of *xerL* (hereafter *xerL*$_{Cb}$) reside not on the circular

chromosome, but on a large ubiquitous plasmid that is critical for virulence (42–45). While Xer/*dif*

systems have established roles in resolving aberrant plasmid dimers, these are the only Xer

sequences within the *C. burnetii* genome. This raises the question as to whether this plasmid-based

XerL functions to resolve plasmid dimers, chromosome dimers, or both.

To search for putative *dif* sites within the *Coxiella* genome, we performed a sequence

similarity (BLASTn) search using the 29-nt *L. pneumophila dif* site. We identified a short sequence

of 30 nucleotides on the virulence plasmid immediately adjacent to XerL$_{Cb}$ (Fig. 8A). Using this

second sequence as a query, we identified an additional site on the chromosome (Fig. 8A). This

chromosomal sequence diverges from the plasmid site yet has several features consistent with a

functioning *dif* site. Like the *L. pneumophila dif* sequence, it is palindromic, highly conserved across

isolates, and has no apparent coding potential.

To further investigate the potential role of this chromosomal site as a functional *dif* site, we

examined its location relative to the point of inflection of GC skew (replication terminus). As we

observed for *dif*$_{Lp}$, the *Coxiella burnetii* chromosomal site is always located opposite the predicted

origin of replication and close to the cumulative GC-skew maximum (Fig. 8B, Table S2). Taken

together, this sequence not only shares homology to *dif*$_{Lp}$, but maintains several hallmarks of a *dif*

site involved in chromosome dimer resolution. Hereafter, we will refer to it as *dif*$_{Cb}$.

**The *Coxiella* XerL/*dif* system functionally complements a Δ*xerL*/*dif* mutant in *Legionella*.**

Having identified putative *dif* sites and *xerL* orthologs in *Coxiella burnetii*, we next wanted

to assay their potential for chromosome dimer resolution. Considering that colony size correlates

with *dif*/Xer function in *L. pneumophila* (Fig. 5), we generated a strain in which the endogenous *dif*

site and *xerL* gene were replaced by the *C. burnetii dif* site and *xerL*, and assessed growth on solid

media. If the *C. burnetii dif*/XerL were not able to resolve dimers in their surrogate chromosome,

then we would expect small colony sizes similar to the $\Delta dif$ or $\Delta xerL$ strains. However, the colony

size distribution of the strain containing XerL$_{Cb}$ and the *C. burnetii* chromosomal *dif* site (*dif*$_{Cb\_chr}$)

was almost identical to that of wildtype *L. pneumophila* (Fig. 8C), while a strain encoding the

predicted catalytic mutant XerL-Y389F displayed the small colony sizes associated with

nonfunctional *dif*/Xer (Fig. 8C). This suggests that despite being encoded on the plasmid, XerL$_{Cb}$

may catalyze recombination at *dif*$_{Cb\_chr}$ to resolve dimers of the *Coxiella* chromosome. Interestingly,

despite containing several substitutions (Fig. 8A) the *Coxiella* plasmid *dif* site (*dif*$_{Cb\_pl}$), in concert

with XerL$_{Cb}$, is also able to complement the small colony phenotype (Fig. 8C). Similarly, in our

intramolecular recombination assay, the reporter cassette was excised when flanked by either

*dif*$_{Cb\_chr}$ or *dif*$_{Cb\_pl}$, although excision for the cassette flanked by *dif*$_{Cb\_pl}$ required overnight induction

of XerL$_{Cb}$ (Fig 8D).

Together, these findings suggest that XerL$_{Cb}$ may resolve both plasmid and chromosome

dimers in *Coxiella*, with the resolution of chromosome dimers uniquely dependent on plasmid-

encoded XerL. XerL is encoded by all four virulence plasmids described in *C. burnetii* and no

additional Xer orthologs can be found on the chromosome. This suggests that chromosome dimer

resolution and virulence plasmid maintenance are genetically linked in *C. burnetii*, with plasmid loss

leading to loss of the chromosome dimer resolution pathway. Consistent with this model, we note

that previously described instances of plasmidless strains – in which a subset of plasmid-like

sequences are instead integrated onto the chromosome (46–48) – contain *xerL* within the integrated

sequence. Our findings suggest that such integrants are likely selected for based on their ability to

escape the fitness costs that a plasmidless strain would otherwise incur. Despite the sequence

similarity between the *dif* sites located on the plasmid and chromosome, and their shared dependence

on XerL, the integration of plasmid-like sequences in the plasmidless strains is not at the

chromosomal *dif* site. In fact, the plasmid fragments are located ~1 Mb from the terminus region,

indicating that acquisition of the plasmid-like sequences did not originate with an IMEX-like

plasmid integration event. To see if this phenomenon would recapitulate in our intermolecular

recombination assay, we measured integration of a non-replicative plasmid containing $dif_{Cb\_pl}$ into

the genome of a strain containing $dif_{Cb\_chr}$ and found it to be almost 300-fold lower than when the

genome contained $dif_{Cb\_pl}$ (Fig. 8E). Surprisingly, the efficiency of integration into the $dif_{Cb\_chr}$-

containing genome was also very low when the non-replicative plasmid contained the identical

$dif_{Cb\_chr}$ (Fig. 8E). One possible explanation is that highly efficient recombination between the two

$dif_{Cb\_chr}$ sites leads to rapid re-excision after integration, resulting in no visible gentamicin-resistant

colonies. However, when we used a version of $dif_{Cb\_chr}$ with a shortened region of dyad symmetry,

which is known to increase stability of integration for the LME-1 *dif* mimic (see Fig. 6),

transformation efficiency remained very low (Fig. 8E). These findings suggest that either shortened

dyad symmetry does not stabilize $dif_{Cb\_chr}$ or the chromosomal *dif* site itself might be recalcitrant to

invasion. The latter notion is consistent with the fact that no sequenced *Coxiella burnetii* genomes

contain virulence plasmids as chromosomal integrants at the *dif* site.

**DISCUSSION**

The discovery of the *L. pneumophila* CDR system has solved two mysteries of the

pathogen's biology: the function of the conserved DNA sequence that is hijacked by LME-1, and

how *Legionella* species are able to resolve chromosome dimers despite having no homologs to

known *dif* sites and Xer recombinases. Similarly, no *dif*/Xer components had been identified

previously in members of the other Legionellales family, *Coxiellaceae* (29), which includes the

human pathogen *Coxiella burnetii*. It is rare that you uncover an overlooked, essential pathway in a

pathogen. We have identified one that is conserved across an entire order. Other extensively studied

dimensions of *Legionella* biology (e.g. translocated effectors) are not strong candidates for drug

targets due to their redundancy. In contrast, we show that disrupting one protein in this pathway

(XerL) is sufficient to dramatically restrict the growth of the pathogen.

With no apparent *dif* or Xer homologs in *Legionella*, the CDR system was instead unearthed

through our investigation of LME-1 and its attachment site. In turn, the discovery of the *Legionella*

*dif* site and XerL has allowed us to explore new LME-1 biology. Our findings are consistent with

LME-1 belonging to the IMEX class of integrative mobile elements, which exploit the host CDR

machinery for integration and in some cases excision (5, 28). The mechanistic details of LME-1

integration and excision, and how they relate to the strategies of other IMEXs, are interesting topics

of future study. One intriguing aspect of LME-1 is the stability of its integrated form. Our reporter

excision assay showed that the modified *dif* site and wildtype *dif* site that flank the integrated LME-1

recombine at a very low level, and that the stability of integration is influenced by the three

nucleotide substitutions present in the modified form. Another stably integrated IMEX, the

gonococcal genomic island (GGI) of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, generates a similarly modified *dif* site

($dif_{GGI}$) at one end of its integrated form, while the wildtype *dif* ($dif_{Ng}$) remains intact at the other

(23). The *Neisseria* CDR system uses XerC and XerD homologs, and recombination takes place

when the FtsK DNA translocase pauses at the *dif*/Xer complex and activates XerD.  Interestingly,

FtsK does not stop at the $dif_{GGI}$-XerC/D complex, but rather translocates through it, which likely

leads to disassembly of the complex and precludes recombination between the $dif_{NG}$ *and* $dif_{GGI}$ (23).

It is possible that this same FtsK-dependent process contributes to the stability of the integrated form

of LME-1, although we have yet to determine whether XerL requires FtsK for activation. Our future

investigative priorities include determining the involvement of FtsK in the *L. pneumophila* CDR

system and the stability of its associated IMEX, LME-1.

The *Legionella* and *Coxiella dif*/Xer systems are distinct in several ways from those

characterized previously in other bacterial species. The *dif* site arms consist of long inverted repeats

(10-bp and 12-bp, respectively) with no interruptions, whereas other *dif* sites show only partial dyad

symmetry between the two arms, even for single-recombinase systems. Additionally, in *L.*

*pneumophila*, *dif* and *xerL* are separated by >400 kb in the genome, whereas in the other bacterial

single-recombinase systems (*i.e.* *dif*$_{SL}$/XerS of *Streptococci* and *Lactococci* and *dif*$_{H}$/XerH of

*Campylobacter* and *Helicobacter*), the *dif* site is always near the *xer* gene, indicating they may have

been acquired as a single module (3, 16, 17, 29). The location of the *C. burnetii xerL* ortholog on its

virulence plasmid is also unusual. While many plasmids use Xer machinery for their own dimer

resolution, this is the first instance we are aware of where a plasmid-based Xer recombinase is

necessary for chromosome dimer resolution.

Given the general importance of chromosome dimer resolution to bacterial fitness and the

extent to which intracellular growth is compromised in *L. pneumophila* Δ*xerL* or *dif* mutants, we

anticipate that plasmid loss in *Coxiella* incurs a significant fitness cost through the loss of the

essential recombinase. Consistent with this model, isolates that have lost their plasmid appear to

maintain *dif*/XerL activity by including *xerL* within the fragments of plasmid sequence found to be

integrated on the chromosome. One interpretation of these results is that integrants that do not

maintain *xerL* chromosomally are rapidly selected against and not recovered. In light of our data, it

will be important to distinguish which phenotypes previously associated with directed plasmid-loss

(44) reflect virulence-specific defects (associated with loss of specific virulence factors, such as

effectors) and which phenotypes result from the loss of *dif*/XerL machinery.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Strains and plasmids**

The *L. pneumophila* Lp02 strain was used as the background for all *L. pneumophila* strains

generated in this study. The *L. pneumophila* Murcia-4983 strain, which harbors LME-1, is an

environmental isolate collected during the 2001 outbreak in Murcia, Spain (49). All *L. pneumophila*

strains were grown at 37°C in N-(2-acetamido)-2-aminoethanesulfonic acid (ACES) buffered yeast

extract supplemented with 100 ug/mL thymidine (AYET) and on charcoal AYET (CYET) agar

plates.

Deletion and mutant strains were made using a scar-free suicide-cassette method described

recently (50) with some minor modifications. Briefly, linear DNA containing a mazF-KanR cassette

flanked by ~1.5-kb homology arms was introduced into the parental strain via natural

transformation. Cells in which the mazF-KanR cassette had been integrated via homologous

recombination were selected by plating on media containing kanamycin. As mazF gene expression is

under an IPTG-inducible promoter, colonies can be screened on kanamycin- and IPTG-containing

plates to identify cells that are IPTG-sensitive and kanamycin-resistant. In a second natural

transformation, linear DNA containing only the homology arms with the deletion/mutation was

introduced, and cells in which the cassette was excised were selected on plates containing IPTG.

Colonies were then screened to identify those that were IPTG-resistant and kanamycin-sensitive, and

the deletion was verified by PCR screening and Sanger sequencing.

The reporter strains used for the intramolecular recombination (reporter excision) assays

were generated by introducing linear DNA containing the *dif*-KanR-*dif* cassette (flanked by ~1.5 kb

homology arms around the chromosomal *dif* site) into the Lp02 Δ*lpg1867* strain or pan-deletion

strain. Strains containing the cassette were selected on plates containing kanamycin, and the correct

integration of the cassettes was verified by Sanger sequencing. Similarly, for the yeast-based

excision assay, linear DNA containing the *dif*-KanMX-*dif* cassette (flanked by homology arms

around the HO locus) was transformed into *S. cerevisiae strain* BY4741 using the high efficiency

PEG/LiAc method (51). Integrants were selected on YPD agar plates containing geneticin and

verified by Sanger sequencing.

The pJB4648-based plasmids used for the intermolecular recombination assays were

constructed by cloning the 22-bp *att* site sequence, a control 22-bp sequence, or 2200 bp of

intergenic Lp02 sequence into pJB4648 digested with XhoI and ApaI. The gentamicin-resistant

plasmid pJB1806-GmR was generated by first amplifying the gentamicin resistance gene and

promoter from pJB4648 and cloning it in place of the chloramphenicol resistance gene in plasmid

pJB1806. Protein expression plasmids used for the recombination and colony size assays were made

by cloning the *lpg1867* gene (wildtype or modified to encode the Y387F mutation) into the multiple

cloning site of pNT562 (52). The tet-on-pJB1806-GmR-based expression plasmids used for the

reporter excision assays were generated by replacing the *lacIq* gene and tac promoter in pJB1806-

GmR with the *tetR* gene and tetracycline-responsive promoter from pLJR965 (53) and then cloning

in the wildtype or mutant Lpg1867 ORF immediately after the promoter, as described by Rock and

colleagues (53).

**GC-skew analysis**

The cumulative GC-skew maximum value for each *Legionella* genome (Table S1) or *C.*

*burnetii* genome (Table S2) was determined using the GenSkew java app

(https://genskew.csb.univie.ac.at) with default settings. The whole-genome GC-skew profiles and *dif*

site locations for seven *L. pneumophila* isolates, seven *Legionella* species, and seven *C. burnetii*

strains, selected to show a range of cGC-skew maxima locations relative to the origin, were plotted

using Circos (54). The GC-skew profiles of these genomes were normalized for genome length. The

selected *L. pneumophila* isolates and *Legionella* species are: *L. pneumophila*: Philadelphia_2

(GenBank accession number CP015929.1), Philadelphia_1 (AE017354.1), E7_O (CP015954.1),

Paris (CR628336.1), NY23 D-7705 (CP021261.1), NCTC11985 (LT906452.1), and subsp. fraseri

strain D-4058 (CP021277); *Legionella* species: *L. israelensis* L18-01051 (CP041668.1), *L. micdadei*

(LN614830.1), *L. spiritensis* NCTC11990 (LT906457.1), *L. sainthelensi* NCTC12450

(LR134178.1), *L. longbeachae* NSW150 (FN650140.1), *L. oakridgensis* NCTC11531

(LR134286.1), and *L. fallonii* LLAP-10 (LN614827.1). The selected *C. burnetii* isolates are: 3262

(CP013667.1), Heizburg (CP014561.1), Dugway FJ108-111 (CP000733.1), RSA 493 (AE016828.3),

CbuG_Q212 (CP001019.1), Schperling (CP014563.1), and CbuK_Q154 (CP001020.1).

**Recombination assays**

For the intermolecular recombination (plasmid integration) assays, each strain was

transformed with a pJB4648-based plasmid containing the indicated *att*, *dif*, or control sequences as

indicated. The strains were also transformed with the replicative plasmid pJB1806-GmR to control

for strain-to-strain differences in general transformation efficiency. Plasmid concentrations were

determined using a Quant-iT PicoGreen dsDNA kit (Invitrogen), and 200 ng of each plasmid was

electroporated into 2 ODU of *L. pneumophila* cells as described previously (55). After an 8-h

recovery in liquid AYET media shaking at 37°C, dilutions of each sample were plated onto CYET

plates supplemented with 15 μg/mL gentamicin and incubated at 37°C for 4 days. Colonies were

counted using GeneTools analysis software (Syngene), and the results were plotted using GraphPad

Prism version 9.2.0.

For the intramolecular recombination (excision) assay in *Legionella*, strains containing the

kanamycin-resistance cassette were transformed with tet-on-pJB1806-GmR containing wildtype

Lpg1867, Lpg1867-Y387F, XerL$_{Cb}$, XerL$_{Cb}$-Y389F, or empty vector by electroporation as described

above. Transformants were selected on CYET plates containing both gentamicin and kanamycin.

The transformant colonies were then collected in AYE and spread onto nonselective plates and

plates containing kanamycin to quantify the proportion of the population that had lost kanamycin

resistance. For the intramolecular recombination (excision) assay in yeast, *S. cerevisiae* strain

BY4741 containing the *dif*-KanMX-*dif* cassette was transformed with yeast vector pAG413GPD

(56) expressing wildtype or mutant Lpg1867, or empty vector. Percent excision was quantified by

determining the proportion of the transformants that had lost geneticin resistance by plating on

selective and nonselective plates.

**Fluorescence microscopy**

Overnight cultures of Lp02, Lp02*Δdif*, Lp02*Δlpg1867*, and Lp02*Δlpg1867ΔrecA* were grown

from a 2-day old patch in AYET medium. Bacteria were collected at post-exponential phase (OD$_{600}$

4.0 to 4.5). Approximately ~$1 \times 10^9$ cells (1 ODU) from each strain were washed once with 1 mL of

$1\times$ PBS before a final resuspension in 500 μL of $1\times$ PBS. The bacteria were stained with 15 μg/mL

of DAPI (Roche) for 30 min at room temperature and imaged using a 63× oil immersion lens on a

Zeiss Axio Imager.M2 microscope.

**Colony size assay**

The distribution of colony size was determined by resuspending 2-day-old patches of the indicated strains in AYET. The $OD_{600}$ of each cell suspension was determined, and the appropriate dilutions made so that ~200-300 cells were added to each plate. Spread plates were incubated at 37°C for 4 days before imaging using a SynGene system with GeneSnap software (Syngene). Colony diameter was determined using ColTapp automated image analysis software (57), and plotted using GraphPad Prism version 9.2.0.

**Bacterial infection of U937 macrophages**

TPA-differentiated U937 cells were seeded in a 24-well plate at $4 \times 10^5$ cells per well in 500 μL of RPMI supplemented with glutamine and 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum. Cells were incubated overnight at 37°C with 5% $CO_2$. Overnight cultures of *Legionella* were grown to post-exponential phase (OD 4.0–4.5 and motile) and used to inoculate the U937 cells at an MOI of 0.05. After 2 h, cells were washed three times with media to remove extracellular bacteria before incubating in fresh media at 37°C with 5% $CO_2$ for a further two days. At 2 h and 48 h post-infection, cells were lysed with 0.02% saponin and then plated on CYET to determine the number of bacterial colony-forming units.

**Bioinformatic analysis of XerL**

The amino acid sequence of XerL from *L. pneumophila* was used to uncover putative orthologs of XerL. XerL was queried against the NCBI Legionellales database (taxid:118969) using both BLASTp and tBLASTn with the default settings (58), in addition to a tBLASTn search of

additional *Legionella* species that were deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (59, 60). The

resulting putative XerL orthologs can be found in Table S3.

An unrooted phylogeny of Xer proteins was generated using the amino acid sequences from

representative XerL orthologs, in addition to reference Xer sequences (Table S3). The amino acid

sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (61) and the tree was generated with the FastTree (v 2.1.11)

plugin on Geneious Prime using the default settings (62). The resulting tree was visualized using the

Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) server (v 6) (63).

A rooted phylogeny of all putative XerL orthologs was generated as described above, using

XerD from *Escherichia coli* as the root.

**Materials and Data Availability**

All strains and plasmids are available upon request. Sequences and locations of *dif* sites are

listed in figures and Tables S1 and S2. The accession numbers and amino acid sequences for Xer

proteins are listed in Table S3.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We thank members of the Ensminger laboratory for their suggestions and careful reading of the

manuscript, and Jordan Lin and John MacPherson for their help with the recombination assays and

HHPred analysis. We also thank Hayley Newton for the kind gift of genomic DNA from *C. burnetii*

strain nine mile phase II. SRD is supported by a fellowship from the Department of Biochemistry,

University of Toronto and an Ontario Graduate Scholarship. This work was supported by a Project

Grant from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (PHT-148819).

## REFERENCES

1. Barre FX, Søballe B, Michel B, Aroyo M, Robertson M, Sherratt D. 2001. Circles: the replication-recombination-chromosome segregation connection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98:8189–8195.

2. Steiner WW, Kuempel PL. 1998. Sister Chromatid Exchange Frequencies in Escherichia coli Analyzed by Recombination at the dif Resolvase Site. J BACTERIOL 180:7.

3. Castillo F, Benmohamed A, Szatmari G. 2017. Xer Site Specific Recombination: Double and Single Recombinase Systems. Front Microbiol 8.

4. Diagne CT, Salhi M, Crozat E, Salomé L, Cornet F, Rousseau P, Tardin C. 2014. TPM analyses reveal that FtsK contributes both to the assembly and the activation of the XerCD-dif recombination synapse. Nucleic Acids Res 42:1721–1732.

5. Midonet C, Barre F-X. 2014. Xer Site-Specific Recombination: Promoting Vertical and Horizontal Transmission of Genetic Information. Microbiol Spectr 2.

6. Thanbichler M. 2010. Synchronization of Chromosome Dynamics and Cell Division in Bacteria. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology 2:a000331–a000331.

7. Austin S, Ziese M, Sternberg N. 1981. A novel role for site-specific recombination in maintenance of bacterial replicons. Cell 25:729–736.

8. Summers DK, Sherratt DJ. 1984. Multimerization of high copy number plasmids causes instability: ColE1 encodes a determinant essential for plasmid monomerization and stability. Cell 36:1097–1103.

9.   Colloms SD, Sykora P, Szatmari G, Sherratt DJ. 1990. Recombination at ColE1 cer requires the

Escherichia coli xerC gene product, a member of the lambda integrase family of site-specific

recombinases. J Bacteriol 172:6973–6980.

10.   Blakely G, May G, McCulloch R, Arciszewska LK, Burke M, Lovett ST, Sherratt DJ. 1993. Two

related recombinases are required for site-specific recombination at dif and cer in E. coli K12.

Cell 75:351–361.

11.   Blakely G, Colloms S, May G, Burke M, Sherratt D. 1991. Escherichia coli XerC recombinase is

required for chromosomal segregation at cell division. New Biol 3:789–798.

12.   Clerget M. 1991. Site-specific recombination promoted by a short DNA segment of plasmid R1

and by a homologous segment in the terminus region of the Escherichia coli chromosome.

New Biol 3:780–788.

13.   Kuempel PL, Henson JM, Dircks L, Tecklenburg M, Lim DF. 1991. dif, a recA-independent

recombination site in the terminus region of the chromosome of Escherichia coli. New Biol

3:799–811.

14.   Debowski AW, Carnoy C, Verbrugghe P, Nilsson H-O, Gauntlett JC, Fulurija A, Camilleri T, Berg

DE, Marshall BJ, Benghezal M. 2012. Xer recombinase and genome integrity in Helicobacter

pylori, a pathogen without topoisomerase IV. PLoS One 7:e33310.

15.   Jensen RB. 2006. Analysis of the terminus region of the Caulobacter crescentus chromosome

and identification of the dif site. J Bacteriol 188:6016–6019.

16. Le Bourgeois P, Bugarel M, Campo N, Daveran-Mingot M-L, Labonté J, Lanfranchi D, Lautier T,

Pagès C, Ritzenthaler P. 2007. The Unconventional Xer Recombination Machinery of

Streptococci/Lactococci. PLoS Genet 3:e117.

17. Leroux M, Rezoug Z, Szatmari G. 2013. The Xer/dif site-specific recombination system of

Campylobacter jejuni. Mol Genet Genomics 288:495–502.

18. Sciochetti SA, Piggot PJ, Blakely GW. 2001. Identification and characterization of the dif Site

from Bacillus subtilis. J Bacteriol 183:1058–1068.

19. Val M-E, Kennedy SP, El Karoui M, Bonné L, Chevalier F, Barre F-X. 2008. FtsK-dependent

dimer resolution on multiple chromosomes in the pathogen Vibrio cholerae. PLoS Genet

4:e1000201.

20. Cortez D, Quevillon-Cheruel S, Gribaldo S, Desnoues N, Sezonov G, Forterre P, Serre M-C.

2010. Evidence for a Xer/dif System for Chromosome Resolution in Archaea. PLoS Genet

6:e1001166.

21. Duggin IG, Dubarry N, Bell SD. 2011. Replication termination and chromosome dimer

resolution in the archaeon Sulfolobus solfataricus. EMBO J 30:145–153.

22. Das B, Bischerour J, Barre F-X. 2011. VGJphi integration and excision mechanisms contribute

to the genetic diversity of Vibrio cholerae epidemic strains. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108:2516–

2521.

554 23. Fournes F, Crozat E, Pages C, Tardin C, Salomé L, Cornet F, Rousseau P. 2016. FtsK

555   translocation permits discrimination between an endogenous and an imported Xer/ *dif*

556   recombination complex. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 113:7882–7887.

557 24. Hassan F, Kamruzzaman M, Mekalanos JJ, Faruque SM. 2010. Satellite phage TLCφ enables

558   toxigenic conversion by CTX phage through dif site alteration. Nature 467:982–985.

559 25. Huber KE, Waldor MK. 2002. Filamentous phage integration requires the host recombinases

560   XerC and XerD. Nature 417:656–659.

561 26. Midonet C, Das B, Paly E, Barre F-X. 2014. XerD-mediated FtsK-independent integration of

562   TLCφ into the Vibrio cholerae genome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:16848–16853.

563 27. Val M-E, Bouvier M, Campos J, Sherratt D, Cornet F, Mazel D, Barre F-X. 2005. The single-

564   stranded genome of phage CTX is the form used for integration into the genome of Vibrio

565   cholerae. Mol Cell 19:559–566.

566 28. Das B, Martínez E, Midonet C, Barre F-X. 2013. Integrative mobile elements exploiting Xer

567   recombination. Trends in Microbiology 21:23–30.

568 29. Carnoy C, Roten C-A. 2009. The dif/Xer Recombination Systems in Proteobacteria. PLoS ONE

569   4:e6531.

570 30. Deecker SR, Urbanus ML, Nicholson B, Ensminger AW. 2021. Legionella pneumophila CRISPR-

571   Cas Suggests Recurrent Encounters with One or More Phages in the Family Microviridae. Appl

572   Environ Microbiol 87:e0046721.

31.   Lüneberg E, Zetzmann N, Alber D, Knirel YA, Kooistra O, Zähringer U, Frosch M. 2000. Cloning

and functional characterization of a 30 kb gene locus required for lipopolysaccharide

biosynthesis in Legionella pneumophila. Int J Med Microbiol 290:37–49.

32.   Lüneberg E, Mayer B, Daryab N, Kooistra O, Zähringer U, Rohde M, Swanson J, Frosch M. 2001.

Chromosomal insertion and excision of a 30 kb unstable genetic element is responsible for

phase variation of lipopolysaccharide and other virulence determinants in Legionella

pneumophila. Mol Microbiol 39:1259–1271.

33.   Rao C, Guyard C, Pelaz C, Wasserscheid J, Bondy-Denomy J, Dewar K, Ensminger AW. 2016.

Active and adaptive *Legionella* CRISPR-Cas reveals a recurrent challenge to the pathogen.

Cellular Microbiology 18:1319–1338.

34.   Grigoriev A. 1998. Analyzing genomes with cumulative skew diagrams. Nucleic Acids Research

26:2286–2290.

35.   Domínguez NM, Hackett KT, Dillard JP. 2011. XerCD-Mediated Site-Specific Recombination

Leads to Loss of the 57-Kilobase Gonococcal Genetic Island. Journal of Bacteriology 193:377–

388.

36.   Söding J, Biegert A, Lupas AN. 2005. The HHpred interactive server for protein homology

detection and structure prediction. Nucleic Acids Res 33:W244-248.

37.   Gibb B, Gupta K, Ghosh K, Sharp R, Chen J, Van Duyne GD. 2010. Requirements for catalysis in

the Cre recombinase active site. Nucleic Acids Res 38:5817–5832.

38.   Pan G, Luetke K, Sadowski PD. 1993. Mechanism of cleavage and ligation by FLP recombinase:

593           classification of mutations in FLP protein by in vitro complementation analysis. Mol Cell Biol

594           13:3167–3175.

595    39.   Farrokhi A, Liu H, Szatmari G. 2019. Characterization of the Chromosome Dimer Resolution

596           Site in *Caulobacter crescentus*. J Bacteriol 201:e00391-19, /jb/201/24/JB.00391-19.atom.

597    40.   Hendricks EC, Szerlong H, Hill T, Kuempel P. 2000. Cell division, guillotining of dimer

598           chromosomes and SOS induction in resolution mutants (dif, xerC and xerD) of Escherichia coli.

599           Molecular Microbiology 9.

600    41.   Pérals K, Cornet F, Merlet Y, Delon I, Louarn JM. 2000. Functional polarization of the

601           Escherichia coli chromosome terminus: the dif site acts in chromosome dimer resolution only

602           when located between long stretches of opposite polarity. Mol Microbiol 36:33–43.

603    42.   Beare PA, Samuel JE, Howe D, Virtaneva K, Porcella SF, Heinzen RA. 2006. Genetic diversity of

604           the Q fever agent, Coxiella burnetii, assessed by microarray-based whole-genome

605           comparisons. J Bacteriol 188:2309–2324.

606    43.   Glazunova O, Roux V, Freylikman O, Sekeyova Z, Fournous G, Tyczka J, Tokarevich N, Kovacava

607           E, Marrie TJ, Raoult D. 2005. Coxiella burnetii genotyping. Emerg Infect Dis 11:1211–1217.

608    44.   Luo S, Lu S, Fan H, Sun Z, Hu Y, Li R, An X, Uversky VN, Chen Z, Tong Y, Song L. 2021. The

609           Coxiella burnetii QpH1 plasmid is a virulence factor for colonizing bone marrow-derived

610           murine macrophages. J Bacteriol JB.00588-20.

45.   Voth DE, Beare PA, Howe D, Sharma UM, Samoilis G, Cockrell DC, Omsland A, Heinzen RA.

2011. The Coxiella burnetii cryptic plasmid is enriched in genes encoding type IV secretion

system substrates. J Bacteriol 193:1493–1503.

46.   Beare PA, Unsworth N, Andoh M, Voth DE, Omsland A, Gilk SD, Williams KP, Sobral BW, Kupko

JJ, Porcella SF, Samuel JE, Heinzen RA. 2009. Comparative genomics reveal extensive

transposon-mediated genomic plasticity and diversity among potential effector proteins

within the genus Coxiella. Infect Immun 77:642–656.

47.   Savinelli EA, Mallavia LP. 1990. Comparison of Coxiella burnetii Plasmids to Homologous

Chromosomal Sequences Present in a Plasmidless Endocarditis-Causing Isolatea. Annals of the

New York Academy of Sciences 590:523–533.

48.   Willems H, Ritter M, Jäger C, Thiele D. 1997. Plasmid-homologous sequences in the

chromosome of plasmidless Coxiella burnetii Scurry Q217. J Bacteriol 179:3293–3297.

49.   García-Fulgueiras A, Navarro C, Fenoll D, García J, González-Diego P, Jiménez-Buñuales T,

Rodriguez M, Lopez R, Pacheco F, Ruiz J, Segovia M, Balandrón B, Pelaz C. 2003. Legionnaires'

disease outbreak in Murcia, Spain. Emerg Infect Dis 9:915–921.

50.   Bailo N, Kanaan H, Kay E, Charpentier X, Doublet P, Gilbert C. 2019. Scar-Free Genome Editing

in Legionella pneumophila. Methods Mol Biol 1921:93–105.

51.   Gietz RD, Schiestl RH. 2007. High-efficiency yeast transformation using the LiAc/SS carrier

DNA/PEG method. Nat Protoc 2:31–34.

52.   Nishida T, Watanabe K, Tachibana M, Shimizu T, Watarai M. 2017. Characterization of the

cryptic plasmid pOfk55 from Legionella pneumophila and construction of a pOfk55-derived

shuttle vector. Plasmid 90:30–37.

53.   Rock JM, Hopkins FF, Chavez A, Diallo M, Chase MR, Gerrick ER, Pritchard JR, Church GM,

Rubin EJ, Sassetti CM, Schnappinger D, Fortune SM. 2017. Programmable transcriptional

repression in mycobacteria using an orthogonal CRISPR interference platform. Nat Microbiol

2:16274.

54.   Krzywinski M, Schein J, Birol I, Connors J, Gascoyne R, Horsman D, Jones SJ, Marra MA. 2009.

Circos: an information aesthetic for comparative genomics. Genome Res 19:1639–1645.

55.   Rao C, Benhabib H, Ensminger AW. 2013. Phylogenetic reconstruction of the Legionella

pneumophila Philadelphia-1 laboratory strains through comparative genomics. PLoS One

8:e64129.

56.   Alberti S, Gitler AD, Lindquist S. 2007. A suite of Gateway cloning vectors for high-throughput

genetic analysis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Yeast 24:913–919.

57.   Bär J, Boumasmoud M, Kouyos RD, Zinkernagel AS, Vulin C. 2020. Efficient microbial colony

growth dynamics quantification with ColTapp, an automated image analysis application. Sci

Rep 10:16084.

58.   Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL. 2009.

BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10:421.

59.    Burstein D, Amaro F, Zusman T, Lifshitz Z, Cohen O, Gilbert JA, Pupko T, Shuman HA, Segal G.

650           2016. Genomic analysis of 38 Legionella species identifies large and diverse effector

651           repertoires. Nat Genet 48:167–175.

652    60.    Gomez-Valero L, Rusniok C, Carson D, Mondino S, Pérez-Cobas AE, Rolando M, Pasricha S,

653           Reuter S, Demirtas J, Crumbach J, Descorps-Declere S, Hartland EL, Jarraud S, Dougan G,

654           Schroeder GN, Frankel G, Buchrieser C. 2019. More than 18,000 effectors in the Legionella

655           genus genome provide multiple, independent combinations for replication in human cells.

656           Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 116:2265–2273.

657    61.    Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time and space

658           complexity. BMC Bioinformatics 5:113.

659    62.    Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. 2010. FastTree 2--approximately maximum-likelihood trees for

660           large alignments. PLoS One 5:e9490.

661    63.    Letunic I, Bork P. 2021. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree

662           display and annotation. Nucleic Acids Res 49:W293–W296.

663    64.    Nolivos S, Pages C, Rousseau P, Le Bourgeois P, Cornet F. 2010. Are two better than one?

664           Analysis of an FtsK/Xer recombination system that uses a single recombinase. Nucleic Acids

665           Res 38:6477–6489.

666    65.    Bebel A, Karaca E, Kumar B, Stark WM, Barabas O. 2016. Structural snapshots of Xer

667           recombination reveal activation by synaptic complex remodeling and DNA bending. Elife

668           5:e19706.

 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

**Figure 1. The DNA sequence hijacked by LME-1 is invariably proximal to the site of GC-skew**

**inflection and resembles a *dif* site. A)** Sequence alignment of the LME-1 *att* site region in different

*L. pneumophila* strains (left panel) and other *Legionella* species (right panel). The dashed-line box

denotes the 22-nt sequence that corresponds to the *att* site present on LME-1. The gray arrows

indicate the inverted repeat portion of the sequence. **B)** Circos plots showing GC-skew across the

chromosome of several *L. pneumophila* strains (left panel) and other *Legionella* species (right

panel). Each ring corresponds to one genome and the black lines indicate the position of the *att* site.

*L. pneumophila* strains inside to outside: Philadelphia-2, Philadelphia-1, E7_O, Paris, NY23 (D-

7705), NCTC11985, Fraseri D-4058. *Legionella* species inside to outside: *L. israelensis*, *L.*

*micdadei, L. spiritensis*, *L. pneumophila*, *L. longbeachae*, *L. oakridgensis*, *L. fallonii*. **C)** Alignment

of the LME-1 *att* site with *dif* sites from bacterial species with established *dif*/Xer systems. The

binding sites for XerC and XerD homologs (named CodV and RipX, respectively in *B. subtilis*) (10,

11, 18), XerS (64), and XerH (17, 65) are underlined.

**Figure 2. Site-specific recombination at the *dif* site is highly efficient and does not require**

**RecA. A)** Schematic representation of the intermolecular recombination assay used to quantify *att*

site-specific recombination in *L. pneumophila*. A 5.5-kb non-replicating plasmid modified to include

the 22-bp LME-1 *att* site (or control sequences) is transformed into *L. pneumophila* strain Lp02. If

recombination between the plasmid *att* site and the chromosomal *dif* site takes place, the whole

plasmid is integrated into the genome and gentamicin resistance is conferred to the cell. Gentamicin-

resistant colonies are counted to quantify recombination. **B)** Transformation efficiency (relative to

that of a replicative plasmid control) of a non-replicative plasmid containing the 22-bp *att* site, a 22-

bp control sequence, or a 2200-bp intergenic region of the Lp02 genome, transformed into wildtype

or Δ*recA* Lp02 strains. Each dot shows the value obtained from a single experiment, and each

horizontal line represents the geometric mean of 3 independent experiments.

**Figure 3. The *L. pneumophila* Xer is encoded by *lpg1867*.** Dot plots showing levels of

intermolecular recombination between the chromosomal *dif* site and a plasmid containing the 22-bp

*att* site, as described for Figure 2. **A)** Intermolecular recombination in wildtype Lp02 and strains

containing deletions of the *dif* site or one of six tyrosine-type recombinases. Shown in pink are the

*dif*-deletion strain and *lpg1867*-deletion strain, which both displayed levels of recombination below

the limit of detection. **B)** Intermolecular recombination in the wildtype and Δ*lpg1867* strains after

transformation with plasmids expressing wildtype Lpg1867 or a predicted catalytic point mutant,

Y387F.

**Figure 4. The *Legionella* CDR system involves a single recombinase**

**A)** Intermolecular recombination in the wildtype Lp02 strain was compared to that in a 5-deletion

strain (*lpg0980, lpg0981, lpg1070, lpg1085,* and *lpg2057* deleted) and a pan-deletion strain, with all

six recombinases deleted (i.e, 5-deletion plus *lpg1867* deletion). **B)** Intermolecular recombination of

the wildtype strain and pan-deletion strain containing the indicated expression plasmids.  **C)** Left

panel: Excision of a *dif* site-flanked kanamycin reporter in the Lp02 pan deletion strain to assess

intramolecular recombination between *dif* sites. The proportion of kanamycin-resistant cells in the

population after transformation with Lpg1867, the catalytic mutant Y387F, or empty vector control

was quantified by plating on selective and nonselective media. Right panel: Excision of a *dif* site-

flanked KanMX reporter in *S. cerevisiae* BY4741. Bars indicate the mean of three independent

experiments, and error bars represent SEM.

**Figure 5. *dif-* and *lpg1867*-deletion strains exhibit filamentation and altered growth on solid**

**media and during infection of host cells. A)** DAPI-stained cells of the indicated *L. pneumophila*

strains, showing filamentation upon deletion of the *dif* site or *lpg1867* and rescue when *recA* is

deleted in the Δ*lpg1867* background. The scale bars represent 10 μm. **B)** Left panel: CYET spread

plates after 4 days of growth at 37°C with visible differences in colony size. Right panel: Each

colony radius is plotted as a separate data point, with the geometric mean shown by the purple line.

**C)** Distribution of colony sizes in the 5-deletion strain and pan-deletion strain (left panel) and in the

pan-deletion strain expressing wildtype or mutant Lpg1867 (right panel). Data are plotted as in B. **D)**

Intracellular growth of wildtype and deletion strains in U937 macrophages. The data are plotted as

the fold increase in colony-forming units recovered after lysis from host cells at 2 h and 48 h post

infection, relative to wildtype Lp02. Data show the average of three replicates for each strain from a

representative experiment. Error bars represent SEM.

**Figure 6. The sequence of the LME-1 *att* site contributes to its low level of excision, which**

**increases upon Lpg1867 (XerL) overexpression. A)** Comparison of the wildtype *dif* site in strain

Murcia-4983 and the sequences flanking LME-1 resulting from *att* site duplication upon integration

into the chromosome. The upstream copy of the *dif* site remains intact, but the downstream copy has

three nucleotide differences (pink letters). These differences are within the inverted repeat regions of

the *dif* site (denoted by the gray arrows), which presumably contain binding sites for Lpg1867

(XerL). **B)** A kanamycin-resistance reporter cassette flanked by a wildtype *dif* site upstream and the

indicated sequence downstream was introduced into the Lp02 Δ*lpg1867* strain. Excision of the

reporter (indicating recombination between the two flanking sites) was quantified by plating on

selective and nonselective media after transformation with empty vector or a plasmid expressing

Lpg1867.

**Figure 7. Phylogenetic analyses suggest that XerL forms a distinct clade from other Xer**

**recombinases.** XerL from *L. pneumophila* was used as a query to search for putative XerL orthologs

using BLASTp and tBLASTn. The amino acid sequences of recombinases from different Xer groups

were aligned with MUSCLE, with one representative per genera included for the XerL-like

recombinases. An unrooted phylogeny was generated from the alignment using FastTree. Xer group

designation is denoted with colored labels as indicated. The scale bar indicates the number of amino

acid substitutions per site.

**Figure 8. The *C. burnetii dif* site and XerL can functionally replace endogenous *dif*/Xer in *L.***

***pneumophila*. A)** Sequence alignment of the *L. pneumophila dif* site with the plasmid and

chromosomal *dif* sites of *Coxiella burnetii*. The gray arrows indicate the inverted repeat portion of

the sequence. **B)** Circos plot showing GC-skew across the chromosome of several *C. burnetii* strains.

Each ring corresponds to one genome and the black lines indicate the position of the *dif* site. *C.*

*burnetii* strains, inside to outside: 3262, Heizburg, Dugway FJ108-111, RSA 493, CbuG_Q212,

Schperling, CbuK_Q154. **C)** Strains were generated in which the endogenous *L. pneumophila dif*

was replaced by the *C. burnetii* chromosomal *dif* site ($dif_{Cb\_chr}$) or plasmid *dif* site ($dif_{Cb\_pl}$), and the

endogenous XerL was replaced by *C. burnetii* XerL or a predicted catalytic point mutant (Y389F).

Colony sizes for each strain were quantified after 4 days of growth at 37°C on CYET spread plates.

Each colony radius is plotted as a separate data point, with the geometric mean shown by the purple

line. **D)** Intramolecular recombination assay performed as described for Figure 4C (uninduced) and

with an additional overnight incubation with 100 ng/mL anhydrotetracycline (induced). Percent

excision of a kanamycin reporter cassette flanked by the indicated *C. burnetii* chromosomal *dif* site

(*dif*$_{Cb\_chr}$; left panel) or plasmid *dif* site (*dif*$_{Cb\_pl}$; right panel). **E)** Intermolecular recombination assay

performed as described for Figure 2, showing the relative transformation efficiency of the indicated

non-replicative plasmids in strains containing the indicated *dif* site and *xerL*.  Each dot shows the

value obtained from a single experiment, and each horizontal line represents the mean of 3

independent experiments. The Cb_chr* *dif* site is a truncated version of the chromosomal dif site,

with truncations made to mimic the shorter 22-bp LME-1 *att* site version of *dif*$_{Lp}$.

**Figure S1.  Phylogenetic analysis of XerL-like recombinases indicates a widespread**

**distribution in Legionellales.** XerL from *L. pneumophila* was used as a query to search for putative

XerL orthologs using BLASTp and tBLASTn. The amino acid sequences of these putative orthologs

were aligned with MUSCLE. A phylogeny was generated from the alignment using FastTree, with

XerD from *E. coli* used as the root. Xer sequences that were also present in Figure 7 are denoted

with colored labels as indicated. The scale bar indicates the number of amino acid substitutions per

site.

**Table S1. The *att* site is close to the cumulative GC-skew maximum in all sequenced *L.***

***pneumophila* strains and *Legionella* species.** The nucleotide positions of the *att* site and cumulative

GC-skew maximum (cGC skew max) are shown for each *L. pneumophila* strain and *Legionella*

species with a completed genome sequence available in Genbank. The cGC skew maximum

corresponds to the site of replication termination (34). The genes immediately upstream and

downstream of each *att* site are also indicated.

**Table S2. The putative *dif* site is close to the cumulative GC-skew maximum in all sequenced**

***C. burnetii* strains.**  The nucleotide positions of the *dif* site and cumulative GC-skew maximum are

shown for each *C. burnetii* strain with a completed genome sequence available in Genbank.

**Table S3. The accession numbers and amino acid sequences for the Xer proteins used in the**

**phylogenetic analyses.**

# FIGURE 1

**A**

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Philadelphia-2 | G T C T G A T T A T C A A A A T A A T C A G A C T T A A T | *L. israelensis* | G T C T G A T T A T C A A A A T A A T C A G A C T T A **G** T |
| Philadelphia-1 | G T C T G A T T A T C A A A A T A A T C A G A C T T A A T | *L. micdadei* | G T C T G A T T A T C A **C** A A T A A T C A G A C T T A **T** T |
| E7_O | G T C T G A T T A T C A A A A T A A T C A G A C T T A A T | *L. spiritensis* | G T C T G A T T A T C A A A A T A A T C A G A C T T A **G** T |
| Paris | G T C T G A T T A T C A A A A T A A T C A G A C T T A A T | *L. pneumophila* | G T C T G A T T A T C A A A A T A A T C A G A C T T A A T |
| NY23 (D-7705) | G T C T G A T T A T C A A A A T A A T C A G A C T T A A T | *L. longbeachae* | G T C T G A T T A T C **G** A A A T A A T C A G A C T T A A T |
| NCTC11985 | G T C T G A T T A T C A A A A T A A T C A G A C T T A A **C** | *L. oakridgensis* | G T C T G A T T A T C **G** A A A T A A T C A G A C T T A A T |
| Fraseri D-4058 | G T C T G A T T A T C A A A A T A A T C A G A C T **C** A A T | *L. fallonii* | G T C T G A T T A T C A A A A T A A T C A G A C T T A A T |

**B**

GC skew − (orange)
GC skew + (blue)
*att* site

*L.pneumophila* strains          *Legionella* species

**C**

| | XerC/CodV | | XerD/RipX |
|---|---|---|---|
| *E. coli dif* | G G T G C G C A T A A | T G T A T A | T T A T G T T A A A T |
| *N. gonorrheae dif* | A G T T C G C A T T A | T G T A T A | T T A T G T T A A A T |
| *V. cholerae dif1* | A G T G C G C A T T A | T G T A T G | T T A T G T T A A A T |
| *B. subtilis bsdif* | A C T T C C T A G A A | T A T A T A | T T A T G T A A A C T |

| | XerS | | XerS |
|---|---|---|---|
| *Streptococcus difSL* | A T T T T T C G C A A | A A A C T A T A A T | T T C T T G A A A |

| | XerH | | XerH |
|---|---|---|---|
| *Helicobacter difH* | A G T T A T G A A | A A C T G C A C T T | T T C A A A C T |

| | XerL? | | XerL? |
|---|---|---|---|
| *L. pneumophila* LME-1 *att* | G T C T G A T T A T | C A A A | A T A A T C A G A C T T |

**FIGURE 2**

A

att
non-replicating plasmid
GmR

att
GmR

GmR
dif
chromosome

B

wildtype        ΔrecA

Relative Transformation Efficiency (%)

0.1

0.01

0.001

0        0    0

plasmid: 22-bp att   22-bp control   2200-bp   22-bp att   22-bp control   2200-bp

**FIGURE 3**

A

B

**FIGURE 4**

**FIGURE 5**

**FIGURE 6**

A

dif  G T C T G A T T A T C A A A A T A A T C A G A C T T

LME-1

upstream  G T C T G A T T A T C A A A A T A A T C A G A C T T

downstream  T T A C G A T T A T C A A A A T A A T C A G A C T T

B

Percent excised

0  20  40  60  80  100

dif  G T C T G A T T A T C A A A A T A A T C A G A C T T

G T C C G A T T A T C A A A A T A A T C A G A C T T

T T C T G A T T A T C A A A A T A A T C A G A C T T

G T A C G A T T A T C A A A A T A A T C A G A C T T

T T A T G A T T A T C A A A A T A A T C A G A C T T

LME-1 att  T T A C G A T T A T C A A A A T A A T C A G A C T T

empty vector ☐
+ Lpg1867 ■

**FIGURE 7**

Tree scale: 1

# FIGURE 8

**A**

| | |
|---|---|
| *L. pneumophila* | GTCTGATTATCAAAATAATCAGACTTAAT |
| *C. burnetii* plasmids | ATGAAGTGATTATCAAAGTAATCACCATTA |
| *C. burnetii* chromosome | TAGTGGTGATTATCACACTAATCACCACTA |

**B**

GC skew −
GC skew +
*dif*chr

origin

**C**

Colony radius (μm)

| *dif*: | Lp | Cb_chr | Cb_chr | Cb_pl | Cb_pl |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| XerL: | Lp wt | Cb wt | Cb Y389F | Cb wt | Cb Y389F |

**D**

*dif*Cb_chr

empty vector
+ XerLCb
+ XerLCb-Y389F

Uninduced
Induced

Percent excision

*dif*Cb_pl

empty vector
+ XerLCb
+ XerLCb-Y389F

Uninduced
Induced

Percent excision

**E**

Relative Transformation Efficiency (%)

| *dif* (strain): | Lp | Lp | Cb_chr | Cb_chr | Cb_pl | Cb_pl | Cb_chr | Cb_chr | Cb_chr | Cb_chr |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *dif* (non-repl. plasmid): | LME-1 | Lp | Cb_pl | Cb_pl | Cb_pl | Cb_pl | Cb_chr | Cb_chr | Cb_chr* | Cb_chr* |
| XerL: | Lp wt | Lp wt | Cb wt | Cb Y389F | Cb wt | Cb Y389F | Cb wt | Cb Y389F | Cb wt | Cb Y389F |