Decoding natural astrocyte rhythms: dynamic actin waves result from environmental sensing by primary rodent astrocytes

Kate M. O'Neill[1]†, Emanuela Saracino[2]†, Barbara Barile[3], Nicholas J. Mennona[1,4],
Maria Grazia Mola[3], Spandan Pathak[1], Tamara Posati[2], Roberto Zamboni[2], Grazia P. Nicchia[3],
Valentina Benfenati[2]*, Wolfgang Losert[1,4]*

[1] *Inst. for Physical Science and Technology, Univ. of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA*
[2] *Inst. of Organic Synthesis and Photoreactivity, National Research Council of Italy, Bologna, Italy*
[3] *Dept. of Biosciences, Biotechnology and Biopharmaceutics, Univ. of Bari Aldo Moro, Bari, Italy*
[4] *Physics Dept., Univ. of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA*

† equal contributions as co-first authors
** valentina.benfenati@isof.cnr.it and wlosert@umd.edu (equal contributions as
co-corresponding authors)*

Abstract

Astrocytes are key regulators of brain homeostasis, an important physiological process that includes but is not limited to buffering of extracellular K^+, equilibrating osmotic gradients, regulating pH, uptake of neurotransmitters, and releasing growth factors – all of which are essential for proper cognitive function. While previous studies have revealed how specific molecular components of the astrocytic cytoskeleton affect the efficacy of these homeostatic processes, none have studied how homeostasis is linked to the excitable systems character of the cytoskeleton. As recently discovered, excitability of the actin cytoskeleton manifests in second-scale dynamic fluctuations and acts as a sensor of chemo-physical environmental cues. Here we find that homeostatic regulation may be more active than previously thought, involving the excitable dynamics of actin in certain subcellular regions, especially near the cell boundary. Our results further indicate that actin dynamics concentrates into "hotspot" regions that selectively respond to certain chemo-physical stimuli, specifically the homeostatic challenges of ion or water concentration increases. Substrate topography makes the actin dynamics of astrocytes weaker. Superresolution images demonstrate that surface topography is also associated with predominant perpendicular alignment of actin filaments near the cell boundary whereas flat substrates result in an actin cortex mainly parallel to the cell boundary. Additionally, co-culture with neurons increases both the probability of actin dynamics and the strength of hotspots. The excitable systems character of actin thus makes astrocytes direct participants in neural cell network dynamics.

Keywords: astrocytes, actin, cytoskeleton, dynamics, co-culture

Abbreviations: stimulated emission depletion (STED); cytoskeletal excitable network (CEN); signal transduction excitable network (STEN); poly-D-lysine hydrobromide (PDL); hydrotalcite-like compound (HTlc); glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP); phosphate buffered saline (PBS); regions of interest (ROIs); Laplacian of Gaussian (LoG); analysis of variance (ANOVA)

ORCiD

Kate M. O'Neill	0000-0003-4397-5767
Nicholas J. Mennona	0000-0001-8595-0336
Maria Grazia Mola	0000-0002-4421-9237
Spandan Pathak	0000-0001-7866-2096
Tamara Posati	0000-0002-3879-266X
Roberto Zamboni	0000-0003-3739-2207
Grazia P. Nicchia	0000-0002-4257-514X
Valentina Benfenati	0000-0002-2858-8497
Wolfgang Losert	0000-0002-1792-7860

Author contributions

Conceptualization: VB WL

Surface preparation: ES TP

Experiments: KMO ES BB MGM

Analysis: KMO NJM SP

Interpretation: KMO ES BB NJM MGM GPN VB WL

Supervision: GPN VB WL

Writing—original draft: KMO ES BB NJM GPN VB WL

Writing—review & editing: KMO ES BB NJM MGM SP TP RZ GPN VB WL

Statements and Declarations:

Conflict of interest: The authors have no competing interests to declare.

Ethics approval: This research required isolating primary astrocytes from neonatal rats, and all animals' care was in accordance with institutional guidelines at the authors' respective universities: the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Maryland (protocols R-JAN-18-05 and R-FEB-21-04) and the Italian Ministry of Health for the University of Bologna (ethical protocol number ID 360/2017 PR).

Consent for publication: All authors consent to the publication of this manuscript.

Data and materials availability: All data and code will be made available in a repository located in the Losert laboratory's github page.

Acknowledgements and Funding:

The authors would like to thank the University of Maryland Imaging Incubator Core Facility for providing and maintaining the systems used in collecting images for this work. They also thank Q. Yang and L. J. Campanello for helpful discussions related to analysis.

This work was supported by the following grants:

US Air Force Office of Scientific Research MURI grant FA9550-16-1-0052 to WL

US Air Force Office of Scientific Research grant FA9550-19-1-0370 (AstroDyn) to WL VB GPN KMO

US Air Force Office of Scientific Research FA9550-20-1-0386 to (ASTROLIGHT) to VB

US Air Force Office of Scientific Research FA9550-20-1-0324 to GPN

HORIZON EUROPE SEEDS INTERGLIO (S08) funded by the University of Bari Aldo Moro to GPN

92   NIH R21NS116892-01 to GPN
93   US Air Force Office of Scientific Research FA9550-18-1-0255 (3D Neuroglia) to VB
94   COMBINE fellowship to NJM
95

Introduction

The ability of the brain to receive and compute information depends on the unique abilities of its component brain cells. After each neuronal action potential, the concentrations of ions and water are altered in the perineural and perivascular spaces. Specifically, the concentration of potassium in these areas may be as high as 60-100 mM [1-3] in pathophysiological situations. One of astrocytes' homeostatic functions is to balance extracellular potassium concentration through potassium uptake and spatial buffering [4-12]. This process relies on the sodium/potassium pump (Na^+/K^+ ATPase), potassium channels from the inward rectifier superfamily, and gap junctional coupling. Moreover, this transmembrane flux of K^+ is also accompanied by osmotically-driven water movement and intracellular calcium signaling [13-16].

With a stellate morphology [17-19], astrocytic processes contact neurons and blood vessels and therefore play critical roles in coupling the neuronal with the vascular activities of the brain [20]. Perisynaptic astrocytic processes (PAPs) and perivascular astrocytic processes (PvAPs) represent functional microdomains and are enriched with water and ion channels that allow astrocytes to precisely control the extracellular environment through continuous sensing and balancing of local ionic changes (between neurons for PAPs and at the blood vessel interface for PvAPs) in response to neuronal network activity [21-23,20,24-26]. At the same time, the gap junctional coupling between astrocytes serves as a signaling mechanism enabling synchronicity and lateral communication between astrocytes within the larger astroglia syncytia [27,28].

Though astrocytic communication via gap junctional coupling enables dynamic exchange between cells at the ionic and molecular scales [1,27,29,28], questions remain how these small scale dynamics couple with, modulate, and impact responses at larger length scales, such as within astroglial cell networks or the whole brain. In particular, gap junctions participate in potassium spatial buffering by aiding in the dispersion of excess K^+ through the astroglial syncytium [5,4,6,7,1] and are also implicated in the propagation of astrocytic calcium waves, a form of extraneuronal signaling [30-34]. The efficiency of these homeostatic processes [35,36] is considered the basis for astrocytes' involvement in cognitive functions while their impairment may cause pathologies ranging from edema to epilepsy [16].

More recently, the importance of astrocytes' structural and functional properties has been highlighted for organ- and system-level functions, including synaptic plasticity, memory formation, learning, and regulation of sleep and metabolic activity [37,38]. Here we investigate the actin cytoskeleton as an integrator from the molecular to the systems scale due to its ability to self-assemble into dynamic networks. Indeed, experiments on fixed cells have revealed the key molecular players regulating changes to astrocyte morphology, including but not limited to Rac1 and RhoA GTPases [39-44] and the Arp2/3 complex [45], all of which play a role in promoting, maintaining, or reversing the stellate morphology typical of differentiated astrocytes [46]. Other recent studies on fixed cells have also indicated that the astrocytic actin cytoskeleton plays a role in several homeostatic processes, such as volume regulation and potassium spatial buffering [47-49].

However, much less is known about the second-scale dynamics of the actin cytoskeleton in these cellular processes. For migrating cells – such as neutrophils, metastatic cancer cells, and even

microglia – actin dynamics had been mostly considered as a facilitator of changes in cell
morphology. For example, a recent study in T cells demonstrated the importance of global
(whole cell) actin dynamics in facilitating immunological synapse formation [50]. New lines of
research, though, are also investigating the importance of actin dynamics in crucial cell
processes. Dynamic actin has been shown to be important for biological function [51,52], such as
surveillance of the brain parenchyma by microglia [53]. Notably, actin cytoskeletal dynamics are
necessary for relaying biomechanical forces at the synapse [54], indicating that mechanical
signals (in addition to the well-studied chemical and electrical signals) are critical for synaptic
transmission and, therefore, cognitive function.

Moreover, recent studies across multiple cell types have demonstrated that the actin cytoskeleton
is not only dynamic when a cell changes shape and moves but is also an excitable system with its
own intrinsic dynamics, including sustained waves and oscillations that persist independently of
changes to cell shape [55-64]. The source of actin dynamics is thought to be twofold: i) a
cytoskeletal excitable network of proteins (CEN) that drives cycles of polymerization and
depolymerization typically lasting a few seconds, and ii) a signal transduction excitable
network (STEN) that can self-organize these local oscillations into larger scale waves that drive
cell migration [51,59,65,58]. We propose that, in astrocytes, CEN dynamics dominate, thus
leading to local actin dynamics that is stationary in space and occurs on a second timescale.
Therefore, CEN could carry information about homeostatic challenges that occur at the
nanometer, ionic, and molecular scales.

Here we study how the actin cytoskeleton serves as the bridge from these smaller scales (e.g.,
ions) to larger scales (e.g., cells) and facilitates the global (cellular level) homeostatic response
of astrocytes. We analyze actin dynamics using optical flow [60,66] and perform shape
analysis [67,57,68] on timelapse images of live primary astrocytes transduced with actin-GFP.
We demonstrate that actin dynamics occurs in astrocytes and that it can be triggered by
extracellular environment modifications, such as an increase in ion concentrations or a change in
culturing environment. The spatial distribution of the actin dynamics is localized near the
boundary of the cell and is concentrated into active clusters we term "hotspots".

Notably, the strength of actin dynamics changes depending on whether the exposure is to an
increase in extracellular potassium or to hypotonic challenge, abbreviated as "high K^+" and
"hypotonic", respectively. We refer to the application of these solutions to cultures as
"triggering" conditions because they have been shown to mimic pathophysiological changes in
the extracellular milieu similar to epilepsy [69] or edema [70] and to cause responses in
astrocytes that range from swelling to calcium waves [71,72,3,73-77,48,78]. In addition, activity
identified in the processes of differentiated astrocytes, induced by nanotopography [79], is
weaker when compared to polygonal astrocytes, which have the shape characteristic of
undifferentiated astrocytes grown in the absence of neurons [80-84]. Surprisingly, astrocytes co-
cultured with neurons show more frequent dynamics than polygonal cells, and the dynamics
were of comparison magnitude. Finally, superresolution imaging by STimulated Emission
Depletion (STED) microscopy and analysis with a filament extraction algorithm demonstrates
that the specific orientation of actin filament structures occurs only in differentiated cells,
indicating that both structure and dynamics carry information about the environment. Overall,
our results indicate that actin is dynamic in astrocytes and behaves collectively by clustering into

"hotspot" regions that respond in distinct ways to specific chemo-physical stimuli and may drive
the homeostatic response of the neural cell network.
190
191

192 **Materials and Methods**
193

194 Rat cortical astrocyte culture preparation, maintenance, plating, and differentiation
195

Cell culture:
Primary astrocytes were obtained from Sprague Dawley rats housed at the University of
Maryland (in concordance with the recommendations of and approval by the University of
Maryland Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee; protocols R-JAN-18-05 and R-FEB-21-
04) or from Wistar rats housed at the University of Bologna (in concordance with the Italian and
European law of protection of laboratory animals and the approval of the local bioethical
committee, under the supervision of the veterinary commission for animal care and comfort of
the University of Bologna and approved protocol from Italian Ministry of Health; ethical
protocol number ID 360/2017 PR, released on May 2017, valid for 5 years).
205

Primary cultures of astrocytes were prepared as described by McCarthy and de Vellis [85] from
newborn rat pups between postnatal days 1 and 2 [14,86,87]. Briefly, neonatal cerebral cortices
devoid of meninges were gently triturated, filtered with a 70 μm cell strainer (**cat. no. 22-363-**
548; Fisher Scientific, MA, USA), and plated in T25 cell culture flasks (**cat. no. 229331**;
CELLTREAT Scientific Products, MA, USA) containing Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium
with GlutaMAX and high glucose (DMEM; **cat. no. 10-566-024**; Fisher Scientific, MA, USA)
supplemented with 15% fetal bovine serum (FBS; **cat. no. 100-106**; Gemini Bio-Products, CA,
USA) and penicillin-streptomycin (P/S) at 100 U/mL and 100 μg/mL, respectively (P/S;
cat. no. 15070063; Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA). Flasks were maintained in an incubator
at 37 °C, 5% CO_2, and proper humidity levels for two weeks. During this period, we replaced
cell medium every two days, and flasks were gently shaken when necessary to remove undesired
microglial cells. When confluence was reached, astrocytes were dispersed using trypsin-EDTA
0.25% (**cat. no. 25200056**, Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA), and the cell suspension was re-
plated on coverslips or in imaging dishes functionalized with Poly-D-lysine hydrobromide (PDL;
cat. no. P7886; Sigma-Aldrich, MO, USA) as previously described [79,88-90,86] or with HTlc
as previously described [91,79]. Cells were plated at a density of 5-10×10^3 per imaging dish and
maintained in DMEM containing 10% FBS and 1% P/S.
223

For co-culture experiments, astrocytes were prepared as described above. At one to two weeks
after the astrocyte dissection, neurons were prepared as previously described from rats at
embryonic day of gestation 18 (E18) [92-97]. Briefly, embryos were removed via Caesarian
section and decapitated. Cerebral hemispheres were separated, and meninges were removed. The
hippocampi and cortices were dissected, and cortices were combined and manually triturated.
Cortical neurons were plated in imaging dishes coated with PDL at a density of 5×10^5 cells/dish
and were maintained in NbActiv4 medium (**cat. no. Nb4-500**, BrainBits, TN, USA) with
1% P/S. When astrocyte flasks reached confluence, astrocytes were added to the neuronal culture
at a density of 1-2×10^5 cells/dish, and the media was changed to DMEM with 10% FBS and 1%
P/S.

As can be seen in **Suppl. Fig. 1**, plating density was chosen to ensure that cultures were not
confluent (which would prevent reliable transduction) and also that astrocytes were not isolated
(which could affect dynamics).

PDL and HTlc film preparation:
Plastic dishes with glass inserts (**cat. no. P35G-1.0-20-C**, MatTek, MA, USA) or glass
coverslips were functionalized with PDL to serve as a control surface or with Zn-Al hydrotalcite
nanoparticles (HTlc) to induce morphological differentiation of astrocytes. For live imaging,
PDL was used at a working concentration of 0.1 mg/mL. For STED imaging, PDL was used at a
working concentration of 0.01 mg/mL. In both cases, coverslips were coated with PDL for 20
minutes at room temperature and washed three times with approximately 500 μL of sterile H_2O.
Coverslips or dishes were functionalized with HTlc by adding the HTlc suspension drop by drop
on the coverslips and allowed to dry overnight. Once dried, the HTlc coverslips were sterilized
by UV for approximately 30 min. For live imaging, astrocytes grown on PDL and HTlc were
cultured for three to seven days after replating prior to imaging, and co-cultured astrocytes were
cultured for two to three days prior to imaging. For co-culture imaging, neurons were between 4
and 18 days *in vitro*. For STED imaging, astrocytes were cultured for five days after replating
prior to fixation.

Transduction of cells with actin-GFP
To visualize actin dynamics, we chose to transduce astrocytes with CellLight Actin-GFP,
BacMam 2.0 (**cat. no. C10506**; ThermoFisher Scientific, MA, USA) because it has minimal
toxicity and sparsely labels cells, which is ideal for single cell analysis. For PDL and HTlc cells,
transduction occurred in the imaging dish at a concentration of 100 particles per cell (PPC). At
48 hr prior to live imaging, 5-10 μL (depending on the plating density) of the reagent was added
to the cell culture media. A full media change was performed 16-20 hr after transduction, and
imaging was performed 24-48 hr after the full media change. For co-cultured astrocytes,
transduction occurred in the flask at a concentration of 10 PPC. At 24 hr prior to plating, 100 μL
of the reagent was added to the cell culture medium within the flask. Plating was performed 16-
20 hr after transduction. Imaging was performed 48-72 hr after plating.

Live confocal imaging of transduced astrocytes
Live imaging timelapses were acquired at the University of Maryland CMNS Imaging Core
using a PerkinElmer spinning disk confocal microscope with an oil immersion 40x objective
(1.30 NA; 0.36 μm/pixel) and under temperature, CO_2, and humidity control. The microscope
was equipped with a Hamamatsu ImagEM X2 EM-CCD camera (C9100-23B), which recorded
16 bit images. Data acquisition was performed with PerkinElmer's Volocity software
(version 6.4.0). A subset of timelapses were taken at the CNR on an inverted confocal
microscope (Crisel Instruments) using a 40x water immersion objective (0.16 μm/pixel).

Transduced astrocytes were identified by a positive signal in the 488 nm laser channel. After
imaging parameters were set, the media was changed from growth media to standard external
solution (control) followed by one of the triggering conditions (high potassium solution or
hypotonic solution). Single-plane images were acquired every 2 sec in the 488 channel. A
consistent z-plane was assured with Perfect Focus (Nikon PFS).

280
Immunostaining and superresolution imaging of fixed astrocytes

Antibodies:
Mouse monoclonal Anti-Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein (GFAP) antibody (diluted 1:200; **cat. no. G3893**; Sigma-Aldrich, MO, USA) and Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 594 (diluted 1:300; **cat. no. A-11032**; Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) were used as primary and secondary antibody, respectively, for GFAP immunostaining. Alexa Fluor 488 Phalloidin (diluted 1:500; **cat. no. A12379**; Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) was used for direct labelling of actin.

STED immunostaining:
Immunofluorescence for gated STED (gSTED) was performed as indicated in the Quick Guide to the STED Sample Preparation (www.leica-microsystems.com), with some slight adjustments as previously reported [98,99]. Astrocytes were plated on the PDL and HTlc-treated coverslips and cultured for five days. On the fifth day of culture, cells were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde (**cat. no. P6148**; Sigma-Aldrich, MO, USA) in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for 10 min, washed with PBS, and permeabilized with 0.3% Triton X-100 (**cat. no. T8787**; Sigma-Aldrich, MO, USA) for 10 min. After blocking with 0.1% gelatin in PBS, fixed astrocytes were incubated with GFAP-primary antibody for 1 hr at room temperature. Cells were then rinsed with 0.1% gelatin-PBS and co-incubated with Alexa Fluor 488 Phalloidin and Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated secondary antibody for 1 hr at room temperature. After washing with PBS, coverslips were mounted on microscope slides by using the ProLong Glass Antifade Mountant (**cat. no. P36980**; Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) without DAPI, as indicated in Leica official guide, and imaged with both confocal and STED microscopy.

STED imaging:
Confocal and STED images of fixed astrocytes grown on PDL and HTlc were acquired using a Leica TCS SP8 3X microscope, provided with AOTF and AOBS, white light laser (WLL), Hybrid Detectors (HyD), and two STED lasers (592 nm, 660 nm) [99]. A Leica HC PL APO 100x/1.40 NA Oil STED White objective and Type F Immersion liquid with a refractive index of 1.5 were used. Before starting imaging, the excitation and the doughnut-shaped STED beams were switched on (WLL set laser power= 70%; STED-592 nm set laser power = 98%), aligned, and allowed to reach operating temperature. The beam alignment was repeated whenever necessary. Excitation of the Alexa Fluor 488 dye was achieved using a continuous-wave 488 nm laser line (NKT Photonics supercontinuum laser). For superresolution imaging of actin, g-STED was performed using the continuous wave 592 nm-emitting STED fiber laser. More detailed acquisition settings are reported in **Table 1**. All confocal and STED images were acquired at a set room temperature of 20 °C under constant Acquisition Mode settings (Format scanning resolution: 1024x1024 pixels; Scan Speed: 100 Hz).

Criteria for acquisitions of ROIs:
Single or few and well-spaced cells were preferentially chosen for STED imaging to ensure no overlap of actin structure belonging to different cells. Actin and GFAP images of the whole cell were first acquired by confocal microscopy at an original magnification of 100x. Then, multiple random regions of interest (ROIs) (~20x20 μm sized) were selected and imaged using an extra

326 optical zoom (from 5 to 7) by confocal and STED microscopy. Three independent experiments
327 were conducted.
328
<u>Synthesis of ZnAl-HTlc nanoparticles and film preparation</u>
Colloidal aqueous dispersion of ZnAl-HTlc nanoparticles having the formula
$[Zn_{0.72}Al_{0.28}(OH)_2]$ $Br_{0.28}$ 0.69 H_2O were prepared by the double-microemulsion technique
previously described [79]. For the film preparation, a 125 or 160 µL aliquot of ZnAl-HTlc
colloidal dispersion at a concentration of 1.2 mg/mL was dropped onto 15 or 19 mm diameter
glass coverslips and successively dried for 4 hr in a sterile hood. The obtained ZnAl-HTlc films
were used to culture astrocytes and as substrates for live dynamics imaging and fixed STED
imaging as described above. In this manuscript, the ZnAl-HTlc films are referred to simply as
HTlc.
338
<u>Solutions and chemicals</u>
All salts and chemicals employed for the investigations were of the highest purity grade. For live
imaging experiments, the standard external solution ("control") was the following (mM):
140 NaCl, 4 KCl, 2 $MgCl_2$, 2 $CaCl_2$, 10 HEPES, 5 glucose, pH 7.4 with NaOH and osmolarity
adjusted to ~315 mOsm with mannitol. When using external solutions with different ionic
compositions, such as the high potassium solution ("high K^+", [40 Mm]), salts were replaced
equimolarly. The hypotonic extracellular solution ("hypotonic") of 260 mOsm/L was obtained
by omitting mannitol in the control solution. When imaging, media was changed carefully to
prevent accidental, mechanical stimulation of cells with the pipette. Moreover, we controlled for
any effects that changing media might cause by changing media prior to imaging under control
conditions (from growth media to the standard external solution) and under triggering conditions
(from the standard external solution to high K^+ or hypotonic medium).
351
352 <u>Analysis of live imaging data</u>
353
Pre-processing of timelapses:
All timelapses were smoothed in time using a Simoncelli smoothing filter [100]. Prior to
smoothing, any timelapses that showed noticeable jitter or drift due to thermal gradients were
processed through a jitter correction algorithm using discrete Fourier transform (DFT)
registration and an upsampling factor of 100 (using the "dftregistration" code package from
[101]). For timelapses where drift was inconsistent and unable to be fully corrected with the DFT
method, an additional correction using intensity-based image registration (via the MATLAB
functions "imregconfig" and "imregister") was applied using the average image of the DFT
corrected timelapse as the fixed image.
363
Shape analysis and identification of bulk and boundary regions:
We used shape analysis to identify cell boundaries and subsequently to be able to compare actin
dynamics within different regions of astrocytes. Using a snake algorithm from our previous
work [67,57,68], we analyzed the average fluorescent image of each cell to generate the "true
boundary" (**see Fig. 1A-B**). Prior to shape analysis, images were smoothed ("imgaussfilt" in
MATLAB with a standard deviation of 1.0) and subsequently sharpened ("imsharpen" in
MATLAB with a radius of 2.5 and amount of 1.0). Alpha and beta parameters for the snake
algorithm (tension and rigidity, respectively) were 0.20 and 0.25, respectively. We found that

this true boundary tended to capture the most prominent morphological features of the cell but
often excluded regions that were only transiently dynamic. To mitigate this challenge, we eroded
or dilated the true boundary using a spherical structural element with a radius of 10 pixels. By
subtracting the eroded cell region from the dilated cell region, we identified a boundary region.
We considered the eroded cell mask to be the bulk region.

Optical flow analysis of actin dynamics:
Optical flow analysis similar to that used in [60] was performed on smoothed and jitter-corrected
timelapses in Python 3.8. The optical flow weight matrix was a 19x19 pixel Gaussian with a
standard deviation of 3 pixels (1.1 µm) for all timelapses taken at UMD. For a small subset of
HTlc timelapses taken at the CNR, the optical flow weight matrix was a 41x41 pixel Gaussian
with a standard deviation of 6.75 pixels (1.1 µm). The truncation value for the Gaussian kernel
was consistently set to 3. Because actin dynamics in astrocytes is less prominent than in
migrating cells usually studied by our lab [60,56], we only considered optical flow vectors with
the highest 1% of magnitude within the dilated cell boundary that also passed our reliability
criteria (at least 33% of the mean reliability value for that timelapse). These strict criteria ensured
that we were only considering dynamic actin events that are large and reliable compared to
background noise.

Segmentation of active regions ("hotspots") of actin dynamics:
To identify regions with the most actin activity, we further analyzed our thresholded data by
eroding and dilating in the x, y, and time dimensions using an elongated cube with dimensions of
[3,3,2]. To ensure that we were not including regions of low activity, we set an event probability
threshold of 0.10, meaning that a region had to be active at least 10% of the time to be
considered a "hotspot". Furthermore, we imposed a size constraint of 20 pixels (including the
time dimension) to ensure that any active regions did not just barely meet the volume require of
3x3x2. Since the dynamics within HTlc cells are on a smaller scale, the hotspot analysis did not
reveal any regions that were clearly active.

Analysis of STED images of fixed astrocytes

Laplacian of Gaussian (LoG) filtering to segment actin:
To characterize the organization of the actin meshwork in STED images of fixed cells, as shown
in **Fig. 5**, we performed several image processing techniques using custom-written algorithms in
MATLAB (Mathworks). Prior to processing, all STED images are adjusted using a contrast-
limited adaptive histogram equalization ("adjusthisteq" function in MATLAB's proprietary API
(https://www.mathworks.com/help/images/adaptive-histogram-equalization.html). The resolution
of the STED images is 16.18pix/nm. Next, each image is convolved with an anisotropic, rotating
Laplacian of Gaussian (LoG) kernel. The exact kernel parameters were determined through trial
and error to approximately match the cylindrical shape of actin as visualized by Phalloidin-488
staining. The number of angles through which the LoG kernel is rotated was chosen to balance
computational time and segmentation accuracy. For each pixel, the best match angle is chosen
via the maximum value resulting from convolving that pixel with all rotations of the filter, and a
threshold is applied to ensure a high-quality match. This processing results in a filtered image
that highlights both the actin organization and the angle associated with each filament extracted
from kernel (see **Fig. 5A1**). The angles associated with the extracted actin are defined in terms of

the Cartesian coordinate system, which is not biologically meaningful. To establish the angle at which the actin organizes relative to the cellular boundary, we manually draw a boundary for each cell. The number of pixels per boundary varies on a cell-to-cell basis. For each pixel, we derive the angle of that pixel's actin relative to the closest boundary point. This transformation generates angles in the range of 0 to $\pi/2$. We then apply an additional threshold at an arbitrary value to only segment the longest actin (**Fig. 5A2**); doing so greatly reduces the noise in our processed data. We analyzed at least 15 individual cells per nanotopographic surface, and the morphologies of the imaged areas varied from cell to cell. Thus, the boundaries are generated to normalize the distributions of the relative angles across the data sets. We noticed that for the STED images taken of astrocytes grown on HTlc, there is more total boundary per unit-area. Thus, the boundaries from which the relative angles are calculated are drawn to coincide with only the leading edge of the cell (see **Fig. 5B** for representative examples).

Cluster analysis of relative angles:
To understand how astrocytic actin organization differs when the cells are grown on different nanotopographies, we use a hierarchical cluster analysis from MATLAB's proprietary API (https://www.mathworks.com/help/stats/cluster-analysis.html). From the relative angle distributions across both PDL and HTlc (22 individual cells and 15 individual cells, respectively), we group the distributions into "parallel" (angles between 0 and $\pi/6$) and "perpendicular" (angles between $\pi/3$ and $\pi/2$), as shown in **Fig. 5B**. As inputs to the clustering algorithm, we use the fractions of "parallel" and "perpendicular" actin of the 37 individual cells but do not include information about the corresponding nanotopographic surface. We then use the "pdist," "linkage," "cophenet," and "linkage" functions (while optimizing the cophenetic coefficient of our 'distance' and 'linkage' parameters) to generate predictive clusters of the input data.

Data representation and statistics
All data were plotted and all statistical tests performed in MATLAB 2021a. For data showing differences between control and triggering or between boundary and bulk regions, paired t-tests were used ("ttest" function in MATLAB). For data in **Fig. 3** comparing PDL to HTlc to Co, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) tests were used ("anova1" function). For data in **Fig. 4** comparing PDL to CO, unpaired two-sample t-tests were used ("ttest2" function). When analyzing probability of actin dynamics vs. distance, ANOVA tests were used as follows: repeated measures ANOVA for paired data in **Fig. 1** ("fitrm" and "ranova" functions), and two-way ANOVA to compare PDL to HTlc to Co in **Fig. 3** ("anovan" function). For all ANOVA tests, Tukey's multiple comparisons test ("multcompare" function) was used as the post test. All errorbars indicate 95% confidence interval, and the significance level was set at α=0.05. Adobe Illustrator (version 25.0.1) was used for final assembly of all figures.

Results

Primary astrocytes display actin dynamics in response to chemical cues

Our live imaging results demonstrate that astrocytes consistently display actin dynamics, particularly near the boundary of the cell. Representative overlays of fluorescent images are

shown in **Fig. 1A** (A1 and inset for representative control timelapse; A2 and inset for representative "triggering" timelapse). In this representation, a perfectly stationary cell would appear all white; the presence of different colors indicates non-overlapping structures at either earlier (more blue) or later (more red) times. To quantify actin dynamics, we employ an optical flow method [102] similar to that used in our previous work [60]. We additionally use shape analysis [67,57,68] to separately consider actin dynamics within the whole cell, boundary region, and bulk region (see **Fig. 1A3-4** for representative analyses and **Fig. 1B** for schematic and *Materials and Methods* for more detail).

To obtain an overall picture of how actin dynamics changes between control and triggering conditions, we first quantified the strength of actin dynamics within specific subcellular regions, specifically the boundary region and bulk region (**Fig. 1C1**). Only triggering with hypotonic causes an increase in the strength of actin dynamics within the boundary region (p=0.040 for control vs. hypotonic via paired t-test). We do not observe significant differences in actin dynamics strength within the boundary after triggering with high K^+ (p=0.147 for control vs. high K^+ via paired t-test) or within the bulk for either triggering condition (p=0.696 and p=0.052 for control vs. high K^+ and for control vs. hypotonic, respectively, via paired t-test). Notably, neither of the triggering conditions changes the probability of actin dynamics within the boundary or bulk regions (**Suppl. Fig. 2A1**).

We next wanted to understand whether actin dynamics becomes more probable within the boundary region after a triggering stimulus. To accomplish this, we calculate the fraction of dynamics within the boundary and find a significant shift in actin dynamics towards the boundary after triggering with hypotonic but not after triggering with high K^+ (**Fig. 1C2**; p=0.881 and p=0.013 for control vs. high K^+ and for control vs. hypotonic, respectively, as determined by paired t-test).

To delve into distance dependence of actin dynamics more closely, we quantify the distance from instances of actin dynamics to the cell boundary (true boundary shown in **Fig. 1B**). Curves of the representative cells shown in **Fig. 1A** are shown in **Fig. 1D1** with the boundary and bulk regions labeled. Since we are most interested in the high actin activity near the boundary, we compare how the probability of actin dynamics changes over distance when comparing the two triggering conditions to their matched controls. High K^+ cells display no difference in actin dynamics at specific distances within the boundary region (**Fig. 1D2**). In contrast, hypotonic cells are significantly more likely to show actin dynamics within the boundary region compared to matched controls, specifically between 1.44 and 2.52 μm from the boundary (p<0.05 for control vs. hypotonic all distances in that range as determined by repeated measures ANOVA; refer to **Fig. 1D3** legend for exact p-values). Taken together with the data from **Fig. 1C2**, we show that a higher probability of actin dynamics at specific distances after hypotonic challenge is indeed accompanied by an overall shift to the boundary region.

These results indicate the following: i) actin dynamics has a higher probability of occurring under hypotonic conditions (**Fig. 1C1**); ii) increased probability of actin dynamics at specific distances is observed when cells are exposed to hypotonic challenge (**Fig. 1D3**); and iii) this increase at specific distances after hypotonic challenge is also reflected in the overall probability

of actin dynamics (**Suppl. Fig. 2A1**) and in the shift of actin dynamics to the boundary
(**Fig. 1C2**).

Finally, we also used inhibitors of the inwardly rectifying K^+ channel Kir4.1 (barium [Ba^{2+}]) and
of gap junctions (carbenoxolone [CBX]), involved respectively in potassium spatial
buffering [103,104] and cell volume regulation in astrocytes [105,106], to provide a more
mechanistic view of how chemical triggering with high K^+ and hypotonic media changes actin
dynamics. These inhibitors decrease actin dynamics such that robust analysis was not possible
(representative fluorescent overlays and analysis provided in **Suppl. Fig. 3**). Future studies will
attempt to study the effects of these inhibitors when actin dynamics are in a more excited state.

<u>Actin dynamics within astrocytes is clustered into active "hotspot" regions</u>

While characterizing actin dynamics within astrocytes, we noticed that many cells seemed to
have active regions near the boundary (**Fig. 2A1**) that we suspected were responsible for most of
the actin dynamics. To identify these "hotspot" regions, we apply the image processing
techniques of erosion and dilation to identify areas of persistent activity (**Fig. 2A2**). After
applying thresholds for activity magnitude and probability and hotspot size, we segment regions
that meet these criteria (**Fig. 2A3** and inset).

We first compared the strength of actin dynamics within the hotspot regions, considering the
boundary and bulk regions separately as before. In contrast to what we found in **Fig. 1C1**
(stronger actin dynamics in the boundary region after hypotonic challenge), we find within
hotspot regions that actin dynamics is stronger only in cells exposed to high K^+ and only within
the boundary region (**Fig. 2B1**; boundary: p=0.006 for control vs. high K^+ and p=0.435 for
control vs. hypotonic as determined by paired t-test; bulk: p=0.339 for control vs. high K^+ and
p=0.298 for control vs. hypotonic as determined by paired t-test).

We also investigated the relative strength of hotspot dynamics where the average magnitude
within hotspots is normalized by the average magnitude of dynamics within the whole cell
(**Fig. 2B2**). When comparing this relative strength between cells in triggering conditions and
their matched controls, we find that only high K^+ cells display significantly stronger actin
dynamics within hotspot regions compared to the whole cell (p=0.006 for control vs. high K^+ and
p=0.639 for control vs. hypotonic as determined by paired t-test). Finally, we investigated
whether actin dynamics in these hotspot regions is more persistent and find that the duration is
not significantly different for either high K^+ cells or hypotonic cells compared to matched
controls (**Fig. 2B3**; p=0.056 for control vs. high K^+ and p=0.491 for control vs. hypotonic as
determined by paired t-test).

To complement the results investigating strength and relative strength, we also quantified
probability and relative probability for the hotspots after chemical triggers. We find that the
probability of actin dynamics in hotspots within the boundary does not change for either stimulus
(p=0.969 for control vs. high K^+ and p=0.557 for control vs. hypotonic as determined by paired t-
test), though the probability of dynamics within bulk region hotspots significantly decreases after
hypotonic challenge (p=0.847 for control vs. high K^+ and p=0.027 for control vs. hypotonic as
determined by paired t-test). For both chemical triggers, there is no relative change in probability

within hotspots (p=0.215 for control vs. high K$^+$ and p=0.462 for control vs. hypotonic as
determined by paired t-test).

To provide a static visualization of actin dynamics within these hotspots, we show traditional
actin fluorescence kymographs (**Fig. 2C1**) and novel actin dynamics kymographs (**Fig. 2C2**) for
the three largest hotspot regions (reg, orange, and yellow) in the representative cell. Both types
of kymographs clearly show stronger dynamics in the triggering condition. Moreover, while not
evident in the kymograph shown, we also find that cells triggered by high K$^+$ show a significant
decrease in the number of hotspots (p=0.021 for control vs. high K$^+$ and p=0.189 for control vs.
hypotonic; **Suppl. Fig. 2B3**) and a concomitant increase in the average area of hotspots (p=0.047
for control vs. high K$^+$ and p=0.794 for control vs. hypotonic; **Suppl. Fig. 2B4**), indicating that
nearby hotspots may have merged in the high potassium environment. Taken together, these
results show that i) hotspot regions respond differently to chemically triggering stimuli than does
the subcellular region in which they reside (**Fig. 2B1-B2**) and ii) they are specifically reactive to
the high K$^+$ chemical stimulus in terms of strength of dynamics and both number and average
area of hotspots (**Suppl. Fig. 2B3-B4**).

<u>Astrocytes display distinct actin dynamics in the presence of neurons and nanotopographic cues</u>

To observe actin dynamics in more *in vivo*-like cell culture conditions, we used two different
approaches: i) astrocytes plated on a nanostructured surface, with starlike shapes and more
realistic molecular and functional features devoted to homeostatic control of potassium
concentrations and cell volume [79,77], and ii) co-culture of astrocytes with primary cortical
neurons.

For the first approach, we took advantage of the topographic features in hydrotalcyte-like
compound (HTlc) films to understand how actin dynamics is altered by mechanical stimuli at the
nanometer scale. For the second approach, we transduced primary astrocytes while still in the
flask and then added them to cultures of primary neurons to generate a co-culture. The results
shown in **Fig. 3** characterize how the actin dynamics of polygonal astrocytes grown on PDL-
coated glass ("PDL" or "PDL cells") differ from differentiated astrocytes grown on the
nanotopographic surface HTlc ("HTlc" or "HTlc cells") and from astrocytes co-cultured with
neurons ("Co" or "Co cells") under control conditions (standard external medium).
Representative examples of fluorescence overlays and optical flow analyses for each cell type
are shown in **Fig. 3A**.

In **Fig. 3B,** we first compared how actin dynamics differs in the boundary and bulk regions for
PDL cells, HTlc cells, and Co cells. We find that both PDL cells and Co cells show significantly
stronger dynamics within the boundary region and within the bulk region compared to HTlc cells
(**Fig. 3B1**; p<0.001 for HTlc vs. PDL and p<0.001 for HTlc vs. Co as determined by one-way
ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test). Surprisingly though, when comparing
boundary to bulk within each condition (**Fig. 3B2**), we find that all conditions (PDL, HTlc, and
Co) show significantly stronger dynamics at the boundary compared to the bulk (p<0.001 for
PDL, and p=0.012 for HTlc, and p=0.001 for Co as determined by paired t-test).

We next compared how likely the different groups of cells are to show actin dynamics in different subcellular regions. Within both the boundary and bulk regions, only Co cells are significantly more likely to show actin dynamics compared to PDL cells (**Fig. 3C1**; p<0.001 for boundary and p=0.003 for bulk as determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test). The probability of observing dynamics within the boundary and bulk of HTlc cells is not significantly different from either PDL or Co cells (boundary: p=0.051 for PDL vs. HTlc and p=0.082 for HTlc vs Co; bulk: p=0.128 for PDL vs HTlc and p=0.604 for HTlc vs Co; all as determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test). In this case, there is no significant difference between probability of dynamics within boundary and bulk for any condition (**Fig. 3C2**; p=0.072 for PDL, p=0.615 for HTlc, and p=0.093 for Co as determined by paired t-test).

Finally, we evaluated distance dependence of actin dynamics under each culturing condition in **Fig. 3D**. We show the full distance curves in **Suppl. Fig. 2C** for the representative cells. When comparing individual distances within boundary region (**Fig. 3D1**), we see that only Co cells are significantly more likely to have actin dynamics than PDL cells at specific distances (p<0.001 for all distances between 0.72 and 2.16 μm as determined by two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test). Finally, when examining the fraction of events within the boundary (**Fig. 3D2**), we find that PDL cells are less likely to have actin dynamics within the boundary than either HTlc cells and Co cells (p=0.031 and p<0.001 for PDL vs. HTlc and for PDL vs. Co, respectively, as determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test), with no difference between HTlc and Co cells (p=0.122 as determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test). Taken together, these results indicate the following: i) HTlc cells show weaker actin dynamics than both PDL and Co cells with no difference in probability (**Fig. 3B1** and **Fig. 3C1**), ii) Co cells show equally strong and more frequent actin dynamics than PDL cells (**Fig. 3B1** and **Fig. 3C1**), and iii) both HTlc and Co cells show a significant shift in dynamics towards the boundary compared to PDL cells (**Fig. 3D2**).

<u>Astrocytes display hotspot regions in the presence of neurons that are more active than in the absence of neurons</u>

We next sought to compare whether hotspot dynamics differed between astrocytes cultured on PDL-coated glass in the absence of neurons (PDL) and in the presence of neurons (Co). Astrocytes grown and differentiated on HTlc did not display consistent hotspot regions for reliable analysis.

Within hotspots, the strength of actin dynamics within the boundary region is significantly stronger in Co cells compared to PDL cells, whereas we observe no difference between PDL and Co cells within the bulk region (**Fig. 4B1**; p=0.003 for PDL vs. Co within boundary and p=0.063 for PDL vs. Co within bulk as determined by two-sample t-test). Additionally, Co cells also show a significant increase in the strength of hotspot dynamics between the boundary and bulk (**Fig. 4B2**; p=0.075 for PDL and p<0.001 for Co as determined by paired t-tests). The relative strength of hotspots within Co cells is also significantly higher than within PDL cells (**Fig. 4B3**; p=0.009 as determined by two-sample t-test).

We next considered probability of dynamics within hotspots under different culturing conditions. The probability of hotspot dynamics is significantly higher for Co cells compared to PDL cells, both within the boundary and within the bulk (**Fig. 4C1**; $p<0.001$ for PDL vs. Co within boundary and $p=0.001$ for PDL vs. Co within bulk as determined by two-sample t-test). Co cells also show a significant increase in the probability of boundary over bulk hotspot dynamics (**Fig. 4C2**; $p=0.051$ for PDL and $p=0.005$ for Co as determined by paired t-tests). Furthermore, the average persistence of dynamics within the hotspots of Co cells is significantly higher than that of PDL cells (**Fig. 4C3**; $p=0.001$ as determined by two-sample t-test).

Finally, the relative probability of dynamics is lower in Co cells than in PDL cells (**Suppl. Fig. 2D1**; $p=0.011$ for PDL vs. Co as determined by two-sample t-test), indicating that astrocytes co-cultured with neurons are generally more active than without neurons but that their hotspots are less relatively active compared to astrocytes without neurons. The number of hotspots does not differ between PDL cells and Co cells (**Suppl. Fig. 2D2**; $p=0.333$ for PDL vs. Co as determined by two-sample t-test), but the average area of hotspots is increased in Co cells (**Suppl. Fig. 2D3**; $p=0.021$ for PDL vs. Co as determined by two-sample t-test).

Taken together, we have shown that, when compared to primary astrocytes only, astrocytes co-cultured with neurons have hotspot dynamics that i) are stronger and more frequent within the boundary (**Fig. 4B1** and **Fig. 4C1**), ii) are relatively stronger (**Fig. 4B3**) but relatively less frequent (**Fig. 4D1**), and iii) persist for longer (**Fig. 4C3**).

Astrocytes sense nanotopographic cues through actin orientation near the boundary

Inspired by our analysis of actin dynamics in live astrocytes, we sought to understand i) why certain "hotspots" of actin activity are more prevalent in cells grown on PDL than in cells grown on HTlc and ii) whether the characteristics of actin dynamics are related to the underlying actin structure. To this end, we cultured primary rat astrocytes on PDL-coated glass or on HTlc films and performed STED microscopy on fixed cells stained for F-actin and the intermediate filament protein glial fibrillary acid protein (GFAP). Representative images are shown in **Fig. 5**. HTlc preferentially induces stellate morphology characteristic of differentiated astrocytes, whereas astrocytes cultured on PDL-coated glass display the polygonal shapes characteristic of undifferentiated astrocytes grown in the absence of neurons [80-84,87,12,79]. We chose not to use astrocytes co-cultured with neurons in this portion of our study because the density of the resulting co-culture would have led to overlapping protrusions and therefore would have prevented robust single-cell analysis.

Confocal images of F-actin labeled astrocytes reveal that there is a marked tendency for cells grown on PDL (**Fig. 5A**) to display a polygonal and dynamic phenotype with distinct leading and trailing edges and several motile structures (i.e. lamellipodia, filopodia, stress fibers; **Fig. 5C**). Conversely, in the "star" shaped cells grown on HTlc (**Fig. 5B**), the same motile elements that would indicate direction of propagation are not clearly visible (**Fig. 5D**). Moreover, the astrocytic actin is seen to "burst" out of the actin cortex in HTlc cells (**Fig. 5D**). This bursting or "flaring" behavior is indicative of a functional microdomain, known to be present in differentiated astrocytes grown on HTlc [79]. Although many regions in the representative HTlc cell display this microdomain morphology, the actin dynamics of astrocytes plated on HTlc is of

lower magnitude than that of astrocytes grown on PDL (refer to **Fig. 3B**). Therefore, we chose to investigate how astrocytic actin senses the different mechanical environments presented by PDL-coated glass and HTlc films and analyze the subsequent cytoskeleton remodeling at a nanoscale level via superresolution imaging. We used a method for quantitative, semi-automated analysis of the actin bundles' preferential orientation [107] to assess the involvement of actin organization in sensing the local mechanical environment around astrocytes.

Image analysis was performed by combining segmentation via an anisotropic, rotating Laplacian of gaussian (LoG) kernel with a hierarchical cluster analysis (see **Fig. 6A** for a schematic overview and *Materials and Methods* for a detailed description). These image processing techniques allow for the analysis of actin angle organization and the determination of fractions of actin roughly parallel versus roughly perpendicular to the leading edge of the cell boundary (**Fig. 6B**). From our clustered data, we determine that PDL astrocytic actin is oriented more parallel relative to the leading edge of the astrocyte, whereas HTlc astrocytic actin is oriented more perpendicular (**Fig. 6C**). The difference seen between the actin of cells grown on PDL versus on HTlc is significant as the cluster algorithm identifies two clusters within the data. Moreover, we see the cluster groups largely correspond with the chosen nanotopography, with an error of seven out of 37 cells: one HTlc cell is misclustered, and six PDL cells are misclustered (i.e., six PDL cells can be found in the cluster of predicted HTlc actin fractions). While the differences observed between astrocytes grown on HTlc and those grown on PDL at a dynamic, micron scale do not necessarily correspond to the differences seen in structure at the nanometer scale, our analytical approach reveals that the underlying nanoscale organization of actin within astrocytes does indeed change when the cells are exposed to different nanotopographic surfaces. Future work will optimize our co-culture approach and determine how the nanoscale structure of astrocytic actin changes when astrocytes are in contact with neurons.

Discussion

We show, for the first time, by means of live timelapse confocal microscopy, that astrocytes respond to alterations in the chemophysical environment with changes in actin dynamics. Furthermore, we demonstrate that actin is spatiotemporally organized into micron scale territories where the dynamics are enhanced depending on the specific chemophysical stress or environment (topography or co-culture). Finally, we show how distinct organization of actin near the boundary may contribute to dynamics.

Our study reveals that actin dynamics occurs persistently and locally, with actin dynamics detectable near the boundary even when the boundary itself does not shift measurably. Hypotonic challenge increases the strength of actin dynamics while high potassium preferentially increases the strength of hotspot dynamics, both within the boundary region. Furthermore, while astrocytes do not change shape substantially on the short timescales of our experiments, we see small filopodial and lamellopodial structures – the F-actin-rich subcellular compartments of migrating non-neuronal cells [108] – near the cell boundary that appear to "idle" by rapidly extending and retracting, effectively sampling their local environment. It is in these regions, which tend to lack strong stress fibers, that we most often observe actin dynamics. In future experiments, we will quantify how local actin dynamics contribute to changes in astrocyte

morphology that occur during differentiation or after injury. Indeed, many studies have extensively demonstrated that, on longer timescales, astrocytes are extremely plastic cells. For example, astrocytes change their morphology by becoming polarized and growing long processes after severe tissue damage caused by traumatic injuries [109-111] and also become hypertrophic and lose their fine processes when they become reactive [112,19].

Surprisingly, dynamics are stronger in astrocytes grown on flat surfaces (PDL-coated glass) and when astrocytes are co-cultured with neurons, clustering into hotspot regions, whereas they are weaker in cells grown on nanostructured surfaces (HTlc films) and do not cluster into large enough regions for hotspot dynamics to be detected with confocal microscopy. Dynamic hotspots in differentiated astrocytes might present as miniature versions of lamellipodia and filopodia, and future work will use higher magnification imaging to determine if this is indeed the case. Importantly, the co-culture results demonstrate that actin dynamics is not highly sensitive to plating density because the co-culture plates were higher in density than the plates with astrocytes only (whether cultured on PDL or HTlc).

Our STED data might also provide the key to understanding why polygonal astrocytes display more robust cytoskeleton dynamics: a more parallel and dispersed organization of actin fibers into a meshwork underneath the cell membrane is likely to confer mechanical plasticity to the plasma membrane compared to differentiated cells grown on HTLc, where actin fibers are packed into "actin rails" and not only maintain the cell shape (especially in the cellular processes) but also might cause membrane stiffness or resistance to motility. In support of our hypothesis, a recent study demonstrated increased stiffness at the leading edge of migrating astrocytes using live STED and atomic force microscopy [113]. We also hypothesize that this distinct actin structure will lead to differences in the interaction with neighboring cells. As stellation enables communication with other cell types, perpendicular actin may ensure processes are directed along the proper axis for communication. Indeed, recent work implicates membrane-bound integrins, specifically astrocytic integrin-engaged Thy1, in interacting with the neuronal cytoskeleton to promote astrocyte-neuron communication [114]. Moreover, our finding that almost all cells cultured on HTlc have actin structures perpendicular to the boundary affirms the ability of HTlc to encourage stellate morphology and differentiation of astrocytes [79]. Our analysis also hints that some cells grown on PDL have actin structures that "look" like the actin structures of stellate, differentiated cells grown on HTlc, consistent with previous observations that growth of astrocytes on PDL delays but does not fully suppress spontaneous or gliotic differentiation that might occur in standard cell culture [48,79,115,116].

Previous studies demonstrated that astrocytes grown on HTlc are more efficient in their homeostatic process. In particular, the expression and function of Kir4.1 and water channel aquaporin 4 (AQP4) as well as the functional response to anisotonic challenge are enhanced in differentiated [77,79] and mature [117-122] cells. A more efficient response to homeostatic challenges due to the higher levels of channel proteins may be related to the weaker actin dynamics observed in differentiated cells compared to polygonal cells (both with and without neurons). While we have not directly investigated this question, a direct relationship between channel function and cytoskeleton dynamics would not be surprising, if we consider that astrocytes may harness the excitable dynamics of actin to codify and convey information coming from the extracellular milieu. Indeed, in fixed cells, it has been shown that the actin cytoskeleton

784 affects AQP4 localization [47,123], but how real time actin dynamics affects the localization and
785 function of AQP4 and other channels, including Kir4.1, remains unknown. To this end, future
786 work will reveal whether the function of potassium and water channels is altered in differentiated
787 compared to polygonal astrocytes after homeostatic challenge and whether any differences in
788 channel localization and function are directly tied to the dynamic response of actin.
789
Much is known about how co-culturing astrocytes with neurons alters neuronal function [124-
127], but how are astrocytes affected by the presence of neurons? Several studies have shown
that the presence of neurons and neuronal activity controls gene expression in
astrocytes [128,80,129] and affects astrocytic calcium dynamics [130,131]. For example, rat
cortical astrocytes express glutamate-aspartate transporter (GLAST), but in the presence of
cortical neurons, as we have done here, astrocytes also express glutamate transporter-1 (GLT-
1) [129,80]. Neurons have also been shown to enhance astrocytic expression of Cx43, gap
junctional communication between astrocytes, and the propagation of calcium waves within the
astrocytic network [132]. We hypothesize that actin dynamics in astrocytes co-cultured with
neurons is enhanced specifically because actin dynamics contributes to astrocytes' critical
function of facilitating neuronal activity by interacting with neurons and sensing the extracellular
environment. Recent work has indicated that actin-dependent morphology changes in PAPs are
not only critical for interacting with neurons but may also enhance spine stability [133]. Future
work will probe more deeply into how astrocytic actin dynamics affects the functional dynamics
of astrocyte-neuron networks.
805
These questions remain to be elucidated, and studies in microglia [53] – which demonstrated that
actin dynamics is critical for their principal function, surveillance – indicate that actin dynamics
also plays an important role *in vivo* in neural cells. We propose that actin dynamics in astrocytes
is critical for astrocytes' principal functions: maintaining homeostasis and modulating neuronal
communication. Finally, given that cytoskeletal alterations are reported in a variety of
neurological conditions characterized by a gliotic state [134], we expect investigations of
cytoskeletal dynamics in defined animal models to be an important area of exploration to
validate the pathophysiological relevance [135] of our findings.
814
815 Moreover, while we know that the astrocyte-neuron interactions will happen on a spatial scale
816 much smaller than the dynamics observed here, our hypothesis is supported by a recent study
817 highlighting the importance of mechanical signaling for synaptic activity [54]. We therefore
818 intuit that astrocytic actin dynamics may serve as a mechanical regulator of neuronal activity.
819

References
1. Scemes E, Spray DC (2012) Extracellular K$^+$ and astrocyte signaling via connexin and
pannexin channels. Neurochemical research 37 (11):2310-2306. doi:10.1007/s11064-012-0759-4

2. Somjen GG (1979) Extracellular potassium in the mammalian central nervous system. Annu
Rev Physiol 41:159-177. doi:10.1146/annurev.ph.41.030179.001111

3. Walz W (1987) Swelling and potassium uptake in cultured astrocytes. Can J Physiol
Pharmacol 65 (5):1051-1057. doi:10.1139/y87-166

4. Kofuji P, Newman EA (2004) Potassium buffering in the central nervous system.
Neuroscience 29 (4):1045-1056. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2004.06.008

5. Holthoff K, Witte OW (2000) Directed spatial potassium redistribution in rat neocortex. Glia
29(3): (3):288-292. doi:10.1002/(sici)1098-1136(20000201)29:3<288::aid-glia10>3.0.co;2-8

6. Orkand RK, Nicholls JG, Kuffler SW (1966) Effect of nerve impulses on the membrane
potential of glial cells in the central nervous system of amphibia. J Neurophysiol 29 (4):788-806.
doi:10.1152/jn.1966.29.4.788

7. Kuffler SW, Nicholls JG, Orkand RK (1966) Physiological properties of glial cells in the
central nervous system of amphibia. J Neurophysiol 29 (4):768-787.
doi:10.1152/jn.1966.29.4.768

8. Baylor DA, Nicholls JG (1969) Changes in extracellular potassium concentration produced by
neuronal activity in the central nervous system of the leech. J Physiol 203 (3):555-569.
doi:10.1113/jphysiol.1969.sp008879

9. Berger T, Schnitzer J, Kettenmann H (1991) Developmental changes in the membrane current
pattern, K+ buffer capacity, and morphology of glial cells in the corpus callosum slice. J
Neurosci 11 (10):3008-3024. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.11-10-03008.1991

10. Newman EA (1986) Regional specialization of the membrane of retinal glial cells and its
importance to K+ spatial buffering. Ann N Y Acad Sci 481:273-286. doi:10.1111/j.1749-
6632.1986.tb27158.x

11. Walz W, Wuttke W, Hertz L (1984) Astrocytes in primary cultures: membrane potential
characteristics reveal exclusive potassium conductance and potassium accumulator properties.
Brain Res 292 (2):367-374. doi:10.1016/0006-8993(84)90772-8

12. Benfenati V, Caprini M, Nobile M, Rapisarda C, Ferroni S (2006) Guanosine promotes the
up-regulation of inward rectifier potassium current mediated by Kir4.1 in cultured rat cortical
astrocytes. J Neurochem 98 (2):430-445. doi:10.1111/j.1471-4159.2006.03877.x

13. Parpura V, Verkhratsky A (2012) Neuroglia at the crossroads of homoeostasis, metabolism
and signalling: evolution of the concept. ASN Neuro 4 (4):e00087. doi:10.1042/AN20120019

14. Benfenati V, Ferroni S (2010) Water transport between CNS compartments: Functional and
molecular interactions between aquaporins and ion channels. Neuroscience 168 (4):926-940.
doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2009.12.017

15. Amiry-Moghaddam M, Ottersen OP (2003) The molecular basis of water transport in the
brain. Nature reviews 4 (12):991-1001. doi:10.1038/nrn1252

16. Bellot-Saez A, Kékesi O, Morley JW, Buskila Y (2017) Astrocytic modulation of neuronal
excitability through K+ spatial buffering. Neuroscience and biobehavioral reviews 77:87-97.
doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.03.002

17. Oberheim NA, Goldman SA, Nedergaard M (2012) Heterogeneity of astrocytic form and
function. Methods Mol Biol 814: 23–45:23-45. doi:10.1007/978-1-61779-452-0_3

18. Bushong EA, Martone ME, Jones YZ, Ellisman MH (2002) Protoplasmic astrocytes in CA1
stratum radiatum occupy separate anatomical domains. J Neurosci 22 (1):183-192.
doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.22-01-00183.2002

19. Schiweck J, Eickholt BJ, Murk K (2018) Important shapeshifter: Mechanisms allowing
astrocytes to respond to the changing nervous system during development, injury and disease.
Front Cell Neurosci 12:261. doi:10.3389/fncel.2018.00261

20. Boulay AC, Saubaméa B, Adam N, Chasseigneaux S, Mazaré N, Gilbert A, Bahin M,
Bastianelli L, Blugeon C, Perrin S, Pouch J, Ducos B, Le Crom S, Genovesio A, Chrétien F,
Declèves X, Laplanche JL, Cohen-Salmon M (2017) Translation in astrocyte distal processes
sets molecular heterogeneity at the gliovascular interface. Cell Discov 3:17005.
doi:10.1038/celldisc.2017.5

21. Yang Y, Gozen O, Watkins A, Lorenzini I, Lepore A, Gao Y, Vidensky S, Brennan J,
Poulsen D, Park JW, Jeon NL, Robinson MB, Rothstein JD (2009) Presynaptic regulation of
astroglial excitatory neurotransmitter transporter GLT1. Neuron 61 (6):880-894.
doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2009.02.010

22. Sibille J, Pannasch U, Rouach N (2014) Astroglial potassium clearance contributes to short-
term plasticity of synaptically evoked currents at the tripartite synapse. J Physiol 592 (1):87-102.
doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2013.261735

23. Murphy-Royal C, Dupuis J, Groc L, Oliet SHR (2017) Astroglial glutamate transporters in
the brain: Regulating neurotransmitter homeostasis and synaptic transmission. J Neurosci Res 95
(11):2140-2151. doi:10.1002/jnr.24029

24. Dallérac G, Zapata J, Rouach N (2018) Versatile control of synaptic circuits by astrocytes:
where, when and how? Nature reviews 19 (12):729-743. doi:10.1038/s41583-018-0080-6

25. Mazaré N, Oudart M, Cohen-Salmon M (2021) Local translation in perisynaptic and
perivascular astrocytic processes - a means to ensure astrocyte molecular and functional polarity?
J Cell Sci 134 (2):jcs251629. doi:10.1242/jcs.251629

26. Ghézali G, Dallérac G, Rouach N (2016) Perisynaptic astroglial processes: dynamic
processors of neuronal information. Brain Struct Funct 221:2427-2442

27. Spray DC (1996) Molecular physiology of gap junction channels. Clin Exp Pharmacol
Physiol 23 (12):1038-1040. doi:10.1111/j.1440-1681.1996.tb01165.x

28. De Pina-Benabou MH, Srinivas M, Spray DC, Scemes E (2001) Calmodulin kinase pathway
mediates the K+-induced increase in Gap junctional communication between mouse spinal cord
astrocytes. J Neurosci 21 (17):6635-6643. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.21-17-06635.2001

29. Giaume C, Tabernero A, Medina JM (1997) Metabolic trafficking through astrocytic gap
junctions. Glia 21 (1):114-123

30. Venance L, Piomelli D, Glowinski J, Giaume C (1995) Inhibition by anandamide of gap
junctions and intercellular calcium signalling in striatal astrocytes. Nature 376 (6541):590-594.
doi:10.1038/376590a0

31. Finkbeiner S (1992) Calcium waves in astrocytes-filling in the gaps. Neuron 8 (6):1101-
1108. doi:10.1016/0896-6273(92)90131-v

32. Enkvist MO, McCarthy KD (1992) Activation of protein kinase C blocks astroglial gap
junction communication and inhibits the spread of calcium waves. J Neurochem 59 (2):519-526.
doi:10.1111/j.1471-4159.1992.tb09401.x

33. Murphy TH, Blatter LA, Wier WG, Baraban JM (1993) Rapid communication between neurons and astrocytes in primary cortical cultures. J Neurosci 13(6): (6):2672-2679. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.13-06-02672.1993

34. Charvériat M, Naus CC, Leybaert L, Sáez JC, Giaume C (2017) Connexin-dependent neuroglial networking as a new therapeutic target. Front Cell Neurosci 11:174. doi:10.3389/fncel.2017.00174

35. Verkhratsky A, Nedergaard M (2017) Physiology of Astroglia. Physiol Rev 98 (1):239-389. doi:10.1152/physrev.00042.2016

36. Rose CR, Verkhratsky A (2016) Principles of sodium homeostasis and sodium signalling in astroglia. Glia 64 (10):1611-1627. doi:10.1002/glia.22964

37. Santello M, Toni N, Volterra A (2019) Astrocyte function from information processing to cognition and cognitive impairment. Nat Neurosci 22 (2):154-166. doi:10.1038/s41593-018-0325-8

38. Farhy-Tselnicker I, Boisvert MM, Liu H, Dowling C, Erikson GA, Blanco-Suarez E, Farhy C, Shokhirev MN, Ecker JR, Allen NJ (2021) Activity-dependent modulation of synapse-regulating genes in astrocytes. Elife 10:e70514. doi:10.7554/eLife.70514

39. Ramakers GJ, Moolenaar WH (1998) Regulation of astrocyte morphology by RhoA and lysophosphatidic acid. Experimental cell research 245 (2):252-262. doi:10.1006/excr.1998.4224.

40. Kalman D, Gomperts SN, Hardy S, Kitamura M, Bishop JM (1999) Ras family GTPases control growth of astrocyte processes. Mol Biol Cell 10 (5):1665-1683. doi:10.1091/mbc.10.5.1665

41. John GR, Chen L, Rivieccio MA, Melendez-Vasquez CV, Hartley A, Brosnan CF (2004) Interleukin-1beta induces a reactive astroglial phenotype via deactivation of the Rho GTPase-Rock axis. J Neurosci 24 (11):2837-2845. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4789-03.2004

42. Höltje M, Hoffmann A, Hofmann F, Mucke C, Grosse G, Van Rooijen N, Kettenmann H, Just I, Ahnert-Hilger G (2005) Role of Rho GTPase in astrocyte morphology and migratory response during in vitro wound healing. J Neurochem 95 (5):1237-1248. doi:10.1111/j.1471-4159.2005.03443.x

43. Racchetti G, D'Alessandro R, Meldolesi J (2012) Astrocyte stellation, a process dependent on Rac1 is sustained by the regulated exocytosis of enlargeosomes. Glia 60 (3):465-475. doi:10.1002/glia.22280

44. Suidan HS, Nobes CD, Hall A, Monard D (1997) Astrocyte spreading in response to thrombin and lysophosphatidic acid is dependent on the Rho GTPase. Glia 21 (2):244-252. doi:10.1002/(sici)1098-1136(199710)21:2<244::aid-glia7>3.0.co;2-6

45. Murk K, Blanco Suarez EM, Cockbill LMR, Banks P, Hanley JG (2013) The antagonistic modulation of Arp2/3 activity by N-WASP, WAVE2 and PICK1 defines dynamic changes in astrocyte morphology. J Cell Sci 126 (17):3873-3883. doi:10.1242/jcs.125146

46. Brozzi F, Arcuri C, Giambanco I, Donato R (2009) S100B Protein Regulates Astrocyte Shape and Migration via Interaction with Src Kinase: IMPLICATIONS FOR ASTROCYTE DEVELOPMENT, ACTIVATION, AND TUMOR GROWTH. J Biol Chem 284 (13):8797-8811. doi:10.1074/jbc.M805897200

47. Nicchia GP, Rossi A, Mola MG, Procino G, Frigeri A, Svelto M (2008) Actin cytoskeleton
remodeling governs aquaporin-4 localization in astrocytes. Glia 56 (16):1755-1766.
doi:10.1002/glia.20724

48. Benfenati V, Nicchia GP, Svelto M, Rapisarda C, Frigeri A, Ferroni S (2007) Functional
down-regulation of volume-regulated anion channels in AQP4 knockdown cultured rat cortical
astrocytes. Journal of Neurochemistry 100 (1):87-104. doi:10.1111/j.1471-4159.2006.04164.x

49. Nicchia GP, Mola MG, Pisoni M, Frigeri A, Svelto M (2007) Different pattern of aquaporin-
4 expression in extensor digitorum longus and soleus during early development. Muscle Nerve
35 (5):625-631. doi:10.1002/mus.20736

50. Fritzsche M, Fernandes RA, Chang VT, Colin-York H, Clausen MP, Felce JH, Galiani S,
Erlenkämper C, Santos AM, Heddleston JM, Pedroza-Pacheco I, Waithe D, de la Serna JB,
Lagerholm BC, Liu T-L, Chew T-L, Betzig E, Davis SJ, Eggeling C (2017) Cytoskeletal actin
dynamics shape a ramifying actin network underpinning immunological synapse formation.
Science advances 3 (6):e1603032. doi:10.1126/sciadv.1603032

51. Li X, Miao Y, Pal DS, Devreotes PN (2020) Excitable networks controlling cell migration
during development and disease. Semin Cell Dev Biol 100:133-142.
doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2019.11.001

52. Kumari S, Mak M, Poh YC, Tohme M, Watson N, Melo M, Janssen E, Dustin M, Geha R,
Irvine DJ (2020) Cytoskeletal tension actively sustains the migratory T-cell synaptic contact.
EMBO J 39 (5):e102783. doi:10.15252/embj.2019102783

53. Bernier L-P, Bohlen CJ, York EM, Choi HB, Kamyabi A, Dissing-Olesen L, Hefendehl JK,
Collins HY, Stevens B, Barres BA, MacVicar BA (2019) Nanoscale surveillance of the brain by
microglia via cAMP-regulated filopodia. Cell Rep 27 (10):2895-2908.e2894.
doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2019.05.010

54. Ucar H, Watanabe S, Noguchi J, Morimoto Y, Iino Y, Yagishita S, Takahashi N, Kasai H
(2021) Mechanical actions of dendritic-spine enlargement on presynaptic exocytosis. Nature 600
(7890):686-689. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-04125-7

55. Vicker MG (2002) F-actin assembly in Dictyostelium cell locomotion and shape oscillations
propagates as a self-organized reaction-diffusion wave. FEBS Letters 510 (1-2):5-9.
doi:10.1016/s0014-5793(01)03207-0

56. Sun X, Driscoll MK, Guven C, Das S, Parent CA, Fourkas JT, Losert W (2015) Asymmetric
nanotopography biases cytoskeletal dynamics and promotes unidirectional cell guidance. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A 112 (41):12557-12562. doi:10.1073/pnas.1502970112

57. Driscoll MK, Sun X, Guven C, Fourkas JT, Losert W (2014) Cellular contact guidance
through dynamic sensing of nanotopography. ACS Nano 8 (4):3546-3555.
doi:10.1021/nn406637c

58. Edwards M, Cai H, Abubaker-Sharif B, Long Y, Lampert TJ, Devreotes PN (2018) Insight
from the maximal activation of the signal transduction excitable network in Dictyostelium
discoideum. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115 (16):E3722-E3730. doi:10.1073/pnas.1710480115

59. Miao Y, Bhattacharya S, Banerjee T, Abubaker-Sharif B, Long Y, Inoue T, Iglesias PA,
Devreotes PN (2019) Wave patterns organize cellular protrusions and control cortical dynamics.
Mol Syst Biol 15 (3):e8585. doi:10.15252/msb.20188585

60. Lee R, Campanello L, Hourwitz M, Alvarez P, Omidvar A, Fourkas J, Losert W (2020)
Quantifying topography-guided actin dynamics across scales using optical flow. Mol Biol Cell
mbcE19110614. doi:10.1091/mbc.E19-11-0614

61. Roy S (2016) Waves, rings, and trails: The scenic landscape of axonal actin. J Cell Biol 212
(2):131-134. doi:10.1083/jcb.201511016

62. Del Signore SJ, Kelley CF, Messelaar EM, Lemos T, Marchan MF, Ermanoska B, Mund M,
Fai TG, Kaksonen M, Rodal AA (2021) An autoinhibitory clamp of actin assembly constrains
and directs synaptic endocytosis. Elife 10:e69597. doi:10.7554/eLife.69597

63. Bull AL, Campanello L, Hourwitz MJ, Yang Q, Zhao M, Fourkas JT, Losert W (2022) Actin
dynamics as a multiscale integrator of cellular guidance cues. Front Cell Dev Biol 10:873567.
doi:10.3389/fcell.2022.873567

64. Yang Q, Miao Y, Campanello LJ, Hourwitz MJ, Abubaker-Sharif B, Bull AL, Devreotes PN,
Fourkas JT, Losert W (2022) Cortical waves mediate the cellular response to electric fields. Elife
11:e73198. doi:10.7554/eLife.73198

65. Pal DS, Li X, Banerjee T, Miao Y, Devreotes PN (2019) The excitable signal transduction
networks: movers and shapers of eukaryotic cell migration. Int J Dev Biol 63 (8-9-10):407-416.
doi:10.1387/ijdb.190265pd

66. Horn BKP, Schunck BG (1981) Determining optical flow. Artif Intell 17:185-203

67. Driscoll MK, Losert W, Jacobson K, Kapustina M (2015) Spatiotemporal relationships
between the cell shape and the actomyosin cortex of periodically protruding cells. Cytoskeleton
(Hoboken) 72 (6):268-281. doi:10.1002/cm.21229

68. Driscoll MK, McCann C, Kopace R, Homan T, Fourkas JT, Parent C, Losert W (2012) Cell
shape dynamics: from waves to migration. PLoS Comput Biol 8 (3):e1002392.
doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002392

69. Du M, Li J, Wang R, Wu Y (2016) The influence of potassium concentration on epileptic
seizures in a coupled neuronal model in the hippocampus. Cogn Neurodyn 10 (5):405-414.
doi:10.1007/s11571-016-9390-4

70. Pivonkova H, Hermanova Z, Kirdajova D, Awadova T, Malinsky J, Valihrach L, Zucha D,
Kubista M, Galisova A, Jirak D, Anderova M (2018) The contribution of TRPV4 channels to
astrocyte volume regulation and brain edema formation. Neuroscience 394:127-143.
doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2018.10.028

71. Kimelberg HK, Rutledge E, Goderie S, Charniga C (1995) Astrocytic swelling due to
hypotonic or high K+ medium causes inhibition of glutamate and aspartate uptake and increases
their release. Journal of cerebral blood flow and metabolism : official journal of the International
Society of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism 15 (3):409-416. doi:10.1038/jcbfm.1995.51

72. O'Connor ER, Kimelberg HK, Keese CR, Giaever I (1993) Electrical resistance method for
measuring volume changes in monolayer cultures applied to primary astrocyte cultures. Am J
Physiol 264 (2 Pt 1):C471-478. doi:10.1152/ajpcell.1993.264.2.C471

73. Dallwig R, Vitten H, Deitmer JW (2000) A novel barium-sensitive calcium influx into rat
astrocytes at low external potassium. Cell Calcium 28(4): (4):247-259.
doi:10.1054/ceca.2000.0153

74. Yaguchi T, Nishizaki T (2010) Extracellular high K+ stimulates vesicular glutamate release
from astrocytes by activating voltage-dependent calcium channels. J Cell Physiol 225 (2):512-
518. doi:10.1002/jcp.22231

75. O'Connor ER, Kimelberg HK (1993) Role of calcium in astrocyte volume regulation and in
the release of ions and amino acids. J Neurosci 13 (6):2638-2650. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.13-
06-02638.1993

76. Pangrsic T, Potokar M, Haydon PG, Zorec R, Kreft M (2006) Astrocyte swelling leads to
membrane unfolding, not membrane insertion. J Neurochem 99 (2):514-523. doi:10.1111/j.1471-
4159.2006.04042.x

77. Mola MG, Saracino E, Formaggio F, Amerott AG, Barile B, Frigeri A, Palazzo C, Posati T,
Zamboni R, Caprini M, Nicchia GP, Benfenati V (2021) Cell volume regulation mechanisms in
differentiated astrocytes. Cell Physiol Biochem 55 (S1):196-212. doi:10.33594/000000469

78. Mola MG, Sparaneo A, Gargano CD, Spray DC, Svelto M, Frigeri A, Scemes E, Nicchia GP
(2016) The speed of swelling kinetics modulates cell volume regulation and calcium signaling in
astrocytes: A different point of view on the role of aquaporins. Glia 64(1):139-54 (1):139-154.
doi:10.1002/glia.22921

79. Posati T, Pistone A, Saracino E, Formaggio F, Mola MG, Troni E, Sagnella A, Nocchetti M,
Barbalinardo M, Valle F, Bonetti S, Caprini M, Nicchia GP, Zamboni R, Muccini M, Benfenati
V (2016) A nanoscale interface promoting molecular and functional differentiation of neural
cells. Sci Rep 6:31226. doi:10.1038/srep31226

80. Swanson RA, Liu J, Miller JW, Rothstein JD, Farrell K, Stein BA, Longuemare MC (1997)
Neuronal regulation of glutamate transporter subtype expression in astrocytes. J Neurosci 17
(3):932-940. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.17-03-00932.1997

81. Sensenbrenner M, Labourdette G, Delaunoy JP, Pettmann B, Devilliers G, Moonen G, Bock
E (1980) Morphological and biochemical differentiation of glial cells in primary culture. In:
Giacobini E (ed) Tissue culture in neurobiology. Raven, New York, pp 385-395

82. Pettmann B, Louis JC, Sensenbrenner M (1979) Morphological and biochemical maturation
of neurones cultured in the absence of glial cells. Nature 281 (5730):378-380.
doi:10.1038/281378a0

83. Hertz L, Juurlink BHJ, Szuchet S (1985) Cell cultures. In: Lajtha A (ed) Handbook of
neurochemistry, vol 8. 2 edn. Plenum, New York, pp 603-661

84. Juurlink BH, Hertz L (1985) Plasticity of astrocytes in primary cultures: an experimental tool
and a reason for methodological caution. Dev Neurosci 7 (5-6):263-277. doi:10.1159/000112295

85. McCarthy KD, de Vellis J (1980) Preparation of separate astroglial and oligodendroglial cell
cultures from rat cerebral tissue. J Cell Biol 85 (3):890-902. doi:10.1083/jcb.85.3.890

86. Benfenati V, Toffanin S, Capelli R, Camassa LMA, Ferroni S, Kaplan DL, Omenetto FG,
Muccini M, Zamboni R (2010) A silk platform that enables electrophysiology and targeted drug

delivery in brain astroglial cells. Biomaterials 31 (31):7883-7891.
doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2010.07.013

87. Ferroni S, Marchini C, Schubert P, Rapisarda C (1995) Two distinct inwardly rectifying
conductances are expressed in long term dibutyryl-cyclic-AMP treated rat cultured cortical
astrocytes. FEBS Lett 367 (3):319-325. doi:10.1016/0014-5793(95)00588-z

88. Saracino E, Maiolo L, Polese D, Semprini M, Borrachero-Conejo AI, Gasparetto J, Murtagh
S, Sola M, Tomasi L, Valle F, Pazzini L, Formaggio F, Chiappalone M, Hussain S, Caprini M,
Muccini M, Ambrosio L, Fortunato G, Zamboni R, Convertino A, Benfenati V (2020) A Glial-
Silicon Nanowire Electrode Junction Enabling Differentiation and Noninvasive Recording of
Slow Oscillations from Primary Astrocytes. Adv Biosyst 4 (4):e1900264.
doi:10.1002/adbi.201900264

89. Benfenati V, Ferroni S (2015) Microdynamics of Water and Ion Homeostasis in the Brain:
Role of Aquaporins and Ion Channels of Astroglial Cells. In: Boison D, Masino SA (eds)
Homeostatic Control of Brain Function. Oxford University Press.
doi:10.1093/med/9780199322299.003.0001

90. Benfenati V, Martino N, Antognazza MR, Pistone A, Toffanin S, Ferroni S, Lanzani G,
Muccini M (2014) Photostimulation of whole-cell conductance in primary rat neocortical
astrocytes mediated by organic semiconducting thin films. Adv Healthc Mater 3 (3):392-399.
doi:10.1002/adhm.201300179

91. Borrachero-Conejo AI, Adams WR, Saracino E, Mola MG, Wang M, Posati T, Formaggio F,
De Bellis M, Frigeri A, Caprini M, Hutchinson MR, Muccini M, Zamboni R, Nicchia GP,
Mahadevan-Jansen A, Benfenati V (2020) Stimulation of water and calcium dynamics in
astrocytes with pulsed infrared light. FASEB journal : official publication of the Federation of
American Societies for Experimental Biology 34 (5):6539-6553. doi:10.1096/fj.201903049R

92. Firestein BL, Brenman JE, Aoki C, Sanchez-Perez AM, El-Husseini AE, Bredt DS (1999)
Cypin: A cytosolic regulator of PSD-95 postsynaptic targeting. Neuron 24 (3):659-672.
doi:10.1016/s0896-6273(00)81120-4

93. O'Neill KM, Donohue KE, Omelchenko A, Firestein BL (2018) The 3' UTRs of Brain-
Derived Neurotrophic Factor transcripts differentially regulate the dendritic arbor. Front Cell
Neurosci 12:60. doi:10.3389/fncel.2018.00060

94. O'Neill KM, Akum BF, Dhawan ST, Kwon M, Langhammer CG, Firestein BL (2015)
Assessing effects on dendritic arborization using novel Sholl analyses. Frontiers in Cellular
Neuroscience 9:285. doi:10.3389/fncel.2015.00285

95. O'Neill KM, Kwon M, Donohue KE, Firestein BL (2017) Distinct effects on the dendritic
arbor occur by microbead versus bath administration of brain-derived neurotrophic factor.
Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences 74 (23):4369-4385. doi:10.1007/s00018-017-2589-7

96. Rodriguez AR, O'Neill KM, Swiatkowski P, Patel MV, Firestein BL (2018) Overexpression
of cypin alters dendrite morphology, single neuron activity, and network properties via distinct
mechanisms. Journal of Neural Engineering 15 (1):016020. doi:10.1088/1741-2552/aa976a

97. Rodriguez AR, Anderson ED, O'Neill KM, McEwan PP, Vigilante NF, Kwon M, Akum BF,
Stawicki TM, Meaney DF, Firestein BL (2021) Cytosolic PSD-95 Interactor Alters Functional

Organization of Neural Circuits and AMPA Receptor Signaling Independent of PSD-95 Binding. Netw Neurosci 5 (1):166-197. doi:10.1162/netn_a_00173

98. De Bellis M, Pisani F, Mola MG, Rosito S, Simone L, Buccoliero C, Trojano M, Nicchia GP, Svelto M, Frigeri A (2017) Translational readthrough generates new astrocyte AQP4 isoforms that modulate supramolecular clustering, glial endfeet localization, and water transport. Glia 65 (5):790-803. doi:10.1002/glia.23126

99. de Bellis M, Cibelli A, Mola MG, Pisani F, Barile B, Mastrodonato M, Banitalebi S, Amiry-Moghaddam M, Abbrescia P, Frigeri A, Svelto M, Nicchia GP (2021) Orthogonal arrays of particle assembly are essential for normal aquaporin-4 expression level in the brain. Glia 69 (2):473-488. doi:10.1002/glia.23909

100. Simoncelli EP (1994) Design of multi-dimensional derivative filters. Proceedings - International Conference on Image Processing 1:790-794. doi:10.1109/ICIP.1994.413423

101. Guizar-Sicairos M, Thurman ST, Fienup JR (2008) Efficient subpixel image registration algorithms. Opt Lett 33:156-158. doi:10.1364/ol.33.000156

102. Lucas BD, Kanade T An iterative image registration technique with an application to stereo vision. In: Proceedings of the 7th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, San Francisco, CA, USA, 1981. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., pp 674-679. doi:10.5555/1623264.1623280

103. Lopatin AN, Nichols CG (2001) Inward rectifiers in the heart: an update on I(K1). J Mol Cell Cardiol 33 (4):625-638. doi:10.1006/jmcc.2001.1344

104. Alagem N, Dvir M, Reuveny E (2001) Mechanism of Ba(2+) block of a mouse inwardly rectifying K+ channel: differential contribution by two discrete residues. J Physiol 534 (Pt. 2):381-393. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7793.2001.00381.x

105. Davidson JS, Baumgarten IM (1988) Glycyrrhetinic acid derivatives: a novel class of inhibitors of gap-junctional intercellular communication. Structure-activity relationships. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 246 (3):1104-1107

106. Benfenati V, Caprini M, Nicchia GP, Rossi A, Dovizio M, Cervetto C, Nobile M, Ferroni S (2009) Carbenoxolone inhibits volume-regulated anion conductance in cultured rat cortical astroglia. Channels (Austin) 3 (5):323-336. doi:10.4161/chan.3.5.9568

107. Campanello L, Traver MK, Shroff H, Schaefer BC, Losert W (2021) Signaling through polymerization and degradation: Analysis and simulations of T cell activation mediated by Bcl10. PLoS Comput Biol 17 (5):e1007986. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007986

108. Hirrlinger J, Hülsmann S, Kirchhoff F (2004) Astroglial processes show spontaneous motility at active synaptic terminals in situ. Eur J Neurosci 20 (8):2235-2239. doi:10.1111/j.1460-9568.2004.03689.x

109. Schiweck J, Murk K, Ledderose J, Münster-Wandowski A, Ornaghi M, Vida I, Eickholt BJ (2021) Drebrin controls scar formation and astrocyte reactivity upon traumatic brain injury by regulating membrane trafficking. Nat Commun 12:1490. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-21662-x

110. Faulkner JR, Herrmann JE, Woo MJ, Tansey KE, Doan NB, Sofroniew MV (2004) Reactive astrocytes protect tissue and preserve function after spinal cord injury. J Neurosci 24 (9):2143-2155. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3547-03.2004

111. Bardehle S, Krüger M, Buggenthin F, Schwausch J, Ninkovic J, Clevers H, Snippert HJ,
Theis FJ, Meyer-Luehmann M, Bechmann I, Dimou L, Götz M (2013) Live imaging of astrocyte
responses to acute injury reveals selective juxtavascular proliferation. Nat Neurosci 16 (5):580-
586. doi:10.1038/nn.3371

112. Sofroniew MV, Vinters HV (2010) Astrocytes: biology and pathology. Acta
neuropathologica 119 (1):7-35. doi:10.1007/s00401-009-0619-8

113. Curry N, Ghézali G, Kaminski Schierle GS, Rouach N, Kaminski CF (2017) Correlative
STED and Atomic Force Microscopy on Live Astrocytes Reveals Plasticity of Cytoskeletal
Structure and Membrane Physical Properties during Polarized Migration. Front Cell Neurosci
11:104. doi:10.3389/fncel.2017.00104

114. Maldonado H, Calderon C, Burgos-Bravo F, Kobler O, Zuschratter W, Ramirez O, Härtel S,
Schneider P, Quest AFG, Herrera-Molina R, Leyton L (2017) Astrocyte-to-neuron
communication through integrin-engaged Thy-1/CBP/Csk/Src complex triggers neurite
retraction via the RhoA/ROCK pathway. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res 1864 (2):243-254.
doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2016.11.006

115. Ferroni S, Marchini C, Nobile M, Rapisarda C (1997) Characterization of an inwardly
rectifying chloride conductance expressed by cultured rat cortical astrocytes. Glia 21 (2):217-
227. doi:10.1002/(sici)1098-1136(199710)21:2<217::aid-glia5>3.0.co;2-3

116. Nicchia GP, Frigeri A, Liuzzi GM, Santacroce MP, Nico B, Procino G, Quondamatteo F,
Herken R, Roncali L, Svelto M (2000) Aquaporin-4-containing astrocytes sustain a temperature-
and mercury-insensitive swelling in vitro. Glia 31 (1):29-38. doi:10.1002/(sici)1098-
1136(200007)31:1<29::aid-glia30>3.0.co;2-3

117. Sloan SA, Darmanis S, Huber N, Khan TA, Birey F, Caneda C, Reimer R, Quake SR,
Barres BA, Paşca SP (2017) Human astrocyte maturation captured in 3D cerebral cortical
spheroids derived from pluripotent stem cells. Neuron 95 (4):779-790.e776.
doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2017.07.035

118. Molofsky AV, Deneen B (2015) Astrocyte development: A Guide for the Perplexed. Glia
63 (8):1320-1329. doi:10.1002/glia.22836

119. Molofsky AV, Krencik R, Ullian EM, Tsai H-h, Deneen B, Richardson WD, Barres BA,
Rowitch DH (2012) Astrocytes and disease: a neurodevelopmental perspective. Genes Dev 26
(9):891-907. doi:10.1101/gad.188326.112

120. Clarke LE, Liddelow SA, Chakraborty C, Münch AE, Heiman M, Barres BA (2018)
Normal aging induces A1-like astrocyte reactivity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115 (8):E1896-
E1905. doi:10.1073/pnas.1800165115

121. Morel L, Higashimori H, Tolman M, Yang Y (2014) VGluT1+ neuronal glutamatergic
signaling regulates postnatal developmental maturation of cortical protoplasmic astroglia. J
Neurosci 34 (33):10950-10962. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1167-14.2014

122. Nwaobi SE, Lin E, Peramsetty SR, Olsen ML (2014) DNA methylation functions as a
critical regulator of Kir4.1 expression during CNS development. Glia 62 (3):411-427.
doi:10.1002/glia.22613

123. Neely JD, Amiry-Moghaddam M, Ottersen O, Froehner SC, Agre P, Adams ME (2001)
Syntrophin-dependent expression and localization of Aquaporin-4 water channel protein. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A 98 (24):14108-14113. doi:10.1073/pnas.241508198

124. Kuijlaars J, Oyelami T, Diels A, Rohrbacher J, Versweyveld S, Meneghello G, Tuefferd M,
Verstraelen P, Detrez JR, Verschuuren M, De Vos WH, Meert T, Peeters PJ, Cik M, Nuydens R,
Brône B, Verheyen A (2016) Sustained synchronized neuronal network activity in a human
astrocyte co-culture system. Sci Rep 6:36529. doi:10.1038/srep36529

125. Moonen G, Franck G, Schoffeniels E (1980) Glial control of neuronal excitability in
mammals: I. Electrophysiological and isotopic evidence in culture. Neurochem Int 2C:299-310.
doi:10.1016/0197-0186(80)90037-6

126. Grisar T, Franck G, Schoffeniels E (1980) Glial control of neuronal excitability in
mammals: II. Enzymatic evidence : Two molecular forms of the (Na(+),K(+))-ATPase in brain.
Neurochem Int 2C:311-320. doi:10.1016/0197-0186(80)90038-8

127. Perea G, Navarrete M, Araque A (2009) Tripartite synapses: astrocytes process and control
synaptic information. Trends Neurosci 32 (8):421-431. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2009.05.001

128. Hasel P, Dando O, Jiwaji Z, Baxter P, Todd AC, Heron S, Márkus NM, McQueen J,
Hampton DW, Torvell M, Tiwari SS, McKay S, Eraso-Pichot A, Zorzano A, Masgrau R, Galea
E, Chandran S, Wyllie DJA, Simpson TI, Hardingham GE (2017) Neurons and neuronal activity
control gene expression in astrocytes to regulate their development and metabolism. Nat
Commun 8:15132. doi:10.1038/ncomms15132

129. Ghosh M, Yang Y, Rothstein JD, Robinson MB (2011) Nuclear factor-κB contributes to
neuron-dependent induction of glutamate transporter-1 expression in astrocytes. J Neurosci 31
(25):9159-9169. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0302-11.2011

130. Pasti L, Volterra A, Pozzan T, Carmignoto G (1997) Intracellular calcium oscillations in
astrocytes: a highly plastic, bidirectional form of communication between neurons and astrocytes
in situ. J Neurosci 17 (20):7817-7830. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.17-20-07817.1997

131. Schipke CG, Kettenmann H (2004) Astrocyte responses to neuronal activity. Glia 47
(3):226-232. doi:10.1002/glia.20029

132. Rouach N, Glowinski J, Giaume C (2000) Activity-dependent neuronal control of gap-
junctional communication in astrocytes. J Cell Biol 149 (7):1513-1526.
doi:10.1083/jcb.149.7.1513

133. Bernardinelli Y, Randall J, Janett E, Nikonenko I, König S, Jones EV, Flores CE, Murai
KK, Bochet CG, Holtmaat A, Muller D (2014) Activity-dependent structural plasticity of
perisynaptic astrocytic domains promotes excitatory synapse stability. Curr Biol 24 (15):1679-
1688. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.06.025

134. Muñoz-Lasso DC, Romá-Mateo C, Pallardó FV, Gonzalez-Cabo P (2020) Much more than
a scaffold: Cytoskeletal proteins in neurological disorders. Cells 9 (2):358.
doi:10.3390/cells9020358

135. Tobe BTD, Crain AM, Winquist AM, Calabrese B, Makihara H, Zhao W-n, Lalonde J,
Nakamura H, Konopaske G, Sidor M, Pernia CD, Yamashita N, Wada M, Inoue Y, Nakamura F,
Sheridan SD, Logan RW, Brandel M, Wu D, Hunsberger J, Dorsett L, Duerr C, Basa RCB,

McCarthy MJ, Udeshi ND, Mertins P, Carr SA, Rouleau GA, Mastrangelo L, Li J, Gutierrez GJ,
Brill LM, Venizelos N, Chen G, Nye JS, Manji H, Price JH, McClung CA, Akiskal HS, Alda M,
Chuang D-MM, Coyle JT, Liu Y, Teng YD, Ohshima T, Mikoshiba K, Sidman RL, Halpain S,
Haggarty SJ, Goshima Y, Snyder EY (2017) Probing the lithium-response pathway in hiPSCs
implicates the phosphoregulatory set-point for a cytoskeletal modulator in bipolar pathogenesis.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114 (22):E4462–E4471. doi:10.1073/pnas.1700111114

1237

1240
1241

1242 **Fig. 1 Optical flow analysis reveals actin dynamics in primary astrocytes**

1243 **A)** Representative actin dynamics. Actin-GFP timelapse overlay for representative cell, control

1244 (A1 and inset) and triggering (A2 and inset) conditions. Colorbar indicates time. Optical flow

1245 analysis for representative cell: control (A3 and inset) and triggering (A4 and inset) conditions.

1246 Colorbar indicates average optical flow magnitude. **B)** Shape analysis [67,57,68] identifies true

boundary (solid grey line). Boundary region (orange) is created by dilating (solid black line) and eroding (dashed black line) true boundary by 10 pixels and is distinct from bulk region (green). **C)** Characterizing actin dynamics. **C1)** Strength of actin dynamics within boundary (p=0.147 for control vs. K+, p=0.040 for control vs. hypo) and within bulk (p=0.696 for control vs. K+, p=0.052 for control vs. hypo). **C2)** Fraction of actin dynamics within boundary (p=0.881 for control vs. K+, p=0.013 for control vs. hypo). **D)** Distance dependence of actin dynamics. **D1)** Probability *P(d)* vs. distance from boundary *d* for representative data shown in B. **D2-D3)** Probability within boundary region *P(d within B)* vs. distance from true boundary within boundary region *d within B* for all cells for control vs. K+ (D2) and for control vs. hypo (D3; p=0.0499, p=0.019, p<0.001, p<0.001 at 1.44-2.52 μm). P-values determined by paired t-test (C) or by repeated measures ANOVA (D). n=15 paired cells for control vs. K+, and n=8 paired cells for control vs. hypo. Scalebars indicate 20 μm (A1-A4) or 5 μm (insets). Error bars/boxes indicate 95% confidence intervals. Solid black lines and opaque circles indicate mean. Transparent lines in D2-D3 represent individual distance curves. "Control" indicates cells imaged in standard external medium; "triggering" indicates cells imaged in isotonic high potassium solution ("K+") or hypotonic solution ("hypo").

Fig. 2 Persistence analysis of actin dynamics reveal "hotspots" of actin activity

A) Identification of "hotspot" regions. Optical flow results (A1; from Fig. 1) are eroded and

dilated in space and in time (A2) to identify the most persistently active regions. Regions that

meet criteria are binarized and segmented (A3, inset A4) for comparison between cells and

conditions. **B)** Characterization of hotspot dynamics. **B1)** Average strength of hotspot actin

dynamics within boundary (p=0.006 for control vs. K+, p=0.435 for control vs. hypo) and within

bulk (p=0.339 for control vs. K+, =0.298 for control vs. hypo). **B2)** Relative strength of actin

dynamics (p=0.006 for control vs. K+, p=0.639 for control vs. hypo). **B3)** Average persistence of

actin dynamics (p=0.056 for control vs. K+, p=0.491 for control vs. hypo). All p-values

determined by paired t-test. Solid black lines indicate the mean. Grey regions indicate 95%

confidence interval. **C)** Kymographs of normalized actin fluorescence (C1) and of optical flow

magnitude (C2) for three largest hotspot regions from A3-A4. Grey labels between kymographs

indicate lengths of regions. Colorbar indicates normalized fluorescence (C1) and optical flow

magnitude (C2). n=15 paired cells for control and K+, and n=8 paired cells for control and hypo.

1281

Fig. 3 Actin dynamics differs substantially in polygonal astrocytes grown on PDL compared to differentiated astrocytes grown on HTlc and to astrocytes co-cultured with neurons

A) Actin-GFP timelapse for representative cells grown on PDL-coated glass ("PDL"; A1 and inset), HTlc films ("HTlc"; A2 and inset), and co-cultured with neurons ("Co"; A3 and inset). Colorbar indicates time. Optical flow analysis for representative cells (PDL in A4 and inset;

HTlc in A5 and inset; Co in A6 and inset). Colorbar indicates average optical flow magnitude.

B) Strength of actin dynamics. **B1)** Strength of actin dynamics within boundary ($p<0.001$ for

PDL vs. HTlc cells, $p<0.001$ for HTlc vs. Co cells) and within bulk ($p<0.001$ for PDL vs. HTlc

cells, $p<0.001$ for HTlc vs. Co cells). **B2)** Strength of actin dynamics in boundary vs. bulk for

PDL ($p<0.001$), HTlc ($p=0.011$), and Co cells ($p<0.001$). **C)** Probability of actin dynamics.

C1) Probability of actin dynamics within boundary ($p<0.001$ PDL vs. Co cells) and within bulk

($p=0.003$ for PDL vs. Co cells). **C2)** Probability of actin dynamics in boundary vs. bulk for PDL

($p=0.072$), HTlc ($p=0.615$), and Co cells ($p=0.093$). **D)** Distance dependence of actin dynamics.

D1) Probability within boundary region *P(d within B)* vs. distance from true boundary within

boundary region *d within B* for all PDL, HTlc, and Co cells ($p<0.001$ at 0.072-2.16 μm for PDL

vs. Co). **D2)** Fraction of actin dynamics within boundary ($p=0.031$ for PDL vs. HTlc, $p<0.001$

for PDL vs. Co, and $p=0.122$ for HTlc vs Co). P-values determined by one-way ANOVA

followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test (B1, C1, D2), paired t-test (B2, C2), or two-way

ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test (D1). n=24 cells for PDL, n=9 cells for

HTlc, and n=14 cells for Co. Scalebars indicate 20 μm (A1-A6) or 5 μm (insets). Error

bars/boxes indicate 95% confidence intervals. Solid black lines and opaque circles indicate

mean. Transparent lines in D1 represent individual distance curves.

1308

1309

1310
1311
Fig. 4 Actin hotspot dynamics are stronger and more frequent when astrocytes are co-

cultured with neurons

A) Actin-GFP timelapse for representative cells grown on PDL-coated glass ("PDL"; A1 and

inset) and co-cultured with neurons ("Co"; A3 and inset). Colorbar indicates time. Persistence

analysis for representative cells (PDL in A2 and inset; Co in A4 and inset). Color is used to

differentiate hotspot regions. **B)** Strength of actin dynamics within hotspots. **B1)** Strength of

hotspot dynamics within boundary (p=0.003 for PDL vs. Co cells) and within bulk (p=0.063 for

PDL vs. Co cells). **B2)** Strength of hotspot dynamics in boundary vs. bulk for PDL (p= 0.075)

and Co cells (p<0.001). **B3)** Relative strength of hotspot dynamics for PDL vs. Co (p=0.009).

C) Probability of actin dynamics within hotspots. **C1)** Probability of hotspot dynamics within

boundary (p<0.001 for PDL vs. Co cells) and within bulk (p=0.001 for PDL vs. Co cells).

C2) Strength of actin dynamics in boundary vs. bulk for PDL (p=0.051) and Co cells (p=0.005).

C3) Persistence of actin dynamics for PDL vs. Co (p=0.001). P-values determined by two-

sample t-test (B1, B3, C1, C3) or paired t-test (B2, C2). n=24 cells for PDL, and n=14 cells for

Co. Scalebars indicate 20 μm (A1-A4) or 5 μm (insets). Error bars/boxes indicate 95%

confidence intervals. Solid black lines and opaque circles indicate mean.

1328

1329

1330
1331
Fig. 5 STED microscopy visualizes nanoscale actin structure within primary astrocytes

grown on PDL and on HTlc

A-B) Confocal microscopy images of representative astrocytes grown on PDL-coated glass (A)

or on HTlc films (B) for five days, fixed, and stained for actin (green) and GFAP (red). Scalebars

indicate 10 μm. **C-D)** Superresolution images taken via STED microscopy of cells grown on

PDL (C) and on HTlc (D) of boxed yellow regions from A and B. Scalebars indicate 2.5 μm.

1338

1339

1340
1341
Fig. 6 Filtering STED images with a rotating, anisotropic LoG kernel reveals differences in

nanoscale actin structure for astrocytes grown on PDL versus on HTlc

A) Extraction of relative angle information from actin filaments. **A1)** STED images are

convolved with a rotating, anisotropic LoG kernel. **A2)** Filtering extracts actin bundles and a best

match angle that is relative to the MATLAB frame ("absolute angle") with a range of $[0, 2\pi]$

(shown as full colorwheel). **A3)** A leading edge boundary (red) is drawn manually and is used to

transform actin angles to be relative to the closes boundary point ("relative angle") with a range

of $[0, \pi/2]$ (shown as a quarter colorwheel). **B)** Output from A for representative cells (PDL in

B1-B3, HTlc in B4-B6). All relative angles, parallel angles only (range of $[0, \pi/6]$), and

perpendicular angles only (range of $[\pi/3, \pi/2]$) for representative PDL (B1, B2, B3, respectively)

and HTlc (B4, B5, B6, respectively) cells. Solid white lines indicate leading edge boundary.

Scalebars indicate 2.5 µm. **C)** Parallel (y-axis) vs. perpendicular (x-axis) fractions of actin

detected within PDL (purple) and HTlc (orange) astrocytes. A hierarchical cluster analysis

reveals two distinct clusters (cluster 1: open circles, cluster 2: crosses). Arrows indicate

representative cells. n=22 cells for PDL, and n=15 cells for HTlc.

1357

1358

	Parameter	Actin	GFAP
Confocal	WLL (488 nm) intensity, %	10	10
	Gain	25	25
STED	WLL (488 nm) intensity, %	40	
	STED (592 nm) intensity, %	97	
	Gain	200	

1359

1360 **Table 1: Imaging parameters.** Parameters used for STED and confocal imaging of fixed

1361 astrocytes on PDL and HTlc.

1362