1

Mapping pontocerebellar connectivity with diffusion MRI

Paul-Noel Rousseau[1], M. Mallar Chakravarty[2], Christopher J. Steele[1,3]

1. Department of Psychology, Concordia University, Montreal, QC, Canada

2. Cerebral Imaging Center, Douglas Mental Health University Institute, McGill University,

Montreal, QC, Canada

3. Department of Neurology, Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences,

Leipzig, Germany

Corresponding Author: Paul-Noel Rousseau

Email: paul.rousseau@mail.mcgill.ca

Abstract

The cerebellum's involvement in cognitive, affective and motor functions is mediated by

connections to different regions of the cerebral cortex. A distinctive feature of cortico-cerebellar

loops that has been demonstrated in the animal work is a topographic organization that is

preserved across its different components. Here we used tractography derived from diffusion

imaging data to characterize the connections between the pons and the individual lobules of the

cerebellum, and generate a classification of the pons based on its pattern of connectivity. We

identified a rostral to caudal gradient in the pons, similar to that observed in the animal work,

such that rostral regions were preferentially connected to cerebellar lobules involved in non-

motor, and caudal regions with motor regions. These findings advance our fundamental

understanding of the cerebellum, and the classifications we generated provide context for future

research into the pontocerebellar tract's involvement in health and disease.

Keywords: Cerebellum, Pons, Pontocerebellar, Connectivity, Diffusion MRI

Introduction

The cerebellum, a structure containing approximately as many neurons as the whole cerebral cortex, has historically been considered as being chiefly involved with motor processes. Recent advances have led to a paradigm shift in our conceptualization of the cerebellum, and we are just beginning to appreciate its involvement in a breadth of higher cognitive processes (Schmahmann, 2019; Strick et al., 2009). Unlike the cortex, the cellular architecture of the cerebellum is highly invariant, this implies that it is performing similar operations albeit on different inputs with different outputs (Schmahmann, 2019). An understanding of the structural connectivity of the cerebellum is therefore essential to understanding its contribution to different cognitive processes and to the effects of cerebellar syndromes. Damage to different parts of the cerebellum and associated white matter pathways will produce specific patterns of impairments in motor and non-motor functioning (Rapoport et al., 2000). While the white-matter connectivity of the cerebellum has been characterized with tract-tracing studies in non-human primates (Brodal, 1979; Schmahmann, Rosene, et al., 2004; Schmahmann & Pandya, 1997), our knowledge of the more fine-grained aspects of its connectivity in humans is still limited. Diffusion weighted imaging, particularly with recent methodological advancements provides an opportunity to investigate the white-matter connectivity of the cerebellum *in vivo* (Granziera et al., 2009; Salamon et al., 2007; Steele et al., 2017a).

The cerebellar cortex receives cortical inputs via the pons and in turn projects back to the cerebral cortex predominantly via the dentate nucleus and the thalamus. These cortico-cerbellar loops are comprised of parallel subcircuits linking spatially and functional distinct areas of the cortex and cerebellum (Schmahmann et al., 2019). The cerebellar cortex is comprised of ten lobules based on its gross anatomy (Schmahmann et al., 1999): the anterior lobe (lobules I

through V and parts of VI) in addition to lobule VIII receive projections primarily from motor

regions of the cortex, in addition to projections from the spinal cord. Conversely, the remainder

of lobule VI Lobules VIIA and VIIB, crus I and II are connected to association areas of the

cortex (Schmahmann, 2019). Previous work has established that both the pons and the dentate

nucleus exhibit topographic patterns of organization based on their afferent connections

(Schmahmann & Pandya, 1997; Steele et al., 2017a). In this paper, We set out to investigate the

patterns of anatomical connectivity between the pons and different cerebellar regions in humans

which has not been systematically investigated until now.

Studying cortico-cerebellar connectivity with diffusion tractography presents some

unique challenges. First, cortico-cerebellar connections are polysynaptic and it is unclear how

effective diffusion tractography is at resolving these polysynaptic connections. There are also a

large number of intersecting fibers in the cerebellum and brainstem, meaning that the diffusion

tensor model (in which only one fibre orientation is assumed per voxel) is inadequate for

studying white matter architecture in this region (Takahashi et al., 2013). High-angular

resolution diffusion imaging (HARDI) data which allows for the definition of fibre orientation

distribution functions (fODFs) with constrained spherical deconvolution improves the

characterization of these fiber populations and affords new opportunities for studying cerebellar

connectivity (Dell'Acqua et al., 2013; Steele et al., 2017b; Tournier et al., 2007).

Our objective with the current study was to address the gap that currently exists in the

literature and systematically characterize the white matter connectivity between the pons and

lobules of the cerebellum. We first performed probabilistic tractography on the connections

between the cerebellar lobules and the pons which travel through the middle cerebellar peduncle

(MCP). Our goal was to characterize their spatial distribution, and subsequently generated

parcellations of the pons and MCP based on this connectivity. The current findings further our

basic understanding of normative patterns of cerebellar connectivity in humans. Moreover, they

help us to predict with greater precision how damage to the cerebellum, and its associated white

matter fibres may produce specific types of impairments in cognitive and motor function.

Materials and Methods

Participants

100 unrelated participants (50 females, average age = 29) were randomly selected from the

Human Connectome Project open-access dataset (www.humanconnectome.org) (Glasser et al.,

2016; Van Essen et al., 2012) and represented subset of data from a prior study (Steele &

Chakravarty, 2018). Written informed consent was obtained from each participant and data was

used in compliance with ethical guidelines of Concordia University and The Human Connectome

Project. 100 subjects were selected to balance between the computational demands of the

tractography with the requirement of a large and representative sample. Structural imaging data

were acquired on a 3T Siemen Connectome Skyra scanner. T1w (0.7 mm iso, TI/TE/TR =

1000/2.14/2400 ms, FOV = 224x224 mm) and diffusion weighted imaging (1.25 mm iso, TE/TR

= 89.5/5520 ms, FOV = 210x180 mm, multiband 3, b-values = 1000/2000/3000 s/mm2, 90

diffusion directions across each b-value).

Image Processing

Cerebellar Lobular Segmentation – MAGeT Brain

Segmented cerebellar lobules were used as regions of interest for the tractography analysis.

Segmentations were performed for a prior study by Steele & Chakravarty (Steele & Chakravarty,

2018) based on preprocessed T1 weighted images from the HCP using the Multiple

Automatically Generated Templates segmentation tool (Chakravarty et al., 2013; Park et al.,

2014). The method is described in detail in Steele & Chakravarty (Steele & Chakravarty, 2018),

but to summarize: Non-linear registrations are performed between five manually labelled

cerebellar lobular atlases and T1 weighted images from twenty-one participants from the dataset

yielding a set of five cerebellar labels for each of the template participants. The resulting

templates are then warped to each of the participants, resulting 105 cerebellar segmentations per

subject. A majority vote procedure is then used to yield the final segmentation of the cerebellar

lobules. The segmentations used for seeds ROIs in the connectivity analysis consisted of lobules

III-VI, Crus I-II, lobule VIIb, lobule VIIIA, and lobule VIIIb.

Segmentation of Pons and Brainstem Structures - Freesurfer

Brainstem structures were used as regions of interest for the tractography analysis. Segmentation

of brainstem structures was performed using a tool implemented by Freesurfer (v6.0)

(http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu). In brief, this tool uses a Bayesian segmentation algorithm

which uses a probabilistic atlas of the brainstem and surrounding brain structures based on

manually labeled scans to generate segmentations of the medulla oblongata, pons, midbrain, and

superior cerebellar peduncle (SCP) based on T1w images (Iglesias et al., 2015). From these

segmentations we used masks of the pons, medulla, and midbrain in the subsequent tractography

analysis. In order to prepare ROIs for tractography, the individual subject pons masks were first

dilated by three voxels. A subtraction was performed between this dilated mask and the undilated

pons mask to produce a pons shell that was divided right and left pons shell masks. Tractography

was performed from the left hemisphere cerebellar lobules, and the right pons shell mask served

as an exclusion mask in that analysis. Streamlines passing through this area were excluded. The

medulla oblongata, midbrain and SCP were also similarly used as exclusion masks for the

tractography.

DWI Preprocessing

3T diffusion weighted images were preprocessed by the standard HCP pipeline, the steps are

delineated in detail by Glasser et al. (Glasser et al., 2016). In brief, these include intensity

normalization, distortion estimation and correction and a gradient nonlinearity correction. A rigid

body transformation is performed to register the resultant images to the T1w structural image.

Tractography between cerebellar lobules and pons

MRtrix3 (Tournier et al., 2019) was used for the estimation of the fiber orientation distribution

function (fODF) which was derived with constrained spherical convolution and to perform

probabilistic tractography. To investigate whether the pons exhibits a topographic pattern of

connectivity with the cerebellum similar that which has been observed in the animal

literature(Biswas et al., 2019; Schmahmann & Pandya, 1997), we performed tractography

between lobules III, IV, V, VI, Crus I and II, VI, VIIB, and VIIIA/B in the left hemisphere of the

cerebellum and the pons. The segmented lobules were individually used as seed masks, and the

pons used as the target mask. The right pons shell mask, medulla oblongata, midbrain, and SCP

were all used as exclusion masks. This configuration of inclusion and exclusion masks ensured

that only streamlines terminating pons were preserved and that streamlines transitioning through

the pons and terminating in other areas were excluded. In order to prevent the final streamline

counts from being biased by individuals with larger brains, the total number of streamlines from

each lobule was constrained to be proportional to the volume of the largest lobule (Steele et al.,

2017a). In practice, the volume of each of lobule was computed for each participant, the number

of streamlines originating from the largest lobule (Crus I in most individuals) were set to 50,000,

and the number of streamlines for the remaining lobules were set as a proportion of their volume

to the largest lobule. For example, if a lobule had half of the volume compared to the largest

lobule, streamlines would be set to 25,000. The result of this procedure was that while each

lobule projects a different number of streamlines, they each project the same number of

streamlines per voxel. Subsequent to tractography, individual tracts were converted to streamline

count images which were used in the group template formation and the final segmentations.

Group Co-registration

In order to put the individual subject data into the same space, a group template based on the

streamline count data was generated using the ANTs registration software (Avants et al., 2011).

Total streamline count images across individuals (the sum of the individual streamline count

images for each of the lobules) were non-linearly co-registered to one another and averaged to

form a group template (antsMultivariateTemplateConstruction2, demons similarity metric).

Individual native-space streamline count data for the individual lobules were then warped to the

final group template.

Lobule-specific Visitation Maps

In order to visualize the distribution of streamlines within the pons and MCP, individual

streamline counts for each lobule were scaled by the number of streamlines from the lobule to

generate comparable proportional visitation maps for each lobule. This scaling step allowed us to

better account for the distribution of connectivity from the smaller lobules, and these maps

served as the input for the streamline-based segmentation described in the next section. The

scaled maps were then summed across individuals and normed between 0 and 1 to generate

group probability maps of streamline distributions for each lobule. Intensities were then

normalized according to the maximum in a slice of interest at the midline for ease of

visualization.

Streamline Based Parcellation of the Pons and MCP

Based on the lobule specific streamline count maps derived from the tractography

analysis, subject-level and group-level classifications of the pons and MCP were performed

using a modified majority-vote procedure. A standard majority vote procedure, where a voxel is

labeled according to the lobule which contributes the most streamlines, has the potential to

under-represent the contributions smaller lobules to the final parcellation. In order to better

characterize the spatial distribution of connectivity from smaller lobules of the cerebellum, we

used a modified procedure where the individual lobule specific streamline count images for each

subject were divided by the number of streamlines in that lobule. (relative strength of

connectivity from each lobule). For purposes of comparison, we present the results parcellation

results from both the scaled and non-scaled streamline data.

A parallel set of analyses were conducted on the group and subject level data. Using the

lobule specific streamline count maps as inputs, voxels were labeled according to lobule map

with the highest intensity in that position (in the lobule scaled approach), and according to the

lobule contributing the most streamlines (in the simple majority vote approach). In order to

estimate the consistency of the group labels across different participants we computed a cross-

subject consistency metric. At each voxel in the final group segmentations, we computed the

proportion of individual subject segmentations with the same label at that position. All summary

maps and parcellations will be made available on NeuroVault (https://neurovault.org/) at the time

of publication.

Results

Lobule Specific Tractography between the Cerebellar Cortex and Pons

Tracking was performed with the lobules as seeds and the pons as the target, and though

axonal projections originate in the pons and terminate in the lobules, for simplicity of description

we describe connectivity from the point of view of the lobules. Streamlines originating in the

cerebellar lobules change direction along the superior-inferior and lateral-medial axes in their

course towards the pons. Lobule specific tractography from each of the lobules in a

representative participant is depicted in Figure 1. Streamlines from within lobules III, IV, V

travel inferiorly, turn and travel anteriorly (with lateral extents matching their exit from the

lobules) as they enter the region of white matter surrounding the dentate nucleus and eventually

form the middle cerebellar peduncle. In contrast to the more anterior lobules, streamlines from

lobules VI, Crus-I, and Crus-II follow a more direct and linear track as they join the white matter

of the cerebellum. The majority of streamlines from VI and Crus I (and all lobules anterior to

them) pass over the superior surface of the dentate nucleus, while streamlines from Crus-II

bifurcate to travel above and below the dentate nucleus. Streamlines from lobules VIIB, VIIIA,

and VIIIB travel in the superior direction, converge, and turn to continue anteriorly. Streamlines

from lobule VIIB bifurcate around the top and bottom of the dentate nucleus, and streamlines

from VIIIA and VIIIB largely travel inferior to the dentate nucleus. Streamlines from each of the

lobules make up the single bundle of the MCP immediately posterior to the pons that turns to

travel medially as it enters the pons. The streamlines then bifurcate along the anterior-posterior

axis to form two bundles anterior and posterior to the corticospinal tract. As streamlines enter the

pons they also fan out in the rostral-caudal axis, with each lobule showing different patterns of

distribution along these axes. In general, streamlines from lobules III, IV, and V had the most

prominent bifurcations that form distinct rostral and caudal bundles.

Figure 1. Tractography results in single subject for the individual lobules showing their

trajectory displayed at the midline of the brain at an oblique angle. The color of the streamlines

indicates their directionality: blue is superior/inferior, red is medial/lateral, and green is

anterior/posterior. The graphic in the top right is a 3D view of the cerebellar lobule segmentation

shown at an oblique angle. Outlier streamlines were filtered for clarity of display using

scil_outlier_rejection.py from the Sherbrooke Connectivity Imaging Lab toolbox in Python

(Scilpy).

Group Level Visitation Maps

Pons: Figure 2 depicts group average maps of normalized streamline distributions from each of

the cerebellar lobules in a sagittal cross section at the midline of the pons. The dark area in the

center of each cross section represents the location of the corticospinal tract. Streamlines from

lobule III were distributed in two distinct areas in the rostral and caudal aspects of the pons.

Lobule IV streamlines were primarily concentrated in the inferior half, whereas lobule V

streamlines were more distributed in both rostral and caudal parts of the pons. Streamlines from

lobules VI, Crus and Crus II were mostly distributed in the rostral half of the pons. Lobule VIIB

and VIIIA streamlines projected predominantly to more central parts of the pons and VIIIB

streamlines were distributed more caudally. To get an idea whether motor and non-motor

cerebellar lobules showed differential patterns of connectivity with the pons, streamlines from

the putative motor (III, IV, V, VIIIA, VIIIB) and non-motor (VI, Crus I, Crus II, VIIB) lobules

were summed. Streamlines from motor lobules were distributed more in the caudal half of the

pons whereas streamlines from non-motor lobules were concentrated in the more rostral aspect.

Figure 2. Normalized streamline distribution maps at the midline of the pons for each of the

cerebellar lobules, and the sum streamlines of non-motor (VI, Crus I, Crus II, VIIB) and motor

(III, IV, V, VIIIA, VIIIB) lobules. Brighter colors indicate higher density of streamlines. The

dark region in the middle of each pons cross-section corresponds to the cortico-spinal tract.

MCP: Figure 3 depicts group average streamline distribution maps in a cross section of the MCP

as it exits the cerebellum. Streamlines from lobules III, IV and V and VI are concentrated in two

distinct clusters in superior and inferior portions of the medial MCP. This reflected the

bifurcations for the streamlines from these lobules described above. For Crus I, Crus II, lobules

VIIB, VIIIA, and VIIIB the predominant location of streamlines are organized in a clockwise

fashion around the cross-sectional center of the MCP: ranging from Crus I's concentration in the

superior and lateral portion of the MCP to lobule VIIIB in the inferior and medial portion of the

MCP. When streamlines from non-motor lobules (VI, Crus I, Crus II, and VIIB) were summed,

they were found to concentrate in the lateral and superior quadrant of the MCP. In contrast, the

summed motor lobules (III, IV, V, VIIIA, VIIIB) were clustered primarily in the inferior and

medial quadrant of the MCP and to a lesser extend in the superior and medial quadrant.

Figure 3. Normalized streamline distribution maps in a cross section of the MCP for each of the

cerebellar lobules, and the sum streamlines of non-motor (VI, Crus I, Crus II, VIIB) and motor

(III, IV, V, VIIIA, VIIIB) lobules. Brighter colors indicate higher density of streamlines.

Lobule Scaled Majority Vote Classification

Group Level

Pons: Figure 4 depicts the results of the group-level majority vote segmentation in the pons and

MCP as well as the inter-subject agreement metric using the scaled streamline count data. In the

ventral half of the pons, going from rostral to caudal, we note layers corresponding to lobule III,

followed by Crus I, Crus II, lobule VIIIA. Lobule VIIIB is represented in much of the caudal half

of the pons. In the dorsal portion of the pons, we note small lobule V and VI areas, and more

inferiorly large lobule III and IV areas. The overall spatial organization of the segmentation is

similar to the organization of the cerebellar lobules in the cortex, with neighbors in the cortex

generally found adjacent to each other in the pons. Lobules III and IV are notable exceptions,

appearing at multiple different locations in the pons. Inter-subject agreement is highest in the

periphery of the pons cross-section, in particular in the area that corresponds to Crus I.

Consistency of the labels is lowest in the center of the pons where there is a greater overlap of

streamlines belonging to different lobules.

MCP: In the MCP, lobule III is represented in the most medial periphery of the cross section,

followed by layered medial to lateral stacking of lobules IV, V and VI towards the center of the

MCP. In contrast, Crus I, Crus II, Lobules VIIA and VIIB are layered in more of a superior to

interior fashion in the lateral half of the cross section. There is a small area corresponding to

lobule VIIB at the very center of the cross section. Inter-subject agreement is highest in the

periphery, especially in the regions of lobules III, Crus I and VIIB. As with the pons, consistency

is lowest in the center where there is more overlapping of streamlines.

Figure 4. On the left are results of the majority vote classification using the scaled streamline count data for the pons (top) and MCP (bottom). On the right are the intersubject agreement maps for the pons and MCP, here brighter colours indicate higher consistency of the label across subjects.

Individual Participant Level

Pons: The results of the subject level parcellation in the pons using the scaled streamline data is presented for 8 representative participants (4 females) in figure 5. Although there is heterogeneity in the exact position and size of specific labels, there are a number of consistent observations between individuals and with the group segmentation. Labels show a similar layering pattern which, for the most part, recapitulates the spatial configuration of the cerebellar lobules.

Figure 5. Results of the majority vote segmentation of the pons using the scaled streamline count data in 8 representative participants.

MCP: In the MCP, depicted in figure 6, we observe consistency in the general spatial configuration of the individual parcels, with some variability in their exact position and size.

Similar to the group segmentation: medially, we observed areas corresponding to lobule III, IV

and V. In the lateral half of the MCP cross section, going from superior to inferior, we observed

layers corresponding to Crus I, Crus II, lobules VIIIA and VIIIB as identified in the group map.

However, we also noted that there was variability in the orientation of the layers, with some

participants exhibiting a more medial to lateral organization.

Figure 6. Results of the majority vote segmentation of the MCP using the scaled streamline

count data in 8 representative participants. Shown in a cross-section of the MCP located

proximal to the pons.

Simple Majority Vote

Group Level

Pons: Presented in figure 7 are the results for the group-level majority vote in a cross-section of

the pons and MCP, as well as the inter-subject agreement metric using the non-scaled data. The

segmentation is generally consistent with that performed with the scaled data, but as expected it

only includes the larger lobules of the cerebellum. In order of size, there are areas corresponding

to lobules VI, Crus I, Crus II and VIIB. The lobule VI area starts in the dorsal part of the rostral

pons, and then wraps around to cover much of the caudal half the pons. The Crus I area is in the

rostral half of the pons, and the Crus II and VIIB areas are immediately caudal to this and are

focused in the ventral portion. The agreement metric shows that the Crus I label and Lobule VI

labels were broadly consistent across participants, in the rostral half of the pons. The Crus II and

lobule VIIB labels were less consistent across participants.

MCP: At the level of the MCP, the majority vote results again parallel those from the scaled

analysis. Again, however, we note that the larger lobules are overrepresented in the

segmentation. In a cross-section most proximal to the body of the pons, we note a small area in

the most lateral portion corresponding to lobule III and a large area in the lateral half

corresponding to Lobule VI. Going clockwise we find smaller areas corresponding to Crus I,

Crus II, VIIIA, and VIIIB. With the agreement metric, similar to with the pons, we note high

consistency of the Lobule VI and Crus I labels, but considerably more heterogeneity for the other

labels.

Figure 7. On the left are results of the majority vote classification using the raw streamline count

data for the pons (top) and MCP (bottom). On the right are the intersubject agreement maps for

the pons and MCP, here brighter colours indicate higher consistency of the label across subjects.

Individual Participant Level

Pons: The results of the subject-level pons segmentations for the same 8 participants using the

non-scaled majority vote data are depicted in Figure 8. Similar to the group analyses, the

segmentation is dominated by a small number of lobules. As with the scaled analysis, there is

considerable heterogeneity across individuals, but some consistent patterns - the areas

corresponding to Crus I and Crus II streamlines occur in the rostral half of the pons, whereas the

area corresponding lobule VI streamlines occur in most rostral and caudal parts of the pons. This

likely reflects the bifurcation noted in the tractography and two distinct clusters of streamlines

noted in the average streamline distributions in the MCP cross-section.

Figure 8. Results of the majority vote segmentation of the pons using the raw streamline count

data in 8 representative participants. Shown the midline of the brain (x = 0).

MCP: Figure 9 depicts the results for the majority voting procedure in the same 8 participants in

a cross section of the MCP. In most participants, we observe a small area corresponding to lobule

III in the most medial portion of the cross-section. We then note a large area in the medial half

corresponding to lobule VI. Crus I, Crus II, VIIIA, VIIIB are organized in a layered fashion in

363   the lateral half of the pons. As with the scaled data, these can be layered on more of a medial to

364   lateral axis in some participants.

Figure 9. Results of the majority vote segmentation of the MCP using the raw streamline count

367   data in 8 representative participants. Shown in a cross-section of the MCP located proximal to

368   the pons.

Discussion

We used *in vivo* diffusion imaging to reconstruct pontocerebellar projections and

demonstrated segregated patterns of connectivity in the MCP and between the pons and

cerebellar cortex. Consistent with animal anatomical work, we find a distinct pattern of

connectivity such that the motor lobules of the cerebellum are more highly connected to the

rostral pons and non-motor lobules are more connected to caudal pons. Our observations

parallels observations from the stroke data in humans which shows different patterns of

behavioural disturbances depending on lesion location in the pons. Here it is important to note

that cerebellar lobules cannot be summarily classified as being motor or non-motor, this

somewhat artificial distinction does allow for us to situate our findings in regards to previous

literature. To our knowledge, our study is also the first to classify the pons and MCP based on

their connectivity to the cerebellar lobules. Our novel approach yielded a classification of the

pons and MCP which provides further evidence for the topographic organization in these

structures which are likely to mediate the cerebellum's involvement in behaviour, cognition, and

emotion. The classification also provides future researchers with a framework for disentangling

the contributions of different regions of the MCP and pons and MCP to these different functions.

Spatial Configuration of the MCP

The spatial configuration and trajectory of the MCP has previously been described using

dissections in a small sample of human brains (Akakin et al., 2014; Jamieson, 1910; Perrini et

al., 2013). Our lobule specific tractography approach recapitulated the prominent organizational

principles noted in these studies. In one of the earliest published studies to describe the

trajectory fibres in the MCP, Jamieson (Jamieson, 1910) noted the existence of two distinct white

matter bundles in the pons: a more superficial bundle in the rostral pons traveling to the superior

posterior lobe, and a deeper bundle in the caudal pons traveling to the superior lobe. We noted a

similar overall pattern in our results: the rostral pons was more highly connected to the posterior

lobe (primarily Crus I and Crus II), whereas the caudal pons was connected to the superior

lobule. Furthermore, streamlines connecting the pons to the posterior lobe tended to be

concentrated in the lateral portion of the MCP, while those connecting to the superior lobe were

concentrated in the medial MCP. A similar distinction between deep and superficial bundles of

the MCP was also made by Akakin et al. (Akakin et al., 2014) who studied its anatomy in

relation to the dentate nucleus. They observed one bundle with a more lateral course (projecting

to the posterior lobe of the cerebellum) and another travelling along a more medial course

parallel to the midline. For the later bundle, the authors did not specify where its fibres

terminated in the cerebellar cortex. The first observation is in line with our findings concerning

the distribution of streamlines in the MCP, where streamlines connecting the pons to lobules in

the posterior lobe were more concentrated in its lateral aspect. Taken together, these dissection

studies lend validity to our tractography analysis in that the larger scale aspects of connectional

anatomy of this brain region are reflected in our results. We did note a bifurcation in the bundles

corresponding to lobules III, IV, and I this is likely due to the intersection between these and

other bundles such as those originating in Crus I and II and reflects a limitation of tractography

at this resolution.

Topographic Organization of the Pons

We demonstrated that connections between the pons and the cerebellum followed a

rostral-caudal gradient, with the rostral pons being more highly connected to lobules more

involved with higher order and the caudal pons with areas more involved with motor control.

This is likely to reflect segregation that occurs within the cerebral cortical inputs to the pons.

Based on anterograde tracing work done in animals, corticopontine projections appear to be

characterized by patterns of divergence and segregation. Small cortical areas tend to project

throughout the rostro-caudal extend of the pons, and to distinct regions which form patchy

clusters with minimal overlap (Brodal & Bjaalie, 1997). Keeping in mind the fractured topology

that appears in these projections and terminations in the pons, we note some larger organizational

principles and their relevance to the present study. Anterograde tracing studies in macaques

found that corticopontine projections from primary motor cortex terminate primarily in the

caudal half of the pons, whereas projections from associative cortical areas are distributed

throughout the pons but primarily in the rostral half (Schmahmann, Rosene, et al., 2004;

Schmahmann & Pandya, 1997). Earlier lesions studies, also in macaques, found a similar overall

pattern of a superior to inferior gradient in non-motor and motor cortical inputs to the pons

(Brodal, 1978; Wiesendanger et al., 1979). While our findings are different in the respect that

they demonstrated a rostro-caudal gradient in terms of pontocerebellar connections, based on

tracing work done in rodents and macaques, we expect these to show spatial correspondence to

the corticopontine projections at the gross level (Biswas et al., 2019; Brodal, 1982) .

Pontocerebellar projections have been less extensively researched, but the existing

literature is consistent with the notion of distinct regions of the pons projecting to motor and non-

motor areas of the cerebellar cortex. Recent task-based and resting state functional imaging

studies have demonstrated functionally distinct areas in the cerebellum (Buckner et al., 2011;

King et al., 2019), it follows that white-matter input to the cerebellum from the pons should

follow a similar level of segregation. Studies using horseradish peroxidase retrograde tracing

found that the anterior lobe of the cerebellum receives fibres primarily from the caudal pons,

whereas the posterior lobule received fibres mostly from the rostral part of the pons (Brodal,

1979, 1982). More recently, Biswas and colleagues (2019) reconstructed the trajectory of

individual pontocerebellar axons in mice. Notably, they observed a core-shell organization

wherein the central part of the pons and the surrounding areas projected to specific zones within

the cerebellum. While they found that single axons diverged into multiple collaterals these

tended to innervate particular combinations of lobules. These patterns of divergence may

account for how a single motor representation in the caudal pons gives rise to a multiple motor

representations in the anterior lobe and inferior portion of the posterior lobe of the cerebellum. In

fact, similar to our observations, they found that the caudal pons projected both to lobules II-V as

well as lobule VIII. This provides further context for our observation that inputs from to motor

and non-motor areas of the cerebellum originated in spatially distinct areas of the rostral and

caudal pons, and that adjacent lobules tended to connect to similar areas in the pons.

In humans, the rostral to caudal functional gradient in terms of non-motor and motor

function within the pons has been demonstrated in lesion studies which have found cognitive and

affective disturbances resulting from damage to the rostral part of the pons (Kim Jong S. et al.,

1995; Schmahmann, Ko, et al., 2004). The impairments noted here may result from damage to

cerebrocerebellar circuitry originating in higher order cortical areas. Our study offers new

context to these findings in demonstrating the preponderance of connections to higher order

regions of the cerebellum originating in the superior pons.

To our knowledge, structure function relationships within the MCP itself have not been

explicitly studied in the previous literature. Studies have consistently found degradations in

cerebellar white matter in multiple sclerosis (Wilkins, 2017), and Tobyne et al. (Tobyne et al.,

2018) found white matter changes in the MCP to related to cognitive impairment in this

population. Our approach to characterizing the distribution of connections from different parts of

the cerebellum offers additional perspective to understanding the nature of these impairments. It

generates a series of testable hypotheses relating to the type of impairments we can expect based

on the location of a lesion or a specific area which shows a loss of white matter integrity.

Lobule Specific Classification of the Pons and MCP

Diffusion tractography has been previously used to segment the thalamus and the basal

ganglia based on their connectivity to the cortex (Draganski et al., 2008; Johansen-Berg et al.,

2005; Traynor et al., 2010). Our study is the first to apply this technique to the segmentation of

the pons based on its cerebellar connectivity. This presented some unique challenges: compared

with the thalamus and the basal ganglia, where different areas of the cortex project to more

spatially distinct regions of these nuclei, in the pons there is more complex pattern of

organization with more convergence and divergence (Brodal & Bjaalie, 1992). In this case, a

standard-majority voting approach is less valuable in that it results in a segmentation which

underrepresents the smaller cerebellar lobules that project fewer streamlines. By scaling the

streamline counts according to the size of the lobules in each individual, we were able to produce

segmentations of the pons which better reflect the spatial configuration of the of the connections

to individual lobules within the pons.

Limitations and Future Directions

We based our tractography analysis and subsequent segmentation on cerebellar lobular

subdivisions. While the functional territories of the cerebellum do not map perfectly on to the

lobules, as is evidenced by a growing body of resting-state and task based functional imaging

research (Buckner et al., 2011; King et al., 2019), these do provide a proven means of subdividing

the cerebellum across individuals. Future research could consider more data driven methods of

parcellating the cerebellum based on its white matter connectivity to the pons, such as

independent component analysis (Hale et al., 2015). Other approach would involve clustering

streamlines into distinct bundles (Garyfallidis et al., 2018), or using clustering algorithms based

on the local orientation distribution function data (Najdenovska et al., 2018).

Further, we noted some discrepancies between our observations and our expectations

based on known anatomy. In the tractography analysis, we noted that streamlines from lobules

III, IV, V (motor lobules) showed a prominent bifurcation as they entered the pons (Figure 1).

This was reflected in the final group classification where we found rostral and caudal parcels

corresponding to these regions (Figure 4). It is likely that this is due to the close proximity and

intersection between different white matter bundles originating in the posterior cerebellum. In

light of this, the finding of rostral parcels corresponding to lobules III, IV, and V should be

interpreted with caution.

While our study shows that fine grained anatomical details of the white matter

architecture of the cerebellum can be resolved with high quality 3T data in-vivo, it our results

could be further supported with higher resolution diffusion data and ex-vivo techniques. We

initially explored using the 7T diffusion data available from the HCP, but the considerable signal

drop-off in the inferior regions of the cerebellum made it unusable for tractography. Even using a

cerebellum specific acquisition Steele et al. (Steele et al., 2017b), encountered similar issues of

poor signal in the most inferior lobules of the cerebellum. While higher in-vivo MRI does offer

interesting possibilities for studying the white matter of the cerebellum, future research will have

to overcome this constraint. Ex-vivo diffusion and novel techniques like tractography based

polarized light imaging microscopy, which offers spatial resolutions of up to 100μm, can offer

new perspectives into meso-scale connectional architecture (Axer et al., 2011).

Conclusion

The cerebellum's involvement in a wide range of cognitive, affective and motor processes is

mediated by its connectivity to the brain. Our study contributed to our understanding of its

connectivity by demonstrating that the connections between the cerebellar cortex and the pons

follow a topographic pattern of organization that is similar to observations from non-human

primates. Specifically, we provided evidence that areas of the cerebellum involved with motor

and higher order process are connected to spatially distinct areas in the pons, via different parts

of the MCP. As well as contributing our fundamental understanding of the cerebellum, our

description of its pattern of connectivity as well as the parcellations of the pons and MCP

provide new context for studying the relationship between damage to these areas and functional

impairments.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by scholarships from the Quebec Bioimaging Network and Fonds de

Recherche du Québec – Nature et technologies to Paul-Noel Rousseau, grants from the Natural

Sciences and Engineering Research Council and the Stroke Foundation of Canada to Christopher

Steele. M. Mallar Chakravarty received salary support from Fonds de Recherche du Québec –

Santé and research support from Canadian Institutes of Health Research, the Natural Sciences

and Engineering Research Council, and McGill University's Healthy Brains, Healthy Lives

program.

References

Akakin, A., Peris-Celda, M., Kilic, T., Seker, A., Gutierrez-Martin, A., & Rhoton, A., Jr. (2014).

The Dentate Nucleus and Its Projection System in the Human Cerebellum: The Dentate

Nucleus Microsurgical Anatomical Study. *Neurosurgery*, *74*(4), 401–425.

https://doi.org/10.1227/NEU.0000000000000293

Avants, B. B., Tustison, N. J., Song, G., Cook, P. A., Klein, A., & Gee, J. C. (2011). A

reproducible evaluation of ANTs similarity metric performance in brain image

registration. *NeuroImage*, *54*(3), 2033–2044.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.09.025

Axer, M., Graessel, D., Kleiner, M., Dammers, J., Dickscheid, T., Reckfort, J., Huetz, T., Eiben,

B., Pietrzyk, U., Zilles, K., & Amunts, K. (2011). High-Resolution Fiber Tract

Reconstruction in the Human Brain by Means of Three-Dimensional Polarized Light

Imaging. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics*, *5*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fninf.2011.00034

Biswas, M. S., Luo, Y., Sarpong, G. A., & Sugihara, I. (2019). Divergent projections of single

pontocerebellar axons to multiple cerebellar lobules in the mouse. *The Journal of*

Comparative Neurology, *527*(12), 1966–1985. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.24662

Brodal, P. (1978). The corticopontine projection in the rhesus monkey. Origin and principles of

organization. *Brain: A Journal of Neurology*, *101*(2), 251–283.

https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/101.2.251

Brodal, P. (1979). The pontocerebellar projection in the rhesus monkey: An experimental study

with retrograde axonal transport of horseradish peroxidase. *Neuroscience*, *4*(2), 193–208.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(79)90082-4

Brodal, P. (1982). Further observations on the cerebellar projections from the pontine nuclei and

the nucleus reticularis tegmenti pontis in the rhesus monkey. *Journal of Comparative*

Neurology, *204*(1), 44–55. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902040106

Brodal, P., & Bjaalie, J. G. (1992). Organization of the pontine nuclei. *Neuroscience Research*,

13(2), 83–118. https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-0102(92)90092-Q

Brodal, P., & Bjaalie, J. G. (1997). Chapter 13 Salient anatomic features of the cortico-ponto-

cerebellar pathway. In C. I. De Zeeuw, P. Strata, & J. Voogd (Eds.), *Progress in Brain*

Research (Vol. 114, pp. 227–249). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-

6123(08)63367-1

Buckner, R. L., Krienen, F. M., Castellanos, A., Diaz, J. C., & Yeo, B. T. T. (2011). The

organization of the human cerebellum estimated by intrinsic functional connectivity.

Journal of Neurophysiology, *106*(5), 2322–2345. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00339.2011

Chakravarty, M. M., Steadman, P., van Eede, M. C., Calcott, R. D., Gu, V., Shaw, P., Raznahan,

A., Collins, D. L., & Lerch, J. P. (2013). Performing label-fusion-based segmentation

using multiple automatically generated templates. *Human Brain Mapping*, *34*(10), 2635–

2654. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.22092

Dell'Acqua, F., Bodi, I., Slater, D., Catani, M., & Modo, M. (2013). MR diffusion histology and

micro-tractography reveal mesoscale features of the human cerebellum. *Cerebellum*

(London, England), *12*(6), 923–931. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12311-013-0503-x

Draganski, B., Kherif, F., Klöppel, S., Cook, P., Alexander, D., Parker, G., Deichmann, R.,

Ashburner, J., & Frackowiak, R. (2008). Evidence for Segregated and Integrative

Connectivity Patterns in the Human Basal Ganglia. *The Journal of Neuroscience : The*

Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience, *28*, 7143–7152.

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1486-08.2008

Garyfallidis, E., Côté, M.-A., Rheault, F., Sidhu, J., Hau, J., Petit, L., Fortin, D., Cunanne, S., &

Descoteaux, M. (2018). Recognition of white matter bundles using local and global

streamline-based registration and clustering. *NeuroImage*, *170*, 283–295.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.07.015

Glasser, M. F., Smith, S. M., Marcus, D. S., Andersson, J. L. R., Auerbach, E. J., Behrens, T. E.

J., Coalson, T. S., Harms, M. P., Jenkinson, M., Moeller, S., Robinson, E. C.,

Sotiropoulos, S. N., Xu, J., Yacoub, E., Ugurbil, K., & Van Essen, D. C. (2016). The

Human Connectome Project's neuroimaging approach. *Nature Neuroscience*, *19*(9),

1175–1187. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4361

Granziera, C., Schmahmann, J. D., Hadjikhani, N., Meyer, H., Meuli, R., Wedeen, V., &

Krueger, G. (2009). Diffusion Spectrum Imaging Shows the Structural Basis of

Functional Cerebellar Circuits in the Human Cerebellum In Vivo. *PLOS ONE*, *4*(4),

e5101. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0005101

Hale, J. R., Mayhew, S. D., Mullinger, K. J., Wilson, R. S., Arvanitis, T. N., Francis, S. T., &

Bagshaw, A. P. (2015). Comparison of functional thalamic segmentation from seed-based

analysis and ICA. *NeuroImage*, *114*, 448–465.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.04.027

Iglesias, J. E., Van Leemput, K., Bhatt, P., Casillas, C., Dutt, S., Schuff, N., Truran-Sacrey, D.,

Boxer, A., & Fischl, B. (2015). Bayesian segmentation of brainstem structures in MRI.

NeuroImage, *113*, 184–195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.065

Jamieson, E. B. (1910). The Arrangement of the Fibres of the Middle Cerebellar Peduncle, as

shown by Dissection. *Journal of Anatomy and Physiology*, *44*(Pt 3), 234–240.

Johansen-Berg, H., Behrens, T. E. J., Sillery, E., Ciccarelli, O., Thompson, A. J., Smith, S. M., &

Matthews, P. M. (2005). Functional-anatomical validation and individual variation of

diffusion tractography-based segmentation of the human thalamus. *Cerebral Cortex*

(New York, N.Y. : 1991), *15*(1), 31–39. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhh105

Kim Jong S., Lee Jay H., Im Joo H., & Lee Myoung C. (1995). Syndromes of Pontine Base

Infarction. *Stroke*, *26*(6), 950–955. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.26.6.950

King, M., Hernandez-Castillo, C. R., Poldrack, R. A., Ivry, R. B., & Diedrichsen, J. (2019).

Functional boundaries in the human cerebellum revealed by a multi-domain task battery.

Nature Neuroscience, *22*(8), 1371–1378. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-019-0436-x

Najdenovska, E., Alemán-Gómez, Y., Battistella, G., Descoteaux, M., Hagmann, P., Jacquemont,

S., Maeder, P., Thiran, J.-P., Fornari, E., & Bach Cuadra, M. (2018). In-vivo probabilistic

atlas of human thalamic nuclei based on diffusion- weighted magnetic resonance

imaging. *Scientific Data*, *5*(1), 180270. https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2018.270

Park, M. T. M., Pipitone, J., Baer, L. H., Winterburn, J. L., Shah, Y., Chavez, S., Schira, M. M.,

Lobaugh, N. J., Lerch, J. P., Voineskos, A. N., & Chakravarty, M. M. (2014). Derivation

of high-resolution MRI atlases of the human cerebellum at 3T and segmentation using

multiple automatically generated templates. *NeuroImage*, *95*, 217–231.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.03.037

Perrini, P., Tiezzi, G., Castagna, M., & Vannozzi, R. (2013). Three-dimensional microsurgical

anatomy of cerebellar peduncles. *Neurosurgical Review*, *36*(2), 215–225.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10143-012-0417-y

Rapoport, M., van Reekum, R., & Mayberg, H. (2000). The Role of the Cerebellum in Cognition

and Behavior. *The Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, *12*(2), 193–

198. https://doi.org/10.1176/jnp.12.2.193

Salamon, N., Sicotte, N., Drain, A., Frew, A., Alger, J. R., Jen, J., Perlman, S., & Salamon, G.

(2007). White matter fiber tractography and color mapping of the normal human

cerebellum with diffusion tensor imaging. *Journal of Neuroradiology*, *34*(2), 115–128.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurad.2007.03.002

Schmahmann, J. D. (2019). The cerebellum and cognition. *Neuroscience Letters*, *688*, 62–75.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2018.07.005

Schmahmann, J. D., Doyon, J., McDonald, D., Holmes, C., Lavoie, K., Hurwitz, A. S., Kabani,

N., Toga, A., Evans, A., & Petrides, M. (1999). Three-Dimensional MRI Atlas of the

Human Cerebellum in Proportional Stereotaxic Space. *NeuroImage*, *10*(3), 233–260.

https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.1999.0459

Schmahmann, J. D., Guell, X., Stoodley, C. J., & Halko, M. A. (2019). The Theory and

Neuroscience of Cerebellar Cognition. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, *42*(1), 337–364.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-070918-050258

Schmahmann, J. D., Ko, R., & MacMore, J. (2004). The human basis pontis: Motor syndromes

and topographic organization. *Brain*, *127*(6), 1269–1291.

https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awh138

Schmahmann, J. D., & Pandya, D. N. (1997). Anatomic organization of the basilar pontine

projections from prefrontal cortices in rhesus monkey. *The Journal of Neuroscience : The*

Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience, *17*(1), 438–458.

Schmahmann, J. D., Rosene, D. L., & Pandya, D. N. (2004). Motor projections to the basis

pontis in rhesus monkey. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology*, *478*(3), 248–268.

https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.20286

Steele, C. J., Anwander, A., Bazin, P.-L., Trampel, R., Schaefer, A., Turner, R., Ramnani, N., &

Villringer, A. (2017a). Human Cerebellar Sub-millimeter Diffusion Imaging Reveals the

Motor and Non-motor Topography of the Dentate Nucleus. *Cerebral Cortex (New York,*

N.Y. : 1991), *27*(9), 4537–4548. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhw258

Steele, C. J., Anwander, A., Bazin, P.-L., Trampel, R., Schaefer, A., Turner, R., Ramnani, N., &

Villringer, A. (2017b). Human Cerebellar Sub-millimeter Diffusion Imaging Reveals the

Motor and Non-motor Topography of the Dentate Nucleus. *Cerebral Cortex (New York,*

N.Y. : 1991), *27*(9), 4537–4548. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhw258

Steele, C. J., & Chakravarty, M. M. (2018). Gray-matter structural variability in the human

cerebellum: Lobule-specific differences across sex and hemisphere. *NeuroImage*, *170*,

164–173. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.04.066

Strick, P. L., Dum, R. P., & Fiez, J. A. (2009). Cerebellum and nonmotor function. *Annual*

Review of Neuroscience, *32*, 413–434.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.31.060407.125606

Takahashi, E., Song, J. W., Folkerth, R. D., Grant, P. E., & Schmahmann, J. D. (2013). Detection

of postmortem human cerebellar cortex and white matter pathways using high angular

resolution diffusion tractography: A feasibility study. *NeuroImage*, *68*, 105–111.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.11.042

Tobyne, S. M., Ochoa, W. B., Bireley, J. D., Smith, V. M., Geurts, J. J., Schmahmann, J. D., &

Klawiter, E. C. (2018). Cognitive impairment and the regional distribution of cerebellar

lesions in multiple sclerosis. *Multiple Sclerosis Journal*, *24*(13), 1687–1695.

https://doi.org/10.1177/1352458517730132

Tournier, J.-D., Calamante, F., & Connelly, A. (2007). Robust determination of the fibre

orientation distribution in diffusion MRI: non-negativity constrained super-resolved

spherical deconvolution. *NeuroImage*, *35*(4), 1459–1472.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.02.016

Tournier, J.-D., Smith, R., Raffelt, D., Tabbara, R., Dhollander, T., Pietsch, M., Christiaens, D.,

Jeurissen, B., Yeh, C.-H., & Connelly, A. (2019). MRtrix3: A fast, flexible and open

software framework for medical image processing and visualisation. *NeuroImage*, *202*,

116137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116137

Traynor, C., Heckemann, R. A., Hammers, A., O'Muircheartaigh, J., Crum, W. R., Barker, G. J.,

& Richardson, M. P. (2010). Reproducibility of thalamic segmentation based on

probabilistic tractography. *NeuroImage*, *52*(1), 69–85.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.04.024

Van Essen, D. C., Ugurbil, K., Auerbach, E., Barch, D., Behrens, T. E. J., Bucholz, R., Chang,

A., Chen, L., Corbetta, M., Curtiss, S. W., Della Penna, S., Feinberg, D., Glasser, M. F.,

Harel, N., Heath, A. C., Larson-Prior, L., Marcus, D., Michalareas, G., Moeller, S., …

WU-Minn HCP Consortium. (2012). The Human Connectome Project: A data acquisition

perspective. *NeuroImage*, *62*(4), 2222–2231.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.02.018

Wiesendanger, R., Wiesendanger, M., & Ru¨egg, D. G. (1979). An anatomical investigation of

the corticopontine projection in the primate (Macaca fascicularis and Saimiri sciureus)—

II. The projection from frontal and parietal association areas. *Neuroscience*, *4*(6), 747–

765. https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(79)90004-6

Wilkins, A. (2017). Cerebellar Dysfunction in Multiple Sclerosis. *Frontiers in Neurology*, *8*.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2017.00312