ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Age-related differences in performance and fatigability during an isometric quadriceps intermittent fatigue test

Giorgio Varesco[1], Eric Luneau[1], Léonard Féasson[1,2], Thomas Lapole[1] & Vianney Rozand[1]

[1]Université de Lyon, UJM-Saint-Etienne, Laboratoire Interuniversitaire de Biologie de la Motricité, EA 7424, F-42023, Saint-Etienne, France. [2]Unité de Myologie, Service de Physiologie Clinique et de l'Exercice, Centre Référent Maladies Neuromusculaires Euro-NmD, CHU de Saint-Etienne, France.

Abstract (250 words)

The aim of the present study was to investigate age-related differences in fatigability induced by an isometric

quadriceps intermittent fatiguing test in young (<35 years old), old (>60 years old) and very old (>80 years

old) men and women. Maximal force loss, contractile function and voluntary activation of the knee extensors

were evaluated throughout an isometric fatiguing test using femoral nerve magnetic stimulations. Older

adults performed more contractions (index of relative performance) than young (P = 0.046) and very old

adults (P = 0.007), without differences between young and very old adults. Total work (absolute

performance) was greater for young and old adults compared to very old adults (P < 0.001), without

differences between young and old adults. At exhaustion, force loss was greater for young (-28 ± 9%)

compared to old adults (-19 ± 8%), but not very old adults (-23 ± 8%). The response to femoral nerve

stimulation decreased similarly at exhaustion for the three age groups, indicating similar alteration in

contractile function with age. No impairment in voluntary activation was observed. Impairments in

neuromuscular parameters were similar for men and women. This study showed that older adults were less

fatigable than young adults during an isometric intermittent fatiguing task of the knee extensors. This greater

fatigue resistance was not maintained in very old adults independent of sex. Fatigability at exhaustion was

likely due to impairments in contractile function for the three age groups.

Introduction

Healthy ageing is usually accompanied by impairments in neuromuscular function and fatigability, leading to increased risk of autonomy loss and incidence of frailty [1]. These impairments are not linear with age. For example, the decline of maximal isometric force accelerates in very old adults (i.e. >80 years) compared to old adults (i.e. >60 years) [2,3], with greater impairments in women compared to men [4,5]. In a recent meta-analysis, Krüger et al. [6] reported an overall lower performance fatigability (exercise-induced maximal force loss) in older compared to young adults after isometric fatiguing tasks. However, these results are equivocal, with some studies reporting a large effect size (Hedges's g >2) and others reporting no age-related difference or greater fatigability in older adults [6]. Importantly, studies investigating performance fatigability after isometric tasks in very old adults are limited. Allman and Rice [7] compared performance fatigability after an intermittent isometric fatiguing task of the elbow flexors between young and very old adults, and reported similar values between the two age groups. However, the absence of a group of older adults did not allow the rate of decline in neuromuscular function between old and very old adults to be determined. Justice et al. [8] showed that time to exhaustion during an isometric sustained fatiguing task of the ankle dorsiflexors was longer for older adults (65-75 yr) compared to young adults (<35 yr), with similar performance between young and very old adults (75-90 yr). However, performance fatigability was not investigated in this study, nor the mechanisms explaining these age-related differences, *i.e.* above (voluntary activation) or below (contractile function) the neuromuscular junction.

In old adults, impairments in voluntary activation following single-limb exercises seem to be similar compared to young adults [6]. Conversely, impairments in contractile function with fatigue show inconsistent results, with similar or lower alterations in old compared to young adults after isometric fatiguing tasks [6]. Again, evidence for alterations in voluntary activation and contractile function in very old adults are scarce. Sundberg et al. [9] observed greater impairments in contractile function but not voluntary activation compared to young and old adults. Because variability increases with advancing age, standardized and validated testing procedures as well as assessments of physical activity levels are needed when comparing groups of different ages [10].

Thus, the present study aimed to investigate performance fatigability of the knee extensor (KE) muscles

induced by the isometric quadriceps intermittent fatiguing (QIF) test in young, old and very old men and

women. We expected similar performance fatigability in young and very old adults after the isometric

intermittent fatiguing test, while older adults would show a lower performance fatigability. We further

hypothesized lower impairments in contractile function in old adults than very old and young adults, without

age-related differences in voluntary activation impairments.

Methods

Participants

Thirty young adults [15 men (YM); 15 women (YW)], 19 old adults [10 men (OM); 9 women (OW)] and 30

very old adults [15 men (VOM); 15 women (VOW)] participated in the study and were clearly informed on

the experimental procedures prior giving their written consent. Participants were free from psychological,

musculoskeletal and neurological disorders or disability, and were asked to avoid exercise and alcohol

consumption for 24 h before each visit. All the procedures were approved by the Comité de Protection des

Personnes and were performed in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki (2013; ClinicalTrials.gov

identifier: NCT02675192). The University Hospital of Saint-Etienne (France) was the sponsor of this study.

Experimental protocol

Participants visited the laboratory on two separate occasions. During the first visit, all participants were

familiarized with the neuromuscular testing procedures, and an accelerometer (wGT3X-BT; ActiGraph,

Pensacola, USA) was given to wear for 7 consecutive days to objectively assess physical activity [11]. The

old and very old adults also performed a 6-minutes-walk-test (6MWT), which consisted in covering the

longest distance possible in 6 minutes in a corridor of 30 meters [12]. One week later during the second visit,

KE function was evaluated using the isometric QIF test [13].

Experimental setup

Participants were seated upright on a custom-build chair with the hip angle set at 120° (180°= full extension)

to facilitate coil placement over the femoral triangle (see below). Knee angle was fixed at 90°. The right leg

was systematically evaluated other than for specific reasons (i.e. operated knee, unilateral pain). In these

instances, the left leg was tested (1 OW, 2 VOM, 1 VOW). Extraneous movements of the upper body were

minimized using belts across the waist and the thorax. Force of the KE was assessed using a force transducer

(Omega Engineering Inc., Stamford, CT, USA) firmly attached over the malleoli, and digitized at a sampling

rate of 2 kHz by PowerLab System (16/30-ML880/P, AD Instruments, Bella Vista, Australia).

Femoral nerve magnetic stimulation

Femoral nerve magnetic stimulation (FNMS) was performed with a 45-mm figure-of-eight coil powered by

two linked Magstim 200 stimulators (peak magnetic field: 2.5 T, stimulation duration: 0.1 ms; Magstim,

Whitland, UK). The coil was positioned above the femoral triangle to recruit the femoral nerve and all

stimuli were given at 100% of the maximum stimulator output. Optimal stimulation site evoking the highest

twitch force amplitude was determined with minor adjustments and marked on the skin. FNMS

supramaximality was checked with decreasing stimulator power output (100%, 95%, and 90%). Because

FNMS effectiveness in deliver supramaximal stimuli is altered when fat thickness under the coil increases

[14], clear plateaus in maximal twitch amplitude were not observed for all participants. Thus, only valid

responses to FNMS were retained for analysis (YM: 15; OM: 8; VOM: 10; YW: 15; OW: 9; VOW: 8).

Isometric QIF test

The session started with a warm-up consisting of three ~3-s contractions at 30%, 50% and 70% of the

perceived maximal force. Successively, two 5-s maximal voluntary isometric contractions (MVICs) were

performed, separated by 1-min of rest. A third MVIC was performed if the difference between the peak force

values was >5%. Participants were instructed to push "as hard as possible" and strong verbal encouragement

was provided. Visual feedback of the force signal was displayed on a screen positioned in front of the

participants. Then, a neuromuscular evaluation (NME) was performed. NME consisted of a 5-s MVIC during

which a 100-Hz doublet ($Db_{100,s}$) was superimposed through FNMS, and a resting potentiated 100-Hz

doublet (Db_{100}) delivered ~2 s after relaxation. Once the baseline measures were performed, the QIF test

started after at least 1 min of recovery.

The QIF test consisted of incremental sets of 10 intermittent (5-s on / 5-s off) isometric contractions, starting

at 10% MVIC for the first set, with increments of 10% MVIC per set until exhaustion [13]. Visual feedback

of the target force level was provided, and participants followed a soundtrack with the contraction-relaxation

rhythm. A line of 4-N thickness indicated the target force (2 N below and 2 N above). Exhaustion was

defined as two consecutive contractions where force decreased below the target force level for 2.5 s. NME

was performed immediately (~2 s) at the end of each set and at task failure. The duration between the end of

a set and the beginning of the following one was standardized at 25 s.

Data analysis

Physical activity data were analyzed using ActiLife software (v6.13.4, ActiGraph, Pensacola, USA) and

quantified as steps·day^{-1}. All the remaining data were analyzed using Labchart software (v8,

ADInstruments). MVIC was calculated as the average of the highest 0.5-s window of the contraction.

Performance fatigability was defined as the percentage of MVIC loss from baseline. Total work was

calculated as the sum of the force–time integral of each contraction and indicated absolute performance at

the QIF test. Total number of contractions performed indicated relative performance. Voluntary activation

(VA) was calculated from the formula: VA% = $Db_{100,s}/Db_{100} \times 100$. Impairments in contractile function were

quantified as the change in Db_{100} from baseline.

Statistical Analysis

All variables are reported as mean ± SD in the text, tables and figures. Generalized Linear Models were used

to analyze the age- and sex-related differences in anthropometric (age, weight, height) and neuromuscular

characteristics at baseline (absolute and normalized MVIC, Db_{100}, VA), and performance (total work,

number of contractions). Generalized Estimating Equations analyses using an autoregressive (AR-1)

structure were performed on data expressed relative to baseline to investigate the effects of age and sex

across the QIF test on MVIC, Db_{100} and VA [stage (stages 1, 2, 3, 4 and exhaustion) × age (young: YA *vs.*

OA *vs.* VOA) × sex (men, women)]. Because participants performed different numbers of stages, data were

compared until the last stage performed by all participants (i.e. 4th stage). For all analyses, when significant

effects were observed, Bonferroni correction was applied to post-hoc analyses. Significance was set at P ≤

0.05. Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS software (Version 23.0, IBM, Chicago, IL).

Results

Baseline measurements

Table 1 summarizes the participants' characteristics. Young and old adults walked significantly more

steps·day^{-1} than very old adults (age effect, P < 0.001), with women being more active than men (sex effect,

P = 0.013). Very old adults performed shorter distance at the 6MWT than old adults (age effect, P < 0.001)

with women performing shorter distance than men (sex effect, P < 0.001).

*** Table 1 around here***

Men were stronger than women for all age groups. MVIC decreased with age (sex × age interaction: P <

0.001; Table 2). When normalized to body weight, MVIC showed no sex × age interaction (P = 0.545), but

decreased with age (age effect, P < 0.001), being greater in men than women (sex effect, P = 0.006; Table 2).

Absolute Db_{100} values at baseline showed significant sex × age interaction (P = 0.001). For men, Db_{100}

significantly decreased with age (all P < 0.001; Table 2). For women, significant differences were found

between YW and VOW, and between OW and VOW (all P < 0.001). No difference was observed between

YW and OW (P = 0.407; Table 2). Db_{100} was different between sexes only in the young group (P < 0.001;

Table 2). VA did not show main effects of age or sex (P = 0.278 and P = 0.098, respectively), nor age × sex

interaction (P = 0.567; Table 2).

Table 2 around here

Performance fatigability

Among all the participants, 2 VOM and 4 VOW did not perform the QIF test due to knee pain. Thus,

performance data were analyzed for 13 VOM and 11 VOW. Number of contractions was similar across sexes

(P = 0.733) but differed with age (P = 0.008). Post-hoc analysis indicated that older adults performed more

contractions than young (P = 0.046) and very old adults (P = 0.007), without differences between young and

very old adults (P = 1.000, Figure 1A). No significant age × sex interaction was found for number of

contractions (P = 0.218). Total work showed significant main effects of age (P < 0.001) and sex (P < 0.001),

but no age × sex interaction (P = 0.949). Post-hoc analyses showed greater total work for young and old

adults compared to very old adults, without differences between young and old adults, and greater total work

for men compared to women (Figure 1B).

Figure 1 around here

No main effect of sex nor sex × stage, sex × age or sex × stage × age interactions were found for the changes

in MVIC, Db_{100} and VA during and after the QIF test (all $P > 0.05$). Thus, performance fatigability data for

men and women were pooled together.

A significant stage × age interaction was observed for the decrease in MVIC relative to baseline ($P < 0.001$;

Figure 2A). The decrease in MVIC was greater for very old adults than young adults at stages 1, 2 and 3. At

exhaustion, MVIC loss was greater for young (-28 ± 9%) compared to old adults (-19 ± 8%), but not very old

adults (-23 ± 8%; Figure 2A). Db_{100} showed a significant effect of stage ($P < 0.001$; Figure 2B), indicating

that the response to FNMS decreased throughout the test without age-related differences. Significant age ×

stage interaction was found for VA ($P = 0.027$; Figure 2C), with a lower voluntary activation at stage 1 for

very old adults compared to baseline and young adults.

*** *Figure 2 around here* ***

Discussion

The present study investigated the age-related differences in performance and performance fatigability using

a standardized isometric fatiguing test in men and women. Greater relative performance (number of

contractions) was observed for old adults compared to young and very old adults, independent of sex.

Similar total work was observed for young and old adults, with very old adults showing the lowest total work

values. After the QIF test, force loss was greater for young compared to old adults without differences with

very old adults, independent of sex. Impairments in contractile function were similar across ages, with no

changes in voluntary activation.

Neuromuscular characteristics at baseline

Maximal force production capacity (absolute and relative to body weight) decreased with age for both sexes.

This could be explained by a greater fat mass accumulation with advancing age, lowering the force-body

weight ratio, or by a decrease in muscle quality (strength per unit of muscle volume). Other than age, a

decreased muscle quality could be induced by the lower physical activity of very old adults compared to the

other age groups. Indeed, physical activity has a positive effect on the maintenance of muscle quality with

ageing [15], and appears particularly important in maintaining muscle function and autonomy in very old

adults [5]. Furthermore, the force evoked at rest by FNMS decreased with age, in agreement with previous

studies evaluating the KE muscles [16,17,18,19], evidencing a loss of muscle contractile tissue with age. VA

at baseline was similar between age groups. With age, a moderate alteration in VA was previously observed,

but results are heterogeneous between studies [20]. The absence of age-related differences in VA in the

present study might be due to the relatively high physical activity level of the participants [21], which has

been shown to preserve the capacity to activate the working muscles [11,20]. It is possible, however, that the

effectiveness of FNMS was limited by the fat thickness of older and very old adults [14], limiting the

interpretation of those results despite our precautions.

Performance and performance fatigability

Old adults performed more contractions compared to the other two groups. However, because young adults

were stronger than old adults, total work performed (absolute performance) was similar between those two

groups. MVIC loss at exhaustion was greater for young than for old adults, but not very old adults. These

results indicate greater fatigue resistance for the old adults (similar absolute performance with reduced force

loss), but not very old adults (similar force loss, but lower absolute performance) compared to young adults.

In older adults, the preferential loss in fast-twitch motor units is associated with an increase in slow-twitch

motor units proportion and size with age, resulting in a relatively higher reliance on the oxidative metabolism

[6,22,23,24]. This would lead to a greater fatigue resistance for old than young adults during isometric tasks

at relative workloads [6,19,22].

A novel finding of the present study is the loss of greater fatigue resistance in very old adults compared to

young adults during isometric tasks of the KE. This agrees with previous evidences on the elbow flexors

during an isometric intermittent time to exhaustion task at 60% MVIC [7]. These authors did not observed

any differences in time to exhaustion or performance fatigability etiology between young and very old

adults, despite the greater absolute workload in young adults [7]. In very old adults, muscle atrophy and loss

of both slow- and fast-twitch motor units would decrease neuromuscular function compared to old adults

[1,25]. We reported greater force loss at low workloads (*i.e.* 10%, 20% and 30% of MVIC) for very old

compared to young adults. At exhaustion, MVIC percentage loss of very old adults was between that of

young and old adults, without significant difference from the latter two groups, as already reported for

concentric tasks [9].

No sex-related differences in performance fatigability were observed for the three age groups. Similar results

were reported during intermittent isometric task of the plantar dorsiflexors[26] and concentric task of the KE

[9]. Conversely, women showed lower performance fatigability compared to men after a sustained 120-s KE

MVIC independent of age [19], probably because they performed lower total work [19,27]. Taken together

with the literature, the present results indicate that sex-related differences in performance fatigability are

task-specific in young and older adults.

The significant drop in Db_{100} for the three age groups indicated impairments in contractile function

throughout the QIF test, without age- or sex-related differences. Different results have been observed in

studies comparing young adults with old [6] and very old [7,9] adults, probably because of the different

fatiguing protocols adopted [28]. In isometric mode, blood flow occlusion induced by sustained contractions

impedes oxygenated blood to reach the capillaries [29]. This facilitates impairments in contractile function

[30], attributed to an alteration in excitation-contraction coupling linked with high ATP turnover, free

phosphate and H^+ accumulation [31]. Metabolites accumulation in the working fibers and the recruitment of

fast-twitch motor units, composed by type II fibers (less oxygen dependent [29]), could impair performance

particularly in very old adults [32]. The greater amount of metabolite accumulation and the consequent

activation of the group III/IV afferents has also been linked to reduced motor drive to the muscle [33,34]. In

the present study, however, the intermittent fatiguing task might have prevented an observable alteration in

VA, which has been shown fast recovery kinetics [35]. Nevertheless, differences in fatigability in absence of

impairments in VA have already been observed in studies investigating sex- [36] and age-related differences

[9].

Conclusions

The present study showed that the greater fatigue resistance of old adults during an isometric intermittent

fatiguing task of the KE was not maintained at very old age compared to young adults. Performance

fatigability at exhaustion was likely due to impairments in contractile function for the three age groups.

Finally, performance fatigability and its etiology were similar between men and women independent of age.

References

1.   Hunter SK. Performance fatigability: Mechanisms and task specificity. Cold Spring Harb Perspect

253   Med 2018; 8: 1–22.

254   **2**.   Mitchell WK, Williams J, Atherton P, Larvin M, Lund J, Narici M. Sarcopenia, dynapenia, and the

255   impact of advancing age on human skeletal muscle size and strength; a quantitative review. Front

256   Physiol 2012; 3 JUL: 1–18.

257   **3**.   Venturelli M, Reggiani C, Richardson RS, Schena F. Skeletal Muscle Function in the Oldest-Old:

258   The Role of Intrinsic and Extrinsic Factors. Exerc Sport Sci Rev 2018; 46: 188–194.

259   **4**.   Haynes EMK, Neubauer NA, Cornett KMD, O'Connor BP, Jones GR, Jakobi JM. Age and sex-

260   related decline of muscle strength across the adult lifespan: a scoping review of aggregated data. Appl

261   Physiol Nutr Metab 2020; 1–12.

262   **5**.   Varesco G, Coudy-Gandilhon C, Lapole T *et al.* Association between physical activity, quadriceps

263   muscle performance and biological characteristics of very old men and women. Journals Gerontol Ser

264   A 2021; in press.

265   **6**.   Krüger R, Aboodarda S, Samozino P, Rice C, Millet G. Isometric versus Dynamic Measurements of

266   Fatigue: Does Age Matter? A Meta-analysis. Med Sci Sport Exerc 2018; 50: 2132–2144.

267   **7**.   Allman BL, Rice CL. Incomplete recovery of voluntary isometric force after fatigue is not affected by

268   old age. Muscle and Nerve 2001; 24: 1156–1167.

269   **8**.   Justice JN, Mani D, Pierpoint LA, Enoka RM. Fatigability of the dorsiflexors and associations among

270   multiple domains of motor function in young and old adults. Exp Gerontol 2014; 55: 92–101.

271   **9**.   Sundberg CW, Kuplic A, Hassanlouei H, Hunter SK. Mechanisms for the age-related increase in

272   fatigability of the knee extensors in old and very old adults. J Appl Physiol 2018; 125: 146–158.

273   **10**.   Varesco G, Hunter SK, Rozand V. Physical activity and aging research: opportunities abound. Appl

274   Physiol Nutr Metab 2021; 3: 1–3.

275   **11**.   Varesco G, Hunter SK, Rozand V. Physical activity and aging research: opportunities abound. Appl

276 Physiol Nutr Metab 2021; In press.

12. Crapo RO, Casaburi R, Coates AL *et al.* ATS Statement. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2002; 166: 111–117.

13. Bachasson D, Millet GY, Decorte N, Wuyam B, Levy P, Verges S. Quadriceps function assessment using an incremental test and magnetic neurostimulation: A reliability study. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 2013; 23: 649–658.

14. Tomazin K, Verges S, Decorte N, Oulerich A, Maffiuletti NA, Millet GY. Fat tissue alters quadriceps response to femoral nerve magnetic stimulation. Clin Neurophysiol 2011; 122: 842–847.

15. Barbat-Artigas S, Dupontgand S, Pion CH, Feiter-Murphy Y, Auertbin-Leheudre M. Identifying recreational physical activities associated with muscle quality in men and women aged 50 years and over. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2014; 5: 221–228.

16. Dalton BH, Power GA, Paturel JR, Rice CL. Older men are more fatigable than young when matched for maximal power and knee extension angular velocity is unconstrained. Age (Omaha) 2015; 37: 1–16.

17. Mcphee JS, Maden-Wilkinson TM, Narici M V., Jones DA, Degens H. Knee extensor fatigue resistance of young and older men and women performing sustained and brief intermittent isometric contractions. Muscle and Nerve 2014; 50: 393–400.

18. Senefeld J, Yoon T, Bement MH, Hunter SK. Fatigue and recovery from dynamic contractions in men and women differ for arm and leg muscles. Muscle Nerve 2013; 48: 436–439.

19. Solianik R, Kreivėnaitė L, Streckis V, Mickevičienė D, Skurvydas A. Effects of age and sex on fatigability and recovery from a sustained maximal isometric voluntary contraction. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 2017; 32: 61–69.

20. Rozand V, Sundberg CW, Hunter SK, Smith AE. Age-related Deficits in Voluntary Activation: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2020; 52: 549–560.

21. Tudor-locke CE, Craig CL, Brown WJ *et al.* How Many Steps / day are Enough? For Older Adults and Special Population. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2011 2011; 1–17.

22. Hunter SK, Critchlow A, Enoka RM. Muscle endurance is greater for old men compared with strength-matched young men. J Appl Physiol 2005; 99: 890–897.

23. McNeil CJ, Rice CL. Neuromuscular adaptations to healthy aging. Appl Physiol Nutr Metab 2018;
43: 1158–1165.

24. Lanza IR, Befroy DE, Kent-Braun JA. Age-related changes in ATP-producing pathways in human
skeletal muscle in vivo. J Appl Physiol 2005; 99: 1736–1744.

25. Purves-Smith FM, Sgarioto N, Hepple RT. Fiber typing in aging muscle. Exerc Sport Sci Rev 2014;
42: 45–52.

26. Kent-Braun JA, Ng A V., Doyle JW, Towse TF. Human skeletal muscle responses vary with age and
gender during fatigue due to incremental isometric exercise. J Appl Physiol 2002; 93: 1813–1823.

27. Hureau TJ, Hucteau E, Massamba A, Mallard J, Ducrocq GP. Identifying sex differences in
neuromuscular fatigue: the challenge of normalizing exercise intensity and interpreting the results
between populations. J Physiol 2021; 599: 2801–2802.

28. Thomas K, Goodall S, Howatson G. Performance Fatigability Is Not Regulated to A Peripheral
Critical Threshold. Exerc Sport Sci Rev 2018; 46: 240–246.

29. Moritani T, Sherman WM, Shibata M, Matsumoto T, Shinohara M. Oxygen availability and motor
unit activity in humans. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol 1992; 64: 552–556.

30. Copithorne DB, Rice CL. Neuromuscular function and blood flow occlusion with dynamic arm flexor
contractions. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2020; 52: 205–213.

31. Sundberg CW, Fitts RH. ScienceDirect Bioenergetic basis of skeletal muscle fatigue. Curr Opin
Psychol 2019; 10: 118–127.

32. Sundberg CW, Prost RW, Fitts RH, Hunter SK. Bioenergetic basis for the increased fatigability with
ageing. J Physiol 2019; 0: 1–15.

33. Bigland☐Ritchie B, Woods JJ. Changes in muscle contractile properties and neural control during
human muscular fatigue. Muscle Nerve 1984; 7: 691–699.

34. Taylor JL, Amann M, Duchateau J, Meeusen R, Rice CL. Neural contributions to muscle fatigue:
From the brain to the muscle and back again. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2016; 48: 2294–2306.

35. Mira J, Lapole T, Souron R, Messonnier L, Millet GY, Rupp T. Cortical voluntary activation testing
methodology impacts central fatigue. Eur J Appl Physiol 2017; 117: 1845–1857.

36. Hunter SK, Butler JE, Todd G, Gandevia SC, Taylor JL. Supraspinal fatigue does not explain the sex

difference in muscle fatigue of maximal contractions. J Appl Physiol 2006; 101: 1036–1044.

Figure captions

Figure 1. Total number of contractions (panel A) and total work performed at the quadriceps intermittent

fatigue test (panel B) for young, old and very old men and women. Total work was greater for men than

women in all age groups (all P<0.05). Squared parentheses indicate significant main effect of age. YM:

young men; YW: young women; OM: old men; OW: old women; VOM: very old men; VOW: very old

women. ***= significantly different from the young group (P<0.001). \$\$\$= significantly different from the

old group (P<0.001).

Figure 2. Maximal isometric force (MVIC, Panel A), doublet amplitude (Db$_{100}$, Panel B) and voluntary

activation (VA, Panel C) during the QIF test for young, old and very old adults. Because no effect of sex was

observed, men and women were pooled together. Squared parenthesis in panel B indicates significant effect

of stage. *= significantly different from pre (P < 0.05). $^{\#}$= significantly different from young adults (P <

0.05).

Figures

Figure 1.

Figure 2.

Tables

Table 1. Characteristics of the participants

	YM	YW	OM	OW	VOM	VOW
Age (years)	24±3	23±3	68±4***	67±5***	82±1***,$$$	82±1***,$$$
Weight (kg)	76.7±12.2	58.5±10.0###	79.5±10.5	52.4±3.7###	72.6±7.5	64.5±8.1###,$$
Height (cm)	179.7±6.7	163.6±6.1###	176.9±5.7	161.9±4.9###	168.6±5.4***,$$	160.8±6.5##
Steps.day^{-1}	11,245±2,364	12,083±2,750	11,890±4,746	15,458±2,851	8,957±2,168	9,687±2,120
6MWT (m)			683.4±59.6	600.6±68.7###	430.7±52.3$$$	389.7±57.1###,$$$

358 *YM: young men; YW: young women; OM: old men; OW: old women; VOM: very old men; VOW: very old*

359 *women; 6MWT: 6-minutes Walk Test. #= significantly different from men of the same age group. ##=P<0.01;*

360 *###=P<0.001. *= significantly different from the young group of the same sex. ***=P<0.001. $=*

361 *significantly different from the old group of the same sex. $$= P<0.01; $$$=P<0.001.*

363 *Table 2. Neuromuscular characteristics of participants at baseline.*

	YM	YW	OM	OW	VOM	VOW
MVIC (N)	690±94	468±91###	532±141***	343±43###,**	356±79***,$$$	246±40##,***,$
MVIC/BW (N.kg^{-1})	9.1±1.2	8.1±1.5	6.9±2.2	6.6±0.9	5.0±1.2	3.9±0.6
Db_{100} (N)	233±45	168±42###	146±93***	140±25	43±25***,$$$	39±45***,$$$
VA (%)	93±6	96±3	90±11	95±5	90±15	89±10

364 *YM: young men; YW: young women; OM: old men; OW: old women; VOM: very old men; VOW: very old*

365 *women; MVIC: maximal voluntary isometric contraction force; BW: body weight; Db_{100}: amplitude of the*

366 *doublet evoked at rest. VA: voluntary activation. #= significantly different from men of the same age group.*

367 *##=P<0.01; ###=P<0.001. *= significantly different from the young group of the same sex. **= P<0.01;*

368 ****=P<0.001. $= significantly different from the old group of the same sex. $= P<0.05; $$$=P<0.001.*

369