BRCA2 BRC missense variants disrupt RAD51-dependent DNA repair

Judit Jimenez-Sainz[1], Joshua Mathew[1], Gemma Moore[1], Sudipta Lahiri[1], Jennifer Garbarino[1],

Joseph P. Eder[3], Eli Rothenberg[2], and Ryan B. Jensen[1,4*]

[1]Department of Therapeutic Radiology, Yale University School of Medicine

15 York Street, New Haven, CT 06510, USA

[2]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, New York University School of

Medicine, 450 East 29th Street, New York, NY 10016-8367, USA

[3]Department of Medical Oncology, Yale University School of Medicine, Yale Cancer Center, 333

Cedar Street, New Haven, CT 06520-8028, USA

[4]Lead Contact

*Corresponding author: Ryan B. Jensen

Department of Therapeutic Radiology, Yale University School of Medicine

15 York Street, P.O. Box 208040, New Haven, CT 06510-8040, USA

Tel: (+1)(203)737-6456. Fax: (+1)(203)785-7482.

Email: ryan.jensen@yale.edu

ABSTRACT

Pathogenic mutations in the BRCA2 tumor suppressor gene predispose to breast, ovarian, pancreatic, prostate, and other cancers. BRCA2 maintains genome stability through homology-directed repair (HDR) of DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) and replication fork protection. Nonsense or frameshift mutations leading to truncation of the BRCA2 protein are typically considered pathogenic, however, missense mutations resulting in single amino acid substitutions can be challenging to functionally interpret. The majority of missense mutations in BRCA2 have been classified as Variants of Uncertain Significance (VUS) with unknown functional consequences. In this study, we identified three BRCA2 VUS located within the BRC repeat region to determine their impact on canonical HDR and fork protection functions. We provide evidence that S1221P and T1980I, which map to conserved residues in the BRC2 and BRC7 repeats, compromise the cellular response to chemotherapeutics and ionizing radiation, and display deficits in fork protection. We further demonstrate biochemically that S1221P and T1980I disrupt RAD51 binding and diminish the ability of BRCA2 to stabilize RAD51-ssDNA complexes. The third variant, T1346I, located within the spacer region between BRC2 and BRC3 repeats, is fully functional. We conclude that T1346I is a benign allele whereas S1221P and T1980I are hypomorphic disrupting the ability of BRCA2 to fully engage and stabilize RAD51 nucleoprotein filaments. Our results underscore the importance of correctly classifying BRCA2 VUS as pathogenic variants can impact both future cancer risk and guide therapy selection during cancer treatment.

Keywords: DNA repair, BRCA2, RAD51, homology-directed repair, variants, hereditary cancer

Introduction

BRCA2 (BReast CAncer type 2 susceptibility gene) is a mediator protein promoting homology-directed repair (HDR) of DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) via RAD51-dependent DNA strand invasion, pairing, and exchange (Jensen et al., 2010; Scully and Livingston, 2000; Venkitaraman, 2002). BRCA2 binds and loads RAD51 onto single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) resulting from strand resection. Mechanistically, BRCA2 directs RAD51 onto ssDNA while limiting binding to double-stranded DNA (dsDNA), downregulates the ATPase activity of RAD51, and displaces Replication Protein A (RPA) from ssDNA (Jensen et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2010; Thorslund et al., 2007). Loss of BRCA2 in human cells leads to HDR defects, genomic instability, micronuclei formation, diminished fork protection following replication stress, impaired RAD51 foci formation in response to DNA damage, and sensitivity to chemotherapeutics such as platinum agents and PARP inhibitors (PARPi) (Abul-Husn et al., 2019; Chen et al., 1999; Chen et al., 1998; Moynahan et al., 2001; Patel et al., 1998).

To date, four recognized domains in BRCA2 have emerged from both sequence based and structural studies (**Figure 1A**): an N-terminal region, eight BRC repeats located in the middle of the protein, an alpha helices region and three tandem oligonucleotide/oligosaccharide-binding folds (OB-folds) termed the DNA binding domain (DBD), and the C-terminal domain (CTD) (Bignell et al., 1997; McAllister et al., 1997; Yang et al., 2002). The BRC repeats interact with RAD51 and distinct functions have been ascribed to each of two modules: BRC1-4 and BRC5-8 (Carreira and Kowalczykowski, 2011; Chatterjee et al., 2016). The BRC1-4 module binds free monomeric RAD51 while BRC5-8 binds RAD51 only when assembled onto ssDNA (Carreira and Kowalczykowski, 2011; Chatterjee et al., 2016). The DBD of BRCA2 has been shown to bind DSS1, ssDNA, and presumably dsDNA as well (Yang et al., 2002). The CTD (also known as TR2) binds RAD51 only when complexed with ssDNA as a nucleoprotein filament (similar to BRC5-8) and RAD51 filament binding is regulated by phosphorylation at the S3291 residue (Carreira et al., 2009; Carreira and Kowalczykowski, 2011; Chatterjee et al., 2016; Chen et al.,

1998; Esashi et al., 2007; Mizuta et al., 1997; Pellegrini et al., 2002; Sharan et al., 1997; Wong et al., 1997).

The etiology of cancer-causing mutations in BRCA2 is complex with both germline and somatic mutations spanning the entire length of the protein. Nonsense mutations in BRCA2 leading to protein truncation generally predict pathogenicity, as deletion of the DBD would impair key HDR functions, and perhaps more importantly, deletion of nuclear localization signals (NLSs) at the C-terminus of BRCA2 result in mislocalization to the cytoplasm (Spain et al., 1999). However, an estimated 80% of germline and somatic mutations identified in patients are missense, single amino acid substitutions in the full-length protein (data extracted from ClinVar and cBioPortal, accessed April 2021) (Jimenez-Sainz and Jensen, 2021). Missense mutations often yield unknown impact on function and/or disease linkage and are referred to as Variants of Uncertain Significance (VUS). VUS are frequently found to be unique to individual families, and thus, in the absence of any functional insight, make clinical management of patients extremely challenging. In a recent search of ClinVar (accessed April 2021), more than 60% of the total identified BRCA2 mutations were VUS (Jimenez-Sainz and Jensen, 2021). Notably, the majority of the 1,388 missense mutations reported within the BRC repeats of BRCA2 are classified as VUS.

The significant role of the BRC repeats in binding, loading, and stabilizing RAD51 on ssDNA prompted us to study the functionality of variants in this domain. Moreover, the biochemical impact of missense mutations in a single BRC repeat, or between repeats, within the context of the full-length BRCA2 protein was unknown. We focused on two variants, S1221P and T1980I, situated within a conserved RAD51 binding core motif in BRC2 and BRC7 respectively. A third variant, T1346I, located in the spacer region between BRC2 and BRC3 was identified as a somatic tumor mutation in a patient undergoing treatment at the Yale Cancer Center (Lo et al., 2003; Olopade et al., 2003; Tal et al., 2009). The variants were previously deposited in ClinVar lacking clinical interpretation and classified as VUS

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/variation/51501/,https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/variation/455896/, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/variation/993173/). Our findings reveal S1221P and T1980I substantially alter BRCA2 HDR protein activities that fail to be compensated for by the remaining seven BRC repeats. Altered functions include impaired cellular response to chemotherapeutics and reduced RAD51 foci following ionizing radiation induced DNA damage. We provide unique biochemical evidence that BRC2 S1221P and BRC7 T1980I fail to bind RAD51, disrupt the stabilization of RAD51-ssDNA complexes, and as a result, stimulation of RAD51-mediated DNA strand exchange activity is diminished. In contrast, the T1346I variant resembled the wild type BRCA2 in all respects and was presumably a benign passenger mutation.

Materials and Methods

Sequence alignment and molecular modeling of BRC repeats

BRCA2 amino acids sequences from 9 different organisms were obtained from Uniprot Knowledgebase database http:/www.uniprot.org/ (Consortium, 2011). Alignments were done with ClustalIX (Larkin et al., 2007) http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/ClustalW.html, and Bioedit http/www.mbio.ncsu.edu/BioEdit/biioedit/html (Hall, 1999). SnapGene software was used for BRC2, BRC4 and BRC7 sequence alignment (>60% threshold for shading). The UniprotKB codes of the sequences from different organisms were: **P51587** *Homo sapiens (Human)*; Q**9W157** *Drosophila melanogaster (Fruit fly);* **P97929** *Mus musculus (Mouse);* **Q864S8** *Felis catus (Cat);* **O35923** *Rattus norvegicus (Rat);* **A5A3F7** *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus (Purple sea urchin);* **A4ZZ89** *Monodelphis domestica (Gray short-tailed opossum);* **Q8MKI9** *Canis lupus familiaris (Dog) (Canis familiaris);* **A4ZZ90** *Xenopus tropicalis (Western clawed frog) (Silurana tropicalis).*

Swiss-Model Expasy server was used to model BRC2 and BRC7 against BRC4 in the PDB structure 1N0W (Pellegrini *et al.*, 2002; Waterhouse et al., 2018). The modeled BRC2 and BRC7 structures had QMEAN Z-scores greater than -4 (0.06 and -1.42 respectively) and were

considered good quality models.

The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.3 Schrödinger, LLC. was used to visualize the BRC repeat aligned with the 1N0W structure to look at predicted interactions with RAD51. BRC residues were mutated using the mutagenesis tool and rotamers with a frequency score greater than 10% were analyzed and the least number of clashes were visualized.

Constructs

Point mutations S1221P (3663bp), T1346I (4038bp) and T1980I (5940bp) were cloned into the pBluescript BRCA2 (1-5286 bp) and pUC57 BRCA2 (2141-9117 bp) sequences, respectively, via site-directed mutagenesis. The BRCA2 segments were then subcloned into the phCMV1 mammalian expression vector using NotI and EcoRV restriction enzymes for the S1221P and T1346I insert and SbfI and AgeI for the T1980I insert. We verified the putative recombinant clones through restriction digestion and sequencing analysis. The previously described 2XMBP tag (Jensen *et al.*, 2010) was placed in-frame at the N-terminus of all proteins separated by an Asparagine linker and the PreScission Protease cleavage sequence.

Cell culture

All culture media was supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). HEK293T cells were cultured in DMEM; DLD1 cells were cultured in RPMI. Transient transfections were carried out with Turbofect (Thermo Scientific) (2 µg of DNA, 6-well plate) in HEK293T cells and with JetOptimus (Polyplus Transfection) in DLD1 cells following the manufacture's protocol. Calcium phosphate transfection (25 µg of DNA per 15 cm^2 plate, see BRCA2 purification section) was used for large scale purifications in HEK293T cells (Jensen *et al.*, 2010). All cell lines were tested regularly for mycoplasma (Mycoalert, Lonza) and authenticated through ATCC STR profiling.

Generation of stable cell lines

Human colorectal adenocarcinoma DLD-1 BRCA2$^{-/-}$ cells (Horizon Discovery, originally generated by (Hucl et al., 2008)) were stably transfected with 2 µg of DNA using Lipofectamine3000 (Invitrogen). After 48 hours, the cells were trypsinized and diluted 1:2, 1:4, and 1:8 into 100 mm

plates containing 1 mg/mL G418. Single cell colonies were picked into 96-well plates and subsequently cultured into 24-well plates, 12-well plates, and 6-well plates. Positive clones were isolated, and protein expression was detected by western blot and immunofluorescence analyses.

Western blots and amylose pulldowns

Human embryonic kidney HEK293T cells 70% confluent in 6 well plates were transiently transfected with 0.5 µg or 1 µg of the phCMV1 mammalian expression vector containing a 2XMBP fusion to the full-length or partial cDNA of BRCA2 using TurboFect reagent (Thermo Scientific) (Jensen *et al.*, 2010). 0.5 µg of 2XMBP tag alone or untransfected cells were used as negative controls. The cells were lysed 48 hours after transfection in 100 µL of lysis buffer: 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 250 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 1% Igepal CA-630, 3 mM $MgCl_2$, 10 mM DTT and protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). Cell extracts were batch bound to amylose resin (NEB) for 2 hours to capture the 2XMBP tagged BRCA2 proteins. Total cellular lysate aliquots were taken before batch binding for protein expression analysis. Total cellular lysates and amylose pulldown samples were run on a 4-15% gradient SDS-PAGE TGX stain-free gel (Bio-Rad 456-8086) and transferred to an Immobilon-P membrane (Merck Millipore IPVH00010) in 1X Tris/glycine buffer (diluted from 10X Tris/glycine buffer, Bio-Rad 161-0771). The membrane was blocked in 5% milk in 1X TBS-T (diluted from 10X TBS-T: 0.1 M Tris base, 1.5 M NaCl, 0.5% Tween-20). Washes and antibody incubations were done with 1X TBS-T. Primary mouse antibodies against MBP (NEB E8032L, 1:5000) and RAD51 (Novus Biologicals 14b4, 1:1000) and primary rabbit antibody against BRCA2 (Abcam, ab27976) were used for western blotting. Membranes were incubated with secondary mouse and rabbit antibodies (HRP-conjugated, Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-516102 and sc-2004, respectively). The western blots were visualized using Clarity Western ECL substrate (Bio-Rad 170-5061) for three minutes and scanning with a ChemiDocMP imaging system (Bio-Rad). For amylose pull-down assays in DLD1 stable cells, three 15 cm^2 plates were used.

BRC2, BRC7, BRC2-S1221P and BRC7-T1980I synthetic peptides (see table below for amino acid sequences) were ordered from Pierce, resuspended in BRCA2 storage buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 8.2, 450 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 10% Glycerol, 1 mM DTT). *In vitro* amylose pull-down assays were performed in binding buffer 'B': 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 250 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT. Purified 2XMBP fusion proteins (2 µg) were incubated with 1 µg purified RAD51 for 30 minutes at 37 °C and then batch bound to 30 µL of amylose resin for one hour at 4 °C. The complexes were washed with buffer B containing 0.5% Igepal CA-630/0.1% Triton X-100, eluted with 30 µL of 10 mM maltose in buffer B, Laemmli sample buffer was added, samples were heated at 54 °C for 4 minutes, and loaded onto a 4-15% gradient SDS-polyacrylamide gel (Bio-Rad TGX Stain-Free gel). The gel was run for 1 hour at 130 Volts. The proteins were visualized using Stain-Free imaging on a ChemiDocMP system (Bio-Rad). RAD51 protein was visualized by staining with SyproOrange (Invitrogen). The Stain-Free protein bands were quantified using Image Lab software (version 6.1 Bio-Rad).

For competition assays, synthetic BRC peptides were pre-incubated with RAD51 for 30 minutes. In parallel, 2XMBP fusion proteins expressed in HEK293T cells were pulled down with amylose resin for 30 minutes as described above. The 2XMBP fusion proteins bound to amylose resin were washed with buffer B containing 1 M NaCl to disrupt non-specific or weak protein interactions.

Table 1: Amino acid sequences of synthesized peptides

PEPTIDES	AMINO ACID SEQUENCES
BRC2	NEVGFRGFYSAHGTKLNVSTEALQKAVKLFSDIEN
BRC2-S1221P	NEVGFRGFY**P**AHGTKLNVSTEALQKAVKLFSDIEN
BRC7	SANTCGIFSTASGKSVQVSDASLQNARQVFSEIED
BRC7-T1980I	SANTCGIFS**I**ASGKSVQVSDASLQNARQVFSEIED

Immunofluorescence imaging

Stable cell clones generated from DLD-1 BRCA2$^{-/-}$ cells were grown on coverslips at 10^5 cells/well in a 24-well plate for 24 hours. Cells were washed twice with 1X PBS, fixed in 1%

paraformaldehyde-2% sucrose in 1X PBS for 15 minutes at room temperature, washed twice with 1X PBS, permeabilized with methanol for 30 minutes at -20 °C, then washed two more times with 1X PBS, and finally incubated with 0.5% triton in PBS for 10 minutes. Samples were blocked with 5% BSA in 1X PBS for 30 minutes at room temperature followed by subsequent incubation with primary antibodies against MBP (NEB E8032L, 1:200) and RAD51 (Proteintech 14961-1-AP, 1:100 or Abcam ab63801) in 5% BSA-0.05% TritonX-100 at 4 °C overnight. The next day, cells were washed three times with 1X PBS and incubated with goat anti-rabbit and anti-mouse secondary antibodies conjugated to the fluorophores Alexa-488 and Alexa-546 (Thermo Fisher Scientific A11034 and A11003, respectively; 1:1000). Coverslips were washed three times with 1X PBS, incubated with 30 nM DAPI for 5 minutes and mounted on slides with FluorSave reagent (Calbiochem 345789). Immunofluorescence images were taken using a Keyence BZ-X800E Fluorescent Microscope with a 40X or 60X objective lens. Cells were either untreated (control) or irradiated at 12 Gy using an X-Rad 320 Biological Irradiator and cells were collected at 6 and 30 hours post irradiation for immunofluorescence imaging.

Clonogenic survival assay

Stable cell clones generated from DLD-1 BRCA2$^{-/-}$ cells were serially diluted and seeded into 6-well plates at concentrations of 100 and 500 cells per well in triplicate for plating efficiency. Simultaneously, cells were seeded for treatment in 6-well plates at 1,000 and 10,000 cells per well in triplicate. 24 hours after seeding, cells were treated with the indicated concentrations of Mitomycin C (1.5 mM stock in water), Cisplatin (100 mM stock in DMSO) for 1 hr in serum-free media and Olaparib (50 mM stock in DMSO) or BMN (10 nM stock in DMSO) for durations of 24 hours. Following treatment, media was aspirated, and cells were washed with 1X PBS and re-fed with fresh media containing FBS. Cells were cultured for 14 days to allow colony formation, after which they were stained with crystal violet solution (0.25% crystal violet, 3.5% formaldehyde, 72% methanol). Colonies containing 50 or more cells were scored and surviving fractions were determined.

Clover Lamin A HDR assay

Cells grown on coverslips in six-well plates ($5x10^5$ cells/well) were transfected with sgRNA plasmid targeting Lamin A (pX330-LMNA-gRNA1, addgene 122507) and donor plasmid (pCR2.1 Clover-LMNA Donor, addgene 122507) with 2.4 μg and 0.6 μg of DNA, respectively, using JetOptimus (Polyplus). Single vectors were transfected as negative controls. Cells were imaged alive 96 hours post-transfection using a Keyence BZ-X800E Fluorescent Microscope or were fixed with 1% PFA/2% Sucrose for 10 minutes and permeabilized with PBS-0.5% Triton buffer for 5 minutes. Gene targeting efficiency was determined by counting with Cell Profiler (Positive nuclei pipeline, threshold 0.25 for clover channel intensity) the percentage of Clover-positive cells using DAPI (Thermo Fisher 40X objective, fixed cells) or NucRed™ Live 647 ReadyProbes™ Reagent (Thermo Fisher 20X objective, live cells). Four independent experiments were performed and at least 500 cells were counted in each experiment. Data was plotted and represented in Graph Pad version 9.

DNA Fiber Combing

Stable cell clones generated from DLD-1 BRCA2$^{-/-}$ cells were grown in 6 cm plates overnight and were 30% confluency at the time of treatment. Cells were treated with 100 μM CldU in cell culture media, for 30 minutes, washed 3 times with PBS and treated with 100 μM IdU for 30 minutes, followed by three PBS washes. Cells were then treated with 4 mM hydroxyurea (HU) in water, for 5 hours. After the 5-hour treatment, $1.5x10^5$ cells were harvested, washed in PBS and resuspended in trypsin. 1.2% low melting agarose was added to each sample and an agarose plug was allowed to solidify using a mold at 4°C for 1 hour. Plugs were then incubated overnight in ESP buffer (10% (w/v) Sarcosyl in 0.5 M pH=8 EDTA with 20 mg/mL proteinase K) at 50°C. The next day plugs received 3 one hour washes followed by one 3.5 hour wash in TE10.1 buffer (10 mM Tris-HCL, 1 mM pH=8 EDTA). Plugs were immersed in 0.5 M MES pH=7.4 solution and incubated for 20 minutes at 68°C, followed by 10 minutes at 42°C. β-agarase was added to each sample and incubated overnight at 42°C. Each DNA solution was added to a disposable reservoir

containing 0.5 M MES pH=7.4. A pre-coated, silanized glass coverslip was submerged into each fibers solution and removed at a slow constant rate. These fibers were stretched and straightened while binding the coverslip through a combing procedure using the FiberComb Molecular Combing System (Genomic Vision). Combed coverslips were then incubated at 65°C for 2 hours. Fiber coated coverslips were then submerged in a denaturing solution (0.5 M NaOH, 1 M NaCl) for 8 minutes, washed three times in PBS and dehydrated in 70% and then 100% ethanol. Coverslips were blocked with 5% BSA in PBS for 30 minutes at 37°C. Each coverslip was incubated with primary antibodies diluted in 5% BSA for 1 hour. Primary antibodies used were mouse anti-BrdU (BD Biosciences 347580, 1:10) and rat anti-BrdU (Abcam ab6326, 1:40). Secondary antibodies used were goat anti-rat Cy5 (Abcam ab6565, 1:100) and sheep anti-mouse Cy3 (Sigma C2181, 1:100) and were incubated for 30 minutes. All antibody incubations were at 37°C in a wet chamber. Coverslips were protected from the light and mounted using Prolong Diamond Antifade (P36970). Fluorescence images were taken using a Keyence BZ-X800E Fluorescent Microscope with a 60X oil objective lens. Using ImageJ software, the length of each DNA fiber tract was measured and a ratio of IdU/CldU calculated, with a minimum of 100 fibers (Idu and CldU tract) measured for each sample.

Protein purification

2XMBP- BRC2, BRC7, S1221P-BRC2, T1980I-BRC7 or BRCA2 wild-type, S1221P, T1346I and T1980I (see table below for amino acid sequences) were purified as described for the purification full-length BRCA2 protein (Jensen *et al.*, 2010; Lahiri and Jensen, 2021). The phCMV1 constructs with human BRCA2 cDNA and 2XMBP tag were transiently transfected using $CaPO_4$ precipitation into HEK293T cells and harvested 30 hours post-transfection. Cell extracts were batch bound to amylose resin overnight. Bound proteins tagged with 2XMBP were eluted with 100 mM maltose and 8 mM glucose, loaded onto a HiTrap Q column, and step eluted at 450 mM NaCl. Fractions with peak protein concentrations were collected and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at - 80 °C. Selected fractions were combined and concentrated with an Amicon Pro Purification

system (10 kDa, Millipore). All samples were stored at -80 °C. Purified proteins were visualized on a 4-15% gradient SDS-PAGE TGX Stain-Free gel (Bio-Rad 456-8086) and then stained with Coomassie blue (Bio-Rad 1610786). Protein preparations were quantified by running varying levels of protein on a Stain-Free gel and quantifying band intensity with Bio-Rad Image Lab software. Samples were also quantified at an absorbance of 280 nm using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer. RPA and RAD51 were purified as described previously (Anand et al., 2018; Subramanyam and Spies, 2018).

Table 2: Amino acid sequences of BRC purified proteins

CONSTRUCTS	AMINO ACID SEQUENCE
2xMBP BRC2	YLTDENEVGFRGFYSAHGTKLNVSTEALQKAVKLFSDIENISEETSAEVHPISL*
2xMBP BRC2-S1221P	YLTDENEVGFRGFY**P**AHGTKLNVSTEALQKAVKLFSDIENISEETSAEVHPISL*
2xMBP BRC4	RDEKIKEPTLLGFHTASGKKVKIAKESLDKVKNLFDEKEQGTSEI*
2xMBP BRC4-T1526A	RDEKIKEPTLLGFH**A**ASGKKVKIAKESLDKVKNLFDEKEQGTSEI*
2xMBP BRC7	GKLHKSVSSANTCGIFSTASGKSVQVSDASLQNARQVFSEIEDSTKQ*
2xMBP BRC7-T1980I	GKLHKSVSSANTCGIFS**I**ASGKSVQVSDASLQNARQVFSEIEDSTKQ*

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay

RAD51 (10 nM) was pre-incubated with 2XMBP BRC2, BRC2-S1221P, BRC7 and BRC7-T1980I peptides at the indicated concentrations (0, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2 μM) for 15 min, followed by addition of ssDNA (Oligo dT40 labeled with ^{32}P at the 5' end, 400 pM) in buffer 25 mM TrisOAc (pH 7.5), 10 mM MgCl$_2$, 2 mM CaCl$_2$, 0.1 μg/μL BSA, 2 mM ATP, and 1 mM DTT. The mixture was incubated 45 minutes at 37 °C, as indicated.

The following oligonucleotides (see table below) were utilized to test BRCA2 WT and T1980I full-length binding. RJ-167-mer and RJ-5'Tail-167mer were radiolabeled with ^{32}P at the 5'-end using T4 Polynucleotide Kinase (NEB). To generate the 3' Tail and dsDNA DNA substrates, RJ-167-mer and RJ-167-mer complementary were annealed at a 1:1 molar ratio to RJ-PHIX-42-1, respectively. To generate the 5' Tail DNA substrate, RJ-5'Tail-167mer was annealed at a 1:1 molar ratio to RJ-PHIX-42-1.

2XMBP-BRCA2 wild-type and T1980I proteins were incubated at the indicated concentrations with 400 pM of the radiolabeled DNA substrate for 30 minutes at 37 °C. The reactions were resolved by electrophoresis on a 6% polyacrylamide gel in 1X TAE (40 mM Tris–acetate [pH 7.5], 0.5 mM EDTA) buffer for 90 minutes at 80 Volts. The gel was then dried onto DE81 paper and exposed to a PhosphorImager screen overnight. The screen was scanned on a Molecular Dynamics Storm 840 PhosphorImager and bands were quantified using ImageLab software. The percentage of protein-DNA complexes was calculated as the free radiolabeled DNA remaining in a given lane relative to the protein-free lane, which defined the value of 0% complex, or 100% free DNA.

Table 3: Oligonucleotide Sequences

Oligonucleotides	Sequence
RJ-167-mer	5′-CTG CTT TAT CAA GAT AAT TTT TCG ACT CAT CAG AAA TAT CCG TTT CCT ATA TTT ATT CCT ATT ATG TTT TAT TCA TTT ACT TAT TCT TTA TGT TCA TTT TTT ATA TCC TTT ACT TTA TTT TCT CTG TTT ATT CAT TTA CTT ATT TTG TAT TA TCC TTA TCT TAT TTA-3′
RJ-5'Tail-167mer	5'-ATT TAT TCT ATT CCT CTT TAT TTT CTC TGT TTA TTC ATT TAC TTA TTT TGT ATT AAT TTC CTA TAT TTT TTA CTT GTA TTT CTT ATT CAT TTA CTT ATT TTG TAT TAT CCT TAT TTA TAT CCT TTC TGC TTT ATC AAG ATA ATT TTT CGA CTC ATC AGA ATA TCC CG-3'
RJ-167-mer complementary	5'-TAA ATA AGA TAA GGA TAA TAC AAA ATA AGT AAA TGA ATA AAC AGA GAA AAT AAA GTA AAG GAT ATA AAA AAT GAA CAT AAA GAA TAA GTA AAT GAA TAA AAC ATA ATA GGA ATA AAT ATA GGA AAC GGA TAT TTC TGA TGA GTC GAA AAA TTA TCT TGA TAA AGC AG-3'
RJ-PHIX-42-1	5′-CGG ATA TTT CTG ATG AGT CGA AAA ATT ATC TTG ATA AAG CAG-3′

Biotin DNA Pulldowns

 The 2XMBP-BRC purified proteins (80 nM) were incubated with 0, 50 or 100 nM of purified RAD51 for 10 minutes at 37 °C in Buffer 'S': 25 mM TrisOAc (pH 7.5), 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 2 mM $CaCl_2$, 0.1 µg/µL BSA, 2 mM ATP, and 1 mM DTT. Then 1 nM of the biotinylated ssDNA was added for 10 minutes at 37 °C. The ssDNA oligonucleotide substrate, RJ-167-mer, was synthesized with a 5' biotin modification and PAGE purified by IDT (Ultramer). Final volumes were normalized with storage buffer as needed. The DNA-protein complexes were then captured by adding 2.5 µL of

pre-washed MagnaLink Streptavidin magnetic beads (SoluLink) in buffer SW (Buffer S supplemented with 0.1% Igepal CA-630 and 0.5% Triton X-100). The bead-DNA-protein complexes were rotated at 25 °C for 5 minutes and then washed 3X with buffer SW (lacking 2 mM ATP), resuspended in 20 µL laemmli sample buffer, heated at 54 °C for 4 minutes, and loaded onto a 4-15% gradient SDS-PAGE gel. The amount of RAD51 protein bound and eluted from the biotinylated DNA was determined by western blot using a monoclonal antibody specific to human RAD51 (14B4, Novus).

Single molecule Förster Resonance Energy Transfer (smFRET)

All reactions were performed at room temperatures as described in Marsden et al (Marsden et al., 2016) except that 20 nM BRC peptides were pre-incubated with 20 nM RAD51 in a 1:1 ratio for 15 minutes at 37 °C followed by the addition of the protein complexes to 300 pM DNA that was tethered to a PEG-coated quartz surface through biotin-neutravidin linkage. smFRET assays were performed as described in (Marsden et al., 2016; Rothenberg and Ha, 2010). Data was recorded and analyzed as indicated in Marsden et al. Matlab (version R2020b) was used to view and analyze the FRET trajectories. The FRET histograms were generated using a sample size of at least 250 single molecule trajectories. Origin (version 2021) was used to plot the histograms and the FRET trajectories.

DNA strand exchange

All DNA substrates were obtained PAGE purified from IDT. The 3' Tail DNA substrate was generated by annealing RJ-167-mer to RJ-PHIX-42-1 at a 1:1 molar ratio. The dsDNA donor was generated by first radiolabeling RJ-Oligo1 (5'-TAA TAC AAA ATA AGT AAA TGA ATA AAC AGA GAA AAT AAA G-3') with ^{32}P (T4 Polynucleotide Kinase) on the 5'-end and annealing it to RJ-Oligo2 (5'-CTT TAT TTT CTC TGT TTA TTC ATT TAC TTA TTT TGT ATT A-3') at a 1:1 molar ratio. The assay buffer contained: 25 mM TrisOAc (pH 7.5), 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 2 mM $CaCl_2$, 0.1 µg/µL BSA, 2 mM ATP, and 1 mM DTT. All pre-incubations and reactions were at 37 °C. The DNA substrates and

proteins were at the following concentrations unless otherwise indicated in the figure legend: RPA (0.1 µM); RAD51 (0.4 µM); 3' tail (4 nM molecules); and dsDNA (4 nM molecules). The 3' Tail DNA was incubated first with RPA for 5', followed by the addition of BRCA2 WT or T1980I proteins and RAD51, and finally, the radiolabeled donor dsDNA was added for 30 minutes. Where proteins were omitted, storage buffer was substituted. The reaction was terminated with Proteinase K/0.5% SDS for 10 minutes. The reactions were loaded on a 6% polyacrylamide gel in TAE buffer and electrophoresis was at 60 V for 80 minutes. The gel was then dried onto DE81 paper and exposed to a PhosphorImager screen overnight. The percentage of DNA strand exchange product was calculated as labeled product divided by total labeled input DNA in each lane (for further details see Jensen et al., 2010; Lahiri and Jensen, 2021).

RESULTS

BRCA2 missense variants S1221P and T1980I predict disruption of BRC folding and RAD51 binding.

Searching the ClinVar database, we identified two potentially pathogenic BRCA2 missense variants, S1221P and T1980I, located in BRC2 and BRC7, respectively (**Figure 1A**), and classified as VUS or "not yet reviewed". S1221P and T1980I have been described as tumor-associated but detailed information regarding their biochemical functionality was unknown (Lo et al., 2003; Tal et al., 2009). In addition, we identified a BRCA2 T1346I variant as a somatic mutation from whole exome sequencing (WES) of a colorectal tumor specimen from the Yale Cancer Center. Interestingly, T1346I is located in the spacer region flanked by BRC2 and BRC3 and classified as a VUS. Sequence alignment across 9 different species reveal that the S1221 and T1980 residues are highly conserved (67% and 89% respectively) (**Figure 1B**), whereas T1346 is less well conserved (44%). Multiple studies have verified a conserved core motif within each BRC repeat, designated FxTASGK, that is crucial for RAD51 binding (Bignell et al., 1997; Chen et al., 1998; Lo et al., 2003; Pellegrini et al., 2002). Importantly, both the S1221 and T1980

residues are located directly within this motif (**note boxed residues in Figure 1B**). Given that structural information is only available for the BRC4 repeat crystallized with the core domain of RAD51 (Pellegrini et al., 2002), we utilized modeling algorithms based on the BRC4 structure to determine if S1221P and T1980I would disrupt key contacts between the BRC2 and BRC7 repeats and RAD51 (**Figure 1C**).

Residue T1526 in BRC4 (3rd amino acid position in FxTSAGK) is equivalent to S1221 and T1980 in BRC2 and BRC7, respectively (**Figure 1C, lower sequence alignment**). T1526 is buried in a hydrophobic pocket formed by RAD51 (**Figure 1C, center panel**) (Pellegrini et al., 2002) and the missense mutation, T1526A, diminishes BRC4 functions (Carreira et al., 2009). Modeling of the T1526A substitution does not lead to steric clashing, however, polar contacts within a loop are reduced which could disrupt RAD51 binding (**Figure S1**) (Carreira et al., 2009). Mutating S1221 to proline in BRC2 (S1221P) predicts increased steric hindrance resulting in a loop to improperly fold to accommodate the bulkier sidechain (**Figure 1C, left panel**). Changing T1980 in BRC7 to isoleucine (T1980I) requires a larger sidechain to be incorporated into the structure but with more flexibility than proline. If T1980I is oriented to not misfold, the sidechain is predicted to block RAD51 binding as it would clash with RAD51 residue D187 (**Figure 1C, right panel**). Additionally, S1221P and T1980I substitutions would predict loss of multiple polar contacts (Figure S1) potentially disrupting the BRC structure and RAD51 interactions. Overall, the structural modeling of S1221P and T1980I predicts that either misfolding or steric clashes between residues within the BRC-RAD51 interface will obstruct interactions. As no structural information exists for the spacer region between BRC2 and BRC3 to date, we were unable to model the T1346I mutation.

S1221P and T1980I variants are defective in response to chemotherapeutics and ionizing radiation

The sequence conservation and homology modeling analysis suggested S1221P and T1980I disrupt RAD51 interactions, therefore, we directly tested whether the variants were

capable of functionally complementing BRCA2 deficient cells. Full-length BRCA2 cDNA constructs were fused to a tandem repeat of the maltose binding protein tag (2XMBP) which stabilizes and increases BRCA2 expression as previously described (Jensen et al., 2010). We stably expressed the variants in DLD1 BRCA2$^{-/-}$ cells (Hucl *et al.*, 2008) and successfully derived single cell clones expressing S1221P, T1346I, and T1980I (**Figure 2A**). All variants localized to the nucleus (**Figure S2, left panel**) as expected. Interestingly, as first described by Baker (Magwood et al., 2013) and confirmed in a subsequent paper from our group (Chatterjee et al., 2016), re-introduction of recombinant BRCA2 protein in deficient cells increases total expression levels of RAD51 (**Figure 2A**). The basal localization pattern of RAD51 also changed from a diffuse cytoplasmic/nuclear staining in null cells to a bright distinct signal in the nucleus upon re-expression of wild type (WT) BRCA2 and the variants (**Figure S2**, **middle panel**).

Strikingly, in BRCA2 null cells, neither expression of the S1221P nor the T1980I variant was able to rescue survival to the same level as WT BRCA2 in response to crosslinking agents mitomycin C (MMC) and cisplatin (**Figure 2B**). Notably, the two variants did not track with the empty vector control cells (BRCA2$^{-/-}$) suggesting partial complementation. The response of S1221P and T1980I to PARPi (olaparib and talazoparib) was modestly more robust than to crosslinkers but did not rescue to the same extent as WT BRCA2. T1346I provided a full rescue in response to all chemotherapeutic agents tested suggesting T1346I is a benign variant (**Figure 2B**). The differential responses of S1221P and T1980I could not be explained by a difference in plating efficiencies (**Figure S3**). Overall, the results imply that S1221P and T1980I are hypomorphic alleles of BRCA2.

RAD51 foci formation in the nuclei of ionizing radiation damaged cells has long been an established biomarker to indicate protein recruitment and functional engagement of homologous recombination at sites of DNA DSBs (Haaf et al., 1995; Rothkamm et al., 2015). We examined RAD51 foci at 6 hours (peak foci) following treatment with 12 Gy of ionizing radiation (**Figure 2C).** Phosphorylation of gammaH2AX was used as a surrogate marker for DNA DSBs to ensure

damage inflicted was comparable amongst all cell lines (Chatterjee et al., 2016). WT BRCA2 and T1346I restored RAD51 foci in BRCA2 deficient cells whereas S1221P and T1980I exhibited a significantly lower percentage of cells with foci indicating a partial defect in HDR. Micronuclei formation is an indicator of genomic instability and BRCA2 deficient cells, including DLD1 BRCA2 knockout cells, show elevated levels of micronuclei (Ban et al., 2001; Heddle et al., 1983). While WT BRCA2 and T1346I were able to suppress micronuclei formation, T1980I was unable to do so, and S1221P appeared to exacerbate micronuclei formation (see **Figure 2C DAPI panels (right) & quantitation in lower graph)**.

S1221P and T1980I, but not T1346I, are defective in HDR and fork protection

We used a CRISPR-Cas9 based gene-targeting assay to investigate whether S1221P, T1980I, and T1346I affect HDR (**Figure 3A**) (Orthwein et al., 2015; Pinder et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2017). As expected, WT BRCA2 complementation increased the percentage of correctly targeted Clover positive cells at the LMNA locus compared to BRCA2$^{-/-}$ cells (**Figure 3B, C**). In contrast, expression of S1221P or T1980I was unable to rescue HDR-mediated gene targeting to the same extent as WT BRCA2 detected as a lower percentage of Clover positive cells (**Figure 3C**). In addition to the canonical HDR functions of loading and stimulating RAD51 nucleation onto resected DNA, BRCA2 has been shown to protect stalled replication forks from nucleolytic degradation by nucleases such as MRE11 (Schlacher et al. 2011). Fork protection functionality was investigated using a sequential dual label approach. Cells were labeled with thymidine analogues, CldU and IdU, for 30 minutes followed by a five hour hydroxyurea (HU) treatment (**Figure 3D**) (Maya-Mendoza et al., 2018; Schlacher et al., 2011). Representative images of individual fibers as well as a dot plot analysis of the IdU/CldU ratio are shown in **Figures 3E** and **3F**, respectively. Our results (**Figure 3F**) confirm previous studies (Schlacher et al. 2011) that BRCA2$^{-/-}$ cells have a defect in HU-induced fork protection compared to BRCA2 WT cells (24% reduction). T1346I protected forks similar to WT BRCA2, however, both S1221P and T1980I exhibited deficits (11-24% reduction).

Individual S1221P and T1980I BRC mutations eliminate binding to RAD51

To interrogate protein interactions, we took advantage of our 2XMBP tagged full-length BRCA2 constructs stably expressed in BRCA2$^{-/-}$ cells and performed amylose pull-downs to capture BRCA2 and probe for endogenous RAD51 binding (**Figure S4A**). Equal levels of RAD51 were pulled down by WT BRCA2 and each variant despite the presence of individual BRC mutations. We performed the same experiment in a transient transfection approach in 293T cells and observed comparable levels of RAD51 binding across all proteins (**Figure S4B**).

We reasoned that full-length BRCA2 S1221P and T1980I variants appear to bind RAD51 in an equivalent manner to the WT protein either due to the insensitivity of our assay conditions or to the presence of the remaining seven wild type BRC repeats masking the defect in a single BRC repeat. We queried a panel of 2XMBP-BRC repeats consisting of individual as well as multiple BRC repeat fragments: BRC1, 2, 4, 7, BRC1-2, BRC3-4, BRC5-6, BRC7-8, BRC1-4, BRC5-8, and BRC1-8, analyzed as above to assess RAD51 binding characteristics (**Figure S5A and B**). To our surprise, BRC7-8 bound RAD51 while BRC5-6 and the BRC5-8 region did not. In a previous study, we found that a BRC5-8-DBD fusion protein also failed to bind RAD51 (Chatterjee et al., 2016). Conversely, BRC4, BRC1-4, and BRC1-8 fusions with the DBD displayed robust RAD51 binding (Chatterjee et al., 2016). Prior yeast two-hybrid analyses established that all BRC repeats interact with RAD51 except for BRC5 and BRC6 in agreement with a separate study demonstrating that GFP-RAD51 binds GST-BRC7-8 (Wong et al., 1997; Yu et al., 2003). Indeed, we confirmed that BRC7 alone can bind RAD51 under our pull-down conditions (**Figure 4B**, lane 11 and **Figure S5B,** lane 15), albeit to a much lesser extent than BRC2 (**Figure 4B**, lane 9).

To circumvent the potential for compensatory BRC repeat interactions with RAD51, we generated a single BRC2 construct incorporating the S1221P variant, and likewise, created a BRC7 construct with the T1980I variant. We included BRC4 T1526A in our analysis, as this variant has been described to interfere with RAD51 binding (Tal et al., 2009). The 2XMBP tag

alone was used as a negative control in all experiments. By focusing on the individual BRC repeats, we found that both S1221P and T1980I demonstrated no detectable RAD51 interaction in our pull-down analyses (**Figure 4B,** compare lanes 9 & 10 and lanes 11 & 12 and **Figure S5B**, lanes 16 & 18). As expected, T1526A disrupts the RAD51 interaction although we observed some residual binding (**Figure S5B**, lane 17). To further confirm our results in an orthogonal approach, we synthesized the individual peptides (33 amino acids), conjugated them to aminolink resin, and incubated the BRC peptides with purified RAD51. The results clearly show that wild type BRC2 and BRC7 peptides interact with RAD51 (**Figure 4D**, lanes 7 & 9) while the S1221P and T1980I peptides abolish binding (**Figure 4D**, lanes 8 & 10). Pre-incubation of wild type BRC2 peptide, but not the S1221P variant, with purified RAD51 protein prevents binding to BRC4 confirming the stable association of BRC2 with RAD51 and lack of binding by the S1221P variant (**Figure S5E**, compare lane 8 and 9).

The BRC repeats are composed of two modules: BRC1-4 mediates binding to free monomeric RAD51 while BRC5-8 binds and stabilizes RAD51-ssDNA complexes (Carreira and Kowalczykowski, 2011). To reconcile our pull-down data showing that BRC7 interacts with RAD51 in the absence of pre-assembled filaments on ssDNA, we considered that RAD51, both in purified form and likely in cell extracts, may self-oligomerize resembling a filament that mediates binding to both BRC7 and the BRC7-8 peptides. We addressed this discrepancy by interrogating whether the RAD51 T131P mutant was capable of BRC7 interaction. T131P is located in the Walker A domain of RAD51, alters ATP binding and hydrolysis behavior preventing filament formation, and acts as a dominant negative in the presence of WT RAD51 (San Filippo et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2015; Zadorozhny et al., 2017). We confirmed that T131P does not self-associate (**Figure S5D,** lane 8). Indeed, co-incubation of RAD51 T131P with BRC2 exhibited similar binding as wild type RAD51 (**Figure 4E**, compare lanes 2 & 7), whereas binding of T131P to BRC7 was greatly reduced (**Figure 4E,** lane 8). These results reaffirm that BRC2 binds the monomeric form of RAD51 while BRC7 presumably binds oligomers or self-polymerized forms of

RAD51. As expected, the S1221P and T1980I variants failed to bind both wild type RAD51 and the T131P mutant (**Figure 4E,** lanes 4-5 & lanes 9-10).

S1221P and T1980I fail to stimulate RAD51-ssDNA complex formation

To determine whether the lack of RAD51 binding by BRC2-S1221P and BRC7-T1980I alters stimulation/stabilization of a RAD51-ssDNA complex, we utilized an electrophoretic mobility-shift assay (EMSA) under conditions previously described (Carreira and Kowalczykowski, 2011). First, we purified BRC2, BRC7, BRC2-S1221P and BRC7-T1980I from HEK293T cells (**Figure S6A**). We titrated RAD51 protein to determine that 10 nM of RAD51 is the optimal concentration at which RAD51-ssDNA complex formation is approximately 20-30% (**Figure S6B**). Then, RAD51 was incubated with purified BRC2, BRC2-S1221P, BRC7, and BRC7-T1980I proteins followed by the addition of ssDNA (dT40) (**Figure 5A**). As anticipated, increasing concentrations of BRC2 and BRC7 stimulated binding of RAD51 to ssDNA (**Figure 5B,** lanes 3-6, left panel and lanes 3-6, right panel). In contrast, BRC2-S1221P (**Figure 5B**, lanes 7-10, left panel) and BRC7-T1980I (**Figure 5B**, lanes 7-10, right panel) did not stimulate RAD51-ssDNA complex formation even at the highest concentration of BRC proteins (2 µM) tested (**see quantitation in Figure 5C**).

To assess the impact of the BRC repeat proteins on RAD51 filament formation and stabilization, we utilized a longer DNA substrate (167mer) composed of mixed bases. The reactions were conducted in the absence of calcium to maintain RAD51 ATPase dependent turnover (Bugreev and Mazin, 2004). BRC2, BRC2-S1221P, BRC7, BRC7-T1980I and RAD51 were pre-incubated together followed by the addition of the biotinylated ssDNA substrate (**Figure 5D**). The biotin-DNA-RAD51-BRC complexes were then washed extensively, captured on streptavidin magnetic beads, and eluates run on an SDS-PAGE gel. In agreement with the results from our EMSA analyses, BRC2 and BRC7 stabilized RAD51 complex formation on the ssDNA substrate (**Figure 5E**, lanes 5-6 and lanes 11-12) compared to RAD51 alone (**Figure 5E**, lanes 2-3). BRC2-S1221P (**Figure 5E**, lanes 8-9) and BRC7-T1980I (**Figure 5E**, lanes 14-15) showed

little to no increase in bound RAD51. Consistent with BRC7 playing a larger role in RAD51-ssDNA complex formation (Carreira and Kowalczykowski, 2011), we noted increased levels of RAD51 were stably associated with biotinylated ssDNA in the presence of BRC7 compared to BRC2 (compare lane 12 to lane 6 in **Figure 5E**).

To determine how the BRC variants contribute to RAD51 nucleation at single molecule resolution in real-time, we performed a single molecule Förster Resonance Energy Transfer (smFRET) assay. Our smFRET design placed the donor fluorophore (green) in the ssDNA while the acceptor fluorophore (red) was positioned 16 nucleotides from the donor, at the ss/dsDNA junction (**Figure 5F**). In this configuration, the flexible ssDNA region results in close proximity between the donor and acceptor molecules leading to efficient energy transfer between the FRET pair and corresponds to a high FRET state when plotted as a FRET histogram (**Figure 5F**). Upon RAD51 binding, the ssDNA is extended, resulting in an increase in the distance between the donor and acceptor thereby reducing the efficiency of FRET, and a shift to lower FRET states (see example of 400 nM RAD51 (**Figure S7**). To observe stimulation of RAD51-ssDNA complexes by the BRC peptides, we utilized a sub-stoichiometric level of RAD51 (20 nM) at which no change in FRET is observed with RAD51 alone (**Figure 5F**). Pre-incubation of 20 nM WT BRC2 with 20 nM RAD51 (1:1 ratio) maintained the same FRET state as RAD51 alone. However, WT BRC7 pre-incubated with RAD51 reduced the high FRET signal (E_{FRET} = 0.70) to a lower state (E_{FRET} = 0.60) indicating that BRC7 can stimulate RAD51 nucleation or filament formation on the ssDNA substrate. Surprisingly, despite a range of concentrations and molar ratios tested, we were unable to detect any change in FRET mediated by WT BRC2. Our results with BRC2 S1221P and BRC7 T1980I were in agreement with our gel-based analyses as no change in FRET was observed (**Figure 5F, S8**). Control experiments with all BRC peptides in the absence of RAD51 confirmed no change in the high FRET state (**Figure S7**). Taken together, the data support the hypothesis that S1221P and T1980I harbor a biochemical defect compromising the ability of BRCA2 to bind and stabilize the RAD51 nucleoprotein complex.

The full-length BRCA2 T1980I protein binds DNA but exhibits impaired stimulation of RAD51-dependent DNA strand exchange activity

To further probe the impact of the S1221P and T1980I mutations on BRCA2 functions, we attempted to purify the full-length proteins (**Figure S9A**). Despite extensive troubleshooting, we were unable to purify the full-length S1221P protein due to instability and degradation issues. Purification of full-length T1980I protein was successful (**Figure S9A,** lane 3). To evaluate the DNA binding profile of purified T1980I, we performed EMSAs on 3' Tail, 5' Tail, ssDNA, and dsDNA substrates (**Figure S9B**). T1980I bound all DNA substrates with comparable affinities to the WT BRCA2 protein.

We next evaluated whether stimulation of RAD51-mediated DNA strand exchange was impacted by the T1980I variant. We implemented a strategy utilized previously to define the mediator role of wild type BRCA2: (1) we first incubated a 3' tail DNA substrate with RPA, (2) followed by the addition of wild type BRCA2, or T1980I, simultaneously with RAD51, and (3) finally, a radiolabeled donor dsDNA was added to initiate the DNA strand exchange reaction ((Jensen et al., 2010) and **Figure 6A**). Strikingly, T1980I was severely impaired for stimulation of RAD51-mediated DNA strand exchange (**Figure 6B and C**). The results corroborate our cell-based findings and define the T1980I biochemical defect as disruption of RAD51 binding leading to a failure to fully stimulate RAD51-ssDNA complex formation and diminished ability to mediate RAD51-dependent DNA strand exchange. Although full-length BRCA2 S1221P protein proved to be intractable to purification, we speculate that stimulation of RAD51-mediated DNA strand exchange activity would be reduced to similar levels due to defects in both RAD51 binding and stabilization of RAD51-ssDNA complexes.

DISCUSSION

Our work has confirmed that site-specific variants in individual BRC repeats alter the biochemical functions of BRCA2 leading to cellular defects in response to DNA damage providing functional evidence for pathogenicity. The eight proximal BRC repeats of human BRCA2 bind

RAD51 with varying affinities and facilitate the loading and nucleation of RAD51 onto ssDNA. Each BRC repeat comprises a highly conserved motif, FxTASGK, crucial for BRCA2–RAD51 interactions (**Figure 1A and B**). Specifically, we found that missense variants located at the third amino acid position in the Fx**T**ASGK motif, S1221P (BRC2) and T1980I (BRC7): (1) disrupt RAD51 binding; (2) do not fully rescue survival in response to chemotherapeutics; (3) diminish RAD51 foci formation; (4) exhibit fork protection defects; (5) fail to stimulate RAD51-ssDNA complex formation; and (6) in the case of T1980I, binds DNA but does not stimulate RAD51-dependent DNA strand exchange. Our findings emphasize the importance of the serine and threonine residues in the conserved Fx**T**ASGK motif located within each BRC repeat (Lo et al., 2003). Similar defects were reported for the BRC4 mutant T1526A and a BRC3 mutant (Carreira et al., 2009; Davies et al., 2001). The Fx**T**ASGK motif has been noted in other proteins such as RECQL5 and MMS22L, and mutations that disrupt RAD51 binding downregulate HDR and replication fork protection/stability (Islam et al., 2012; Piwko et al., 2016). We were unable to uncover any defects in the T1346I variant located between BRC2 and BRC3, however, more studies will be required to determine whether pathogenic variants exist in the spacer regions between the BRC repeats. Collectively, our results with patient-derived missense variants highlight that single amino acid changes in an individual BRC repeat, within the context of the full-length 3,418 amino acid BRCA2 protein, substantively impact functions of BRCA2 related to RAD51 binding and regulation during HDR and fork protection.

Despite tremendous progress made in the past two decades delineating the explicit functions of the BRCA2 protein, many questions remain regarding the biological significance of multiple RAD51 binding modules located in the BRC repeats and carboxy terminus (S3291) of BRCA2. Furthermore, why does human BRCA2 possess eight BRC repeats whereas organisms such as *Ustilago maydis* and *Caenorhabditis elegans* contain only one BRC repeat (Kojic et al., 2002; Martin et al., 2005)? The human BRC repeats have been found to interact with RAD51 with varying affinities based on yeast two-hybrid, co-immunoprecipitation, and pull-down assays

using tagged purified proteins (Carreira and Kowalczykowski, 2011; Chatterjee et al., 2016; Chen et al., 1999; Chen et al., 1998; Esashi et al., 2005; Thorslund et al., 2007; Wong et al., 1997). The emerging consensus is that BRC1, 2, 3 and 4 bind monomeric RAD51 with high affinity whereas BRC5, 6, 7, 8 bind weakly. However, BRC5, 6, 7, and 8 significantly stimulate RAD51-ssDNA complex formation implying specific interactions and stabilization of the RAD51 nucleoprotein filament (Carreira and Kowalczykowski, 2011; Chatterjee et al., 2016). Lastly, the detailed structure, orientation, and regulation of the eight human BRC repeats within the framework of the full-length BRCA2 protein remain unknown.

We confirmed that BRC1-2, BRC3-4, BRC7-8 and individual BRC1, 2, 4, and 7 repeats bind RAD51 (**Figure S5A, B**). However, as noted in our previous study (Chatterjee et al., 2016), BRC5-8 and BRC5-6 do not bind RAD51 in a non-filament form (Figure S5A). Our results agree with prior conclusions from yeast two-hybrid experiments and pull-down interaction studies with GFP-RAD51 in human cells (Wong et al., 1997; Yu et al., 2003). BRC5 and BRC6 are the least conserved repeats potentially explaining the lack of RAD51 binding (Bignell et al., 1997; Lo et al., 2003). The lack of BRC7 binding to RAD51 T131P (**Figure 4E**) lends support to the hypothesis that the BRC5-8 module interacts specifically with the RAD51 filament, in agreement with our previous data and prior publications (Carreira and Kowalczykowski, 2011; Chatterjee et al., 2016). Intriguingly, BRC repeats 1-4 inhibit the ssDNA-dependent ATPase activity of RAD51 whereas BRC repeats 5-8 appear to have no role in this function (Carreira and Kowalczykowski, 2011). Conversely, BRC repeats 5-8 stimulate RAD51-ssDNA complex formation through an unknown mechanism (Carreira and Kowalczykowski, 2011). Our prior work demonstrated that BRC5-8 tethered to the DBD of BRCA2 provided greater functionality than BRC1-4-DBD, and even surprisingly BRC1-8-DBD, in both cell-based and biochemical HDR assays. It remains to be determined why BRC5-8-DBD, lacking the N-terminus and BRC1-4 repeats, demonstrated higher activity than other BRC-DBD fusion proteins. Interestingly, our smFRET data (**Figure 5F**) establishes a clear distinction between the two categories of BRC repeats, exemplified by BRC2

and BRC7. Using sub-stoichiometric concentrations of RAD51, we demonstrated that BRC7, unlike BRC2, stabilized RAD51-ssDNA complexes. Little is known regarding the regulatory and structural roles of each BRC repeat, and thus, our smFRET data provides further evidence for differential effects mediated by individual BRC repeats on RAD51 nucleation and stability at single molecule resolution. Future studies using site-directed mutagenesis to systematically inactivate RAD51 binding in each of the BRC repeats, similar to the work described here for patient-derived missense variants, should clarify how the two BRC modules contribute to HDR functions.

VUS described in the literature are often miscategorized as "tumor-associated" with unknown impact on protein function, and subsequently, unknown association with tumorigenicity. Reliance on software prediction algorithms such as SIFT or PolyPhen to predict pathogenicity, rather than functional assays, may or may not result in correct classification. Uncertainties surrounding VUS interpretation have become a substantial clinical challenge for physicians and genetic counselors attempting to make critical treatment decisions or counsel patients about future cancer risk. Adoption of functional laboratory-based assays into the clinic has been slow due to low throughput, the expertise required for interpretation, and the need for extensive validation utilizing known pathogenic variants. Nonetheless, considerable progress has been made studying variants located in the DBD domain of BRCA2 using the DR-GFP reporter assay or rescue of mouse embryonic stem cell lethality (Biswas et al., 2011; Biswas et al., 2012; Biswas et al., 2020; Carvalho et al., 2007; Farrugia et al., 2008; Guidugli et al., 2014; Guidugli et al., 2013). Expanding the repertoire of variants to other domains, as done here for the BRC repeats, will be vital to build a comprehensive molecular understanding of how specific defects lead to BRCA2 dysfunction. Moreover, functional assays may be the only recourse to advise patients with rare variants concerning their future cancer risk. We propose that rigorous biochemical and cell-based assays hold promising potential to differentiate pathogenic from benign BRCA2 variants. Patient-derived missense variants with accompanying clinical data will enable better

cancer risk prediction models, while analysis of pathogenic variants in functional domains will elucidate the underlying biology of the BRCA2 protein.

Acknowledgements

We thank Nancy Sanchez for assistance with cloning the S1221P BRCA2 mutation and Agata Smogorzewska for the HA-RAD51 and HA-RAD51 T131P constructs. We thank all members of the Jensen Lab for helpful comments and review of this article. Sudipta Lahiri thanks Rothenberg lab members, especially Dr. Carolus Fijen and Dr. Huijun Xue for help with smFRET experiments and data analysis. The graphical abstract and schematics were created with BioRender.com

This research was supported by grants from the NIH (RO1 CA215990), Women's Health Research at Yale, and The Gray Foundation to R.B.J.

Author Contributions

J.J.S. and R.B.J. conceived the general ideas for this study. J.J.S., J.M., G.M., S.L., and J.G. conducted experiments and interpreted data. J.P.E. provided clinical sequence information. J.J.S., E.R., and R.B.J. designed experiments and wrote the paper with editorial input from all authors.

The authors declare no competing interests.

Abul-Husn, N.S., Soper, E.R., Odgis, J.A., Cullina, S., Bobo, D., Moscati, A., Rodriguez, J.E., Team, C.G., Regeneron Genetics, C., Loos, R.J.F., et al. (2019). Exome sequencing reveals a high prevalence of BRCA1 and BRCA2 founder variants in a diverse population-based biobank. Genome Med *12*, 2. 10.1186/s13073-019-0691-1.

Anand, R., Pinto, C., and Cejka, P. (2018). Methods to Study DNA End Resection I: Recombinant Protein Purification. Methods Enzymol *600*, 25-66. 10.1016/bs.mie.2017.11.008.

Ban, S., Shinohara, T., Hirai, Y., Moritaku, Y., Cologne, J.B., and MacPhee, D.G. (2001). Chromosomal instability in BRCA1- or BRCA2-defective human cancer cells detected by spontaneous micronucleus assay. Mutat Res *474*, 15-23. 10.1016/s0027-5107(00)00152-4.

Bignell, G., Micklem, G., Stratton, M.R., Ashworth, A., and Wooster, R. (1997). The BRC repeats are conserved in mammalian BRCA2 proteins. Hum Mol Genet *6*, 53-58. 10.1093/hmg/6.1.53.

Biswas, K., Das, R., Alter, B.P., Kuznetsov, S.G., Stauffer, S., North, S.L., Burkett, S., Brody, L.C., Meyer, S., Byrd, R.A., and Sharan, S.K. (2011). A comprehensive functional characterization of BRCA2 variants associated with Fanconi anemia using mouse ES cell-based assay. Blood *118*, 2430-2442. 10.1182/blood-2010-12-324541.

Biswas, K., Das, R., Eggington, J.M., Qiao, H., North, S.L., Stauffer, S., Burkett, S.S., Martin, B.K., Southon, E., Sizemore, S.C., et al. (2012). Functional evaluation of BRCA2 variants mapping to the PALB2-binding and C-terminal DNA-binding domains using a mouse ES cell-based assay. Hum Mol Genet *21*, 3993-4006. 10.1093/hmg/dds222.

Biswas, K., Lipton, G.B., Stauffer, S., Sullivan, T., Cleveland, L., Southon, E., Reid, S., Magidson, V., Iversen, E.S., Jr., and Sharan, S.K. (2020). A computational model for classification of BRCA2 variants using mouse embryonic stem cell-based functional assays. NPJ Genom Med *5*, 52. 10.1038/s41525-020-00158-5.

Bugreev, D.V., and Mazin, A.V. (2004). Ca2+ activates human homologous recombination protein Rad51 by modulating its ATPase activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *101*, 9988-9993. 10.1073/pnas.0402105101.

Carreira, A., Hilario, J., Amitani, I., Baskin, R.J., Shivji, M.K., Venkitaraman, A.R., and Kowalczykowski, S.C. (2009). The BRC repeats of BRCA2 modulate the DNA-binding selectivity of RAD51. Cell *136*, 1032-1043. 10.1016/j.cell.2009.02.019.

Carreira, A., and Kowalczykowski, S.C. (2011). Two classes of BRC repeats in BRCA2 promote RAD51 nucleoprotein filament function by distinct mechanisms. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *108*, 10448-10453. 10.1073/pnas.1106971108.

Carvalho, M.A., Couch, F.J., and Monteiro, A.N. (2007). Functional assays for BRCA1 and BRCA2. Int J Biochem Cell Biol *39*, 298-310. 10.1016/j.biocel.2006.08.002.

Chatterjee, G., Jimenez-Sainz, J., Presti, T., Nguyen, T., and Jensen, R.B. (2016). Distinct binding of BRCA2 BRC repeats to RAD51 generates differential DNA damage sensitivity. Nucleic Acids Res *44*, 5256-5270. 10.1093/nar/gkw242.

Chen, J.J., Silver, D., Cantor, S., Livingston, D.M., and Scully, R. (1999). BRCA1, BRCA2, and Rad51 operate in a common DNA damage response pathway. Cancer Res *59*, 1752s-1756s.

Chen, P.L., Chen, C.F., Chen, Y., Xiao, J., Sharp, Z.D., and Lee, W.H. (1998). The BRC repeats in BRCA2 are critical for RAD51 binding and resistance to methyl methanesulfonate treatment. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *95*, 5287-5292. 10.1073/pnas.95.9.5287.

Consortium, U. (2011). Ongoing and future developments at the Universal Protein Resource. Nucleic Acids Res *39*, D214-D219.

Davies, A.A., Masson, J.Y., McIlwraith, M.J., Stasiak, A.Z., Stasiak, A., Venkitaraman, A.R., and West, S.C. (2001). Role of BRCA2 in control of the RAD51 recombination and DNA repair protein. Mol Cell *7*, 273-282. 10.1016/s1097-2765(01)00175-7.

Esashi, F., Christ, N., Gannon, J., Liu, Y., Hunt, T., Jasin, M., and West, S.C. (2005). CDK-dependent phosphorylation of BRCA2 as a regulatory mechanism for recombinational repair. Nature *434*, 598-604. 10.1038/nature03404.

Esashi, F., Galkin, V.E., Yu, X., Egelman, E.H., and West, S.C. (2007). Stabilization of RAD51 nucleoprotein filaments by the C-terminal region of BRCA2. Nat Struct Mol Biol *14*, 468-474. 10.1038/nsmb1245.

Farrugia, D.J., Agarwal, M.K., Pankratz, V.S., Deffenbaugh, A.M., Pruss, D., Frye, C., Wadum, L., Johnson, K., Mentlick, J., Tavtigian, S.V., et al. (2008). Functional assays for classification of BRCA2 variants of uncertain significance. Cancer Res *68*, 3523-3531. 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-1587.

Guidugli, L., Carreira, A., Caputo, S.M., Ehlen, A., Galli, A., Monteiro, A.N., Neuhausen, S.L., Hansen, T.V., Couch, F.J., Vreeswijk, M.P., and consortium, E. (2014). Functional assays for analysis of variants of uncertain significance in BRCA2. Hum Mutat *35*, 151-164. 10.1002/humu.22478.

Guidugli, L., Pankratz, V.S., Singh, N., Thompson, J., Erding, C.A., Engel, C., Schmutzler, R., Domchek, S., Nathanson, K., Radice, P., et al. (2013). A classification model for BRCA2 DNA binding domain missense variants based on homology-directed repair activity. Cancer Res *73*, 265-275. 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-2081.

Haaf, T., Golub, E.I., Reddy, G., Radding, C.M., and Ward, D.C. (1995). Nuclear foci of mammalian Rad51 recombination protein in somatic cells after DNA damage and its localization in synaptonemal complexes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *92*, 2298-2302. 10.1073/pnas.92.6.2298.

Hall, T.A. (1999). BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for windows 95/98/NT. In Nucleic acids symposium series *41*, 95-98.

Heddle, J.A., Hite, M., Kirkhart, B., Mavournin, K., MacGregor, J.T., Newell, G.W., and Salamone, M.F. (1983). The induction of micronuclei as a measure of genotoxicity. A report of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Gene-Tox Program. Mutat Res *123*, 61-118. 10.1016/0165-1110(83)90047-7.

Hucl, T., Rago, C., Gallmeier, E., Brody, J.R., Gorospe, M., and Kern, S.E. (2008). A syngeneic variance library for functional annotation of human variation: application to BRCA2. Cancer Res *68*, 5023-5030. 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-6189.

Islam, M.N., Paquet, N., Fox, D., 3rd, Dray, E., Zheng, X.F., Klein, H., Sung, P., and Wang, W. (2012). A variant of the breast cancer type 2 susceptibility protein (BRC) repeat is essential for the RECQL5 helicase to interact with RAD51 recombinase for genome stabilization. J Biol Chem *287*, 23808-23818. 10.1074/jbc.M112.375014.

Jensen, R.B., Carreira, A., and Kowalczykowski, S.C. (2010). Purified human BRCA2 stimulates RAD51-mediated recombination. Nature *467*, 678-683. 10.1038/nature09399.

Jimenez-Sainz, J., and Jensen, R.B. (2021). Imprecise Medicine: BRCA2 Variants of Uncertain Significance (VUS), the Challenges and Benefits to Integrate a Functional Assay Workflow with Clinical Decision Rules. Genes (Basel) *12*. 10.3390/genes12050780.

Kojic, M., Kostrub, C.F., Buchman, A.R., and Holloman, W.K. (2002). BRCA2 homolog required for proficiency in DNA repair, recombination, and genome stability in Ustilago maydis. Mol Cell *10*, 683-691. 10.1016/s1097-2765(02)00632-9.

Lahiri, S., and Jensen, R.B. (2021). DNA Strand Exchange to Monitor Human RAD51-Mediated Strand Invasion and Pairing. Methods Mol Biol *2153*, 101-113. 10.1007/978-1-0716-0644-5_8.

Larkin, M.A., Blackshields, G., Brown, N.P., Chenna, R., McGettigan, P.A., McWilliam, H., Valentin, F., Wallace, I.M., Wilm, A., Lopez, R., et al. (2007). Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. Bioinformatics *23*, 2947-2948. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btm404.

Liu, J., Doty, T., Gibson, B., and Heyer, W.D. (2010). Human BRCA2 protein promotes RAD51 filament formation on RPA-covered single-stranded DNA. Nat Struct Mol Biol *17*, 1260-1262. 10.1038/nsmb.1904.

Lo, T., Pellegrini, L., Venkitaraman, A.R., and Blundell, T.L. (2003). Sequence fingerprints in BRCA2 and RAD51: implications for DNA repair and cancer. DNA Repair (Amst) *2*, 1015-1028. 10.1016/s1568-7864(03)00097-1.

Magwood, A.C., Malysewich, M.J., Cealic, I., Mundia, M.M., Knapp, J., and Baker, M.D. (2013). Endogenous levels of Rad51 and Brca2 are required for homologous recombination and regulated by homeostatic re-balancing. DNA Repair (Amst) *12*, 1122-1133. 10.1016/j.dnarep.2013.10.006.

Marsden, C.G., Jensen, R.B., Zagelbaum, J., Rothenberg, E., Morrical, S.W., Wallace, S.S., and Sweasy, J.B. (2016). The Tumor-Associated Variant RAD51 G151D Induces a Hyper-Recombination Phenotype. PLoS Genet *12*, e1006208. 10.1371/journal.pgen.1006208.

Martin, J.S., Winkelmann, N., Petalcorin, M.I., McIlwraith, M.J., and Boulton, S.J. (2005). RAD-51-dependent and -independent roles of a Caenorhabditis elegans BRCA2-related protein during DNA double-strand break repair. Mol Cell Biol *25*, 3127-3139. 10.1128/MCB.25.8.3127-3139.2005.

Maya-Mendoza, A., Moudry, P., Merchut-Maya, J.M., Lee, M., Strauss, R., and Bartek, J. (2018). High speed of fork progression induces DNA replication stress and genomic instability. Nature *559*, 279-284. 10.1038/s41586-018-0261-5.

McAllister, K.A., Haugen-Strano, A., Hagevik, S., Brownlee, H.A., Collins, N.K., Futreal, P.A., Bennett, L.M., and Wiseman, R.W. (1997). Characterization of the rat and mouse homologues of the BRCA2 breast cancer susceptibility gene. Cancer Res *57*, 3121-3125.

Mizuta, R., LaSalle, J.M., Cheng, H.L., Shinohara, A., Ogawa, H., Copeland, N., Jenkins, N.A., Lalande, M., and Alt, F.W. (1997). RAB22 and RAB163/mouse BRCA2: proteins that specifically interact with the RAD51 protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *94*, 6927-6932. 10.1073/pnas.94.13.6927.

Moynahan, M.E., Pierce, A.J., and Jasin, M. (2001). BRCA2 is required for homology-directed repair of chromosomal breaks. Mol Cell *7*, 263-272. 10.1016/s1097-2765(01)00174-5.

Olopade, O.I., Fackenthal, J.D., Dunston, G., Tainsky, M.A., Collins, F., and Whitfield-Broome, C. (2003). Breast cancer genetics in African Americans. Cancer *97*, 236-245. 10.1002/cncr.11019.

Orthwein, A., Noordermeer, S.M., Wilson, M.D., Landry, S., Enchev, R.I., Sherker, A., Munro, M., Pinder, J., Salsman, J., Dellaire, G., et al. (2015). A mechanism for the suppression of homologous recombination in G1 cells. Nature *528*, 422-426. 10.1038/nature16142.

Patel, K.J., Yu, V.P., Lee, H., Corcoran, A., Thistlethwaite, F.C., Evans, M.J., Colledge, W.H., Friedman, L.S., Ponder, B.A., and Venkitaraman, A.R. (1998). Involvement of Brca2 in DNA repair. Mol Cell *1*, 347-357. 10.1016/s1097-2765(00)80035-0.

Pellegrini, L., Yu, D.S., Lo, T., Anand, S., Lee, M., Blundell, T.L., and Venkitaraman, A.R. (2002). Insights into DNA recombination from the structure of a RAD51-BRCA2 complex. Nature *420*, 287-293. 10.1038/nature01230.

Pinder, J., Salsman, J., and Dellaire, G. (2015). Nuclear domain 'knock-in' screen for the evaluation and identification of small molecule enhancers of CRISPR-based genome editing. Nucleic Acids Res *43*, 9379-9392. 10.1093/nar/gkv993.

Piwko, W., Mlejnkova, L.J., Mutreja, K., Ranjha, L., Stafa, D., Smirnov, A., Brodersen, M.M., Zellweger, R., Sturzenegger, A., Janscak, P., et al. (2016). The MMS22L-TONSL heterodimer directly promotes RAD51-dependent recombination upon replication stress. EMBO J *35*, 2584-2601. 10.15252/embj.201593132.

Rothenberg, E., and Ha, T. (2010). Single-molecule FRET analysis of helicase functions. Methods Mol Biol *587*, 29-43. 10.1007/978-1-60327-355-8_3.

Rothkamm, K., Barnard, S., Moquet, J., Ellender, M., Rana, Z., and Burdak-Rothkamm, S. (2015). DNA damage foci: Meaning and significance. Environ Mol Mutagen *56*, 491-504. 10.1002/em.21944.

San Filippo, J., Chi, P., Sehorn, M.G., Etchin, J., Krejci, L., and Sung, P. (2006). Recombination mediator and Rad51 targeting activities of a human BRCA2 polypeptide. J Biol Chem *281*, 11649-11657. 10.1074/jbc.M601249200.

Schlacher, K., Christ, N., Siaud, N., Egashira, A., Wu, H., and Jasin, M. (2011). Double-strand break repair-independent role for BRCA2 in blocking stalled replication fork degradation by MRE11. Cell *145*, 529-542. 10.1016/j.cell.2011.03.041.

Scully, R., and Livingston, D.M. (2000). In search of the tumour-suppressor functions of BRCA1 and BRCA2. Nature *408*, 429-432. 10.1038/35044000.

Sharan, S.K., Morimatsu, M., Albrecht, U., Lim, D.S., Regel, E., Dinh, C., Sands, A., Eichele, G., Hasty, P., and Bradley, A. (1997). Embryonic lethality and radiation hypersensitivity mediated by Rad51 in mice lacking Brca2. Nature *386*, 804-810. 10.1038/386804a0.

Spain, B.H., Larson, C.J., Shihabuddin, L.S., Gage, F.H., and Verma, I.M. (1999). Truncated BRCA2 is cytoplasmic: implications for cancer-linked mutations. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *96*, 13920-13925. 10.1073/pnas.96.24.13920.

Subramanyam, S., and Spies, M. (2018). Expression, Purification, and Biochemical Evaluation of Human RAD51 Protein. Methods Enzymol *600*, 157-178. 10.1016/bs.mie.2017.11.011.

Tal, A., Arbel-Goren, R., and Stavans, J. (2009). Cancer-associated mutations in BRC domains of BRCA2 affect homologous recombination induced by Rad51. J Mol Biol *393*, 1007-1012. 10.1016/j.jmb.2009.09.011.

Thorslund, T., Esashi, F., and West, S.C. (2007). Interactions between human BRCA2 protein and the meiosis-specific recombinase DMC1. EMBO J *26*, 2915-2922. 10.1038/sj.emboj.7601739. Venkitaraman, A.R. (2002). Cancer susceptibility and the functions of BRCA1 and BRCA2. Cell *108*, 171-182. 10.1016/s0092-8674(02)00615-3.

Wang, A.T., Kim, T., Wagner, J.E., Conti, B.A., Lach, F.P., Huang, A.L., Molina, H., Sanborn, E.M., Zierhut, H., Cornes, B.K., et al. (2015). A Dominant Mutation in Human RAD51 Reveals Its Function in DNA Interstrand Crosslink Repair Independent of Homologous Recombination. Mol Cell *59*, 478-490. 10.1016/j.molcel.2015.07.009.

Waterhouse, A., Bertoni, M., Bienert, S., Studer, G., Tauriello, G., Gumienny, R., Heer, F.T., de Beer, T.A.P., Rempfer, C., Bordoli, L., et al. (2018). SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. Nucleic Acids Res *46*, W296-W303. 10.1093/nar/gky427.

Wong, A.K., Pero, R., Ormonde, P.A., Tavtigian, S.V., and Bartel, P.L. (1997). RAD51 interacts with the evolutionarily conserved BRC motifs in the human breast cancer susceptibility gene brca2. J Biol Chem *272*, 31941-31944. 10.1074/jbc.272.51.31941.

Yang, H., Jeffrey, P.D., Miller, J., Kinnucan, E., Sun, Y., Thoma, N.H., Zheng, N., Chen, P.L., Lee, W.H., and Pavletich, N.P. (2002). BRCA2 function in DNA binding and recombination from a BRCA2-DSS1-ssDNA structure. Science *297*, 1837-1848. 10.1126/science.297.5588.1837.

Yu, D.S., Sonoda, E., Takeda, S., Huang, C.L., Pellegrini, L., Blundell, T.L., and Venkitaraman, A.R. (2003). Dynamic control of Rad51 recombinase by self-association and interaction with BRCA2. Mol Cell *12*, 1029-1041. 10.1016/s1097-2765(03)00394-0.

Zadorozhny, K., Sannino, V., Belan, O., Mlcouskova, J., Spirek, M., Costanzo, V., and Krejci, L. (2017). Fanconi-Anemia-Associated Mutations Destabilize RAD51 Filaments and Impair Replication Fork Protection. Cell Rep *21*, 333-340. 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.09.062.

Zhao, W., Steinfeld, J.B., Liang, F., Chen, X., Maranon, D.G., Jian Ma, C., Kwon, Y., Rao, T., Wang, W., Sheng, C., et al. (2017). BRCA1-BARD1 promotes RAD51-mediated homologous DNA pairing. Nature *550*, 360-365. 10.1038/nature24060.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. BRCA2 BRC residues S1221, T1346, and T1980 are conserved residues. S1221P and T1980I are structurally predicted to disrupt BRC folding and RAD51 binding. (A) BRCA2 protein schematic depicting domain organization: 2XMBP tag, N-terminus, BRC repeats, DNA binding domain (DBD), and C-terminal domain (CTD). BRCA2 missense variants used in this study are indicated. **(B)** Multiple sequence alignment of BRCA2 amino acids flanking each missense variant from different organisms: S1221P (BRC2), T1346I (Spacer BRC2-3) and T1980I (BRC7). Residue corresponding to the missense variant is indicated in pink and conserved residues flanking the missense residue are indicated in light grey. Uniprot and ClustalX (70% threshold for shading) were used for the alignment. FxTASGK is a consensus motif important for RAD51 interactions depicted below BRC2 and BRC7. **(C)** Structural models based on 1N0W structure. Homology model comparison of BRC2 (orange) and BRC7 (yellow) based on the BRC4 (pink) structure. Top Inset: BRC2 (S1221), BRC4 (T1526), and BRC7 (T1980) overlayed to show conservation. Bottom Insets: predicted disruptions resulting from variants BRC2 (S1221P), BRC4 (T1526A), and BRC7 (T1980I). BRC4 T1526A is a previously characterized missense variant that disrupts RAD51 binding. RAD51 D187 residue is displayed in green. Clashes are indicated in red. Sequence alignment of BRC2, BRC4, and BRC7 repeats. Missense variant residues are boxed in red.

Figure 2. S1221P and T1980I only partially complement BRCA2 knockout cells in response to DNA damage. T1346I fully rescues DNA damage sensitivity and RAD51 foci formation upon irradiation. (A) Western blot of total cellular lysates from DLD-1 BRCA2$^{-/-}$ cells stably transfected with either empty vector (BRCA2$^{-/-}$) or BRCA2 Wild Type (WT), BRCA2 S1221P (BRC2), T1346I (Spacer BRC2-3), and T1980I (BRC7) full-length BRCA2 cDNA constructs. BRCA2 was detected with an MBP antibody. 2XMBP-BRCA2 (470 kDa), and RAD51 (37 kDa). **(B)** Clonogenic survival analyses of stable cell lines treated with mitomycin C (MMC), cisplatin, Olaparib, and Talazoparib. **(C)** Immunofluorescence images and quantification of RAD51 (green) and gammaH2AX (red) foci, and DAPI staining to visualize nuclei (blue, grey) and micronuclei (grey). Representative images at 6 hr post-IR (12 Gy). Quantification of 4 independent experiments and statistical analysis t-test and one-way ANOVA. Scale bar represents 50 μm. *p value<0.05 ****p value<0.0001.

Figure 3. S1221P and T1980I exhibit defects in HDR and fork protection. (A) Schematic of the Clover-LMNA HDR assay. **(B)** Immunofluorescence images and quantification of Clover-LMNA HDR (green) and DAPI staining to visualize nuclei (blue) 96 hours post-transfection (threshold 0.25). **(C)** Quantification of the percentage of cells Clover positive. **(D)** Schematic of CldU/IdU pulse-labeling followed by a 5 hr hydroxyurea (HU; 4mM) treatment with representative images **(E)** of CldU (Red) and IdU (Green) replication tracts after HU treatment. **(F)** Dot plot of IdU to CldU tract length ratios for individual replication forks in HU-treated cells. All samples are normalized to BRCA2 WT (ratio=1, i.e., functional fork protection). The median value of 100 or more IdU and CldU tracts per experimental condition is indicated. *p value<0.05, **p value<0.01, ***p value<0.001.

Figure 4. BRC2-S1221P and BRC7-T1980I abolish RAD51 binding. (A) Schematic of amylose pull-down reaction to detect RAD51 binding. **(B)** Total cellular lysates (TCL) and amylose pull-downs from HEK 293T cells transiently transfected with 2XMBP, 2XMBP-BRC2, 2XMBP-BRC2 S1221P, 2XMBP-BRC7, and 2XMBP-BRC7 T1980I. Western blot: anti-MBP was used to detect 2XMBP-BRC and anti-RAD51 to detect endogenous RAD51. **(C)** Schematic of aminolink-

conjugated resin reaction to BRC peptides and pull-down reaction to detect purified RAD51 binding. **(D)** Synthesized peptides BRC2, BRC2 S1221P, BRC7, BRC7 T1980I (35 amino acids) were conjugated to aminolink resin and incubated with purified RAD51. Western blot using RAD51 antibody to detect unbound and bound RAD51 after washes and elution of proteins in laemmli sample buffer. **(E)** Amylose pull-downs in HEK 293T cells transiently transfected with 2XMBP, 2XMBP-BRC2, 2XMBP-BRC2-S1221P, 2XMBP-BRC7, 2XMBP-BRC7 T1980I, wild type HA-RAD51, and T131P HA-RAD51. Anti-MBP was used to detect 2XMBP-BRC proteins. HA antibody was used to detect recombinant RAD51.

Figure 5. BRC2-S1221P and BRC7-T1980I fail to stimulate RAD51-ssDNA complex formation. (A) Schematic of reaction to assay BRC repeat stimulation of RAD51-ssDNA complex formation by EMSA. RAD51 was pre-incubated with increasing amounts of BRC protein for 15 minutes then radiolabeled ssDNA (dT40) was added for 40 minutes. All reactions were incubated at 37 degrees and visualized on a 6% TAE polyacrylamide gel. **(B)** Autoradiograms of EMSA gels depicting increasing concentration of BRC proteins: BRC2, BRC2-S1221P, BRC7, BRC7-T1980I incubated with 10 nM RAD51 and 400 pM ssDNA (dT40*). Lane 1 is no protein control. Lane 2 is RAD51 alone. **(C)** Quantification of BRC-RAD51-ssDNA complexes calculated from gels shown in B. Error bars represent the S.D. for two independent experiments. **(D)** Schematic of biotinylated DNA pull-down assay. Purified BRC proteins were pre-incubated with increasing concentrations of purified RAD51 for 10 min. Biotinylated ssDNA (167-mer) was then added for 10 minutes to allow nucleoprotein filament formation and captured on magnetic streptavidin beads. The beads were then washed, eluted in sample buffer, analyzed by SDS-PAGE, and bound RAD51 was detected by western blotting using an anti-RAD51 antibody. **(E)** Western blot depicting RAD51 pulled down and eluted from biotin-DNA-BRC-RAD51 complexes. 0, 50, or 100 nM RAD51 was pre-incubated with 80 nM of BRC peptide, incubated with biotin-ssDNA, washed extensively, and eluted. Densitometric quantitation of RAD51 binding at 100 nM is indicated in the boxes below. **(F**) Schematic depicting single-molecule FRET (smFRET) assay with 30-nucleotide 3'-tail ssDNA. Addition of $BRC7_{WT}$ results in an increase in RAD51 binding leading to a transition from high FRET (DNA-only) to medium FRET ($BRC7_{WT}$ and RAD51-bound). Histograms display a shift ($\Delta E_{FRET} = 11\%$) upon addition of 20 nM $BRC7_{WT}$ and 20 nM RAD51 that is not observed in $BRC7_{T1980I}$ or in the BRC2 peptides (WT and S1221P). Representative histograms do not include zero FRET values or photobleached portions of the FRET trajectories. A minimum of 250 smFRET trajectories were utilized to generate each histogram.

Figure 6. RAD51-mediated DNA strand exchange activity is stimulated by increasing amounts of WT BRCA2 but not T1980I. (A) Diagram of DNA strand exchange assay. RPA was pre-bound to the 3' tail DNA substrate for 5 minutes. Increasing amounts of WT BRCA2 or T1980I protein was then added in combination with RAD51 for 5 minutes, followed by the addition of radiolabeled donor dsDNA for 30 minutes. All reactions were incubated at 37 degrees. The reaction was then deproteinized and run on a 6% TAE polyacrylamide gel. **(B)** Autoradiograms of PAGE gels used to analyze the products of DNA strand exchange. Lane 1 is no protein control. Lane 2 is RAD51 in the absence of RPA or BRCA2. **(C)** Quantification of product formation from autoradiogram in (B); mean values were plotted. Errors bars represent the S.D. of three independent experiments.

Supplementary Figure 1: Polar contacts of S1221P, T1526A, and T1980I. Homology model comparisons of BRC2 and BRC7 based on the BRC4 (1N0W) structure. Top Inset: Polar contacts (black dotted lines) within a BRC4 loop containing residue T1526. Bottom insets: Loss of polar contacts predicted by the S1221P variant within the BRC2 homology model (left), the T1526A variant within BRC4 (middle), and the T1980I variant within the BRC7 homology model (right). RAD51 D187 residue is displayed in green.

Supplementary Figure 2: S1221P, T1346I, and T1980I BRCA2 proteins localize to the nucleus. Localization by immunofluorescence in untreated BRCA2$^{-/-}$ cells stably expressing WT, S1221P, T1346I, and T1980I BRCA2 proteins. Representative images of 2XMBP-BRCA2 (red, anti-MBP), RAD51 (green), and nuclei (blue).

Supplementary Figure 3: BRCA2$^{-/-}$ cells stably expressing full-length BRCA2 S1221P and T1980I proteins only partially rescue sensitivity to crosslinking agents (MMC or cisplatin) and PARP inhibitors (Olaparib or Talazoparib). The T1346I protein rescues to the same extent as WT. Representative images of cell colonies stained with crystal violet 14 days after treatment. PE; plating efficiency. Treatments: 2.5 μM MMC 1hr, 25 μM Cisplatin 1hr, 15 μM Olaparib 24 hr, 20 nM Talazoparib 24 hr.

Supplementary Figure 4: S1221P, T1346I, and T1980I full-length BRCA2 proteins bind RAD51. (A) Western blots of total cellular lysates (TCL) (left gel) or amylose pull-downs (right gel) from BRCA2$^{-/-}$ cells stably expressing full-length BRCA2 WT, S1221P, T1346I, and T1980I. 2XMBP-BRCA2 (470 kDa) detected using a C-terminal antibody. Depicted RAD51 is the endogenous protein (37 kDa). **(B)** Western blots of total cellular lysates (TCL) and amylose pull-downs from HEK 293T cells transiently transfected with 2XMBP-BRCA2 WT, S1221P, T1346I, and T1980I full-length constructs. Anti-MBP antibody was used for BRCA2 detection. Depicted RAD51 is the endogenous protein. Lower red arrow in the Stain Free panel indicates 2XMBP (84 kDa) and upper red arrow indicates 2XMBP-BRCA2 (470 kDa) expression. Graphs on the right represent relative RAD51 expression levels using densitometric analysis from the western blots of total cellular lysates.

Supplementary Figure 5. BRC1-2, BRC7-8, BRC2, and BRC7 bind RAD51 whereas BRC2-S1221P and BRC7-T1980I ablate RAD51 binding. (A) Western blots of total cellular lysates (TCL) and amylose pull-downs from HEK 293T cells transiently transfected with 2XMBP or 2XMBP-BRC repeat constructs: BRC1-8, BRC1-4, BRC5-8, BRC1-2, BRC3-4, BRC 5-6 and BRC 7-8. **(B)** Western blots of total cellular lysates (TCL) and amylose pull-downs from HEK 293T cells transiently transfected with 2XMBP or 2XMBP-BRC repeat constructs: BRC1, BRC2, BRC4, BRC7, BRC2-S1221P, BRC4-T1526A and BRC7-T1980I. BRC proteins were detected with an MBP antibody **(C)** Pull-downs with synthetic peptides: BRC2, BRC2-S1221P, BRC7, BRC7-T1980I fused to aminolink resin. 10 μL of aminolink resin conjugated to each peptide was incubated with increasing concentrations of purified RAD51 protein. Western blots (anti-RAD51) from unbound (UB) and bound (B) elutions from aminolink resin. **(D)** RAD51 self-interaction pull-down assay. Western blots of total cellular lysates and amylose pulldowns from HEK 293T cells transiently co-transfected with 2XMBP or 2XMBP-RAD51 and HA-RAD51 or HA-RAD51 T131P. RAD51 was detected with an HA antibody. **(E)** Western blots from peptide competition assay.

Purified RAD51 previously incubated with or without BRC2 or BRC2-S1221P peptide was utilized in an amylose pull-down assay with 2XMBP-BRC4 or 2XMBP-BRC4-T1526A recombinantly expressed in HEK 293T cells. BRC repeat proteins detected with anti-MBP. Purified RAD51 eluted from amylose beads bound to 2XMBP-BRC peptide detected with anti-RAD51.

Supplementary Figure 6. Purified proteins used for biochemical studies. Optimization of RAD51-ssDNA EMSA. (A) Coomassie stained gel of 2XMBP-BRC fragments BRC2, BRC2-S1221P, BRC7, and BRC7-T1980I proteins purified from HEK 293T cells. Human RAD51 and RPA proteins purified from bacteria. **(B)** Increasing concentrations of purified RAD51 were incubated with radiolabeled dT40 and analyzed by EMSA.

Supplementary Figure 7. smFRET control assays highlighting BRC wildtype and mutant proteins (S1221P and T1980I) show no change in FRET efficiency in the absence of RAD51. (A) Histograms depicting: DNA only, BRC storage buffer only, individual BRC repeat proteins in the absence of RAD51 protein, and 400 nM RAD51 alone. Representative histograms do not include zero FRET values or photobleached portions of the FRET trajectories. **(B)** Illustration of smFRET assay consisting of a 3'-tail DNA (30 nucleotide ssDNA overhang) tethered to a dsDNA substrate attached to the surface. **(C)** Representative smFRET trajectories for DNA only, BRC storage buffer, BRC peptides (wildtype and mutants), and RAD51 alone. At 400 nM RAD51, there is a notable decrease in acceptor intensity (red) indicating RAD51 binding and transition from a high FRET state (DNA-only) to a low FRET state (RAD51-bound). The donor traces are in green, acceptor in red, and FRET trajectories are shown in black.

Supplementary Figure 8. Representative trajectories corresponding to histograms in Figure 5F. Representative individual smFRET trajectories for DNA only, 20 nM RAD51, 20 nM RAD51 in presence of 20 nM BRC2 (WT or S1221P), and 20 nM RAD51 in presence of 20 nM BRC7 (WT or T1980I). The donor traces are in green, acceptor in red, and FRET trajectories are shown in black.

Supplementary Figure 9. Purified full-length BRCA2 WT and T1980I proteins bind a panel of DNA substrates with equivalent efficiencies. (A) Stain Free gel (4-15% gradient SDS-PAGE) of full-length 2XMBP-BRCA2 proteins purified from 293T cells. **(B)** EMSAs depicting increasing concentrations of BRCA2 WT and T1980I proteins incubated with 3' Tail, 5' Tail, ssDNA, and dsDNA substrates. Percentage of protein-DNA complex was calculated as the free DNA remaining in a lane relative to the protein-free lane (0% complex or 100% free DNA). Error bars are S.D. from 3 independent experiments.

Graphical Abstract

A

B

C

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Supplementary Figure 1

Supplementary Figure 2

Supplementary Figure 3

Supplementary Figure 4

Supplementary Figure 5

Supplementary Figure 6

Supplementary Figure 7

Supplementary Figure 8

Supplementary Figure 9