Response of *Endozoicomonas montiporae* to heat stress and coral host lysates Ya-fan Chan¹, Chia-Yu Chen², Chih-Ying Lu², Yung-Chi Tu², Kshitij Tandon², Shinya Shikina^{3, 4} and Sen-Lin Tang^{2#} ¹Department of Microbiology, Soochow University, Taipei 11101, Taiwan ²Biodiversity Research Center, Academia Sinica, Taipei 115, Taiwan ³Institute of Marine Environment and Ecology, National Taiwan Ocean University, Keelung, Taiwan ⁴Center of Excellence for the Oceans, National Taiwan Ocean University, 2 Pei-Ning Rd, Keelung, 20224, Taiwan # Corresponding author Corresponding author Email: sltang@gate.sinica.edu.tw

Abstract

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

Endozoicomonas, a core bacterial group in corals, may also be a coral symbiont. Endozoicomonas communities often decrease rapidly in corals under heat stress. However, how the bacteria respond to changes in temperature and coral host during heat stress is unknown. Here, we employed the cultivable, dominant species E. montiporae as a working organism to explore how Endozoicomonas responds to heat stress. We designed two experiments to clarify the extent to which E. montiporae is influenced by temperature and coral host. We detected differentially expressed protein (DEP) profiles in this bacterium at 31°C and 33°C compared to 25°C by tandem mass tags-based quantitative proteome analysis. Fifty DEPs, including many heat shock proteins, were detected when the temperature changed. The expression of antioxidant defense proteins and key pyruvate synthase proteins decreased, suggesting that E. montiporae were in a physiology of stress at 33°C. Furthermore, some proteins were differentially expressed because of the heat-stress-treated coral lysate specifically, suggesting that not only heat but also heat-induced host factors can affect the protein expression of the bacterium. This study provides an in-depth analysis of how the molecular mechanisms of *Endozoicomonas* are affected by heat stress and coral host.

Introduction

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

Temperature is a crucial factor governing coral physiology [1]. When the seawater temperature increases, heat stress impacts not only the coral host but also all of the coral microbial partners in the coral holobiont [2]. The most typical example is that coral-algae symbiosis can be dissociated by high temperature [3, 4]. Along with symbiotic algae, the impact of heat stress on the coral bacteria has recently received increasing attention due to these bacteria's indispensable ecological contribution to coral hosts [5-7]. Bacteria in the coral holobiont are highly sensitive to heat stress. For instance, Ziegler et al. [8] demonstrated that coral bacteria were significantly affected by 20 h of incubation. Similarly, Lee et al. [9] showed rapid changes in the coral bacteria between coral mucus and tissue within a day. Moreover, Shiu et al. [10] even showed that the coral bacterial community quickly changed from normal to pathogenic- or stress-associated microbiota within 12 h of a short-term heat stress experiment, whereas the density and photosynthetic efficiency (Fv/Fm) of Symbiodiniaceae remained unchanged. Although heat stress usually elicits a clear shift in bacterial community, we still do not know how coral bacteria respond to heat stress, or their underlying biochemical or molecular mechanisms. This is because it is difficult to detect the response of a specific bacterial group in corals, as many studies have shown that coral-associated bacterial communities are diverse and dynamic in various corals with environmental variations. Hence there is a need to establish a bacterial manipulable model to explain in-depth physiological and biochemical characteristics that change when bacteria are exposed to specific biotic or abiotic factors. A similar idea was also proposed recently by van Oppen and Blackall [11]: using pure bacterial cultures for molecular approaches could provide insights into the in-depth, molecular mechanisms of coral bacteria and their interactive relationships with their coral host under a specific environmental condition, such as heat stress. Gao [12] used a cultivable coral pathogenic bacterium, Vibrio coralliilyticus, to investigate transcriptional responses when bacteria interact with coral mucus, and furthermore to elucidate a potential behavior and infection mode of the *V. corallilyticus* that helps explain the pathogenesis of *V. corallilyticus* and coral disease. However, there is no coral-health associated bacterial working model available for such an advanced study.

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

To establish the working model, the first need is to find an appropriate coral bacterium strain that may represent specific functionally important or dominant bacteria in the coral microbiota. Of the vast number of coral bacteria, the genus Endozoicomonas (Gammaproteobacteria, Oceanospirillales, Hahellaceae or *Endozoicomonaceae*) is the best studied and is recognized to be a functionally important, dominant bacterium in the core microbiome of various Scleractinian corals, such as common species of Acropora, Stylophora, Pocillopora and Porites [13-16]. Endozoicomonas has long been suggested to be a potential bacterial symbiont [2, 17]. Hence, it is a suitable bacterium for establishing such a working model. To date, there are only three cultivable *Endozoicomonas* species isolated from Scleractinian corals: E. montiporae, E. acroporae Acr-14^T, and E. coralli [18-20]. Of them, only *E. montiporae* (*E. montiporae* CL-33^T) has had its complete genome sequenced. The genomic features also indicate that *E. montiporae* is a facultative symbiont [21, 22]. Furthermore, in Lee et al. [9], E. montiporae prevailed in Acropora sp., and the former's relative abundance decreased rapidly in the latter—from 80% to less than 20%—when the temperature was increased to 31°C and 33°C. A shift in the associated bacterial community (the E. montiporae was the second most dominant in the community) happened in the coral mucus when the sugar composition of coral mucus was altered by heat stress [23]. Based on these tight relationships between coral host and this bacterium, we believed that *E. montiporae* is the most suitable model microorganism for developing a working model to investigate the in-depth molecular physiological response of coral bacteria under heat stress [10]. In this study, we used *E. montiporae* as a model organism and detected differentially expressed protein profiles of this bacterium in vitro at two high temperatures, 31°C, and 33°C, based on the previous study [9]. Furthermore, we assumed that some of the heat stress-induced responses in the bacterium can be affected not only directly by temperature but also indirectly by the host factors, also induced by heat stress. Thus, the differentially expressed protein profiles of the bacteria were also detected by co-incubating with coral lysates after high temperature treatments. We found that temperature was the main factor explaining the changes in most proteins of E. montiporae. However, some proteins were affected by factors of

- temperature-induced coral lysates. Further discussion is provided on the molecular
- response of the specific coral bacterium under heat stress and influence from heat-
- induced coral hosts.

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

Materials and Methods Bacteria culture inoculation E. montiporae CL-33^T was cultivated in an MMBv4 medium at 25°C on a shaker with 200 rpm as previously described [21]. **Experimental design for heat stress experiment** The initial E. montiporae CL-33^T culture was grown at 25°C to an optical density at 600 nm (OD) of 0.6, and then diluted 1/200 in total of nine Falcon centrifuge tubes with 20 mL fresh MMBv4 medium [21]. Nine bottles were incubated at 25°C, 31°C and 33°C (n=3 for each temperature) (Fig. 1A). Bacterial growth was monitored by a spectrophotometer, measuring the OD value after 16, 24 and 48 h from each tube. A 1 mL subsample from each cultural bottle was centrifuged at 9,000 g at 4°C. After discarding the supernatant, the pellet was washed with 1 mL 1X phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) three times. A total of 27 sample tubes were flashfrozen using liquid nitrogen immediately and stored at -80°C until total protein extraction. **Experimental designing for coral lysate experiment** To test the protein profiles of *E. montiporae* co-incubating with coral lysates, we prepared and placed coral lysates into dialysis tubing (D9777, 14 kDa for molecular weight cutoff; Sigma, Aldrich, USA) and co-incubated the dialysis tubing in the bacterial culture at a specific temperature. The details of the experiment are described in the following paragraphs. For the dialysis tubing preparation, the tubing was cut into 25-cm long pieces, boiled in the washing solution (1 mM EDTA, 0.2% w/v sodium bicarbonate) for 10 mins and washed three times with sterilized water. The treated tubing was then moved into another glass bottle with boiled-EDTA solution (1 mM, pH=8.0) for 10 mins. The tubing was stored at 4°C until use. To prepare the coral lysate, nubbins of the coral *Acropora* spp. (about 3 cm long for each) were collected from one colony from the northeast coast of Taiwan (25°02'N, 121°98'E). Coral nubbins (n=12) were acclimated in a 30-liter aguarium filled with filtered nature seawater for two weeks at 25°C. The temperature of the water baths was maintained by Hailea 150A aquarium chillers. Light intensity was about 150-u mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ under 12/12 h light and dark cycles.

The treatment tank was raised by 1°C per day for 9 days from 25°C to 33°C. The

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

control tank was maintained at 25°C throughout the experiment (Fig. 1B). HOBO temperature loggers were placed in the tanks to monitor the water temperature. Coral mucus and tissue were collected from three randomly chosen coral nubbins on Days 1 and 9 from the two tanks. The detailed method for coral mucus and tissue collection is described below. First, the nubbins were rinsed with artificial seawater (ASW). Then, the mucus was "milked" from each nubbin and collected in a 5 mL tube. Later, we sprayed the tissues (with some mucus) from the same nubbin with ASW. In the laminar hood, we transferred mucus (1 mL) and tissue (1 mL) into two separate dialysis tubings. These dialysis tubings were placed into two 50 mL Falcon tubes containing 15 mL bacteria cultures, separately. A total of 12 samples (mucus: n=6, tissue: n=6) from the treatment and control tanks were incubated at 25°C at 200 rpm on Day 1 (Fig. 1B). Another dialysis bag, which contained ASW (1 mL), was put into another 15 mL bacteria culture with three replicates, which acted as controls (25°C and 200 rpm). After six hours of incubation, all the bacteria culture from each tube were collected into several 2 mL Eppendorf tubes and centrifuged at 9000 x g for 10 mins. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was stored at -80°C until total protein extraction. Bacteria growth (1 mL) was monitored by measuring the OD values at the same time. On the ninth day, coral mucus and tissue were collected using the same approach described above—except that the sample tubes, which had the coral extract (mucus or tissue) from the treatment tank, were now at 33°C. Three of the ASW sample tubes were incubated at 33°C as well. For the control tank, those sample tubes (containing mucus, tissue or ASW) were incubated at 25°C. Thus, there were a total of 18 samples on the ninth day. After six hours, all of the 15 mL bacteria cultures were collected from the Falcon tubes and the OD value was measured. Protein preparation and LC-Mass Spectrometry Method Total protein was extracted using ExtractPRO Protein Extraction Reagent (Visual Protein, Energenesis Biomedical, Taiwan) following the manufacturer's instructions. The protein pellet was firstly suspended in 8 M Urea in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) for the extraction. Protease digestion and labeling before LC-MS analysis were performed as described in Lan et al. [24]. Protein concentrations were measured using a Pierce 660

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

nm protein Assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, USA). Proteins (100 µg) from each sample were reduced in 10 mM dithiothreitol for 1 h at 37°C and alkylated in 50 mM iodoacetamide at room temperature for 30 min in the dark. The protein solution was then diluted with 4 M urea with 50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.5 and digested with 250 units/ml of Benzonase (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) at room temperature for 2 h, followed by lysyl endopeptidase (Wako, Japan) digestion (1:200 (w/w)) at room temperature for 4 h. The protein solution was further diluted down to less than 2 M urea with 50 mM Tris-Cl (pH 8) and incubated with 2 μg of modified trypsin (w/w, 1:50) (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) at 37°C overnight. This protease digested solution was acidified with 10% trifluoroacetic acid, desalted using an Oasis HLB cartridge (Waters) and dried with a SpeedVac (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, USA). After protein digestion, the peptide mixture was labeled with TMT 10-plex and 11-plex isobaric tandem mass tags (catalog no. 90110 and A34808, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, USA) following the manufacturer's instruction. The labeled peptide samples were then pooled and lyophilized in a vacuum concentrator. The final dried pellet was re-dissolved in 10 of 3% ACN / 0.1% formic acid and its protein profile analyzed by LC-MS/MS (Orbitrap FusionTM TribridTM Mass Spectrometer). **Data analysis** Peptide and protein identification were performed using the Proteome Discoverer software (v2.2, Thermo Fisher Scientific) with SEQUEST search engine [25, 26]. Protein identification used 10 ppm and a fragment ion mass tolerance of 0.02 Da. The q-value threshold of 0.01 was used to filter the peptides. In addition, the minimal required peptide length was set to two peptides per protein. Only the proteins that were exhibited in all three replicate samples were kept. The identified proteins were subjected to Gene Ontology (GO) annotation using the analytical system of Generic Gene Ontology Term Finder (http://go.princeton.edu/cgi-bin/GO Term Finder). The biological pathways of the proteins were acquired from the KEGG Pathway database (http://www.genome.ad.jp/kegg/) coupled with UniProtKB annotation (UniProtKB database, http://www.uniprot.org/). Protein profiles were analyzed for statistical significance analysis with the Proteome Discoverer software. Proteins with a log₂ fold change ($\log_2 FC$) < 1.5 (or > 1.5) and p < 0.05 were considered significantly different in

210211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

the protein quantification (one-way ANOVA). Furthermore, significantly expressed proteins were clustered into different function categories using the Cluster of Orthologous Groups of proteins (COG) database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/COG). Hierarchical clustering analysis and nMDS analysis were used to visualize the pairwise dissimilarity in protein composition between different samples after transformation (Log (x+1)) by Primer 6 (PRIMER-E. Lutton, Lvybridge, United Kingdom) [27]. In the coral lysate experiment, comparisons among or within the treatment and control experiments revealed the proteins that were differentially produced across the bacteria that were affected by four different factors (coral lysate, tank, temperature and time) (Fig. 1C). (1) The differentially produced proteins of bacteria between the artificial seawater and mucus (or tissue) represented the effect of coral lysate in 25°C or 33°C treatment experiments (25M/25S, 25T/25S, 33M/33S, and 33T/33S). (2) Comparisons between control and treatment experiments on the first day were represented as tank effects (25M/C1M and 25T/C1T). (3) The differentially produced proteins of bacteria between the control and treatment experiments on the last day represented as temperature effects (33M/C2M, 33T/C2T, and 33S/C2S). (4) Time also appeared to have an effect, with the first and last day of the control experiments yielding different proteins (C2M/C1M, C2T/C1T, and C2S/25S).

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

Results Growth curve of *E. montiporae* in heat stress experiments To monitor the growth conditions of *E. montiporae* under thermal stress and determine the sampling time for the second experiment (the coral lysate experiment), we estimated the OD values of E. montiporae during different growth phases in the three temperatures treatments. The maximum OD value of E. montiporae was 1.28 at 25°C and 1.2 at 31°C after 36 hours. However, the growth curve at 33°C was lower than those of the other two temperatures, especially after 24 hours of incubation (Fig. 2A); therefore, *E. montiporae* grew slower at 33°C than at the other two temperatures. An overview of protein profiles in heat stress experiments A total of 1,477,327 unique spectra and 33,841 unique peptides were detected by the LC-MS/MS, and 2,017 unique proteins were identified across the 24 samples. Approximately 41% of the 4,910 predicted open reading frames in the genome of E. montiporae CL-33^T were identified from all 24 samples. Most proteins are functionally related to the categories in the cellular metabolic process, nitrogen compound metabolic process, and primary metabolic process according to GO (Suppl. Fig. S1). Noteworthily, 59 proteins were annotated in GO as responding to stress e.g., chaperone proteins and catalase (Suppl. Fig. S1). Results of hierarchical clustering and nMDS analyses showed that the protein profiles of the E. montiporae grown for 48 hours were clearly distinct from the profiles of those grown for 16 and 24 hours (Fig. 2B and C). Comparing within the same incubation time, the protein profiles from 25°C were different from those at 31°C and 33°C (Fig. 2B). Bacteria grown for 16 hours at 25°C were especially separate from other samples. Significantly expressed proteins in the heat stress experiments The number of differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) decreased with increasing incubation time (Fig. 2D, Data set 1). At 16 hours, the number of DEPs in the culture at 33°C (79 proteins) was more than the culture at 31°C (68 proteins). After 24 hours, both E. montiporae cultured at 31°C and 33°C showed about 43 to 51 DEPs. In the time course, up-expression proteins were detected less and less in E. montiporae cultured at 31°C and 33°C (Fig. 2F). Furthermore, the up-expression proteins in E. montiporae cultured at 33°C were less than that at 31°C at every time point. In contrast, E. montiporae cultured at 33°C had more down-expression proteins

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

than E. montiporae cultured at 31°C. Sixty-six of the total 154 DEPs were annotated by COGs (Suppl. Table. S1). Except for proteins with unknown function, most of the COG annotated-proteins were in the metabolism category (43.52%). A heatmap (z-score transformed) was made to detect expression patterns of the DEPs in the metabolism category (Fig. 2E). Cluster I shows down-expression proteins after 16 hours at 31°C and 33°C, respectively. Those down-expression proteins are mainly involved in the oxidation-reduction process and pyruvate metabolism. Cluster II shows the up-expression proteins after 16 hours at 31°C and 33°C. These upexpression proteins were involved in the oxidation-reduction process, pyruvate metabolism, glycerolipid metabolism, carbohydrate metabolism, transport, and others. Furthermore, Cluster III shows down-expression proteins after 48 hours, especially the culture at 33°C. These proteins are mainly involved in steroid degradation and ABC transport. We categorized the proteins related to heat shock-related responses into two groups: (1) heat shock protein and co-chaperone proteins, and (2) antioxidant defense proteins (Suppl. Table, S2). The first group of proteins were up-expressed after 16 hours at 31°C and 33°C. In particular, the small heat shock protein IbpA (log₂FC 2.81), chaperone protein ClpB (log₂FC 1.76), lon protease (log₂FC 1.72), and periplasmic serine endoprotease DegP (log₂FC 1.93) were significantly up-expressed after 16 hours at 33°C when tricorn protease was significantly down-expressed after 48 hours. In the category of antioxidant defense proteins, catalase proteins were down-expressed after 24 and 48 hours in the E. montiporae culture at 33°C (log₂FC: -2.08 and -2.1). Physiological condition of coral and E. montiporae in the coral lysate experiment The average PAM values decreased from 0.7 to 0.6 following an increase in the water temperature in the experimental tank (Suppl. Fig. S2) (coral color card E3). However, the average PAM value in the control tank was consistent at 0.67 throughout the experiment. In addition, the color of the coral nubbins remained dark brown in the control tank (Suppl. Fig. S2) (coral color card E5). The average OD values of bacterial cultures changed from 0.5 to 0.9 during incubation (6 hours in total), indicating that the bacterial growth stayed in the exponential phase throughout the experimental time (Suppl. Fig. S3). An overview of the protein profiles in the coral lysate experiment

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

A total of 2,950,378 spectra and 33,582 unique peptides were detected. Among them, 1,901 unique proteins were identified across all samples, accounting for 38.7% of the 4,910 predicted open reading frames in the *E. montiporae* CL-33^T genome. Most proteins were involved in organic substance metabolic processes, cellular metabolic processes, primary metabolic processes and nitrogen compound metabolic processes (Suppl. Fig. S5). In the nMDS analysis, one replicate (25M 1) from the mucus treatment at 25°C was not clustered with the other two; it was deemed an outlier (Suppl. Fig. S4A) and removed from subsequent analyses (Suppl. Fig. S4B). Clustering samples were clearly separated by temperature (33°C and 25°C) (Fig. 3A). Furthermore, the samples from the first day in the control tank (C1M and C1T) and treatment tank (25M, 25T and 25S) were clustered together (Fig. 3A). **DEPs** in the coral lysate experiment Comparing the effects of coral lysate and temperature, a high number of DEPs (approximately 50) were detected based on changes in temperature, and only a few (seven) were detected to have been caused by the coral lysate (Fig. 3C, Data set 2). Furthermore, most of the DEPs in temperature effect were down-expressed (Fig. 3D). Five proteins, involved in two categories of bacterial heat stress response, were highly up- or down-expressed during the 6 hours of incubation (Suppl. Table S3): the small heat shock protein IbpA, lon protease, catalase, aldehyde dehydrogenase and 4hydroxyphenylacetate 3-monooxygenase oxygenase component. COG analysis was performed for all of the DEPs in the metabolism process category (Suppl. Table S4). In those proteins, the z-score heatmap displayed 18 downexpressed proteins related to steroid degradation in mucus and tissue treatments (Fig. 3E). Moreover, there were also eight down-expressed proteins involved in pyruvate metabolism (Fig. 3E). To gain more insights into the potentially important cellular mechanisms of bacteria-coral host interactions, proteins that underwent significant changes but had a log₂FC < 1.5 were also discussed. Hence, if we consider all of the differential expression proteins (p < 0.05, regardless of their $\log_2 FC$), 10 to 70 proteins were influenced by the coral lysate effect (Fig. 4A, Suppl. Table S5). Furthermore, many differential expression proteins were down-expressed in the tissue treatment at 25°C (25T/25S), but up-expressed in the tissue treatment at 33°C (33T/33S) (Fig. 4B). In

addition, most of the $\log_2 FC$ of differential expression proteins were <1 in the tissue treatments at 25°C and 33°C (Fig. 4C). Noteworthily, 14 differential expression proteins were detected in the mucus treatment at 25°C (25M/25S) and had a $\log_2 FC$ > 1; these 14 proteins were involved in the signal recognition particle pathway and toxin-antitoxin system (Table 1).

The tank and time effects were very low because most proteins maintained a similar protein abundance ($\log_2 FC$ < 1.5; Suppl. Fig. S6 and S7).

Discussion

Endozoicomonas is a core bacterial group in corals that plays a role in coral health, and often decreases rapidly in corals under heat stress [5, 6, 10, 28]. However, how these bacteria respond to changes in temperature and coral hosts during heat stress were unknown until now. This study is the first to provide insights into the physiological changes of E. montiporae to heat stress and host factors using a proteomic approach. We designed two experiments to clarify how much temperature and the coral host influence the bacterium, and how bacterial metabolism is affected by both factors. Our study shows that temperature is the main factor affecting protein abundance in the bacterium, though the coral host also has some influence. More details are given in the following paragraphs.

Impact of high temperature on the protein profiles of *E. montiporae*

Temperature was the main factor in this study affecting changes in the protein profiles of *E. montiporae*. Previous studies have shown that *Endozoicomonas* are highly sensitive to temperature changes in various corals [5, 9, 10, 17, 29]. Most recent studies also show the same phenomenon—e.g., at 32°C, *Endozoicomonas* populations' relative abundances rapidly halved in the coral *Pocillopora damicornis* within 48 h [30]. In the coral *Stylophora pistillata*, the resident *Endozoicomonas* population quickly decreased at 32°C and 34.5°C over 6 hours [28].

However, what exactly caused these reductions in *Endozoicomonas* is still mostly unknown. There are some possible reasons for the phenomenon. One is that heat stress made it difficult for the bacteria to live and grow in their host corals, and the bacteria consequently left for other habitats. Or other bacteria outcompeted the *Endozoicomonas*. Our molecular study clearly shows that a stressful physiological status is one of the major factors that causes *Endozoicomonas* populations to decline in corals. At 33°C, those crucial metabolisms such as the proteins for central metabolisms were mostly down-expressed in this study. In other words, *Endozoicomonas* physiology—including growth—was clearly influenced by heat stress. Protein expression changed starkly for a number of proteins, such as heat-shock proteins, stress-associated reactive oxygen species, and other important metabolism-related proteins. We elaborate on each of these below.

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

The heat shock-related response is one of the fundamental responses that most bacteria have to heat stress [31]. How heat shock proteins (HSPs) help bacteria adapt and survive in thermal stress environments had been widely explored by previous studies [32-36]. In this study, a number of HSPs and co-chaperones were significantly increased in E. montiporae in the two heat stress experiments; for example, small heat shock protein IbpA, chaperone protein ClpB, lon protease, and periplasmic serine endoprotease DegP were all up-expressed after 16 hours at 33°C (log₂FC values were about 2). Previous studies suggested that those proteins contribute to the resolution of protein aggregates to confer superior heat tolerance [37-39]. In particular, we found that IbpA and lon protease were quickly expressed during the 6 h of incubation at 33°C in the coral lysate experiment (meaning log₂FC of IbpA was 2.36 and lon protease was 1.16). The major consequences of increasing temperature for cells are protein unfolding and aggregation, with concomitant loss of function [38]. Those unfolding or aggregated proteins could be degraded and cleaned by both IbpA and Lon proteases under heat stress [40]. Thus, E. montiporae responded quickly to the expression of HSPs to prevent the irreversible aggregation or misfolding of proteins in the early stages of the heat stress treatment, especially at 33°C. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are crucial to the coral holobiont, and they are tightly associated with coral physiology under heat stress and bleaching conditions [41, 42]. Removing ROS is critical for corals to maintain their homeostasis during stress. In this study, we also detected a rapid change in the proteins that are responsible for ROS-related metabolisms. For example, catalases are mainly responsible for removing ROS in many bacterial cells. Similarly, E. montiporae expressed more catalases (Log₂FC: 1.91) and other antioxidant defense proteins (i.e. hydroperoxy fatty acid reductase) at 31°C that would facilitate the bacterium to remove extra ROS from cells and prevent further damages by ROS. However, the expression of those proteins was decreased at 33°C, suggesting that the bacterium's physiology was not functioning properly. The growth curve of the bacterial culture at 33°C also plateaued earlier than the other two temperature treatments, also indicating that the bacteria grew incompetently at 33°C. Additionally, we also noticed that energy-related metabolism under heat stress in the coral lysate experiment was significantly decreased—such as the key proteins, phosphoenolpyruvate synthase and

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase—also suggesting that the bacteria had poor cellular physiology at 33°C. The temperature effect also greatly reduced ecotin levels in *E. montiporae* (meaning of log₂FC was -2.51). Ecotin, which is usually located in periplasmic space of many bacteria, is both a serine protease inhibitor and functionally related to bacterial defenses against bacteriophages and other bacteria [43-46]. A recent study found that ecotin plays a role in the defense of Escherichia coli against attacks from Vibrio cholera [47]. The decrease in ecotin levels in E. montiporae at high temperature might weaken the bacterium's defenses against other bacteria. Intriguingly, Lee et al. [9] found that the E. montiporae population decreased at high temperatures while *Vibrio* spp. increased rapidly under the same conditions. Perhaps the phenomenon of these opposing changes in E. montiporae and Vibrio spp. was partially the result of E. montiporae becoming more defenseless at the high temperature, allowing *Vibrio* spp. to colonize the coral tissues. Myint et al. [47] also showed that an E. coli ecotin mutant became more susceptible to V. cholera attack than did the wild type strain. However, the relationship between ecotin and the defense mechanism in *E. montiporae* requires mutation experiments to prove. Effects of heat stress-induced coral lysate on E. montiporae In addition to temperature, we found that host factors changed a number of proteins in the co-incubation experiment with coral lysates, suggesting that bacterial physiological responses to heat stress are constituted by both temperature and host factors. Under stressful conditions, coral host factors also affected or influenced the bacterium. Some intriguing proteins are discussed as follows. First, N,N'-diacetylchitobiose-specific EIIA component (ChbA) of E. montiporae was up-expressed with a high value of log₂FC (i.e., 1.29) in the thermal stressed-coral mucus samples. ChbA protein participates in transporting cellobiose and belongs to the phosphoenolpyruvate-dependent sugar phosphotransferase system (PTS system), a major carbohydrate active transport system in bacteria [48]. Cellobiose is a sugar component of cellulose and the major composition of algal cells [49, 50]. A recent report shows that Symbiodiniaceae increased in coral mucus after incubating at 31°C [51], suggesting that the cellobiose concentration increases in the mucus at higher temperatures. In our study, the increase in ChbA levels in E. montiporae could be

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

induced to transport increasing amounts of cellobiose. Moreover, high ChbA expression might also help in the removal of unwanted algal components in coral host by the PTS system, as suggested by Neave et al. [22], who discovered many genes of the PTS system encoded in *Endozoicomonas* genomes. Second, the proteins involved in steroid degradation in E. montiporae had more down-expression in the mucus and tissue treatments than in the seawater treatment under heat stress (Fig 3E). For example, the log₂FCs of 3-oxosteroid 1-dehydrogenase were -2.01 and -2.3 in mucus and tissue treatments, but -1.69 in the seawater treatment. This implies that the thermal-stressed coral lysate had additional effects on the expression of proteins during the heat stress. Why did the temperature effect cause the down-expression of proteins that were involved in steroid degradation in E. montiporae under heat stress? The steroid uptake mechanisms in bacteria have remained unclear because of high physiological and structural differences among bacterial groups [52]. A previous study, however, demonstrated that down-expression of steroid metabolism processes in the coral Acropora spp. and Symbiodiniaceae during the thermal stress [53]. Hence, we speculate that the phenomenon that the proteins involved in steroid degradation in E. montiporae decreased could be caused by the lower concentration of steroids in the coral lysate after the heat stress treatment. This hypothesis, however, requires more experimentation to prove. It is worth addressing that fewer proteins underwent significant changes in the coral lysate effect than the temperature effect in the coral lysate experiment. This could be partially due to a limitation in the experiment—that the dialysis tubing with a pore size of 14 kDa used to load coral lysate only allowed small molecules to pass into the bacterial culture. Molecules such as glucose, vitamin B12, inorganic salt, and cytochrome C can pass through the tubing, but larger molecules—such as liposome and starch—could not. Thus, the effect of host factors on E. montiporae are likely to be underestimated. Three protein groups in E. montiporae were more active only with unheated coral mucus Coral mucus composition is dynamic of changes in host physiology and environmental factors. For example, Lee et al [9] demonstrated that there was a shift in the proportion of different sugar components in coral mucus after heat stress.

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

Interestingly, we found that E. montiporae showed significant changes in protein expressions, and that log₂FC values were higher than 1 when the bacteria were coincubated with mucus at 25°C compared with the seawater samples (Table 1). Most of the proteins were involved in three types of protein groups: signal recognition particle pathway, type II toxin-antitoxin system and V-type ATPase. These significantly changed proteins were presented only with unheated coral mucus rather than heat stress induced-coral mucus. This indicates that specific factors in coral mucus must change during heat stress and affect the expression of those proteins in E. montiporae. In other words, those highly expressed protein groups are likely to be functionally related to coral mucus under regular conditions. The first group comprised six significantly changed proteins involved in the signal recognition particle pathway (SRP). SRP is essential for delivering the nascent protein to properly localize the inner membrane and mediate secretory proteins [54, 55]. SRP depletion may cause the accumulation of mis-localized proteins in bacteria [56]. Many reports showed various evidence that the SPR pathway plays important roles in membrane integrity, cell physiology, and viability [57-60]. In this study, high expression of the SRP is specifically associated with coral mucus, suggesting that the SRP might be functionally crucial for *E. montiporae* living in or passing coral mucus during colonization. The second group is two highly expressed proteins, HigB and HigA, also called Type II toxin-antitoxin (TA) system, which is present in many bacteria [61, 62]. They are a pair of proteins composed of a stable toxin and its cognate antitoxin protein. Under normal growth conditions, they form a tight and nontoxic complex. When the antitoxin is degraded by proteases under environmental stress, cell fundamental functions such as growth, gene regulation and biofilm formation are impaired [63, 64]. Hence, the high expression of HigB and HigA also indicates that *E. montiporae* was in a non-stressful condition. Moreover, the TA system is also functionally related to defense in bacteria. For example, Sberro et al. [65] demonstrated that the TA system in E. coli provides resistance against the T7 phage. Our proteomic results suggest that the up-expression of the TA system would be helpful to E. montiporae in defense. The third group is V-type ATPase. The log₂FC of V-type ATPase subunit E in E. montiporae increased to 1.63.V-type ATPase is a membrane-embedded protein

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

complex [66]. V-type ATPase was found not only in eukaryotes but also archaea and bacteria, including Thermus thermophilus, Enterococcues hirae, Lactobacillu plantarum, and Clostridium fervidus [67-71]. V-type ATPase in bacteria have multiple functions, including proton pump, ion pump and ATP synthesis [72-75]. Moreover, V-type ATPase also plays a role in maintaining physiological homeostasis for bacteria [76-78]. Although the function of V-type ATPase is still unclear in E. montiporae, highly expressed V-type ATPase in E. montiporae serves as potential evidence of a specific response that is functionally related to coral mucus in coral-E. montoporae interactions. **Conclusion** To establish a working model for the in-depth investigation of bacteria-coral interactions, this study used the cultivable, dominant coral-associated bacterium E. montiporae as a model organism to dissect changes in proteomic profiles of the bacteria, responding to heat stress and heat stressed-coral lysate. We successfully detected a number of pivotal proteins related to the heat-stress physiology of E. montiporae that provides new research directions in the molecular microbiology and microbial ecology of E. montiporae in the coral holobiont. This is one of few primary works exploring the molecular mechanisms underlying physiological changes in coral symbiotic bacteria, and we anticipate that this study will inspire more molecular studies on coral microbes that will better elucidate the detailed coral-bacteria interactions.

520 521 Acknowledgement 522 This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST) in 523 Taiwan (grant No. MOST107-2611-M-001-002, MOST108-2611-M-001-004, and 524 MOST109-2611-M-001-002) and three Postdoctoral Research Fellows projects (grant 525 No. MOST107-2811-M-001-043, MOST108-2811-M-001-603, and MOST109-2811-526 M-001-586). Ya-fan Chan is also supported by the Ministry of Science and 527 Technology, Taiwan (MOST 110-2611-M-031-001) and Research Grants for New 528 Teachers of College of Science, Soochow University, Taiwan. We thank Miss Yin-529 Chu Cheng and Mr. Tzu-Chieh Lin (institute of Marine Environment and Ecology, 530 National Taiwan Ocean University, Taiwan) for their help in collecting the corals. 531 532 **Conflict of Interest** 533 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. 534 535 **References:** 1. Hughes TP, Kerry JT, Álvarez-Noriega M, Álvarez-Romero JG, Anderson KD, 536 537 Baird AH et al (2017). Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. 538 Nature **543**: 373-377. 539 540 2. Bourne DG, Morrow KM, Webster NS (2016). Insights into the coral microbiome: 541 underpinning the health and resilience of reef ecosystems. Annual review of 542 microbiology **70:** 317-340. 543 544 3. Hughes TP, Kerry JT, Baird AH, Connolly SR, Dietzel A, Eakin CM et al (2018). Global warming transforms coral reef assemblages. Nature 556: 492-496. 545 546 547 4. Kemp DW, Hernandez-Pech X, Iglesias-Prieto R, Fitt WK, Schmidt GW (2014). Community dynamics and physiology of Symbiodinium spp. before, during, and after 548 549 a coral bleaching event. Limnol Oceanogr 59: 788-797. 550 551 5. Bourne D, Iida Y, Uthicke S, Smith-Keune C (2008). Changes in coral-associated 552 microbial communities during a bleaching event. *Isme J* 2: 350-363.

- 6. McDevitt-Irwin JM, Baum JK, Garren M, Vega Thurber RL (2017). Responses of
- coral-associated bacterial communities to local and global stressors. Frontiers in
- 556 *Marine Science* **4:** 262.
- 7. Mouchka ME, Hewson I, Harvell CD (2010). Coral-associated bacterial
- assemblages: current knowledge and the potential for climate-driven impacts.
- *Integrative and comparative biology* **50:** 662-674.
- 8. Ziegler M, Seneca FO, Yum LK, Palumbi SR, Voolstra CR (2017). Bacterial
- community dynamics are linked to patterns of coral heat tolerance. *Nat Commun* 8: 1-
- 564 8.

561

565

569

573

576

- 9. Lee ST, Davy SK, Tang S-L, Fan T-Y, Kench PS (2015). Successive shifts in the
- microbial community of the surface mucus layer and tissues of the coral Acropora
- muricata under thermal stress. Fems Microbiol Ecol 91: fiv142.
- 570 10. Shiu J-H, Keshavmurthy S, Chiang P-W, Chen H-J, Lou S-P, Tseng C-H et al
- 571 (2017). Dynamics of coral-associated bacterial communities acclimated to
- temperature stress based on recent thermal history. *Scientific Reports* 7: 14933.
- 11. van Oppen MJ, Blackall LL (2019). Coral microbiome dynamics, functions and
- design in a changing world. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **17:** 557-567.
- 577 12. Gao C, Garren M, Penn K, Fernandez VI, Seymour JR, Thompson JR et al
- 578 (2021). Coral mucus rapidly induces chemokinesis and genome-wide transcriptional
- shifts toward early pathogenesis in a bacterial coral pathogen. *The ISME Journal*: 1-
- 580 15.

581

- 13. Bayer T, Arif C, Ferrier-Pages C, Zoccola D, Aranda M, Voolstra CR (2013).
- 583 Bacteria of the genus Endozoicomonas dominate the microbiome of the
- Mediterranean gorgonian coral Eunicella cavolini. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* **479:** 75-84.

- 586 14. Mendoza M, Guiza L, Martinez X, Caraballo X, Rojas J, Aranguren LF (2013). A
- novel agent (Endozoicomonas elysicola responsible for epitheliocystis in cobia
- Rachycentrum canadum larvae. *Dis Aquat Organ* **106:** 31-37.
- 590 15. Meyer JL, Paul VJ, Teplitski M (2014). Community shifts in the surface
- microbiomes of the coral Porites astreoides with unusual lesions. *Plos One* **9**:
- 592 e100316.

593

597

601

604

608

612

- 16. Tandon K, Lu C-Y, Chiang P-W, Wada N, Yang S-H, Chan Y-F et al (2020).
- 595 Comparative genomics: Dominant coral-bacterium Endozoicomonas acroporae
- metabolizes dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP). *The ISME Journal* **14:** 1290-1303.
- 598 17. Neave MJ, Apprill A, Ferrier-Pagès C, Voolstra CR (2016). Diversity and
- 599 function of prevalent symbiotic marine bacteria in the genus Endozoicomonas. Appl
- 600 *Microbiol Biot* **100**: 8315-8324.
- 18. Chen W-M, Lin K-R, Sheu S-Y (2019). Endozoicomonas coralli sp. nov., isolated
- from the coral Acropora sp. *Arch Microbiol* **201**: 531-538.
- 605 19. Sheu S-Y, Lin K-R, Hsu M-y, Sheu D-S, Tang S-L, Chen W-M (2017).
- 606 Endozoicomonas acroporae sp. nov., isolated from Acropora coral. Int J Syst Evol
- 607 *Micr* **67:** 3791-3797.
- 609 20. Yang C-S, Chen M-H, Arun A, Chen CA, Wang J-T, Chen W-M (2010).
- 610 Endozoicomonas montiporae sp. nov., isolated from the encrusting pore coral
- Montipora aequituberculata. *Int J Syst Evol Micr* **60:** 1158-1162.
- 21. Ding J-Y, Shiu J-H, Chen W-M, Chiang Y-R, Tang S-L (2016). Genomic insight
- into the host–endosymbiont relationship of Endozoicomonas montiporae CL-33T
- with its coral host. Front Microbiol 7: 251.
- 617 22. Neave MJ, Michell CT, Apprill A, Voolstra CR (2017). Endozoicomonas
- genomes reveal functional adaptation and plasticity in bacterial strains symbiotically
- associated with diverse marine hosts. Scientific Reports 7.

23. Lee ST, Davy SK, Tang S-L, Kench PS (2016). Mucus Sugar Content Shapes the

Bacterial Community Structure in Thermally Stressed Acropora muricata. Front

623 Microbiol 7.

620

624

628

632

636

639

644

649

- 625 24. Lan P, Li W, Wen T-N, Shiau J-Y, Wu Y-C, Lin W et al (2011). iTRAQ protein
- profile analysis of Arabidopsis roots reveals new aspects critical for iron homeostasis.
- 627 Plant Physiol 155: 821-834.
- 629 25. MacCoss MJ, Wu CC, Yates JR (2002). Probability-based validation of protein
- 630 identifications using a modified SEQUEST algorithm. Analytical chemistry 74: 5593-
- 631 5599.
- 633 26. Muthusamy S, Lundin D, Mamede Branca RM, Baltar F, González JM, Lehtiö J
- et al (2017). Comparative proteomics reveals signature metabolisms of exponentially
- growing and stationary phase marine bacteria. *Environ Microbiol* **19:** 2301-2319.
- 27. Clarke K, Gorley R (2005). PRIMER: Getting started with v6. PRIMER-E Ltd:
- 638 Plymouth, UK.
- 28. Savary R, Barshis DJ, Voolstra CR, Cárdenas A, Evensen NR, Banc-Prandi G et
- 641 al (2021). Fast and pervasive transcriptomic resilience and acclimation of extremely
- heat-tolerant coral holobionts from the northern Red Sea. *Proceedings of the National*
- 643 Academy of Sciences 118.
- 29. Maher RL, Schmeltzer ER, Meiling S, McMinds R, Ezzat L, Shantz AA et al
- 646 (2020). Coral microbiomes demonstrate flexibility and resilience through a reduction
- in community diversity following a thermal stress event. Frontiers in Ecology and
- 648 Evolution 8: 356.
- 30. Li J, Long L, Zou Y, Zhang S (2021). Microbial community and transcriptional
- responses to increased temperatures in coral Pocillopora damicornis holobiont.
- 652 Environ Microbiol 23: 826-843.

- 31. Guo MS, Gross CA (2014). Stress-induced remodeling of the bacterial proteome.
- 655 *Curr Biol* **24:** R424-R434.
- 32. Lee K-J, Jung Y-C, Park S-J, Lee K-H (2018). Role of heat shock proteases in
- quorum-sensing-mediated regulation of biofilm formation by Vibrio species. *Mbio* 9:
- 659 e02086-02017.

660

663

667

671

675

679

682

- 33. Lüders S, Fallet C, Franco-Lara E (2009). Proteome analysis of the Escherichia
- coli heat shock response under steady-state conditions. *Proteome Science* 7: 1-15.
- 34. Mosier AC, Li Z, Thomas BC, Hettich RL, Pan C, Banfield JF (2015). Elevated
- temperature alters proteomic responses of individual organisms within a biofilm
- 666 community. The ISME journal 9: 180-194.
- 35. Sowell SM, Norbeck AD, Lipton MS, Nicora CD, Callister SJ, Smith RD et al
- 669 (2008). Proteomic analysis of stationary phase in the marine bacterium "Candidatus
- Pelagibacter ubique". *Appl Environ Microb* **74:** 4091-4100.
- 36. Ryno LM, Genereux JC, Naito T, Morimoto RI, Powers ET, Shoulders MD et al
- 673 (2014). Characterizing the altered cellular proteome induced by the stress-
- independent activation of heat shock factor 1. ACS chemical biology 9: 1273-1283.
- 676 37. Kim S, Sauer RT (2014). Distinct regulatory mechanisms balance DegP
- proteolysis to maintain cellular fitness during heat stress. Genes & Development 28:
- 678 902-911.
- 38. Rosen R, Ron EZ (2002). Proteome analysis in the study of the bacterial heat-
- shock response. Mass Spectrometry Reviews 21: 244-265.
- 39. Squires C, Pedersen S, Ross B, Squires C (1991). ClpB is the Escherichia coli
- 684 heat shock protein F84. 1. *J Bacteriol* **173:** 4254-4262.

- 40. Haslbeck M, Vierling E (2015). A first line of stress defense: small heat shock
- proteins and their function in protein homeostasis. *Journal of molecular biology* **427**:
- 688 1537-1548.

692

696

700

704

708

712

- 690 41. Cziesielski MJ, Schmidt-Roach S, Aranda M (2019). The past, present, and future
- of coral heat stress studies. *Ecology and evolution* **9:** 10055-10066.
- 693 42. Downs C, Fauth JE, Halas JC, Dustan P, Bemiss J, Woodley CM (2002).
- Oxidative stress and seasonal coral bleaching. Free Radical Biology and Medicine 33:
- 695 533-543.
- 697 43. Chung C, Ives H, Almeda S, Goldberg A (1983). Purification from Escherichia
- 698 coli of a periplasmic protein that is a potent inhibitor of pancreatic proteases. J Biol
- 699 *Chem* **258:** 11032-11038.
- 701 44. Clark EA, Walker N, Ford DC, Cooper IA, Oyston PC, Acharya KR (2011).
- Molecular recognition of chymotrypsin by the serine protease inhibitor ecotin from
- 703 Yersinia pestis. *J Biol Chem* **286**: 24015-24022.
- 45. Eggers CT, Murray IA, Delmar VA, Day AG, Craik CS (2004). The periplasmic
- serine protease inhibitor ecotin protects bacteria against neutrophil elastase.
- 707 *Biochemical Journal* **379:** 107-118.
- 709 46. Ireland PM, Marshall L, Norville I, Sarkar-Tyson M (2014). The serine protease
- 710 inhibitor Ecotin is required for full virulence of Burkholderia pseudomallei. *Microbial*
- 711 *pathogenesis* **67:** 55-58.
- 713 47. Myint SL, Zlatkov N, Aung KM, Toh E, Sjöström A, Nadeem A et al (2021).
- 714 Ecotin and LamB in Escherichia coli influence the susceptibility to Type VI
- secretion-mediated interbacterial competition and killing by Vibrio cholerae.
- 716 Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-General Subjects **1865**: 129912.

- 48. Kotrba P, Inui M, Yukawa H (2001). Bacterial phosphotransferase system (PTS)
- 719 in carbohydrate uptake and control of carbon metabolism. J Biosci Bioeng 92: 502-
- 720 517.

725

729

732

736

740

743

747

- 49. Brady SK, Sreelatha S, Feng Y, Chundawat SP, Lang MJ (2015).
- 723 Cellobiohydrolase 1 from Trichoderma reesei degrades cellulose in single cellobiose
- 724 steps. *Nat Commun* **6:** 1-9.
- 50. Wahlström N, Edlund U, Pavia H, Toth G, Jaworski A, Pell AJ et al (2020).
- 727 Cellulose from the green macroalgae Ulva lactuca: isolation, characterization,
- optotracing, and production of cellulose nanofibrils. *Cellulose* **27:** 3707-3725.
- 730 51. Wright RM, Strader ME, Genuise HM, Matz M (2019). Effects of thermal stress
- on amount, composition, and antibacterial properties of coral mucus. *PeerJ* 7: e6849.
- 52. Olivera ER, Luengo JM (2019). Steroids as environmental compounds recalcitrant
- 734 to degradation: Genetic mechanisms of bacterial biodegradation pathways. *Genes* 10:
- 735 512.
- 53. Hillyer KE, Dias DA, Lutz A, Wilkinson SP, Roessner U, Davy SK (2017).
- 738 Metabolite profiling of symbiont and host during thermal stress and bleaching in the
- 739 coral Acropora aspera. *Coral Reefs* **36:** 105-118.
- 54. Akopian D, Shen K, Zhang X, Shan S-o (2013). Signal recognition particle: an
- essential protein-targeting machine. *Annual review of biochemistry* **82:** 693-721.
- 55. von Loeffelholz O, Jiang Q, Ariosa A, Karuppasamy M, Huard K, Berger I et al
- 745 (2015). Ribosome–SRP–FtsY cotranslational targeting complex in the closed state.
- 746 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 112: 3943-3948.
- 56. Zhang D, Sweredoski MJ, Graham RL, Hess S, Shan So (2012). Novel proteomic
- tools reveal essential roles of SRP and importance of proper membrane protein
- 750 biogenesis. Molecular & Cellular Proteomics 11.

- 57. Fu Y-HH, Huang WY, Shen K, Groves JT, Miller T, Shan S-o (2017). Two-step
- 753 membrane binding by the bacterial SRP receptor enable efficient and accurate Co-
- 754 translational protein targeting. *Elife* **6:** e25885.
- 756 58. Miyazaki R, Yura T, Suzuki T, Dohmae N, Mori H, Akiyama Y (2016). A novel
- 757 SRP recognition sequence in the homeostatic control region of heat shock
- 758 transcription factor σ 32. *Scientific reports* **6:** 1-11.
- 59. Sauerbrei B, Arends J, Schünemann D, Narberhaus F (2020). Lon protease
- 761 removes excess signal recognition particle protein in Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol*
- 762 **202:** e00161-00120.
- 764 60. Ulbrandt ND, Newitt JA, Bernstein HD (1997). The E. coli signal recognition
- particle is required for the insertion of a subset of inner membrane proteins. *Cell* 88:
- 766 187-196.

759

763

767

771

774

777

781

- 768 61. Leplae R, Geeraerts D, Hallez R, Guglielmini J, Dreze P, Van Melderen L (2011).
- 769 Diversity of bacterial type II toxin–antitoxin systems: a comprehensive search and
- functional analysis of novel families. *Nucleic Acids Res* **39:** 5513-5525.
- 772 62. Yamaguchi Y, Inouye M (2011). Regulation of growth and death in Escherichia
- coli by toxin–antitoxin systems. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **9:** 779-790.
- 775 63. Ren D, Bedzyk L, Thomas S, Ye R, Wood TK (2004). Gene expression in
- Escherichia coli biofilms. *Appl Microbiol Biot* **64:** 515-524.
- 778 64. Wang X, Kim Y, Hong SH, Ma Q, Brown BL, Pu M et al (2011). Antitoxin
- 779 MqsA helps mediate the bacterial general stress response. *Nature chemical biology* 7:
- 780 359-366.
- 782 65. Sberro H, Leavitt A, Kiro R, Koh E, Peleg Y, Qimron U et al (2013). Discovery
- of functional toxin/antitoxin systems in bacteria by shotgun cloning. *Molecular cell*
- 784 **50:** 136-148.

- 786 66. Vasanthakumar T, Rubinstein JL (2020). Structure and roles of V-type ATPases.
- 787 *Trends in biochemical sciences* **45:** 295-307.
- 789 67. Lolkema JS, Chaban Y, Boekema EJ (2003). Subunit composition, structure, and
- 790 distribution of bacterial V-type ATPases. *Journal of bioenergetics and biomembranes*
- 791 **35:** 323-335.

792

796

801

804

808

811

- 793 68. Saijo S, Arai S, Hossain KM, Yamato I, Suzuki K, Kakinuma Y et al (2011).
- 794 Crystal structure of the central axis DF complex of the prokaryotic V-ATPase.
- 795 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 108: 19955-19960.
- 797 69. Vasanthakumar T, Bueler SA, Wu D, Beilsten-Edmands V, Robinson CV,
- Rubinstein JL (2019). Structural comparison of the vacuolar and Golgi V-ATPases
- 799 from Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
- 800 **116:** 7272-7277.
- 70. Yokoyama K, Imamura H (2005). Rotation, structure, and classification of
- prokaryotic V-ATPase. *Journal of bioenergetics and biomembranes* **37:** 405-410.
- 71. Zhao J, Beyrakhova K, Liu Y, Alvarez CP, Bueler SA, Xu L et al (2017).
- 806 Molecular basis for the binding and modulation of V-ATPase by a bacterial effector
- protein. *PLoS pathogens* **13:** e1006394.
- 72. Murata T, Igarashi K, Kakinuma Y, Yamato I (2000). Na+ Binding of V-type
- Na+-ATPase inEnterococcus hirae. *J Biol Chem* **275:** 13415-13419.
- 73. Speelmans G, Poolman B, Abee T, Konings WN (1994). The F-or V-type Na (+)-
- ATPase of the thermophilic bacterium Clostridium fervidus. J Bacteriol 176: 5160-
- 814 5162.
- 74. Toei M, Gerle C, Nakano M, Tani K, Gyobu N, Tamakoshi M et al (2007).
- 817 Dodecamer rotor ring defines H+/ATP ratio for ATP synthesis of prokaryotic V-
- 818 ATPase from Thermus thermophilus. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
- 819 Sciences **104**: 20256-20261.

821822

823824

825

826

827

828

829830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

75. Zhou L, Sazanov LA (2019). Structure and conformational plasticity of the intact Thermus thermophilus V/A-type ATPase. *Science* **365**. 76. Boye P. Russo P. Capozzi V. Gallone A. Spano G. Fiocco D (2013). Lactobacillus plantarum passage through an oro-gastro-intestinal tract simulator: carrier matrix effect and transcriptional analysis of genes associated to stress and probiosis. *Microbiological research* **168:** 351-359. 77. Roh H, Kim D-H (2021). Genotypic and Phenotypic Characterization of Highly Alkaline-Resistant Carnobacterium maltaromaticum V-Type ATPase from the Dairy Product Based on Comparative Genomics. *Microorganisms* 9: 1233. 78. van den Nieuwboer M, van Hemert S, Claassen E, de Vos WM (2016). Lactobacillus plantarum WCFS 1 and its host interaction: a dozen years after the genome. Microb Biotechnol 9: 452-465. Figure legend: Fig.1 Experimental designs and setup. (A) Experiment to identify differentially expressed proteins when the bacterial culture (*Endozoicomonas montiporae* CL-33^T) was exposed to different heat stresses. (B) Setup for coral lysate experiment, where coral mucus and tissue were incubated with cultures of E. montiporae CL-33^T for 9 days, with temperature increased by 1°C per day (25°C to 33°C). (C) Overview of the different factors analyzed throughout the coral lysate experiment. * denotes artificial sea water. Fig.2 Growth pattern and quantitative proteomics during heat stress. (A) Growth curves of *Endozoicomonas montiporae* CL-33^T at 25°C, 31°C, and 33°C in heat stress experiments. The quantitative proteomics analysis of heat stress experiments (B-F). (B) Hierarchical clustering and (C) nMDS ordination represent the similarity between the protein profiles from different samples. (D) The number of significantly changed proteins at each incubation time point at 31°C and 33°C. (E) Hierarchical clustering based heatmap visualization of the metabolism-related (from COG functional

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

881

categories) proteins that showed significantly changes in any one of the samples. The heatmap displays the relative protein abundance (z-score transformed) from low (blue) to high (yellow) per row. The columns represent three time points (16, 24, and 48 h) at two incubation temperatures (31°C and 33°C). The significantly changed proteins were marked by a white circle. The right side of the image show the protein functions by category. Numbers in brackets indicate the number of proteins when there are multiple proteins in one category. (F) The number of proteins up-expression and down-expression in each incubation time at 31°C and 33°C. Fig.3 Quantitative proteomics analysis of coral lysate incubated with bacterial cultures at different temperatures. (A) Hierarchical clustering and (B) nMDS ordination of different samples similarities. (C) The number of significantly changed proteins that were affected by coral lysate (25M/25S, 25T/25S, 33M/33S, and 33T/33S) and temperature (33M/C2M, 33T/C2T, and 33S/C2S). (D) The number of proteins with increased and decreased expression in each comparison. (E) Hierarchical clustering heatmap visualization of the metabolism-related (from COG functional categories) proteins that have significantly changed in any of the three treatments (33M/C2M, 33T/C2T, and 33S/C2S). The heatmap displays the relative protein abundance (z-score transformed) from low (blue) to high (yellow) per row. The columns names (33M, 33T, and 33S) represent three treatments. Relative protein abundance (z-score transformed) is graded from low (blue) to high (yellow) in each row. The bracketed numbers represent the number of proteins if there are multiple proteins in a category. The significantly changed proteins are marked by a white circle. Fig. 4 The quantitative proteomics analysis comparing the effects of different coral lysates (25M/25S, 25T/25S, 33M/33S, and 33T/33S). (A) Bar plots on counts of significantly changed proteins. (B) The numbers of proteins with increased (black) and decreased (grey) expression. (C) The distributions of statistical significance (log₁₀ (p-values)) and magnitude of change (Log₂ fold change) for all the proteins in

four different comparisons represented by volcano plots. The proteins for which there was no significant difference are marked in gray circles. Significantly changed proteins are marked in different colors based on different levels of \log_2 fold change. (red: \log_2 fold change > 1.5, orange: \log_2 fold change > 1, and green: \log_2 fold change < 1).

Table 1. List of significantly changed proteins (p-value < 0.05) which have \log_2 fold change >1 from the coral lysate comparisons. -: no significantly (p-value > 0.05) or the \log_2 fold change <1.

	Log ₂ fold change				
Protein name	25M/25S	25T/25S	33M/33S	33T/33S	
30S ribosomal protein S20	2	-	-	-	_
50S ribosomal protein L20	1.73	-	-	-	
50S ribosomal protein L16	1.64	-	-	-	
30S ribosomal protein S2	1.22	-	-	-	
50S ribosomal protein L5	1.2	-	-	-	
Signal recognition particle protein	1.46	-	-	-	
V-type proton ATPase subunit E	1.63	-	-	-	
mRNA interferase HigB	1.56	-	-	-	
Antitoxin HigA-2	1.42	-	-	-	
Na(+)-translocating NADH-quinone reductase subunit C	1.17	-	-	-	
Response regulator protein TodT	1.14	-	-	-	
hypothetical protein	2.48	-	-	-	
hypothetical protein	2.21	-	-	-	
hypothetical protein	1.43	-	-	-	
Long-chain-fatty-acidCoA ligase FadD15	-	-1.26	-	-	
PTS system N,N'-diacetylchitobiose-specific EIIA component	-	-	1.29	-	
3-isopropylmalate dehydrogenase	-	-	1.16	-	
50S ribosomal protein L20	-	-	-	1.2	
hypothetical protein	-	-	-	1.25	
hypothetical protein	-	-	-	1.08	
hypothetical protein	-	-	-	1.05	





