Association between Cognitive Function and Large Optic Nerve Cupping,

Accounting for Cup-Disc-Ratio Genetic Risk Score

Short Title: Cup-disc-ratio genetic risk score and cognitive function

Sasha Kravets[1,2], Rawan Allozi Rupnow[1,2], Abhishek Sethi[1,3], Mark A. Espeland[4], Louis

R. Pasquale[5], Stephen R. Rapp[6], Barbara E. Klein[7], Stacy M. Meuer[7], Mary N. Haan[8],

Pauline M. Maki[9], Joelle A. Hallak[1*], Thasarat Sutabutr Vajaranant[1*]

1. Illinois Eye and Ear Infirmary, Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences,

University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA.

2. Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, University of

Illinois at Chicago, Chicago IL

3. Department of Medicine, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL

4. Departments of Internal Medicine and Biostatistics and Data Science, Wake Forest

University Health Sciences, Winston Salem, North Carolina, USA.

5. Department of Ophthalmology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York,

New York, USA.

6. Psychiatry and Behavioral Medicine, Wake Forest University Health Sciences,

Winston Salem, North Carolina, USA.

7. Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, University of Wisconsin,

Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

8. Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California at San

Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA.

9. Department of Psychiatry, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA.

*Dr. Hallak and Dr. Vajaranant contributed equally as co-senior authors

Meeting Presentations: Presented at ARVO 2021

Corresponding authors:

Thasarat Sutabutr Vajaranant, MD, MHA

e-mail:thasarat@uic.edu

Joelle A. Hallak, MS, PhD

e-mail: joelle@uic.edu

Abstract

Purpose: To investigate if accounting for a cup-to-disc ratio (CDR) genetic risk score (GRS) modified the association between large CDR and cognitive function among women.

Design: This was a retrospective study using data from the Women's Health Initiative.

Methods: Patients with glaucoma or ocular hypertension were excluded. Large CDR was defined as ≥ 0.6 in either eye. Cognitive function was measured by the Modified Mini-Mental State Examination (3MSE). We used the combined effects from 13 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) to formulate the GRS for CDR. We used logistic regression to investigate associations between weighted GRS and large CDR, then a linear regression to assess the association between weighted GRS and 3MSE scores, and between weighted GRS, CDR, and 3MSE scores, adjusted for demographic and clinical characteristics.

Results: Final analyses included 1,196 White women with mean age of 69.60 ± 3.62 years and 7.27% with large CDR. Mean GRS in women with and without large CDR was 1.51 ± 0.31 vs. 1.41 ± 0.36, respectively (p = 0.004). The odds of large CDR for a one unit increase in GRS was 2.30 (95% CI: (1.22, 4.36), p = 0.011). Adding the CDR GRS in the model with CDR and 3MSE, women with large CDR still had statistically

58   significantly lower 3MSE scores than those without large CDR, yielding a predicted

59   mean difference in 3MSE scores of 0.84 (p = 0.007).

60   **Conclusions:** Independent of the CDR GRS, women with large CDR had a lower

61   cognitive function.

Introduction

Glaucoma, currently affecting more than 70 million individuals worldwide, is the leading cause of irreversible blindness.[1] While its pathogenesis is still poorly understood, older age and elevated intraocular pressure (IOP) are considered to be important risk factors.[2-3] Glaucoma progression can also occur in patients with normal range IOP, suggesting that other risk factors may be involved in its pathogenesis.[4] One candidate risk factor is neurodegeneration of the optic nerve and retinal ganglion cells that occurs with normal aging and diseases such as Alzheimer's disease (AD), which is a leading cause of dementia worldwide.[5]

Several studies have supported a connection between primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) and AD. Epidemiological studies have shown a higher prevalence of glaucoma among AD patients.[6-7] Visual difficulties, such as in reading and finding objects, altered depth and color perception, impaired spatial contrast sensitivity or difficulties in perceiving structure from motion have also been noted in AD patients.[8] In addition, Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) studies in AD patients show preferential retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thinning in the superior quadrant of the optic nerve which has a preponderance of large axons, resembling a pattern seen in glaucomatous eyes.[9-10] Degeneration of the optic nerve leads to cupping, which can be quantified by cup-to-disc ratio (CDR) and which serves as a biomarker for glaucomatous neuropathy. We previously reported that a large CDR (i.e., greater or equal to 0.6) was associated with lower cognitive function, in White post-menopausal women aged 65 years and older without glaucoma or ocular hypertension.[11]

Previous Genome Wide Association Studies (GWAS), including a genome-wide

meta-analysis, have identified multiple loci associated with vertical CDR.[12] While

multiple loci have been identified, individually each loci provides limited information. For

this reason, a genetic risk score (GRS), combining information from multiple genetic

variants into a single measure, has been used to study the genetic risk factors for

POAG as well as vertical CDR. Furthermore, previous studies have shown that genetic

variants can vary across racial groups. To account for these variations, in our study, we

focused on White patients, specifically elderly White women aged 65 years and older.

In this study, our goals were to (1) develop a GRS for CDR and (2) determine if the

GRS modified the previously identified relationship between large CDR and decreased

cognitive function in cognitively intact women aged 65 years and older presenting

without glaucoma or ocular hypertension. This work provides insight into the possible

connections between genetics and neurodegenerative changes of the optic nerve and

brain.

Materials & Methods

101   The Institutional Review Board at the University of Illinois at Chicago waived IRB

102   approval for this secondary data analysis of de-identified data from the WHI. This study

103   adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki and all federal and state laws.

Data Source

The Women's Health Initiative (WHI) is a series of clinical trials and observational

studies funded by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute from 1991 planned

through 2027. The original WHI study, starting in 1991, enrolled over 68,000

postmenopausal women between the ages of 50 and 79 and was split into three

separate double blinded randomized control trials. The trials investigated the effects of

hormone therapy, dietary modification, and calcium and vitamin D supplements for

prevention of heart disease, osteoporosis and its related effects, and associated risks

for breast and colorectal cancer. Participants in the trials were stratified by hysterectomy

status: with a uterus versus without a uterus. Those with a uterus were randomized to

either placebo or estrogen plus progestin, and those without a uterus were randomized

to either placebo or estrogen-alone. The WHI trial is registered at

https://www.clinicaltrials.gov (NCT00000611).

Within the WHI Hormone Therapy trials, we obtained data from two ancillary studies:

the WHI-Sight Exam (WHISE), conducted from 2000 to 2002, and the WHI Memory

Study (WHIMS), conducted between 1996 and 2007. The WHISE study recruited

participants approximately five years after the randomization into the WHI hormone trial.

The goal of this study was to investigate the effect of hormone therapy on age-related

macular degeneration. Overall, 4,347 participants consented and completed enrollment

with fundus photographs of at least one eye available. To determine CDR, the outer

edges of the vertical margin of the optic disc and cup were compared with a series of

cup-to-disc standards of increasing size. If the ratio fell between 2 standards the smaller

ratio was chosen. Within the WHISE study, vertical CDR was classified as a binary

variable, where large CDR was defined as CDR ≥ 0.6 in at least one eye. The WHIMS

study investigated the relationship between the effects of hormone therapy and the

development and progression of memory loss in women. Participants underwent a

baseline global cognitive function test that was repeated annually for ten years. Global

cognitive function was assessed by the Modified Mini-Mental State Examination (3MSE)

scores. 3MSE scores ranged from 0 to 100, where higher scores indicated better

cognitive function.

Within the WHI, genetic data was available from the WHI harmonized and imputed

data, which combined genetic data from six genome wide association studies (GWASs)

within the WHI Clinical Trials and Observational Studies. The harmonization of over

30,000 samples involved alignment to the same reference panel, imputation to 1000

genomes, identity by descent (IBD) analysis for identification of genetically related

individuals, and principal component analysis (PCA) for comparison with self-reported

ethnicity. Genotypic quality control (QC) was performed by study and included

evaluation of minimal sample call rate, minimal SNP call rate, Hardy-Weinberg

equilibrium, and minor allele frequencies. Details regarding QC, harmonization, and

imputation of the genetic data can be found from dbGaP at

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez?db=gap through dbGaP accession

phs000746.v2.p3.

Sample Selection

Participants were selected for analysis if they were included in the WHISE or WHIMS

studies, and had genetic data within the harmonized imputation dataset. The

harmonized imputation dataset contains 3,111 genetic samples for 2,708 participants of

the WHISE study. The majority, 2,339 out of the 2,708 (86%) self-identified as White.

Additionally, 12 Black or African American participants, 0 Hispanic/Latino, and 5 other

race participants had large CDR. While there were cognitive differences between White

vs non-White (mean ± SD 3MSE score of 96.94 ± 3.33 vs 93.17 ± 6.43, p-value<0.001,

respectively), given the small distribution of CDR among non-White participants, and

that SNPs were only available for participants of European ancestry, the study was

limited to White participants. For White subjects with duplicate samples, we included the

genetic sample from the GWAS platform with a larger number of SNPs available. We

identified three pairs of first-degree relatives and removed the subjects with genetic data

from the smaller GWAS platform. Furthermore, 28 subjects had missing PCA data or

CDR status. We did not identify inconsistent ethnicity from self-reported ethnicity among

subjects with PCA data. After merging the 2,336 patients with genetic information with

data from WHISE and WHIMS, 1,196 independent WHISE subjects from the WHI

Harmonized Imputation dataset were included in the final analysis. The study schema is

depicted in Figure 1.

Fig 1. Schema: diagram of analysis of patient population

Cup-Disc Ratio Genetic Risk Score

We selected 18 candidate single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with

vertical CDR in White patients by a meta-analysis reported in Springelkamp et al.[12]

Imputation quality was assessed by R^2, where R^2 is the estimated value of the squared

correlation between imputed genotypes and true, unobserved genotypes. The

imputation quality for rs1345 (chromosome 11, build 37 position 65337251) in one study

was low (R^2=0.56). The remaining 17 out of the 18 SNPs had high imputation quality

(R^2 > 0.89) across all studies (Table 1). For the 17 high imputation quality SNPs, we

performed a logistic regression between large CDR and each SNP under the

assumption of an additive model for the effect of the risk allele adjusted for age and the

first two principal components (PC-1 and PC-2). There was concordance on the risk

allele for 13 out of the 17 SNPs as reported by Springelkamp et al. These 13 SNPs

were used to formulate the CDR GRS (Table 2).

Table 1. 18 candidate SNPs associated with vertical cup-to-disc ratio

RS	CHR:POS	GENE	SPRINGELKAMP VCDR RISK ALLELE	WHISE BINARY LARGE CDR RISK ALLELE
rs4658101	1:92077409	CDC7/TGFBR3	A	A
rs2623325	3:99131755	COL8A1	A	A
rs17658229	5:172191052	DUSP1	T	C
rs17756712	6:625071	EXOC2	G	A
rs7865618	9:22031005	CDKN2BAS	A	A
rs1900005	10:69998055	ATOH7	C	C
rs7072574	10:96036306	PLCE1	A	A
rs1346	11:65337251	SSSCA1	A	A
rs4936099	11:130280725	ADAMTS8	A	C
rs11168187	12:48044011	RPAP3	A	A
rs10862688	12:83922912	TMTC2	G	G
rs4901977	14:60789176	SIX1/6	T	T
rs1345467	16:51482321	SALL1	G	G
rs6054374	20:6578556	BMP2	C	C
rs1547014	22:29100711	CHEK2	C	C
rs301801	1:8495945	RERE	C	C
rs868153	6:122389955	HSF2	T	G

rs5756813	22:38175477	CARD10	G	G

Table 2. Final 13 SNPs included in Weighted Genetic Risk Score based on high imputation quality (R^2) and matching risk alleles

SNP	Gene	Weight
1:92077409	CDC7/TGFBR3	0.0002
3:99131755	COL8A1	0.0337
9:22031005	CDKN2BAS	0.3245
10:69998055	ATOH7	0.0852
10:96036306	PLCE1	0.0207
12:48044011	RPAP3	0.0523
12:83922912	TMTC2	0.1488
14:60789176	SIX1/6	0.1462
16:51482321	SALL1	0.0544
20:6578556	BMP2	0.2726
22:29100711	CHEK2	0.0702
1:8495945	RERE	0.0966
22:38175477	CARD10	0.0537

We used the log odds ratio (OR) estimated from the single SNP logistic regression

model adjusted for age, PC-1, and PC-2 to form the GRS. For m patients, we define G_i

as the number of risk alleles for the ith SNP, and $\log OR_i$ as the log OR for the ith SNP

from the single SNP logistic regression model. The GRS is defined as $GRS =$

$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \log OR_i \times G_i$. A weighted GRS was constructed by multiplying the vertical CDR-

increasing allele by the effect size previously reported.

Statistical Analysis

Demographic and baseline clinical variables were obtained from this data set including, education, smoking, diabetes, body mass index (BMI), cardiovascular disease, diabetic retinopathy, and hormone therapy randomization. T-tests or Wilcoxon rank sum tests were used to test associations for continuous variables, and chi-square tests or Fisher's exact test were used to test associations with categorical variables.

We used logistic regression to evaluate the cross-sectional association of the weighted GRS with large CDR. We used linear regression models to evaluate the association between weighted GRS and 3MSE scores, and between weighted GRS, CDR, and 3MSE, both models adjusted for age, education, smoking, diabetes, body mass index, cardiovascular disease, diabetic retinopathy, and hormone therapy randomization. Specifically, we investigated models using the previously mentioned covariates, including an interaction between GRS and CDR, with 3MSE scores as the outcome of interest. As 3MSE scores were not normally distributed, we used a log-transformed function of scores, log (102-3MSE). All analyses were conducted using R (R Core Team (2019). R: URL https://www.R-project.org/).

Results

Final analyses included 1,196 White women with baseline CDR, demographic and clinical characteristic data, 3MSE scores, and weighted GRS. The mean age (± SD) was 69.60 ± 3.62 years. 87 of 1,196 (7.27%) women had large CDR. Table 3 shows the distribution of the baseline demographic and clinical characteristic variables. Figure 2

211 shows the distribution of GRS by large CDR status. The mean GRS in women with and

212 without a large CDR was 1.51 ± 0.31 vs 1.41 ± 0.36 (p-value=0.004).

213 **Table 3. Patient Demographic and Clinical Characteristics**

Variable	Summary Statistics
Number of Patients, n	1196
Age at Enrollment, Mean (SD) [Min, Max]	69.6 (3.62) [63,79]
Education, n(%)	
No High School	50 (4.18)
High School	294 (24.58)
Post High School	463 (38.71)
College Graduate	389 (32.53)
Cigarette smoking, n(%)	
Never Smoked	690 (57.69)
Former Smoker	440 (36.79)
Current Smoker	66 (5.52)
BMI, n(%)	
Underweight	9 (0.75)
Normal	348 (29.1)
Overweight	412 (34.45)
Obesity Class 1	288 (24.08)
Obesity Class 2	98 (8.19)
Extreme Obesity	41 (3.43)
Diabetes, n(%)	
No	1101 (92.06)
Yes	95 (7.94)
Prior Cardiovascular Disease, n(%)	
No	1009 (84.36)
Yes	187 (15.64)
Diabetic Retinopathy, n(%)	
No	1168 (97.66)
Yes	28 (2.34)

Hormone therapy assignment, n(%)	
E-alone intervention	213 (17.81)
E-alone control	240 (20.07)
E+P intervention	369 (30.85)
E+P control	374 (31.27)
Large Cup-to-Disc Ratio, n(%)	
Without	1109 (92.73)
With	87 (7.27)

Fig 2. Comparison of Weighted Genetic Risk Score by Large Optic Nerve Cupping

In the model adjusted for age, education, smoking, diabetes, BMI, cardiovascular disease, diabetic retinopathy, and hormone therapy randomization, White women with large CDR had statistically significantly lower 3MSE scores, compared to White women without large CDR, yielding a predicted mean difference in 3MSE scores of 0.83 (p-value = 0.007).

In a logistic model adjusted for age, PC-1, and PC-2, we found that weighted GRS was associated with large CDR. The odds of large CDR for a one-unit greater GRS was 2.30 (95% CI: (1.21, 4.35), p-value = 0.011). In an adjusted linear model, weighted GRS was not separately associated with 3MSE scores (p-value = 0.964).

Additionally, in a linear model adjusted for the baseline demographic and clinical characteristics, we did not find an interaction between GRS and CDR to be significantly associated with lower 3MSE scores (p-value=0.478), indicating that the association of GRS with 3MSE scores does not change depending on CDR status. However, excluding the interaction term, controlling for GRS, women with large CDR still had statistically significantly lower 3MSE scores when compared to women without

231   large CDR, yielding a predicted mean difference in 3MSE scores of 0.84 (p-value =

232   0.007) (Table 4).

233   **Table 4. Linear Regression Model Estimates of 3MSE Scores**

Variable*	Estimate (95% CI)	P-value
GRS	-0.01 (-0.09, 0.07)	0.810
Large CDR	0.15 (0.04, 0.26)	0.007
Age	0.03 (0.02, 0.04)	<0.001

234   *Model also adjusts for education, smoking, diabetes, BMI, cardiovascular disease,
235   diabetic retinopathy, and hormone therapy randomization

Discussion

This study represents the first epidemiologic investigation of the effect of GRS for

vertical CDR on the relationship between optic nerve cupping and cognitive function.

There are three key findings. First, a higher CDR GRS was associated with increased

odds of large CDR. The odds of large CDR for a one unit increase in GRS was 2.29

(95% CI: (1.21, 4.34), p-value = 0.011). Second, there was no association between the

GRS for CDR and 3MSE. Third, large optic nerve cupping was associated with poorer

cognitive function, independent of the GRS.

The optic disc, specifically the CDR, is commonly assessed during ophthalmic

exams to detect and monitor multiple ocular diseases, such as glaucoma. Therefore,

identifying factors that affect the CDR can aid in accurate prediction of eye pathologies.

Epidemiological studies have identified that high IOP and low BMI are associated with

variation in CDR.[13-15] CDR is an optic nerve head structural biomarker with a strong

genetic component (heritability estimates range from 48% to 66%).[16-17] GWAS studies

have shown specific loci associated with CDR, but each locus has limited predictive

power. We used a GRS based on previous work by Springelkamp et al. to identify the

aggregate effect that common genetic variants have on CDR. We found an association

between a higher optic nerve GRS and the risk of large CDR.

A study of Lantinx individuals also found a significant association between

vertical CDR and a GRS for vertical CDR, also using SNPs from GWAS data, and

controlling for age, gender, central corneal thickness, IOP, and education.[18] They found

that a weighted GRS improved the discriminatory ability for POAG, with an AUC of

0.735 (95% CI: [0.701, 0.768]).[18] In the present study, a higher GRS was associated

with a higher odds of large CDR, after adjusting for age, PC-1, and PC-2.

Previous studies also investigated GRS in relation to cognitive impairment.[19]

For instance, Wollam et al. constructed a GRS based on risk alleles and performed a

neuropsychiatric evaluation to determine cognitive status. Using a logistic regression,

they showed that a one unit increase in GRS was associated with a nearly 4-fold

increased risk of cognitive impairment (OR = 3.824, P = .013).[19] Our work did not show

a relationship between GRS of CDR and cognitive decline. However, we utilized

cognitive function at a baseline 3MSE measurement. The data collection for this study

lasted several years with years of longitudinal data measuring cognitive function. While

3MSE measurements were available over time, CDR classification was only available at

one time point. It would be interesting to obtain longitudinal images of CDR to

investigate the relationship between the GRS and MSE measurements over time.

272    Our study has notable strengths and limitations. We used a large, well

273 characterized cohort of women from WHISE and WHIMS who contributed not only optic

274 nerve cupping data but also variables associated with optic nerve cupping and cognitive

275 function. This study builds directly on prior work in this cohort, using the 3MSE scores, a

276 reliable measure of global cognitive function, with very high interrater reliability (0.98),

277 internal consistency (0.91), and test-retest reliability (0.78), when measured in older

278 adults.[11, 20] Although, the WHISE and WHIMS included women from a variety of racial

279 backgrounds, the constructed GRS comprised of genetic variants validated only in

280 White individuals and therefore the study was limited to White individuals. The inclusion

281 of all race/ethnicity groups is of utmost importance. In this study, our analysis was

282 limited to White participants for the two main reasons. First, the majority of the WHI

283 participants are from White race/ethnicity (86%). In addition, the number of participants

284 that were non-white with CDR was limited, making it challenging to perform subset

285 analyses or to adjust for race/ethnicity. Secondly, the meta- analysis, which included the

286 target SNPs, was primarily based on a population of European ancestry. In future

287 studies, the inclusion of multi-racial/ethnicity is needed as results in White women are

288 not generalizable to men and other racial groups. Future studies should validate these

289 findings in other racial groups. Our approach to computing the GRS was based on prior

290 work but may have been limited because we did not independently identify SNPs

291 associated with large CDR. The SNPs previously identified were associated with a

292 continuous measure of CDR while we used a binary CDR measure, which may have

293 decreased the power to detect an effect of each SNP individually. Additional

294 approaches involve the computation of a genetic risk score for cognitive function. In our

295 future work, we plan to add detailed grading of the optic nerve and vascular analysis.

296 Lastly, in the time since data collection, more CDR SNPs have been identified.[21] A

297 larger CDR SNP panel might yield different results.

298 Conclusions

In conclusion, the CDR GRS and structural optic nerve biomarkers have

potential to be powerful biomarkers in medicine and public health efforts. Specifically,

the GRS may identify populations at risk for pathologies such as high CDR and

cognitive decline. This study confirmed that a CDR GRS can predict large CDR, and the

large optic nerve cupping was associated with cognitive decline, independently of the

GRS for the CDR. Future research examining these biomarkers and their clinical utility

with a long-term follow up is warranted.

Acknowledgments

Dr. Hallak and Dr. Vajaranant contributed equally as co-senior authors

a. Funding/Support: EY022949 National Eye Institute, Maryland (TSV);

Bright Focus Foundation grant M2019155, Maryland (JH): an unrestricted Grant

for Research to Prevent Blindness, New York (JH, TSV); P30 Core Grant for

Vision Research (2P30EY001792), Maryland. EY015474 National Eye Institute

(LRP): Challenge Grant from Research to Prevent Blindness, New York (LRP).

b. Financial Disclosures:

•RR – current employee of Janssen

•LRP – Consultant: Eyenovia, Nicox, Twenty-twenty, Emerald Biosciences.

Support: NIH/NEI

•PMM – Consultant: Astellas, AbbVie, Balchem, Pfizer

•JAH – research grant: Bright Focus Foundation

c. Conflict of Interests: none (SK, MAE, SRR, BEK, SMM, MNH, TSV)

References

[1] Quigley HA, Broman AT. The number of people with glaucoma worldwide in 2010 and

2020. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2006; 90(3): 262-7

[2] Klein BE, Klein R, Sponsel WE, Franke T, Cantor LB, Martone J, Menage MJ,

Prevalence of glaucoma. The beaver dam eye study. Ophthalmology, 1992, 99(10),

1499-1504.

[3] Millar WJ. Vision problems among seniors. Health Rep., 2004, 16(1), 45-49.

[4] Mancino R, Martucci A, Cesareo M, et al. Glaucoma and Alzheimer Disease: One

Age-Related Neurodegenerative Disease of the Brain. Current Neuropharmacology,

2018, 16, 971-7.

[5] Carelli V, La Morgia C, Ross-Cisneros F, et al. Optic neuropathies: the tip of the

neurodegeneration iceberg. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2017; 26(R2): 139-150.

[6] Chandra V, Bharucha NE, Schoenberg BS. Conditions associated with Alzheimer's

disease at death: case-control study. Neurology. 1986; 36(2):209–211.

[7] Bayer AU, Ferrari F, Erb C. High occurrence rate of glaucoma among patients with

Alzheimer's disease. Eur Neurol. 2002;47(3):165–168.

[8] Ho W.L, Leung Y, Tsang A.W, So K.F, Chiu K, Chang R.C. Review: tauopathy in the

retina and optic nerve: does it shadow pathological changes in the brain? Mol. Vis.,

2012, 18, 2700-2710.

[9] Coppola G, Di Renzo A, Ziccardi L, Martelli F, Fadda A, Manni G, Barboni P, Pierelli F,

Sadun AA, and Parisi V. (2015) Optical coherence tomography in Alzheimer's disease:

a meta-analysis. PLoS One, 10, e0134750

[10] Quigley HA., Dunkelberger GR. and Green WR. (1988) Chronic human glaucoma

causing selectively greater loss of large optic nerve fibers. Ophthalmology, 95, 357–

363.

[11] Vajaranant TS, Hallak J, Espeland MA, et al. An Association Between Large Optic

Nerve Cupping and Cognitive Function. Am J Ophthalmol. 2019; 2016: 40-7.

[12] Springelkamp H, Höhn R, Mishra A, Hysi PG, Khor CC, Loomis SJ, ... & Hammond

CJ. (2014). Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies identifies novel loci that

influence cupping and the glaucomatous process. *Nature communications*, *5*(1), 1-7.

[13] Kim YJ, Kim JM, Shim SH, et al. Associations between Optic Cup-to-disc Ratio and

Systemic Factors in the Healthy Korean Population. Korean J Ophthalmol. 2015;

29(5):336–43

[14] Amerasinghe N, Wong TY, Wong WL, et al. Determinants of the optic cup to disc ratio

in an Asian population: the Singapore Malay Eye Study (SiMES). Arch Ophthalmol.

2008; 126(8):1101–8

[15] Quigley HA, West SK, Rodriguez J, et al. The prevalence of glaucoma in a

population-based study of Hispanic subjects: Proyecto VER. Arch Ophthalmol. 2001;

119(12):1819–26.

[16] Klein BE, Klein R, Lee KE. Heritability of risk factors for primary open-angle

glaucoma: the Beaver Dam Eye Study. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2004; 45(1):59–62.

[17] Charlesworth J, Kramer PL, Dyer T, et al. The path to open-angle glaucoma gene

discovery: endophenotypic status of intraocular pressure, cup-to-disc ratio, and central

corneal thickness. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2010; 51(7):3509–14.

[18] Nannini DR, Kim H, Fan F, Gao X. Genetic risk score is associated with vertical cup-

disc ratio and improves prediction of primary open angle glaucoma in Latinos.

Ophthalmology. 2018; 125(6): 815-21.

[19] Wollam ME, Weinstein AM, Saxton JA, et al. Genetic Risk Score Predicts Late-Life

Cognitive Impairment. J Aging Res. 2015; 267062.

[20] Bassuk SS, & Murphy JM. (2003). Characteristics of the modified mini-mental state

exam among elderly persons. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*, *56*(7), 622-628.

[21] Han X, Steven K, Qassim A, Marshall HN, Bean C, Tremeer M. … & MacGregor S.

(2021). Automated AI labeling of optic nerve head enables insights into cross-ancestry

glaucoma risk and genetic discovery in> 280,000 images from UKB and CLSA. *The*

American Journal of Human Genetics.

```
┌─────────────────────────────┐
│  Women's Health Initiative Sight │
│         Examination         │
│         (n=4347)            │
└─────────────────────────────┘

┌─────────────────────────────┐        ┌──────────────────────────────────────────┐
│ Genotyped Subjects in Harmonized │     │  American Indian or Alaska Native (n=6)   │
│         Dataset             │────────│    Asian or Pacific Islander (n=5)        │
│         (n=2708)            │        │   Black or African American (n=259)       │
└─────────────────────────────┘        │       Hispanic/Latino (n=87)              │
                                       │           Other (n=11)                    │
                                       │          Missing (n=1)                    │
                                       └──────────────────────────────────────────┘

┌─────────────────────────────┐        ┌──────────────────────────────────────────┐
│     Caucasian Subjects      │────────│   3 Pairs of First Degree Relatives       │
│         (n=2339)            │        │                                            │
└─────────────────────────────┘        └──────────────────────────────────────────┘

┌─────────────────────────────┐        ┌──────────────────────────────────────────┐
│                             │        │  13 missing Principal Components Analysis  │
│ Independent Subjects (n=2336) │──────│                                            │
│                             │        │        15 missing Large CDR                │
└─────────────────────────────┘        │ 1112 missing baseline characteristics or 3MSE │
                                       │                scores                      │
                                       └──────────────────────────────────────────┘

┌─────────────────────────────┐
│ Analysis population (n=1196) │
│                             │
└─────────────────────────────┘
```