JADE family proteins regulate proteasome abundance and activity

Lena Kathrin Ebert[1], Sebastian Bargfrede[1], Katrin Bohl[1], Roman-Ulrich Müller[1,2], Thomas Benzing[1,2],

Bernhard Schermer[1,2,#]

[1] Department II of Internal Medicine and Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne, University of Cologne,

Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, Cologne, Germany.

[2] CECAD, University of Cologne, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, Cologne,

Germany.

[#] corresponding author

Email: bernhard.schermer@uk-koeln.de

Running title: Jade proteins control proteasomal activity

Key words: PHD finger, kidney, proteasome, ubiquitination, primary cilium

Abstract

JADE family proteins (JADE1/2/3) have been implicated in diverse cellular functions and signaling

pathways ranging from WNT signaling and cell cycle control to cell death and complex transcriptional

regulation through histone acetyl-transferase complexes. JADE proteins show a high degree of

sequence similarity and share two PHD zinc finger domains. JADE1 interacts with cilia-associated

proteins and has been implicated in cilia-related genetic disorders with kidney phenotypes. However,

the function of the widely expressed JADE proteins at the molecular level is still elusive. Here we show

that JADE proteins regulate proteasome abundance and activity. Using kidney cells as a model, we

demonstrate that loss of either JADE protein resulted in increased expression of almost all components

of the 26S proteasome. Regulation occurred at the post-translational level and was not the

consequence of transcriptional activation. Consistent with a role for JADE proteins in regulating overall

proteasomal abundance, proteasomal activity was elevated in Jade-deficient cells, while exogenous

expression of JADE1/2/3 decreased the level of proteasome activity. Coimmunoprecipitation

experiments confirmed the interaction of proteasomal subunits with Jade1 suggesting a direct role of

JADE proteins in regulating turnover, stability and abundance of the 26s proteasome. These data may

now explain the plethora of cellular roles that have been attributed to JADE proteins.

Introduction

The plant-homeodomain (PHD) zinc finger protein JADE1 (PHF17; Gene for Apoptosis and Differentiation in Epithelia 1) has been initially identified as interactor of the tumor suppressor pVHL in a yeast two-hybrid screen (1). Mutations in *VHL* cause the autosomal-dominant von-Hippel Lindau (VHL) disease, a hereditary tumor syndrome associated with hemangioblastomas, pheochromocytomas and clear-cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC). Aberrations of *VHL* are also found in the majority of sporadic ccRCCs (2–5). It has been shown that JADE1 is stabilized by pVHL and this stabilization is diminished if *VHL* carries pathogenic mutations (1, 6–8). Moreover, JADE1 has been described as a single unit E3 ubiquitin ligase targeting beta-catenin for degradation and thereby inhibiting canonical WNT signaling (9). Both high levels of β-catenin and low levels of JADE1 are related to poor prognosis in RCC patients (6). This supports the current notion of JADE1 as a putative tumor suppressor in the kidney, related to pVHL. In addition, JADE1 forms a protein complex with members of the NPHP protein family, in particular NPHP4. Mutation in the *NPHP* genes result in Nephronophthisis, an autosomal-recessive cystic kidney disease leading to kidney failure in children and adolescents (10). Similar to pVHL, NPHP4 stabilizes JADE1 and enhances inhibition of canonical WNT signaling (11). Similarly, JADE1 has been functionally linked to polycystin-1 (PC1) (12). PC1 is encoded by the *PKD1* gene, mutations of which cause autosomal-dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD), the most frequent cystic kidney disease in adults. PC1, NPHP proteins and pVHL are localized to primary cilia and disorders caused by mutation of these proteins are considered ciliopathies (13). Interestingly, JADE1 has been shown to co-localize with NPHP proteins at the ciliary base (11).

Besides the connection to ciliopathy proteins and WNT signaling, JADE1 has been described as a co-factor for two histone acetyltransferases (HATs), HBO1 and its homologue Tip60, thereby inducing histone H4 acetylation (14, 15). This implicates functions of JADE1 that affect transcriptional and epigenetic regulations, DNA metabolism, as well as cell cycle regulation (14, 16, 17). Surprisingly, a gene trap mouse model targeting *Jade1* did not develop any major phenotype (18). This led to the hypothesis that additional proteins could functionally overlap and compensate for the loss of JADE1. Obviously, the additional members of the Jade protein family, JADE2 (PHF15) and JADE3 (PHF16), are key candidates for this compensation (18). The *JADE* genes, encoding for the three individual JADE proteins, are located on three different chromosomes (chr. 4, chr. 5, chr. X in the human genome and chr. 3, chr. 11 and chr. X in the mouse genome). As we will demonstrate in detail, all three JADE proteins share a high percentage of sequence similarity and conserved protein domains (19). Little is known about specific functions of JADE2 and JADE3, but a few studies provide some evidence for similar pathways and functions within the JADE family: Similar to JADE1, JADE2 was suggested to act as an E3-ubiquitin ligase that targets the histone H3K4 demethylase LSD1 (20–22) and JADE3 has been

found to be induced by WNT/β-catenin and to have oncogenic properties in colon cancer (23).

Moreover, all three JADE proteins are part of the HBO1 complex (14, 17, 24).

While JADE family members have been implicated with a variety of different pathways and processes,

their molecular function has never been directly compared in the same tissue or cell type. Here, we

analyzed common and individual functions of *Jade1*, *Jade2*, and *Jade3* in differentiated murine renal

tubular epithelial cells. Using CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing, we generated monoclonal loss-

of-function cell lines with two independent strategies for each of the *Jade* genes, which resulted in two

deficient cell lines per *Jade* gene. After demonstrating the lack of Jade expression and the absence of

any counter-regulation on mRNA and protein level, we performed unbiased proteomic, transcriptomic

and in-depth bioinformatic analyses to understand the individual alterations upon loss of Jade1, Jade2

and Jade3. Taken together, we identified and confirmed increased proteasomal abundance and activity

as a common molecular phenotype of JADE-deficient cells.

Results

JADE protein family members share common interactors related to renal disease.

JADE proteins share two PHD zinc finger domains, several PEST domains, and nuclear localization

signals (Fig. 1A). Based on their structural similarities, we sought to investigate whether JADE2 and

JADE3 share molecular functions with JADE1. JADE1 has been characterized as an interactor of the

ciliary protein pVHL (7) and of the nephrocystin protein complex (11). Therefore, we cloned the cDNA

of human JADE2 and JADE3 in expression vectors and performed coimmunoprecipitation experiments,

which included JADE1S as positive control. V5-tagged pVHL (V5.VHL) was co-expressed together with

JADE1S (F.Jade1S), JADE2, JADE3, or a control protein, all with an N-terminal Flag-tagged. These

experiments revealed pVHL to co-precipitate with all three JADE proteins (Fig. 1B). We performed

similar experiments with V5.NPHP4 (Fig. 1C) and V5.NPHP1 (Fig. 1D). Again, both NPHP4 and NPHP1

specifically co-precipitated with all of the JADE proteins. Additional co-immunoprecipitation

experiments with differently-tagged JADE proteins supported the idea, that JADE proteins form

homodimers or homomultimers (Fig. 1E,F). Given the high structural similarity between the individual

JADE proteins we assumed that JADE1/2/3 could also built hetero-multimeric protein complexes. This

notion was confirmed by coimmunoprecipitation among the different JADE proteins (Fig. 1G). In

summary, all JADE proteins share relevant renal protein complexes, very similar to their putative

common role as co-factors and components of the HBO1/HAT complex (14, 24), indicating that they

could interact in the same complex with a common function.

JADE family members show ubiquitous transcription with spikes in different tissues.

The N-terminal part of the JADE proteins shows the highest level of similarity as illustrated by the higher protein identity score between JADE2/3 and JADE1S compared to JADE1L (Supplemental Fig. S1A) (19). We used available data sets of the Human Protein Atlas to analyze tissue expression. Protein expression data was only available for JADE1 and shows global expression in most tissues with squamous epithelial cells of the oral mucosa, esophagus, and uterine, as well as hepatocytes and kidney tubules expressing the highest levels (Supplemental Fig. S1B). mRNA expression data support the ubiquitous expression of *JADE1* in most tissues with higher levels in the pancreas and endocrine and female tissues (Fig. S1C). *JADE2* appears ubiquitously expressed in most tissues with enrichment in the brain, endocrine tissues, female tissues, and lymphoid tissue. The overall *JADE3* expression is relatively low as compared to *JADE1* and *JADE2*. The expression pattern is similar to *JADE2* with the highest levels in the brain, endocrine tissues, female and male tissues, and lymphoid tissues (Supplemental Fig. S1C). Importantly, while the expression of all three JADE genes is confirmed in most tissues, there is generally one JADE family member predominantly expressed (e.g., JADE1 in pancreas, bone marrow, or breast; JADE2 in cerebral cortex, lymph nodes, and midbrain; JADE3 in epididymis, placenta, and testis). In addition, the *JADE* family is conserved across species and has a target identity matching the mouse orthologue to the human sequences of 92.45 %, 91.44 %, and 81.92 %, for JADE1, JADE2, and JADE3, respectively (25). Single-cell transcriptomic data from mouse kidneys revealed that all Jade proteins are expressed in the kidney with a predominance of *Jade1* over *Jade2* and *Jade3* and with co-expression of all three proteins in cells of the collecting duct (Supplemental Fig. S1D) (26). Therefore, we decided to target Jade expression in this specific cell type.

Loss-of-function mutations in one Jade gene reveals independent expression of the remaining family members.

To analyze and compare transcriptional and translational consequences of Jade protein deficiency, we used the established mIMCD3 (murine inner medulla collecting duct 3) cell line and targeted individual *Jade* genes with CRISPR/Cas9. We designed two individual non-overlapping sgRNAs (single-guide RNAs) for each of the *Jade* genes to account for potential off-target effects. The first sgRNA was targeted to the region encoding the first PHD domain, the second sgRNA to the downstream exon containing the second PHD domain's start (Supplemental Fig. S2A). Mutant monoclonal cell lines were screened and validated by PCR and Sanger sequencing; the resulting mutant Jade protein structures are depicted in Supplemental Fig. S2B. When performing qPCR analyses for the different *Jade* genes, we observed a significant reduction of mRNA expression of the targeted Jade gene in the respective mutant cell line (Fig. 2A-C). Targeted proteomic PRM assays confirmed the absence of individual Jade protein

expression in the specific Jade-deficient cell lines (Fig. 2D-F). Remarkably, we do not observe any

compensatory upregulation of the remaining Jade family members on transcript and protein level.

Proteomic analyses of Jade deficient cell lines reveal an increased abundance of almost all

proteasomal components.

We next performed unbiased proteomic analysis from all six Jade-deficient cell lines and the wildtype

control. For each Jade-deficient cell line the principal component analysis showed the clustering of the

replicates and the separation of WT versus the pair of mutant cell lines by the first principal component

(Fig. 3A-C). When plotting the Student's T-test difference of KO1 versus KO2 for each of the Jade family

members we found that they correlate and that expression of most deregulated proteins is shifted in

the same direction within both mutant cell lines for each Jade protein (Supplemental Fig. S3A-C).

Taking the sum of the significantly regulated proteins for both mutant cells for each Jade protein, we

then calculated the overlap of significantly regulated proteins between the members of the Jade

protein family (Fig. 3D). This revealed 644 proteins with significantly altered expression in all

Jade1/2/3-deficient cell lines, supporting the concept that Jade protein family members share

biological functions. A Gene Ontology and KEGG pathway analysis of these 644 proteins revealed terms

related to the 26S proteasome, ribosomes, and protein translation to be enriched (Fig. 3E). Indeed,

many proteins related to the proteasome were significantly upregulated in the cell lines, as shown in

a representative volcano blot of the Jade1 KO2 cell line (Fig. 3F) and in a heat-map summarizing the

results from all cell lines (Fig. 3G). We also observed an altered expression of ribosomal proteins, tRNA

ligases, as well as translation initiation and elongation factors in a similar manner (Supplemental Fig.

S3D-F), being suggestive of a high protein turnover.

Elevated proteasomal activity in Jade deficiency.

To investigate whether these changes in protein expression caused a higher proteasomal activity, we

monitored the degradation of a fluorogenic substrate for the chymotrypsin-like activity of the

proteasome. Indeed, all Jade-deficient cell lines showed a significant increase in proteasomal activity

as compared to the wildtype cells (Fig. 4 A). Consistently, using exogenously expressed Jade proteins,

we could show that the proteasome activity is reduced compared to an overexpressed control protein

(Fig. 4B).

Increased proteasome abundance is not caused by transcriptional regulation.

Based on the role of *Jade1/2/3* as transcriptional and putative epigenetic regulators, we aimed to

investigate whether the increased proteasome activity was caused by transcriptional upregulation of

its components. To answer this question, we performed unbiased transcriptomic analyses with mRNA-

Seq from all six Jade-deficient cell lines and the control cell line. For *Jade1* deficient cell lines, principal

component analysis showed a narrow clustering of the replicates and a clear separation of WT versus

mutant cell lines accounting for 75 % of the variance (Supplemental Fig. S4A). For *Jade2* and *Jade3* the

replicates clustered clearly together, the separation from the WT cell lines was based primarily on the

second principal component (Supplemental Fig. S4B,C). When plotting the fold change for KO1 versus

KO2 for *Jade1* we saw a clear correlation (Supplemental Fig. S4D). The same visualization for *Jade2* and

Jade3 revealed that the individual mutant cell lines for each of them are less correlated than seen for

Jade1 (Supplemental Fig. S4E,F). Taking the sum of the significantly regulated genes for each *Jade*

family member, we found that 567 genes were commonly regulated within the family (Supplemental

Fig. S5A). However, when we individually checked the expression of the proteasomal components

across all cell lines we did not observe any expression changes (Supplemental Fig. S5B,C). Additionally,

we did not observe an upregulation in ribosomal genes and genes encoding for tRNA ligases or

transcription initiation and elongation factors (Supplemental Fig. S5D-F). Taken together, the increased

abundance and activity of the proteasome was not based on increased transcription in the Jade

deficient cells.

Proteasomal core components co-precipitate with Jade1L and Jade1S.

Searching for a direct connection between the 26S proteasome and Jade proteins, we re-expressed

either Flag-tagged Jade1S or Jade1L or a control protein in a Jade1-deficient cell line and performed an

anti-Flag coimmunoprecipitation experiment. Pulled-down protein complexes were analyzed by nLC-

MS/MS. The heatmap of iBAQs of the proteins that are exclusively found in the Jade1L/S samples

shows Jade1 as most abundant among these proteins (Fig. 5A). Plotting the Student's T-test Difference

between Jade1L and the control versus the –log Student's T-test p-value, we observed not only several

known Jade1 interactors (green) but also several components of the proteasome (magenta)

significantly enriched in the Jade1L sample (Fig. 5B). Moreover, we could confirm the same for Jade1S.

Here, specifically Psma1 was among the most enriched proteins (Fig 5C).

Discussion

JADE1 and in parts the other members of the JADE protein family have been previously described as

components of several protein complexes and their potential cell biological functions have been mainly

deduced by JADE interacting or associated proteins. Based on this, JADE proteins were found to be

part of the HBO1/HAT complex (14), to regulate pVHL, beta-catenin and by that canonical WNT

signaling on multiple levels (7, 9)(11), or to be involved in cell cycle regulation through a Plk1/CK1

kinase module(27, 28). In addition, altered expression of JADE proteins has been identified in several

tumor entities, in line with their protein-protein interactions with several tumor suppressors, including

pVHL and Ing4 (6, 24). Up to now, research on Jade proteins was primarily focused on the short isoform

of JADE1 (JADE1S) (19) and not a single study has compared all three individual JADE proteins in the

very same cellular context. In this study, we present for the first time an unbiased comparison of the

proteomic and transcriptomic changes in Jade1, Jade2, and Jade3 deficient cell lines. Multiple previous

studies suggested a specific role of JADE1 in renal ciliopathies (VHL, NPH (6, 7, 11)), ADPKD (12), renal

cell carcinoma (6, 29, 30) and kidney regeneration after injury (31). Strikingly, we could confirm some

of the key protein-protein interactions (pVHL, NPHP1 and NPHP4) related to renal ciliopathies for all

three Jade proteins (Fig. 1). Therefore, we continued our work with the well-characterized renal

tubular epithelial cell line (mIMCD3; murine inner medullary collecting duct 3) to generate the Jade

deficient cell lines for the at hand comparative study. Importantly, previously published datasets

confirmed that renal epithelial cells of the collecting duct express all Jade proteins *in vivo*

(Supplemental Fig. S1D).

With CRISPR/Cas9 mediated genome editing, we generated two independent Jade deficient cell lines

for each Jade family member. These cell lines were validated by Sanger sequencing, qPCR analyses of

mRNA expression, and a targeted proteomics approach (Fig. 2). Proteomic expression profiling

revealed upregulated expression of more than 80% of the individual subunits of the 26S proteasome

(Fig. 3G) and we could demonstrate increased proteasome activity accordingly, underlining the

functional relevance of the altered proteasome abundance. This suggests that JADE proteins have a

common function in balancing or tuning proteasome abundance. Since this is not caused by

transcriptional regulation of proteasome expression as reflected by our RNASeq analyses

(Supplemental Fig. S4), we conclude, that Jade proteins might either modulate posttranslational

modifications of individual proteasome components or, unspecifically, induce the process of

proteophagy. At this point, the finding of proteasomal subunits co-precipitating with Jade1S and

Jade1L strongly suggests a direct involvement of Jade proteins in the targeting of the proteasome for

degradation. While the detailed underlying mechanism of this regulation still needs to be discovered,

the observed high proteasomal activity in Jade deficient cells has several implications in the context of

renal diseases. Enhanced proteasomal activity might increase the sensitivity of cells towards

therapeutic inhibition of the proteasome. In Jade deficient cells, proteasome inhibition might more

efficiently increase the unfolded protein burden and proteotoxic stress to toxic levels ultimately

leading to apoptotic cell death. This could be relevant both for cancer cells with low or no Jade

expression as well as in renal ciliopathies. Here, the disease genes pVHL, NPHP's and Polycystin-1 have

been demonstrated to stabilize JADE1 expression (1, 12) with mutant proteins lacking this specific

effect (7, 12). In consequence, the low levels of JADE in mutant cells could cause increased proteasomal

activity. Remarkably, the use of the proteasome inhibitor Carfilzomib has been shown to ameliorate

the cystic kidney phenotype in a mouse model of ADPLD (32) by increasing cell death and decreasing

proliferation of renal epithelial cells. In this model, Jade deregulation and the general high proteasomal
activity might be one of the mechanisms targeted by Carfilzomib. Proteasome inhibitors have also been
very recently identified among other drugs in a study screening about 8000 compounds for their effect
on viability of PKD deficient cells (33). Further preclinical studies in mouse models are required to
investigate under which exact conditions targeting the proteasome in cystic kidney could be a useful
option.

Jade1 is a protein localized at the ciliary transition zone and interacting with ciliopathy proteins,
suggesting that the high abundance and activity of the proteasome might affect primary cilia. In all
Jade deficient cell lines, we do not observe any significant change in the number of ciliated cells (data
not shown). The UPS however, has been shown to be essential for the regulation of primary cilia.
Inhibition of the proteasome with MG132 or depletion of proteasomal subunits with RNAi affects both
ciliogenesis and ciliary disassembly (34). Interestingly, many proteasomal subunits have been
identified as part of a protein complexes pulled-down with transitions zone and basal body proteins
NPHP2, NPHP5 and NPHP8 (35) and with BBS proteins (36). Increased global proteasomal activity has
not been directly linked to any ciliary function. One could speculate, that increased proteasomal
activity as a result of reduced JADE expression might positively affect primary cilia in renal ciliopathies.
Interestingly, loss of cilia has been found to suppress cyst growth in ADPKD (37). As such, loss of cilia
may indeed be positive in some renal ciliopathies and might be counteracted under certain conditions
through depletion of Jade and subsequent upregulation of proteasomal activity.

Acknowledgements
We thank Stefanie Keller and Martyna Brütting for excellent technical assistance and members of the
laboratories for helpful discussions. In particular, we want to thank David Vilchez for his critical advice.
We acknowledge the help of the CECAD proteomics core facility and the Cologne Center for Genomics,
Cologne, Germany. We thank the FACS & IMAGING Core Facility at Max Planck Institute for Biology of
Ageing, Cologne, for assisting with sorting cells for generation of Jade KO cell lines. This study was
supported by the German Research Foundation (SCHE1562/8-1 to BS). Roman-Ulrich Müller received
support from the Ministry of Science North Rhine-Westphalia (Nachwuchsgruppen.NRW 2015-2021).

Materials and Methods
Plasmids and antibodies
Plasmids containing JADE1S, pVHL, and EPS[1-225] cDNA were previously described (11, 38). JADE1L,
JADE2 and JADE3 cDNA were obtained by PCR from HEK293T cDNA. Jade1S, Jade1L, Jade2, and Jade3
cDNA were obtained by PCR from mIMCD3 cDNA. cDNA fragments were inserted into a modified
pcDNA6 vector (Invitrogen) containing an N-terminal FLAG-tag using standard cloning techniques. For

the generation of the CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutant cell lines the selected single guide (sg)RNAs were

cloned into pSpCas9(BB)-2A-GFP (PX458), gifted from Feng Zhang (Addgene plasmid #48138)(39). All

plasmids were verified by Sanger sequencing.

Primary antibodies were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (monoclonal mouse anti-FLAG (M2), F3165,

1:10000; polyclonal rabbit anti-FLAG, F7425, 1:2000) and Serotec (monoclonal mouse anti-V5,

MCA1360, 1:5000). Secondary antibodies were obtained from Jackson ImmunoResearch (goat anti-

rabbit HRP-coupled, 111-035-003; goat anti-mouse HRP-coupled, 115-035-003; both 1:30000).

Cell culture and transfections

Murine inner-medullary collecting duct (mIMCD3, ATCC CRL-2123) cells were cultured in DMEM-F12

(Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 2 mM GlutaMAX (Gibco). For

FACS sorted cell lines the medium was additionally supplemented with 100 U/ml Penicillin-

Streptomycin (Gibco). mIMCD3 subclone 8 (sc.#8) was selected after FACS sorting the parental line

into single cells for a higher genetic homogeneity. For the generation of the CRISPR/Cas9-mediated

mIMCD3 sc.#8 mutant cell lines, the cells were seeded in 6-well plates, grown to 50 % confluency and

transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

HEK293T cells were cultured in DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS. For transfection

experiments in HEK293T cells, the cells were seeded in 10 cm dishes and grown to 50-60% confluency.

Plasmid DNA was transiently transfected using the calcium phosphate method. 24 h after transfection

cells were harvested in 8 ml of cold PBS, centrifuged and the cell pellet was used for lysis and

subsequent coimmunoprecipitation. All cells were cultured at 37°C in the presence of 5% CO_2 and

tested negative for mycoplasmas.

Coimmunoprecipitation

HEK293T cell pellets were resuspended in 1 ml of lysis buffer (20 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 1% (v/v) TritonX-

100, 50 mM NaCl, 15 mM $Na_4P_2O_7$, 50 mM NaF) and incubated for 15 min at 4 °C. After homogenization

the cell suspension was centrifuged (20,000 x g, 15 min, 4 °C) and then the supernatant was

ultracentrifuged (100,000 x g, 30 min, 4 °C). 50 µl of the supernatant after ultracentrifugation was used

as lysate (input) and boiled with 2x Laemmli buffer. Anti-FLAG agarose beads (M2, Sigma) were added

to the remaining supernatant. After incubation for 1 h at 4 °C the beads were extensively washed with

lysis buffer and boiled in Laemmli buffer. Proteins were resolved by 10% SDS-Page (NPHP1 and NPHP4

coimmunoprecipitations) and 12% SDS-Page (pVHL coimmunoprecipitations).

Generation of mutant cell lines

For each member of the Jade family two sgRNAs were designed using the CRISPR finder tool (http://www.sanger.ac.uk/htgt/wge/)(40) and benchling (http://benchling.com). The sgRNA sequences are shown in supplemental table S1. Cloning of the sgRNA containing plasmids and transfection are described above. 48 h after transfection GFP-positive cells were sorted in 96-well plates on a BD FACSAriatnm III. After expanding the colonies arising from single cells, a first screening for mutants was performed using PCR, followed by Sanger sequencing. Primer sequences can be obtained from supplemental table S1. Validation was done by qPCR and a PRM assay.

qPCR

mIMCD3 wild type and Jade mutant cells were washed with PBS and RNA extraction was performed with the Direct-zol RNA Miniprep kit (Zymo Research) following the manufacturer's instructions including a DNase1 treatment step. This was followed by reverse transcription using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription kit (Applied Biosystems). Jade1/2/3 mRNA was assessed by SYBR Green (Thermo Fisher Scientific) qPCR using Hprt1 as endogenous control. Primers are listed in supplemental table S1. The qPCR experiments were performed on a QuantStudio 12K Flex Real_time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Data were analyzed using a student's t-test and are presented as mean +/− standard error of the mean (SEM). Significance levels are indicated in the figure legends.

PRM assays

Jade protein expression has been difficult to detect by shotgun proteomics experiments. Therefore, we decided to use a parallel reaction monitoring (PRM) assay (41). Preparation of the samples for targeted mass spectrometry was performed as previously described (42). All samples were measured by the proteomics facility at CECAD. All samples were analyzed on an Orbitrap Exploris 480 mass spectrometer coupled to an EASY nLC 1200 UPLC (both Thermo Scientific). Peptides were loaded with solvent A (0.1% formic acid in water) onto an in-house packed analytical column (30 cm × 75 μm I.D., filled with 2.7 μm Poroshell EC120 C18 (Agilent)). Peptides were chromatographically separated at a constant flow rate of 300 nl min^{-1} using with the following gradient: initial 4 % solvent B (0.1% formic acid in 80% acetonitrile), ramp to 25% B within 72 min, to 55% B within 8 min and to 95% B within 2 min, followed by washing and column equilibration. The Exploris was equipped with a FAIMS Pro Interface (Thermo) set to a CV of -47 and operated in scheduled PRM mode. The MS1 survey scan was acquired from 400 to 1000 m/z at a resolution of 120,000. Target masses were isolated in a 2 h window and fragmented by HCD with collision energy of 30%. The AGC target was set to 100 % and resulting spectra recorded with a resolution of 120,000. Product ions were detected in the Orbitrap at a resolution of 17,500.

Target list generation and follow-up analysis were performed in Skyline 20.2. Unique target peptides

and their retention times were chosen based on their detectability in data-independent trials using the

identical setup and gradient. Results were compared against their theoretical spectra simulated by the

Prosit algorithm (43) plugin in Skyline (44, 45). Only areas with a dotp value above 0.7 were included

in the analysis to ensure the confidence of the result. The targets are listed in supplemental table S2.

Proteome analyses

For each replicate, a 10 cm dish of mIMCD3 cells of the indicated genotype was harvested and snap-

frozen. Pellets were resuspended in Urea buffer (8 m Urea, 50 mm ammoniumbicarbonate, Halts

Protease-phosphatase-inhibitor (Thermo Scientific)). After clearing of the sample (16000g, 30 min at

4°C) the lysates were reduced (10 mM Dithiothreitol, 1 h, RT) and alkylated (50 mM Chloroacetamide,

30 min, RT, in the dark). An equal amount of protein was diluted to reach a concentration of 2M urea

and subjected to tryptic digestion (enzyme:substrate ratio of 1:50). On the next day, double-layered

stage-tip clean up (C18) was performed.

All samples were analyzed on a Q Exactive Plus Orbitrap (Thermo Scientific) mass spectrometer that

was coupled to an EASY nLC (Thermo Scientific). Peptides were loaded with solvent A (0.1% formic acid

in water) onto an in-house packed analytical column (50 cm — 75 µm I.D., filled with 2.7 µm Poroshell

EC120 C18, Agilent). Peptides were chromatographically separated at a constant flow rate of 250

nL/min using the following gradient: 3-4% solvent B (0.1% formic acid in 80 % acetonitrile) within 1.0

min, 4-27% solvent B within 119.0 min, 27-50% solvent B within 19.0 min, 50-95% solvent B within 1.0

min, followed by washing and column equilibration. The mass spectrometer was operated in data-

dependent acquisition mode. The MS1 survey scan was acquired from 300-1750 m/z at a resolution of

70,000. The top 10 most abundant peptides were isolated within a 1.8 Th window and subjected to

HCD fragmentation at a normalized collision energy of 27%. The AGC target was set to 5e5 charges,

allowing a maximum injection time of 55 ms. Product ions were detected in the Orbitrap at a resolution

of 17,500. Precursors were dynamically excluded for 30.0 s.

All mass spectrometric raw data were processed with Maxquant (version 1.5.3.8) using default

parameters. Briefly, MS2 spectra were searched against the canonical Uniprot mouse fasta database

(UP000000589; May 4, 2020) and the MaxQuant default list of common contaminants. False discovery

rates on protein and PSM level were estimated by the target-decoy approach to 1% (Protein FDR) and

1% (PSM FDR) respectively. The minimal peptide length was set to 7 amino acids and

carbamidomethylation at cysteine residues was considered as a fixed modification. Oxidation (M) and

Acetyl (Protein N-term) were included as variable modifications. The match-between runs option was

enabled. Student´s T-tests were calculated in Perseus (version 1.6.1.1) after removal of decoys and

potential contaminants. Data were filtered for at least 3 out of 3 values in at least one condition.

Remaining missing values were imputed with random values from the left end of the intensity
distribution using Perseus defaults.

Interactome analysis

For each replicate, a 10 cm dish of Jade1 KO1 mIMCD3 cells was transfected with 10 µg of either Flag-
tagged Jade1S, Jade1L or an empty vector control using Lipofectamine (Invitrogen) 2000 following the
manufacture's instructions. 48 h after transfection cells were harvested and lyzed (50 mM Tris (pH 7.5),
150 mM NaCl, 0.5% (w/v) Sodium deoxycholate, 1% (v/v) Triton X-100) for 15 min @ 4°C. After
sonication (Bioruptor, 10 min, cycle 30/30 sec) and centrifugation (4°C, 15 min) anti-FLAG agarose
beads (M2, Sigma) were added to the supernatant and incubated at 4°C overnight. After extensive
washing of the beads, 5% SDS in 1xPBS was added and proteins were released from the beads by
incubation at 95°C for 3 min. Subsequently, the supernatant was reduced with DTT and alkylated with
CAA followed by two single-pot solid-phase-enhanced sample preparations (SP3).

All samples were analyzed on a Q Exactive Plus Orbitrap (Thermo Scientific) mass spectrometer that
was coupled to an EASY nLC (Thermo Scientific). Peptides were loaded with solvent A (0.1% formic acid
in water) onto an in-house packed analytical column (50 cm — 75 µm I.D., filled with 2.7 µm Poroshell
EC120 C18, Agilent). Peptides were chromatographically separated at a constant flow rate of 250
nL/min using the following gradient: 3-5% solvent B (0.1% formic acid in 80 % acetonitrile) within 1.0
min, 5-30% solvent B within 65.0 min, 30-50% solvent B within 13.0 min, 50-95% solvent B within 1.0
min, followed by washing and column equilibration. The mass spectrometer was operated in data-
dependent acquisition mode. The MS1 survey scan was acquired from 300-1750 m/z at a resolution of
70,000. The top 10 most abundant peptides were isolated within a 1.8 Th window and subjected to
HCD fragmentation at a normalized collision energy of 27%. The AGC target was set to 5e5 charges,
allowing a maximum injection time of 110 ms. Product ions were detected in the Orbitrap at a
resolution of 35,000. Precursors were dynamically excluded for 15.0 s.

All mass spectrometric raw data were processed with Maxquant (version 1.5.3.8) using default
parameters. Briefly, MS2 spectra were searched against the canonical Uniprot
MOUSE_UP000000589.fasta (downloaded at: 26.08.2020) database, including a list of common
contaminants. False discovery rates on protein and PSM level were estimated by the target-decoy
approach to 1% (Protein FDR) and 1% (PSM FDR) respectively. The minimal peptide length was set to
7 amino acids and carbamidomethylation at cysteine residues was considered as a fixed modification.
Oxidation (M) and Acetyl (Protein N-term) were included as variable modifications. The match-
between runs option was enabled for replicates from the same group. LFQ quantification was enabled
using default settings.

In vitro assay of 26S proteasome activity

The proteasomal activity assay was performed as previously described (46). For each replicate with the mIMCD3 cells, the cells were grown into 6-well plates and grown until 70% confluency. HEK29T cells were grown into 6-well plates, transfected at 50% confluency with 2 μg of DNA using the calcium phosphate method, and harvested 24 h after transfection. Cells were collected on proteasomal activity assay buffer (50 mM Tris-HCL, pH 7.5, 10% glycerol, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.5 mM EDTA, 2 mM ATP, 1 mM DTT) and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Before performing the assay, samples were lyzed and centrifuged at 10000 g for 10 min at 4°C. 25 μg of protein was loaded on a flat-bottom, black fluorescence 96-well microplate and incubated with the fluorogenic substrate (Z-Gly-Gly-Leu-AMC, BML-ZW8505-0005, ENZO Life Science). Fluorescence (360 nm excitation, 430 nm emission) was monitored every 5 min for 2 h at 37°C using a fluorescent plate reader (EnSpire Multimode Plate Reader, Perkin Elmer). Samples were assayed in technical triplicates and in biological replicates as indicated in the figure legend.

mRNA sequencing

Total RNA extraction was performed with the direct-zol RNA Miniprep kit (Zymo Research) following the manufacture's instructions. Library preparation and sequencing was performed by the Cologne Center for Genomics. Libraries were prepared using the Illumina® TruSeq® mRNA stranded sample preparation Kit. Library preparation started with 300 ng total RNA. After poly-A selection, mRNA was purified and fragmented using divalent cations under elevated temperature. The RNA fragments underwent reverse transcription using random primers, followed by second strand cDNA synthesis with DNA Polymerase I and RNase H. After end repair and A-tailing, indexing adapters were ligated. The products were then purified and amplified to create the final cDNA libraries. After library validation and quantification (TapStation 4200, Agilent), equimolar amounts of library were pooled and quantified by using the Peqlab KAPA Library Quantification Kit and the Applied Biosystems 7900HT Sequence Detection System. The pool was sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq6000 with PE100 read length and a minimum of 35 million reads per sample.

The reads were trimmed with Trimmomatic version 0.36 (47) using default parameters. The trimmed reads were mapped to the GRCm39 mouse reference genome with STAR version 2.6 (48) using default parameters. mRNA expression was analyzed using DESeq2 (version 1.28.1)(49) package of R (version 4.0.0) software (https://www.R-project.org/). A p-value cut-off of <0.05 was applied to the list of differentially regulated mRNAs after pairwise comparison of the Jade deficient cell lines with wild type. P-values were adjusted for multiple testing.

References

1.   Zhou, M. I., Wang, H., Ross, J. J., Kuzmin, I., Xu, C., and Cohen, H. T. (2002) The von Hippel-
Lindau tumor suppressor stabilizes novel plant homeodomain protein Jade-1. *J. Biol. Chem.*
277, 39887–39898

2.   Foster, K., Prowse, A., van den Berg, A., Fleming, S., Hulsbeek, M. M., Crossey, P. A., Richards, F.
M., Cairns, P., Affara, N. A., and Ferguson-Smith, M. A. (1994) Somatic mutations of the von
Hippel-Lindau disease tumour suppressor gene in non-familial clear cell renal carcinoma. *Hum*
Mol Genet. **3**, 2169–2173

3.   Gnarra, J. R., Tory, K., Weng, Y., Schmidt, L., Wei, M. H., Li, H., Latif, F., Liu, S., Chen, F., and Duh,
F. M. (1994) Mutations of the VHL tumour suppressor gene in renal carcinoma. *Nat Genet*. **7**,
85–90

4.   Shuin, T., Kondo, K., Torigoe, S., Kishida, T., Kubota, Y., Hosaka, M., Nagashima, Y., Kitamura, H.,
Latif, F., and Zbar, B. (1994) Frequent somatic mutations and loss of heterozygosity of the von
Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor gene in primary human renal cell carcinomas. *Cancer Res*. **54**,
2852–2855

5.   Whaley, J. M., Naglich, J., Gelbert, L., Hsia, Y. E., Lamiell, J. M., Green, J. S., Collins, D.,
Neumann, H. P. H., Laidlaw, J., Li, F. P., Klein-Szanto, A. J. P., Seizinger, B. R., and Kley, N. (1994)
Germ-Line Mutations in the von Hippel–Lindau Tumor-Suppressor Gene Are Similar to Somatic
von Hippel–Lindau Aberrations in Sporadic Renal Cell Carcinoma. *Am J Hum Genet*. **55**, 1092–
1102

6.   Zeng, L., Bai, M., Mittal, A. K., El-Jouni, W., Zhou, J., Cohen, D. M., Zhou, M. I., and Cohen, H. T.
(2013) Candidate tumor suppressor and pVHL partner Jade-1 binds and inhibits AKT in renal cell
carcinoma. *Cancer Res.* **73**, 5371–5380

7.   Zhou, M. I., Wang, H., Foy, R. L., Ross, J. J., and Cohen, H. T. (2004) Tumor suppressor von
Hippel-Lindau (VHL) stabilization of Jade-1 protein occurs through plant homeodomains and is
VHL mutation dependent. *Cancer Res.* **64**, 1278–1286

8.   Zhou, M. I., Foy, R. L., Chitalia, V. C., Zhao, J., Panchenko, M. V., Wang, H., and Cohen, H. T.
(2005) Jade-1, a candidate renal tumor suppressor that promotes apoptosis. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
Sci. U.S.A. **102**, 11035–11040

9.   Chitalia, V. C., Foy, R. L., Bachschmid, M. M., Zeng, L., Panchenko, M. V., Zhou, M. I., Bharti, A.,
Seldin, D. C., Lecker, S. H., Dominguez, I., and Cohen, H. T. (2008) Jade-1 inhibits Wnt signalling
by ubiquitylating beta-catenin and mediates Wnt pathway inhibition by pVHL. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **10**,
1208–1216

10.   Benzing, T., and Schermer, B. (2012) Clinical spectrum and pathogenesis of nephronophthisis.
Current Opinion in Nephrology and Hypertension. **21**, 272–278

11.   Borgal, L., Habbig, S., Hatzold, J., Liebau, M. C., Dafinger, C., Sacarea, I., Hammerschmidt, M.,
Benzing, T., and Schermer, B. (2012) The ciliary protein nephrocystin-4 translocates the
canonical Wnt regulator Jade-1 to the nucleus to negatively regulate β-catenin signaling. *J. Biol.*
Chem. **287**, 25370–25380

12.   Foy, R. L., Chitalia, V. C., Panchenko, M. V., Zeng, L., Lopez, D., Lee, J. W., Rana, S. V., Boletta, A.,
Qian, F., Tsiokas, L., Piontek, K. B., Germino, G. G., Zhou, M. I., and Cohen, H. T. (2012)

Polycystin-1 regulates the stability and ubiquitination of transcription factor Jade-1. *Hum. Mol.*
Genet. **21**, 5456–5471

13. Hildebrandt, F., Benzing, T., and Katsanis, N. (2011) Ciliopathies. *N Engl J Med*. **364**, 1533–1543

14. Foy, R. L., Song, I. Y., Chitalia, V. C., Cohen, H. T., Saksouk, N., Cayrou, C., Vaziri, C., Côté, J., and
Panchenko, M. V. (2008) Role of Jade-1 in the histone acetyltransferase (HAT) HBO1 complex. *J.*
Biol. Chem. **283**, 28817–28826

15. Panchenko, M. V., Zhou, M. I., and Cohen, H. T. (2004) von Hippel-Lindau partner Jade-1 is a
transcriptional co-activator associated with histone acetyltransferase activity. *J. Biol. Chem.*
279, 56032–56041

16. Johmura, Y., Osada, S., Nishizuka, M., and Imagawa, M. (2008) FAD24 acts in concert with
histone acetyltransferase HBO1 to promote adipogenesis by controlling DNA replication. *J Biol*
Chem. **283**, 2265–2274

17. Quintela, M., Sieglaff, D. H., Gazze, A. S., Zhang, A., Gonzalez, D., Francis, L., Webb, P., and
Conlan, R. S. (2019) HBO1 directs histone H4 specific acetylation, potentiating mechano-
transduction pathways and membrane elasticity in ovarian cancer cells. *Nanomedicine*. **17**,
254–265

18. Tzouanacou, E., Tweedie, S., and Wilson, V. (2003) Identification of Jade1, a gene encoding a
PHD zinc finger protein, in a gene trap mutagenesis screen for genes involved in
anteroposterior axis development. *Mol Cell Biol*. **23**, 8553–8552

19. Panchenko, M. V. (2016) Structure, function and regulation of jade family PHD finger 1 (JADE1).
Gene. **589**, 1–11

20. Han, X., Gui, B., Xiong, C., Zhao, L., Liang, J., Sun, L., Yang, X., Yu, W., Si, W., Yan, R., Yi, X., Zhang,
D., Li, W., Li, L., Yang, J., Wang, Y., Sun, Y. E., Zhang, D., Meng, A., and Shang, Y. (2014)
Destabilizing LSD1 by Jade-2 promotes neurogenesis: an antibraking system in neural
development. *Mol. Cell*. **55**, 482–494

21. Wu, C.-Y., Persaud, S. D., and Wei, L.-N. (2016) Retinoic Acid Induces Ubiquitination-Resistant
RIP140/LSD1 Complex to Fine-Tune Pax6 Gene in Neuronal Differentiation. *Stem Cells*. **34**, 114–
123

22. Anan, K., Hino, S., Shimizu, N., Sakamoto, A., Nagaoka, K., Takase, R., Kohrogi, K., Araki, H.,
Hino, Y., Usuki, S., Oki, S., Tanaka, H., Nakamura, K., Endo, F., and Nakao, M. (2018) LSD1
mediates metabolic reprogramming by glucocorticoids during myogenic differentiation. *Nucleic*
Acids Res. **46**, 5441–5454

23. Jian, Y., Wang, M., Zhang, Y., Ou, R., Zhu, Z., Ou, Y., Chen, X., Liang, X., Ding, Y., Song, L., Xu, X.,
and Liao, W. (2018) Jade family PHD finger 3 (JADE3) increases cancer stem cell-like properties
and tumorigenicity in colon cancer. *Cancer Letters*. **428**, 1–11

24. Doyon, Y., Cayrou, C., Ullah, M., Landry, A.-J., Côté, V., Selleck, W., Lane, W. S., Tan, S., Yang, X.-
J., and Côté, J. (2006) ING tumor suppressor proteins are critical regulators of chromatin
acetylation required for genome expression and perpetuation. *Mol Cell*. **21**, 51–64

25. Yates, A. D., Achuthan, P., Akanni, W., Allen, J., Allen, J., Alvarez-Jarreta, J., Amode, M. R.,
Armean, I. M., Azov, A. G., Bennett, R., Bhai, J., Billis, K., Boddu, S., Marugán, J. C., Cummins, C.,
Davidson, C., Dodiya, K., Fatima, R., Gall, A., Giron, C. G., Gil, L., Grego, T., Haggerty, L., Haskell,
E., Hourlier, T., Izuogu, O. G., Janacek, S. H., Juettemann, T., Kay, M., Lavidas, I., Le, T., Lemos,
D., Martinez, J. G., Maurel, T., McDowall, M., McMahon, A., Mohanan, S., Moore, B., Nuhn, M.,
Oheh, D. N., Parker, A., Parton, A., Patricio, M., Sakthivel, M. P., Abdul Salam, A. I., Schmitt, B.
M., Schuilenburg, H., Sheppard, D., Sycheva, M., Szuba, M., Taylor, K., Thormann, A.,
Threadgold, G., Vullo, A., Walts, B., Winterbottom, A., Zadissa, A., Chakiachvili, M., Flint, B.,
Frankish, A., Hunt, S. E., IIsley, G., Kostadima, M., Langridge, N., Loveland, J. E., Martin, F. J.,
Morales, J., Mudge, J. M., Muffato, M., Perry, E., Ruffier, M., Trevanion, S. J., Cunningham, F.,
Howe, K. L., Zerbino, D. R., and Flicek, P. (2020) Ensembl 2020. *Nucleic Acids Research*. **48**,
D682–D688

26. Park, J., Shrestha, R., Qiu, C., Kondo, A., Huang, S., Werth, M., Li, M., Barasch, J., and Suszták, K.
(2018) Single-cell transcriptomics of the mouse kidney reveals potential cellular targets of
kidney disease. *Science*. **360**, 758–763
27. Borgal, L., Rinschen, M. M., Dafinger, C., Hoff, S., Reinert, M. J., Lamkemeyer, T., Lienkamp, S.
S., Benzing, T., and Schermer, B. (2014) Casein kinase 1 α phosphorylates the Wnt regulator
Jade-1 and modulates its activity. *J. Biol. Chem.* **289**, 26344–26356
28. Borgal, L., Rinschen, M. M., Dafinger, C., Liebrecht, V. I., Abken, H., Benzing, T., and Schermer,
B. (2016) Jade-1S phosphorylation induced by CK1α contributes to cell cycle progression. *Cell*
Cycle. **15**, 1034–1045
29. Lian, X., Duan, X., Wu, X., Li, C., Chen, S., Wang, S., Cai, Y., and Weng, Z. (2012) Expression and
clinical significance of von Hippel-Lindau downstream genes: Jade-1 and β-catenin related to
renal cell carcinoma. *Urology*. **80**, 485.e7–13
30. Xiao-Fen, W., Ting, C., Jie, L., Deng-Yang, M., Qing-Feng, Z., and Xin, L. (2016) Correlation
analysis of VHL and Jade-1 gene expression in human renal cell carcinoma. *Open Med (Wars)*.
11, 226–230
31. Havasi, A., Haegele, J. A., Gall, J. M., Blackmon, S., Ichimura, T., Bonegio, R. G., and Panchenko,
M. V. (2013) Histone acetyl transferase (HAT) HBO1 and JADE1 in epithelial cell regeneration.
Am. J. Pathol. **182**, 152–162
32. Fedeles, S. V., Tian, X., Gallagher, A.-R., Mitobe, M., Nishio, S., Lee, S. H., Cai, Y., Geng, L., Crews,
C. M., and Somlo, S. (2011) A genetic interaction network of five genes for human polycystic
kidney and liver diseases defines polycystin-1 as the central determinant of cyst formation. *Nat*
Genet. **43**, 639–647
33. Asawa, R. R., Danchik, C., Zahkarov, A., Chen, Y., Voss, T., Jadhav, A., Wallace, D. P., Trott, J. F.,
Weiss, R. H., Simeonov, A., and Martinez, N. J. (2020) A high-throughput screening platform for
Polycystic Kidney Disease (PKD) drug repurposing utilizing murine and human ADPKD cells.
Scientific reports. **10**, 4203
34. Kim, J. H., Ki, S. M., Joung, J.-G., Scott, E., Heynen-Genel, S., Aza-Blanc, P., Kwon, C. H., Kim, J.,
Gleeson, J. G., and Lee, J. E. (2016) Genome-wide screen identifies novel machineries required
for both ciliogenesis and cell cycle arrest upon serum starvation. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. **1863**,
1307–1318
35. Sang, L., Miller, J. J., Corbit, K. C., Giles, R. H., Brauer, M. J., Otto, E. A., Baye, L. M., Wen, X.,
Scales, S. J., Kwong, M., Huntzicker, E. G., Sfakianos, M. K., Sandoval, W., Bazan, J. F., Kulkarni,
P., Garcia-Gonzalo, F. R., Seol, A. D., O'Toole, J. F., Held, S., Reutter, H. M., Lane, W. S., Rafiq, M.
A., Noor, A., Ansar, M., Devi, A. R. R., Sheffield, V. C., Slusarski, D. C., Vincent, J. B., Doherty, D.
A., Hildebrandt, F., Reiter, J. F., and Jackson, P. K. (2011) Mapping the Nephronophthisis-
Joubert-Meckel-Gruber Protein Network Reveals Ciliopathy Disease Genes and Pathways. *Cell*.
145, 513–528
36. Liu, Y. P., Tsai, I.-C., Morleo, M., Oh, E. C., Leitch, C. C., Massa, F., Lee, B.-H., Parker, D. S., Finley,
D., Zaghloul, N. A., Franco, B., and Katsanis, N. (2014) Ciliopathy proteins regulate paracrine
signaling by modulating proteasomal degradation of mediators. *J Clin Invest*. **124**, 2059–2070
37. Ma, M., Tian, X., Igarashi, P., Pazour, G. J., and Somlo, S. (2013) Loss of cilia suppresses cyst
growth in genetic models of autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. *Nat Genet*. **45**,
1004–1012
38. Schermer, B., Ghenoiu, C., Bartram, M., Müller, R. U., Kotsis, F., Höhne, M., Kühn, W., Rapka,
M., Nitschke, R., Zentgraf, H., Fliegauf, M., Omran, H., Walz, G., and Benzing, T. (2006) The von
Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor protein controls ciliogenesis by orienting microtubule growth.
J Cell Biol. **175**, 547–554
39. Ran, F. A., Hsu, P. D., Wright, J., Agarwala, V., Scott, D. A., and Zhang, F. (2013) Genome
engineering using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. *Nature Protocols*. **8**, 2281–2308
40. Hodgkins, A., Farne, A., Perera, S., Grego, T., Parry-Smith, D. J., Skarnes, W. C., and Iyer, V.
(2015) WGE: a CRISPR database for genome engineering. *Bioinformatics*. **31**, 3078–3080

41. Rauniyar, N. (2015) Parallel Reaction Monitoring: A Targeted Experiment Performed Using High
Resolution and High Mass Accuracy Mass Spectrometry. *Int J Mol Sci*. **16**, 28566–28581
42. Bartram, M. P., Habbig, S., Pahmeyer, C., Höhne, M., Weber, L. T., Thiele, H., Altmüller, J.,
Kottoor, N., Wenzel, A., Krueger, M., Schermer, B., Benzing, T., Rinschen, M. M., and Beck, B. B.
(2016) Three-layered proteomic characterization of a novel ACTN4 mutation unravels its
pathogenic potential in FSGS. *Hum Mol Genet*. **25**, 1152–1164
43. Gessulat, S., Schmidt, T., Zolg, D. P., Samaras, P., Schnatbaum, K., Zerweck, J., Knaute, T.,
Rechenberger, J., Delanghe, B., Huhmer, A., Reimer, U., Ehrlich, H.-C., Aiche, S., Kuster, B., and
Wilhelm, M. (2019) Prosit: proteome-wide prediction of peptide tandem mass spectra by deep
learning. *Nat Methods*. **16**, 509–518
44. MacLean, B., Tomazela, D. M., Shulman, N., Chambers, M., Finney, G. L., Frewen, B., Kern, R.,
Tabb, D. L., Liebler, D. C., and MacCoss, M. J. (2010) Skyline: an open source document editor
for creating and analyzing targeted proteomics experiments. *Bioinformatics*. **26**, 966–968
45. Pino, L. K., Searle, B. C., Bollinger, J. G., Nunn, B., MacLean, B., and MacCoss, M. J. (2020) The
Skyline ecosystem: Informatics for quantitative mass spectrometry proteomics. *Mass Spectrom
Rev*. **39**, 229–244
46. Kisselev, A. F., and Goldberg, A. L. (2005) Monitoring Activity and Inhibition of 26S Proteasomes
with Fluorogenic Peptide Substrates. in *Methods in Enzymology*, pp. 364–378, Ubiquitin and
Protein Degradation, Part A, Academic Press, **398**, 364–378
47. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014) Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. *Bioinformatics*. **30**, 2114–2120
48. Dobin, A., Davis, C. A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, M.,
and Gingeras, T. R. (2013) STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics*. **29**, 15–21
49. Love, M. I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and
dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology*. **15**, 550
50. Perez-Riverol, Y., Csordas, A., Bai, J., Bernal-Llinares, M., Hewapathirana, S., Kundu, D. J.,
Inuganti, A., Griss, J., Mayer, G., Eisenacher, M., Pérez, E., Uszkoreit, J., Pfeuffer, J.,
Sachsenberg, T., Yilmaz, S., Tiwary, S., Cox, J., Audain, E., Walzer, M., Jarnuczak, A. F., Ternent,
T., Brazma, A., and Vizcaíno, J. A. (2019) The PRIDE database and related tools and resources in
2019: improving support for quantification data. *Nucleic Acids Res*. **47**, D442–D450
51. Athar, A., Füllgrabe, A., George, N., Iqbal, H., Huerta, L., Ali, A., Snow, C., Fonseca, N. A.,
Petryszak, R., Papatheodorou, I., Sarkans, U., and Brazma, A. (2019) ArrayExpress update – from
bulk to single-cell expression data. *Nucleic Acids Research*. **47**, D711–D715
52. Rice, P., Longden, I., and Bleasby, A. (2000) EMBOSS: the European Molecular Biology Open
Software Suite. *Trends Genet*. **16**, 276–277
53. Letunic, I., and Bork, P. (2018) 20 years of the SMART protein domain annotation resource.
Nucleic Acids Res. **46**, D493–D496
54. Oughtred, R., Rust, J., Chang, C., Breitkreutz, B.-J., Stark, C., Willems, A., Boucher, L., Leung, G.,
Kolas, N., Zhang, F., Dolma, S., Coulombe-Huntington, J., Chatr-Aryamontri, A., Dolinski, K., and
Tyers, M. (2021) The BioGRID database: A comprehensive biomedical resource of curated
protein, genetic, and chemical interactions. *Protein Sci*. **30**, 187–200
55. Consortium, T. U. (2019) UniProt: a worldwide hub of protein knowledge. *Nucleic Acids Res*. **47**,
D506–D515
56. Madeira F, Park Ym, Lee J, Buso N, Gur T, Madhusoodanan N, Basutkar P, Tivey Arn, Potter Sc,
Finn Rd, and Lopez R (2019) The EMBL-EBI search and sequence analysis tools APIs in 2019.
Nucleic Acids Res. **47**, W636–W641
57. Uhlén, M., Fagerberg, L., Hallström, B. M., Lindskog, C., Oksvold, P., Mardinoglu, A., Sivertsson,
Å., Kampf, C., Sjöstedt, E., Asplund, A., Olsson, I., Edlund, K., Lundberg, E., Navani, S., Szigyarto,
C. A.-K., Odeberg, J., Djureinovic, D., Takanen, J. O., Hober, S., Alm, T., Edqvist, P.-H., Berling, H.,
Tegel, H., Mulder, J., Rockberg, J., Nilsson, P., Schwenk, J. M., Hamsten, M., Feilitzen, K. von,
Forsberg, M., Persson, L., Johansson, F., Zwahlen, M., Heijne, G. von, Nielsen, J., and Pontén, F.
(2015) Tissue-based map of the human proteome. *Science*. 10.1126/science.1260419

 Figure legends

Figure 1: All JADE protein family members co-precipitate with the ciliopathy proteins NPHP1, NPHP4,

and pVHL. **(A)** Domain structure of the human JADE proteins. PHD – Cys4HisCys3 plant homeo domain;

NLS – nuclear localization signal; PEST – protein degradation amino acid sequence. Domain information

obtained from EMBOSS/epestfind (52), SMART(53), and NLS prediction (consensus sequence K – (K/R)

–X – (K/R)). **(B-D)** HEK293T cells were transiently transfected with **(B)** V5-tagged pVHL (V5.VHL), **(C)**

V5-tagged Nphp4 (V5.NPHP4), and **(D)** V5-tagged Nphp1 (V5.NHPH1), and either FLAG-tagged JADE1S

(F.JADE1S), Jade2 (F.JADE2), Jade3 (F.JADE3) or a control protein (F.EPS[1-225]). Immunoprecipitates with

an anti-FLAG antibody were analyzed by immunoblotting with antibodies (anti-V5; anti-FLAG) as

indicated. V5.VHL, V5.NPHP1, and V5.NPHP4 all coimmunoprecipitate with F.Jade1S/2/3 but not with

F.EPS[1-225]. Blots are representative for at least three independent experiments. **(E,F)** HEK293T cells

were transiently cotransfected with **(E)** V5.JADE1S and FLAG.JADE1S or **(F)** V5.JADE2 and FLAG.JADE2.

FLAG.pVHL and FLAG.EPS[1-225] served as positive and negative control, respectively.

Immunoprecipitates with an anti-FLAG antibody were analyzed by immunoblotting with antibodies as

indicated. V5.JADE1S and V5.JADE2 both coimmunoprecipitate with the respective FLAG-tagged JADE

protein. **(G)** HEK293T cells were transiently transfected with V5.JADE2 and either FLAG-tagged JADE1S,

JADE3, pVHL, or EPS[1-225]. Immunoprecipitates with an anti-FLAG antibody were analyzed by

immunoblotting with antibodies (anti-V5; anti-FLAG) as indicated. V5.JADE2 coimmunoprecipitate

with F.JADE1S, F.JADE3, and F.VHL but not with F.EPS[1-225] (h.c.: heavy chain, l.c.: light chain).

Figure 2: Deletion of one specific Jade protein does affect neither transcription nor expression of the

remaining family members. (A-C) qPCR data comparing the expression fold change of each targeted

gene across the different cell lines. Only the expression of the targeted gene in the respective mutant

cell lines is significantly reduced, while the other Jade mRNAs are not significantly altered. (N=3,

students t-test, * $p \leq 0.05$, ** $p \leq 0.01$, *** $p \leq 0.001$) **(D-F)** Parallel reaction monitoring (PRM) assay

for **(D)** Jade1, **(E)** Jade2, and **(F)** Jade3 comparing the peak areas for the selected peptides across

mIMCD3 WT and Jade mutant cell lines for protein quantification. The localization of peptides selected

for PRM assay is depicted above the bar charts. (N=3)

Figure 3: Proteomic analysis reveals upregulation of the proteasome in cell lines lacking either one

of the Jade family members. (A-C) Principal component analysis (PCA) plot of the protein expression

data of WT, Jade1 (A), Jade2 (B), and Jade3 (C) KO1 and KO2 cell lines. Depicted are the first two

principal components (PC1 and PC2). The axes represent the percentages of variation explained by the

principal components. The replicates of the different groups cluster together and the difference

between mutant and wildtype groups explains the largest variance. (D) Venn diagram depicting the

overlap between significantly regulated proteins across the Jade-deficient cell lines. The Jade1 section

includes all proteins that are significantly regulated (p-value < 0.05) in either one or both of the Jade1-

deficient cell lines. The Jade2 and Jade3 sections generated in the same way. (E) GO and KEGG pathway

annotation based on a Fisher exact test of the 644 proteins found to be regulated in all Jade mutant

cell lines. (F) Representative scatter plot with the t-test differences in protein expression of the Jade1

KO2 mutant cells vs the wildtype cells on the x-axis and the statistical significance (-$\log_{10}$ Student's t-

test p-value) on the y-axis. Proteins associated with the ubiquitin proteasome system are highlighted

in magenta. (G) Heatmap of the proteins highlighted in (F) based on logarithmized LFQ values for all

Jade-deficient cell lines and the control line visualizing the upregulation of proteasome-associated

proteins.

Figure 4: Increased proteasome activity observed in Jade-deficient cell lines. (A) Measurement of the

proteasome activity in wildtype and Jade-deficient mIMCD3 cell lines. The proteasome activity of

Jade1, Jade2, or Jade3-deficient cell lines is increased compared to the wildtype control.

Quantification of the slope as readout for proteasome activity (N=3, one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's

post-hoc test, ****$p \le 0.0001$) **(B)** Measurement of the proteasome activity in HEK293T cell with either

Jade1S, Jade1L, Jade2, Jade3, or Podocin (control) overexpressed. All cell lines with overexpressed Jade

proteins show a decreased activity compared to the control with only Jade1S/L reaching statistical

significance. Quantification of the slope as readout for proteasome activity (N=3, one-way ANOVA

Dunnett's post-hoc test, * $p \le 0.05$)

Figure 5: Interactome analysis reveals a link between Jade1L/S proteins and proteasomal

components. (A) Jade1 KO1 mIMCD3 cells were transiently transfected with either Flag-tagged Jade1L,

Jade1S, or a control plasmid. Pulldown was performed with anti-Flag (M2) sepharose beads. Heatmap

of average iBAQ values for proteins which were never measured in the control samples, but in either

all replicates for Jade1L, all replicated for Jade1S, or all replicates of both. The protein with the highest

iBAQ is Jade1 for both, the Jade1S and the Jade1L pulldown. **(B/C)**

Quantitative analysis of the Jade1L **(B)** & Jade1S **(C)** interactome. Proteins on the right side, above the

p=0.05 line, are determined significantly enriched. Proteasomal components are highlighted in

magenta, known JADE1/Jade1 interactors based on known interactors annotated in BioGrid (54) in

green.

Supplementary Figure Legends

Supplemental Figure S1: Sequence alignment and expression profiles of the JADE protein family

members. (A) Protein identity matrix for human and mouse JADE family paralogues. Protein sequences

were obtained from Uniprot (55) and the identity score was calculated using Clustal Omega (56). (B)

Protein Expression Levels for JADE1 across different tissues. Based on tissue micro arrays obtained

from The Human Protein Atlas version 19.3 and Ensembl version 92.38. Proteomic data available from

https://v19.proteinatlas.org/download/normal_tissue.tsv.zip . (57). (C) RNA expression profiles across

different tissues for *JADE1/2/3*. Consensus transcript expression levels based on transcriptomic data from HPA, GTEx and FANTOM5. Normalized expression (NX) based on the maximum NX for each gene in the different data sets. Data is obtained from The Human Protein Atlas v 19.3 and Ensembl version 92.38. Transcriptomic data available from https://v19.proteinatlas.org/download/rna_tissue_consensus.tsv.zip. (57). (D) Gene expression data of single cell transcriptomics of mouse kidney. Shown is the percentage of cells expressing each *Jade* gene in the different cell clusters: endothelial, vascular, and descending loop of Henle (Endo), podocyte (Podo), proximal tubule (PT), ascending loop of Henle (LOH), distal convoluted tubule (DCT), collecting duct principal cell (CD-PC), collecting duct intercalated cell (CD-IC), collecting duct transitional cell (CD-Trans), fibroblast (Fib), macrophage (Macro), neutrophil (Neutro), lymphocyte (lymph), natural killer cell (NK)(26).

Supplemental figure S2: Generation and validation of Jade1/2/3 mIMCD3 mutant cell lines. (A) Selection of sgRNAs targeting exons containing the first and the second PHD domain. sgRNA selection was based on location and off-target scores using Wellcome Sanger Institute Genome Editing (https://wge.stemcell.sanger.ac.uk/) (40). (B) Sanger sequencing of mRNA confirms mutations.

Supplemental figure S3: Protein turnover machinery is upregulated upon loss of Jade family members. (A-C) Comparison of the two different mutant cell lines for Jade1 **(A)**, Jade2 **(B)**, and Jade3 **(C)**. The fold change of KO1 is depicted on the x-axis, the fold change of the KO2 on the y-axis. Proteins are highlighted according to their significance as indicated in the figure. **(D)** Scatter plot with the t-test differences in protein expression of the Jade1 KO2 mutant cells vs the wildtype cells on the x-axis and the statistical significance ($-\log_{10}$ Student's t-test p-value) on the y-axis. Ribosomal proteins are highlighted in magenta, tRNA ligases in blue, and elongation factors in green. **(E, F)** Heatmap of the proteins highlighted in (D) based on logarithmic LFQ values for all seven cell lines to visualize the upregulation of ribosomal proteins (E), tRNA ligases, and elongation factors (F) across Jade-deficient cell lines.

Supplemental figure S4: Transcriptomic analysis reveals no major regulation of the proteasome. (A) PCA plot of the gene expression data of WT, *Jade1* KO1 and KO2 cell lines. Depicted are the first two principal components (PC1 and PC2). The axes represent the percentages of variation explained by the principal components. The replicates of the different groups cluster together and the difference between mutant and wildtype groups explains the largest variance. PCA plots of *Jade2* **(B)** and *Jade3* **(C)** cell lines show clustering of the groups. Here, the second component accounts for the difference between mutant and wildtype cell lines. **(D-F)** Comparison of the two different mutant cell lines for *Jade1* **(D)**, *Jade2* **(E)**, and *Jade3* **(F)**. The fold change of KO1 is depicted on the x-axis, the fold change of the KO2 on the y-axis. Genes are highlighted according to their significance as indicated in the figure.

Supplemental figure S5: Transcriptomic analysis reveals no major regulation of the proteasome. (A)
Venn diagram depicting the overlap between significantly regulated genes across the *Jade*-deficient
cell lines. The *Jade1* section includes all proteins that are significantly regulated (p-value < 0.05) in
either one or both of the *Jade1*-deficient cell lines. The *Jade2* and *Jade3* sections generated in the same
way. **(B)** Scatter plot with the log2FoldChange in gene expression of the *Jade1* KO2 mutant cells vs the
wildtype cells on the x-axis and the statistical significance (-log adj. p-value) on the y-axis. Genes of
proteasomal components are highlighted in magenta. **(C)** Heatmap of the genes highlighted in (H)
based on logarithmic normalized counts for all seven cell lines to visualize that the proteasomal
components are not upregulated on a transcriptional level across Jade-deficient cell lines. **(D-F)**
Heatmap of ribosomal genes **(D)**, genes encoding for tRNA-ligases **(E)**, and genes encoding for
transcription initiation and elongation factors **(F)**. Logarithmic normalized counts for all seven cell lines
are shown.
766
767 **Supplemental tables**
768 Supplemental table S1: Primer sequences.
769 Supplemental table S2: Unique target peptides for PRM assay.

Figure 1: All JADE protein family members co-precipitate with the ciliopathy proteins NPHP1, NPHP4, and pVHL. (A) Domain structure of the human JADE proteins. PHD – Cys4HisCys3 plant homeo domain; NLS – nuclear localization signal; PEST – protein degradation amino acid sequence. Domain information obtained from EMBOSS/epestfind (52), SMART(53), and NLS prediction (consensus sequence K – (K/R)

−X − (K/R)). **(B-D)** HEK293T cells were transiently transfected with **(B)** V5-tagged pVHL (V5.VHL), **(C)**

V5-tagged Nphp4 (V5.NPHP4), and **(D)** V5-tagged Nphp1 (V5.NHPH1), and either FLAG-tagged JADE1S

(F.JADE1S), Jade2 (F.JADE2), Jade3 (F.JADE3) or a control protein (F.EPS$^{1-225}$). Immunoprecipitates with

an anti-FLAG antibody were analyzed by immunoblotting with antibodies (anti-V5; anti-FLAG) as

indicated. V5.VHL, V5.NPHP1, and V5.NPHP4 all coimmunoprecipitate with F.Jade1S/2/3 but not with

F.EPS$^{1-225}$. Blots are representative for at least three independent experiments. **(E,F)** HEK293T cells

were transiently co-transfected with **(E)** V5.JADE1S and FLAG.JADE1S or **(F)** V5.JADE2 and FLAG.JADE2.

FLAG.pVHL and FLAG.EPS$^{1-225}$ served as positive and negative control, respectively.

Immunoprecipitates with an anti-FLAG antibody were analyzed by immunoblotting with antibodies as

indicated. V5.JADE1S and V5.JADE2 both co-immunoprecipitate with the respective FLAG-tagged JADE

protein. **(G)** HEK293T cells were transiently transfected with V5.JADE2 and either FLAG-tagged JADE1S,

JADE3, pVHL, or EPS$^{1-225}$. Immunoprecipitates with an anti-FLAG antibody were analyzed by

immunoblotting with antibodies (anti-V5; anti-FLAG) as indicated. V5.JADE2 coimmunoprecipitate

with F.JADE1S, F.JADE3, and F.VHL but not with F.EPS$^{1-225}$ (h.c.: heavy chain, l.c.: light chain).

Figure 2: Deletion of one specific Jade protein does affect neither transcription nor expression of the

remaining family members. (A-C) qPCR data comparing the expression fold change of each targeted

gene across the different cell lines. Only the expression of the targeted gene in the respective mutant

cell lines is significantly reduced, while the other Jade mRNAs are not significantly altered. (N=3,

students t-test, * $p \leq 0.05$, ** $p \leq 0.01$, *** $p \leq 0.001$) **(D-F)** Parallel reaction monitoring (PRM) assay

for **(D)** Jade1, **(E)** Jade2, and **(F)** Jade3 comparing the peak areas for the selected peptides across

mIMCD3 WT and Jade mutant cell lines for protein quantification. The localization of peptides selected

for PRM assay is depicted above the bar charts. (N=3)

Figure 3: Proteomic analysis reveals upregulation of the proteasome in cell lines lacking either one of the Jade family members. (A-C) Principal component analysis (PCA) plot of the protein expression data of WT, Jade1 (A), Jade2 (B), and Jade3 (C) KO1 and KO2 cell lines. Depicted are the first two principal components (PC1 and PC2). The axes represent the percentages of variation explained by the principal components. The replicates of the different groups cluster together and the difference between mutant and wildtype groups explains the largest variance. (D) Venn diagram depicting the

824 overlap between significantly regulated proteins across the Jade-deficient cell lines. The Jade1 section

825 includes all proteins that are significantly regulated (p-value < 0.05) in either one or both of the Jade1-

826 deficient cell lines. The Jade2 and Jade3 sections generated in the same way. (E) GO and KEGG pathway

827 annotation based on a Fisher exact test of the 644 proteins found to be regulated in all Jade mutant

828 cell lines. (F) Representative scatter plot with the t-test differences in protein expression of the Jade1

829 KO2 mutant cells vs the wildtype cells on the x-axis and the statistical significance ($-\log_{10}$ Student's t-

830 test p-value) on the y-axis. Proteins associated with the ubiquitin proteasome system are highlighted

831 in magenta. (G) Heatmap of the proteins highlighted in (F) based on logarithmized LFQ values for all

832 Jade-deficient cell lines and the control line visualizing the upregulation of proteasome-associated

833 proteins.

Figure 4: Increased proteasome activity observed in Jade-deficient cell lines. (A) Measurement of the proteasome activity in wildtype and Jade-deficient mIMCD3 cell lines. The proteasome activity of Jade1, Jade2, or Jade3-deficient cell lines is increased compared to the wildtype control. Quantification of the slope as readout for proteasome activity (N=3, one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-hoc test, ****$p \leq 0.0001$) **(B)** Measurement of the proteasome activity in HEK293T cell with either Jade1S, Jade1L, Jade2, Jade3, or Podocin (control) overexpressed. All cell lines with overexpressed Jade proteins show a decreased activity compared to the control with only Jade1S/L reaching statistical significance. Quantification of the slope as readout for proteasome activity (N=3, one-way ANOVA Dunnett's post-hoc test, * $p \leq 0.05$)

Figure 5: Interactome analysis reveals a link between Jade1L/S proteins and proteasomal

components. (A) Jade1 KO1 mIMCD3 cells were transiently transfected with either Flag-tagged Jade1L,

Jade1S, or a control plasmid. Pulldown was performed with anti-Flag (M2) sepharose beads. Heatmap

of average iBAQ values for proteins which were never measured in the control samples, but in either

all replicates for Jade1L, all replicated for Jade1S, or all replicates of both. The protein with the highest

iBAQ is Jade1 for both, the Jade1S and the Jade1L pulldown. **(B/C)** Quantitative analysis of the Jade1L

(B) & Jade1S **(C)** interactome. Proteins on the right side, above the p=0.05 line, are determined

853 significantly enriched. Proteasomal components are highlighted in magenta, known JADE1/Jade1

854 interactors based on known interactors annotated in BioGrid (54) in green.