A Budding Yeast Model and Screen to Define the Functional Consequences of Oncogenic Histone Missense Mutations

Laramie D. Lemon[1], Sneha Kannan[1,*], Kim Wai Mo[1,*], Miranda Adams[1,2,3], Haley G. Choi[1,2], Alexander O. D. Gulka[1,4], Elise S. Withers[1], Hasset T. Nurelegne[1], Valeria Gomez[1], Reina E.Ambrocio[1], Rhea Tumminkatti[1], Richard S. Lee[1,2], Morris Wan[1], Milo B. Fasken[1], Jennifer M. Spangle[2], and Anita H. Corbett[1]

[1]Department of Biology, [2]Department of Radiation Oncology, and Graduate Programs in [3]Cancer Biology and [4]Genetics and Molecular Biology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322

*These authors contributed equally.

Running title: Yeast model to study oncohistones

Keywords: Histone, oncohistone, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, gene expression,

* correspondence:

Anita H. Corbett, Ph.D.
Department of Biology
Emory University
Atlanta, GA 30322

Tel: 404-421-9061
E-mail: acorbe2@emory.edu

Jennifer M. Spangle, Ph.D.
Department of Radiation Oncology
Emory University School of Medicine
Atlanta, GA 30322

Tel: (404)-778-6173
E-mail: jennifer.spangle@emory.edu

ABSTRACT

Somatic missense mutations in histone genes turn these essential proteins into oncohistones, which can drive oncogenesis. Understanding how missense mutations alter histone function is challenging in mammals as the changes occur in a single histone gene. For example, described oncohistone mutations predominantly occur in the histone *H3.3* gene, despite the human genome encoding 15 H3 genes. To understand how oncogenic histone missense mutations alter histone function, we leverage the budding yeast model, which encodes only two H3 genes, to explore the functional consequences of oncohistones H3K36M, H3G34W, H3G34L, H3G34R, and H3G34V. Analysis of cells that express each of these variants as the sole copy of H3 reveals that H3K36-mutants show different drug sensitivities compared to H3G34 mutants. This finding suggests that changes to proximal amino acids in the H3 N-terminal tail alter distinct biological pathways. We exploited the caffeine sensitive growth of H3K36 mutant cells to perform a high copy suppressor screen. This screen identified genes linked to histone function and transcriptional regulation, the histone H4/H2A acetyltransferase, Esa1, a forkhead-associated domain-containing gene expression regulator, Tos4, an m^6A RNA binding protein, Pho92, and a cyclin-dependent kinase, Sgv1/Bur1. We show that the Esa1 lysine acetyltransferase activity is critical for suppression of the caffeine sensitive growth of H3K36R mutant cells while neither of the characterized binding interactions of Tos4 nor Pho92 are required for suppression. Finally, Sgv1/Bur1-mediated suppression may occur through a dominant negative mechanism. This screen identifies pathways that could be altered by oncohistone mutations and highlights the value of yeast genetics to identify pathways altered by such mutations.

INTRODUCTION

Every cell within a eukaryotic organism contains identical genetic information; however, how this information is read and interpreted is precisely regulated to produce cells that look and function in very different manners. The specific regulation of the genetic information results in changes to gene expression to enable specific functions and biological responses. To enable dynamic responses via gene expression, DNA is packaged with histone proteins to form the basic chromatin unit of the nucleosome, which is a complex containing 147 base pairs of DNA wrapped around an histone octamer containing two copies each of the histones H2A, H2B, H3, and H4. DNA and histone proteins accept post-translational modifications (PTMs), which modulate DNA accessibility and contribute to gene expression (1). Histones themselves are key regulators of nucleosome accessibility as a result of their dynamic acetylation, phosphorylation, and methylation. The precise combination and genome-wide localization of these histone PTMs and their ability to be added or removed rapidly contribute to plastic nature of gene expression, which is often deregulated in diseases.

While the mechanism by which characterized mutations in histone genes impact gene expression varies, expression of several disease-associated histone missense mutant proteins leads to chromatin remodeling and aberrant gene expression. To date, histone H3 mutations have been most robustly characterized. Data suggest that H3K27M mutation decreases genome-wide repressive H3K27 methylation via impaired function of the polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) (2-4). Loss of H3K27 methylation is visible across all genomic elements examined, including promoters, introns, intergenic regions, and 5'- and 3'-untranslated regions (UTRs) (2). Tissue characterized by H3K27M mutation also exhibits global DNA hypomethylation, leading to reduced promoter H3K27 methylation. Similarly, H3K36M is associated with a global reduction in H3K36 di-and tri-methylation, modulating gene expression and ultimately deregulating biological processes including cellular differentiation (5).

Purified H3K36M-containing nucleosomes inhibit the activity of the H3K36-directed methyltransferases SETD2 and NSD2, and knockdown of H3K36 methyltransferases phenocopies the effect H3K36M has on the epigenome and gene expression (6). These data suggest that while known histone mutations deregulate the epigenome and have drastic effects on gene expression, mechanisms by which histone mutations alter cell/biological function likely vary widely and these mutations have the potential to inform histone function.

The human genome encodes more than a dozen of each histone H1, H2A, H2B, H3, and H4 genes, which evolutionarily have arisen at least in part via gene duplication (7). All known and characterized disease-associated histone mutations (e.g., H3K27M, H3G34R/V, and H3K36M) act as dominant mutations, as a mutation in a single copy of one of the 15 encoded human H3 genes is sufficient to impart biological changes that impact cell growth (8). Oncohistone mutations typically function through the dysregulation of chromatin modification; in cases such as H3K27M- or H3K36M-mutant histones, these mutations directly impact the ability of H3K27 or H3K36 to support methylation. As described above for H3K27M, the impact on histone post-translational modification occurs in both *cis* and *trans*; similar results are reported for H3K36M-driven cancers. These global changes to chromatin have the potential to dysregulate transcriptional competence and subsequent gene expression on a large scale, which presents a new obstacle towards therapeutic development – how does one identify a dysregulated transcriptional target or targets that are of consequence to cancer development? While recent studies have defined the dopamine receptor DRD2 as a therapeutically actionable gene dysregulated via H3K27M-mutant oncohistone expression, the identification of biologically meaningful transcriptional changes, and pathways or processes that oncohistone-driven tumors are acutely dependent on, remains a current unmet clinical need.

While genomic alterations that support oncogenic growth have been identified in histone H1 (9) and histone H2B (10,11), most studies have focused on histone H3 (8), in which typically one mutation is identified amongst the 15 genes, or 30 alleles, that encode H3 within the human genome. Oncogenic H3 mutations typically cluster in either of two genes that encode the H3 variant H3.3, which is the most evolutionarily conserved histone H3 variant (8). Studies in model organisms including *S. cerevisiae* (12,13) and *S. pombe* (14,15) can circumvent the limitation of the large number of histone gene copies within the human genome and provide insight into how histone mutations impart biologically relevant functional consequences. Such studies are feasible as histones are highly conserved (>97% identity between human and yeast H3 proteins (16)). While the fission yeast *S. pombe* expresses three histone H3 genes, the budding yeast *S. cerevisiae* genome contains only two histone H3 genes, *HHT1* and *HHT2*, rendering either yeast model system amenable to study how the oncohistone changes in this histone alter histone function.

Previous studies in *S. pombe* have demonstrated that the oncogenic H3G34 mutations (H3G34V/W/R function through divergent mechanisms. H3G34V reduces H3K36 trimethylation (H3K36me3) (15), whereas H3G34R impairs Gcn5-mediated H3K36 acetylation (14). Experiments in *S. pombe* also highlight the different mechanisms of action of oncohistone mutations, as H3G34V-mutant yeast are sensitive to DNA-damaging agents despite having intact homologous recombination-based DNA repair, but are not sensitive to replicative stress (14). In contrast, H3G34R-mutant yeast are vulnerable to replicative stress and are not homologous recombination repair-competent (15). These mutant oncohistones drive differential gene expression changes, which likely contribute to their contrasting sensitivities and accentuate the concept that cancers that arise as a result of specific oncohistone expression will benefit from different therapeutic modalities. Similar findings have been identified for various H3K36-associated mutations. Expression of the oncohistone H3K36M in *S.*

cerevisiae renders yeast acutely sensitive to caffeine. In contrast, H3K36R-expressing *S. cerevisiae* exhibit a loss of H3K36me3 and compromised growth in response to caffeine and rapamycin, both inhibitors of growth factor and nutrient sensing pathways (17). The H3K36R missense mutation is not associated with cancer, but does alter the cellular transcriptional program and impair post-transcriptional processing in *Drosophila* (18).

Here we leverage the budding yeast model system to further understand fundamental biological differences and vulnerabilities between established H3G34 and H3K36 oncohistones and identify high copy suppressors of oncohistone mutant growth phenotypes. This approach identifies several genes linked to histone function, including the histone acetyltransferase Esa1, the cyclin-dependent kinase Sgv1/Bur1, a histone deacetylase complex-interacting protein, Tos4, and, finally, a protein that regulates mRNA stability, Pho92. In the case of Esa1, the histone acetyltransferase activity is required for suppression of the caffeine sensitive growth in the H3K36R mutant cells. Such approaches have the potential to guide mechanistic studies of human oncogenic histone mutations and suggest opportunities for therapeutic intervention for patients with tumors characterized by these oncohistones.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and media

All chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO), United States Biological (Swampscott, MA), or Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA) unless otherwise noted. All media were prepared by standard procedures (19).

S. cerevisiae strains and plasmids

All DNA manipulations were performed according to standard procedures (20). *S. cerevisiae* strains and

plasmids used in this study are listed in Table S1. The PCR- and homologous recombination-based system for generating targeted mutations in histone genes in budding yeast cells has been described (21). Strains to model oncohistones—*hht2-K36R* (ACY2816), *hht2-K36M* (ACY2830), *hht2-G34W* (ACY2823), *hht2-G34L* (ACY2831), *hht2-G34R* (ACY2838), and *hht2-G34V* (ACY2841), which harbor mutations at the codons encoding the 36th or 34th histone H3 residue at the endogenous *HHT2* gene—were generated using the parental *hht2Δ::URA3:TRP1* strain (yAAD165) and the strategy detailed previously (21,22). The endogenous *HHT1* gene in these oncohistone model strains was subsequently deleted and replaced via homologous recombination with a *kan*MX marker cassette to generate *hht2-K36R/M hht1Δ* (ACY2821, ACY2822) and *hht2-G34W/L/R/V hht1Δ* (ACY2825, ACY2833, ACY2840, ACY2846) strains. The *hht1Δ* strain (ACY2818) and *set2Δ* strain (ACY2851) were generated by deletion and replacement of the *HHT1* and *SET2* gene, respectively, via homologous recombination with a *kan*MX marker cassette. The YEp352 plasmids containing cloned *S. cerevisiae* genes identified in the high copy suppressor screen – *HHF2* (pAC4199), *HHT2* (pAC4201), *ESA1* (pAC4190), *TOS4* (pAC4196), *PHO92* (pAC4193), *SGV1* (pAC4187) – and *HHT1* (pAC4200) were generated by PCR amplification of each gene (5' sequence/promoter, CDS, 3'UTR) from wildtype BY4741 genomic DNA with gene specific oligonucleotides (Integrated DNA Technologies) and conventional cloning via *Sal*I/*Sac*I or *Xho*I/*Sph*I (*TOS4*) into YEp352. All enzymes for PCR and cloning were obtained from New England BioLabs. The YEp352 plasmids containing *esa1/tos4/pho92/sgv1* variants with missense mutations in the Esa1 catalytic domain– *esa1-C304S* (pAC4191) and *esa1-E338Q* (pAC4192), the Tos4 Forkhead-associated domain– *tos4-N122A-N161A* (pAC4205), the Pho92 YTH domain – *pho92-W177A* (pAC4194) and *pho92-W231A* (pAC4195), and the Sgv1 catalytic domain – *sgv1-E107Q* (pAC4188) and *sgv1-D213A* (pAC4189) were created using oligonucleotides containing the desired mutations (Integrated DNA Technologies) and the QuikChange II Site-Directed

Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent). All clones generated were sequenced to ensure that all cloned wildtype *S. cerevisiae* genes contained no mutations and all gene variants contained only the desired mutations.

High copy suppressor screen

High-copy suppressors of the caffeine-sensitive growth of *hht2-K36R hht1Δ* cells (ACY2821) or *hht2-K36M hht1Δ* cells (ACY2822) were identified by transforming these cells with a YEp352-based high-copy *S. cerevisiae* genomic library (23) which was created by performing a *Sau*3AI partial digest of genomic *S. cerevisiae* DNA and cloning into the *Bam*HI site of the 2μm plasmid YEp352 (24). Transformants were grown on synthetic medium lacking uracil in the presence of 15 mM caffeine at 30°C for 5-10 days to select for those plasmids able to complement the caffeine-sensitive growth phenotype. Suppression by each plasmid (plasmid linkage) was confirmed by rescuing each suppressor plasmid and then retransforming them into the original H3K36 mutant to test for suppression of the slow growth on plates containing 15 mM caffeine.

S. cerevisiae **growth assays**

To examine the growth of oncohistone model strains, wildtype (yAAD1253), *hht2-K36R/M Δhht1* (ACY2821; ACY2822), *hht2-G34W/L/R/V hht1Δ* (ACY2825; ACY2833; ACY2840; ACY2846) and *hht1Δ* (ACY2818) strains were grown overnight at 30°C to saturation in 2 mL YEPD (yeast extract, peptone, dextrose) media. Cells were normalized to $OD_{600} = 5$, serially diluted in 10-fold dilutions, spotted on control YEPD media plates or YEPD media plates containing 15 mM caffeine or 3% formamide, and grown at 30°C and 18°C for 2-5 days. To test the effect of the genomic suppressor plasmids on the growth of oncohistone model strains and *set2Δ* cells in the presence of caffeine, wildtype (yAAD1253) cells transformed with YEp352 plasmid and *hht2-K36M hht1Δ* (ACY2822), *hht2-K36R hht1Δ* (ACY2821), and *set2Δ* (ACY2851) cells transformed with Vector (YEp352), *SUP3* (pAC4132), *SUP54* (pAC4145), *SUP67* (pAC4149), *SUP68* (pAC4150), or *SUP99* (pAC4160) plasmid

were grown overnight at 30°C to saturation in 2 mL Ura- media containing 2% glucose. Cells were normalized by OD_{600} and serially diluted as previously described, spotted onto control YEPD media plates or YEPD media plates containing 15 mM caffeine, and grown at 30°C for 2-5 days. To test the effect of cloned suppressor genes and gene variants on the growth of *hht2-K36R hht1Δ* cells in the presence of caffeine, wildtype (yAAD1253) cells containing Vector (YEp352) and *hht2-K36R hht1Δ* (ACY2821) cells containing *HHF2* (pAC4199), *HHT2* (pAC4201), *HHT1* (pAC4200), *ESA1* (pAC4190), *esa1-C304S* (pAC4191), *esa1-E338Q* (pAC4192), *TOS4* (pAC4196), *tos4-R122A-N161A* (pAC4205), *PHO92* (pAC4193), *pho92-W177A* (pAC4194), *pho92-W231A* (pAC4195), *SGV1* (pAC4187), *sgv1-E107Q* (pAC4188), or *sgv1-D213A* (pAC4189) plasmid were grown overnight at 30°C to saturation in 2 mL Ura- media containing 2% glucose. As controls and for comparison, *hht2-K36R hht1Δ* (ACY2821) cells containing genomic suppressor plasmids were similarly grown. Cells were normalized by OD_{600} and serially diluted as previously described, spotted onto control YEPD/Ura- media plates or YEPD/Ura- media plates containing 15 mM caffeine, and grown at 30°C for 2-5 days.

For liquid growth assays, the indicated genotypes cells were grown in YEPD to mid-log phase and diluted into fresh media. The OD600 was recorded every 20 min in an Epoch2 microplate reader (BioTek) to determine doubling time. For the results shown, each sample was performed in three independent biological replicates with three technical replicates for each biological sample.

RESULTS

Missense mutations that model changes present in histone H3 confer different growth phenotypes

The N-terminal tails of the histone H3 protein are evolutionarily conserved with only a single conservative amino acid substitution (T->S) within the first 38 amino acids of the budding yeast compared to the human protein (Figure 1A). For this reason, the budding yeast system is valuable to

model missense mutations that convert histone proteins into oncohistones. We focused on a set of missense mutations that alter K36 (K36M) or neighboring G34 (G34W, G34L, G34R, G34V), which are altered in various types of cancers, and an additional missense mutation that alters K36 and is associated with post-transcriptional regulation (K36R).

We created *S. cerevisiae* models where each histone variant is expressed as the sole copy of histone H3 and then assessed cell growth using a serial dilution assay. As shown in Figure 1B, all histone mutants show growth comparable in this end point assay to either a control wildtype (WT) or control cells lacking the *HHT1* gene (*HHT2 hht1Δ*), which is absent in the oncohistone mutant models that contain *HHT2* as the sole histone H3 gene. At cold temperature (18°C), both the H3K36R and H3K36M mutant cells show a modest growth defect (Figure 1B) with no change in growth detected for any of the H3G34 variants. To extend this analysis, we performed a liquid growth assay, which captures changes in the rate of growth. As shown in Figure 1C, even at the permissive temperature of 30°C, differences in growth rate are illustrated by increased doubling time and slower growth rate (Figure S1) for the each of the oncohistone mutants. These data demonstrate that there are changes in cell growth for these mutants.

To explore whether the oncohistone models exhibit other changes, we then tested for growth defects when cells are grown on media containing chemicals that disrupt different cellular pathways (Figure 1D). Caffeine impairs cellular stress response/TOR signaling (25); formamide alters RNA metabolism (26), and hydroxyurea (HU) impairs DNA synthesis (27). These studies demonstrate the utility of evaluating human disease-associated histone alterations for both characterizing and mechanistically defining their biological impact in yeast. Results of this analysis reveal that amino acid substitutions at H3K36 cause sensitivity to growth on media containing caffeine, which is consistent with previous results showing that loss of the H3K36 methyltransferase Set2 (28) confers sensitivity to

caffeine (17). All of the histone H3 variants are sensitive to formamide, while the H3G34 variants are more sensitive to hydroxyurea than the H3K36 variants. These assays reveal that different changes to residues within the N-terminal tail of histone H3 confer distinct growth defects.

A high copy suppressor screen to identify functional links to missense mutations present in oncohistones

To explore the molecular basis of the growth defects conferred by missense mutations in histone H3, we performed a high copy suppressor screen. As described in Materials and Methods, either H3K36M or H3K36R cells were transformed with a high copy genomic library and plated on media containing 15 mM caffeine. Suppressors that enhanced growth of these mutants in the presence of caffeine were isolated and selected for further analysis. Figure 2A shows results for a set of suppressor clones (*SUP*) identified in the screen, which suppress the caffeine sensitive growth of the H3K36M oncohistone model. While the screen was not saturated, we did identify several clones multiple times (Table S2). We validated each suppressor by plasmid rescue and retransformation.

As expected in a suppressor screen, we identified a suppressor that contains one of the two budding yeast H3 genes, *HHT2*. Beyond *HHT2*, which validates the screen, we focused our analysis on four suppressor clones that illustrate the power of this approach to define the biological pathways altered in cells that express oncohistones. These suppressors encode the cyclin-dependent protein kinase, Sgv1/Bur1 (29,30), the catalytic subunit of the NuA4 histone acetyltransferase complex, Esa1 (31), the gene expression regulator, Tos4 (32,33), which contains a forkhead-associated (FHA) domain that interacts with Rpd3L and Set3 histone deacetylase complexes (34), and a post-transcriptional regulator of phosphate and glucose metabolism, Pho92 (35) (Figure 2A,B).

To begin to assess whether the suppressors identified are linked to post-translational modification of H3K36, we tested whether the suppressors identified can also suppress a yeast strain that expresses the conservative H3K36R variant as the sole copy of histone H3. As shown in Figure 2B, each of the suppressors identified also suppresses the caffeine sensitive growth of H3K36R cells. Thus, the suppressors identified for further analysis suppress the growth phenotypes of both H3K36M and H3K36R mutant cells in a similar manner.

As a first step to validate the screen and the suppressors identified, we focused on the suppressor clone encoding histone H3 (*SUP54*). This genomic clone contains one of the two budding yeast histone H3 genes (*HHT2*) and one of the two budding yeast histone H4 genes (*HHF2*). We subcloned the *HHT2* and *HHF2* genes and tested them independently for suppression of the caffeine sensitive growth of the H3K36R cells (Figure 2C). This analysis reveals that *HHT2* rescues the growth defect of these cells, while the *HHF2* clone confers no rescue as cells show growth comparable to the Vector alone control. We also confirmed that, as expected, the other budding yeast histone H3 gene, *HHT1*, rescues the caffeine sensitive growth of H36K36 mutant cells (Figure 2C).

The *S. cerevisiae ESA1* gene encodes an essential, evolutionarily conserved lysine acetyltransferase that acetylates lysine residues within the N-terminal tail of histone H4 as well as histone H2A (31,36). The human orthologue of Esa1 is the KAT5/TIP60 protein (37). As illustrated in the domain structure shown in Figure 3A, Esa1 is a member of the MYST (Moz, YBF2, Sas2, Tip) family of lysine acetyl transferases (38,39). Esa1 also contains an N-terminal chromo domain (40). These same functional domains are conserved within the human KAT5/TIP60 protein (37).

We confirmed that overexpression of *ESA1*, the only intact gene present in the *SUP67* suppressor, can suppress the caffeine sensitive growth of H3K36R mutant cells. To assess whether the lysine acetyltransferase function of Esa1 is critical for this growth suppression, we took advantage of

two previously characterized catalytic mutants (Figure 3A), *esa1-C304S* and *esa1-E338Q* (38,41). Each of these amino acid substitutions eliminates the acetyltransferase activity of Esa1 without a significant impact on steady state protein level (41). As shown in Figure 3B, neither of these catalytic mutants of Esa1 can suppress the growth of H3K36R mutant cells. Thus, the acetyltransferase activity of Esa1 is required to suppress the growth defects associated with H3K36 mutants.

The Tos4 protein is a gene expression regulator that plays a role in gene expression homeostasis (32,33). As depicted in Figure 4A, Tos4 contains a forkhead-associated (FHA) domain, which mediates interactions with histone deacetylase (HDAC) complexes, Rpd3L and Set3 (34). We cloned *TOS4,* as the *SUP68* suppressor clone slightly truncates the Tos4 open reading frame and also contains an uncharacterized gene *YLR184w.* As shown in Figure 4B, overexpression of *TOS4* suppresses the caffeine sensitive growth of H3K36R mutant cells. To test whether a functional forkhead-associated (FHA) domain is required for Tos4-mediated growth suppression, we exploited amino acid substitutions R122A and N161A in the Tos4 FHA domain that disrupt Tos4 interaction with HDAC complexes, Rpd3L and Set3 (34). We created a Tos4 variant that contains both these amino acid substitutions (Figure 4A); however, this double *tos4-R122A-N161A* mutant still robustly suppresses growth defects of H3K36R mutant cells, suggesting that an alternative function of Tos4 mediates this suppression.

The Pho92 protein is a member of an evolutionarily conserved family of proteins that contains a C-terminal YTH domain (35) (Figure 4C). The YTH domain can recognize and bind m^6A-containing RNA (42), serving as the primary "readers" of this post-transcriptional modification of RNA. The high copy suppressor containing *PHO92* (*SUP99*) also contained two other genes, *WIP1* and *BCS1*, so we cloned the *PHO92* gene and found that *PHO92* is indeed a high copy suppressor of the caffeine sensitive growth of H3K36R mutant cells (Figure 4D). To determine whether YTH-mediated interaction with m^6A-containing RNA is required for this suppression, we altered conserved tryptophan residues that are

critical to form the binding pocket for m^{6}A (43,44), creating *pho92-W177A* and *pho92-W231A* (Figure 4C). As shown in Figure 4D, both of these *pho92* mutants still suppress the caffeine sensitive growth of the H3K36R cells, strongly suggesting that the YTH domain is not required for this suppression.

The Sgv1 protein (also termed Bur1) is an evolutionarily conserved cyclin-dependent kinase (29), which is closely related to human CDK9 (45,46), that is required for efficient transcription elongation by RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) (47). Importantly, Sgv1/Bur1 phosphorylates the Rbp1 linker region between the RNAPII body and C-terminal domain (CTD), facilitating recruitment of the elongation factor Spt6 (45), and phosphorylates the C-terminal region (CTR) of elongation factor Spt5 (48). Consistent with this function, the Sgv1 protein contains a protein kinase domain (Figure 5A). Although *SGV1* was the sole intact gene present on the *SUP3* suppressor, the C-terminal end of the Sgv1 open reading frame is truncated by two amino acids (loss of LY) with a predicted addition of 8 amino acids (PRVPSSNS) due to translation into the multiple cloning site of the vector (Figure 5B). Thus, we cloned *SGV1* and tested for suppression of the caffeine sensitive growth of H3K36R mutant cells. Surprisingly, the wildtype *SGV1* clone does not suppress this growth defect (Figure 5C). To determine whether the aberrant Sgv1 protein predicted to be expressed from the genomic clone might confer a dominant negative effect that leads to the growth suppression, we generated two previously characterized mutants that impair the catalytic function of Sgv1/Bur1 – *sgv1-E107Q* and *sgv1-D213A* (47). Of note, these *sgv1* mutants were generated by site-directed mutagenesis of the *SGV1* clone that did not suppress the growth defect and all clones were fully sequenced to ensure that no mutations were present in any clone analyzed with the exception of those introduced. As shown in Figure 5C, overexpression of either of these *sgv1* mutants modestly suppresses the caffeine sensitive growth of H3K36 mutant cells, suggesting that decreasing the function of Sgv1/Bur1 could underlie this growth suppression.

Given that these suppressors were identified in a screen for genes that could suppress growth defects of either H3K36M or H3K36R mutant cells on caffeine media, the mechanism of suppression is likely linked to post-translational modification of this critical Lys36 residue in histone H3. To test this idea, we examined whether the identified genomic suppressors can suppress the caffeine sensitive growth of budding yeast cells lacking the H3K36 histone methyltransferase, Set2 (17,28). Four of the five identified genomic suppressors - *SUP3* (*SGV1*), *SUP67* (*ESA1*), *SUP68* (*TOS4*), and *SUP99* (*PHO92*) - can suppress the caffeine sensitive growth of *set2Δ* cells, with exception of suppressor *SUP54* (*HHT2*), which does not suppress (Figure 6). This result is expected because an increase in the dose of histone H3 gene in the absence of a functional histone methyltransferase would not be expected to overcome the growth defect caused by loss of the methyltransferase and the accompanying methylation of H3K36. These data functionally link these suppressors to proper methylation of H3K36.

DISCUSSION

Here we leverage the eukaryotic *S. cerevisiae* model system to unmask the mechanism(s) of action for known human oncohistone mutations. Budding yeast is an attractive model system for these experiments as histones are highly conserved, the budding yeast genome is streamlined with only two H3 genes instead of the mammalian 15 H3 genes, and essential biological pathways that contribute to growth and metabolism are evolutionarily conserved. Because the *S. cerevisiae* genome contains only two copies of histone H3, *HHT1* and *HHT2*, this model enables robust genetic screening to: 1) identify pathways and biological processes that are altered to support oncogenicity in mammals; and 2) apply this information to develop and design rational therapeutics for the treatment of oncohistone-driven cancers.

Here we focus on the defined oncohistones H3K36M, H3G34W/L/R/V, and the histone missense mutation H3K36R. While not oncogenic, H3K36R serves as a model to explore how a conservative amino acid change at this position alters function. Initial experiments compare the growth of yeast cells that express these histone H3 variants as the sole copy of H3. The data reveal distinct growth phenotypes between H3K36 and H3G34 mutants (Figure 2), suggesting that missense mutations that alter H3G34 do not merely have an impact on post-translational modification of neighboring K36. These results align with recent studies that performed a similar analysis using *S. pombe* (14,15). The differences observed between oncohistone variants in yeast are also congruent with the different types of cancers linked to somatic mutations that alter K36 or G34. Combined, these data suggest that additional analyses are required to uncover precisely how different amino acid changes within histones alter biological pathways to drive oncogenesis.

To define the biological pathways altered by different histone mutations, we exploited yeast genetics to perform a high copy suppressor screen. In this screen, we identified four candidate suppressors – the lysine acetyltransferase, Esa1, the gene expression regulator, Tos4, the m^{6}A RNA binding protein Pho92, and the cyclin-dependent kinase, Sgv1/Bur1- that rescue the caffeine-associated growth defects that are exhibited by histone H3K36 missense mutations, which are known oncogenic drivers in cancer. The suppressors identified primarily suppress growth on caffeine with minimal effects on the other drugs that impair growth of the different histone models, suggesting that different biological pathways, which are impacted by the oncohistone missense mutations, can be defined with this genetic approach. Caffeine induces a stress response that depends on the Tor pathway, which is evolutionarily conserved as the mTOR pathway in humans. Identifying genes involved in suppressing growth defects associated with Tor pathway-induced stress is biologically relevant to human cancers, as the mammalian

PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway mediates essential biological processes that are frequently dysregulated in cancer: cell growth, survival, proliferation, and metabolism (49).

The high copy suppressor screen identified the histone H4/H2A lysine acetyltransferase Esa1 as a suppressor of caffeine sensitive growth of H3K36M and H3K36R histone mutant cells (Fig 2 & 3). The lysine acetyltransferase activity of Esa1 is required for the suppression as two independent catalytically inactive mutants of Esa1, Esa1 C340S and Esa1 E338Q (38,41), do not suppress the caffeine sensitive growth of H3K36R mutant cells. Interestingly, di- and trimethylation of histone H3K36 has been shown to stimulate the interaction of the NuA4 lysine acetylation complex, which contains the Esa1 catalytic subunit, with nucleosomes and NuA4 acetylation of lysine residues in the histone H4 tail has also been observed to stimulate the interaction of the SAGA lysine acetylation complex with nucleosomes, enhancing acetylation of histone H3 (50). Overexpression of Esa1 in H3K36R mutant cells could therefore increase acetylation of histone H4 to enhance SAGA recruitment to nucleosomes to restore optimal acetylation of histone H3.

Crosstalk between H4 and/or H2A acetylation and H3K36 methylation could potentially impact cell growth or other pro-oncogenic properties that are abrogated in the absence of Esa1 enzymatic activity. However, the human homologue of Esa1, KAT5/TIP60, has additional non-histone substrates that may impact biological function in high copy suppressor assays such as those employed here. TIP60 is involved in DNA repair through the acetylation of non-histone proteins such as the ATM kinase (51). Future experiments could include determining whether Esa1 suppresses growth in the presence of DNA damaging agents such as hydroxyurea, and whether this is dependent on Esa1 acetyltransferase activity. Ultimately, defining the relevant targets of Esa1 that confer growth suppression will lend insight onto the biological pathways altered by oncogenic changes at H3K36.

The high copy screen also identified the gene expression regulator Tos4 as a potent suppressor of the caffeine sensitive growth of H3K36M/R mutant cells. may also suppress through the regulation of proximal histone PTMs. Tos4 binds to the yeast histone deacetylase (HDAC) complexes Rpd3L and Set3 via a forkhead-associated (FHA) domain (Fig 4A) (33,34,52), which ultimately leads to histone deacetylation. However, amino acids substitutions that interfere with Rpd3L/Set3 complex binding to the FHA domain of Tos4 did not affect Tos4-mediated H3K36R mutant-growth suppression (Fig 4B), suggesting that Rpd3L/Set3-independent functions of Tos4 mediate suppression. This model is supported by the fact that we did not independently identify Rpd3L or Set3 complex genes as growth suppressors in our initial high copy suppressor screen. Pho92, an RNA "reader" protein that recognizes and binds m^6A-containing RNA (42) is another suppressor identified in this screen. Amino acid substitutions within the reader domain that render Pho92 incapable of binding m^6A RNA do not alter Pho92-mediated growth suppression of the H3K36R mutant growth, suggesting the mechanism(s) by which Pho92 mediates suppression is independent of recognizing and/or binding m^6A RNA. Because Pho92 is not evolutionarily conserved between yeast and mammals, Pho92 suppression may be less likely to inform mechanism(s) of oncogenicity for H3K36-mutant tumors. Collectively, more research is needed to mechanistically define how some suppressors such as Tos4 and Pho92 suppress growth defects of H3K36-mutant cells.

The high copy suppressor screen also identified a clone containing nearly all of the *S. cerevisiae* kinase Sgv1/Bur1 open reading frame, a homologue of human CDK9 (Fig 5B). While the slightly truncated *SGV1* genomic suppressor identified (*SUP3*) suppresses the caffeine sensitive growth of H3K36R mutant cells, the full-length *SGV1* clone does not. However, overexpression of Sgv1 with either of two independent single amino acid changes that render Sgv1 kinase dead, Sgv1 E107Q or Sgv1 D213A (47), suppresses caffeine-associated growth defects. Notably, the genomic Sgv1 clone that was

identified as a suppressor is truncated, lacking the two C-terminal amino acids (LY) and fused to an additional eight amino acids (PRVPSSNS) prior to reaching the next natural stop codon. Collectively, these data suggest that we may have identified a dominant negative activity of the Sgv1 C-terminus, which inhibits Sgv1 kinase activity. Thus, Sgv1 kinase activity may underlie caffeine-directed growth suppression of H3K36 mutant yeast cells, which may be therapeutically actionable.

The high copy suppressor screen performed here provides a streamlined and rapid approach to identify genetic vulnerabilities that may be therapeutically actionable. Expression of KAT5/TIP60 lysine acetyl transferase, the human ortholog of Esa1, is dysregulated in prostate and other cancers (53). The preclinical TIP60 acetyltransferase inhibitors Nu9056 and TH1834 reduce DNA-damage induced ATM phosphorylation (54). Thus, TIP60 inhibition may be a viable therapeutic strategy for cancers dependent on TIP60 enzymatic activity. This experimental approach in budding yeast provides the first evidence that H3K36 mutant tumors may be candidates for TIP60 inhibition, but subsequent experimentation in H3K36 mutant human cancer cell lines is required to test this hypothesis.

Given that catalytically inactive mutants for Sgv1/Bur1 suppress caffeine sensitive growth defects of H3K36R mutants , the data suggest that Sgv1 inhibition suppresses the growth defects associated with H3K36 mutants in the budding yeast model. This finding is interesting because the human homologue CDK9 binds to Cyclin T to form the positive transcription elongation factor complex (P-TEFb); CDK9 kinase activity is thus critical for RNA-pol II-directed transcription (55). CDK9 is dysregulated in cancers including hematological cancers, prompting the development of CDK9 inhibitors (56). However, understanding the mechanism(s) by which CDK9 inhibition contributes to anti-cancer effects is still under investigation. The CDK9 inhibitor AZD4573 is the first CDK9-selective inhibitor (57) and has entered clinical trials for hematological cancers. The anti-tumorigenic activity of CDK9 inhibition is thought to be due to the depletion of the anti-apoptotic protein MCL-1 (58). More

recently, H3K27M oncohistone-expressing diffuse midline gliomas (DMGs) have been shown to dysregulate the expression of AFF4, a scaffolding protein involved in transcriptional elongation, which CDK9/CyclinT regulates (59). These preclinical studies have prompted the clinical testing of AZD4573 in hematologic malignancies (60,61) and suggest that H3K27M-mutant tumors that are characterized by AFF4 upregulation are candidates for clinical CDK9 inhibition (61). While through a divergent mechanism, our data suggest that CDK9 inhibition may be beneficial for H3K36-mutant head and neck cancers and chondroblastomas, although further research is necessary to test this hypothesis.

Taken together, the results from this suppressor screen exploiting the yeast model both define cellular pathways that could be altered by missense mutations in histones that drive oncogenesis and uncover links to potential therapeutic avenues. Future studies can help to define the mechanisms underlying this suppression, which may further define how these pathways could be targeted for therapeutic approaches.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank members of the Corbett lab for project discussions and experimental insight. This study was supported by grants from the NIH (R21 CA256456 to A.H.C. and J.M.S.), a Post-doctoral Enrichment Program (PDEP) Award from Burroughs Wellcome to L.D.L. L.D.L was supported by the NIH IRACDA program (K12 GM000680). H.N. and R.A. participated in the Emory NIH Initiative for Maximizing Student Development (IMSD) Program (GM125598). V.G. was supported by the Laney Graduate School- Summer Opportunity for Academic Research (SOAR) Program.

References

1. Strahl, B. D., and Allis, C. D. (2000) The language of covalent histone modifications. *Nature* **403**, 41-45
2. Harutyunyan, A. S., Krug, B., Chen, H., Papillon-Cavanagh, S., Zeinieh, M., De Jay, N., Deshmukh, S., Chen, C. C. L., Belle, J., Mikael, L. G., Marchione, D. M., Li, R., Nikbakht, H., Hu, B., Cagnone, G., Cheung, W. A., Mohammadnia, A., Bechet, D., Faury, D., McConechy, M. K., Pathania, M., Jain, S. U., Ellezam, B., Weil, A. G., Montpetit, A., Salomoni, P., Pastinen, T., Lu, C., Lewis, P. W., Garcia, B. A., Kleinman, C. L., Jabado, N., and Majewski, J. (2019) H3K27M induces defective chromatin spread of PRC2-mediated repressive H3K27me2/me3 and is essential for glioma tumorigenesis. *Nat Commun* **10**, 1262
3. Justin, N., Zhang, Y., Tarricone, C., Martin, S. R., Chen, S., Underwood, E., De Marco, V., Haire, L. F., Walker, P. A., Reinberg, D., Wilson, J. R., and Gamblin, S. J. (2016) Structural basis of oncogenic histone H3K27M inhibition of human polycomb repressive complex 2. *Nat Commun* **7**, 11316
4. Lewis, P. W., Muller, M. M., Koletsky, M. S., Cordero, F., Lin, S., Banaszynski, L. A., Garcia, B. A., Muir, T. W., Becher, O. J., and Allis, C. D. (2013) Inhibition of PRC2 activity by a gain-of-function H3 mutation found in pediatric glioblastoma. *Science* **340**, 857-861
5. Lu, C., Jain, S. U., Hoelper, D., Bechet, D., Molden, R. C., Ran, L., Murphy, D., Venneti, S., Hameed, M., Pawel, B. R., Wunder, J. S., Dickson, B. C., Lundgren, S. M., Jani, K. S., De Jay, N., Papillon-Cavanagh, S., Andrulis, I. L., Sawyer, S. L., Grynspan, D., Turcotte, R. E., Nadaf, J., Fahiminiyah, S., Muir, T. W., Majewski, J., Thompson, C. B., Chi, P., Garcia, B. A., Allis, C. D., Jabado, N., and Lewis, P. W. (2016) Histone H3K36 mutations promote sarcomagenesis through altered histone methylation landscape. *Science* **352**, 844-849
6. Yang, S., Zheng, X., Lu, C., Li, G. M., Allis, C. D., and Li, H. (2016) Molecular basis for oncohistone H3 recognition by SETD2 methyltransferase. *Genes Dev* **30**, 1611-1616
7. Braastad, C. D., Hovhannisyan, H., van Wijnen, A. J., Stein, J. L., and Stein, G. S. (2004) Functional characterization of a human histone gene cluster duplication. *Gene* **342**, 35-40
8. Nacev, B. A., Feng, L., Bagert, J. D., Lemiesz, A. E., Gao, J., Soshnev, A. A., Kundra, R., Schultz, N., Muir, T. W., and Allis, C. D. (2019) The expanding landscape of 'oncohistone' mutations in human cancers. *Nature* **567**, 473-478
9. Yusufova, N., Kloetgen, A., Teater, M., Osunsade, A., Camarillo, J. M., Chin, C. R., Doane, A. S., Venters, B. J., Portillo-Ledesma, S., Conway, J., Phillip, J. M., Elemento, O., Scott, D. W., Béguelin, W., Licht, J. D., Kelleher, N. L., Staudt, L. M., Skoultchi, A. I., Keogh, M. C., Apostolou, E., Mason, C. E., Imielinski, M., Schlick, T., David, Y., Tsirigos, A., Allis, C. D., Soshnev, A. A., Cesarman, E., and Melnick, A. M. (2021) Histone H1 loss drives lymphoma by disrupting 3D chromatin architecture. *Nature* **589**, 299-305
10. Wan, Y. C. E., and Chan, K. M. (2021) Histone H2B Mutations in Cancer. *Biomedicines* **9**
11. Kang, T. Z. E., Zhu, L., Yang, D., Ding, D., Zhu, X., Wan, Y. C. E., Liu, J., Ramakrishnan, S., Chan, L. L., Chan, S. Y., Wang, X., Gan, H., Han, J., Ishibashi, T., Li, Q., and Chan, K. M. (2021) The elevated transcription of ADAM19 by the oncohistone H2BE76K contributes to oncogenic properties in breast cancer. *J Biol Chem* **296**, 100374
12. Duina, A. A., Miller, M. E., and Keeney, J. B. (2014) Budding yeast for budding geneticists: a primer on the Saccharomyces cerevisiae model system. *Genetics* **197**, 33-48

13. Bagert, J. D., Mitchener, M. M., Patriotis, A. L., Dul, B. E., Wojcik, F., Nacev, B. A., Feng, L., Allis, C. D., and Muir, T. W. (2021) Oncohistone mutations enhance chromatin remodeling and alter cell fates. *Nat Chem Biol* **17**, 403-411

14. Lowe, B. R., Yadav, R. K., Henry, R. A., Schreiner, P., Matsuda, A., Fernandez, A. G., Finkelstein, D., Campbell, M., Kallappagoudar, S., Jablonowski, C. M., Andrews, A. J., Hiraoka, Y., and Partridge, J. F. (2021) Surprising phenotypic diversity of cancer-associated mutations of Gly 34 in the histone H3 tail. *Elife* **10**

15. Yadav, R. K., Jablonowski, C. M., Fernandez, A. G., Lowe, B. R., Henry, R. A., Finkelstein, D., Barnum, K. J., Pidoux, A. L., Kuo, Y. M., Huang, J., O'Connell, M. J., Andrews, A. J., Onar-Thomas, A., Allshire, R. C., and Partridge, J. F. (2017) Histone H3G34R mutation causes replication stress, homologous recombination defects and genomic instability in S. pombe. *Elife* **6**

16. Hyland, E. M., Cosgrove, M. S., Molina, H., Wang, D., Pandey, A., Cottee, R. J., and Boeke, J. D. (2005) Insights into the role of histone H3 and histone H4 core modifiable residues in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Molecular and cellular biology* **25**, 10060-10070

17. McDaniel, S. L., Hepperla, A. J., Huang, J., Dronamraju, R., Adams, A. T., Kulkarni, V. G., Davis, I. J., and Strahl, B. D. (2017) H3K36 Methylation Regulates Nutrient Stress Response in Saccharomyces cerevisiae by Enforcing Transcriptional Fidelity. *Cell Rep* **19**, 2371-2382

18. Meers, M. P., Henriques, T., Lavender, C. A., McKay, D. J., Strahl, B. D., Duronio, R. J., Adelman, K., and Matera, A. G. (2017) Histone gene replacement reveals a post-transcriptional role for H3K36 in maintaining metazoan transcriptome fidelity. *Elife* **6**

19. Adams, A., Gottschling, D. E., Kaiser, C. A., and Stearns, T. (1997) *Methods in Yeast Genetics*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor

20. Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E. F., and Maniatis, T. (1989) *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*, Second ed., Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York

21. Duina, A. A., and Turkal, C. E. (2017) Targeted in Situ Mutagenesis of Histone Genes in Budding Yeast. *J Vis Exp*

22. Johnson, P., Mitchell, V., McClure, K., Kellems, M., Marshall, S., Allison, M. K., Lindley, H., Nguyen, H. T., Tackett, J. E., and Duina, A. A. (2015) A systematic mutational analysis of a histone H3 residue in budding yeast provides insights into chromatin dynamics. *G3 (Bethesda)* **5**, 741-749

23. Zhang, C. J., Cavenagh, M. M., and Kahn, R. A. (1998) A family of Arf effectors defined as suppressors of the loss of Arf function in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *J Biol Chem* **273**, 19792-19796

24. Hill, J. E., Myers, A. M., Koerner, T. J., and Tzagoloff, A. (1986) Yeast/E. coli shuttle vectors with multiple unique restriction sites. *Yeast* **2**, 163-167

25. Kuranda, K., Leberre, V., Sokol, S., Palamarczyk, G., and François, J. (2006) Investigating the caffeine effects in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae brings new insights into the connection between TOR, PKC and Ras/cAMP signalling pathways. *Molecular microbiology* **61**, 1147-1166

26. Hoyos-Manchado, R., Reyes-Martín, F., Rallis, C., Gamero-Estévez, E., Rodríguez-Gómez, P., Quintero-Blanco, J., Bähler, J., Jiménez, J., and Tallada, V. A. (2017) RNA metabolism is the primary target of formamide in vivo. *Sci Rep* **7**, 15895

27. Slater, M. L. (1973) Effect of reversible inhibition of deoxyribonucleic acid synthesis on the yeast cell cycle. *J Bacteriol* **113**, 263-270

28. Strahl, B. D., Grant, P. A., Briggs, S. D., Sun, Z. W., Bone, J. R., Caldwell, J. A., Mollah, S., Cook, R. G., Shabanowitz, J., Hunt, D. F., and Allis, C. D. (2002) Set2 is a nucleosomal histone

H3-selective methyltransferase that mediates transcriptional repression. *Molecular and cellular biology* **22**, 1298-1306

29. Irie, K., Nomoto, S., Miyajima, I., and Matsumoto, K. (1991) SGV1 encodes a CDC28/cdc2-related kinase required for a G alpha subunit-mediated adaptive response to pheromone in S. cerevisiae. *Cell* **65**, 785-795

30. Prelich, G., and Winston, F. (1993) Mutations that suppress the deletion of an upstream activating sequence in yeast: involvement of a protein kinase and histone H3 in repressing transcription in vivo. *Genetics* **135**, 665-676

31. Clarke, A. S., Lowell, J. E., Jacobson, S. J., and Pillus, L. (1999) Esa1p is an essential histone acetyltransferase required for cell cycle progression. *Molecular and cellular biology* **19**, 2515-2526

32. Horak, C. E., Luscombe, N. M., Qian, J., Bertone, P., Piccirrillo, S., Gerstein, M., and Snyder, M. (2002) Complex transcriptional circuitry at the G1/S transition in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genes Dev* **16**, 3017-3033

33. Cooke, S. L., Soares, B. L., Müller, C. A., Nieduszynski, C. A., Bastos de Oliveira, F. M., and de Bruin, R. A. M. (2021) Tos4 mediates gene expression homeostasis through interaction with HDAC complexes independently of H3K56 acetylation. *J Biol Chem* **296**, 100533

34. Bastos de Oliveira, F. M., Harris, M. R., Brazauskas, P., de Bruin, R. A., and Smolka, M. B. (2012) Linking DNA replication checkpoint to MBF cell-cycle transcription reveals a distinct class of G1/S genes. *The EMBO journal* **31**, 1798-1810

35. Kang, H. J., Jeong, S. J., Kim, K. N., Baek, I. J., Chang, M., Kang, C. M., Park, Y. S., and Yun, C. W. (2014) A novel protein, Pho92, has a conserved YTH domain and regulates phosphate metabolism by decreasing the mRNA stability of PHO4 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Biochem J* **457**, 391-400

36. Smith, E. R., Eisen, A., Gu, W., Sattah, M., Pannuti, A., Zhou, J., Cook, R. G., Lucchesi, J. C., and Allis, C. D. (1998) ESA1 is a histone acetyltransferase that is essential for growth in yeast. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **95**, 3561-3565

37. Doyon, Y., Selleck, W., Lane, W. S., Tan, S., and Côté, J. (2004) Structural and functional conservation of the NuA4 histone acetyltransferase complex from yeast to humans. *Molecular and cellular biology* **24**, 1884-1896

38. Yan, Y., Barlev, N. A., Haley, R. H., Berger, S. L., and Marmorstein, R. (2000) Crystal structure of yeast Esa1 suggests a unified mechanism for catalysis and substrate binding by histone acetyltransferases. *Mol. Cell* **6**, 1195-1205

39. Lafon, A., Chang, C. S., Scott, E. M., Jacobson, S. J., and Pillus, L. (2007) MYST opportunities for growth control: yeast genes illuminate human cancer gene functions. *Oncogene* **26**, 5373-5384

40. Eissenberg, J. C. (2012) Structural biology of the chromodomain: form and function. *Gene* **496**, 69-78

41. Decker, P. V., Yu, D. Y., Iizuka, M., Qiu, Q., and Smith, M. M. (2008) Catalytic-site mutations in the MYST family histone Acetyltransferase Esa1. *Genetics* **178**, 1209-1220

42. Shi, R., Ying, S., Li, Y., Zhu, L., Wang, X., and Jin, H. (2021) Linking the YTH domain to cancer: the importance of YTH family proteins in epigenetics. *Cell Death Dis.* **12**, 346

43. Xu, C., Liu, K., Ahmed, H., Loppnau, P., Schapira, M., and Min, J. (2015) Structural Basis for the Discriminative Recognition of N6-Methyladenosine RNA by the Human YT521-B Homology Domain Family of Proteins. *J Biol Chem* **290**, 24902-24913

44. Theler, D., Dominguez, C., Blatter, M., Boudet, J., and Allain, F. H.-T. (2014) Solution structure of the YTH domain in complex with N6-methyladenosine RNA: a reader of methylated RNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42**, 13911-13919

45. Chun, Y., Joo, Y. J., Suh, H., Batot, G., Hill, C. P., Formosa, T., and Buratowski, S. (2019) Selective Kinase Inhibition Shows That Bur1 (Cdk9) Phosphorylates the Rpb1 Linker In Vivo. *Molecular and cellular biology* **39**

46. Malumbres, M. (2014) Cyclin-dependent kinases. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 122

47. Keogh, M. C., Podolny, V., and Buratowski, S. (2003) Bur1 kinase is required for efficient transcription elongation by RNA polymerase II. *Molecular and cellular biology* **23**, 7005-7018

48. Zhou, K., Kuo, W. H., Fillingham, J., and Greenblatt, J. F. (2009) Control of transcriptional elongation and cotranscriptional histone modification by the yeast BUR kinase substrate Spt5. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**, 6956-6961

49. Saxton, R. A., and Sabatini, D. M. (2017) mTOR Signaling in Growth, Metabolism, and Disease. *Cell* **168**, 960-976

50. Ginsburg, D. S., Anlembom, T. E., Wang, J., Patel, S. R., Li, B., and Hinnebusch, A. G. (2014) NuA4 links methylation of histone H3 lysines 4 and 36 to acetylation of histones H4 and H3. *J Biol Chem* **289**, 32656-32670

51. Sun, Y., Xu, Y., Roy, K., and Price, B. D. (2007) DNA damage-induced acetylation of lysine 3016 of ATM activates ATM kinase activity. *Molecular and cellular biology* **27**, 8502-8509

52. Hofmann, K., and Bucher, P. (1995) The FHA domain: a putative nuclear signalling domain found in protein kinases and transcription factors. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **20**, 347-349

53. Shiota, M., Yokomizo, A., Masubuchi, D., Tada, Y., Inokuchi, J., Eto, M., Uchiumi, T., Fujimoto, N., and Naito, S. (2010) Tip60 promotes prostate cancer cell proliferation by translocation of androgen receptor into the nucleus. *The Prostate* **70**, 540-554

54. Gao, C., Bourke, E., Scobie, M., Famme, M. A., Koolmeister, T., Helleday, T., Eriksson, L. A., Lowndes, N. F., and Brown, J. A. L. (2014) Rational design and validation of a Tip60 histone acetyltransferase inhibitor. *Scientific Reports* **4**, 5372

55. Bacon, C. W., and D'Orso, I. (2019) CDK9: a signaling hub for transcriptional control. *Transcription* **10**, 57-75

56. Mandal, R., Becker, S., and Strebhardt, K. (2021) Targeting CDK9 for Anti-Cancer Therapeutics. *Cancers (Basel)* **13**, 2181

57. Cidado, J., Boiko, S., Proia, T., Ferguson, D., Criscione, S. W., San Martin, M., Pop-Damkov, P., Su, N., Roamio Franklin, V. N., Sekhar Reddy Chilamakuri, C., D'Santos, C. S., Shao, W., Saeh, J. C., Koch, R., Weinstock, D. M., Zinda, M., Fawell, S. E., and Drew, L. (2020) AZD4573 Is a Highly Selective CDK9 Inhibitor That Suppresses MCL-1 and Induces Apoptosis in Hematologic Cancer Cells. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **26**, 922-934

58. Dey, J., Deckwerth, T. L., Kerwin, W. S., Casalini, J. R., Merrell, A. J., Grenley, M. O., Burns, C., Ditzler, S. H., Dixon, C. P., Beirne, E., Gillespie, K. C., Kleinman, E. F., and Klinghoffer, R. A. (2017) Voruciclib, a clinical stage oral CDK9 inhibitor, represses MCL-1 and sensitizes high-risk Diffuse Large B-cell Lymphoma to BCL2 inhibition. *Sci Rep* **7**, 18007

59. Dahl, N. A., Danis, E., Balakrishnan, I., Wang, D., Pierce, A., Walker, F. M., Gilani, A., Serkova, N. J., Madhavan, K., Fosmire, S., Green, A. L., Foreman, N. K., Venkataraman, S., and Vibhakar, R. (2020) Super Elongation Complex as a Targetable Dependency in Diffuse Midline Glioma. *Cell Rep* **31**, 107485

60. Rule, S., Kater, A. P., Brümmendorf, T. H., Fegan, C., Kaiser, M., Radford, J. A., Stilgenbauer, S., Kayser, S., Dyer, M. J., Brossart, P., Cidado, J., Drew, L., Birkett, J., Davies, A., Shao, W.,

and Brugger, W. (2018) A phase 1, open-label, multicenter, non-randomized study to assess the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and preliminary antitumor activity of AZD4573, a potent and selective CDK9 inhibitor, in subjects with relapsed or refractory hematological malignancies. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **36**, TPS7588-TPS7588

61.   Barlaam, B., Casella, R., Cidado, J., Cook, C., De Savi, C., Dishington, A., Donald, C. S., Drew, L., Ferguson, A. D., Ferguson, D., Glossop, S., Grebe, T., Gu, C., Hande, S., Hawkins, J., Hird, A. W., Holmes, J., Horstick, J., Jiang, Y., Lamb, M. L., McGuire, T. M., Moore, J. E., O'Connell, N., Pike, A., Pike, K. G., Proia, T., Roberts, B., San Martin, M., Sarkar, U., Shao, W., Stead, D., Sumner, N., Thakur, K., Vasbinder, M. M., Varnes, J. G., Wang, J., Wang, L., Wu, D., Wu, L., Yang, B., and Yao, T. (2020) Discovery of AZD4573, a Potent and Selective Inhibitor of CDK9 That Enables Short Duration of Target Engagement for the Treatment of Hematological Malignancies. *J. Med. Chem.* **63**, 15564-15590

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1 H3K36 and H3G34 oncohistone missense mutations within the conserved N-terminal tail of

histone H3 cause diverse growth phenotypes in budding yeast. (A) The N-terminal tails of histone

proteins are highly conserved as illustrated in the alignment shown for human (*H. sapiens*, *H.s.*) histone

H3.3 and *S. cerevisiae* (*S.c.*) Hht1/Hht2. The positions of K36 and G34, which are the residues altered in

the oncohistones modeled here are indicated by the red and the single conservative amino acid change

from threonine (T) in human to serine (S) in budding yeast at position 22 is indicated in blue. (B) The

H3K36 histone mutant cells, but not H3G34 mutant cells, show cold-sensitive growth. The H3K36R/M

mutant cells (*hht2-K36R/M hht1Δ*) show impaired growth at 18°C compared to wildtype cells. The

H3K36 and H3G34 mutant cells express each histone variant as the sole copy of histone H3. Wildtype,

H3K36 mutant cells (*hht2-K36R/M hht1Δ*), H3G34 mutant cells (*hht2-G34W/L/R/V hht1Δ*), and *HHT2*

hht1Δ cells were serially diluted and spotted on YEPD media plates and grown at the permissive

temperature of 30°C and cold temperature of 18°C. (C) A growth curve was employed to analyze growth

rate (Figure S1). Doubling times were obtained for control Wildtype and *HHT2 hht1Δ* cells (black),

H3K36 mutant cells (*hht2-K36R/M hht1Δ*) (gray), and H3G34 mutant cells (*hht2-G34W/L/R/V hht1Δ*)

(light gray). The doubling time was increased for each of the H3 mutants analyzed compared to either

control. Statistical significance (** p<0.001) was determined by using a one-tailed Student's T-test. (D)

The H3K36 histone mutant cells show caffeine sensitive growth, whereas H3G34 mutants cells show

hydroxyurea (HU) sensitive growth. The H3K36R/M mutant cells (*hht2-K36R/M hht1Δ*) show impaired

growth on caffeine plates, whereas the H3G34 mutant cells (*hht2-G34W/L/R/V hht1Δ*) show impaired

growth on hydroxyurea (HU) plates compared to wildtype cells. All H3K36 and H3G34 mutant cells

analyzed show severely impaired growth on formamide plates. Wildtype, H3K36 mutant cells (*hht2-*

K36R/M hht1Δ), H3G34 mutant cells (*hht2-G34W/L/R/V hht1Δ*), and *HHT2 hht1Δ* cells were serially

diluted and spotted on a control YEPD media plate and YEPD plates containing 15 mM Caffeine, 3% Formamide, or 150 mM Hydroxyurea (HU). and grown at 30°C.

Figure 2 A high copy suppressor screen for suppression of the caffeine sensitive growth of H3K36 histone mutant cells identifies five suppressors. As described in Materials and Methods, a high copy suppressor screen was performed to identify genes that suppress the caffeine sensitive growth of H3K36M and/or H3K36R mutant cells. (A, B) The five genomic suppressor (*SUP*) plasmids identified from the screen suppress the caffeine sensitive growth of (A) H3K36M and (B) H3K36R histone mutant cells. The H3K36M/R mutant cells (*hht2-K36M/R hht1Δ*) containing *SUP3/54/67/68/99* suppressor plasmids show improved growth on caffeine plates compared to cells containing vector alone. The H3K36M/R cells containing suppressor plasmids do not show any effect on growth at 18°C and little effect on formamide plates; however, cells containing the *SUP3* suppressor show slightly improved growth compared to cells containing vector alone on formamide plates. Sequencing of the genomic suppressor plasmids revealed the identity of the gene(s) encoded on the clones: *SUP3* (*SGV1*), *SUP54* (*HHT2, HHF2*), *SUP67* (*ESA1*), *SUP68* (*TOS4, YLR184W*), and *SUP99* (*PHO92, WIP1, BCS1*) – indicated to right. Wildtype cells transformed with vector and H3K36M/R mutant cells (*hht2-K36M/R hht2Δ*) transformed with vector, *SUP3*, *SUP54*, *SUP67*, *SUP68*, or *SUP99* plasmid were serially diluted and spotted onto YEPD plates and YEPD plates containing 15 mM Caffeine or 3% Formamide and grown at indicated temperatures. (C) The *HHT2* histone H3 gene, but not the *HHF2* histone H4 gene, suppresses the caffeine sensitive growth of H3K36R histone mutant cells. The H3K36R mutant cells (*hht2-K36R hht1Δ*) containing an *HHT2* plasmid show improved growth compared to cells with vector alone on a caffeine plate, similar to cells containing the *SUP54* suppressor, which harbors both *HHT2* and *HHF2*. The H3K36R cells containing an *HHF2* plasmid do not show improved growth on a caffeine

plate. The H3K36R cells containing an *HHT1* plasmid, containing the other <u>S. cerevisiae</u> histone H3 gene, show improved growth on a caffeine plate. Wildtype cells transformed with vector and H3K36R mutant cells (*hht2-K36R hht2Δ*) transformed with vector, *SUP54*, *HHF2, HHT2,* or *HHT1* plasmid were serially diluted and spotted onto a control YEPD plate and YEPD plate containing 15 mM Caffeine and grown at 30°C.

Figure 3 The lysine acetyltransferase *ESA1* suppresses the caffeine sensitive growth of H3K36R histone mutant cells and requires the catalytic activity of Esa1 for suppression. *SUP67* includes a single intact gene *ESA1*, which encodes a histone H4/H2A lysine acetyl transferase of the MYST (<u>M</u>oz, <u>Y</u>BF2, <u>S</u>as2, <u>Ti</u>p) family. (A) The domain structure of Esa1 is shown. The MYST homology domain contains both a Zinc Finger (Zn Finger) domain and a Gcn5-related N-acetyltransferases (GNAT) Homology domain. Esa1 also contains an N-terminal Chromo Domain. The amino acid changes created to impair the lysine acetyltransferase activity of Esa1, C304S and E338Q, which are based on previous work (41) and located in the MYST homology domain, are shown in red. (B) *ESA1* suppresses the caffeine sensitive growth of H3K36R mutant cells similar to the high copy suppressor, *SUP67*, but catalytically inactive mutants of Esa1 do not suppress. The H3K36R cells (*hht2-K36R hht1Δ*) containing an *ESA1* plasmid show improved growth compared to cells with vector alone on a caffeine plate, similar to cells containing the *SUP67* suppressor, but cells containing the catalytically inactive mutant *esa1-C304S* or *esa1-E338Q* plasmid do not show improved growth. Wildtype cells transformed with vector and H3K36R mutant cells (*hht2-K36R hht2Δ*) transformed with vector, *SUP67*, *ESA1, esa1-C304S,* or *esa1-E338Q* plasmid were serially diluted and spotted onto a control YEPD plate and YEPD plate containing 15 mM Caffeine and grown at 30°C for indicated days.

Figure 4 The gene expression regulator *TOS4* and m⁶A RNA binding protein *PHO92* suppress the

caffeine sensitive growth of H3K36R histone mutant cells, but Tos4 interaction with HDAC complexes

and Pho92 m⁶A RNA binding are not required for suppression. (A) The Tos4 protein is a gene

expression regulator that contains a forkhead-associated (FHA) domain, which is a phosphopeptide

recognition domain found in many regulatory proteins. The amino acids changes generated to disrupt the

interaction with the histone deacetylase (HDAC) complexes, Rpd3L and Set3 (33,34), R122A and

N161A, which are located in the FHA domain, are shown in red. (B) *TOS4* suppresses the caffeine

sensitive growth of H3K36R mutant cells to the same extent as the high copy suppressor, *SUP68*, and a

FHA domain double mutant of Tos4 that disrupts interaction with Rpd3L and Set3 HDACs remains

competent to suppress the cells. The H3K36R cells (*hht2-K36R hht1Δ*) containing a *TOS4* plasmid

show improved growth compared to cells with vector alone on a caffeine plate, similar to cells

containing the *SUP68* suppressor, which contains uncharacterized open reading frame, *YLR184W*, in

addition to *TOS4*. The H3K36R cells containing a FHA domain double mutant of Tos4, *tos4-R122A-*

N161A, show improved growth compared to cells with vector alone on a caffeine plate, similar to cells

containing *TOS4*. (C) The Pho92 protein contains a YT521-B homology (YTH) domain, which is an

evolutionarily conserved m⁶A-dependent RNA binding domain (43). The amino acid changes made to

impair the binding of Pho92 to m⁶A RNA, W177A and W231A, which alter key tryptophan residues in

the m⁶A binding pocket (43) located in the YTH domain, are indicated in red. (D) *PHO92* suppresses the

caffeine sensitive growth of H3K36R mutant cells similar to the high copy suppressor, *SUP99*, but the

m⁶A RNA binding function of Pho92 is not required for suppression. The H3K36R cells (*hht2-K36R*

hht1Δ) containing a *PHO92* plasmid show improved growth compared to cells with vector alone on a

caffeine plate, similar to cells containing the *SUP99* suppressor, which contains *WIP1* and *BCS1* genes

in addition to *PHO92*. The H3K36R cells containing the m^{6}A RNA binding mutant *pho92-W177A* or

pho92-W231A plasmid show improved growth compared to cells with vector alone on a caffeine plate,

similar to cells containing *PHO92*. Wildtype cells transformed with vector and H3K36R mutant cells

(*hht2-K36R hht2Δ*) transformed with vector, *SUP68*, *TOS4, tos4-R122A-N161A, SUP99*, *PHO92*,

pho92-W177A, or *pho92-W231A* plasmid were serially diluted and spotted onto a control YEPD plate

and YEPD plate containing 15 mM Caffeine and grown at 30°C for indicated days.

Figure 5 Wildtype *SGV1* does not suppress the caffeine sensitive growth of H3K36R histone mutant

cells; however, catalytically inactive mutants of Sgv1 can suppress the cells. (A) The Sgv1/Bur1 kinase

is a cyclin-dependent kinase that contains a protein kinase domain with homology to human CDK9 (46).

The amino acid substitutions generated to inactivate the catalytic function of Sgv1/Bur1 (47), E107Q

and D213A, which are located in the protein kinase domain, are shown in red. (B) The genomic

suppressor clone *SUP3* identified in the high copy suppressor screen encodes a slightly truncated Sgv1

protein, which lacks the last two amino acids (LY) and translates into the multiple cloning site of the

vector (YEp352) to add an additional 8 amino acids (PRVPSSNS), which are indicated in red at the C-

terminus on the domain structure shown. (C) Unlike the high copy suppressor, *SUP3*, which suppresses

the caffeine sensitive growth of H3K36R mutant cells, the wildtype *SGV1* clone does not suppress the

cells; however, catalytically inactive mutants of Sgv1 can suppress the cells. Suppression by

catalytically inactive Sgv1 mutants suggests impairment of Sgv1 function rescues the caffeine sensitive

growth of H3K36R cells. The H3K36R cells (*hht2-K36R hht1Δ*) containing the *SUP3* suppressor show

improved growth compared to cells with vector alone on a caffeine plate, but cells containing the

wildtype *SGV1* plasmid do not show improved growth. The H3K36R cells containing the catalytically

inactive mutant *sgv1-E107Q* or *sgv1-D213A* plasmid show improved growth compared to cells with

vector alone on a caffeine plate, partly similar to cells containing the *SUP3* suppressor. Wildtype cells

transformed with vector and H3K36R mutant cells (*hht2-K36R hht2Δ*) transformed with vector, *SUP3*,

SGV1, sgv1-E107Q, or *sgv1-D213A* plasmid were serially diluted and spotted onto a control plate

lacking uracil (Ura-) and Ura- plate containing 15 mM Caffeine and grown at 30°C for indicated days.

Figure 6 The identified genomic suppressors of H3K36R/M histone mutants, containing *SGV1*, *ESA1*,

TOS4, and *PHO92*, suppress the caffeine sensitive growth of *set2Δ* H3K36 histone methyltransferase

mutant cells. The *set2Δ* mutant cells containing *SUP3* (*SGV1*), SUP*67* (*ESA1*), *SUP68* (*TOS4*), and

SUP99 (*PHO92*) suppressor plasmids show improved growth on a caffeine plate compared to cells

containing vector alone, but cells containing *SUP54* (*HHT2*) do not improve growth. The gene(s)

encoded on the suppressor clones are indicated to right. Wildtype cells transformed with vector and

set2Δ mutant cells transformed with vector, *SUP3*, *SUP54*, *SUP67*, *SUP68*, or *SUP99* plasmid were

serially diluted and spotted onto a control YEPD plate and a YEPD plate containing 15 mM Caffeine

and grown at 30°C for indicated days.

A

```
        2                                    34 36 38
H.s.  ARTKQTARKSTGGKAPRKQLATKAARKSAPSTGGVKKP-      H3.3
S.c.  ARTKQTARKSTGGKAPRKQLASKAARKSAPSTGGVKKP
```

B

C

D

A

B

A

Protein Kinase Domain

1   657

Sgv1   DIADLY

60   366

E107Q   **D213A**

B

Protein Kinase Domain

1   663

SUP3   DIAD

60   366

PRVPSSNS

C

Ura-   15 mM Caffeine

hht2-K36R hht1Δ

Wildtype
Vector
SUP3
SGV1
sgv1-E107Q
sgv1-D213A

2 Day   5 Day