Genome-wide association, prediction and heritability in bacteria

Sudaraka Mallawaarachchi[1,*], Gerry Tonkin-Hill[2], Nicholas J. Croucher[3], Paul Turner[4,5], Doug Speed[6,7,8], Jukka Corander[2,9,10], David Balding[1,8,11,*]

1 Melbourne Integrative Genomics, School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Melbourne, VIC, Australia

2 Parasites and Microbes, Wellcome Sanger Institute, Cambridge, UK

3 Faculty of Medicine, School of Public Health, Imperial College, London, UK

4 Cambodia-Oxford Medical Research Unit, Angkor Hospital for Children, Siem Reap, Cambodia

5 Centre for Tropical Medicine and Global Health, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

6 Aarhus Institute of Advanced Studies (AIAS), Aarhus University, Denmark

7 Bioinformatics Research Centre, Aarhus University, Denmark

8 UCL Genetics Institute, University College London, United Kingdom

9 Department of Biostatistics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway.

10 Helsinki Institute of Information Technology, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.

11 School of BioSciences, University of Melbourne, VIC, Australia

* (sudaraka.mallawaarachchi,dbalding)@unimelb.edu.au

Abstract

Advances in whole-genome genotyping and sequencing have allowed genome-wide analyses of association, prediction and heritability in many organisms. However, the application of such analyses to bacteria is still in its infancy, being limited by difficulties including the plasticity of bacterial genomes and their strong population structure. Here we propose, and validate using simulations, a suite of genome-wide analyses for bacteria. We combine methods from human genetics and previous bacterial studies, including linear mixed models, elastic net and LD-score regression, and introduce innovations such as frequency-based allele coding, testing for both insertion/deletion and nucleotide effects and partitioning heritability by genome region. We then analyse three phenotypes of a major human pathogen *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, including the first analyses of minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) for each of two antibiotics, penicillin and ceftriaxone. We show that these are highly heritable leading to high prediction accuracy, which is explained by many genetic associations identified under good control of population structure effects. In the case of ceftriaxone MIC, these results are surprising because none of the isolates was resistant according to the inhibition zone diameter threshold. We estimate that just over half of the heritability of penicillin MIC is explained by a known drug-resistance region, which also contributes around a quarter of the heritability of ceftriaxone MIC. For the within-host survival phenotype carriage duration, no reliable associations were found but we observed moderate heritability and prediction accuracy, indicating a polygenic trait. While generating important new results for *S. pneumoniae*, we have critically assessed existing methods and introduced innovations that will be useful for future large-scale population genomics studies to help decipher the genetic architecture of bacterial traits.

Author summary

Genome-wide association, prediction and heritability analyses in bacteria are beginning to help unravel the genetic underpinnings of traits such as antimicrobial resistance, virulence, within-host survival and transmissibility. Progress to date is limited by challenges including the effects of strong population structure and variable

---

recombination, and the many gaps in sequence alignments including the absence of entire genes in many isolates. More work is required to critically asses and develop methods for bacterial genomics. We address this task here, using a range of existing methods from bacterial and human genetics, such as linear mixed models, elastic net and LD-score regression. Using simulations, we first validate and then adapt these methods to introduce new analyses, including separate assessment of gap and nucleotide effects, a new allele coding for association analyses and a method to partition heritability into genome regions. We analyse within-host survival and two antimicrobial response traits of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, identifying many novel associations while demonstrating good control of population structure and accurate prediction. We present both new results for an important pathogen and methodological advances that will be useful in guiding future studies in bacterial population genomics.

Introduction

The ability to perform genome-wide analyses of DNA variations has enabled detailed investigations of the genetic architecture of traits in many organisms. In human genetics, the study of heritability across the genome has received considerable attention and the main statistical challenges related to robust estimation of SNP heritability are being overcome [1,2]. Similar studies in bacteria are emerging [3,4], but the pros and cons of the many available methods have not yet been extensively studied. We adopted popular methods from human genetics, using linear mixed models (LMMs) and linkage disequilibrium score regression (LDSC) to investigate genome-wide association and heritability, in combination with elastic-net regression for prediction of three traits (two not previously studied) in *Streptococcus pneumoniae.*

    S. pneumoniae, or the pneumococcus, is a Gram-positive human pathogen that can cause several invasive diseases such as pneumonia, meningitis and sepsis, as well as milder diseases such as acute otitis media and tonsillitis. Typically, pneumococci colonise the nasopharynx of a host asymptomatically and transmit effectively between young children, who frequently carry the bacterium until they develop broad natural immunity. This may be supplemented by vaccination with any of the polysaccharide conjugate vaccines (PCVs), which induce effective protection against some common

virulent serotypes. Several population genomic studies have characterized central [19] epidemiological traits of the pneumococcus, including duration of carriage and [20] resistance to commonly used antibiotics. [21]

In a pioneering study, Lees et al. [3], found high heritability of the duration of [22] carriage of *S. pneumoniae* in human hosts. Furthermore, the strong genetic control of [23] the binary trait antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is well established from genome-wide [24] association studies (GWAS) [5–8]. The quantitative trait minimum inhibitory [25] concentration (MIC) has previously been studied in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* [9], but [26] not in *S. pneumoniae*. [27]

We critically assess available methods for association, prediction and heritability [28] analyses, and propose novel developments, which we use to investigate carriage duration [29] (CD), ceftriaxone MIC and penicillin MIC in *S. pneumoniae*, finding many new [30] associations and high predictive accuracy for the two MIC traits. Given the increasing [31] availability of large-scale bacterial GWAS, the developments presented here will provide [32] a useful guide to future studies. [33]

Materials and methods [34]

Source of data [35]

The present study is based on nasopharyngeal swab data collected monthly from infants [36] and their mothers in the Maela refugee camp in Thailand between 2007 and 2010 [10]. [37] Overall, 23 910 swabs were collected during the original cohort study, from which 19 359 [38] swabs from 737 infants and 952 mothers were processed according to World Health [39] Organization (WHO) pneumococcal carriage detection protocols [11] and/or the latex [40] sweep method [12]. [41]

Penicillin and ceftriaxone susceptibilities were assessed using 1 μg oxacillin disks in [42] accordance with the 2007 CLSI guidelines [13]. Only isolates with an oxacillin zone [43] diameter of <20 mm were subject to benzyl penicillin and ceftriaxone MIC [44] measurements; other isolates were classified as susceptible. [45]

Preparation of phenotypes

Following [3], we implemented a hidden Markov model, using the R package msm [14], to obtain maximum-likelihood estimates of CD values. Due to differences in immune response to bacterial infections between adults and infants [15], only data from infants were used for CD analyses, but we analysed all MIC values regardless of the host. To obtain approximate normal distributions, we log-transformed all three phenotypes (see S1 Fig for histograms).

Preparation of genetic data

We used a published dataset [5] of high quality genome sequences from 2663 isolates, manually selected and aligned to the ATCC700669 reference genome using the snippy pipeline version 4.4.0 [16], with minimum coverage set at the default 10 reads. Of these, 1612 isolates were sampled during *S. pneumoniae* positive episodes, on average 1.5 (SD 1.0) isolates per episode. For the 337 episodes represented by > 1 genome sequence, we used the sequence from the last isolate sampled. This resulted in 1047 sequenced CD episodes in 370 host infants (mean 2.8, SD 1.9 episodes per host). The median CD was 64 days, with mean 110 and SD 102. MIC data for both penicillin (mean 0.57, SD 0.48 µg ml^{-1}) and ceftriaxone (mean 0.36, SD 0.28 µg ml^{-1}) were available for 1332 isolates, of which 554 also have a CD episode. SNP-sites version 2.5.1 [17] and VCFtools version 0.1.16 [18] were used to identify 239176 variant sites in the CD dataset, and 215892 in the MIC dataset.

A gene was considered a part of the core genome if it was observed in $\geq 95\%$ of isolates, otherwise it was labelled as *accessory*. Pangenome data were extracted by assembling and annotating the read sequences using Prokka version 1.14.6 [19]. Orthologous and paralogous gene clusters were then inferred using the Panaroo pangenome pipeline version 1.2.4, generating a gene presence/absence matrix [20]. While the core genome was analysed at each variant site, the accessory genome was analysed at the level of genes, using standardised gene counts. The numbers of accessory genes showing variation in the CD and MIC datasets, respectively, were 2310 and 2242.

Fig 1. Mapping of association hits to the ATCC700669 reference genome
Working inwards from the outer circle showing basepair positions along the genome, the
subsequent circles show the distributions of gap and minor allele frequencies in the MIC
dataset, annotated core genes (in black), and SNPs associated with ceftriaxone MIC
and penicillin MIC according to the gap test (blue) and SNP test (red). Figure
prepared using circos [21].

Association analyses

Testing gap and SNP effects

Five alleles are possible at each variant site, the four nucleotides and gap. Gaps are   76

observed at approximately 71% of variant sites (see Fig. 1 for the gap frequency   77

distribution), while two, three and four nucleotide alleles are observed at 71%, 7% and   78

0.4% of variant sites, respectively. In human genetics, multi-allelic SNPs and gaps are   79

both rare and SNP alleles are usually coded as binary, leading to three diploid   80

genotypes that can be coded using two degrees of freedom (df), or 1 df under an   81

additive model. For haploid bacteria, a general coding would require up to 4 df per SNP. The usual approach in previous analyses is a 1 df binary coding indicating presence/absence of the major allele. This coding loses information if the minor alleles have different effects. In particular, gap and SNP effects can differ, due in part to different local-dependence effects of insertion/deletion lengths and recombination.

In previous bacterial GWAS analyses, variant sites with many gaps have often been removed. Reasons include that a gap coding can reflect data quality issues other than a true insertion/deletion sequence state, and that the effects of large insertions or deletions cannot be localised to specific sites. However, insertions and deletions that generate gaps can affect phenotypes, and it is of interest to identify them, while recognising that the ultimate cause of the association signal may be difficult to decipher. For the core genome variants, we first used a binary gap/non-gap coding to compute a 'gap test' statistic at sites with ≥ 10 of both gap and non-gap sequences. The test statistic at the jth variant was the squared standardised effect size: $b_j^2/\mathrm{Var}(b_j)$. Next we computed a 'SNP test' statistic, omitting gap sequences, at sites with ≥ 10 copies of at least two nucleotides. We used a 1 df allele coding equal to the sample frequency of the allele, which assumes that effect sizes vary linearly with allele frequency. For sites with both gap and SNP statistics available, the larger one was used ("max" statistic). In the simulation study we also combined the two statistics using Stouffer's method (divide the sum by $\sqrt{2}$), which we refer to as the "combi" statistic.

To ensure a family-wise error rate (FWER) of 0.05, we performed 500 permutations of the ceftriaxone MIC phenotype, each time re-running the association analysis pipeline and recording the maximum test statistic. Our significance threshold for the real-data analyses was 24.8, the 25th largest of the 500 maximum test statistics. In comparison, the corresponding Bonferroni threshold based on 133K tests and a χ_1^2 null distribution, is 25.8. Therefore, while taking the max of gap and SNP test statistics tends to inflate the null distribution, Bonferroni correction would still be conservative because it ignores the correlations among the statistics. Because of the similarity of the phenotype distributions (S1 Fig), for penicillin MIC we used the permutation threshold derived for ceftriaxone MIC.

For comparison, we also employed a 1 df association test based on presence/absence of the major allele at each variant, whether gap or a nucleotide, using the Bonferroni

threshold. While this test allows some gap effects to be detected, if gap is not the major allele it assumes that the gap and minor nucleotide effects are the same. If gap is the major allele then all nucleotide effects are assumed to be the same.

Population structure, phylogeny and clustering

Levels of recombination vary over bacterial species, but in general asexual reproduction leads to strong population structure, which is challenging for association analyses [22, 23]. Population structure refers to groups of individuals (sub-populations) with greater genetic similarity among them than with other individuals, which causes genome-wide genetic correlations that can confound association signals. Sub-populations may also differ in environmental exposures, which can compound the problem.

There is no complete solution to the problems caused by population structure, and attempts to address them risk discarding true as well as spurious signal. Most approaches introduce either covariates or a genetic random effect into association models to absorb signals that can be explained by population structure, which then do not contribute to association statistics. The variance-covariance matrix $\mathbf{G}$ of a genetic random effect is assumed known *a priori* based on measures of similarity between pairs of sequences.

Sequence clusters can be used to define either $\mathbf{G}$, via cluster distances, or population structure covariates via indicators of cluster membership. Clustering can proceed by constructing a phylogenetic tree that models the evolutionary history of the sequences [24], with nodes of the tree used as cluster identifiers and branch lengths used to define cluster distances. We inferred maximum-likelihood phylogenies of both CD and MIC datasets using IQTree version 2.0.6 [25] under the general time reversible model, with discrete Gamma (+G option) base substitution rates across sites (Fig. 2). The model assumes no recombination, which is false for *S. pneumoniae*, and consequently the usefulness of the resulting phylogeny has been questioned [26].

FastBAPS, which extends hierBAPS, [30–32] was also used to cluster the isolates, without reference to a phylogeny. This approach generates an initial clustering using between-variant pairwise distances based on Ward's method [33], then an optimal set of clusters is identified using Bayesian hierarchical clustering [34].

In human studies, $\mathbf{G}$ was in the past computed from known pedigrees [35] and now

Fig 2. Phylogenies inferred using IQtree2 (A) 1 047 isolates with a carriage duration (CD) phenotype, indicated by tip colour (in days). (B) 1 332 isolates with MIC phenotypes, with the penicillin phenotype indicated by tip colour (in µg ml^{-1}). Plots generated after midpoint rooting using R packages ape [27], phytools [28] and ggtree [29].

usually as a genome-wide average allelic correlation [36]. For bacteria, **G** can be defined using allelic correlations under any 1 df allele coding. Despite the success of this approach in human studies, our preliminary analyses could not identify an allele coding that led to good control of population structure effects, although using the gap presence/absence binary indicator gave the best results among those we tried. Conversely, despite the questionable validity of the phylogeny due to it ignoring recombination, defining **G** in terms of lengths of shared phylogenetic branches [37] led to good control of population structure, as evidenced by QQ plots.

Linear mixed model (LMM) analyses

We wish to test $b_j = 0$ within the LMM [38]:

$$\mathbf{y} = b_j\mathbf{x}_j + \mathbf{u} + \epsilon, \quad \mathbf{u} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_g^2\mathbf{G}), \quad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_e^2\mathbf{I}), \tag{1}$$

where **y** is a length-n phenotype vector, $\mathbf{x}_j$ is the vector encoding alleles at the jth variant, and **u** and ϵ are random vectors of genetic and environmental effects, with **I** the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

Pyseer [39] has recently been widely used in bacterial GWAS, and an extensive summary of its models with performance benchmarking is available [40]. The Pyseer implementation of (1) is based on FaST-LMM [41], and includes likelihood ratio testing

of $b_j = 0$. It requires binary coding of genetic variants, and so can be used for the gap and major-allele tests, but it cannot accommodate the frequency-coding or omission of the gap sequences at each SNP test. To overcome this problem, we used a two-stage LMM/GLS pipeline for the SNP test, similar to EMMAX [42], in which the phenotype for association testing was the residual from fitting (1) with $b_j = 0$. This first 'LMM' stage was performed using lme4qtl [35]. The b_j were then estimated in a second stage using generalised least squares regression (GLS). In the CD analyses for the SNP test, we were able to incorporate an extra random effect to model shared host in the LMM/GLS pipeline, but for the gap and MA tests performed using Pyseer-LMM, this was replaced by a binary covariate indicating previous carriage.

Accessory genome genes were tested using the LMM/GLS pipeline, with a single test based on standardised gene counts.

Phylogenetic method treeWAS

For comparison, we also implemented the phylogeny-based treeWAS [43] using the MA coding. Use of a single phylogeny in treeWAS corresponds to an assumption of negligible recombination. As recommended for recombinant species such as *S. pneumoniae* [43], we first implemented the ClonalFrameML pipeline (see S2 Fig) [44]. Then treeWAS infers the ancestral phenotype and genotype states at each internal node of the phylogeny, before computing three association test statistics:

1. **Terminal Score**: measures sample-wide phenotype-genotype associations between leaves of the phylogeny.

2. **Simultaneous Score**: measures parallel changes in both phenotype and genotype on phylogeny branches.

3. **Subsequent Score**: measures the proportion of the tree within which genotype and phenotype 'co-exist'. It is equivalent to integrating association scores over all tree nodes.

For each sore, a significance threshold was estimated from null simulations of genetic data at 10 times as many sites as the observed dataset.

Phenotype prediction: whole genome elastic net (wg-enet)

We set up the Pyseer wg-enet model in glmnet [45] in order to use a frequency-based allele coding as in the SNP test except that gaps were counted as an allele. Following Pyseer guidelines [46], we omitted 25% of variants with the largest association p-values, and then removed highly-correlated variants at a 0.75 threshold. We verified the finding of [46] that prediction accuracy is improved using weight w_i for the ith isolate, where w_i is proportional to the inverse of the size of the cluster that includes the isolate, and $\sum_i w_i = n$. After centering the phenotype values to have mean zero, the ith phenotype value is predicted by $\hat{\mathbf{b}}^T \mathbf{x}_i$, where $\mathbf{x}_i$ is the vector of allele indicators for the ith sequence, and

$$\hat{\mathbf{b}} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{b}} \lambda \left[\frac{1-\alpha}{2} \|\mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \alpha \|\mathbf{b}\|_1 \right] + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i (y_i - \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x}_i)^2. \qquad (2)$$

We use cross-validation (CV) to optimise the penalty parameter λ. When $\lambda = 0$ we have weighted least-squares regression, while increasing λ reduces overfitting but introduces bias. By default, both Pyseer and our pipeline set $\alpha = 0.01$. Although this value is close to that for ridge regression ($\alpha = 0$), which retains all predictors in the model, it is large enough that only about 10% of $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ entries are non-zero.

Ten-fold (10F) and leave-one-strain-out (LOSO) [46] CV were used to assess prediction accuracy. Whereas 10F selects the training sets randomly, which can lead to instances of high similarity between test and training sequences, LOSO is a more challenging prediction task where an entire strain (= FastBAPS cluster) is predicted after training on the other strains.

Estimation of heritability

Genetic effects at different genome sites can interact (epistasis), but we restrict attention to the narrow-sense heritability h^2, with σ_g^2 assumed to be a sum of contributions from individual sites. The LMM estimates $h^2 = \sigma_g^2/(\sigma_g^2 + \sigma_e^2)$ [39]. For the wg-enet heritability estimation, we used $\hat{h}^2 = R^2$, the proportion of phenotype variance explained by the model with $\alpha = 0$ (ridge regression) [46].

We also estimate h^2 using a modification of LDSC [47]:

$$\mathbb{E}[S_j] \approx A + \frac{n-1}{m} h_g^2 l_j \qquad \text{where} \qquad l_j = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{(n-1)r_{jk}^2 - 1}{n-2}. \qquad (3)$$

Here, S_j is the association test statistic at variant j, and r_{jk} is the sample correlation of frequency-based allele codes at variants j and k (or gene counts for the accessory genome). Following [48], prior to computing pairwise Pearson correlation coefficients we further transformed the allele codes using Gaussian quantile normalisation.

The score l_j involves a sum over the whole genome. In human genetics applications only a neighbourhood of j is included, but the presence of genome-wide LD in *S. pneumoniae* makes it difficult to define a suitable neighbourhood. The definition of l_j also incorporates a bias adjustment [47] that can lead to $l_j < 0$, but typically $l_j \gg 1$. To account for heteroskedasticity and correlations among the S_j, the least-squares estimation of A and h_g^2 in (3) used weights $1/\max(1, l_j)$.

When choosing the testing method to generate the S_j for LDSC, we found that the very strong population structure effects distort the LDSC regression relationship in the absence of any adjustment, yet a fully effective adjustment for population structure was also unsatisfactory because it removed informative signal. The best compromise that we could identify between inadequate control for population structure effects and loss of association signal with effective control, was to compute the MA test statistic S_j in the fixed effect model (FEM):

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{v}a + \mathbf{x}_j b_j + \epsilon, \qquad (4)$$

where $\mathbf{v}$ is the first principal component (PC) of the sequence distances (explaining $> 90\%$ of genetic variation) and a is the corresponding effect size. For the CD analyses, we also included the previous carriage covariate in (4). We note again that $\mathbf{v}$ does not remove all population structure effects and the S_j tend to be inflated, but this is not important for LDSC estimation of h_g^2 which uses the slope of the relationship of l_j with S_j. Because of inadequate control of population structure using all approaches that we attempted, which included FastBAPS cluster membership indicators and additional principal components (PC), we do not report association results based on this FEM and only use the S_j obtained under this model within LDSC.

As well as estimating genome-wide h_g^2, LDSC is useful for estimating the contributions to h_g^2 from specified genome regions. This is challenging because simply omitting variants from a heritability analysis may not exclude their effects due to strong and long-range LD. For the MIC phenotypes, we computed $\hat{h}^2$ in (3) omitting effects from a known drug resistance genome region that includes the important penicillin-binding genes *pbp1a* and *pbp2x*. We first identified a set of large effect-size variants with basepair positions between $285\,000$ and $340\,000$ by clumping the frequency-coded variants using correlation threshold 0.85. These variants were used as fixed covariates when re-calculating the S_j for this analysis, which prevents tagging of effects from the omitted region.

Simulation-based validation of analyses

Association testing

Based on the CD dataset ($1\,047$ isolates, $134\,383$ variants), continuous traits were simulated under an additive model with $h^2 \in \{0.1, 0.2, ..., 0.5\}$. In each simulation, 5, 10, 15, 20, or 25 causal variants were randomly selected such that MAF > 0.05 and $r^2 < 0.2$ for all pairs of causal variants. Four replicates were preformed for each of the 25 combinations of causal loci and h^2, and the resulting 100 simulated datasets included a total of $1\,500$ causal loci ($\approx 0.011\%$ positives). Association testing was performed using the gap/SNP, major allele (MA), and treeWAS tests. For the gap/SNP test we considered both max and combi statistics.

Heritability estimation

We used BacGWASim [40] to simulate 1K bacterial genomes of length 250 Kb under each of two LD scenarios: lateral gene transfer rate (lgtRate) $= 0.2$ (Low-LD) and $= 0.1$ (High-LD). For each scenario and each $h^2 \in \{0.1, 0.2, ..., 0.9\}$, we simulated 100 continuous traits using 10 randomly-selected causal variants with MAF > 0.05 and $r^2 < 0.2$. We then computed $\hat{h}^2$ for each of the $1\,800$ traits using LMM, wg-enet and LDSC.

Code and data availability

Code is available at `https://github.com/Sudaraka88/bacterial-heritability` and
access details for the genetic data are provided in S1 File.

Results

Simulation analyses

Fig 3. ROC curves for association tests. The gap/SNP test with max statistic outperformed the major-allele (MA) and treeWAS tests (see legend box) in our simulation study which used simulated traits and sequences from the CD dataset.

For the gap/SNP test, the max statistic performed better than combi (Fig. 3). Only
the max statistic is used in the real-data analyses below. The gap/SNP test is superior
to both the MA and treeWAS tests (AUC for gap/SNP-max: 0.91 ± 0.01;
gap/SNP-combi: 0.89 ± 0.01; MA: 0.85 ± 0.01 and treeWAS: 0.81 ± 0.02). At a
Bonferroni corrected threshold of 0.05, the sensitivity and specificity were 0.433 and
0.986 for gap/SNP-max, 0.374 and 0.989 for gap/SNP-combi, 0.334 and 0.988 for MA
and 0.238 and 0.996 for treeWAS.

In heritability estimation, LDSC is the best-performing method, although it tends to slightly under-estimate, particularly in the high-LD scenario and for higher h^2 (Fig. 4). LMM greatly over-estimates, particularly in the range $0.2 < h^2 < 0.6$, and wg-enet also tends to generally over-estimate. Comparatively, the bias in LDSC is quite negligible. However, wg-enet does slightly better than LDSC in the high-LD setting for $h^2 > 0.8$. Overall, both LMM and wg-enet estimates are more precise than LDSC, but less accurate.

Fig 4. Estimating the heritability of simulated bacterial phenotypes. In the low-LD genome simulation (lateral gene transfer rate (lgtRate) = 0.2), average relative errors for LMM (green), wg-enet (blue) and LDSC (red) are $28.3 \pm 0.6\%$, $7.7 \pm 0.2\%$ and $-2.1 \pm 0.4\%$. In the high-LD genome simulation (lgtRate = 0.1), average errors for LMM (green), wg-enet (blue) and LDSC (red) $32.4 \pm 0.6\%$, $6.0 \pm 0.2\%$ and $-5.6 \pm 0.4\%$. The error bars show estimated standard error of the mean.

Carriage duration (CD)

None of the 2 310 tested accessory genes were associated with CD. Similarly there were no genome-wide significant results among the 44 097 gap and 91 822 SNP tests at core genome variants (Fig. 5). The shared-host random effect explained 1.4% of variance for CD, and $R^2 = 0.0022$ for the previous carriage fixed effect ($\beta = -0.097$, SE = 0.026).

Fig 5. Carriage duration (CD) Manhattan plot for core genome variants.
Accessory genes are not shown. See legend for shading that indicates gap frequency and
symbol shape indicating gap or SNP test. Basepair positions are obtained from the
ATCC700669 reference genome alignment.

The QQ-plot (S3 Fig) indicates some inflation of test statistics suggestive of population   292
structure effects (genome inflation factor, GIF = 1.44). The MA test also identified no   293
associations (GIF = 1.22, S4 Fig) and treeWAS identified 3 hits in 2 genes: *purF* and   294
polA (S5 Fig).   295

Despite the lack of associations for CD, prediction accuracy (Table 1) and   296
heritability estimates (Table 2) are significantly above zero, suggesting a polygenic trait.   297
As expected, LOSO prediction is less accurate than 10F CV. Pangenome estimates from   298
wg-enet, LMM and LDSC are similar ($0.32 \leq \hat{h}^2 \leq 0.34$) with all methods also agreeing 299
on a negligible contribution to h^2 from the accessory genome. LDSC analyses also   300
confirmed only a small contribution to h^2 from the known drug-resistance region (see S6   301
Fig for LDSC plots). Furthermore, phenotype prediction with allele frequency-based   302
coding of variants slightly outperformed MA coding (S2 Appendix and S7 Fig).   303

Table 1. Phenotype prediction. Mean squared error (MSE) and the correlation
between observed and predicted test values using 10-fold (10F) and leave-one-strain-out
(LOSO) cross validation (CV). Predictions were performed using a wg-enet model
($\alpha = 0.01$) in glmnet, with frequency-based allele coding (all five alleles coded according
to their frequency). Approximately 2% of available predictors were used for CD and 1%
were used for the two MIC phenotypes. For corresponding results from MA coded
variants, see S2 Appendix.

Phenotype	10F CV		LOSO CV	
(log scale)	MSE (SE)	Cor (SE)	MSE (SE)	Cor (SE)
CD	0.10 (0.004)	0.55 (0.022)	0.12 (0.005)	0.44 (0.025)
Ceftriaxone MIC	0.03 (0.002)	0.91 (0.005)	0.08 (0.003)	0.77 (0.005)
Penicillin MIC	0.04 (0.003)	0.91 (0.005)	0.13 (0.051)	0.69 (0.014)

Table 2. Heritability estimates ($\hat{h}^2$). The upper and lower values in each cell are for core genome and pangenome (= core genome plus accessory genes). Under "w/o DR" are results from analyses that omit effects from a genome region that is known to be associated with drug resistance.

Phenotype	LDSC			
	wg	w/o DR	enet	LMM
CD	0.34	0.30	0.34	0.32
with accessory genes	0.34	0.31	0.34	0.32
Ceftriaxone MIC	0.86	0.22	0.92	0.98
with accessory genes	0.87	0.22	0.93	0.98
Penicillin MIC	0.72	0.40	0.94	0.98
with accessory genes	0.72	0.41	0.94	0.98

We also performed association testing on all 1 612 isolates linked to a carriage ~~304~~ episode. This analysis identified four sites at basepair positions 1 522 542–1 522 896, near ~~305~~ the previously-reported phage hit based on k-mer analysis [46]. However, our 4 hits are ~~306~~ due to the same 15 isolates, of which 6 are from the same long (517 day) episode (see ~~307~~ detailed results in S1 Appendix). Furthermore, when the all-isolates dataset was ~~308~~ analysed using treeWAS, 9 associations were identified (see S3 Appendix), but these did ~~309~~ not include *purF* and *polA* (reported above) nor the region identified in our LMM ~~310~~ analyses. We conclude that we are unable to reliably identify individual associations for ~~311~~ CD, but there is good evidence for it being a moderately-heritable polygenic trait. ~~312~~

Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) phenotypes ~~313~~

Fig 6. Ceftriaxone MIC Manhattan plot. The shading and symbol shapes (see legend) are the same as for Fig. 5

For both MIC phenotypes, from the 2 242 accessory genes tested, one (with Panaroo ~~314~~ label group_102) showed genome-wide significant association. Gap and SNP tests were ~~315~~

Fig 7. Penicillin MIC Manhattan plot. See Fig. 6 caption for details.

performed at 36 020 and 97 224 core genome sites, respectively. For ceftriaxone MIC and   316
penicillin MIC, respectively, 998 and 833 variants showed genome-wide significance   317
(Figs 6, 7), and 688 and 504 of these were within annotated gene regions of the   318
ATCC700669 reference genome [49] (Table 3). Approximately 35% of hits were from the   319
gap test, associations that have largely been ignored in previous analyses. For   320
ceftriaxone MIC and penicillin MIC, GIF = 1.14 and 1.28 respectively, but the QQ   321
plots (S9 Fig) suggest that, rather than genome-wide inflation caused by population   322
structure, GIF > 1 is due to a large fraction of the genome showing causal association   323
with these highly-heritable, polygenic traits.

Table 3. Genes showing significant association with MIC phenotypes.

Phenotype (log)	Core genes	Acc. gene
Ceftriaxone only	mraW, clpL, csrR, rplK, aliB, plr, valS	
Both MIC phenotypes	pbp1a, aliA, pbp2x, mraY, recU, gnd, dexB, luxS, wzg, pbp2b	group_102
Penicillin only	aliB, clpL, wzd, wzh, blpY, galK, hasC, leuB, leuS, murF, recO	

For ceftriaxone MIC, the largest statistics are of similar magnitude for gap and SNP   325
tests (Fig. 8), but for low allele frequencies there are few large gap statistics and many   326
large SNP statistics, suggesting that there are few rare deletions, but many rare   327
nucleotides of large effect. There are also few large gap statistics with frequency > 0.6,   328
suggesting few sequence insertions of large effect. Many large SNP statistics with   329
frequency above 0.4 were not recorded as significant under the MA test, which may   330
reflect a benefit of frequency-based allele coding.   331

Consistent with the simulation results, the gap/SNP test performed better than the   332

Fig 8. Association test statistics against variant frequency for ceftriaxone MIC. Each point shows the z^2 statistic from (A) gap and (B) SNP test at a core genome variant. The x-axis shows frequencies of (A) gap and (B) minor nucleotide as a fraction of all nucleotides. Points are shaded according to the major-allele (MA) test statistic and the red curve shows 7$^{\text{th}}$ order regression fit for the 90$^{\text{th}}$ percentile [50]. See S8 Fig for this analysis on the other two phenotypes.

MA and treeWAS tests, identifying more associations (1 831 vs 1 419 vs 206) with lower GIF (1.14 vs 1.20 and 1.28 vs 1.56). For further details from (1) the major allele test, see S10 Fig and S11 Fig and (2) treeWAS analysis, see S12 Fig, S13 Fig. The list of genes identified from both analyses are in S3 Appendix.

As expected from the large number of associations, prediction accuracy for both MIC phenotypes is very high under 10F CV (Table 1), but less so for LOSO CV, with high SE for penicillin MIC indicating hard-to-predict clusters (S14 Fig).

The values of $\hat{h}^2$ also reflect the simulation studies, with LMM > wg-enet > LDSC for both MIC phenotypes (Table 2). Whereas LMM and wg-enet agreed closely across the two MIC phenotypes, the LDSC $\hat{h}^2$ differ substantially, consistent with the higher LOSO prediction accuracy and higher numbers and significance of associations for ceftriaxone MIC compared with penicillin MIC (see S6 Fig for LDSC plots). Using LDSC we also estimate that around a quarter of h^2 for ceftriaxone MIC can be attributed to the known drug resistance region, which represents only 2.5% of the core genome. This fraction rises to just over half of h^2 for penicillin MIC.

Discussion

We have identified several improvements over previous approaches for association, prediction and heritability analyses for quantitative bacterial traits, verified through simulation studies and real-data analyses. We present the first genomic analyses of *S. pneumoniae* minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) for the beta-lactam antibiotics

ceftriaxone and penicillin, finding many novel associations and high heritability. 353
Prediction of MIC traits was correspondingly accurate under 10F CV. 354

The genome regions identified as associated with the MIC phenotypes overlap those 355
previousy reported for the binary AMR phenotypes, even in the case of ceftriaxone for 356
which none of the tested isolates was resistant. Many of the associated genes are in the 357
peptidoglycan biosysthesis pathway, including penicillin binding proteins (PBPs: *pbp1a*, 358
pbp2b, *pbp2x*) and transferases required for cell wall biogenesis (*mraY* and *mraW* for 359
ceftriaxone MIC). A single heat shock protein (*clpL*) and a gene from the recombination 360
pathway (*recU*) were also identified as associated. When present, the group_102 361
accessory gene is located adjacent to *pbp1a*, which generates an enzyme involved in cell 362
wall remodelling, which may contribute to the association signal for the MIC 363
phenotypes. However, most of the genes identified for the MIC phenotypes are in tight 364
linkage with the three PBPs and may not represent independent effects. 365

We found no reliable associations for *S. pneumoniae* carriage duration (CD), but 366
strong evidence that it is a polygenic trait of moderate heritability ($\hat{h}^2 \approx 0.33$) that is 367
predictable from the genome sequence (0.55 and 0.44 correlation between predicted and 368
true phenotype under 10F and LOSO CV, respectively). 369

The innovations in our association analysis pipeline include separate testing of gap 370
and SNP effects, with a permutation approach to control FWER and frequency-based 371
allele coding. This approach performed better than the alternatives of MA and treeWAS 372
tests, detecting more associations under good control of population structure effects. 373

Our phenotype prediction analysis used frequency-coded variants within a 374
glmnet-based whole genome elastic net model. 375

A previous analysis of CD using data from the same study [3], provided a 376
lower-bound h^2 estimate of 0.45 using warped-lmm [51], concluding that CD is a highly 377
heritable trait. Our estimates are lower, which may be due to our decision to use only 378
one isolate per CD episode (S1 Appendix). 379

Penicillin AMR $\hat{h}^2$ in the Maela data set was recently reported in the range 380
0.67–0.83 [4]. Our most reliable (LDSC) estimate for the quantitative penicillin MIC 381
phenotype is within this range (Table 2). For ceftriaxone MIC, $\hat{h}^2$ is even higher, 382
consistent with the good prediction performance. 383

The reduction in h^2 for penicillin MIC by more than half on removing known drug 384

resistance genome regions in *S. pneumoniae* contrasts with results from *M. tuberculosis*, where the largest reduction in h^2 (measured using GEMMA [52]) was only 27% [9], which is close to our result for ceftriaxone MIC.

Our results support the use of linear mixed models for association analysis, with separate testing of gap and SNP effects, the latter using frequency-based allele coding. We also support the use of wg-enet for prediction of quantitative traits and we find that LDSC performs best for heritability analyses. Further work is required to assess optimal strategies in a wider range of settings for population structure in bacterial genomes.

Supporting information

S1 Appendix.   Results from the carriage duration analysis using the dataset comprising all 1 612 isolates sampled during a positive episode. (PDF)

S2 Appendix.   Phenotype prediction using MA frequency coded variants. (PDF)

S3 Appendix.   Genes identified with MA tests (LMM and treeWAS). (PDF)

S1 Fig.   Phenotype distribution. Top and bottom rows show the distribution of the three phenotypes before and after $\log_{10}$ transformation.

S2 Fig.   Phylogenetic trees from the ClonalFrameML analysis. Mid-point rooted, 'recombination-aware' tree structure for (A) 1 047 isolates with carriage duration phenotype (measured in days and indicated by tip colour) and (B) 1 332 isolates with MIC phenotype (measured in $\mu g \, ml^{-1}$ and tip colour indicates the distribution of penicillin MIC). (PDF)

S3 Fig.   QQ plot for carriage duration from the GAP/SNP analysis. (PDF)

S4 Fig.   Manhattan plot from MA tests of association with CD. Testing was
performed with (A) LMM and (B) FEM models. LMM did not identify any significant
associations, whereas FEM identified 92 associations with GIF = 2.53, indicating
genome-wide inflation due to unsatisfactory control of population structure. In FEM,
population structure correction was performed using FastBAPS cluster indicator
covariates. Point colour indicates the gap frequency at each site and the horizontal lines
indicate Bonferroni corrected significance thresholds.
(PDF)

S5 Fig.   treeWAS analysis for CD. Manhattan plots for (top) Terminal (middle)
Simultaneous and (bottom) Subsequent scores are shown, where three hits are identified
from the simultaneous test.
(PDF)

S6 Fig.   LDSC analyses for all phenotypes. LDSC plots for (A) CD, (B)
ceftriaxone MIC and (C) penicillin MIC. In each figure, subplots correspond to the **a.**
core genome **b.** pangenome **c.** core genome w/o DR and **d.** pangenome w/o DR
analyses and the $\hat{h}^2$ are reported in Table 2.
(PDF)

S7 Fig.   Prediction accuracy with MA and frequency coding. Allele
frequency coding generally increases the correlation and reduces the mean squared error
of prediction for all three phenotypes across folds and clusters. Note that the Mean
squared error and correlation values here are averaged across folds and clusters, and are
different from the overall accuracy results in Table 1 and S2 Appendix
(PDF)

S8 Fig.   Variation in z^2 statistics with variant frequency Each point shows the
z^2 statistic of a (A, C) gap and (B, D) SNP tested core genome variants for (A, B)
carriage duration and (C, D) penicillin MIC. The x-axis respectively shows the gap and
minor allele frequency for gap and SNP tested variants. Points are shaded according to
the z^2 statistic from the MA test and the red curve shows the 4th order regression fit for
the 90th percentile of data.

(PDF)

S9 Fig. QQ plots for MIC phenotypes from the GAP/SNP analysis. (A) ceftriaxone MIC (B) penicillin MIC.
(PDF)

S10 Fig. Major-allele test for ceftriaxone MIC. Testing was performed using (A) LMM and (B) FEM models. FEM analysis identified 13 212 hits with GIF = 16.4. See caption in S4 Fig for additional analysis and figure legend details.
(PDF)

S11 Fig. Major-allele test for penicillin MIC. Testing was performed using (A) LMM and (B) FEM models. FEM analysis identified 23 636 hits with GIF = 17.0. See caption in S4 Fig for additional analysis and figure legend details.
(PDF)

S12 Fig. treeWAS analysis for ceftriaxone MIC. Manhattan plots for (top) Terminal (middle) Simultaneous and (bottom) Subsequent scores.
(PDF)

S13 Fig. treeWAS analysis for penicillin MIC. Manhattan plots for (top) Terminal (middle) Simultaneous and (bottom) Subsequent scores.
(PDF)

S14 Fig. Prediction performance. Prediction performance of (A,B) carriage duration, (C,D) ceftriaxone MIC and (E,F) penicillin MIC phenotypes, assessed using (A,C,E) 10F and (B,D,F) LOSO CV. The x and y axes denote the true and predicted values, respectively and point colour represents the fold or FastBAPS cluster. Mean squared error and correlation values in Table 1 and S2 Appendix are computed using all values shown here.
(PDF)

S1 File. Metadata for *S. penumoniae* isolate reads used in this study.
(CSV)

Acknowledgments

SM and DB were supported by grant DP190103188 from the Australian Research Council. JC was funded by the ERC grant no. 742158.

References

1. Speed D, Balding DJ. SumHer better estimates the SNP heritability of complex traits from summary statistics. Nature genetics. 2019;51(2):277–284.

2. Speed D, Holmes J, Balding DJ. Evaluating and improving heritability models using summary statistics. Nature Genetics. 2020;52(4):458–462.

3. Lees JA, Croucher NJ, Goldblatt D, Nosten F, Parkhill J, Turner C, et al. Genome-wide identification of lineage and locus specific variation associated with pneumococcal carriage duration. Elife. 2017;6:e26255.

4. Mai TT, Turner P, Corander J. Boosting heritability: estimating the genetic component of phenotypic variation with multiple sample splitting. BMC bioinformatics. 2021;22(1):1–16.

5. Chewapreecha C, Harris SR, Croucher NJ, Turner C, Marttinen P, Cheng L, et al. Dense genomic sampling identifies highways of pneumococcal recombination. Nature genetics. 2014;46(3):305–309.

6. Chewapreecha C, Marttinen P, Croucher NJ, Salter SJ, Harris SR, Mather AE, et al. Comprehensive identification of single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with beta-lactam resistance within pneumococcal mosaic genes. PLoS Genet. 2014;10(8):e1004547.

7. Mobegi FM, Cremers AJ, De Jonge MI, Bentley SD, Van Hijum SA, Zomer A. Deciphering the distance to antibiotic resistance for the pneumococcus using genome sequencing data. Scientific reports. 2017;7(1):1–13.

8. Skwark MJ, Croucher NJ, Puranen S, Chewapreecha C, Pesonen M, Xu YY, et al. Interacting networks of resistance, virulence and core machinery genes identified by genome-wide epistasis analysis. PLoS genetics. 2017;13(2):e1006508.

9. Farhat MR, Freschi L, Calderon R, Ioerger T, Snyder M, Meehan CJ, et al. GWAS for quantitative resistance phenotypes in Mycobacterium tuberculosis reveals resistance genes and regulatory regions. Nature communications. 2019;10(1):1–11.

10. Turner P, Turner C, Jankhot A, Helen N, Lee SJ, Day NP, et al. A longitudinal study of Streptococcus pneumoniae carriage in a cohort of infants and their mothers on the Thailand-Myanmar border. PloS one. 2012;7(5).

11. O'Brien KL, Nohynek H, World Health Organization Pneumococcal Vaccine Trials Carriage Working Group. Report from a WHO Working Group: standard method for detecting upper respiratory carriage of Streptococcus pneumoniae. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2003;22(2):e1–11. doi:10.1097/01.inf.0000049347.42983.77.

12. Turner P, Turner C, Jankhot A, Phakaudom K, Nosten F, Goldblatt D. Field evaluation of culture plus latex sweep serotyping for detection of multiple pneumococcal serotype colonisation in infants and young children. PLoS One. 2013;8(7):e67933. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067933.

13. Clinical, Institute LS. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; 2017.

14. Jackson CH, et al. Multi-state models for panel data: the msm package for R. Journal of statistical software. 2011;38(8):1–29.

15. Maródi L. Neonatal innate immunity to infectious agents. Infection and immunity. 2006;74(4):1999–2006.

16. Seemann T. Snippy: rapid haplotype variant calling and core genome alignment; 2020.

17. Page AJ, Taylor B, Delaney AJ, Soares J, Seemann T, Keane JA, et al. SNP-sites: rapid efficient extraction of SNPs from multi-FASTA alignments. Microbial genomics. 2016;2(4).

18. Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, et al. The variant call format and VCFtools. Bioinformatics. 2011;27(15):2156–2158.

19. Seemann T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(14):2068–2069.

20. Tonkin-Hill G, MacAlasdair N, Ruis C, Weimann A, Horesh G, Lees JA, et al. Producing polished prokaryotic pangenomes with the Panaroo pipeline. Genome biology. 2020;21(1):1–21.

21. Krzywinski M, Schein J, Birol I, Connors J, Gascoyne R, Horsman D, et al. Circos: an information aesthetic for comparative genomics. Genome research. 2009;19(9):1639–1645.

22. Corander J, Croucher NJ, Harris SR, Lees JA, Tonkin-Hill G. 36. In: Bacterial Population Genomics. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2019. p. 997–1020. Available from: `https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/9781119487845.ch36`.

23. Chen PE, Shapiro BJ. The advent of genome-wide association studies for bacteria. Current opinion in microbiology. 2015;25:17–24.

24. Earle SG, Wu CH, Charlesworth J, Stoesser N, Gordon NC, Walker TM, et al. Identifying lineage effects when controlling for population structure improves power in bacterial association studies. Nature microbiology. 2016;1(5):1–8.

25. Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, Von Haeseler A, et al. IQ-TREE 2: New models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in the genomic era. Molecular Biology and Evolution. 2020;37(5):1530–1534.

26. Sakoparnig T, Field C, van Nimwegen E. Whole genome phylogenies reflect the distributions of recombination rates for many bacterial species. eLife. 2021;10:e65366. doi:10.7554/eLife.65366.

27. Paradis E, Schliep K. ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary analyses in R. Bioinformatics. 2019;35(3):526–528.

28. Revell LJ. phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). Methods in ecology and evolution. 2012;3(2):217–223.

29. Yu G. Using ggtree to Visualize Data on Tree-Like Structures. Current protocols in bioinformatics. 2020;69(1):e96.

30. Tonkin-Hill G, Lees JA, Bentley SD, Frost SD, Corander J. Fast hierarchical Bayesian analysis of population structure. Nucleic acids research. 2019;47(11):5539–5549.

31. Cheng L, Connor TR, Sirén J, Aanensen DM, Corander J. Hierarchical and spatially explicit clustering of DNA sequences with BAPS software. Molecular biology and evolution. 2013;30(5):1224–1228.

32. Corander J, Waldmann P, Marttinen P, Sillanpää MJ. BAPS 2: enhanced possibilities for the analysis of genetic population structure. Bioinformatics. 2004;20(15):2363–2369.

33. Murtagh F, Legendre P. Ward's hierarchical agglomerative clustering method: which algorithms implement Ward's criterion? Journal of classification. 2014;31(3):274–295.

34. Heller KA, Ghahramani Z. Bayesian hierarchical clustering. In: Proceedings of the 22nd international conference on Machine learning; 2005. p. 297–304.

35. Ziyatdinov A, Vázquez-Santiago M, Brunel H, Martinez-Perez A, Aschard H, Soria JM. lme4qtl: linear mixed models with flexible covariance structure for genetic studies of related individuals. BMC bioinformatics. 2018;19(1):1–5.

36. Astle W, Balding DJ. Population structure and cryptic relatedness in genetic association studies. Statistical Science. 2009;24(4):451–471.

37. Pagel M. Inferring evolutionary processes from phylogenies. Zoologica Scripta. 1997;26(4):331–348.

38. Yang J, Zaitlen NA, Goddard ME, Visscher PM, Price AL. Advantages and pitfalls in the application of mixed-model association methods. Nature genetics. 2014;46(2):100–106.

39. Lees JA, Galardini M, Bentley SD, Weiser JN, Corander J. Pyseer: a comprehensive tool for microbial pangenome-wide association studies. Bioinformatics. 2018;34(24):4310–4312.

40. Saber MM, Shapiro BJ. Benchmarking bacterial genome-wide association study methods using simulated genomes and phenotypes. Microbial genomics. 2020;6(3).

41. Lippert C, Listgarten J, Liu Y, Kadie CM, Davidson RI, Heckerman D. FaST linear mixed models for genome-wide association studies. Nature methods. 2011;8(10):833–835.

42. Kang HM, Sul JH, Service SK, Zaitlen NA, Kong Sy, Freimer NB, et al. Variance component model to account for sample structure in genome-wide association studies. Nature genetics. 2010;42(4):348–354.

43. Collins C, Didelot X. A phylogenetic method to perform genome-wide association studies in microbes that accounts for population structure and recombination. PLoS computational biology. 2018;14(2):e1005958.

44. Didelot X, Wilson DJ. ClonalFrameML: efficient inference of recombination in whole bacterial genomes. PLoS Comput Biol. 2015;11(2):e1004041.

45. Friedman J, Hastie T, Tibshirani R. Regularization paths for generalized linear models via coordinate descent. Journal of statistical software. 2010;33(1):1.

46. Lees JA, Mai TT, Galardini M, Wheeler NE, Horsfield ST, Parkhill J, et al. Improved prediction of bacterial genotype-phenotype associations using interpretable pangenome-spanning regressions. Mbio. 2020;11(4).

47. Bulik-Sullivan BK, Loh PR, Finucane HK, Ripke S, Yang J, Patterson N, et al. LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. Nature genetics. 2015;47(3):291.

48. Bishara AJ, Hittner JB. Reducing bias and error in the correlation coefficient due to nonnormality. Educational and psychological measurement. 2015;75(5):785–804.

49. Croucher NJ, Walker D, Romero P, Lennard N, Paterson GK, Bason NC, et al. Role of conjugative elements in the evolution of the multidrug-resistant pandemic clone Streptococcus pneumoniae Spain23F ST81. Journal of bacteriology. 2009;191(5):1480–1489.

50. Koenker R. quantreg: Quantile Regression; 2021. Available from: `https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=quantreg`.

51. Fusi N, Lippert C, Lawrence ND, Stegle O. Warped linear mixed models for the genetic analysis of transformed phenotypes. Nature communications. 2014;5(1):1–8.

52. Zhou X, Stephens M. Genome-wide efficient mixed-model analysis for association studies. Nature genetics. 2012;44(7):821–824.