A Novel and Functionally Diverse Class of Acetylcholine-gated Ion Channels

Iris Hardege *[1], Julia Morud *[1], William R Schafer [1,2]

Affiliations

[1] MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Francis Crick Avenue, Cambridge, CB2 0QH, United Kingdom

[2] Department of Biology, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

* These authors contributed equally to this work

Corresponding Author: William R Schafer, wschafer@mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk

Abstract

Fast cholinergic neurotransmission is mediated by pentameric acetylcholine-gated ion channels; in particular, cationic nicotinic acetylcholine receptors play well-established roles in virtually all nervous systems. Acetylcholine-gated anion channels have also been identified in some invertebrate phyla, yet their roles in the nervous system are less well-understood. Here we describe the functional properties of five previously-uncharacterized acetylcholine-gated anion channels from *C. elegans*, including four from a novel nematode specific subfamily known as the diverse group. In addition to their activation by acetylcholine, these diverse group channels are activated at physiological concentrations by other ligands; three, encoded by the *lgc-40*, *lgc-57* and *lgc-58* genes, are activated by choline, while *lgc-39* encoded channels are activated by octopamine and tyramine. Intriguingly, these and other acetylcholine-gated anion channels show extensive co-expression with cation-selective nicotinic receptors, implying that many cholinergic synapses may have both excitatory and inhibitory potential. Thus, the evolutionary expansion of cholinergic ligand-gated ion channels may enable complex synaptic signalling in an anatomically compact nervous system.

Keywords

Choline / *C.elegans* / Co-expression / Polymodal / Synaptic localisation

Introduction

Rapid signalling through neuronal networks is essential for producing coordinated behaviours in animals. At the fundamental level, fast neurotransmission is mediated through neurotransmitter release, resulting in activation of ion channels on the postsynaptic neuron. In the textbook view, based predominately on mammalian systems, there are two major excitatory

neurotransmitters, glutamate, and acetylcholine, and two inhibitory neurotransmitters, GABA

and glycine. Glutamate acts through a family of tetrameric ligand-gated cation channels, while

the remaining neurotransmitters activate pentameric receptors from the Cys-loop receptor

superfamily of ligand-gated ion channels (LGICs). Although LGICs are highly conserved

across phyla, ligand binding properties and ion selectivity diverge significantly, resulting in a

large diversity of mechanisms by which small molecules acting via LGICs can influence the

activity in neuronal circuits.

Despite their conserved general structure, Cys-loop LGICs vary significantly in their

functional properties, particularly when channels from invertebrate phyla are considered. For

example, insects and nematodes express inhibitory glutamate receptors from the Cys-loop

superfamily, localised both in neurons and muscles, which are targets of the anthelminthic drug

ivermectin (Cully *et al*, 1996, 1994). Many animals including insects, nematodes and mammals

also express LGICs that can be gated by aminergic ligands, including histamine-gated chloride

channels in *Drosophila* (Gisselmann *et al*, 2002), various nematode channels gated by

dopamine, tyramine and serotonin (Morud *et al*, 2021; Pirri *et al*, 2009), as well as the

excitatory mammalian 5-HT$_3$ receptors (Kondo *et al*, 2014; Lombaert *et al*, 2018). Even more

divergent roles for LGICs have been identified in marine species, where LGICs gated by

terpenes and chloroquine function as chemoreceptors in octopus (van Giesen *et al*, 2020).

Cys-loop channels can be subdivided into two large clades, the first containing the

nicotinic receptors and their paralogues (including the 5HT-3 receptors), and the other

containing channels more closely related to GABA$_A$ receptors (Jones & Sattelle, 2008). The *C.*

elegans genome contains several subfamilies which appear to have diversified independently

from vertebrate channels during evolution, leading to the existence of several nematode

specific LGIC subfamilies. The *C. elegans* genome contains a number of GABA$_A$-like

subfamilies, including genes encoding both anion and cation-selective channels (Ranganathan

et al, 2000; Jobson *et al*, 2015; Ringstad *et al*, 2009; Putrenko *et al*, 2005; Margie *et al*, 2013;

Yassin *et al*, 2001). One of these subgroups consists of genes for monoamine-gated channels

(both anion and cation selective), and a second subgroup consists of eight channels, four of

which are acetylcholine-gated anion channels and four that are still uncharacterized. A third

subfamily, known as the "diverse group" (Hobert, 2013), is largely uncharacterised; though

one member, LGC-40, has been reported to be a low affinity serotonin-gated channel also gated

by acetylcholine and choline (Ringstad *et al*, 2009), the properties of the remaining eight

channels in this subgroup, including their ligands, ion selectivity, and expression patterns, are

currently unknown.

Here we describe the deorphanisation and characterisation of five new *C. elegans*

LGICs activated by acetylcholine, including four members of the diverse subgroup. One of

these, LGC-39, forms a polymodal homomeric anion channel activated by acetylcholine as

well as by monoamines, while three others are activated by both choline and acetylcholine.

Using public single cell RNAseq expression data together with our new electrophysiological

data, we also predict the polarity of synapses in the worm connectome, as well as intracellular

localisation patterns for uncharacterized LGICs. These results highlight the unexpected

functional diversity of cholinergic signalling in the *C. elegans* nervous system.

Results

Deorphanisation of Uncharacterised LGICs Reveals Diversity of Cholinergic Channels

The *C. elegans* genome encodes a diverse superfamily of pentameric ligand-gated ion

channels (LGICs), of which several subfamilies are poorly characterised. In particular the

diverse group, consisting of the GGR-1 (here renamed to LGC-57) and the LGC-45

subfamilies, together includes nine LGICs (Figure 1B), whose activating ligand and function

are largely unknown. To investigate the properties of these channels, we first expressed each

channel gene in *Xenopus* oocytes and tested for current activation during the perfusion of a

panel of neurotransmitters. Despite their homology to vertebrate $GABA_A$ and glycine receptors

(the source of the name GGR-1), we observed no activation of any members of the GGR-1

group (or LGC-45 group) by either GABA or glycine. Instead, we found three closely related

channels LGC-57 (aka GGR-1), LGC-58 (aka GGR-2) and LGC-40 that were specifically

gated by choline and acetylcholine (Figure 1A). All three channels showed a preference for

choline, with EC_{50} values 2.5 to 3-fold lower for choline than acetylcholine (Figure 1C). These

findings parallel a previous report that LGC-40 forms a choline and acetylcholine-gated

channel, although in contrast to that report we did not observe serotonin responses (Ringstad

et al, 2009). We did not observe currents in response to any of the tested compounds for the

remaining members of the LGC-57 group (LGC-41 and LGC-42) nor for any members of the

LGC-45 group (Figure S1A).

In addition to the LGC-57 and LGC-45 groups, many other *C*. *elegans* LGICs lack

identified ligands. While several members of the so-called ACC group of LGICs have been

shown to form acetylcholine-gated chloride channels, four members of this subfamily *(acc-4,*

lgc-47, lgc-48, and *lgc-49)* had not previously been characterised (Figure 1B*)*. Upon expression

in *Xenopus* oocytes, we found that one of these channels, LGC-49, formed an acetylcholine

gated channel with an EC_{50} of 19μM (Figure 1C). Unlike the members of the LGC-57 group,

LGC-49 showed no significant activation by choline. No ligand-induced currents were

observed for ACC-4, LGC-47 or LGC-48, either alone, or in combination with closely related

and previously characterised LGCs, such as ACC-1 (Figure S1A-B) (Putrenko *et al*, 2005).

We next investigated the ion selectivity of the newly-deorphanised channels by

carrying out ion substitution experiments in oocytes expressing LGC-57, LGC-58, LGC-40, or

LGC-49. For all channels we observed significant reversal potential shifts following

substitution of standard high NaCl buffer for low Cl (Na Gluconate), but not for substitution

with Na^+-free (NMDG) solution, indicating selectivity for anions over cations for all the tested

channels (Figure 2A-B). We also tested the previously deorphanised channel LGC-46 (Liu *et*

al, 2017), which to date lacked ion selectivity data; this likewise showed reversal shifts

characteristic of an anion selective channel (Figure 2A-B). Interestingly, all members of the

LGC-57 group possess a PAR motif (Proline-Alanine-Arginine), located in the M2-3

intracellular loop, which has been shown to impart anion selectivity to LGICs (Wotring *et al*,

2003). Although several uncharacterised members of the ACC group have sequences that

diverge from the PAR motif, both LGC-49 and LGC-46 contain the PAR motif sequence. Thus,

the PAR motif appears to correlate with anion selectivity in both the LGC-57 and ACC groups

of nematode acetylcholine-gated LGICs.

Cholinergic Channels Display Diverse Antagonist Binding Properties

In order to understand if there are further functional differences between the channels

deorphanised in this study, we exposed each channel to three cholinergic antagonists,

mecamylamine, strychnine and d-tubocurarine. Strychnine and d-tubocurarine have been

shown to compete with the full agonist for the ligand binding domain, although their binding

mechanisms vary between LGICs of different classes (Brams *et al*, 2011); in contrast,

mecamylamine has been shown to interact with the transmembrane regions of mammalian

nAChRs (Bondarenko *et al*, 2014). We saw that the antagonistic profile differed significantly

between the newly-deorphanised channels. For example, within the LGC-57 group the two

smallest antagonists, mecamylamine and strychnine, had a similar IC_{50} values for LGC-57,

LGC-58a and LGC-40 (Figure 2D). However, tubocurarine, the largest molecule of the

antagonists, displayed an 11-fold shift in IC_{50} for LGC-57 compared to LGC-58 and LGC-40

(Figure 2D). Thus, the binding capabilities of tubocurarine on LGC-57 differs substantially

from that of its closest family members LGC-58 and LGC-40. Likewise, in the ACC group,

LGC-46 and LGC-49 could both be blocked by mecamylamine and strychnine (Figure 2D);

LGC-49 could additionally be blocked by tubocurarine and picrotoxin. These dissimilarities

again highlight the discrete differences between channels from the same subfamily, which may

have similar ligand binding profiles for endogenous ligands. Interestingly, tubocurarine was

the most potent blocker for the ACC group channels LGC-46 and LGC-49, whereas this

antagonist was the least effective of those tested for the LGC-57 group channels.

We also tested the channels' responses to repeated stimulation by the endogenous

primary ligand. We found LGC-40 to be sensitive to repeated stimulation, displaying a

significant difference in ratio between the first and second pulse after both 10s of and 60 s of

washing intervals (Figure 2C). In contrast, all other channels were capable of fast activation

intervals as they did not display any decrease in peak amplitude after repeated stimulation

(Figure 2C). Thus, LGC-40 appears to desensitize more than the other channels following

activation by its ligand.

LGC-39 is a Novel Polymodal Channel Activated by Cholinergic and Aminergic

Ligands

One channel from the LGC-57 subfamily, LGC-39, showed distinct ligand binding

properties from the rest of the group. Unlike the other LGC-57 subfamily members, LGC-39

showed relatively little activation by choline. Moreover, while acetylcholine activated LGC-

39 channels strongly (with an EC_{50} of 1290μM), the most potent ligands for LGC-39 were the

monoamines octopamine and tyramine (with EC_{50} values of 921μM and 686μM respectively;

Figure 3A-B). In addition, LGC-39 also displayed small currents in response to dopamine

(Figure 3A). Like other members of the LGC-57 family, LGC-39 contains the PAR sequence,

and LGC-39-expressing oocytes showed reversal potential shifts in response to chloride but

not sodium substitution (Figure 3C). Thus, *lgc-39* appears to encode a homomeric anionic,

polymodal channel, capable of being activated by both aminergic and cholinergic

neurotransmitters (Figure 3A-C).

We tested the effects of antagonists on LGC-39 currents evoked by different activating

ligands. In the presence of acetylcholine, LGC-39 could be blocked by the antagonists

mecamylamine, strychnine and tubocurarine. In contrast, the octopamine response could not

be blocked by mecamylamine or strychnine. Surprisingly, strychnine, in the presence of

octopamine or without an activating ligand, acted as a partial agonist, inducing a small current

with an EC_{50} of 7.5μM (Figure 3D). These data suggest that the putative endogenous ligands

of LGC-39, acetylcholine and octopamine, may interact with and activate the receptor in

different manners. To further separate the functionality of the ligands, we also investigated if

repeated activation by the different ligands influenced the ability for reactivation of LGC-39

differently (Figure 3E). No difference was seen for any wash interval between the ligands,

which could suggest that all ligands occupy the binding site in a similar time frame or that the

recovery time for the receptor is independent of the activating ligand.

Cholinergic Channels show Broad and Varied Expression in The *C. elegans* Nervous

System

To gain insight into the roles of cholinergic LGICs in the nervous system, we generated

reporter lines to characterise their neural expression patterns. We used a similar set of

fluorescent reporter lines to characterise the expression pattern of the newly deorphanised

LGICs based transcriptional reporter transgenes in which the upstream promoter of the *lgc*

gene drives the expression of a fluorescent protein. We then identified transgene-expression

based upon location, morphology and known marker lines. Using such a transcriptional

reporter, we observed expression of *lgc-39* in a range of interneurons and motor neurons,

including the AVA premotor interneurons (Figure 4E). In addition to receiving extensive

cholinergic input, these neurons are the major synaptic target for the only octopaminergic

neurons, the RICs, suggesting that LGC-39 may be exposed to both octopamine and

acetylcholine *in vivo* (Figure 4F) and may be involved in both cholinergic and octopaminergic

synaptic transmission. For the rest of the LGC-57 group, we also observed primarily neuronal

expression, with little overlap observed in the neurons expressing reporters for *lgc-40, lgc-49,*

lgc-57 and *lgc-58* (Figure 4A-D). This was particularly striking in motorneurons, with *lgc-40*

expressed in many pharyngeal neurons (M2, M3, MC, MI, I2), *lgc-49* in sensory neurons,

including posterior sensory neurons such as ALN and PLN, *lgc-57* in the A-class and B-class

motorneurons of the ventral cord, and *lgc-58* in the egg-laying motorneurons (VCs; *lgc-57* was

also observed in a subset of VCs) This suggests that these channels are likely to exist primarily

as homomers *in vivo* and function in distinct target neurons.

We also used reporters to analyse the expression pattern of several still-orphan LGICs,

including *lgc-42, lgc-47, lgc-48, lgc-43* and *lgc-45*, as well as the previously deorphanised

ACh-gated channel *lgc-46* (Takayanagi-Kiya *et al*, 2016) (Figure S2A). These reporters also

showed diverse and distinct patterns of expression, primarily in neurons. For example, *lgc-46*

was broadly expressed in several neurons, mostly in the head (Figure S2C). Most of the orphan

channels were also expressed specifically in neurons; for *lgc-47* this expression was unusually

broad, encompassing sensory, motor, and interneurons, while *lgc-48* was expressed only in a

single pair of ADL chemosensory neurons. Interestingly, two the orphan channels, *lgc-43,* and

lgc-45, which lack a PAR sequence and may thus encode cationic channels, did not appear to

be expressed in neuronal tissue, but instead in the hypodermis (Figure S2A). Together, these

data suggest that these channels play various roles in, and outside, the nervous system.

Excitatory and Inhibitory ACh Receptors are Co-expressed in Many Neurons

Our expression analysis indicated that many of the inhibitory ACh receptors newly

deorphanised in this study are expressed in neurons previously shown to also express excitatory

ACh receptors. These results imply that the role for acetylcholine as an inhibitory

neurotransmitter may be greater than previously assumed, and that acetylcholine contributes to

both inhibitory and excitatory events in many neurons. To determine the extent to which

excitatory and inhibitory receptors for the same neurotransmitter are expressed in individual

neural classes, we made use of single cell RNAseq dataset from *C. elegans* neurons (Taylor *et*

al, 2021). We first generated a complete list of ionotropic receptors for each of the three

classical neurotransmitters ACh, GABA and glutamate (Table S1). Since missing receptors

would have the potential to bias predictions, we predicted the ligand and ion selectivity of

orphan channels based upon homology with characterised channels and the presence or absence

of a PAR motif in the M2-3 intracellular loop (see Methods).

From this analysis, we found a remarkable frequency of neuron classes co-expressing

both inhibitory and excitatory receptors for the same neurotransmitter. This was particularly

notable for acetylcholine, with over 60% of neuron classes expressing both excitatory and

inhibitory ACh receptors. In contrast, GABA receptors were more biased toward inhibition,

with over 40% of neuron classes only expressing inhibitory GABA receptors (Figure 5A, S3).

To make generalised predictions of synaptic polarity, we summed expression of inhibitory and

excitatory receptors for each neurotransmitter in each neuron class and assigned synapse

polarity based on the most prevalent receptors in each neuron class, assuming that all receptors

in a cell are present equally at all synapses (Figure 5C, S4). This analysis suggested that the

majority of ACh and Glu synapses are excitatory and most GABA synapses inhibitory, though

this varied significantly for individual connections (Figure 5B).

Determining Synaptic Localisation of LGICs

We reasoned that the single cell RNAseq dataset (Taylor *et al*, 2021) might also be

useful for predicting the intracellular localization of cholinergic LGICs, as presynaptic

receptors would be predicted to be expressed in cholinergic neurons while postsynaptic

receptors should be expressed in neurons receiving cholinergic input. To assess the correlation

between the number of cholinergic synapses a neuron makes ('ACh out'), or receives ('ACh

in'), with the expression level of cholinergic LGICs, we produced two heatmaps representing

either ACh out (Figure 6A), or in (Figure 6B), correlated to transcript level (Figure S5). This

analysis identified some receptors, such as *lgc-49*, that were primarily expressed in neurons

that receive a high number of cholinergic synapses (VD, DD; Figure 6C), consistent with a

postsynaptic receptor. Other channels were primarily expressed in neurons that make many

cholinergic synapses, such as *acc-1* in SMB and SAB (Figure 6D), consistent with presynaptic

localization. Others, such as *lgc-40* showed little correlation to cholinergic in- or out-degree

(Figure 6E), suggesting extrasynaptic localization and function or as for *lgc-46* a similar

correlation between in or out degree (Figure 6F).

To empirically assess the synaptic localization of cholinergic LGICs, we generated

endogenous GFP-tagged CRISPR lines for members of the LGC-57 subgroup, including *lgc-*

39, *lgc-40, lgc-57,* and *lgc-58* and (Figure 7A-D). In all cases GFP was inserted in the

intracellular M3/4 loop and the function of the resulting chimeric protein was verified in

Xenopus oocytes (Figure S6A). We observed a clear difference in the localisation patterns for

these channels. LGC-39::GFP was localised in distinct punctate structures both in the nerve

ring and along the ventral cord, suggestive of synaptic localisation (Figure 7A) and consistent

with the positive correlation between *lgc-39* expression and cholinergic in-degree. In contrast,

LGC-40::GFP appeared to have a diffuse nerve ring and touch receptor neuron GFP signal

(Figure 7B), with cell bodies often being visible, while LGC-57::GFP was found to be very

lowly expressed and little protein expression could be localised (Figure 7C). LGC-58::GFP

showed a clear nerve ring and VC4/5 localisation, including some punctate structures; since

LCG-58 showed a small but significant positive correlation with cholinergic out-decree, this

may indicate presynaptic localization (Figure 7D). Together, these results suggest that LGC-

39 receptors may be postsynaptic, while LGC-40, LGC-57 and LGC-58 may be primarily

extrasynaptic and/or presynyaptic.

Discussion

A Novel Family of Cholinergic LGICs

This study highlights the diversity among cholinergic LGICs in *C*. *elegans*. Nematodes

have previously been shown to contain excitatory LGICs related to nicotinic receptors, as well

as inhibitory acetylcholine-gated chloride channels of the ACC family. Here we describe a new

subfamily of cholinergic LGICs, four of which form homomeric acetylcholine-gated anion

channels. In contrast to the previously described ACC subfamily of acetylcholine-gated anion

channels, three of these newly deorphanised channels (LGC-57, LGC-58 and LGC-40) are

gated preferentially by choline, the metabolite of acetylcholine which is abundant at

cholinergic synapses.

These results add to the already-extensive catalogue of acetylcholine-gated channels in

C. elegans (Yassin *et al*, 2001; Putrenko *et al*, 2005; Takayanagi-Kiya *et al*, 2016), highlighting

the expansion and importance of cholinergic transmission in nematodes. These newly

deorphanised channels display subtle variations in their ability to bind ligands and antagonists,

which translates into physiologically relevant differences that may increase the fine tuning in

the control of neuronal transmission and contribute to complex neuronal signalling within a

relatively minimal neuronal network. Interestingly, the electrophysiologically similar channels

LGC-57, LGC-58, and LGC-40 show largely distinct patterns of expression within the nervous

system of *C. elegans*, suggesting they may form homomeric channels with distinct functions

in vivo. When tagged with a fluorescent protein, these three channels also showed a diffuse

pattern of localisation within the neuron, suggesting that in contrast to ACC group channels

such as LGC-46 (Takayanagi-Kiya *et al*, 2016), they may not be synaptically localised. This

suggests a possible distinct extrasynaptic role for choline acting via these channels in the

modulation of the nervous system.

Our results raise the intriguing possibility that choline itself may function as a

neuromodulator. The idea that choline could activate cholinergic receptors independently from

acetylcholine has been discussed for other cholinergic receptors based on choline's ability to

dose-dependently either block or activate nicotinic channels (Purohit & Grosman, 2006).

However, the importance of choline activation to the *in vivo* functions of these channels has

remained unclear. Here we have identified three cholinergic receptors, LGC-40, LGC-57 and

LGC-58, in which choline acts as a full agonist, showing preference in binding and activation

degree for choline over acetylcholine. Previous reports show that in mammalian

neuromuscular AChRs, aromatic residues in the extracellular domain play a vital part in

stabilising the binding of acetylcholine over the binding to choline (Bruhova & Auerbach,

2017); interestingly, at least some of these aromatic residues are lacking in the choline-gated

receptors identified here. This suggests that LGC-40, LGC-57 and LGC-58 may in fact be

optimized for activation by choline rather than acetylcholine. Thus, it is not unreasonable to

hypothesise that choline could be an authentic endogenous ligand for these LGC-57-group

channels in *vivo*.

A Polymodal LGIC Activated by Both Aminergic and Cholinergic Ligands

In this study we also identified a novel polymodal channel, LGC-39, which is gated not

only by acetylcholine but also by the aminergic ligands tyramine and octopamine. The

expression pattern of *lgc-39* suggests that the channel might be exposed to all these ligands *in*

vivo. For example, *lgc-39* is highly expressed in the AVA premotor neurons, which receive a

many synapses from acetylcholine producing neurons (White, 1986) as well as from the RICs,

the only octopamine producing cells in the nervous system (Alkema & Horvitz, 1999). The

AVA neurons also receive some input from tyraminergic and dopaminergic neurons,

transmitters which we also found can activate LGC-39. Interestingly, in contrast to the choline-

gated channels LGC-40, LGC-57, and LGC-58, we observe clear punctate localisation of LGC-

39 in both the nerve ring and ventral cord, with no fluorescence visible in the cell bodies. This

may suggest a role for LGC-39 channels as postsynaptic receptors for both cholinergic and

aminergic neurotransmission.

The concept of a truly polymodal receptor has not before been investigated in great

detail, though previous observations have described roles for receptors that can use dual

ligands. For example dopamine exhibits a pseudo competitive ability to antagonise $GABA_A$

currents (Hoerbelt *et al*, 2015), while D-serine can function as an allosteric modulator of

NMDA receptor activity (Wolosker & Balu, 2020). Based on their capability to achieve dose-

dependent activation, both amines and acetylcholine appear to be true ligands of LGC-39, most

likely binding the ligand-binding domain. Understanding the mechanisms by which these

multiple neurotransmitters can activate LGC-39 and potentially affect different behavioural

outputs will be of interest in future studies.

Functional Insights into The *C. elegans* Connectome

With increasing molecular and physiological characterisation of neurotransmitter

receptors in *C. elegans*, it is becoming feasible to predict the functionality of synapses more

accurately in the *C. elegans* connectome. In this study we used the expression pattern of newly

and previously deorphanised LGICs for the three classical neurotransmitters, ACh, glutamate

and GABA, to predict the polarity of synapses in the *C. elegans* connectome. By assigning

synapse polarity based on the relative expression levels of anionic and cationic receptors, we

have provisionally predicted the sign of chemical synapses involving classical

neurotransmitters. Although similar attempts have been made in the past to assign polarity to

C. elegans synapses (Fenyves *et al*, 2020), these predictions were based upon incomplete or

incorrect ligand assignment for many LGICs. These revised predictions correlate well with

experimental data for many well-characterised circuits, such as the excitatory connections

between the ASH nociceptors and the AVA interneurons, as well as between the AVAs and

the VA and DA motorneurons (Mellem *et al*, 2002; Piggott *et al*, 2011). Predicted inhibitory

connections between AVB and AVA interneurons also correspond with empirical data on the

locomotor circuit (Kawano *et al*, 2011; Qi *et al*, 2012). Other predictions were counterintuitive;

for example, inhibitory connections were predicted between the AVDs and AVAs, two

interneuron pairs thought to be co-ordinately active during reverse locomotion (Faumont *et al*,

2012). Our predictions thus generate interesting functional hypotheses for future investigation.

A surprising outcome of the expression analysis was the high frequency with which

neurons expressed cationic and anionic receptors for the same neurotransmitter. This was

especially prevalent for acetylcholine; our analysis indicated that 60% of neuron classes

express both inhibitory and excitatory receptors for acetylcholine, 30% for glutamate and 10%

for GABA. One explanation for this apparent paradox is that excitatory and inhibitory receptors

might be differentially localised in neurons, with some found extrasynaptically and others

enriched in at synapses. Various *C. elegans* LGICs are known to act in regions other than the

post-synapse; for example *lgc-35* has been shown to mediate GABA spill-over transmission

(Jobson *et al*, 2015), while *lgc-46* appears be localised to the pre-synapse as an autoreceptor

(Takayanagi-Kiya *et al*, 2016). The choline-sensitive channels from the LGC-57 group

likewise appear to be extrasynaptic in their localization (Figure 7). In addition, postsynaptic

sites might themselves contain a mixture of excitatory and inhibitory receptors, which could

differ in their ligand affinity, desensitization kinetics and regulation. Indeed, LGIC localisation

is not static; for example, glutamatergic AMPA receptors have been shown in many species to

increase their synaptic localisation during learning (Malinow & Malenka, 2002), and recent

evidence indicates that *C. elegans* LGICs also display regulated membrane trafficking upon

learning (Morud *et al*, 2021). Thus, *C. elegans* may contain large numbers of complex

cholinergic synapses with the potential to be excitatory or inhibitory depending on context or

experience.

Materials and Methods

***C. elegans* culture**

Unless otherwise specified, *C. elegans* worms were cultured on NGM agar plates with OP50

(Stiernagle, 2006). A full list of strains used in this study can be found in table S1.

***Xenopus laevis* oocytes**

Defolliculated *Xenopus laevis* oocytes were obtained from EcoCyte Bioscience (Dortmund,

Germany) and in ND96 (in mM: 96 NaCl, 1 $MgCl_2$, 5 HEPES, 1.8 $CaCl_2$, 2 KCl) solution at

16° C for 3-7 days.

Molecular biology

Unless otherwise specified, cDNA sequences of *C. elegans* genes were cloned from wildtype

N2 worm cDNA (generated by reverse transcription PCR from total worm RNA using Q5

polymerase (New England Biosciences)). Where multiple isoforms are present isoform a was

used. LGC-39 cDNA was generated by gene synthesis (ThermoFischer). For expression in

Xenopus oocytes, ion channel cDNA sequences were cloned into the KSM vector downstream

of a T3 promoter and between *Xenopus* β-globin 5' and 3'UTR regions using the HiFi

assembly protocol (New England Biosciences). *C. elegans* expression constructs were also

generated using the HiFi assembly protocol (New England Biosciences) into the

pDESTR4R3II backbone. *C. elegans* gDNA sequences were cloned from wildtype N2 gDNA

and expression verified by the addition of GFP or mKate2 introduced on the same plasmid after

an intercistronic splice site (SL2 site). Unless otherwise specified promoter sequences consist

of approximately 2kb of gDNA upstream of the start codon.

CRISPR/CAS9-mediated gene manipulation

Endogenous tagging of the M3/4 cytosolic loop of *C. elegans* LGIC proteins with GFP was

carried out either using the SapTrap protocol (Schwartz & Jorgensen, 2016; Dickinson *et al*,

2018) for *lgc-39(lj121)*, or by SunyBiotech (Fuzhou, China) for *lgc-57(syb3536)*, *lgc-*

58(syb3562), and *lgc-40(syb3594)*.

RNA synthesis and microinjection

cRNA was synthesised *in vitro* using the T3 mMessage mMachine transcription kit according

to manufacturer's protocol to include a 5' cap (ThermoFischer Scientific). Prior to injection

RNA was purified using the GeneJET RNA purification kit (Thermo Fischer Scientific). Size

sorted and defolliculated *Xenopus* oocytes (Ecocyte) were placed individually into 96-well

plates and injected with 50 nL of 500 ng/μL RNA using the Roboinject system (Multi Channel

Systems GmbH). When two constructs were co-injected the total RNA concentration remained

500 ng/μL, with a 1:1 ratio of the components. Injected oocytes were incubated at 16°C in

ND96 until the day of recording, typically between 3-6 days post injection.

Two-Electrode Voltage Clamp (TEVC) recording and data analysis

Two-electrode voltage clamp recordings were carried out using either the Robocyte2 system

or a manual set up with an OC-725D amplifier (Multi Channel Systems GmbH). Glass

electrodes with a resistance ranging from 0.7-2 MΩ were pulled on a P1000 Micropipette Puller

(Sutter). Electrodes contained AgCl wires and backfilled with a 1.5M KCl and 1 M acetic

mixture. Unless otherwise stated, oocytes were clamped at -60mV. Continuous recordings at

500Hz were taken during application of a panel of agonists or antagonists. Data was recorded

using the RoboCyte2 control software, or with WinWCP for manual recordings, and filtered at

10 Hz.

Dose response protocols used 10s agonist application pulses with 60s of wash in ND96 between

each dose. Data was gathered over at least two occasions, using different batches of oocytes.

Peak current for each dose was normalised to the oocyte maximum current using a custom-

built python script (Morud *et al*, 2021). Normalised data was imported into Graphpad (Prism)

and fitted to either a three or four parameter nonlinear Hill equation to obtain the highest degree

of fit and calculate the EC_{50}. Antagonist dose responses and ion selectivity recordings were

carried out using the EC_{50} concentration of the primary agonist. Antagonist dose response

protocols used 10s agonist + antagonist windows, with 60s of ND96 washes between doses.

The agonist concentrations remained constant. Antagonist IC_{50} values were calculated using a

second custom built python script (Morud *et al*, 2021). Normalised data was imported into

Graphpad (Prism) and fitted to either a three or four parameter nonlinear Hill equation to obtain

the highest degree of fit and calculate the IC_{50}.

Ion selectivity was detected using a voltage ramp protocol from -80mV to +60mV (20mV/s)

in the presence of the primary agonist in three different solutions: ND96, NMDG (Na^+ free)

and Na Gluconate (low Cl^-) solutions. Data was normalised to max current and ΔE_{Rev} was

calculated using a custom-built python script (Morud *et al*, 2021). The resulting individual

values or mean, SD and n for each construct was imported in GraphPad for further plotting and

statistical analysis. Statistically significant differences in ΔE_{Rev} were calculated in GraphPad

using a two-way ANOVA with Tukey's correction for multiple comparisons. A representative

normalised trace for each construct was also generated in Graphpad.

Confocal and Cell ID

Worms were prepared and immobilised with 75 mM NaAzide in M9 and mounted onto 2%

agarose in M9 pads. Image stacks were acquired with a 63x water immersion lens on a Leica

SP8 or STED or using a 40x oil immersion objective on a Zeiss LSM780. Collapsed z-stack

images were generated in Fiji/Image J. Neurons expressing fluorescent reporters were

identified by cell shape, position and crossing with the multicolour reference worm NeuroPAL

(Yemini *et al*, 2020).

Synaptic polarity prediction

Inhibitory and excitatory chemical synapse prediction for ACh, Glu and GABA synapses were

made based upon expression levels of appropriate LGICs in postsynaptic cells. Chemical and

electrical connectome data was obtained from Wormweb (http://wormweb.org/details.html),

LGIC expression data was taken from the Cengen project using threshold level 4 (Taylor *et al*,

2021), ligand and selectivity for each channel was based upon this work, previous work and

predictions, a full list can be found in Table 1. Binary expression of LGICs for each

neurotransmitter in each neuron class were made based upon expression and characterised in

four groups: only excitatory, only inhibitory, both excitatory and inhibitory or none. These

binary values were used to make the binary expression heatmap. Overall polarity of a synapse

was calculated by summing the expression of all inhibitory and all excitatory LGICs for a given

neurotransmitter in each cell class. The sum inhibitory was then taken from the sum of

excitatory expression, resulting in an overall positive or negative signed expression in each

neuron class for each neurotransmitter. The ratio of these sums was also calculated to indicate

the strength of polarity. It was assumed that each LGIC in each neuron class is present equally

in all synapses, therefore each incoming connection could be assigned a polarity based upon

its receptor expression for that neurotransmitter. The resulting network with polarity was

imported into cystoscope (Shannon *et al*, 2003) for plotting and further analysis. Analysis

scripts can be found on GitHub at hiris25/Worm-Connectome-Polarity.

Expression and cholinergic synapse analysis

The total number of cholinergic input or output synapses was calculated for each neuronal class

by summing the number of presynapses for each cell that received a synapse from an ACh-

producing neuron (receiving synapses), or the total number of post-synapses an ACh-producing

neuron class makes (sending synapses). Acetylcholine producing cells were described by

(Pereira *et al*, 2015), the assumption was that all synapses made by an ACh-producing cell also

release ACh, even when this cell co-transmits another neurotransmitter. Synapse number for

each neuron was taken from (White, 1986). Expression data was obtained from (Taylor *et al*,

2020) using a stringent threshold of 4. Neuronal classes were sorted by ACh in or out degree

and the expression of each gene was mapped using a heatmap with an upper threshold of 500.

Correlation between expression level and ACh in, or out, degree was mapped using relplot in

python's seaborn package, confidence intervals were placed at 68%, corresponding to the

standard error of the estimate.

Data analysis

Unless otherwise specified data was imported into GraphPad for further analysis and plotting.

Unless otherwise specified, one-way ANOVA was used to calculate significant differences,

using the Bonferroni (food leaving & dispersal) or Tukey's (food leaving time course,

chemotaxis) correction for multiple comparisons. *= $P<0.05$, **= $P<0.005$, ***=$P<0.001$,

****$P<0.0001$.

Data Availability

Python scripts can be found at on GitHub at hiris25/TEVC-analysis-scripts and hiris25/Worm-

Connectome-Polarity. Aggregated data used for analysing TEVC data are available upon

request from the Lead Contact. Further information and requests for *C. elegans* strains and

plasmids is to be sent to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact William R Schafer,

wschafer@mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk

498 Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge Denise Walker and Lidia Ripoll Sanchez for help with

cloning design, help with generating and maintaining strains, and other past and present

members of the Schafer lab for helpful discussions. We would like to acknowledge the Centre

for Cellular Imaging at the University of Gothenburg, Sweden, and the National Microscopy

Infrastructure, NMI (NMI01125), for providing imaging facilities. This work was supported

by grants from the Medical Research Council (MC-A023-5PB91), the Wellcome Trust

(WT103784MA), National Institute of Health (W.R.S.), the Swedish Research council

(VR2017-00236), Knut and Alice Wallenberg foundation (KAW2019,0293), Bollan stipend,

Lundgrenska stiftelserna and Magnus Bergvalls Stiftelse (J.M.).

509 Author Contributions

510 I.H., J.M. and W.R.S. designed the experiments. I.H., J.M., performed experiments and

511 analysed data. I.H., J.M. and W.R.S. wrote the manuscript and all authors read and critically

512 revised the manuscript to its final form.

514 Conflicts of Interest

515 The authors declare no competing interests.

517 References

Alkema M & Horvitz B (1999) Determining the roles of octopamine and CREB in worm

behavior. *Int C elegans Meet* [PREPRINT]

Bondarenko V, Targowska-Duda KM, Jozwiak K, Tang P & Arias HR (2014) Molecular

interactions between mecamylamine enantiomers and the transmembrane domain of the

human α4β2 nicotinic receptor. *Biochemistry* 53

Brams M, Pandya A, Kuzmin D, van Elk R, Krijnen L, Yakel JL, Tsetlin V, Smit AB &

Ulens C (2011) A structural and mutagenic blueprint for molecular recognition of

strychnine and d-tubocurarine by different Cys-loop receptors. *PLoS Biol* 9

Bruhova I & Auerbach A (2017) Molecular recognition at cholinergic synapses:

acetylcholine versus choline. *J Physiol* 595

Cully DF, Paress PS, Liu KK, Schaeffer JM & Arena JP (1996) Identification of a Drosophila

melanogaster glutamate-gated chloride channel sensitive to the antiparasitic agent

avermectin. *J Biol Chem*

Cully DF, Vassilatis DK, Liu KK, Paress PS, Van Der Ploeg LHT, Schaeffer JM & Arena JP

(1994) Cloning of an avermectin-sensitive glutamate-gated chloride channel from

Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nature*

Dickinson D, Slabodnick M, Chen A & Goldstein B (2018) SapTrap assembly of repair

templates for Cas9-triggered homologous recombination with a self-excising cassette.

microPublication Biol 2018

Faumont S, Lindsay TH & Lockery SR (2012) Neuronal microcircuits for decision making in

C. elegans. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 22 doi:10.1016/j.conb.2012.05.005 [PREPRINT]

Fenyves BG, Szilágyi GS, Vassy Z, Sőti C & Csermely P (2020) Synaptic polarity and sign-

balance prediction using gene expression data in the Caenorhabditis elegans chemical

synapse neuronal connectome network. *PLoS Comput Biol* 16

van Giesen L, Kilian PB, Allard CAH & Bellono NW (2020) Molecular Basis of

Chemotactile Sensation in Octopus. *Cell*

Gisselmann G, Pusch H, Hovemann BT & Hatt H (2002) Two cDNAS coding for histamine-

gated ion channels in D. melanogaster. *Nat Neurosci*

Hobert O (2013) The neuronal genome of Caenorhabditis elegans. *WormBook*

doi:10.1895/wormbook.1.161.1 [PREPRINT]

Hoerbelt P, Lindsley TA & Fleck MW (2015) Dopamine directly modulates GABAA

receptors. *J Neurosci* 35

Jobson MA, Valdez CM, Gardner J, Garcia LR, Jorgensen EM & Beg AA (2015) Spillover

transmission is mediated by the excitatory GABA receptor LGC-35 in C. elegans. *J*

Neurosci

Jones AK & Sattelle DB (2008) The cys-loop ligand-gated ion channel gene superfamily of

the nematode, Caenorhabditis elegans. *Invertebr Neurosci* 8: 41–47

Kawano T, Po MD, Gao S, Leung G, Ryu WS & Zhen M (2011) An imbalancing act: Gap

junctions reduce the backward motor circuit activity to bias C. elegans for forward

locomotion. *Neuron* 72

Kondo M, Nakamura Y, Ishida Y, Yamada T & Shimada S (2014) The 5-HT3A receptor is

essential for fear extinction. *Learn Mem* 21

Liu P, Chen B, Mailler R & Wang ZW (2017) Antidromic-rectifying gap junctions amplify

chemical transmission at functionally mixed electrical-chemical synapses. *Nat Commun*

Lombaert N, Hennes M, Gilissen S, Schevenels G, Aerts L, Vanlaer R, Geenen L, Van

Eeckhaut A, Smolders I, Nys J, *et al* (2018) 5-HTR 2A and 5-HTR 3A but not 5-HTR

1A antagonism impairs the cross-modal reactivation of deprived visual cortex in

adulthood. *Mol Brain* 11

Malinow R & Malenka RC (2002) AMPA receptor trafficking and synaptic plasticity. *Annu*

Rev Neurosci 25: 103–126 doi:10.1146/annurev.neuro.25.112701.142758 [PREPRINT]

Margie O, Palmer C & Chin-Sang I (2013) C. elegans chemotaxis assay. *J Vis Exp*

Mellem JE, Brockie PJ, Zheng Y, Madsen DM & Maricq A V. (2002) Decoding of

polymodal sensory stimuli by postsynaptic glutamate receptors in C. elegans. *Neuron* 36

Morud J, Hardege I, Liu H, Wu T, Choi M-K, Basu S, Zhang Y & Schafer WR (2021)

Deorphanization of novel biogenic amine-gated ion channels identifies a new serotonin

receptor for learning. *Curr Biol*

Pereira L, Kratsios P, Serrano-Saiz E, Sheftel H, Mayo AE, Hall DH, White JG, LeBoeuf B,

Garcia LR, Alon U, *et al* (2015) A cellular and regulatory map of the cholinergic

nervous system of C. Elegans. *Elife* 4

Piggott BJ, Liu J, Feng Z, Wescott SA & Xu XZS (2011) The neural circuits and synaptic

mechanisms underlying motor initiation in C. elegans. *Cell* 147

Pirri JK, McPherson AD, Donnelly JL, Francis MM & Alkema MJ (2009) A Tyramine-Gated

Chloride Channel Coordinates Distinct Motor Programs of a Caenorhabditis elegans

Escape Response. *Neuron* 62

Purohit Y & Grosman C (2006) Block of muscle nicotinic receptors by choline suggests that

the activation and desensitization gates act as distinct molecular entities. *J Gen Physiol*

127

Putrenko I, Zakikhani M & Dent JA (2005) A family of acetylcholine-gated chloride channel

subunits in Caenorhabditis elegans. *J Biol Chem* 280

Qi YB, Garren EJ, Shu X, Tsien RY & Jin Y (2012) Photo-inducible cell ablation in

Caenorhabditis elegans using the genetically encoded singlet oxygen generating protein

miniSOG. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109

Ranganathan R, Cannon SC & Horvitz HR (2000) MOD-1 is a serotonin-gated chloride

channel that modulates locomotory behaviour in C. elegans. *Nature* 408

Ringstad N, Abe N & Horvitz HR (2009) Ligand-gated chloride channels are receptors for

biogenic amines in C. Elegans. *Science (80-)* 325

Schwartz ML & Jorgensen EM (2016) SapTrap, a toolkit for high-throughput CRISPR/Cas9

gene modification in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics* 202

Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, Amin N, Schwikowski B

& Ideker T (2003) Cytoscape: A software Environment for integrated models of

biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res* 13

Stiernagle T (2006) Maintenance of C. elegans. *WormBook* doi:10.1895/wormbook.1.101.1

[PREPRINT]

Takayanagi-Kiya S, Zhou K & Jin Y (2016) Release-dependent feedback inhibition by a

presynaptically localized ligand-gated anion channel. *Elife*

Taylor SR, Santpere G, Weinreb A, Barrett A, Reilly MB, Xu C, Varol E, Oikonomou P,

Glenwinkel L, McWhirter R, *et al* (2020) Molecular topography of an entire nervous

system. *bioRxiv* doi:10.1101/2020.12.15.422897 [PREPRINT]

Taylor SR, Santpere G, Weinreb A, Barrett A, Reilly MB, Xu C, Varol E, Oikonomou P,

Glenwinkel L, McWhirter R, *et al* (2021) Molecular topography of an entire nervous

system. *Cell* 184

White JG. (1986) The structure of the nervous system of the nematode Caenorhabditis

elegans. *Philos Trans R Soc London B, Biol Sci* 314: 1–340

Wolosker H & Balu DT (2020) d-Serine as the gatekeeper of NMDA receptor activity:

implications for the pharmacologic management of anxiety disorders. *Transl Psychiatry*

10 doi:10.1038/s41398-020-00870-x [PREPRINT]

Wotring VE, Miller TS & Weiss DS (2003) Mutations at the GABA receptor selectivity

filter: A possible role for effective charges. *J Physiol* 548

Yassin L, Gillo B, Kahan T, Halevi S, Eshel M & Treinin M (2001) Characterization of the

DEG-3/DES-2 receptor: A nicotinic acetylcholine receptor that mutates to cause

neuronal degeneration. *Mol Cell Neurosci* 17

Yemini E, Lin A, Nejatbakhsh A, Varol E, Sun R, Mena GE, Samuel ADT, Paninski L,

Venkatachalam V & Hobert O (2020) NeuroPAL: A Multicolor Atlas for Whole-Brain

Neuronal Identification in C. elegans. *Cell*

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Deorphanisation of Cholinergic Ligand Gated Ion Channels. (**A**) Continuous

current traces of *Xenopus* oocytes expressing LGC-57, LGC-58a, LGC-40, and LGC-49,

oocytes were perfused for 10s with a panel of ligands each at 1mM: ACh (acetylcholine), Ch

(choline), Bet (betaine), TA (tyramine), DA (dopamine), 5-HT (serotonin), OA (octopamine),

GA (GABA), GLU (glutamate), His (histamine), MA (melatonin). (**B**) Phylogenetic tree of a

subgroup of Cys-loop ligand gated ion channels in *C. elegans*, groups of interest are highlighted

by colour: 'ACC' group of acetylcholine gated channels (red), orphan 'cationic diverse' group

(yellow), orphan LGC-57 group (green). Gene names in bold are deorphanised in this study.

(**C**) Dose response curves for LGC-57, LGC-58a, LGC-40 and LGC-49 in response to their

major ligand(s). Current is normalised by I/Imax for each oocyte, for LGC-49 current is raw

un-normalised current. Error bars represent SEM of 5-14 oocytes. Curves are fitted with a four-

parameter variable slope, inserts show EC_{50} in μM for each ligand.

Figure 2. Ion Selectivity and Antagonistic Characterisation of Cholinergic LGICs. (A)

Representative current voltage plots of newly de-organised channels in ND96, Na gluconate or

NMDG solutions. Current was normalised by subtraction of leak current (in absence of

activating ligand) and to the peak current for each oocyte. (**B**) Box plot of ΔE_{rev} of NMDG and

Na Gluconate vs. ND96 in oocytes expressing LGC-57, LGC-58a, LGC-40, LGC-39, LGC-

46, and LGC-49, E_{rev} was calculated in the presence of the major agonist of each channel and

leak subtracted. N=6-11 oocytes. (**C**) Current ratio of oocytes expressing LGC-57, LGC-58,

LGC-46, LGC-49 or LGC-39 undergoing repeated agonist application with 10s, 30s and 60s

wash intervals. Error bars represent SEM. N=5-9 oocytes per condition. (**D**) Antagonist

application in the presence of ligands using mecamylamine, strychnine, tubocurarine and

picrotoxin. Current is normalised by I/Imax for each oocyte, curves are fitted with a three-

parameter variable slope, error bars represent SEM of 2-7 oocytes, inserts show IC_{50} in μM for

each antagonist.

Figure 3. LGC-39 Forms a Polymodal Ligand-Gated Ion Channel. (**A**) Continuous current

trace of a *Xenopus* oocyte clamped at -60mV expressing LGC-39, perfused during 10s pulses

with a panel of ligands each at 1mM: ACh (acetylcholine), Ch (choline), Bet (betaine), Tyr

(tyramine), DA (dopamine), 5-HT (serotonin), Oct (octopamine), GA (GABA), Glu

(glutamate), His (histamine). (**B**) Dose response curve for LGC-39 in response to ACh, Oct

and Tyr. Current is normalised by I/Imax for each oocyte. Error bars represent SEM of 8-12.

Curves are fit with four-parameter variable slope, inserts show EC_{50} in μM for each ligand. (**C**)

Current-voltage relationship during recordings in NMDG, Na Gluconate or ND96 in oocytes

expressing LGC-39. Insert shows ΔErev vs. ND96 in mV +/- SEM of 5 oocytes. (**D**) Antagonist

dose response curves for LGC-39 expressing oocytes activated by either ACh, Oct or no ligand

at a constant dose and varying doses of mecamylamine, strychnine and tubocurarine. Error bars

represent SEM of 3-7 oocytes. Curves are fit with X, inserts show IC_{50} in μM for each ligand.

(**E**) Three different agonists do not differ in how they influence the ability for LGC-39 to be

stimulated with short time intervals after repeated stimulation.

Figure 4. Newly Deorphanised LGICs are Expressed Broadly in the Nervous System. (A-

E) Fluorescent reporters of intercistonically spliced mKate2 or GFP driven under the promoter

and/or genomic sequence of reveals broad neuronal expression of cholinergic channels with

little overlap. (**F**) Schematic depicting a subset of the synaptic connections received by the *lgc-*

39 expressing neuron class, AVA, numbers in brackets show the total number of synapses for

each connection.

Figure 5. Predicting Synapse Polarity Based on LGIC Expression. (**A**) Bar chart depicting

the percentage of total neuron classes expression inhibitory, excitatory, both or no receptors

for GABA, glutamate (Glu) and acetylcholine (ACh). (**B**) Bar chart depicting the percentage

of total synapses for a given neurotransmitter that are predicted to be inhibitory, excitatory or

have no prediction. (**C**) Network diagram depicting the polarity of synaptic connections

between neuron classes, connection colour shown polarity: teal (inhibitory), pink (excitatory),

grey (no prediction). Gap junctions are represented by dashed lines. Line weight represents

number of synapses and nodes are coloured by the major neurotransmitter a class release.

Diagram made with cytoscape using the EntOpt clustering package. (**D**) Network diagram

depicting the predicted polarity of the locomotion circuit, connection colour shows polarity:

teal (inhibitory), pink (excitatory), grey (no prediction). Inserts show the fold magnitude of

expression of the major receptor type for each neurotransmitter in each neuron class. Gap

junctions are represented by dashed lines. Line weight represents number of synapses and

nodes are coloured by the major neurotransmitter a class release. Diagram made with

cytoscape.

Figure 6. Correlation of cholinergic synapses with expression pattern of cholinergic ion

channels. (**A-B**) Heatmaps showing expression level of newly deorphanised LGICs in each

neuron class. Neurons are sorted by the total number of cholinergic synapses they make (top,

'ACh Out') or receive (bottom, 'ACh In'). (**C-F**) Scatter plots showing correlation between

the total number of cholinergic synapses made (orange) or received (red) by a neuron and

expression of *lgc-49, acc-1, lgc-40* or *lgc-46*.

Figure 7. Protein expression pattern of cholinergic ion channels. (A-D) Localisation of

endogenously GFP tagged LGC-39, LGC-40, LGC-57 and LGC-58. White arrows highlight

areas of interest, represented in higher magnification below.

Expanded View Figure Legends

<u>Supplementary Table 1:</u> **The list shows ligand identity and ion selectivity for LGICs in *C.***

***elegans*. For orphan receptors the ligand has been predicted based on homology, this**

has been noted in the list.

<u>Supplementary Table 2:</u> **List of *C. elegans* strains used in this study**

<u>Supplementary Figure 1:</u> **Negative traces for still orphan LGICs, ion selectivity**

representative curves and channel kinetics.

A. Continuous TEVC traces from oocytes clamped at -60mV expressing LGC-32, LGC-33,

LGC-34, LGC-42, LGC-44, and LGC-48, exposed to 10s of a panel of ligands. B. LGC-47 and

ACC-1 do not form a heteromeric channel in Xenopus oocytes. Left: Acetylcholine induced

dose response curves for oocytes expressing ACC-1 alone or in combination with LGC-47.

Error bars represent SEM of 7-12 oocytes per construct, insert shows EC_{50} values. Right:

Continuous TEVC traces from oocytes clamped at -60mV expressing LGC-47, ACC-1 or a

combination, exposed to 10s of a panel of ligands.

<u>Supplementary Figure 2:</u> **Expression patterns of still orphan LGICs, and *in vitro* data for**

functional GFP tagged LGICs.

A. Expression of fluorescent reporters for still orphan LGCIs. B. Acetylcholine induced dose

response curve, continuous trace, and expression pattern of LGC-46. Error bars represent SEM

of 6 oocytes. Insert shows EC_{50}. Dose response curves for GFP tagged LGICs in response to

their major activating ligands. Error bars represent SEM of 4-5 oocytes per construct, inserts

show EC_{50}. C. Expression of fluorescent reporters for plgc-46 shows a broad neuronal

expression pattern with expression in e.g., AIZ, RIH and AVE.

<u>Supplementary Figure 3</u>: **Binary heatmap of synaptic sign prediction for the three major**

neurotransmitters; ACh, glutamate and GABA. The heatmap shows the summed

expression level of all LGICs in *C. elegans* per neuron and transmitter, a net sum of

excitatory channels is displayed in red, inhibitory in green, equal expression in peach and no

expression of LGICs in white.

<u>Supplementary Figure 4</u>: **Expression heatmap for the three major neurotransmitters**

The heatmap shows the summed expression level, and ion selectivity, for LGICs separated by

transmitter (ACh, glutamate and GABA) and neuron class. Net excitatory channel expression

is represented in pink and inhibitory in green.

<u>Supplementary Figure 5</u>: **Correlation graphs between cholinergic synapses and**

expression of selected LGICs.

Scatter plots showing gene expression level vs total no. cholinergic synapses received (red)

and made (orange). Lines fit using relplot with shaded areas representing the standard error of

the line fit.

<u>Supplementary Figure 6:</u> **GFP tagging of LGICs does not influence channel function**.

Acetylcholine or choline induced dose response curves for oocytes expressing GFP tagged

version of LGC-40, LGC-57 and LGC-58 shows that EC_{50} values are not influenced by the

insertion of the GFP tag. Error bars represent SEM of 7-12 oocytes per construct, insert shows

EC_{50} values.

Figures:

 Figure 1

755   Figure 2

757   Figure 3

759   Figure 4

    Figure 5

764   Figure 6

766   Figure 7

767