# EXOSC10/Rrp6 is essential for the eight-cell embryo/morula transition

Fabrice G. Petit[1,*], Soazik P. Jamin[1], Pierre-Yves Kernanec[1], Emmanuelle Becker[3], Guillaume Halet[2], and Michael Primig[1,*]

[1]Univ Rennes, Inserm, EHESP, Irset (Institut de recherche en santé, environnement et travail) – UMR_S 1085, F-35000 Rennes, France

[2]Univ Rennes, CNRS, IGDR (Institut de Génétique et Développement de Rennes) – UMR 6290, F-35000 Rennes, France.

[3]Univ Rennes, Inria, CNRS, IRISA, F-35000 Rennes, France

*Corresponding authors: fabrice.petit@inserm.fr; michael.primig@inserm.fr

Running title: *Exosc10* controls embryogenesis

Keywords: *Exosc10*, RNA exosome, nucleolus, embryogenesis

## Summary statement

We report genetic evidence suggesting an essential role for the conserved 3'-5' exoribonuclease EXOSC10/Rrp6 during the initial phase of embryogenesis in the mouse.

## Abstract

The mouse 3'-5' exoribonuclease EXOSC10/Rrp6 is required for rRNA processing, gametogenesis, brain development, erythropoiesis and blood cell enhancer function. The human ortholog is essential for mitosis in cancer cells and its enzymatic activity is inhibited by the anti-cancer drug 5-fluorouracil. Little is known, however, about the role of *Exosc10* during embryo development and organogenesis. We generated an *Exosc10* knockout model and find that *Exosc10⁻ᐟ⁻* mice show an embryonic lethal phenotype. We demonstrate that *Exosc10* maternal mRNA is present in mutant oocytes and that the gene is expressed during early embryogenesis. Furthermore, we observe that EXOSC10 localizes to the periphery of nucleolar precursor bodies and nucleoli in blastomeres, which is consistent with the protein's role in rRNA processing. Finally, we infer from genotyping data obtained with samples harvested at embryonic days e7.5, e6.5 and e4.5 and embryos cultured *in vitro* that *Exosc10⁻ᐟ⁻* mutants arrest at the eight-cell embryo/morula transition. Our results demonstrate a novel essential role for *Exosc10* during early embryogenesis, and they are consistent with earlier work showing that impaired ribosome biogenesis causes a developmental arrest at the morula stage.

## Introduction

Cell division requires a tightly orchestrated balance between synthesis, modification and degradation of RNAs. The conserved 3'-5' exoribonuclease EXOSC10/Rrp6 contributes to this process in cooperation with the nuclear RNA exosome (Kilchert et al., 2016), regulatory proteins (Eberle et al., 2015; Wagschal et al., 2012), and perhaps alone *via* its ability to bind RNA (Wasmuth and Lima, 2017). Extensive work using model organisms such as yeast, fly and mouse has revealed numerous EXOSC10/Rrp6 substrates (Davidson et al., 2019; Gudipati et al., 2012; Kuai et al., 2005; Lardenois et al., 2011; Neil et al., 2009; Pefanis et al., 2015; Schneider et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2009), roles in rRNA processing (Briggs et al., 1998; Davidson et al., 2019), DNA double strand break repair (Domingo-Prim et al., 2019; Marin-Vicente et al., 2015), protein network interactors (Meldal et al., 2015), and the three-dimensional structure of the enzyme (Makino et al., 2013; Midtgaard et al., 2006; Wasmuth et al., 2014). Targeted gene inactivation studies revealed important functions for mouse EXOSC10 in gametogenesis, erythropoiesis, B-cell enhancer function and forebrain development (Jamin et al., 2017; Mehta et al., 2021; Pefanis et al., 2015; Ulmke et al., 2021; Wu and Dean, 2020).

Human EXOSC10 is associated with the polymyositis/scleroderma overlap syndrome (Bluthner and Bautz, 1992; Ge et al., 1992; Mahler and Raijmakers, 2007). The protein is also relevant for molecular oncology because it is needed for cancer cell division *in vitro* (Blomen et al., 2015), its exoribonucleolytic activity is inhibited by the anti-cancer drug 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) (Kammler et al., 2008; Silverstein et al., 2011), it is a prognostic marker for liver and thyroid cancer (Uhlen et al., 2017) and it acts as a tumor suppressor in bladder urothelial carcinoma (Wang et al., 2018).

Mouse embryogenesis is initiated when the fertilized egg (zygote) progresses through three rounds of mitotic divisions to form an eight-cell embryo, which undergoes polarization and compaction at embryonic (e) day e2.5; reviewed in (Clift and Schuh, 2013). The embryo then develops into the morula that consists of an inner cell mass surrounded by an outer layer of cells called the trophectoderm. Next, the blastocyst is formed, which contains a cavity (blastocoel) and the inner cells that differentiate into the primitive endoderm and the epiblast, thereby establishing, together with the trophectoderm, the first three cell lineages. These early developmental steps culminate in the implantation of the embryo at e4.5 (Chazaud and Yamanaka, 2016; Zernicka-Goetz et al., 2009). Initial stages of embryo development depend

upon maternal transcripts that are gradually replaced during the maternal-to-zygotic transition (MZT) stage after zygotic genome activation (ZGA) is initiated. During this process, the maternal transcripts are replaced by newly synthesized and processed RNAs encoded by the embryo's genome (Jukam et al., 2017). A recent single-cell RNA-Sequencing study has revealed a stage-related expression program underlying early embryogenesis from the zygote to the morula, which is conserved from rodents to primates (Xue et al., 2013).

To determine the role of *Exosc10* in embryogenesis we generated a mouse gene deletion model and found no *Exosc10$^{-/-}$* mice among offspring from crosses of heterozygous mutant males and females. We find that EXOSC10 localizes to the periphery of nucleolar precursor bodies (NPBs) and nucleoli in early embryonic cells. Critically, we show that homozygous mutant embryos arrest at the eight-cell embryo/morula transition. Collectively, our results demonstrate for the first time that *Exosc10* is essential for progression through early embryogenesis prior to formation of blastocysts.

## Results and Discussion

***Exosc10$^{-/-}$ mice show an embryonic lethal phenotype.*** We generated a knockout line using *Exosc10$^{tm1a(KOMP)Wtsi}$* embryonic stem (ES) cells and the "knockout-first" strategy (Austin et al., 2004; Skarnes et al., 2011; Testa et al., 2004) (see Materials and Methods for more details; Fig. 1A). Next, we crossed *Exosc10$^{+/-}$* male and female mice showing no discernable phenotype to generate offspring. We genotyped 931 newborn mice (including 230 dead pups) and detected 315 wild type mice (33.83%) and 616 heterozygous mice (66.17%) but no homozygous mutants; we note that the *Exosc10$^{+/+}$* and *Exosc10$^{+/-}$* alleles show the expected Mendelian distribution (Fig. 1B, C). This result revealed a novel essential role for *Exosc10* during embryo development.

***Exosc10 is expressed in oocytes and during all stages of early embryogenesis.*** Based on the results of our genetic analysis we set out to determine the early embryonic expression profile of *Exosc10* and the protein's subcellular localisation pattern in oocytes and blastomeres. Ovarian folliculogenesis is an important process that prepares the mouse oocyte for embryonic development. The oocyte accumulates maternal RNAs and proteins that decline rapidly after fertilization and reach a minimum at the two-cell stage where the major wave of ZGA occurs in the mouse; reviewed in (Li et al., 2010; Schulz and Harrison, 2019; Zhang and Smith, 2015). Successive developmental stages mark the beginning of rRNA gene

transcription, the transition from nucleolus precursor bodies (NPB) to mature nucleoli, and

embryo compaction when morulae and blastocysts form before the implantation step (Fig.

2A); for review, see (Kresoja-Rakic and Santoro, 2019). Since pre-implantation embryonic

development involves maternal and embryonic mRNAs/proteins we sought to gain insights

into the expression profile of *Exosc10* during this phase. To this end, we first mated

*Exosc10*$^{+/+}$ female mice at the age of two to six months with vasectomized or fertile

C57BL/6N males to obtain oocytes and embryos, respectively. Next, we analyzed the

expression of *Exosc10* at oocyte and zygote to blastocyst stages by PCR assays using a wild

type allele-specific pair of oligonucleotide primers and found that *Exosc10* mRNA is

expressed at all stages (Fig. 2B and C). To determine if *Exosc10* mRNA present in the oocyte

is of maternal origin we mated *Exosc10*$^{+/-}$ females with vasectomized males, and analysed the

oocytes by PCR genotyping for the mutant allele and by RT-PCR for the wild type mRNA.

The results show that *Exosc10*$^-$ metaphase II (MII) oocytes contain wild type *Exosc10* mRNA

(Fig. 2D, lanes 4 and 5).

***EXOSC10 localizes to the periphery of the nucleolus in early embryonic cells.*** In oocytes

we observed a diffuse cytoplasmic signal for EXOSC10 (Fig. 2E). In zygotes we detected a

diffuse signal in the cytoplasm and the pronuclei (Fig. 2E). From the two-cell stage onward

we found that EXOSC10 accumulates in the nucleus (Fig. 2E). In metaphase and anaphase

cells, where the nuclear envelope disintegrates and condensed chromosomes are clearly

visible, we observe a weak and diffuse cytoplasmic signal (Fig. 3A). However, in newly

formed nuclei containing a nuclear envelope and chromatin the protein is mostly

concentrated in the nucleus (Fig. 3A, three-cell panels). In four-cell embryos EXOSC10 is

also present in foci, where it colocalizes with the nucleolar marker B23 but not

heterochromatin (Fig. 3B, Blastomere 1). Eukaryotic cells contain speckles and paraspeckles,

which are membraneless structures composed of long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), splicing

factors and other RNA binding proteins; reviewed in (Chen and Belmont, 2019; Pisani and

Baron, 2019). We performed a colocalization assay using marker proteins for speckles

(SC35) and paraspeckles (PSF) and found no overlap with the EXOSC10 signal (Fig. 3C and

D). Finally, we observed that EXOSC10 accumulates discontinuously at the nucleolar

periphery (Fig. 3E, blastomeres 1a-f and 4g-j) or completely surrounds the nucleolus in

different blastomeres of a four- or six-cell embryo (Fig. 3E, blastomeres 2k-l, and Fig. 3F).

Our immunofluorescence data reveal that in blastomeres from the two-cell embryo stage

onward EXOSC10 localizes to the periphery of NPBs and nucleoli and to the nucleus, while

the protein appears to diffuse into the cytoplasm in oocytes and metaphase blastomeres that
lack structured nuclei. Strong nucleolar staining is in keeping with the protein's well
established function in ribosomal RNA processing (Briggs et al., 1998; Davidson et al., 2019;
Kent et al., 2009; Kobylecki et al., 2018). A critical role for EXOSC10 during cell growth
and division via controlling protein translation is also supported by work on the anti-cancer
drug 5-FU. This compound inhibits the ribonucleolytic activities of the yeast, fly and human
EXOSC10 orthologs, which provides a straightforward explanation for the drug's
interference with late rRNA processing (notably of 5.8S rRNA), ribosome biogenesis and
translation elongation (Abou Elela and Nazar, 1997; Burger et al., 2010; Fang et al., 2004;
Hoskins and Scott Butler, 2007; Kammler et al., 2008; Silverstein et al., 2011).

***Exosc10$^{-/-}$ embryos arrest at the eight-cell embryo/morula stage.*** Next, we asked at which
stage homozygous mutant mice undergo a developmental arrest *in utero*. We first analyzed
30 embryos from six independent litters at day e7.5 and observed 10 wild type (33.3%), 20
heterozygous (66.7%), and no homozygous mutant embryos (Fig. 4A). Further analysis of 49
embryos from 10 litters at day e6.5 revealed eight wild type (16.3%), 41 heterozygous
(83.7%) and still no homozygous mutant embryos (Fig. 4A). Finally, we genotyped 32
embryos from seven litters at day e4.5 and found 11 wild type (34.4%), 21 heterozygous
(65.6%) but no mutant lacking *Exosc10* (Fig. 4A). These results demonstrate a homozygous
mutant arrest phenotype during early embryogenesis prior to the embryo implantation step.

Given that maternal wild type *Exosc10* mRNA is present in *Exosc10$^-$* oocytes, we assumed
that these embryos are able to reach the two-cell stage before embryonic genome activation
occurs and maternal RNA decays. To determine the point at which homozygous mutants
arrest beyond that stage, we cultured two-cell embryos for five days. We first asked if our *in*
*vitro* culture conditions allowed for embryogenesis to proceed efficiently (Fig. 4B). To this
end, we incubated wild type and heterozygous embryos stemming from crosses between eight
*Exosc10$^{+/+}$* females and *Exosc10$^{+/-}$* males, and found that our culture conditions did not
significantly affect embryo development, since 96% (48/50 embryos) reached the blastocyst
stage, while only 4% (2/50) died at the eight-cell embryo/morula stage (Fig. 4C). Next, we
analysed embryos stemming from crosses between 12 *Exosc10$^{+/-}$* females and *Exosc10$^{+/-}$*
males and found that 33% (36/109) undergo a developmental arrest at the eight-cell
embryo/morula stage (Fig. 4B, C). Bearing in mind that 25% of the embryos from a
heterozygous intercross are expected to be homozygous mutants, and that the vast majority of

wild type and heterozygous embryos develop normally, this result suggests that most arrested

embryos lack functional EXOSC10.

Finally, we sought to further substantiate our findings by genotyping cultured embryos using

wild type and mutant allele-specific primer pairs (Fig. 4D). First, we assayed 37 embryos

from control crosses between $Exosc10^{+/+}$ females and $Exosc10^{+/-}$ males and found one wild

type (100%) but no heterozygous mutant at the eight-cell embryo/morula stage, while 17

(47.2%) homozygous and 19 (52.8%) heterozygous embryos developed into blastocysts (Fig.

4E). In comparison, we genotyped 53 embryos from $Exosc10^{+/-}$ intercrosses, and observed

two wild types (16.7%), four heterozygotes (33.3%) and six homozygotes (50%) among 12

embryos arrested at the eight-cell embryo/morula stage, and 11 wild types (26.8%), 30

heterozygotes (73.2%) and no homozygotes among 41 embryos at the blastocyst stage (Fig.

4E). Collectively, these results suggest that homozygous mutants arrest at the eight-

cell/morula transition prior to formation of the blastocyst.

Given the known roles of EXOSC10, a plausible interpretation of the results reported here is

that the arrest phenotype is due to disrupted nucleolar rRNA processing, which leads to

impaired ribosome biogenesis and translation elongation (Abou Elela and Nazar, 1997;

Davidson et al., 2019; Knight et al., 2016; Kobylecki et al., 2018). We note that UBF - a co-

factor of RNA Polymerase I (POLR1B), which is important for rRNA transcription - shows

the same peripheral NPB localisation pattern as EXOSC10 (Kone et al., 2016) and mutant

embryos lacking functional UBF or POLR1B also arrest at the morula stage (Chen et al.,

2008; Hamdane et al., 2017); for review, see (Kresoja-Rakic and Santoro, 2019). It will be

exciting to learn more about the effects disease-related EXOSC10 alleles might have on cell

division, growth and development; reviewed in (Stuparevic et al., 2021), especially since

interpreting how mutations might alter protein function is greatly facilitated by the novel

AlphaFold protein structure prediction algorithm (Jumper et al., 2021).

## Materials and methods

***Ethics Statement.*** Studies involving animals, including housing and care, method of

euthanasia and experimental protocols were conducted in accordance with the French

regulation for Laboratory Animal Care, issued from the transposition of the European

Directive 2010/63/UE. This Directive stipulates in article 1, alinea 2 that euthanizing

laboratory animals for the sole purpose of investigating their tissues does not require prior

authorization by an ethics committee. Vasectomized mice used in this study were part of a
project approved by the French ministry of research (APAFIS#25237-2020042715505401
v2). The animal facility was licensed by the French Ministry of Agriculture (C35-238-19).
Animals were sacrificed using $CO_2$, according to a method in Annex IV of the directive. All
experiments were supervised by Fabrice G. Petit who is licensed for animal experimentation
by the French Ministry of Agriculture (A92–313).

***Generation* of *Exosc10* knockout (KO) mice.** We generated the *Exosc10* KO mouse strain
using *Exosc10*tm1a(KOMP)Wtsi embryonic stem (ES) cell clones EPD0567_5_B04 and
EPD0567_5_F03, which were provided by the Knockout Mouse Project (KOMP) funded by
the NIH and obtained *via* the KOMP Repository (www.komp.org) funded by the NCRR-NIH
(Jamin et al., 2017). *Exosc10* knockout first, promoter driven mice were generated based on
the "knockout-first" design, where a trapping cassette including the *En2* splice acceptor (En2
SA) and SV40 polyadenylation (pA) sequences is inserted within the second intron of
*Exosc10* such that splicing between the first and second exons and En2 SA creates a
knockout *Exosc10* allele (Skarnes et al., 2011; Testa et al., 2004). ES cell clones were used to
generate chimeric mice at Institut Clinique de la Souris (ICS, www.ics-mci.fr). After
germline transmission, *Exosc10*+/- mice were transferred to our local animal facility.

***Two-cell embryo culture.*** We mated *Exosc10*+/+ or *Exosc10*+/- females with stud *Exosc10*+/-
male mice and recovered two-cell stage (gestational day 2; GD2) embryos by flushing
approximately 0.5 ml of EmbryoMax Advanced KSOM medium (Merck-Sigma, MR-101-D)
into the oviduct. We placed embryos in a 96-well plate containing 100 μl of Advanced
KSOM medium, cultured them in a humidified incubator with 5% $CO_2$ at 37°C and
monitored them daily (2-4PM) from day two (two-cell stage, GD2) to day six. Embryos
where collected and rinsed three times in $H_2O$ before processing them for PCR genotyping.
We documented the process using a CELENA S Digital Imaging System (Logos
Biosystems).

***Unfertilized oocyte and embryo recovery.*** We obtained unfertilized oocytes by mating
*Exosc10*+/+ or *Exosc10*+/- female mice with vasectomized males. Mice were sacrificed the day
a vaginal plug was observed (GD1) or e0.5. Under a stereo dissecting microscope we cut the
ampulla region of the oviduct and collected the cumulus oocyte mass in prewarmed M2
medium (Sigma, France). We removed the cumulus mass from ovulated eggs by incubating
them for 5-10 min in M2 medium supplemented with 1 mg/ml hyaluronidase (Sigma) at
37°C. To recover embryos from zygote to morula stages (GD1 to GD3), we inserted a 30-

gauge needle attached to a 1 ml syringe filled with prewarmed M2 into the oviduct and
flushed the embryos out. To collect blastocysts and post implantation embryos (GD4 and
GD6 to GD8), we inserted a 27-gauge needle attached to a 1 ml syringe filled with M2 into
the uterine horn and flushed the embryos out. Oocytes and embryos were rinsed twice in M2
and three times in $H_2O$ before processing for reverse transcription or PCR.

***Oligonucleotide primers for mouse and embryo genotyping and the detection of mRNA.***
Ef2 – TCT AAG CCT GAC AGC ATG AAT TGA ACC
Er3 – GTG GTG TAG ACT TGT GAT ACT GC
L3f – TGC AAG GAG AAA CCC CAG TGT TAC G
Lxr – TTA TCA TTA ATT GCG TTG CGC CAT C
Ef – GAT GGA GCG AGC AAG CTT CTG
Kr – CCA ACA GCT TCC CCA CAA CGG
325as – CTG CGA CAG CCA TGG TAC T
390s – AAT GAC GTG ATA TTG GAG AGA GTG
503as – GGA TAC TAT TGT TTT GGG GAC CT
Neo-s – GCC CTG AAT GAA CTG CAG G
Neo-as – CAC GGG TAG CCA ACG CTA T
GAPDH-s – TGG ATC TGA CAT GCC GCC TG
GAPDH-as – ATG TAG GCC ATG AGG TCC AC

***Mouse genotyping.*** We digested tail tips collected at weaning and from sacrificed animals in
600 µl of 50 mM NaOH at 95°C for 60 min and before adding 50 µl of 1 M Tris-HCl pH 8.0
and centrifuging samples as 13,400 x g for 5 min. Then we added 1 µl of supernatant to a
final volume of 15 µl containing 1x CoralLoad PCR buffer, 0.1 mM dNTP (Invitrogen,
France), 0.1 mM mixed primers (Ef2/Er3/L3f/Lxr, 1:1:1:1, Eurogentec, France), 1x Q
solution and 0.017 units of HotStar Taq Plus DNA polymerase (Qiagen, France). PCR was at
95°C for 5 min, 35 cycles at 94°C for 1 min, 58°C for 1 min, 72°C for 1 min and 72°C for 10
min.

***Embryo genotyping.*** We collected embryos at e4.5, e6.5 and e7.5 and digested them in 6 µl
of 50 mM NaOH at 95°C for 10 min before adding 0.5 µl of 1 M Tris-HCl pH 8.0. For
genotyping, we set the parameter at 40 cycles. For cultured embryos, we lysed samples in 8
µl of lysis buffer PBND containing 0.1 mg/ml Proteinase K (Roche) (Scavizzi et al., 2015)
and incubated them at 56°C for 30 min before we inactivated Proteinase K at 95°C for 10

min. PCR was set at 45 cycles using Ef/325as for wild type and Neo-s/Neo-as for mutant alleles.

***Reverse transcription and PCR analysis.*** We isolated total RNA from oocytes to blastocysts using the Arcturus PicoPure kit (Applied Biosystems). We extracted RNA in 50 µl of Extraction buffer, eluted total RNA in 10 µl of Elution buffer and reverse transcribed RNA in 20 µl using the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad). We incubated the samples for 5 min at 25°C, 20 min at 46°C and 1 min at 95°C. PCR was performed using 2 µl of RT sample following the mouse genotyping protocol for 42 cycles. We used 390s/503as primers for wild type *Exosc10* mRNA and GAPDH-s/GAPDH-as primers as a control.

***Detection of the maternal Exosc10 mRNA.*** We obtained unfertilized MII oocytes from *Exosc10*$^{+/-}$ female mice and rinsed them in $H_2O$ before transferring them into a PCR tube in a variable volume of 1-5 µl $H_2O$. We processed samples in a thermal cycler during three cycles at 65°C for 5 min and 4°C for 1 min. Subsequently, the RNA was reverse transcribed (RT) using the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad) in a final volume of 10 µl. Therefore, the resulting samples contain both genomic DNA and cDNA. We assayed the KO allele and the wt mRNA using Ef/Kr and 390s/503as primer sets, respectively. We performed PCRs at 45 cycles using 10 µl of RT sample in a final volume of 20 µl.

***Immunofluorescence (IF) staining.*** We collected unfertilized oocytes and embryos in M2 medium and fixed them in 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences) 1x PBS for 30 min at room temperature. We permeabilized the samples in 5% BSA-0.5% Triton X-100 1x PBS for 30 min at room temperature before rinsing them in blocking buffer (5% BSA in 1x PBS). The first antibody diluted in blocking buffer (1:200) was incubated at 4°C overnight. A few samples were incubated in blocking buffer only ("no primary antibody") as a negative control. The next day, we washed the samples three times in 1x PBS before adding the secondary antibody (1:500 in blocking buffer) for 60 min at room temperature. We washed the samples three times in 1x PBS and kept them in a 1% BSA 1x PBS solution until mounting. Antibodies used in this study were against EXOSC10 (Abcam, ab50558), B23 (Sigma, B0556), PSF (Sigma, P2860) and SC-35 (Sigma, S4045). Secondary antibodies were Alexa Fluor 488 (Life Technologies, chicken anti-rabbit antibody A-21441) and Alexa Fluor 555 (Life Technologies, donkey anti-mouse antibody A-31570).

***Confocal imaging, image processing and analysis.*** We kept unfertilized oocytes and embryos (zygotes to blastocysts) in 1% BSA 1x PBS after immunofluorescence staining

before we placed them on glass-bottom dishes (MatTek Life Sciences, Ashland, MA, USA)
for inspection with a Leica SP5 confocal microscope equipped with a Plan-Apo 63x/1.4 HC
oil immersion objective and lasers with excitation lines at 488 nm, 561 nm and 633 nm. We
used To-Pro-3 to stain DNA (far red-fluorescent stain, Invitrogen). We acquired image stacks
at 1 µm intervals and processed them using the Application Suite Advanced Fluorescence
software (Leica).

## Acknowledgments

306 We thank the Knockout Mouse Project (KOMP), the Institut Clinique de la Souris (ICS) and
307 the UMS 3480 – US 018 Biosit Facility Ibisa Microscopy Rennes Imaging Center (MRic-
308 Photonics) for providing excellent services.

## Competing interests

311 The authors declare no competing interests.

## Funding

314 This project was funded by research grants from La Ligue Contre le Cancer committees
315 CD29 and CD35 awarded to M. Primig. Additional support was provided by Inserm, EHESP
316 and the University of Rennes 1.

  **Figure legends**

  **Figure 1. Gene deletion strategy and embryonic lethal phenotype.** (A) A schematic shows
*Exosc10* wild type (wt) and mutant (KO) alleles. Critical exons are numbered. The knockout-first allele generated by KOMP allows the inactivation of *Exosc10 via* splicing between exon 1-2 and the mouse En2 splice acceptor (En2 SA). Annotated black arrows symbolize PCR primers producing positive amplicons for genotyping and expression assays. (B) A representative genotyping PCR assay is given. Lane 1 contains markers (M) and lanes 2-4 contain samples from wild type (+/+) and heterozygous (+/-) or homozygous mutants (-/-). The PCR amplicon is 405 bp for wt and 191 bp for KO. (C) A chart summarizes the genotypes observed for newborns from heterozygous intercrosses. Percentages are shown and the numbers of mice analysed are given in parentheses.

**Figure 2. EXOSC10 expression and localisation during early embryogenesis.** (A) A schematic shows critical phases during folliculogenesis and embryogenesis. Important steps are indicated by blue arrowheads. The timing and levels of maternal and embryonic gene expression patterns, formation of the nucleolus and ribosomal RNA expression are shown. (B) A schematic of the first four *Exosc10* exons locates PCR primers used to assay mRNA expression. The output of PCR expression assays with or without the reverse transcription step (-/+RT) is shown. Lane 3 contains the markers (M). An arrow marks the diagnostic bands for which the size is given in bases. (C) The output of PCR expression assays is shown. Lanes 1 and 2 contain a positive control (RT) and markers (M). Lanes 3-14 contain duplicate samples from an unfertilized egg (Oocyte), a fertilized egg (Zygote), two- and eight-cell embryos (2-cell, 8-cell), morula and blastocyst (Blast). GAPDH was used as a control. (D) The output of PCR expression assays is shown. Lanes 1-4 contain controls for RNA (Testicular RNA, RT+/+), genomic DNA (gDNA+/-), RT/gDNA and markers (M). The remaining lanes contain six samples from female gametes as shown. Diagnostic bands for wild type (wt) and deletion (KO) alleles are indicated. (E) Confocal images of an oocyte, a zygote and early embryos are given. The top row shows brightfield images, the middle and bottom rows show immunofluorescence images of cells assayed for the target protein (EXOSC10) in green and DNA (To-Pro3) in blue, respectively. The zygote's pronuclei (PN) are indicated. An insert in the image showing the zygote delineates the localization of the second PN. Scale bars (including the insert) are 20 μm.

**Figure 3. EXOSC10 and subcellular marker protein localisation in the early embryo.** (A) Confocal images of two- and three-cell embryos are shown to reveal the morphology (top, light), DNA (To-Pro3), the target protein (EXOSC10) and a nucleolar marker (B23) as indicated. Scale bars are 20 µm. (B) Confocal images of four-cell embryos emb1 and emb2 are shown. White arrowheads mark EXOSC10-positive foci. A white square marks an enlarged region of the blastomere shown to the right. Overlapping images are shown for protein/protein and protein/DNA staining (merge). Color-coded arrowheads mark protein and DNA signals in green (EXOSC10), red (B23) and blue (DNA; To-Pro3). Scale bars are 20 µm for emb1/2 and 10 µm for blastomere 1. (C) Images of co-staining patterns for the target protein (EXOSC10) and a nuclear speckle marker protein (SC35) are shown. Scale bar is 10 µm. (D) Images of co-staining patterns for the target protein (EXOSC10) and a nucleolar cap marker protein (PSF) are shown. Scale bar is 10 µm. (E) Images of co-staining patterns for the target protein (EXOSC10) and a nucleolar marker protein (B23) in a four-cell embryo (top left) are shown. Schematics show the positions of confocal sections within individual blastomeres as indicated. Scale bars are 20 µm and 5 µm (1a-f, 4g-j, 2k-l). (F) Confocal images of a six-cell embryo stained with EXOSC10 and B23 are shown. Scale bars are 20 µm and 10 µm (zoomed image area).

**Figure 4. Homozygous mutant developmental arrest phenotype during *in utero* and *in vitro* embryogenesis.** (A) A table summarizes the genotypes of embryos collected at e7.5, e6.5 and e4.5 (columns 1 and 2). The numbers of litters (column 3), embryos (column 4) and observed genotypes (columns 5-7) are shown. The data for wild type mice and hetero/homozygous mutants are color-coded for clarity. Numbers of embryos assayed are indicated and percentages are given in parentheses in columns 5 and 6. Scale bars are 100 µm. (B) Representative brightfield images of wild type (+/+), heterozygous (+/-) and homozygous mutant embryos (-/- #1, #2) cultured *in vitro* in Advanced KSOM medium are shown. The initial mating time point (Day 1, Plug) and five additional daily time points (Day2-Day6) are shown at the top. Successive developmental stages are indicated. Black asterisks mark degenerated mutant embryos. Scale bar is 50 µm. (C) A table summarizes the data for embryonic arrest phenotypes determined using offspring from control crosses of heterozygous males and wild type homozygous females (row 1) and experimental intercrosses of heterozygous mice (row 2). Total numbers of females producing litters (n♀) and cultured embryos (n emb), and arrested embryos at the 2- and 4-cell stages (2-c…4-c), 8-

cell and morula stages (8-c…M) and early/hatching blastocyst stages (eB…hB) are shown.

Percentages are given in parentheses. (D) A schematic shows the location and annotation of

PCR primers used to assay wild type (wt) and mutant (KO) *Exosc10* alleles. (E) The output

of PCR genotyping assays is shown in the panel to the left. Lanes 1-3 show diagnostic bands

obtained with adult control samples as indicated at the top (tail DNA). Lane 4 contains

markers (M). Lanes 5-9 show bands obtained with embryo samples showing wild type (+/+),

heterozygous (+/-) or homozygous (-/-) genotypes. PCR-positive amplicons are 573 bp for

wild type (wt) and 514 bp for mutant (KO) alleles. The table to the right summarizes the

genotypes of embryos showing arrest phenotypes at different stages of embryogenesis

illustrated in panel B. Numbers of embryos are shown and percentages of the total numbers at

different stages are given in parentheses.

## References

**Abou Elela, S. and Nazar, R. N.** (1997). Role of the 5.8S rRNA in ribosome translocation.
*Nucleic Acids Res.* **25**, 1788-1794.

**Austin, C. P., Battey, J. F., Bradley, A., Bucan, M., Capecchi, M., Collins, F. S., Dove,**
**W. F., Duyk, G., Dymecki, S., Eppig, J. T., et al.** (2004). The knockout mouse project. *Nat.*
*Genet.* **36**, 921-924.

**Blomen, V. A., Majek, P., Jae, L. T., Bigenzahn, J. W., Nieuwenhuis, J., Staring, J.,**
**Sacco, R., van Diemen, F. R., Olk, N., Stukalov, A., et al.** (2015). Gene essentiality and
synthetic lethality in haploid human cells. *Science* **350**, 1092-1096.

**Bluthner, M. and Bautz, F. A.** (1992). Cloning and characterization of the cDNA coding for
a polymyositis-scleroderma overlap syndrome-related nucleolar 100-kD protein. *J. Exp. Med.*
**176**, 973-980.

**Briggs, M. W., Burkard, K. T. and Butler, J. S.** (1998). Rrp6p, the yeast homologue of the
human PM-Scl 100-kDa autoantigen, is essential for efficient 5.8 S rRNA 3' end formation. *J.*
*Biol. Chem.* **273**, 13255-13263.

**Burger, K., Muhl, B., Harasim, T., Rohrmoser, M., Malamoussi, A., Orban, M.,**
**Kellner, M., Gruber-Eber, A., Kremmer, E., Holzel, M., et al.** (2010). Chemotherapeutic
drugs inhibit ribosome biogenesis at various levels. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285**, 12416-12425.

**Chazaud, C. and Yamanaka, Y.** (2016). Lineage specification in the mouse preimplantation
embryo. *Development* **143**, 1063-1074.

**Chen, H., Li, Z., Haruna, K., Li, Z., Li, Z., Semba, K., Araki, M., Yamamura, K. and**
**Araki, K.** (2008). Early pre-implantation lethality in mice carrying truncated mutation in the
RNA polymerase 1-2 gene. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **365**, 636-642.

**Chen, Y. and Belmont, A. S.** (2019). Genome organization around nuclear speckles. *Curr.*
*Opin. Genet. Dev.* **55**, 91-99.

**Clift, D. and Schuh, M.** (2013). Restarting life: fertilization and the transition from meiosis
to mitosis. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **14**, 549-562.

**Davidson, L., Francis, L., Cordiner, R. A., Eaton, J. D., Estell, C., Macias, S., Caceres, J.**
**F. and West, S.** (2019). Rapid Depletion of DIS3, EXOSC10, or XRN2 Reveals the

Immediate Impact of Exoribonucleolysis on Nuclear RNA Metabolism and Transcriptional Control. *Cell Rep.* **26**, 2779-2791 e2775.

**Domingo-Prim, J., Endara-Coll, M., Bonath, F., Jimeno, S., Prados-Carvajal, R., Friedlander, M. R., Huertas, P. and Visa, N.** (2019). EXOSC10 is required for RPA assembly and controlled DNA end resection at DNA double-strand breaks. *Nat Commun* **10**, 2135.

**Eberle, A. B., Jordan-Pla, A., Ganez-Zapater, A., Hessle, V., Silberberg, G., von Euler, A., Silverstein, R. A. and Visa, N.** (2015). An Interaction between RRP6 and SU(VAR)3-9 Targets RRP6 to Heterochromatin and Contributes to Heterochromatin Maintenance in Drosophila melanogaster. *PLoS Genet.* **11**, e1005523.

**Fang, F., Hoskins, J. and Butler, J. S.** (2004). 5-fluorouracil enhances exosome-dependent accumulation of polyadenylated rRNAs. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **24**, 10766-10776.

**Ge, Q., Frank, M. B., O'Brien, C. and Targoff, I. N.** (1992). Cloning of a complementary DNA coding for the 100-kD antigenic protein of the PM-Scl autoantigen. *J. Clin. Invest.* **90**, 559-570.

**Gudipati, R. K., Xu, Z., Lebreton, A., Seraphin, B., Steinmetz, L. M., Jacquier, A. and Libri, D.** (2012). Extensive degradation of RNA precursors by the exosome in wild-type cells. *Mol. Cell* **48**, 409-421.

**Hamdane, N., Tremblay, M. G., Dillinger, S., Stefanovsky, V. Y., Nemeth, A. and Moss, T.** (2017). Disruption of the UBF gene induces aberrant somatic nucleolar bodies and disrupts embryo nucleolar precursor bodies. *Gene* **612**, 5-11.

**Hoskins, J. and Scott Butler, J.** (2007). Evidence for distinct DNA- and RNA-based mechanisms of 5-fluorouracil cytotoxicity in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Yeast* **24**, 861-870.

**Jamin, S. P., Petit, F. G., Kervarrec, C., Smagulova, F., Illner, D., Scherthan, H. and Primig, M.** (2017). EXOSC10/Rrp6 is post-translationally regulated in male germ cells and controls the onset of spermatogenesis. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 15065.

**Jukam, D., Shariati, S. A. M. and Skotheim, J. M.** (2017). Zygotic Genome Activation in Vertebrates. *Dev. Cell* **42**, 316-332.

**Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., Tunyasuvunakool, K., Bates, R., Zidek, A., Potapenko, A., et al.** (2021). Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**, 583-589.

**Kammler, S., Lykke-Andersen, S. and Jensen, T. H.** (2008). The RNA exosome component hRrp6 is a target for 5-fluorouracil in human cells. *Mol. Cancer Res.* **6**, 990-995.

**Kent, T., Lapik, Y. R. and Pestov, D. G.** (2009). The 5' external transcribed spacer in mouse ribosomal RNA contains two cleavage sites. *RNA* **15**, 14-20.

**Kilchert, C., Wittmann, S. and Vasiljeva, L.** (2016). The regulation and functions of the nuclear RNA exosome complex. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **17**, 227-239.

**Knight, J. R., Bastide, A., Peretti, D., Roobol, A., Roobol, J., Mallucci, G. R., Smales, C. M. and Willis, A. E.** (2016). Cooling-induced SUMOylation of EXOSC10 down-regulates ribosome biogenesis. *RNA* **22**, 623-635.

**Kobylecki, K., Drazkowska, K., Kulinski, T. M., Dziembowski, A. and Tomecki, R.** (2018). Elimination of 01/A'-A0 pre-rRNA processing by-product in human cells involves cooperative action of two nuclear exosome-associated nucleases: RRP6 and DIS3. *RNA* **24**, 1677-1692.

**Kone, M. C., Fleurot, R., Chebrout, M., Debey, P., Beaujean, N. and Bonnet-Garnier, A.** (2016). Three-Dimensional Distribution of UBF and Nopp140 in Relationship to Ribosomal DNA Transcription During Mouse Preimplantation Development. *Biol. Reprod.* **94**, 95.

**Kresoja-Rakic, J. and Santoro, R.** (2019). Nucleolus and rRNA Gene Chromatin in Early Embryo Development. *Trends Genet.* **35**, 868-879.

**Kuai, L., Das, B. and Sherman, F.** (2005). A nuclear degradation pathway controls the abundance of normal mRNAs in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **102**, 13962-13967.

**Lardenois, A., Liu, Y., Walther, T., Chalmel, F., Evrard, B., Granovskaia, M., Chu, A., Davis, R. W., Steinmetz, L. M. and Primig, M.** (2011). Execution of the meiotic noncoding RNA expression program and the onset of gametogenesis in yeast require the conserved exosome subunit Rrp6. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **108**, 1058-1063.

**Li, L., Zheng, P. and Dean, J.** (2010). Maternal control of early mouse development. *Development* **137**, 859-870.

**Mahler, M. and Raijmakers, R.** (2007). Novel aspects of autoantibodies to the PM/Scl complex: clinical, genetic and diagnostic insights. *Autoimmun. Rev.* **6**, 432-437.

**Makino, D. L., Baumgartner, M. and Conti, E.** (2013). Crystal structure of an RNA-bound 11-subunit eukaryotic exosome complex. *Nature* **495**, 70-75.

**Marin-Vicente, C., Domingo-Prim, J., Eberle, A. B. and Visa, N.** (2015). RRP6/EXOSC10 is required for the repair of DNA double-strand breaks by homologous recombination. *J. Cell Sci.* **128**, 1097-1107.

**Mehta, C., Fraga de Andrade, I., Matson, D. R., Dewey, C. N. and Bresnick, E. H.** (2021). RNA-regulatory exosome complex confers cellular survival to promote erythropoiesis. *Nucleic Acids Res.*

**Meldal, B. H., Forner-Martinez, O., Costanzo, M. C., Dana, J., Demeter, J., Dumousseau, M., Dwight, S. S., Gaulton, A., Licata, L., Melidoni, A. N., et al.** (2015). The complex portal--an encyclopaedia of macromolecular complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **43**, D479-484.

**Midtgaard, S. F., Assenholt, J., Jonstrup, A. T., Van, L. B., Jensen, T. H. and Brodersen, D. E.** (2006). Structure of the nuclear exosome component Rrp6p reveals an interplay between the active site and the HRDC domain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **103**, 11898-11903.

**Neil, H., Malabat, C., d'Aubenton-Carafa, Y., Xu, Z., Steinmetz, L. M. and Jacquier, A.** (2009). Widespread bidirectional promoters are the major source of cryptic transcripts in yeast. *Nature* **457**, 1038-1042.

**Pefanis, E., Wang, J., Rothschild, G., Lim, J., Kazadi, D., Sun, J., Federation, A., Chao, J., Elliott, O., Liu, Z. P., et al.** (2015). RNA exosome-regulated long non-coding RNA transcription controls super-enhancer activity. *Cell* **161**, 774-789.

**Pisani, G. and Baron, B.** (2019). Nuclear paraspeckles function in mediating gene regulatory and apoptotic pathways. *Noncoding RNA Res* **4**, 128-134.

**Scavizzi, F., Ryder, E., Newman, S., Raspa, M., Gleeson, D., Wardle-Jones, H., Montoliu, L., Fernandez, A., Dessain, M. L., Larrigaldie, V., et al.** (2015). Blastocyst genotyping for quality control of mouse mutant archives: an ethical and economical approach. *Transgenic Res.* **24**, 921-927.

**Schneider, C., Kudla, G., Wlotzka, W., Tuck, A. and Tollervey, D.** (2012). Transcriptome-wide analysis of exosome targets. *Mol. Cell* **48**, 422-433.

**Schulz, K. N. and Harrison, M. M.** (2019). Mechanisms regulating zygotic genome activation. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **20**, 221-234.

**Silverstein, R. A., Gonzalez de Valdivia, E. and Visa, N.** (2011). The incorporation of 5-fluorouracil into RNA affects the ribonucleolytic activity of the exosome subunit Rrp6. *Mol. Cancer Res.* **9**, 332-340.

**Skarnes, W. C., Rosen, B., West, A. P., Koutsourakis, M., Bushell, W., Iyer, V., Mujica, A. O., Thomas, M., Harrow, J., Cox, T., et al.** (2011). A conditional knockout resource for the genome-wide study of mouse gene function. *Nature* **474**, 337-342.

**Stuparevic, I., Novacic, A., Rahmouni, A. R., Fernandez, A., Lamb, N. and Primig, M.**
(2021). Regulation of the conserved 3'-5' exoribonuclease EXOSC10/Rrp6 during cell
division, development and cancer. *Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc.*
**Testa, G., Schaft, J., van der Hoeven, F., Glaser, S., Anastassiadis, K., Zhang, Y.,**
**Hermann, T., Stremmel, W. and Stewart, A. F.** (2004). A reliable lacZ expression reporter
cassette for multipurpose, knockout-first alleles. *Genesis* **38**, 151-158.
**Uhlen, M., Zhang, C., Lee, S., Sjostedt, E., Fagerberg, L., Bidkhori, G., Benfeitas, R.,**
**Arif, M., Liu, Z., Edfors, F., et al.** (2017). A pathology atlas of the human cancer
transcriptome. *Science* **357**.
**Ulmke, P. A., Xie, Y., Sokpor, G., Pham, L., Shomroni, O., Berulava, T., Rosenbusch, J.,**
**Basu, U., Fischer, A., Nguyen, H. P., et al.** (2021). Post-transcriptional regulation by the
exosome complex is required for cell survival and forebrain development via repression of
P53 signaling. *Development* **148**.
**Wagschal, A., Rousset, E., Basavarajaiah, P., Contreras, X., Harwig, A., Laurent-**
**Chabalier, S., Nakamura, M., Chen, X., Zhang, K., Meziane, O., et al.** (2012).
Microprocessor, Setx, Xrn2, and Rrp6 co-operate to induce premature termination of
transcription by RNAPII. *Cell* **150**, 1147-1157.
**Wang, Z. L., Li, B., Luo, Y. X., Lin, Q., Liu, S. R., Zhang, X. Q., Zhou, H., Yang, J. H.**
**and Qu, L. H.** (2018). Comprehensive Genomic Characterization of RNA-Binding Proteins
across Human Cancers. *Cell reports* **22**, 286-298.
**Wasmuth, E. V., Januszyk, K. and Lima, C. D.** (2014). Structure of an Rrp6-RNA
exosome complex bound to poly(A) RNA. *Nature* **511**, 435-439.
**Wasmuth, E. V. and Lima, C. D.** (2017). The Rrp6 C-terminal domain binds RNA and
activates the nuclear RNA exosome. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **45**, 846-860.
**Wu, D. and Dean, J.** (2020). EXOSC10 sculpts the transcriptome during the growth-to-
maturation transition in mouse oocytes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **48**, 5349-5365.
**Xu, Z., Wei, W., Gagneur, J., Perocchi, F., Clauder-Munster, S., Camblong, J.,**
**Guffanti, E., Stutz, F., Huber, W. and Steinmetz, L. M.** (2009). Bidirectional promoters
generate pervasive transcription in yeast. *Nature* **457**, 1033-1037.
**Xue, Z., Huang, K., Cai, C., Cai, L., Jiang, C. Y., Feng, Y., Liu, Z., Zeng, Q., Cheng, L.,**
**Sun, Y. E., et al.** (2013). Genetic programs in human and mouse early embryos revealed by
single-cell RNA sequencing. *Nature* **500**, 593-597.
**Zernicka-Goetz, M., Morris, S. A. and Bruce, A. W.** (2009). Making a firm decision:
multifaceted regulation of cell fate in the early mouse embryo. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **10**, 467-477.
**Zhang, K. and Smith, G. W.** (2015). Maternal control of early embryogenesis in mammals.
*Reprod. Fertil. Dev.* **27**, 880-896.
558

**A**

1    3                                        25

*Exosc10* wt

Ef2 ◄─ ►Er3

*Exosc10* KO

1 2   FRT   En2 SA   IRES   lacZ   pA   loxP   hBactP   neo   pA   FRT   loxP   3   loxP   4

Ef ◄─ ►Kr                                                    L3f ◄─ ►Lxr

**B**    M   +/-   +/+   -/-

← 405
← 191

**C**    ♀ *Exosc10*⁺/⁻  X  ♂ *Exosc10*⁺/⁻

───────────────────────────────

**(931 pups including 230 dead newborns)**

↓

*Exosc10*⁺/⁺        *Exosc10*⁺/⁻        *Exosc10*⁻/⁻

33.83 % (315)      66.17 % (616)        0 % (0)

**A**

| | # litters | n | +/+ | +/- | -/- |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| e7.5 | 6 | 30 | 10 (33.3) | 20 (66.7) | 0.0 |
| e6.5 | 10 | 49 | 8 (16.3) | 41 (83.7) | 0.0 |
| e4.5 | 7 | 32 | 11 (34.4) | 21 (65.6) | 0.0 |

**B**

Plug — Day 1 | Advanced KSOM →

| | Day 2 | Day 3 | Day 4 | Day 5 | Day 6 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| +/+ | 2-cell | 8-cell | Mid Blastocyst | Blastocyst | * |
| +/- | 2-cell | 8-cell | Blastocyst | Blastocyst | hatching Blast. |
| -/- #1 | 2-cell | 8-cell | Morula | * | * |
| -/- #2 | 2-cell | 8-cell | Morula | * | * |

**C**

| | n ♀ | n emb. | 2-c...4-c | 8-c...M | eB...hB |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ♂ +/- X ♀ +/+ | 8 | 50 | 0 (0.0) | 2 (4.0) | 48 (96.0) |
| ♂ +/- X ♀ +/- | 12 | 109 | 1 (0.9) | 36 (33.0) | 72 (66.1) |

**D**

*Exosc10* wt

Ef → ← 325as

*Exosc10* KO

FRT | En2 SA | IRES | lacZ | pA | loxP | hBactP | neo | pA | FRT | loxP | loxP

Neo-s → ← Neo-as

**E**

Tail DNA | Embryos

H2O +/+ +/-  M  -/- -/- +/+ +/-

wt — 573 bp

KO — 514 bp

| | | 8-c...M | eB...hB |
|---|---|---|---|
| ♂ +/- X ♀ +/+ | +/+ | 1 (100.0) | 17 (47.2) |
| | +/- | 0 (0.0) | 19 (52.8) |
| ♂ +/- X ♀ +/- | +/+ | 2 (16.7) | 11 (26.8) |
| | +/- | 4 (33.3) | 30 (73.2) |
| | -/- | 6 (50.0) | 0 (0.0) |