Identification of sex chromosomes using genomic and cytogenetic methods in a range-expanding spider, *Argiope bruennichi* (Araneae: Araneidae)

Running title: Sex chromosomes in *Argiope bruennichi*

Monica M. Sheffer[1][*][‡], Mathilde Cordellier[2], Martin Forman[3], Malte Grewoldt[2][#], Katharina Hoffmann[2], Corinna Jensen[4,5], Matěj Kotz[3], Jiří Král[3], Andreas W. Kuss[4,5], Eva Líznarová[3,6], Gabriele Uhl[1]

[1]Zoological Institute and Museum, University of Greifswald, 17489 Greifswald, Germany

[2]Institute of Animal Cell and Systems Biology, University of Hamburg, 20146 Hamburg, Germany

[3]Department of Genetics and Microbiology, Charles University, Viničná 5, 128 44 Prague 2, Czech Republic

[4]Center for Functional Genomics of Microbes, University of Greifswald, 17489 Greifswald, Germany

[5]Interfaculty Institute for Genetics and Functional Genomics, University of Greifswald, 17489 Greifswald, Germany

[6]Department of Botany and Zoology, Masaryk University, 611 37 Brno, Czech Republic

*Correspondence address: Monica M. Sheffer, Zoological Institute and Museum, University of Greifswald, Loitzer Strasse 26, 17489 Greifswald, Germany

Correspondence E-Mail: monicasheffer.research@gmail.com

[‡]Current affiliation: Department of Biology, University of Washington, 98195 Seattle, WA, USA

[#]Current affiliation: Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Aarhus University, 8000 Aarhus, Denmark

Abstract:

Differences between sexes in growth, ecology and behavior strongly shape species biology. In some animal groups, such as spiders, it is difficult or impossible to identify the sex of juveniles based on external morphology. This information would be useful for field surveys, behavioral experiments, and ecological studies on e.g. sex ratios and dispersal. In species with sex chromosomes, sex can be determined based on the specific sex chromosome complement. Additionally, information on the sequence of sex chromosomes provides the basis for studying sex chromosome evolution. We combined cytogenetic and genomic data to identify the sex chromosomes in the sexually dimorphic spider *Argiope bruennichi*, and designed RT-qPCR sex markers. We found that genome size and GC content of this spider falls into the range reported for the majority of araneids. The male karyotype is formed by 24 acrocentric chromosomes with an X_1X_2O sex chromosome system, with little similarity between X chromosomes, suggesting origin of these chromosomes by X chromosome fission or early duplication of an X chromosome and subsequent independent differentiation of the copies. Our data suggest similarly sized X chromosomes in *A. bruennichi*. They are smaller chromosomes of the complement. Our findings open the door to new directions in spider evolutionary and ecological research.

Keywords: *Argiope bruennichi* − Araneae − Araneidae − genome size − karyotype − sex chromosome

Introduction

In most animals, sex is genetically determined. Genotypic mechanisms of sex determination are very diverse, depending, for example, on the ratio of X chromosomes to autosomes, on sex-determining factor(s) localized on autosomes and/or sex chromosomes, on the presence or absence of a sex chromosome specific for the heterogametic sex (Y or W), or on ploidy level as in many Hymenoptera (Bachtrog *et al.*, 2014; Hamm, Meisel, & Scott, 2015; Vicoso, 2019).

In animal species with genotypic sex determination, females and males often differ in the type and/or number of sex chromosomes, with many variations. Knowledge on the karyotype and the specifics of the sex chromosomes provides the basis for studying a plethora of ecological and evolutionary questions. For ecological studies, it is desirable to know the sex of collected individuals. Offspring sex allocation, sex-specific growth and dispersal strategies, and sex differences in early developmental pathways and physiological plasticity can then be investigated (Cordellier *et al.*, 2020). However, in many animal taxa, the sexes cannot easily be identified in adults and even less so in juveniles. The sex of early stages is often only determinable based on their internal anatomy (presence of testis or ovaries), or on their sex chromosome complement (e.g., Avilés & Maddison, 1991).

Spiders belong to one of the most diverse animal orders (Coddington & Levi, 1991). While they play an important role in terrestrial ecosystems, it is impossible to sex juvenile spiders in the field. Spiders possess complex sex chromosome systems. To date, karyotypes of more than 800 spider species have been characterized (Araujo *et al.*, 2021). In 67% of karyotyped spiders (calculated at the time of publication), and particularly in the entelegyne spiders, which are the

most studied, there are two X chromosomes, X_1 and X_2 ($X_1X_2$0 system), where the male exhibits

one copy and the female two copies (♂X_1X_2/♀$X_1X_1X_2X_2$) (Araujo et $al.$, 2012). This pattern is

probably ancestral for entelegynes (Král et $al.$, 2006). Systems with three X chromosomes or

more also exist. These X chromosomes are supposedly non-homologous based on their

achiasmatic pairing during male meiosis (Kořínková & Král, 2013). Some lineages of entelegyne

spiders exhibit an X0 system, which has originated by X chromosome fusions, or neo-sex

chromosomes, which arose by rearrangements between the X chromosome(s) and

autosome(s). Neo-sex chromosome systems contain both X and Y chromosome(s). Systems

formed by two or more X chromosomes are termed multiple X chromosome systems (White,

1973).

Due to the different number of X chromosome copies in males and females, sexing could be

achieved in spiders once targeted molecular markers for X chromosomes are available: the

relative copy number of sequences on the X chromosomes, with males having half the number

of copies relative to females, could be assessed through quantitative PCR. Sexing performed in

this manner can be done at a high throughput, making it reasonable for ecological studies with

relatively large sample sizes.

In recent years, several approaches to identify X chromosomal sequences have been introduced

that rely on high throughput sequencing methods. These methods were reviewed by Palmer et

$al.$ (2019), and vary in their costs, required sample sizes, and on the availability of a reference

genome (Al-Dous et $al.$, 2011; Picq et $al.$, 2014; Gautier, 2014; Hou et $al.$, 2015; Muyle et $al.$,

2016). In species with a chromosome-level genome assembly, comparing the sequencing

coverage depth across chromosomes between individuals of each sex is a reliable method for

identifying sex chromosomes. Females, as the homogametic sex, would show twice as much

coverage as males on X chromosomes (i.e. (Vicoso & Bachtrog, 2011; Fraïsse, Picard, & Vicoso,

2017).

In 2021, Sheffer *et al.* published an annotated genome assembled to haploid chromosome level

of an entelegyne orb-weaving spider, *Argiope bruennichi* (Scopoli, 1772). With this

chromosome-level genome, we can employ the coverage depth approach to identify sex

chromosome sequences in this species. *A. bruennichi* is a sexually dimorphic species with a

Palearctic distribution, which has rapidly expanded its range in Europe over the course of the

last century (Krehenwinkel & Tautz, 2013; Krehenwinkel, Rödder, & Tautz, 2015; Wawer *et al.*,

2017). Sex differences in early life stages of these spiders are particularly interesting since

juveniles perform aerial dispersal with silk (ballooning), a behavior which is restricted to the

first few instars (Krüger, 2014) and might be sex biased (Krehenwinkel *et al.*, 2016).

The *A. bruennichi* genome assembly was based upon female specimens, and is comprised of 13

scaffolds, which suggests 2n = 26. This chromosome number is found in females of most orb-

weaving spiders, and it is consistent with the diploid chromosome number of the species

published by Zhang & Tong (1990). This study, however, contains no information on the

number or sex of the individual(s) examined. With additional karyological and sequence data

for males, it would be possible to ascertain the karyotype/sex determination system of the

species and identify the sex chromosomes in the published assembly.

We set out to (i) determine the karyotype and genome size of *A. bruennichi*, (ii) identify which

superscaffolds represent sex chromosomes in the genome assembly, and (iii) develop molecular

markers for sexing spiders using RT-qPCR.

Methods

Chromosome preparations and their evaluation

We collected eight subadult *A. bruennichi* males for chromosomal analysis at village Březová, Czech Republic (49.901N, 13.887E) in late June 2021. The number of meiotic cells in the testes of these males was lower than found in subadult or young adult males of other araneids (Kotz and Forman, unpublished observation). We followed the protocol of Dolejš *et al.* (2011) for preparation of chromosome slides and Giemsa staining. We inspected the slides under an Olympus BX 50 microscope and photographed selected plates using an Olympus DP 71 CCD camera. We measured chromosome lengths using ImageJ (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/index.html). The length ratio of sex chromosomes was established using 20 randomly selected diakinesis and metaphase I plates. Chromosome morphology was based on centromere position, which was apparent during early metaphase II.

Determination of genome size and GC content

We determined the diploid genome size (2C value) and guanosine cytosine (GC) content of *A. bruennichi* by flow cytometry (FCM) using five adult males and five females (collected in July 2020, Albrechtice, Czech Republic, 49.924N, 16.658E, stored at −80°C). Sample preparation was based on the protocol of Král *et al.* (2019) with a different buffer. We chopped the legs together with an internal plant standard in GBP buffer (Loureiro *et al.*, 2007), with the pH adjusted to 9.5 and 1.5% (v/v) of Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) and 1.5% (v/v) of

polyvinylpyrrolidone (Sigma-Aldrich). After filtration through a nylon sifter, we added

fluorescent dye. We performed two concurrent FCM gauges, using: (i) Propidium iodide (PI, 50

µg/ml) – a nonspecific dye to establish genome size of the sample – and (ii) 4ʹ,6-Diamidine-2ʹ-

phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI, 4 µg/ml), a fluorochrome with higher specificity to AT

pairs. The resulting histograms were evaluated using FloMax software (Partec). We calculated

the 2C value as the ratio of PI fluorescence of sample and standard. We compared PI and DAPI

ratios to establish GC content as described in Šmarda *et al.* (2008). We measured each

individual twice for PI and twice for DAPI to account for machine fluctuations. We used fresh

leaves of *Pisum sativum* cultivar 'Ctirad' as an internal standard. We used the following genomic

values of this plant for calculations: 2C=7841.27 Mbp, GC=41.77% (Veselý *et al.*, 2012). Primary

data used for calculation of 2C and base content are available in Supplementary Table S1.

Whole-genome sequencing of male and female *Argiope bruennichi*

DNA extraction and library preparation

We will refer to chromosome-level scaffolds in the genome assembly as "superscaffolds" and

number all of them by length. For karyotype, we use the traditional approach and number

autosomes by length and refer to X chromosomes separately.

In order to identify the X chromosomes in the *A. bruennichi* genome assembly, we sequenced

one adult male and one adult female collected near Klausdorf, Germany at the end of July 2020

(54.424N, 13.029E). We extracted DNA from eight legs of the male and four legs of the female,

as follows: we disrupted the leg tissue with a mortar, pestle, and liquid nitrogen. The powdered

tissue was transferred into tubes containing Proteinase K and cell lysis buffer (10mM Tris pH 8,

100mM NaCl, 10mM EDTA pH 8, 0.5% SDS, double-distilled water). We incubated the tubes

overnight at 55°C, cooled them to room temperature and added RNAse A. We used 5M NaCl to

precipitate proteins and Isopropanol to precipitate DNA. We cleaned the DNA extract using 70%

Ethanol and eluted it in TE buffer. We prepared the libraries with the NEBNext Ultra II FS DNA

Library Prep Kit (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, Massachusetts, USA) according to the

manufacturer's protocol. We indexed and amplified the libraries with six PCR cycles, then

pooled them and sequenced the 2x75 bp paired-end libraries on one Flowcell of an Illumina

NextSeq 550 with a High Output v2 kit (150 cycles) (Illumina Inc., San Diego, California, USA).

Bioinformatic processing and coverage comparison

The reads were demultiplexed using the Illumina bcl2fastq tool in BaseSpace. We removed

adapter sequences using AdapterRemoval (v.2.2.2) (Schubert, Lindgreen, & Orlando, 2016) with

the --identify-adapters flag. Read quality was assessed using FastQC (v0.11.8) (Andrews, 2010);

all reads were high quality, and no further quality trimming was necessary. Adapter-trimmed

reads are available under BioProject PRJNA629526. We mapped the reads of each specimen

onto the *A. bruennichi* genome assembly using BWA-MEM (v.0.7.12-r1039) with default settings

(Li, 2013), sorted and indexed the mapped reads using SAMtools sort and SAMtools index

(SAMtools v.1.3.1) (Li *et al.*, 2009), then calculated the coverage of each of the 13

superscaffolds using QualiMap (v.2.2.1) (Okonechnikov, Conesa, & García-Alcalde, 2016).

164 Development of sex markers using RT-qPCR

DNA extraction

In total, we extracted genomic DNA from six males collected in Greifswald (54.093N, 13.366E)

and six females collected near Klausdorf (54.424N, 13.029E), Germany at the beginning of

August 2020, following either the procedure outlined above, or a protocol based on the

Promega ReliaPrep™ gDNA Tissue Miniprep System (see Table S2). Prior to using the Promega

kit, we ground two legs each from females or four legs each from males with a Dounce

homogenizer; we added 200 µl of Tail Lysis Buffer and 30 µl of Proteinase K Solution to the

sample, and extracted the DNA following the manufacturer's instructions. We carried out the

final elution step twice to a total elution volume of 100 µl.

Further, we extracted DNA from ten first instar spiderlings that came from one egg sac, laid by a

female collected in Pärnu, Estonia in 2018 (58.297N, 24.597E). Genomic DNA was extracted as

described in the preceding section. Whole spiderling bodies were used, rather than legs, due to

their small size. First instar spiderlings from this population have an average mass of

approximately 0.3 mg (Sheffer, personal observation). We determined the DNA concentration

for each sample using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE) and

a Qubit 4 Fluorometer with the Quant-iT™ Qubit™ dsDNA BR Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific,

Wilmington, DE). DNA concentrations can be found in Table S2.

Primer design, PCR and RT-qPCR

We designed primers for loci on the putative X chromosomes (superscaffolds 9 and 10 in the *A. bruennichi* assembly; see results section) and 2 putative autosomes, superscaffolds 5 and 7, using Primer3 (version 4.1.0; (Untergasser *et al.*, 2012) with the following parameters: length between 20 and 25 nucleotides, melting temperature between 57 and 62°C, region amplified between 150 and 200 bp in length, with one GC clamp (Table S3). We used additional data to select the loci, such as the location of homologous sequences in spiders *Trichonephila senegalensis* (Araneidae) (Walckenaer, 1841) (Grewoldt *et al.,* unpublished data) and *Stegodyphus mimosarum* (Eresidae) (Pavesi, 1883) (Bechsgaard *et al.*, 2019). We tested the amplification with conventional PCR, checked on a 1.5% agarose gel with ethidium bromide staining and UV transillumination. We then conducted RT-qPCR using the EvaGreen® qPCR-Mix II, on a ThermoFisher StepOne™ Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA). Primer efficiencies were measured by diluting 20 ng/µl of template DNA from a female in a stepwise manner, 1:10 down to the lowest concentration of 0.02 ng/µl, to obtain a standard curve. After ensuring comparable efficiencies for all primer pairs, we used DNA from the six females and males as templates and simultaneously measured amplification in real time for each primer pair in triplicate. One non template control was used for each primer combination. All amplification protocols were conducted with the following reaction mix: 2 µL EvaGreen® qPCR-Mix II 5x, 0.2 µL forward primer, 0.2 µL reverse primer, 1µL template DNA, and 6.6 µL nuclease free water. We used the following program: initial denaturation for 15 minutes at 95°C, 40 cycles of denaturation (15 seconds at 95°C), annealing (20 seconds at 60°C), and extension

(20 seconds at 72°C), with a final extension at 72°C for 10 minutes, and a melting curve

(ramping from 65°C to 95°C). Ct values were collected for further analysis (Table S2).

After validation of the method and determination of effective markers (see results) using the

adult specimens, we used a subset of markers (see Tables S2 and S3) to determine the sex of

the spiderlings.

RT-qPCR data analysis

We applied the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method according to (Rao *et al.*, 2013) to analyze the RT-qPCR data. To

account for different primer efficiencies (E_{real}), the Ct values were normalized to correspond to

an optimal efficiency of 100% (E_{opt}). We averaged the Ct values of the three technical replicates;

if any of the three replicates showed a standard deviation >1, it was excluded from the average.

The ΔCt value was calculated from the difference between reference sequences (autosomal

loci) and a target sequence of interest (X-linked locus). Further, the female data points were

used as the reference, as females possess two sex-linked and two autosomal gene copies.

Consequently, if the number of gene copies between females and males is the same, a value of

1 is expected, whereas if the number of gene copies in males is half that in females, a value of

0.5 is expected.

Results

Chromosomes

The male karyotype of *A. bruennichi* was formed by 24 acrocentric chromosomes; the size

difference between chromosomes was gradual from the longest to the shortest (Figure 1A).

Analysis of male meiotic division revealed an X_1X_2O sex chromosome system (Figure 1B, E-J).

Chromosomes X_1 and X_2 were similar in length; X_2 length corresponded to 91.3% (±5.3) of X_1.

We observed nearly the complete course of male meiotic division except anaphase I, telophase

I, and telophase II. X chromosomes were positively heteropycnotic (i.e., they stained more

intensively) from the beginning of meiosis until diakinesis (Figure 1B-E). The intensity of

heteropycnosis decreased during metaphase I; X chromosomes were isopycnotic or slightly

positively heteropycnotic during this period (Figure 1F). Positive heteropycnosis reappeared

during prophase II (Figure 1G). During metaphase II (Fig. 1H), X chromosomes exhibited a slight

positive heteropycnosis only. This pattern was also displayed at late anaphase II (Figure 1J).

Tight pairing of X_1 and X_2 chromosomes was initiated at zygotene (Figure 1C). During zygotene

and pachytene, sex chromosomes formed a body on the periphery of the plate (Figure 1C, D).

During late prophase I (diplotene-diakinesis), X chromosome pairing was restricted to

centromeric regions (Figure 1E). From metaphase I onwards, X chromosomes were arranged in

parallel (Figure 1F, G, J).

237 Genome size and GC content

Female 2C was 4,079.809 Mbp (standard error (SE) ±256.265) and male 2C 3,921.083 Mbp (SE

±207.799). The average chromosome size (2C/2n) was 160.147 Mbp (156.916 Mbp based on

female and 163.378 Mbp based on male data, respectively). X chromosomes contained 158.727

Mbp of DNA, based on the difference in female and male 2C. Taking in account the length ratio

of X chromosomes, size of X_1 chromosome can be estimated as 82.973 Mbp and size of X_2 as

75.754 Mbp. The size of the X chromosomes was below the average chromosome size.

Therefore, X chromosomes belonged to small chromosomes of the karyotype. The genome

contained a low GC proportion, 35.500 % of GC (SE ±1.289) in females and 36.730% (SE ±1.189)

in males, respectively.

Coverage of male and female

248 The mean coverage (± standard deviation) across the 13 superscaffolds was 15.34 ±2.57 fold for

249 the male and 16.50 ±0.76 fold for the female. We divided the coverage of the male for each

250 superscaffold by the coverage of the female, to get the male's relative coverage. 11/13

251 superscaffolds have nearly equal coverage of male relative to female, while two superscaffolds

252 (9 and 10) have half coverage in the male (Figure 2A).

RT-qPCR

Sex marker validation in adults

To compare sex-linked with autosomal loci, the efficiency of the primers for each locus were

evaluated. Primer efficiencies ranged from 83.3- 147%, for the chosen loci (Table S3). Likewise,

a melting curve was generated for each locus, confirming specific amplification during RT-qPCR.

X-linkage was validated using RT-qPCR on DNA extracted from six females and six males (Figure

2B, C). The respective loci, sex-linked as well as autosomal, were normalized against each

autosomal locus, as well as the average of all autosomal loci used. After comparing these

normalization methods, we chose to normalize all loci against one autosomal locus, ABA-7, as

this provided consistent results during validation. To validate the markers on individuals with

known sex, the relative DNA abundance, or fold-change, in adult males and females, in

comparison to females, was determined using the $2^{-(\Delta\Delta Ct)}$ method (Figure 2B, C). For females,

autosomal loci showed an average fold-change of 1.09, and sex-linked loci showed an average

fold-change of 1.00. One male individual was excluded from our dataset due to inexplicable

fold-change values. With this male excluded, for a total of five males, the sex-linked loci on

superscaffold 9 exhibited on average a 0.42-0.61 fold-change in DNA abundance in males, while

the locus on superscaffold 10 had an average fold-change of 0.99 in males. The presumably

autosomal locus on superscaffold 5 had an average fold-change of 0.84 in males (Figure 2C).

Sex-linked loci on superscaffold 9 thus show about half the DNA abundance in males with an

average of 0.48 compared to females, and an average of 1.01 for females compared to females.

These markers on superscaffold 9 are therefore reliable for determining the sex of juveniles.

Due to their inconsistent results, ABA-5 and ABS-10C were not used for sexing juveniles.

Application of sex markers in juveniles

We used one autosomal locus (ABA-7) and three sex-linked loci (ABS-9.3, ABS-9.4, and ABS-9.5)

to determine the probable sex of ten individual spiderlings from a single clutch (Table S4). As

shown in Figure 2D, two clear groups of spiderlings are visible: five individuals have an average

fold change of 0.49 for the sex-linked loci, indicating that they are males, while the other 5 had

an average fold change of 0.98 for these loci, indicating females. In this clutch, our newly-

developed markers thus indicate an unbiased sex-ratio of 1:1.

Discussion

Our study determined the male karyotype of *A. bruennichi*. It is composed of 24 chromosomes

with an X_1X_2O system. The same karyotype was found in two other *Argiope* species, namely *A.*

minuta (Datta & Chatterjee, 1988), and *A. pulchella* (Bole-Gowda, 1958). This karyotype is the

most common in analyzed species of the superfamily Araneoidea, and is probably an ancestral

feature of this clade (Araujo *et al.*, 2015). As in most other entelegyne spiders, the karyotype of

A. bruennichi is formed by acrocentric chromosomes (Kořínková & Král, 2013); this karyotype

structure can be considered ancestral for entelegynes (Král *et al.*, 2006). Data on three other

Argiope species are incomplete or different from *A. bruennichi*: the male karyotype of *A.*

amoena is also formed by 24 chromosomes, including the X_1X_2O system, but the chromosome

morphology was not determined (Suzuki, 1951). The same diploid number was found in *A.*

catenulata (Amalin, Barrion, & Rueda, 1992) and *A. luzona* (Carandang & Barrion, 1994), but the

chromosome complement of *A. luzona* is reported to consist of both monoarmed (acrocentric

and subtelocentric) and biarmed (metacentric and submetacentric) chromosomes (Carandang

& Barrion, 1994). This karyotype structure is exceptional in entelegynes, and should be revisited

by analysis of metaphase II, when centromeres are unequivocally identifiable. Biarmed

chromosomes of *A. luzona* could arise from acrocentric chromosomes, such as those found in *A.*

bruennichi, by pericentric inversions. The karyotype of *A. catenulata* is reported to be

composed of holocentric chromosomes with an XY system (Amalin *et al.*, 1992). In this case, the

authors have most likely mistaken acrocentric chromosomes for holocentric ones, as they do

not report any features which support holocentric structure of chromosomes. Moreover, other

studied *Argiope* species have monocentric chromosomes (Kořínková & Král, 2013).

Furthermore, the determination of the XY system by Amalin *et al.* (1992) is probably also

incorrect, as they do not provide any supporting information on the mode of sex chromosome

pairing or sex chromosome segregation during male meiosis.

As mentioned above, our karyotype analysis revealed 2n=24, $X_1X_2$0 in male *A. bruennichi*. Given

the $X_1X_2$0 system, where males have one copy each of the two X chromosomes, this result fits

with the 13 superscaffolds in the haploid genome assembly of a female *A. bruennichi* published

by Sheffer *et al.* (2021): 11 autosomes + X_1 + X_2. The result showing half coverage for

superscaffolds 9 and 10 in the male indicates that these represent the X chromosomes in the *A.*

bruennichi genome assembly. These superscaffolds are very similar in length (123.24 Mbp and

122.82 Mbp), which does not match the lengths of X_1 and X_2 (82.973 Mbp and 75.754 Mbp)

derived from the comparison of male and female genome sizes, with the X_1:X_2 ratio from

image-based measurements. However, the genome size measurements had a relatively high

standard error, and the superscaffold lengths fall within this error margin. The fact that we

identified two superscaffolds as putative X chromosomes supports the hypothesis that X

chromosomes are highly divergent in spiders (Kořínková & Král, 2013)..

In addition to the multiple X chromosomes, the karyotype of two distantly related entelegyne

families, Agelenidae and Lycosidae (Král, 2007; Král *et al.*, 2011; Sember *et al.*, 2020) contains a

specific XY sex chromosome pair that associates at the centromeres with the multiple X

chromosomes during male meiosis (Král, 2007). This pair has been termed "cryptic sex

chromosome pair" (CSCP) (Sember *et al.*, 2020) since the chromosomes are homomorphic (i.e.,

exhibit no morphological differentiation). Available data suggest that the chromosomes forming

the pair are mostly homologous (Sember et al. 2020). The CSCP can only be detected under

optimal conditions, as their meiotic association with the X chromosomes is fragile. Due to its

discovery in some entelegyne lineages, as well as in more basally branching spider groups, it has

been hypothesized that the CSCP represents ancestral spider sex chromosomes (Král, 2007; Král

et al., 2011, 2013; Sember *et al.*, 2020). Whether or not *A. bruennichi* possesses the CSCP is

beyond the scope of this study. However, if it does exist in *A. bruennichi*, the male Y and X

sequences of the CSCP are very similar and the Y likely maps to the X in the genome assembly.

The X_1X_2O system of entelegyne spiders is thought to have arisen by X chromosome fission (e.g.,

(Pätau, 1948), nondisjunction of the X chromosome of an XO system (e.g., (Postiglioni & Brum-

Zorrilla, 1981), or nondisjunction of the X chromosome of the CSCP (Král, 2007). The lack of

sequence similarity of X_1 and X_2 chromosomes found in *A. bruennichi* could reflect their origin

by X chromosome fission. Alternatively, lack of sequence similarity could reflect a homologous

origin via early duplication of the X chromosome in an XO system (or early duplications of the X

chromosome of CSCP) followed by a long period of differentiation of X_1 and X_2 chromosomes by

gene mutations and chromosomal rearrangements. Recent analyses of karyotype evolution of

araneomorph spiders suggest a considerable age of X_1 and X_2 chromosomes of the entelegyne

X_1X_2O system (Král *et al.*, 2006; Ávila Herrera *et al.*, 2021). Differentiation of X chromosome

copies could be accelerated by the inactivation of X chromosome bivalents during meiosis in

spider females (Král, 2007).

Interestingly, superscaffold 9 (i.e., chromosome X_1) contains Hox cluster "A" in the *A. bruennichi*

genome assembly (Sheffer *et al.*, 2021). Hox genes are a set of highly conserved genes that

regulate the body plan organization of animals (Pearson, Lemons, & McGinnis, 2005). This

finding should be tested with fluorescence *in situ* hybridization, and investigated in other

spiders, when chromosome-level assemblies and sequences for X chromosomes become

available for more species. The localization of the cluster has potential implications of dosage

differences in Hox genes for males and females, and the suspected compensation mechanisms

should be investigated further.

Our measurements of genome size and GC content roughly matched data from the published

genome assembly (Sheffer *et al.*, 2021). The female measurement of 2C=4,079.809 Mbp

±256.265 corresponds to a haploid (1C) genome size of 2,039.904 Mbp ±128.132 (this study).

The genome assembly has a size of 1,670.286 Mbp (Sheffer *et al.*, 2021). Likewise, the average

chromosome size for females was 156.916 Mbp based on flow cytometry (this study), and

126.475 Mbp in the genome assembly (Sheffer *et al.*, 2021). The process of genome assembly

may collapse repetitive regions, and usually results in a smaller assembly size than measured

via flow cytometry (see Pflug *et al.*, 2020). The GC content in flow cytometry measurements

was 35.5% (this study), while the genome assembly has a GC content of 29.3%. Reduced GC

content is a common trait in spiders, and the estimates reported here fall within the range

reported for entelegyne spiders (27.2-35%, see Krehenwinkel *et al.*, 2019; Sheffer *et al.*, 2021),

and indeed all other investigated spider species (Král *et al.*, 2019). The GC content of females

$(X_1X_1X_2X_2)$ and males (X_1X_2O) was nearly identical, which indicates no substantial difference in

base ratio between the X chromosomes and the autosomal set. *A. bruennichi* exhibits a larger

genome than the two other studied *Argiope* species established using Feulgen densitometry, *A.*

aurantia (1,584 Mbp) and *A. trifasciata* (1,653 Mbp) (Gregory & Shorthouse, 2003), but falls

into the range of 1C reported for the majority of araneids. Excluding the large genome of

Hyposinga sp. (4,000 Mbp), araneids exhibit a low diversity of genome sizes (1,438 − 2,680

Mbp) (Gregory & Shorthouse, 2003).

The DNA abundance values obtained by RT-qPCR in males for loci on superscaffold 9 show the

expected pattern of approximately half abundance relative to autosomal markers and can thus

be reliably used for sexing spiders. The values obtained for ABS-10C, a locus on superscaffold

10, and thus putatively sex-linked, on the other hand, resemble values obtained for autosomal

loci. Given the compelling evidence from the coverage comparison that supports superscaffold

10 as being one of the two X chromosomes, we are currently unsure what might explain this

phenomenon. We verified the designed primers *in silico* and found them to be specific to the

region we intended to amplify. Further, the coverage for this region was neither suspiciously

low nor high. However, an interpretation of the RT-qPCR results without *a priori* expectation

would let us think the amplified region is placed on an autosome. While the results obtained

with superscaffold 9 are robust, new primers should be designed for other fragments of

superscaffold 10. The method presented here is applicable to very small DNA quantities and

allowed us to identify the sex of early instar spiderlings, which are otherwise nearly impossible

to sex.

Having identified the sex chromosomes in the genome assembly, future work can investigate

sex chromosome evolution in *A. bruennichi*. In species in which males have one copy of each

sex chromosome, as in *A. bruennichi*, the sex chromosomes are expected to evolve faster at the

molecular level, relative to autosomes. This is due to several factors, such as fewer

recombination events, weaker purifying selection or higher positive selection on recessive

alleles relative to autosomes. These processes may lead to the acquisition of specific gene

content on X chromosomes and to an X chromosome to autosome divergence (Charlesworth,

Coyne, & Barton, 1987; Ellegren, 2009). A comparison of gene content between X

chromosomes within and among species from diverse clades of spiders (entelegynes,

haplogynes but also basally branching groups), would deliver exciting and novel insights into sex

chromosome evolution and eventually of the evolution of sexual differentiation in these

arachnids (Rice, 1984).

Conclusions

We determined the *Argiope bruennichi* karyotype, genome size and GC content, which is

consistent with the existing genome assembly. By comparing sequencing coverage of males and

females, we were able to identify which of the superscaffolds in the genome assembly

represent the multiple X chromosomes. This result enabled us to develop the first RT-qPCR

markers allowing for a molecular approach to sexing spiders early during their development.

Furthermore, having knowledge on the identity of the sex chromosomes in the genome

assembly will allow studies on sex determination, sex allocation, sex-specific dispersal and

growth, and sex-dependent physiological and developmental trajectories. Extending the

taxonomic scope will allow comparisons of X chromosomes' gene content within genomes and

among species and will deliver invaluable information on the evolution of sex chromosome

systems formed by X chromosomes.

Data Availability

410 Sequencing reads from the male and female specimens are available on NCBI's Short Read

411 Archive, under BioProject PRJNA629526. Raw data for the flow cytometry data are available in

412   the supplementary material, as is the information on RT-qPCR primers and the Ct values from

413   the RT-qPCR experiments.

414 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Henrik Krehenwinkel (Trier, Germany) and Stefan Prost (Vienna,

Austria) for discussions and brainstorming on this project early on. We also thank Lars Jensen

(Greifswald, Germany) for his input on library preparation and sequencing and Douglas Araujo

(Campo Grande, Brazil) for access to the paper on the karyotype of *Argiope luzona*. We are

grateful to Shou-Wang Lin (Greifswald, Germany), who provided a translation of the original

Argiope bruennichi karyotype paper, which started us on this path to generate new data. We

thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) for the funding of this study as part of the

Research Training Group 2010 RESPONSE (GRK 2010) awarded to GU. The cytogenetic part of

the study was supported by the Grant Agency of Charles University (project 1000119) and the

Ministry of Education, Youth, and Sports of the Czech Republic (project LTAUSA 19142). Lastly,

we sincerely thank three anonymous reviewers for comments that substantially improved our

manuscript.

427 Author contributions

Other than the first author position, the authors are listed in alphabetical order by last name,

not relative to contributions or institutional hierarchy. MMS, MC, GU, JK and MF conceived of

the study. MMS, MF, and GU collected spiders. MMS and CJ isolated DNA, prepared libraries for

the whole-genome sequencing and performed the sequencing, with input and infrastructure

from GU and AWK. MMS, KH, MG, and MC selected loci, designed primers, and performed the

RT-qPCR analysis. MK and MF performed the karyological analysis of chromosomes, with input

and infrastructure from JK. EL performed the flow cytometry measurements of genome size

and GC content. All authors contributed to manuscript writing and revision.

References

Al-Dous EK, George B, Al-Mahmoud ME, Al-Jaber MY, Wang H, Salameh YM, Al-Azwani EK,

Chaluvadi S, Pontaroli AC, Debarry J, Arondel V, Ohlrogge J, Saie IJ, Suliman-Elmeer KM,

Bennetzen JL, Kruegger RR & Malek JA. **2011**. De novo genome sequencing and comparative

genomics of date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera*). *Nature Biotechnology* **29**: 521–527.

Amalin DM, Barrion AA & Rueda LM. **1992**. Morphology and cytology of *Argiope catenulata*

(Doleschall) (Araneae: Araneidae). *Asia Life Sciences* **1**: 35–44.

Andrews S. **2010**. FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.

Araujo D, Schneider MC, Paula-Neto E & Cella DM. **2012**. Sex chromosomes and meiosis in

spiders: a review. In: Swan A, ed. *Meiosis: molecular mechanisms and cytogenetic diversity*.

Rijeka: InTechOpen, 87–108.

Araujo D, Paula-Neto E, Brescovit AD, Cella DM & Schneider MC. **2015**. Chromosomal

similarities between Nephilidae and Tetragnathidae indicate unique evolutionary traits among

Araneoidea. *Italian Journal of Zoology* **82**: 513–520.

Araujo D, Schneider MC, Paula-Neto E & Cella DM. **2021**. The spider cytogenetic database.

Ávila Herrera IM, Král J, Pastuchová M, Forman M, Musilová J, Kořínková T, Šťáhlavský F,

Zrzavá M, Nguyen P, Just P, Haddad CR, Hiřman M, Koubová M, Sadílek D & Huber BA. **2021**.

Evolutionary pattern of karyotypes and meiosis in pholcid spiders (Araneae: Pholcidae):

implications for reconstructing chromosome evolution of araneomorph spiders. *BMC Ecology*

and Evolution **21**: 75.

Avilés L & Maddison W. **1991**. When is the sex ratio biased in social spiders?: Chromosome

studies of embryos and male meiosis in *Anelosimus* species (Araneae, Theridiidae). *Journal of*

Arachnology **19**: 126–135.

Bachtrog D, Mank JE, Peichel CL, Kirkpatrick M, Otto SP, Ashman TL, Hahn MW, Kitano J,

Mayrose I, Ming R, Perrin N, Ross L, Valenzuela N, Vamosi JC, Mank JE, Peichel CL, Ashman TL,

Blackmon H, Goldberg EE, Hahn MW, Kirkpatrick M, Kitano J, Mayrose I, Ming R, Pennell MW,

Perrin N, Valenzuela N & Vamosi JC. **2014**. Sex determination: Why so many ways of doing it?

PLoS Biology **12**: 1–13.

Bechsgaard J, Schou MF, Vanthournout B, Hendrickx F, Knudsen B, Settepani V, Schierup MH

& Bilde T. **2019**. Evidence for faster X chromosome evolution in spiders. *Molecular Biology and*

Evolution **36**: 1281–1293.

Bole-Gowda BN. **1958**. A study of the chromosomes during meiosis in twenty-two species of

Indian spiders. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of Bengal* **11**: 69–108.

Carandang RB & Barrion AA. **1994**. Karyotype of the egg chromosomes of *Argiope luzona*

(Walck), an orb-weaving spider (Araneae, Araneidae). *Philippine entomologist* **9**: 443–447.

Charlesworth B, Coyne JA & Barton NH. **1987**. The relative rates of evolution of sex

chromosomes and autosomes. *American Naturalist* **130**: 113–146.

Coddington JA & Levi HW. **1991**. Systematics and evolution of spiders (Araneae). *Annual*

Review of Ecology and Systematics **22**: 565–592.

Cordellier M, Schneider JM, Uhl G & Posnien N. **2020**. Sex differences in spiders: From

phenotype to genomics. *Development Genes and Evolution* **230**: 155–172.

Datta SN & Chatterjee K. **1988**. Chromosomes and sex determination in 13 araneid spiders of

North-Eastern India. *Genetica* **76**: 91–99.

Dolejš P, Kořínková T, Musilová J, Opatová V, Kubcová L, Buchar J & Král J. **2011**. Karyotypes of

central European spiders of the genera *Arctosa, Tricca,* and *Xerolycosa* (Araneae: Lycosidae).

European Journal of Entomology **108**: 1–16.

Ellegren H. **2009**. The different levels of genetic diversity in sex chromosomes and autosomes.

Trends in Genetics **25**: 278–284.

Fraïsse C, Picard MAL & Vicoso B. **2017**. The deep conservation of the Lepidoptera Z

chromosome suggests a non-canonical origin of the W. *Nature Communications* **8**: 1–9.

Gautier M. **2014**. Using genotyping data to assign markers to their chromosome type and to

infer the sex of individuals: a Bayesian model-based classifier. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **14**:

1141–1159.

Gregory TR & Shorthouse DP. **2003**. Genome sizes of spiders. *Journal of Heredity* **94**: 285–290.

Hamm RL, Meisel RP & Scott JG. **2015**. The evolving puzzle of autosomal versus Y-linked male

determination in *Musca domestica*. *G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics* **5**: 371–384.

Hou J, Ye N, Zhang D, Chen Y, Fang L, Dai X & Yin T. **2015**. Different autosomes evolved into sex

chromosomes in the sister genera of *Salix* and *Populus*. *Scientific Reports* **5**: 9076.

Kořínková T & Král J. **2013**. Karyotypes, sex chromosomes, and meiotic division in spiders. In:

Nentwig W, ed. *Spider Ecophysiology*. Berlin: Springer, 159–171.

Král J, Musilová J, Šťáhlavský F, Řezáč M, Akan Z, Edwards RL, Coyle FA & Almerje CR. 2006.

Evolution of the karyotype and sex chromosome systems in basal clades of araneomorph

spiders (Araneae: Araneomorphae). *Chromosome Research* **14**: 859–880.

Král J. 2007. Evolution of multiple sex chromosomes in the spider genus *Malthonica* (Araneae:

Agelenidae) indicates unique structure of the spider sex chromosome systems. *Chromosome*

Research **15**: 863–879.

Král J, Kořínková T, Forman M & Krkavcová L. 2011. Insights into the meiotic behavior and

evolution of multiple sex chromosome systems in spiders. *Cytogenetic and Genome Research*

133: 43–66.

Král J, Kořínková T, Krkavcová L, Musilová J, Forman M, Herrera IMÁ, Haddad CR, Vítková M,

Henriques S, Vargas JGP & Hedin M. 2013. Evolution of karyotype, sex chromosomes, and

meiosis in mygalomorph spiders (Araneae: Mygalomorphae). *Biological Journal of the Linnean*

Society **109**: 377–408.

Král J, Forman M, Kořínková T, Lerma ACR, Haddad CR, Musilová J, Řezáč M, Herrera IMÁ,

Thakur S, Dippenaar-Schoeman AS, Marec F, Horová L & Bureš P. 2019. Insights into the

karyotype and genome evolution of haplogyne spiders indicate a polyploid origin of lineage

with holokinetic chromosomes. *Scientific Reports* **9**: 3001.

Krehenwinkel H, Graze M, Rödder D, Tanaka K, Baba YG, Muster C & Uhl G. 2016. A

phylogeographical survey of a highly dispersive spider reveals eastern Asia as a major glacial

refugium for Palaearctic fauna. *Journal of Biogeography* **43**: 1583–1594.

Krehenwinkel H, Meese S, Mayer C, Ruch J, Schneider J, Bilde T, Künzel S, Henderson JB,

Russack J, Simison WB, Gillespie R & Uhl G. **2019**. Cost effective microsatellite isolation and

genotyping by high throughput sequencing. *Journal of Arachnology* **47**: 190–201.

Krehenwinkel H, Rödder D & Tautz D. 2015. Eco-genomic analysis of the poleward range

expansion of the wasp spider *Argiope bruennichi* shows rapid adaptation and genomic

admixture. *Global Change Biology* **21**: 4320–4332.

Krehenwinkel H & Tautz D. 2013. Northern range expansion of European populations of the

wasp spider *Argiope bruennichi* is associated with global warming-correlated genetic admixture

and population-specific temperature adaptations. *Molecular Ecology* **22**: 2232–2248.

Krüger J. 2014. Präballooning-Verhalten bei der Wespenspinne *Argiope bruennichi*.

Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G & Durbin R.

2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 2078–2079.

Li H. 2013. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM.

arXiv.

Loureiro J, Rodriguez E, Doležel J & Santos C. 2007. Two new nuclear isolation buffers for plant

DNA flow cytometry: A test with 37 species. *Annals of Botany* **100**: 875–888.

Muyle A, Käfer J, Zemp N, Mousset S, Picard F & Marais GA. 2016. SEX-DETector: A

probabilistic approach to study sex chromosomes in non-model organisms. *Genome Biology*

and Evolution **8**: 2530–2543.

Okonechnikov K, Conesa A & García-Alcalde F. 2016. Qualimap 2: Advanced multi-sample

quality control for high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **32**: 292–294.

Palmer DH, Rogers TF, Dean R & Wright AE. 2019. How to identify sex chromosomes and their

turnover. *Molecular Ecology* **28**: 4709–4724.

Pätau K. 1948. X-segregation and heterochromasy in the spider *Aranea reaumuri*. *Heredity* **2**:

77–100.

Pearson JC, Lemons D & McGinnis W. 2005. Modulating Hox gene functions during animal body

patterning. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **6**: 893–904.

Pflug JM, Holmes VR, Burrus C, Spencer Johnston J & Maddison DR. 2020. Measuring genome

sizes using read-depth, k-mers, and flow cytometry: Methodological comparisons in beetles

(Coleoptera). *G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics* **10**: 3047–3060.

Picq S, Santoni S, Lacombe T, Latreille M, Weber A, Ardisson M, Ivorra S, Maghradze D,

Arroyo-Garcia R, Chatelet P, This P, Terral JF & Bacilieri R. 2014. A small XY chromosomal

region explains sex determination in wild dioecious *V. vinifera* and the reversal to

hermaphroditism in domesticated grapevines. *BMC Plant Biology* **14**: 229.

Postiglioni A & Brum-Zorrilla N. 1981. Karyological studies on Uruguayan spiders II. Sex

chromosomes in spiders of the genus *Lycosa* (Araneae-Lycosidae). *Genetica* **56**: 47–53.

Rao X, Huang X, Zhou Z & Lin X. 2013. An improvement of the 2^(-delta delta CT) method for

quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction data analysis. *Biostatistics, bioinformatics and*

biomathematics **3**: 71–85.

Rice WR. 1984. Sex chromosomes and the evolution of sexual dimorphism. *Evolution* **38**: 735–

742.

Schubert M, Lindgreen S & Orlando L. 2016. AdapterRemoval v2: Rapid adapter trimming,

identification, and read merging. *BMC Research Notes* **9**: 1–7.

Sember A, Pappová M, Forman M, Nguyen P, Marec F, Dalíková M, Divišová K, Doležálková-

Kaštánková M, Zrzavá M, Sadílek D, Hrubá B & Král J. 2020. Patterns of sex chromosome

differentiation in spiders: Insights from comparative genomic hybridisation. *Genes* **11**: 849.

Sheffer MM, Hoppe A, Krehenwinkel H, Uhl G, Kuss AW, Jensen L, Jensen C, Gillespie RG, Hoff

KJ & Prost S. 2021. Chromosome-level reference genome of the European wasp spider *Argiope*

bruennichi: A resource for studies on range expansion and evolutionary adaptation. *GigaScience*

10: 1–12.

Šmarda P, Bureš P, Horová L, Foggi B & Rossi G. 2008. Genome size and GC content evolution

of *Festuca*: Ancestral expansion and subsequent reduction. *Annals of Botany* **101**: 421–433.

Suzuki S. 1951. A comparative study of the chromosomes in the family Argiopidae. *Journal of*

Science of the Hiroshima University, Series B, Division 1 **12**: 67–98.

Untergasser A, Cutcutache I, Koressaar T, Ye J, Faircloth BC, Remm M & Rozen SG. 2012.

Primer3—new capabilities and interfaces. *Nucleic Acids Research* **40**: e115–e115.

Veselý P, Bureš P, Šmarda P & Pavlíček T. 2012. Genome size and DNA base composition of

geophytes: the mirror of phenology and ecology? *Annals of Botany* **109**: 65–75.

Vicoso B. 2019. Molecular and evolutionary dynamics of animal sex-chromosome turnover.

Nature Ecology & Evolution 2019 3:12 **3**: 1632–1641.

Vicoso B & Bachtrog D. 2011. Lack of global dosage compensation in *Schistosoma mansoni*, a

female-heterogametic parasite. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **3**: 230–235.

Wawer W, Rutkowski R, Krehenwinkel H, Lutyk D & Pusz- K. 2017. Population structure of the

expansive wasp spider (*Argiope bruennichi*) at the edge of its range. *Journal of Arachnology* **45**:

361–369.

White MJD. **1973**. *Animal Cytology and Evolution*. London, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Zhang YJ & Tong SJ. **1990**. The routine method for preparing the chromosomes in spiders.

Chinese Journal of Zoology **25**: 30–31.

Figure legends

Figure 1. *A. bruennichi male*, 2n=24, X_1X_2O, Giemsa stained mitotic (A) or meiotic (B-J)

chromosomes. Arrows points to sex chromosomes. (A) Karyotype, based on spermatogonial

prometaphase, (B) leptotene. Chromosomes X_1 and X_2 are not associated, (C) two zygotene

plates. Sex chromosomes form the body on the periphery of the nucleus, (D) pachytene. The

sex chromosome body persists on the periphery of the nucleus, (E) diplotene. Heteropycnotic

X_1 and X_2 pair by their centromeric regions, (F) metaphase I. Note a slight positive

heteropycnosis of X chromosomes, which are arranged in parallel on the periphery of the plate,

(G) two sister prophases II. The left plate contains 11 chromosomes and the right plate 13

chromosomes, (H) two sister metaphases II. Sex chromosomes are slightly positively

heteropycnotic (arrowhead - two overlapping chromosomes), (I) two sister anaphases II. Two

half plates with 11 chromosomes and two half plates with 13 chromosomes (half plates

separated by dashed line). X chromosomes indistinguishable from the other chromosomes, (J)

late anaphase II, half plate. Note a slight positive heteropycnosis of X chromosomes. Scale bar =

5 μm.

Figure 2. Identification of the sex chromosomes in the *Argiope bruennichi* genome assembly.

The dashed horizontal lines represent (A) the expected coverage and (B-D) expected DNA

abundance for sex chromosomes in females (1) and males (0.5) relative to females. (A) Relative

sequencing coverage of males and females across the 13 superscaffolds (Scaff) in the genome

assembly. (B-D) Boxplots show the results of the $2^{-(\Delta\Delta Ct)}$ method, calculated from average

adjusted Ct values for each locus and individual. Symbols represent individual datapoints, boxes

represent the interquartile range, thick lines represent medians, and whiskers represent 1.5

times the interquartile range. (B) RT-qPCR results for females. (C) RT-qPCR results for males. (D)

RT-qPCR results for spiderlings for the subset of markers delivering reliable results (ABS-9.3,

ABS-9.4, ABS 9.5, ABA-7).

A Genome sequencing coverage

Sequencing coverage (male:female)

Superscaffold: Autosomal, Sex-linked

B RT-qPCR of females

DNA abundance, female:female ($2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$)

Marker type: Autosomal, Sex-linked

C RT-qPCR of males

DNA abundance, male:female ($2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$)

Marker type: Autosomal, Sex-linked

D RT-qPCR of spiderlings

DNA abundance, spiderling:female ($2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$)

Probable sex: Female, Male