Identification of sex chromosomes using genomic and

cytogenetic methods in a range-expanding spider, *Argiope*

bruennichi (Araneae: Araneidae)

Running title: Sex chromosomes in a spider

Monica M. Sheffer[1]*, Mathilde Cordellier[2], Martin Forman[3], Malte Grewoldt[2#], Katharina

Hoffmann[2], Corinna Jensen[4,5], Matěj Kotz[3], Jiří Král[3], Andreas W. Kuss[4,5], Eva Líznarová[3,6],

Gabriele Uhl[1]

[1]Zoological Institute and Museum, University of Greifswald, 17489 Greifswald, Germany

[2]Institute of Zoology, University of Hamburg, 20146 Hamburg, Germany

[3]Department of Genetics and Microbiology, Charles University, Viničná 5, 128 44 Prague 2,

Czech Republic

[4]Center for Functional Genomics of Microbes, University of Greifswald, 17489 Greifswald,

Germany

[5]Interfaculty Institute for Genetics and Functional Genomics, University of Greifswald, 17489

Greifswald, Germany

[6]Department of Botany and Zoology, Masaryk University, 611 37 Brno, Czech Republic

*Correspondence address: Monica M. Sheffer, Zoological Institute and Museum, University of

Greifswald, Loitzer Strasse 26, 17489 Greifswald, Germany

Correspondence E-Mail: monicasheffer.research@gmail.com

[#]Current affiliation: Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Aarhus University, 8000

Aarhus, Denmark

Abstract:

Differences between sexes in growth, ecology and behavior strongly shape species biology. In some animal groups, such as spiders, it is difficult or impossible to identify the sex of juveniles. This information would be useful for field surveys, behavioral experiments, and ecological studies on e.g. sex ratios and dispersal. In species with sex chromosomes, sex can be determined based on the specific sex chromosome complement. Additionally, information on the sequence of sex chromosomes provides the basis for studying sex chromosome evolution. We combined cytogenetic and genomic data to identify the sex chromosomes in the sexually dimorphic spider *Argiope bruennichi*, and designed RT-qPCR sex markers. We found that genome size and GC content of this spider falls into the range reported for the majority of araneids. The male karyotype is formed by 24 acrocentric chromosomes with an X_1X_2O sex chromosome system, with little similarity between X chromosomes, suggesting origin of these chromosomes by X chromosome fission or early duplication of an X chromosome and subsequent independent differentiation of the copies. Our data suggest similarly sized X chromosomes in *A. bruennichi.* They are smaller chromosomes of the complement. Our findings open the door to new directions in spider evolutionary and ecological research.

Keywords: *Argiope bruennichi* − Araneae − Araneidae − genome size − karyotype − sex chromosome

Introduction

In the majority of animals, sex is genetically determined. Genotypic mechanisms of sex determination are very diverse, depending, for example, on the ratio of X chromosomes to autosomes, on sex-determining factor(s) localized on autosomes and/or sex chromosomes, on the presence or absence of a sex chromosome specific for the heterogametic sex (Y or W), or on ploidy level as in many Hymenoptera (Bachtrog *et al.*, 2014; Hamm, Meisel, & Scott, 2015; Vicoso, 2019).

In animal species with genotypic sex determination, females and males often differ in the type and/or number of sex chromosomes, with many variations. Knowledge on the karyotype and the specifics of the sex chromosomes provides the basis for studying a plethora of ecological and evolutionary questions. For ecological studies, it is desirable to know the sex of collected individuals. Offspring sex allocation, sex-specific growth and dispersal strategies, and sex differences in early developmental pathways and physiological plasticity could then be investigated (Cordellier *et al.*, 2020). However, in many animal groups, the sexes cannot easily be identified in adults and less so in juveniles. The sex of early stages is often only determinable based on their sex chromosome complement (e.g. Avilés & Maddison, 1991).

Spiders belong to one of the most diverse animal orders (Coddington & Levi, 1991). While they play an important role in terrestrial ecosystems, it is impossible to sex juvenile spiders in the field. Spiders possess complex sex chromosome systems. To date, karyotypes of more than 800 spider species have been characterized (Araujo *et al.*, 2021). In 67% of karyotyped spiders, and particularly in the entelegyne spiders, which are the most-studied, there are two X chromosomes,

X_1 and X_2 ($X_1X_2$0 system), where the male exhibits one copy and the female two copies

(♂X_1X_2/♀$X_1X_1X_2X_2$) (Araujo et al., 2012). This pattern is probably ancestral for entelegynes (Král

et al., 2006). Systems with three X chromosomes or more also exist. These X chromosomes are

supposedly non-homologous based on their achiasmatic pairing during male meiosis (Kořínková

& Král, 2013). Some lineages of entelegyne spiders exhibit an X0 system, which has originated by

X chromosome fusions, or neo-sex chromosomes, which arose by rearrangements between X

chromosome(s) and autosome(s). Neo-sex chromosome systems contain both X and Y

chromosome(s). Systems formed by two or more X chromosomes are termed multiple X

chromosome systems (White, 1973).

As a consequence of the different number of X chromosome copies in males and females, sexing

could be achieved in spiders once targeted molecular markers for X chromosomes are available:

the relative copy number of sequences on the X chromosomes, with males having half the

number of copies relative to females, could be assessed through quantitative PCR and sexing

performed in minimally invasive way, at a throughput that is reasonable for ecological studies.

In recent years, several approaches to identify X chromosomal sequences have been introduced

that rely on high throughput sequencing methods. These methods were reviewed by Palmer et

al. (2019), and vary in their costs, required sample sizes, and on the availability of a reference

genome (Al-Dous et al., 2011; Picq et al., 2014; Gautier, 2014; Hou et al., 2015; Muyle et al.,

2016). In species with a chromosome-level genome assembly, comparing the sequencing

coverage depth across chromosomes between individuals of each sex is a reliable method for

identifying sex chromosomes. Females, as the homogametic sex, would show twice as much

coverage as males on X chromosomes (i.e. Vicoso & Bachtrog, 2011; Fraïsse, Picard, & Vicoso,

2017).

In 2021, Sheffer *et al.* published an annotated genome assembled to haploid chromosome level

of an entelegyne orb-weaving spider, *Argiope bruennichi* (Scopoli, 1772). With this chromosome-

level genome, we can employ the coverage depth approach to identify sex chromosome

sequences in this species. *A. bruennichi* is a sexually dimorphic species with a Palearctic

distribution, which has rapidly expanded its range in Europe over the course of the last century

(Krehenwinkel & Tautz, 2013; Krehenwinkel, Rödder, & Tautz, 2015; Wawer *et al.*, 2017). Sex

differences in early life stages of these spiders are particularly interesting since juveniles perform

aerial dispersal with silk (ballooning), a behavior which is restricted to the first few instars

(Krüger, 2014) and might be sex biased (Krehenwinkel *et al.*, 2016).

The *A. bruennichi* genome assembly was based upon female specimens, and is comprised of 13

scaffolds, consistent with the known number of chromosome pairs for females of the species

(Zhang & Tong, 1990). With additional karyological and sequence data for males, it would be

possible to unequivocally ascertain the karyotype/sex determination system of the species and

identify the sex chromosomes in the published assembly.

We set out to (i) determine the karyotype and genome size of *A. bruennichi*, (ii) identify which

superscaffolds represent sex chromosomes in the genome assembly, and (iii) develop molecular

markers for sexing spiders using qPCR.

Methods

Chromosome preparations and their evaluation

We collected eight subadult *A. bruennichi* males for chromosomal analysis at village Březová,

Czech Republic (49.901N, 13.887E) in late June 2021. The number of meiotic cells in the testes of

these males was lower than found in subadult or young adult males of other araneids (Kotz and

Forman, unpublished observation). We followed the protocol of Dolejš *et al.* (2011) for

preparation of chromosome slides and Giemsa staining. We inspected the slides under an

Olympus BX 50 microscope, and photographed selected plates using an Olympus DP 71 CCD

camera. We measured chromosome lengths using ImageJ (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/index.html).

The length ratio of sex chromosomes was established using 20 randomly selected diakinesis and

metaphase I plates. Chromosome morphology was based on centromere position, which was

apparent during early metaphase II.

Determination of genome size and GC content

We determined the diploid genome size (2C value) and guanosine cytosine (GC) content of *A.*

bruennichi by flow cytometry (FCM) using five adult males and five females (collected in July

2020, Albrechtice, Czech Republic, 49.924N, 16.658E, stored at −80 °C). Sample preparation was

based on the protocol of Král *et al.* (2019) with different buffer. We chopped legs together with

an internal plant standard in GBP buffer (Loureiro *et al.*, 2007), with pH adjusted to 9.5 and 1.5%

(v/v) of Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) and 1.5% (v/v) of polyvinylpyrrolidone (Sigma-Aldrich). After

filtration through a nylon sifter, we added fluorescent dye. We performed two concurrent FCM

gauges, using: (i) Propidium iodide (PI, 50 µg/ml) - nonspecific dye to establish genome size of

the sample and (ii) 4',6-Diamidine-2'-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI, 4 µg/ml) as a

fluorochrome with higher specificity to AT pairs. The resulting histograms were evaluated using

FloMax software (Partec). We calculated the 2C value as the ratio of PI fluorescence of sample

and standard. We compared PI and DAPI ratios to establish GC content as described in Šmarda

et al. (2008). We measured each individual twice for PI and twice for DAPI to account for machine

fluctuations. We used fresh leaves of *Pisum sativum* cultivar 'Ctirad' as internal standard. We

used the following genomic values of this plant for calculations: 2C=7841.27 Mbp, GC=41.77%

(Veselý *et al.*, 2012). Primary data used for calculation of 2C and base content are available in

Supplementary Table S1.

Whole-genome sequencing of male and female *Argiope bruennichi*

DNA extraction and library preparation

We will refer to chromosome-level scaffolds in the genome assembly as "superscaffolds" and

number all of them by length. For karyotype, we use the traditional approach and number

autosomes by length and refer to X chromosomes separately.

In order to identify the X chromosomes in the *A. bruennichi* genome assembly, we sequenced

one adult male and one adult female collected near Klausdorf, Germany at the end of July, 2020

(54.424N, 13.029E). We extracted DNA from eight legs of the male and four legs of the female,

as follows: we disrupted the leg tissue with a mortar, pestle, and liquid nitrogen. The powdered

tissue was transferred into tubes containing Proteinase K and cell lysis buffer (10mM Tris pH 8,

100mM NaCl, 10mM EDTA pH 8, 0.5% SDS, double-distilled water). We incubated the tubes

overnight at 55°C, cooled them to room temperature and added RNAse A. We used 5M NaCl to

precipitate proteins and Isopropanol to precipitate DNA. We cleaned the DNA extract using 70%

Ethanol, and eluted it in TE buffer. We prepared the libraries with the NEBNext Ultra II FS DNA

Library Prep Kit (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, Massachusetts, USA) according to the

manufacturer's protocol. We indexed and amplified the libraries with six PCR cycles, then pooled

them and sequenced the 2x75 bp paired-end libraries on one Flowcell of an Illumina NextSeq 550

with a High Output v2 kit (150 cycles) (Illumina Inc., San Diego, California, USA).

Bioinformatic processing and coverage comparison

The reads were demultiplexed using the Illumina bcl2fastq tool in BaseSpace. We removed

adapter sequences using AdapterRemoval (v.2.2.2) (Schubert, Lindgreen, & Orlando, 2016) with

the --identify-adapters flag. Read quality was assessed using FastQC (v0.11.8) (Andrews, 2010);

all reads were high quality and no further quality trimming was necessary. Adapter-trimmed

reads are available under BioProject PRJNA629526. We mapped the reads of each specimen onto

the *A. bruennichi* genome assembly using BWA-MEM (v.0.7.12-r1039) with default settings (Li,

2013), sorted and indexed the mapped reads using SAMtools sort and SAMtools index (SAMtools

v.1.3.1) (Li *et al.*, 2009), then calculated the coverage of each of the 13 superscaffolds using

QualiMap (v.2.2.1) (Okonechnikov, Conesa, & García-Alcalde, 2016).

Development of sex markers using RT-qPCR

DNA extraction

We extracted genomic DNA from two males collected in Greifswald (54.093N, 13.366E) and four females collected near Klausdorf (54.424N, 13.029E), Germany at the beginning of August, 2020 with the Promega ReliaPrep^TM gDNA Tissue Miniprep System. We ground two legs from females or four legs from males with a Dounce homogenizer; we added 200 μl of Tail Lysis Buffer and 30 μl of Proteinase K Solution to the sample, and extracted the DNA following the manufacturer's instructions. We carried out the final elution step twice to a total elution volume of 100 μl. We determined the DNA concentration for each sample using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE) and a Qubit 4 Fluorometer with the Quant-iT™ Qubit™ dsDNA BR Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE) (Table S2).

Primer design, PCR and RT-qPCR

We designed primers for loci on the putative X chromosomes (superscaffolds 9 and 10 in the *A. bruennichi* assembly; see results section) and 2 putative autosomes, superscaffolds 5 and 7, using Primer3 (version 4.1.0; Untergasser *et al.*, 2012) with the following parameters: length between 20 and 25 nucleotides, melting temperature between 57 and 62°C, region amplified between 150 and 200 bp in length, with one GC clamp (Table S3). We used additional data to select the loci, such as the location of homologous sequences in spiders *Trichonephila senegalensis* (Araneidae) (Walckenaer, 1841) (Grewoldt *et al.,* unpublished data) and *Stegodyphus mimosarum* (Eresidae) (Pavesi, 1883) (Bechsgaard *et al.,* 2019). We tested the amplification with conventional PCR,

checked on a 1.5% agarose gel with ethidium bromide staining and UV transillumination. We then

conducted RT-qPCR using the EvaGreen® qPCR-Mix II, on a ThermoFisher StepOne™ Real-Time

PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA). Primer efficiencies were measured by

diluting template DNA from a female in a stepwise manner to obtain a standard curve. After

ensuring comparable efficiencies for all primer pairs, we used DNA from the two females and

males as templates and simultaneously measured amplification in real time for each primer pair

in triplicate. One negative control (no DNA template) was used for each primer combination. Both

amplification protocols were conducted with the following reaction mix: 2 µL EvaGreen® qPCR-

Mix II 5x, 0.2 µL forward primer, 0.2 µL reverse primer, 1µL template DNA, and 6.6 µL nuclease

free water. We used the following program: initial denaturation for 15 minutes at 95°C, 40 cycles

of denaturation (15 seconds at 95°C), annealing (20 seconds at 60°C), and extension (20 seconds

at 72°C), with a final extension at 72°C for 10 minutes, and a melting curve (ramping from 65°C

to 95°C). Ct values were collected for further analysis (Table S2).

RT-qPCR data analysis

We applied the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method according to Rao *et al.*, 2013 to analyze the RT-qPCR data. To

account for different primer efficiencies (E_{real}), the Ct values were normalized to correspond to

an optimal efficiency of 100% (E_{opt}). We averaged the Ct values of the three technical replicates;

if any of the three replicates showed a standard deviation >1, it was excluded from the average.

The ΔCt value was calculated from the difference between reference sequences (autosomal loci)

and a target sequence of interest (X-linked locus). Further, the female data points were used as

the reference, as females possess two sex-linked and two autosomal gene copies. Consequently,

if the number of gene copies between females and males is the same, a value of 1 is expected,

whereas if the number of gene copies in males is half that in females, a value of 0.5 is expected.

Results

Chromosomes

The male karyotype of *A. bruennichi* was formed by 24 acrocentric chromosomes; the size

difference between chromosomes was gradual from the longest to the shortest (Figure 1A).

Analysis of male meiotic division revealed an X_1X_2O sex chromosome system (Figure 1B, E-J).

Chromosomes X_1 and X_2 were similar in length; X_2 length corresponded to 91.3% (±5.3) of X_1. We

observed nearly the complete course of male meiotic division except anaphase I, telophase I, and

telophase II. X chromosomes were positively heteropycnotic (i.e. they stained more intensively)

from the beginning of meiosis until diakinesis (Figure 1B-E). The intensity of heteropycnosis

decreased during metaphase I; X chromosomes were isopycnotic or slightly positively

heteropycnotic during this period (Figure 1F). Positive heteropycnosis reappeared during

prophase II (Figure 1G). During metaphase II (Fig. 1H), X chromosomes exhibited a slight positive

heteropycnosis only. This pattern was also displayed at late anaphase II (Figure 1J). Tight pairing

of X_1 and X_2 chromosomes was initiated at zygotene (Figure 1C). During zygotene and pachytene,

sex chromosomes formed a body on the periphery of the plate (Figure 1C, D). During late

prophase I (diplotene-diakinesis), X chromosome pairing was restricted to centromeric regions

(Figure 1E). From metaphase I onwards, X chromosomes were arranged in parallel (Figure 1F, G,

J).

Figure 1. A. bruennichi *male, 2n=24, X₁X₂0, Giemsa stained mitotic (A) or meiotic (B-J) chromosomes. Arrows points to sex*
chromosomes. (A) Karyotype, based on spermatogonial prometaphase, (B) leptotene. Chromosomes X₁ and X₂ are not associated,

Genome size and GC content

Female 2C was 4,079.809 Mbp (standard error (SE) ±256.265) and male 2C 3,921.083 Mbp (SE

±207.799). The average chromosome size (2C/2n) was 160.147 Mbp (156.916 Mbp based on

female and 163.378 Mbp based on male data, respectively). X chromosomes contained 158.727

Mbp of DNA, based on the difference in female and male 2C. Taking in account the length ratio

of X chromosomes, size of X_1 chromosome can be estimated as 82.973 Mbp and size of X_2 as

75.754 Mbp. The size of the X chromosomes was below the average chromosome size. Therefore,

X chromosomes belonged to small chromosomes of the karyotype. The genome contained a low

GC proportion, 35.500 % of GC (SE ±1.289) in females and 36.730% (SE ±1.189) in males,

respectively.

Coverage of male and female

242 The mean coverage (± standard deviation) across the 13 superscaffolds was 15.34 ±2.57 fold for

243 the male and 16.50 ±0.76 fold for the female. We divided the coverage of the male for each

244 superscaffold by the coverage of the female, to get the male's relative coverage. 11/13

245 superscaffolds have nearly equal coverage of male relative to female, while two superscaffolds

246 (9 and 10) have half coverage in the male (Figure 2A).

RT-qPCR

To compare sex-linked with autosomal loci, the efficiency of the primers for each locus were

evaluated. Primer efficiencies ranged from 85.2- 104.8%, for the chosen loci (Table S3). Likewise,

a melting curve was generated for each locus, confirming a specific amplification during RT-qPCR.

X-linkage was validated using RT-qPCR on DNA extracted from two males and two females (Figure

2B). The respective loci, sex-linked as well as autosomal, were normalized against the average of

all autosomal loci used and the relative DNA abundance in comparison to females was

determined using the $2^{-(\Delta\Delta Ct)}$ method. The sex-linked loci on superscaffold 9 exhibited on average

a 0.51-0.58 fold-change in DNA abundance, while the locus on superscaffold 10 had an average

fold-change of 1.04. Fold-change was between 0.87-1.17 on average for the autosomal loci on

superscaffolds 5 and 7 (Figure 2B). Sex-linked loci on superscaffold 9 thus show about half the

DNA abundance in males with an average of 0.55 compared to females. For autosomal loci, the

average value of 1.01 corresponds to approximately the same DNA abundance.

Discussion

Our study determined the male karyotype of *A. bruennichi*. It is composed of 24 chromosomes

with an X_1X_20 system. The same karyotype was found in other two *Argiope* species, namely *A.*

minuta (Datta & Chatterjee, 1988), and *A. pulchella* (Bole-Gowda, 1958). This karyotype is the

most common in analyzed species of the superfamily Araneoidea, and is probably an ancestral

feature of this clade (Araujo *et al.*, 2015). As in most other entelegyne spiders, the karyotype of

A. bruennichi is formed by acrocentric chromosomes (Kořínková & Král, 2013); this karyotype

stucture can be considered ancestral for entelegynes (Král *et al.*, 2006). Data on three other

Argiope species are incomplete or different from *A. bruennichi*: the male karyotype of *A. amoena*

is also formed by 24 chromosomes, X_1X_20 system, but the chromosome morphology was not

determined (Suzuki, 1951). The same diploid number was found in *A. catenulata* (Amalin, Barrion,

& Rueda, 1992) and *A. luzona* (Carandang & Barrion, 1994), but the chromosome complement of

A. luzona is reported to consist of both monoarmed (acrocentric and subtelocentric) and biarmed

(metacentric and submetacentric) chromosomes (Carandang & Barrion, 1994). This karyotype

structure is exceptional in entelegynes, and should be revisited by analysis of metaphase II, when

centromeres are unequivocally identifiable. Biarmed chromosomes of *A. luzona* could arise from

acrocentric chromosomes, such as those found in *A. bruennichi*, by pericentric inversions. The

karyotype of *A. catenulata* is reported to be composed of holocentric chromosomes with an XY

system (Amalin *et al.*, 1992). In this case, the authors have most likely mistaken acrocentric

chromosomes for holocentric ones, as they do not report any features which support holocentric

structure of chromosomes. Moreover, other studied *Argiope* species have monocentric

chromosomes (Kořínková & Král, 2013). Furthermore, the determination of the XY system by

Amalin *et al.* (1992) is probably also incorrect, as they do not provide any supporting information

on the mode of sex chromosome pairing or sex chromosome segregation during male meiosis.

As mentioned above, our karyotype analysis revealed 2n=24, X_1X_20 in male of *A. bruennichi*.

Given the X_1X_20 system, where males are hemizygous for two X chromosomes, this result fits with

the 13 superscaffolds in the haploid genome assembly of a female *A. bruennichi* published by

Sheffer *et al.* (2021): 11 autosomes + X_1 + X_2. The result showing half coverage for superscaffolds

9 and 10 in the male indicates that these represent the X chromosomes in the *A. bruennichi*

genome assembly. These superscaffolds are very similar in length (123.24 Mbp and 122.82 Mbp),

which does not match the lengths of X_1 and X_2 (82.973 Mbp and 75.754 Mbp) derived from the

comparison of male and female genome sizes, with the $X_1:X_2$ ratio from image-based

measurements. However, the genome size measurements had a relatively high standard error,

and the superscaffold lengths fall within this error margin. The fact that we identified two

superscaffolds as putative X chromosomes supports the hypothesis that X chromosomes are non-

homologous or highly divergent (Kořínková & Král, 2013). If they were homologous, the process

of genome assembly would have likely combined them into a single superscaffold, as for

homologous autosomal pairs.

In addition to the multiple X chromosomes, an XY sex chromosome pair has been discovered in

two distantly related entelegyne families, Agelenidae and Lycosidae (Král, 2007; Král *et al.*, 2011).

The pair associates at the centromeres with the multiple X chromosomes during male meiosis

(Král, 2007). This pair has been termed "cryptic sex chromosome pair" (CSCP) (Sember *et al.*,

2020) since the chromosomes are homomorphic (i.e., exhibit no morphological differentiation),

and can only be detected under optimal conditions due to fragile meiotic association with the X

chromosomes (Král, 2007; Král *et al.*, 2011). Due to its discovery in some entelegyne lineages, as

well as in more basally branching spider groups, it has been hypothesized that the CSCP represent

ancestral spider sex chromosomes (Král, 2007; Král *et al.*, 2011, 2013; Sember *et al.*, 2020).

Whether or not *A. bruennichi* possesses the CSCP is beyond the scope of this study. However, if

it does exist in *A. bruennichi*, then the differentiation of X and Y must be very low, as we could

not detect them with the given data, and thus the male Y sequences map to the X of the CSCP in

the genome assembly. A very low differentiation between chromosomes X and Y of CSCP is

supported by identical morphology of these chromosomes (Král, 2007; Král *et al.*, 2011, 2013),

distribution of chiasmata, which can be formed along the entire or almost entire chromosome

length, as well as by lack of differential hybridization between chromosomes of the CSCP, probed

by comparative genomic hybridization (Sember *et al.*, 2020).

The X_1X_2O system of entelegyne spiders is thought to have arisen by X chromosome fission (e.g.,

Pätau, 1948), nondisjunction of the X chromosome of an X0 system (e.g., Postiglioni & Brum-

Zorrilla, 1981), or nondisjunction of the X chromosome of the CSCP (Král, 2007). The non-

homologous nature of X_1 and X_2 chromosomes found in *A. bruennichi* could reflect their origin by

X chromosome fission. Alternatively, lack of sequence homology could reflect a homologous

origin via early duplication of the X chromosome in an X0 system (or early duplications of the X

chromosome of CSCP) followed by a long period of structural differentiation of X_1 and X_2

chromosomes by gene mutations and chromosomal rearrangements. Recent analyses of

karyotype evolution of araneomorph spiders suggest a considerable age of X_1 and X_2

chromosomes of the entelegyne $X_1X_2$0 system (Král *et al.*, 2006; Ávila Herrera *et al.*, 2021).

Differentiation of X chromosome copies could be accelerated by the inactivation of X

chromosome bivalents during meiosis of spider females (Král, 2007).

Interestingly, superscaffold 9 (i.e. chromosome X_1) contains Hox cluster "A" in the *A. bruennichi*

genome assembly (Sheffer *et al.*, 2021). Hox genes are a set of highly conserved genes that

regulate the body plan organization of animals (Pearson, Lemons, & McGinnis, 2005). This result

should be tested with fluorescence *in situ* hybridization, and compared with other spiders, when

chromosome-level assemblies and sequences for X chromosomes become available for more

species. The localization of the cluster has potential implications of dosage differences in Hox

genes for males and females, and the suspected compensation mechanisms should be

investigated further.

Our measurements of genome size and GC content also roughly matched data from the published

genome assembly (Sheffer *et al.*, 2021). The female measurement of 2C=4,079.809 Mbp

±256.265 corresponds to a haploid (1C) genome size of 2,039.904 Mbp ±128.132 (this study). The

genome assembly has a size of 1,670.286 Mbp (Sheffer *et al.*, 2021). Likewise, the average

chromosome size for females was 156.916 Mbp based on flow cytometry (this study), and

126.475 Mbp in the genome assembly (Sheffer *et al.*, 2021). The process of genome assembly

may collapse repetitive regions, and usually results in a smaller assembly size than measured via

flow cytometry (see Pflug *et al.*, 2020). The GC content in flow cytometry measurements was

35.5% (this study), while the genome assembly has a GC content of 29.3%. Reduced GC content

is a common trait in spiders, and the estimates reported here fall within the range reported for

entelegyne spiders (27.2-35%, see Krehenwinkel *et al.*, 2019; Sheffer *et al.*, 2021), and indeed all

other investigated spider species (Král *et al.*, 2019). *A. bruennichi* exhibits a larger genome than

the two other studied *Argiope* species established using Feulgen densitometry, *A. aurantia* (1,584

Mbp) and *A. trifasciata* (1,653 Mbp) (Gregory & Shorthouse, 2003), but falls into the range of 1C

reported for the majority of araneids. Excluding the large genome of *Hyposinga* sp. (4,000 Mbp),

araneids exhibit a low diversity of genome sizes (1,438 – 2,680 Mbp) (Gregory & Shorthouse,

2003).

The DNA abundance values obtained by RT-qPCR in males for loci on superscaffold 9 show the

expected pattern of approximately half abundance relative to autosomal markers, and can thus

be reliably used for sexing spiders. The values obtained for ABS-10C, a locus on superscaffold 10,

and thus putatively sex-linked, on the other hand, resemble values obtained for autosomal loci.

Given the compelling evidence from the coverage comparison that supports superscaffold 10 as

being one of the two X chromosomes, this is likely due to technical errors during RT-qPCR

validation and requires further testing. The method tested here is applicable to very small DNA

quantities and would allow sexing juvenile spiders as well as early instar spiderlings inside the

egg sac in which they overwinter or right after their emergence.

Having identified the sex chromosomes in the genome assembly, future work can investigate sex

chromosome evolution in *A. bruennichi*. In species in which males are hemizygous for the sex

chromosomes, which we have demonstrated is the case for *A. bruennichi*, the X chromosome is

expected to evolve faster at the molecular level, relative to autosomes. This is due to a number

of factors, such as fewer recombination events, weaker purifying selection or higher positive

selection on recessive alleles relative to autosomes. These processes may lead to the acquisition

of specific gene content on X chromosomes and to an X chromosome to autosome divergence

(Charlesworth, Coyne, & Barton, 1987; Ellegren, 2009). Understanding the forces that drive this

specialization, which genes are involved and whether they are beneficial to females, would help

to increase our understanding of sex chromosome evolution, monogenic versus polygenic sex

determination, and eventually of the evolution of sexual differentiation (Rice, 1984).

Conclusions

We determined the *Argiope bruennichi* karyotype, genome size and GC content, which is

consistent with the existing genome assembly. By comparing sequencing coverage of males and

females, we were able to identify which of the superscaffolds in the genome assembly represent

the multiple X chromosomes. This result enabled us to develop the first RT-qPCR markers

allowing for a molecular approach to sexing spiders early on. Furthermore, having knowledge on

the sex chromosomes in the genome assembly will open doors to studies on sex determination,

sex allocation, sex specific dispersal and growth, and sex dependent physiological and

developmental trajectories.

Data Availability

390 Sequencing reads from the male and female specimens are available on NCBI's Short Read

391 Archive, under BioProject PRJNA629526. Raw data for the flow cytometry data are available in

392 the supplementary material, as is the information on RT-qPCR primers and the Ct values from the

393 RT-qPCR experiments.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Henrik Krehenwinkel and Stefan Prost for discussions and brainstorming

on this project early on. We also thank Lars Jensen for his input on library preparation and

sequencing and Douglas Araujo for access to the paper on the karyotype of *Argiope luzona*. We

are grateful to Shou-Wang Lin, who provided a translation of the original *Argiope bruennichi*

karyotype paper, which started us on this path to generate new data. We thank the Deutsche

Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) for the funding of this study as part of the Research Training

Group 2010 RESPONSE (GRK 2010) awarded to GU. The cytogenetic part of the study was

supported by the Grant Agency of Charles University (project 1000119) and the Ministry of

Education, Youth, and Sports of the Czech Republic (project LTAUSA 19142).

Author contributions

405 Other than the first author position, the authors are listed in alphabetical order by last name, not

406 relative to contributions or institutional hierarchy. MMS, MC, GU, JK and MF conceived of the

407 study. MMS, MF, and GU collected spiders. MMS and CJ isolated DNA, prepared libraries for the

408 whole-genome sequencing and performed the sequencing, with input and infrastructure from

409 GU and AWK. KH, MG, and MC selected loci, designed primers, and performed the RT-qPCR

analysis. MK and MF performed the karyological analysis of chromosomes, with input and

infrastructure from JK. EL performed the flow cytometry measurements of genome size and GC

content. All authors contributed to manuscript writing and revision.

References

Al-Dous EK, George B, Al-Mahmoud ME, Al-Jaber MY, Wang H, Salameh YM, Al-Azwani EK, Chaluvadi S, Pontaroli AC, Debarry J, Arondel V, Ohlrogge J, Saie IJ, Suliman-Elmeer KM, Bennetzen JL, Kruegger RR & Malek JA. **2011**. De novo genome sequencing and comparative genomics of date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera*). *Nature Biotechnology* **29**: 521–527.

Amalin DM, Barrion AA & Rueda LM. **1992**. Morphology and cytology of *Argiope catenulata* (Doleschall) (Araneae: Araneidae). *Asia Life Sciences* **1**: 35–44.

Andrews S. **2010**. FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.

Araujo D, Schneider MC, Paula-Neto E & Cella DM. **2012**. Sex chromosomes and meiosis in spiders: a review. In: Swan A, ed. *Meiosis: molecular mechanisms and cytogenetic diversity*. Rijeka: InTechOpen, 87–108.

Araujo D, Paula-Neto E, Brescovit AD, Cella DM & Schneider MC. **2015**. Chromosomal similarities between Nephilidae and Tetragnathidae indicate unique evolutionary traits among Araneoidea. *Italian Journal of Zoology* **82**: 513–520.

Araujo D, Schneider MC, Paula-Neto E & Cella DM. **2021**. The spider cytogenetic database.

Ávila Herrera IM, Král J, Pastuchová M, Forman M, Musilová J, Kořínková T, Šťáhlavský F, Zrzavá M, Nguyen P, Just P, Haddad CR, Hiřman M, Koubová M, Sadílek D & Huber BA. **2021**. Evolutionary pattern of karyotypes and meiosis in pholcid spiders (Araneae: Pholcidae): implications for reconstructing chromosome evolution of araneomorph spiders. *BMC Ecology and Evolution* **21**: 75.

Avilés L & Maddison W. **1991**. When is the sex ratio biased in social spiders?: Chromosome studies of embryos and male meiosis in *Anelosimus* species (Araneae, Theridiidae). *Journal of Arachnology* **19**: 126–135.

Bachtrog D, Mank JE, Peichel CL, Kirkpatrick M, Otto SP, Ashman TL, Hahn MW, Kitano J, Mayrose I, Ming R, Perrin N, Ross L, Valenzuela N, Vamosi JC, Mank JE, Peichel CL, Ashman TL, Blackmon H, Goldberg EE, Hahn MW, Kirkpatrick M, Kitano J, Mayrose I, Ming R, Pennell MW, Perrin N, Valenzuela N & Vamosi JC. **2014**. Sex determination: Why so many ways of doing it? *PLoS Biology* **12**: 1–13.

Bechsgaard J, Schou MF, Vanthournout B, Hendrickx F, Knudsen B, Settepani V, Schierup MH & Bilde T. **2019**. Evidence for faster X chromosome evolution in spiders. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **36**: 1281–1293.

Bole-Gowda BN. **1958**. A study of the chromosomes during meiosis in twenty-two species of
Indian spiders. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of Bengal* **11**: 69–108.

Carandang RB & Barrion AA. **1994**. Karyotype of the egg chromosomes of *Argiope luzona*
(Walck), an orb-weaving spider (Araneae, Araneidae). *Philippine entomologist* **9**: 443–447.

Charlesworth B, Coyne JA & Barton NH. **1987**. The relative rates of evolution of sex
chromosomes and autosomes. *American Naturalist* **130**: 113–146.

Coddington JA & Levi HW. **1991**. Systematics and evolution of spiders (Araneae). *Annual*
Review of Ecology and Systematics **22**: 565–592.

Cordellier M, Schneider JM, Uhl G & Posnien N. **2020**. Sex differences in spiders: From
phenotype to genomics. *Development Genes and Evolution* **230**: 155–172.

Datta SN & Chatterjee K. **1988**. Chromosomes and sex determination in 13 araneid spiders of
North-Eastern India. *Genetica* **76**: 91–99.

Dolejš P, Kořínková T, Musilová J, Opatová V, Kubcová L, Buchar J & Král J. **2011**. Karyotypes of
central European spiders of the genera *Arctosa, Tricca*, and *Xerolycosa* (Araneae: Lycosidae).
European Journal of Entomology **108**: 1–16.

Ellegren H. **2009**. The different levels of genetic diversity in sex chromosomes and autosomes.
Trends in Genetics **25**: 278–284.

Fraïsse C, Picard MAL & Vicoso B. **2017**. The deep conservation of the Lepidoptera Z
chromosome suggests a non-canonical origin of the W. *Nature Communications* **8**: 1–9.

Gautier M. **2014**. Using genotyping data to assign markers to their chromosome type and to
infer the sex of individuals: a Bayesian model-based classifier. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **14**:
1141–1159.

Gregory TR & Shorthouse DP. **2003**. Genome sizes of spiders. *Journal of Heredity* **94**: 285–290.

Hamm RL, Meisel RP & Scott JG. **2015**. The evolving puzzle of autosomal versus Y-linked male
determination in *Musca domestica*. *G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics* **5**: 371–384.

Hou J, Ye N, Zhang D, Chen Y, Fang L, Dai X & Yin T. **2015**. Different autosomes evolved into sex
chromosomes in the sister genera of *Salix* and *Populus*. *Scientific Reports* **5**: 9076.

Kořínková T & Král J. **2013**. Karyotypes, sex chromosomes, and meiotic division in spiders. In:
Nentwig W, ed. *Spider Ecophysiology*. Berlin: Springer, 159–171.

Král J, Musilová J, Šťáhlavský F, Řezáč M, Akan Z, Edwards RL, Coyle FA & Almerje CR. **2006**.
Evolution of the karyotype and sex chromosome systems in basal clades of araneomorph
spiders (Araneae: Araneomorphae). *Chromosome Research* **14**: 859–880.

Král J. **2007**. Evolution of multiple sex chromosomes in the spider genus *Malthonica* (Araneae:
Agelenidae) indicates unique structure of the spider sex chromosome systems. *Chromosome*
Research **15**: 863–879.

Král J, Kořínková T, Forman M & Krkavcová L. **2011**. Insights into the meiotic behavior and
evolution of multiple sex chromosome systems in spiders. *Cytogenetic and Genome Research*
133: 43–66.

Král J, Kořínková T, Krkavcová L, Musilová J, Forman M, Herrera IMÁ, Haddad CR, Vítková M, Henriques S, Vargas JGP & Hedin M. **2013**. Evolution of karyotype, sex chromosomes, and meiosis in mygalomorph spiders (Araneae: Mygalomorphae). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **109**: 377–408.

Král J, Forman M, Kořínková T, Lerma ACR, Haddad CR, Musilová J, Řezáč M, Herrera IMÁ, Thakur S, Dippenaar-Schoeman AS, Marec F, Horová L & Bureš P. **2019**. Insights into the karyotype and genome evolution of haplogyne spiders indicate a polyploid origin of lineage with holokinetic chromosomes. *Scientific Reports* **9**: 3001.

Krehenwinkel H, Graze M, Rödder D, Tanaka K, Baba YG, Muster C & Uhl G. **2016**. A phylogeographical survey of a highly dispersive spider reveals eastern Asia as a major glacial refugium for Palaearctic fauna. *Journal of Biogeography* **43**: 1583–1594.

Krehenwinkel H, Meese S, Mayer C, Ruch J, Schneider J, Bilde T, Künzel S, Henderson JB, Russack J, Simison WB, Gillespie R & Uhl G. **2019**. Cost effective microsatellite isolation and genotyping by high throughput sequencing. *Journal of Arachnology* **47**: 190–201.

Krehenwinkel H, Rödder D & Tautz D. **2015**. Eco-genomic analysis of the poleward range expansion of the wasp spider *Argiope bruennichi* shows rapid adaptation and genomic admixture. *Global Change Biology* **21**: 4320–4332.

Krehenwinkel H & Tautz D. **2013**. Northern range expansion of European populations of the wasp spider *Argiope bruennichi* is associated with global warming-correlated genetic admixture and population-specific temperature adaptations. *Molecular Ecology* **22**: 2232–2248.

Krüger J. **2014**. Präballooning-Verhalten bei der Wespenspinne *Argiope bruennichi*.

Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G & Durbin R. **2009**. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 2078–2079.

Li H. **2013**. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. *arXiv*.

Loureiro J, Rodriguez E, Doležel J & Santos C. **2007**. Two new nuclear isolation buffers for plant DNA flow cytometry: A test with 37 species. *Annals of Botany* **100**: 875–888.

Muyle A, Käfer J, Zemp N, Mousset S, Picard F & Marais GA. **2016**. SEX-DETector: A probabilistic approach to study sex chromosomes in non-model organisms. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **8**: 2530–2543.

Okonechnikov K, Conesa A & García-Alcalde F. **2016**. Qualimap 2: Advanced multi-sample quality control for high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **32**: 292–294.

Palmer DH, Rogers TF, Dean R & Wright AE. **2019**. How to identify sex chromosomes and their turnover. *Molecular Ecology* **28**: 4709–4724.

Pätau K. **1948**. X-segregation and heterochromasy in the spider *Aranea reaumuri*. *Heredity* **2**: 77–100.

Pearson JC, Lemons D & McGinnis W. **2005**. Modulating Hox gene functions during animal body patterning. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **6**: 893–904.

Pflug JM, Holmes VR, Burrus C, Spencer Johnston J & Maddison DR. **2020**. Measuring genome

sizes using read-depth, k-mers, and flow cytometry: Methodological comparisons in beetles
(Coleoptera). *G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics* **10**: 3047–3060.

Picq S, Santoni S, Lacombe T, Latreille M, Weber A, Ardisson M, Ivorra S, Maghradze D,
Arroyo-Garcia R, Chatelet P, This P, Terral JF & Bacilieri R. **2014**. A small XY chromosomal
region explains sex determination in wild dioecious *V. vinifera* and the reversal to
hermaphroditism in domesticated grapevines. *BMC Plant Biology* **14**: 229.

Postiglioni A & Brum-Zorrilla N. **1981**. Karyological studies on Uruguayan spiders II. Sex
chromosomes in spiders of the genus *Lycosa* (Araneae-Lycosidae). *Genetica* **56**: 47–53.

Rao X, Huang X, Zhou Z & Lin X. **2013**. An improvement of the 2ˆ(-delta delta CT) method for
quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction data analysis. *Biostatistics, bioinformatics and*
biomathematics **3**: 71–85.

Rice WR. **1984**. Sex chromosomes and the evolution of sexual dimorphism. *Evolution* **38**: 735–
742.

Schubert M, Lindgreen S & Orlando L. **2016**. AdapterRemoval v2: Rapid adapter trimming,
identification, and read merging. *BMC Research Notes* **9**: 1–7.

Sember A, Pappová M, Forman M, Nguyen P, Marec F, Dalíková M, Divišová K, Doležálková-
Kaštánková M, Zrzavá M, Sadílek D, Hrubá B & Král J. **2020**. Patterns of sex chromosome
differentiation in spiders: Insights from comparative genomic hybridisation. *Genes* **11**: 849.

Sheffer MM, Hoppe A, Krehenwinkel H, Uhl G, Kuss AW, Jensen L, Jensen C, Gillespie RG, Hoff
KJ & Prost S. **2021**. Chromosome-level reference genome of the European wasp spider *Argiope*
bruennichi: A resource for studies on range expansion and evolutionary adaptation. *GigaScience*
10: 1–12.

Šmarda P, Bureš P, Horová L, Foggi B & Rossi G. **2008**. Genome size and GC content evolution
of *Festuca*: Ancestral expansion and subsequent reduction. *Annals of Botany* **101**: 421–433.

Suzuki S. **1951**. A comparative study of the chromosomes in the family Argiopidae. *Journal of*
Science of the Hiroshima University, Series B, Division 1 **12**: 67–98.

Untergasser A, Cutcutache I, Koressaar T, Ye J, Faircloth BC, Remm M & Rozen SG. **2012**.
Primer3—new capabilities and interfaces. *Nucleic Acids Research* **40**: e115–e115.

Veselý P, Bureš P, Šmarda P & Pavlíček T. **2012**. Genome size and DNA base composition of
geophytes: the mirror of phenology and ecology? *Annals of Botany* **109**: 65–75.

Vicoso B. **2019**. Molecular and evolutionary dynamics of animal sex-chromosome turnover.
Nature Ecology & Evolution 2019 3:12 **3**: 1632–1641.

Vicoso B & Bachtrog D. **2011**. Lack of global dosage compensation in *Schistosoma mansoni*, a
female-heterogametic parasite. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **3**: 230–235.

Wawer W, Rutkowski R, Krehenwinkel H, Lutyk D & Pusz- K. **2017**. Population structure of the
expansive wasp spider (*Argiope bruennichi*) at the edge of its range. *Journal of Arachnology* **45**:
361–369.

White MJD. **1973**. *Animal Cytology and Evolution*. London, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Zhang YJ & Tong SJ. **1990**. The routine method for preparing the chromosomes in spiders.
Chinese Journal of Zoology **25**: 30–31.