1   **Title: BDNF signaling in Hebbian and Stentian structural plasticity in the**
2   **developing visual system**
3

4   **Authors:** Elena Kutsarova[1,2], Anne Schohl[1], Martin Munz[1,3,4] Alex Wang[1,5], Yuan Yuan
5   Zhang[1,6], Olesia M Bilash[1,7], Edward S Ruthazer[1]*
6
Affiliations:1. Montreal Neurological Institute-Hospital, McGill University, Montreal, QC
H3A 2B4, Canada
2. Max Planck Institute for Brain Research, 60438 Frankfurt, Germany
3. Institute of Molecular and Clinical Ophthalmology Basel, 4031 Basel, Switzerland
4. Department of Ophthalmology, University of Basel, 4056 Basel, Switzerland
5. Interdepartmental Neuroscience, Yale University, New Haven, CT
6. Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada
7. NYU Neuroscience Institute, New York University, New York, NY 10016
15
16   *to whom communication should be addressed: edward.ruthazer@mcgill.ca

18   **Keywords:** *Xenopus laevis*, retinotectal, TrkB, p75[NTR], retinal ganglion cell, axon, activity-
19   dependent, *in vivo* imaging

Abstract

During development, patterned neural activity instructs topographic map refinement. Axons with similar patterns of neural activity, converge onto target neurons and stabilize their synapses with these postsynaptic partners, restricting exploratory branch elaboration (Hebbian plasticity). On the other hand, non-correlated firing in inputs leads to synapse weakening and increased exploratory growth of axons (Stentian plasticity). We used visual stimulation to control the correlation structure of neural activity in a few ipsilaterally projecting (ipsi) retinal ganglion cell axons with respect to the majority contralateral eye inputs in the optic tectum of albino *Xenopus laevis* tadpoles. Multiphoton live imaging of ipsi axons, combined with specific disruptions of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) signaling, revealed that both presynaptic p75^{NTR} and TrkB promoted Stentian axonal branch addition, whereas presumptive postsynaptic BDNF signaling mediated Hebbian axon stabilization. Additionally, we found that BDNF signaling contributes to suppression of branch elimination locally in response to correlated firing of inputs.

Introduction

Sensory experience during early development is crucial for the formation of precise topographic maps throughout the brain (López-Bendito and Molnár, 2003). Retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) in fish and amphibians can fire action potentials in response to visual stimuli during the period when they first extend into the optic tectum, whereas in mammalian development, spontaneous waves of retinal activity drive RGC patterned activity (Holt and Harris, 1983; Demas et al., 2012; Arroyo and Feller, 2016; Pratt et al., 2016). Therefore, temporal correlation in firing patterns of RGCs is indicative of their mutual proximity in the retina, and the developing visual system uses this information to instruct structural and functional refinement of retinotopic and higher-order feature maps (Zhang et al., 2011; Munz et al., 2014; Kutsarova et al., 2016). Concretely, co-activation of RGC inputs, read out by calcium flux through postsynaptic N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors (NMDARs), stabilizes retinotectal synapses and their axonal arbors (Cline et al., 1987; Rajan et al., 1999; Ruthazer et al., 2003; Mu and Poo, 2006; Munz et al., 2014; Kesner et al., 2020). This correlation-dependent stabilization is called "Hebbian plasticity" (Hebb, 1949). In contrast, asynchronous firing of RGC axons with respect to their neighboring inputs leads to synaptic weakening, accompanied by an increase in axonal branch dynamics and exploratory growth, referred to as "Stentian plasticity" (Stent, 1973; Munz et al., 2014). Evidence indicates that it is activity in neighboring axons that promotes the Stentian synaptic weakening and branch elaboration of those axons that have not been stabilized by correlated firing and NMDAR activation (Rahman et al., 2020). Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) has been implicated as a potent modulator of synaptic and structural plasticity throughout the brain (Zagrebelsky and Korte, 2014). BDNF can be synthesized and released in a constitutive or activity-dependent manner from axonal terminals and dendrites (Mowla et al., 1999; Balkowiec and Katz, 2000; Yang et al., 2009; Dieni et al., 2012; Orefice et al., 2016; Vignoli et al., 2016). It can be released as an active precursor form, proBDNF, which exerts its function mainly via p75^{NTR} and sortilin signaling, or as the cleaved mature form (mBDNF), which signals mainly via TrkB and p75^{NTR}/TrkB (Chao and Hempstead, 1995; Rösch et al., 2005; Woo et al., 2005; Baho et al., 2019). The specifics of BDNF synthesis, secretion and receptor signaling create multi-layered regulation underlying the diversity of

functions served by BDNF in the brain. Notably, at developing neuromuscular synapses,

proBDNF signaling through presynaptic p75^{NTR} is required for axonal retraction, whereas

mBDNF signaling through presynaptic TrkB leads to axonal stabilization (Je et al., 2012,

2013). In the developing retinotectal system, exogenous application of BDNF induces

RGC axon branching and growth, whereas depletion of endogenous BDNF impedes

presynaptic synaptobrevin punctum formation and axonal branch stabilization (Cohen-

Cory and Fraser, 1995; Alsina et al., 2001; Hu et al., 2005; Marshak et al., 2007). BDNF

signaling through the TrkB receptor is necessary for Hebbian synaptic strengthening

induced by visual conditioning in the Xenopus retinotectal system, whereas proBNDF

signaling through p75^{NTR} facilitates synaptic weakening (Mu and Poo, 2006; Schwartz et

al., 2011).

To test what aspects of BDNF signaling are respectively involved in Hebbian and

Stentian structural plasticity, we took advantage of ipsilaterally projecting (ipsi) RGC

axons in albino *Xenopus laevis* tadpoles, which typically consist of just one or two ectopic

axons and which spontaneously occur in fewer than half of all animals (Munz et al.,

2014). Exploiting the presence of an ipsi axon, we used optical fibers to present light to

each eye, visually stimulating the ipsi axon in or out of synchrony with the contralateral

eye from which the bulk of inputs originates. Furthermore, we selectively knocked down

either p75^{NTR} or TrkB in these ipsi axons, demonstrating evidence by *in vivo* imaging for

the presynaptic involvement of these neurotrophin receptors as positive regulators of

Stentian axonal branching and growth. We further found that Hebbian stabilization was

blocked by application of an extracellular TrkB-Fc, which prevented BDNF signaling.

Results

Stentian and Hebbian structural plasticity are mediated by distinct components of

BDNF signaling

We performed *in vivo* 2-photon imaging of EGFP-expressing ipsi RGC axonal arbors

in the optic tectum every 10 min for up to 5 h while subjecting the animals to a Darkness-

Asynchronous-Synchronous (DAS) visual stimulation protocol (**Figures 1A and 1B**). Ipsi

RGC axons exhibit greater branch dynamics and growth when stimulated

asynchronously with respect to the majority contra RGC inputs, but synchronous

activation of the ipsi and contra axons results in arbor stabilization (Munz et al., 2014).

To examine the importance of BDNF signaling, we employed two strategies: either

intraventricular injection of TrkB-Fc to sequester released BDNF acutely, or co-

electroporation of EGFP and antisense morpholino oligonucleotide (MO) against p75^{NTR}

or TrkB in the eye to achieve presynaptic knock-down of these receptors in the RGCs

(**Figure 1A, Figure S1**). MOs were tagged with the red fluorescent dye lissamine which

filled the entire the RGC out to its axonal terminal, permitting knock-down to be confirmed

by the presence of both EGFP and lissamine fluorescence in individual ipsi axons. We

quantified the numbers of newly added and lost branches per axon for each 10 min

imaging interval throughout the DAS protocol (**Figures 1B and 1C**). Ipsi axons

containing Ctrl-MO (Control) exhibited increased branch additions during asynchronous

stimulation of the two eyes compared to synchronous stimulation (**Figures 1D and 1F**)

in line with a previous report (Munz et al., 2014). In p75-MO and in TrkB-MO ipsi axons,

the increase in branch additions induced by asynchronous stimulation was prevented

(**Figures 1D and 1F**), indicating a direct role of neurotrophin receptor activation in

Stentian plasticity.

On the other hand, the Hebbian suppression of new branch additions during

synchronous binocular stimulation (Munz et al., 2014) was prevented by depletion of

BDNF signaling by extracellular application of TrkB-Fc (**Figures 1D and 1F**). The

significant increase in branch additions during synchronous stimulation exclusively in

TrkB-Fc treated, but not in neurotrophin receptor knock-down RGC axons, suggests a

key role for BDNF release or extracellular processing in Hebbian suppression of branch

addition. Interestingly, we observed significantly higher rates of branch addition during

synchronous stimulation in BDNF depleted tecta, compared to presynaptic p75^{NTR} or

TrkB knock-down, implying that BDNF acts on targets other than the axon itself,

presumably the postsynaptic terminal, to induce Hebbian retrograde signals that inhibit

formation of new axonal branches.

Presynaptic BDNF signaling helps suppress branch loss during stimulation

In the optic tectum, RGC axons, their postsynaptic partners, and surrounding glia, all

rapidly extend and retract processes in the neuropil during structural refinement of the

developing retinotopic map. Axonal branch elimination occurs in parts of the arbor where

synapses have failed to undergo stabilization, permitting axon pruning and topographic

map refinement (Kutsarova et al., 2016). Knock-down of p75^{NTR} or TrkB in the ipsi RGC

axon, as well as broad depletion of BDNF signaling, led to an overall increase in the

rates of axonal branch loss in response to visual stimulation compared to control ipsi

axons (**Figures 1E and 1G**). Thus, under normal conditions, BDNF signaling can help

stabilize axons against activity-induced axonal branch loss.

BDNF signaling spatially restricts branch elimination events

Local action of BDNF has previously been shown to alter synaptic maturation and

dendritic morphology in cells in close proximity to release sites (Horch and Katz, 2002;

Zhang and Poo, 2002; Ohba et al., 2005; Kohara et al., 2007). We set out to further

explore the role of BDNF signaling in the spatial organization of axonal branch addition

and elimination events in response to patterned activity (**Figures 2A and 2B**). To assess

whether remodeling events occurred in close proximity to each other, indicative of local

signaling on the arbor, we extracted the pairwise distances for all addition and for all

elimination events during darkness, asynchronous and synchronous stimulation (**Figure

2C**) and calculated a mean event pair distance for each stimulation period (**Figure 2D**),

shown for the example axon (**Figure 2C**). Differences in arbor size and shape may

impact measurements of mean event pair-distance. We therefore performed Monte

Carlo simulations of the locations of events on the arbor (**Figure S2**) and used the

simulated mean event pair-distances to correct for differences in arbor morphology for

all analyses. The corrected mean pairwise distance between elimination events was

significantly lower during synchronous stimulation compared to darkness in control

axons, consistent with fewer branch elimination events occurring far apart from each

other during correlated firing induced by synchronous stimulation (**Figures 2D and 2E**).

These data reveal that correlated activity causes branch eliminations to become

restricted to a relatively smaller portion of the total arbor, likely through the local

stabilization of some branches. Extracellular depletion of BDNF with TrkB-Fc, or knock-

down of p75^{NTR} or TrkB in ipsi axons, resulted in a redistribution of branch elimination

events, no longer favoring the event proximity normally seen with synchronous

stimulation (**Figure 2E**). Interestingly, this spatial phenomenon was limited to branch

elimination, as we observed no difference in mean pairwise distances of addition events

in control axons across the stimulation periods (**Figure 2F**). These findings point to a

model in which secreted neurotrophin appears to influence branch eliminations and

stabilization within spatially constrained local zones of action.

Axon arbor elaboration over days relies on p75^{NTR} expression in the RGCs

We followed the elaboration of individual RGC axons over 4 days, by co-

electroporating EGFP together with either p75-MO or TrkB-MO to assess the roles

played by these receptors in arbor remodeling, this time focusing our attention on the

predominant contra RGC axons (**Figures 3A-C**). Interestingly, RGC axons knocked-

down for $p75^{NTR}$ exhibited a decreased accumulation of branch tips compared to axons

with TrkB-MO (**Figures 3D and 3E**), suggesting that $p75^{NTR}$ promotes axonal branch

elaboration. Furthermore, $p75^{NTR}$ knock-down also resulted in a significant decrease in

the axon skeletal length compared to control axons (electroporated with Ctrl-MO) and

RGCs electroporated with TrkB-MO (**Figure 3F**), pointing to a major role for $p75^{NTR}$ in

axonal arbor growth. In addition, binning terminal segments (**Figure 3D**) by length

revealed that as axonal arbors became more complex over 4 days, the proportion of

terminal segments categorized as short (1-5 µm) decreased in control and TrkB-MO

axons, whereas it remained unchanged in the p75-MO axons, consistent with their failure

to undergo progressive elaboration (**Figure 3G**). These data reveal that $p75^{NTR}$ in the

RGCs helps both to promote new branch accumulation and the elongation of the short

filopodium-like branches over days, resulting in a more complex terminal arbor.

$p75^{NTR}$ and TrkB in RGCs play opposing roles in axonal arbor span enlargement

The retinotopic map occupies a 3D volume within the tectum (Li et al., 2022), and

therefore a relevant metric of an axon's morphology and refinement is the volume of its

arbor span. We calculated arbor span volume by obtaining a measure of the convexity

of the 3D reconstructed axonal arbor, which was used to define an enclosing volume

whose border lies between a tight fit and a convex hull around the arbor, as described

by Bird and Cuntz (2019) (**Figures 4A-C**). We found that knock-down of $p75^{NTR}$ and TrkB

in RGCs resulted in opposite changes in arbor span volume, with TrkB-MO arbors
expanding more rapidly over 4 days to occupy a greater volume in the optic tectum
compared to p75-MO axons (**Figure 4D-E**). These observations suggest that p75^{NTR} in
the RGC promotes enlargement of the axonal arbor span volume, whereas TrkB
impedes the expansion of RGC arbor volume.

Discussion

Presynaptic p75^{NTR} and TrkB in Stentian exploratory growth

We have shown that presynaptic knock-down of p75^{NTR} and TrkB receptors blocks
the Stentian increase in new branch addition that occurs when an axon does not fire in
synchrony with its neighbors (**Figures 1D and 1F**) (Munz et al., 2014; Rahman et al.,
2020). Furthermore, we found a decrease in branch tip accumulation over 4 days of
repeated imaging in p75-MO axons (**Figure 3E**). Our finding that p75^{NTR} promotes the
elongation of axonal branches specifically through the extension of the shortest
filopodium-like branches (**Figure 3G**) further clarifies the role of p75^{NTR} in RGC axonal
exploratory growth. Previous work demonstrated that when inputs surrounding an axon
actively fire while that axon is quiescent, Stentian "exploratory growth" of the inactive
axon takes place, suggesting the possible release of an intercellular growth-promoting
signal by neighboring cells in the optic tectum (Rahman et al., 2020). From experiments
where we electroporated p75-MO exclusively in the ipsi eye, we can conclude that
p75^{NTR} specifically on the asynchronously firing RGC axon mediates the response to
Stentian signals that promote branch addition and extension. Putative p75^{NTR} ligands
could be released directly by the neighboring axons, indirectly by the postsynaptic
neurons or even by local glia. Further investigation is required to reveal the identities and
the exact sites of release of these potential ligands. However, proBDNF-induced
activation of p75^{NTR} has been shown to promote synaptic weakening both in *Xenopus*
tadpoles and in mice (Woo et al., 2005; Schwartz et al., 2011; Winnubst et al., 2015),
consistent with prior reports that exploratory axon growth is accompanied by a marked
reduction in synaptic strength (Munz et al., 2014). Injection of BDNF in the optic tectum
drives a robust increase in RGC axonal branch number, an effect which could potentially
be the result of activation of either TrkB or p75^{NTR} (Cohen-Cory and Fraser, 1995). The

molecular mechanisms following p75^{NTR} activation may differ in the somato-dendritic and
the axonal compartments due to differential expression of p75^{NTR} interacting partners (
Hermey et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2002; Bronfman and Fainzilber, 2004;). Activation of
dendritic p75^{NTR} has been shown to result in a reduction in dendritic branch complexity
and dendritic spine density in rodent hippocampal neurons (Yang et al., 2014; Orefice et
al., 2016). In contrast, our data demonstrate that *Xenopus* retinotectal axons exhibit
Stentian exploratory growth in response to p75^{NTR} activity.

In our daily imaging data, knock-down of TrkB exerted very little effect on contra axon
branch accumulation and elongation over days (**Figure 3**). One must consider, however,
that even during their early development, RGC axons exhibit coarse topographic order
within the optic tectum and thus, on average exhibit a pattern of firing that is relatively
correlated with that of their neighbors (McLaughlin et al., 2003). It is therefore plausible
that the level of correlated neural activity among contra axons obscures the effects of
Stentian plasticity for all but the most imprecisely targeted stray axons over days. The
short-term dynamic imaging of ipsi axons, which allowed us to systematically alter the
degree of correlation in RGC firing, unmasked a role for presynaptic TrkB in contributing
to Stentian structural plasticity through an increase in the rate of new branch addition
(**Figures 1D and 1F**).

BDNF signaling in Hebbian suppression of axonal branch addition
The axonal branch stabilization induced by correlated firing is dependent on the
activation of NMDARs (Ruthazer et al., 2003; Munz et al., 2014). Specifically,
postsynaptic knock-down of NMDARs in optic tectal neurons results in an increased
number of branch tips in RGC axons, suggesting the existence of a putative retrograde
signal that promotes presynaptic axon arbor stabilization (Kesner et al., 2020). In
addition, such retrograde signaling appears to depend on the activation of CaMKII in the
optic tectal neurons (Zou and Cline, 1996). Sequestering extracellular BDNF prevented
the decrease in branch additions during synchronous stimulation in our experiments
involving dynamic imaging of ipsi axons, a result that was not replicated by axonal p75-
MO or TrkB-MO, suggesting that BDNF indirectly mediates axonal stabilization, perhaps
by acting postsynaptically to drive release of a putative retrograde signal (**Figures 1D**

and 1F). It is also plausible that BDNF may be released downstream of postsynaptic
NMDAR activation and act as an autocrine signal mediating synaptic strengthening
(Schwartz et al., 2011; Harward et al., 2016; Vignoli et al., 2016).

Our data provide evidence for BDNF acting both presynaptically to promote Stentian
exploratory branching and postsynaptically to drive Hebbian stabilization that results in
suppression of new branch addition. These opposing effects could reflect differences in
the levels of expression of TrkB and p75^{NTR} and their interactors in dendrites and axons
as previously mentioned (Dougherty and Milner, 1999; Hermey et al., 2001; Wang et al.,
2002; Bronfman and Fainzilber, 2004). Another possibility is that proBDNF may be
released in response to neural activity to act on p75^{NTR}, but can be further converted to
mBDNF through NMDAR-dependent activation or release of tissue plasminogen
activator (tPA) or matrix metaloproteinase-9 (MMP-9), leading to preferential activation
of TrkB during synchronous stimulation (Qian et al., 1993; Pang et al., 2004;
Dziembowska et al., 2012). Moreover, tPA has been implicated in synaptic strengthening
in the retinotectal projection in response to visual conditioning (Schwartz et al., 2011).
There is evidence to suggest that MMP-9 is involved in the processing of proBDNF to
mBDNF leading to stabilization of a robustly firing motor axon in the NMJ, or in
strengthening of co-active synapses in the CNS (Je et al., 2012; Niculescu et al., 2018).
In the *Xenopus* optic tectum, MMP-9 is necessary for the visual experience driven
increase in tectal neuron dendritic growth (Gore *et al.*, 2021). Results from computational
modeling suggest that the ratio of proBDNF/mBDNF, regulated by local action of MMP-
9, combined with a precisely-timed rise in postsynaptic calcium may be crucial to switch
plasticity from depression to potentiation, presumably due to preferential activation of
p75^{NTR} and TrkB, respectively (Kirchner and Gjorgjieva, 2021). It is also plausible that
the mode of BDNF release varies during asynchronous and synchronous stimulation, in
line with reports proposing that tonic versus acute release of BDNF may differentially
regulate the cell surface level of TrkB and thus distinctly affect neurite outgrowth
(Haapasalo et al., 2002; Ji et al., 2010; Guo et al., 2018).
280
Local action of BDNF signaling in Hebbian branch stabilization

Our analyses on the spatial distributions of addition and elimination of axonal

branches revealed that correlated firing led to more locally targeted elimination events

compared to what occurs in darkness, whereas the mean distance between addition

events stayed similar regardless of stimulation (**Figures 2D-F**). These data are

consistent with previous observations in tadpoles with binocularly innervated optic tecta

showing that axonal branches are added uniformly across the arbor, but branch loss

tends to occur in the territory where inputs from the opposite eye dominate (Ruthazer et

al., 2003). Targeted axonal branch loss within restricted parts of the arbor is consistent

with the existence of widespread elimination-inducing signals counteracted by locally

diffusible signals that protect axonal branches from being eliminated in certain parts of

the arbor. We found that disrupting BDNF signaling in the retinotectal system resulted in

increased rates of branch elimination (**Figure 1E and 1G**). Our data suggest that local

release of BDNF locally confers axonal branch stabilization, such that blocking BDNF

signaling increases the probability of randomly occurring elimination events, even under

conditions of correlated neural activity. Altering the spatial distribution of elimination

events over longer time periods should lead to less compact arbors. Indeed, we observed

that TrkB knock-down in RGCs led to a notably enlarged axonal arbor span (**Figure 4**).

This effect on arbor span was not seen in p75^{NTR} knock-down axons, most likely due to

their overall reduced arbor length and branch number.

In summary, the visual stimulation paradigm in these experiments revealed that

distinct aspects of BDNF signaling are responsible for Stentian and Hebbian structural

plasticity during development (**Figures 4F-H**). We propose that presynaptic p75^{NTR} and

TrkB signaling promote aspects of Stentian exploratory growth (**Figure 4G**) in response

to asynchronous activity. In addition, BDNF, most likely acting postsynaptically, is

necessary for Hebbian suppression of new branch addition and acts locally within the

arbor to regulate axonal branch loss that occurs during correlated firing (**Figure 4H**).

Acknowledgements

We thank Kurt Haas (UBC) for Dynamo software, Kelly Sakaki (UBC) for ideas on imaging setup, Peter Donhauser (ESI) for discussions on image and statistical analysis, Tasnia Rahman (McGill) and Philip Kesner (McGill) for experimental blinding.

Funding

Funding sources are Jeanne Timmins Costello and Molson Neuroengineering Studentships (E.K.), Ann and Richard Sievers Award in Neuroscience (M.M.), McGill SURA (A.W.), CIHR Foundation Grant (FDN-143238; E.S.R.) and NSERC CREATE Neuroengineering Training Grant (E.S.R).

Author Contributions

E.K., A.S., MM. and E.S.R. conceived the experiments. E.K. and A.S. developed the methodology and generated resources. E.K., A.S., M.M., Y.Y.Z., A.W., O.M.B performed experiments. E.K. analyzed the data. E.K. and E.S.R. drafted the manuscript. All authors provided critical feedback and editing of the final manuscript. E.S.R. secured funding and supervised the project. For correspondence edward.ruthazer@mcgill.ca.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

Materials and Methods

Accessibility

Any reagents generated for this study will be made available upon request to the lead contact. Alternatively, the requestor will be directed to a public repository tasked with distributing the reagent.

Raw axon reconstructions from which the analyses were performed will be uploaded to a public repository prior to final acceptance of the paper. These data are currently available at https://box.bic.mni.mcgill.ca/s/tbzd6t8N2Df1WV9

338 Custom MATLAB software (CANDLE denoising) used in this study is available for free
339 download at https://sites.google.com/site/pierrickcoupe/softwares/denoising-for-medical-
340 imaging/multiphoton-filtering.   The   analysis   code   is   available   at
341 https://github.com/ekutsarova/Dynamic-arbor-morphology-analysis.

Xenopus laevis tadpoles

The experiments described in this study were approved by the Animal Care Committee
at the Montreal Neurological Institute and are in accordance with the guidelines of the
Canadian Council on Animal Care. Animals of both sexes were used for the experiments;
At the stages of interest for our study, the sexes cannot be distinguished anatomically.
Albino *Xenopus laevis* tadpoles were generated by induced mating of a sexually mature
female frog, injected with pregnant mare serum gonadotropin (50 IU) 3 days before
mating and with 400 IU human chorionic gonadotropin (HCG) immediately before mating,
and a sexually mature male frog injected with 150 IU HCG up to 8 hours before mating.
The produced fertilized eggs were reared in 0.1X modified Barth's saline with HEPES
(MBSH).

Morpholino Oligonucleotides

Xenopus p75^{NTR} morpholino sequence: 5′-CCA TGC TGA TCC TAG AAA GCT GAT G-
3′ , referred to as p75-MO, *Xenopus* TrkB morpholino sequence: 5′-CCA CTG GAT CCC
CCC TAG AAT GGA G-3′ (Du and Poo, 2004; Je et al., 2012), referred to as TrkB-MO,
and standard control: 5'-CCT CTT ACC TCA GTT ACA ATT TAT A 3' (Gene Tools, LLC),
referred to as Ctrl-MO, were generated and tagged with lissamine on the 3'-end (Gene
Tools, LLC).

TrkB-MO validation experiments

Albino *Xenopus laevis* embryos were microinjected at the 2-cell stage with 18 ng of
Morpholino (TrkB-MO or Ctrl-MO) in each blastomere, using an automatic pressure
microinjector (Harvard Apparatus) with micropipettes pulled from glass (6.66 µL,
Drummond Scientific), using a Flaming Brown Micropipette Puller (P-97, Sutter
Instruments CO.). The morphant tadpoles were screened for lissamine fluorescence at
st. 46 (Nieuwkoop and Faber, 1994). Aside from one phospho-Trk antibody (Cell

Signalling, 9141, AB_2298805), the antibodies against TrkB that we tested showed lack
of specificity to the *Xenopus laevis* TrkB. We therefore validated the TrkB-MO by
measuring the level of phospho-TrkB in response to BDNF treatment between animals
injected with Ctrl-MO and TrkB-MO using the phospho-Trk antibody.

Stage 46 *Xenopus laevis* tadpoles injected at the 2-cell stage with TrkB-MO or Ctrl-MO
and positive for lissamine fluorescence were injected intraventricularly with 100 ng/μL
BDNF (B-250, Alomone Labs) using the same setup described for electroporation-related
DNA injections. After 1 h, brains were dissected and extracted in NP-40 extraction buffer
(10 mM HEPES/NaOH pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 1% NP40) with Halt™
protease/phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (ThermoScientific, P178442). Brain extracts were
then homogenized using 10 s continuous 10% Amplitude on a Branson 250 Sonifier
(Branson Ultrasonics™) equipped with a microtip. The extracts were centrifuged at
13,000 rpm at 4°C for 10 min using a Biofuge 13 centrifuge (Heraeus Instruments).
Laemmli sample buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl pH 6.8, 10% Glycerol, 2% SDS, 0.025%
Bromphenol Blue, 100 mM DTT) was added to the supernatant and the protein samples
were then boiled at 100°C for 5 min for reduction and denaturation of the protein structure.
Proteins were separated on SDS-PAGE (8%) transferred to PVDF membranes
(Immobilon-P, 0.45 μm, Millipore) using wet transfer in transfer buffer (48 mM Tris base,
39 mM glycine, 0.037% SDS, 20% methanol). Molecular markers of known size
(Precision Plus Protein™ Dual Color Standard, Bio-Rad) were run in parallel with the
proteins of interest. Blots were probed with 1:5,000 rabbit anti-p-Trk (Cell Signalling,
9141, AB_2298805), 1:10,000 peroxidase AffiniPure Goat AntiRabbit IgG (H+L; Jackson
Immuno Research Laboratories, inc., 111-035-144, AB_2307391) was used for
visualization. Blots were blocked using 5% BSA (Fraction V, Fisher Scientific) in TBS-T
(20 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.6, 135 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween) for p-Trk, and with 5% fat-free
milk in TBS-T for β-tubulin. To ensure equal loading the blots were probed with 1:20,000
rabbit anti-β-tubulin (sc-9104, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, AB_2241191) and 1:20,000
peroxidase AffiniPure Goat AntiRabbit IgG. Immobilon™ Western Chemiluminescent
HRP Substrate (Millipore) was used to visualize the protein bands on the blots, diluted
1:1 in double distilled water. HyBlot Autoradiography films (Denville Scientific, Inc.) were
used to collect images of the protein bands.

p75-MO validation experiments

Due to a lack of *Xenopus laevis* p75^{NTR}-specific antibody, we took an indirect route to validate that the p75-MO knocks down *Xenopus laevis* p75^{NTR}. The p75^{NTR} sequence was cloned from cDNA from st. 24 *Xenopus laevis* tadpoles (Nieuwkoop and Faber, 1994) Total RNA was extracted from st. 24 tadpoles and cDNA was transcribed with Superscript IV (Thermo Fisher, 18090010). The coding sequence (cds) of p75^{NTR} (starting from the ATG, insensitive to the morpholino, MOres-p75^{NTR}) and the cds with part of the 5'UTR (nucleotide -29 from ATG, sensitive to the morpholino, p75^{NTR}) were PCR amplified using the following forward primers: MOres-p75^{NTR}: 5' ACG TGA ATT CAT GGA AAC CCC TCT G 3', p75NTR: 5' ACG TGA ATT CCC TCA GCC ATC AGC T 3'. The reverse primer was the same for both sequences, p75^{NTR}-reverse: 5' ACG TAC CGG TAA CAC GGG TGA GGT A 3'. The PCR fragments were cloned in frame with EGFP into pCS2+ vector, resulting in MOres-p75-EGFP and p75-EGFP. The plasmids were linearized with NotI and mRNA was prepared with the SP6 mMessageMachine kit (Thermo Fisher, AM1340). Animals were injected at the early 2-cell stage with 2 x 200 pg of either MOres-p75-EGFP or p75-EGFP followed by injection of 2 x 18ng of p75-MO. Animals were screened for MO (lissamine) and EGFP expression. In the animals expressing p75-EGFP and p75-MO there was hardly any EGFP visible and lissamine was used to select animals. Whole animals were extracted at st. 26 in NP40 extraction Buffer supplemented with Halt™ protease/phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (ThermoScientific, P178442). Samples were incubated with Laemmli Buffer for 20 min at 37°C. Extracts were separated on 8% SDS-PAGE (as described in TrkB-MO validations experiments), transferred to PVDF membrane and subsequently stained for EGFP (anti-GFP antibody, 1:20000, abcam ab13970, AB_300798) and goat anti-chicken HRP 1:10,000 (Jackson Immuno Research Laboratories, inc., 103-035-155, AB_233738) and β-tubulin (anti-β-tubulin, 1:20,000, Santa Cruz sc-9104, AB_2241191) and AffiniPure Goat AntiRabbit IgG 1:20,000, as a loading control. Blots were imaged on a BioRad ChemiDoc Imaging System.

Sparse labeling of contralaterally or ipsilaterally projecting RGC axons

Albino *Xenopus laevis* tadpoles (stages 40-42) were anaesthetized by immersion in 0.02% MS-222 (Sigma, A5040) diluted in 0.1X MBSH. The developmental stages were

determined using the standard criteria of Nieuwkoop and Faber (1994). EGFP DNA
plasmid (pEGFP-N1, Clonetech, 6085-1) and oligonucleotides were pressure-injected
into the eye using micropipettes pulled from borosilicate glass with filament (outer
diameter 1.0 mm, inner diameter 0.78 mm; Sutter Instruments) on a PC-10 puller
(Narishige, Japan) and attached to a custom-built manual pressure injection system.
Upon pressure injection of plasmid/morpholino solutions into the eye, current was
delivered across two custom-made platinum plates placed in parallel. A Grass 9 constant
voltage stimulator with a 3 µF capacitor placed in parallel was used to deliver 2 pulses in
each direction: 1.6 ms duration, 36 V for contra axons, 2.5 - 3 ms, 36 V for ipsi axons.
After electroporation, the animals were placed in a 20ºC biological oxygen demand
incubator in a 12h light/12h dark cycle. For long-term daily imaging of contra RGC axons,
RGCs were co-electroporated with MO and plasmid encoding EGFP (4.5 µg/µL : 1.5
µg/µL). To express EGFP in ipsi RGC axons, 2 µg/µL plasmid was pressure-injected. For
experiments involving ipsi knock-down of the BDNF receptors solution containing 3 µg/µL
: 4 µg/µL MO and EGFP was injected into the eye in order to achieve efficient co-delivery
446

Daily imaging of morpholino-loaded/EGFP expressing RGC axons
Animals at st. 45-46 (3 days post-electroporation) containing a single co-labeled RGC
axon were selected and imaged over the next 4 days. After anaesthetizing the tadpoles
by immersion in 0.02% MS-222 (Sigma, T2379) in 0.1x MBSH, they were positioned in
custom-made polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) imaging chambers. Optical section z-series
at 1 µm steps of the axons of interest were acquired using an Olympus FV300 confocal
microscope converted for multiphoton use, equipped with a 60x LUMPlanFL N 1.0NA
water immersion objective. For optimal excitation of the axon-filling EGFP, imaging was
carried out at 910 nm once every day for 4 days. To confirm the presence of lissamine-
tagged MO in the axons of interest and assure minimal cross-talk between the two
channels (green: 500-550 nm and red: 593-668 nm) 3D fluorescence stacks were also
acquired at 840 nm where the EGFP excitation is minimal and the lissamine excitation
peaks.
Dynamic imaging of ipsilaterally projecting RGC axons combined with visual stimulation

Animals were given at least 4 days post-electroporation to assure labeling and proper
knock-down in ipsi axons and imaging was performed in stage 47-48 tadpoles. The
animals were immobilized by intraperitoneal injection of 2.5 mM tubocurarine
hydrochloride pentahydrate (Sigma). In the experiments including acute pharmacological
blockade, 50 µg/mL TrkB-Fc (Recombinant Human Chimera, 688-TK, R&D Systems) was
injected intraventricularly. The animals were then placed in a custom-built imaging
chamber (PDMS), fixed in place with a small drop of 1.8% UltraPureTM Low Melting Point
Agarose (Invitrogen, 16520). The imaging chamber contains two channels for fiber optics,
one leading to each eye, a perfusion and spillover chambers, as previously described
(Rahman et al., 2020). During the whole imaging session, the tadpoles were perfused
with O_2-bubbled 0.1x MBSH. Light flashes were delivered separately to each eye using
an FG365LEC-Custom optic fiber (ThorLabs) placed in a channel leading to each eye.
To generate light flashes Red Rebel 102lm@700mA LEDs (Luxeon Star, Ltd) controlled
by STG4002 and MC Stimulus-II software (Multichannel Systems) were used. After
stabilizing the tadpoles, the chamber was placed under the two-photon microscope where
the animals habituated in darkness for 30 min. Optical section z-series at 1 µm steps of
the EGFP-labeled ipsi axons were acquired every 10 min for 1 h in darkness followed by
1.5 h of asynchronous stimulation: 10 ms flashes, alternating between the two eyes at a
duty cycle of 0.5 Hz for each eye, followed by 1.5 h of synchronous stimulation:
simultaneous 10 ms flashes light in the two eyes presented at 0.5 Hz. For some
experiments involving knock-down of proteins of interest, optical section z-series of EGFP
and lissamine co-labeled RGC axons were acquired for 1 h in darkness, 2 h in
asynchronous and 2 h in synchronous stimulation. Imaging was performed at 910 nm
allowing optimal excitation of EGFP using a ThorLabs multiphoton microscope equipped
with XLUMPlanFL N 1.0 NA 20x WI objective (Olympus), two channels with gallium
arsenide phosphide photo-multiplier tubes (GaAsP PMTs) filtered to detect green and red
emission (525/50 and 630/92) and ThorImage software. For some experiments including
co-electroporation of EGFP and MO, additional optical section z-series were collected at
the end of each imaging session at 840 nm to ensure minimal excitation of EGFP and
maximal excitation of lissamine.

Image Analysis

All multiphoton z-series were denoised using CANDLE software implemented in MATLAB (MathWorks) which relies on non-local mean filtering methods (Coupé et al., 2012). Denoised 3D stacks were then used to reconstruct axonal arbors using "Autopath" and "Autodepth" features in Imaris 6.4.2 (Bitplane) for daily imaging experiments and by manual tracing in Dynamo software (Munz et al., 2014), implemented in MATLAB (MathWorks), generously provided by Drs. Kaspar Podgorski and Kurt Haas (UBC). For morphometric analysis of daily imaged RGC axonal terminal branch points were extracted from Imaris 6.4.2. PyImarisSWC Xtension, implemented in Python, installed in Imaris 9.5.1, was used to export the Imaris reconstructions as swc-files. The exported node coordinates were converted from pixel to μm. The swc-files were imported using "trees toolbox" (Cuntz et al., 2010), which was used to classify axonal segments according to their order derived by the Strahler ordering method, using "strahler_tree" function (Strahler, 1957; Uylings et al., 1975; Vormberg et al., 2017). Axonal segments are defined as axonal structure bordered by two branch points or by a branch and a terminal point. The length of axonal segments with Strahler number of one, referred to as terminal segments was extracted and the distribution of terminal segments binned by length was followed over 4 days. The length of all segments with Strahler number>1 was counted toward the total skeleton length. Axonal span volume was calculated after obtaining the convexity of the 3D reconstructed arbors with "convexity_tree" function and then using the value to calculate and feed a shrink factor into "boundary_tree" function of "tree toolbox" as described by (Bird and Cuntz, 2019). From the dynamic imaging experiments ipsi RGC axon branch additions and eliminations between two consecutive time-series (10 min) were extracted and further normalizations were performed as described in each individual case in the figure legends. A branch was counted towards any further analysis only if it attained a length of 1.5 μm at some point during the imaging session. In two axons the asynchronous stimulation was 10 min longer, and this extra timepoint was not used for further analyses. In two axons a timepoint was missing resulting longer (20 min) interval between two timepoints. Addition events were defined as the branch points of newly added branches between "t-10 min" and "t". Elimination events were defined as the terminal points of branches lost between "t" and "t+10 min". Rigid body transformation

using manual landmarks in Dynamo was applied to align the timepoints of the
reconstructed arbor. The coordinates of all the events throughout a stimulation period –
dark, asynchronous or synchronous, were extracted and the pairwise distances between
all the elimination and all the addition events were calculated. For one axon from the
TrkB-Fc group, there was only one elimination event throughout the dark period, which
prevented the calculation of mean elimination event pair distance for the dark period and
further normalization. Thus, this cell was excluded from the elimination event analyses.
To assess whether the changes in mean distances were explained by changes in axon
arbor morphology, a randomization of the events on the axonal arbor was performed. To
do that, the reconstructions in Dynamo were used to extract the coordinates of each node
and a swc-file was exported for each timepoint of the imaging session. The reconstruction
was then resampled using "resample_tree" from "trees_toolbox" such that the nodes
composing the arbor were equidistant at 0.15 μm. The number of observed addition
events between "t-10 min" and "t" was randomly distributed on the axonal arbor at "t". The
number of observed elimination events between t" and "t+10 min" was randomly assigned
to the terminal points of the axonal arbor at "t". Pairwise distances between the simulated
addition or elimination events within a stimulation period was calculated and then the
mean pairwise distance for stimulation period obtained. This randomization was repeated
100 times and the average simulated mean pairwise distance plotted on **Figure S2**. The
ratio of the observed and simulated mean pairwise distance was plotted on **Figure 2E-F**.
The imaging experiments and the axonal reconstructions were performed blind to the
experimental design, except for one axon in the TrkB-Fc group.
545

Statistical analysis
Aligned rank transform for non-parametric factorial 2-way mixed design model with BDNF
manipulation as between-subject and visual stimulation (**Figures 1E-F and 2E-F**) or time
(**Figures 3E-G and 4D**) as within-subject factor was performed using ART tool package
implemented in R (Wobbrock et al., 2011). After obtaining significant interaction, to
assess statistical significance of simple main effects, either Kruskal-Wallis or Friedman's
test, followed by pairwise post-hoc tests corrected for multiple comparisons using the
Benjamini, Krieger and Yekutieli (BKY) two-stage linear step-up procedure (Benjamini et

al., 2006) were carried out using GraphPad Prism 9.0.0 and the results of the tests used

for each experiment are described for each figure legend and in supplemental table 1.

The axonal reconstructions and their spanning fields in **Figure 4A-C** were plotted using

Plotly.

 Key Resources Table

Reagent or Resource	Source	Identifier
Antibodies		
Chicken anti-GFP	Abcam	Cat. no. ab13970, RRID: AB_300798
Rabbit anti-β-tubulin	Santa Cruz	Cat. No. sc-9104, RRID: AB_2241191
Rabbit anti-phospho-Trk	Cell Signalling	Cat. No. 9141, RRID: AB_2298805
Goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to horseradish peroxidase	Jackson Immuno Research, Inc.	RRID: AB_2307391
Goat anti-chicken IgG conjugated to horseradish peroxidase	Jackson Immuno Research, Inc.	RRID: AB_2337381
Chemicals, Peptides and Recombinant proteins		
Ctrl-MO-3'lissamine	Gene Tools	N/A
p75-MO-3'lissamine	Gene Tools/ (Je *et al.*, 2012)	N/A
TrkB-MO-3'lissamine	Gene Tools/ (Du and Poo, 2004; Je *et al.*, 2012)	N/A
BDNF	Alomone Labs	Cat. No. B-250
TrkB-Fc (Recombinant Human Chimera)	R&D Systems	Cat. No. 688-TK
Turbocurarine hydrochloride pentahydrate	Sigma	Cat. T2379
Human chorionic gonadotropin	Sigma	Cat. No. CG10-10vl
Pregnant mare's serum gonadotropin	Prospec	Cat No. HOR-272
Halt™ protease/phosphatase inhibitor cocktail	ThermoScientific	Cat. No. P178442
MS-222	Sigma	Cat. No. A5040
UltraPureTM Low Melting Point Agarose	Invitrogen	Cat. No. 16520

Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains		
Albino *Xenopus laevis*	Nasco	RRID: XEP_Xla300
Recombinant DNA		
Plasmid: pEGFP-N1	Clonetech	Cat. No. 6085-1
Plasmid: p75-EGFP	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: MOres-p75-EGFP	This paper	N/A
Software and Algorithms		
Imaris 6.4.2 and 9.5.1	Bitplane	N/A
CANDLE	(Coupé *et al.*, 2012)	N/A
Fiji	(Schindelin *et al.*, 2012)	N/A
Trees Toolbox	(Cuntz *et al.*, 2010) https://www.treestoolbox.org/	N/A
PyImarisSWC	Sarun Gulyanon	N/A
Dynamo	Kurt Haas (UBC)	N/A
ARTool Package for R	(Wobbrock *et al.*, 2011)	N/A
GraphPad Prism 9.0.0	GraphPad	N/A
Plotly	https://plotly.com/python/3d-mesh/	N/A

Figures and legends

Figure 1. Blocking distinct components of BDNF signaling differentially affects correlation-dependent branch dynamics

(A) Retinal co-electroporation of EGFP and MO to label ipsi axons with receptor knock-down. Two-photon z-series projection of ipsi axon with EGFP (cyan) and lissamine-tagged Ctrl-MO (magenta). For TrkB-Fc experiments, intraventricular injection was performed >1 h prior to imaging. (B) Visual stimulation (10 ms light flash; 0.5 Hz) delivered via optic fibers positioned by the tadpoles' eyes. Ipsi axons were imaged every 10 min: 1 h Darkness, 1.5 h or 2 h Asynchronous, 1.5 h or 2h Synchronous stimulation (DAS: shorter protocol used for intraventricular TrkB-Fc) (C) Reconstructed arbors showing added, lost and transient branches. Drawings summarize branch dynamics over 1 h. Timecourse of branch (D) additions and (E) losses normalized to the average in darkness, DAS (1 h, 1.5 h, 1.5 h). Average branch (F) addition and (G) loss rates per first hour of stimulation. Significant interactions in the two-way mixed design model: (F) $p = 0.02347$; (G) $p = 0.00149$. (within-subject factor: stimulation; between-subject factor: BDNF manipulation); Post-hoc tests corrected for multiple comparisons (BKY two-stage linear step-up procedure): *$p ≤ 0.05$, **$p ≤ 0.01$. Graphs show (D-E) mean ± s.e.m. and (F-G) median and individual data points. Scale bars: (A) 20 µm; (B) 500 µm.

Figure 1

Figure 2. Role of BDNF signaling in local axonal branch elimination induced by synchronous stimulation.

(A) Three consecutive reconstructed arbors from a control ipsi axon during synchronous stimulation. Addition and elimination events (for timepoint "*t*") are defined as the coordinates of the branch point of the newly added axonal branch (≥ 1.5 µm) between *t-10 min* and *t* (blue), or terminal point of a lost branch between *t* and *t+10 min* (magenta), respectively. (B) All elimination events during DAS (1 h, 1.5 h, 1.5 h) are superimposed on the reconstructed arbor (last timepoint of each stimulation period). (C) Illustration of distances between an elimination event and all the other events (pair distances). (D) All the elimination event pair distances for the axon from (B). Means are denoted as yellow crosses. Normalized branch (E) elimination and (F) addition event pair distances. To correct for changes in arbor size, each point represents the ratio of observed-to-randomized (**Figure S2**) mean event pair distances, normalized to darkness for each axon. Significant interaction in the two-way mixed design model: (E) p<0.001; Post-hoc tests corrected for multiple comparisons (BKY two-stage linear step-up procedure): *p ≤ 0.05, ‡p ≤ 0.05 compared to control. Bars represent median.

A t-10 min   t   t+10 min

○ addition event
○ elimination event

B Elimination events

Darkness
(1 h)

Asynchronous stimulation
(1.5 h)

Synchronous stimulation
(1.5 h)

C Elimination event pair distances

D

E Elimination events

Control (n=9)
TrkB-Fc (n=6)
p75-MO (n=7)
TrkB-MO (n=6)

F Addition events

Control (n=9)
TrkB-Fc (n=7)
p75-MO (n=7)
TrkB-MO (n=7)

Figure 2

Figure 3. Effects of retinal TrkB-MO and p75-MO on RGC axonal arbor elaboration

over days

(A-C) Two-photon Z-series projections over 4 d for example axons co-electroporated with

EGFP and (A) Ctrl-MO, (B) p75-MO, (C) TrkB-MO. (D) Reconstructed control arbor from

day *4* showing axonal skeleton (black), terminal segments (blue) and terminal points

(orange). (E-F) Morphometric analysis including (E) number of terminal points and (F)

skeleton length, normalized to day 1. (G) Distribution of terminal segments (percent of

total per axon) binned by length. Significant interactions in the two-way mixed design

model: (E-F) p <0.001; (G) p = 0.04394 (<5 µm bin); p = 0.01981 (5-10 µm bin). Post-hoc

tests corrected for multiple comparisons (BKY two-stage linear step-up procedure): (E-F)

*p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01 and (G) comparison between day 1 and day 4, (G) comparison

between day 2 and day 4: #p ≤ 0.05, ##p ≤ 0.01, ###p ≤ 0.001, (G) comparison between

day 3 and day 4: ‡p ≤ 0.05, ‡‡p ≤ 0.01. Data represent (E-F) median and individual data

points, and (G) median ± iqr. Scale bar: 20 µm applies to all images.

Figure 3

Figure 4. Opposing effects of retinal TrkB-MO and p75-MO on RGC axon arbor span compactness

(A-C) Three-dimensional spans of reconstructed RGC axon arbors over 4 days, co-electroporated with EGFP and MO: (A) Ctrl-MO, (B) p75-MO, and (C) TrkB-MO. (D) Significant interaction in the two-way mixed design model: **p =0.00119. (E) TrkB-MO leads to a faster increase in arbor spanning field volume compared to p75-MO [Arbor spanning field expansion index = (day 4 - day 1)/(day 1 + day 4)], analyzed by Kruskal-Wallis test, p = 0.0175 and pairwise post-hoc tests corrected for multiple comparisons: **$p \leq 0.01$. Data represent (D) median and individual points, and (E) median ± iqr. (F) Summary schematic of structural remodeling of an RGC axon instructed by patterned activity: correlated firing (left) and non-correlated firing (right) of axon with neighboring inputs and postsynaptic partner. Zoom-in of proposed molecular and cellular mechanisms underlying (G) Stentian and (H) Hebbian structural plasticity in the developing visual system.

A Day 1 Control   Day 2   Day 3   Day 4

210 µm³   3199 µm³   6283 µm³   18922 µm³

B p75-MO

3084 µm³   8646 µm³   15271 µm³   12032 µm³

C TrkB-MO

768 µm³   19578 µm³   47613 µm³   48104 µm³

D

Control (n=15)
p75-MO (n=10)
TrkB-MO (n=12)

Arbor spanning field (µm³)

Day 1 Day 2 Day 3 Day 4

E

Arbor spanning field expansion index

Control (n=15)   p75-MO (n=10)   TrkB-MO (n=12)

F

Correlated firing   Non-correlated firing

Axonal branch stabilization | Increased axonal branch dynamics

Local protection from elimination | Exploratory growth

G

p75NTR
TrkB
proBNDF BDNF other NT
NMDAR
Exploratory branch addition

H

Suppression of branch addition
TrkB
p75NTR
Protection from loss
BDNF ← proBDNF
Protection from loss
TrkB
NMDAR   MMP-9
Na⁺ Ca²⁺

Figure 4

Supplemental figures

Figure S1, related to Figure 1: Validation of p75-MO and TrkB-MO

(A) Schematic of the mRNA constructs encoding p75^{NTR}-EGFP fusion protein. Binding of

p75-MO to the *p75^{NTR}*-5'UTR-containing mRNA (p75-EGFP mRNA; left) impedes mRNA

translation, whereas the inability of p75-MO to bind the construct lacking the *p75^{NTR}*

5'UTR (MO-resistant p75-EGFP mRNA; right) spares mRNA translation. (B) Schematic

of mRNA construct and MO injection at 2-cell stage, raising injected embryos to stage 26

and preparation of whole-animal homogenates. (C) Western blot analysis of

homogenates derived from animals injected with combination of p75-EGFP mRNA or

MO-resistant p75-EGFP mRNA and p75-MO probed for EGFP and β-tubulin. (D)

Schematic of MO injection at 2-cell stage, followed by intraventricular injection of BDNF

in morphant tadpoles at stage 46, 1 h before brain homogenate preparation. (E) Western

blot analysis of brain homogenates probed for phospho-Trk (p-Trk) and β-tubulin.

Approximate size (kDa) is shown next to the bands

Figure S2, related to Figure 2: Monte Carlo simulation of addition and elimination event pair distances

Mean simulated (A) elimination and (B) addition event pair distances in DAS (1 h, 1.5 h, 1.5 h) by redistribution of events at random within the reconstructed arbor. Data represent median and individual data points. Significant interaction in the two-way mixed design model: (A) $p = 0.0024611$; (B) $p<0.001$. Pairwise post-hoc tests corrected for multiple comparisons (BKY two-stage linear step-up procedure): *$p \leq 0.05$, **$p \leq 0.01$, ‡$p \leq 0.05$, compared to control.

664 Supplemental Table 1

Figure	F-statistic (interaction)	Friedman statistic	Kruskal-Wallis statistic
1E	$F(6,52) = 2.69767$	Control: 8.222 TrkB-Fc: 3.308 p75-MO: 0.6667 TrkB-MO: 1.556	asynch: 1.219 synch: 8.867
1F	$F(6,52) = 2.9478$	Control: 0.4000 TrkB-Fc: 3.714 p75-MO: 4.667 TrkB-MO: 4.571	asynch: 3.096 synch: 6.122
2E	$F(6,50) = 4.9704$	Control: 6.889 TrkB-Fc: 1.333 p75-MO: 1.143 TrkB-MO: 0.8571	asynch: 4.962 synch: 6.845
2F	$F(6,52) = 1.7576$	–	–
3E	$F(6,102) = 4.5683$	Control: 25.07 p75-MO: 17.59 TrkB-MO: 23.70	Day 2: 7.969 Day 3: 5.461 Day 4: 4.605
3F	$F(6,102) = 9.2118$	Control: 41.00 p75-MO: 14.76 TrkB-MO: 34.90	Day 2: 5.835 Day 3: 9.242 Day 4: 9.984
3G: bin1 (0-5 μm)	$F(6,102) = 2.25385$	Control: 11.05 p75-MO: 1.848 TrkB-MO: 7.300	Day 1: 0.1906 Day 2: 5.197 Day 3: 1.736 Day 4: 3.183
3G: bin2 (5-10 μm)	$F(6,102) = 2.6495$	Control: 14.28 p75-MO: 6.152 TrkB-MO: 0.9000	Day 1: 0.4411 Day 2: 6.627 Day 3: 2.343 Day 4: 6.571
3G: bin3 (10-20 μm)	$F(6,102) = 0.96009$	–	–
3G: bin4 (>20 μm)	$F(6,102) = 0.442131$	–	–
4D	$F(6,102) = 4.0146$	Control: 28.52 p75-MO: 6.360 TrkB-MO: 32.70	Day1: 4.595 Day 2: 0.07752 Day 3: 2.683 Day 4: 3.072
4E	–	–	8.086
S1A	$F(6,50) = 3.9850$	Control: 1.556 TrkB-Fc: 2.333 p75-MO: 5.429 TrkB-MO: 12.29	asynch: 4.159 synch: 8.432
S1B	$F(6,52) = 5.4860$	Control: 3.556 TrkB-Fc: 2.000 p75-MO: 2.000 TrkB-MO: 6.000	asynch: 2.467 synch: 8.678

References

References

Alsina, B., Vu, T., and Cohen-Cory, S. (2001). Visualizing synapse formation in arborizing
optic axons in vivo: dynamics and modulation by BDNF. Nat. Neurosci. *4*, 1093–
1101.

Arroyo, D.A., and Feller, M.B. (2016). Spatiotemporal Features of Retinal Waves Instruct
the Wiring of the Visual Circuitry. Front. Neural Circuits *10*, 54.

Baho, E., Chattopadhyaya, B., Lavertu-Jolin, M., Mazziotti, R., Awad, P.N., Chehrazi, P.,
Groleau, M., Jahannault-Talignani, C., Vaucher, E., Ango, F., et al. (2019). p75
Neurotrophin Receptor Activation Regulates the Timing of the Maturation of Cortical
Parvalbumin Interneuron Connectivity and Promotes Juvenile-like Plasticity in Adult
Visual Cortex. J. Neurosci. *39*, 4489–4510.

Balkowiec, A., and Katz, D.M. (2000). Activity-Dependent Release of Endogenous Brain-
Derived Neurotrophic Factor from Primary Sensory Neurons Detected by ELISAIn
Situ. J. Neurosci. *20*, 7417–7423.

Benjamini, Y., Krieger, A.M., and Yekutieli, D. (2006). Adaptive linear step-up procedures
that control the false discovery rate. Biometrika *93*, 491–507.

Bird, A.D., and Cuntz, H. (2019). Dissecting Sholl Analysis into Its Functional
Components. Cell Rep. *27*, 3081-3096.e5.

Bronfman, F.C., and Fainzilber, M. (2004). Multi-tasking by the p75 neurotrophin receptor:
sortilin things out? EMBO Rep. *5*, 867–871.

Chao, M.V., and Hempstead, B.L. (1995). p75 and Trk: a two-receptor system. Trends
Neurosci. *18*, 321–326.

Cline, H.T., Debski, E.A., and Constantine-Paton, M. (1987). N-methyl-D-aspartate
receptor antagonist desegregates eye-specific stripes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.
A. *84*, 4342–4345.

Cohen-Cory, S., and Fraser, S.E. (1995). Effects of brain-derived neurotrophic factor on
optic axon branching and remodelling in vivo. Nature *378*, 192–196.

Coupé, P., Munz, M., Manjón, J.V., Ruthazer, E.S., and Collins, D.L. (2012). A CANDLE
for a deeper in vivo insight. Med. Image Anal. *16*, 849–864.

Cuntz, H., Forstner, F., Borst, A., and Häusser, M. (2010). One rule to grow them all: a

general theory of neuronal branching and its practical application. PLoS Comput.

Biol. *6*.

Demas, J.A., Payne, H., and Cline, H.T. (2012). Vision drives correlated activity without

patterned spontaneous activity in developing Xenopus retina. Dev. Neurobiol. *72*,

537–546.

Dieni, S., Matsumoto, T., Dekkers, M., Rauskolb, S., Ionescu, M.S., Deogracias, R.,

Gundelfinger, E.D., Kojima, M., Nestel, S., Frotscher, M., et al. (2012). BDNF and

its pro-peptide are stored in presynaptic dense core vesicles in brain neurons. J.

Cell Biol. *196*, 775–788.

Dougherty, K.D., and Milner, T.A. (1999). p75NTR immunoreactivity in the rat dentate

gyrus is mostly within presynaptic profiles but is also found in some astrocytic and

postsynaptic profiles. J. Comp. Neurol. *407*, 77–91.

Du, J.-L., and Poo, M.-M. (2004). Rapid BDNF-induced retrograde synaptic modification

in a developing retinotectal system. Nature *429*, 878–883.

Dziembowska, M., Milek, J., Janusz, A., Rejmak, E., Romanowska, E., Gorkiewicz, T.,

Tiron, A., Bramham, C.R., and Kaczmarek, L. (2012). Activity-dependent local

translation of matrix metalloproteinase-9. J. Neurosci. *32*, 14538–14547.

Gore, S.V., James, E.J., Huang, L.-C., Park, J.J., Berghella, A., Thompson, A., Cline,

H.T., and Aizenman, C. (2021). Role of matrix metalloproteinase-9 in

neurodevelopmental disorders and plasticity in Xenopus tadpoles. Elife *10*, e62147.

Guo, W., Nagappan, G., and Lu, B. (2018). Differential effects of transient and sustained

activation of BDNF-TrkB signaling. Dev. Neurobiol. *78*, 647–659.

Haapasalo, A., Sipola, I., Larsson, K., Åkerman, K.E.O., Stoilov, P., Stamm, S., Wong,

G., and Castrén, E. (2002). Regulation of TRKB Surface Expression by Brain-

derived Neurotrophic Factor and Truncated TRKB Isoforms*. J. Biol. Chem. *277*,

43160–43167.

Harward, S.C., Hedrick, N.G., Hall, C.E., Parra-Bueno, P., Milner, T.A., Pan, E., Laviv, T.,

Hempstead, B.L., Yasuda, R., and McNamara, J.O. (2016). Autocrine BDNF-TrkB

signalling within a single dendritic spine. Nature *538*, 99–103.

Hebb, D.O. (1949). The Organization of Behavior:A Neuropsychological Theory (New
York: John Wiley).

Hermey, G., Riedel, I.B., Rezgaoui, M., Westergaard, U.B., Schaller, C., and Hermans-
Borgmeyer, I. (2001). SorCS1, a member of the novel sorting receptor family, is
localized in somata and dendrites of neurons throughout the murine brain. Neurosci.
Lett. *313*, 83–87.

Holt, C.E., and Harris, W.A. (1983). Order in the initial retinotectal map in Xenopus: a new
technique for labelling growing nerve fibres. Nature *301*, 150–152.

Horch, H.W., and Katz, L.C. (2002). BDNF release from single cells elicits local dendritic
growth in nearby neurons. Nat. Neurosci. *5*, 1177–1184.

Hu, B., Nikolakopoulou, A.M., and Cohen-Cory, S. (2005). BDNF stabilizes synapses and
maintains the structural complexity of optic axons in vivo. Development *132*, 4285–
4298.

Je, H.S., Yang, F., Ji, Y., Nagappan, G., Hempstead, B.L., and Lu, B. (2012). Role of pro-
brain-derived neurotrophic factor (proBDNF) to mature BDNF conversion in activity-
dependent competition at developing neuromuscular synapses. Proc. Natl. Acad.
Sci. U. S. A. *109*, 15924–15929.

Je, H.S., Yang, F., Ji, Y., Potluri, S., Fu, X.-Q., Luo, Z.-G., Nagappan, G., Chan, J.P.,
Hempstead, B., Son, Y.-J., et al. (2013). ProBDNF and mature BDNF as punishment
and reward signals for synapse elimination at mouse neuromuscular junctions. J.
Neurosci. *33*, 9957–9962.

Ji, Y., Lu, Y., Yang, F., Shen, W., Tang, T.T.-T., Feng, L., Duan, S., and Lu, B. (2010).
Acute and gradual increases in BDNF concentration elicit distinct signaling and
functions in neurons. Nat. Neurosci. *13*, 302–309.

Kesner, P., Schohl, A., Warren, E.C., Ma, F., and Ruthazer, E.S. (2020). Postsynaptic
and Presynaptic NMDARs Have Distinct Roles in Visual Circuit Development. Cell
Rep. *32*, 107955.

Kirchner, J.H., and Gjorgjieva, J. (2021). Emergence of local and global synaptic
organization on cortical dendrites. Nat. Commun. *12*, 1–18.

Kohara, K., Yasuda, H., Huang, Y., Adachi, N., Sohya, K., and Tsumoto, T. (2007). A
local reduction in cortical GABAergic synapses after a loss of endogenous brain-

derived neurotrophic factor, as revealed by single-cell gene knock-out method. J.
Neurosci. *27*, 7234–7244.

Kutsarova, E., Munz, M., and Ruthazer, E.S. (2016). Rules for Shaping Neural
Connections in the Developing Brain. Front. Neural Circuits *10*, 111.

Li, V.J., Schohl, A., and Ruthazer, E.S. (2022). Topographic map formation and the
effects of NMDA receptor blockade in the developing visual system. Proc. Natl.
Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *119*.

López-Bendito, G., and Molnár, Z. (2003). Thalamocortical development: how are we
going to get there? Nat. Rev. Neurosci. *4*, 276–289.

Marshak, S., Nikolakopoulou, A.M., Dirks, R., Martens, G.J., and Cohen-Cory, S. (2007).
Cell-autonomous TrkB signaling in presynaptic retinal ganglion cells mediates axon
arbor growth and synapse maturation during the establishment of retinotectal
synaptic connectivity. J. Neurosci. *27*, 2444–2456.

McLaughlin, T., Hindges, R., and O'Leary, D.D.M. (2003). Regulation of axial patterning
of the retina and its topographic mapping in the brain. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. *13*, 57–
69.

Mowla, S.J., Pareek, S., Farhadi, H.F., Petrecca, K., Fawcett, J.P., Seidah, N.G., Morris,
S.J., Sossin, W.S., and Murphy, R.A. (1999). Differential sorting of nerve growth
factor and brain-derived neurotrophic factor in hippocampal neurons. J. Neurosci.
19, 2069–2080.

Mu, Y., and Poo, M.-M. (2006). Spike timing-dependent LTP/LTD mediates visual
experience-dependent plasticity in a developing retinotectal system. Neuron *50*,
115–125.

Munz, M., Gobert, D., Schohl, A., Poquérusse, J., Podgorski, K., Spratt, P., and Ruthazer,
E.S. (2014). Rapid Hebbian axonal remodeling mediated by visual stimulation.
Science *344*, 904–909.

Niculescu, D., Michaelsen-Preusse, K., Güner, Ü., van Dorland, R., Wierenga, C.J., and
Lohmann, C. (2018). A BDNF-Mediated Push-Pull Plasticity Mechanism for
Synaptic Clustering. Cell Rep. *24*, 2063–2074.

Nieuwkoop, P.D. and Faber, J. 1994 (1994). Normal table of Xenopus laevis (Daudin): a
systematical and chronological survey of the development from the fertilized egg till
the end of metamorphosis. (New York: Garland Pub.).

Ohba, S., Ikeda, T., Ikegaya, Y., Nishiyama, N., Matsuki, N., and Yamada, M.K. (2005).
BDNF locally potentiates GABAergic presynaptic machineries: target-selective
circuit inhibition. Cereb. Cortex *15*, 291–298.

Orefice, L.L., Shih, C.-C., Xu, H., Waterhouse, E.G., and Xu, B. (2016). Control of spine
maturation and pruning through proBDNF synthesized and released in dendrites.
Mol. Cell. Neurosci. *71*, 66–79.

Pang, P.T., Teng, H.K., Zaitsev, E., Woo, N.T., Sakata, K., Zhen, S., Teng, K.K., Yung,
W.-H., Hempstead, B.L., and Lu, B. (2004). Cleavage of proBDNF by tPA/plasmin
is essential for long-term hippocampal plasticity. Science *306*, 487–491.

Pratt, K.G., Hiramoto, M., and Cline, H.T. (2016). An Evolutionarily Conserved
Mechanism for Activity-Dependent Visual Circuit Development. Front. Neural
Circuits *10*, 79.

Qian, Z., Gilbert, M.E., Colicos, M.A., Kandel, E.R., and Kuhl, D. (1993). Tissue-
plasminogen activator is induced as an immediate-early gene during seizure,
kindling and long-term potentiation. Nature *361*, 453–457.

Rahman, T.N., Munz, M., Kutsarova, E., Bilash, O.M., and Ruthazer, E.S. (2020).
Stentian structural plasticity in the developing visual system. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
U. S. A. *117*, 10636–10638.

Rajan, I., Witte, S., and Cline, H.T. (1999). NMDA receptor activity stabilizes presynaptic
retinotectal axons and postsynaptic optic tectal cell dendrites in vivo. J. Neurobiol.
38, 357–368.

Rösch, H., Schweigreiter, R., Bonhoeffer, T., Barde, Y.-A., and Korte, M. (2005). The
neurotrophin receptor p75NTR modulates long-term depression and regulates the
expression of AMPA receptor subunits in the hippocampus. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
U. S. A. *102*, 7362–7367.

Ruthazer, E.S., Akerman, C.J., and Cline, H.T. (2003). Control of axon branch dynamics
by correlated activity in vivo. Science *301*, 66–70.

Schwartz, N., Schohl, A., and Ruthazer, E.S. (2011). Activity-dependent transcription of
BDNF enhances visual acuity during development. Neuron *70*, 455–467.

Stent, G.S. (1973). A physiological mechanism for Hebb's postulate of learning. Proc.
Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *70*, 997–1001.

Strahler, A.N. (1957). Quantitative analysis of watershed geomorphology. Trans. Engl.
Ceram. Circle *38*, 913.

Uylings, H.B., Smit, G.J., and Veltman, W.A. (1975). Ordering methods in quantitative
analysis of branching structures of dendritic trees. Adv. Neurol. *12*, 347–354.

Vignoli, B., Battistini, G., Melani, R., Blum, R., Santi, S., Berardi, N., and Canossa, M.
(2016). Peri-Synaptic Glia Recycles Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor for LTP
Stabilization and Memory Retention. Neuron *92*, 873–887.

Vormberg, A., Effenberger, F., Muellerleile, J., and Cuntz, H. (2017). Universal features
of dendrites through centripetal branch ordering. PLoS Comput. Biol. *13*, e1005615.

Wang, X., Chun, S.-J., Treloar, H., Vartanian, T., Greer, C.A., and Strittmatter, S.M.
(2002). Localization of Nogo-A and Nogo-66 receptor proteins at sites of axon-
myelin and synaptic contact. J. Neurosci. *22*, 5505–5515.

Winnubst, J., Cheyne, J.E., Niculescu, D., and Lohmann, C. (2015). Spontaneous Activity
Drives Local Synaptic Plasticity In Vivo. Neuron *87*, 399–410.

Wobbrock, J.O., Findlater, L., Gergle, D., and Higgins, J.J. (2011). The aligned rank
transform for nonparametric factorial analyses using only anova procedures. In
Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems,
(New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery), pp. 143–146.

Woo, N.H., Teng, H.K., Siao, C.-J., Chiaruttini, C., Pang, P.T., Milner, T.A., Hempstead,
B.L., and Lu, B. (2005). Activation of p75NTR by proBDNF facilitates hippocampal
long-term depression. Nat. Neurosci. *8*, 1069–1077.

Yang, J., Siao, C.-J., Nagappan, G., Marinic, T., Jing, D., McGrath, K., Chen, Z.-Y., Mark,
W., Tessarollo, L., Lee, F.S., et al. (2009). Neuronal release of proBDNF. Nat.
Neurosci. *12*, 113–115.

Yang, J., Harte-Hargrove, L.C., Siao, C.-J., Marinic, T., Clarke, R., Ma, Q., Jing, D.,
Lafrancois, J.J., Bath, K.G., Mark, W., et al. (2014). proBDNF negatively regulates

neuronal remodeling, synaptic transmission, and synaptic plasticity in hippocampus.

Cell Rep. *7*, 796–806.

Zagrebelsky, M., and Korte, M. (2014). Form follows function: BDNF and its involvement

in sculpting the function and structure of synapses. Neuropharmacology *76 Pt C*,

628–638.

Zhang, X.H., and Poo, M.-M. (2002). Localized synaptic potentiation by BDNF requires

local protein synthesis in the developing axon. Neuron *36*, 675–688.

Zhang, J., Ackman, J.B., Xu, H.-P., and Crair, M.C. (2011). Visual map development

depends on the temporal pattern of binocular activity in mice. Nat. Neurosci. *15*,

298–307.

Zou, D.J., and Cline, H.T. (1996). Expression of constitutively active CaMKII in target

tissue modifies presynaptic axon arbor growth. Neuron *16*, 529–539.