The Japanese wolf is most closely related to modern dogs and its ancestral genome

has been widely inherited by dogs throughout East Eurasia

Jun Gojobori[1][†], Nami Arakawa[1], Xiaokaiti Xiayire[1], Yuki Matsumoto[2], Shuichi Matsumura[3],

Hitomi Hongo[1], Naotaka Ishiguro*[1, 3], Yohey Terai*[1][†]

Institutions

[1]*SOKENDAI (The Graduate University for Advanced Studies), Department of Evolutionary*

Studies of Biosystems, Shonan Village, Hayama, Kanagawa 240-0193, Japan.

[2]*Research and Development Section, Anicom Specialty Medical Institute*

Inc., Chojamachi Yokohamashi-Nakaku, Kanagawaken 231-0033, Japan

[3]*Faculty of Applied Biological Sciences, Gifu University, Yanagido 1-1, Gifu 501-1193, Japan.*

*Corresponding author: Y. Terai, SOKENDAI (The Graduate University for Advanced Studies),

Department of Evolutionary Studies of Biosystems, Shonan Village, Hayama, Japan, +81-46-

858-1572, terai_yohei@soken.ac.jp, and N. Ishiguro, Faculty of Applied Biological Sciences,

Gifu University, Yanagido 1-1, Gifu 501-1193, Japan. Phone: +81-58-293-2874, Email:

ishiguna@gifu-u.ac.jp

[†] These authors contributed equally.

Abstract

The Japanese wolf (*Canis lupus hodophilax* Temminck, 1839) was a subspecies of the gray wolf that inhabited the Japanese Archipelago and became extinct 100-120 years ago. In this study, we determined the whole genomes of nine Japanese wolves from the 19th- early 20th centuries and 11 Japanese dogs and analyzed them along with both modern and ancient wolves and dogs. Genomic analyses indicate that the Japanese wolf was a unique subspecies of the gray wolf that was genetically distinct from both modern and ancient gray wolves, lacking gene flow with other gray wolves. A Phylogenetic tree that minimizes the effects of introgression shows that Japanese wolves are closest to the dog monophyletic group among the gray wolves. Moreover, Japanese wolves show significant genetic affinities with East Eurasian dogs. We estimated the level of introgression from the ancestor of the Japanese wolves to the ancestor of East Eurasian dogs that had occurred in the transitional period from the Pleistocene to the Holocene, at an early stage after divergence from West Eurasian dog lineages. Because of this introgression, Japanese wolf ancestry has been inherited by many dogs through admixture between East Eurasian dog lineages. As a result of this heredity, up to 5.5% of modern dog genomes throughout East Eurasia are derived from Japanese wolf ancestry.

Introduction

The phylogeny of gray wolves (*Canis lupus*) attracts wide attention from researchers and the public because wolves are the closest relatives to one of the most familiar animal species to humans, i.e., dogs. The extant gray wolves (*Canis lupus*) are divided into three lineages: the North American, Eurasian, and domestic dog lineages, including several now-extinct lineages that inhabited Eurasia during the Pleistocene (Ramos-Madrigal, et al. 2021). Recent phylogenomic analyses of gray wolves have shown that the North American gray wolf diverged at the basal ancestral position, followed by the Eurasian lineage (Fan, et al. 2016; Leathlobhair, et al. 2018). Dogs form a monophyletic clade which is the sister group to the Eurasian lineage of the gray wolf (Freedman, et al. 2014; Fan, et al. 2016; Leathlobhair, et al. 2018). Therefore, the hypothesis that the dog lineages have originated in Eurasia has been widely accepted. But there is still much debate concerning when, where, how many times, and from which population, the ancestor of dogs was domesticated (Leonard, et al. 2002; Savolainen, et al. 2002; Germonpré, et al. 2009; Pang, et al. 2009; Vonholdt, et al. 2010; Larson, et al. 2012; Axelsson, et al. 2013; Thalmann, et al. 2013; Freedman, et al. 2014; Perri 2016; Janssens, et al. 2018; Leathlobhair, et al. 2018; Perri, et al. 2021). Because no extant population of gray wolves has been reported to be more closely related to dogs than the other wolf populations, it is believed that the dog lineage has been domesticated from an extinct population of gray wolves (Larson, et al. 2012; Thalmann, et al. 2013; Freedman, et al. 2014; Skoglund, et al. 2015; Fan, et al. 2016; Frantz, et al. 2016). However, no information is available about this extinct population.

Many regions in Eurasia, including southern East Asia (Savolainen, et al. 2002; Pang, et al. 2009; Wang, et al. 2013; Wang, et al. 2016), Middle East (Vonholdt, et al. 2010), Central Asia

(Shannon, et al. 2015), Europe (Thalmann, et al. 2013), and both West and East Eurasia (dual

origin) (Frantz, et al. 2016), have been proposed as candidates for the origin of dogs, but the

debate on the origin (single, or dual) as well as the timing of domestication still continues.

Divergence between the Eurasian gray wolf and dog lineages has been estimated to be 20,000-

40,000 years ago (Skoglund, et al. 2015; Botigué, et al. 2017). Based on phylogenomic analyses,

dogs were initially reported to be genetically divided into two distinct lineages, i.e., the West and

East Eurasian lineages (Freedman, et al. 2014; Shannon, et al. 2015; Frantz, et al. 2016; Botigué,

et al. 2017; Leathlobhair, et al. 2018). Subsequent reports suggested an ancient divergence of the

Arctic sled dog lineage (Sinding, et al. 2020), which is closely related to the pre-contact American

dogs (Leathlobhair, et al. 2018). The phylogenetic relationship between the Arctic sled dog

lineage and the West and East Eurasian lineages is conflicting (Larson, et al. 2012; Frantz, et al.

2016; Wang, et al. 2016), and these inconsistent topologies can be explained by either a high

degree of admixture after the divergence of the three lineages, or by nearly simultaneous

divergence (Frantz, et al. 2016; Zhang, et al. 2020). The West Eurasian and East Eurasian lineages

diverged 17,000-24,000 years ago (Botigué, et al. 2017), and the Arctic sled dog lineage is

estimated to have existed at least 9500 years ago (Sinding, et al. 2020).

Studies have suggested that wolf populations in Europe (Thalmann, et al. 2013), the Middle East

(Vonholdt, et al. 2010), Central Asia (Shannon, et al. 2015), Siberia (Sinding, et al. 2020; Ramos-

Madrigal, et al. 2021), and East Asia (Savolainen, et al. 2002; Wang, et al. 2016) have undergone

introgression or bidirectional gene flow (Freedman, et al. 2014) with dogs. However, genomic

introgression from gray wolves to dogs has been considered to be limited due to the presence of

Eurasian wolves that do not show genetic affinity to any dog breed (Bergström, et al. 2020).

The Japanese wolf (*Canis lupus hodophilax* Temminck, 1839) was a subspecies of the gray wolf

that inhabited Honshu, Shikoku, and Kyushu Islands in the Japanese Archipelago and became

extinct 100-120 years ago (Ishiguro, et al. 2009). Molecular phylogenetic analysis of the

mitochondrial genome suggests that the Japanese wolf diverged at the basal position of the extant

gray wolf clade (Matsumura, et al. 2014; Matsumura, et al. 2020). Recent genome analysis of a

"Honshu wolf" (one of the common names for the Japanese wolf) from the collection of the

British Museum suggests that this individual is closely related to a lineage of Siberian wolves that

existed in the Late Pleistocene and shows significant gene flow with Japanese dogs (Niemann, et

al. 2021).

In this study, the genomes of nine Japanese wolves, including the type specimens, and 11

Japanese dogs were newly determined and analyzed. The analyses showed that 1) the Japanese

wolf was a unique subspecies of the gray wolf that is genetically distinct from both extant and

ancient gray wolves known to date, 2) the Japanese wolf is most closely related to a monophyletic

group of dogs, and 3) Japanese wolf ancestry has introgressed into the ancestor of East Eurasian

dogs at an early stage of their history after diverging from the West Eurasian lineages, and the

genome derived from Japanese wolf ancestry has been inherited by many modern dogs, even in

the West Eurasian lineages, through their historical admixture with East Eurasian lineages.

Results

Relationships between Japanese wolves and other dogs and gray wolves

In this study, original genomic DNA sequences of nine Japanese wolves (22-282 Gb) and 12

Japanese dogs (70-127 Gb) were determined (Table S1, Fig. S1). For the present study, we treated

nine individuals with Japanese wolf type mitochondrial DNA haplotypes (Matsumura, et al. 2020)

as the Japanese wolf. In addition, we used sequencing data of modern gray wolves with depth of

coverage >20x in the database, ancient canids with relatively high coverage, and outgroup species

from the database (Table S2). All sequence data were mapped to the reference genome sequence

(CanFam3.1). After haplotype calling and gvcf file merging, single nucleotide polymorphisms

(SNPs) were genotyped. To examine the genetic relationship among the individuals used in this

study, a principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using individuals with high coverage

(Fig. 1A). In the PCA, Japanese wolves formed a distinct cluster, suggesting that Japanese wolves

were genetically separated from dogs, gray wolves, and any of the outgroup species. The gray

wolves were clustered into two groups, i.e., a North American/Arctic group and a Eurasian group

along the PC1 axis. Dogs show an East-West cline along the PC2 axis. Dingoes and New Guinea

singing dogs (NGSD) were the closest to Japanese wolves among dogs along the PC2 axis,

followed by a cluster of Japanese dogs (Fig. 1A). Using the same data set we also generated an

ADMIXTURE result with the lowest CV error at K=4 (Fig. 1B). In this analysis, Japanese wolves

also formed clusters with higher K such as K=5 or K=6, indicating that their genetic composition

was unique compared with that of the other dogs and gray wolves (Fig. 1B and S2).

Next, we added the three Japanese wolf individuals with low coverage [Leiden b and c, (Jentink

1887), and a "Honshu wolf" (Niemann, et al. 2021), see Table S2] into the analysis. PCA showed

that Leiden b and Honshu wolf were very close to the Japanese wolf cluster, while Leiden c was

placed at an intermediate position between dogs and Japanese wolves (Fig. S3). ADMIXTURE

analysis showed that Leiden b and Honshu wolf exhibit the same ancestry pattern as the other

Japanese wolf individuals, while Leiden c seemed to be admixed with other dogs (Fig. S4). We

used Patterson's $f4$ statistic (Patterson, et al. 2012) to identify dog individuals with high genetic

affinity to Leiden c to see which dog population was the source of the introgression. The dog that

showed the highest affinity to Leiden c was the Japanese dog Shiba (Fig. S5A), and Leiden c

contained 39% of the Shiba's genome (Fig. S5B). In contrast, Leiden b showed no affinity with

dogs (Fig. S5C). These results indicate that Leiden b and Honshu wolf are included in the group

of Japanese wolves with a unique genetic composition, while Leiden c is a hybrid individual

between Japanese wolves and dogs. Subsequently, we removed Leiden b, c and Honshu wolf

individuals from further analyses due to their low coverage.

A previous study (Niemann, et al. 2021) suggested that Honshu wolf has a close relationship

with a lineage of Siberian wolves that existed in the Late Pleistocene and was likely to be admixed

with dogs. Therefore, we added the Pleistocene wolves to our dataset which are comprised of the

modern gray wolves and dogs and performed PCA (Fig. S6). Pleistocene wolves were placed

closely related to Eurasian wolves, while Japanese wolves formed a distinct cluster. Our

ADMIXTURE analysis (Fig. S4) suggests that Honshu wolf does not contain more DNA

components of dogs than the other Japanese wolf individuals. The differences between the results

of Niemann et al. 2020 and this study could be caused by differences in the number of Japanese

wolves used in the analyses and/or in the coverage of DNA sequencing.

Phylogenetic position of the Japanese wolves

To determine the phylogenetic position of the Japanese wolves, a phylogenetic tree was

constructed using the maximum likelihood (ML) method (Fig, 2A). Among gray wolves, North

American/Arctic individuals branched off first at the basal position of the tree, followed by

European/Middle Eastern and East Asian gray wolves (also see Fig. S7). Dogs formed a

monophyletic clade including East Eurasian, West Eurasian, and sled dog lineages (Fig. 2A, S7),

as shown in previous studies (Freedman, et al. 2014; Shannon, et al. 2015; Frantz, et al. 2016;

Botigué, et al. 2017; Leathlobhair, et al. 2018; Sinding, et al. 2020). Japanese wolves formed a

monophyletic clade that was a sister group to the monophyletic clade of dogs (Fig. 2A, S7). The

sister group relationship between Japanese wolves and dogs was also supported by a tree inferred

by SVDQuartets and a Neighbor-Joining tree based on identity-by-state (IBS) distance (Figs. S8,

S9). Analysis using outgroup-*f3* statistics (Patterson, et al. 2012) also showed that the Japanese

wolf was the most closely related to dogs among wolves (Fig. 2B). When we further divide the

dogs into subpopulations, outgroup-*f3* statistics showed different results between dingo/NGSD

and African dogs; dingo/NGSD was related most closely to Japanese wolf while African dog is

related most closely to the Middle Eastern gray wolves (Fig. S10A and S10B). The different

genetic affinities of dog populations to the Japanese wolf may have resulted from introgression

between African dogs and Middle Eastern gray wolves (Vonholdt, et al. 2010; Bergström, et al.

2020).

Since the tree topology in phylogenetic analyses could be affected by introgression between taxa,

a phylogenetic tree using taxa showing minimal introgression effects is expected to be the most

accurate representation of population branching. Therefore, in order to obtain such a tree, we

examined introgression between Japanese wolves and other dogs and wolves. We compared the

genetic affinity of each dog with the Japanese wolves using *f3* and *f4* statistics, and found that

dogs of the East Eurasian lineage (Fig. S7), in particular, dingoes, NGSDs, and Japanese dogs,

showed significant affinity with the Japanese wolves (Z score > 3) (Fig. 2C, S11). In contrast,

dogs of the west Eurasian lineage, in particular dogs from Africa, showed low affinity to Japanese

wolves (Fig. 2C, S11). *f4* statistics showed no affinity between any of the gray wolf populations

and Japanese wolves (Fig. 2D). Possibilities of gene flow between gray wolves except for the

Japanese wolf and dogs were also examined using *f4* statistics. Gray wolves in the Middle East

showed strong affinity with dogs (Fig. S12), consistent with previous reports (Vonholdt, et al.

2010; Bergström, et al. 2020). Based on these results, we reperformed phylogenetic analysis to

confirm the relationship between Japanese wolves and dogs. To minimize the effect of

introgression between wolves and dogs, we included African dogs as the sole representatives of

dogs, and excluded gray wolves from the Middle East. Even in the phylogenetic tree obtained

from this analysis, the Japanese wolf still formed a sister clade with African dogs (Fig. S13). Thus,

we concluded that the most closely related wolves to the dog lineage are the Japanese wolves.

The genome of the Japanese wolf ancestor in the dog genome

Japanese wolves showed strong affinity with many East Eurasian dogs (*f3*, *f4* statistics) (Figs. 2C,

S11), which may be caused by the introgression of dog genomes into Japanese wolves (Japanese

wolf or its ancestors, hereafter simply referred to as the "the Japanese wolf genome") or vice versa.

A recent report suggested widespread gene flow from dogs to gray wolves, but little gene flow

from gray wolves to dogs, based on the existence of gray wolves that have no affinity with dogs

(Bergström, et al. 2020). We investigated the direction of gene flow between Japanese wolves and

East Eurasian dogs using the *f4*-ratio (Patterson, et al. 2012). We found that the degree of genome

introgression from the Japanese wolf lineage to dogs was the highest in dingoes and NGSDs

(5.5%) followed by Japanese dogs (3-4%), as well as in dogs of other East Eurasian lineages (Fig.

3A). In contrast, genomic introgression from dogs to the Japanese wolf genome was not supported

(Fig. S14). We further analyzed the possibility of a small proportion of genomic introgression

from dogs to the Japanese wolf genome by the $f4$ statistics. If the dog genome is introgressed into

the Japanese wolf genome, the genetic affinities between the Japanese wolf and dogs would be

different between individuals. Indeed, the degree of affinity of Japanese wolves with

dongo/NGSD varied among individuals (Fig. S15) and Jw258, Jw269, and Jw271 showed

significant affinity to Japanese dogs (Fig. S16). This difference in affinity suggests that the

Japanese wolf genome contains a small proportion of the dog genome that is undetectable by $f4$-

ratio.

The degree of genomic introgression from Japanese wolves to dogs was higher in East Eurasian

than in West Eurasian dogs. It also varied among the dogs of East Eurasia. This variation may

have been caused by multiple introgression events between the ancestors of Japanese wolves and

dogs in different regions, or by a single introgression followed by diffusion of the Japanese wolf

genome into various dog populations. To determine which hypothesis is more likely, we first

examined the degree of gene flow among dogs in different regions. African dogs and dingo/NGSD

represent opposite edges of the dog cluster in the PCA (Fig. 1A), and show the lowest and highest

affinities with Japanese wolves, respectively (Fig. 2C). Among dogs, African dogs show the

lowest affinity with dingo/NGSD, while dingo/NGSDs show the lowest affinity with African dogs

(Fig. S17, S18). Dingoes are estimated to have been introduced to Australia between 4600 and

18300 years ago based on their mitochondrial DNA (Oskarsson, et al. 2012), with archaeological

evidence supporting the arrival of at least 3500 years ago (Milham and Thompson 1976). It is

considered that the dingoes have been isolated in Australia since then (Larson, et al. 2012; Fan,

et al. 2016). African dogs are estimated to have migrated to Africa 14,000 years ago (Liu, et al.

2018) and have been isolated since then (Larson, et al. 2012; Fan, et al. 2016). The African Dog

and Dingo/NGSD are included in the West Eurasian and East Eurasian lineages, respectively (Fig.

S7). Therefore, they are likely to be the oldest dogs of their respective lineages. The $f4$ statistics

biplot showed that dogs showing higher affinity with dingo/NGSD show lower affinity with

African dogs while dogs showing higher affinity with African dogs show lower affinity with

Dingo/NGSD (Fig. S19). This negative correlation suggests most of dog populations were formed

through extensive past mixing between East and West Eurasian lineages represented by

dingo/NGSD and African dogs, respectively. Indeed, several dogs in South and East Asia are

genomically characterized as dingo/NGSD admixed with African dogs by negative values of $f3$

statistics (Patterson, et al. 2012) (Fig. S20).

Next, we examined the degree of introgression between dogs from different regions and

Japanese wolves. TreeMix analysis indicates an introgression from the ancestor of Japanese

wolves into the common ancestor of dingo/NGSD and Japanese dogs (Fig. 3B). The $f3$ biplot of

affinities with dingo/NGSD and with Japanese wolves shows a positive correlation among dogs,

indicating that the Japanese wolf genome has become widespread through the admixture between

West and East Eurasian dog lineages and persists in the modern genomes of the East Eurasian

lineage (Fig. 3C). Therefore, it is likely that the genome of the Japanese wolf ancestor was

introgressed into an ancestral lineage of the East Eurasian lineage after the split of West and East

Eurasian lineages. Subsequently, the East Eurasian lineage containing the Japanese wolf ancestry

admixed with the West Eurasian lineage, resulting in differences in affinities with the Japanese

wolves. The Southeast Asian dogs (Fig. 3C green) have a relatively higher affinity with

Dingo/NGSD compared to their affinity with Japanese wolves, suggesting gene flow between the

Southeast Asian dogs and dogs that carried no Japanese wolf genome after the first admixture

event. Similarly, Japanese dogs (Fig. 3C blue) have a relatively higher affinity with Japanese

wolves compared to their affinity with Dingo/NGSD, suggesting subsequent gene flow between

Japanese dogs and Japanese wolves. Hence, it is likely that the difference in the degree of genomic

introgression from Japanese wolves to dogs was caused by a single introgression followed by

diffusion of the Japanese wolf genome into various dog populations.

Genomic regions derived from Japanese wolf that contribute to the traits of Japanese dogs

The results of *f4*-ratio analysis showed that Akita, Shiba, and Kishu dogs contain 36-45% West

Eurasian dog genomes (Table S3). It is expected that the genomic regions containing genes

responsible for traits of the Japanese dogs are highly differentiated from those of West Eurasian

dogs. If such regions overlap with the Japanese wolf-derived genomic regions (3-4%) in the

modern Japanese dog genome, it could be that Japanese wolf-derived genes are responsible for

the characteristic traits of the Japanese dog. To investigate such regions, we examined genomic

regions derived from Japanese wolves using a f_{dM} sliding window and regions differentiated

between Japanese dogs and West Eurasian dogs using an F_{ST} sliding window, and extracted

overlapping regions. Six regions were found, which included four genes and the upstream or

downstream regions of four genes (Fig. 3D, Fig. S21, Table S5). These regions may be candidates

of genomic regions derived from Japanese wolf that contribute to the traits of Japanese dogs.

Discussion

The Japanese wolves are likely to have been isolated in the Japanese archipelago until their

extinction only 100 years ago. This study reveals that they form a monophyletic group with no

evidence for gene flow with other Eurasian gray wolves.

One notable aspect of Japanese wolves is their phylogenetic position. In Eurasia, our

phylogenetic analysis showed that the European lineage of the gray wolves diverged at the basal

position, followed by the Middle East and East Asian lineages. In the East Asian lineage, the

monophyletic group of Japanese wolves and the dog lineage form a sister-group relationship. The

order in which Eurasian wolf lineages diverged is from west to east in geographical order on the

Eurasian continent. Considering these phylogenetic and geographic relationships, it is most likely

that it was in East Asia that the divergence between the Japanese wolf and the dog lineages has

occurred. In other words, the extinct population of the gray wolf from which dogs are suspected

to have been domesticated (Larson, et al. 2012; Thalmann, et al. 2013; Freedman, et al. 2014;

Skoglund, et al. 2015; Fan, et al. 2016; Frantz, et al. 2016) is closely related to the ancestor of the

Japanese wolf and is likely to inhabit East Asia. This hypothesis does not directly imply that the

origin of dog domestication was in East Asia, nor does it directly imply that the earliest dogs

descended from the ancestor of Japanese wolves. Although the domestication process would have

been initiated with the animals' association with humans (Larson, et al. 2012; Perri 2016; Janssens,

et al. 2018), our phylogenetic analyses provide no evidence for when dog lineages began to

associate with humans. Further archaeological evidence in the studies of ancient "proto-dog"

populations are required to clarify the beginnings of the dog-human relationship.

This study suggests ancient genomic introgression from the Japanese wolf to dogs, most likely

to the ancestor of the East Eurasian lineage. The divergence between the dog lineage and the

Eurasian gray wolves has been estimated to be 20,000-40,000 years ago (Skoglund, et al. 2015;

Botigué, et al. 2017). Dogs have been reported to have split into West Eurasian, East Eurasian,

and sled dog lineages in their early divergence (Freedman, et al. 2014; Shannon, et al. 2015;

Frantz, et al. 2016; Botigué, et al. 2017; Leathlobhair, et al. 2018). Because a 9500-year-old sled

dog (Sinding, et al. 2020) already contained the same proportion of the Japanese wolf genome as

the modern sled dog (2%: Table S4), the genomic introgression of the ancestor of the Japanese

wolf to the East Eurasian lineage of dogs must have occurred before the establishment of the sled

dog lineage at least 9500 years ago during the transitional period from the Pleistocene to the

Holocene and shortly after the divergence of the East and West Eurasian dog lineages. The

genome of NGSD was estimated to contain the Japanese wolf genome (5.5%). It is estimated that

the NGSD lineage already existed by 10,900 years ago (Bergström, et al. 2020), which also

supports the hypothesis that the introgression from the ancestor of Japanese wolves into dogs had

occurred in the Pleistocene. The ancient dog genome data from two European individuals (4,800

and 7,000 years ago) already contained about 1.6% of the Japanese wolf genome (Table S4). Since

the gene flow from the Southeast Asian dog ancestry to the ancestor of these two ancient European

dogs has been reported (Botigué, et al. 2017), the genome of the Japanese wolf may have been

transmitted to European dogs via the Southeast Asian dog ancestry more than 7,000 years ago.

Although the Japanese wolf has only ever been found living in the Japanese archipelago, it is

unlikely that the introgression between the ancestor of the Japanese wolf and dogs of the East

Eurasian lineage occurred in the Japanese archipelago. An ancient sled dog (Sinding, et al. 2020)

excavated at the same time as the excavation of the oldest dog in Japan (9600 years ago)

(Shigehara and Hongo 2000) already possessed the Japanese wolf genome, suggesting that

introgression between the ancestor of Japanese wolves and dogs of the East Eurasian lineage had

occurred before dogs were brought to the Japanese archipelago. Therefore, the introgression

between the ancestral Japanese wolf and the East Eurasian lineage of dogs is most likely to have

occurred somewhere in East Asia.

The dogs with a high proportion of the Japanese wolf genome are the dingo/NGSD (5.5%) and

the Japanese dogs (3-4%). The high proportion of the dingo/NGSD is inferred to be due to their

isolation in the islands of Southeast Asia and Australia, where they have escaped admixture with

the West Eurasian dog lineage. NGSD is estimated to be an admixture of two lineages (Bergström,

et al. 2020), and thus one of the admixed lineages may have possessed a higher proportion (~10%)

of the Japanese wolf genome than NGSD. In contrast, Japanese dogs contain about 36-45% of the

West Eurasian genome (Table S3), even though a high proportion of the Japanese wolf genome

persists in their genomes. After the first introgression with the East Eurasian dog ancestry, the

Japanese wolf genome may have introgressed into the Japanese dog genomes in the Japanese

archipelago. This hypothesis is supported by the ratio of affinity with Japanese wolves and with

dingoes/NGSDs, which tends to be higher in Japanese dogs (Fig. 3C). In addition, the Japanese

dogs have the highest affinity to the Japanese wolf among all dogs (Fig. S16), suggesting that the

Japanese wolf genome was recently introgressed into the Japanese dog genome.

Although only a small proportion of the Japanese wolf genome persists in modern dog genomes,

the Japanese wolf genome might have an effect on dog characteristic traits. We searched for

genomic regions in Japanese dogs that derived from the Japanese wolf, and that were highly

differentiated between Japanese dogs and West Eurasian dogs. Six regions were found with these

criteria (Fig. 3D, Fig. S21, Table S5). Despite the gene flow from Eurasian dogs, these regions

were differentiated between the genomes of Japanese and West Eurasian dogs. Therefore, these

regions are expected to have been selected in the Japanese dogs and are the candidates of genomic

regions potentially responsible for phenotypic characteristics of Japanese dogs. Further analysis

of the regions selected in East Eurasian dogs and a genome-wide association study for East

Eurasian dog traits should reveal the effects of the Japanese wolf genome on dog traits.

In this study, we demonstrated that the Japanese wolf is a sister group with the monophyletic

clade of dogs. Our original results support the hypothesis that the modern dog lineage was

domesticated from an extinct population of gray wolves (Larson, et al. 2012; Thalmann, et al.

2013; Freedman, et al. 2014; Skoglund, et al. 2015; Fan, et al. 2016; Frantz, et al. 2016), and the

Japanese wolf is the closest to this now-extinct gray wolf population. In addition, we estimated

the levels of introgression from the ancestor of Japanese wolves to the ancestor of East Eurasian

dogs. Accordingly, the Japanese wolf genome is expected to be involved in the early stages of

dog domestication. Further analysis of the genome of the Japanese wolf and ancient dog genomes,

in particular from East Eurasia, will continue to shed light on the origins of dog domestication.

Materials and methods

Samples, DNA extraction, and sequencing

Japanese Wolf and Japanese dog DNAs were extracted and used in our previous studies

(Matsumura, et al. 2014; Matsumura, et al. 2020). The sample locations are listed in Table S1.

DNAs of two individuals of Shiba (Shiba_shiro and Shiba_kuro) were extracted from blood

samples using a DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's instructions.

The NEBNext Ultra II DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Bio Labs, Ipswich, MA,

USA) was used to construct libraries from genomic DNA following the manufacturer's

instructions. Paired-end (2 × 150 bp) sequencing was performed on the Illumina HiSeq X or

NovaSeq 6000 platforms.

For Leiden b and Leiden c, the genome capture was performed using the SeqCap EZ

Hybridization and Wash Kit (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), SeqCap EZ Accessory Kit v2 (Roche),

SeqCap HE-Oligo Kit (Roche), and SeqCap EZ Pure Capture Bead Kit (Roche) following the

manufacturer's instructions for SeqCap EZ Library SR (Roche), with minor modifications.

Briefly, Biotin-labeled genomic DNA fragments from Shiba were used as hybridization probes,

instead of the SeqCap EZ library (Roche). Leiden b and Leiden c libraries were mixed with 135

ng of Biotin-labeled genomic DNA fragments and were hybridized at 47°C for 72 h. Other

procedures were performed in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Total mapped

reads are listed in Table S1.

Extraction of SNPs and vcf file preparation

Sequence reads from the genomic DNA libraries of nine Japanese wolves, eleven Japanese dogs

(Table S1) as well as 88 wolves/dogs and six outgroup species from the database (Table S2) were

trimmed to remove adaptor sequences and mapped to the dog reference genome (CanFam3.1)

using CLC Genomics Workbench (https://www.qiagenbioinformatics.com/). Reads showing high

similarity (> 90% in > 90% of read length) were mapped to the reference genome sequences and

reads mapped to more than one position were removed ("ignore" option for reads mapped to

multiple positions). The mapping data was exported in bam file format and sorted and indexed

using samtools (Li, et al. 2009). The duplicated reads in bam files were marked by the

MarkDuplicates algorithm implemented in GATK v4.2 (https://gatk.broadinstitute.org/hc/en-us).

We performed genotype calling on all individuals analyzed in this study using the

HaplotypeCaller algorithm in GATK v4.2. Genotypes of all individuals were output as gvcf

format (-ERC GVCF option) and filtered by the VariantFiltration algorithm in GATK v4.2 with

default parameters. All gvcf files were combined into a single gvcf format file by the

CombineGVCFs algorithm in GATK v4.2. The combined file was genotyped by the

GenotypeGVCFs algorithm and filtered by Filtervcf in GATK v4.2 with parameters; --filter-

expression "QD < 2.0" --filter-name "QD2" --filter-expression "QUAL < 30.0" --filter-name

"QUAL30" --filter-expression "FS > 200.0" --filter-name "FS200" --filter-expression "SOR >

10.0" -filter-name "SOR10" --filter-expression "ReadPosRankSum < -20.0" --filter-name

"ReadPosRankSum-20".

To maximize the number of SNPs for analyses without missingness, we prepared vcf files for

each analysis using vcftools (Danecek, et al. 2011). When including all individuals except for

ancient samples and Leiden b, Leiden c, and Honshu wolf (see the sample combination in Table

S2), we removed all indels, singleton, and doubleton sites to eliminate PCR and sequencing errors

that may have occurred in one individual, and extracted bi-allelic sites with coverage equal to or

more than three in all individuals and with GQ values equal to or more than eight in all individuals.

Mutations due to DNA damage at both ends of fragments were less than 1% in Japanese wolves

(Fig. S1), therefore we can infer that mutations by DNA damage in the sequences of Japanese

wolves were removed by this filtration. For analyses with ancient samples, sites were filtered

using the same conditions as with modern samples. In addition, we used only transversion sites

for the analyses of ancient samples. For the PCA and ADMIXTURE analyses with Liden b and

Liden c, sites with missingness greater than 3% and minor allele frequency (MAF) < 0.04 were

excluded. Sites were filtered using the same conditions as with modern samples, and only

transversion sites were used.

Phylogenetic analysis

The SNPs in a vcf file including dogs, wolves and Japanese wolves (see Table S2) were converted

to PHYLIP format. 10 kb sequences from the 5' end of the PHYLIP format file were extracted

and a model for Maximum Likelihood method was selected using MEGA ver. X (Kumar, et al.

2018). A phylogenetic tree was constructed using the Maximum Likelihood (ML) method using

PhyML ver. 3.2 (Guindon, et al. 2010) with a model selection option "-m GTR" and with 100

bootstrap replications. ML trees were constructed using all individuals (Fig. S7: 489,524 SNPs),

selected individuals (Fig. 2A: 1,971,890 SNPs), and outgroup species (Table S2), wolves,

Japanese wolves, and African dogs (Fig. S13: 2,065,200 SNPs).

The same vcf file used in the ML method (Fig. S7: 489,524 SNPs) was converted to NEXUS

format. A phylogenetic tree was constructed by the svdq algorithm (Chifman and Kubatko 2014)

in PAUP* ver. 4a (Wilgenbusch and Swofford 2003) with 100 bootstrap replications (Fig. S8).

We used PLINK ver. 1.9 (Purcell, et al. 2007) with an option "—distance 1-ibs" to calculate an

Identity By State (IBS) distance matrix using 1,992,260 SNPs. A neighbour joining tree was

constructed from the IBS distance matrix using MEGA ver. X (Kumar, et al. 2018)(Fig. S9).

Principal component analysis and ADMIXTURE

We performed a principal component analysis (PCA) using PLINK ver. 1.9 (Purcell, et al. 2007)

with an option "--indep-pairwise 50 10 0.1" to explore the affinity among gray wolves, Japanese

wolves, and dogs (Figure 1A). We also performed PCA with type specimens of Japanese wolf

(Fig. S3), and with ancient canids (Fig. S6).

ADMIXTURE ver. 1.3 (Alexander and Lange 2011) was run on the dataset of modern samples

(Fig. 1B and Fig. S2) and modern specimens with type specimens of Japanese wolf (Fig. S4)

assuming 2 to 8 clusters (K=2-8).

f3, *f4* **statistics, and** *f4*-**ratio**

*f*3, *f4* statistics, and *f4*-ratio implemented in ADMIXTOOLS ver. 7.0.1 (Patterson, et al. 2012)

were used to evaluate the shared genetic drift among gray wolves, Japanese wolves, and dogs.

We used the same SNPs data set used for the phylogenetic analysis for all modern samples

(489,524 SNPs). For Liden b and Leiden c analyses, vcf files were prepared to maximize the

number of SNPs (Table S2).

Outgroup *f3* statistics were calculated to explore shared genetic drift between all dogs and each

of the wolves (Fig. 2B), African dogs and each of the wolves (Fig. S10A), dingo/NGSD dogs and

each of the wolves (Fig. S10B), Japanese wolf and each of the dogs (Fig. 2C), African dogs and

each of the other dogs (Fig. S17A), and dingo/NGSD dogs and each of the other dogs (Fig. S17B).

f3 statistics were calculated to test the genomic mixture of African and dingo/NGSD dogs in all

dogs (Fig. S20).

f4 statistics were calculated to explore shared genetic drift between each of the dogs and Leiden

c (Fig. S5A: 38,254 SNPs), each of the dogs and Leiden b (Fig. S5C: 83,259 SNPs), each of the

wolves and Japanese wolves (Fig. 2D), each of the dogs and Japanese wolves (Fig. S11), each of

the dogs and each of the wolves (Fig. S12), dingo/NGSD and Japanese wolves (Fig. S15), each

of the dogs and Japanese wolves (Fig. S16), NGSD1 or Basenji and each of the dogs (Fig. S18),

and dingoes and each of the other dogs, and African dogs and each of the other dogs (Fig. S19)

TreeMix

To examine the admixture events, we used TreeMix (Pickrell and Pritchard 2012) to build a tree

with admixture edges. We used major groups of gray wolves and dogs as follows; Gray Wolves

(North America: n = 2), Gray Wolves (Canada/Arctic: n = 8), Gray Wolves (East Asia: n =5),

Gray Wolves (West Eurasia: n = 7), Japanese Wolves (Japan: n = 7), Dogs (Central Asian: n = 4),

Dogs (Europe: n = 11), Dogs (Africa: n = 10), Dogs (sled dogs: n = 4), Dogs (Vietnamese

Indigenous: n = 5), Ding/NGSD (Oceania: n = 7), Japanese Dogs (Japan: n = 11), and Dogs

(Korea: n = 6). The SNPs were pruned based on linkage disequilibrium (LD-pruning) by using

plink with an option "--indep-pairwise 50 10 0.12. As a result of LD-pruning, 150,502 SNPs were

used for TreeMix.

Assessing DNA damage patterns

We used mapDamage ver. 2.2.0 (Ginolhac, et al. 2011) to assess DNA damage patterns in the

Japanese wolf samples sequenced in this study. Mapped reads from the Japanese wolf samples

showed slightly increased proportion (equal to or less than 1%) of C to T and G to A substitutions

at the 5' and 3' read ends, respectively (Fig. S1).

Calculation of maximum contamination rate

We used substitutions in mitochondria DNA specific to Japanese wolf to assess the contamination

rate of the other animal DNA in Japanese wolf DNA. Fifteen fixed substitutions unique to

Japanese wolf were selected using an alignment of mitochondria DNA sequences including gray

wolves, Japanese wolves, and dogs used in previous studies (Matsumura, et al. 2014; Matsumura,

et al. 2020). The lowest coverage at fifteen sites was 48 (highest was 28,324) in the mapping

result to the mitochondria genome in CanFam3.1. We calculated the average mapping ratio in

fifteen sites. The ratio of the reads mapped to the fifteen sites without substitutions specific to

Japanese wolf were assumed as the maximum contamination rate (Table S6), because the

mitochondria DNA like sequences are found in the nuclear genome.

Detection of genomic regions that are derived from Japanese wolf and may have contributed to Japanese dog characteristics

The genomic regions containing genes that form the characteristics of the Japanese dog are

expected to be largely differentiated between the Japanese dog and the West Eurasian dog. To

detect such regions, we examined sequences differentiated between Japanese dogs and West

Eurasian dogs by using F_{ST} sliding window analysis. Using SNPs of Japanese dogs and African

dogs (Table S2) in a vcf file, F_{ST} values for all sites were computed by vcftools v0.1.16 (Danecek,

et al. 2011). The average F_{ST} values in windows of 50 SNPs with a 25 SNPs slide across

chromosomes were calculated by RStudio version (1.4.1106) (RStudio Team 2020. RStudio:

Integrated Development for R. RStudio, PBC, Boston, MA). The Japanese wolf-derived genomic

regions were calculated by f_{dM} values in windows of 50 SNPs with a 25 SNPs slide across

chromosomes using Dsuite (Malinsky, et al. 2021). Then, we extracted regions of overlap between

high F_{ST} regions (top 1%) and the Japanese wolf-derived genomic regions (top 1% of f_{dM} values).

Availability of data

The nucleotide sequences were deposited in the DDBJ Sequenced Read Archive.

Funding

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP19H05343 and JP21H00341 to

Y.T., JP17K01193 and JP20K01104 to N. I., and Grant Number JP16H06279 (PAGS).

Acknowledgments

Author contributions

JG: data analyses and manuscript writing; NA: next generation sequencing and vcf file

preparation of modern samples; XX: assessing DNA damage patterns; YM: genomic DNA

extraction of Shiba individuals and next generation sequencing; SM: preparation of an alignment

of mitochondrial DNA sequences and manuscript editing; HT: archeological information for

discussion and manuscript editing; NI preparation of genomic DNA of the Japanese wolves and

Japanese dogs and manuscript editing; YT: research concept, research plan, next generation

sequencing, vcf file preparation, data analyses, and manuscript writing.

References

Alexander DH, Lange K. 2011. Enhancements to the ADMIXTURE algorithm for individual
ancestry estimation. BMC bioinformatics 12:1-6.

Axelsson E, Ratnakumar A, Arendt M-L, Maqbool K, Webster MT, Perloski M, Liberg O,
Arnemo JM, Hedhammar A, Lindblad-Toh K. 2013. The genomic signature of dog
domestication reveals adaptation to a starch-rich diet. Nature 495:360-364.

Bergström A, Frantz L, Schmidt R, Ersmark E, Lebrasseur O, Girdland-Flink L, Lin AT, Storå J,
Sjögren K-G, Anthony D. 2020. Origins and genetic legacy of prehistoric dogs. Science
370:557-564.

Botigué LR, Song S, Scheu A, Gopalan S, Pendleton AL, Oetjens M, Taravella AM, Seregély T,
Zeeb-Lanz A, Arbogast R-M. 2017. Ancient European dog genomes reveal continuity since
the Early Neolithic. Nature communications 8:1-11.

Chifman J, Kubatko L. 2014. Quartet inference from SNP data under the coalescent model.
Bioinformatics 30:3317-3324.

Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, Handsaker RE, Lunter G,
Marth GT, Sherry ST. 2011. The variant call format and VCFtools. Bioinformatics 27:2156-
2158.

Fan Z, Silva P, Gronau I, Wang S, Armero AS, Schweizer RM, Ramirez O, Pollinger J, Galaverni
M, Del-Vecchyo DO. 2016. Worldwide patterns of genomic variation and admixture in gray
wolves. Genome research 26:163-173.

Frantz LA, Mullin VE, Pionnier-Capitan M, Lebrasseur O, Ollivier M, Perri A, Linderholm A,
Mattiangeli V, Teasdale MD, Dimopoulos EA. 2016. Genomic and archaeological evidence
suggest a dual origin of domestic dogs. Science 352:1228-1231.

Freedman AH, Gronau I, Schweizer RM, Ortega-Del Vecchyo D, Han E, Silva PM, Galaverni M,
Fan Z, Marx P, Lorente-Galdos B. 2014. Genome sequencing highlights the dynamic early
history of dogs. PLoS genetics 10:e1004016.

Germonpré M, Sablin MV, Stevens RE, Hedges RE, Hofreiter M, Stiller M, Després VR. 2009.
Fossil dogs and wolves from Palaeolithic sites in Belgium, the Ukraine and Russia:
osteometry, ancient DNA and stable isotopes. Journal of Archaeological Science 36:473-490.

Ginolhac A, Rasmussen M, Gilbert MTP, Willerslev E, Orlando L. 2011. mapDamage: testing for
damage patterns in ancient DNA sequences. Bioinformatics 27:2153-2155.

Guindon S, Dufayard J-F, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. 2010. New algorithms
and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of
PhyML 3.0. Systematic biology 59:307-321.

Ishiguro N, Inoshima Y, Shigehara N. 2009. Mitochondrial DNA analysis of the Japanese wolf
(Canis lupus hodophilax Temminck, 1839) and comparison with representative wolf and
domestic dog haplotypes. Zoological science 26:765-770.

Janssens L, Giemsch L, Schmitz R, Street M, Van Dongen S, Crombé P. 2018. A new look at an
old dog: Bonn-Oberkassel reconsidered. Journal of Archaeological Science 92:126-138.

Jentink F. 1887. Catalogue ostéologique des Mammifères (Singes, Carnivores, Ruminants,
Pachydermes, Sirènes et Cétacés): Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle des Pays-Bas.

Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K. 2018. MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics
analysis across computing platforms. Molecular biology and evolution 35:1547.

Larson G, Karlsson EK, Perri A, Webster MT, Ho SY, Peters J, Stahl PW, Piper PJ, Lingaas F,
Fredholm M. 2012. Rethinking dog domestication by integrating genetics, archeology, and
biogeography. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 109:8878-8883.

Leathlobhair MN, Perri AR, Irving-Pease EK, Witt KE, Linderholm A, Haile J, Lebrasseur O,
Ameen C, Blick J, Boyko AR. 2018. The evolutionary history of dogs in the Americas.
Science 361:81-85.

Leonard JA, Wayne RK, Wheeler J, Valadez R, Guillén S, Vila C. 2002. Ancient DNA evidence
for Old World origin of New World dogs. Science 298:1613-1616.

Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R.
2009. The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25:2078-2079.

Liu Y-H, Wang L, Xu T, Guo X, Li Y, Yin T-T, Yang H-C, Hu Y, Adeola AC, Sanke OJ. 2018.
Whole-genome sequencing of African dogs provides insights into adaptations against
tropical parasites. Molecular biology and evolution 35:287-298.

Malinsky M, Matschiner M, Svardal H. 2021. Dsuite-Fast D-statistics and related admixture
evidence from VCF files. Molecular Ecology Resources 21:584-595.

Matsumura S, Inoshima Y, Ishiguro N. 2014. Reconstructing the colonization history of lost wolf
lineages by the analysis of the mitochondrial genome. Molecular phylogenetics and
evolution 80:105-112.

Matsumura S, Terai Y, Hongo H, Ishiguro N. 2020. Analysis of the Mitochondrial genomes of
Japanese Wolf specimens in the Siebold Collection, Leiden. Zoological science 38:60-66.

Milham P, Thompson P. 1976. Relative antiquity of human occupation and extinct fauna at

Madura Cave, southeastern Western Australia. The Australian Journal of Anthropology
10:175.

Niemann J, Gopalakrishnan S, Yamaguchi N, Ramos-Madrigal J, Wales N, Gilbert MTP, Sinding
M-HS. 2021. Extended survival of Pleistocene Siberian wolves into the early 20th century
on the island of Honshū. Iscience 24:101904.

Oskarsson M, Klütsch C, Boonyaprakob U, Wilton A, Tanabe Y, Savolainen P. 2012.
Mitochondrial DNA data indicate an introduction through Mainland Southeast Asia for
Australian dingoes and Polynesian domestic dogs. Proceedings of the Royal Society B:
Biological Sciences 279:967-974.

Pang J-F, Kluetsch C, Zou X-J, Zhang A-b, Luo L-Y, Angleby H, Ardalan A, Ekström C,
Sköllermo A, Lundeberg J. 2009. mtDNA data indicate a single origin for dogs south of
Yangtze River, less than 16,300 years ago, from numerous wolves. Molecular biology and
evolution 26:2849-2864.

Patterson N, Moorjani P, Luo Y, Mallick S, Rohland N, Zhan Y, Genschoreck T, Webster T, Reich
D. 2012. Ancient admixture in human history. Genetics 192:1065-1093.

Perri A. 2016. A wolf in dog's clothing: Initial dog domestication and Pleistocene wolf variation.
Journal of Archaeological Science 68:1-4.

Perri AR, Feuerborn TR, Frantz LA, Larson G, Malhi RS, Meltzer DJ, Witt KE. 2021. Dog
domestication and the dual dispersal of people and dogs into the Americas. Proceedings of
the National Academy of Sciences 118.

Pickrell J, Pritchard J. 2012. Inference of population splits and mixtures from genome-wide allele
frequency data. PLoS genetics 11.

Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira M, Bender D, Maller J, Sklar P, de Bakker
P, Daly M, et al. 2007. PLINK: a toolset for whole-genome association and population-based
linkage analyses. American Journal of Human Genetics 81:559-575.

Ramos-Madrigal J, Sinding M-HS, Carøe C, Mak S, Niemann J, Castruita JAS, Fedorov S,
Kandyba A, Germonpré M, Bocherens H. 2021. Genomes of Pleistocene Siberian wolves
uncover multiple extinct wolf lineages. Current Biology 31:198-206. e198.

Savolainen P, Zhang Y-p, Luo J, Lundeberg J, Leitner T. 2002. Genetic evidence for an East Asian
origin of domestic dogs. Science 298:1610-1613.

Shannon LM, Boyko RH, Castelhano M, Corey E, Hayward JJ, McLean C, White ME, Abi Said
M, Anita BA, Bondjengo NI. 2015. Genetic structure in village dogs reveals a Central Asian
domestication origin. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 112:13639-13644.

Shigehara N, Hongo H. 2000. Ancient remains of Jomon dogs from Neolithic sites in Japan. BAR
International Series 889:61-70.

Sinding M-HS, Gopalakrishnan S, Ramos-Madrigal J, de Manuel M, Pitulko VV, Kuderna L,
Feuerborn TR, Frantz LA, Vieira FG, Niemann J. 2020. Arctic-adapted dogs emerged at the
Pleistocene–Holocene transition. Science 368:1495-1499.

Skoglund P, Ersmark E, Palkopoulou E, Dalén L. 2015. Ancient wolf genome reveals an early
divergence of domestic dog ancestors and admixture into high-latitude breeds. Current
Biology 25:1515-1519.

Thalmann O, Shapiro B, Cui P, Schuenemann VJ, Sawyer SK, Greenfield D, Germonpré MB,
Sablin MV, López-Giráldez F, Domingo-Roura X. 2013. Complete mitochondrial genomes
of ancient canids suggest a European origin of domestic dogs. Science 342:871-874.

Vonholdt BM, Pollinger JP, Lohmueller KE, Han E, Parker HG, Quignon P, Degenhardt JD,
Boyko AR, Earl DA, Auton A. 2010. Genome-wide SNP and haplotype analyses reveal a
rich history underlying dog domestication. Nature 464:898-902.

Wang G, Zhai W, Yang H-c, Fan R-x, Cao X, Zhong L, Wang L, Liu F, Wu H, Cheng L-g. 2013.
The genomics of selection in dogs and the parallel evolution between dogs and humans.
Nature communications 4:1-9.

Wang G-D, Zhai W, Yang H-C, Wang L, Zhong L, Liu Y-H, Fan R-X, Yin T-T, Zhu C-L, Poyarkov
AD. 2016. Out of southern East Asia: the natural history of domestic dogs across the world.
Cell research 26:21-33.

Wilgenbusch JC, Swofford D. 2003. Inferring evolutionary trees with PAUP. Current protocols in
bioinformatics:6.4. 1-6.4. 28.

Zhang M, Sun G, Ren L, Yuan H, Dong G, Zhang L, Liu F, Cao P, Ko AM-S, Yang MA, et al.
2020. Ancient DNA evidence from China reveals the expansion of pacific dogs. Molecular
biology and evolution 37:1462-1469.

Figure legends

Figure 1 Relationships between Japanese wolves and other canids

(A) Principal Components Analysis (PC1 versus PC2) of 100 samples based on 2,065,200 SNPs

(see Table S2 for sample information). Colored circle, square, and triangle correspond to the

names of dogs or wolves in the panel. (B) ADMIXTURE results based on SNP data for K = 4

(see Table S2 for sample information).

Figure 2 Phylogenetic relationships and genetic affinity between Japanese wolves and other

canids. (A) Maximum likelihood tree based on 1,971,890 SNPs. Node labels shown in blue

squares indicate bootstrap values out of 100. (B) Shared genetic drift between dogs and gray

wolves measured by outgroup $f3$ statistics. Each of all dogs and Japanese wolves were used as

populations. Each $f3$ statistical value is plotted in order of highest to lowest value from the top,

and the names of the wolves are shown on the right side of the panel. Error bars represent standard

errors. (C) Shared genetic drift between Japanese wolf and all dogs measured by outgroup $f3$

statistics. Each of the African dogs and Dingo/NGSD individuals were used as populations. Each

$f3$ statistical value is plotted in order of highest to lowest value from the top, and the names of the

dogs are shown on the left side of the panel. Error bars represent standard errors. (D) $f4$ statistics

testing the relationships between the Japanese wolf and all other wolves compared with NGSD1

(left panel) and Basenji (right panel). Each Z score is plotted in order of highest to lowest value

from the top, and the names of wolves are shown on the left and right sides of the left and right

panels, respectively. Gray lines show the Z score -3 and 3.

Figure 3 Admixture between Japanese wolves and the other canids.

(A) $f4$-ratio test to estimate proportion of genome introgression from the Japanese wolf to

dogs. Each $f4$-ratio α value is plotted in order of highest to lowest value from the top, and the

names of the dogs are shown on the right side of the panel. Error bars represent standard errors.

Z score above 3 is colored in blue. (B) TreeMix admixture graph built using LD-pruned data

(150,502 sites) on a dataset consisting of 88 dogs/wolves merged into 13 groups according to their

phylogenetic relationships. (C) $f3$ statistics testing whether dogs share more alleles with

dingo/NGSD (x-axis) or Japanese wolf (y-axis). Dots show the $f3$ statistics, and horizontal and

vertical error bars represent standard errors for tests with the African dogs (x-axis) and

dingo/NGSD (y-axis), respectively. Each of the Japanese wolves and dingo/NGSD individuals

were used as populations. (D) Sliding window analyses of the F_{ST} values (y-axis: upper panel)

and f_{dM} (y-axis: lower panel) in windows of 50 SNPs using a 25 SNPs slide across chromosome

(x-axis). Dashed lines show the 99th percentiles. Blue arrow indicates overlapping regions above

99th percentiles between upper and lower panels. The gene name above the arrow is the gene in

the overlapped region.

Figure 1 Relationships between Japanese wolves and other canids

(A) Principal Components Analysis (PC1 versus PC2) of 100 samples based on 2,065,200 SNPs (see Table S2 for sample information). Colored circle, square, and triangle correspond to the names of dogs or wolves in the panel. (B) ADMIXTURE results based on SNP data for K = 4 (see Table S2 for sample information).

Figure 2 Phylogenetic relationships and genetic affinity between Japanese wolves and other canids.

(A) Maximum likelihood tree based on 1,971,890 SNPs. Node labels shown in blue squares indicate bootstrap values out of 100. (B) Shared genetic drift between dogs and gray wolves measured by outgroup *f3* statistics. Each of all dogs and Japanese wolves were used as populations. Each *f3* statistical value is plotted in order of highest to lowest value from the top, and the names of the wolves are shown on the right side of the panel. Error bars represent standard errors. (C) Shared genetic drift between Japanese wolf and all dogs measured by outgroup *f3* statistics. Each of the African dogs and Dingo/NGSD individuals were used as populations. Each *f3* statistical value is plotted in order of highest to lowest value from the top, and the names of the dogs are shown on the left side of the panel. Error bars represent standard errors. (D) *f4* statistics testing the relationships between the Japanese wolf and all other wolves compared with NGSD1 (left panel) and Basenji (right panel). Each Z score is plotted in order of highest to lowest value from the top, and the names of wolves are shown on the left and right sides of the left and right panels, respectively. Gray lines show the Z score -3 and 3.

Figure 3 Admixture between Japanese wolves and the other canids.

f4-ratio test to estimate proportion of genome introgression from the Japanese wolf to dogs. Each *f4*-ratio α value is plotted in order of highest to lowest value from the top, and the names of the dogs are shown on the right side of the panel. Error bars represent standard errors. Z score above 3 is colored in blue. (B) TreeMix admixture graph built using LD-pruned data (150,502 sites) on a dataset consisting of 88 dogs/wolves merged into 13 groups according to their phylogenetic relationships. (C) *f3* statistics testing whether dogs share more alleles with dingo/NGSD (x-axis) or Japanese wolf (y-axis). Dots show the *f3* statistics, and horizontal and vertical error bars represent standard errors for tests with the African dogs (x-axis) and dingo/NGSD (y-axis), respectively. Each of the Japanese wolves and dingo/NGSD individuals were used as populations. (D) Sliding window analyses of the F_{ST} values (y-axis: upper panel) and f_{dM} (y-axis: lower panel) in windows of 50 SNPs using a 25 SNPs slide across chromosome (x-axis). Dashed lines show the 99th percentiles. Blue arrow indicates overlapping regions above 99th percentiles between upper and lower panels. The gene name above the arrow is the gene in the overlapped region.

Figure S1

C to T and G to A frequency of mis-incorporation at 3' and 5' end of reads determined in this study.

Figure S2
(A) Cross validation (CV) values for ADMIXTURE analysis of SNP data.
(B) ADMIXTURE results based on SNP data for K = 2-6 (see Table S2 for
sample information).

Figure S2, continued

Figure S3
Principal Components Analysis (PC1 versus PC2) of 103 samples based on 103,432
SNPs (see Table S2 for sample information). Colored circle, square, and triangle
correspond to the names of dogs or wolves in the panel.

Figure S4

An ADMIXTURE result based on SNP data for K = 4 (see Table S2 for sample information). Cross validation (CV) values for ADMIXTURE analysis of SNP data is shown in the panel.

Figure S5
(A) *f4*-statistics testing the relationships between the Japanese wolf (Jw284), Leiden c, and all other dogs. Each Z score is plotted in order of highest to lowest value from the top, and the names of dogs are shown on the left side of each panel. Gray lines show the Z score -3 and 3. Leiden c shows genetic affinity with all other dogs (Z score > 3). (B) *f4*-ratio test to estimate proportion of genome introgression from a Japanese dog (Shiba 17) to Leiden C. (C) *f4*-statistics testing the relationships between the Japanese wolf (Jw284), Leiden b, and all other dogs. The genetic affinities of Leiden b with all other dogs are rejected (-3 < Z score < 3).

Figure S6
Principal Components Analysis (PC1 versus PC2) of 109 samples based on 100,588 SNPs (transversion sites, see Table S2 for sample information). Colored circle, square, and triangle correspond to the names of dogs or wolves in the panel.

Figure S7
Maximum likelihood tree based on 489,524 SNPs. Node labels indicate bootstrap replicates.

Figure S8
Phylogenetic tree constructed by SVDquartets based on 489,524 SNPs. Node labels indicate bootstrap replicates.

Figure S9
NJ tree based on IBS matrix
from 1,992,260 SNPs.

Figure S10

Shared genetic drift between African dogs (A) and Dingo/NGSD (B) and gray wolves measured by outgroup $f3$ statistics. Each of the African dogs and Dingo/NGSD individuals were used as populations. Each $f3$ statistical value is plotted in order of highest to lowest value from the top, and the names of the wolves are shown on the left side of each panel. Error bars represent standard errors.

Figure S11
f4 statistics testing the genetic affinity of the Japanese wolf with all other dogs. All Japanese wolves were used as a population. Z scores for each combination are plotted. We computed *f4* statistics where W in the schematic representation is shown and fixed in each panel and X represented any possible other dogs. Each Z score is listed in order of highest to lowest value from the top. Dotted line shows the Z score 3. Large size graphs of highest (NGS_dog1) and lowest (Nigerian Dog2) affinity to the Japanese wolf are show in the first two panels. The names of the dogs are shown on the left or right sides of the panels. Small size panels of these two are surrounded by gray squares.

Figure S11

f4

Z: Coyote

Y: J. Wolves

X: Each of the dogs

W: Dog shown in the panel

Figure S11 continued

Z score

Z score

Figure S11 continued

Figure S12

Figure S12
f4 statistics testing the genetic affinity of gray wolves shown in each panels with all dogs. Z scores for each combination are plotted in order of highest to lowest value from the top. We computed *f4* statistics where Y in the schematic representation is shown and fixed in each panel and W represented any possible other dogs. Dotted line shows the Z score 3.

Figure S13
Maximum likelihood tree based on 2,065,200 SNPs. Node labels indicate bootstrap replicates.

Figure S14

f4-ratio test to estimate proportion of genome introgression from dogs to the Japanese wolf. Each *f4*-ratio α value is plotted in order of lowest to highest value from the top, and the names of the dogs are shown on the right side of the panel. Error bars represent standard errors.

Figure S15

(A) *f4* statistics testing the difference of the affinity to dingo/NGSD between the Japanese wolf individuals. *f4* statistics (upper panels) and Z score (lower panels) value is plotted in order of highest to lowest value from the top. Z score above 3 is colored in blue. When the Japanese wolf individual showing a significant affinity to dingo/NGSD, the names of the Japanese wolf individual at the position X in the schematic representation are shown on the left side of Z score panel.

Figure S16

(A) *f4* statistics testing the relationships between (A) Jw258, (B) Jw269, and (C) Jw271 and all dog individuals. Each Z score is plotted in order of highest to lowest value from the top, and the names of dogs are shown on theft or right sides of each panel (see table S2). Z score above 3 is colored in blue.

Figure S17

Shared genetic drift between (A) dingo/NGSD and (B) African dogs and all dogs measured by outgroup *f*3 statistics. Each of the African dogs and dingo/NGSD individuals were used as populations. Each *f*3 value is plotted in order of highest to lowest value from the top, and the names of the dogs are shown on the left side of the panel. Error bars represent standard errors.

f4

W X Y Z

Z: Coyote

Y: NGSD1

X: Each of the dogs

W: **Dog shown in the panel**

African_Dog1　　African_Dog3　　Nigerian Dog2　　Basenji

Z: Coyote

Y: Basenji

X: Each of the dogs

W: **Dog shown in the panel**

NGSD1　　NGSD2　　Dingo1　　Dingo3

Z score

Figure S18
f4 statistics testing the affinity of NGSD1 with African dogs (upper panels) and that of Basenji with dingo/NGSD dogs (lower panels). All Z scores were under 3.

Figure S19
f4 statistics testing whether dogs share more alleles with African dogs (x-axis) or dingo/NGSD (y-axis) compared with dingo/NGSD and African dogs, respectively. Dots show the *f4* statistics, and horizontal and vertical error bars represent standard errors for the test with the African dogs (x-axis) and dingo/NGSD (y-axis), respectively. Each of the African dogs and dingo/NGSD individuals were used as populations.

A: African dogs
B: Dingo/NGSD
C: Each of the dogs

Figure S20

f3 statistics testing the genomic mixture of African and Dingo/NGSD dogs in all dogs. Z score under -3 is colored in blue. Each of the African dogs and Dingo/NGSD individuals were used as populations. Each *f3* value is plotted in order of highest to lowest value from the top, and the names of the dogs are shown on the left side of the panel. Error bars represent standard errors.

Figure S21
Sliding window analyses of the F_{ST} values (y-axis: upper panel) and f_{dM} (y-axis: lower panel) in windows of 50 SNPs using a 25 SNPs slide across scaffolds (x-axis). Dashed lines show the 99th percentiles. Blue arrow indicate overlapping regions above 99th percentiles between upper and lower panels.

Figure S21 continued

Table S1 Determined sequences in this study

Wolf/Dog	ID	Period	Isolation site (Prefecture)	Mapped reads count	Total mapped reads
Japanese Wolves	Leiden_b (Jentink 1887 b RMNH.MAM.39183)	Edo	Leiden	149 M	22.35 Gb
Japanese Wolves	Leiden_c (Jentink 1887 c RMNH.MAM.39181)	Edo	Leiden	188 M	28.2 Gb
Japanese Wolves	Jw255	Edo-Meiji	Yamanashi Pref.	1881 M	282.2 Gb
Japanese Wolves	Jw271	Edo-Meiji	Iwate Pref.	820 M	123 Gb
Japanese Wolves	Jw284 (ZMB_Mam_048817)	Meiji	Berlin	1237 M	185.6 Gb
Japanese Wolves	Jw275	Edo-Meiji	Shimane Pref.	134 M	46.5 Gb
Japanese Wolves	Jw229	Edo	Kochi Pref.	661 M	99.2 Gb
Japanese Wolves	Jw258	Edo-Meiji	Nagano Pref.	262 M	110 Gb
Japanese Wolves	Jw269	Edo-Meiji	Nagano Pref.	193 M	61.8 Gb
Dog (Akita)	Akita26	Modern	—	847 M	127.1 Gb
Dog (Akita)	Akita27	Modern	—	808 M	121.2 Gb
Dog (Akita)	Akita3	Modern	—	772 M	115.8 Gb
Dog (Kishu)	Kishu23	Modern	—	832 M	124.8 Gb
Dog (Kishu)	Kishu24	Modern	—	634 M	95.1 Gb
Dog (Shiba)	Shiba17	Modern	—	632 M	94.8 Gb
Dog (Shiba)	Shiba21	Modern	—	517 M	77.6 Gb
Dog (Shiba)	Shiba60	Modern	—	668 M	100.2 Gb
Dog (Shiba)	ShibaKuro	Modern	—	472 M	70.8 Gb
Dog (Shiba)	ShibaShiro	Modern	—	697 M	104.6 Gb
Dog (Shiba)	Jm	Modern	—	391 M	58 Gb

Table S2 Sample information

Accession#	Sub-species	Common name	IDs in analyses	PCA & ADMIXTURE (modern)	PCA with Pleistocene canid	PCA & ADMIXTURE with Leiden b, c and Honshu Wolf	Phylogenetic analysis	f4 and f4-ratio with Leiden c	f4 with Leiden b	f3, f4, and f4-ratio	Fst sliding window	fdM sliding window	TreeMix
				Without missing data	Without missing data	With missing data	Without missing data	Without missing data	Without missing data	Without missing data	Without missing data	Without missing data	Without missing data
Depositing	Japanese Wolf	Japanese Wolf	Jw255	O		O	O			O		O	O
Depositing	Japanese Wolf	Japanese Wolf	Jw271	O	O	O	O			O		O	O
Depositing	Japanese Wolf	Japanese Wolf	Jw284	O	O	O	O	O	O	O		O	O
Depositing	Japanese Wolf	Japanese Wolf	Jw275	O	O	O	O			O		O	O
Depositing	Japanese Wolf	Japanese Wolf	Jw229	O	O	O	O			O		O	O
Depositing	Japanese Wolf	Japanese Wolf	Jw258	O	O	O	O			O		O	O
Depositing	Japanese Wolf	Japanese Wolf	Jw269	O	O	O	O			O		O	O
Depositing	Japanese Wolf	Japanese Wolf	Leiden_b			O	O		O				
Depositing	-	Jama-inu	Leiden_c			O	O	O					
ERS5374233	Japanese Wolf	Honshu Wolf					O						
Depositing	Dog	Kishu	Ks23	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O
Depositing	Dog	Kishu	Ks24	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O
Depositing	Dog	Akita	Ak26	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O
Depositing	Dog	Akita	Ak27	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O
Depositing	Dog	Akita	Ak3	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O
Depositing	Dog	Shiba	Sb17	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O
Depositing	Dog	Shiba	Sb21	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O
Depositing	Dog	Shiba	Sb60	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O
Depositing	Dog	Shiba	SbK	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O
Depositing	Dog	Shiba	Sbs	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O
Depositing	Dog	Shiba	Jm	O	O	O	O					O	
SRR10596312	Dog	Dingo	dingo1	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR10596315	Dog	Dingo	dingo2	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR10596318	Dog	Dingo	dingo3	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR10596321_1	Dog	Dingo	dingo4	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR10597858	Dog	New Guinea Singing Dog	NGS_dog1	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR10597859	Dog	New Guinea Singing Dog	NGS_dog2	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR2827578_1	Dog	Chinese Indigenous Dog	C_I_Dog1	O	O	O	O		O	O			
SRR2827583_1	Dog	Chinese Indigenous Dog	C_I_Dog2	O	O	O	O		O	O			
SRR2827587_1	Dog	Chinese Indigenous Dog	C_I_Dog3	O	O	O	O		O	O			
SRR2827588_1	Dog	Chinese Indigenous Dog	C_I_Dog4	O	O	O	O		O	O			
SRR2827589_1	Dog	Chinese Indigenous Dog	C_I_Dog5	O	O	O	O		O	O			
SRR2827595_1	Dog	Vietnamese Indigenous Dog	V_I_Dog1	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR2827596_1	Dog	Vietnamese Indigenous Dog	V_I_Dog2	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR2827597_1	Dog	Vietnamese Indigenous Dog	V_I_Dog3	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR2827598_1	Dog	Vietnamese Indigenous Dog	V_I_Dog4	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR2827599_1	Dog	Vietnamese Indigenous Dog	V_I_Dog5	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR5177184_1	Dog	DongGyeongi	K_Dog1	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR5177186_1	Dog	DongGyeongi	K_Dog2	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR5177187_1	Dog	DongGyeongi	K_Dog3	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR5177188_1	Dog	DongGyeongi	K_Dog4	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR5177189_1	Dog	DongGyeongi	K_Dog5	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR5177190_1	Dog	DongGyeongi	K_Dog6	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR11193488_1	Dog	Greenland sled dog	G_sled_dog1	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR11193493_1	Dog	Greenland sled dog	G_sled_dog2	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR11193499_1	Dog	Greenland sled dog	G_sled_dog3	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR2827574_1	Dog	Siberian huskey	Siberian_huskey	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR2827565_1	Dog	East siberian laika	E_s_laika	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR2827573_1	Dog	Samoyed	Samoyed	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR5381785_1	Dog	Central Asian Shepherd	CA_Shepherd	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR1594157_1	Dog	Chinese Crested dog	C_Crested_dog	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR2827564_1	Dog	Chihuahua	Chihuahua	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR2827572_1	Dog	Peruvian naked dog	P_naked_dog	O	O	O	O		O	O			
SRR3384031_1	Dog	Portugal Village Dog	P_V_Dog	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR3466766_1	Dog	BorderCollie	BorderCollie	O	O	O	O		O	O			
SRR6297982_1	Dog	Doberman Pinscher	Doberman Pinscher	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR6376929_1	Dog	American Staffordshire Terriers	AS_Terriers	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR7107687	Dog	Borneo Village Dog	Borneo2	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR10208218_1	Dog	Boston Terrier	Boston Terrier	O	O	O	O	O	O	O			O
SRR10250959_1	Dog	Scottish Deerhounds	Scottish Deerhounds	O	O	O	O	O	O	O			O
SRR9117685_1	Dog	German shepherd	German shepherd	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR11787131_1	Dog	Labrador retriever	Labrador retriever	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR9962250_1	Dog	Labrador Retriever	Labrador_Retrievers2	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR7107697	Dog	Newginia Village Dog	Newginia_V	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR7764562_1	Dog	Yorkshire Terrier	Yorkshire Terrier	O	O	O	O		O	O			O
SRR81163589_1	Dog	Standard Poodle	Standard_Poodles1	O	O	O	O		O	O			
SRR81163591_1	Dog	Standard Poodle	Standard_Poodles2	O	O	O	O		O	O			
SRR2827591_1	Dog	Nigerian Indigenous Dog	N_I_Dog1	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	
SRR2827592_1	Dog	Nigerian Indigenous Dog	N_I_Dog2	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	
SRR2827593_1	Dog	Nigerian Indigenous Dog	N_I_Dog3	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	
SRR2827594_1	Dog	Nigerian Indigenous Dog	N_I_Dog4	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	
SRR2149861_1	Dog	Basenji	Basenji	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	
SRR3603090_1	Dog	African dogs	AF_Dog1	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	
SRR3603171_1	Dog	African dogs	AF_Dog2	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	
SRR3603205_1	Dog	African dogs	AF_Dog3	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	
SRR3603206_1	Dog	African dogs	AF_Dog4	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	
SRR3603236_1	Dog	African dogs	AF_Dog5	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	O	
SRR2017901_1	Gray Wolf	Himalayan Wolf	Wolf_chanco1	O	O	O	O			O			
SRR2017902_1	Gray Wolf	Himalayan Wolf	Wolf_chanco2	O	O	O	O			O			
SRR2017904_1	Gray Wolf	Himalayan Wolf	Wolf_chanco3	O	O	O	O			O			
SRR1518523_1	Gray Wolf	Gray Wolf (Portugal)	Wolf Portugal	O	O	O	O			O			
SRR2149870_1	Gray Wolf	Israeli Wolf	Wolf_Israel	O	O	O	O			O			
SRR2149873_1	Gray Wolf	Croatian Wolf	Wolf_Croatian	O	O	O	O			O			O
SRR2149879_1	Gray Wolf	Chinese Wolf	Wolf_Chinese1	O	O	O	O			O			O
SRR2149880_1	Gray Wolf	Chinese Wolf	Wolf_Chinese2	O	O	O	O			O			O
SRR3384029_1	Gray Wolf	Iberian Wolf	Wolf_Iberia1	O	O	O	O			O			O
SRR3384030_1	Gray Wolf	Iberian Wolf	Wolf_Iberia2	O	O	O	O			O			O
SRR3574809	Gray Wolf	Indian Wolf	Wolf_India	O	O	O	O			O			O
SRR8049193_1	Gray Wolf	Grey Wolf (Saudi Arabia)	Wolf_Saudi_Arabia	O	O	O	O			O			O
SRR8049194_1	Gray Wolf	Grey Wolf (Syria)	Wolf_Syria	O	O	O	O			O			O
SRR8049195_1	Gray Wolf	Grey Wolf (Daneborg)	Wolf_Daneborg	O	O	O	O			O			O
SRR8066603_1	Gray Wolf	Grey Wolf (StLawrenceIsland)	Wolf_StLawrenceIsland	O	O	O	O			O			O
SRR8066604_1	Gray Wolf	Grey Wolf (PacificCoast)	Wolf_PacificCoast	O	O	O	O			O			O
SRR8066607_1	Gray Wolf	Grey Wolf (BaffinNorth)	Wolf_BaffinNorth	O	O	O	O			O			O
SRR8066615_1	Gray Wolf	Grey Wolf (BanksIsland)	Wolf_BanksIsland	O	O	O	O			O			O
SRR8380700_1	Gray Wolf	Grey Wolf (Michigan)	Wolf_Michigan	O	O	O	O			O			O
SRR8380701_1	Gray Wolf	Grey Wolf (Minnesota)	Wolf_Minnesota	O	O	O	O			O			O
SRR8380706_1	Gray Wolf	Grey Wolf (Canada)	Wolf_Canad1	O	O	O	O			O			O
SRR8380708_1	Gray Wolf	Grey Wolf (Canada)	Wolf_Canad2	O	O	O	O			O			O
SRR9095639_1	Gray Wolf	Grey Wolf (Yellowstone)	Wolf_Yellowstone	O	O	O	O			O			O
SRR3417116	Ancient dog	Ancient dog	Cherry Tree Cave Dog		O								
SRR3417117	Ancient dog	Ancient dog	Herxheim Dog		O								
SRR11193507	Ancient dog	Ancient dog	Zhokhov Dog		O								
ERR1341514	Ancient dog	Ancient dog	Newgrange Dog		O								
ERR4805960	Pleistocene canid	Pleistocene canid	Bunge-Toll-1885		O								
ERR4805984	Pleistocene canid	Pleistocene canid	Tirekhtyakh		O								
ERR4805988	Pleistocene canid	Pleistocene canid	Tumat 2		O								
ERR4805972	Pleistocene canid	Pleistocene canid	Ulakhan Sular		O								
SRR11193495	Pleistocene canid	Pleistocene canid	Yana RHS		O								
SRR7107770	out group	Coyote	Coyote	O	O	O	O	O		O			O
SRR8049189	out group	Dhole	Dhole				O						
SRR8049190	out group	Ethiopian wolf	Ethi_W				O						
SRR8049192	out group	Golden Jackal (Syria)	G_Jackal_Syria	O	O	O	O						
PRJCA000335	out group	African Golden Wolf	AGW	O	O	O	O						
SRR10066702	out group	Andean fox	ad_fox				O						

f4 ratio

African dogs · *European Dogs · Japanese Dogs · NGSD1 · Coyote

Table S3 The degree of mixing of the European dog genome into the Japanese dog genome

Japanese Dogs	*f4*-ratio (alpha)	Standard error	Z score
Akita	**0.363**	0.019328	18.768
Ks	**0.366**	0.020174	18.133
Shiba	**0.445**	0.017633	25.237

*European Dogs: Yorkshire_Terrier, P_V_Dog, Standard_Poodles1, Standard_Poodles2, Scottish_Deerhounds, BorderCollie, Boston_Terrier, Doberman_Pinscher, AS_Terriers, German_shepherd.
See Table S2 for the name of the dogs.

Table S4 Gene flow between Japanese Wolf and ancient dogs

Ancient Dogs	Years ago	f4	stderr	Zscore	f4-ratio(alpha)	stderr	Zscore
Newgrange	4800	0.003171	0.000614	*5.163*	0.015764	0.00305	*5.168*
Cherry Tree Cave	5000	0.001028	0.000568	1.81	0.005231	0.002874	1.82
Herxheim	7000	0.003199	0.000606	*5.278*	0.016101	0.003041	*5.295*
Zhokhov	9500	0.004214	0.000715	*5.897*	0.021478	0.003612	*5.947*

Table S5 Genomic regions differentiated from Western Eurasian dogs and derived from Japanese Wolf

Chromosome	5' end	3' end	Genes in the region
2	6257975	6307631	*Ankyrin repeat domain 26 (ANKRD26)
3	36520617	36555078	Upstream of MKRN3, Downstream of CHRNA7
3	77467500	77472016	-
15	17047771	17058407	Upstream of FAM183A
19	13299716	13485166	**Upstream of INTU
30	8023335	8222693	INO80, ***DLL4, VPS18

*Mice mutant in one of these genes, ANKRD26, become hyperphagia and also have enhanced adipocyte differentiation (Bera, et al. 2008; Fei, et al. 2011). The traits resulting from the mutation of this gene may have been advantageous to the diet in the Japanese archipelago during Japanese dog evolution.

**INTU is part of a protein network called CPLANE, and mutations in INTU cause a number of skeletal morphological abnormalities, one of which is a flat nasal bridge (Toriyama, et al. 2016). Japanese wolves and Japanese dogs of the Jomon period (still found in a small number of Japanese dogs today) are known to have shallow stops, and perhaps mutations in this gene are related to the formation of shallow stops.

***Notch signaling plays an important role in the development and differentiation of many cell types in diverse organisms, and DLL4, the ligand for the Notch receptor, is known to have many roles during development (Benedito and Duarte 2005). Although this gene is multifunctional and therefore traits could not be identified, it may be involved in shaping the characteristics of Japanese dogs through the Notch signaling pathway.

Reference
- Benedito R, Duarte A. 2005. Expression of Dll4 during mouse embryogenesis suggests multiple developmental roles. Gene expression patterns 5:750-755.
- Bera TK, Liu X-F, Yamada M, Gavrilova O, Mezey E, Tessarollo L, Anver M, Hahn Y, Lee B, Pastan I. 2008. A model for obesity and gigantism due to disruption of the Ankrd26 gene. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 105:270-275.
- Fei Z, Bera TK, Liu X, Xiang L, Pastan I. 2011. Ankrd26 gene disruption enhances adipogenesis of mouse embryonic fibroblasts. Journal of Biological Chemistry 286:27761-27768.
- Toriyama M, Lee C, Taylor SP, Duran I, Cohn DH, Bruel A-L, Tabler JM, Drew K, Kelly MR, Kim S. 2016. The ciliopathy-associated CPLANE proteins direct basal body recruitment of intraflagellar transport machinery. Nature genetics 48:648-656.

Table S6 Mapping rate (%) of reads with Japanese Wolf specific substitutions in the mitochondria DNA

Position in CanFam3.1	2730	3346	4400	4766	5828	6461	7732	8473	9514	10464	10701	11461	12062	15225	15490	Mean (%)	Estimated maximum contamination (%)
Jw258	97.0	97.0	94.9	96.3	99.8	100.0	100.0	99.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	96.4	99.8	100.0	98.7	1.3
Jw269	70.3	80.3	75.0	76.7	86.7	100.0	97.9	83.8	84.2	89.3	91.0	84.9	81.8	86.0	75.0	84.2	15.8
Jw229	92.5	93.9	94.8	94.4	97.2	99.7	97.0	96.3	95.9	97.8	97.6	97.0	90.9	95.2	95.8	95.7	4.3
Jw255	95.3	95.9	95.3	95.4	97.9	99.9	98.8	98.3	97.8	98.1	98.1	98.5	96.8	98.0	97.9	97.5	2.5
Jw284	99.6	99.6	99.7	99.7	99.9	99.8	99.8	99.8	99.7	99.8	99.9	99.9	99.7	99.8	99.7	99.8	0.2
Jw271	98.5	98.7	98.5	98.6	99.2	99.9	99.4	99.2	99.1	99.0	99.2	99.1	98.9	99.5	98.3	99.0	1.0
Jw275	98.6	98.4	98.1	98.0	99.3	100.0	99.5	99.5	99.0	99.0	98.6	98.6	97.7	99.0	99.0	98.8	1.2
Leiden_c	100.0	98.8	95.6	100.0	99.2	100.0	99.3	100.0	99.2	99.0	100.0	100.0	98.9	100.0	100.0	99.3	0.7
Leiden_b	100.0	100.0	99.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	99.9	95.7	100.0	94.5	98.8	100.0	99.3	0.7