Title

Catestatin selects for the colonization of antimicrobial-resistant gut bacterial

communities

Authors

Pamela González-Dávila [1#], Markus Schwalbe [1#], Arpit Danewalia [2], Boushra Dalile [3], Kristin

Verbeke[3], Sushil K Mahata [2,4$], Sahar El Aidy [1$]

Affiliations

[1] Host-Microbe Metabolic Interactions, Groningen Biomolecular Sciences and Biotechnology

Institute (GBB), University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands

[2] Department of Medicine, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA.

[3] Translational Research in GastroIntestinal Disorders (TARGID), Department of chronic diseases

and metabolism, Faculty of Medicine, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

[4] VA San Diego Healthcare System, San Diego, CA, USA.

[#] Shared first author

[$] Shared correspondence: Sushil K Mahata, smahata@health.ucsd.edu

18   Sahar El Aidy, sahar.elaidy@rug.nl

Abstract

The gut microbiota is in continuous interaction with the innermost layer of the gut, namely the epithelium. Among the various functions of the gut epithelium, is to keep the microbes at bay to avoid overstimulation of the underlying mucosa immune cells. To do so, the gut epithelia secrete a variety of antimicrobial peptides, such as catestatin (CST). As a defense mechanism, gut microbes have evolved antimicrobial resistance mechanisms to counteract the killing effect of the secreted peptides. To this end, we treated wild-type mice and mice with a knockout in the CST coding region of the chromogranin-A gene (CST-KO) with CST for 15 consecutive days. CST treatment was associated with a shift in the diversity and composition of the microbiota in the CST-KO mice. This effect was less prominent in WT mice. Levels of the microbiota-produced short-chain fatty acids, in particular, butyrate and acetate were significantly increased in CST-treated CST-KO mice but not the WT group. Remarkably, both CST-treated CST-KO and WT mice showed a significant increase in microbiota-harboring phosphoethanolamine transferase-encoding genes, which facilitate their antimicrobial resistance. Finally, we show that CST was degraded by *Escherichia coli* via an omptin-protease and that the abundance of this gene was significantly higher in metagenomic datasets collected from patients with Crohn's disease but not with ulcerative colitis. Overall, this study illustrates how the endogenous antimicrobial peptide, CST, shapes the microbiota composition in the gut and primes further research to uncover the role of bacterial resistance to CST in disease states such as inflammatory bowel disease.

Keywords

Antimicrobial peptide; microbiota; resistance; phosphoethanolamine transferase; omptin

Introduction

The complex microbial community inhabiting the mammalian gut, and in particular their metabolic products, has a crucial effect on various health and disease states, such as inflammatory bowel disorders [1]. Gut microbiota, and its products, are in continuous interaction with the innermost layer of the gut, namely the gut epithelium. Part of the gut epithelial function is to keep the microbes at bay to avoid overstimulation of the underlying immune cells in the gut, and the subsequent inflammation [2,3]. To do so, the gut epithelial cells secrete a wide variety of antimicrobial peptides.

CST, a proteolytically processed product of Chromogranin-A (CgA), acts as an antimicrobial peptide [4,5]. The pro-protein CgA is abundantly expressed in endocrine and neuroendocrine cells including the epithelial enteroendocrine cells (EECs) [6–9]. CST consists of 21 amino acid residues and acts on a wide range of regulatory functions including immune, endocrine, metabolic, neurological, and cardiovascular functions [10–14]. For example, mice with a selective deletion of the CST-coding region of the *Chga* gene (CST-KO mice) displayed increased gut permeability, which was restored by CST treatment [15].

To date, a few studies have indicated associations between CST and the gut microbiota. Intrarectal administration of CST for 6 days, in mice, resulted in a shift in the gut microbiota composition, with a decrease of *Firmicutes* and an increase in the *Bacteroidota* phyla (Rabbi, Munyaka, and Eissa 2017). These findings suggest the role of CST as a modulator of the gut microbiota composition. This CST-modulatory effect could be mediated by its antimicrobial activity against a wide range of microorganisms. In fact, CST has been reported to have antimicrobial activity against pathogenic bacteria and filamentous fungi in *in-vitro* studies, [5]. In humans, CST showed antimicrobial effects against several skin microbes [16]. Nevertheless, the underlying mechanisms by which CST exerts its effects *in vivo* on the gut microbiota remain obscure. In this study, we show how CST plays a role in governing the colonization of the gut microbiota.

Results

Catestatin treatment affects the microbiota composition in CST-KO and WT mice.

The composition of the gut microbiota is shaped by, among others, host-produced antimicrobial

peptides [17,18]. Here, we treated CST-KO and WT mice (n=12) with 2 μg/g body weight/day CST

by intraperitoneal injection for 15 consecutive days. Amplicon sequencing of the V3-V4 regions of

the bacterial 16S gene was performed on the fecal samples of CST-KO and WT mice with and

without CST treatment (n=12). Microbial richness, assessed by the observed number of amplicon

sequence variants (ASVs) and Chao1 index, showed a significant decrease in CST-KO compared

to WT mice **(Figure 1A)**. Interestingly, the richness levels were restored upon treatment of

CST-KO mice, but not in WT mice treated with CST. Next, the microbiota diversity was

determined by Shannon's *H* and inverted Simpson's index, both indices are used to measure

similar parameters of alpha diversity. Similar to the richness scores, the diversity index was

significantly higher in the CST-treated CST-KO mice but not in the CST-treated WT group

(Figure 1B). The data highlight that the effect of the absence of CST in CST-KO mice on the

microbiota diversity and richness could be restored upon treatment with CST, while in WT mice,

with normal CST levels in their gut, the microbiota diversity and richness did not change with

CST treatment.

As a general exploratory analysis, principal component analysis (PCA) was performed and

showed distinct clustering of the CST-KO, WT, and CST-treated groups **(Supplementary Figure**

1A). Particularly, the CST-KO group clustered away from the other 3 groups, while the cluster of

CST-treated WT mice showed a great spread and was overlapping with clusters of CST-treated

CST-KO mice and WT mice.

To correct for any residual variation in the data, we further employed constrained RDA

(redundancy analysis) to determine which bacterial taxa were associated with the different

groups of mice at the genus level. The analysis was constrained to both, the mouse genotype

and treatment (non-treated and CST-treated). Similar to the cluster separation detected by PCA

analysis **(Supplementary Figure 1A)**, both constraints had a significant influence on the model

($p < 0.001$, determined by ANOVA-like permutation test), explaining 13.4% (genotype) and

9.8% (treatment) of the variation, respectively (**Figure 1C**). Notably, the CST treated CST-KO group was shifted to the left side along RDA1, indicating a shift in "genotype" upon CST treatment, as opposed to WT, where the treatment group only moved along RDA2, which is associated with the treatment.

To assess the treatment effect exclusively on each genotype, we performed RDA constrained to untreated and CST-treated groups. On the genus level, *Dubosiella* and *Romboutsia* showed the strongest association with CST treatment along RDA1 (explaining ~31.2% of the variation) in the CST-KO mice (**Supplementary Figure 1B**). In WT mice, RDA demonstrated an association of *Faecalibaculum, Bifidobacterium, Romboutsia,* and *Anaeroplasma* with the baseline along RDA1 (~17.2% explained variance), while *Akkermansia* was more associated with PC1 (~25.1% explained variance; residual variance). *Alloprevotella* and *Candidatus Saccharimonas* representing the strongest associations with CST treatment in WT (**Supplementary Figure 1B**). To further identify which bacterial taxa were affected by the CST treatment, pairwise comparisons of bacterial abundances were performed between CST-treated and untreated groups for each genotype. Focusing on the phylum level, Firmicutes decreased in relative abundance, while Bacteroidota*,* Patescibacteria*,* Desulfobacterota, and Proteobacteria increased with CST treatment in both CST-KO and WT groups. Verrucomicrobiota showed lower abundance in CST-KO but increased significantly in CST-treated groups **(Figure 1D).** The changes depicted on the phyla level in CST-treated WT mice are consistent with findings from Rabbi et al, albeit different CST administration and dosage regimen [19]. These shifts in the main bacterial phyla upon CST treatment was further confirmed to be consistent in human microbiota by *in vitro* culturing of healthy human fecal samples with 10µM CST **(Supplementary Figure 1C).** On family level the most prominent changes were observed for Erysipelotrichaceae, which was consistently decreased in treated groups and Lachnospiraceae, which was increased in CST-KO, but decreased in WT in the CST treated groups. Further Lactobacillaceae was found to be decreased in CST-KO, but increased in WT CST treated groups **(Figure 1D)**.

Notably, on the genus level, CST treatment reduced the abundance of *Staphylococcus* and

Turicibacter in CST-KO and WT mice, while *Alistipes, Akkermansia, and Roseburia,* were

significantly increased only in the CST-KO group (**Supplementary Excel Sheet**).

Next, we used LEfSe (Linear discriminant analysis Effect Size; Segata et al., 2011) to

complement our differential abundance analysis. The main discriminant feature separating the

groups (untreated and CST-treated) in CST-KO mice were species from the genera *Atopostipes,*

Jeotgalicoccus, Turicibacter, Staphylococcus, and Coriobacteriaceae *UCG-002* family **(Figure**

1E). In WT mice, the most discriminating genera were *Lactobacillus, Candidatus*

*Sacchararimonas, Turicibacter, and Enterococcus***.**

Changes in microbial composition are often accompanied by metabolic changes, in particular, the

production of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) [20]. Thus, levels of SCFAs; acetate, butyrate, and

propionate, were measured in the cecum of untreated and CST-treated CST-KO and WT mice

(Figure 1F). Remarkably, CST treatment significantly increased the levels of acetate and

butyrate in CST-KO mice but not in the WT group. Specifically, butyrate was significantly lower in

the CST-KO mice compared to their WT counterparts. Both observations are consistent with the

observed significant increase in the SCFA-producing bacteria, *Alistipes, Akkermansia, and*

Roseburia in the CST-KO group.

Overall, the results indicated a significant impact of CST treatment on the diversity and

composition of the microbiota in the CST-KO mice, where CST is absent. This effect was much

less prominent in WT mice which have normal levels of CST.

CST treatment of CST-KO mice promotes the growth of core taxa present in WT mice.

To investigate whether certain taxa exhibit similar behavior (increase or decrease in abundance)

between genotypes when CST is administered, we focused on the core microbiota (common taxa

between CST-treated groups), representing microbiota members, which directly (e.g., via

resistance genes) or indirectly (e.g., via cross-feeding), resist the antimicrobial effect of CST. To

do so, log-fold changes between CST treated and untreated groups for both WT and CST-KO

mice were compared **(Figure 2)**. Intriguingly, almost all significant changes in core taxa

(determined by unpaired Wilcoxon test) were exclusively higher in abundance in CST treated

CST-KO mice (quadrants I and II), while, for WT, both significant increases and decreases in the

abundance of core taxa were observed. These results coincide with the RDA analysis (cluster of

CST-treated CST-KO group moving closer to WT) and indicate that the effect of CST treatment in

CST-KO mice promotes the growth of core taxa associated with WT mice. Taxa present in

quadrant II, which represent those common taxa between the untreated WT and treated CST-KO

mice, are partially restored in their abundance in the latter group. Interestingly, *Turicibacter* was

the only core taxon in both genotypes, exclusively negatively affected by CST treatment,

exhibiting a significant decrease in abundance and therefore suggesting higher susceptibility to

CST. To confirm this observation, we performed *in vitro* assays, to determine minimum inhibitory

concentrations (MIC) for *Turicibacter sanguinis*. MIC was also performed for *Bacteroides*

thetaiotaomicron, which increased in abundance upon CST treatment. The screening revealed

low MIC for *T. sanguinis* (32μM CST)*,* while *B. thetaiotaomicron* had a higher MIC (>64 μM CST),

thus confirming our *in vivo* observations. Reverse-phase HPLC analysis of spent culture

supernatant after 24 hrincubation with CST revealed degradation/uptake of CST from the

medium, in the case of *B. thetaiotaomicron,* but not for *T. sanguinis*, hence explaining the higher

MIC value in *B. thetaiotaomicron* (**Supplementary Figure 2**). Taken together, the data imply

that the changes in the gut microbiota composition associated with the CST treatment **(Figure**

1) may be caused by the antimicrobial resistance of certain taxa to CST. However, the

underlying mechanisms remain obscure.

Catestatin treatment promotes the abundance of the gut microbiota harboring

antimicrobial resistance phosphoethanolamine transferase-encoding genes.

To determine whether bacteria with an increase in abundance in CST-treated groups harbor

antimicrobial resistance genes, a metagenomic prediction was performed using PiCRUSt2 on KO

(KEGG Orthology) level. From a panel of known AMP resistance genes [21], one KEGG Orthologue

(K03760) was found to be significantly different in abundance between CST treated and

untreated WT mice and close to significance in CST-KO, when corrected for multiple testing

(**Supplementary Excel Sheet**).

Sequences within this KEGG Orthogroup contain orthologs of EptA (*E. coli*) phosphoethanolamine

transferase. As KEGG Orthologs only contain sequences from reference genomes with no specific

gut metagenomic context, we sought to refine our search to investigate the taxonomical

distribution of the EptA. To do so, the EptA protein sequence from *E. coli* BW25113 was used as

a query to search the Unified Human Gastrointestinal Genome catalog (UHGP). Identified protein

sequences were further filtered and clustered into orthogroups using OrthoFinder, to confirm

their relationship and exclude any false positives. The analysis revealed 9 orthogroups, while

only 5 had more than 2 sequences. The largest orthogroup contained 96% of all protein

sequences and was thus used for further analysis. The phylogenetic tree obtained by OrthoFinder

exhibited a distinct grouping of sequences without great dispersion, where there was a major

subdivision between sequences from the different clades of Bacteroidota, Proteobacteria,

Verrucomicrobiota, and Campylobacterota, while sequences of the same phylum were clustered

in separate clades **(Figure 3A)**.

Using the taxonomical distribution of *eptA,* we reanalyzed the abundance of genera harboring

eptA upon CST treatment. Remarkably, both CST-treated groups showed a significant increase in

microbiota-harboring *eptA*, though the effect in the CST-KO group seemed to be more

pronounced **(Figure 3B)**. To further support our findings that *eptA*-harboring core taxa increase

in abundance in CST treated groups, we looked at individual log-fold changes of single taxa, as

described above **(Figure 2)**. Indeed, *eptA*-harboring core taxa were higher in abundance in CST

treated groups, regardless of the genotype **(Supplement Figure 3A)**.

Levels of antimicrobial peptides are higher in proximity to the mucosa compared to the lumen [18]

. Thus, to replicate our findings in a more physiological environment, we reanalyzed raw

sequencing data obtained from a mouse cohort offering paired comparisons between luminal and

mucosal microbiota [22]. Intriguingly, a significant increase in the abundance of microbiota

harbouring *eptA*-like genes was detected in mucosal samples compared to luminal microbiota

(Figure 3C). Notably, this effect was only seen in samples originating from the distal colon, but

not from the ileum.

To further confirm the bioinformatics analysis outcome for the involvement of the *eptA* gene in

CST resistance, wild-type *E. coli* BW25113 and an *eptA* knockout strain (*ΔeptA*) were employed

and were cultured in different concentrations (8-100µM) of CST **(Figure 3D).** The results

indicate that the lack of *eptA* led to a decreased carrying capacity **(Figure 3D)**, which is

equivalent to the maximum population size of the culture, as well as a concentration-dependent

prolonged lag-phase during the growth of the *E. coli* **(Figure 3D).**

Similar to the majority of known antimicrobial peptides, CST is recognized by the PhoPQ system,

which involves the induction of expression of the gene *pmrD*, followed by activation of *pmrA,* and

eventually *eptA* expression [23]. To this end, we tested the expression of the above-mentioned

transcription regulators in *E. coli* BW25113 strain. Most notably, *phoP* showed approximately 3-

fold increase at 0.5 h after stimulation of *E. coli* BW25113 strain stimulated with 20µM CST

(sufficient concentration for detection without growth penalty), but only 2-fold and less

prolonged in the control, before returning to baseline expression. The *pmrA* showed a later

upregulation (after 1h) compared to *phoP* in *E. coli* BW25113 CST-stimulated cultures, while

pmrD expression spiked in the presence of CST, but was invariant between the different

conditions (**Supplementary Figure 3B**).

Taken together, the results show a higher abundance of the bacteria-harbouring *eptA*-like genes

upon CST treatment, potentially providing these CST-resistant bacteria an advantage to colonize

the gut, and, in turn, indirectly affect the colonization of other bacterial taxa.

Catestatin peptide is degraded by *E. coli* omptin protease

The ability of *E. coli* BW25113*ΔeptA* to still resist comparatively high CST concentrations lead us to

test whether the bacterium harbours an additional resistance mechanism, such as degradation or

uptake, similar to what we observed in *B. thetaiotaomicron* **(Figure 3D)**. We analyzed the spent

culture supernatant by reverse-phase HPLC, which showed absence of CST-corresponding peaks

after 24 h of incubation with *E. coli* BW25113*ΔeptA* as well as *E. coli* BW25113 **(Supplementary**

Figure 4A). To test whether the enzyme involved in the CST degradation is excreted in the supernatant, sterile-filtered supernatants of non-stimulated *E. coli* BW25113 cultures were incubated with CST and subjected to tricine SDS-PAGE to visualize the degradation/uptake of CST. Indeed CST was cleaved by *E. coli* BW25113 after incubation for different time intervals from 30 min up till 24 h **(Figure 4A)**. This suggested the involvement of a secreted or membrane-anchored protease, which can also be present in secreted vesicles, in CST degradation. To identify which protease was involved, literature search was performed and revealed that *E. coli* harbours only 2 major outer membrane proteases, predicted to cleave CST [24]. Among those proteases is the omptin, an outer membrane protease, encoded by *ompT*. To confirm that omptin was responsible for the CST degradation, an *E. coli ompT* knockout strain (*E. coli* BW25113$^{\Delta ompT}$) was employed and was cultured in different concentrations of CST. Compared to the wild-type and *E. coli* BW25113$^{\Delta eptA}$ strains, *E. coli* BW25113$^{\Delta ompT}$ showed no growth at 100µM CST and an extensively prolonged lag-phase at 64µM (**Figure 3D and Figure 4B)**. Collectively, the results imply that wild-type *E. coli* BW25113 possesses the ability to cleave CST through its outer membrane protease omptin, providing the bacterium with another defense mechanism, together with EptA, to resist the antimicrobial action of CST.

To further confirm the complementary function of EptA and omptin, we performed qPCR on control (unstimulated) and CST-stimulated cultures of wild-type *E. coli* BW25113 and *E. coli* BW25113$^{\Delta ompT}$. Intriguingly, *eptA* expression was upregulated about 1.7-fold in *E. coli* BW25113$^{\Delta ompT}$ without CST stimulation compared to the wild-type strain (**Figure 4C**). When stimulated with 20µM CST, an increase in the expression of *ompT,* but not *eptA*, was detected *in* wild-type *E. coli* BW25113 without stimulation and at 0.5 h after stimulation, while this effect was reversed at later time points (**Supplementary Figure 3B**).

As *E. coli* BW25113 is considered a lab-strain, which might not be representative of naturally occurring *E. coli* strains, we also tested for the presence of *ompT* in two gut isolate strains, namely *E. coli* Nissle 1917 and *E. coli* DSM 11250. Both were found to be *ompT* carrying (**Supplementary Figure 4B**). Taken together, the results indicate the capacity of *E. coli* strains harbouring omptin to degrade CST, plausibly altering endogenous levels of the peptide in the gut

in cases where the abundance of *E. coli* is significantly increased, such as in inflammatory bowel

disease [25] To test this hypothesis, we investigated the abundance of *ompT*-like genes (KO term

K01355) in different subtypes of inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD), which are also associated

with altered levels of CST [15], using publicly available data from the MetaQuery database [26].

Remarkably, a significant increase in the abundance of *ompT*-like genes was found between non-

IBD and Crohn's disease patients. Similarly, there was a significant increase in the abundance of

ompT-like genes between Crohn's disease patients and patients with ulcerative colitis, but not

between ulcerative colitis diseased and non-IBD subjects (**Figure 4D**). This observation was

consistent with the abundance of *E. coli* in those patients (**Figure 4D**). Overall, the data indicate

that CST degradation by bacteria-harbouring omptin-like proteases may play a role in altered

levels of the peptide previously detected in IBD patients, which, in turn, would result in an

overgrowth of these bacteria and worsening of the disease situation.

Discussion

This study unravels the crucial role of CST on the microbiota composition in the fecal samples of WT and CST-KO mice. Specifically, there was a significant increase in the abundance of taxa that harbor the CST peptide-resistance enzyme, phosphoethanolamine transferase in CST-KO and WT mice treated with CST **(Figure 3B).** The shifts observed in the microbial phyla in this study after treating WT mice with CST are in agreement with the findings of Rabbi *et al.,* who also reported an increase in *Bacteroidota* and a decrease in *Firmicutes*, albeit a different route for the administration of CST and dosage, (Rabbi, Munyaka, and Eissa 2017). Low abundance of Bacteroidota, especially *Bacteroides/Prevotella* have been linked to obesity, and CST-KO mice were described to be insulin resistant and obese besides having elevated blood pressure [13,27]. In contrast, increased *Bacteroidetes/Firmicutes* ratio has been associated with IBD [28,29], which is characterized by elevated levels of CST (Muntjewerff et al., 2021). Nevertheless, as CST is a multifunctional peptide, acting directly on the microbiota as well as on the host, any changes related to CST treatment should be viewed as a complex interplay and not direct causation originating from the microbiota [12].

CST treatment could, only to a small extent, restore the microbial composition in a CST-depleted environment, to the composition detected in an environment already primed with CST (WT mice) **(Figure 2)**. In general, the effect of CST treatment observed in the CST-KO group was significantly greater than that in the WT group **(Figure 1)**. This difference could be attributed to the presence of normal levels of CST in the WT mice; thus, the microbiota is already primed with the peptide and has evolved mechanisms to resist its antimicrobial effect. In contrast, the CST-KO group, in which CST is absent, may harbor bacteria that are susceptible to the CST antimicrobial activity but were eradicated upon CST treatment **(Figure 2)**. Especially the core taxa resisting CST treatment seem to benefit from their presence in the gut lumen environment, by exhibiting an increase in abundance. Introduction of CST to a CST-depleted environment did not necessarily promote exclusively health beneficial microbiota, but rather led to a more equilibrated composition, including also pathobiont taxa. For example, in CST-treated CST-KO, *Oscillibacter* and *Mucispirillum* **(Figure 2)**, both of which increased in relative abundance, have

been found to increase in diet-induced obesity and might be considered as pathobionts [30,31].

Similarly, *Alistipes* was shown to have a detrimental influence on colorectal cancer progression,

despite contrasting evidence that it also has protective effects against colitis or cardiovascular

diseases [32]. On the other side *Odoribacter*, a SCFA-producer, which increased in relative

abundance in CST-treated WT mice **(Figure 2)** has been linked to immunomodulatory effects

and general health benefits presumably also in cooperation with *Akkermansia* [33]

So far, antimicrobial resistance mechanisms have been mostly investigated in pathogens due to

their implication on antibiotic resistance. In *in-vitro* studies, CST has been reported to have an

inhibitory effect against the pathogenic gram-positive (*Micrococcus luteus*, *Bacillus megaterium*),

gram-negative bacteria (*Escherichia coli* D22), and filamentous fungi (*Neurospora crassa and*

Aspergillus fumigatus) [5]. Moreover, human CST showed antimicrobial effects against several skin

microbes including the gram-positive (*Staphylococcus aureus* and Group A *Streptococcus*),

gram-negative bacteria (*E. coli* O29*,* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*), also (*Candida albicans)*, and

filamentous fungi (*Aspergillus niger, Aspergillus fumigatus, Trichophyton rubrum*) [16].

As a defense mechanism, bacteria have evolved several systems to survive the effect of the

antimicrobial peptides [21]. The ability to withstand these peptides provides a potential benefit in

colonization over other members of the gut microbiota especially under pathological conditions

[34]. Analogously, antimicrobial peptides are regularly used by the host to select for specific gut

microbiota in homeostatic situations. For CST, the antimicrobial activity resides in the N-terminal

of the peptide, which is highly cationic [5]. These positive charges in the peptide interact with the

anionic components of the bacterial cell membrane, resulting in the permeabilization of microbial

membranes, leading to cell lysis [7]. The present study suggests that CST may as well play a role

in aiding the host to select for which microbes to colonize the gut. The abundance of bacteria

encoding the phosphoethanolamine transferase genes increased upon CST treatment **(Figure**

3A-E). This is not surprising since the *E.coli* phosphoethanolamine transferase e*ptA*, for

example, has been linked to colistin resistance, being a cyclic proteinogenic antibiotic [35]. EptA

acts by modifying core lipopolysaccharide components with ethanolamine, increasing membrane

charge and thus, repelling the antimicrobial agent. The fact that EptA-like proteins are abundant

across a variety of different taxa present in the human gastrointestinal tract **(Figure 3A-C)** implies that antimicrobial resistance is a mutualistic and important component in the selection of a specific microbiota composition by the host, through active modulation of antimicrobial peptide levels, such as CST. Indeed, this paradigm is supported by our findings that CST treatment induced a large-scale alteration in the microbiota composition not only in the CST-KO group but also in the WT group, both of which clustered closer to each other, and further away from the untreated groups **(Figure 1)**.

The induced expression of *eptA* gene in *E. coli* BW25113$^{\Delta ompT}$ **(Figure 4C)** implies a complementary mechanism when other defense mechanisms are missing. Indeed, we uncovered the degradation of CST by wild-type *E. coli*, a member of the gut microbiota via omptin, an outer membrane protease, known to cleave other cationic antimicrobial peptides, such as protamine or LL-37 [36,37]. The presence of these fragments with high proteolytic activity in the gut has its implications on the microbiota composition, as it may facilitate colonization of other CST-susceptible bacteria [38], in particular, among the mucus-attached bacteria **(Figure 3C)**, where CST levels are higher compared to the luminal CST levels [18]. It remains elusive though, whether CST is taken up and accumulating inside the bacteria or is further degraded, and what the fate of the resulting cleavage fragments is, e.g., through uptake by other microbiota or interaction with the host. Analogously it remains unclear how other bacteria, such as *B.thetathiomicron* can degrade CST **(Supplementary figure 2)** and how widespread such a phenomenon is among the gut bacteria.

Levels of CST are increased in IBD patients, while *E. coli* was associated with the development and progression of different IBD types [25,39]. The higher abundance of *ompT*-like genes in samples from Crohn's disease patients, which coincided with a higher abundance of *E.coli* **(Figure 4D)**, suggests that bacteria harboring *ompT*-like genes, such as *E. coli,* may have a benefit to colonize the gut due to its ability to resist CST. In cases where the overall microbial composition is compromised, and the endogenous levels of CST are altered, like in IBD, such bacterial resistance may cause particular bacterial strains to be involved in worsening the gut inflammation. Overgrowth of gut bacteria with the capacity to resist CST may lead the host to

secrete higher levels of CST as a defense mechanism. The levels of CST were reported to be

higher in IBD patients compared to healthy subjects [15]. It remains unclear why only samples

from Crohn's disease patients but not ulcerative colitis showed a higher abundance of *ompT* as

well as *E. coli.* One plausible explanation could be due to the different *E. coli* strains

predominantly present in either Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis [40]**(Figure 4D)***.*

Overall, the present study highlights the significant role of the CST peptide in determining the

microbiota composition. The fact that gut bacteria with a capacity to resist the antimicrobial

effect of CST become more abundant upon CST treatment, suggests that these bacteria may

have gained an advantage over other microbiota members to survive the gut environment,

which, indirectly shapes the colonization of the total community. One limitation of the present

study is the relatively uncontrolled actual concentrations of CST that eventually reach the gut

after intraperitoneal injection. This concentration variability could increase interindividual

variability in the microbial composition.

Materials and Methods

Animals

All studies with mice were approved by the University of California San Diego (UCSD) and

Veteran Affairs San Diego Healthcare System (VASDHS) Institutional Animal Care and Use

Committees for the Mahata laboratory (UCSD: #S00048M; VA: #A13-002) and were performed

in San Diego in adherence to the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Twelve

male adult C57BL/6 J mice (age 20-28 weeks) were purchased from Jackson Laboratory (Bar

Harbor, ME) and were acclimatized for 5 weeks before experimentation. Additionally, twelve

CST-KO mice generated in the Mahata laboratory were used for this experiment. CST-KO mice

have a deletion in the 63 bp CST domain from Exon VII of the *Chga* gene [27] in C57BL/6

background. Mice from WT and CST-KO groups were injected intraperitoneally once daily with

CST (2 µg/g body weight; Genscript Biotech Corporation, Piscataway, NJ) for 15 days based on

previous experimentation [15]. Mice were housed in 4 to 5 animals per cage and had free access to

water and food (Normal Chow Diet, LabDiet 5001) in temperature and humidity-controlled rooms

with a 12-hr light/dark cycle.

Determination of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) in cecal samples

Cecal samples (100 mg) were suspended in 1 mL of saturated NaCl (36%) solution. An internal

standard (50 µL of 10.7 µM 2-methyl butyric acid in MQ water) was added and the samples were

homogenized using glass beads. After the addition of 150 µL H_2SO_4 96%, SCFAs were extracted

with 3 ml of ether. The ether layer was collected and dried with Na_2SO_4 (150 mg). The

supernatant (0.5 µL) was analyzed using gas chromatography with flame ionization detection

(Agilent, Santa Clara, California, USA). The system was equipped with a DB FFAP analytical

column (30m x 0.53 mm ID, 1.0 µm; Agilent) and helium GC grade (5.6) was used as carrier gas

with a constant flow of 4.2 ml/min. The initial oven temperature was held at 100 °C for 3 min,

ramped with 4 °C/min to 140 °C (isothermal for 5 min) and further with 40 °C/min to 235 °C

(isothermal for 15 min). The resulting chromatograms were processed using ChemStation

(Agilent Technologies).

DNA isolation and 16S sequencing

DNA isolation was performed on fecal samples that were collected from untreated and CST-

treated WT and CST-KO mice. A phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol procedure was used for DNA

extraction [41]. Briefly, the pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of lysis buffer (940µl TE buffer, 50 µl

SDS 10% and 10 µl Proteinase K 20 mg/ml) in a 2 ml screw cap micro-tube containing a mix of

zirconium and glass beads. Then, samples were incubated at 58°C for 1 hour and 150 µl of

buffered phenol (Invitrogen, 15513-047) was added. To support lysis, samples were

homogenized 3 × 30 sec with 1-min intervals on ice in a mini bead-beater (Biospec, Bartlesville,

USA). This was followed by the addition of 150 µl chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (24:1) and

centrifuged at 16,000x g for 10 min at 4°C. The upper layer, which contains the DNA, was

carefully transferred to a clean tube and 300 µl of phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol [25:24:1]

was added. Again, the upper layer was transferred to a fresh tube and 300 µl of chloroform-

isoamyl alcohol [24:1] was added. To precipitate the DNA, the upper layer was transferred to a

new tube and 1 volume of absolute isopropanol and 1/10 volume of 3M sodium acetate was

added. Samples were incubated overnight at -20°C. To get the DNA pellet, the samples were

centrifuged at 16,000x g for 20 min at 4°C. The supernatant was removed and 700 µl of 70%

ethanol was added to remove the remaining salts from the pellet. Ethanol was removed and the

DNA pellet was air-dried for 30 min before resuspension of the pellet in 100 µl TE buffer. In each

step, samples were vigorously mixed using a vortex.

Sequencing of the V3-V4 region of the bacterial 16S gene was carried out by Novogene Co. Ltd.

Briefly, for sequencing library preparation, raw DNA extracts were diluted to 1 ng/µl in sterile

water, and amplicons were generated by PCR (primers 341F and 806R) using a Phusion® High-

Fidelity PCR Master Mix (New England Biolabs). Amplification product quality was assessed by gel

electrophoreses and samples were pooled in equimolar ratios. Libraries were generated with a

NEBNext® UltraTM DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina and sequencing was carried out on an

Illumina 250bp paired-end platform. Initial processing of reads involved trimming of adapters

and primers using a Novogene in-house pipeline (Novogene Co. Ltd, Cambridge, UK).

Microbiota analysis

Paired-end sequencing reads were filtered, denoised, merged, and classified with the *dada2*

package in the statistical programming language R while processing forward and reverse reads

separately until merge [42,43]. Briefly, reads were truncated to 220bp and low-quality reads were

filtered followed by dereplication. Error models were learned, while manually enforcing the

monotonicity of the error function. Reads were denoised and merged with minimal overlap of

12bp, while non-merging reads were concatenated. Singletons have been removed before

performing bimera removal, followed by read classification using SILVA (V138) as a taxonomical

reference database.

For downstream analysis, the *phyloseq* and *microbiome* packages were used richness was

determined by the number of observed amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) and alpha diversity

was assessed via Shannon's *H* and inverted Simpson indexes [44,45]. All ASVs were collapsed on

genus level and cumulative sum scaling was applied using *metagenomeSeq* [46]. The resulting

genus abundance table served as input for different types of ordinations such as principal

component analysis (PCA) or redundancy analysis (RDA). The significance of constraints in

constrained RDA was determined by permutation-like ANOVA.   Differential abundance was

assessed by unpaired Wilcoxon Rank Sum test using $p < 0.05$ as a significance threshold

followed by FDR correction.

To generate the double log-fold change plot, first, the core microbiota were estimated for each

group by filtering raw ASV counts to contain only more than 10 reads and be present in more

than 10% of the samples. Next, only taxa intersecting between CST treated groups were

selected and analyzed further. Differential abundance was assessed by a Wilcoxon Rank Sum

test between treated and untreated groups per genotype and FDR corrected. Only taxa with an

adjusted $p < 0.05$ were considered further. Their mean log-fold changes between groups were

calculated and plotted against each other.

Further LefSe analysis was carried out, adjusting the alpha value for factorial Kruskal-Wallis test

and the alpha value for pairwise Wilcoxon tests to 0.01. Additionally, the LDA score threshold

was set to 3.0 [47]

For the functional analysis, the metagenomic prediction from 16S data was done using PiCRUSt2,

using raw ASVs and unnormalized ASV abundances [48]. For this, ASVs were first filtered to have a

minimal abundance of 10 reads and to be present in at least 1/10 of the samples. PiCRUSt2 was

run with standard parameters and stratification enabled. The metagenomic data was further

analyzed by using STAMP v2.1.3 [49]. For statistical analysis, White's non-parametric t-test, two-

sided, and Benjamini-Hochberg multiple test correction were used to reveal differences between

groups.

Phylogenetic analysis

The amino acid sequence of the *E. coli eptA* gene (UniProt P30845) was searched with *hmmscan*

from the hmmer suite (v3.3.1; hmmer.org) against a non-redundant dataset Unified Human

Gastrointestinal Protein (UHGP) catalog [50]. Hits were filtered on full sequence E-value, excluding

all sequences with an E-value greater than 0.5x the median absolute deviation from the median.

Additionally, only sequences originating from genomes with >90% completeness and <1

contamination were taken into account. Sequences were dereplicated, grouped by assigned

species, and subjected to Orthofinder to infer orthologous groups [51]. The largest orthogroup was

selected and OrthoFinder produced gene tree was visualized with FigTree (v1.4.4), to aid

visibility the tree was pruned using Treemmer (v0.3; with parameter -RTL 0.95) [52]

Bacteria and growth inhibition assay

E. coli BW25113, BW25113$^{\Delta ompT}$ (JW0554) and BW25113$^{\Delta eptA}$ (JW5730) (**Supplementary Table**

1) were routinely grown aerobically in Difco Antibiotic Medium 3 (BD, Franklin Lakes, USA) at 37

°C degrees without agitation. Bacteria were inoculated from −80 °C stocks and grown overnight.

Before the experiment, cultures were diluted at 1:100 in fresh medium from overnight cultures.

Five different CST concentrations were tested in triplicates in a 96-well plate. For this, 10µl of a

10x concentrated stock of CST were added to 90µl of diluted culture to obtain final

concentrations of 8, 16, 32, 64, and 100 µM. Bacterial growth was monitored for 24h at 600nm

(OD600) with shaking for 5s every 15 min using a BioTek ELx808 microplate reader (BioTek,

Winooski, USA). Growth curves were fit using the *growthcurver* R package (v0.3.0).

Tricine SDS-PAGE

Overnight cultures of *E. coli* strains BW25113 and BW25113$^{\Delta ompT}$ **(Supplementary Table x)**

were centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 min at 4°C and the supernatant was collected. The

supernatant was further sterile filtered (0.2µm filters) and to 90 µl of supernatant 10 µl of a 10x

CST stock was added to reach a final concentration of 100 µM. Aliquots of 20 µl were taken at

time points 0.5, 4, and 24h.

To visualize CST degradation, culture supernatants were run on Tricine SDS-PAGE following a

modified protocol [53]. Briefly, gels consisted out of a 4% stacking gel and a 16% resolving gel

both with a cross-linker ratio of 19:1, and were prepared to standard procedure. For sample

prep, 10 µl of each sample were mixed with 10 ml of reducing sample buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl,

1% SDS, 4% 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.02% Coomassie Brilliant Blue, 24% glycerol) and incubated

at 70°C for 5 min. Subsequently, 10 µl of the sample was loaded on the gel, first, run for ~30

min at 30V, and then at 170V for 90 min. Silver staining was applied to visualize proteins using

various modifications in fixation to avoid loss of small proteins [54]. For this, gels were fixed (30%

ethanol, 15% formalin, 5% acetic acid) for 60 min and quickly washed once with 50% ethanol

and twice with MilliQ-filtered water. Gels were incubated for 40 min in 0.005% sodium

thiosulfate, followed by incubation for 40 min in 0.1% silver nitrate solution containing 0.07%

formalin. Washing with MilliQ-filtered water was performed and a 2% sodium carbonate solution

containing 0.1% formalin was added. Gels were incubated for 1-2 min until bands became visible

and development was stopped by removing the developer and adding 50 mM EDTA solution. Gels

were then imaged in a BioRad ChemiDoc MP Imaging System (BioRad, Hercules, USA).

Expression analysis

Overnight cultures of *E. coli* BW25113, *E. coli* BW25113$^{\Delta eptA}$, and *E. coli* BW25113$^{\Delta ompT}$ were resuspended 1:1000 in 6 ml of fresh Difco Antibiotic Medium 3 and grown until OD600 0.5 was reached. Aliquots of 1 ml were harvested, centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 min, and immediately stored at -80 °C. This and all subsequent centrifugation steps were done at 4 °C.

For culture stimulation with CST *E. coli* BW25113 or *E. coli* BW25113$^{\Delta ompT}$ was resuspended 1:1000 in 6 ml fresh Difco Antibiotic Medium 3 and grown until OD600 0.5. An aliquot of 5 ml was harvested and centrifuged at 3,000 g for 10 min. The pellet was resuspended in fresh medium containing 20µM CST and gently mixed. Samples of 1 ml were taken at time points 0h (before resuspension), 0.5h, 1h, 2h, and 4h, subsequently centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 min and immediately stored at -80 °C.

RNA was isolated using a phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol procedure as previously described [55]. Briefly, the harvested bacterial pellet was resuspended in 200 µl TE buffer and transferred to a tube containing 0.5g of 0.1 mm zirconia/silica beads, 25 µl 10% SDS, and 200 µl phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol solution. Samples were treated 3 times for 40 s in a mini bead beater and cooling on ice between intervals. Centrifugation for 10 min at 12,500 g was carried out and the aqueous phase was collected and transferred to a tube containing 250 µl chloroform:isoamyl alcohol. Samples were again centrifuged for 5 min at 12,500 g. RNA was cleaned with an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). For this, the aqueous phase was transferred to a tube containing 700 µl buffer RLT and mixed. Absolute ethanol (500 µl) was added and the mixture was subjected to spin columns. They were centrifuged and washing was performed by adding 350 µl of solution RW1 and centrifuged. 80 µl of DNAse were added (8 µl DNAse buffer, 2 µl DNAse, 70 µl DEPC treated water; Roche, Mannheim, Germany) and incubated for 20 min. Again 350 µl were added, centrifuged, and two times 500 µl of solution RPE were added with centrifugation in between. Columns were spun dry and RNA was eluted with 30 µl of nuclease-free water. The isolates were stored at -80°C until further use.

qPCR was carried out according to standard procedures [56]. Briefly, 2 µg of RNA were reverse transcribed using a High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit with RNAse Inhibitor (Applied

Biosystems, Vilnius, Lithuania). Subsequently, 10 ng of cDNA were used for qPCR employing a

PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Vilnius, Lithuania) and primers for genes

eptA, ompT, phoP, pmrD, pmrA and *tus*. Reactions were performed in a clear 96-well plate using

a BioRad CFX96 Real-Time PCR System (BioRad, Hercules, USA). Gene expression was assessed

by normalizing to housekeeping gene *tus* using the R package *qpcR* (v1.4) for curve fitting and

the *pcr* (v1.2.2) package for differential expression calculation employing the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method as

described in [57].

Statistical analysis

All statistical tests were performed using GraphPad Prism 7 or the statistical programming

language R. Specific statistical tests are indicated in the text or figure legends. Normality was

assessed by either D'Augustino-Pearson omnibus normality test or Shapio-Wilk normality test. If

normality was met a t-test was chosen, otherwise non-parametric Mann-Whitney was used.

Outliers were assessed with GraphPads ROUT method (Q=1).

Data availability statement

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this manuscript and its

supplementary information files. The 16s rDNA metagenomic sequence data were deposited

under BioProject number PRJNA741992.

Authors' Contributions

P.G-D., M.S, S.E.A and S.K.M conceived and designed the study. P.G-D., M.S., B.D, and S.K.M.

performed the experiments, and P.G-D., M.S, B.D., and S.E.A analyzed the data. P.G-D, M.S,

S.E.A. wrote the original manuscript that was reviewed by A.D, B.D., K.V., and S.K.M. Funding

for these studies were acquired by S.E.A. and S.K.M. All authors read and approved the final

manuscript.

Acknowledgment

P.G-D thanks the National Council of Science and Technology in Mexico (CONACyt) for the Ph.D.

grant assigned to CVU 690069. We thank Dr. Matthias Heinemann of Department of Molecular

Systems Biology, University of Groningen, the Netherlands, for providing us *ΔeptA* and *ΔompT E.*

coli BW25113 mutant strain; Dr. Greet Vandermeulen of Department of chronic diseases and

metabolism, Faculty of Medicine, KU Leuven, Belgium for the help with SCFAs analysis.

Funding

S.E.A is supported by a Rosalind Franklin Fellowship, co-funded by the European Union and the

University of Groningen, The Netherlands. S.K.M. is supported by a Merit Review Grant (I01

BX003934) from the Department of Veterans Affairs, USA.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1.   Kåhrström CT, Pariente N, Weiss U. Intestinal microbiota in health and disease.
Nature2016;

2.   El Aidy S, van Baarlen P, Derrien M, Lindenbergh-Kortleve DJ, Hooiveld G, Levenez F,
Doré J, Dekker J, Samsom JN, Nieuwenhuis EES, et al. Temporal and spatial interplay
of microbiota and intestinal mucosa drive establishment of immune homeostasis in
conventionalized mice. Mucosal Immunol 2012; 5:567–79.

3.   Okumura R, Takeda K. Roles of intestinal epithelial cells in the maintenance of gut
homeostasis. Exp. Mol. Med.2017;

4.   Mahata SK, O'Connor DT, Mahata M, Yoo SH, Taupenot L, Wu H, Gill BM, Parmer RJ.
Novel autocrine feedback control of catecholamine release: A discrete chromogranin A
fragment is a noncompetitive nicotinic cholinergic antagonist. J Clin Invest 1997;

5.   Briolat J, Wu SD, Mahata SK, Gonthier B, Bagnard D, Chasserot-Golaz S, Helle KB,
Aunis D, Metz-Boutigue MH. New antimicrobial activity for the catecholamine release-
inhibitory peptide from chromogranin A. Cell Mol Life Sci 2005; 62:377–85.

6.   Lugardon K, Raffner R, Goumon Y, Corti A, Delmas A, Bulet P, Aunis D, Metz-Boutigue
MH. Antibacterial and antifungal activities of vasostatin-1, the N-terminal fragment of
chromogranin A. J Biol Chem 2000; 275:10745–53.

7.   Aslam R, Atindehou M, Lavaux T, Haïkel Y, Schneider F, Metz-Boutigue M-H.
Chromogranin A-Derived Peptides Are Involved in Innate Immunity. Curr Med Chem
2012; 19:4115–23.

8.   Angela MD amic., Ghinassi B, Izzicupo P, Manzoli L, Di Baldassarre A. Biological
function and clinical relevance of chromogranin A and derived peptides. Endocr.
Connect.2014; 3.

9.   Winkler H, Fischer-Colbrie R. The chromogranins A and B: The first 25 years and
future perspectives. Neuroscience 1992; 49:497–528.

10. Mahata SK, Corti A. Chromogranin a and its fragments in cardiovascular,
immunometabolic, and cancer regulation. In: Annals of the New York Academy of
Sciences. 2019.

11. Corti A, Marcucci F, Bachetti T. Circulating chromogranin A and its fragments as
diagnostic and prognostic disease markers. Pflugers Arch. Eur. J. Physiol.2018;

12. Mahata SK, Mahata M, Fung MM, O'Connor DT. Catestatin: A multifunctional peptide
from chromogranin A. Regul Pept 2010; 162:33–43.

13. Ying W, Mahata S, Bandyopadhyay GK, Zhou Z, Wollam J, Vu J, Mayoral R, Chi NW,
Webster NJG, Corti A, et al. Catestatin inhibits obesity-induced macrophage
infiltration and inflammation in the liver and suppresses hepatic glucose production,
leading to improved insulin sensitivity. Diabetes 2018;

14. Mahata SK, Kiranmayi M, Mahapatra NR. Catestatin: A Master Regulator of
Cardiovascular Functions. Curr Med Chem [Internet] 2018; 25:1352–74. Available
from: https://www.eurekaselect.com/151858/article

15. Muntjewerff EM, Tang K, Lutter L, Christoffersson G, Nicolasen MJT, Gao H, Katkar
GD, Das S, ter Beest M, Ying W, et al. Chromogranin A regulates gut permeability via
the antagonistic actions of its proteolytic peptides . Acta Physiol 2021;

16. Radek KA, Lopez-Garcia B, Hupe M, Niesman IR, Elias PM, Taupenot L, Mahata SK,
O'Connor DT, Gallo RL. The neuroendocrine peptide catestatin is a cutaneous
antimicrobial and induced in the skin after injury. J Invest Dermatol [Internet] 2008;
128:1525–34. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.jid.5701225

17. Bevins CL, Salzman NH. Paneth cells, antimicrobial peptides and maintenance of

intestinal homeostasis. Nat Rev Microbiol 2011; 9:356–68.

18.   Dupont A, Heinbockel L, Brandenburg K, Hornef MW. Antimicrobial peptides and the

enteric mucus layer act in concert to protect the intestinal mucosa. Gut Microbes

[Internet]   2014;   5:761–5.   Available   from:

http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.4161/19490976.2014.972238

19.   Rabbi MF, Munyaka PM, Eissa N, Metz-Boutigue MH, Khafipour E, Ghia JE. Human

catestatin alters gut microbiota composition in mice. Front Microbiol 2017; 7.

20.   Tsukuda N, Yahagi K, Hara T, Watanabe Y, Matsumoto H, Mori H, Higashi K, Tsuji H,

Matsumoto S, Kurokawa K, et al. Key bacterial taxa and metabolic pathways affecting

gut short-chain fatty acid profiles in early life. ISME J [Internet] 2021; 15:2574–90.

Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41396-021-00937-7

21.   Nuri R, Shprung T, Shai Y. Defensive remodeling: How bacterial surface properties

and biofilm formation promote resistance to antimicrobial peptides. Biochim Biophys

Acta   -   Biomembr   [Internet]   2015;   1848:3089–100.   Available   from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2015.05.022

22.   Jakobsson HE, Rodríguez-Piñeiro AM, Schütte A, Ermund A, Boysen P, Bemark M,

Sommer F, Bäckhed F, Hansson GC, Johansson ME. The composition of the gut

microbiota shapes the colon mucus barrier. EMBO Rep 2015; 16:164–77.

23.   Samantha A, Vrielink A. Lipid A Phosphoethanolamine Transferase: Regulation,

Structure and Immune Response. J Mol Biol [Internet] 2020; 432:5184–96. Available

from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2020.04.022

24.   Gottesman S. Proteases and their targets in Escherichia coli. Annu Rev Genet 1996;

30:465–506.

25.   Mirsepasi-Lauridsen HC, Vallance BA, Krogfelt KA, Petersen AM. Escherichia coli

Pathobionts Associated with Inflammatory Bowel Disease. Clin Microbiol Rev 2019;

32:1–16.

26. Nayfach S, Fischbach MA, Pollard KS. MetaQuery: A web server for rapid annotation

and quantitative analysis of specific genes in the human gut microbiome.

Bioinformatics 2015; 31:3368–70.

27. Ying W, Tang K, Avolio E, Schilling JM, Pasqua T, Liu MA, Cheng H, Gao H, Zhang J,

Mahata S, et al. Immunosuppression of Macrophages Underlies the Cardioprotective

Effects of CST (Catestatin). Hypertension 2021;

28. Stojanov S, Berlec A, Štrukelj B. The influence of probiotics on the

firmicutes/bacteroidetes ratio in the treatment of obesity and inflammatory bowel

disease. Microorganisms 2020; 8:1–16.

29. Indiani CMDSP, Rizzardi KF, Castelo PM, Ferraz LFC, Darrieux M, Parisotto TM.

Childhood Obesity and Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes Ratio in the Gut Microbiota: A

Systematic Review. Child Obes 2018; 14:501–9.

30. Lam YY, Ha CWY, Campbell CR, Mitchell AJ, Dinudom A, Oscarsson J, Cook DI, Hunt

NH, Caterson ID, Holmes AJ, et al. Increased gut permeability and microbiota change

associate with mesenteric fat inflammation and metabolic dysfunction in diet-induced

obese mice. PLoS One 2012; 7:1–10.

31. Herp S, Durai Raj AC, Salvado Silva M, Woelfel S, Stecher B. The human symbiont

Mucispirillum schaedleri: causality in health and disease. Med Microbiol Immunol

[Internet] 2021; 210:173–9. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00430-021-

00702-9

32. Parker BJ, Wearsch PA, Veloo ACM, Rodriguez-Palacios A. The Genus Alistipes: Gut

Bacteria With Emerging Implications to Inflammation, Cancer, and Mental Health.

Front Immunol 2020; 11:1–15.

33.   Hiippala K, Barreto G, Burrello C, Diaz-Basabe A, Suutarinen M, Kainulainen V,
694   Bowers JR, Lemmer D, Engelthaler DM, Eklund KK, et al. Novel Odoribacter
695   splanchnicus Strain and Its Outer Membrane Vesicles Exert Immunoregulatory Effects
696   in vitro. Front Microbiol 2020; 11:1–14.

697   34.   McPhee JB, Small CL, Reid-Yu SA, Brannon JR, Moual H Le, Coombes BK. Host
698   defense peptide resistance contributes to colonization and maximal intestinal
699   pathology by Crohn's disease-associated adherent-invasive Escherichia coli. Infect
700   Immun 2014; 82:3383–93.

701   35.   Xu Y, Wei W, Lei S, Lin J, Srinivas S, Feng Y. An evolutionarily conserved mechanism
702   for intrinsic and transferable polymyxin resistance. MBio 2018; 9:1–18.

703   36.   Thomassin JL, Brannon JR, Gibbs BF, Gruenheid S, Le Moual H. OmpT outer
704   membrane proteases of enterohemorrhagic and enteropathogenic Escherichia coli
705   contribute differently to the degradation of human LL-37. Infect Immun 2012;
706   80:483–92.

707   37.   Desloges I, Taylor JA, Leclerc JM, Brannon JR, Portt A, Spencer JD, Dewar K,
708   Marczynski GT, Manges A, Gruenheid S, et al. Identification and characterization of
709   OmpT-like   proteases   in   uropathogenic   Escherichia   coli   clinical   isolates.
710   Microbiologyopen 2019; 8:1–36.

711   38.   Kulkarni HM, Nagaraj R, Jagannadham M V. Protective role of E. coli outer membrane
712   vesicles against antibiotics. Microbiol Res [Internet] 2015; 181:1–7. Available from:
713   http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2015.07.008

714   39.   Muntjewerff EM, Dunkel G, Nicolasen MJT, Mahata SK, Van Den Bogaart G. Catestatin
715   as a target for treatment of inflammatory diseases. Front. Immunol.2018; 9.

40.   Mirsepasi-Lauridsen HC, Vallance BA, Krogfelt KA, Petersen AM. Escherichia coli
Pathobionts Associated with Inflammatory Bowel Disease. Clin Microbiol Rev
[Internet] 2019; 32:1–16. Available from:
https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/CMR.00060-18

41.   Santella RM. Approaches to DNA/RNA extraction and whole genome amplification. In:
Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention. 2006.

42.   Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. DADA2:
High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods 2016;
13:581–3.

43.   R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing [Internet].
2019; Available from: https://www.r-project.org/

44.   Lahti L, Shetty S. microbiome R package [Internet]. Available from:
http://microbiome.github.io

45.   McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. Phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis
and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. PLoS One 2013; 8.

46.   Paulson JN, Colin Stine O, Bravo HC, Pop M. Differential abundance analysis for
microbial marker-gene surveys. Nat Methods 2013; 10:1200–2.

47.   Segata N, Izard J, Waldron L, Gevers D, Miropolsky L, Garrett WS, Huttenhower C.
Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation. Genome Biol [Internet] 2011;
12:R60. Available from: http://genomebiology.com/2011/11/6/R60

48.   Douglas GM, Maffei VJ, Zaneveld JR, Yurgel SN, Brown JR, Taylor CM, Huttenhower C,
Langille MGI. PICRUSt2 for prediction of metagenome functions. Nat Biotechnol
[Internet] 2020; 38:685–8. Available from: http://www.nature.com/articles/s41587-
020-0550-z

49.   Parks DH, Tyson GW, Hugenholtz P, Beiko RG. STAMP: Statistical analysis of
taxonomic and functional profiles. Bioinformatics 2014; 30:3123–4.

50.   Almeida A, Nayfach S, Boland M, Strozzi F, Beracochea M, Shi ZJ, Pollard KS,
Sakharova E, Parks DH, Hugenholtz P, et al. A unified catalog of 204,938 reference
genomes from the human gut microbiome. Nat Biotechnol [Internet] 2021; 39:105–
14. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41587-020-0603-3

51.   Emms DM, Kelly S. OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative
genomics. Genome Biol [Internet] 2019; 20:238. Available from:
https://genomebiology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13059-019-1832-y

52.   Menardo F, Loiseau C, Brites D, Coscolla M, Gygli SM, Rutaihwa LK, Trauner A, Beisel
C, Borrell S, Gagneux S. Treemmer: A tool to reduce large phylogenetic datasets with
minimal loss of diversity. BMC Bioinformatics 2018; 19:1–8.

53.   Haider SR, Reid HJ, Sharp BL. Tricine-SDS-PAGE [Internet]. 2012. page 81–
91.Available   from:
http://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=nBMjPxgHnH0C&oi=fnd&pg=PR5&dq=
Malaria+Methods+and+Protocols&ots=X32bRN1-KD&sig=--
8WJKCD0ayAyYQkVRKgTS1gVvM

54.   Schägger H. Tricine-SDS-PAGE. Nat Protoc 2006; 1:16–22.

55.   Zoetendal EG, Booijink CCGM, Klaassens ES, Heilig HGHJ, Kleerebezem M, Smidt H,
de Vos WM. Isolation of RNA from bacterial samples of the human gastrointestinal
tract. Nat Protoc 2006; 1:954–9.

56.   Zhou K, Zhou L, Lim Q, Zou R, Stephanopoulos G, Too HP. Novel reference genes for
quantifying transcriptional responses of Escherichia coli to protein overexpression by
quantitative PCR. BMC Mol Biol [Internet] 2011; 12:18. Available from:

http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2199/12/18

57.   Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time

quantitative PCR and the 2-ΔΔCT method. Methods 2001; 25:402–8.

Figures

Figure 1. CST treatment affects the murine fecal microbiota. (**A, B**) Alpha diversity was assessed using different metrics and is concordantly significantly increased upon CST treatment. (**A**) represent the species richness (observed ASVs, Chao1) and (**B**) species diversity of the CST-KO and WT mice before and after CST treatment. Significance was tested with an unpaired *Mann-Whitney* test. Boxes represent the median with interquartile range, and whiskers represent the maxima and minima. (**C**) Genotype and treatment constrained redundancy analysis (RDA) on genus collapsed abundances. Arrows indicate the association of taxa with samples, with the length being a proxy for the strength of the association. Ellipses represent normal data generated by the R package ggplot2. Significant separation of clusters and contribution of the variables to the variance of the RDA was tested with Permutational Multivariate ANOVA (PERMANOVA) and revealed significant ($p < 0.001$) effects of CST administration, both in CST-KO and WT mice; grey – WT+CST, yellow – CST-KO, blue - CST-KO+CST. (**D**) Cladograms of a LefSe analysis (Linear discriminant analysis Effect Size) comparing microbiota changes upon CST treatment in CST-KO and WT mice. Significance was tested using a Kruskal-Wallis (KW) test and Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA). A significant feature was considered when p-value < 0.01 and Log (LDA score) > 3. The green color indicates the microbiota composition before CST treatment and the red color after CST treatment. (**E**) Relative abundance of the present phyla and families (**F**) Concentrations of cecal acetate, butyrate, and propionate, of CST-treated (CST-KO, n=7; WT, n=8) and untreated animals (CST-KO, n=8; WT, n=8). Cecal acetate and butyrate were significantly increased in CST-treated CST-KO mice. Boxes represent the median with interquartile range, and whiskers represent the maxima and minima. Data were analyzed using a 2-tailed paired *t*-test. Error bars are shown as mean ± SEM.

 Figure 2 Taxa able to endure CST treatment benefit from its presence in the

796 **environment.** Scatter plot of log-fold changes, comparing abundance changes for significantly

797 abundant genera between treated and untreated groups per genotype. Colors represent

798 significance when testing for differential abundance between treated and untreated groups for

799 each genotype.

Figure 3. CST treatment promotes the abundance of the antimicrobial resistance gene,

***eptA*.** (**A**) Unrooted phylogenetic tree depicting the distribution of orthologous *eptA*-like genes

throughout bacterial species in the human gut. The tree was pruned to around half its size using

Treemmer to aid visualization, while branches were colored by the phylum they belong to. (**B**)

Boxplot showing a significant abundance increase of *eptA* harboring bacteria upon CST

treatment, both in CST-KO and WT mice. The *eptA* abundance is defined as the sum of

abundances from all taxa harboring an *eptA* gene. Boxes represent the median with interquartile

range, and whiskers represent the maxima and minima. Significance was assessed by an

unpaired *t*-test. (**C**) Significant abundance increase of *eptA*-harboring bacteria in mucus-

associated communities, when compared to luminal ones in the distal colon and ileum. Luminal

and mucus-associated data is based on **Jakobsson et al. (2015)**. Statistical significance was

assessed by paired *t*-test. (**D**) CST inhibits the growth of wild-type *E. coli* BW25113 and *E. coli*

BW25113$^{\Delta eptA}$ strains, visualized by carrying capacity K (a measure of maximum OD600) and

time taken to reach half the carrying capacity (inflection point of the growth curve at mid-log-

phase) that depends on CST concentrations ranging from 8-100 µM.

Figure 4. Omptin enzyme is responsible for the degradation of CST in *E coli*. (**A**) Silver-

stained tricine SDS-PAGE gels showing the cleavage of CST by wild-type *E. coli* BW25113, but

not in *E. coli* BW25113$^{\Delta ompT}$. Sterile non-stimulated culture supernatants were cultured with

100µM CST and samples were collected at different time points; CST: catestatin (2.3kDa), SP:

substance P (1.3kDa) (**B**) CST inhibits the growth of *E. coli* BW25113$^{\Delta ompT}$, visualized by carrying

capacity K (a measure of maximum OD600) and time taken to reach half the carrying capacity in

CST concentrations ranging from 8-100 µM. (**C**) Baseline expression of *eptA* is increased in *E.*

coli BW25113$^{\Delta ompT}$ compared and normalized to that of wild-type *E. coli* BW25113. *tus* was used

as a housekeeping gene for normalization in both strains and statistical significance was

calculated using a Wilcoxon test. (**D**) Relative abundance of omptin like genes or *E. coli* in

patients with Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, and healthy subjects. Axes are on log10-scale

and significance was assessed using Wilcoxon test without stratification.