Wild-type *Caenorhabditis elegans* Isolates Exhibit Distinct Gene Expression Profiles in

Response to Microbial Infection

Patrick Lansdon, Maci Carlson, and Brian D. Ackley

Department of Molecular Biosciences, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, 66045

Running title: Pathogens and *C. elegans* wild isolates

Keywords: Bacterial Pathogens, Innate Immunity, Transcriptomes, *Caenorhabditis elegans*

Corresponding Author:

Brian D. Ackley

5004 Haworth Hall

1200 Sunnyside Ave

Lawrence, KS 66045

bdackley@ku.edu

7 Figures, 5 Tables, 15 Supplemental Tables, 1 Supplemental File

32 Pages

Abstract: 201 Words

Introduction: 523 Words

Results: 3704 Words

Discussion: 1731 Words

Abstract

The soil-dwelling nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* serves as a model system to study innate immunity against microbial pathogens. *C. elegans* have been collected from around the world, where they, presumably, adapted to regional microbial ecologies. Here we use survival assays and RNA-sequencing to better understand how two isolates from disparate climates respond to pathogenic bacteria. We found that, relative to N2 (originally isolated in Bristol, UK), CB4856 (isolated in Hawaii), was more susceptible to the Gram-positive pathogen, *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, but equally susceptible to *Staphylococcus aureus* as well as two Gram-negative pathogens, *Providencia rettgeri* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. We performed transcriptome analysis of infected worms and found gene-expression profiles were considerably different in an isolate-specific and pathogen-specific manner. We performed GO term analysis to categorize differential gene expression in response to *S. epidermidis*. In N2, genes that encoded detoxification enzymes and extracellular matrix proteins were significantly enriched, while in CB4856, genes that encoded detoxification enzymes, C-type lectins, and lipid metabolism proteins were enriched, suggesting they have different responses to these bacterial pathogens, despite being the same species. Overall, discerning gene expression signatures in an isolate by pathogen manner can help us to understand the different possibilities for the evolution of immune responses within organisms.

Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance is a looming public health crisis as microbial pathogens evade drugs of last resort. When pathogenic microbes bypass the physical barriers of the host (*e.g.,* an epidermis), an organism must rely on cellular and humoral defenses (*e.g.,* the innate immune system) to protect itself from infections that can compromise organismal fitness. While all organisms will inevitably become infected over their lifespan, genetic variation in individuals will influence the outcomes of infections. Thus, our ability to link genetic variation to infection susceptibility may enable more sophisticated strategies for deploying antibiotics or help us enhance host immunity to treat microbial infection.[1,2]

Genetic variation in organisms can create tradeoffs in susceptibility to different pathogens. The presence of non-synonymous single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the coding sequence of immune effector genes has been shown to alter the propensity of individuals to become infected and develop disease.[3] For instance, a SNP in the *TLR5* gene introduces a premature stop codon, creating a truncated form of the protein and impairing the ability of TLR5 to recognize the flagellin protein in flagellated bacteria.[4] Individuals carrying this SNP are more susceptible to infection by *Legionella pneumophila*, the vector for Legionnaires' disease, but not *Salmonella enterica serotype Typhi,* the microbial vector for typhoid fever.[5,6]

Animal models have been critical to our understanding of the innate immune system. However, the reliance on canonical laboratory strains often ignores genetic variation in innate immunity and susceptibility to pathogens.[7] The soil-dwelling nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* is a genetically tractable model organism to examine host-pathogen interactions.[8,9] *C. elegans*

possesses a robust microbial defense system with evolutionarily conserved mechanisms in

microbial pathogen detection, immune activation and pathogen killing (*e.g.* the induction of

reactive oxygen species (ROS), deployment of antimicrobial and antifungal peptides, and

expression of bacterial-binding C-type lectins).[10-14] These evolutionarily conserved responses to

infection make *C. elegans* an ideal model to study bacterial pathogenesis.[9]

C. elegans wild isolates collected from geographically discrete regions are apt to vary in their

ability to fight infections either because of a history of different selective pressures or simply

genetic drift. Many discoveries regarding *C. elegans* host-microbe interactions have come from

studying wild isolates, including identifying virus that infect *C. elegans* and the characterization

of the *C. elegans* gut microbiome.[15-17] The genomic diversity of wild isolates has also provided a

platform for quantitatively examining the genetic variation underlying complex traits, including

behavioral differences in foraging as well as pathogen avoidance and susceptibility.[18-23] However,

less attention has been paid to the variation in the transcriptomic responses of wild isolates to

multiple, discrete microbial pathogens.

In this study, we show that the *C. elegans* Hawaiian wild isolate CB4856 is significantly more

susceptible to infection by the Gram-positive pathogen *Staphylococcus epidermidis* than the N2

lab-conditioned strain. We used high-throughput RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) to identify

differentially expressed genes in staged N2 and CB4856 worms exposed to pathogenic bacteria.

The differentially expressed genes identified in pathogen-infected animals provide insight into

isolate-specific transcriptomic responses of *C. elegans* to pathogenic bacteria. We believe that

this knowledge will provide a foundation for better understanding the role of natural genetic

variation in the *C. elegans* innate immune response to microbial pathogens.

Materials and Methods

***C. elegans* and Bacterial Strains**

C. elegans N2 and CB4856 wild-type strains were maintained at 20°C on nematode growth

medium (NGM) agar plates seeded with *Escherichia coli* OP50.[24] *Staphylococcus epidermidis*

(EVL2000, this study), *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (1191; ATCC#700562), *Providencia rettgeri*

(Dmel1)[25], *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (PA-14)[26], and *Staphylococcus aureus* (Newman)[27] were

used as pathogens in this study. All bacteria strains were grown at 37°C with shaking in low-salt

Luria Bertani (LB) medium (10 g Bacto-tryptone, 5 g yeast extract, 5 g NaCl).

Identification of *S. epidermidis* Strain

DNA was extracted from 1mL of fresh culture using a QiaQuick miniprep column (Qiagen) and

the 16S ribosomal region was amplified using universal primers 27F (5'-

AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3') and 1492R (5'-CGGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3'). PCR cycling

conditions were as follows: 1) 92°C for 2 minutes; 2) 35 cycles at 92°C for 15-sec, 55°C for 15-sec,

68°C for 75-seconds; and 3) 68°C for 10 minutes. The amplified PCR product was purified, Sanger

sequenced, and the NCBI BLAST tool was used to identify the species.

Survival Assays

NGM plates were seeded with 40 µL of bacteria (*E. coli*, *S. epidermidis*, *P. rettgeri*, *P.*

aeruginosa, *or S. aureus*) and incubated overnight at 37°C. Plates were acclimated to room

temperature and 30 late-stage L4 worms were added. Each day, living worms were transferred

to fresh plates and the number of dead worms was recorded.

Pathogen Avoidance Assays

NGM plates were seeded with a 10 µL dot of pathogenic bacteria (*S. epidermidis*, *P. rettgeri*,

S. aureus, or *P. aeruginosa*) on one half, a 10 µL dot of non-pathogenic *E. coli* OP50 on the other

half and incubated overnight at 37°C. 20 late-stage L4 worms were placed on blank plates for 30

minutes to remove any external bacteria while pathogen avoidance plates acclimated to room

temperature. Worms were placed at the midline and their location on the plate was recorded at

1-hour, 2-hour, 4-hour, 8-hour, and 24-hour time points. To avoid ambiguity in the number of

animals associated with the specific bacteria, only animals residing within the spot of bacteria

were assigned "preference" for either the control (OP50) or pathogen.

Exposure of Nematodes to Pathogen

NGM plates were seeded with 250 µL of bacteria incubated overnight at 37°C. Approximately

2,000 L4 stage worms grown on *E. coli* OP50 were transferred to NGM plates seeded with bacteria

and incubated at 20°C for 24 hours. After 24 hours, the worms were washed with M9 buffer three

times to remove any residual bacteria. The worms were resuspended in 100 µL of M9 buffer and

mechanically disrupted in liquid nitrogen using a ceramic mortar and pestle. Frozen tissue was

transferred to a microcentrifuge tube and 1 mL TRIZOL reagent was added. The tubes were flash

frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. Three biological replicates were collected for each

worm and pathogen strain.

RNA isolation and Sequencing

Frozen worm tissue was thawed, vortexed for 5 seconds and incubated at room temperature

for 5 minutes. After the addition of 470 μL chloroform (mixed by inversion and phase separated

for 2 minutes at room temperature), the samples were centrifuged at 15,000 RPM at 4°C for 15

minutes. The upper aqueous phase containing RNA (approximately 600 μL) was transferred to a

new RNase-free Eppendorf tube. Total RNA extraction was carried out using the Monarch RNA

Cleanup Kit (New England Biolabs) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA was

quantified using the Qubit (ThermoFisher Scientific) and RNA quality and integrity was assessed

using the Agilent Tapestation 2200 (Agilent Technologies). Sequence libraries were prepared

using the TruSeq Stranded mRNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina) and sequenced using single-end 1x75

bp sequencing on the Illumina NextSeq 550.

RNA-seq Analysis and Quantification of Differentially Expressed Genes

The quality of the 75-bp single-end reads generated using the Illumina NextSeq 550 was

assessed using FastQC[28] (v.0.11.5). Illumina sequencing adapters and low-quality bases (Phred

score <30) were trimmed from reads using Fastp[29] (v.0.19.8). Reads were first aligned to the *E.*

coli, *S. epidermidis*, *P. rettgeri*, *S. aureus*, or *P. aeruginosa* genomes to evaluate bacterial

contamination, then aligned to the *C. elegans* genome assembly (Wormbase WS273 release)

using HISAT2[30] (v.2.1.0) with default settings. Aligned reads were mapped to the *C. elegans*

annotated genome (Wormbase WS273 release) and transcript abundances were quantified using

featureCounts[31] (v.1.6.0). Data normalization and differential gene expression analysis was

performed with DESeq2[32] (v.3.1.0) in R (v.3.6.0) using a false discovery rate (FDR)-adjusted P-

value <0.05 and fold change ≥2 as cutoffs. Separate design formulas were used to determine

differential gene expression for infection (~Infection), Gram-stain (~Gram-stain), and individual

pathogens (~Pathogen). Geneset enrichment analysis was performed with WormCat[33] using an

FDR-adjusted P-value <0.05 as the significance cutoff.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analysis was carried out using SigmaPlot 14.0 (Systat Software, Inc.). Log rank

(Mantel-Cox) analysis was used for pairwise comparison of survival curves and to calculate

median survival (LT_{50}). Chi-squared or Fisher's Exact Test was used to assess statistical

significance of the pathogen avoidance data. Spearman's correlation was used to determine the

correlation coefficient between $\log_2$ fold changes in gene expression. Statistically significant

differences are defined in the figure legend and noted in the figure with asterisks.

Data Availability

The raw sequence files used for differential gene expression analysis are available in FASTQ

format in the NCBI BioProject repository (BioProject Accession number: PRJNA770277;

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/PRJNA770277).

Results

CB4856 and N2 exhibit differences in susceptibility to the pathogen *S. epidermidis*

During our studies on susceptibility to microbial pathogens we serendipitously cultured a

bacterial contaminant that exhibited differences in a *C. elegans* isolate-specific manner. We

identified this bacterium as *Staphylococcus epidermidis* by 16S sequencing (see Materials and

Methods) and provided it with the strain designation EVL2000. Survival assays conducted with

the EVL2000 *S. epidermidis* strain found CB4856 animals to be significantly more susceptible than

N2, with a median survival (LT$_{50}$) of 11.3 ± 1.6 days, whereas 50% of N2 animals were killed after

an average of 18.8 ± 1.5 days (*p*<0.001) (Figure 1A, Table S1).

We then compared N2 and CB4856 susceptibility to other known *C. elegans* pathogens,

Staphylococcus aureus, Providencia rettgeri, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa.* In each case, the

susceptibility of N2 and CB4856 to these pathogens was not significantly different (Figures 1B-D,

Table S1). We also grew animals on non-pathogenic *E. coli* OP50 to evaluate the possibility that

the increased susceptibility of *S. epidermidis* was due to a general defect in lifespan. Interestingly,

the lifespan of N2 worms was significantly shorter than CB4856, with 50% of animals dying at

12.0 ± 0.4 and 16.2 ± 0.6 days for N2 and CB4856 animals, respectively (*p*<0.001) (Figure 1E, Table

S1). While there were differences in lifespan on *E. coli*, our data are consistent with the idea that

the increased susceptibility of CB4856 to *S. epidermidis* is not due to a universal decrease in

lifespan.

Relative to worms fed *E. coli*, infection with the EVL2000 *S. epidermidis* strain actually

prolonged the median lifespan of N2 animals (*E. coli* OP50 LT$_{50}$ = 12.0 ± 0.4 days, *S. epidermidis*

EVL2000 LT_{50} = 18.8 ± 1.5 days; *p*<0.001). To determine if the differences in susceptibility were

unique to the EVL2000 strain, we infected N2 and CB4856 animals with an additional *S.*

epidermidis strain (1191). CB4856 animals infected with the 1191 strain had a median survival of

5.7 ± 1.2 days, whereas 50% of N2 animals were killed after an average of 12.3 ± 0.9 days

(*p*<0.001) (Figure 1F, Table S1). Together, our results indicate that CB4856 is more susceptible to

S. epidermidis infection and that this increase in susceptibility is observed across multiple strains

of *S. epidermidis.* Since infection by the *S. epidermidis* EVL2000 strain provided the greatest

difference in susceptibility between N2 and CB4856, follow-up experiments used this bacterial

strain.

Pathogen avoidance of N2 and CB4856 isolates depends on the pathogen and time of

observation

We performed two-choice pathogen avoidance assays to determine if the difference in

susceptibility could be explained in part by differences in animal behavior (Figure 2A). At the 1-

hr time point there was a significant difference in *S. epidermidis* avoidance, with 100% of N2 and

70% of CB4586 animals on the OP50 lawn (Figure 2B) (*p*<0.001). By 24 hours the difference in

pathogen avoidance was no longer significant and nearly 100% of worms from both isolates were

found on the OP50 lawn. This suggests that both genotypes perceived *S. epidermidis* as

pathogenic and avoided them accordingly.

We also examined avoidance behavior in N2 and CB4856 animals exposed to *S. aureus*, *P.*

rettgeri or *P. aeruginosa* and found differences in the initial avoidance of pathogenic bacteria. At

the 1-hr time point avoidance of *S. aureus* was not significantly different between the two

isolates, with 80% and 70% of animals observed on the OP50 lawn for N2 and CB4856,

respectively (Figure 2C) (*p*=0.390). Avoidance of *P. rettgeri* at the 1-hr time point was significantly

more likely in N2 worms, with 53% of N2 and 19% of CB4856 animals on the OP50 lawn (Figure

2D) (*p*<0.001). The avoidance of *P. aeruginosa* trended in the opposite direction with 46% of N2

and 65% of CB4856 animals on the OP50 lawn (Figure 2E) (*p*=0.051). Neither the N2 nor CB4856

genotypes had significant changes in pathogen avoidance between the 1-hr and 24-hr time points

for these three pathogens (Figure 2C-E). Natural variation in olfactory preference may partially

explain these differences in pathogen avoidance.[34] While the results are interesting and warrant

follow-up, they suggest that the avoidance behavior of N2 and CB4856 animals likely has little

impact on susceptibility to *S. epidermidis*.

Gene expression changes during infection cluster by genotype, rather than pathogen type

To identify gene expression changes due to pathogen we performed RNA sequencing

comparing CB4856 and N2 animals fed pathogenic bacteria versus non-pathogenic *E. coli* OP50

for 24 hours. *E. coli* OP50 is a standardized food source for laboratory-bred *C. elegans*, and thus

represents the nonpathogenic control diet. We analyzed gene expression in N2 and CB4856

animals exposed to either *S. epidermidis, S. aureus*, *P. rettgeri* or *P. aeruginosa*.

Although both *C. elegans* isolates showed similar susceptibility to three of these pathogens,

we hypothesized geographical isolation might have resulted in variations in the immune

response, which could be analyzed through transcriptional profiling. Principal component

analysis (PCA) of gene expression found that N2 and CB4856 samples exposed to *E. coli*, the

Gram-positive pathogen *S. epidermidis* or the pathogenic Gram-negative *P. rettgeri* clustered in

an isolate-specific manner (Figure 3A). N2 samples exposed to the virulent Gram-negative *P.*

aeruginosa were also part of this N2-specific cluster, whereas CB4856 samples exposed to *P.*

aeruginosa formed their own distinct cluster. Further, both genotypes exposed to the moderately

virulent Gram-positive *S. aureus* formed distinct groups that did not cluster with other samples

from the same genotype, nor did they cluster with each other (Figure 3A).

We also performed PCA of gene expression using samples from a single genotype. For both

C. elegans isolates *S. epidermidis* and *P. rettgeri* samples again clustered with *E. coli*, whereas. *S.*

aureus samples formed a separate group along the first principal component axis (PC1). *P.*

aeruginosa samples also formed individual clusters for both isolates, with separation along the

second principal component axis (PC2) in N2 and separation along both the PC1 and PC2 axes in

CB4856 (Figures 3B, 3C). Thus, it appears that both nematode genotype and microbial taxonomy

contribute to the gene expression differences between N2 and CB4856.

Differential Gene Expression is Largely Unique in N2 and CB4856 Animals After Infection with

Pathogenic Bacteria

We first sought to identify how gene expression changes in these two isolates, as a function

of infection. Thus, we identified genes differentially expressed in response to all pathogens (for

all analyses, differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were defined as those with an FDR-adjusted P-

value <0.05 and fold change ≥2, infected vs. control). We identified 273 and 117 genes that were

differentially expressed in N2 and CB4856, respectively, as a function of infection. In either

genotype the majority of DEGs were upregulated (N2, 236/273 = 86%; CB4856, 96/117 = 82%)

(Table 1, Table 2, Table S2). Interestingly, only six out of the 384 total genes were differentially

expressed in both N2 and CB4856 (N2-only, 267/273 = 98%; CB4856-only, 111/117 = 95%) (Figure

4A, Table S2).

We examined the correlation of gene expression using the $\log_2$ fold changes after infection.

Expression levels were weakly, but positively, correlated between N2 and CB4856 (ρ = 0.097; *p*<

0.001) (Figure 4B). A correlation of baseline gene expression was determined using normalized

gene counts from worms exposed to the control bacteria (OP50 *E. coli*). In that context N2 and

CB4856 had similar patterns of expression (ρ = 0.826), suggesting that gene expression in

response to infection diverges from a common baseline. Taken together, these data suggest that

while there is some conservation of expression in response to infection, the pattern is relatively

weak between these two isolates.

We further examined the DEGs identified in both isolates. Of them, only Y65B4BR.1 has any

functional annotation relating to infection. Previous data suggests that RNAi knockdown

increases susceptibility to infection by *S. aureus*.[35] A single gene, *oac-14*, was identified as

differentially expressed in opposing directions, *i.e.*, up in N2 and down in CB4856. RNAi

knockdown delays or slows development, suggesting *oac-14* contributes to organismal growth.[36]

To take a more holistic approach, we used geneset enrichment analysis to analyze DEGs. The

GO terms "Stress response: pathogen", "Transmembrane transport: lipid", and "Metabolism:

lipid" were observed to be enriched in N2 (N2-only), whereas genes corresponding to the GO

term "Metabolism: lipid" were overrepresented in CB4856 animals (CB4856-only) (Table 3, Table

S3). Taken together, these results indicate that although there was little overlap in the

transcriptomic response to microbial pathogen in N2 and CB4856 animals, both genotypes

exhibit an enrichment of genes involved in lipid metabolism.

Finally, to examine the change in transcriptional networks, we cross referenced our DEG lists

with a table of known *C. elegans* transcription factors (File S1). We found that in N2, the

transcription factors *cky-1, nhr-19, nhr-99, nhr-116, nhr-127, peb-1* and *unc-42* were all

upregulated in response to infection by pathogens, whereas in CB4856-infected animals, *ham-1*

expression was repressed and *klu-2* expression was induced (Table S2). These results are

consistent with the divergence of gene expression profiles between N2 and CB4856, suggesting

that, upon infection, these isolates rely on different immune pathways.

N2 and CB4856 Transcriptomic Responses Exhibit More Overlap Following Infection with Gram-

positive than Gram-negative Pathogens

We then asked how gene expression profiles differed when we grouped pathogens by Gram-

stain. We identified 3833 and 2565 genes that were differentially expressed in response to Gram-

positive bacteria in N2 and CB4856, respectively. In either genotype the majority of DEGs were

upregulated (N2, 2964/3833 = 77%; CB4856, 1962/2565 = 76%) (Table 1, Table 2, Table S4). While

most genes were unique to a genotype (N2-only, 2661/3833 = 69%; CB4856-only, 1393/2565 =

54%), 1172 genes were differentially expressed in both N2 and CB4856 worms (Figure 5A, Table

S4). Further, the majority of DEGs identified in both genotypes were expressed in the same

direction, while only 1% of genes were differentially expressed in opposing directions, *i.e.*, down

in N2 and up in CB4856 (14/1172 = 1%) (Figure 5C, Table S4). These results were reflected in a

strong, positive correlation between N2 and CB4856 gene expression levels (ρ = 0.613; *p*< 0.001)

(Figure 5A).

We again looked for transcription factors that were differentially expressed in both N2 and

CB4856 as a function of infection by Gram-positive bacteria. In this list, there were 50

transcription factor genes that were significantly different from baseline (Table S4). As observed

for the overall gene expression similarity, for each of the transcription factors, we observed a

similar pattern for the direction of expression (*e.g.,* genes upregulated in N2 were upregulated

in CB4856, *etc.*).

In response to Gram-negative bacteria, 628 and 1720 genes were differentially expressed in

N2 and CB4856 animals, respectively. Interestingly, in both genotypes a minority of DEGs were

upregulated (N2, 254/628 = 40%; CB4856, 456/1720 = 27%) (Table 1, Table 2, Table S5). Like the

transcriptomic response when all pathogens were grouped together, most genes were unique to

a genotype with a total of 124 genes differentially expressed in both N2 and CB4856 (N2-only,

504/628 = 80%; CB4856-only, 1596/1720 = 93%) (Figure 5B, Table S5). Further, the majority of

DEGs identified in both genotypes were expressed in opposing directions (82/124 = 66%), leaving

only 42 genes that were differentially expressed in both genotypes and in the same direction

(Figure 5C, Table S5). Gene expression profiles were again weakly, but positively correlated (ρ =

0.107; *p*< 0.001) (Figure 5B). Finally, there were no transcription factors that were coordinately

regulated in both isolates in response to Gram-negative bacteria (Table S5).

These results highlight the dramatic differences when we compare the transcriptomic

response of N2 and CB4856 worms infected with Gram-positive or Gram-negative pathogens.

Interestingly, exposure to Gram-positive pathogens resulted in differential gene expression

profiles with considerable overlap between the two isolates, suggesting that there are conserved

responses to some bacteria. In contrast, the transcriptomic responses of N2 and CB4856 animals

to Gram-negative pathogens were relatively divergent, with few differentially expressed genes

in common.

Overlap in Differentially Expressed Genes between N2 and CB4856 Depends on the Microbial

Pathogen

Finally, we analyzed gene expression by individual pathogen exposure. This identified 2384

(*S. epidermidis*), 8754 (*S. aureus*), 5802 (*P. aeruginosa*), and 1029 (*P. rettgeri*) differentially

expressed genes in N2 and 1776 (*S. epidermidis*), 7033 (*S. aureus*), 5171 (*P. aeruginosa*), and 2253

(*P. rettgeri*) differentially expressed genes in CB4856 (Table 1, Table 2). In response to *S.*

epidermidis, upregulated DEGs outnumbered downregulated DEGs at a ratio of 3:1 (N2,

1754/2384 = 74%; CB4856, 1296/1776 = 73%), whereas the response to *S. aureus* was split more

evenly between upregulated and down regulated genes, although upregulated DEGs still

represented a majority (N2, 4901/8754 = 56%; CB4856, 3597/7033 = 51%) (Table 1, Table 2, Table

S6, Table S7).

Although both belong to the *Staphylococcus* genus, the overlap between N2 and CB4856

transcriptomic responses to *S. epidermidis* and *S. aureus* were considerably different. In *S.*

epidermidis-infected animals the minority of DEGs, 511 in total, were differentially expressed in

both N2 and CB4856 (N2-only, 1873/2384 = 79%; CB4856-only, 1265/1776 = 71%) (Figure 6A,

Table S6). The correlation of gene expression in response to *S. epidermidis* was ρ = 0.294 (*p*<

0.001). In contrast, a majority of differentially expressed genes, 5039 in total, overlapped in N2

and CB4856 animals infected with *S. aureus* (N2-only, 3715/8754 = 42%; CB4856-only, 1994/7033

= 28%) (Figure 6B, Table S7), with a correlation of ρ = 0.721 (*p*< 0.001).

The majority of DEGs identified in both genotypes following either *S. epidermidis* or *S. aureus*

infection were expressed in the same direction (*S. epidermidis*, 410/511 = 80%; *S. aureus*,

4928/5039 = 98%) (Figure 6C, Table S6, Table S7). Lastly, we only identified nine transcription

factors that were coordinately regulated in *S. epidermidis*-infected animals, whereas 346

transcription factors genes were differentially expressed in both genotypes in the same direction

after *S. aureus* infection (Table S6, Table S7). Together, these results suggest N2 and CB4856

worms exhibit considerable similarity in their transcriptomic response to *S. aureus*, whereas

there is much less overlap in their response to *S. epidermidis* infection, which may perhaps

explain some of the difference in susceptibility to infection by *S. epidermis*.

Exposure to either Gram-negative pathogen resulted in a minority of DEGs being upregulated

in both genotypes. In *P. aeruginosa*-infected animals, upregulated genes represented less than

one-third of all DEGs in both genotypes (N2, 1804/5802 = 31%; CB4856, 1460/5171 = 28%),

whereas, after *P. rettgeri* infection, differential expression analysis found nearly equivalent

numbers of upregulated and downregulated genes in N2 and upregulation of less than one-third

of all DEGS in CB4856 (N2, 504/1029 =49%; CB4856, 694/2253 =31%) (Table 1, Table 2, Table S8,

Table S9).

We observed considerable differences in the overlap of gene expression profiles between N2

and CB4856 worms infected with *P. aeruginosa* or *P. rettgeri*. In animals infected with *P.*

aeruginosa, a majority of differentially expressed genes, 3092 in total, overlapped (N2-only,

2710/5802 = 47%; CB4856-only, 2079/5171 = 40%) (Figure 7A, Table S8), with a correlation of ρ

= 0.539 (*p*< 0.001). Like infection with *S. epidermidis* or *S. aureus*, the majority of DEGs identified

in both genotypes following *P. aeruginosa* infection were expressed in the same direction

(2971/3092 = 96%) (Figure 7C, Table S8)*.* In response to *P. rettgeri*, 581 genes were differentially

expressed in both genotypes, representing a majority of DEGs in N2 but a minority in CB4856

(N2-only, 448/1029 = 44% CB4856-only, 1672/2253 = 74%) (Figure 7B, Table S9). Interestingly,

the vast majority of DEGs found in both genotypes following *P. rettgeri* infection were expressed

in opposing directions (564/581 = 97%), leaving only 17 genes that were coordinately expressed

(Figure 7C, Table S9). Finally, we identified 114 transcription factor genes that were differentially

expressed in both genotypes in the same direction after *P. aeruginosa* infection, whereas no

transcription factors were coordinately regulated in *P. rettgeri*-infected animals (Table S8, Table

S9).

Taken together, these results indicate that the N2 and CB4856 transcriptomic responses to *P.*

aeruginosa have more in common, whereas little overlap in differential gene expression exists

when N2 and C4856 are infected with *P. rettgeri*. In fact, of all the gene expression profiles

compared, *P. rettgeri* infection was the only one to have a negative correlation (ρ = -0.387; *p*<

0.001*). These results are consistent with the responses to infection being driven both by the

isolate and the pathogen.

Geneset Enrichment Analysis Identifies Unique GO Terms Enriched in N2 and CB4856 Worms

Exposed to *S. epidermidis*

Since N2 and CB4856 exhibited survival differences after *S. epidermidis* infection but not *S.*

aureus infection, we were interested in identifying biological processes that may be enriched in

these animals. We cross-referenced DEGs identified in N2 following *S. epidermidis* and *S. aureus*

infection and identified 556 genes that were either exclusively expressed in response to *S.*

epidermidis or were expressed in response to both pathogens but in opposing directions (*e.g.,*

upregulated in *S. epidermidis* and downregulated in *S. aureus*). The same analysis performed for

DEGs in CB4856 animals identified 749 genes. Only 73 of these genes were differentially

expressed in both N2 and CB4856 (N2-only, 483/556 = 87%; CB4856-only, 676/749 = 90%) (Table

S10).

We performed geneset enrichment analysis on genes that were exclusive to N2 (N2-only),

genes that were exclusive to CB4856 (CB4856-only), and genes that were differentially expressed

in both N2 and CB4856 animals (N2 & CB4856). Biological functions pertaining to the extracellular

matrix, stress response, and metabolism were enriched in N2 and CB4856 worms following *S.*

epidermidis infection (Table 4, Table S11). The Gene Ontology (GO) terms "Extracellular material:

collagen" and "Extracellular material: cuticlin" were enriched in N2-exclusive DEGs, whereas

genes corresponding to the GO terms "Stress response: C-type Lectin" and "Metabolism: lipid"

were overrepresented in DEGs exclusive to CB4856. Genes in the GO category "Stress response:

detoxification" were overrepresented in both isolates, even if the individual genes were not

necessarily the same. Genes that were differentially expressed in both isolates were enriched for

a single GO term, "Stress response: pathogen". A separate group of genes that was expressed

exclusively in CB4856 animals was also enriched for this GO term. Notably, we did not find

significant enrichment of the GO term "Stress response: pathogen" in DEGs exclusive to N2

animals. Thus, when only considering genes that are differentially expressed in an *S. epidermidis*-

specific manner, our results indicate a common enrichment of biological processes related to

stress response and innate immunity in N2 and CB4856 animals. At the same time, we also

observe an N2-specific enrichment of extracellular matrix genes and a CB4856-specific

enrichment of lipid metabolism genes, suggesting a degree of divergence in the transcriptomic

response of these isolates to *S. epidermidis* infection.

Comparing DEGs between N2 and CB4856 fed OP50 versus *S. epidermidis*

The genomes of N2 and CB4856 animals differ at approximately one polymorphism per kb

and gene expression between these two isolates, including the expression of innate immune

genes, is known to vary across similar developmental stages, even in the absence of infection.[37-

39] Since principal component analysis found that genotype is the greatest predictor of isolate-

specific differences in gene expression following *S. epidermidis* infection (Figure 3), we performed

an additional gene expression analysis to identify genes that were differentially expressed

between N2 and CB4856 animals fed either the non-pathogenic *E. coli* OP50 or the pathogenic *S.*

epidermidis.

Using N2 expression as a baseline, we found 3384 and 1892 genes to be differentially

expressed in CB4856 animals fed *E. coli* OP50 and *S. epidermidis*, respectively. Upregulated genes

outnumbered those that were downregulated at a ratio of 2:1, regardless of whether the worms

were fed OP50 or *S. epidermidis* (OP50 Upregulated, 2121/3384 = 63%; OP50 Downregulated,

1263/3384 =37%) (*S. epidermidis* Upregulated, 1245/1892 = 66%; *S. epidermidis* Downregulated,

647/1892 =34%) (Table S12, Table S13).

Next, we cross-referenced genes that were differentially expressed between N2 and CB4856

animals in the OP50 and *S. epidermidis* conditions and classified them into four categories based

on changes in gene expression, or lack thereof. Relative to N2, a total of 2280 genes were

differentially expressed in CB4856 animals fed OP50 but were no longer differentially expressed

when worms were fed *S. epidermidis*. This suggests that the final level of expression for these

gene was similar in N2 and CB4856 animals following infection. A total of 1072 genes were

differentially expressed between N2 and CB4856 animals fed OP50 and continued to be

differentially expressed in the same direction in worms fed *S. epidermidis*, suggesting that they

are likely uninvolved in the transcriptional response to the pathogen. A third group of genes, 788

in total, were exclusively differentially expressed between N2 and CB4856 animals fed *S.*

epidermidis. Finally, we identified 32 genes that were differentially expressed between N2 and

CB4856 animals fed either OP50 or *S. epidermidis* but in opposing directions, *i.e.*, up in CB4856

fed OP50 and down in CB4856 fed *S. epidermidis* (Table S14).

The isolate- and pathogen-specific expression pattern of genes from these latter two

categories prompted us to perform geneset enrichment analysis to identify biological processes

that may be differentially enriched in N2 and CB4856 animals following *S. epidermidis* infection.

The 788 genes that were exclusively differentially expressed in CB4856 animals fed *S. epidermidis*

were enriched for GO terms pertaining to immunity (Stress response: C-type lectin), cell signaling

and transduction (Signaling: phosphatase; Signaling: tyrosine kinase), reproduction (Major sperm

protein), posttranslational modification (Protein modification: glycoprotein), and cytoskeletal

movement (Cytoskeleton: microtubule). From the 32 genes that were differentially expressed in

opposing directions in CB4856 animals fed either OP50 or *S. epidermidis* geneset enrichment

analysis identified GO terms corresponding to immunity (Stress response: pathogen) and the

extracellular matrix (Extracellular material: collagen) (Table 5) (Table S15). Thus, by considering

differences in gene expression between N2 and CB4856 animals fed non-pathogenic *E. coli* OP50,

we have identified additional sets of genes that are enriched for GO terms pertaining to, among

other categories, stress response, innate immunity, and the extracellular matrix.

Finally, to better understand the transcriptional regulators that might be significantly

different upon infection by *S. epidermidis,* we looked for transcription factors that were

differentially expressed only upon infection. There were 22 protein coding genes (1 pseudogene),

that fit these criteria. Interestingly, 13 of the 22 were members of the nuclear hormone receptor

(*nhr*) family. There are 266 *nhr* genes in our list of 1077 transcription factors. The incidence of

nhr genes in our DEG list was significantly enriched (P = 0.007, Fisher's exact test, odds ratio 4.4).

Discussion

We conducted survival, behavioral and transcriptional assays using the *C. elegans* strains N2

and CB4856 to identify differences in pathogen susceptibility between two wild-type isolates.

CB4856 was significantly more susceptible than N2 to infection by the Gram-positive pathogen

S. epidermidis. CB4856 and N2 were equally susceptible to a related species, *S. aureus,* and two

non-*Staphylococcus* pathogens, *P. aeruginosa* and *P. rettgeri.* We confirmed the CB4856

susceptibility using a second isolate of *S. epidermidis*.

What might underlie the differences in susceptibility? Initially we hypothesized that, perhaps,

the CB4856 strain lacked the ability to detect *S. epidermidis* as pathogenic. However, CB4856

worms avoided *S. epidermidis,* when given *E. coli* as an alternative, suggesting they were averse

to the bacteria. Further, we found robust changes in gene expression in response to the *S.*

epidermis infection. Unexpectedly, we found that, despite *P. rettgeri* being equally pathogenic to

both isolates, CB4856 animals preferred *P. rettgeri* to *E. coli,* while N2 did not. This observation

was also highlighted by the fact that changes in gene expression were negatively correlated in

these two isolates in response to *P. rettgeri.*

Isolates exhibit distinct transcriptomic responses to infection

The pathogens used in this study are diverse both in terms of their genome size and known

virulence mechanisms.[40-43] Principal component analysis of the sequencing dataset found that

gene expression changes during infection clustered largely by genotype, rather than pathogen,

even in instances where susceptibility was equivalent. At a glance, this suggests that the

strategies these strains have adopted for dealing with microbial infection have diverged since

they were separated from one another.

When we looked for genes that were differentially expressed in response to infection, *i.e.,*

was identified in response to all pathogens, there were hundreds, compared to the lists for

individual pathogen (thousands). Further, we found only six DEGs in common between N2 and

CB4856, with those genes largely uncharacterized functionally. Overall, these results suggest that

N2 and CB4856 respond to infection by altering gene transcription, but the core infection

response represents only a small fraction of the total genes regulated, and that the core

transcriptional response in each background has diverged. It also suggests there are still a

number of genes involved in immunity that need to be characterized.

By categorizing the genes that were differentially expressed in response to all pathogens, we

found an overrepresentation of genes involved in lipid metabolism in both N2- and CB4856-

infected animals. Lipid metabolism has been shown to be required for proper innate immune

system activation and pathogen defense.[44] These genes could play similar roles in both isolates

and thus, while they may reflect a divergence in specific gene activation, they could represent a

similarity in how N2 and CB4856 animals utilize lipid metabolism enzymes to facilitate the innate

immune response.

Gram-stain level analysis suggests an absence of conserved master regulatory pathways

In many organisms*,* the Toll signaling pathway is activated by infection with Gram-positive

bacteria and/or fungi, while the IMD pathway is activated in response to Gram-negative bacteria.

Thus, we examined the results grouping pathogens by Gram-stain, and then compared between

isolates. Our results found that the majority of DEGs were strain-specific, consistent with a

divergence of the responses to pathogens, but that, generally, there was a stronger conservation

of responses to Gram-positive bacteria, compared to Gram-negative. Our results are consistent

with the evidence that Toll and IMD signaling is diminished or absent in *C. elegans* as master

regulators of immunity and suggests each isolate deals with Gram-negative and Gram-positive

pathogens differently.

We examined the list of DEGs for transcription factors that could be contributing to the

differential expression profiles in each of the isolates. There were hundreds of transcription

factors regulated by infection with Gram-positive pathogens, with 50 overlapping between the

N2 and CB4856 isolates. In contrast, for Gram-negative pathogens, N2 had only nine differentially

expressed transcription factors, while CB4856 had 97, with none in common.

One possible explanation for the large overlap in N2 and CB4856 transcriptomic responses to

Gram-positive bacteria is the identity of the microbial pathogens. *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis*

are both members of the *Staphylococcus* genus, share a number of virulence factors and invoke

overlapping host immune responses following infection.[41,45,46] Thus, the greater proportion of

overlapping DEGs in N2 and CB4856 animals infected with Gram-positive bacteria may reflect a

common response to *Staphylococcus* pathogens. Similar results for infection of N2 animals by

Enterococcus faecalis and *Enterococcus faecium* suggest that, within species of pathogens, there

are core transcriptional similarities.[47]

Individual pathogens induce different responses in *C. elegans* isolates

Since N2 and CB4856 worms exhibited similar susceptibility to infection by *P. aeruginosa*, *S.*

aureus or *P. rettgeri*, we posited that RNA sequencing would reveal considerable overlap in gene

expression between the two isolates. Indeed, after infecting N2 and CB4856 worms with either

P. aeruginosa or *S. aureus* we identified thousands of differentially expressed genes, a majority

of which were coordinately regulated in both isolates, supporting the idea that infection by either

of these pathogens invokes similar kinds of transcriptomic responses in N2 and CB4856 animals.

However, animals infected with *P. rettgeri* exhibited very little overlap in gene expression with

fewer than 20 genes differentially expressed in both isolates and expressed in the same direction.

It is possible that N2 and CB4856 worms have adopted two very different immune responses

to *P. rettgeri* infection, with neither providing a perceivable survival advantage. But why do we

not observe a similar lack of overlap in gene expression after infection with the Gram-negative

pathogen, *P. aeruginosa*, which also showed similar lethality in N2 and CB4856 animals? Analysis

of gene expression at regular intervals throughout an infection has demonstrated that the host

immune response is a dynamic process which needs to be initiated and sustained to fight an

infection.[48,49] At 24 hours post-infection, the timepoint at which RNA was collected for gene

expression analysis, animals infected with *P. aeruginosa* were much closer to dying than animals

infected with *P. rettgeri* (N2 *P. aeruginosa* LT_{50} = 3.8 ± 0.3 days, CB4856 *P. aeruginosa* LT_{50} = 3.5

± 0.3 days; N2 *P. rettgeri* LT_{50} = 7.8 ± 0.7 days, CB4856 *P. rettgeri* LT_{50} = 7.2 ± 0.6 days). Perhaps

animals exposed to *P. aeruginosa* or *P. rettgeri* are at two different stages in combatting their

respective infections and the overlap in gene expression between N2 and CB4856 animals grows

larger as animals progress from earlier to later stages of infection.

Changes in gene expression by infection with *S. epidermidis*

We found differences in survival in response to *S. epidermidis.* For each isolate we narrowed

down the list of differentially expressed genes to those that were expressed in a *S. epidermidis-*

specific manner and performed geneset enrichment analysis on sets of genes that were either

exclusive to N2, exclusive to CB4856, or expressed in both N2 and CB4856 animals. We observed

an enrichment of genes encoding extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins, such as collagens and

cuticlins, exclusively in N2 animals. Cuticular collagens are an integral component of the *C.*

elegans epidermal barrier and have established roles in the host epidermal immune response to

pathogens.[50-52] Further, ECM proteins are likely involved in promoting longevity as changes in

collagen gene expression have been used as *in vivo* biomarkers in *C. elegans* aging research.[53]

Together, our data reveal isolate-specific changes in ECM gene expression after *S. epidermidis*

exposure, suggesting a role for collagen genes in the increased resistance of N2 worms to *S.*

epidermidis infection. In DEGs exclusive to *S. epidermidis*-infected N2 animals as well as DEGs

exclusive to *S. epidermidis*-infected CB4856 animals, our analysis detected an enrichment of

genes encoding detoxification enzymes. These enzymes are primarily responsible for

metabolizing xenobiotic substances and have demonstrated roles in the *C. elegans* immune

response.[54,55] In both N2 and CB4856 animals, the DEGs were either members of the Cytochrome

P450 (CYP) family or possessed UDP glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) activity. It is possible that

these genes play similar roles in both isolates and thus may reflect a divergence in how N2 and

CB4856 animals utilize detoxification enzymes to counteract the microbial infection.

Finally, we took advantage of the ability to look for genes with expression differences

between N2 and CB4856 on control bacteria. This allowed us to sort differentially expressed

genes in the *S. epidermidis* condition into those that started out different, but ended up being

similar, and those that changed as a function of infection to end up at different levels of

expression. *A priori* we might consider the former group as being less likely to underlie the

differences in susceptibility. In those analyses, approximately 90% of the genes were upregulated

in response to infection.

When we examined the transcription factors that were differentially expressed, we found an

overrepresentation of nuclear hormone receptors. What is more, of the 13 *nhr* genes, seven were

upregulated, while six were downregulated during infection. Nuclear hormone receptors are

used in many contexts to systemically coordinate signaling. In *C. elegans* multiple *nhr* genes have

been linked to innate immunity, including *nhr-8, nhr-23, nhr-25, nhr-45, nhr-49, nhr-57, nhr-80,*

and *nhr-112.*[56-63] Thus, this is an interesting family of genes to potentially contribute to

differences in organismal response and/or susceptibility *in vivo.*

Conclusions

In populations, genetic and genomic diversity drive phenotypes. Immune signaling is no

exception, and yet, our understanding of *C. elegans* immunity has largely been driven by the

analysis of the canonical laboratory strain, N2. We found that the N2 strain and CB4856 wild

isolate were significantly different when we measured the susceptibility to *S. epidermidis.* It is

possible that the relative abundance of *S. epidermidis* or related pathogens in their respective

ecological niches resulted in the shift in immune capacity between the N2 and CB4856 lines.

Unfortunately, without sufficient metagenomic sampling of the environment, this would be

difficult to address. However, the robust differences observed suggests that isolating the animals

and transferring them to the laboratory environment has fixed genetic variation that underlies

pathogen-specific susceptibility.

Perhaps more surprisingly, we found significantly divergent transcriptomic responses

between these isolates, even when pathogen susceptibility was equivalent. These differences

could come from protein coding variants in the molecules that detect pathogens or the

transcription factors that coordinate immune defenses, non-coding variants in regulatory

regions, or, more likely, a combination of the two. By narrowing down the regions of the genome

that are important for the observed differences, we will be able to better understand how genetic

variation contributes to different immune outcomes.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Robert Unckless for his helpful advice in sequencing and identifying the *S. epidermidis* strain. We also thank Dr. Erik Lundquist and his laboratory for helpful discussions. PAL was supported by an IRACDA award from the NIH (K12GM63651) and BDA was partially supported by an award from the KU Center for Chemical Biology of Infectious Diseases (P20GM113117). The KU Genome Sequencing Core is partially supported by the KU Center for the Molecular Analysis of Disease Pathways (P20GM103638).

604 **Figures**

Figure 1: CB4856 is more susceptible to *S. epidermidis,* but not other bacterial pathogens, relative to N2.

(A-E) Survival of the *C. elegans* Hawaiian wild isolate, CB4856, versus N2 on nematode growth medium (NGM) with a lawn of *S. epidermidis* (A)*, S. aureus* (B), *P. rettgeri* (C), *P. aeruginosa* (D), or *E. coli* (E). We tested a second *S. epidermidis* strain (1191) to confirm the differences in genotypes (F). In each experiment, 30 worms were placed on the bacterial lawn and transferred every day while scored for survival. Survival curves represent 3-7 independent experiments. For each pathogen, statistical analysis by Mantel-Cox (CB486 vs N2). ***, *p*<0.001.

Figure 2: Pathogen avoidance of N2 and CB4856 isolates depends on the pathogen and time of observation.

(A) Two-choice pathogen avoidance assay. L4 worms were washed and then placed at the midline of test plates containing two bacterial lawns, OP50 or pathogen. At 1-hr and 24-hr timepoints the percentage of worms on each lawn was calculated. (B-E) Percentage of N2 and CB4856 isolates on a bacterial lawn when given a choice of *E. coli* OP50 or. *S. epidermidis* (B), *S. aureus* (C), *P. rettgeri* (D) or *P. aeruginosa* (E). In each experiment, 20 worms were placed on NGM plates and the number of worms on the bacterial lawn was recorded at regular intervals. Data represent 5 independent experiments. ***, $p<0.001$; NS, $p>0.05$.

Figure 3: Principal component analysis clusters samples based on genotype, rather than pathogen exposure.
(A-C) Principal component plots of expression data from the Hawaiian wild isolate, CB4856 (triangles) and N2 (diamonds) samples (A), only N2 samples (B), and only CB4856 samples (C).

Table 1: Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in N2 animals following 24-hour exposure to microbial pathogens.

Condition	[1]Total DEGs	Total Upregulated	Total Downregulated	[2]Total Genes Expressed
All Pathogens	273	236	37	23938
Gram Positive	3833	2964	869	22934
Gram Negative	628	254	374	21646
Staphylococcus epidermidis	2384	1754	630	21124
Staphylococcus aureus	8754	4901	3853	21433
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	5802	1804	3998	20304
Providencia rettgeri	1029	504	525	20295
Escherichia coli	-	-	-	19961

[1]Genes with a false discovery rate (FDR)-adjusted p-value of <0.05 and log2 fold change >1
[2]Total number of genes with >0 counts

Table 2: Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in CB4856 animals following 24-hour exposure to microbial pathogens.

Condition	[1]Total DEGs	Total Upregulated	Total Downregulated	[2]Total Genes Expressed
All Pathogens	117	96	21	24333
Gram Positive	2565	1962	603	23297
Gram Negative	1720	456	1264	21847
Staphylococcus epidermidis	1776	1296	480	21252
Staphylococcus aureus	7033	3597	3436	21675
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	5171	1460	3711	20963
Providencia rettgeri	2253	694	1559	19731
Escherichia coli	-	-	-	20288

[1]Genes with a false discovery rate (FDR)-adjusted p-value of <0.05 and log2 fold change >1
[2]Total number of genes with >0 counts

Figure 4: Expression differences in N2 and CB4856 animals after infection with pathogenic bacteria.

(A) Intersection of differentially expressed genes and (B) correlation between $\log_2$ fold changes in N2 and CB4856 animals infected with pathogenic bacteria. Spearman's rho value and P-values are presented in the upper left corner. Gray (Non-DE) and black dots (DE Genes) represent expressed and differentially expressed genes, respectively.

Table 3: Enriched Gene Ontology Terms after Infection with Pathogenic Bacteria

	Gene Ontology Term	Number of Genes	Percent[1]	FDR-adjusted p-value[2]
N2-only	Stress response: pathogen	13	7.3	9.4×10^{-7}
	Transmembrane transport: lipid	4	26.7	1.1×10^{-3}
	Metabolism: lipid	16	3.0	1.7×10^{-3}
CB4856-only	Metabolism: lipid	10	1.9	1.7×10^{-3}

[1]Percentage of genes annotated with the indicated GO term that are differentially expressed
[2]FDR-adjusted *p*-value is a measure of enrichment (Fisher exact test; Bonferroni correction) of the GO term among differentially expressed genes

Figure 5: Expression differences in N2 and CB4856 animals infected with Gram-positive or Gram-negative pathogens.

(A) Intersection of differentially expressed genes (top) and correlation between log$_2$ fold changes (bottom) in N2 and CB4856 animals infected with Gram-positive pathogens (*S. epidermidis, S. aureus*) or (B) Gram-negative pathogens (*P. rettgeri, P. aeruginosa*). Spearman's rho value and P-values are presented in the upper left corner. Gray (Non-DE) and black dots (DE Genes) represent expressed and differentially expressed genes, respectively. (C) The distribution of up- and down-regulated genes that are differentially expressed in both N2 and CB4856 animals infected with either Gram-negative or Gram-positive pathogens.

Figure 6: Expression differences in N2 and CB4856 animals infected with the gram-positive pathogens, *S. epidermidis* or *S. aureus*.

(A) Intersection of differentially expressed genes (top) and correlation between $\log_2$ fold changes (bottom) in N2 and CB4856 animals infected with either *S. epidermidis* or (B) *S. aureus*. Spearman's rho value and P-values are presented in the upper left corner. Gray (Non-DE) and black dots (DE Genes) represent expressed and differentially expressed genes, respectively. (C) The distribution of up- and down-regulated genes that are differentially expressed in both N2 and CB4856 animals infected with either *S. aureus* or *S. epidermidis*.

Figure 7: Expression differences in N2 and CB4856 animals infected with the gram-negative pathogens, *P. rettgeri* or *P. aeruginosa*.
(A) Intersection of differentially expressed genes (top) and correlation between $\log_2$ fold changes (bottom) in N2 and CB4856 animals infected with either *P. rettgeri* or (B) *P. aeruginosa*. Spearman's rho value and P-values are presented in the upper left corner. Gray (Non-DE) and black dots (DE Genes) represent expressed and differentially expressed genes, respectively. (C) The distribution of up- and down-regulated genes that are differentially expressed in both N2 and CB4856 animals infected with either *P. rettgeri* or *P. aeruginosa*.

Table 4: Enriched Gene Ontology Terms in N2 and CB4856 Worms Exposed to *S. epidermidis*

	Gene Ontology Term	Number of Genes	Percent[1]	FDR-adjusted p-value[2]
N2-only	Extracellular material: collagen	55	29.7	4.5×10^{-44}
	Extracellular material: cuticlin	7	20.0	1.5×10^{-4}
	Stress response: detoxification	13	8.6	2.8×10^{-4}
CB485-only	Stress response: pathogen	22	12.4	4.6×10^{-8}
	Stress Response: C-type Lectin	26	10.1	7.8×10^{-8}
	Stress response: detoxification	20	13.2	1.0×10^{-7}
	Metabolism: lipid	30	5.7	5.2×10^{-4}
N2 & CB4856	Stress response: pathogen	7	4.0	1.4×10^{-5}

[1]Percentage of genes annotated with the indicated GO term that are differentially expressed
[2]FDR-adjusted *p*-value is a measure of enrichment (Fisher exact test; Bonferroni Correction) of the GO term among differentially expressed genes

Table 5: Enriched Gene Ontology Terms when Comparing N2 and CB4856 Worms fed OP50 versus *S. epidermidis*

	Gene Ontology Term	Number of Genes	Percent[1]	FDR-adjusted p-value[2]
Genes expressed exclusively in worms fed *S. epidermidis*	Signaling: phosphatase	33	16.8	7.3×10^{-14}
	Cytoskeleton: microtubule	24	18.8	6.3×10^{-11}
	Major sperm protein	12	38.7	3.3×10^{-8}
	Signaling: tyrosine kinase	12	16.0	1.4×10^{-4}
	Protein modification: carbohydrate	15	11.8	2.4×10^{-4}
	Stress response: C-type Lectin	20	7.8	2.1×10^{-3}
Genes expressed in opposing directions in worms fed *E. coli* OP50 or *S. epidermidis*	Extracellular material: collagen	7	3.8	4.5×10^{-8}
	Stress response: pathogen	4	2.3	6.8×10^{-4}

[1]Percentage of genes annotated with the indicated GO term that are differentially expressed
[2]FDR-adjusted *p*-value is a measure of enrichment (Fisher exact test; Bonferroni Correction) of the GO term among differentially expressed genes

Literature Cited

1   Hancock, R. E., Nijnik, A. & Philpott, D. J. Modulating immunity as a therapy for bacterial
infections. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **10**, 243-254, doi:10.1038/nrmicro2745 (2012).
2   Mahlapuu, M., Hakansson, J., Ringstad, L. & Bjorn, C. Antimicrobial Peptides: An
Emerging Category of Therapeutic Agents. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* **6**, 194,
doi:10.3389/fcimb.2016.00194 (2016).
3   Schroder, N. W. & Schumann, R. R. Single nucleotide polymorphisms of Toll-like
receptors and susceptibility to infectious disease. *Lancet Infect Dis* **5**, 156-164,
doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(05)01308-3 (2005).
4   Hayashi, F. *et al.* The innate immune response to bacterial flagellin is mediated by Toll-
like receptor 5. *Nature* **410**, 1099-1103, doi:10.1038/35074106 (2001).
5   Dunstan, S. J. *et al.* Host susceptibility and clinical outcomes in toll-like receptor 5-
deficient patients with typhoid fever in Vietnam. *J Infect Dis* **191**, 1068-1071,
doi:10.1086/428593 (2005).
6   Hawn, T. R. *et al.* A common dominant TLR5 stop codon polymorphism abolishes
flagellin signaling and is associated with susceptibility to legionnaires' disease. *J Exp Med*
198, 1563-1572, doi:10.1084/jem.20031220 (2003).
7   Leist, S. R. & Baric, R. S. Giving the Genes a Shuffle: Using Natural Variation to
Understand Host Genetic Contributions to Viral Infections. *Trends Genet* **34**, 777-789,
doi:10.1016/j.tig.2018.07.005 (2018).
8   Balla, K. M. & Troemel, E. R. Caenorhabditis elegans as a model for intracellular
pathogen infection. *Cell Microbiol* **15**, 1313-1322, doi:10.1111/cmi.12152 (2013).
9   Marsh, E. K. & May, R. C. Caenorhabditis elegans, a model organism for investigating
immunity. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **78**, 2075-2081, doi:10.1128/AEM.07486-11 (2012).
10   van der Hoeven, R., McCallum, K. C. & Garsin, D. A. Speculations on the activation of
ROS generation in C. elegans innate immune signaling. *Worm* **1**, 160-163,
doi:10.4161/worm.19767 (2012).
11   Chavez, V., Mohri-Shiomi, A., Maadani, A., Vega, L. A. & Garsin, D. A. Oxidative stress
enzymes are required for DAF-16-mediated immunity due to generation of reactive
oxygen species by Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics* **176**, 1567-1577,
doi:10.1534/genetics.107.072587 (2007).
12   Dierking, K., Yang, W. & Schulenburg, H. Antimicrobial effectors in the nematode
Caenorhabditis elegans: an outgroup to the Arthropoda. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol*
Sci **371**, doi:10.1098/rstb.2015.0299 (2016).
13   Schulenburg, H., Hoeppner, M. P., Weiner, J., 3rd & Bornberg-Bauer, E. Specificity of the
innate immune system and diversity of C-type lectin domain (CTLD) proteins in the
nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. *Immunobiology* **213**, 237-250,
doi:10.1016/j.imbio.2007.12.004 (2008).
14   Shivers, R. P. *et al.* Phosphorylation of the conserved transcription factor ATF-7 by PMK-
1 p38 MAPK regulates innate immunity in Caenorhabditis elegans. *PLoS Genet* **6**,
e1000892, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000892 (2010).

15   Felix, M. A. *et al.* Natural and experimental infection of Caenorhabditis nematodes by
novel viruses related to nodaviruses. *PLoS Biol* **9**, e1000586,
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000586 (2011).
16   Berg, M. *et al.* Assembly of the Caenorhabditis elegans gut microbiota from diverse soil
microbial environments. *ISME J* **10**, 1998-2009, doi:10.1038/ismej.2015.253 (2016).
17   Samuel, B. S., Rowedder, H., Braendle, C., Felix, M. A. & Ruvkun, G. Caenorhabditis
elegans responses to bacteria from its natural habitats. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**,
E3941-3949, doi:10.1073/pnas.1607183113 (2016).
18   de Bono, M. & Bargmann, C. I. Natural variation in a neuropeptide Y receptor homolog
modifies social behavior and food response in C. elegans. *Cell* **94**, 679-689,
doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81609-8 (1998).
19   Chang, H. C., Paek, J. & Kim, D. H. Natural polymorphisms in C. elegans HECW-1 E3 ligase
affect pathogen avoidance behaviour. *Nature* **480**, 525-529, doi:10.1038/nature10643
(2011).
20   Schulenburg, H. & Muller, S. Natural variation in the response of Caenorhabditis elegans
towards Bacillus thuringiensis. *Parasitology* **128**, 433-443,
doi:10.1017/s003118200300461x (2004).
21   Reddy, K. C., Andersen, E. C., Kruglyak, L. & Kim, D. H. A polymorphism in npr-1 is a
behavioral determinant of pathogen susceptibility in C. elegans. *Science* **323**, 382-384,
doi:10.1126/science.1166527 (2009).
22   Balla, K. M., Andersen, E. C., Kruglyak, L. & Troemel, E. R. A wild C. elegans strain has
enhanced epithelial immunity to a natural microsporidian parasite. *PLoS Pathog* **11**,
e1004583, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004583 (2015).
23   Martin, N., Singh, J. & Aballay, A. Natural Genetic Variation in the Caenorhabditis
elegans Response to Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *G3 (Bethesda)* **7**, 1137-1147,
doi:10.1534/g3.117.039057 (2017).
24   Brenner, S. The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics* **77**, 71-94 (1974).
25   Galac, M. R. & Lazzaro, B. P. Comparative genomics of bacteria in the genus Providencia
isolated from wild Drosophila melanogaster. *BMC Genomics* **13**, 612, doi:10.1186/1471-
2164-13-612 (2012).
26   Rahme, L. G. *et al.* Common virulence factors for bacterial pathogenicity in plants and
animals. *Science* **268**, 1899-1902, doi:10.1126/science.7604262 (1995).
27   Duthie, E. S. & Lorenz, L. L. Staphylococcal coagulase; mode of action and antigenicity. *J
Gen Microbiol* **6**, 95-107, doi:10.1099/00221287-6-1-2-95 (1952).
28   FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. (2010).
29   Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y. & Gu, J. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.
Bioinformatics **34**, i884-i890, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560 (2018).
30   Kim, D., Paggi, J. M., Park, C., Bennett, C. & Salzberg, S. L. Graph-based genome
alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nat Biotechnol* **37**, 907-
915, doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0201-4 (2019).

31   Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. & Shi, W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for
689   assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 923-930,
690   doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656 (2014).

691   32   Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion
692   for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, 550, doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8
693   (2014).

694   33   Holdorf, A. D. *et al.* WormCat: An Online Tool for Annotation and Visualization of
695   Caenorhabditis elegans Genome-Scale Data. *Genetics* **214**, 279-294,
696   doi:10.1534/genetics.119.302919 (2020).

697   34   Glater, E. E., Rockman, M. V. & Bargmann, C. I. Multigenic natural variation underlies
698   Caenorhabditis elegans olfactory preference for the bacterial pathogen Serratia
699   marcescens. *G3 (Bethesda)* **4**, 265-276, doi:10.1534/g3.113.008649 (2014).

700   35   Luhachack, L. G. *et al.* EGL-9 controls C. elegans host defense specificity through prolyl
701   hydroxylation-dependent and -independent HIF-1 pathways. *PLoS Pathog* **8**, e1002798,
702   doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002798 (2012).

703   36   Cui, Y., McBride, S. J., Boyd, W. A., Alper, S. & Freedman, J. H. Toxicogenomic analysis of
704   Caenorhabditis elegans reveals novel genes and pathways involved in the resistance to
705   cadmium toxicity. *Genome Biol* **8**, R122, doi:10.1186/gb-2007-8-6-r122 (2007).

706   37   Wicks, S. R., Yeh, R. T., Gish, W. R., Waterston, R. H. & Plasterk, R. H. Rapid gene
707   mapping in Caenorhabditis elegans using a high density polymorphism map. *Nat Genet*
708   **28**, 160-164, doi:10.1038/88878 (2001).

709   38   Swan, K. A. *et al.* High-throughput gene mapping in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genome Res*
710   **12**, 1100-1105, doi:10.1101/gr.208902 (2002).

711   39   Capra, E. J., Skrovanek, S. M. & Kruglyak, L. Comparative developmental expression
712   profiling of two C. elegans isolates. *PLoS One* **3**, e4055,
713   doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004055 (2008).

714   40   Olaitan, A. O., Diene, S. M., Assous, M. V. & Rolain, J. M. Genomic Plasticity of
715   Multidrug-Resistant NDM-1 Positive Clinical Isolate of Providencia rettgeri. *Genome Biol*
716   *Evol* **8**, 723-728, doi:10.1093/gbe/evv195 (2016).

717   41   Sabate Bresco, M. *et al.* Pathogenic Mechanisms and Host Interactions in
718   Staphylococcus epidermidis Device-Related Infection. *Front Microbiol* **8**, 1401,
719   doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.01401 (2017).

720   42   Manukumar, H. M. & Umesha, S. MALDI-TOF-MS based identification and molecular
721   characterization of food associated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. *Sci Rep*
722   **7**, 11414, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-11597-z (2017).

723   43   Newman, J. W., Floyd, R. V. & Fothergill, J. L. The contribution of Pseudomonas
724   aeruginosa virulence factors and host factors in the establishment of urinary tract
725   infections. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **364**, doi:10.1093/femsle/fnx124 (2017).

726   44   Anderson, S. M. & Pukkila-Worley, R. Immunometabolism in Caenorhabditis elegans.
727   *PLoS Pathog* **16**, e1008897, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1008897 (2020).

728   45   Gill, S. R. *et al.* Insights on evolution of virulence and resistance from the complete
729   genome analysis of an early methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus strain and a

biofilm-producing methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus epidermidis strain. *J Bacteriol*
187, 2426-2438, doi:10.1128/JB.187.7.2426-2438.2005 (2005).

46   Le, K. Y., Park, M. D. & Otto, M. Immune Evasion Mechanisms of Staphylococcus
epidermidis Biofilm Infection. *Front Microbiol* **9**, 359, doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.00359
(2018).

47   Yuen, G. J. & Ausubel, F. M. Both live and dead Enterococci activate Caenorhabditis
elegans host defense via immune and stress pathways. *Virulence* **9**, 683-699,
doi:10.1080/21505594.2018.1438025 (2018).

48   Guan, Y. *et al.* Whole-genome and time-course dual RNA-Seq analyses reveal chronic
pathogenicity-related gene dynamics in the ginseng rusty root rot pathogen Ilyonectria
robusta. *Sci Rep* **10**, 1586, doi:10.1038/s41598-020-58342-7 (2020).

49   Schlamp, F. *et al.* Dense time-course gene expression profiling of the Drosophila
melanogaster innate immune response. *BMC Genomics* **22**, 304, doi:10.1186/s12864-
021-07593-3 (2021).

50   Mesbahi, H., Pho, K. B., Tench, A. J., Leon Guerrero, V. L. & MacNeil, L. T. Cuticle
Collagen Expression Is Regulated in Response to Environmental Stimuli by the GATA
Transcription Factor ELT-3 in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics* **215**, 483-495,
doi:10.1534/genetics.120.303125 (2020).

51   Sandhu, A., Badal, D., Sheokand, R., Tyagi, S. & Singh, V. Specific collagens maintain the
cuticle permeability barrier in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics* **217**,
doi:10.1093/genetics/iyaa047 (2021).

52   Taffoni, C. & Pujol, N. Mechanisms of innate immunity in C. elegans epidermis. *Tissue*
Barriers **3**, e1078432, doi:10.1080/21688370.2015.1078432 (2015).

53   Statzer, C. *et al.* Youthful and age-related matreotypes predict drugs promoting
longevity. *Aging Cell*, e13441, doi:10.1111/acel.13441 (2021).

54   Pukkila-Worley, R. Surveillance Immunity: An Emerging Paradigm of Innate Defense
Activation in Caenorhabditis elegans. *PLoS Pathog* **12**, e1005795,
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005795 (2016).

55   Simonsen, K. T., Gallego, S. F., Faergeman, N. J. & Kallipolitis, B. H. Strength in numbers:
"Omics" studies of C. elegans innate immunity. *Virulence* **3**, 477-484,
doi:10.4161/viru.21906 (2012).

56   Otarigho, B. & Aballay, A. Cholesterol Regulates Innate Immunity via Nuclear Hormone
Receptor NHR-8. *iScience* **23**, 101068, doi:10.1016/j.isci.2020.101068 (2020).

57   Sahu, S. N. *et al.* Genomic analysis of immune response against Vibrio cholerae
hemolysin in Caenorhabditis elegans. *PLoS One* **7**, e38200,
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0038200 (2012).

58   Ward, J. D. *et al.* Defects in the C. elegans acyl-CoA synthase, acs-3, and nuclear
hormone receptor, nhr-25, cause sensitivity to distinct, but overlapping stresses. *PLoS*
One **9**, e92552, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0092552 (2014).

59   Mao, K. *et al.* Mitochondrial Dysfunction in C. elegans Activates Mitochondrial
Relocalization and Nuclear Hormone Receptor-Dependent Detoxification Genes. *Cell*
Metab **29**, 1182-1191 e1184, doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2019.01.022 (2019).

60   Sim, S. & Hibberd, M. L. Caenorhabditis elegans susceptibility to gut Enterococcus
faecalis infection is associated with fat metabolism and epithelial junction integrity. *BMC*
Microbiol **16**, 6, doi:10.1186/s12866-016-0624-8 (2016).
61   Bellier, A., Chen, C. S., Kao, C. Y., Cinar, H. N. & Aroian, R. V. Hypoxia and the hypoxic
response pathway protect against pore-forming toxins in C. elegans. *PLoS Pathog* **5**,
e1000689, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000689 (2009).
62   Zugasti, O. *et al.* A quantitative genome-wide RNAi screen in C. elegans for antifungal
innate immunity genes. *BMC Biol* **14**, 35, doi:10.1186/s12915-016-0256-3 (2016).
63   Shapira, M. *et al.* A conserved role for a GATA transcription factor in regulating epithelial
innate immune responses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**, 14086-14091,
doi:10.1073/pnas.0603424103 (2006).
783