Convergent energy state-dependent antagonistic signalling by CART and NPY

modulates the plasticity of forebrain neurons to regulate feeding in zebrafish

Devika S. Bodas[1,†], Aditi Maduskar[1,†], Tarun Kaniganti[1], Debia Wakhloo[1],

Akilandeswari Balasubramanian[1], Nishikant Subhedar[1] and Aurnab Ghose[1,*]

Running title: CART-NPY antagonism in feeding circuit plasticity

[1] Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER) Pune, Dr Homi Bhabha

Road, Pune 411008, INDIA

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work

[*] Correspondence: Dr. Aurnab Ghose, Indian Institute of Science Education and

Research (IISER) Pune, Dr Homi Bhaba Road, Pune 411008, INDIA.

Email: aurnab@iiserpune.ac.in

ABSTRACT

Dynamic re-configuration of circuit function subserves the flexibility of innate

behaviours tuned to physiological states. Internal energy stores adaptively regulate

feeding-associated behaviours by integrating opposing hunger and satiety signals at

the level of neural circuits. Across vertebrate lineages, the neuropeptides CART and

NPY have potent anorexic and orexic functions, respectively, and show energy state-

dependent expression in interoceptive neurons. However, how the antagonistic

activities of these peptides modulate circuit plasticity remains unclear.

Using behavioural, neuroanatomical and activity analysis in adult zebrafish, along

with pharmacological interventions, we show that CART and NPY activities converge

on a population of neurons in the dorsomedial telencephalon (Dm). While CART

facilitates glutamatergic neurotransmission at the Dm, NPY dampens the response

to glutamate. In energy-rich states, CART enhances NMDA receptor (NMDAR)

function by PKA/PKC mediated phosphorylation of the NR1 subunit of the NMDAR

complex. Conversely, starvation triggers NPY-mediated reduction in phosphorylated

NR1 via calcineurin activation and inhibition of cAMP production leading to reduced

responsiveness to glutamate.

Our data identify convergent integration of CART and NPY inputs by the Dm neurons

to generate nutritional state-dependent circuit plasticity that is correlated with the

behavioural switch induced by the opposing actions of satiety and hunger signals.

Keywords: Neuropeptide signalling; CART; NPY; feeding behaviour; behavioural

flexibility; circuit plasticity, NMDA-R

INTRODUCTION

Adaptive regulation of feeding in response to internal energy needs is critical for survival. Internal sensory systems, located in the periphery and in the central nervous system, monitor energy homeostasis and signal satiety or hunger to the feeding-associated neural circuits. Hunger stimulates feeding circuits to generate motivational states that prioritise feeding and related activities over other behaviours. Conversely, satiety signals suppress the 'feeding drive' facilitating the pursuit of non-feeding-related behaviours. The flexibility of the feeding behaviour – exploiting or abandoning food resources – requires dynamic re-configuring of the activity states of the underlying circuits and the de-regulation of these processes forms the basis of eating disorders.

Neuropeptides, acting as hormones or local diffusive modulators convey nutritional state information to the nervous system (van den Pol, 2012). Neuropeptide-based neuromodulation of circuit function, via changes in neuron intrinsic properties or synaptic efficacy, may allow the same circuit to produce multiple outputs and behavioural outcomes (Nadim and Bucher, 2014). Neuropeptides typically engage G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) which, via intracellular signalling, may change circuit properties and extend acute signals of internal needs into long-lasting state changes mediated by biochemical hysteresis. For example, in the rodent arcuate nucleus (Arc), orexigenic neuropeptide ghrelin initiates an AMPK-mediated positive-feedback to potentiate glutamate release for extended periods (Yang et al., 2011). Consequently, several studies have implicated neuropeptides in mediating transitions between distinct behavioural states (Root et al., 2011; Elbaz et al., 2012; Flavell et al., 2013; Betley and Sternson, 2015).

Cocaine- and amphetamine-regulated transcript (CART) and Neuropeptide Y (NPY) are abundantly expressed neuropeptides conserved across vertebrate lineages. Both the neuropeptides have been implicated in a diverse range of physiological functions, including energy homoeostasis and food intake (Lau and Herzog, 2014; Subhedar et al., 2014; Singh et al., 2021)

CART is a potent anorexigenic agent and considered to be an endogenous satiety factor (Kristensen et al., 1998), especially in the framework of the Arc in the hypothalamus (Singh et al., 2021). Expression of CART increases in response to feeding in the Arc (Kristensen et al., 1998) and recent chemogenetic studies underscore the requirement of CART peptide in Arc neurons to inhibit food intake (Farzi et al., 2018). In contrast, hypothalamic NPY is orexigenic, and promotes food intake and energy conservation (Zhang et al., 2019). Starvation increases NPY expression in the Arc (Sainsbury and Zhang, 2010) and NPY-signalling from AgRP-expressing Arc neurons is critical for the sustained maintenance of the feeding drive (Chen et al., 2016).

Within the Arc, the coordinated co-regulation of the AgRP/NPY and the POMC/CART containing neurons in response to signals indicating metabolic states is well documented (Dietrich and Horvath, 2013; Andermann and Lowell, 2017). The physiologically opposing activities of these two populations and their effect on "second -order" neurons that drive satiety is a major regulator of the feeding behaviour (Garfield et al., 2015; Andermann and Lowell, 2017). However, the intracellular signalling engaged by these neuropeptides in the "second-order" neurons to re-configure the circuit properties and drive homoeostatic plasticity remains inadequately defined.

In zebrafish, NPY and CART are expressed in several brain areas, including the

periventricular hypothalamus (homologous to mammalian Arc; Forlano and Cone,

2007) and the entopenduncular nucleus (EN) (Mathieu et al., 2002; Mukherjee et al.,

2012; Yokobori et al., 2012; Akash et al., 2014). Starvation decreases CART mRNA

expression in the periventricular hypothalamus and the EN (Nishio et al., 2012;

Akash et al., 2014) while increasing NPY expression (Yokobori et al., 2012).

Treatment with glucose also increases CART protein expression in the EN

(Mukherjee et al., 2012). The zebrafish periventricular hypothalamus and the EN

appear to be nutritional state sensitive interoceptive areas regulating energy

homeostasis, in part via CART/NPY. Accordingly, treatment with NPY increases

feeding in zebrafish and its action is mediated by the NPY Y1 receptor (Yokobori et

al., 2012).

Despite the prominent involvement of CART and NPY in vertebrate energy

homeostasis, it remains unclear how their activities re-configure the downstream,

"second-order" circuits to meet behavioural demands. In this study, using zebrafish,

we show that CART and NPY shape the feeding drive to match the prevailing energy

states. The opposing energy state information represented by the two neuropeptides

are integrated by a group of forebrain neurons by transforming the antagonistic

signalling into dynamic changes in glutamatergic neurotransmission.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Adult, wild-type zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) of both sexes were housed in stand-alone housing systems and maintained on 14hL/10hD cycle. Fish were fed Ziegler feed and live artemia 3 times a day. For calcium activity imaging experiments, adult Tg(NeuroD:GcAMP6f) in the *nacre* background were used. All behavioural assays and activity imaging experiments were performed between 10 am and 5 pm. No randomization methods were used to allocate animals to different experimental groups. The Institutional Animal Ethics Committee approved all the procedures employed in this study. This study was not pre-registered.

Chemicals

The following reagents were administered to the zebrafish brain via intracerebroventricular (icv) delivery. The concentrations indicated reflect the amount delivered by the icv route. Rat CART peptide (55-102) (2 pmol in 1x PBS, Phoenix, Cat. No. 003-62), full length human NPY peptide (10 pmol in 1x PBS, Sigma-Aldrich, Cat. No. N5017), anti-CART antibody (1:500; gift from Drs. Lars Thim and Jes Clausen, Novo Nordisk, Denmark); D -(+)- glucose monohydrate (40 nmol in 1x PBS, glucose; Sigma-Aldrich, Cat. No. 49161), BIBP-3226 (100 pmol in 0.1% DMSO, Sigma-Aldrich, Cat. No. B174), MK801 (0.015 nmol in 1x PBS, Sigma-Aldrich, Cat. No. 77086), AP5 (0.1 nmol in 1x PBS, Tocris, Cat. No. 0106), KT5720 (1.4 pmol in 1x PBS, PKAi; Sigma-Aldrich, Cat. No. K3761), GF109206X (1.5 pmol in 1x PBS, PKCi; Tocris, Cat. No. 0741), FK506 (0.13 nmol in 1x PBS, Sigma-Aldrich, Cat. No. F4679), Forskolin (1.2 nmol in 0.1 % ethanol, Sigma-Aldrich, Cat. No. F6886). The following reagents were used for procedures not requiring icv administration. 2-

Phenoxy Ethanol (1:2000 in system water, Sigma-Aldrich, Cat. No. P1126), D -(+)-

glucose monohydrate (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat. No. 49161), sucrose (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat.

No. S9703), paraformaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat. No. 158127), poly-L- lysine

(Sigma-Aldrich, Cat. No. P8920), normal goat serum (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat. No. 9023),

bovine serum albumin (BSA) (SRL Pvt. Ltd., Cat. No. 83803), 1, 1', dioctadecyl-

3,3,3', 3'- tetramethylindo-carbocyanineperchlorate (DiI; Invitrogen Cat. No. D3911).

Intracerebroventricular (icv) injections

Fish were anaesthetised in 2-phenoxy ethanol (1:2000). The anaesthetised fish were

placed on a cotton bed containing anaesthesia solution to submerge its head. Icv

delivery protocol was modified after Yokogawa et al., 2007. Briefly, pharmacological

agent or vehicle was delivered directly into the ventricular space using an 31G

needle (Becton Dickinson Insulin Syringe U40 - 31G) attached via a catheter to a 10

µl Hamilton microsyringe. After injection, the fish were returned to their tanks and

allowed to recover before proceeding for either behavioural recordings or

immunohistochemistry. Unless otherwise indicated, 30 mins following injection, the

animals were subjected to behavioural task or sacrificed and the tissues processed

for immunofluorescence studies.

Immunofluorescence

Fish were subjected to icv injection with drug or vehicle and allowed to recover for 30

mins. After recovery, fish were anesthetised and craniotomised to expose the dorsal

surface of the brain. Samples were fixed in 4% PFA overnight at 4 °C. After fixation

for 12-14hrs (10 hrs for pNR1 staining), brain was dissected and cryoprotected with

25% sucrose prior to sectioning. Serial 15-20 µm thick sections of the entire

telencephalon were mounted onto lysine coated slides and stored at -40 °C till

further processing. Sections were allowed to dry for 2 hrs and washed with 0.5%

Triton X-100 in 1x PBS (PBST) three times for 10 min each). PBS of the following

composition was used: NaCl, 137 mM; KCL, 2.7 mM; Na_2HPO_4, 10 mM; KH_2PO_4 ,1.8

mM; pH-7.2. Sections were then blocked using 5% BSA in PBST for 1 hr prior to the

addition of primary antibodies and incubated overnight at 4°C. Next day, the washes

were repeated and sections blocked in 5% BSA/ 5% HIGS for 1 hr. The following

primary antibodies were used in this study: anti-p-ERK (1:700, Cell Signalling

technologies, Cat. No. 9101), anti- p-ERK (1:500, Abcam, Cat. No. ab50011), anti-

pNR1(Ser-897) (1:70, Millipore, Cat. No. ABN99), anti-Synaptophysin (1:100,

Invitrogen, Cat. No. PA1-1043).

Secondary antibodies were added and incubated at room temperature (RT) for 2.5

hrs in dark. The following secondary antibodies were used: Anti-rabbit Alexa Flour

488/568 (1:500, Invitrogen, Cat. No. A-11034/ A-11036) and anti-mouse Alexa Flour

488/568 (1:500, Invitrogen, Cat. No. A-11029/A-11031). Sections were washed and

mounted in media (0.5% N propyl gallate, 70% glycerol ,1M tris pH8.0) containing

4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Invitrogen, Cat. No. D1306). Sections were

observed under Axioimager Z1 (Zeiss) epifluorescence microscope and

representative images were acquired using confocal microscope (SP8, Leica or LSM

780, Zeiss). In order to ensure reliable comparisons across different groups and

maintain stringency in tissue preparation and staining conditions, all the brain

sections were processed concurrently under identical conditions.

Feeding Behaviour

Fish were isolated from home tanks and housed singly in the experimental tank prior

to behavioural analysis. Fig. S1 outlines the protocol followed for all feeding

behaviour experiments. Briefly, for first two days of habituation, the experimental

tanks were moved to the recording chamber and the fish were fed floating, insoluble

food pellets (15 ± 5 pellets; Taiyo) for 1 hour before returning to the housing rack.

For habituation to injection and handling stress, the fish were anesthetised and

delivered mock icv injection (saline, 0.9% NaCl) on day 4 and day 5. Fish were

allowed to recover in recording chamber for 1 hour, before returning the tanks to the

housing racks. Depending on the experiment, the fish were either starved or given

pellet food for 1 hr on these days. Fish were observed on all days of the protocol for

any anxious/abnormal behaviour. Fish exhibiting such behaviours were not included

for further analysis. On the day of the experiment, fish were anaesthetized and icv

injected with appropriate reagents or vehicle. Following a recovery period of 15 mins,

floating pellets of food (~15 ± 5) were added to the tank and the behaviour of the fish

recorded individually using a video recorder (Sony Handycam DCR SR-47 and DCR

SR-20) for an hour. All recordings were conducted under conditions of uniform

lighting and temperature. In all experiments, animals deprived of food for 2.5 days

are referred to as 'starved' and those that received food as per regular feeding

schedule, and used for experiments within one hour of feeding, are referred to as

'fed'. The recorded videos were not blinded and the number of biting attempts was

counted manually. The data was plotted as the cumulative number of biting attempts

displayed during 60 mins.

Calcium imaging

Starved, adult Tg(NeuroD:GcAMP6f) fish were injected with either vehicle or drugs.

After 15 min, the fish were anesthetized in ice cold HEPES-based Ringer's solution

[NaCl, 134 mM; $MgCl_2$, 1.2 mM; $CaCl_2$, 2.1 mM; KCl, 2.9 mM; HEPES, 10 mM;

Sucrose, 10 mM (for glucose-deprivation) or Glucose, 10 mM (to mimic satiety); pH

7.2] bubbled with 100% O_2 and craniotomised to dissect the telencephalon. The

optic nerves were cut off and the telencephalon was dissected out by cutting just

rostral to habenula. The telencephalon was carefully lifted from the base while

removing the ventral connections and immediately transferred to Ringer's solution

supplemented with 100% O_2.

For *ex vivo* whole-brain preparations, starved fish were anesthetised in ice-cold

HEPES-based Ringer's solution. First the optic nerves and connections on the

ventral side were severed and the whole brain was dissected out by separating it

from spinal cord.

The intact telencephalon or the whole brain was mounted in 4% low-melt agarose (in

50% Ringer's) on to a RC-26 GLP chamber from Warner Instruments (Cat. No.

640236). The imaging chamber was mounted onto an upright confocal microscope

(Leica TCS SP8 MP) and continuously perfused with HEPES-based Ringer's

solution via am VC 8 perfusion system (Warner Instruments, Cat. No.640186)

directly triggered by the recording software (Leica). Images were acquired at 9.8 Hz

at a resolution of 512 x 300 pixels using a 488 nm laser in the resonant, bi-directional

scanning mode and a 25x water-immersion objective (N.A 0.95). Baseline imaging

was performed for 1 min before the start of the glutamate stimulation. Glutamate (75

μM, in Ringer's buffer) was perfused from the 2nd to 5th mins and subsequently

washed out.

Data Analysis

Immunofluorescence: The tissue slices were observed under Axioimager Z1 (Zeiss,

20x objective 0.8 NA) with the imaging conditions kept constant across all treatment

groups. The number of p-ERK positive cells in Dm were scored manually. To avoid

over estimation of cell count due to sectioning, the cell numbers were corrected

using Abercrombie's method (Abercrombie, 1946).

Average pNR1 intensity per cell in Dm was calculated using IMAGE J. The exposure

time and other imaging conditions were kept constant for every sample processed.

Each neuron in Dm was selected using manual thresholding on the DAPI filter, and

the mean intensity of pNR1 per neuron was calculated using IMAGEJ in-built

algorithms. Images were acquired using a 40x objective (1.3 NA) on a SP8 confocal

microscope (Leica).

Calcium imaging: Measurements of population level calcium activity in Dm were

performed using ImageJ and the average GCaMP6f fluorescence in the Dm at each

time point was calculated. For this, we manually marked an ROI encompassing the

Dm using the wand tool in ImageJ on maximum intensity projection of all the frames.

This ROI was then applied to the time lapse series and the baseline fluorescence

(F0) estimated by taking the average of fluorescence values of 620 frames before

the start of glutamate treatment. The relative change in fluorescence (ΔF/F0), was

calculated using the formula F-F0/F0, where F stands for the fluorescence value at a

given time. The maximum response amplitude, i.e., maximum ΔF/F0 was determined

from the temporal sequence using Microsoft Excel. The total magnitude of the

response was calculated by computing the area-under-the-curve (AUC), from start of

glutamate treatment to end of the washout period, in the GraphPad Prism ver 8

software.

Feeding assay: Videos were analysed manually at 1.5x speed and the number of

biting attempts were scored. The data were distributed in bins of 15 mins and

cumulative biting attempts were plotted using GraphPad Prism ver 8 software.

Statistical Analysis

Data were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test in GraphPad Prism 8. Behaviour and immunohistochemical data were subjected to the t-test with Welch's correction or the Mann-Whitney test (for single comparison) and One-Way ANOVA with the Tukey's test or Two-way ANOVA, with Bonferroni's post-hoc analysis (for multiple comparisons). All values are expressed as mean ± SEM of the group in the Results section and differences were considered significant at $p<0.05$. Graphs were plotted using the GraphPad Prism 8.0 software. Data for feeding drive are represented as columns (with error bars marking mean± SEM) of cumulative biting attempts in 15 min bins over a 1-hour period. Change in GCaMP6f fluorescence over time is represented as XY plots with the dark line representing mean and the dotted lines denote the SEM. All data shown as violin plots have the thicker dashed line representing median and dotted lines representing first and third quartile.

RESULTS

CART neuropeptide mediates satiety during energy-rich metabolic states

To quantitatively evaluate the feeding drive of adult zebrafish, we developed a behavioural assay that scores the number of biting attempts made by the animal upon presentation of solid, floating food pellets (see Methods and Fig. S1). We found that hungry fish made many more biting attempts over a period of 1 h (794±57.46; Fig. 1 A) compared to fed animals (338.8±70.4; Fig. 1 A). Analysis of the number of biting attempts across 15 min bins revealed cumulated difference over the 1 h period. To rule out contributions from altered locomotion or stress-induced behaviours, we evaluated animals under fed and starved conditions for locomotion kinematics and indicators of anxiety-associated behaviours but found no change in these parameters (Fig. S2).

To directly assess the role of CART neuropeptide in anorexia, we delivered CART peptide (55-102 aa) via the intracerebroventricular (icv) route to the brain of starved fish. Strikingly, exogenous administration of CART directly to the brain was sufficient to induce acute anorexia in starved fish (36.21±14.89; Fig. 1 B) compared to starved animals injected with vehicle (829.4±58.03 Fig. 1 B). However, CART treatment did not induce anxiety-like behaviours or modify locomotion (Fig. S2).

To test if central application of glucose resulted in anorexia, glucose was directly introduced via the icv route to the brains of starved fish to mimic energy surfeit conditions and preclude peripheral inputs. In contrast to starved animals (852±133.3), glucose-injected fish displayed a dramatic reduction in biting attempts (221.2±33.67; Fig. 1 C). As CART promotes anorexia (Lau and Herzog, 2014b) and CART peptide expression is sensitive to metabolic states in the zebrafish brains

(Mukherjee et al., 2012; Akash et al., 2014), we used an immunoneutralising

antibody against the CART peptide to test if endogenous CART signalling mediates

the glucose-induced reduction in feeding. Indeed, glucose-induced anorexia in

starved animals was alleviated in the presence of CART immunoneutralising

antibodies also introduced by the icv route (629.9±79.31; Fig 1 C). CART antibodies

on their own did not influence the feeding behaviour (658.4±67.13; Fig 1 C).

Collectively, these results establish the role of CART neuropeptide signalling in the

zebrafish brain in mediating the reduction in food intake behaviour during energy-rich

metabolic states.

CART signalling alters the activity of telencephalic Dm neurons

The entopeduncular nucleus (EN) in the ventral telencephalon as well as

periventricular hypothalamic nuclei, like the nucleus lateralis tuberis (NLT), show

energy-state dependent changes in CART mRNA expression (Akash et al., 2014).

Administration of glucose also increased the number of CART peptide expressing

cells in the EN and the NLT (Fig. S3; Mukherjee et al., 2012).

CART immunofluorescence analysis of transverse sections of the zebrafish

telencephalon was used to evaluate the projections from the EN. CART-positive EN

soma was found to project to the dorsomedial telencephalon (Dm; Fig S4 A). This is

consistent with earlier reports indicating that EN neurons project to the Dm (Turner et

al., 2016). We used the lipophilic dye, DiI, to confirm if the Dm receives projections

from EN and other energy status-responsive interoceptive areas. DiI-positive fibres

from the Dm typically extended caudally and ventrally and merged with the lateral

forebrain bundle. Labelled cells were found in the ipsilateral EN (Fig S4 B,C). Fibres

were also traced caudally into the hypothalamus and labelled somata were located in

the preglomerular nucleus and the NLT (Fig S4 B,D). This connectivity is consistent

with previous reports from teleost fish, including zebrafish (Yáñez et al., 2017). Dual

immunostaining for CART peptide and the presynaptic marker synaptophysin

revealed significant co-localisation, indicating the presence of CART in presynaptic

terminals at the Dm (Fig S4 F). However, no CART expressing somata were

detected in the Dm.

Collectively, these results demonstrate that CART peptide expression in EN and the

periventricular hypothalamus is controlled by metabolic states and these areas send

projections to the Dm (Fig. S4 E). This connectivity suggested that Dm neurons

could be responsive to energy state-dependent CART activity. To assess the

involvement of Dm, we used phosphorylated ERK (p-ERK), a marker of recent

neuronal activity (Randlett et al., 2015).

Starved fish injected with CART peptide via the icv route had an elevated number of

p-ERK positive neurons in the Dm (533.9±89.04) in comparison to starved, vehicle-

injected animals (159.5±34.52) (Fig 2 A-C). As these data suggest a CART-mediated

increase in neuronal activity in the Dm, we tested if feeding was sufficient to induce

neuronal activation of the Dm neurons by comparing starved and recently fed fish

(where endogenous levels of CART are high; Fig S3). Remarkably, Dm also shows

increased neuronal activity (423.2±26.61) in fed fish as compared to starved controls

(180.0±12.42) (Fig 2 D-F). The subpopulation of Dm neurons responding to

CART/fed states was limited to a ~ 250 µm region rostral to the level of the anterior

commissure (Fig 2 G-I).

These findings suggest that enhancement of CART function at the Dm, possibly by

the energy state responsive neurons of the EN and the periventricular hypothalamus,

results in increased neuronal activity. However, the possibility of additional inputs

from other CART-ergic sources cannot be ruled out

CART modulates NMDAR signalling to regulate the feeding behaviour and the

activity of Dm neurons

The dorsal telencephalon of teleosts, including the Dm, is rich in glutamatergic

neurons (Aoki et al., 2013) and shows significant binding of labelled kainate and l-

glutamate (Tong et al., 1992) implicating glutamatergic neurotransmission. Studies in

rodents have previously implicated NMDA receptor (NMDAR)-mediated CART

signalling in the context of innate fear processing (Rale et al., 2017) and nociceptive

transmission (Chiu et al., 2010) in rat. We investigated NMDAR signalling in CART-

mediated regulation of feeding behaviour using NMDAR antagonists. Icv delivery of

AP5 (competitive NMDAR antagonist) alone in starved fish showed no difference in

biting attempts (845.3±89.82; Fig 3 A) as compared to vehicle controls (812.8±84.38.

However, CART-induced anorexia (47.33±11.4) was abrogated when CART peptide

and AP5 were co-administered (488.7±42.03; Fig 3 A). Application of the non-

competitive NMDAR antagonist, MK801 produced similar results (Fig S5 A).

AP5 was co-injected with CART peptide in starved animals to evaluate the role of

NMDAR signalling in modulating the activity of the Dm neurons. Neuronal activity in

the Dm, indicated by the number of p-ERK positive neurons, was robustly attenuated

in CART peptide and AP5-treated fish (165.7±11.87) compared to animals injected

with only CART peptide (312±18.2; Fig 3 B). AP5 alone (125.2±6.96) did not

influence the number of p-ERK positive Dm neurons as compared to those in vehicle

controls (126.7±13.35; Fig 3 B). The application of MK801 produced similar results

(Fig S5 B).

Together these data indicate that NMDAR activity is required for processing CART-

induced satiety signals in the Dm neurons.

PKC and PKA phosphorylate NR1 to mediate the modulation of NMDAR

signalling by CART

Protein kinase C (PKC) and Protein kinase A (PKA) mediated phosphorylation of the

NR1 subunit of the mammalian NMDAR is known to potentiate NMDAR signalling by

increasing the channel opening probability and enhancing calcium permeability (Lan

et al., 2001; Skeberdis et al., 2006). PKA and PKC have also been implicated in

CART-signalling in *in vitro* experiments (Chiu et al., 2010). We next evaluated PKA

and PKC function in CART signalling using specific pharmacological inhibitors of

PKA and PKC kinase activity.

KT5720 (selective PKA inhibitor, PKAi), when co-injected into the ventricle of starved

fish along with CART peptide, alleviated (697.49±105.59) the strong reduction in the

number of biting attempts induced by CART peptide alone (30.33±10.61 Fig. 4 A).

The inhibitor on its own had no significant effect on the feeding drive

(713.92±215.68) as compared to starved control animals (782.9± 71.70; Fig. 4 A).

Parallel studies evaluating the activity of Dm neurons revealed a robust suppression

of CART-induced neuronal activation in fish co-administered with PKAi and CART

peptide (158.1±56.47; Fig. 4 B) compared to those injected with only CART peptide

(554.5±82.75; Fig. 4 B).

As observed for PKAi, inhibition of PKC by GF109206X (selective PKC inhibitor,

PKCi) also reversed the suppression of the feeding drive by CART peptide (489.8±

29.38 for PKCi + CART and 29.83±6.76 for CART alone; Fig. 4 C) and abrogated the

activation of Dm neurons by CART (247.7±28.99 for PKCi + CART and 464.9± 19.57

for CART alone; Fig. 4 D).

Together, these data implicate PKA and PKC activity in CART-mediated activation of

Dm neurons and satiety. PKC and PKA are known to phosphorylate mouse NR1 at

multiple residues, including serine 897 (Chen and Roche, 2007). Specific antibodies

against phosphoserine 897 of the mouse NR1 (pNR1) were used to evaluate the

status of NR1 phosphorylation in the Dm neurons. While the Dm of CART-treated

animals displayed strong pNR1 fluorescence (892.1±5), co-injection of PKAi, PKCi,

and CART peptide greatly reduced the pNR1 signal (505.9±2.02) (Fig. 4 E-G).

Collectively, these data identify CART signalling mediated phosphorylation of NR1

via PKA and PKC. The heightened neuronal activity of Dm neurons could be

attributed to the potentiation of NMDAR function driven by NR1 phosphorylation.

CART treatment enhances NMDA receptor function resulting in increased

sensitivity of Dm neurons to excitatory stimuli

Phosphorylation of NR1 subunit of NMDARs by PKA and PKC is known to enhance

NMDAR signalling (Lan et al., 2001; Skeberdis et al., 2006). We speculated that a

CART-induced increase in phosphorylation of NMDARs would sensitise and

enhance the response of Dm neurons to glutamatergic inputs. We tested this

hypothesis directly using the Tg(NeuroD:GCaMP6f) zebrafish, which shows strong

expression of the genetically-encoded calcium sensor, GCaMP6f in the Dm. *Ex vivo*

preparations of the intact telencephalon were dissected from starved animals treated

earlier with either saline or CART via the icv route (see Methods and Fig. 5 A). Dm

neurons of vehicle-treated animals failed to respond to glutamate stimulation.

However, CART peptide-treated animals showed heightened activity of Dm neurons

in response to glutamate. Both the maximum amplitude of the response (0.44±0.09

for vehicle control and 3.43±0.6 for CART) and the magnitude (area under the curve)

of the response (69.04±15.29 for vehicle control and 522.6±94.66 for CART) was

substantially higher in starved animals receiving CART peptide (Fig. 5 B-E). Co-

treatment of AP5 along with CART peptide prevented the activation of the Dm

neurons by glutamate (maximum amplitude:0.29±0.11 compared to 1.724±0.44 for

CART alone; magnitude: 64.76±10.88 compared to 230.7± 41.33 for CART alone)

and underscored the involvement of NMDAR signalling (Fig. 5 F-H).

To determine if the change in the glutamate sensitivity of Dm neurons in response to

CART required PKA and PKC activity, we co-administered selective PKA and PKC

inhibitors along with CART. Interestingly, blocking PKA and PKC activity abolished

the CART-induced increased sensitivity of Dm neurons to glutamate (Fig.5 I-K). The

maximum amplitude of the response was reduced to 0.21±0.06 (PKAi+PKCi+CART)

from 2.48±0.43 (CART alone), and the magnitude of the response dropped from

380.3±75.86 (CART alone) to 33.39±7.71 (PKAi+PKCi+CART).

Together these data suggest that CART signalling via PKA and PKC sensitizes

NMDA receptors in the Dm neurons, possibly via post-translational modification of

NR1 subunits, leading to the enhanced activation of these neurons. This CART

neuropeptide-mediated response of the Dm neurons may constitute a neural

representation of the sated state of the animal.

The activity of Dm neurons is tuned to changes in energy states

To assess if Dm exhibits heightened activity in energy-rich metabolic states, we used *ex vivo* whole-brain preparations from starved animals maintained in sucrose (to maintain gluco-deprived conditions). Following initial evaluation of the response of Dm neurons to glutamate stimulation, the preparation was incubated in glucose to mimic energy-rich conditions and re-evaluated for neural activity in response to glutamate stimulation (see Methods and Fig. 6 A).

Glutamate stimulation of whole-brains of starved animals maintained in gluco-deprived conditions (pre-glucose) failed to activate Dm neurons (maximum amplitude of response: 0.243±0.1 and magnitude of response: 48.29±14.71; Fig 6 B-D). However, upon incubation of the same whole-brain preparation with 10 mM glucose for 30 mins (post-glucose), the Dm neurons responded strongly to glutamate stimulation (maximum amplitude of response: 2.33±0.29 and magnitude of response: 485±48.05; Fig 6 B-D). In control experiments, whole-brain preparations from starved animals were maintained throughout in glucose-free media, including the 30 min period between the two glutamate stimulations. In these control experiments, Dm neurons did not respond to glutamatergic stimulation either before (pre-control) or after the 30 min control incubation (post-control; Fig 6 B-D).

These experiments indicate that activity in the Dm is tuned to changes in glucose levels in the brain and neuronal activity in Dm is correlated to energy states. As CART levels are sensitive to metabolic states (Fig S3; Mukherjee et al., 2012; Akash et al., 2014), the glucosensitive neurons of the EN and hypothalamus may contribute to elevating endogenous CART signalling at the Dm neurons to mediate this effect. Interestingly, the change in Dm activity appears to be independent of peripheral mediators of energy homeostasis.

NPY promotes food intake in zebrafish

Beyond sensing energy sufficiency, interoceptive awareness is also expected to involve signals indicating depletion of energy stores. Neuropeptide Y (NPY) is a potent orexigenic neuropeptide (Zhang et al., 2019), which is expressed in the EN and periventricular hypothalamic regions of teleosts, including zebrafish (Mathieu et al., 2002; Mukherjee et al., 2012; Akash et al., 2014; Turner et al., 2016) and regulates the feeding behaviour (Yokobori et al., 2012). We tested if NPY signalling regulated zebrafish feeding drive in a manner obverse to that of CART.

A selective NPY receptor Y1R (Y1R) antagonist, BIBP-3226 (BIBP; Doods et al., 1995; Yokobori et al., 2012; Kaniganti et al., 2021), was administered to starved fish to evaluate endogenous NPY signalling in regulating the feeding drive. Compared to vehicle-injected starved animals (789±69.46), BIBP introduced by the icv route robustly suppressed the biting frequency (144.7±21.57; Fig. 7 A) and is consistent with earlier reports (Yokobori et al., 2012).

To evaluate if NPY could increase the feeding drive, we intracerebroventricularly introduced NPY peptide into recently fed fish. As expected, vehicle-injected fed fish made infrequent biting attempts (338.8±70.4) while NPY injected animals showed increased feeding drive (983.7±75.84) that was comparable with starved animals (Fig. 7 B). However, there was no change in locomotion or anxiety-like behaviours in NPY-treated animals (Fig. S2).

NPY signalling converges on the Dm neurons to decrease neuronal activity

Increased expression of NPY in response to starvation has been reported in several

species (Loh et al., 2015), as also observed in the hypothalamic nuclei of zebrafish

(Yokobori et al., 2012). Compared to fed zebrafish, the number of NPY positive

neurons increased in the EN and the hypothalamic nucleus recessus lateralis (NRL)

of starved animals (Fig. S6 A-F).

In transverse slices of the telencephalon, NPY-expressing EN soma was found to

project to the Dm (Fig S6 G) similar to what was seen for CART-positive EN

neurons. EN to Dm projections is consistent with previous reports (Turner et al.,

2016). Together with DiI tracing experiments reported earlier (Fig. S4 B-D), the

connectivity of periventricular hypothalamic nuclei and the EN to Dm (Fig. S6 H)

raises the possibility of NPY signalling also converging on the Dm and opposing

CART activity. We tested this possibility by evaluating the activity of the Dm neurons

in response to NPY.

Icv administration of NPY to recently fed animals reduced the number of p-ERK

positive Dm neurons (109.5±4.74) compared to vehicle controls (353.5±49.73; Fig. 7

C-E). Thus, the difference in the activity of Dm neurons observed between fed and

starved animals (Fig. 2 D-F) can be partially explained by the opposing orexic and

anorexic signalling by NPY and CART neuropeptides, respectively.

As CART signalling increased the phosphorylation of the NR1 subunit of NMDAR to

increase neuronal activity, we tested if the reduction of Dm activity following NPY-

treatment was a result of decreased NR1 phosphorylation. Indeed, glucose-

stimulated (satiety mimic) pNR1 fluorescence (944.3±2.98) was substantially

decreased (478.2±2.03) when NPY peptide was co-injected with glucose into the

brain of starved fish (Fig. 7 F-H).

These data identify NPY-signalling, originating from the energy state sensitive

populations of the hypothalamus and EN under energy poor metabolic states, in

modulating zebrafish feeding behaviour. NPY-signalling converges on the Dm

neurons, acts antagonistically to CART to reduce NR1 phosphorylation and

decreases neuronal activity at the Dm.

NPY signalling reduced the glutamate responsiveness of Dm neurons

To directly test if NPY signalling reduced the response of Dm neurons to

glutamatergic stimulation, we evaluated the Dm activity in the Tg(NeuroD:GCaMP6f)

zebrafish line. *Ex vivo* preparations of the intact telencephalon were dissected from

starved animals treated earlier with either glucose (satiety mimic) or glucose and

NPY via the icv route.

As expected, Dm of glucose injected animals responded to glutamate stimulation

(maximum amplitude of response: 0.39±0.09 and magnitude of response:

75.45±12.93). However, the response to glutamate was substantially damped in the

Dm of fish co-injected with glucose and NPY (maximum amplitude of response:

0.13±0.06 and magnitude of response: 28.43±4.7; Fig. 7 I-K).

These data show that NPY signalling alters the responsiveness of Dm neurons to

glutamate and this altered activity state of Dm may be a neuronal representation of

the energy deficit conditions. The orexic NPY signalling and the anorexic activities of

the CART neuropeptide converge on the Dm neurons. Dm thus appears to be an

important integrative centre whose activity is correlated with the homoeostatic

regulation of feeding.

Orexic signalling by NPY is mediated by the activation of calcineurin and

inhibition of adenylyl cyclase

Our data identify PKA and PKC mediated phosphorylation of NR1 in the Dm neurons

in response to CART in inducing satiety. Further, NPY signalling appears to oppose

CART function, including the dephosphorylation of NR1 (Fig 7 H). Thus, we

investigated NPY-induced signalling that could oppose CART function.

In mammals, NPY increases the activity of the protein phosphatase calcineurin

(Chen et al., 2005; Sajdyk et al., 2008) and calcineurin is known to dephosphorylate

NR1 (Choe et al., 2005). We blocked calcineurin activity using FK506 (calcineurin

inhibitor) in NPY peptide-treated fed fish and evaluated their feeding behaviour.

FK506 alone (222±57.39) did not show any significant effect on the number of biting

attempts as compared to vehicle controls (291.4±79.85; Fig 8 A). However, the co-

injection of FK506 along with NPY (368±50.97) reversed NPY induced orexia

(954.7±67.55; Fig 8 A).

To test if blocking calcineurin activity affects NPY induced reduction in Dm activity,

we co-injected FK506 and NPY peptide in fed fish. Treatment with the FK506 alone

(299.8±38.11) did not affect the number of p-ERK positive neurons in the Dm of fed

fish (409.6±32.62; Fig 8 B). However, blocking calcineurin activity in NPY treated fish

(502.4±56.7) abrogated NPY-induced (111±9.38) reduction in p-ERK positive

neurons in Dm.

We have demonstrated that NPY function in regulating food intake in zebrafish is via

the Y1 receptor (Fig. 7 A). NPY Y1R is G protein receptor that inhibits adenylyl

cyclase activity (Molosh et al., 2013). A fall in cAMP production by adenylyl cyclase

is expected to reduce the activation of PKA, and PKA is critical for CART signalling

(Fig 4 A,B). Therefore, we activated adenylyl cyclase with Forskolin (an adenylyl

cyclase agonist) in fed fish co-treated with NPY. Forskolin alone (236±77.40) did not

influence the number of biting attempts as compared to vehicle controls

(261.8±86.98; Fig. 8 C); however, application of Forskolin in NPY co-injected fed fish

reversed (194.7±40.7) NPY induced orexia (929±96.86; Fig. 8 C).

Forskolin co-administered along with NPY in fed fish, via the icv route, alleviated

(304.1±49.90) NPY-mediated reduction of p-ERK positive neurons in the Dm of fed

animals (145.1±23.68; Fig. 8 D). Treatment with forskolin alone (223.8±20.05) was

comparable to vehicle-injected fed fish (296.6±56.19; Fig 8 D).

Collectively, these experiments demonstrate that orexic NPY signalling is mediated

by the downregulation of cAMP activity and upregulation of calcineurin activity, which

decreases the activity of Dm neurons via reduced phosphorylation of NR1. NPY-

signalling is antagonistic to CART-induced phosphorylation of NR1 and the energy-

state dependent expression of CART/NPY results in the bimodal regulation of Dm

activity and feeding behaviour.

DISCUSSION

The flexibility of innate, motivated behaviours is driven by context-dependent recruitment and outputs of the underlying neural circuits (Sternson, 2013; Flavell et al., 2020). Neuromodulator-based signalling has emerged as a key mechanism facilitating reconfiguration of circuit activity (Lee and Dan, 2012). For instance, state changes between component behaviours in *C. elegans* foraging is regulated by the differential recruitment of circuits by the opposing activities of serotonin and the PDF neuropeptide (Flavell et al., 2013). Neuropeptide activities may also change the response of specific neurons or circuits. Peptidergic modulation of the worm ASH neuron mediates feeding state-dependent nociceptive responses (Ezcurra et al., 2016). Similarly, NPY-signalling regulates the sensitivity of zebrafish olfactory receptor neurons in response to hunger (Kaniganti et al., 2021).

Homoeostasis necessitates altering food intake in response to changing energy stores. Therefore, the neural circuits regulating food intake need to be dynamic and responsive to physiological states. Neuropeptidergic signalling may represent energy state information and facilitate biochemical modulation of synaptic transmission to regulate food intake-associated behaviours. Indeed, a diverse and complicated network of peptidergic activity, both peripheral and central, influence the plasticity of neural circuits regulating food intake (Crespo et al., 2014). However, the neuropeptide-induced signalling facilitating the integration of opposing energy state signals to generate appropriate behavioural outputs remains poorly understood.

In the mammalian Arc, the POMC/CART-expressing neurons of the Arc release α-MSH onto the MC4R-expressing neurons of the paraventricular hypothalamic nucleus (PVH) to enhance glutamatergic neurotransmission and induce satiety (Fenselau et al., 2017). Conversely, the GABAergic AgRP/NPY neurons on the other

hand provide an inhibitory tone onto MC4R-expressing PVH neurons to promote

food intake (Fenselau et al., 2017). While opposing inputs from the Arc converge

onto the PVH neurons, the intracellular mechanisms recruited by these peptidergic

neuromodulators are inadequately characterised.

We demonstrate the convergence of antagonistic intracellular signalling mediated by

the anorexic neuropeptide CART and the orexic NPY on a zebrafish telencephalic

neuronal population to reconfigure its activity. Energy state sensitive expression of

the neuropeptides impose opposing biochemical constraints on their target neurons

at the dorsal telencephalon via the modulation of NMDAR function and regulate the

feeding behaviour.

We show that not only do the endogenous activities of CART and NPY modulate the

feeding drive, but the intracerebroventricular delivery of these peptides acutely alters

food intake in the expected direction. We limit our analysis to intracerebroventricular

(icv) delivery of bioactive molecules and pharmacological agents to focus on central

activities and avoid potential confounds originating from peripheral inputs. Consistent

with earlier work (Subhedar et al., 2011; Mukherjee et al., 2012; Yokobori et al.,

2012; Akash et al., 2014), we also find that expression of CART peptide increases,

under energy-rich conditions, in energy state interoceptive regions of the

periventricular hypothalamus and the EN of zebrafish while NPY expression

increases upon starvation.

Anatomical analysis indicated that the peptidergic neurons of the periventricular

hypothalamus and the EN project to the Dorsomedial telencephalon (Dm) and

suggested that the latter population may be the target for CART or NPY, under

different metabolic states. The connectivity observed in our tracing studies is in line

with observations reported in other teleosts (Kanwal et al., 1988), including zebrafish

(Turner et al., 2016; Yáñez et al., 2017). Thus, our subsequent studies focussed on

the neuronal activity at the Dm, in response to CART and NPY.

Using p-ERK as a readout of recent neuronal activity and calcium imaging of a

genetically encoded calcium sensor, we found that energy-rich states resulting from

recent feeding (and consequent increase in endogenous CART neuropeptide) or

exogenous application of CART peptide to the brain led to heightened activity of the

Dm neurons. The increased activity of the Dm neurons was found to be NMDAR

dependent and blocking NMDAR signalling reduced Dm activity and CART-mediated

anorexia.

Studies in rodents have previously reported the involvement of NMDA receptors in

the regulation of food intake. Under satiety conditions, NMDA receptors in the

hindbrain are required for CCK induced reduction of food intake (Wright et al., 2011).

NMDAR function has also been implicated in leptin (Neyens et al., 2020) and

PACAP (Resch et al., 2014) mediated reduction in food intake. Furthermore,

NMDAR activity in the lateral hypothalamus regulates food intake in hungry animals

(Stanley et al., 2011), while it is necessary for the activity of the AgRP-expressing

neurons to establish the starvation state (Liu et al., 2012). In addition, CART function

in processing innate fear in the central amygdala (Rale et al., 2017) and nociception

by sensory neurons (Chiu et al., 2010) also involve NMDAR function and may

represent a common modality in CART signalling.

Further investigations identified PKA and PKC activities in mediating CART induced

anorexia since inhibiting the activity of either kinases led to the restoration of food

intake and decreased neuronal activation in the Dm. Using phosphospecific NR1

antibodies we found that CART induced activation of PKA and PKC results in

phosphorylation of NR1 on specific residues.

Phosphorylation of NR1 by PKC and PKA has been known to enhance NMDAR

signalling by increasing both the Ca^{2+} permeability (Skeberdis et al., 2006) and the

opening probability of the receptor complex (Lan et al., 2001). Thus CART-induced

phosphorylation is likely to increase the responsiveness of Dm neurons to

glutamatergic inputs and is consistent with our results showing heightened calcium

response of Dm neurons upon stimulation by glutamate.

The modulation of Dm activity by endogenous CART release in response to energy-

rich conditions was demonstrated using calcium imaging of *ex vivo* whole-brain

preparations where the inputs from the hypothalamus and EN are preserved. Brains

isolated from starved animals (low endogenous CART and high endogenous NPY)

failed to respond to glutamate when maintained under glucose deprived conditions.

However, incubation with glucose restored glutamate responsiveness and suggests

altered inputs (including CART) from the glucose-sensing interoceptive areas. While

this response may involve modulatory inputs to the Dm not limited to CART, it is

consistent with our observations of CART function. Importantly, as the experimental

preparation precludes peripheral signals of energy state, the modulation of Dm

activity appears to be primarily driven by neuronal inputs.

NPY containing neurons of the EN and periventricular hypothalamus also project to

the Dm. Thus, the Dm neurons could function as a common substrate for orexic and

anorexic inputs and their activity could be dynamically tuned to the prevailing energy

states. Indeed, both starvation and exogenous application of NPY to fed fish (low

levels of endogenous NPY) led to a reduction in Dm activity with a concomitant

increase in the feeding drive.

Our investigation reveals that NPY opposes CART-mediated elevation of NR1

phosphorylation and consequent increase in Dm activity and anorexia by reducing

cAMP production (thereby reducing PKA activation) and activating the phosphatase

calcineurin. Our observations are consistent with NPY-mediated activation of

calcineurin (Chen et al., 2005; Sajdyk et al., 2008) and calcineurin mediated

dephosphorylation of NR1(Choe et al., 2005). Further, NPY Y1R mediated reduction

in cAMP and PKA has been shown to decrease NMDA-mediated postsynaptic

currents (Molosh et al., 2013) in the neurons of the basolateral amygdala.

Our data suggest that Dm neurons are modulated by the opposing activities of CART

and NPY to achieve two distinct activity states (Fig. 8 E). Dm neurons, in zebrafish,

may represent "second order" neurons, immediately downstream of the

interoceptive, "first order" population in the hypothalamus and EN. While our

experiments are unable to distinguish whether the same individual neurons respond

differentially to CART and NPY, the tight correlation of Dm activity with alterations in

food intake behaviour suggest a pivotal role for Dm neurons in regulating energy

homoeostasis (Fig. 8 E). A major limitation in delineating CART function has been

the lack of well characterised receptors and selective pharmacology. This drawback

has limited our analysis of Dm neurons. However, collateral evidence from the

gustatory systems underscores the role of Dm in food intake. In a range of teleosts,

the preglomerular tertiary gustatory nucleus is reciprocally connected to the Dm

(Kanwal et al., 1988; Kato et al., 2012). Though the downstream targets of the Dm

relevant to the feeding drive are unknown, it appears CART/NPY activities modulate

the responsiveness of the Dm to glutamatergic inputs to release or inhibit food

intake.

The Dm is considered to be homologous to the mammalian amygdaloid complex

(Wullimann and Mueller, 2004; Porter and Mueller, 2020). Several recent reports

identify the mammalian amygdalar complex in the regulation of feeding behaviours

(Padilla et al., 2016; Kim et al., 2017; Izadi and Radahmadi, 2021). Consistent with

our findings, the amygdala receives projections from both the NPY and CART

neurons of the hypothalamic Arc (Hwang and Lee, 2018) and employs NPY Y1

mediated signalling to increase the food intake (Padilla et al., 2016). Additionally,

projections from dopamine receptor-positive neurons in prefrontal cortex are known

to activate medial BLA to increase food intake (Land et al., 2014). The amygdala is

also involved in hindbrain satiety circuits, where PKC-δ+ neurons in the central

amygdala (CeA) integrate multiple anorexigenic signals to inhibit food intake (Cai et

al., 2014). Conversely, Htr2a+ neurons of the CeA have been shown to stimulate

food intake (Douglass et al., 2017). In addition, several anorexigenic

neuromodulators, when administered to the CeA have been shown to affect food

intake (Fekete et al., 2007; Beckman et al., 2009; Kovács et al., 2012). A recent

study has identified appetitive information specific projections from the BLA to the

CeA and isolated specific CeA subpopulations that differentially mediate fear

processing and feeding-associated behaviours (Kim et al., 2017).

While the microcircuitry and the diversity of neuronal subtypes in the zebrafish Dm

remain unknown, the feeding regulation-associated CART/NPY-responsive neurons

could be distinct from those processing emotions. Consistent with this, no difference

in anxiety-like behaviours was seen in fish under fed and starved states or treated

with either of the neuropeptides (Fig. S2). The CART/NPY-responsive neurons are a

subpopulation restricted to a specific region of the Dm - starting at the level of the

anterior commissure and extending 250 μm rostrally (Fig. 2 G-I). Identification of

neuronal subtypes within the Dm and development of intersectional genetics will be

critical in characterising the CART/NPY-responsive microcircuits in the future.

This study investigates neural mechanisms mediating the opposing activities of the

neuropeptides CART and NPY in regulating the feeding drive in zebrafish and

identifies plasticity in a group of neurons in the zebrafish forebrain. CART and NPY

inputs converge on Dm neurons to antagonistically modulate NMDAR function,

resulting in an energy state-dependent alteration in glutamatergic neurotransmission

that is tightly correlated with the behavioural switch in food intake.

REFERENCES

Abercrombie, M. (1946). Estimation of nuclear population from microtome sections. Anat. Rec. *94*, 239–247.

Akash, G., Kaniganti, T., Tiwari, N.K., Subhedar, N.K., and Ghose, A. (2014). Differential distribution and energy status-dependent regulation of the four CART neuropeptide genes in the zebrafish brain. J. Comp. Neurol. *522*, 2266–2285.

Andermann, M.L., and Lowell, B.B. (2017). Toward a Wiring Diagram Understanding of Appetite Control. Neuron *95*, 757–778.

Aoki, T., Kinoshita, M., Aoki, R., Agetsuma, M., Aizawa, H., Yamazaki, M., Takahoko, M., Amo, R., Arata, A., Higashijima, S. ichi, et al. (2013). Imaging of neural ensemble for the retrieval of a learned behavioral program. Neuron *78*, 881–894.

Atasoy, D., and Sternson, S.M. (2016). Functional and Anatomical Dissection of Feeding Circuits. Neuroendocrinol. Appet. 112–133.

Beckman, T.R., Shi, Q., Levine, A.S., and Billington, C.J. (2009). Amygdalar opioids modulate hypothalamic melanocortin-induced anorexia. Physiol. Behav. *96*, 568–573.

Betley, J.N., and Sternson, S.M. (2015). Applying the Brakes: When to Stop Eating. Neuron *88*, 440–441.

Cai, H., Haubensak, W., Anthony, T.E., and Anderson, D.J. (2014). Central amygdala PKC-δ+ neurons mediate the influence of multiple anorexigenic signals. Nat. Neurosci. *17*, 1240–1248.

Carter, M.E., Soden, M.E., Zweifel, L.S., and Palmiter, R.D. (2013). Genetic identification of a neural circuit that suppresses appetite. Nature *503*, 111–114.

Chen, B.S., and Roche, K.W. (2007). Regulation of NMDA receptors by phosphorylation. Neuropharmacology *53*, 362–368.

Chen, M., Li, X., Dong, Q., Li, Y., and Liang, W. (2005). Neuropeptide Y induces

cardiomyocyte hypertrophy via calcineurin signaling in rats. Regul. Pept. *125*, 9–15.

Chen, Y., Lin, Y.C., Kuo, T.W., and Knight, Z.A. (2015). Sensory Detection of Food

Rapidly Modulates Arcuate Feeding Circuits. Cell *160*, 829–841.

Chen, Y., Lin, Y., Zimmerman, C.A., Essner, R.A., and Knight, Z.A. (2016). Hunger

neurons drive feeding through a sustained , positive reinforcement signal. 1–21.

Chiu, H.Y., Lin, H.H., and Lai, C.C. (2010). Cocaine- and amphetamine-regulated

transcript (CART) peptide activates ERK pathways via NMDA receptors in rat spinal

cord dorsal horn in an age-dependent manner. Regul. Pept. *164*, 90–96.

Choe, E.S., Shin, E.H., and Wang, J.Q. (2005). Inhibition of protein phosphatase 2B

upregulates serine phosphorylation of N-methyl-d-aspartate receptor NR1 subunits

in striatal neurons in vivo. Neurosci. Lett. *384*, 38–43.

Crespo, C.S., Cachero, A.P., Jiménez, L.P., Barrios, V., and Ferreiro, E.A. (2014).

Peptides and food intake. Front. Endocrinol. (Lausanne). *5*, 1–13.

Dietrich, M.O., and Horvath, T.L. (2013). Hypothalamic control of energy balance :

insights into the role of synaptic plasticity. Trends Neurosci. *36*, 65–73.

Doods, H.N., Wienen, W., Entzeroth, M., Rudolf, K., Eberlein, W., Engel, W., and

Wieland, H.A. (1995). Pharmacological characterization of the selective nonpeptide

neuropeptide Y Y1 receptor antagonist BIBP 3226. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. *275*,

136–142.

Douglass, A.M., Kucukdereli, H., Ponserre, M., Markovic, M., Gründemann, J.,

Strobel, C., Alcala Morales, P.L., Conzelmann, K.K., Lüthi, A., and Klein, R. (2017).

Central amygdala circuits modulate food consumption through a positive-valence

mechanism. Nat. Neurosci. *20*, 1384–1394.

Elbaz, I., Yelin-Bekerman, L., Nicenboim, J., Vatine, G., and Appelbaum, L. (2012).

Genetic ablation of hypocretin neurons alters behavioral state transitions in

zebrafish. J. Neurosci. *32*, 12961–12972.

Ezcurra, X.M., Walker, X.D.S., Beets, I., Swoboda, X.P., and Schafer, W.R. (2016).

Neuropeptidergic Signaling and Active Feeding State Inhibit Nociception in

Caenorhabditis elegans. *36*, 3157–3169.

Farzi, A., Lau, J., Ip, C.K., Qi, Y., Shi, Y.C., Zhang, L., Tasan, R., Sperk, G., and Herzog, H. (2018). Arcuate nucleus and lateral hypothalamic cart neurons in the mouse brain exert opposing effects on energy expenditure. Elife *7*, 1–27.

Fekete, É.M., Bagi, É.E., Tóth, K., and Lénárd, L. (2007). Neuromedin C microinjected into the amygdala inhibits feeding. Brain Res. Bull. *71*, 386–392.

Fenselau, H., Campbell, J.N., Verstegen, A.M.J., Madara, J.C., Xu, J., Shah, B.P., Resch, J.M., Yang, Z., Mandelblat-cerf, Y., Livneh, Y., et al. (2017). A rapidly acting glutamatergic ARC → PVH satiety circuit postsynaptically regulated by a -MSH. *20*.

Flavell, S.W., Pokala, N., Macosko, E.Z., Albrecht, D.R., Larsch, J., and Bargmann, C.I. (2013). Serotonin and the neuropeptide PDF initiate and extend opposing behavioral states in C. Elegans. Cell *154*, 1023–1035.

Flavell, S.W., Raizen, D.M., and You, Y.J. (2020). Behavioral states. Genetics *216*, 315–332.

Forlano, P.M., and Cone, R.D. (2007). Conserved neurochemical pathways involved in hypothalamic control of energy homeostasis. J. Comp. Neurol. *505*, 235–248.

Garfield, A.S., Li, C., Madara, J.C., Shah, B.P., Webber, E., Steger, J.S., Campbell, J.N., Gavrilova, O., Lee, C.E., Olson, D.P., et al. (2015). A neural basis for melanocortin-4 receptor–regulated appetite. Nat. Neurosci. *18*, 863–871.

Horvath, T.L., and Diano, S. (2004). The floating blueprint of hypothalamic feeding circuits. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. *5*, 662–667.

Hwang, Y.G., and Lee, H.S. (2018). Neuropeptide Y (NPY) or cocaine- and amphetamine-regulated transcript (CART) fiber innervation on central and medial amygdaloid neurons that project to the locus coeruleus and dorsal raphe in the rat. Brain Res. *1689*, 75–88.

Izadi, M.S., and Radahmadi, M. (2021). Overview of the central amygdala role in feeding behaviour. Br. J. Nutr. 1–23.

Kaniganti, T., Deogade, A., Maduskar, A., Mukherjee, A., Guru, A., Subhedar, N.,
and Ghose, A. Sensitivity of olfactory sensory neurons to food cues is tuned to
nutritional states by Neuropeptide Y signaling. J. Neurochem.
(doi: 10.1111/jnc.15488).

Kanwal, J.S., Finger, T.E., and Caprio, J. (1988). Forebrain connections of the
gustatory system in ictalurid catfishes. J. Comp. Neurol. *278*, 353–376.

Kato, T., Yamada, Y., and Yamamoto, N. (2012). Ascending gustatory pathways to
the telencephalon in goldfish. J. Comp. Neurol. *520*, 2475–2499.

Kim, J., Zhang, X., Muralidhar, S., LeBlanc, S.A., and Tonegawa, S. (2017).
Basolateral to Central Amygdala Neural Circuits for Appetitive Behaviors. Neuron *93*,
1464-1479.e5.

Kovács, A., László, K., Gálosi, R., Tóth, K., Ollmann, T., Péczely, L., and Lénárd, L.
(2012). Microinjection of RFRP-1 in the central nucleus of amygdala decreases food
intake in the rat. Brain Res. Bull. *88*, 589–595.

Kristensen, P., Judge, M.E., Thim, L., Ribel, U., Christjansen, K.N., Wulff, B.S.,
Clausen, J.T., Jensen, P.B., Madsen, O.D., Vrang, N., et al. (1998). Hypothalamic
CART is a new anorectic peptide regulated by leptin. Nature *393*, 72–76.

Lan, J.Y., Skeberdis, V.A., Jover, T., Grooms, S.Y., Lin, Y., Araneda, R.C., Zheng,
X., Bennett, M. V, and Zukin, R.S. (2001). Protein kinase C modulates NMDA
receptor trafficking and gating. Nat. Neurosci. *4*, 382–390.

Land, B.B., Narayanan, N.S., Liu, R.-J., Gianessi, C.A., Brayton, C.E., M Grimaldi,
D., Sarhan, M., Guarnieri, D.J., Deisseroth, K., Aghajanian, G.K., et al. (2014).
Medial prefrontal D1 dopamine neurons control food intake. Nat. Neurosci. *17*, 248–
253.

Lau, J., and Herzog, H. (2014). CART in the regulation of appetite and energy
homeostasis. Front. Neurosci. *8*, 313.

Lee, S.H., and Dan, Y. (2012). Neuromodulation of Brain States. Neuron *76*, 209–
222.

Lin, H.H., Chiu, H.Y., and Lai, C.C. (2005). Potentiation of spinal N-methyl-D-
aspartate-mediated nociceptive transmission by cocaine-regulated and
amphetamine-regulated transcript peptide in rats. Neuroreport *16*, 253–257.

Liu, T., Kong, D., Shah, B.P., Ye, C., Koda, S., Saunders, A., Ding, J.B., Yang, Z.,
Sabatini, B.L., and Lowell, B.B. (2012). Fasting Activation of AgRP Neurons
Requires NMDA Receptors and Involves Spinogenesis and Increased Excitatory
Tone. Neuron *73*, 511–522.

Loh, K., Herzog, H., and Shi, Y.C. (2015). Regulation of energy homeostasis by the
NPY system. Trends Endocrinol. Metab. *26*, 125–135.

Mathieu, M., Tagliafierro, G., Bruzzone, F., and Vallarino, M. (2002). Neuropeptide
tyrosine-like immunoreactive system in the brain, olfactory organ and retina of the
zebrafish, Danio rerio, during development. Dev. Brain Res. *139*, 255–265.

Molosh, A.I., Sajdyk, T.J., Truitt, W.A., Zhu, W., Oxford, G.S., and Shekhar, A.
(2013). NPY Y1 Receptors Differentially Modulate GABAA and NMDA Receptors via
Divergent Signal-Transduction Pathways to Reduce Excitability of Amygdala
Neurons. Neuropsychopharmacology *38*, 1352–1364.

Mukherjee, A., Subhedar, N.K., and Ghose, A. (2012). Ontogeny of the cocaine- and
amphetamine-regulated transcript (CART) neuropeptide system in the brain of
zebrafish, Danio rerio. J. Comp. Neurol. *520*, 770–797.

Nadim, F., and Bucher, D. (2014). Neuromodulation of neurons and synapses. Curr.
Opin. Neurobiol. *29*, 48–56.

Neyens, D., Zhao, H., Huston, N.J., Wayman, G.A., Ritter, R.C., and Appleyard, S.M.
(2020). Leptin sensitizes NTS neurons to vagal input by increasing postsynaptic
NMDA receptor currents. J. Neurosci. *40*, 7054–7064.

Nishio, S.-I., Gibert, Y., Berekelya, L., Bernard, L., Brunet, F., Guillot, E., Le Bail, J.-
C., Sánchez, J.A., Galzin, A.M., Triqueneaux, G., et al. (2012). Fasting Induces
CART Down-Regulation in the Zebrafish Nervous System in a Cannabinoid Receptor
1-Dependent Manner. Mol. Endocrinol. *26*, 1316–1326.

Padilla, S.L., Qiu, J., Soden, M.E., Sanz, E., Nestor, C.C., Barker, F.D., Quintana, A.,

Zweifel, L.S., Rønnekleiv, O.K., Kelly, M.J., et al. (2016). Agouti-related peptide

neural circuits mediate adaptive behaviors in the starved state. Nat. Neurosci. *19*,

734–741.

van den Pol, A.N. (2012). Neuropeptide Transmission in Brain Circuits. Neuron *76*,

98–115.

Porter, B.A., and Mueller, T. (2020). The Zebrafish Amygdaloid Complex –

Functional Ground Plan, Molecular Delineation, and Everted Topology. Front.

Neurosci. *14*.

Rale, A., Shendye, N., Bodas, D.S., Subhedar, N., and Ghose, A. (2017). CART

neuropeptide modulates the extended amygdalar CeA-vBNST circuit to gate

expression of innate fear. Psychoneuroendocrinology *85*, 69–77.

Randlett, O., Wee, C.L., Naumann, E.A., Nnaemeka, O., Schoppik, D., Fitzgerald,

J.E., Portugues, R., Lacoste, A.M.B., Riegler, C., Engert, F., et al. (2015). Whole-

brain activity mapping onto a zebrafish brain atlas. Nat. Methods *12*, 1039–1046.

Resch, J.M., Maunze, B., Phillips, K.A., and Choi, S. (2014). Inhibition of food intake

by PACAP in the hypothalamic ventromedial nuclei is mediated by NMDA receptors.

Physiol. Behav. *133*, 230–235.

Root, C.M., Ko, K.I., Jafari, A., and Wang, J.W. (2011). Presynaptic facilitation by

neuropeptide signaling mediates odor-driven food search. Cell *145*, 133–144.

Sainsbury, A., and Zhang, L. (2010). Role of the arcuate nucleus of the

hypothalamus in regulation of body weight during energy deficit. Mol. Cell.

Endocrinol. *316*, 109–119.

Sajdyk, T.J., Johnson, P.L., Leitermann, R.J., Fitz, S.D., Dietrich, A., Morin, M.,

Gehlert, D.R., Urban, J.H., and Shekhar, A. (2008). Neuropeptide Y in the Amygdala

Induces Long-Term Resilience to Stress-Induced Reductions in Social Responses

But Not Hypothalamic-Adrenal-Pituitary Axis Activity or Hyperthermia. J. Neurosci.

28, 893–903.

Singh, A., de Araujo, A.M., Krieger, J.P., Vergara, M., Ip, C.K., and de Lartigue, G.
(2021). Demystifying functional role of cocaine- and amphetamine-related transcript
(CART) peptide in control of energy homeostasis: A twenty-five year expedition.
Peptides *140*, 170534.

Skeberdis, V.A., Chevaleyre, V., Lau, C.G., Goldberg, J.H., Pettit, D.L., Suadicani,
S.O., Lin, Y., Bennett, M.V.L., Yuste, R., Castillo, P.E., et al. (2006). Protein kinase A
regulates calcium permeability of NMDA receptors. Nat. Neurosci. *9*, 501–510.

Stanley, B.G., Urstadt, K.R., Charles, J.R., and Kee, T. (2011). Glutamate and GABA
in lateral hypothalamic mechanisms controlling food intake. Physiol. Behav. *104*, 40–
46.

Sternson, S.M. (2013). Hypothalamic survival circuits: Blueprints for purposive
behaviors. Neuron *77*, 810–824.

Subhedar, N., Barsagade, V.G., Singru, P.S., Thim, L., and Clausen, J.T. (2011).
Cocaine- and Amphetamine-Regulated Transcript Peptide (CART) in the
Telencephalon of the Catfish , Clarias gariepinus : Distribution and Response to
Fasting , 2-Deoxy-D-glucose , Glucose , Insulin , and Leptin Treatments. *1300*,
1281–1300.

Subhedar, N.K., Nakhate, K.T., Upadhya, M.A., and Kokare, D.M. (2014). CART in
the brain of vertebrates: Circuits, functions and evolution. Peptides *54*, 108–130.

Tong, C.-K., Pan, M.-P., and Chang, Y.-C. (1992). Characterization of l-glutamate
and kainate binding sites in the brain of a freshwater fish, Telapilia monsanbica.
Neuroscience *49*, 237–246.

Turner, K.J., Hawkins, T.A., Yáñez, J., Anadón, R., Wilson, S.W., and Folgueira, M.
(2016). Afferent Connectivity of the Zebrafish Habenulae. Front. Neural Circuits *10*,
30.

Wright, J., Campos, C., Herzog, T., Covasa, M., Czaja, K., and Ritter, R.C. (2011).
Reduction of food intake by cholecystokinin requires activation of hindbrain NMDA-
type glutamate receptors. Am. J. Physiol. - Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. *301*, 448–
455.

Wullimann, M.F., and Mueller, T. (2004). Erratum: Teleostean and mammalian

forebrains contrasted: Evidence from genes to behavior (Journal of Comparative

Neurology (2004) 475 (143-162)). J. Comp. Neurol. *478*, 427–428.

Yamamoto, N., and Ito, H. (2005). Fiber connections of the anterior preglomerular

nucleus in cyprinids with notes on telencephalic connections of the preglomerular

complex. J. Comp. Neurol. *491*, 212–233.

Yáñez, J., Souto, Y., Piñeiro, L., Folgueira, M., and Anadón, R. (2017). Gustatory

and general visceral centers and their connections in the brain of adult zebrafish: a

carbocyanine dye tract-tracing study. J. Comp. Neurol. *525*, 333–362.

Yang, Y., Atasoy, D., and Sternson, S. (2011). Flip-flop memory circuit uses a

synaptic AMPK-dependent positive feedback loop and is switched by hunger state.

Appetite *57*, S47.

Yokobori, E., Azuma, M., Nishiguchi, R., Kang, K.S., Kamijo, M., Uchiyama, M., and

Matsuda, K. (2012). Neuropeptide Y Stimulates Food Intake in the Zebrafish, Danio

rerio. J. Neuroendocrinol. *24*, 766–773.

Yokogawa, T., Marin, W., Faraco, J., Pézeron, G., Appelbaum, L., Zhang, J., Rosa,

F., Mourrain, P., and Mignot, E. (2007). Characterization of sleep in zebrafish and

insomnia in hypocretin receptor mutants. PLoS Biol. *5*, 2379–2397.

Zhang, L., Hernandez-Sanchez, D., and Herzog, H. (2019). Regulation of Feeding-

Related Behaviors by Arcuate Neuropeptide Y Neurons. Endocrinology *160*, 1411–

1420.

ETHICS APPROVAL

All protocols used in this study were approved by Institutional Animal Ethics

Committee of IISER Pune.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIAL

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

The raw data are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

FUNDING

The study was supported by intramural support from IISER Pune to A.G. and a grant

from the Department of Biotechnology, Govt. of India

(BT/PR26241/GET/119/244/2017) to A.G.. D.B. was support by a fellowship from

IISER Pune and A.M. was supported by a fellowship from the Council for Scientific

and Industrial Research. The National Facility for Gene Function in Health and

Disease (NFGFHD) at IISER Pune is supported by the Department of Biotechnology,

Govt. of India (BT/INF/22/SP17358/2016).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: D.B., A.M., N.S. and A.G.; Investigation and formal analysis: D.B., A.M. and T.K.; Generation of preliminary data: D.W. and A.B.; Writing – original draft: D.B., A.M. and A.G.; Writing – review and editing: D.B., A.M., N.S. and A.G.; Funding Acquisition: A.G.. All authors gave final approval for publication and agree to be held accountable for the work performed therein.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Dr. G. Deshpande, Princeton University, Dr. S. Rath, IISER Pune and Dr. R. Rajan, IISER Pune for their critical reading of this manuscript and discussions. The Tg(NeuroD:GCaMP6f) line was a gift from Dr. V. Thirumalai, NCBS, Bengaluru. N. Tiwari, IISER Pune is acknowledged for contributing to the development of the feeding assay. The authors acknowledge the IISER Pune Microscopy Facility and the National Facility for Gene Function in Health and Disease (NFGFHD) at IISER Pune for access to equipment and infrastructure.

    FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. CART neuropeptide regulates satiety in adult zebrafish.

(A) The feeding drive as indicated by the cumulative number of biting attempts made

by fed and starved animals in 15 min bins (N=6 animals per group). **(B)**The

cumulative number of biting attempts made by starved fish intracerberoventicularly

injected with either vehicle or CART peptide (N=6 animals per group). **(C)**The

cumulative number of biting attempts made by starved fish icv injected with either

vehicle, glucose, CART antibodies or coinjected with immunoneutralising CART

antibodies (CART Ab) along with glucose (N= 5 for vehicle control and CART Ab

treated animals and N=6 for glucose and CART Ab + glucose treated animals). Data

are represented as cumulative biting attempts in 15 min bins over a 1-hour period

and compared using two-way ANOVA, with Bonferroni's post-hoc analysis for

significance in comparison with the glucose treated starved fish (error bars represent

± SEM; ns, not significant; **p<0.01, ***p<0.001).

Figure 2. CART neuropeptide and satiety increases the activity of the

dorsomedial telencephalon (Dm) neurons.

(A,B) Representative micrographs of Dm region from the transverse sections of the

telencephalon showing p-ERK immunoreactive (p-ERK-ir) cells in starved fish icv

injected with either vehicle or CART peptide. **(C)** The number of p-ERK-ir cells in Dm

in starved fish icv injected with either vehicle or CART. The data are compared using

the unpaired t-test with Welch's correction (N=6 animals per group; **p<0.01). **(D,E)**

representative micrographs of Dm region from the transverse sections of the

telencephalon showing p-ERK-ir cells under starved and fed conditions. **(F)** The

number of p-ERK-ir cells in Dm under starved and fed states. The data are

compared using the unpaired t-test with Welch's correction (N=6 animals per group).

(**p<0.01, ***p<0.001). Scale bar: 50 µm. **(G)** The top view of zebrafish

telencephalon with shaded region (dark red) indicating the entire dorsomedial

telencephalon. The area bounded by dotted lines within dorsomedial telencephalon

(light red) shows differential activity upon CART treatment and under fed/starved

conditions. We refer to this subregion as Dm for the purposes of this study. **(H)** Side

view of zebrafish brain with dark red area marking entire dorsomedial telencephalon.

The region of our interest – within dorsomedial telencephalon - Dm (light red) is

marked by dotted lines (a: marks the rostral limit of the region which shows

differential activity; b: marks the plane of section shown in I; c: marks the caudal limit

at the level of the anterior commissure (ac); the distance from a to c is ~250 µm). **(I)**

A transverse section of telencephalon with the Dm region marked in light red colour.

[ac, anterior commissure; Dp, posterior zone of dorsal telencephalon; EN,

entopeduncular nucleus; Hy, hypothalamus; Ha, habenula; IOP, integrative olfactory

pallium; MP, medial pallium; nLOT- nucleus of the lateral olfactory tract; OB,

olfactory bulb; PMPa, posteromedial pallial nucleus; Sy, sulcus yipsiloniformis; TeO,

optic tectum; Vd, dorsal nucleus of ventral telencephalic area; Vv, ventral nucleus of

ventral telencephalic area]

1028

Figure 3. NMDAR signalling is necessary for CART-induced anorexia and

increased activation of Dm neurons.

(A) The cumulative number of biting attempts made by starved zebrafish icv injected

with either vehicle, CART peptide, AP5 or coinjected with AP5 and CART peptide.

(N=6 animals per group). Data are represented as cumulative biting attempts in 15

min bins over a 1-hour period and compared using two-way ANOVA, with

Bonferroni's post-hoc analysis (error bars represent ±SEM; **$p<0.01$, ***$p<0.001$).

(B) The number of p-ERK-ir cells in starved fish icv injected with either vehicle,

CART, AP5 or coinjection of AP5 along with CART. The data are compared using

two-way ANOVA, with Bonferroni's post-hoc analysis for significance in comparison

with the CART treated starved fish (N=6 animals per group; ***$p<0.001$).

1040

Figure 4. CART-induced anorexia and activation of Dm neurons require PKA

and PKC activity.

(A) The cumulative number of biting attempts made by starved fish icv injected with

either vehicle, CART, PKAi or coinjection of PKAi along with CART. (N=6 animals

per group). Data are represented as cumulative biting attempts in 15 min bins over a

1-hour period and compared using two-way ANOVA, with Bonferroni's post-hoc

analysis for significance in comparison with the CART treated starved fish (error bars

represent ±SEM; ns, not significant; ***$p<0.001$, **$p<0.01$). **(B)** The number of p-

ERK-ir cells in starved fish icv injected with either vehicle, CART peptide, PKAi or

coinjected with PKAi and CART peptide. Data are compared using two-way ANOVA,

with Bonferroni's post-hoc analysis for significance in comparison with the CART

treated starved fish (N=6 animals per group; ***$p< 0.001$, **$p<0.01$). **(C)** The

cumulative number of biting attempts made by starved fish icv injected with either

vehicle, CART peptide, PKCi or coinjected with PKCi and CART peptide. (N=6

animals per group). Data are represented as cumulative biting attempts in 15 min

bins over a 1-hour period and compared using two-way ANOVA, with Bonferroni's

post-hoc analysis for significance in comparison with the CART treated starved fish

(error bars represent ±SEM; ***$p<0.001$, **$p<0.01$). **(D)** The number of p-ERK-ir cells

in starved fish icv injected with either vehicle, CART, PKCi or coinjection of PKCi

along with CART. The data are compared using two-way ANOVA, with Bonferroni's

post-hoc analysis for significance in comparison with the CART treated starved fish

(N=6; ***$p < 0.001$). **(E,F)** representative micrographs of one lobe of the Dm region

from the transverse sections of the telencephalon showing phosphoNR1 (Ser-897)

(pNR1) immunoreactivity in starved fish icv injected with either CART peptide or co-

injected with PKAi and PKCi along with CART peptide. **(G)** Quantification of mean

pNR1 intensity per neuron (au: arbitrary units) in the Dm of starved fish icv injected

with either vehicle and CART or coinjected with PKAi and PKCi along with CART

peptideThe data were compared using unpaired t-test with Welch's correction

(12253 cells in vehicle +CART group and 12015 cells in PKAi + PKCi + CART group;

N=3 animals per group; ***$p < 0.001$. Scale bar: 50 µm.)

1071

Figure 5. CART treatment enhances NMDAR function resulting in increased

sensitivity of Dm neurons to glutamate.

(A) A timeline of the experimental protocol followed for all calcium imaging

experiments using *ex-vivo* telencephalon preparations (details in Methods).

Representative micrographs of neural activity (GCaMP6f fluorescence) in the Dm of

vehicle (**B**) and CART peptide (**B'**) injected Tg(NeuroD:GCaMP6f**)** transgenic

animals following stimulation with glutamate for the same time. The ventricle is

towards the top of the image and C and R indicate caudal and rostral directions,

respectively. Scale bar: 50 µm. In all graphs **(C, F, I)**, the traces represent relative

change in fluorescence intensity (ΔF/F0) across time. The dark line represents the

mean and the dotted lines denote the standard error of mean. The shaded

rectangular box indicates the duration of glutamate presentation. (**C**) The change in

fluorescence intensity (ΔF/F0) of Dm neurons in starved fish treated with either

CART peptide (blue trace) or vehicle (brown trace). **(D)** The maximum response

(ΔF/F0) and **(E)** the extent of the total response – AUC (area under the curve) for Dm

neurons in starved fish treated with either CART peptide or vehicle. The data were

compared using t-test with Welch's correction. (N=6 telencephalons per group,

p<0.01). **(F) The change in fluorescence intensity (ΔF/F0) of Dm neurons in starved

fish treated with either CART peptide (blue trace) or coinjected with AP5 and CART

peptide (brown trace). **(G)** The maximum response (ΔF/F0) and **(H)** the extent of the

total response of Dm neurons in starved fish treated with either CART peptide or

coinjected with AP5 and CART. The data were compared using t-test with Welch's

correction (N= 5 for CART and N=6 for AP5+CART telencephalons, *p<0.05). **(I)** The

change in fluorescence intensity (ΔF/F0) of Dm neurons in starved fish treated with

either CART peptide (blue trace) or coinjected with PKAi and PKCi along with CART

peptide (brown trace). **(J)** The maximum response (ΔF/F0) and **(K)** the extent of the

total response of Dm neurons in starved fish treated with either CART peptide or

coinjected with PKAi, PKCi along with CART peptide. The data were compared using

the t-test with Welch's correction (N=6 telencephalons per group, **p<0.01).

1101

Figure 6. Activity of Dm neurons increases in response to glucose.

(A) A timeline of the experimental protocol followed for calcium imaging experiments

using *ex-vivo* whole brain preparations (details in Methods) **(B)** The traces represent

relative change in fluorescence intensity (ΔF/F0) over time in response to glutamate.

The thicker line represents mean while the associated finer lines indicate ± SEM.

The shaded rectangular box indicates the duration of glutamate presentation.

Response of Dm neurons in *ex-vivo* whole brain samples post 30' incubation with

glucose (dark blue trace; post-glucose) is substantial compared to pre-glucose

treatment (dark brown trace). Control experiments showed no response of Dm

neurons before (light brown; pre-control) and after (light blue; post-control) 30 min

incubation in glucose-free media. **(C)** The maximum response ($\Delta F/F0$) and **(D)** the

extent of the total response of Dm neurons pre- and post- incubation with

glucose/glucose-free media. The data were analysed using one-way ANOVA and

Tukey's multiple comparisons test (N=5 brains in each group, ***p<0.001**)**.

Figure 7. NPY promotes food intake and reduces the activation of Dm neurons.

(A) The cumulative number of biting attempts made by starved fish icv injected with

either vehicle or BIBP3226 (N= 6; ***p<0.001). **(B)** The cumulative number of biting

attempts made by fed fish icv injected with either vehicle or NPY (N=6; **p<0.01,

***p<0.001). Data are represented as cumulative biting attempts in 15 min bins over

a 1-hour period and were compared using Two-way ANOVA, with Bonferroni's post-

hoc analysis. **(C,D)** Representative micrographs of Dm region from the transverse

sections across telencephalon showing p-ERK immunoreactive (p-ERK-ir) cells in

fed fish icv injected with either vehicle or NPY **(E)** The number of p-ERK-ir cells in

Dm of fed fish icv injected with either vehicle or NPY. The data were compared using

unpaired t-test with Welch's correction (N=6; **p< 0.01). **(F,G)** representative

micrographs of one lobe of Dm region from the transverse sections across

telencephalon showing phosphoNR1 (pNR1) immunoreactivity in starved fish icv

injected with either glucose or co-injected with NPY along with glucose **(H)**

Quantification of mean pNR1 intensity per neuron (au: arbitrary units) in Dm in

starved fish icv injected with either glucose or co-injected with NPY along with

glucose. The data were compared using unpaired t-test with Welch's correction

(12253 cells in vehicle+ glucose group and 11901 in NPY + glucose group; N=3;

***p< 0.001) [Scale bar 50 µm] **(I)** The traces represent relative change in

fluorescence intensity (ΔF/F0) over time in response to 75 µM glutamate. The dark

line represents mean ± SEM with the dotted lines denoting the limits for standard

error. The coloured rectangular box indicates the duration for which glutamate was

presented. The response of Dm neurons in starved fish ICV injected glucose (blue

trace) and co-injected with NPY along with glucose (brown trace). **(J)** The max

(ΔF/F0) as well as **(K)** AUC of response of Dm neurons in starved fish icv injected

glucose compared with the starved fish co-injected with NPY along with glucose. The

data were analysed using t-test with Welch's correction. (N=6 telencephalons per

group, *p<0.05).

1145

Figure 8 NPY inhibits adenylyl cyclase and activates of calcineurin to promote

food intake.

(A) The cumulative number of biting attempts made by fed fish icv injected with

either vehicle, NPY, FK506 or co-injection of FK506 along with NPY. (N=5 animals

per group). Data are represented as cumulative biting attempts in 15 min bins over a

1-hour period and compared using Two-way ANOVA, with Bonferroni's post-hoc

analysis for significance in comparison with the NPY treated fed fish(error bars

represent ± SEM; *** p<0.001). **(B)** The number of p-ERK-ir cells in fed fish icv

injected with either vehicle, NPY, FK506 or co-injection of FK506 along with NPY.

Data were compared using Two-way ANOVA, with Bonferroni's post-hoc analysis for

significance in comparison with the NPY treated fed fish (N=5; *** p< 0.001). **(C)** The

cumulative number of biting attempts made by fed fishicv injected with either vehicle,

NPY, Forskolin or co-injection of Forskolin along with NPY (N=5 animals per group).

Data are represented as cumulative biting attempts in 15 min bins over a 1-hour

period and were compared using Two-way ANOVA, with Bonferroni's post-hoc

analysis for significance in comparison with the NPY treated fed fish (error bars

represent ± SEM; *** $p<0.001$, *$p<0.05$). **(D)** The number of p-ERK-ir cells in fed fish

icv injected with either vehicle, NPY, Forskolin or coinjection of Forskolin along with

NPY. Data are compared using Two-way ANOVA, with Bonferroni's post-hoc

analysis for significance in comparison with the NPY treated fed fish(N=5; ** $p<$

0.01). **(E)** Schematic showing CART and NPY projections to Dm from interoceptive

regions in zebrafish brain. [OB: olfactory bulb; EN: Entopeduncular nucleus; ac:

anterior commissure; Ha: Habenula; Hy: Hypothalamus; TeO: Optic tectum] **(E')**

Summary of modulatory changes induced by CART and NPY leading to a

corresponding change in neural activity and food intake under fed and starved

conditions, respectively. Under energy enriched conditions (Fed), CART signalling at

the Dm is increased. CART activates PKA and PKC to increase the phosphorylation

of NR1 subunit of NMDARs and thereby increases NMDAR activity in Dm neurons.

CART mediated increase in Dm neuronal activity is correlated with a decrease in

food intake in zebrafish. In contrast, under energy deprived conditions, NPY

signalling at the Dm is increased. NPY acting via Y1R reduces PKA activity and

activates calcineurin to decrease phosphorylation of NR1, in turn, decreasing

NMDAR activity. NPY mediated reduction in Dm neuronal activity is correlated with

increased food intake in zebrafish.

Figure 2

A

B

Figure3

Figure4

Figure 5

A

B

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8