TITLE

The challenges of independence: ontogeny of at-sea behaviour in a long-lived seabird

KARINE DELORD[1]*, HENRI WEIMERSKIRCH[1], CHRISTOPHE BARBRAUD[1]

[1] Centre d'Etudes Biologiques de Chizé UMR 7372, CNRS, F-79360 Villiers en Bois, France

Abstract

The transition to independent foraging represents an important developmental stage in the life cycle of most vertebrate animals. Juveniles differ from adults in various life history traits and tend to survive less well than adults in most long-lived animals. Several hypotheses have been proposed to explain higher mortality including that of inadequate/inferior foraging skills compared to adults, young naive individuals combining lack of experience and physical immaturity. Thus a change in behaviour, resulting in an improvement of skills acquired from growing experience, is expected to occur during a period of learning through the immaturity phase. Very few studies have investigated the ontogeny of foraging behaviour over long periods of time, particularly in long-lived pelagic seabirds, due to the difficulty of obtaining individual tracking data over several years. We investigated the foraging behaviour, through activity patterns, during the successive life stages of the endangered Amsterdam albatross by using miniaturized activity loggers on naive juveniles, immatures and adults. Juvenile naïve birds during their first month at sea after leaving their colony exhibited lower foraging effort (greater proportion of time spent sitting on water, higher duration and more numerous bouts on water, and lower duration and less numerous flying bouts). Juveniles reached similar activity values to those of immatures and adults as early as the 2nd-3rd months since independence, suggesting a progressive improvement of foraging performances during the first two months since fledging. We found support for the body-size hypothesis with respect to sex differences in activity parameters according to time elapsed since departure from the colony and month of the year, consistent with the important sexual dimorphism in the Amsterdam albatross. Whatever the life stage considered, activity parameters exhibited temporal variability reflecting the modulation of foraging behaviour possibly linked to both extrinsic (i.e. environmental conditions such as variability in food resources or in wind) and intrinsic (i.e. energetic demands linked to plumage renew during moult) factors.

Keywords: activity data loggers; foraging behaviour; southern Indian Ocean; Amsterdam albatross; *Diomedea amsterdamensis*

Introduction

The transition from parental food dependency to independent foraging represents an important developmental stage in the life cycle of most vertebrate animals (Mushinsky et al. 1982; Margrath and Lill 1985; Martin and Bateson 1985; Marchetti and Price 1989; Langen 1996; Burns et al. 2004) and is increasingly documented in a wide range of taxa (reptiles, birds, and some mammals). Juveniles differ from adults in various life history traits and tend to survive less well than adults in most long-lived animals (Ydenberg 1989; Menu et al. 2005; Oppel et al. 2015; Cheng et al. 2019). Several hypotheses have been proposed to explain higher mortality observed for young individuals compared to adults (Healy et al. 2019). One of the most widely accepted hypotheses is inadequate/inferior foraging skills compared to adults, young naive individuals combining lack of experience and physical immaturity (Lack 1954; Daunt et al. 2007). Thus, a change in behaviour, resulting in an improvement of skills acquired from increasing experience is expected to occur during a period of learning through the immaturity phase. Such a learning process has been studied on various taxa from insects to primates (Bruner 1972; Caubet et al. 1992; Dukas 2006; Rapaport and Brown 2008).

Juvenile birds are known to undertake vagrant erratic journeys during the post-fledging period especially in raptors (Urios et al. 2010; Krüger et al. 2014; Harel et al. 2016) and in seabirds (Riotte-Lambert and Weimerskirch 2013; Collet et al. 2020). Recent studies highlighted that the flight capacities and foraging behaviour of juveniles differed from those of adults in storks (Rotics et al. 2016), raptors (Harel et al. 2016; Nourani et al. 2020) or seabirds (Ydenberg 1989; Péron and Grémillet 2013; de Grissac et al. 2017; Corbeau et al. 2020). Most flight components were found to improve over time to tend towards those of adults (Riotte-Lambert and Weimerskirch 2013; de Grissac et al. 2017; Corbeau et al. 2020).

However, studies focusing on the foraging behaviour of juveniles remain scarce because of the difficulty to obtain individual tracking data for long periods, especially for long-lived pelagic seabirds with deferred maturity. Moreover, existing studies comparing flight capacities and foraging behaviour between juveniles

and adults in such species only collected data during the first few months that juveniles spent at sea. Since juveniles may spend several years at sea before returning to a colony to breed, our understanding of the ontogeny of flight capacities and foraging behaviour remains fragmentary.

The Amsterdam albatross *Diomedea amsterdamensis* is a large and long-lived pelagic seabird with an extended immaturity stage (~ 9 years Rivalan et al. (2010)). Similarly to a closely related species, the wandering albatross *D. exulans*, their foraging strategy relies on very low flight costs as a result of their dynamic soaring flight, whereby individuals optimize the orientation of their movement with wind direction to maximize the daily distance covered (Pennycuick 1982). During initial post-fledging dispersal juveniles disperse alone over very long distances from their colony. At sea distribution during every stage of the life-cycle of Amsterdam albatross was studied by Thiebot et al. (2014) and De Grissac et al. (2016) who compared movement patterns of juveniles and adults, namely trajectories (i.e. departure direction or orientation toward specific areas). Both studies concluded on slight differences among stages in distribution due to the extensive area they used. However, foraging behaviour is known to be constrained by intrinsic factors such as sex, age, reproductive status and body size across a wide range of taxa and hence play a key role in shaping activity (King 1974; Alerstam and Lindström 1990; Wearmouth and Sims 2008). To understand the potentially changes in foraging proficiency according to experience (life-history stages), longitudinal studies of individuals spanning critical periods of their lives are thus required. Advances in animal-borne instrumentation enable key component of foraging behaviour such as foraging effort and activity to be recorded over long periods.

In this study, we compare the foraging behaviour, through activity patterns, of naive juveniles (first years of independence at sea), immatures (individuals that never bred, age 2-10 years) and adults (individuals that bred at least once, age 8-28 years) of Amsterdam albatross (Table 1). By using miniaturized activity loggers to infer foraging behaviour (activity) throughout the successive life stages we addressed the following questions: i) do individuals belonging to different life-stages behaved differently? ii) are there

differences in activity patterns between life-stages, namely time spent on water and flying bouts, and are there detectable progressive changes?

Previous knowledge of the ecology of large albatrosses and Amsterdam albatross described above provides a practical framework for testing predictions about variability in foraging behaviour associated with sex, stage, time elapsed since departure from the colony and seasons which are summarised in Table S1. Given the overlap of spatial distribution between life-stages (Thiebot et al. 2014; de Grissac et al. 2016; Pajot et al. 2021) we predicted that juveniles would compensate for any lack of foraging proficiency by increasing foraging effort and time (i.e. lower time spent on water and longer flying bouts, in other words decreasing time sitting on water and longer and more numerous bouts in flight; Hypothesis (B), Table 2). We also predict sharp changes in activity following fledging of juveniles from the colony followed by more progressive changes. Based on results found on fledglings wandering albatross (Riotte-Lambert and Weimerskirch 2013; Pajot et al. 2021) showing that juveniles reached some adult foraging capacities in less than two months, we predicted that important changes should be detected in activity parameters early after the juvenile left the colony (within few first months). Overall, juveniles should show higher foraging effort compared to other life-stages (i.e. lower time spent on water, longer flying bouts and shorter water bouts). Due to seasonal changes in food availability individuals will face at sea after leaving the colony and the alleviation of energetic constraints linked to reproduction (for breeding adults) or to alternate foraging trips at sea and period on land for pair bonding and mating display (for immature birds), we predict that adjustments of activity will occur according to the time spent (i.e. in months elapsed) since the departure of individuals from the colony (Hypothesis (C), Table 2). In juveniles, we predict early and rapid changes after fledging and then more progressive changes. Due to environmental changes occurring throughout the seasons we predict temporal (i.e. related to the month of the year) changes in activity parameters for all life-stages (Hypothesis (D), Table 2). Although food availability may be lower during winter, foraging effort may also be reduced when adults and immatures are moulting (Weimerskirch

1991). Lastly, due to sex differences in flight performances (Shaffer et al. 2001; Clay et al. 2020), specifically, due to their higher wing loading, males should both maintain longer flying effort, and be more likely to minimize the number of flying bouts than females. Thereupon, and based on results on wandering albatross (Riotte-Lambert and Weimerskirch 2013), similar pattern should be maintained outside the breeding period. We thus predict differences in foraging behaviour between sexes (i.e. time spent on water, duration and number of flying and water bouts; Hypothesis (A), Table 2).

Materials and methods

Study species and data loggers

Amsterdam Island (37° 50’ S; 77° 33’ E) is located in the subtropical part of the southern Indian Ocean. In this oceanic area, the southern subtropical front (SSTF) delimits the warmer subtropical from the colder sub-Antarctic waters (Belkin & Gordon 1996). The Amsterdam albatross, like other great albatrosses, is a biennial breeder (Roux et al. 1983; Jouventin et al. 1989), with high survival during juvenile, immature and adult phase (Rivalan et al. 2010). The adults that raised a chick successfully do not start a new breeding cycle after chick fledging, but remain at sea for a sabbatical period (~1 yr; Table 1). However, early failed breeders may start to breed the following year. Immature birds may visit the colony when they are 4–7 yrs old, but generally only start breeding at 9 yrs old (Table 1; Weimerskirch et al. 1997). Juvenile birds fledge and migrate independently from the adults in January (Table 1). Exact fledging dates were not known for juveniles but were assessed from activity pattern as juvenile birds land on water quickly after leaving the colony (Weimerskirch et al. 2006). Amsterdam albatrosses were monitored annually since 1983 and all individuals were individually marked (numbered stainless steel and plastic engraved colour bands; see Rivalan et al. (2010) for details). Unbanded birds of unknown age (79 individuals since the beginning of the study) and chicks of the year were banded, weighed (body mass ± 50 g using a Pesola®

spring balance) and measured (wing length ± 1 mm with a ruler, tarsus length, bill length, and bill depth ± 0.1 mm with calipers).

Thiebot et al. (2014) showed that adult Amsterdam albatrosses during their post-breeding sabbatical period moved widely (31° to 115° E), mostly exhibiting westwards wider-scale dispersal reaching >4000 km from the colony exploiting continuously warm waters (~18°C). No clear longitudinal seasonality existed in the movements of adults, nonetheless they tended to move westwards in June/July and eastwards in November. The immature birds moved widely in longitude (0° to 135° E), exploiting exclusively warm waters 17°-18° C. Similarly to adults no clear longitudinal seasonality synchronicity existed in the movements, except that they also tended to move westwards in June and eastwards in November. Juveniles exhibited very large dispersal capacities over the southern Indian Ocean after fledging (15° to 135° E, ~ 4500 km from the colony), through a large range of latitudinal gradient (27° to 47° S). Juveniles birds tended to disperse westwards first in March-April and temporarily exhibited synchronous individual movements. De Grissac et al. (2016) compared trajectories (i.e. departure direction or orientation toward specific areas) of juveniles and adults and showed that juveniles performed an initial rapid movement taking all individuals away from the vicinity of their native colony, and in a second time performed large-scale movements similar to those of adults during the sabbatical period. High individual variability and no clear differences between juveniles and adults patterns were found, except that adults foraged at significantly higher latitudes. De Grissac et al. (2016) concluded in an overlap in distribution between adults and juveniles due to the extensive area they used and their differences in latitudinal distribution compared to other Procellariiforme species.

Global Location Sensing (GLS) loggers were used to study activity of birds over periods lasting up to ~ 2 years. GLSs record the ambient light level every 10 min, from which local sunrise and sunset hours can be inferred to estimate location every 12 h (Wilson et al. 1992). Immersion loggers tested for saltwater immersion every 30 s, storing the number of samples wet (>0) at the end of each 10 min period. We used

saltwater immersion to estimate daily activity budget. Despite the higher mean spatial error of location estimates with these devices (over 100 km; Phillips et al. (2004a)), GLS loggers allowed us to track the birds for prolonged periods with minimal disturbance to them. We considered the following stages regarding the year of GLS deployment (see Table 1): juvenile, as a fledgling equipped with a GLS just before leaving the colony for the first time; immature, as a nonbreeding young bird that had never bred equipped with a GLS when visiting the colony; adult, as a breeding adult equipped with a GLS during the incubation or brooding period which successfully fledged a chick and thereafter took a sabbatical year. To date, we have retrieved 40 of the 50 GLS loggers deployed in total over 4 years, from which 33 individual tracks were estimated (Table 2). Our original aim was to collect activity data over the three life-stages on a long period of time (>1 year). These data are available from a total of 10 adults tracked throughout their sabbatical period, 13 immature birds and 10 juvenile birds (up to 3.2 years).

Data processing

The raw immersion data were values from 0 (no immersion or dry, in flight or sitting on the ground) to 200 (permanently immersed in sea water or wet), indicating the number of 3 s periods during 10 min blocks when the sensor was immersed in saltwater. Loggers recorded proportion of time in seawater at 10 min intervals, which we summarized as hours in the water per day (PROWATER; 10 min blocks immersion data > 0). This measure is a reliable proxy of foraging effort linked to foraging behaviour of the species which enters the water principally to forage (Weimerskirch and Guionnet 2002). Additionally, the duration of the bouts spent entirely immersed (10 min blocks immersion data = 200) was calculated daily (hereafter referred as WETBOUTS). Conversely, when birds are not on land, the time spent dry was interpreted as flying (and thus not feeding). The duration of the bouts spent entirely dry (10 min blocks immersion data = 0) was calculated daily (hereafter referred as DRYBOUTS). Additionally the numbers of bouts (bouts on water: WETBOUTSNB and bouts flying: DRYBOUTSNB) were obtained daily. Although the

loggers integrated activity within each 10 min block and so did not provide the exact timing of landings and take-offs, Phalan et al. (2007) found for comparative purposes that bouts defined as a continuous sequence of 0 values for flight (dry) and a sequence of values of 1 or greater for wet bouts, were suitable proxies for activity.

To select the data corresponding to periods spent at sea after leaving the breeding site, we used the following criteria on activity to define the departure time from the colony for each stage: 1) juveniles, the first bout spent on seawater (WETBOUTS) > 1h based on PTT tracking data (Weimerskirch et al. unpublished data); 2) immatures and adults, the last bout spent flying (DRYBOUTS) > 12h based on PTT tracking data (Weimerskirch et al. unpublished data). Using these criteria we obtained departure months as follows: 1) the juveniles fledged from the colony from January to March, 2) the immatures left between April and August, and 3) the departures of sabbatical adults were spread over two periods, first between December and February and then from May to July.

Statistical analyses

Differences between sexes in body measurements were tested using Student's t-tests and Wilcoxon rank tests (see Supplementary A).

To investigate the causes of variability of the activity parameters during 24h (sitting on water – PROPWATER, WETBOUTS and DRYBOUTS duration, WETBOUTSNB and DRYBOUTSNB numbers) we used generalized linear mixed-effects model. Month elapsed since departure, month of the year, sex and stage were included as fixed effects and individual identity was used as a random effect to account for pseudo-replication issues, since the same individual provided several values. Month elapsed since departure and month of the year were used to test for time variation in activity parameters. We considered the number of months elapsed since departure available for all individuals (28 months since departure for juveniles and 9 months since departure for immatures and adults). Thus, for all stages combined we considered the

lowest number of months elapsed since departure available (9 months since departure). We first ran models testing for variability in PROPWATER, WETBOUTS, DRYBOUTS, WETBOUTSNB and DRYBOUTSNB independently for each stage (juvenile, immature and adult) and then by combining all stages (see Supplementary B; Table S2).

Models investigating the causes of variability of PROPWATER (GLMM 1 to 4, Table S2) were fitted using the package MASS and nlme and the function "*lme*" (Zuur 2009; Pinheiro et al. 2013). Response variables were visually tested for normality (through Q-Q plots) and homoscedasticity (using Cleveland dotplots; (Zuur et al. 2010) before each statistical test. The error structure approached the normal distribution, and therefore a Gaussian family (link = "identity") was selected for all models.

Models investigating the causes of variability of WETBOUTSNB and DRYBOUTSNB (GLMM 9 to 16, Table S2) were fitted using the MASS, lme4 packages and the function "*glmer*" (Zuur 2009; Pinheiro et al. 2013; Bates et al. 2014) with a Poisson distribution. Models validation was done following Zuur et al. (2009).

Models for PROPWATER, WETBOUTSNB and DRYBOUTSNB including all combinations of explanatory variables were then tested and ranked using their Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) values and Akaike weights following the Information-Theoretic Approach (Burnham and Anderson 2002). The model with the lowest AIC was considered as the best model. Two models separated by a difference in AIC values of less than 2 were assumed to fit the data similarly.

Models investigating the causes of variability of WETBOUTS and DRYBOUTS (glmmPQL 1 to 8, Table S2) were fitted using the function "fitdist" from the package DHARMa to assess the fit of residuals to a Gamma distribution. In this case, we fitted final models with penalized quasi-likelihood using the glmmPQL function in the package MASS (Venables and Ripley 2002). This meant that model simplification could proceed only on the basis of marginal Wald t-tests produced in the model summary (Table S7a). We therefore refitted models without interactions (sex * month.elapsed for models for juveniles), until all

remaining terms were significant (for models specifications and sample sizes see Supplementary material Appendix 1, Table S7b).

Multi-collinearity among covariates was assessed using variance inflation factors (GVIFs, *AEDForecasting* library in R (Zuur 2009)) and a cut-off value of 3 was used to remove collinear variables prior to modeling. Spatial and statistical analyses were performed using (R Core Team 2019). Values are means ± SD.

Results

Male Amsterdam albatrosses were larger than females, particularly for tarsus length and bill length and bill depth whatever the stage (juvenile or adult; see Supplementary A Table S2-S7). In juveniles, males were ~13% heavier than females, while the difference was not significant in adults. The most sexually dimorphic phenotypic traits were body mass, bill depth and tarsus length in juveniles while in adults they were body mass, tarsus length and bill length.

All stages exhibited clear temporal patterns in their activities, related to the time elapsed since their departure from the colony and showing seasonal changes (indicated by the month of the year) whatever the five-activity metrics considered (Figures 1-5; Supplementary B Tables S2-S7d). Juveniles showed strong temporal changes linked to the time elapsed since departure from the colony (Figure 1). From the first month since departure, there was a quick decrease in the proportion of time spent on sea surface (mean value for the 1st month: 73.4±16.1%, for the 3rd month: 47.2±18.3%), in the duration of bouts on water (mean duration for the 1st month: 1.21±1.93h, for the 6th month: 0.99±1.42h) and in the numbers of bouts on water (mean number for the 1st month: 11.34±4.96, for the 3rd month: 7.43±3.59), but an increase in the duration of bouts flying (mean duration for the 1st month: 0.89±0.97h, for the 3rd month: 1.38±1.45h), and the numbers of bouts flying (mean number for the 1st month: 6.18±3.14, for the 3rd month: 7.86±3.11). Although less pronounced, the activity (proportion of time spent on seawater, the duration of bouts on water and the numbers of bouts on water or flying; Supplementary B Tables S2) also

varied according to the month of the year with two distinct periods (from November to February-March and from April-May to October). Highest values in the proportion of time spent on seawater, duration of bouts on water and numbers of bouts flying tended to be observed from November to February-March (Figure 1; Supplementary B Table S3a). In immatures and in adults the temporal pattern, i.e. months since their departure from the colony, of the activity parameters appeared generally reversed compared to juveniles (Figures 1-5; Supplementary B Tables S2, S3a-d, S4a-d, S5a-d, S6a-d, S7a-d). For the proportion of time spent on sea surface, the duration and the number of bouts on water, values were low early after departure then increased during the following months to peak ~ 3-5 months later, and finally tended to decrease at the end of the period. The opposite pattern was observed for duration and number of bouts flying. Regarding the seasonal changes (i.e. months of the year; Figures 1-5; Supplementary B Tables S2, S3a-S7d), for immatures, the temporal pattern tended to differ for all activity parameters when compared to that of months elapsed since departure. This was not the case for adults which exhibited alike temporal patterns. Furthermore, temporal patterns varied differently by sex whatever the stage considered (Figures 2-5; Table 3).

When taking into account all three stages (juveniles, immatures and adults), interactions between the variables months elapsed since departure and stage, and between month of the year and stage were highly significant when explaining all the parameters of activity (proportion of time spent on seawater, the duration of bouts on water or flying and the numbers of bouts on water or flying; Supplementary B Tables S2). Therefore the difference between on one hand juveniles, and on the other hand immatures and adults depended on the number of months spent at sea since departure from the colony but also on the month of the year (Figures 1-5). Juveniles had very contrasted temporal patterns for all the activity parameters and almost never reached values of activity parameters observed for immatures and adults (Tables 4, Supplementary B Tables S3d, S4d, S5d, S6d, S7d, Figures S1-S4). This was all the more

pronounced when considering the time spent on sea surface (Figure 1), the duration (Figure 4) or the numbers of bouts on water (Figure 5), and the numbers of flying bouts (Figure 3). Juveniles spent more time in flight, with more frequent bouts as early as the second to third months spent at sea after their departure. During the 9 first months spent at sea after departure all the activity parameters of juveniles differed in values and in patterns when compared to immatures or adults.

When comparing all three stages (juveniles, immatures and adults), interactions between the number of months elapsed and sex, and between month of the year and sex were highly significant when explaining almost all activity parameters (Appendix A Table S2).

In juveniles -during the first 28 months spent at sea (after departure)- there was a significant effect of the time elapsed (months), the month of the year and the sex for all activity parameters considered except for the proportion of time spent on seawater (Table 3, Appendix A Tables S2, S3a, S4a, S5a, S6a, S7a, Figures 2-6). In juveniles, males tended to spend more time sitting on water (higher wet bouts duration) and more frequently (higher wet bouts numbers; Figures 4-5, Appendix A Figures S1-S4) than females. Nonetheless, these differences varied according to the time elapsed and with the month of the year. The time spent on the water by juveniles changed during the first 28 months at sea (Figure 6). While it decreased rapidly during the first two months after fledging, it remained low (47-52%) during the next 14 months and then increased again. Interestingly, the same pattern as the one observed in immature and adult birds was found with a 15-16 months of delay in juveniles, reaching similar values (Figure 6).

In immatures, males tended to spend more time sitting on water (higher time spent on water and wet bouts duration) but less frequently (lower wet bouts numbers; Table 3, Appendix A Tables S2, S3b, S4b, Figures 4- 5) than females. Conversely, in juveniles and adults there was no significant effect of sex on the proportion of time spent on the water (Tables 4, Appendix A Table S2).

In adults, males tended to spend less time flying (lower dry bouts duration) and flied less frequently (lower dry bouts numbers; Table 3, Appendix A Tables S4c, S5c, S6c, S7c; Figures 2- 3) than females, but there was no significant effect of sex on the proportion of time spent on the water (Appendix A Tables S2, S3c). Depending on the activity parameters considered the difference between adult males and adult females appeared at different timing of the year (month of the year) and of their journey (months elapsed since departure; Figures 2-5, Appendix A Tables S4c, S5c, S6c, S7c). Occasionally these differences were more pronounced early after departure from the colony during the first four months (dry bouts duration; Figure 2) or during the next few months (wet bouts duration-figure 4 and dry bouts number-Figure 3).

Discussion

Elucidating the transition to independence in early life stages is crucial for understanding the causes of higher juvenile mortality in long-lived species (Fay et al. 2015; Payo-Payo et al. 2016). By comparing changes in behaviour at sea and foraging parameters of juveniles up to 28 months after their departure at sea with those of immatures and adults in the Amsterdam albatross, we showed that juveniles differed from immatures and adults in their activity patterns. During the first month following their departure from the colony juveniles spent a greater proportion of time sitting on water, did longer and more numerous bouts on water, and shorter and less numerous flying bouts than immatures and adults. However, from the second month following departure from the colony this pattern reversed and the activity patterns of juveniles became similar to that of immatures and adults only 10 to 17 months after fledging depending on the activity parameter considered (see Figure 6). Together, these results suggest a progressive improvement of movement performances during the first two months since fledging. Juvenile naïve birds during their first month at sea after leaving their colony exhibited lower foraging effort (greater proportion of time spent sitting on water, higher duration and more numerous bouts on water, and lower duration and less numerous flying bouts). They reach values similar to those of immatures and adults 2 to

323 3 months after departure (except for the number of dry/wet bouts for which it took longer), suggesting a
324 progressive improvement of foraging performances during the first two months since fledging. This
325 suggests that juvenile individuals are therefore very likely to have poorer foraging skills during their first
326 two months spent at sea. Since all stages of the Amsterdam albatross forage in the same water masses
327 (see Thiebot et al. 2014), differences in foraging behaviour were not due to different oceanographic
328 characteristics as observed in other species (Thiers et al. 2014; Weimerskirch et al. 2014; Frankish et al.
329 2020b). These lower performances could be due to a combination of lack of experience of optimal
330 behaviours, poor knowledge of the environment, use of distinct cues and/or physical immaturity (Shaffer
331 et al. 2001; Frankish et al. 2020a). It is likely that increasing exposure to diverse foraging situations allows
332 juveniles to rapidly accumulate foraging experience and improve various aspects of foraging.
333 The lower performance of juveniles we found is consistent with studies on wandering albatrosses and
334 Amsterdam albatrosses (Riotte-Lambert and Weimerskirch 2013; de Grissac et al. 2017; Pajot et al. 2021)
335 during the first weeks at sea, which found that shortly after fledging juvenile albatrosses employ similar
336 foraging strategies as adults. Additional skills need to be acquired during the immature period before the
337 efficiency of these behaviors matches that of adults. This is also typical of other seabird taxa, which show
338 progressive improvement in flight performance with the numbers of days since fledging (Yoda et al. 2004;
339 Mendez et al. 2017; Collet et al. 2020; Corbeau et al. 2020). For example juvenile brown boobies *Anous*
340 *stolidus* improved their flight abilities (Yoda et al. 2004) and juvenile European shags *Phalacrocorax*
341 *aristotelis* compensate for poor foraging success by increasing their foraging time during first months after
342 fledging (Daunt et al. 2007). In contrast, flight capability (flight speed and sinuosity) comparable to that of
343 adults allows juvenile white-chinned petrels *Procellaria aequinoctialis* to rapidly flew large distances from
344 the colony (Frankish et al. 2020).
345 Notwithstanding the progressive improvement of movement performances (foraging parameters
346 estimated from activity parameters improved with time elapsed) quantified in juvenile Amsterdam

albatrosses, it remains elusive whether this is a question of physical development and/or a matter of gaining experience.

Contrary to the wandering albatross (Weimerskirch et al. 2014), males and females Amsterdam albatross forage in similar oceanic water masses and encounter comparable wind conditions (Jaeger et al. 2013; Thiebot et al. 2014). Therefore, it is unlikely that sex differences in activity parameters were caused by differences in foraging habitats. We found support for the body-size hypothesis to explain sex differences in activity parameters (except for the time spent on water), consistent with the important sexual dimorphism in the Amsterdam albatross. Males tended to spend more time sitting on water (wet bouts duration) whatever the stage, and depending on stage more (for juveniles) or less (for immatures and adults) frequently compared to females. Consistently, we found that males tended to fly for shorter periods (dry bouts duration) compared to what females did and possibly less frequently (dry bouts number) depending on time. Whatever the stage, there was no significant effect of sex on the proportion of time spent on the water (except in immatures where males tended to spent more time sitting on water compared to females).

Though the diet and foraging strategy of Amsterdam albatross remains poorly known, it is presumed to have very similar foraging behaviour compared to that of the Wandering albatross, although subtle differences can appear (Pajot et al. 2021). Although Amsterdam albatross is 5-8% smaller and 25% lighter than wandering albatross, the two species have a very close anatomy and similar use of the wind. In the wandering albatross, due to sex differences in flight performance, specifically, due to their higher wing loading, males should both maintain longer flying effort and be more likely to minimize the number of flying bouts than females (Shaffer et al. 2001; Clay et al. 2020). Hence, wandering albatross is known to forage over extensive distances, detecting prey visually or by olfaction during the day (Nevitt et al. 2008). This strategy referred as '*foraging-in-flight*' is the lowest energy consuming feeding strategy for the

371 wandering albatross (Weimerskirch et al. 1997b). However, this strategy tends to change depending on
372 breeding stage (Phalan et al. 2007; Louzao et al. 2014) leading to a more important utilization of the '*sit-*
373 *and-wait*' technique and possibly to vary depending on sites suggesting considerable behavioural
374 plasticity (Phalan et al. 2007). This switch in foraging techniques could result in more frequent and shorter
375 bouts on the water in the former technique (compared to '*foraging-in-flight*'). In other word, males may
376 have more of a '*sit-and-wait*' strategy while females have more of a '*foraging-in-flight*' strategy, although
377 there is some behavioural plasticity particularly over time. Our prediction that foraging behaviour differs
378 between sexes was fully supported (i.e. sex-differences in bouts duration and number). Nevertheless, the
379 similarity in time spent on the water suggests that the differences may be more subtle, showing some a
380 trade-offs in duration and numbers between flying and water bouts. This trade-off should vary depending
381 on stage as immature females tended to have shorter and more frequent bouts on the water. This implies
382 that while probably feeding on similar water masses, the sexes might differ in type of prey targeted and/or
383 used different foraging methods. The confirmation of this hypothesis reinforces the fact that the two-
384 sibling species, as previously assumed, show a high degree of similarity in their foraging behaviour.
385 Sex-specific behavioural differences are common in sexually dimorphic seabirds, where the smaller sex
386 usually undertakes longer trips (reviewed in Wearmouth and Sims (2008)). Sexual size dimorphism can
387 result in differences in aerial agility, foraging area and behaviour, and provisioning rate and preferred prey
388 (Gonzales-Solis et al. 2000; Phillips et al. 2004b, 2011; Weimerskirch et al. 2009; Austin et al. 2019;
389 Barbraud et al. 2019). It has also been suggested that size matters probably because the smaller and
390 lighter sex has a higher foraging and flight efficiency (Shaffer et al. 2001), suggesting that lighter and lower
391 wing loaded female wandering albatrosses, compared to males, are probably better able to exploit
392 subtropical and tropical waters where winds are lighter. Following this, it can be hypothesized that
393 females Amsterdam albatross have a greater advantage in foraging in the subtropical environment than

394 males. However, the sexual dimorphism hypothesis is not always clearly supported (e.g., Lewis et al.
395 (2002); Stauss et al. (2012)).
396
397 Both extrinsic (i.e. environmental conditions: variability in subtropical waters resources or in wind) and
398 intrinsic (i.e. energetic demands linked to plumage renew) factors could be involved in the modulation of
399 foraging behaviour, which can be reflected in the temporal variability of activity parameters we found
400 whatever the stage. Subtropical environments in the southern Indian Ocean are generally characterized
401 as oligotrophic areas but nonetheless are known to vary over the seasons. During the austral winter,
402 colder surface waters and strong winds result in changes of oceanographic conditions associated with an
403 increased primary productivity (Behera and Yamagata 2001; Terray 2011; Delord et al. 2021). Changes in
404 water temperature associated with wind appear to result in seasonal biological production variations,
405 however, the delay between the increase in primary production and the increase in potential prey for
406 albatrosses is probably rather long in this oligotrophic context. These changes were found to affect
407 seabirds (Delord et al. 2021). Part of the activity changes observed following the departure of juveniles
408 Amsterdam albatross could reflect improvement of flight performances and of the ability to cope with
409 changing (i.e. increasing wind speed) wind conditions (Sergio et al. 2014), a key parameter for soaring
410 seabirds such albatrosses.
411
412 Temporal changes in foraging activities were often associated to the very strong contrast between
413 reproduction and inter-breeding periods, shifting from high energetic needs linked to reproductive effort
414 and associated constraints (i.e. central place foraging strategy) to a reduction of these energetic
415 constraints during the non-breeding period (sabbatical year for adults). This contrast is particularly
416 pronounced in seabirds (Mackley et al. 2010; Gutowsky et al. 2014) where energetic constraints play a
417 decisive role in determining activity patterns (Phalan et al. 2007).

Moult is an intrinsically costly process requiring time, energy and nutrients (Langston and Rohwer 1996; Ellis and Gabrielsen 2002), and the annual replacement of flight feathers is crucial to ensure efficiency in both flight and thermoregulation (Murphy 1996; Peery et al. 2008; Gutowsky et al. 2014). In large-sized albatrosses like Amsterdam albatross, replacement of primary feathers lasts for more than one breeding season, and the moult of primaries never occurs during the breeding season (Furness 1988; Weimerskirch 1991). Stage-specific and sex-specific differences in moult extent occur in wandering albatross, suggesting important constraints that could compete with breeding (immature birds tend to renew fewer feathers compared to adult breeders), and particularly in females (Weimerskirch 1991). In smaller sized seabirds, a link between moulting pattern and activity parameters was evidenced, resulting in a clear temporal pattern partly explained by moult (Cherel et al. 2016). Recently Gutowsky et al. (2014) suggested that tropical albatrosses (i.e. Laysan *Phoebastria immutabilis* and black-footed *P. nigripes* albatrosses) could compromise flight from active wing moult during the nonbreeding period and induce changes in daily activity budget during a 'quasi-flightless' stage. However, there is no such data for southern albatrosses. Furthermore for large sized species (*Diomedea spp.*) the activity data recorded using GLS never suggested it such a compromise. However, adult birds during the non-breeding season appear to spend much more time on the water during winter, suggesting that partial moult may occur at this time, as observed in many other seabird species that have to moult during the non-breeding season and show reduced activity during specific periods that may correspond to moulting (Weimerskirch et al. 2015, 2020). Interestingly, immature appear to have this peak in time spent on the water in spring, suggesting different timing of moult.

439 Table 1 Chronological characteristics of life-cycle stages of Amsterdam albatross (adapted from Thiebot et al. 2014)

Stage[1]	Definition	Age[1]	Tracking duration	Behaviour
Juvenile	Following chick fledging in January	1st year	~2.5 years	Chicks disperse at sea after leaving the colony for the first time
Immature	After juvenile dispersal, until first breeding attempt (at 9 year old on average)	~2-10 years	~1 year	Nonbreeding young birds forage at sea and occasionally visit the colony for mating
Adult sabbatical	Between two successive breeding periods (~ 15 January year *t* to the following 15 January year *t+1*)	~8-28 years	~1 year	Breeding adults at the end of reproductive cycle and leave the colony to forage at sea

440 [1] Stage/Age at which the individuals were equipped with loggers in our study

Table 2 Sample sizes of birds tracked using Global Location Sensing (GLS) of Amsterdam albatross

Stage	Years of deployment	Deployed (n)	Recovered (n)	Recovery rate (%)	GLS with data (n)
Juvenile	2011	21	12	57 (*t*+9)	10
Immature	2011-2012	18	17	94	13
Adult sabbatical	2006, 2009	11	11	100	10

443 Table 3 Values of activity parameters (mean ± sd) recorded using Global Location Sensing (GLS) depending on stage and sex of Amsterdam

444 albatross

445

	Juvenile[1]		Juvenile[2]		Immature		Adult sabbatical	
	female	male	female	male	female	male	female	male
Time spent on water (%)	55.04 ± 20.46	58.18 ± 21.11	51.41 ± 19.18	52.88 ± 20.39	59.25 ± 21.53	63.31 ± 21.17	64.89 ± 20.90	69.98 ± 18.10
Wet bouts (sitting on water) duration (h)	1.21 ± 1.74	1.24 ± 1.76	1.16 ± 1.73	1.12 ± 1.59	1.07 ± 1.31	1.48 ± 2.12	1.47 ± 1.95	1.33 ± 1.96
Dry bouts duration (h)	1.29 ± 1.37	1.21 ± 1.32	1.34 ± 1.41	1.26 ± 1.40	1.32 ± 1.42	1.28 ± 1.55	1.44 ± 1.56	1.31 ± 1.42
Wet bouts (sitting on water) number	8.71 ± 4.01	8.76 ± 4.09	8.14 ± 3.85	8.48 ± 4.11	10.34 ± 4.29	8.59 ± 4.24	8.96 ± 3.98	10.28 ± 5.33
Dry bouts number	7.06 ± 3.20	7.27 ± 3.52	7.57 ± 3.21	7.85 ± 3.50	6.31 ± 3.21	5.75 ± 2.99	5.01 ± 2.64	4.64 ± 2.48

446 [1] calculated during 28 months following departure; [2] calculated during 9 months following departure

FIGURES

Figure 1 Daily proportions of time spent on water depending on stage (juveniles, immatures and adults) for every month since departure from the colony (upper panel) and for each month of the year (lower panel). Error bars represent ± 1 sd.

Figure 2 Daily flying bouts duration (dry bouts in hours) depending on stage (juveniles, immatures and adults) and on sex (females and males) for every month since departure from the colony (upper panel) and for each month of the year (lower panel). Error bars represent ± 1 sd.

Figure 3 Daily flying bouts number (dry bouts) for every month since departure from the colony for juveniles, immatures and adults for females (upper panel) and males (lower panel). Error bars represent ± 1s.d.

Figure 4 Daily wet bouts duration (bouts on water in hours) depending on stage (juveniles, immatures and adults) and on sex (females and males) for every month since departure of the colony (upper panel) and for each month of the year (lower panel). Error bars represent ± 1 sd.

Figure 5 Daily wet bouts number (bouts on water) for every month since departure from the colony for juveniles, immatures and adults for females (upper panel) and males (lower panel). Error bars represent ± 1 sd.

Figure 6 Daily proportions of time spent on water for every month since departure of the colony for juveniles-during the first 28 months spent at sea (after departure)- , immatures and adults (upper panel)

and with a 15-16 months of delay for immatures and adults compared to juveniles (lower panel). Error bars represent $\pm$ 1 sd.

Figure 1


100
80
60
40
20
0
Time spent on water (%)
adults
immatures
juveniles
2
4
6
8
10
Months elapsed since departure


100
80
60
40
20
0
Time spent on water (%)
adults
immatures
juveniles
2
4
6
8
10
12
Months

Figure 2


Adults
Immatures
Juveniles
Dry bouts duration (h)
Months elapsed since departure
female
male


Adults
Immatures
Juveniles
Dry bouts duration (h)
Month
female
male

Figure 3


Adults
Immatures
Juveniles
Dry bouts number
Months elapsed since departure
female
male


Adults
Immatures
Juveniles
Dry bouts number
Month
female
male

483 Figure 4



484



485

Figure 5


Adults
Immatures
Juveniles
Wet bouts number
Months elapsed since departure
female
male


Adults
Immatures
Juveniles
Wet bouts number
Month
female
male

Figure 6


100
80
60
40
20
0
Time spent on water (%)
adults
immatures
juveniles
5
10
15
20
25
Months elapsed since departure


100
80
60
40
20
0
Time spent on water (%)
adults
immatures
juveniles
adults mo + 15
immatures mo +16
5
10
15
20
25
Months elapsed since departure

REFERENCES

Alerstam T, Lindström Å (1990) Optimal bird migration: the relative importance of time, energy, and safety. In: Bird migration. Springer, pp 331–351

Austin RE, De Pascalis F, Arnould JP, et al (2019) A sex-influenced flexible foraging strategy in a tropical seabird, the magnificent frigatebird. Marine Ecology Progress Series 611:203–214

Barbraud C, Delord K, Kato A, et al (2019) Sexual segregation in a highly pagophilic and sexually dimorphic marine predator. bioRxiv 472431

Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2014) Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. arXiv preprint arXiv:14065823

Behera SK, Yamagata T (2001) Subtropical SST dipole events in the southern Indian Ocean. Geophysical Research Letters 28:327–330

Bruner JS (1972) Nature and uses of immaturity. American psychologist 27:687

Burnham KP, Anderson DR (2002) Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A Practical Information-Theoretic Approach. NewYork,NY.

Burns JM, Clark CA, Richmond JP (2004) The impact of lactation strategy on physiological development of juvenile marine mammals: implications for the transition to independent foraging. Elsevier, pp 341–350

Caubet Y, Jaisson P, Lenoir A (1992) Preimaginal induction of adult behaviour in insects. The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section B 44:165–178

Cheng Y, Fiedler W, Wikelski M, Flack A (2019) “Closer-to-home” strategy benefits juvenile survival in a long-distance migratory bird. Ecology and evolution 9:8945–8952

Cherel Y, Quillfeldt P, Delord K, Weimerskirch H (2016) Combination of at-sea activity, geolocation and feather stable isotopes documents where and when seabirds molt. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 4:1–16

Clay TA, Joo R, Weimerskirch H, et al (2020) Sex-specific effects of wind on the flight decisions of a sexually dimorphic soaring bird. Journal of Animal Ecology 89:1811–1823

Collet J, Prudor A, Corbeau A, et al (2020) First explorations: ontogeny of central place foraging directions in two tropical seabirds. Behavioral Ecology 31:815–825

Corbeau A, Prudor A, Kato A, Weimerskirch H (2020a) Development of flight and foraging behaviour in a juvenile seabird with extreme soaring capacities. Journal of Animal Ecology 89:20–28

Daunt F, Afanasyev V, Adam A, et al (2007) From cradle to early grave: juvenile mortality in European shags Phalacrocorax aristotelis results from inadequate development of foraging proficiency. Biology Letters 3:371–374. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2007.0157

de Grissac S, Bartumeus F, Cox SL, Weimerskirch H (2017) Early-life foraging: Behavioral responses of newly fledged albatrosses to environmental conditions. Ecology and evolution 7:6766–6778

de Grissac S, Börger L, Guitteaud A, Weimerskirch H (2016) Contrasting movement strategies among juvenile albatrosses and petrels. Scientific reports 6:26103

Delord K, Cotté C, Terray P, et al (2021) Factors affecting adult body condition in the endangered northern rockhopper penguin. Marine Biology 168:1–15

Dukas R (2006) Learning in the context of sexual behaviour in insects. Animal Biology 56:125–141

Ellis HI, Gabrielsen GW (2002) Energetics of free-ranging seabirds. In: Seabirds energetics, Whittow, G. C. and Rahn, H. Plenum Press, N.Y., pp 359–408

Fay R, Weimerskirch H, Delord K, Barbraud C (2015) Population density and climate shape early-life survival and recruitment in a long-lived pelagic seabird. Journal of Animal Ecology 84:1423–1433

Frankish CK, Manica A, Phillips RA (2020a) Effects of age on foraging behavior in two closely related albatross species. Movement ecology 8:7

Frankish CK, Phillips RA, Clay TA, et al (2020b) Environmental drivers of movement in a threatened seabird: insights from a mechanistic model and implications for conservation. Diversity and Distributions 26:1315–1329

Furness RW (1988) Influences of status and recent breeding experience on the moult strategy of the Yellow-nosed albatross Diomedea chlororhynchos. J Zool 215:719–727

Gonzales-Solis J, Croxall JP, Wood AG (2000) Sexual dimorphism and sexual segregation in foraging strategies of northern giant petrels Macronectes halli during incubation. Oikos 90:390–398

Gutowsky SE, Gutowsky LF, Jonsen ID, et al (2014) Daily activity budgets reveal a quasi-flightless stage during non-breeding in Hawaiian albatrosses. Movement ecology 2:23–23

Harel R, Horvitz N, Nathan R (2016) Adult vultures outperform juveniles in challenging thermal soaring conditions. Scientific reports 6:27865

Healy K, Ezard TH, Jones OR, et al (2019) Animal life history is shaped by the pace of life and the distribution of age-specific mortality and reproduction. Nature ecology & evolution 3:1217–1224

Jaeger A, Jaquemet S, Phillips RA, et al (2013) Stable isotopes document inter- and intra-specific variation in feeding ecology of nine large southern Procellariiformes. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 490:255–266

Jouventin P, Martinez J, Roux J-P (1989) Breeding biology and current status of the Amsterdam Island Albatross *Diomedea amsterdamensis*. Ibis 131:182-

King JR (1974) Seasonal allocation of time and energy resources in birds. Avian energetics 4–85

Krüger S, Reid T, Amar A (2014) Differential range use between age classes of southern African Bearded Vultures Gypaetus barbatus. PLoS One 9:

Lack D (1954) The natural regulation of animal numbers. The Natural Regulation of Animal Numbers

Langen TA (1996) Skill acquisition and the timing of natal dispersal in the white-throated magpie-jay, Calocitta formosa. Animal Behaviour 51:575–588

Langston NE, Rohwer S (1996) Molt-breeding tradeoffs in albatrosses : life history implications for big birds. Oikos 76:498–510

Lewis S, Benvenuti S, Dall–Antonia L, et al (2002) Sex-specific foraging behaviour in a monomorphic seabird. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B: Biological Sciences 269:1687–1693

Louzao M, Wiegand T, Bartumeus F, Weimerskirch H (2014) Coupling instantaneous energy-budget models and behavioural mode analysis to estimate optimal foraging strategy: an example with wandering albatrosses. Movement ecology 2:1–15

Mackley EK, Phillips RA, Silk JR, et al (2010) Free as a bird? Activity patterns of albatrosses during the nonbreeding period. Marine Ecology Progress Series 406:291–303

Marchetti K, Price T (1989) Differences in the foraging of juvenile and adult birds: the importance of developmental constraints. Biological Reviews 64:51–70

Margrath R, Lill A (1985) Age-related differences in behaviour and ecology of crimson rosellas, Platycercus elegans, during the non-breeding season. Wildlife Research 12:299–306

Martin P, Bateson P (1985) The ontogeny of locomotor play behaviour in the domestic cat. Animal behaviour 33:502–510

Mendez L, Prudor A, Weimerskirch H (2017) Ontogeny of foraging behaviour in juvenile red-footed boobies (Sula sula). Scientific reports 7:1–11

Menu S, Gauthier G, Reed A (2005) Survival of young greater snow geese (Chen caerulescens atlantica) during fall migration. The Auk 122:479–496

Murphy ME (1996) Energetics and nutrition of molt. In: Avian energetics and nutritional ecology. Springer, pp 158–198

Mushinsky HR, Hebrard JJ, Vodopich DS (1982) Ontogeny of water snake foraging ecology. Ecology 63:1624–1629

Nevitt GA, Losekoot M, Weimerskirch H (2008) Evidence for olfactory search in wandering albatross, Diomedea exulans. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 105:4576–4581

Nourani E, Vansteelant WMG, Byholm P, Safi K (2020) Dynamics of the energy seascape can explain intra-specific variations in sea-crossing behaviour of soaring birds. Biology Letters 16:20190797. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2019.0797

Oppel S, Dobrev V, Arkumarev V, et al (2015) High juvenile mortality during migration in a declining population of a long-distance migratory raptor. Ibis 157:545–557

Pajot A, Corbeau A, Jambon A, Weimerskirch H (2021) Diel at-sea activity of two species of great albatrosses: the ontogeny of foraging and movement behaviour. Journal of Avian Biology 52:

Payo-Payo A, Genovart M, Bertolero A, et al (2016) Consecutive cohort effects driven by density-dependence and climate influence early-life survival in a long-lived bird. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 283:20153042

Peery MZ, Henkel LA, Newman SH, et al (2008) Effects of rapid flight-feather molt on postbreeding dispersal in a pursuit-diving seabird. The Auk 125:113–123

Pennycuick CJ (1982) The flight of petrels and albatrosses (Procellariiformes), observed in South Georgia and its vicinity. Phil Trans R Soc Lond 300:75–106

Péron C, Grémillet D (2013) Tracking through Life Stages: Adult, Immature and Juvenile Autumn Migration in a Long-Lived Seabird. Plos One 8:

Phalan B, Phillips RA, Silk JR, et al (2007) Foraging behaviour of four albatross species by night and day. Marine Ecology Progress Series 340:271–286

Phillips RA, Mcgill RAR, Dawson DA, Bearhop S (2011) Sexual segregation in distribution, diet and trophic level of seabirds: insights from stable isotope analysis. Marine Biology 158:2199–2208

Phillips RA, Silk JRD, Croxall JP, et al (2004a) Accuracy of geolocation estimates for flying seabirds. Marine Ecology-Progress Series 266:265–272

Phillips RA, Silk JRD, Phalan B, et al (2004b) Seasonal sexual segregation in two *Thalassarche* albatross species: competitive exclusion, reproductive role specialization or foraging niche divergence? Proceedings of the Royal Society of LondonSeries B: Biological Sciences 271:1283–1291

Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, et al (2013) nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models. R package version 3.1-117

Rapaport LG, Brown GR (2008) Social influences on foraging behavior in young nonhuman primates: learning what, where, and how to eat. Evolutionary Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews: Issues, News, and Reviews 17:189–201

Riotte-Lambert L, Weimerskirch H (2013) Do naive juvenile seabirds forage differently from adults? Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 280:20131434

Rivalan P, Barbraud C, Inchausti P, Weimerskirch H (2010) Combined impact of longline fisheries and climate on the persistence of the Amsterdam albatross. Ibis 152:6–18

Rotics S, Kaatz M, Resheff YS, et al (2016) The challenges of the first migration: movement and behaviour of juvenile vs. adult white storks with insights regarding juvenile mortality. Journal of Animal Ecology 85:938–947

Roux JP, Jouventin P, Mougin JL, et al (1983) Un nouvel albatros Diomedea amsterdamensis n. sp. découvert sur l'île Amsterdam (37°50'S, 77°35'E). L'Oiseau et R F O 53:1–11

Sergio F, Tanferna A, De Stephanis R, et al (2014) Individual improvements and selective mortality shape lifelong migratory performance. Nature 515:410–413

Shaffer SA, Weimerskirch H, Costa D (2001) Functional significance of sexual dimorphism in wandering albatrosses, Diomedea exulans. Functional Ecology 15:203–210

Stauss C, Bearhop S, Bodey T, et al (2012) Sex-specific foraging behaviour in northern gannets Morus bassanus: incidence and implications. Marine Ecology Progress Series 457:151–162

R Core Team (2019) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (Version 3.5. 2, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2018). See https://www.R-project.org/.

Terray P (2011) Southern Hemisphere extra-tropical forcing: a new paradigm for El Niño-Southern Oscillation. Climate dynamics 36:2171–2199

Thiebot J-B, Delord K, Marteau C, Weimerskirch H (2014) Stage-dependent distribution of the critically endangered Amsterdam albatross in relation to Economic Exclusive Zones. Endangered Species Research 23:263–276

Thiers L, Delord K, Barbraud C, et al (2014) Foraging zones of the two sibling species of giant petrels in the Indian Ocean throughout the annual cycle: implication for their conservation. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 499:233–248

Urios V, López-López P, Limiñana R, Godino A (2010) Ranging behaviour of a juvenile Bearded Vulture (Gypaetus barbatus meridionalis) in South Africa revealed by GPS satellite telemetry

Venables W, Ripley B (2002) Modern applied statistics with S. Fourth edition. Springer, New York. ISBN 0-387-95457-0

Wearmouth VJ, Sims DW (2008) Sexual segregation in marine fish, reptiles, birds and mammals: behaviour patterns, mechanisms and conservation implications. Advances in marine biology 54:107–170

Weimerskirch H (1991) Sex-specific differences in molt strategy in relation to breeding in the wandering albatross. Condor 93:731–737

Weimerskirch H, Akesson S, Pinaud D (2006) Postnatal dispersal of wandering albatrosses *Diomedea exulans*: implications for the conservation of the species. J Avian Biol 37:23–28

Weimerskirch H, Brothers N, Jouventin P (1997a) Population dynamics of Wandering Albatross *Diomedea exulans* and Amsterdam Albatross *D. amsterdamensis* in the Indian Ocean and their relationship with long-line fisheries: conservation implications. Biological conservation 79:257–270

Weimerskirch H, Cherel Y, Delord K, et al (2014) Lifetime foraging patterns of the wandering albatross: Life on the move! Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 450:68–78

Weimerskirch H, de Grissac S, Ravache A, et al (2020) At-sea movements of wedge-tailed shearwaters during and outside the breeding season from four colonies in New Caledonia. Marine Ecology Progress Series 633:225–238

Weimerskirch H, Guionnet T (2002) Comparative activity pattern during foraging of four albatross species. Ibis 144:40–50

Weimerskirch H, Shaffer SA, Tremblay Y, et al (2009) Species-and sex-specific differences in foraging behaviour and foraging zones in blue-footed and brown boobies in the Gulf of California. Marine Ecology Progress Series 391:267–278

Weimerskirch H, Tarroux A, Chastel O, et al (2015) Population-specific wintering distributions of adult south polar skuas over the three oceans. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 538:229–237

Weimerskirch H, Wilson RP, Lys P (1997b) Activity pattern of foraging in the wandering albatross: a marine predator with two modes of prey searching. Marine Ecology Progress Series 151:245–254

Wilson RP, Ducamp JJ, Rees G, et al (1992) Estimation of location: global coverage using light intensity. In: Priede IMSS (ed). Ellis Horward, Chichester, pp 131–134

Ydenberg RC (1989) Growth-mortality trade-offs and the evolution of juvenile life histories in the alcidae. Ecology 70:1494–1506

Yoda K, Kohno H, Naito Y (2004) Development of flight performance in the brown booby. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B: Biological Sciences 271:S240–S242

Zuur AF (2009) Mixed effects models and extensions in ecology with R, NY: Springer. Springer, New York

Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Elphick CS (2010) A protocol for data exploration to avoid common statistical problems. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 1:3–14. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2009.00001.x