1 Cephalopod Retinal Development Shows Vertebrate-like Mechanisms of Neurogenesis
2

Francesca Napoli*, Christina M. Daly*, Stephanie Neal, Kyle J. McCulloch, Alexandra Zaloga, Alicia Liu,
Kristen M. Koenig

*These authors contributed equally to this work

John Harvard Distinguished Science Fellowship Program, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138
USA.

Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138 USA

Email: kmkoenig@fas.harvard.edu

10
11
<u>Abstract</u>

Neurogenesis, the regulation of cellular proliferation and differentiation in the developing nervous
system, is the process that underlies the diversity of size and cell type found in animal nervous
systems. Our understanding of how this process has evolved is limited because of the lack of
high resolution data and live-imaging methods across species. The retina is a classic model for
the study of neurogenesis in vertebrates and live-imaging of the retina has shown that during
development, progenitor cells are organized in a pseudostratified neuroepithelium and nuclei
migrate in coordination with the cell cycle along the apicobasal axis of the cell, a process called
interkinetic nuclear migration. Eventually cells delaminate and differentiate within the boundaries
of the epithelium. This process has been considered unique to vertebrates and thought to be
important in maintaining organization during the development of a complex nervous system.
Coleoid cephalopods, including squid, cuttlefish and octopus, have the largest nervous system of
any invertebrate and convergently-evolved camera-type eyes, making them a compelling
comparative system to vertebrates. Here we have pioneered live-imaging techniques to show that
the squid, *Doryteuthis pealeii*, displays cellular mechanisms during cephalopod retinal
neurogenesis that are hallmarks of vertebrate processes. We find that retinal progenitor cells in
the squid undergo interkinetic nuclear migration until they exit the cell cycle, we identify retinal
organization corresponding to progenitor, post-mitotic and differentiated cells, and we find that
Notch signaling regulates this process. With cephalopods and vertebrates having diverged 550
million years ago, these results suggest that mechanisms thought to be unique to vertebrates may
be common to highly proliferative neurogenic primordia contributing to a large nervous system.

Introduction

Coleoid cephalopods (e.g. squid, cuttlefish and octopus) are charismatic invertebrates known for their expansive behavioral repertoire and large nervous system. One of the striking features of the cephalopod nervous system is their camera-type eyes, which are one of the most acute visual organs among animals (Gagnon et al., 2013; Packard, 1972). This complexity in cephalopods is independently evolved from similar features found in vertebrate species. Although vertebrates have been well studied, the developmental changes that underlie the evolution of large, complex nervous systems are not well understood. Observations in traditional model organisms show that diversity in nervous system size and functionality across animals is a product of diversity in developmental processes (Hartenstein & Stollewerk, 2015). We know that in some cases neurogenesis is highly regulated with invariant numbers of precursors with fixed lineages (i.e. *C. elegans*), and in others cell lineages are stochastic or plastic (i.e. the vertebrate retina) (Bertrand & Hobert, 2010; Zechner et al., 2020); (Hartenstein & Stollewerk, 2015). Live-imaging has changed our perspective on neurodifferentiation from a primarily genetic process to a cell biological process, defined by orchestrated cell behaviors and tissue architecture. However, *in vivo* observations of neurogenesis have been limited to vertebrates and *Drosophila*. To elucidate how changes in neurogenesis may contribute to the evolution of large and complex nervous systems, we sought to understand neurodifferentiation in the cephalopod. The visual system has proven to be a powerful context to learn fundamental aspects of neural development in vertebrates and *Drosophila* and therefore we have chosen to focus our investigations on retinal differentiation in the squid *Doryteuthis pealeii.*

The adult cephalopod retina is composed of two layers divided by the basal membrane (Figure 1A). Photoreceptor cell bodies are found posterior (further from the lens) to the basal membrane and support cells are found anterior (closer to the lens). The photoreceptor cells extend projections to the anterior of the retina to form the outer segment layer and extend axons out of the posterior retina to synapse directly on the optic lobe (Yamamoto et al., 1965; Young, 1974). During development, photoreceptor cell bodies are first identified behind the basal membrane at Arnold embryonic stage 27, and these cells are no longer proliferative (Arnold, 1965; Koenig et al., 2016). This is the earliest evidence of differentiation in the retina. The support cells, in the anterior of the retina, also extend processes into the outer segment (Yamamoto et al., 1965). Although the eye is functional at hatching, the support cells continue to incorporate BrdU (Koenig et al., 2016). The animal continues to grow post-hatching and proliferating support cells may include a long-term retinal stem cell population.

Previous observations from fixed tissue suggested that the cephalopod retinal primordium
is a pseudostratified epithelium, which is unusual for an invertebrate neurogenic tissue (Koenig
et al, 2016; (Koenig & Gross, 2020) (Figure 1A). This type of neuroepithelium is characteristic of
central nervous system development in vertebrates, including the retina (Taverna et al., 2014). A
pseudostratified epithelium is a monolayer composed of elongated cells with nuclei distributed
along the apicobasal axis. Cells in pseudostratified epithelia undergo nuclear movement
correlated with the cell cycle called interkinetic nuclear migration (Norden, 2017). This migration
is required for proper differentiation and organization in the developing vertebrate nervous system
(Strzyz et al., 2016). During nuclear migration, mitosis occurs on the apical surface and in
vertebrates this corresponds to the posterior side of the developing retina (Baye & Link, 2008)
(Figure 1A). In the cephalopod, fixed time point data suggests that mitosis occurs on the anterior
side of the developing retina, inverted relative to vertebrates (Koenig et al., 2016) (Figure 1A).
These observations suggest that neurogenesis may be more similar to vertebrate processes than
previously observed in other invertebrates and lead us to ask whether cephalopod retinal
progenitor cells undergo interkinetic nuclear migration and how cells differentiate within this
epithelium.
83
84
<u>Results</u>
Cell cycle in the developing squid retina
Previous work in the squid retina showed that at Arnold stage 23, a three hour BrdU pulse
resulted in incorporation throughout the retina (Arnold, 1965; Koenig et al., 2016). This long pulse
provided time for cells to move after incorporation, making it difficult to determine if only a subset
of cells may be proliferative. To more precisely understand cell cycle state and organization in the
early retina, we performed a 10 minute BrdU pulse experiment, fixing immediately, and co-labeling
with phosphohistone H3 immunofluorescence (PH3) (Figure 1B). We see that BrdU incorporation
in S-phase cells is restricted to the posterior of the retina after 10 minutes. We also find PH3
positive labeled nuclei, in late G2 and M phase, on the anterior surface of the retina, supporting
previous observations. The lack of BrdU incorporation in the anterior retina suggests that some
cells are already post-mitotic.
If cells are undergoing interkinetic nuclear migration, we would expect BrdU positive nuclei
to eventually migrate apically to divide. To test this hypothesis, we chased our 10 minute BrdU
pulse, sampling embryos every ten minutes for 110 minutes, again co-labeling with PH3 (Figure
1C). We found that at 80 minutes after the pulse we see BrdU positive/PH3 positive cells in the

anterior retina, suggesting that nuclei are migrating apically to divide (Figure 1D). If proliferative

cells in the posterior retina eventually exit the cell cycle and join the cells in the anterior retina, we

would expect to find BrdU positive/PH3 negative cells in the anterior retina. Indeed, at 110 minutes

we find BrdU positive, PH3 negative cells in the anterior retina (Figure 1E). Together, these data

suggest that cells in the cell cycle have nuclei residing in the posterior retina and these nuclei

migrate apically to divide. Eventually these cells exit the cell cycle, and their cell bodies migrate

to the anterior of the retina. These data are consistent with cells undergoing interkinetic nuclear

migration, with post-mitotic cells segregated apically.

***In vivo* observations of nuclear migration and proliferation in the squid retinal epithelium**

While fixed tissue experiments support our hypothesis, to fully understand the dynamics

of these cell behaviors, it is necessary to observe development *in vivo*. This required us to develop

a cell-resolution live-imaging protocol in cephalopods (Figure 2A). We injected fluorescent

Dextran to broadly label cell membranes and performed >9 hour developmental time course

imaging experiments of the squid retina at stage 23 (Figure 2A). Within these time course

datasets, we were able to track individual cells migrating from the basal lamina to the apical side

of the retina, where they mitose, and migrate basally again (Figure 2B, 2C, Movie S1, S2, S3).

These data confirm the process of interkinetic nuclear migration in the squid retina. From these

data we are able to calculate nuclear velocity and were able to assess that this migratory behavior

is similar in velocity to movement described in the vertebrate retina (Figure 2D) (Norden et al.,

2009).

The molecular motors contributing to nuclear migration have been interrogated in a

number of developmental contexts and some tissues rely exclusively or primarily on actomyosin,

while others require microtubules contribution to promote migration (Kosodo, 2012; E. J. Meyer

et al., 2011; Norden, 2017). To understand the contribution of these motors to nuclear migration

in the squid retina, we treated stage 23 embryos with either the actin polymerization inhibitor

cytochalasin D or the microtubule polymerization inhibitor nocodazole for seven hours and fixed

immediately. The impact of these inhibitors on nuclear migration was assessed using

immunofluorescence for PH3 (Figure 2E-2H). We find that nuclei positive for PH3 in the

cytochalasin D treated retinas are often displaced from the mitotic zone (MZ), defined as the

region of the epithelium containing nuclei abutting the most apical membrane. We find a small

percentage of PH3 positive nuclei in controls are also outside the mitotic zone, which are likely at

the end of G2, migrating toward the apical surface. Quantifying these differences, we see a

significant increase in cells outside the mitotic zone in our CytoD treated embryos suggesting

actin polymerization is required for nuclear migration. In addition, we see defects in migration in

nocodazole treated embryos; a population of PH3 positive nuclei accumulated at the apical

surface of the retina. This is what we would expect if microtubules were not required for migration,

as cells that have successfully migrated apically would then arrest and accumulate in M phase as

microtubules are required for mitosis. However, in addition to this accumulation, we find a

subpopulation of PH3 positive cells away from the mitotic zone, suggesting that microtubules play

a role in nuclear migration in the cephalopod retina.

In addition to nuclear movement, we were also able to assess the angle of cell division in

the epithelium. Symmetrical and asymmetrical cell division is an essential aspect of regulating

self-renewal, cell cycle exit and cell fate commitment during neurogenesis in multiple organisms

(Yu et al., 2006; Zigman et al., 2005); (Dehay & Kennedy, 2007). Overwhelmingly, we find most

mitoses observed to be symmetrical relative to the apical surface of the epithelium (Figure 2I,

Movie S2). We hypothesize that these divisions are self-renewing. We also observe

approximately 10% of cell divisions to be angled relative to the apical surface (Figure 2I, 2J & 2K,

Movie S3). We determined that symmetrical cell divisions had a division plane with an angle

relative to the apical surface between 60-90 degrees and asymmetrical divisions between 30-60

degrees (Figure 2K). We did not observe any cell divisions perpendicular to the apical surface,

(0-30 degrees) as have been observed in other systems (Knoblich, 2008; Morin & Bellaïche,

2011). We hypothesize that these asymmetric cell divisions may contribute to cells exiting the cell

cycle, however we were unable to follow cells long enough during live imaging to confirm this

hypothesis.

Molecular identity during retinal neurogenesis

To better understand the molecular state correlated with the cell cycle organization

observed in our BrdU and live imaging experiments, we sought to identify molecular markers that

help define differentiation trajectories in the cephalopod retina. We analyzed spatiotemporal

expression of thirteen candidate neurogenesis markers in the retina (Figure 3A, Supplemental

Figure 1, Supplemental Figure 2). We identified that in early retinal development, stage 21,

DpSoxB1 is homogeneously expressed across the retinal epithelium. At stage 23 the retina is

divided across the apical-basal axis with *DpSoxB1* found on the basal side of the retina,

correlating with BrdU incorporation, and *DpEphR* is expressed on the apical side. At stage 25 we

see the maintenance of segregated *DpSoxB1* and *DpEphR* expression, as well as the first

evidence of the terminal differentiation markers *DpRetinochrome* and *DpRhodopsin*. At stage 27,

the first evidence of the basal membrane is apparent, which is correlated with a loss of strict

segregation of *DpSoxB1* and *DpEphR* expression. We hypothesize this change is a result of cell-
body migration or mixing, with apical cells moving to the posterior of the retina and basal cells
moving to the anterior of the retina. We hypothesize that this resorting moves the post-mitotic
cells to the posterior where they will become the first photoreceptor cell bodies to migrate behind
the basal membrane. This is supported by previous observations of nuclei crossing the basal
membrane (Koenig et al., 2016). Supporting this hypothesis, we find that *DpSoxB1* expression
is never found posterior to the basal membrane. However, *DpEphR* is found on both sides of the
membrane until hatching. At hatching *DpEphR* is isolated to a subset of cells posterior to the basal
membrane. These cells may be maturing photoreceptor cells that have recently migrated to the
photoreceptor cell layer during hatching and post-hatching stage growth. Terminal differentiation
markers span both sides of the basal membrane at hatching. We hypothesize that *DpRhodopsin*
is expressed exclusively in the photoreceptor cells and is being trafficked to the outer segment
and that *DpRetinochrome* is expressed in both the photoreceptor cells and support cells.

This candidate gene survey suggests cell differentiation trajectories in the retina (Figure
3B, 3C). Our photoreceptor cell differentiation trajectory starts as *DpSoxB1* positive retinal
progenitor cells undergoing interkinetic nuclear migration in the pseudostratified epithelium.
These cells transition to *DpEphR* positive cells and become post-mitotic. We hypothesize that this
is the result of an asymmetric cell division. Eventually *DpEphR* expressing cells mature and begin
to express terminal differentiation markers, ultimately completing differentiation when they
exclusively express *DpRhodopsin* and *DpRetinochrome.*

We hypothesize a simple differentiation trajectory for the proliferative support cell
population (Figure 3B & 3C). These cells start as *DpSoxB1* positive retinal progenitor cells,
undergoing interkinetic nuclear migration. This self-renewing population is maintained throughout
development. During cell mixing at stage 27, *DpSoxB1* positive support cells begin to express
DpRetinochrome, migrate anterior in the retina and remain in the cell cycle. The
DpSoxB1/DpRetinochrome expressing support cells may be a long term stem cell population in
the retina.

Notch regulates retinal progenitor identity in the cephalopod
Our data show that BrdU incorporation correlates with *DpSoxB1* expression and *DpEphR*
expression correlates with post mitotic cells. Our BrdU chase experiments suggest that *DpSoxB1*
cells likely become *DpEphR* cells over time (Figure 4A, 4B & 4C). Notch signaling is a well-known
regulator of cell cycle exit and differentiation. Previous work showed that inhibiting Notch signaling
in the cephalopod using the gamma-secretase inhibitor DAPT led to a loss of BrdU incorporation

in the retina, showing that Notch was inhibiting cell cycle exit. We were interested in understanding

the role of Notch signaling in regulating cell fate trajectories in conjunction with proliferation. We

first performed *in situ* hybridization for Notch signaling pathway members in the retina (Figure 4D

and Supplemental Figure 3). If Notch signaling is important for maintaining progenitor fate, we

would expect Notch and Hes to be enriched in retinal progenitor cells. We find that *DpNotch* and

DpHes-1 expression is correlated with *DpSoxB1* expression and retinal progenitor identity (Figure

4D and Supplemental Figure 3). Interestingly, we find that Delta is ubiquitously expressed during

these stages (Supplemental Figure 3). We also find *DpNotch* expressed in a limited number of

nuclei on the apical side of the retina. We wanted to know if this expression correlated with nuclei

that had migrated apically to divide. We performed *in situ* hybridization for *DpNotch* in conjunction

with PH3 immunofluorescence and found that apical *DpNotch* expression is correlated with PH3

positive nuclei (Figure 4E). Inheritance of Notch mRNA may be an important part of maintaining

progenitor cell identity.

To assess the role of Notch in cell fate we used the gamma secretase inhibitor DAPT to

inhibit active signaling. Embryos were treated with DAPT or DMSO starting at stage 23 for 24

hours. Eyes were dissected and pooled. RNA was extracted from three experimental and control

samples and sequenced. 2242 genes were differentially expressed between DAPT and control

eyes with a p-value of less than 0.05 (Supplemental Table 1). *DpSoxB1* was found downregulated

and *DpEphR* was found upregulated in the dataset, but these changes were not deemed

statistically significant. DAPT compared to control eye samples showed both up and down

regulation in neural and sensory markers. Of note is the down regulation of Rhodopsin and other

G-protein coupled receptor related genes (Figure 4F). In addition, cell cycle related genes were

downregulated, which is expected as DAPT treatment leads to cell cycle exit in the squid retina

(Koenig et al., 2016) (Figure 4G). Finally, DAPT versus control eyes showed significant changes

in cell signaling genes, suggesting that Notch may self-regulate as well as interact with other

signaling pathways in the retina, including VEGFR, BMP and Wnt (Figure 4H).

To confirm changes in gene expression identified in the RNA-seq analysis, we performed

in situ hybridization studies on control and DAPT embryos (Figure 4I and Supplemental Figure 4

and Supplemental Figure 5). We confirm trends shown in the RNA-seq results. Specifically, we

find a loss of *DpNotch* expression, a downregulation of *DpRhodopsin,* and a complete loss of

DpSoxB1 in DAPT treated retinas (Figure 4I). We also observe a gain of expression of *DpEphR*

and synaptic transmission related gene, *DpSec-1,* in the posterior retina (Figure 4I). Interestingly,

we see ectopic expression of *DpVEGFR* in a subpopulation of cells in the DAPT treated retinas

relative to control. The unusual placement of ectopic expression of *DpVEGFR* may be

compounded by the disorganization of the DAPT treated retinas. The complete loss of *DpSoxB1*
and the ectopic expression of *DpEphR* shows that DAPT inhibition not only results in changes in
cell cycle state, but also changes molecular fate in the squid retina. These data suggest that Notch
signaling is required to maintain retinal progenitor identity in the squid.
241
Discussion
In the current study we have characterized the nuclear oscillatory behaviors of retinal
progenitor cells in squid. We have defined the molecular markers for progenitor, post-mitotic, and
fully differentiated cells in the retina and we have shown that Notch signaling is regulating both
cell cycle and molecular identity during retinal development. The importance of these findings is
in comparison to what is known about neurogenesis in other systems.
Pseudostratified epithelia and interkinetic nuclear migration have been observed in
multiple tissue types and across multiple species (E. J. Meyer et al., 2011). In the nervous system,
pseudostratification was historically considered a vertebrate specific developmental trait, which
was responsible for the large size of the central nervous system. Elaborate tissue-level
morphogenesis is has not been observed in invertebrate neural development, where individual
cells delaminate or ingress from a simple or stratified neuroepithelium, sometimes divide, and
then migrate to their final destination to differentiate (N. P. Meyer & Seaver, 2009; Sur et al.,
2020); (Byrne, 2019; Hartenstein & Stollewerk, 2015). However, interkinetic nuclear migration has
been described in the *Drosophila* optic lobe (Egger et al., 2007). In this case, the apicobasal
distance of migration is significantly smaller than vertebrate neurogenic tissues and cells
delaminate from the edge of the proliferative epithelium and migrate to their final destination to
differentiate (Egger et al., 2007; Holguera & Desplan, 2018; Strzyz et al., 2016). This process has
been compared to "conveyor belt" neurogenesis found in the zebrafish ciliary and tectal marginal
zones, where, during long term growth, cells are progressively added from the edge of a
pseudostratified stem cell population (Joly et al., 2016). The behavior described in the squid retina
is unusual because of its unique similarity to early neurogenesis in vertebrate species, which is
characterized by waves of differentiation within the pseudostratified epithelium (Agathocleous &
Harris, 2009; Livesey & Cepko, 2001). In the squid, we show that apicobasal height of the
epithelium is similar to vertebrate tissues, cells undergo interkinetic nuclear migration while
proliferative, and cells remain within the bounds of the epithelium after delaminating and
differentiating. The functional difference between conveyor belt neurogenesis versus within-
epithelium differentiation is unclear, but the architecture of the epithelium may provide physical
landmarks or signaling information important for proper organization.

Although pseudostratified neurogenesis is found in the cephalopod retina, the cell biology
of chordal or central nervous system neurogenesis is still not well described. It has been reported
that cells migrate from the lateral lip tissue, an embryonic neurogenic tissue found in the
cephalopod embryo to regions within the brain but pseudostratification of the lateral lips is not
apparent (Deryckere et al., 2021). Cephalopod central nervous system development may more
closely resemble neurogenesis found in other invertebrates or molluscs (Deryckere et al., 2021;
Marthy, 1987). With a central nervous system composed of significantly more cells than other
Spiralians and requiring long distance cell migration, it is likely that cephalopods have evolved
lineage specific mechanisms to manage this process.

Interestingly, in the squid, candidate gene expression of neurogenic factors also differs
significantly between the developing retina and other brain regions. This includes a lack of
expression of the canonical neurogenic ELAV, *DpELAV*, in the retina, an RNA binding protein
commonly found in differentiating neurons across species (Akamatsu et al., 2005; Denes et al.,
2007; N. P. Meyer & Seaver, 2009; Nakanishi et al., 2012; Nomaksteinsky et al., 2009). bHLH
factors *DpNeuroD* and *DpNeuroG*, both with orthologs that have roles in neural specification and
differentiation in many animals, are expressed in the lateral lips and brain in *D. pealeii* and other
cephalopods but are completely absent for the retina (Supplemental Figure 2) (Buresi et al.,
2013; Deryckere et al., 2021; Shigeno et al., 2015). However, it has been reported in *Octopus*
vulgaris that achaete-scute homolog *Ov-ascl1*, a third proneural bHLH transcription factor, is
expressed in the retina (Deryckere et al., 2021). Furthermore, SoxB1, required to maintain neural
precursors cells in vertebrates (Sox1, 2 and 3) and neuroblasts in *Drosophila* (SoxNeuro and
Dichaete), is also a retinal progenitor marker in cephalopods (Buescher et al., 2002; Bylund et al.,
2003; Ferrero et al., 2014; Holmberg et al., 2008; Overton et al., 2002; Taranova et al., 2006). We
also find that both cephalopod-specific *DpELAVL* paralogs show expression that suggests a role
in neurogenesis in both the retina and brain (Supplemental Figure 2).

Finally, we show that Notch signaling is maintaining molecular identity of the progenitor
population in the squid retina, and that the loss of Notch signaling leads to expression of the post-
mitotic marker *DpEphR*. In the vertebrate retina, the premature loss of Notch signaling leads to
the differentiation of early born cell types (Perron & Harris, 2000). In *D. pealeii*, we see a decrease
in *DpRhodopsin* expression, suggesting cells may have arrested in this immature post-mitotic
state. We also see the maintenance in *DpRetinochrome* expression, which may be evidence of
differing trajectories of these cell types during neurogenesis.

The function of pseudostratification and interkinetic nuclear migration during development
remains unclear, however our data sheds new light on old hypotheses. In particular,

pseudostratification may aid in intracellular organization, as we see significant mRNA localization
in our data, including mRNA transport correlated with nuclear migration. It may also be that the
physics and dynamics of pseudostratified epithelia are better at accommodating increased
proliferation or provides an organizational scaffold for the differentiating network of neurons. It will
be interesting to apply new fluid dynamics approaches used to study vertebrate neurogenesis to
the cell biology of this similar tissue (Azizi et al., 2020). Ultimately, the evidence we have
generated in cephalopods suggests that vertebrate-like cell behaviors during neurogenesis are
not exclusive to the chordate lineage. With greater sampling we may better understand the
evolutionary changes that contribute to the diversity and complexity we see.

Methods

Animal Husbandry

Doryteuthis pealeii egg sacks were obtained from the Marine Biological Labs. Egg sacks were
kept at 20 degrees Celsius in 20 gallon aquaria in artificial seawater under a day/night cycle.
Although not required, European guidelines for cephalopod research were followed.

Cloning and *in situ* Hybridization

Primers were designed using Primer3 in the Geneious software package version 2020.04
(https://www.geneious.com) and primer sequences are reported in Supplemental Table 2. Genes
were cloned into pGEM-T Easy vector and confirmed with Sanger sequencing and DIG-labeled
RNA probes were synthesized as previously reported (Koenig et al., 2016). Embryos were fixed
overnight at 4 degrees Celsius, washed and dehydrated stepwise into 100% ethanol. Embryos
were embedded, paraffin sectioned and *in situ* hybridization was performed as previously reported
(Neal et al., n.d.). All *in situs* were replicated in at least three embryos, across multiple separate
in situ experiments. Slides were stained overnight with Sytox-Green 1:1000 overnight, mounted
using ImmunoHistoMount (Abcam) and imaged on a Zeiss Axioskop 2.

BrdU Experiments

Embryos were bathed in BrdU (10 mM) in pen-strep seawater for 10 minutes as previously
described (Koenig et al., 2016). Embryos were fixed immediately, and the remaining embryos
were moved into pen-strep seawater. Groups of 10-15 embryos were fixed every ten minutes for
two hours. Embryos were fixed overnight at 4 degrees and washed out into PBS-Tween. Embryos
were stepped into 30% sucrose and embedded in tissue freezing medium and sectioned as
previously described (Koenig et al., 2016). Antigen-retrieval and immunofluorescence was
performed as previously described. BrdU antibody (Abcam ab6326) at concentration 1:250.
Phosphohistone H3 antibody (Sigma-Aldrich 06-570) was used at concentration 1:300.
Secondary antibodies goat anti-rat Alexa Fluor 488 and goat anti rabbit Alexa Fluor 647
(Invitrogen). Sections were counterstained with SYTOX-Green at 1:1000. Sections were imaged
on a Zeiss LSM 880 or Zeiss LSM 980.

Live-imaging experiments

Embryos were dissected from egg cases. The vitreous space was injected using a pico-liter microinjector with Dextran Alexa Fluor 488 10,000 MW (D22910) at stage 23. Embryos were embedded in 1% low melt agarose in seawater and mounted in cover glass bottom dishes (100503-366). Embryos were immersed in pen strep seawater. Embryos were imaged on a Zeiss 880. Embryos were imaged every ten minutes for at least nine hours.

Imaging analysis

Image analysis was performed in Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012). Intensity range was adjusted in Fiji to better identify cell membranes. Drift correction was performed using Fiji plugin CoordinateShift (written by Housei Wada, https://signaling.riken.jp/en/en-tools/imagej/). Nuclear tracking was performed both manually and using Fiji plugin Trackmate (Robertson, 2018; Schindelin et al., 2012). Tracks were visualized and distance and velocity measurements were obtained from Trackmate and plotted graphically, normalizing to the highest point in migration.

***Ex ovo* and Drug Treatments**

Embryos were treated in 20uM DAPT solution or DMSO control in filter-sterilized, Pen-Strep seawater starting at stage 23 for 24 hours and fixed immediately as previously described (Koenig et. al, 2016). Embryos were bathed in 5uM Nocodazole (M1404-2MG, Sigma-Aldrich) and 5uM Cytochalasin D (C8273-1MG, Sigma-Aldrich) for 7 hours and fixed immediately.

RNA-seq and Bioinformatics

Stage 23 embryos were treated with the gamma-secretase inhibitor DAPT at 20um in filter sterilized sea water for 24 hours and Control embryos were treated with the equivalent amount of DMSO, as previously described (Koenig et al., 2016). DAPT and Control eyes were dissected, pooled and macerated in TRIzol and stored at -80 degrees Celsius. RNA was extracted using a standard TRIzol (Invitrogen #15596026) chloroform extraction and passed through a gDNA eliminator mini-spin column (Qiagen #1030958). RNA was precipitated with isopropanol and then again precipitated with ethanol and checked for quality. Library prep and sequencing was performed at the Bauer Core at Harvard University. RNA-seq libraries were generated using the Kapa mRNA-Hyper Prep kit with Poly-A Selection (Roche, Basal) and were sequenced on the Illumina NovaSeq (>70 million 2x150 bp sequences) (Illumina, San Diego, CA).

Sequence quality control was performed according to the best practice recommendation on the Harvard FAS Informatics pipeline (https://informatics.fas.harvard.edu/best-practices -for-de-novo-transcriptome-assembly-with-trinity.html). Erroneous kmers were removed from the paired end Illumina dataset using rCorrector. Reads with Ns or other low complexity pairs were removed using a custom python script provided by the Harvard Informatics GitHub (FilterUncorrectabledPEfastq.py). Adapters and low quality bases were removed using TrimGalore! Reads that mapped using Bowtie2 to the rRNA databases SILVA 132 SSURef Nr99 tax and SILVA 132 LSUParc tax were removed. Pseudomapping was performed by Kallisto with 100 bootstraps to a previously published whole embryo transcriptome (Koenig et al., 2016). Transcript abundances were imported using tximport (https://bioconductor.org/packages/3.7/ bioc/vignettes/tximport/inst/doc/tximport.html#use-with-downstream-bioconductor-dge-packages) into DESeq2 using the Kallisto abundance.h5 files. Differential gene expression was determined

by importing transcript level abundances and gene level offset using *DESeqDataSetFromTximport* (Soneson et al., 2015). The DESeq2 pipeline was run and differentially expressed genes were considered with a p value of .05 and log2 fold change of above 1 and below -1. All genes shown in the chord plots in figure 4 meet these criteria with the exception of Notch which was included with a log2 fold change of -0.83. GO annotations were identified using the DAVID functional annotation tool (Huang et al., 2007). Chord plots were generated using the R package GOPlot (Walter et al., 2015) and gplots (*Website*, n.d.)Warnes(*Website*, n.d.).

Funding
This work was supported by a grant from the Office of the NIH Director 1DP5OD023111-01 and the John Harvard Distinguished Science Fellowship awarded to K.M.K.

Acknowledgements
We would like to thank the Srivastava lab for helpful discussions and Mansi Srivastava and Jeffrey Gross for comments on the manuscript. We thank Kevin Woods and the John Harvard Distinguished Science Fellows community for support and the Marine Biological Labs and the Marine Resources Center for assistance and access to embryos.

Author Contributions
K.M.K conceived of the study with input from F.N., C.M.D. and S.N.; K.M.K, F.N., C.M.D., S.N., A.Z., and A.L. performed experiments. K.J.M. performed phylogenetic analyses. K.M.K., C.M.D and K.J.M. wrote the manuscript in consultation with all authors.

References

Agathocleous, M., & Harris, W. A. (2009). From Progenitors to Differentiated Cells in the

Vertebrate Retina. In *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology* (Vol. 25, Issue 1,

pp. 45–69). https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.042308.113259

Akamatsu, W., Fujihara, H., Mitsuhashi, T., Yano, M., Shibata, S., Hayakawa, Y., Okano, H. J.,

Sakakibara, S.-I., Takano, H., Takano, T., Takahashi, T., Noda, T., & Okano, H. (2005).

The RNA-binding protein HuD regulates neuronal cell identity and maturation. *Proceedings*

of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, *102*(12), 4625–4630.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0407523102

Arnold, J. M. (1965). NORMAL EMBRYONIC STAGES OF THE SQUID, LOLIGO PEALII

(LESUEUR). In *The Biological Bulletin* (Vol. 128, Issue 1, pp. 24–32).

https://doi.org/10.2307/1539386

Azizi, A., Herrmann, A., Wan, Y., Buse, S. J., Keller, P. J., Goldstein, R. E., & Harris, W. A.

(2020). Nuclear crowding and nonlinear diffusion during interkinetic nuclear migration in the

zebrafish retina. *eLife*, *9*. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.58635

Baye, L. M., & Link, B. A. (2008). Nuclear migration during retinal development. In *Brain*

Research (Vol. 1192, pp. 29–36). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2007.05.021

Bertrand, V., & Hobert, O. (2010). Lineage programming: navigating through transient regulatory

states via binary decisions. *Current Opinion in Genetics & Development*, *20*(4), 362–368.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2010.04.010

Buescher, M., Hing, F. S., & Chia, W. (2002). Formation of neuroblasts in the embryonic central

nervous system of Drosophila melanogaster is controlled by SoxNeuro. *Development* ,

129(18), 4193–4203. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12183372

Buresi, A., Canali, E., Bonnaud, L., & Baratte, S. (2013). Delayed and asynchronous ganglionic

maturation during cephalopod neurogenesis as evidenced by Sof-elav1 expression in

embryos of Sepia officinalis (Mollusca, Cephalopoda). *The Journal of Comparative*

Neurology, *521*(7), 1482–1496. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.23231

Bylund, M., Andersson, E., Novitch, B. G., & Muhr, J. (2003). Vertebrate neurogenesis is

counteracted by Sox1–3 activity. In *Nature Neuroscience* (Vol. 6, Issue 11, pp. 1162–1168).

https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1131

Byrne, J. H. (2019). *The Oxford Handbook of Invertebrate Neurobiology*. Oxford University

Press. https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=O_iEDwAAQBAJ

Dehay, C., & Kennedy, H. (2007). Cell-cycle control and cortical development. In *Nature*

Reviews Neuroscience (Vol. 8, Issue 6, pp. 438–450). https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2097

Denes, A. S., Jékely, G., Steinmetz, P. R. H., Raible, F., Snyman, H., Prud'homme, B., Ferrier,

D. E. K., Balavoine, G., & Arendt, D. (2007). Molecular architecture of annelid nerve cord

supports common origin of nervous system centralization in bilateria. *Cell*, *129*(2), 277–288.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2007.02.040

Deryckere, A., Styfhals, R., Elagoz, A. M., Maes, G. E., & Seuntjens, E. (2021). Identification of

neural progenitor cells and their progeny reveals long distance migration in the developing

octopus brain. *eLife*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.69161

Egger, B., Boone, J. Q., Stevens, N. R., Brand, A. H., & Doe, C. Q. (2007). Regulation of

spindle orientation and neural stem cell fate in the Drosophila optic lobe. *Neural*

Development, *2*, 1. https://doi.org/10.1186/1749-8104-2-1

Ferrero, E., Fischer, B., & Russell, S. (2014). SoxNeuro orchestrates central nervous system

specification and differentiation in Drosophila and is only partially redundant with Dichaete.

Genome Biology, *15*(5), R74. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2014-15-5-r74

Gagnon, Y. L., Sutton, T. T., & Johnsen, S. (2013). Visual acuity in pelagic fishes and mollusks.

Vision Research, *92*, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2013.08.007

Hartenstein, V., & Stollewerk, A. (2015). The evolution of early neurogenesis. *Developmental*

Cell, *32*(4), 390–407. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2015.02.004

Holguera, I., & Desplan, C. (2018). Neuronal specification in space and time. *Science*,

362(6411), 176–180. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aas9435

Holmberg, J., Hansson, E., Malewicz, M., Sandberg, M., Perlmann, T., Lendahl, U., & Muhr, J.

(2008). SoxB1 transcription factors and Notch signaling use distinct mechanisms to

regulate proneural gene function and neural progenitor differentiation. *Development* ,

135(10), 1843–1851. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.020180

Huang, D. W., Sherman, B. T., Tan, Q., Kir, J., Liu, D., Bryant, D., Guo, Y., Stephens, R.,

Baseler, M. W., Clifford Lane, H., & Lempicki, R. A. (2007). DAVID Bioinformatics

Resources: expanded annotation database and novel algorithms to better extract biology

from large gene lists. In *Nucleic Acids Research* (Vol. 35, Issue suppl_2, pp. W169–W175).

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm415

Joly, J.-S., Recher, G., Brombin, A., Ngo, K., & Hartenstein, V. (2016). A Conserved

Developmental Mechanism Builds Complex Visual Systems in Insects and Vertebrates.

Current Biology: CB, *26*(20), R1001–R1009. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2016.08.017

Knoblich, J. A. (2008). Mechanisms of asymmetric stem cell division. *Cell*, *132*(4), 583–597.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2008.02.007

Koenig, K. M., & Gross, J. M. (2020). Evolution and development of complex eyes: a celebration

of diversity. *Development* , *147*(19). https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.182923

Koenig, K. M., Sun, P., Meyer, E., & Gross, J. M. (2016). Eye development and photoreceptor

differentiation in the cephalopod Doryteuthis pealeii. *Development* , *143*(17), 3168–3181.

https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.134254

Kosodo, Y. (2012). Interkinetic nuclear migration: beyond a hallmark of neurogenesis. *Cellular*

and Molecular Life Sciences: CMLS, *69*(16), 2727–2738. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-

012-0952-2

Livesey, F. J., & Cepko, C. L. (2001). Vertebrate neural cell-fate determination: lessons from the

retina. *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience*, *2*(2), 109–118. https://doi.org/10.1038/35053522

Marthy, H.-J. (1987). Ontogenesis of the Nervous System in Cephalopods. In *Nervous Systems*

in Invertebrates (pp. 443–459). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-1955-9_15

Meyer, E. J., Ikmi, A., & Gibson, M. C. (2011). Interkinetic Nuclear Migration Is a Broadly

Conserved Feature of Cell Division in Pseudostratified Epithelia. In *Current Biology* (Vol.

21, Issue 6, pp. 485–491). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2011.02.002

Meyer, N. P., & Seaver, E. C. (2009). Neurogenesis in an annelid: Characterization of brain

neural precursors in the polychaete Capitella sp. I. In *Developmental Biology* (Vol. 335,

Issue 1, pp. 237–252). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2009.06.017

Morin, X., & Bellaïche, Y. (2011). Mitotic spindle orientation in asymmetric and symmetric cell

divisions during animal development. *Developmental Cell*, *21*(1), 102–119.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2011.06.012

Nakanishi, N., Renfer, E., Technau, U., & Rentzsch, F. (2012). Nervous systems of the sea

anemone Nematostella vectensis are generated by ectoderm and endoderm and shaped

by distinct mechanisms. *Development* , *139*(2), 347–357.

https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.071902

Neal, S., McCulloch, K. J., Napoli, F., Daly, C. M., Coleman, J. H., & Koenig, K. M. (n.d.). *Co-*

option of the Limb Patterning Program in Cephalopod Lens Development.

https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.04.22.441006

Nomaksteinsky, M., Röttinger, E., Dufour, H. D., Chettouh, Z., Lowe, C. J., Martindale, M. Q., &

Brunet, J.-F. (2009). Centralization of the deuterostome nervous system predates

chordates. *Current Biology: CB*, *19*(15), 1264–1269.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.05.063

Norden, C. (2017). Pseudostratified epithelia – cell biology, diversity and roles in organ

formation at a glance. In *Journal of Cell Science*. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.192997

Norden, C., Young, S., Link, B. A., & Harris, W. A. (2009). Actomyosin is the main driver of

interkinetic nuclear migration in the retina. *Cell*, *138*(6), 1195–1208.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.06.032

Overton, P. M., Meadows, L. A., Urban, J., & Russell, S. (2002). Evidence for differential and

redundant function of the Sox genes Dichaete and SoxN during CNS development in

Drosophila. *Development* , *129*(18), 4219–4228.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12183374

Packard, A. (1972). CEPHALOPODS AND FISH: THE LIMITS OF CONVERGENCE. In

Biological Reviews (Vol. 47, Issue 2, pp. 241–307). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-

185x.1972.tb00975.x

Perron, M., & Harris, W. A. (2000). Determination of vertebrate retinal progenitor cell fate by the

Notch pathway and basic helix-loop-helix transcription factors. *Cellular and Molecular Life*

Sciences: CMLS, *57*(2), 215–223. https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00000685

Robertson, R. (2018). *Open and Extensible Control & Analytics Platform for Synchrophasor*

Data. https://doi.org/10.2172/1468940

Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch,

S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., Tinevez, J.-Y., White, D. J., Hartenstein, V.,

Eliceiri, K., Tomancak, P., & Cardona, A. (2012). Fiji: an open-source platform for

biological-image analysis. *Nature Methods*, *9*(7), 676–682.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019

Shigeno, S., Parnaik, R., Albertin, C. B., & Ragsdale, C. W. (2015). Evidence for a cordal, not

ganglionic, pattern of cephalopod brain neurogenesis. In *Zoological Letters* (Vol. 1, Issue

1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40851-015-0026-z

Soneson, C., Love, M. I., & Robinson, M. D. (2015). Differential analyses for RNA-seq:

transcript-level estimates improve gene-level inferences. *F1000Research*, *4*, 1521.

https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7563.2

Strzyz, P. J., Matejcic, M., & Norden, C. (2016). Heterogeneity, Cell Biology and Tissue

Mechanics of Pseudostratified Epithelia: Coordination of Cell Divisions and Growth in

Tightly Packed Tissues. *International Review of Cell and Molecular Biology*, *325*, 89–118.

https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.ircmb.2016.02.004

Sur, A., Renfro, A., Bergmann, P. J., & Meyer, N. P. (2020). Investigating cellular and molecular

mechanisms of neurogenesis in Capitella teleta sheds light on the ancestor of Annelida.

BMC Evolutionary Biology, *20*(1), 84. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-020-01636-1

Taranova, O. V., Magness, S. T., Fagan, B. M., Wu, Y., Surzenko, N., Hutton, S. R., & Pevny, L.

H. (2006). SOX2 is a dose-dependent regulator of retinal neural progenitor competence.

Genes & Development, *20*(9), 1187–1202. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1407906

Taverna, E., Götz, M., & Huttner, W. B. (2014). The cell biology of neurogenesis: toward an

understanding of the development and evolution of the neocortex. *Annual Review of Cell*

and Developmental Biology, *30*, 465–502. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cellbio-101011-

155801

Walter, W., Sánchez-Cabo, F., & Ricote, M. (2015). GOplot: an R package for visually

combining expression data with functional analysis: Fig. 1. In *Bioinformatics* (Vol. 31, Issue

17, pp. 2912–2914). https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv300

Website. (n.d.). Gregory R. Warnes, Ben Bolker, Lodewijk Bonebakker, Robert Gentleman,

Wolfgang Huber, Andy Liaw, Thomas Lumley, Martin Maechler, Arni Magnusson, Steffen

Moeller, Marc Schwartz and Bill Venables (2015). gplots: Various R Programming Tools for

Plotting Data. R package version 2.17.0. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=gplots

Yamamoto, T., Tasaki, K., Sugawara, Y., & Tonosaki, A. (1965). FINE STRUCTURE OF THE

OCTOPUS RETINA. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, *25*, 345–359.

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14287185

Young, J. Z. (1974). The central nervous system of Loligo. I. The optic lobe. *Philosophical*

Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences, *267*(885), 263–

302. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1974.0002

Yu, F., Kuo, C. T., & Jan, Y. N. (2006). Drosophila Neuroblast Asymmetric Cell Division: Recent

Advances and Implications for Stem Cell Biology. In *Neuron* (Vol. 51, Issue 1, pp. 13–20).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2006.06.016

Zechner, C., Nerli, E., & Norden, C. (2020). Stochasticity and determinism in cell fate decisions.

Development , *147*(14). https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.181495

Zigman, M., Cayouette, M., Charalambous, C., Schleiffer, A., Hoeller, O., Dunican, D.,

McCudden, C. R., Firnberg, N., Barres, B. A., Siderovski, D. P., & Knoblich, J. A. (2005).

Mammalian inscuteable regulates spindle orientation and cell fate in the developing retina.

Neuron, *48*(4), 539–545. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.09.030

Gregory R. Warnes, Ben Bolker, Lodewijk Bonebakker, Robert Gentleman, Wolfgang Huber,

Andy Liaw, Thomas Lumley, Martin Maechler, Arni Magnusson, Steffen Moeller, Marc

Schwartz and Bill Venables (2015). gplots: Various R Programming Tools for Plotting Data.

R package version 2.17.0. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=gplots

583
Figure 1: Cell cycle and nuclear migration in the squid retina assessed in fixed tissue. A. Schematic
of the vertebrate and cephalopod eye at adult, juvenile and early stage development. Box shows
enlargement of the vertebrate pseudostratified epithelium, apical to the left. Grey ovals are the nuclei
distributed across the tissue early in development, darker grey nuclei are undergoing mitosis. *R:* Retina;
L: Lens; *OS:* Outer Segment; *V:* Vitreous Space; PR: Photoreceptor Nuclear Layer; *SC:* Support Cell Layer;
BM: Basal Membrane. **B.** 10 minute BrdU pulse and immediate fix (Time 0) BrdU/PH3 antibody stain shows
BrdU incorporation on the posterior side of the epithelium (S-phase) and PH3 stain on the anterior side of
the epithelium (M-phase). Scale 50um. **C.** BrdU/PH3 time course. 10 minute BrdU pulse, fixed every 10
minutes followed by BrdU/PH3 antibody stains. Scale 50um. Cell mixing is not observed until 80 minutes
after pulse. **D.** 80 minutes after BrdU pulse is the first time point where BrdU+/PH3+ nuclei are found on
the apical side of the retina. **E.** 110 minutes after pulse is the first time point where BrdU+/PH3- nuclei are
found on the apical side of the retina.
596

Figure 2: Nuclear migration and mitosis in the retinal epithelium. A. Schematic of squid embryo live-imaging. Scale is 50um **B.** False-colored timelapse of a single mitosis in the squid retina at stage 23 showing apical migration in the epithelium, mitosis, and basal migration. Apical is up, basal is down. Scale is 10um. **C.** Graph of nuclear movement as tracked using the Trackmate Fiji plugin (Schindelin et al., 2012). 13 nuclei tracked in 3 embryos. Tracks aligned to the highest point in migration. **D.** Graph of nuclear velocity calculated from the cells tracked in C. **E.** PH3 immunohistochemistry on actin polymerization inhibited squid retina at stage 23. 5uM Cytochalasin D and DMSO control treatment for 7 hours and immediate fix. Scale is 50um. **F.** Quantification of PH3+ nuclei outside the mitotic zone. **G.** PH3 immunohistochemistry on microtubule polymerization inhibited squid retina at stage 23. 5uM Nocodazole and DMSO control treatment for 7 hours and immediate fix. **H.** Quantification of PH3+ nuclei outside of the mitotic zone. **I.** Example of symmetric and asymmetric cell divisions observed in live-imaging experiments. Measurement of angle of division shown. Scale is 10um **J.** Quantification of symmetric (60°-90°) and asymmetric (30°-60°) cell divisions quantified from videos of 5 embryos and a total of 70 mitoses. **K.** Radial histogram quantification of division angles. Each dot represents a single mitosis.

Figure 3: Molecular marker expression suggests a retinal differentiation trajectory.

A. Staged mRNA expression in the developing cephalopod retina: *DpSoxB1, DpEphR, DpRhodopsin,*
DpRetinochrome. Nuclear stain (sytox) shown in green. Schematic of stages labeled and shown.
Arrowheads and black and white dotted lines identify the basal membrane. Red dotted lines identify the
posterior retinal boundary. Scale is 50um. *RP,* Retinal Placode; *L* Lens; *OS,* Outer Segment; *BM,* Basal
Membrane; *PR,* Photoreceptor Cells; *V,* Vitreous Space; *A,* Apical; *B,* Basal. Stage 21, 23, & 25 embryo
anterior is down. Stage 27, 28, & 29 embryo dorsal is up. **B.** Hypothesis of cell differentiation trajectories.
Color key is used in C. **C.** High magnification summary of time course gene expression data. At stage 21
the retina is homogenous. At stage 23 the retina is divided into apical and basal regions. At stage 25,
evidence of terminal differentiation markers, *DpRhodopsin* and *DpRetinochrome*, are evident. At stage 27,
the first nuclei are found behind the basal membrane and strict segregation of apical and basal expression
is lost. Stage 28, the number of photoreceptor cells behind the basal membrane has increased and gene
expression shows increased regional segregation. Stage 29, differentiation markers are robustly
expressed.

Figure 4: Notch signaling is required to maintain retinal pr-ogenitor cell identity. A. *DpSoxB1* expression on the basal side of the retina at stage 23 in retinal progenitor cells . **B.** *DpEphR* expression on the apical side of the retina at stage 23 in post-mitotic cells. Scale 50um. **C.** Schematic of nuclear migration within the pseudostratified retinal epithelium. Red (*DpSoxB1*) and yellow (*DpEphR*) color corresponds to gene expression and summary Figure 3B and 3C. **D.** *DpNotch* and *DpHes-1* expression correlates with *DpSoxB1* expression in the retinal progenitor cell population. Scale 50um **E.** *DpNotch* expression on the apical side of the retinal epithelium correlates with PH3 expression. Scale 50um. **F.** DAVID GO-annotations of RNA-seq results of Notch inhibited eyes. Embryos treated with 20uM DAPT and DMSO control at stage 23 for 24 hours. Eyes dissected for sequencing. All results shown have a p-value < 0.05. Neural sensory genes **G.** Cell-cycle genes **H.** Signaling pathway genes. Color Key is shared for all RNA-seq results. **I.** *In situ* hybridization on Control and Notch inhibited retina. Embryos treated with 20uM DAPT and DMSO control at stage 23 for 24 hours and fixed immediately. Nuclear stain (SYTOX-green) shown in green in the first column. Selected down-regulated genes in DAPT embryos in the purple box on the left. Selected up-regulated genes in DAPT embryos in the yellow box on the right. *DpSoxB1* expression, the retinal progenitor marker, is lost from the retina in Notch inhibited embryos. *DpEphR* expression, the neuroblast marker, has expanded expression throughout the retina in Notch inhibited embryos.