1
2
3
4 **Short title: Wildlife is imperiled in peri-urban landscapes**
5
6
7
8
9 **Wildlife is imperiled in peri-urban landscapes: threats to arboreal mammals**
10
11
12
13

14 Ó.M. Chaves[a,b,*], J.C. Souza Júnior[c,d]., G. Buss[e,f], Z.M.B Hirano[c,d], M.M.A. Jardim[f,g],
15
16 E.L.S. Amaral[b], J.C. Godoy[f], A.R. Peruchi[d], T. Michel[g], J.C. Bicca-Marques[b]
17
18
19
20 [a] Escuela de Biología, Universidad de Costa Rica, San Pedro de Montes de Oca, 2060, San
21 José, Costa Rica
22
23 [b] Escola de Ciências da Saúde e da Vida, Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande
24
25 do Sul, Porto Alegre, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil
26
27 [c] Centro de Pesquisas Biológicas de Indaial, Indaial, Santa Catarina, Brazil
28
29 [d] Fundação Universidade Regional de Blumenau – FURB, Blumenau, Brazil
30
31 [e] Centro de Pesquisa e Conservação de Primatas Brasileiros (CPB), Instituto Chico Mendes
32 de Conservação da Biodiversidade (ICMBio), João Pessoa, Brazil
33
34 [f] Programa Macacos Urbanos (PMU), Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul
35 (UFRGS), Brazil
36
37
38 [g] Museu de Ciências Naturais, Departamento de Biodiversidade,
39 Secretaria do Meio Ambiente e Infraestrutura(MCN/SEMA-RS), Brazil
45 *Correspondence author at: Escuela de Biología, Universidad de Costa Rica, San Pedro de
46 Montes de Oca, 2060, San José, Costa Rica
47
48 *Email address*: ochaba@gmail.com (Ó.M. Chaves)

Graphical Abstract

>20 years
of monitoring
in two states
of Brazil

540 conflicts
with howler monkeys

Post-conflict
mortality
= 56%

Electrocution (37%)

Dog attack (34%)

Runover (17%)

Mistreatments (12%)

HIGHLIGHTS

- We investigated the threats faced by brown howler monkeys in peri-urban regions of southern Brazil.

- After 20+ years of monitoring, we compiled 540 conflicts between monkeys and humans in the two study regions.

- The main conflicts were electrocution (37%), dog attack (34%), run over (17%), and mistreatment (12%).

- Lethal injuries ranged from 5% to 69% depending on conflict type.

- State, conflict type, and age-sex class explained 83% of the variation in conflict-related mortality.

Wildlife is imperiled in peri-urban landscapes: threats to arboreal mammals

ABSTRACT

Urbanization and deforestation impose severe challenges to wildlife, particularly for

forest-living vertebrates. Understanding how the peri-urban matrix impacts their

survival is critical for designing strategies to promote their conservation. We

investigated the threats faced by brown howler monkeys (*Alouatta guariba clamitans*)

in peri-urban regions of Rio Grande do Sul (RS) and Santa Catarina (SC) states,

southern Brazil, by compiling conflicts reported over more than two decades. We

assessed the major conflicts, their distribution among age-sex classes, and the predictors

of conflict-related mortality using GLMMs. After 20+ years of monitoring, we

compiled 540 conflicts (RS=248 and SC=292). Electrocution in power lines was the

most frequent cause of death or injury (37%), followed by dog attack (34%), run over

(17%), and human mistreatment (12%). The occurrence of lethal injuries ranged from

5% to 69% depending on the type of conflict and state. The overall post-conflict

mortality was 56%. Adult males and females were the major victims in both states. The

minimal adequate GLMM explained 83% of the variation in conflict-related mortality.

State, conflict type, and age-sex class were the main predictors of mortality. Overall,

mortality was lower in SC and after human mistreatment, and higher among adult

females than in the other classes. We found that the survival of brown howlers in the

forest-urban interface is constrained by both the urban infrastructure and the growing

interactions with humans and domestic and stray dogs. We propose the placement of

aerial bridges, road signs and speed bumps in areas of frequent animal crossing, the

sterilization of stray dogs, and the sensitization of local inhabitants on the importance of

respecting and protecting wildlife to reduce their conflicts with humans and domestic

animals in the forest-urban interface.

Keywords:

Alouatta guariba clamitans; Atlantic Forest; electrocution; dog attack; run over;

human-wildlife conflict; environmental disturbance

1. Introduction

The accelerated destruction of natural habitats by human activities, particularly the expansion of farming, cattle ranching and urbanization (United Nations, 2015; Piano et al., 2020), has resulted in large-scale biodiversity loss (Ceballos et al., 2015; Estrada et al., 2017; Piano et al., 2020). Urban expansion in regions characterized by fragmented landscapes is particularly critical because it imposes additional pressures on threatened species that increase the risk of local extirpation (United Nations, 2015; Salomão et al, 2019; Piano et al., 2020), particularly when the adaptations of the remaining wildlife to the urban landscape increase their encounters with humans (Schell et al., 2020). Therefore, identifying the main threats faced by wildlife in peri-urban landscapes (i.e. mixed landscapes of rural and urban elements that experience intense human pressure: Douglas, 2006) is the first step to developing appropriate conservation strategies aimed at preventing or mitigating their impacts on wild populations.

Urbanization-related processes cause negative impacts on animals worldwide (e.g. butterflies and dung beetles: Salomão et al., 2019; Piano et al., 2020; reptiles: Gonçalves et al., 2018; birds: Bernardino et al., 2018; primates and other mammals: Bueno et al., 2015; Katsis et al., 2018; Al-Razi et al., 2019; Jones-Román et al., 2021; wild terrestrial vertebrates in general: Villatoro et al., 2019; Rodríguez et al., 2020; Teixeira et al., 2020). Roads, power lines, houses/buildings, and areas inhabited by domestic and stray dogs increase the risk of death of dispersing individuals, thereby compromising gene flow between isolated populations immersed in impermeable or semi-permeable urban matrices (Sol et al., 2013; Bernardino et al., 2018; Schell et al., 2020).

Arboreal tropical primates are among the most vulnerable vertebrates to

urbanization because of their high dependence on emergent trees (Peres, 1994; Arroyo-

Rodríguez and Dias, 2009; Rovero et al., 2015) and because the human encroachment

into their habitats is growing (Estrada et al., 2017). While the impact of forest

fragmentation, selective logging and hunting on primate behavior and demography has

received significant attention (e.g. *Procolobus rufomitratus* and *Colobus guereza*:

Gillespie and Chapman, 2008; *Alouatta* spp.: Arroyo-Rodríguez and Dias, 2009; *Ateles*

geoffroyi: Chaves et al., 2011; see also Marsh, 2003; Marsh and Chapman, 2013), the

impact of urbanization on primate survival has been often neglected (but see Gordo et

al., 2013; Bicca-Marques, 2017; Katsis et al., 2018; Cunneyworth and Slade, 2021).

Primates inhabiting small habitat patches (i.e. <10 ha; *sensu* Marsh et al., 2003),

which are often immersed in peri-urban landscapes, tend to face higher levels of food

scarcity, physiological stress and spatial isolation among other adverse consequences of

living in these environments (Fahrig, 2003; Arroyo-Rodríguez and Dias, 2009, Bicca-

Marques et al., 2020). Species that cope with these peri-urban stressors can exploit food

patches containing native and cultivated plants and human-provisioned or wasted foods

in the matrix (e.g. *Alouatta guariba clamitans*: Chaves and Bicca-Marques, 2017;

Corrêa et al., 2018; Back and Bicca-Marques, 2019; *Cebus imitator*: Mckinney, 2011;

Saguinus bicolor: Gordo et al., 2013). However, peri-urban primates are also exposed to

the aforementioned intense vehicle traffic in roads and highways, powerline networks,

dog attacks and human mistreatment while navigating between food patches (e.g.

Lokschin et al., 2007; Buss, 2012; Gordo et al., 2013; Bicca-Marques, 2017; Bicca-

Marques et al., 2020; Cunneyworth and Slade., 2021; Jones-Román et al., 2021).

This scenario illustrates the encroached Atlantic Forest landscapes (Ribeiro et

al., 2009), where 19 of the 27 nonhuman primates are endemic (Culot et al., 2019),

three are Near Threatened, four are Vulnerable, seven are Endangered and five are

Critically Endangered (IUCN, 2021). Although the Atlantic Forest is the most

developed and populated Brazilian biome (Mittermeier et al., 2004), the impact of

urbanization on the conservation status of its threatened primate fauna is poorly known.

The brown howler monkey (*Alouatta guariba clamitans*) is a Vulnerable (Buss

et al., 2019) endemic Atlantic Forest primate found in isolated forest patches immersed

in peri-urban and rural landscapes of south and southeastern Brazil. The taxon's

ecology and behavior are well-known, particularly in south Brazil (Martins, 2006; Buss,

2012; Chaves and Bicca-Marques, 2013, 2017; Chaves et al., 2018; Corrêa et al., 2018;

Back and Bicca-Marques, 2019). However, the lack of long-term data on the influence

of peri-urban threats on its populations compromises our assessments of their

conservation importance.

In this study we compiled almost three decades of data on conflicts involving

free-ranging brown howlers in peri-urban and rural landscapes in the two southernmost

Brazilian states (Rio Grande do Sul and Santa Catarina, hereafter RS and SC,

respectively). Specifically, we assessed (i) the types of conflict and their relative

frequency, (ii) the level of physical harm caused by each conflict, (iii) the proportion of

howlers that recovered from distinct external injuries and the proportion of those that

were released back into their habitats, (iv) the relationship between age-sex class and

the frequency of each type of conflict, (v) the role played by season and day of the week

on the frequency of conflicts, and (vi) the potential predictors of conflict-related

outcomes (i.e. if animals survived or died because of the conflict). Based in the

aforementioned, we hypothesize that brown howlers are imperiled in peri-urban areas in

both states because the presence of hostile urban elements such as power lines, roads,

and domestic dogs. In light of our findings, we propose management strategies to

prevent and reduce the occurrence of conflicts and fatalities involving howler monkeys

and other arboreal mammals in peri-urban landscapes.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study species

Brown howler monkeys, alike their congenerics, are known for their high resilience to

habitat disturbance, which has been associated with their highly flexible folivorous-

frugivorous diet, including the exploitation of cultivated foods (Dias and Rangel-

Negrín, 2015; Chaves and Bicca-Marques, 2016, 2017), and their home ranges often

<15 ha. Brown howler populations in peri-urban areas in southern Brazil are commonly

confined to small (<10 ha) private forest fragments (Printes et al., 2010; Chaves and

Bicca-Marques, 2013; Corrêa et al., 2018). These discrete subpopulations may interact

in patchy metapopulations and persist longer than those more isolated in the landscape.

Therefore, they may play an important role for the conservation of this threatened

species that is also highly susceptible to outbreaks of yellow fever (Almeida et al.,

2012; Bicca-Marques et al., 2017; Buss et al., 2019).

2.2. Study area and forest remnants

In RS, we conducted this study in a ca. 200-km^2 region in the municipalities of

Viamão and Porto Alegre, particularly in the peri-urban and rural areas of Viamão and

the district of Lami (Fig. 1, Table 1). We focused >90% of our sampling effort in an

area of 110 km^2 (Fig. 1). In SC, we monitored a ca. 800-km^2 peri-urban region in the

municipalities of Blumenau, Indaial, Pomerode and Jaraguá do Sul (Fig. 1, Table 1).

Additionally, we occasionally monitored other districts of Porto Alegre, RS, and

municipalities along the coastal region of SC when local inhabitants reported conflicts

with howler monkeys (Fig. 1, Table S1).

Human populations grew as little as 5% in Viamão to as much as 21% in Indaial

from 2000 to 2010, reaching densities ranging from 160 people/km^2 in Viamão to 596

people/km^2 in Blumenau (Table 1). Most people (≥86%) live in peri-urban areas in the

study regions. The number of houses vary from about 4,000 in Lami to 97,000 in

Blumenau (IBGE, 2020; Table 1). Most of the study areas are surrounded by <1-ha to

100-ha Atlantic Forest fragments and scattered vegetation corridors in different

successional stages. Subtropical semideciduous forests dominate the vegetation in both

study regions.

Regardless of fragment size and level of official environmental protection,

brown howlers that move between habitat patches in these peri-urban landscapes face

risks imposed by roads, power lines, and human settlements (Table 1). These structural

elements together with pastures and cultivated lands reduce matrix permeability,

compromising inter-path connectivity. Despite these threats for moving and dispersing

individuals and the human pressures on the plant community structure of habitat

patches (e.g. selective logging, residential development), brown howler populations

have persisted.

2.3. Data collection

We recorded the conflicts between 1995 and 2021 in RS and between 1991 and

2020 in SC (Fig. S1). We used four sources of information: (i) our own field

observations, and reports from local (ii) inhabitants, (iii) environmental authorities (i.e.

municipal and state environmental secretariats, Polícia Militar Ambiental/SC), and (iv)

wildlife rehabilitation centers and veterinary hospitals and clinics (Porto Alegre and

Viamão, RS). We visited the location of ~70% of reported conflicts to record the

following information: geographic coordinates using a Garmin GPS, type of conflict

(electrocution or sub-lethal injuries in power lines, EL; run over by any kind of motor-

vehicle, RO; domestic dog attack, DA; and human mistreatment (including illegal

captivity and physical mistreatments, MT; Fig. 2), injury level (mild-medium, severe, or

lethal) based on the external injuries (Fig. S2), and, whenever possible, the fate of the

injured individual. Type MT involved firearm shooting, stoning, and illegal captivity.

The last is associated with chaining, inadequate feeding, precarious sanitary conditions,

and lack of veterinarian care.

The mild-medium injury level included minor scratches that did not require

prompt veterinarian care (e.g. slight skin-burns and teeth loss) and injuries that required

surgery (e.g. bleeding, multiple dog bites, bone fractures, amputation of fingers, limbs

or tail; Fig. S2). Severe injuries included multiple wounds that could lead to death

without urgent veterinarian intervention (Fig. S2). These injuries often impeded the

release of the individual back into the wild upon its recovery. Finally, lethal injuries

often caused the howler's death up to 5 h after the conflict.

Whenever possible, we frozen the carcasses in the collection of biological

material of CEPESBI in SC, and in the Laboratório de Primatologia or the Museu de

Ciências e Tecnologia/PUCRS, or the Museu de Ciências Naturais (SEMA/RS) in RS.

A subsample of carcasses from RS was necropsied in a study on the taxon's helminth

parasite fauna (Jesus et al., 2021). Injured howlers were rescued by local authorities,

researchers, or volunteers, whom, then, sent them to veterinarian hospitals/clinics or

authorized wildlife rehabilitation centers. The full conflict dataset is available in Chaves

et al. (2021).

2.4. Database limitations

Although we recorded conflicts during almost three decades in each study

region, we are conservative in extrapolating and interpreting our findings because of the

following limitations inherent of this kind of long-term study. First, we certainly missed

conflicts (Fig. 1) that were not reported by local people or not forwarded to us by local

authorities. This situation is more likely when the injuries were mild-medium and when

the monkey returned to its group soon after the conflict (OMC, personal observation).

Second, our sampling effort varied over time (Fig. S1) given temporal changes in the

number of researchers, volunteers, and local informants. In this respect, the 1990s were

poorly sampled because of a lack of volunteers or institutional groups to rescue the

monkeys. Finally, local environmental authorities were more active collaborators in SC

than in RS. This difference may explain the greater number of records of human

mistreatment in SC. Despite these limitations, our database represents a useful

description of the main threats faced by brown howlers living in the forest-urban

interface for promoting their conservation via the design of appropriate management

strategies.

2.5. Characterization of the study peri-urban matrices

We estimated 10 physical traits of the peri-urban matrices for those conflicts for

which we have precise geographic coordinates, date of occurrence, type of conflict, and

injury level ($n = 335$, 212 in SC and 123 in RS, see Table 2) to assess their relationship

to conflict lethality: (1) matrix element where the conflict occurred, (2) conflict type,

(3) number of houses within a 500-m radius from the location of the conflict, (4) total

number of elements in the peri-urban matrix (e.g. roads, houses, buildings, airports,

power lines, gardens, orchards, pastures, and others) within a 500-m radius from the

location of the conflict, (5) type of road (primary or secondary), (6) road material

(paved or unpaved), (7) distance to the nearest road, (8) distance to the nearest small

forest fragment <10 ha, (9) distance to the nearest ≥70 ha-forest fragment, and (10)

distance to the nearest house. We estimated these traits by exporting the GPS locations

of the conflicts from the software Map Source 6.16.3 (Garmin®) to Google Earth Pro

(Google®). For each GPS position we chose a high-resolution satellite image (with a

low percentage of clouds and shadows) of the year of the conflict using the option

'historic images,' which includes images from 2002 to 2019. We analyzed Landsat 5

images in the software QGIS 3.6 to estimate the traits for those conflicts that occurred

between 1991 and 2001 ($n=46$).

2.6. Statistical analyses

We performed Chi-square tests for proportions using the R function 'prop.test' to

compare the proportions of occurrence between types of conflict, age-sex classes,

seasons, and days of the week. When we found significant differences, we compared

the proportion of records in each hour interval via post-hoc proportion contrasts using

the R function 'pairwise.prop.test' with a Bonferroni correction. We performed

generalized linear mixed models (GLMM; Zuur et al., 2009) using the function 'lmer'

of the R package lme4 to assess the influence of the 16 predictor variables listed in

Table 2 on conflict-related mortality. We set the binomial family error for the response

variable (i.e. if animals died or survived after the conflict) and a log link for running the

models. We specified the 16 variables as fixed factors and the sampled year-ID as

random factor to account for repeated-measures during the same years. We considered

only two second-order interactions that are ecologically relevant, namely conflict

type*matrix element and conflict type*age-sex class, to minimize overparameterization

and problems of convergence of the global model (the model containing all fixed and

random factors) due to the inclusion of a large number of variables and their

interactions (Grueber et al., 2011). Before running this analysis we tested the variables

for multicollinearity problems using the 'vifstep' function of R package dplyr. We

included all variables in the global model because their Variance Inflation Factors

(VIFs) were <3.

Then, we used the model simplification procedure to determine the minimal

adequate (most 'parsimonious') model. In this method, the maximal model is simplified

over a stepwise procedure until a model that produces the least unexplained variation or

the lowest Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) is found (Crawley, 2012). We used the

AICc to select the 'best' model as recommended when sample size/number of predictor

variables <40 (Burnham and Anderson, 2003). We used a likelihood ratio test over the

R function 'anova' to test the significance of the 'best model' in comparison with the

null model (the model including only the random factor). Finally, we used the

'r.squaredGLMM' function of the R package MuMIn (Barton, 2016) to estimate an

equivalent of the coefficient of determination or pseudo-R^2 for the 'best' GLMM. The

datasets used to perform these analyses are available in Chaves et al. (2021). We run all

statistical analyses in R v.3.6.3 (R CoreTeam, 2020).

3. Results

3.1. Major conflicts involving brown howlers in peri-urban matrices

We recorded 540 conflicts involving brown howlers in the peri-urban matrices of RS ($n = 248$) and SC ($n = 292$), from which we discarded 56 from further analysis because of incomplete information on the date, conflict type and/or injury level. Then, we collected complete information for 484 conflicts. In addition to our main study regions, our records included conflicts in other 11 municipalities in RS and 24 in SC (6% and 22% of state conflicts, respectively, Table S1). The major conflicts were electrocution (37% of 488 conflicts with complete information), followed by dog attack (34%), run over (17%), and human mistreatment (12%, Figs. 2 and 3 A-C). The vast majority of conflicts occurred at daytime when howler monkeys walked on power lines (Fig. 2 A-D), tried to cross paved or unpaved roads (Fig. 2 E-H), or descended to the ground (Fig. 2 I-J) to cross canopy gaps or to move between forest patches. A high percentage of the dog attacks (66% in RS and 49% in SC; Fig. 3 A, C) were lethal. In none occasion the killing dog(s) ate the monkey's flesh. Dog attacks involved stray and domestic dogs, and in all cases, they abandoned the carcass *in situ* upon the monkey's death. Furthermore, howlers kept illegally in captivity, commonly infants and juveniles, represented most records of human mistreatment (87% of 60 conflicts). The remaining cases were howlers shot with ball-bearing guns by local inhabitants.

The representation of each type of conflict varied between RS and SC. The number of records also differed among conflict types in RS (EL=43% of 222 conflicts, DA=31%, RO=18%, and MT=8%; χ^2=81, d.f.=3, P<0.0001; contrasts, P<0.05 in all significant comparisons; Fig. 3 A) and SC (DA=37% of 262 conflicts, EL=31%,

RO=17%, and MT=15%; χ^2=45, d.f.=3, $P<0.0001$, contrasts, $P<0.05$ in all significant

comparisons, Fig. 3 B).

3.2. Injury level suffered by the howlers after a conflict

Most RS howlers involved in EL (54%), DA (66%) and RO (69%) suffered

lethal injuries (contrasts, $P<0.05$ in all significant comparisons), and the remaining

individuals survived with mild-medium (38%, 26%, and 21%, respectively) or severe

injuries (8%, 7%, and 10%, respectively; Fig. 3 A). A higher proportion of the animals

involved in EL suffered lethal or mild-medium injuries than severe injuries, while a

higher proportion of those involved in DA and RO suffered lethal than mild-medium or

severe injuries (Fig. 3 A, contrasts, $P<0.05$ in all significant comparisons).

Lethal injuries were less frequent in SC howlers after EL (48%), DA (49%) and

RO (36%; Fig. 3 B). The other howlers involved in these conflicts survived with mild-

medium (42%, 39%, and 57%, respectively) or severe injuries (10%, 13%, and 7%,

respectively; Fig. 3 B). The proportion of victims of these conflicts with lethal or mild-

medium injuries was higher than the proportion with severe injuries (Fig. 3 B, contrasts,

$P<0.05$ in all significant comparisons). A higher proportion of howlers involved in MT

suffered mild-medium (RS=11%. SC=10%) than severe or lethal injuries (RS=5%,

SC=15%; Fig. 3 A, B, contrasts, $P<0.05$ in all significant comparisons).

Finally, 56% (269 out of 484 conflicts, Table S2) of the howlers with lethal

injuries or with mild-medium or severe injuries that were alive immediately after the

conflict died after <1 to 8 h during the transport to the veterinarian clinic or during the

emergency veterinarian care. The health problems associated with their deaths included

cardiorespiratory problems, lung perforations, internal hemorrhages, myases, and

mutilations. This mortality represented 61% and 51% of the total number of conflicts

with complete data reported for RS and SC, respectively (Table S2). Injured and/or

mutilated survivors that were kept for life in public or private wildlife rescue centers

represented 25% (RS) and 15% (SC), whereas individuals released back to their

habitats summed only 7% (RS) and 2% (SC). The fate of the remaining survivors is

unknown.

3.3. Conflict distribution among age-sex classes

Conflicts involved all age-sex classes in both states with a bias towards adult

males and adult females (RS: χ^2=115, d.f.=7, P<0.0001; SC: χ^2=158, d.f.=7, P<0.0001;

contrasts, P<0.05 in all significant comparisons; Fig. 3 C, D). The proportion of records

per conflict type was often similar within each age-sex class (Fig. 3 C, D). The

exceptions were higher EL proportions of records than MT for adults of both sexes in

RS and for adult females in SC. In SC, juvenile males were more impacted by DA than

by RO, and juvenile females were more impacted by DA than by EL and MT (contrasts,

P<0.05 in all significant comparisons, Fig. 3 D).

3.4. Temporal patterns in the number of conflicts

The average number of conflicts per year (mean ± SD) was similar between RS

and SC (13 ± 8 *vs* 12 ± 9 conflicts, respectively, Fig. S1). There was a higher frequency

of conflicts in the summer and fall than in the winter in RS (χ^2=30, d.f.=3, P<0.0001;

contrasts, P<0.05 in all significant comparisons, Fig. 4 A). The number of conflicts also

differed among months in each season (χ^2 ranged from 16 to 57, d.f. ranged from 3 to 4

in all cases, *P*<0.001 in all cases; Fig. 4 A). The month(s) with the highest number of

conflicts in summer, fall, winter, and spring were, respectively, January and March,

April and May, August and September, and October (contrasts, *P*<0.05 in all significant

comparisons, Fig. 4 A). In contrast, the frequency of conflicts in SC was similar in all

seasons (χ^2=5, d.f.=3, *P*=0.2, Fig. 4 B). However, the number of conflicts also differed

among months in each season (χ^2 ranged from 15 to 23, d.f. ranged from 3 to 4,

P<0.005 in all cases; Fig. 4 B), and the month(s) with the highest number of conflicts in

summer, fall, winter, and spring were, respectively, January and February, April, July-

September, and October and November (contrasts, *P*<0.05 in all significant

comparisons, Fig. 4 B).

The frequency of conflicts also differed between the days of the week in RS

(χ^2=18, d.f.=6, *P*=0.005), because of a greater number of conflicts on Fridays than on

Tuesdays (proportion contrast, *P*<0.05; Fig. 4 C). There were also differences in the

frequency of conflicts in SC (χ^2=19, d.f.=6, *P*=0.005, Fig. 4 D). with a greater number

of conflicts occurring on Mondays, Tuesdays, Wednesdays and Fridays than on

Sundays (contrasts, *P*<0.05 all significant comparisons; Fig. 4 D).

3.5. Predictors of conflict lethality

The minimal adequate GLMM explained 83% of the variation in conflict lethality and

included the predictors 'state,' 'type of conflict,' 'age-sex class,' 'day of the week,' and

'distance to the nearest large forest fragment' (R^2_c=0.83; Table 3). Conflict-related

mortality was lower in SC than in RS (β=-1.2, z-value=-3, *P*<0.01) and for MT than for

the other conflicts (β=-1.8, z-value=-3, *P*<0.01). Lethality was higher for adult females

than for victims belonging to other age-sex classes (β=1.9, z-value=2, *P*<0.05) and for

Tuesday conflicts than for those occurring in the other days (β=-1.2, z-value=2, *P*<0.05;

Table 3).

4. Discussion

We found that electrocution is the most frequent conflict affecting the physical

integrity of brown howlers in the study peri-urban landscapes, agreeing with findings

for wildlife worldwide that powerlines and the associated infrastructure represent major

threats. Electrocution kills hundreds of primates (Lokschin et al., 2007; Katsis et al.,

2018; Dittus, 2020; Jones-Román et al., 2021) and hundreds of thousands to millions of

birds and other vertebrates each year (Bernardino et al., 2018; Biasotto and Kindel,

2018). Therefore, the implementation of management strategies such as an appropriate

trimming of tree branches, insulation of powerlines, installation of wildlife crossings

(canopy-to-canopy aerial bridges), creation of biological corridors, and an efficient

protection of riparian edges are urgent not only to prevent the electrocution of arboreal

wildlife, but to increase habitat connectivity and gene flow between animal populations

(Table 4).

Attacks by stray or domestic dogs were the second major incident involving

brown howlers in both states. This strongly supports the relevance of these animals as

'killers' of primates (e.g. *Alouatta guariba clamitans*: Buss, 2012; Bicca-Marques et al.,

2020; *C. nigritus*: Oliveira et al., 2008) and many other terrestrial mammals (e.g.

Buttler et al., 2004, Lacerda et al., 2009; Home et al., 2017; Gatti et al., 2018). In the

study area, these attacks often occur when brown howlers descend to the ground to

access cultivated fruits in subsistence orchards guarded by domestic dogs (Buss 2012;

Chaves and Bicca-Marques, 2017; Corrêa et al., 2018) or, when they cross roads,

gardens or pastures to access another Atlantic Forest remnant (Óscar M. Chaves and

João Claudio Godoy, personal observation). In most cases, death or severe injuries (e.g.

organ perforations, mutilations, multiple bites, and skin cuts: see database in Chaves et

al., 2021) are the outcome of dog attacks. Critically injured individuals cannot be

returned to their habitats. For instance, most (ca. 70%) brown howlers surviving dog

attacks in RS and sent to recovery to the Rincão do Araticum Wildlife Rescue Center

have never returned to their habitats because of infections in the injured organs (mainly

lungs) or amputations in tail, feet, and hands (Silvia B. Ribeiro, personal

communication). Therefore, population control of stray dogs is a necessary

management strategy to reduce dog-wildlife conflicts in the study peri-urban matrices

(Table 4).

Although less frequent, run overs and human mistreatments also deteriorate the

health and compromise the survival of brown howlers in the study regions. These

conflicts were expected given (i) the high fragmentation and urban encroachment of the

Atlantic Forest remnants that howlers inhabit (Ribeiro et al., 2009), (ii) the frequent

dispersal of individuals from their natal groups (Strier et al., 2001), (iii) the howlers'

diet supplementation with wild and cultivated foods found in scattered food patches

separated by roads and other potentially lethal landscape elements (Buss, 2012; Chaves

and Bicca-Marques, 2017; Corrêa et al., 2018), (iv) the howlers' incapacity of high-

speed travel on the ground, and (v) the inefficient Brazilian public policies to

prevent/mitigate road kills (Gonçalves et al., 2018). Run over is a major cause of

wildlife mortality in southern Brazil (Teixeira et al., 2020). It is estimated that ca. 1.3

million vertebrates (10% of which are large/medium birds, reptiles, primates, and

terrestrial mammals) are killed every day along the extensive Brazilian networks of

streets and roads (CBEE, 2019). Finally, the reported percentage of mistreatments is

probably underestimated. This conflict is rarely denounced by local inhabitants

probably because they are either afraid of retaliations or because they are poorly

informed on how to fill out a complaint.

Whereas the larger body of adults compared with that of immature individuals

increases their risk of touching cables with opposite charges simultaneously (Printes,

1999), thereby potentially explaining the highest frequency of electrocuted adult

howlers, the greatest number of dog attacks and run overs on adults is compatible with

their leading role in group travel both on the ground (Bicca-Marques and Calegaro-

Marques, 1997) and on the canopy (Fernandéz et al., 2013), as reported for black-and-

gold howler monkeys (*Alouatta caraya*).

Irrespective of conflict type, most howlers suffered lethal or mild-medium

injuries (e.g. lung perforations, severe skin-burns, and body mutilations; see Fig. S2)

and died soon after the incident or a few hours later. The overall fate of howlers

involved in conflicts is even worse if we take into account that 93 to 98% of those

individuals that survived after receiving veterinarian care were condemned to live in

captivity. These findings highlight that howler monkeys are in great danger in urban

and peri-urban areas of southern Brazil as have been suggested for the study areas (e.g.

Printes, 1999; Buss, 2012; Corrêa et al., 2018; Bicca-Marques et al., 2020). Victims of

mistreatments were the exception. Very few died or suffered severe injuries probably

owing to their use as pets and the resulting provisioning of food and some veterinarian

care by the "owners," as observed in the study regions (Gerson Buss and Júlio César

Souza Jr., personal communication).

Individual removal from wild populations via death or life in captivity

compromise the long-term conservation of brown howlers in the Atlantic Forest with

cascading consequences at the community level because of their important role as seed

dispersers (Martins, 2006; Chaves et al., 2018). A brown howler can disperse ca. 52,000

seeds >2 mm per year (Chaves et al., 2018). Taking brown howler density data in RS

into account (see Table S3), we estimate that the injured individuals that we have

reported (n=248) represent ca. 10% of the total brown howler' population in this study

region. Given the limitations of our data collection mentioned in the Methods and the

fact that most conflicts that we reported involved adult females which could be

pregnant, the estimate above is quite likely an underestimate. Another implication that

needs to be considered is the economic cost associated with the rescue, veterinarian

care, and maintenance of handicapped individuals in RS and SC and the maximum

lifespan reported for captive howlers (i.e. 20 years). These costs can reach

US$45,000/animal during a 15-year period (Table S4). Considering only the basic costs

of maintenance in captivity, rescue centers spend considerable monthly amounts (e.g.

US$ 177/month/animal in SC, Júlio César Souza Jr., personal communication) that are

rarely reimbursed by local or state governments.

The lack of a clear pattern in the seasonal distribution of conflicts between the

two states may have resulted from regional differences in the use of the landscapes.

Although incidents occurred throughout the year in RS, alike that reported by Buss

(2012), there were higher frequencies of records in the summer and fall compared with

the winter. In SC, however, the distribution of conflicts was similar between seasons.

Whether this difference simply reflects the temporal characteristics of the sampling

efforts in RS and SC (see Methods) or legitimate differences resulting from higher

numbers of people living or visiting the RS study region or driving through it during

their summer vacation remains to be investigated. In SC, the sampling conducted by

Projeto Bugio-FURB (https://www.furb.br/web/5579/ projeto-bugio/apresentacao) was

more uniformly distributed throughout the year. The leading role of Projeto Bugio, a

research institute with a consolidated history of rescuing, caring, and rehabilitating

brown howlers in SC, may also explain the marked influence of state on conflict-related

mortality (Table 4).

5. Conclusions

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study collating long-term data on

the main threats faced by wild Neotropical primates living in peri-urban landscapes. We

confirmed the aforementioned negative impact of urbanization on wildlife health and

survival described in short-term studies of primates and other vertebrates. We found

that the destruction of the Atlantic Forest and the encroachment of its remnants by

urban centers represent serious (and often ignored) conservation challenges for the

long-term survival of arboreal primates (and probably many other vertebrates). The

severity of this scenario is further highlighted by the fact that despite flexibly adjusting

their behavior to diverse anthropogenic landscapes (including peri-urban regions), alike

other vertebrates (Sol et al., 2010; Schell et al., 2020), the long-term persistence of

howler monkey (*Alouatta* spp.) in fragmented peri-urban landscapes is at high risk

(Bicca-Marques et al., 2020). As we have shown conservatively, hostile elements of the

urban matrix, such as power lines, roads, domestic dogs, and wildlife traffickers,

impose a much higher death rate to peri-urban populations than that seen in habitats

more isolated from people. Therefore, designing and implementing appropriate

strategies to prevent or mitigate human-wildlife conflicts are crucial to save urban- and

peri-urban-tolerant species from extirpation.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by a grant from the Programa Nacional de Pós-Doutorado of the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior – Brazil (Brazilian Higher Education Authority)/CAPES (Finance Code 001; PNPD grant # 2755/2010). JCBM thanks the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico – Brazil (Brazilian National Research Council)/CNPq (PQ research fellowships #303154/2009-8 and 303306/2013-0). We thank Dayse A. Rocha and Maria Carmen (Secretaria do Ambiente e do Desenvolvimento Sustentável/SEMA-RS), Silvia B. Ribeiro (Rincão do Aracutím), and many local inhabitants of the communities of Itapuã, Lami, Viamão, Blumenau, Indaial, and Pomerode, and to the Polícia Militar Ambiental of SC for the invaluable collaboration during the report of conflicts.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/

References

Almeida, M.A.B., Santos, E., Cardoso, J.C., Fonseca, D.F., Noll, C.A., Silveira, V.R., et al., 2012. Yellow fever outbreak affecting *Alouatta* populations in southern Brazil (Rio Grande do Sul state), 2008–2009. Am. J. Primatol. 74, 68–76.

Al-Razi, H., Maria, M. & Muzaffar, S.B., 2019. Mortality of primates due to roads and power lines in two forest patches in Bangladesh. Zoologia 36, 1–6.

Arroyo-Rodríguez, V., Dias, P.A., 2009. Effects of habitat fragmentation and disturbance on howler monkeys: a review. Am. J. Primatol. 71, 1–16.

Back, J.P., Bicca-Marques, J.C., 2019. Supplemented howler monkeys eat less wild fruits, but do not change their activity budgets. Am. J. Primatol. 81, e23051.

Barton, K., 2016. Mumin: Multi-model inference: R package version 1.15.6.

Bernardino, J., Bevanger, K., Barrientos, R., Dwyer, J. F., Marques, A.T., Martins, R.C., et al., 2018. Bird collisions with power lines: state of the art and priority areas for research. Biol. Cons. 222, 1–13.

Biasotto, L.D., Kindel, A., 2018. Power lines and impacts on biodiversity: a systematic review. Environ. Impact Assess. Rev. 71, 110–119.

Bicca-Marques, J.C., 2017. Urbanization (and primate conservation). In: Fuentes, A.
(Ed.),. The international encyclopedia of primatology. JohnWiley & Sons, Inc., New
York, pp. 1409–1413.

Bicca-Marques, J.C., Calegaro-Marques, C., 1997. Single line progressions in black-
and-gold howler monkeys (*Alouatta caraya*): Is there an ordered positioning? Am. J.
Primatol. 42, 95.

Bicca-Marques, J.C., Calegaro-Marques, C., Rylands, A.B., Strier, K.B., Mittermeier,
R. A., Almeida M.A.B., et al., 2017. Yellow fever threatens Atlantic Forest primates.
Sci. Adv. eLetter.

Bicca-Marques, J.C., Chaves, Ó.M., Hass, G.P., 2020. Howler monkey tolerance to
habitat shrinking: lifetime warranty or death sentence? Am. J. Primatol. 82, e23089.

Bueno, C., Souza, C.O.M., Freitas, S.R., 2015. Habitat or matrix: which is more
relevant to predict road-kill of vertebrates? Braz. J. Biol. 75, 228–238.

Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D., 2003. Model selection and multi-model inference: a
practical information-theoric approach. Springer, New York.

Buss, G., 2012. Conservação do bugio-ruivo (*Alouatta guariba clamitans*) (Primates,
Atelidae) no entorno do Parque Estadual de Itapuã, Viamão, RS. Ph.D. thesis.
Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul.

Buss, G., Oklander, L. I., Bicca-Marques, J. C., Hirano, Z. M. B., Chaves, Ó. M.,
Mendes, S. L., et al., 2019. Brown howler monkey. In: Schwitzer, C., Mittermeier,
R.A., Ryland, A.B., Chiozza, F., Willamson, E.A., Macfie, E.J., Wallis, J., Cotton,
A. (Eds.), Primates in peril: the world's 25 most endangered primates 2018-2020.
IUCN SSC Primate Specialist Group, International Primatological Society, Global
Wildlife Conservation, and Bristol Zoological Society, Washington, DC. pp. 95–97.

Butler, J.R.A., du Toit, J.T., Bingham, J., 2004. Free-ranging domestic dogs (*Canis*
familiaris) as predators and prey in rural Zimbabwe: threats of competition and
disease to large wild carnivores. Biol. Cons. 115, 369–378.

CBEE, 2019. Centro Brasileiro de Estudos em Ecologia de Estradas. Universidade
Federal de Lavras. Available at: https://ecoestradas.com.br/.

Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P.R., Barnosky, A.D., García, A., Pringle, R.M., Palmer, T.M.,
2015. Accelerated modern human-induced species losses: Entering the sixth mass
extinction. Sci. Adv. 1, e1400253.

Chaves, Ó.M., Bicca-Marques, J.C., 2013. Dietary flexibility of the brown howler
monkey throughout its geographic distribution. Am. J. Primatol. 75, 16–29.

Chaves, Ó.M., Bicca-Marques, J.C., 2016. Feeding strategies of brown howler monkeys
in response to variations in food availability. PLoS ONE 11, e0145819.
Chaves, Ó.M., Bicca-Marques, J.C., 2017. Crop-feeding by brown howler monkeys
(*Alouatta guariba clamitans*) in forest fragments: the conservation value of
cultivated species. Int. J. Primatol. 38, 263–281.
Chaves, Ó.M., Bicca-Marques, J.C., Chapman, C.A., 2018. Quantity and quality of seed
dispersal by a large arboreal frugivore in small and large Atlantic Forest fragments.
PLoS ONE 13, e0193660.
Chaves, Ó.M., Souza Júnior, J.C., Buss, G., Hirano, Z.M.B., Jardim, M.M.A., Amaral,
E.L.S., et al., 2021. Data from: Wildlife is imperiled in peri-urban landscapes: threats
to arboreal mammals. FigShare Data. Available at:
https://figshare.com/s/06774138360b57769e20.
Chaves, Ó.M., Stoner, K.E., Arroyo-Rodríguez, V., 2011. Seasonal differences in
activity patterns of Geoffroyi´s spider monkeys living in continuous and fragmented
forests in southern Mexico. Int. J. Primatol. 32, 960–973.
Corrêa, F.M., Chaves, Ó.M., Printes, R.C., Romanowski, H.P., 2018. Surviving in the
urban-forest interface: feeding and ranging behavior of brown howlers (*Alouatta*
guariba clamitans) in an urban fragment in southern Brazil. Am. J. Primatol. 80,
e22865.
Crawley, M.J., 2012. The R book. John Wiley & Sons, New Jersey.
Culot, L., Pereira, L. A., Agostini, I., Barreto de Almeida, M.A., Cruz Alvez, R.S.,
Aximoff, I., et al., 2019. ATLANTIC-PRIMATES: a dataset of communities and
occurrences of primates in the atlantic forests of South America. Ecology 100,
e02525.
Cunneyworth P.M., Slade A.M., 2021. Impact of electric shock and Electrocution on
populations of four monkey species in the Suburban Town of Diani, Kenya. Int. J.
Primatol. 42, 171–186.
Dias, P.A.D., Rangel-Negrín, A., 2015. Diets of howler monkeys. In: Kowalewski, M.,
Garber, P.A., Cortés-Ortiz, L., Urbani, B., Youlatos, D. (Eds.), Howler monkeys:
behavior, ecology, and conservation. Springer, New York, pp. 21–56.
Dittus, W.P., 2020. Shields on electric posts prevent primate deaths: a case study at
579   Polonnaruwa, Sri Lanka. Folia Primatol. 91, 643–653
Douglas, I., 2006. Peri-urban ecosystems and societies transitional zones and
contrasting values. In: McGregor, D., Simon, D., Thompson, D. (Eds.), Peri-urban

interface: Approaches to sustainable natural and human resource use. Earthscan,

New York, pp. 18–29.

Estrada, A., Garber, P.A., Rylands, A.B., Roos, C., Fernandez-Duque, E., Di Fiore, A.,

et al., 2017. Impending extinction crisis of the world's primates: why primates

matter. Sci. Adv. 3, e1600946.

Fahrig, L., 2003. Effects of habitat fragmentation on biodiversity. Ann. Rev. Ecol.

Syst. 34, 487–515.

Fernandéz, V.A., Kowalewski, M., Zunino, G.E., 2013. Who is coordinating collective

movements in black and gold howler monkeys? Primates 54, 191–199.

Gatti, A., Seibert, J., Moreira, D., 2018. A predation event by free-ranging dogs on the

lowland tapir in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. Anm. Biodiver. Cons. 41, 311–314.

Gillespie, T.R., Chapman, C. A., 2008. Forest fragmentation, the decline of an

endangered primate, and changes in host-parasite interactions relative to an

unfragmented forest. Am. J. Primatol. 70, 222–230.

Gonçalves, L.O., Alvares, D.J., Teixeira, F.Z., Schuck, G., Coelho, I.P., Esperandio,

I.B., et al., 2018. Reptile road-kills in southern Brazil: composition, hot moments

and hotspots. Sci. Total Environ. 615, 1438–1445.

Gordo, M., Calleia, F.O., Vasconcelos, S.A., Leite, J.J.F., Ferrari, S.F., 2013. The

challenges of survival in a concrete jungle: conservation of the pied tamarin

(*Saguinus bicolor*) in the urban landscape of Manaus, Brazil. In: Marsh, L.K.,

Chapman, C.A. (Eds.), Primates in fragments: complexity and reslience. Springer,

New York, pp. 357–370.

Grueber, C.E., Nakagawa, S., Laws, R.J., Jamieson, I.G., 2011. Multimodel inference in

ecology and evolution: challenges and solutions. J. Evol. Biol. 24, 699–711.

Home, C., Bhatnagar, Y.V., Vanak, A.T., 2017. Canine conundrum: domestic dogs as

an invasive species and their impacts on wildlife in India. Anm. Cons. 21, 275–282.

IBGE, 2020. Estimativas da população. Instituo Brasileiro de Geografia e Estadística.

https://www.ibge.gov.br/estatisticas/sociais/populacao/9103-estimativas-de-

populacao.html?=&t=downloads (accessed 15 July 2020).

IUCN, 2021. The IUCN Red List of Threatened

Species 2021.https://www.iucnredlist.org/ (accessed 15 May 2021).

Jesus, S.L., Calegaro-Marques, C., Klain, V.F., Chaves, Ó.M., Bicca-Marques, J.C.,

2021. Necropsies disclose a low helminth parasite diversity in periurban howler

monkeys. Am. J. Primatol. (in press).

Jones-Román, G., Villalobos-Suárez, C., Menacho-Odio, R.M., 2021. Threats faced by

howler monkeys (*Alouatta palliata*) in Costa Rica and conservation initiatives for

their well-being and our healthy coexistence with the species. Biocenosis 32, 5–14.

Katsis, L., Cunneyworth, P.M.K., Turner, K.M.E., Presotto, A., 2018. Spatial patterns

of primate electrocutions in Diani, Kenya. Int. J. Primatol. 39, 493–510.

Lacerda, A.C.R., Tomas, W.M., Marinho-Filho, J., 2009. Domestic dogs as an edge

effect in the Brasília National Park, Brazil: interactions with native mammals. Anim.

Cons. 12, 477–487.

Lokschin, L.X., Printes, R.C., Cabral, J.N.H., Buss, G., 2007. Power lines and howler

monkey conservation in Porto Alegre, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. Neotrop. Primates

14, 76–80.

Marsh, L. K., 2003. Primates in fragments: ecology and conservation. Kluwer

Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, pp. 404.

Marsh, L. K., Chapman, C. A., 2013. Primates in fragments: ecology and conservation.

Springer, New York, pp.528.

Martins, M.M., 2006. Comparative seed dispersal effectiveness of sympatric *Alouatta*

guariba and *Brachyteles arachnoides* in southeastern Brazil. Biotropica 38, 57–

63.

McKinney, T., 2011. The effects of provisioning and crop-raiding on the diet and

foraging activities of human-commensal white-faced capuchins (*Cebus capucinu*s).

Am. J. Primatol. 73, 439–448.

Mittermeier, R.A., Robles Gil, P., Hoffman M, Pilgrim J, Brooks, T., Mittermeier, C.G.,

et al., 2004. Hotspots Revisited. CEMEX, 390 pp.

Oliveira, V.B., Linares, A.M., Correa, G.L.C., Chiarello, A.G., 2008. Predation on the

black capuchin monkey *Cebus nigritus* (Primates : Cebidae) by domestic dogs *Canis*

lupus familiaris (Carnivora : Canidae), in the Parque Estadual Serra do Brigadeiro,

Minas Gerais, Brazil. Rev. Bras. Zool. 25, 376–378.

Peres, C.A., 1994. Primate responses to phenological changes in an Amazonian Terra

Firme forest. Biotropica 26, 98–112.

Piano, E., Souffreau, C., Merckx, T., Baardsen, L. F., Backeljau, T., Bonte, D., et al.,

2020. Urbanization drives cross-taxon declines in abundance and diversity at

multiple spatial scales. Glob. Chang. Biol. 26, 1196–1211.

Printes, R., 1999. The Lami Biological Reserve, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, and the
danger of power lines to howlers in urban reserves. Neotrop. Primates 4, 135.

Printes, R.C., Buss, G., Jardim, M.M.A., Fialho, M.S., Dornelles, S.S., Perotto, M., et
al., 2010. The urban monkeys program: a survey of *Alouatta clamitans* in the south
of Porto Alegre and its influence on land use policy between 1997 and 2007. Primate
Conserv. 25, 11–19.

R Core Team, 2020. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Version
3.6.3. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Ribeiro, M.C., Metzger, J.P., Martensen, A.C., Ponzoni, F.J., Hirota, M.M., 2009. The
Brazilian Atlantic Forest: how much is left, and how is the remaining forest
distributed? Implications for conservation. Biol. Cons. 142, 1141–1153.

Rodríguez, K., Lara, LR., Sánchez, A., Ramírez, D., Ramírez, S., 2020. Guía para la
prevención y mitigación de la electrocución de la fauna silvestre por tendidos
eléctricos en Costa Rica. Ministerio de Ambiente y Energía, San José. Available at:
https://drive.google.com/file/d/1NGw4jvfDZXiHUOwaPtfH2OoSweUgJtrM/view.

Rovero, F., Mtui, A., Kitegile, A., Jacob, P., Araldi, A., Tenan, S., 2015. Primates
decline rapidly in unprotected forests: evidence from a monitoring program with data
constraints. PLoS One 10, e0118330.

Salomão, R. P., Alvarado, F., Baena-Díaz, F., Favila, M. E., Iannuzzi, L., Liberal, C.
N., et al., 2019. Urbanization effects on dung beetle assemblages in a tropical city.
Ecol. Indic. 103, 665–675.

Schell, C.J., Stanton, L.A., Young, J.K., Angeloni, L.M., Lambert, J.E., Breck, S.W.,
Murray, M.H., 2021. The evolutionary consequences of human-wildlife conflict in
cities. Evol. Appl. 14, 178–197.

Sol, D., Lapiedra, O., González-Lagos, C., 2013. Behavioural adjustments for a life in
the city. Anim. Behav. 85, 1101–1112.

Strier, K.B., Mendes, S.L., Santos, R.R., 2001. Timing of births in sympatric brown
howler monkeys (*Alouatta fusca clamitans*) and northern muriquis (*Brachyteles*
arachnoides hypoxanthus). Am. J. Primatol. 55, 87–100.

Teixeira, F.Z., Rytwinski, T., Fahrig, L., 2020. Inference in road ecology research: what
we know versus what we think we know. Biol. Lett. 16, 20200140.

Trzyna, T., 2014. Urban protected areas: profiles and best practice guidelines. Gland,
IUCN, Switzerland.

United Nations, 2015. World urbanization prospects: the 2014 revision. United Nations,

Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division, New York.

Villatoro, F.J., Naughton-Treves, L., Sepúlveda, M.A., Stowhas, P., Mardones, F.O.,

Silva-Rodríguez, E.A., 2019. When free-ranging dogs threaten wildlife: public

attitudes toward management strategies in southern Chile. J. Environ. Manag. 229,

67–75.

Zuur, A., Ieno, E.N., Walker, N., Saveliev, A.A., Smith, G.M., 2009. Mixed effects

models and extensions in ecology with R. Springer, New York.

Fig. 1. Location of conflicts involving brown howler monkeys in Santa Catarina and Rio

Grande do Sul states, southern Brazil. Color markers represent the type of incident: white

(run over), yellow (unknown), rose (electrocution), cyan (dog-attack), blue (natural causes),

concentric circle (mistreatment). The black star in the marker indicates that the monkey

suffered mild or severe injuries, while its absence indicates that it died soon after the incident

or during the transport to the veterinary hospital. Observe the difference in scale between the

two state regions.

Fig. 2. Main threats faced by brown howlers (*Alouatta guariba clamitans*) in urban and peri-

urban areas in Rio Grande do Sul state, southern Brazil. Adult female using a power line to

cross a road (A), individuals electrocuted and/or mutilated on power lines (B-D), monkeys

crossing roads to access food patches (E,F), adult and sub-adult males run over (G, H), adult

male on cultivated tree in a subsistence orchard guarded by dogs (I), juvenile individual

walking on the ground near a domestic dog (J), victim of mistreatment in a peri-urban area of

RS (K). Photos by Ó. M. Chaves (A-C, H), J. C. Godoy (D-F), G. Buss (G, K) and J. P. Back

(I-J).

Fig. 3. Comparison of the proportion of conflicts involving brown howlers according to the

type of incident (a, b) and the age-sex class (c, d) in the States of Rio Grande do Sul (top

panels) and Santa Catarina (bottom panels). Different Lucida handwriting capital letters on

the bars indicate differences among incident types or age-sex classes, and lowercase letters

inside the bars indicate differences among injury levels or incident types (proportion

contrasts, $P < 0.05$). When no significant differences were detected within each incident type

or age-sex class (proportion contrasts, $P > 0.05$), no lowercase is show. Type of incident:

EL=electrocution, DA= dog attack, RO= run over, and MT= human mistreatment (further

details in Methods). Numbers in parentheses at the bottom of bars represent the number of

conflicts per type of conflict or age-sex class. Age categories: A= adult, S= subadult, J=

juvenile, and I=infant. Total number of conflicts considered in each graph: 222 (a), 151 (b),

262 (c), and 225 (d).

Fig. 4. Temporal patterns in the proportion of conflicts according to season (a, b) and day of

the week (c, d) in Rio Grande do Sul (top panels) and Santa Catarina (bottom panels) states.

Different Lucida handwriting capital letters on the bars indicate differences among seasons or

day of the week (proportion contrasts, $P<0.05$). When the proportion of conflicts was similar

(proportion contrasts, $P>0.05$) among seasons or days, no capital letter is shown. Lowercase

letters on the bars in (a) and (b) indicate differences among months within each season

(contrasts, $P<0.05$). When the proportion of conflicts was similar between months (contrasts,

$P>0.05$), no lowercase is shown. Days: Monday (Mon), Tuesday (Tue), Wednesday (Wed),

Thursday (Thu), Friday (Fri), Saturday (Sat), and Sunday (Sun). Numbers in parentheses at

the bottom of bars represent the number of conflicts per season or day recorded until April

2021. Total number of conflicts considered in each graph: 214 (a), 199 (b), 261 (c), and 260

(d).

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the main municipalities/cities monitoring in Rio Grande do Sul and Santa Catarina, Brazil

Variable[a]	Rio Grande do Sul			Santa Catarina[b]				
	Viamão	Lami	RS	BL	IN	PO	JS	SC
Area (km^2)	1,496	28.2	281,707	518.6	430.8	214.3	530.1	95,731
Population size in 2019	252,872	4,642	11,377,239	357,199	69,425	33,447	177,697	7,164,788
Urban population	224,943	—	9,100,291	294,773	52,927	23,823	132,800	5,247,913
Rural population	14,441	—	1,593.64	14,238	1,927	3,936	10,323	1,000,523
% population in urban areas	94.0	—	99.8	95.4	96.5	85.8	92.8	84.0
Population density (ind./km^2)	160	165	39.8	596.1	127.3	129.3	270.3	65.3
Population growth (%)	5.3	—	20.0	13.5	21.0	17.0	19.5	12.8
#vehicles in 2018	95,734	—	5,365,382	197,586	33,894	18,100	84,776	3,672,593
#Urban residences	70,514	4,030	3,084,215	96,866	16,753	7,423	42,070	—
#Rural residences	4,883	—	515,589	4,196	614	1,130	3,036	—

[a]Information sources were: Instituto Brasileiro de Geografía e Estatística (population census 2010; IBGE, 2020) and Departamento Nacional de Trânsito (number of vehicles 2018; Denatran, 2020). Population growth was based on the last 10 years (i.e. the differences between the population estimate of 2019 and the population census of 2010. Vehicles included: cars, pickups, trucks, buses, and microbuses.

[b]Municipality abbreviations: BL=Blumenau, IN= Indaial, PO=Pomerode, and JS=Jaraguá do Sul.

— Information not available.

Table 2. Potential predictors of post-incident lethality in brown howlers in the peri-urban matrices of Rio Grande do Sul and Santa Catarina states, southern Brazil

Predictor[a]	Description	Effect[b]
Physical traits of urban matrix		
1) Matrix element	Element of the urban matrix where the incident occurred, including roads, gardens, cities, fragment edges, forest remnants, etc.	(+)
2) Conflict type	Main incident involving howlers: electrocution (EL), dog-attack (DA), runover (RO), and mistreatment (MT).	N.A.
3) # houses	Number of houses or clearly identifiable roofs around a 500-m radius from the incident location.	(+)
4) # elements	Total number of elements constituting the anthropogenic matrix (e.g. roads, airports, power lines, houses, buildings, gardens, and pastures) around a radius of 500 m from the incident location.	(+)
5) Type of road	Type of road nearest to the incident location: primary large road (>15 m wide) with high vehicle traffic (P), and secondary small roads (<10 wide) with low vehicle traffic (S).	P>S
6) Road material	If the road was paved or unpaved	paved>unpaved
7) DNR	Distance from the incident location to the nearest primary or secondary road (m).	(-)
8) DNS	Distance from the incident location to the nearest small fragment <10 ha.	(+)
9) DNL	Distance from the incident location to the nearest large fragment > 80 ha.	(+)
10) DNH	Distance from the incident location to the nearest house.	(-)
Other factors		
11) Age-sex	Age-sex class of the animal, including adults (A), subadults (S), and juveniles (J) of both sexes.	N.A.
12) State	Study state: Santa Catarina (SC) and Rio Grande do Sul (RS)	N.A.
13) Season	Season of the year in which each incident occurred: summer (Su), fall (F), winter (W), and spring (Sp).	>S
14) Day	Day of the week in which each incident occurred: Monday (Mon), Tuesday (Tue), Wednesday (Wed), Thursday (Thu), Friday (Fri), Saturday (Sat), and Sunday (Sun).	>Sat/Sun
15) Incident*element	Interaction between the type of incident and the matrix element	N.A.
16) Incident*age-sex	Interaction between the type of incident and the age-sex category	N.A.

[a] Ten physical traits of the peri-urban matrices estimated in this study enhanced in bold.
[b] Expected effect according to available evidence: positive (+), negative (-), higher (>), and non-assessed (N.A.).

Table 3. Minimal adequate GLMM predicting the lethality of conflicts involving brown howlers in the urban anthropogenic matrix of southern Brazil

Predictors	Parameters[a]				
	β	SE	z-value	AICc	R^2_c
Model: **state+incident+age-sex+day+dlf**			6.2**	320	0.83
State					
Santa Catarina	-1.19	0.36	-3.3**		
Type of incident (incident)					
Mistreatment	-1.76	0.57	-3.1**		
Run over	-0.61	0.44	-1.4		
Electrocution	-0.30	0.39	-0.8		
Age-sex class (age-sex)					
Adult female	1.92	0.93	2.1*		
Subadult male	1.66	1.10	1.6		
Adult male	1.32	0.87	1.5		
Juvenile female	1.44	1.06	1.4		
Day of the week (day)					
Tuesday	1.19	0.59	2.1*		
Thursday	0.72	0.57	1.3		
Distance to the nearest large forest (dlf)	-0.00	0.00	-0.9		

[a]Parameters shown: partial regression coefficients ($β_i$), standard errors that incorporate model uncertainty (SE), Akaike's Information Criterion for small samples (AICc), and pseudo-R^2 (R^2_c) indicating the percentage of the variance explained by the fixed and random factors in the minimal adequate model. Significance level: * *P<0.05*, ** *P<0.01*.

Table 4. Fifteen potential management strategies to minimize the number of conflicts involving brown howler monkeys in the

anthropogenic urban matrix of south Brazil

Type of incident	Management strategy	Description	Ref.[b]
EL, DA, RO, MT	1) Environmental education program	Activities related to the sensitization of local inhabitants on the importance of preventing conflicts with wildlife.	1-3
	2) Creation of a specialized network	Interdisciplinary group devoted to monitor, rescue, care, rehabilitate, and release recovered animals back to nature. This group needs to operate 7 days/week.	1-3
EL, DA, RO	3) Establishment of urban protected areas	Protected areas inside or adjacent to urban or peri-urban centers. These areas not only contribute to protect wildlife but also promote human health and well-being.	1,4
	4) Establishment of biological corridors	Biological corridors are crucial to increase the connectivity between habitat patches used by brown howlers and other terrestrial vertebrates.	1, 5
	5) Efficient protection of riparian edges	The density of howler monkeys in urban/disturbed areas often increases along the riparian edges. These forests contain food sources, shared microhabitats, and water for the wildlife, while they increase the connectivity between isolated habitat patches.	1, 4
	6) Installation of wildlife crossings	The construction and installation of aerial rope bridges (elaborated with PVC tubes and rope) in hotspots of conflicts.	1, 5-7

	7) Creation and/or protection of natural canopy bridges	Natural bridges used frequently by primates and that are composed by the canopies of two or more large trees in the opposite sides of a road.	1, 4
	8) Creation of public policies to assist injured animals	Elaboration of municipal laws that enforce energy companies, road departments, and urbanization secretaries to cover the costs related to monkey rescuing, veterinarian assistance, and captive maintenance.	1-3
EL	9) Creation of buffer zones between the forest dossel and power lines	Buffer zones can be established over the trimming of tree branches that allow access to power lines, particularly in medium-voltage power lines.	1, 3, 5, 7
	10) Insulating of power lines	Insulation of low-tension power lines (and high-tension power lines, whenever possible) and installation of physical barriers in the cables to difficult the access of the animal to the cables.	1-3, 5, 7
DA	11) Sterilization of domestic and stray dogs	Sterilization campaigns of domestic and stray dogs inhabiting the urban matrix.	8, 9
	12) Prevention that dogs roam free	Installation of fences, dog-kennels, and other barriers in gardens to avoid that domestic dogs roam free and interact with primates and other wild vertebrates.	8, 9
	13) Relocation and euthanasia of stray dogs	When possible, part of these animals should be captured, sterilized, and relocated to public and private dog-kennels. Lethal control of stray dogs when their population is high and the frequency of dog-wildlife conflicts justify it.	8, 9

RO	14) Installation of speed reducers	Installation of speed bumps and posting of signs to persuade vehicle drivers to reduce the speed near locations with frequent crossing of howler monkeys and other terrestrial vertebrates.	10
MT	15) Efficient surveillance and penalty systems	The surveillance and quick penalty on environmental crimes associated with illegal captivity, traffic, and mistreatment.	3

[a] Electrocution (EL), dog-attack (DA), run over (RO), and mistreatment (MT).

[b] References: 1- Jones-Román et al (2021), 2-Gordo et al. (2013), 3- Buss (2012), 4-Trzyna (2014), 5-Lokschin et al. (2007), 6-Printes (1999), 7- Rodríguez et al. (2020), 8- Home et al. (2017), 9-Villatoro et al. (2019), 10-Texeira et al. (2020)

Click here to access/download
Supplementary Material
Supplementary_Material_Chaves_et_al.docx

Credit authorship contribution statement

ÓMC: Investigation, Conceptualization, Data collection, Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing original draft, Manuscript revision. **JCSJ:** Data collection, Data curation, Manuscript revision. **GB:** Data collection, Data curation, Manuscript revision, **ZMBH:** Data curation, Funding acquisition, Manuscript revision. **MMAJ:** Data collection, Data curation, Methodology, Manuscript revision. **ELSA:** Data curation, Methodology, Manuscript revision. **JCG:** Data collection, Methodology. **ARP:** Data collection.**TM:** Data collection, Data curation. **JCBM:** Funding acquisition, Supervision, Methodology, Manuscript revision, English revision.

Declaration of conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.